	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, February 27, 2014
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	The state of the s

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

7 JOHN LOSCOMBE

8 JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the minutes
14	reflect that Mr. Loscombe did call and say
15	that he was not feeling well and will not be
16	at the meeting this evening.
17	Dispense with the reading of the
18	minutes.
19	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
20	MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD
21	MEETING
22	HELD JANUARY 22, 2014.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE CITY

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 26, 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Any clerk's notes?

MS. REED: No, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Just one. This is a repeat, but next week in council chambers at 5:30 Scranton City Council will be having a public caucus on a Senate Bill 76 Property Tax Reform. We encourage everyone to attend and this listen to the speakers give their presentation on Senate Bill 76. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? A couple of announcements. First of all, there will be a public hearing conducted by DCED dealing with the grant proposal that was sent to DCED that was approved by council. This hearing will be on March 17 at 1:30 in Scranton in the council chambers. That's a public hearing on the grant proposal March 17 at 1:30 in council chambers.

The other things two things: First

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of all, the St. Patrick's Day parade is coming quickly. It will be held on March 15, that's the Saturday before St. Patrick's Day and encourage people to attend. It's a great event.

And the other one, the first Scranton half marathon will be held on April It's run entirely through the City of There are -- well, they maxed out Scranton. at 2,500 runners for the race. I know they are still looking for volunteers if anyone would like to participate as a volunteer, you know, you can contact me, I can put you in touch with the people that are running the half marathon. I'm sure if you went to the Scranton Running Company that they too could put you in touch with people if you wish to volunteer. It looks like it's going to be a great event for the city again. That's on April 6.

And that's all I have. Fourth Order.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from
Lackawanna County Community Relations
Department. To begin this evening, my
thanks for accommodating me a little bit
later in the month then I normally speak due
to the weather, as I'm sure we have all had
changes in our schedules, personal and
professional. I've had to change a couple
of things this month in order to try and
accommodate our services as best we can in
the 12 municipalities in Lackawanna County

so great to see you again even it's a little

bit later than normal.

To begin this evening, just as a reminder, all of the council members and also the mayor should have received a copy of this letter in the mail. We would just like to remind you of the Lackawanna County Municipal Arts and Culture Grant Program which is an operational again for the 2014 calendar year. And the Municipal Arts and Cultural Grants provide \$500 worth of funding to all 40 municipal governments in

Lackawanna County for them to provide arts and culture programming during the calendar year for their communities. A very simple process to apply for.

We have the information here in the letter and it should also be in the letter you received and we encourage the city to apply. You have got the whole calendar year to submit an application, the department just asks that you apply approximately 30 days before any programming that the city plans to do in order to apply time for all of the paperwork and the check to process.

But it's a great program with funds available to benefit the community and we would love to see the City of Scranton apply for something during the 2014 calendar year, and if you need more information about it please free to ask me after the meeting or contact me.

The second item for all of the weather bugs out there, the National Weather Service Office in Binghamton, New York, will offer a free basic sky warning training class. This is for the national weather

service spotter program that they can activate for the severe weather in the city. Most of us want to stay inside in adverse weather, but there is some folks that like to be out there watching and looking and this is a training course designed to provide them with the skills to do that when severe weather hits and that way you can be an asset to the National Weather Service.

The program takes places Wednesday, March 5, from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. at the Lackawanna County 911 Center in Jessup.

Classes for beginners or anyone that has a general interest in the weather, no previous experience or training is required and there is no cost involved to attend the program.

In order to RSVP, which they ask that you register in advance, feel free to contact the National Weather Service in Binghamton at area 607-729-1597, extension four, or you can also e-mail BGM.SKYWARN@NOAA.GOV.

I know the National Weather Service also has Facebook and Twitter accounts for all of their agencies across the country so if you just look up National Weather Service

Binghamton, Facebook or Twitter, you can also find information on the program.

Last, but not least, the county's Area Agency on Aging has asked us to pass along details of some of the programs and services that they can offer funding assistance for qualified individuals in Lackawanna County with regards to in-home care options. This includes areas that include home delivered meals, adult DayCare, in-home personal care, personal emergency response systems, something similar to a Life Alert or an alarm that would signal if someone was injured or disabled, and then also chore services, such as non-medical in-home care.

To qualify for these options you must present a need or be financially eligible. Some cases may qualify for partial eligibility. For more information, contact the Lackawanna County Area Agency on Aging at 570-963-6740 or First Referral System at 570-961-1234.

That's all we have for this month.

Thank you as always, members of council.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Hetman. Prior to the next speaker, I just did want to mention one thing, on the agenda, Item 6-A for procedural reasons Item 6-A is going to be tabled this evening due to the need for a public hearing and some changes that are needed in the legislation. So if there was anyone speaking to 6-A there will be a motion to table it. And that is all. Thank you. Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city resident, homeowner and taxpayer. I couldn't make it last week, I want to start off by saying my thoughts and prayers are with the family of Bill Connell. I met bill about eight years ago working several different political campaigns together, got to know him very well, got to be close friends with Bill. just worked together helping to get Mayor Courtright elected, and I am going to miss him dearly. For a person who was a non-politician he was a wonderful gentleman as was evidenced I heard his viewing, which unfortunately I couldn't attend, I had to

work, I heard there was, like, there was a line for three and a half hours outside including Senators Casey and Blake, so that's a testament to Bill Connell what kind of gentleman he was, and I am going to miss him dearly. Rest in peace, Buddy.

Okay, moving on. Councilman
Wechsler, last meeting I attended I asked
you what the status was with trying to get
money to pay off the court award to the
firemen and policemen and you said I should
call the business administrator or the
mayor. Well, the previous Finance Chair I
asked him that same question and he had
answers for me whenever I asked him. The
last time I asked him he had an answer right
away and he said, "Well, we are working with
Amalgamated Bank," and I forget what else he
said. He had an answer for me.

I mean, I met you at several political parties, you asked me for your vote, and that's the answer that I get I have to call the mayor or the business administrator. I don't think that's right.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Spindler,

1 actually what I suggested you could also contact him. That's all I said. 2 3 MR. SPINDLER: Yeah. but I think it's your duty to work for the taxpayers. 4 5 We elected you and --MR. WECHSLER: I agree with you. 6 7 MR. SPINDLER: And I hope you are 8 going to do a better job of working for the 9 taxpayers. 10 MR. WECHSLER: And I am doing that. 11 MR. SPINDLER: I hope so. Do you have any answer on that question? 12 13 MR. WECHSLER: No, I do not. 14 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. MR. WECHSLER: Well, I could tell 15 16 you this, I am working with Mr. Bulzoni on a 17 regular basis. I am communicating with the 18 mayor, I am communicating with Mr. Bulzoni, 19 as I said, and the information travels back and forth. Is anything different or has 20 21 anything been settled? No. 22 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you. Ι 23 know two weeks ago the meeting was cancelled 24 because of a snow storm and it was on a 25 Saturday, but there was a meeting before

that that was also cancelled. Why was that, Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: There was a problem in posting the agenda that was -- and there was -- since we couldn't post -- did not post the agenda we could not have a meeting on Thursday and it was difficult to find an alternative day at that point in time. We weren't sure if we could get it. That was in the midst of the last snow -- one of the snow storm, and so it was thought that the best thing to do was simply to cancel it and wait until the next week.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. That snow storm was Tuesday night, it ended Wednesday morning about 9:00, so I don't think there was any reason we couldn't have the meeting on Thursday.

MR. MCGOFF: What I'm saying is you have to have the agenda posted 24 hours in advance and it wasn't, and so we could not legally have the meeting.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. All right. I want to talk about everyone's favorite subject, the potholes. I know Main Avenue

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is PennDOT's responsibility. I know it's just a mess. I know under the Railroad Bridge that they were filled in this week, thank God. You can barely move under that bridge, but there is one that I know is the city's responsibility, at the top of the Court Street where you go under the bridge where the expressway goes over, I think it's the 1200 block, it's coming out of the Tripp Park development. You make a right and go underneath. There is a hole there you could lose a small car in that pothole. That's how big it is. It's unbelievable. You have to go around it. If you go through it, I don't know if you will get through.

And I understand the city just received a load of the coal patch today, I saw the story on the news, but they were in South Side on Cherry Street so I help we can get that one taken care of.

When the weather breaks I will come back with my annual problem of my property.

You know, Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff, you know I come here year after year after year.

Right now when the weather is so bad nothing

can be done there. I will be back again asking for help and see if this DPW director will be cooperative, unlike the last one.

Thank you for your time.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.

Mrs. Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

I want to speak about the mayor for a little bit here. People in Scranton, some people, elected Bill Courtright to be the mayor. So far I don't see anything he is doing except hiring people to do the work that he should be doing.

He has a business administrator so he went and he hired Mr. Amaroso, I believe his name is, to be a financial wizard, and then he wants to hire Ned Abrahamsen as a lawyer for the Labor Relations. Well, we always had one lawyer, maybe they had an assistant, but they got a paid a salary. They didn't get paid by the hourly so it's on the agenda for a four-year term to get paid by the hour. That could be astronomical. I think it's absolutely

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ludicrous he is taking care of his friends.

People have to remember it's the same Ned Abrahamsen that had the credit and collections that fined all of these people thousands of dollars, some people lost their homes because of all of this.

Bill Courtright is just taking care of his friends. He has these grants coming now, he is circumventing the budget from The council from last year with last year. Janet Evans and Frank Joyce they did not allow for these raises in the administration that they are asking for now. Bill Courtright didn't open the budget, he is doing it this way by getting a grant, which taxpayers still pay for. When did anybody that you know ever get a \$26,000 raise a year? That's totally -- we are a distressed city, but Bill Courtright is making sure all of his friends and cronies are getting a He is creating a position in the raise. Business Administration Office for \$36,000.

We don't need this. Not when we are distressed and the taxpayers are going to lose their homes with the taxes this year,

but yet Bill Courtright wants to take care of his friends? He didn't have the guts to open the budget to do it the right way, he had to do it through council.

This Dave Bulzoni, this guy that's the business administrator, he's the same man that worked -- same man that worked for that Landmark Bank that got that loan approved for \$2.3 million for the Parking Authority, but it never came in front of the council for approval. How is this even legal this loan? And the Landmark Bank is suing the City of Scranton for this money. I don't know if the city really has to pay that money back.

And, Council, you have the power under Home Rule Charter, Section 112 and 113 to investigate how this loan ever got approved. You have the right to do this, Council, and I think you should investigate how this loan came about, how Dave Bulzoni and Mayor Doherty and anybody else that's connected got this loan to go through and not have it come through council for approval. It just didn't so I can't see

where the city has to be liable for this.

So I really wish that you would investigate this. Will you please consider this since you have the power to do this? Because Bill Courtright will say, "It's my friend Dave, I'll be sure that that bank gets -- and you have to wonder why did Landmark Bank let him go? Why did they let him out of his employment? I have to wonder about that one.

Let me ask you this, I want to ask you, Mr. Wechsler, and each one of you.

Mr. Wechsler, why did you think it's necessary to have the caucuses in the back room? I don't mean when you are just meeting there by yourself, I mean when people like OECD or Geisinger came in last week, people like that, when somebody comes in --

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:} \mbox{MR. MCGOFF:} \mbox{ I'll answer the } \\ \mbox{question.}$

MS. FRANUS: I'm asking Mr. Wechsler.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff} \text{MR. MCGOFF:} \quad \text{It was my decision.}$

MR. FRANUS: I'm not sayi8ng it

wasn't, but I'm asking them how do they feel 1 about that? Why do they feel -- I know you 2 3 might feel that way, but I want to know how each council members feels about having the 4 5 caucuses in the back room whereas before they were always out here in the public for 6 7 transparency. Mr. Wechsler, why do you 8 think it should be in the back room? 9 MR. MCGOFF: Would you care for me 10 to answer? 11 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, please. 12 MS. FRANUS: Mr. McGoff, I would like to ask --13 14 MR. MCGOFF: Well, Mr. Wechsler has deferred to me because it was my decision. 15 16 MS. FRANUS: So he doesn't have an 17 opinion --18 MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, do you want 19 an answer or --MS. FRANUS: I want an answer from 20 21 Mr. Wechsler, Mr. Rogan and --22 MR. MCGOFF: -- do you want to argue? 23 MS. FRANUS: No, no argument, I'm 24 asking a simple question of elected 25 officials. Why do they feel the caucuses

should be in the back room because people can't see it in the public? I don't have a right to ask that question of Mr. Gaughan, Mr. Rogan and Mr. Wechsler.

MR. MCGOFF: And I'm prepared to answer it.

MS. FRANUS: For them?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: You speak their minds?

MR. MCGOFF: No, but --

MS. FRANUS: Unless they all ---

MR. MCGOFF: It was our joint decision to do this, so if you want an answer I will provide it.

MS. FRANUS: So you can tell me how Mr. Rogan and Mr. Wechsler and Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Gaughan feel about it? Go ahead. Tell me how each one of these feels about how they don't want it in these chambers so the people at home can see what's going on instead of in the back room. Go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: Traditionally prior to the last council there were caucuses held half an hour before ever meeting. That had been a time honored tradition, I would say.

1 When Mrs. Evans became president for some reason, which was fine, she decided not to 2 3 have them. MS. FRANUS: She wanted to 4 5 transparency. MR. MCGOFF: She decided to not have 6 7 a council -- a caucus of the council. 8 MS. EVANS: She decided the --9 MR. MCGOFF: In the four years that 10 she was president we did not have one. 11 MS. FANUCCI: When anybody came --12 MR. MCGOFF: Caucus of council. 13 MS. FRANUS: That's not what I'm 14 asking, Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: I'm trying to explain 15 16 the process that we --17 MS. FRANUS: I know the process. Ι 18 also know that when anybody -- I'm not 19 stupid, I came to all of these meetings. 20 When anybody had anything to say during 21 Mrs. Evans' term, four years, OECD, any 22 department head, any person that wanted 23 business in front of council they came out 24 in these chambers and it was broadcast and 25 there was complete transparency, and any

time somebody didn't want to go in front of the cameras Mrs. Evans didn't allow them.

She said, "If you can't come in front of the people and speak openly then I don't want you to speak."

And that's the way it should be so basically what you are saying is you want backroom deals, so --

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:mats} \mbox{MR. MCGOFF: That's not what I said,} \\ \mbox{and thank you, Mrs. Franus.}$

MS. FRANUS: It's indirectly what you are saying, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Dictatorship by you again.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Just like to address the issue of the caucuses here, I guess it's become an issue here. I haven't been here for quite some time, but apparently last week there was an issue with the procedure of the caucuses. You know, supposedly the new procedure these days is the caucuses take place in the back room. You know, when

I did become aware of that I will say I was quite, you know, baffled by that decision and definitely disappointed and discouraged by that.

I have here with me today a copy of the Sunshine Act I'm sure we all know what that is or I would certainly hope we would if we are in these positions, basically to summarize what the Sunshine Act does is it gives the public the ability to view their government in action without, you know, backroom procedures, smoke and mirrors, you know, a lot of what I think backroom meetings lead to are things that are kept away from the public.

And, yeah, we could talk about how nice it is that the public is still allowed to go back and partake in any caucus that may take place, but it just doesn't send the right signal to the people, the viewing audience, the people in the community, people that come here to see their government basically, in other words, trying to, you know, prevent the public, so to speak, from partaking in what goes on in

government.

25

1

And, you know, whether we want to talk about what was traditional or not, you know, what we saw here in the previous council for four years was any public hearings or caucuses, anything that had to do with city business always took place in this chamber because there was one thing that the previous council knew, real simple it's not complex. These cameras that are all in these chambers here they don't lie, and I think we all need to know that that this room right here is about transparency and openness, and when we conduct business in the back room all it does is send a mixed message that we are trying to hide something from the people whether we are or we are not, but that's the message it sends, and I think that was probably one of the biggest mistakes this new council made was depriving the people of the transparency and the open government that they are entitled to so I would think -- I would recommend that we go back to the procedure we had because it worked, it allowed the viewing audience to

see what goes on here because, as I said, the cameras don't lie, nothing is hidden, and those that are discouraged are afraid of the cameras, well, they don't have to come here, but nothing should take place in the back room and if that's where we are going to go I guess we are going back to the old days of smoke and mirrors and politics and rhetoric.

But let me move on, I have quite a bit here, I'd like to get into the agenda this evening, I believe it's 5-B in regards to executing into a four-year contact with Abrahamsen, Conaboy and Abrahamsen. Just, you know, I think what we are doing here is we are just going to playing the political crony game, that's all we are doing here. Real simple. We are playing political cronyism, favoritism by awarding a four-year deal to Mr. Abrahamsen. It's no secret he was one of the biggest contributors, supporters, and if we can all recall was the chairman of his transition team talking about Mr. Courtright, and now tonight we want to approve a four-year deal.

I mean, how comical can this get?

And now we have the audacity to put something like this on the agenda is just an insult to the residents of this city. You know, and we want to laugh it off and snicker and make a mockery of it, it's not. It's a joke. It's another Carl Greco deal, somebody leaching off the taxpayers.

Millions and millions of dollars that were squandered with Carl Greco, that's all we're going to have here with Mr. Abrahamsen. It's a political deal and it shouldn't happen and this council shouldn't stand for it.

I don't care how close you are with the mayor, we talked about cooperation, I have heard enough of the cooperation and if that's some major accomplishment. The city faces serious challenges. I'm not interested that after the meetings we can go all have a drink together, we could all go sit around the camp fire and sing kumbaya. We got problems and we are still all waiting for this grand miraculous plan that the administration and that the council has to

save this city.

So instead of turning around and patting ourselves on the backs and shaking hands and, "That a boy," we get along, that's our job. We are making it as if it's some major accomplishment that we can miraculously all cooperate with department heads. That's what we're supposed to do. That's why we elect you people to do that.

So instead of going prancing around and being, you know, it's some major accomplishment, let's address the serious issue. Let's not have to bring this consultant in from New Jersey to show us how to put two and two together, that we are so incompetent and incapable of putting our own budgets together, of figuring out ways to make money for the city, it's so difficult we need outsiders to come in and do it.

Now, we need another financial advisor. I mean, it's absolutely ridiculous. We truly are a laughing stock, and that's why I come down here because I see what goes on here and it just completely comical and, you know, I take it serious the

issues. But, you know, there is just no creativity in our government and that's a real problem.

And lastly, I just wanted to discuss the last few days I was in Cleveland, Ohio and taking a look at some of the things they do in their city, you know, in the past we discussed the University of Scranton --

MR. MCGOFF: Your time is up.

MR. MILLER: If I could just have one more moment, if you don't mind,
Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Quickly.

MR. MILLER: Appreciate it. We talked about in the past skywalks, you know, around the University and things like that, and one of the things that they have in in Cleveland all throughout the whole city are skywalks, and you are cutting back on the pedestrian traffic, you know, when you talk about the safety and a lot of times the disregard that a lot of the pedestrians have you alleviate that and that's why I think we should -- I suggest that we put an ordinance together to demand or force a traffic study

by the University, all of the universities, that way we have a not singling out just one, to do a pedestrian traffic study and see how it can definitely help us in the long run by investing in skywalks. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton
Taxpayers' Association. First, I wasn't
here since January 30 and at that meeting
you extended the discount period for the
city taxes. I want to thank you on behalf
of the taxpayers for that, okay? I'm
guessing it will probably take them that
long to get out of the shack before they pay
it.

The other thing is that a personal friend of mine was one of the persons appointed to the Parking Authority, and I know this gentleman for 40 years and I worked with him and I know his family, his wife, he raised a lovely family, a good man, good God fearing man, and he was criticized for liens on his property and I want to defend him because I think the man would

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have made a good asset to the City of Scranton.

Third thing I want to thank you for having the caucus with Chuck Liedke from the Pennsylvania Realtors on House Bill and Senate Bill 76 for the elimination of school property tax, Pennsylvania Taxpayers' Independence Act. We have been tootin' that horn for a long time and I'm glad you are meeting because of the fact it leads us to the instant situation of people losing their People lose their homes because homes. taxation. They lose it through foreclosure, and they lose them as they did last Monday through the judiciary sale of delinquent properties.

were continued to March 24, that's for them come up with the money, and they just got their new tax bill for 2014, God bless them, I hope they can get it. 58 went into the repository. When it goes into the repository it means it's put there and anybody can buy it.

Let me just point out something with

the repository, okay? Here is a property here that owed \$15,023 and it's being sold for \$5,000. It's being sold because they eliminated all of the penalties and interest.

That leads me to what I have been trying to address you on January 30 and I seen Mr. Gaughan moved the needle a little bit in regard to amnesty. I think that's a very necessary thing in the City of Scranton. There is going to be another upset sale this year, another 540 properties, that would mean that one-third of the properties in this city, nonprofits, non-producing -- non tax producing and with all of the people delinquent 60 percent of the property owners are probably rowing the boat for the City of Scranton in regards to paying taxes.

You know, when you have properties
that are condemned and you see them
demolished using federal money, okay,
federal money is taxpayers' money, where
that money if you have a structural engineer
and go out and see if that property was able

to stand a rehabilitation why demolish it?
Why not even sell it to a contractor for a
dollar and let them rehabilitate it? We
demolish it, we have vacant lands.

I come down from Gibson Street and just tonight I happened to notice 12 years ago I asked the mayor at that time, Mayor Doherty, for this land when I was with Habitat for Humanity to build a tax producing property. He says, "You got it."

I couldn't get it through. I couldn't get it through. That property is still vacant there. So what I'm saying is that you got to start looking at these properties, start looking at them. If they are not buildable, start talking to the people, start talking to each neighbor and trying to see if each neighbor will take them. Even if they don't pay a tax at least they will get the blight out of the area.

This demolition -- this demolition money could be used, federal money could be used for police and the neighborhoods. It just shouldn't be happening. \$12,000 wanting to put a lien on a property. So

what I'm saying is the fact that if you are going to have these properties go into a repository, why not amnesty? Why not amnesty for the people? Give these people an opportunity to pay. Forget about the penalties and the interest, give them a certain specific time to go ahead and pay their delinquency and keep the city on the tax rolls and not demolish them and cause them more blight.

And, Mr. Gaughan, I hope you follow-up. I want to leave these papers with you, if I amy approach you? The are repository list and the list of properties at the upset sale or in the judiciary sale on Monday. Thank you very much.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
Mr. Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, and Amil and
Mrs. Reed. February 19 I faxed ten
questions to Scranton City Council. I was
wondering if we had any answers on the ten
questions that I faxed on the 19th of

February? I have a list of the questions right here, I'll go over them.

February 1 has come and gone, what's the status on the North Scranton Junior High School building? I know we still have until the 15th of April.

Number two, what's the status on the Dickson Avenue project? I see it's pretty much at a standstill.

Number three, what's the status on the Scranton Lace project? I see that's pretty much on a standstill.

What's the status on the replacement business for Bon-Ton at the mall? Is the mall current on its repayment of its loans?

Number five, how much money did the city lose because of the snow storm and the downtown streets not being plowed? Should have been plowed during the evening hours.

Number six, what's the status of the \$3 million that the Hilton owes the city taxpayers?

Number seven, why do we continue to hire more consultants and still give appointees like the business administrator

and mayor huge pay raises when they need consultants to do the jobs for them, plus taxes were raised 56 percent, garbage bill raised 69 percent.

Why has the garbage not been picked up for two weeks? The snow storm was a one or two day event. My garbage was finally picked up yesterday after four weeks. I would like to congratulate Mr. Vitris, the dispatcher, who finally got a truck out to our neighborhood after four weeks. We had four weeks of garbage piled up in the alley, the 1400 block of Hertz Court.

Mr. Gaughan, I really hope you can get me an answer for that, you are the DPW guy. I really would like an answer and explanation as to why that block was neglected for four weeks, okay?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Number 9, was is the status on the Supreme Court award?

Mr. McGoff addressed that or Mr. Wechsler addressed that earlier. I guess we are still at a standstill and we have no idea

and this has been going on for how many years now? I think it's time somebody comes up with an idea, and somebody come up with the money and let's get this off the back of the Scranton taxpayers. It's been going on for too long. We're in year 22 now I believe.

Number ten, when will the parking garages be repaired and the homeless removed from the living in the garages and other city-owned properties? This is another problem. I think we have about \$10 million in repairs that need to be done in those garages. Is it ever going to be done?

And remember, taxes were raised 56 percent, so I think as taxpayers since we are paying the bill I really think that we need to get some results and I just don't see the results. I see the same old mistakes being made over and over and over again. We are hiring the same people, the same law firms, the same attorneys, and it seems like if you are not politically connected you are not going to a get a job in the city.

And, you know, we are allegedly hiring the best qualified and we are giving some people pay raises, but yet we still have to go out and hire consultants, I don't understand that. If you hire a consultant to help someone do their job then all you are doing is paying more money, more taxpayers' money to get the same job done, but the job doesn't seem to be getting done because we are still distressed. We still have the same problems that we had 22 years ago, 12 years ago or two years ago. The only difference is the problems have gotten bigger and have worsened since then and it's time.

I mean, myself, and many other people in this city are aggravated and they are just tired of it. We want results, okay? We have waited long enough. When are we going to get the results? I mean, people approach me all the time. I don't why, but they do, and they ask me, I tell them I don't know. I said all I can do is write letters to the editor, come to city council and try to explain my point of view to city

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council and to the residents who are listening and hopefully people are listening, but we need results.

It's not a laughing matter. I see councilmen up there snickering and laughing, and I'm not saying anybody is doing it right now, but I have seen it in the past, okay, from previous councils, so far the current council I haven't seen it, okay, but I have seen it. That's because I haven't been coming on a regular basis, but anyway, it's time. It's time. We need answers.

I would like to know why we need to hire all of these consultants. Is it because the city workers are overworked? Ιs it because the city administrators and the managers are overworked and have too big of a workload? Is it because we have incompetent people? Is it just because they are not doing their job? I would like answers to that question. I would like to know why we have to hire consultants. know, why do we have to bring someone in, we just -- we are giving the business administrator a pay raise, we just gave the

mayor a pay raise. Why do they need consultants? If they need consultants then they should have never gotten a pay raise.

I would like answers to these questions, I really would.

And I sent these questions to the mayor's office, also, so hopefully someone one day will answer my questions.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz.

Ms. Hodowanitz.

MS. HODAWANITZ: Joan Hodawanitz, city taxpayer. There were two very interesting articles in today's paper, one refers to the Composite Pension Board, "Board Seeks State Waiver on Pensions.
\$180,00 Penalty isn't Expected to be Forgiven."

And the second one was, "AG," that's the Attorney General, "Pensions Can Cripple.

Depasquale Calls for Changes."

And they are related articles. I
have noticed that in today's minutes you
received the minutes of the Composite
Pension Board meeting for January 22. Could

you tell me, please, are those meetings of the Composite Pension Board open to the public or just to city officials?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: They are the open to the public, okay, because I would be interested in what's going on with that board. I'm not saying that they are necessarily doing anything wrong, but I am saying that as a taxpayer if I'm the one that's going to have to be picking up some of these penalties and interest down the road, then I'm really interested in what's happening with that board, what their reasoning is.

For example, are they expecting to make future pension contributions on time or are we looking at another year of penalty and interest? What is their investments strategy? I see Mr. Hazzouri is looking at a more aggressive investment strategy of 50/50 stocks and bonds. I have this bad feeling that this is going to be one of the sucking chest wounds financially that the city is going to be facing and if that's

true this is going to be hitting me and other taxpayers in the wallet not too far down the road.

One of the things I would suggest if it's possible is maybe it would be a good idea to have this pension board attend a public caucus here in chambers where they could explain the status of their funding because I think they are unfunded and what their weight ahead is and how they see themselves on getting a handle on these pension liabilities.

According to this other article, the combined pension board has a deficit of something like \$113.6 million. That's a lot of money and we could be digging a deeper hole year by year, so perhaps you could consider that. This is more than just a pay raise to the mayor or pay raise to the business administrator, this is something that going to be a major impactor on every taxpayer in the city so for what's that's worth perhaps you can look into that.

That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Let me just -- I spoke and said that they were open to the public, I believe they are simply because the press is in attendance at the meetings, so I would assume that they must be open to the public. If that was wrong I will inform you.

Is there anyone else who wishes to speak?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxpayer.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Last week I brought a little note on the sewer plant and I'd like to bring that subject up again and on the one side it had, "Water policy boosts sprawl," but part of the water policy is that thanks to our legislature in 2012 they passed the state law that allows the water companies to bill you for a gated community and luxury cul-de-sac and are paying three or four dollars month currently towards their maintenance of their sewage treatment plant.

And on the other side, which, I mean, I pick my life-style after 25 years

the country wasn't cutting it, why should I have to pay for a gated luxury community sewage treatment plant somewhere else?

And on the second side it says,
"Don't privatize the sewer system," it was a
letter to the editor, and I pointed out that
the rates in Coatsville are double. They
are owned by the PA American Water Company.
There were bought out and they pay about
\$900 a year, I pay about \$400 give or take a
few.

And the reason I keep expressing this concern is now this week there was an article in the Scranton Times that Dunmore is Opposed to any sale of the plant, and before a lot of decisions get made and assumptions get made that this is okay, it's not okay with the me and I intend to try to get the Taxpayers' Association in on a conference some time after the elections in the spring and hopefully we can get an authority on the river that I have in mind.

Now, on Comcast, 10, 12 years ago we talked about a digital divide in this country, how does the person that loses an

13 result
14
15 what
16 thro
17 Some
18 cost

even fairly good job, you are lucky if you get \$250 a week, how does a person such as that pay all of their bills with limited unemployment available and turn around and pay \$176 a month for computer service?

Well, the computer side and phone is about \$85 of it, and the digital divide keeps increasing. You cannot get a job even with -- you send them an e-mail, a resume, and they send you back an e-mail, and if you don't have an e-address you don't even get considered. They won't even accept a paper resume from you.

So in relation to this, I don't know what could be done about it, but it's not throwing up our hands and saying, "So, so?"

Somewhere we have to start to lower the cost, and if it takes everybody, I know I get criticized a lot of times from the audience and at meetings of bringing about national issues, but it's a small world after all. It's a very small world and currently Comcast is talking about buying out Time Warner so there is going to be one provider for the whole country and if you

can't afford to pay the bill you just won't get a job or you'll get a job wherever you can walk in the front door which is only a handful of places that actually do this.

And I'll try to wrap it up after this, I forgot my glasses so I'm not really full of it tonight, but in this book "The Fine Print" David K. Johnston, what began as an effort in Kentucky to help a single company in Appalachia raise capital by keeping state income taxes withheld from its worker's paychecks has grown to more than 2,700 companies in many states when this book was published.

Now, I might add that many of these are foreign corporations, Chines, Japanese, European, and with all of these taxes being handed out why isn't anybody talking about not putting a stop to it. It's ashame.

It's something I have to get out, but it's, like I said, it's a small world after all.

By the way, Pennsylvania is doing this so, we are talking about raising taxes for schools and everything else, and they are giving it away out the back door, why

not? Thank you and have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

Anyone else who wishes to speak?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, Mr. Rogan brings up that House Bill 76 and Senate Bill 76. I was here when the state came up and gave the presentation on it. This is what you got to face, all of your food will be taxed except WIC, and God knows at what percentage. That's one thing. Your state income tax will rise.

Now, as a renter you get nothing.

You get hosed. They because you got to pay
for all of that and get nothing in return.

Who is the big winners? I told you this
before, businesses. As you know, you had
the real estate people here. Sure, they
plan to make a killing. They could say,

"You don't have to pay these taxes, look how
low it is."

These are the people that are going to get something out of this bill. As far as the individual, no, I can tell you what's going to happen. Same thing that happened

with the income tax -- not income tax, your social security. That was supposed to be free. You are lucky now if you get it partway free.

And there is so many other things that the government will just turn their back on to get money to pay for the schools. They have no way of really doing this in a smart way. You have to start with the schools before you find a way of changing the method of funding these schools, but the sit there and ask every renter to take a hit, no, that's not an America. That's the haves attacking the have nots.

have we gotten that bad? I guess we have. So many special interest groups are out there, thank God for Senator Blake. I believe he got the right idea. This, as it is written, is not a good bill. And don't say it's there to help the senior citizens like I keep telling Ozzie. They can pass a bill tomorrow to say everybody over 70 is exempt from school tax. They can do that. Did they ever do it? No. Did they have any intentions of doing it? No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a -- I would say, look it, as you know Scranton is in trouble, they would grab a great portion of that tax that we are now paying the school, we know this, and we also know that the school tax will go up and up and up because there is no way they can control it. The pensions are enormous. We are on the verge of collapse everywhere with the pensions because they never looked at it. There is no reason -- I couldn't collect a pension until I was 55. Why the heck should all of these other people get off getting 20 or 23? There is no reason for it. That's why your pensions are in trouble. If you want to get full pension, you should work to put money into that pension system, not just take it out.

And these people want to council on this went out and bought time so they get the pension, that was another act, and then you wonder why pensions are in trouble?

And then you have people saying you have medical care for the life. You can't afford that today. They knew it, why do you think the government says you can't write

off your medical until it exceeds a certain amount? Because they knew what was going to happen. Nothing happens by chance.

Understand that. There is no chance

involved when it comes to mathematics. They know exactly what's happening, they know exactly how it's progressing, and they know how it's going to end up. I don't think you are going to do a darn thing for this city.

I can sit there and say you are not going to do nothing. We are going to end up paying higher taxes. There is no way out of it. You can't borrow at 9 percent, what is it 12 1/2 million, 22 million and another 4 or 5 million at 9 percent. Forget it.

You're just not going to be able to do it anything and that's how it's going to end up. We are going to go through these four years when Billy is there and very little is going to be changed because nobody is going to change. Nobody has the gumption to say, "We got to attack the nonprofits."

Not really attack them. They got to pay their fair share and until you are going out there and trying to do that, you can't

change what's going to happen. Look at the path. Look at the path that's it's following from when Mr. McGoff came on seven years ago or eight years ago. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Than you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. Marie Schumacher. I don't have a whole lot tonight because my computer and I are barely on speaking terms still so it will take a little bit yet before I'm ready to go.

But, you know, it dawned on me during the silent reflection today that you should also be including those who were born this past week because we are leaving them a terrible world. We are leaving them a pile of debt, and I think it's really sad, so I would suggest you might want to start adding those who were born as well as those who leave us.

The neighborhood summit that was held on Tuesday, that really confused me. I thought it was to become -- it was a first step toward creating a council that would

meet like quarterly of all of the associations. As a matter of fact, just about the time the meeting was started, about a half our before the meeting was starting, I had a call from our neighborhood association president and I said, "Well, aren't you going to the 5:30 meeting --" or the whatever 6:00.

And he said, "What meeting?"

I said, "The one downtown."

He knew nothing about it. And then I read in the paper the next day and understood it was only for communities that have neighborhood watches. So I think better communication of what that was, what the intent was and if they are to be held in the future and possibly include all of the them and let them not come if they don't care to.

And down here, the half marathon, did I understand you correctly, Mr. McGoff, the City of Scranton is getting the proceeds from the half marathon?

MR. MCGOFF: Is getting?

 ${\sf MS.}$ SCHUMACHER: The proceeds from

.

the half marathon.

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't say that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, you said it was going to benefit the city, and I didn't know if you meant indirectly or I thought you meant into our treasury.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No? Thank you. I would also like to suggest an open caucus with the city engineer on the signalization project that seems to have gone astray.

When it was announced I thought it was going to have sensors that if nobody was coming other way the light would change and all of those wonderful things. Instead, I find myself falling asleep at the corner of South Washington and Lackawanna Avenue waiting for the light to change on Sunday mornings.

So I think it would be nice to have the city engineer in and maybe even the person at PennDOT and maybe prior to that ask what the community thinks of it and get questions to you and let us all hear what happened.

And then is the East Mountain Fire

1 Station scheduled for receiving the needed 2 had capital improvements this calendar year? 3 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry? 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: I said is the East Mountain Fire Station scheduled to receive 5 6 the capital improvements that are required 7 there this year? 8 MR. MCGOFF: I do not know that. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Whose bailiwick does that fall in under. 10 11 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Loscombe is public 12 safety, but as far as I know, I have not 13 seen any plan for doing that, so I don't 14 know. MS. SCHUMACHER: So speaking of 15 16 that, my questions from the 8th of February 17 how are we doing on those? Are they getting 18 anywhere close to completion? 19 MR. MCGOFF: I'm working on them. 20 I'm sorry. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. 22 MR. MCGOFF: There are one or two 23 that I am having difficulty. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, maybe you 25 could do it in installments then. Again, in

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addition to the others who have spoken on 5-B tonight I also have a question on that, I don't understand, first of all, did the RFP cover four years or was it for a single year? Did anybody look at the RFP for it?

MR. MCGOFF: I can look in the backup here. I'm not sure but --

MR. GAUGHAN: I think it was four years, I'm not almost positive.

MS. SCHUMACHER: In the RFP? says -- okay, and four years seems excessive What does our Human Resources person to me. I thought the Human Resources was to deal with the labor and employment issues? I just think it's excessive. I have more questions, I guess I'll bring them back next week, but I would like to give, if I may0, before I leave the podium, give Mr. Rogan a chance to his answer to the question last week on whether or not Steamtown Partnership have paid the installment that was due last year and the status of getting the loan information publically?

MR. ROGAN: As of now, it's still not for public release.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, I guess I'll have to see what HUD has to say about that and then I'll wish everybody happy shoveling this weekend.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. McGoff. just want to touch a little bit on the neighborhood summit that was conducted the other day. These meetings actually have been going on probably for about a year. It's kind of been a focus of the crime watch groups. Chief Graziano has kind of been the lead person on this. Neighborhood Associations are invited to attend. It's open to any neighborhood group. At the current point some of them are more active than others, but it is held on a quarterly basis and I believe if you -- the dates will be posted on the city's side through Chief Graziano's offices, and everyone is invited to attend.

The meeting that was held on Tuesday was kind of a different source. Several of the cabinet members from the new administration were there. They were open to any kind of questions that they had. The meeting was limited because there was only an hour of taping time reserved in the chambers here, so that's why it was only about an hour long.

But there are going to be ones in the future and the group is looking to expand and it's up open to all the neighborhoods.

I just want to touch on a couple of things that were mentioned tonight at the meeting. I think the fact that the city council and the mayor are having open dialogue is a tremendous success. In the past couple of years it hasn't happened and we are only in this for about eight weeks that we have been sworn into office and the communications is flowing back and forth. Information, ideas, and I know right now I'm frustrated, too. We are not seeing a lot of progress. We don't have a lot of answers.

I can't tell you where the settlement with the unions are. If I said I did, I had an answer to that, I would be a liar and I won't do that.

I know from myself that I am in communication with Business Administrator Bulzoni probably on an every other day basis, I'm in contact with the mayor and the answers just aren't available yet. They are just not. We are trying to get our financial house in order. We are making some progress on that and just to give out answers I couldn't do that right now.

The other thing I want to mention, all of the council members we get correspondence through many different ways now. I get calls, I get e-mails, I still get snail mail at my house, and I try to respond to every question and every issue that I have, but the one thing that I would request if you are going to send information to us, please sign your name to the letter. The reason being that it's not because I want to know who you are, the reason I would like you to sign your name is so I can get

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back to you.

I received a letter from a person who did not include their phone number with the letter so I was able to look up their phone number and call them back to speak to If you send us a letter, maybe I don't understand exactly what you are saying in the letter. I do need to speak to you some times. Every letter that we get is looked at. It's not -- if it's not signed it goes in a different pile. Some of the letters that we have gotten that aren't signed have a lot of legitimate issues to them, but just sign your name. I won't make it public. It will stay with me and it will make it easier for us to get responses back to you. That's it for now, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. First,
just a housekeeping item, I would like to

make a motion to table Item 6-A.

MR. MCGOFF: Second?

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. ROGAN: And as Councilman McGoff mention would at the beginning of the

meeting, there is going to be some legal language changes on that and it will coming back shortly from the law department.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of tabling signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just two items I want to talk about, one is
Mr. Sbaraglia brought up some points about
Property Tax Reform and whether people believe it or not property taxes is also included in somebody who rents. When you are paying rent to a landlord they are going to make a profit on it. If they weren't, they wouldn't be in the landlord business, so by eliminating the property taxes, I wouldn't say the renters would see a decrease in rent, but you would think the increases wouldn't go up at the same rate

they are with the property taxes.

But one point that was brought up that I do agree with it has to start with the school districts. The school district needs to stop spending so much money. If you look at the city's budget and the services you receive in the city compared to the school district, you see that the vast majority of your tax dollars are going to the school district, and the wage tax that you pay, that 3.4 percent doesn't all come to the city. 1 percent of that goes to the school district, approximately a third.

On the property tax end, the school district is taking the lion's share of your property taxes, then the city and the county are the next two in proper portion and I know, unfortunately, the property tax bills aren't divided that way. When you get your bill the city wage tax, 3.4 percent, only 2.4 percent is actually going to the City of Scranton.

And regarding the property taxes,
it's split between I believe county and then
city and school are together, and of that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city and school portion the city receives a much smaller portion than the school district does, so that is a good point that the school districts do need to begin to save money ever way they can.

But I do encourage everyone, supporters, detractors and those who haven't made up their mind about property tax reform to come next week and listen to the panel and the information that they will provide and how it will effect taxes in the State of Pennsylvania. It really is the biggest issue going on in the state right now and a city like Scranton would be a prime example of the folks that could really be helped by this bill passing with how high the school property taxes are and with the large population of senior citizens who own their They own their homes outright and they write that check every year, it's quite a burden so it's definitely something that I believe will help.

Next, one other item, I did meet
with representatives from PPL. They are
reaching out to elected officials throughout

the state to talk about some of their new plans regarding making electricity more reliable and try to cut down on outages and the length of the outages, and one thing they mentioned was that for residents in areas especially with a lot of trees, if you notice a tree on your property that's hanging overpower lines you can call PPL with a 1-800 number that's on your bill and they will send a crew out to assess the situation. If it is in danger of falling they will remove that at their own cost.

They are getting away -- they also relayed that they are getting away from, and I know you will see if you go down in certain neighborhoods where you will see a power line and a "V" basically cut into a tree to let the power line go through, they are getting away from that, trying to make it more visually appealing for the neighborhoods so that is just one thing for residents who have large trees and their power lines to think about. It's free, it's no cost to you, and it would also save our Department of Public Works money for

whenever storms happens when these trees fall onto roads and our guys would have to come out on overtime to take care of that.

That is all I have for now. I'll hold my other comments for the votes. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thanks. First
I'd like to thank Mr. Quinn for those
documents and just to let you know that I
have been in discussions with our Business
Administrator Mr. Bulzoni about the tax
amnesty program. We both think that it's a
good idea, but we are discussing the
logistics of the whole thing, so that is in
the works and thank you again for that.

Secondly, just one follow-up on what I spoke about last week, as everybody knows or if you don't know we're supposed to have another snowstorm Sunday into Monday. I am strongly recommending that the city create an implement a snow emergency plan of action, and I think we are in desperate need of it. I have reached out to the

administration to have a meeting and discuss the plan and, as I said, I think it's imperative that we move on this.

I would hope that the mayor in the event that he does declare a snow emergency, number one, notifies the media and the public; and number two, does something about the downtown, either bans parking downtown during the snow emergency, I think one of our options is to reach out to the Parking Authority to offer free parking or parking at discounted rates to get the cars off the streets downtown and allow the DPW to get in and do their job without any trouble.

I also recommended last week that we take a look at what Pittsburgh did, they created a winter weather emergency resource guide. It's accessible on their website and it's something that we should do and create and implement. Basically it's a welcome from the mayor to have four phases of winter weather emergency. It basically shows you what the DPW does. If we get one to three inches of snow, here is how the city operates. I think this informs the public,

let's them know what the plan is and if makes things a lot easier, so that's something that I am recommending and I hope Sunday the mayor does do that if we declare a snow emergency. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Very briefly I would like to continue my response as far as the caucus is concerned. We, as a council, felt that it was in our best interest to hold a caucus prior to every meeting. The purpose of the caucus is to share information among council members and to prepare us for that evening's meeting.

If there is an issue that we feel is important enough to hold a caucus in council chambers, we will do that, as we are doing next week, but we feel that we have taken a step forward by having a caucus every week, whether it's of any importance, I think that for the public to come to most of the caucuses it's pretty boring that all we do is discuss what's on the agenda and how we are going to proceed that night.

We are not trying to conduct any

business surreptitiously, we are trying to help one another so that we can operate more efficiently. And again, the public is invited to be part of the caucus, to be there in attendance while we have this meeting, and they are at half an hour prior to the meeting, so 6:00 prior to every meeting.

Just the neighborhood summit meeting, just to add to what Mr. Wechsler said, that was not a meeting scheduled by council. I know we spoke in council about something of that nature. This was a meeting, this meeting was scheduled by the crime watch groups and we were -- there were three of us in attendance, but it was not a meeting that we as a council scheduled, just so that -- we had nothing to do with announcing it or making it public to or making it known to the public or to the neighborhood associations.

And also for information sake, also to add to what Mr. Wechsler said, there are ongoing negotiations to deal with all of the financial issues that are facing the city.

Some of these negotiations require that meetings be held and things discussed that are not available at this point in time to Some of these negotiations are the public. sensitive, but I know that Mr. Wechsler has been in contact with Mr. Bulzoni. I, too, have been in contact with him. I have attended any number of meetings in which we have been told that these -- that I have been told that these negotiations are ongoing and that they are looking for resolution to some of the financial issues that face the city, in particular, the payment of the arbitration awards.

And also, in the past was asked about the SAFER grant. There was a meeting held on Wednesday, I believe, yesterday, with members of the fire department, the mayor's office and also with council and there are ongoing efforts to pursue a renewal of the SAFER grant that cannot be done until the application -- the actual application period is not until some time in the summer, but there are efforts to have that renewed, just to answer some questions

that people had about the SAFER grant.

And that is all I have at this point in time. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES CONTRACT WITH THE LAW FIRM OF
ABRAHAMSEN CONABOY & ABRAHAMSEN, P.C. FOR
LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LEGAL SERVICES FOR A
TERM OF FOUR (4) YEARS.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. McGoff, just to touch on something that was mentioned from the podium, Mr. Abrahamsen is not going to do not personnel matters. This contact is for contractual matters involving the city.

In terms of the line item in the

1 budget where this is coming from, it's actually in the solicitor's budget. There 2 3 is a line item in the solicitor's budget for special services, and that's where this 4 funding for this contract will come from. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? I should 6 7 also note that the firm of Abrahamsen, 8 Conaboy and Abrahamsen was the low bidder of 9 I believe seven different law firms. were the low bidder and it is within the 10 11 purview of the mayor, the administration to 12 choose the service that they feel is best 13 for the city and being the low bidder I 14 believe that they have been made a wise decision. 15 16 All those in favor of introduction 17 signify by saying aye. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 19 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 23 ayes have it and so moved. 24 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A has 25 been tabled.

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 1 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR 2 3 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 28, 2014 -4 APPOINTMENT OF EMANUEL JOHNSON, 1007 SCRANTON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 5 6 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL 7 RECREATION AUTHORITY. MR. JOHNSON WILL 8 BE REPLACING CAROL OLESKI WHOSE TERM EXPIRED 9 DECEMBER 31, 2013. MR. JOHNSON'S TERM WILL 10 EXPIRE DECEMBER 31, 2018. 11 MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the 12 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 13 passage of Item 7-A. 14 MR. ROGAN: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 15 Ro11 16 call, please? 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
2	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted and
3	congratulate Mr. Johnson on his appointment
4	to the Recreation Authority.
5	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
6	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -
7	RESOLUTION NO. 29, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF
8	TIMOTHY PERRY, 2325 BIRNEY AVENUE, SCRANTON,
9	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD
10	OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY.
11	MR. PERRY WILL BE REPLACING PETER RIEBE
12	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010. MR.
13	PERRY'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 4,
14	2015.
15	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-B.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

F	
	72
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted and
7	also congratulate Mr. Perry on his
8	appointment.
9	Any other business? Entertain a
10	motion to adjourn.
11	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting is adjourned.
13	Thank you for your participation.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER