	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, February 20, 2014
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JOHN LOSCOMBE

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

PATRICK SCANLON, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	LACKAWANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
17	SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION
18	REPORT RECEIVED JANUARY 24, 2014.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed. Clerk's notes?
21	MS. REED: Nothing at this time,
22	Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Do any council members
24	have announcements at this time?
25	I would just like to make note that

Attorney Pat Scanlon is present in the absence of Attorney Minora and will be serving as the interim solicitor at this time.

MR. SCANLON: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: On tonight's agenda, the new ordinances, 5-B is an ordinance that would be a zoning change for Audobon School which has been -- Audobon School has been closed, it's across from Geisinger Hospital. The zoning change would allow for the purchase of Audobon School by Geisinger Hospital and for that use. We'll speak more of it when it is presented.

Also, there are two appointments that for two different reasons, 7-B and 7-C, the intent is to table both of those. One because of the death of Bill Connell, who is being appointed to the Scranton Parking Authority, and the other the appointment of Paul McGloin who withdrew his name from consideration. And that is all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Also, Mr. McGoff, I'm sorry, I did have one announcement.

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

1

MR. LOSCOMBE: Geisinger Blood Center in cooperation with the Scranton Fire Department will be conducting a blood drive on Tuesday, March 11, 2014, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. and that will be in Engine 7 at 1917 Luzerne Street and it will be Geisinger's donor coach they call it, it's like a bus, where they take the donations. To schedule an appointment please visit their website at www.Geisingerbloodcenter.org and click on make an appointment, but as always, walk-ins are more than welcome. And that's all I

Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'

MR. MCGOFF: First speaker on the

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Good evening.

Good evening. I have a couple of items I want to discuss tonight. First of all, the garbage, my garbage hasn't been picked up in two weeks, and I called DPW the other day and the man that answered

said that, you know, they will get to it when they get it, and hopefully it will be this week. So I told him that everybody that had their garbage piled up way above their garbage cans, and he didn't believe that. And I said not just that, but the animals are getting in there and they are ripping things apart and I said, "What do you say to that?"

And he said, "Buy more garbage cans."

That was his response. So I think there is no excuse for this. We are paying a \$300 garbage fee. I do not think -- my next door neighbor has bags today when I pulled in, her whole bag was ripped to shreds with the animals. Meat wrappers, peanut buttercup wrappers all over the street because of this, and that's just one house.

Another thing, Mr. Gaughan, I don't agree with what you stated last week, I believe, or about the amnesty for the taxes. Let's put it this way, what I should do and every person in this city should do is like

right now I put money away every week for my taxes, okay, every month, and then at the end of the year I have it. So I think what I'll do is and everybody else should do is we should put money aside from our taxes, so we'll have it, and then don't pay the taxes. Let it go for like three or four years and then maybe you could come up with this plan again and then they could say, "Okay, "Just pay your taxes for the last two years and we'll forget the other three."

It's not right. I'm paying my taxes and so are many others. Why should other people that maybe owe taxes for five or four six years only have to pay two and we'll just forget about the last four? That is not fair to everybody else that pays taxes because if that's the case then I won't pay my taxes and then I'll come back and say, "If you can do it for them, you can do it for me. I want amnesty, too, for the last five years."

So that's not right. What you should is just take the penalties and the fines off them, but make them still pay all

of their taxes. If you want to take the 1 2 penalties and fines that's one thing. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Can I respond? MS. FRANUS: Stop the time here. 4 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Just I want to say that is what they do. They don't -- you 6 7 don't -- they don't forgive all of your 8 taxes. It's generally only the interest and 9 the penalty. 10 MS. FRANUS: It didn't say that in 11 the paper, it said you were going to forgive the past years. That's what it said. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Well, that's not what 14 I meant. That's not what --MS. FANUCCI: Well, believe me, it 15 16 didn't say penalty and interest, it said 17 previous years will be forgiven and just pay 18 like the last two. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Fay, in all honesty 20 we discussed this and that's exactly what he 21 said, he was just looking at the penalties 22 and stuff. 23 MS. FRANUS: Well, that's wonderful 24 if that's the case, but the paper didn't put 25 that, they put past years, okay.

1	Now, another thing, I just heard on
2	the way over here Steve Corbett was saying
3	that the city now when you want a
4	Right-to-Know you have to fill out a form;
5	is that true? A city form or something for
6	the Right-to-Know?
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I believe so.
8	MS. FRANUS: There's a city form you
9	have to fill out.
10	MR. MCGOFF: I believe so.
11	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
12	MR. MCGOFF: I believe there is
13	a form that you have to fill out.
14	MS. FRANUS: But that's the only way
15	you can get a Right-to-Know request in?
16	MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?
17	MS. FRANUS: Is that the only way
18	you can get a Right-to-Know request in?
19	MR. MCGOFF: I believe so.
20	MS. FRANUS: Well
21	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know.
22	MS. FRANUS: Steve Corbett was
23	talking to the head of this in Harrisburg at
24	the time on the radio on the way over and
25	the law, state law in Harrisburg, says that

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you can send a Right-to-Know by e-mail, you can call, it's right in the law that you can e-mail the request in. You do not have to go and use city forms. They said that Harrisburg -- the state law supersedes the city law that might change that.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MS. FRANUS: So if anybody wants a Right-to-Know just e-mail or call in or whatever you want, but you don't have to fill out a state form.

Another thing, I came to this meeting tonight into the caucus, I was here like five to six, and I was told that I could not go into the back room until the caucus started at 6:00 and in the back room before 6:00 there was four councilmen, which Now, I may be wrong, but I is a quorum. will definitely check into this and I will get back to you on this, as will Marie Schumacher, because we were told that it's against the Sunshine Law any time there is more than two council members to meet it's a quorum. Mr. McGoff told me outright it is They have a right to meet with not true.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

more than two people and we are not allowed back in there until after 6:00 when the caucus started, and I don't agree with that. For all we knew there could have been a meeting back there, we don't know what's going on. They were sequestered in there. The public was not allowed in there and there was more than two council members, there was four of them. The only one missing was Mr. Rogan, so I do not think it's right.

And I also think the people from Geisinger were there discussing the tearing down the Audobon School. I think the people in the city that watch this council meeting should have had a right to see this here. Why is there no caucuses out here in the chambers anymore so people could see it? Why no transparency? Why the hidden agenda here? It doesn't make sense, and I think that should be changed because we got along much better when we had caucuses out here for everyone to see, not just three or four people.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Franus. 1 2 MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowantiz, 3 city taxpayer. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 5 MS. HODOWANITZ: Good evening. 6 regard to the appointments that the mayor 7 makes to various authorities, it is my 8 understanding that the mayor makes these 9 appointments and he does not require 10 approval by council; is that correct? 11 MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure -- in the past all appointments come before council. 12 13 MR. HODOWANITZ: I understand they 14 come before council, but if you didn't --MR. MCGOFF: If we vote "no" it 15 16 seems as though those appointments still 17 stand. 18 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, that was my 19 understanding. 20 MR. MCGOFF: That ours is a --21 MS. HODOWANITZ: Endorsement. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Concurrence. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, I guess that 24 might be the best word. 25 MS. HODOWANITZ: When these

nominations come before you, is there any indication from the administration that these individuals have been vetted in any way? You know, criminal background check, they have paid their taxes, they have paid all of their fees to the city or is it simply you have received a letter of intent that, you know, they want to be on the board. What did you get?

MR. MCGOFF: We get a name.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. You got no assurance though from the administration that they have been vetted?

MR. MCGOFF: Other than the fact that we have asked for a resume from those who have been appointed, no there is no assurance, and I do not believe that by law the mayor needs to do that.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I understand that.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm just saying that everybody seems procedurally what they should do, I think what are you suggesting is there may be a better way of --

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Of doing it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: The administration honestly has only been, you know, in for a month or so they are new, but having had this one embarrassing incident in the last week I think it would be behoove the administration to do that bit of homework so there is no public embarrassment to the administration or to the individuals being nominated, because I will tell you there is going to be people like me and other people, you know, who might inadvertently catch something and there be an embarrassment, and that's unfortunate. It shouldn't become a public issue that way. Hopefully the administration won't do that a second time.

And also these people do represent me as a taxpayer whether they are a sitting on the board and getting paid or it's an unpaid position. They are representing me, they are in a position of public trust and I think that's appropriate. When I went to work for Mose Taylor they did a criminal background check and a drug test, you know? It's just common sense.

And the second thing is yesterday

there was an article to the paper, "City to Hire Fiscal Expert." I guess this is an addition to Mr. Amaroso was hired by the Chamber of Commerce. Has the administration contacted any of the council members about this RFP so we can all work off one sheet of music and hopefully there will be no duplication of that or working of cross purposes. It may be necessary to have a fiscal expert to help with, you know, planning on preparing the city's creditworthiness and secure loans, but I'm kind of surprised, you know. I mean, how many financial consultants do we need? We are only into month number two.

Hopefully they are talking to you about this initiative because I suspect this is coming before council in the near future.

MR. MCGOFF: I don't want to take your time up, the hiring of the fiscal expert would be -- my understanding from communicating with the administration is that this person would be more long-term.

Mr. Amaroso was hired I believe for a six-month period.

1 MS. HODOWANITZ: Six-month period by the Chamber. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: The relief idea that the fiscal expert would be the one that 4 5 would be more long-term in dealing more with how we would -- or negotiating payments for 6 the awards and that type of thing. 7 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, hopefully 9 down the road they will also continue with 10 the budget process since Mr. Amaroso is only 11 here for six months and the budget is going 12 to go on forever. Thank you. 13 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. 14 Sbaraglia. MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 15 16 citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. MR. SBARAGLIA: You are right. 18 19 do need some type of a fiscal expert, but we 20 all know what the problems is, we don't need 21 somebody to state this is the problem. 22 problem is with the city workers and the 23 nonprofits, both of them are the problems. 24 So there is no way out of that. 25 Now, what you can do with it -- you

10

9

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't do nothing with the city workers for about three years. Usually the solution has been sell assets and raise taxes and you have done that very good, 100 percent raise in taxes. You saw what happened to our city assets. You did sell like the golf course, South Side Complex, that money was poured into a bucket, and I don't think you are going to do nothing unless you attack what we can do with the city employees or the nonprofits we're in line for more taxes, there is no way out of it. Whether you want to raise the wage tax, whether you want to go around and get all of this that you have been doing \$10 to put a sign up in front of your house that you are a handicapped knowing that state law says that anybody can park at that sign that's handicap.

This is what the city has been doing and you haven't you have been -- you have sitting there for four, some even seven years. You haven't solved one problem from the city. All you did was sit there and say this or that, but you didn't solve any problems. You have not gotten the

nonprofits to do much, and you are not going to get the nonprofits to do much until you look at something to attack them. They'll only respond if it's cheaper to pay you than pay somebody else and that's what you got to do. You can't sit there and keep raising the people's taxes thinking this is the way to go or selling Sewer Authority, parking garages or whatever. Ask them at one time we already did that and where it got you, deeper in debt.

I remember when they sold the golf course that money was supposed to go in a trust fund for the parks. Well, you know, Mr. McGoff, where it went because you took it out of there and put in into the budget so these things you have done but you haven't solve one problem. The problems are still there and will continue to be there until you find some way to get the nonprofits to kick up more money, and unless you do that, we are in line for selling more assets. I wish they sold city hall or raise the taxes or send out the amusement taxes that you seem to be pushing now. No wonder

why you are getting complaints from everybody. We are taxed enough.

I mean, when you always talked about the real estate tax, but the Scrantonians pay more than that. They pay a wage tax on top of that real estate tax. They also pay for the refuse another amount of money. All of these things you are playing on the taxpayers and the truth is the taxpayers' average salary is about \$27,000 they said. The average work energy in this city makes about \$27,000. The average public employee is probably up to about 37 or 40.

You got all kinds of the things written into them contracts. You can't do nothing about them now because they are in stone, but there is no reason for somebody to get a raise because they work a job for five years. They get a raise when they go up in rank, just like we did in the service. When a man gets a promotion he gets a raise. You give a person a raise just because they have been sitting on their -- in the services for five years or so, longevity, this, that, whatever. You have too many

perks.

when them people were making \$1.10 an hour they needed them perks, but they are no longer there. They are making more than the average worker that's paying for them. That's where the problem is and unless you get there and start working on these problems you are just going to just sit there and raise our taxes each year. That's all you can do because the money isn't there and isn't going to be there and what you do with the nonprofits, I don't know.

I told you one thing you should start charging for fire services, police service and whatever and break it down like we are for refuse, and I still don't know if every citizen that wishes to go through a private hauler can do it because University has their own hauler and if the individual taxpayer wants to hire their own hauler they should be allowed to do it. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia. Mr. Tobin.

MR. TOBIN: Good evening, gentlemen.

MR. MCGOFF: Good evening.

MR. TOBIN: Yeah, I'm back. Pretty mad. Very disgusted. I thought maybe things would change this year in January when we got a new administration, it hasn't. I was going down the street the other day and right over here on Mulberry Street a lady was trying to get across the cutout with a walker. Thank God she had somebody with her, because the walker went one way and she went the other because of snow, ice, that thick.

When I came back up down from downtown and tried to go across that street I gave my cart a little extra gas, the next thing I knew spun right around and was right back in the middle of Mulberry Street. I have complained twice to the mayor. The second answer I got from him is, "Well, I had them out twice cleaning it."

In other words, two times should be enough. I went to go on the bus the other day. You have ordinance I would like to read in case you haven't -- you have forgot what it says. I'd like you to pay close

attention because I'm going to ask some questions.

"The City of Scranton Code, Article 745 pertaining to sidewalks is hereby repealed. Regulation sidewalk is to cover exclusively by BOCA Property Maintenance Code. Section II, if any section clause or portion of this ordinance shall be held unconstitutional by the Courts, such decision shall not effect any other sections, clause, provisions or so forth.

Section III. The ordinance shall become effective immediately upon approval.

Section IV. The ordinance is enacted by the Council of Scranton on the authority of legislators April 13,1972 known as a Home Rule Charter Option Plan and other acceptable divisions under the law."

Now, I'll want you to pay close attention to this: "Whereas, by ordinance, the City of Scranton adopted the BOCA Property Maintenance Code whereas from time to time the City of Scranton has amended the code to tailor it's provision to the needs of the City of Scranton.

Whereas, the BOC Property

Maintenance Code needs to be clarified as it pertains to the removal of hazardous

substances from sidewalks.

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the Council of City of Scranton, the Section PM 303 of the BOC Property Maintenance Code as adopted by the City of Scranton is hereby amended to meet in it's entirety as follows:

All sidewalks, walkways, stairways, driveways, parking spaces, similar areas shall be kept in a proper state of repair, maintenance free from hazardous conditions. Stairs shall comply with the requirements of Section PM 304 and PM 702 for the purposes of this section the hazardous conditions shall include snow, ice and debris. Driveways, parking ways, parking spaces and similar areas.

Such hazardous substance must be removed within 24 hours after the appearance of such hazardous substance on the walkway, on the sidewalk, walkways, stairs, driveway, parking spaces and similar areas."

Down in front of what you used to be

the Globe Store, which is Diversity 1 Diversified Company now, they cleared the 2 3 sidewalk in front of their building I would say maybe 10 feet. That sidewalk is a hell 4 5 of a lot bigger than 10 feet. I tried to get on the bus, I 6 7 couldn't get up to the ramp to get onto the 8 bus because of the ice and snow. The driver 9 finally moved down onto Lackawanna Avenue, 10 stopped traffic to let me on. 11 Each one of you gentleman have taken 12 an oath to uphold the constitution of the 13 United States, the laws of the State of 14 Pennsylvania; isn't this a law? MR. MCGOFF: Yes, Mr. Tobin, it is. 15 16 MR. TOBIN: Then why aren't we 17 talking care it? 18 MR. MCGOFF: We are. 19 MR. TOBIN: We are? 20 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Well, how come it's 21 MR. TOBIN: 22 only --23 MR. MCGOFF: And your time is up. 24 MR. TOBIN: Let me finish, please. 25 MR. MCGOFF: We are trying to.

1 MR. TOBIN: How come it's only last two days that people have been able to get 2 3 on the bus with one of these things down on -- how come in front of the old Banshee--4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Tobin --MR. TOBIN: -- you can't get on the 6 sidewalk? 7 8 MR. MCGOFF: I can't answer those 9 questions for you. All I can tell you is 10 that the DPW makes attempts to comply with 11 all of the regulations. 12 Well, they are not. Who MR. TOBIN: 13 is responsible for these laws are passed or 14 obeyed? How much is a fine if you don't obey it? Go over in West Side. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Tobin, thank you. 17 MR. TOBIN: Go around the West Side, 18 the sidewalks over there are worse. 19 Mr. Rogan? MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Tobin, thank you. 20 21 We understand the situation. 22 MR. TOBIN: I am going to say that 23 you shall be turned into the federal 24 government for an investigation because we 25 should not have to put up with this.

can't walk very far.

Thank you.

their hand, "I solemnly swear."

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Morgan.

time -- this isn't the first year I have

complained of this issue. Mr. Loscombe

tried to help me last year. We got nowhere.

It's about time somebody starts doing what

they are supposed to do. That they hold up

MR. TOBIN: And I think it's about

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, counsel.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: And I want to discuss a couple of things here tonight. I have an analysis of the proposed -- well, House Bill 76 and Senate Bill 76, it's right here. The taxpayers had a meeting, there was a little discussion about that. I would hope that all legislators would vote against this Bill, and to be honest with you, the reason I think that needs to happen the school taxes aren't the only problem.

Recently, I have a sister who lives

in the city, I went out, I dug her car out of the snow, and I have numerous residents, not just seniors, but every day people like myself and probably even people close to the age of Mr. Rogan they pay anymore property tax. It's not just a problem on the school district level, it's a broad spectrum problem that includes city tax and county tax. There are a lot of people in this city that are totally disgusted on the situation in the city in regard to the massive property tax increase, but, you know, they better be ready for the next one that's going to come.

And the thing that's really got me troubled is all of the fighting over the Sewer Authority board members and Parking Authority, that's not our problem. I mean, unless we are trying to change these boards so we can sell these assets, and then my point is, you know, people ran for office, a nice gentleman just sat here and talked about when are we going to follow the laws that have been found that have been enacted by the government, I'm going to tell you

it's probably never going to be never unless it has to do with taxation. There is still fights going on in this country over the right to vote. I just think that when you create a class of people who get elected to an office with such a low voter turnout and when you have such a lack of the faith of the residents not just in the city but across this whole nation that have no faith in the people they elect, that this is what happens because we enact laws, they are never followed.

We have massive poverty in this country and we have a president trying to do a Pacific Rim trade agreement and fast track it. Here is the solution to our problem. This is only just a very small sliver. This is the walking list for Scranton, just a real small part. If every resident in this city went out and they voted, we could clean the judges out, the Lackawanna County courthouse, the ones that shouldn't be there that the Feds didn't come and take, instead they went after somebody who had no power. We could clean out the council, we could

clean out the governor, we could get rid of all the dead weight in government because, you know, the truth of the matter is this, just when you look at the City of Scranton we have made no are progress under the distressed status and, instead, we have gone further in and we have let people like the PEL tell us how great these plans are they have for us.

Now we are talking, I mean, not to single out Mr. Rogan, but I think I read in the paper where you thought it might be a good idea to sell the parking garages?

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. MORGAN: That's the most ridiculous statement I have heard in my life because they are worth massively more than that. Why would you sell an asset like that?

MR. ROGAN: We are losing around \$2 million a year.

MR. MORGAN: How much did they cost to build?

MR. ROGAN: We borrowed around \$48 million to build them.

MR. MORGAN: All right, so we have a \$48 million investment in the parking garage so we should just take what, somebody said they are worth \$21 million so the city should walk away from all of that?

MR. ROGAN: We are paying -- for every dollar in principle we are paying a dollar in interest. If we continue on the payment schedule we are currently on, that \$50 million is going to cost the taxpayers \$101 million.

MR. MORGAN: Didn't the council know that when he voted to build these garages and didn't the mayor know that?

MR. ROGAN: Well, I didn't vote for building the garages.

MR. MORGAN: I'm not saying you did, I'm not singling you out, but this government knew that those were the long range projection because I sat here and watched them discuss it and they knew the Parking Authority couldn't handle the debt that was going to have, so it's not a big surprise what's going on here.

We have a total lack of leadership

in this country from the very top to the very bottom, all right? We are exploiting seniors and forcing them out of their homes into high rises. We are going to talk about nonprofits and all of the other silliness that's taking place in this country.

We have massive unemployment in this country, and you know something, we are the laughing stock of the world. Not just here but across the world. When I was a child America was number one in everything. Everything. I can't think of one thing that we are number one in except our military so we have the ability to send our military somewhere and project massive power, but infant mortality, health care, poverty, it's ridiculous. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Anyone else who wishes to speak?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

council. Marie Schumacher, taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I know that I

delinquent in getting my questions in from
last Saturday's meeting because my computer
and I are having a few issues, but I will
get them in. Mr. Rogan, quite some time ago
you promised us a loan status and when are
we going to get that?

MR. ROGAN: I actually did meet with
-- and I reported on this I believe right at
the beginning -- the first meeting of the
new council.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's six weeks ago.

MR. ROGAN: I did receive a portfolio of the loans, but they were marked not for public release, but we are continuing to work on a plan to get some of the loans that are delinquent back paying again, whether it be through different incentives or through legal action.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, I don't mean to cut you off, but it's my time and that's not -- I mean, that's fine, that's the job of the office to do, but we have a right to know how much they borrowed and how much they are delinquent, and I would like

that.

For instance, did Boscov's pay the payment they had due last July?

MR. ROGAN: I was asked by Ms. Aebli not to release the information until these issues are resolved.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's public information. I mean, we are going the wrong direction here on visibility and transparency.

Also, there was legislation pending and was tabled because we were waiting for a caucus from the Steamtown Partnership. When is that issue going to be come back to the forefront? I know you don't have the answer now, but I would certainly like that one.

MR. MCGOFF: There is no scheduled for a meeting with Boscov's at this time.

MS. SCHUMACHER: What happened to the legislation that was tabled then? Did it just go through automatically or --

MR. MCGOFF: That was in 2013; correct? I'm not sure where that legislation is.

MR. ROGAN: It would have to be

reintroduced at this point.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe so.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then for those people who I'm sure all property owners by now have received the dollar and cents impact of the percentage versions of the budget from last year, and I would just like to encourage anybody who is having a problem paying their taxes to call Mr. Blake's office. Almost everybody, all of the legislators in this area have gotten behind some form of -- not just some form, but HBSB 76, and I don't ask them to lobby that, but if you are having a problem our legislators need to know that so please call Mr. Blake.

The RFP in today's paper for the city engineer with questions going to the Department of Public Works? When was this changed made? I thought the city engineer worked for the LIPS Department?

Okay, what line item is the financial expert -- the budget, where is the budget for the financial expert that's been advertised?

MR. MCGOFF: I would assume it comes

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under professional services, but I'm not sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But which department and which is there a budget there I mean, that's one of the issues I have is from the get-go we have been circumventing the duly passed budget. The current mayor had the opportunity to submit a revised budget but he didn't, and now we are doing all of these things and for that reason this grant, the PEL grant last year, again, back to Mr. Rogan, he said he had this letter from PEL and it was quoted, "Based on our analysis we make the following recommendations to the staffing and structure of the Office of Business Administrator: Establish the salary level of the Business Administrator at a level that will attract a qualified candidate, raise the salary level of the finance manager in order to recruit a qualified candidate."

I think we have proved, because we hired people at the beginning of the year without these increases. These increases

are not free except for this year. paper stated, I think, I don't know what the word they used was, but again, the transparency of being able to attend the caucuses, is one-third. We get 100 percent the first year, it goes down by a third by the second year, down by another third, we are going in the wrong direction people. We keep adding these chunks of money to our budget and where is the money to pay for Where are the offsets?

You know, it's very -- it's very discouraging to see what's happening. bring the rest back next week, but thank

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs.

Schumacher.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Back from a rather nasty illness. I'll bet you all missed me. I heard Jack was crying because I wasn't Now, a point of interest, and I keep

financial advisors that have been hired are in favor of it, we have here an article about water policy and the sewer plant, and on the right-hand side there is a letter from Food and Water Watch in Philadelphia all on how in Coatsville they sold their sewer plant and it resulted in a 400 percent hike in fees and these people are paying about over \$900 a year currently and I'm paying about \$400 a year or somewhere around there, give or take a few.

hearing more and more about it and how

And I keep pointing this out and if they do purchase the sewer plant or privatize it, they can stall, they can wannabee, they can go along, we are talking \$140 million, well, that's over 25 years and there were activists for the river who I know worked tirelessly to get that extended out to 25 years, so that's a little more than five or six million dollars a year that we very to put in the sewer plant in order to comply.

And if we took the sewer plant back
like we did the last time we would basically

be responsible for anything they failed to do with their lobbying and stalling and taking the money and putting it into their corporate coffers. So, please, please, really consider this. It may not be the wonder drug of the year.

And on trash I gave you another one of those, I'd like to point out that that's only going to save on tipping fees, and what we need to do is get people, the DPW workers, to start watching the trash and possibly have a situation where they could leave a note that these people -- that they certainly are not complying with trash removal properly.

And I heard something about bags when I was at home and paper bags and I certainly hope that that some day doesn't result in someone tossing their bags on my lawn. Personally, I think it should be per can if anything because bags get torn and they go all over the neighborhood. Jack and I have a mutual acquaintance cancer and a heart condition I seen him out there huffing and puffing away last spring cleaning up

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that lady's garbage, and it's all over the court. She takes it out, tosses the bag whenever she feels like it, 15 minutes after the garbage is hauled out she is tossing another bag there and the cats, feral cats, skunks, you name it are into it, it gets torn, it's all over the neighborhood. If that isn't blight I don't know what it is.

Now, Comcast, I heard it mentioned on Comcast getting a contract, my current Comcast bill for triple play, phone, internet and television is \$176. I don't know if they deserve a contract. I don't know what they deserve. They deserve a price cut. In France it's regulated. You can't even get a job anymore nowadays without internet service. You cannot apply for a job. So the point being, that it's \$40 a month for similar services in France under utility regulation, and here we are talking about a \$400 -- and I just got a note in with my bill that they may be raising the price again because certain stations want more money.

How about letting me choose what

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stations I want? I don't want the 700 Club.

I don't want Duck Dynasty, you know? And

I'm a old mountain man Anthracite hillbilly

from way back, but I don't care for people

that make disgusting remarks about other

people. I wouldn't watch it.

On these funds for experts, especially the one through the greater Scranton Chamber of Commerce, I have a question, it's became real concern of mine over the last about 50, 60 years ago, I'll make it quick, President Eisenhower's secretary/treasurer or IRS honcho changed the wording for a 501 (4) (c) from exclusively for nonprofit, must exclusively spend their money on public welfare to primarily, and that's what's all of this that you hear about the tea party wanting a break on their taxes, if I come to one of your rallies I have to earn 25 bucks, come up with 25 bucks to give you 20, they don't. You have massive corporate interest donating them money and putting it on their tax deductions on top of it.

So I'm really curious, it's to a

point where I'm starting to see so much maybe some of these so-called tax exempts should have their exemption lifted and it's time they start to pay.

Tonight there was some church complaining that there was snow wasn't removed. Sorry, Jamie, but what are they paying the city to remove it? Nothing. You know, we removed a lot of the snow with our giant snow blower. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else care to address council?

MR. CASEY: Good evening. My name is Paul Casey. I'm a resident of the 1500 block of South Irving Avenue. I want to thank Mr. Gaughan and Mr. Rogan for responding and following up, I appreciate that.

The issue is in the winter we barely get a plow on our side of the street. It's a double street, there is a topside and a bottom side. I'm just looking for a plow when it snows. The area that I'd like

plowed is 9 feet wide. I'm sure plows are a lot smaller. The excuse I get all of the time is we can't fit a plow up there. They put a plow up there this weekend after I complained often enough, so that would seem to take away that excuse.

The hill has two different angled grades, there is a flatter side and a more steep side. The Palm Street side is a little bit flatter than the Fig Street side so any runoff during the day will freeze at night making it more difficult to come down on the Fig Street side. I have come down it sideways hoping that traffic wasn't coming down figure street, so I'd ask for salt more often than is given at least on that side.

The mayor and Director Gallagher
have every reason to be embarrassed about
the way they these past two storms were
cleaned up. The weather is not an effective
snow removal tool. There are much better
ways to take care of the snow. Looking at
some of the comment section ideas in the
newspaper there is dedicated zones, assign
drivers to certain areas in the city. Work

them on staggered shifts. Tow cars that are buried in there. If they don't have time to shovel their car out take them off the street into make it easier for the crews to do their jobs.

People have asked for curb-to-curb plowing, I can't expect one lane on my street to get plowed, I'm not expecting curb-to-curb. I mean, I can handle digging out my own parking spots if the city would do their part.

Do the job right the first time rather than piling it up over time doing it over and over again. There is a cost savings right there. Don't let snow go unplowed until it becomes unmanageable. Check your intersections and your hills and make sure they are done and safe to get around.

If this director can't or won't do his job or direct his staff, perhaps we need another DPW director.

I talked to Mayor Courtright over the weekend, he claimed that there were a lot of calls about my block. I called

twice. If there is more calls than that, that's indicative of a larger problem affecting a lot more people. That should be taken into consideration.

Finally, unrelated to the snow storm, I had a couch sitting curb side since November. Right now it's buried under snow, but the crews know it's there. They took the love seat, they took all of the couch cushions and they are leaving the couch there. This couch now reeks of cat urine. I'm sure I don't even know what other animals are living in it. I have e-mailed about it, my e-mails have gone unanswered.

It's just -- I just want to get my two cents worth in and this current DPW director, and I know it's not the staff, they are doing what they can, but the director is not managing his staff very well at all.

I appreciate you guys following up with me and making calls on my behalf.

Thanks.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Casey, just a very quick question, does the bottom portion of

4	
1	Irving Avenue get paved or do they ignore
2	that as well.
3	MR. CASEY: They get plowed
4	frequently. Not frequently, but they get
5	plowed every so
6	MR. MCGOFF: Not paved, plowed.
7	MR. CASEY: Yeah, they get plowed
8	quite often. We are just asking for one
9	pass on top.
10	MR. MCGOFF: But they won't go up to
11	the upper
12	MR. CASEY: That's right.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Okay.
14	MR. CASEY: And the 1400 block is
15	similar. It's equally narrow, but plows
16	have gone up in the past, I don't see why
17	they can't continue to go up. Thanks.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes
19	to address council?
20	MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
21	MOTIONS.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,
24	Mr. McGoff. Besides sitting here tonight
25	hearing these complaints we have all gotten
	II

23

24

25

the same calls and e-mails on these phones as well. Right now we discussed this in caucus and we will get into it a little more, Mr. Gaughan will get into it a little bit more, but we are considering coming up with a new plan for next winter. It's not going to help us this year, but it is going to take input from the council, the administration, neighborhood groups, and just to come up with a general plan of what would be the best way to alleviate the situation that we are facing.

This is the snowiest February since 1910, and I know that's not an excuse, but it is a reality. Some of the complaints that we are heard tonight there is also some responsibility for business owners and homeowners to take care of their properties as well, so this is a going to be a group effort from the city. The council doesn't make it snow, the mayor doesn't make it snow, so it's everybody's responsibility and I think if we get together after this year we can come up with a better plan because once the snow is gone then the next issue

will be the potholes and that will be the next situation.

And another thing, on Saturday night I attended the North Scranton Vikings fundraiser for the Lebron family who suffered the terrible tragedy. It was a great outpouring from the community and anything we can do for that family would be greatly appreciated.

And I'd also like to mention the passing of the Bill Connell. Bill Connell was a friend of mine for the past eight years. The best thing about Bill Connell was his optimism. Tonight we heard a lot of problems with the City of Scranton, and Bill Connell knew those problems as well, but he decided to become part of the solution and work hard to fix these problems.

The city is not a good place after losing Bill Connell, I can tell you that, and if anybody wants to serve the city they can use Bill as an example of bringing a positive attitude to serve our problems.

Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Wechsler. Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a number of issues.

First, I would like to second what Mr.

Wechsler said about Mr. Connell. He was a great guy, I'm proud to call him a friend.

Just last year we became very close neighbors, we actually live on the same block. He was a great guy and he will be missed by everyone in the city.

A couple of other issues that were brought up tonight, one is the potholes, and I know Main Avenue has been brought up over and over again. PennDOT did issue a release yesterday that those potholes on many of the state roads in our area were to begin being filled today pending weather. I drove much of Main Avenue today and they were not fixed as of yet, but from speaking to PennDOT that is the next thing on their list. Hopefully the weather in the next couple of days get better. And we are hopeful that -unfortunately, the hot patch isn't available yet because of the weather, but PennDOT does have, I'm not sure what they call it, but it's kind of a hybrid between the hot and

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cold. They take the cold patch and they basically put in a little transportable oven before they put it in. It does give a little bit of a better hold so we are hopeful that those repairs will last through until the plants open up in the spring.

Regarding the snow, I think, you know, we have all heard from many people regarding the many problems with snow and sometimes it's the fault of the DPW, other times it's not, and I think one of the things people need to stop doing through the city is throwing snow on the roads after the roads are plowed. On my block I know, and luckily we have had pretty good snow removal in parts of my neighborhood this year, the plow would go by and you drive and then you drive back maybe an hour later and you will see three parking spots shoveled out and the snow in the middle of the road and then when the cars come by they drag it, so we all have to do our part.

The roads being clear are a matter of public safety and nobody should have a situation like Mr. Casey did, and I know he

showed us all pictures, and just because he is on a road that's kind of off to the side a little more difficult doesn't mean his shouldn't be cleaned and at the same time we don't want our DPW to have to keep going down streets again and again because neighbors are throwing snow on the road. It's a hazard and it creates more work and it costs the city more money.

So hopefully people will try and do their best to pile up the snow on their yards or even in piles on the sides of the road and please don't throw it in the road.

A couple of other issues that were brought up tonight, one is Senate Bill 76.

I know it's something I talk about every week. We actually are having a public caucus on Senate Bill 76 for those who are interested on March 6 at 5:30 in council chambers. In attendance will be Kim Skumanick, the newly elected president of the Pennsylvania Realtors Association.

Wayne Evans, the president of the Scranton Realtors Association, and Chuck Liediki, who is in charge of the Real Reform 76 Group

that is out educating people regarding this bill and it's affects on their property taxes.

And as was mentioned by a speaker, our representatives in our area with the exception of Senator Blake are all in support of Senate Bill 76 in one form or another. Senator Blake is the only member currently that represents Scranton that has refused to sign onto for property tax relief for those who live in the city, so hopefully pressure will be put on him from residents calling to eliminate school property taxes.

The sale of the garages was brought up. As I mentioned, if we continue on our current course of payments with the garages we will pay \$1 of interest for every dollar of principal that we currently have. Much like if you have a credit card when you are paying the minimums it takes a very long time to get it paid off.

The garages are already being subsidized by taxpayers, approximately \$2 million a year and that figure is only going to increase unless something drastic is

done. There are millions of dollars in repairs that need to be performed on these garages that haven't been made so the city is really in a catch 22 where we would have to invest money that we don't have to repair the garages when they are already not solvent, so we would be throwing good money after bad.

And the other option is to sell them, and I know it's been mentioned that the debt owed on the garages is greater than the total value, which is true, but even at taking a loss of say we received \$30 million and paid off the principal, that saves us an additional \$30 million in interest and then the city doesn't have to worry about repairs. It doesn't have to worry about subsidizing yearly because they are taking a loss. Once that debt is paid off it's off the city's back.

Also, having a private company in there running I believe firmly would do a much better job than the city. I don't believe the city should be in the business of parking garages, and on top of that it

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would bring in revenue. We would receive revenue in mercantile tax, and other taxes from a successful privately run parking garage.

Finally, one issue I wanted to talk about that I was speaking to many people about today is the amusement tax. Ιt something that hasn't been mentioned in these chambers probably since it passed in 2012. I was approached today by a number of musicians, small musicians, local bands and bar owners, tavern owners that have different acts at their place, and their concern is with the amusement tax being enacted that it is going negatively affect the small local band that may have 50, 100, 200 people at their show on a Friday night at a local bar. The bar owners are afraid that that tax will have, you know, the acts won't come to Scranton, they will go to other towns that don't have this tax.

And I know when was passed, and I think I speak for all of us that voted on it, the intent was never to hurt a small local band. The intent of the amusement tax

was Montage Mountain, large venus that do cost money to run when, you know, their acts afterwards or our public safety has to respond and you are bringing large amounts of people in and there is a cost associated with it.

What I want to do, and I reached out to the mayor and spoke to him briefly about this today, and I also brought it up in the caucus, I want to look at a way to curb these undesired effects of this tax, whether it be an exemption on the first "X" amount of tickets sold, maybe 100 tickets, 200 tickets or an exception on the first \$500 or \$1,000 that's taken in. The loss of tax dollars to the city would be minimal compared to what will be loss if these bands can't continue to operate in the city and these taverns lose a lot of revenue because of that, so that is something I am working on.

I actually asked the musicians to meet with some suggestions and then at that point I will report back to my colleagues and we will check with our solicitor to see

what amendments to that ordinance would actually be legal.

That is it all I have for now. I will comment on agenda items as they come up. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. The only thing I have I would like to concur with my fellow colleagues on Bill Connell and their sentiments, mine exactly. Bill was a friend, he was a gentleman, and was stated he had this infectious optimism about him and, you know, I hope that lives on through all of us who are his friends and I hope everybody remembers his family in their prayers. And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. A couple of very quick items, well, until Mr. Gaughan comes back. A couple of -- a number of citizens have asked me, and I will look into what the situation is with the traffic light signalization, whether it's finished, whether it's on hold, whether it's -- you

know, what's going on. There are still, I believe, numerous problems with traffic lights in the downtown area. The timing of them is poor, the length of some of them is onerous, but something needs to be done and we need to get a finalization on this signal problem. It's going on for years and we just need to know when it's going to be finally resolved.

Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. I just have a few things. The first thing is, and I mentioned it last week, about the snow, the problem with snow we have been having in the city, obviously everybody is aware of. A few possibilities and ideas, one of them I mentioned last week was alternate side of the street parking.

I looked at the City Code, Section
412, and there is actually a procedure in
place all we need to do is implement it. I
would like to take a minute and read that.
"That we shall designate as snow emergency
routes streets which are heavily traveled
and are necessary thoroughfares for the

movement of vehicular traffic through the city. Such designations shall take into consideration state highway routes, fire apparatus emergency routes and other commonly traveled streets.

Each of such routes shall be posted with suitable signs or markers not less frequently than once in each direction in one block. Such signs shall bear the words "Snow Route" and they may restrict parking in such block on alternate sides of such street. A map of such snow routes shall be filed with the city clerk and other copies thereof shall be available for public examination and the Departments of Public Works and the director of the Department of Public Works may chang some map from time to time cognizant of such changes being entered as aforesaid."

So the procedure is in place. I think what we should do is take a look at implementing this procedure with alternate side of the street parking. Other cities like Allentown, Reading, what they do is during the snow emergency owners are

required to remove their vehicles from posted emergency snow routes or else they would be ticketed or towed. The Allentown Parking Authority offers free lots during snow emergency in Reading. Same thing, city parking garages are open and provide free parking. Residents may enter the parking garage two hours prior to declared emergency. Vehicles must be removed within two hours of the ban being lifted.

So I think it's definitely something to look at. I think it would be good for residents, good for the DPW if we had a plan in place, especially for the downtown.

Whether or not we use alternate side of the street parking or completely block all traffic downtown for a certain amount of time when we do have a snow emergency.

Also, the City of Pittsburgh, I looked on their website, they have a winter weather emergency resource guide. Basically a guide for residents of what to do during a winter weather emergency in that city. They have a map, which I think would be good for the City of Scranton to post on their

website once hopefully we get this up and running of each area in the city and designate these emergency snow routes and what residents can do. So I think that's something that we should definitely take a look at.

The other thing that I brought it up in caucus, and I think it's a something that we should at least look at for next year's budget, is bringing back -- reinstating the casual workers for the Department of Public Works. As everybody knows, it was talked about last week that the DPW has some skeleton crews. That's part of the problem. There is not enough manpower. You know, they are dealing with what they have, so I think if we brought back the casual workers that might relieve some of those issues, so I think that we should definitely do that.

The other item is just to warn residents, I'm sure you have seen it in the paper, that there be some flooding. I know that I spoke to our DPW Director Dennis Gallagher, and the DPW has been out clearing storm water drains and basins so that water

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can flow freely and hopefully there won't be any issues, but just to keep an eye on that.

Also, I attended the South Side

Neighborhood Crime Watch last night. It's a
great group. I encourage any residents of

South Side to join. They meet every third

Wednesday at 6:30 p.m. on Alder Street in

the South Side Senior Center.

 $\label{eq:And that's I have for tonight.}$ Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Very quickly a couple There have been meetings with of items. both NRS and with another group called Turnkey looking at the collection of Turnkey specializes in delinguencies. mercantile and EIT delinquencies and maybe a company that the city looks at for the collection of those. NRS, who we currently contract with, we may look at moving delinquencies for rental registration, parking and the amusement tax to NRS, but there are discussions for making attempts to maximize collection of all taxes and fees.

The one thing that I would just to piggyback some of the items with snow

One of the biggest problems I believe is the walkways and access to the downtown. These

removal, and again, I will reiterate them.

walkways should be maintained by the city.

Snow should be removed from them by the city

and we have never really done a good job of

that. Again, it comes down to manpower and equipment and my father, who walked to work

every day of his life, said this has been a

problem for, you know, 50 years, but I think

it's something that we really should

consider at least having some type of policy

in place for removal from the main walking

arteries to the downtown.

Also, the last think I'll mention, somebody asked if DPW could please post a schedule of refuse and recyclable pickups on the website, on the city website. In case of emergencies or holidays, whatever, people would like to know when these pickups are occurring and it would be easy enough to post any delays or changes on the website so the people could access that and we will ask if that could be done.

And other than that, we will get to

Fifth Order.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
74, 1993, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "CITY OF
SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993", BY
CHANGING THE ZONING MAP DESIGNATION OF THE
JOHN J. AUDUBON SCHOOL FROM R-1A (MEDIUM
LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) TO INS-G (GENERAL
INSTITUTIONAL) IN THE CENTRAL PLANNING AREA
IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? I will say that in caucus there were representatives from Geisinger to explain what this resolution was or what this ordinance was and why it was being sent to us, I think that the biggest positive to this would be that should it be rezoned and the sale of the property take place that the site of Audobon School would then become a

1	taxable property and removed from the tax
2	exempt. It would no longer be school
3	property and it would no longer be under the
4	tax exempt status. Anyone else?
5	All those in favor signify by saying
6	aye.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
11	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
12	ayes have it and so moved.
13	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
14	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF EMMANUEL
15	JOHNSON, 1007 SCRANTON STREET, SCRANTON,
16	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE
17	SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY.
18	MR. JOHNSON WILL BE REPLACING CAROL OLESKI
19	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED DECEMBER 31, 2013. MR.
20	JOHNSON'S TERM WILL EXPIRE DECEMBER 31,
21	2018.
22	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
24	introduced into its proper committee.
25	MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. 11 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -12 A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF TIMOTHY PERRY, 2325 BIRNEY AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 13 14 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY. MR. PERRY 15 WILL BE REPLACING PETER RIEBE WHOSE TERM 16 EXPIRED ON FEBRUARY 4, 2010. MR. PERRY'S 17 18 TERM WILL EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 4, 2015. MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 19 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 24 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question,

1	you mentioned it was asked in caucus if they
2	submitted their resumes, we usually
3	introduce if they have not and they will be
4	notified to submit their resumes prior to
5	the final votes.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Anyone else? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
13	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO
16	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
17	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
18	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR
19	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 25, 2014 -
20	APPOINTMENT OF THOMAS BORTHWICK, 719 NORTH
21	SUMNER AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504
22	AS VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF THE
23	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. MR. BORTHWICK
24	WILL BE REPLACING WAYNE HILLER WHO RESIGNED
25	EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 3, 2014. MR. BORTHWICK'S

1	TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2016.
2	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
3	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-A.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I'd just like to
8	congratulate Mr. Borthwick, he is a neighbor
9	of mine, and I know he will do a great job
10	on the board.
11	MR. MCGOFF: You've got a lot of
12	neighbors, Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: I do have a lot of
14	neighbors.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Roll
16	call, please.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. And I, too, would 2 like to congratulate Mr. Borthwick. I 3 hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 4 adopted. MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 5 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -6 7 RESOLUTION NO. 26, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF 8 WILLIAM CONNELL, 606 NORTH BROMLEY AVENUE, 9 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS CHAIRMAN OF 10 THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY. MR. CONNELL WILL BE REPLACING KATHLEEN 11 12 STELLA WHO WAS REMOVED FROM THE BOARD BY LETTER DATED JANUARY 22, 2014. MR. CONNELL 13 14 WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF KATHLEEN STELLA. WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE 15 16 ON JUNE 1, 2015. 17 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 18 motion to table Item 7-B. MR. ROGAN: Second. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 21 those in favor of tabling signify by saying 22 aye. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 2 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 3 ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -5 RESOLUTION NO. 27, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF 6 PAUL MCGLOIN, SR., 139 SOUTH MERRIFIELD 7 8 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A 9 MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING 10 AUTHORITY. MR. MCGLOIN WILL BE REPLACING FRANK J. TUNIS, JR. WHO WAS REMOVED FROM THE 11 12 BOARD BY LETTER DATED JANUARY 22, 2014. MR. MCGLOIN WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF 13 14 FRANK J. TUNIS, JR., WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2017. 15 MR. MCGOFF: I would like to make a 16 motion to table Item 7-C. 17 18 MR. ROGAN: Second. 19 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 20 those in favor of tabling, please signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. GAUGHAN:

r		
		69
1	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The	
2	ayes have it and so moved.	
3	Any other business? Motion to	
4	adjourn?	
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.	
6	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.	
7		
8	(Meeting adjourned.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER