	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, March 13, 2014
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JOHN LOSCOMBE

7 JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITIES
17	REGULAR MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 3, 2014.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-C. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
21	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
22	DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION RECEIVED FEBRUARY 12,
23	2014.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

Clerks notes?

2

MS. REED: None this evening, Mr. McGoff.

3 4

MR. MCGOFF: Any council members

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have announcements at this time? MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could, I would

just to like to thank everyone for their prayers and their calls, their cards and their offers of condolences on the passing of my sister, Kathy Martin, in this past It's been a bittersweet week, because week. also during that time we enjoyed the birth of our newest grand daughter, Grace Lynn, and just today I received the word from my other daughter that she will be having a child in September, so I must be getting old, that will be my sixth grandchild.

But it's been a week of, you know, a lot of mixture, but thank you everyone for praying for us and giving us that strength. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? I would just like to remind everyone that this coming Saturday is the St. Patrick's Day parade in downtown Scranton. To me it's a

great day for the City of Scranton and surrounding communities. I just ask that everyone be responsible. Please don't make it a day that people will regret. It's a fun time for families and the parade and hopefully everyone in the city and the surrounding communities can enjoy it. So again, just be responsible. And that is all.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'S PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: First speaker is Mr. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council and Amil, Mrs. Reed.

First of all, I'd like to start off by saying it was a long time coming where we finally got some people in here that were able to answer some questions and give us some advice on how to get the city out of the situation it's been in for 22 years.

My question to Scranton City Council is will you be committed to following

Mr. Amaroso's solutions and suggestions when you finally get his final report or are we

just going to continue to play politics?

MR. MCGOFF: Do you want a response at this time or --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Can you give me a response during motions, please?

MR. MCGOFF: Sure.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I'd appreciate that and I think the citizens throughout the city would probably appreciate that.

Going in the same line of questioning or thought, after 12 years and 113 questions I finally got an answer from Councilman Gaughan. He called me last week and gave me an answer to one of my questions and I really appreciate that, councilman Gaughan. It's the first time in 12 years I actually got an answer to my question.

Now, on along the same lines again I submitted ten questions twice to city council. I'm hoping that during motions today some of my ten questions will be answered. I have been assured by the secretary that the council members have received my questions, so I followed the rules and submitted them in writing so I

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hope that city council follows the same rules and answers some of these questions for me. I would greatly appreciate that.

Changing subjects a little bit, what some of the citizens have been talking for years, 10 years, 12 years, myself 12 years, what we were saying was going to happen is actually happening now, and I'm seeing some change of opinions on some people's thinking and they are actually doing maybe a 180 or a 360 because they are actually agreeing with what citizens have been saying for years. Some of us knew that this was going to happen. There was no way it could not have The house of cards, the financial happened. house of cards had to crumble, and it's finally -- it's coming to fruition now, and people in the city are finally starting to wake up and they are finally starting to realize that, you know, some of this could have been prevented.

You know, those three to two votes we had for years, they put the City of Scranton 50 years behind in my humble opinion, at least. Those 3-2 votes killed

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us, and I have think people know what 3 to 2 votes I'm talking about. It set us way behind and we continue to go deeper and deeper in the hole and nothing was ever being accomplished.

I mean, the money went downtown, but looks at what's happened downtown. People are leaving downtown. Yeah, people are moving in, they are moving into apartments. Yeah, we are getting students, we are getting medical college students to move in, but the only people making any money of this are the realtors and the landlords and the developers. The city is not making any money because the students are not working in most cases, so they are not paying wage taxes, they are not paying any property taxes because they don't own the property, they are renting. Yeah, they are -- they are probably helping the landlord out by paying the rent and by paying their rent and the landlord paying their property taxes, but again, the city is really not making out, and the taxpayers, the property owners, the ones who do pay the bills and pay the

taxes, they are not really getting, you know, anything out of this. The developers they are doing pretty well for themselves and I'm glad to see the development, don't get me wrong, but we need to do something to bring more tax money and revenue into the city. We have talked about this for year and years and years and I'm just hoping that some day it will eventually happen and the city will get back on the right track.

Again, that's why I asked will city council support the recommendations and the decisions that Mr. Amaroso makes when he finally comes out with his final report in I think six months or three more months or however long it's going to be because it's going to hurt. You are probably going to hurt a lot of people's feelings, you know, but it's been a long time coming and it might have to happen and I just hope that city council supports whatever recommendations that come out and we can finally get the City of Scranton back on track.

Well, I guess that's about it for

tonight. Have a good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to answer your one question at this point at this time, I think all of the council is committed to not blindly follow the recommendations of Mr. Amaroso, but I think we are all committed to working with the administration and with the consultants to resolve the issues that we have. I don't think anyone here has indicated any differently. And we look -- as I said, during the caucus we look forward to working with the administration to solve the mutual problems, the problems of the City of Scranton.

Next speaker is Mr. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Am I'm very pleased that we had such an informative session this evening with Mr. Amaroso. I came out of that learning a great deal, a lot of information that, you know, a lot of us I'm sure weren't aware of.

You know, when the idea of a

consultant came about a short time ago by
the new administration, I certainly was
quite baffled and puzzled by that idea. I
asked myself why did we just go through the
process of electing a new mayor who claimed
to have a vision for the city, why did we go
through the process of electing new
individuals to a council who also claimed to
have a vision for the city?

You know, as I stood here this evening and I listened to Mr. Amaroso discuss his findings in the short three-month time he has been here, I couldn't just help but acknowledge the fact that yet again there is not one thing that he discussed that we didn't already know. can recall in multiple occasions our previous Finance Chair sat right here as he did and gave similar Power Point presentations on the state of the city, and he discussed factual information such as we currently at a 86 percent tax collection I think anybody that paid attention would have already been aware of that and we discussed measures that needed to be taken,

so it's no secret that we all should are been aware of that piece of information.

We also talked about the biggest gorilla in the closet and that's nonprofits and PILOTS. We have been discussing that for the last 12 years. Again, not a secret. So out of all of the discussion and all of the time we have taken to gather all of this, you know, research by Mr. Amaroso I think we have to ask ourselves are we really going somewhere or are we just continuing to just spin around and chase our tail so to speak because we have an accomplished a single, solitary thing.

And then I took the time to do some homework on Mr. Amaroso and I found some things that are some what disturbing, and I'm not here to make accusations or, you know, accuse individuals of wrongdoing, I can only cite things that are in black and white and hope that we can hopefully possibly get answers to these questions, but upon doing research I found that Mr. Amaroso was a former hospital CEO of St. Vincent's Hospital dating back to 2006, and according

to my research from articles that came from the New York Post, he oversaw excessive spending at this institution that lead to a billion dollars in debt and bankruptcy.

And upon further research it was discussed in these articles and investigations that were undertaken by the District Attorney's Office in New York City and lawyers who represented doctors and nurses that were working at this hospital that this was a grand scheme, a well thought out plan, and Mr. Amaroso was the captain of this ship and he oversaw the fiscal mismanagement of St. Vincent's Hospital.

Millions and millions of dollars were squandered on golf outings, luxurious vacations, employees making multi-million dollar salaries, all of this done so that th institution could file bankruptcy because obviously they had to have a reason to allow the institution to be shut down because the state obviously wasn't going it allow it. This was a hospital that was overseen by a Catholic charity in New York City.

And so after concluding my research

I did reach out to Tom Shannehan who was a lawyer who did, as I said, represent doctors and nurses who did sue St. Vincent's Hospital and Mr. Amaroso and I did place that call and I am waiting to hear back from him because I do have many questions.

And, you know, it's really disturbing to see that Mr. Amaroso, who allegedly, you know, oversaw this and allowed this to happen, that this was something that was, you know, grand scheme all planned out so that this institution can be, you know, closed and sold off to a group of developers who according to this article here are the Rubin family who evidently allegedly had some sort of ties to Mr. Amaroso and those around him so that they can take this property and develop it to what they saw it fit their needs at the time.

And so tonight when we sit here and we listen to Mr. Amaroso give a presentation on what his alleged vision is for the city, I think we have to question his integrity, his accountability, and most of all his

qualifications and my question I guess before I leave the podium is was this council aware of any of this and did you take the time to do some research on Mr. Amaroso? But I think the most important question we could ask this evening is did Mr. Courtright take the time to do this investigation because that was his handpicked individual to come and help lead the way for us. Were we aware of this? And that's my question.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: That was a question, I posed to council. Were you aware of any of this information?

MR. MCGOFF: I was not aware of anything that you said concerning
Mr. Amaroso.

MR. MILLER: Do you --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Well, I do believe that this council shouldn't just let this get swept under the rug like we seem to let everything get swept under the rug. We face serious financial challenges and we have an

obligation to take it serious. If this individual did definitely do this, then I don't understand why we are taking any of his advice. We have an obligation to solve our own problems, so maybe it's time we roll up our own sleeves --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: -- and do our homework.

You're welcome. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: I had my usual four or five people at the grocery store comment to me that after I mentioned 40 houses for \$4 million off the payroll council had nothing to comment. It just bounced off of you people. Keystone has over 100 pieces of property they are not paying taxes on now. Who is going to make it up for crying out loud?

You know, I don't care what this

Pure Charity Act says, these are businesses,

they are not -- they are not charities or

nothing, they are businesses. They don't

fit into the Pure Charity Act anymore. The University brags they made \$400 million. Keystone got over 100 houses off.

Lackawanna College says they are going to grow 40 percent. The medical school wants five acres of land around them, do you know how many houses are on the five acres around there? It just goes on and on and on and you people aren't doing nothing against the people that are causing this destruction of this city.

It's the all of these nonprofits, they all phony. They are a bunch of greedy parasites that have just sucked the blood out of this city and I'm not mad at council, I'm just -- it's my opinion about it. You know what we need, we need about 10 or 20 thousand homeowners to come stand in front of this building maybe they would get your attention because enough is enough and you people just don't listen to all of these gentleman and ladies that get up here week after week, it just bounces off of you.

Brother McGoff, before this year is over you are going to have to sit there and

tell the people of this city there is another substantial tax raise coming. You know it, I know it, and people are expecting it. How did you expect us to pay?

Let me tell you something, when I got our house 20 years ago Mr. DeNaples told me my insurance, my house note and my taxes will be one week's salary and I managed that. Now, it takes me 20 weeks of my social security money to pay the same thing. I was supposed to be retired. I'm not supposed to have to sell stuff to pay taxes and pay for school kids. I'm not supposed to have to do that, but that's what's happening in your city.

And as far as downtown and that mall, right there is what kills the mall. A jerk like this kickboxing downtown. You don't think that scares the hell out of women? It's bad enough having to cross the street to go to the mall and it's a death trap. I don't think -- it scarce the hell out of anybody trying to cross Lackawanna and then you are approached by a bunch of druggies that want \$2 and they want

cigarettes and they want to know if you want a nickle bag and until that's cleaned up your mall is going to be a failure and it's all over the place over there now. It's just gotten out of hand.

I appreciate you all letting me get up here and get it off my chest, but it's time something is done about the nonprofits and you people just are fearful to attack them and somebody has got to do it sooner or later. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Hello, Council. I
think a very troubling thing tonight has
come to light by Mr. Douglas here. I think
it's the most troubling thing to say about
city government that anybody could ever
imagine, and I think that when -- I don't
know, I think that when a district attorney
is doing an investigation of somebody the
government doesn't waste it's time
investigating people generally, okay, so
there is more to this than we will even know

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at this point, and for this council to not know that and Mr. Courtright not to know that, it's a very troubling, very, very troubling thing. Very troubling.

Now, let me say this, Mr. Amaroso owe did say one thing today, that Scranton is very attractive destination and he is probably right about that for carpet baggers and vultures because they are going to pick every bit of flesh off the residents of this city they can take. I don't see anything funny, Mr. Rogan, but you probably do. Now. my question -- because you wouldn't be smiling if you didn't something was funny, but, you know, here is what I want to know, how much did we spend to build these parking garages and what are we going to liquidate them for? How much are we going to ask the taxpayers to lose? Not just Scranton taxpayers, but taxpayers across the Commonwealth who invested in those parking garages and the residents? And as we see all of the city's assets off, all right, to fund our debt, look it, I feel bad for the city employees because really when you take

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a look what they are trying to do to Act 47 now in Harrisburg what I read in the paper is after five years they are going to let communities file bankruptcy. And the state is trying to sell pension bonds because the states pensions are under water.

And, you know, when you talk to people in this city they are disgusted. Ι have had a lot of people tell me they can't pay their taxes this year and are not going We are still tearing buildings now and to. the question I have to ask this council and the mayor and the residents of this city is give it your wildest thought about how much it costs to build a home in the city and see how many we are tearing down and how many people think that people are going to come out from outside of this city, get a building permit and spend the amount of money it takes to build a home in this city?

And when you talk about the mall, well, look it, people without disposal income don't spend money and that's the major problem this mall has. My personal opinion is that Mr. Amaroso's plan isn't a

plan at all. It's just another step in the destruction of this city and that's my opinion.

And I think that, Mr. Gaughan, you asked a very serious question in the newspaper, and I didn't have the opportunity to be here and if I remember what is correct in the paper you asked what the plan was.

And I think that all five of you council members need to ask what that plan is, and then you need to ask yourself one more question, can the residents of this city afford that plan and take another thing into consideration, what is the average of the residents in this city and what is their income?

When you talk to people on social security making 800 or 900 dollars a month these people are trying to give their home away so they can get in the high rise and it's just a crime. Look it, like I said, I feel bad for the city's pension plans and the city employees, and in the end, I think in the end statewide and citywide these people are going to take a beating when it

takes time, when the time comes to get their
pension because you can't take money off
ordinary citizens who aren't earning any
money themselves.
And then, look it, no disrespect to
you Mr. Rogan, but, I mean, to sit there and
smile about it. I just can't understand how
you could do that. I mean, I sat here and
watched you smile
MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan
MR. MORGAN: Hold on.
MR. ROGAN: No.
MR. MORGAN: Hold on.
MR. ROGAN: I'm referring to your
comment referring to the people that want to
come to this city as leeches and parasites.
MR. MORGAN: What people?
MR. ROGAN: What you said.
MR. MORGAN: Did you read about the
civil war?
MR. ROGAN: And that's absolutely
out of line.
MR. MORGAN: Read about the Civil
War.
MR. ROGAN: Wait a minute.

MR. MORGAN: Read about the Civil

MR. ROGAN: There's a lot of good people here in the city and what's --

MR. MORGAN: You're right and I'm not talking about the people in this city, I'm talking about all of the people that are coming here and buying our debt and sucking the life out of us, like the people that took over the Parking Authority, like the people who formerly took over the Scranton Sewer Authority, like all of those vultures and all of the people that we are going to buy bonds off of. Those are the vultures. And they have got willing accomplices here on that council who keep leveraging more debt on the residents of this city that have no money. That's what I'm talking about.

And if you took a little time to open a book and read something you would know what a carpet bagger was because you go to the old south and see what happened after the south lost the Civil War and how the northerners went down there and picked the flesh off of them. So, please, read a

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little bit and understand what somebody is saying to you before you try to respond, okay?

And the truth of the matter here is this, the residents of this city have no more money, borrowing more money is not the answer either. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I just want to reply really quick, when anyone comes and refers to people that want to come in this city as carpet baggers and leeches that's completely inappropriate for a city council meeting and for a forum. One of the biggest problems with this city is people are leaving our city. We need to invite people to come here and live and move into our neighborhoods. We see neighborhoods all around us in other cities filled with crime and problems and there are good people in those neighborhoods dying to get out and they are the people we want to come here and have a family in the city. They are not parasites and leeches, Mr. Morgan. They are the people we want to come to this city and to raise a family, to work here and to pay taxes here.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Good evening. Joan Hodowanitz, taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm going to have to go against the grain here or else I'm in the Twilight zone, but I was very happy to sit in the caucus and I was very happy that it took place. I was one of the people that asked you that you could get Mr. Amaroso in here and brief us on his financial recommendations.

My understanding is this is a preliminary report, okay, and he is not up to the point of making many recommendations. Now, I don't know anything about his past history, I did not Google him, I did not vet him, but I am interested in the contents of his briefing and I think that there is a possibility sitting down and analyzing his briefing to us and saying, you know, what's valid in it, and I think there a lot of good information in there.

It was not pitched as attempt to,
"This is my plan ahead, this my way

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forward," this was his initial findings.

I think with the city needs is governmental transparency and that's why I was happy to see the mayor and his administrative leaders here with him as part of this briefing taking questions from the council.

Now, if there is bad information in that briefing I think we will be able to pull it out. I don't see usefulness of simply attacking Mr. Amaroso and ignoring his findings offhand, dismissing them offhand. I think that's too early to be doing that. I want to see transparency from the municipal leadership and from city council. I think this is a first step in this direction. If the information presented to us is inaccurate or bogus, well, we'll get to that point and we'll find that out, but this is the first step in reestablishing credibility as far as I'm concerned and I think it was a good faith effort, which leads to me to two questions.

Number one, is there any possibility that we can request a copy of that briefing

for Mr. Amaroso so IT can put it on the website? I think that would be good so that citizens can pull it up again and give it a relook because there was a lot of the information in there.

And my second thing is I think it would be a good idea if maybe at the four-month point and the six-month point he can be invited back with the city leadership to say, "Okay, this is the next piece that I want to brief you on and these are my final conclusions at the end of six months and this with what I'm handing off to the city leadership and the city council."

I would be very happy to see that.

But, again, you know, I was happy to be sitting there. This is a breath of fresh air as far as I'm concerned and I hope that you continue this process. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much.

Mrs. Franus.

MS. FRANUS Fay Franus, Scranton. I may be reading some of this tonight because I have a lot of stuff I want to cover.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. FRANUS: Forgive me for reading things because I don't normally like to do that. I want to maybe comments about Mr. Amaroso in the paper even today saying that the reason the city is in the financial shape it is because of the default of the Parking Authority. I don't agree with that at all and I'm going to try to explain to the people that maybe don't know about this. Mr. Rogan and Mr. Loscombe certainly do because they spoke out.

And today I looked at the caucus from May 31, 2012, that meeting with the Scranton Parking Authority when they came in here, Mr. Scopelliti and Mr. Kelly, Paul Kelly was the lawyer for the city and he was the lawyer for the Parking Authority at the same time, he was getting paid my both, so who was he representing, the city or the Scranton Parking Authority? That was a huge conflict of interest, although he was asked by Mr. Rogan I believe, and he didn't think it was at all. He was very rude.

Now, another thing, Boyd Hughes

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pointed out to the whole caucus, and he spent a long time on that issue, if you recall, about the budget, and, Mr. Rogan, you even said it was like a two-page budget and you were given one page of a multi-million dollars authority and you got a two-page budget.

So Mr. Hughes went through this and they didn't expect it because what he found out was unbelievable. They came to this caucus to explain the expenditures and the revenues of the Scranton Parking Authority, but Attorney Hughes did was he said your May -- January, February, March you have expenditures of -- well, say you are going to spend \$30,000, but in actuality that month you spent 52,000, so he rounded it out, and I'll round it up for you. He took each month and he took all of the figures, he said at the end of the year what you have down in your budget what you are going to spend is \$850,000 more that was in your budget over of what they had in their budget, and this is the budget they presented to you on that day.

So, Mr. Hughes said, "Take the salaries." He said, "Some of the salaries were 40,000 and then they were really 62,000," but that wasn't in the budget.

Nothing was accounted for. Nothing was accounted for. And then Mr. Scopelliti said, "Well the people that usually do our budget and do our finances they are on vacation."

Mrs. Renda and some other man, they were on vacation. Well, how about this, that year Mrs. Renda her position was taken out of the budget of council. She didn't even have a job anymore. She was taken out of the budget. They kept her there and paid her from money that wasn't even allocated for her and they are wondering why their budget was over what they expected? That's just a small example. That's just one of the examples.

And how could all of the loans that the Scranton Parking Authority got without coming in front of council that's against the law. The city doesn't have to guarantee any loans that don't come in front of

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council for approval, and they did this knowingly, knowingly, and they did it.

Dave Bulzoni did this when he worked for Landmark Bank and this is the man that Billy Courtright hired for a business administration, just like Mr. Amaroso, like Doug Miller said. Boy, you should really check into the people in this administration.

Now, let me see here. Landmark Bank has a lawsuit against the city to get the money back. That's just an example of some of the stuff, so what they figured out, when the new council figured out, Mr. Rogan and Mr. Loscombe said this authority needed to be disbanded because of the all mismanagement that was taking place and if you didn't have a default this would still be going on today. You would have maybe different people would point to the different people other than Bob Scopelliti, but Scopelliti was here in 2008 he ran rough shot over everything, so all of this money there was no accountability. You didn't know how it was spent.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That \$35 million loan, nobody knows where it went, knows how it was spent, so if city council did not default on that loan this would still be going on today and this the city would be such bad shape and Mr. Amaroso is insinuating because the city defaulted on that that we are in such financial -- thank God the city -- thank God you did default on that. And by the way, it was paid a couple of weeks later and every payment of that, every payment since then for 2011, 2012, 2013, every payment has been made on time and in full so where he keeps coming off and saying that this default is causing the city financial distress that's totally crazy because the Scranton Parking Authority ran this city into the ground and they wouldn't tell anybody how they were spending the money.

You saw the budget, wasn't it a joke, Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: It was terrible.

MS. FRANUS: I mean, did you get any answers to them as to how they spent their money?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. FANUCCI: Didn't you pick up on Mr. Hughes saying all the money, \$850,000 over budget for one year, and they said they had a deficit of \$1.6 million, actually it was a deficit of \$2.5 million.

Just one more question, please, this is not regarding this, the City of Scranton, I believe, purchased a pothole machine, does anybody know where that is and if it's being used? Could somebody check and see? believe we bought it pothole machine to repair potholes. Does somebody know about that?

MR. GAUGHAN: No, that's -- my committee is the DPW so I'll look into that.

MS. FRANUS: Will you, please?

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm not sure, but I will look into it.

MS. FRANUS: And if they do have one where is it and when are they going to use, and if not why not.

> MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn.

23

21

22

24

25

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good evening. Quinn, Scranton. In two months citizens of Lackawanna County will be voting on what type of government we are going to have in the future, the Lackawanna County Study Commission had 14 meetings and two public hearings and there hasn't been a debate on the matter so far so the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association, Incorporated, will hold a debate next Tuesday evening March 18 at 6:30 p.m. here in Scranton City Council chambers. It will be televised by ECTV and those that do not

MR. QUINN:

Representing the county commissioners will be Attorney Joseph O'Brien, former solicitor for the county for many terms under Alberigi and Corcoran, former Lackawanna County Democratic legal counsel and secretary.

get ECTV the public are welcome to attend.

Representing the Lackawanna County Study Commission will be Attorney Frank Ruggiero. He is the general counsel for the Lackawanna County Study Commission.

We are hoping that we'll be able to

have these two gentlemen representing the commissioners and the commission to give the pros and cons of both sides of the aisle so people can look at what type of government they want to vote on in May.

So, also, I want to tell you about the Hill Neighborhood Association will meet on Thursday, March 20, at the Immaculate Conception Church basement Hall 800 Taylor Avenue to discuss blight in households, blight, vacant apartment households, blight, occupied households, vacant land, crime prevention, crime prevention, taxes, but above all we want to know what the people want to hear, want us to address.

We want to be proactive up in the Hill Section. We want to back to the days when Eddie Pisano was running the Neighborhood Association. He was one hell of a leader and we want to try to get the Hill Section back and running like it was and back in the days of Pisano. We also want at that meeting we have invited representative, State Representative Kevin Haggerty. He is in the 112 District and he

is running again and Representative Farino and Representative Munley. Representative Haggerty is invited because of the fact he is going to help us with state, federal, and corporate loans. We are the only 501 (c) (3) neighborhood organization throughout city and we can apply for federal, state and corporations throughout Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development programs.

So we are hoping that also he is also the only one who so far that I know the other candidates, Mr. Munley has not committed himself on Act 76, House Bill 76, which is the elimination of school property taxes, Mr. Haggerty has and we want him to speak about it at the meeting. So anybody is welcome and hope city council will come up, it's next Thursday, at 7:00 p.m. and that's all I have to say. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Quinn, just one moment, perhaps your April meeting could be scheduled to a night other than a Thursday.

1

5

4

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that we would like to attend neighborhood meetings but being on Thursdays we already have an obligation here, so maybe in April you could have your meeting on another night that we can attend and get an update on what you discover at your March meeting.

MR. QUINN: Sure. Thank you.

Appreciate that.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Sbaraglia?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, Fellow Scrantonians. Being Ozzie brought up 76, I wasn't going to, but being he brought it you tell me what piece in that legislation says people that do not own property does not have to pay the increase in all of the taxes they want increased? All it is saying that the property owners don't have to pay but the renters have to pay because the property is going to get the rebate their taxes they don't have to pay to the school, but the property owners -- I mean, the people who rent will still have to pay all of the penalty that goes with that deal.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't count it as a win-win situation, all it is the have nots attacking the haves, so that's the way it goes.

I listened to the presentation, I didn't find anything wrong with it. know my son is a college professor who teaches economics and has licenses and CPA, he is a CPA and everything else that goes with the deal. He is well educated, teaches college in Florida, though, so really not too much help here, but I listened to him and what he said was you should raise taxes every year. That was in his plan. raising taxes and taxes and taxes. I don't see that as really a great solution, but there is a lot of things that could be, like he said, we can sign contracts with doctors or with hospitals and sometimes we will see if that holds down the medical bills. all, we really wouldn't have this problem with though if we listened to Mr. Reap and we said we don't have to pay these guys all of the way up until they drop dead hospitalization, just cover them until they get social security. That's what he wanted

but they got him out of that council seat.

He was too much for the people. I always

bring him up.

But the presentation that we saw was similar to the one that PEL put in. If you remember, PEL was here and did the same kind of thing and they're recommending the same thing raise taxes, but you have raised taxes. He finds that the taxes that you raised ain't enough, that means you have to keep raising taxes and that's really not a great solution.

The paper said that we are pretty close to Waverly as oppression goes. All taxes are oppressive, but I tell you are if the paper put in the \$300 we paid for refuse removal and the high cost of the sewer system in this city we probably would have been above Waverly in oppression to the people, so you got to look at that. You got to look the everything.

Like I said before, this city isn't going away overnight, we are going to still be here 100 years from now even if there were only a few people in it we would still

be here, but the solution to keep raising taxes is not. That's the only thing he did say. Other than in the paper he said something about the business taxes, he thought it would be a great idea to get away from them and that may come up when he gives you the solutions, but before he does that and brings that up, you say dollar amount. If we drop them two taxes and put another tax on payroll would the dollar amount be the same or greater?

You got to look at everything in dollar amounts, that's the only way to do it. A dollar here saving this, ever dollar an offset. You can you use an offset to some of the deals in the budget? It was never brought up, but he says your budget was wrong anyway. It probably would be. I'm saying it was right because of the fact is all of the budgets have been wrong since about 2012 all the way up. They have been understaffed when it came to money. We always were in debt and he brought up a year ago 2006, in and around 2007 that's when Mr. McGoff came on council, them years, and then

he said it has been out of kilter all the way out. Now, I don't know if you can blame Mr. McGoff for it all, but you do have to remember that he has been here for seven years of this hardship, and three of the other members are already giving you 100 percent tax hike. I hope you remember that and keep getting it through your mind who raised your taxes. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Taxes paid, be it in escrow. I was at home for part of Mr. Amaroso's presentation. I think it's 10:00 tomorrow morning it's rebroadcast? Could you find that out and then announce it, the council meeting and the caucus so that I could possibly record it and go over it and little more. I think it's like ten tomorrow, noon time Saturday and so on.

Okay, now, from what I have been hearing on the parking garages I have to say

it's a 50/50 proposition, there is going to be a lot of principal and a lot of the interest owed and if we are going to wind up with \$200,000 wholes thanks to corporations moving out of central city, even though a lot of this was probably built for them taking into consideration, it might be a fair idea to dump them.

And I'd also like to point out that this KOZ was turned down a few years ago and, for instance, it would be interesting now Vac Serve definitely left because of KOZ offer, and Diversified I have a question mark because I haven't heard anything or seen anything, but I would like to point out that a lot of these KOZs when it comes to the rank and file working person it's not a -- it's not a utopia because a lot of times there is very poor public transportation available. In Olyphant they have KOZs and Jessup the first question out of their mouth is, "Do you have reliable transportation?"

Now, what they offer you to start is it \$9.00 an hour. Reliable transportation

cost a little more than \$9 an hour when you consider all of the other bills that an average person has to pay. They are trying to keep the roof over their head in addition they are running out and buying a \$15,000 car for a tin can basically, so they definitely don't take into consideration any of the woes of the working class.

And on the unions, I get the picture that they may be cooperative, but Mr. Courtright has his work cut out for him because I don't think they are going to be interested in backing up too far either.

And last week I mentioned on the electric bills and I was also relating it to a sewer plant with privatizing utilities, I was pleased to hear during the speech that -- during the caucus that he wasn't interested in privatizing the sewer plant and I hope it says that way.

Now, on the electric, last week, maybe two weeks ago, my phone rang in the morning, I was still asleep. I picked it up, I found out that it was one of those electric shysters, I hung it up and it

continued to ring. I picked it up again, it was the electric shyster. I hung it up again and I picked it up again and it was the electric shyster and I started screaming even though it was a robocall.

Well, this week I got a little nasty and it was a person and I started using expletives on him and, well, I got a good nights sleep last night, I unplugged the phone, so it wasn't ringing first thing in the morning and waking me up.

But the point being that these people are trying to sell electricity for four -- three and 400 percent of what you could get out of PPL and they may even -- the state there is a movement in the legislature to just assign you to one of these people, so buyer beware. We don't need to be policed anymore than we are.

And I don't like calling people
names with nonprofits, but it is time -- and
tax exempts, but it is time that we have a
fee for DPW and public safety levied onto
all tax exempts and if you check your PA
constitution it does not require me -- it's

specifically gives me as a citizen the right to not have to pay somebody else's bill just because they are tax exempt. If they need a street maintained in front of them or a DPW services, fire and police, they should be paying something for that, so it's time we just convert that to a fee, I think Andy mentioned it before, and that would be your PILOT. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, will be a
taxpayer on the last possible day for the
discount. This evening I'm wondering out
loud, I guess, on Boscov's strategy for
retaining their property at Steamtown Mall.
Because it is a partnership, and obviously
they can't buy - any sheriff's sale
introduction to the listing always says the
owner cannot bid, so I'm wondering if this
would work, this same strategy would work
sort of in reverse for residential property
owners who are facing foreclosure, if they

1

8 9

7

12

13

10

11

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could form an LLC as so many I see in the paper that have the number of the street address with an LLC and then bid on their own house at a sale. Something that some people might want to think of if they are facing foreclosure. I don't know how much it costs to form an LLC, but if Boscov's can do it I don't know why a private person couldn't do it.

With respect to the agenda items tonight, 3-C, I looked at the backup and it noted that the comprehensive -- it was in accordance with the Comprehensive Municipal Plan, but can somebody give me the date of that Municipal Comprehensive Plan? to go to most of the SAPA meetings and the DCED rep I'm quite sure said that that was a requirement every ten years and I think ours is probably more like 20 years old, so are we going to be budgeting for a new comprehensive plan? Something to put in possibly for 2015 because I think we are probably way out of line. If you could, somebody could tell me next week what the municipal -- the date of our current plan

is.

And then on 7-B, Mr. Lazor, would he still be the Chair of the Tax Collection

Committee as well this appointment if he is approved or is he giving up that post or has he given it up? Okay.

And that's a segue into a question that's left over from last year that was never answered which is last year the Tax Collection Committee had a zero budget, zero expenditures. In the current budget, they have \$328,000 for expenses and I would like to know what the difference that it was zero in 2013 and it's now well over a quarter of a million dollars for this year, so whosoever committee that is I would appreciate those answers, and I will get them in over the weekend hopefully.

Mr. Amaroso spoke of the health care costs tonight and I wonder when was the last time, because I'm real believer in competition and holding costs down, and I haven't seen anything on pharmacy benefits, manager, when was that last put out to bid? When was the last time the dental coverage

was put out to bid? I've got a feeling it's much longer than I think it should have been at least.

And I would also like to know how much revenue does the recycling program generate and in what form is that? Maybe, Mr. Gaughan, you can find that out. I know if it's a straight dollar amount that the city gets or if they get a truck or whatever S & H green stamps or something, you are too young to remember those.

And this, again, is a repeat
question, many times repeated, how many
rental properties have been inspected
through the end of February and when will
monthly reports be instituted so that we
know how many rental properties are
registered and how many have been inspected?

Will garbage, trash, still continue to be collected at properties that have not paid the requisite fee? Last year 75 percent of us were pulling the whole load and I think that's wrong and I'm still not convinced that this is not a tax. I mean, this is a tax and not really a fee and I

would like to know what the administration's 1 2 policy is and if you can influence it such 3 that only people who pay for their trash be removed have it removed. 4 5 MR. ROGAN: I could answer that, I do know that all garbage is picked up. And 6 7 I agree with you, I think just like any 8 other bill if somebody doesn't pay the bill 9 they shouldn't receive the service. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: And it's actually in the ordinance. Okay, I've got many more 11 12 sheets, so I'll see you in the coming weeks 13 and just, Mr. McGoff, how is the -- any 14 progress on my February 5 questions before I submit more? 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: No. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Is there anyone else who wishes to address council? 19 20 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. 21 MOTIONS. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler? 23 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, 24 Mr. McGoff. After last week's meeting I 25 wanted to get more information concerning

the businesses leaving Scranton, so I met with Mayor Courtright and I also had meetings with Bob Durkin of the Chamber of Commerce and Leslie Collins of Scranton Tomorrow.

Mr. Durkin described the efforts that the Chamber and past Mayor Doherty and Mayor Courtright made in keeping Vac Serve and Diversified in downtown. I'm satisfied that the efforts were sufficient and the final decisions were made by large corporations who were looking out for their bottom line.

I spoke with Ms. Collins and her group, Scranton Tomorrow, still continues to do their work even though it's a smaller role than they had in the past. They continue to try to help our downtown. Her group brings together many downtown businesses to discuss influence business retentions and they also are working on plans for changing downtown that retains our current companies and attracts new ones.

As we heard today, our downtown is changing into more of and additionally it's

a neighborhood section, so some of the things that we have to look at as we go forward will be to incorporate a neighborhood setting into downtown. The days of it being the office central perhaps that has passed, I don't know. Perhaps we need some smaller offices that can cooperate more with the apartment-type settings that we are going to have downtown.

people, several issues came out that are things that we can work on right away to improve our situation downtown. The one thing that I heard was that the parking garages need to be clean and safe. There are concerns that that is not happening right now. We also need to complete the wireless Internet that was started several years ago and I don't believe to this date that the downtown is totally wireless yet.

As Mr. McGoff brought up a couple of weeks ago, we need to fix the traffic signals downtown so it makes it easier for people to drive in downtown and also be able to walk the streets. There also are

problems with the downtown streetlights.

Some of the people aren't comfortable coming into the downtown because it's not lit well. These are solutions that we can all work together. We need the city and the county and the state and private individuals to sit down and decide these together.

Mr. Gaughan asked the question about who was responsible, and I put a lot of thought into this and I thought about it, and I know for myself as an elected official it's my responsibility to work with everyone to try and maintain businesses and attract them. But it's also the responsibility of the administration and the Chamber and Scranton Tomorrow and the businesses that are in Scranton right now. We keep saying that we are optimistic, and we are, but we do have to work together to make these changes happen.

In addition, this week Mr. Gaughan and I attended the North Scranton

Neighborhood Association meeting in concerns to the Rockwell bridge. Bill can go on to some of things he got out of that meeting.

22

23

24

25

Something that did come up is that the neighbors in that area are concerned about response times from the fire department and from ambulance services. I did speak to Chief DeSarno about that and he is confident that the fire department has a handle on that, but in order to give a peace of mind to the residents of north Scranton, he has committed to me that he will attend a meeting of the North Scranton Residents Association. And once again, this is something that Chief DeSarno is very willing to do and I appreciate him getting back to me so quickly and also making this commitment to the residents of North Thank you, Mr. McGoff. Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. First I want to answer a couple of questions that were posed to this council from some residents. The first one was regarding whether or not I was, and I don't know if it was posed that each one of us individually or the board, if we are committed to Mr. Amaroso's plan, and I concur with what Councilman McGoff said

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

that obviously we have to give it a lot of thought and consideration, but the plan has to be formalized first before we could get an answer on that, whether it's something that we would support or oppose it. Likely there are parts of it that many of us will like parts of it, parts that we won't, but it's definitely, you know, what we are definitely looking forward to see it's exactly what's in this plan.

And I encourage what some of the things that were mentioned, specifically regarding trying to increase PILOTS in a different way. Instead of going to the University of Scranton or Lackawanna College or Marywood and asking for a check for a million dollars to go into the general fund, by asking them to give \$250,000 over the next four years to buy a new fire truck for the station that closest serves and facilitates everyone else around that facility, it might be a lot easier for the city to obtain PILOTS. We could do the same for police cars, for road paving, and really build up the city's infrastructure, which is

in dire need of improvement through PILOTS instead of through the general fund, and by doing that we increase the quality of living for all citizens in the city, and it reduces strain on the general fund because we won't be paying for those items out of the general fund like we would be.

Next, regarding the questions that Mr. Jackowitz posed, I believe the only one that was pertaining to my committee was Boscov's whether they paid the loan, and I did report last week that they did not pay that the loan that is owed to the city.

I know there was also brought up about the pothole repair machine, and this is something that's been brought up many times over the five years I have been on council, and from my investigation a few years ago what happened with this machine was there was material left in overnight and it froze and that's how the machine broke, and I believe it is sitting delipidated down at the Sewer Authority currently. I could be wrong, but that's what I recall from a couple of years ago regarding that pothole

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

machine and that's why we haven't seen that out for many, many years.

So that's those items. And finally, just again to talk a little bit more about on one of the comments that was made that struck a nerve regarding people coming into the city, and I think I have said this a lot over the last few years, and there is a lot of great people in the City of Scranton. Scranton doesn't have the population that it once had. Scranton once had over 100,000 people and when you have 100,000 people paying taxes each one of those people has to pay a lot less money. When you have 70,000 people paying for the same amount of square miles, more structures, more garbage, each individual person is paying more.

By growing the city and encouraging good, hardworking people to come into our city and have a family here that's how we grow. And to say that people that coming in are leeches and vultures is completely inappropriate and it's not the right image that the city wants to propel to perspective residents.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Compared to many cities in Northeast PA, Scranton has safe, strong neighborhoods and that needs to be a priority. I don't get nervous walking down my block at night to go for a walk. I wouldn't do that in the middle of Wilkes-Barre, and I think many people in Northeast PA feel the same way and those residents that want a safe community to live in, but like the city atmosphere, the convenience of having parks and having a professional fire department and a good police department, that are living in Wilkes-Barre and in cities like Wilkes-Barre we invite them to come into Scranton and have a family here, and when we do that we are increasing population and the more people will have to pay less.

That's what I'm getting at, and it really did strike a nerve when one resident did say that about people coming in.

But all in all regarding the proposal, the preliminary proposal that was brought up tonight, like was mentioned by I think everyone, much are things that we already knew. Obviously the pensions are

going to be the bigger long-term struggle for the city. Obviously, the \$22 million Court award is a short, a big short-term struggle, but it was optimistic what Mr. Amaroso said that the unions are negotiating with the city on that, and once that's taken care of we can move onto the pension, which will be a big long-term issue and it's not unique to Scranton from the read that I have done, so I am optimistic. I'm looking forward to Mr. Amaroso's final report and that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm going to take a pass this evening. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. Just a few comments. The first one, I did attend the North Scranton neighborhood meeting on Monday along with Councilman Wechsler and there was -- the number one concern, obviously, was the Rockwell Avenue bridge, so I am committed to updating those residents as news comes through, but right now it's tentatively scheduled to be

complete in the Fall of 2015.

There was number of the other concerns from residents, and I have them recorded here, however, I was not able to this past week to get to them due to an illness, but I will reach out to our DPW director in the next few days and try to get them taken care of.

Just a comment on Mr. Wechsler's comments that the efforts of the Chamber and the administration were sufficient to keep those businesses downtown. That very well may be, but I didn't know what those efforts were and I think they should be made public and I don't think anybody should be satisfied that those businesses left the downtown, took 200 jobs, and went up to Montage Mountain. I'm still not satisfied, I never will be satisfied about that.

One of them, Diversified, goes into a Chamber-owned building. That doesn't satisfy me and it shouldn't satisfy anybody and maybe I just wanted to see a little bit more outreach in that.

And finally, I would just like to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wish everyone a happy St. Patrick's Day. I hope everyone has a safe and fun Saturday downtown Scranton and just that we remember, those of us who have Irish heritage, that we march in the parade, we march because we are Irish and we're proud because at one time we couldn't march. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. A couple of First, I would like to comment on the caucus that was held prior to the meeting with Mr. Amaroso. Mr. Amaroso was hired through the Chamber of Commerce and through private donations to the Chamber of Commerce. His help to the city is looked up upon by many as a very favorable component to dealing with our problems. Does it mean that we are not able to handle our problems ourselves? No. It's simply means that we recognize that some of the problems that exist within the city, especially the financial problems, are ones that require I'll say extraordinary measures and in going out and bringing in one to help with those problems I believe is a great asset.

As far as Mr. Amaroso himself, it

seems as though one of the traditions in this chamber is that if we somehow disagree with someone or their position that the easiest thing to do is to denigrate them personally and I think that that began to happen this evening.

Mr. Amaroso is a highly respected individual, but just to give you a brief resume of Mr. Amaroso, Chairman of the Catholic Charities of Newark and honored by the Diocese of Newark for helping thousands of underprivileged people in that area.

Called by Mayor Booker of Newark and extraordinary asset to the city when he went as a financial consultant to the City of Newark. Director of the New York Medical College. CEO of St. Vincent's Catholic Medical Centers. Board of Trustees of the Cancer Research and Treatment Incorporated, and an associate professor of legal studies at Seton Hall University.

I think that that resume speaks for itself as far as the credentials of a man coming to, you know, offer assistance to the City of Scranton.

The presentation that was made tonight, was it a presentation of the things that we knew? Yes. In many cases it was. I think what Mr. Amaroso has done for us or is in the process of doing for us is quantifying some of those problems, identifying exactly what it is that is the financial situation of the city. What is the situation of the budget and so on, so that we can adequately deal with those problems and I think the presentation tonight started to do that.

We saw kind of more solidly what those numbers were that we're looking at and what financial -- what needs to be done or can be done to deal with some of the financial problems that we have. Was it a final report? No. I believe that this is going to be an ongoing kind of eumorphic-type of process that as we identify problems we will deal with them and come up with ways to deal with the problems.

Also, as was mentioned by

Mr. Bulzoni, there are ongoing negotiations
with various entities to deal with the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems that we have. This is not something that you can say this is how it's going to be going to done and move forward. We need to negotiate some of these issues and it's going to take awhile to deal with the many problems that were elicited by Mr. Amaroso and others, and hopefully to answer, as I did before, are we going to support the recommendations of Mr. Amaroso? I believe that all of us will look at what is recommended and it's not something that you are going we are going to have to do as a, you know, one blanket vote and say, "okay, let's go ahead and support everything," this is going to be a process that as things are presented to us we will deal with them individually and some of the recommendations may be things that we feel are beneficial to the city, there may be things that which we disagree and we would rather approach it in a different way.

So we look forward to working with the administration. We look forward to the process of a dealing with the problems that the city has and hoping to resolve it.

Through the course of the years that we are here, again, it's not something that is going to take place overnight, but I think we are all dedicated to dealing with those problems and to finding a resolution, whatever that resolution may be. And that's all I have for this evening. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT WEBER,
2309 PITTSTON AVENUE, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE
PLANNING COMMISSION FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON. MR. WEBER WILL BE REPLACING JOHN
F. KENNEDY WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY
7, 2014. MR. WEBER'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON
DECEMBER 31, 2015.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? It should be noted that Mr. Weber has presented a resume to the council prior to this being introduced.

All those in favor of introduction 1 2 signify by saying aye. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 7 The ayes have it and so moved. 8 9 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 10 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 11 12 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 13 14 31. 2014 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 15 ENTER INTO A COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN 16 THE CITY OF SCRANTON ("MUNICIPALITY") AND 17 18 THE UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF 19 NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA ("ORGANIZATION") IN ORDER TO FILE AN APPLICATION FOR 20 21 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE WITH THE COMMONWEALTH 22 OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND 23 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("DCED") FOR KEYSTONE 24 FACADE GRANT FUNDING FOR SOUTH SCRANTON ELM

STREET PROJECT, FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014.

25

1	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Community Development?
4	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Community Development, I
6	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
22	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -
23	RESOLUTION NO. 32, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF
24	WILLIAM LAZOR, 677 MARY STREET, SCRANTON,
25	PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE
	ll

	00
1	BOARD OF THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH &
2	WELFARE AUTHORITY. MR. LAZOR WILL FILL THE
3	UNEXPIRED TERM OF WALTER RANAKOSKI, WHO
4	PASSED AWAY JULY 30, 2013. MR. LAZOR'S TERM
5	WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2015.
6	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-B.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
23	Any other business? Once again, I'd
24	like to wish everyone a Happy St. Patrick's
25	Day, a happy parade day, and please

		69
1	celebrate responsibly. Motion to adjourn.	
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.	
3	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER