	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, December 12, 2013
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

7 PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

10 JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

11 BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
15	SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING
16	HELD NOVEMBER 4, 2013.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
20	REPORT HEARING DATE HELD NOVEMBER 20, 2013.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
24	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
25	MEETING HELD NOVEMBER 13, 2013.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD'S REGULAR MEETING HELD NOVEMBER 13, 2013.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

Do we have any council Clerk's notes tonight, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do you any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: I was trying to get one here for the Sunday for Matthew's Mission, breakfast with Santa, but I don't have all of the information so I'll wait, but the other thing I do want to mention, I don't know if some people in the audience and television audience may know Father Reverend Joseph Kopacz, who was the pastor at Nativity Church and served in the Scranton Diocese for many years at a variety of parishes in Scranton itself. He is going to be installed as the new bishop in Jackson,

Mississippi, so congratulations to Father Kopacz, a very good man.

MR. ROGAN: I just have one announcement on Saturday, December 21, at Buona Pizza from 10 to 12 p.m. there will a free kids Christmas party sponsored by Giovanni Piccolino and Buona Pizza.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe, any announcements?

MR. LOSCOMBE: None this evening, thank you.

(Mr. Joyce takes the dais and joins the meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Solicitor Hughes is unable to attend tonight's meeting because he underwent surgery earlier today in Philadelphia.

No budgetary meeting with mayor-elect Courtright and his transition and financial teams was conducted publically or broadcast on ECTV this week. Neither Mr. Courtright for Mr. Hickey responded to council's letter. However, Councilman-elect Joe Weschler did respond to Scranton City Council's other invitation to attend

tonight's meeting and stated he was unable to participate since he was training for his new job this week.

Also, Councilman-elect Bill Gaughan contacted council's office late yesterday to say that he had a prior commitment and wasn't able to attend tonight's meeting.

Mayor Doherty did not respond.

The City of Scranton was able to secure a TAN not to exceed \$17 million for a budget year 2014 thanks to the tireless work of our financial advisor, Mr. Mike Judge, of CaseCon and City Council Solicitor Hughes who drafted all of the pertinent legislation.

Consequently, earlier today Mayor

Doherty submitted an emergency certificate
to the Office of City Council in order that
this TAN could be passed during this
evening's council meeting by suspension of
Council's rules.

It is imperative that the city government act immediately to secure the TAN in order to provide for the financial needs of early 2014. Further, Council Solicitor

Hughes recommended the suspension of the rules and the passing of the TAN legislation tonight so that the agreement can be completed prior to the forthcoming holiday.

In attendance at tonight's meeting is Mr. Michael Judge, financial advisor to the city. I requested his attendance in order to provide city council and the public with an explanation and terms of the 2014 tax revenue anticipation note. Therefore, I will call on Mr. Judge first during citizens' participation and I'll ask him to please remain in chambers as long as possible during Fourth Order to respond to questions that may be raised by members of the public.

Finally, Scranton City Council's regularly scheduled meetings for 2013 conclude this evening. In the event that Mayor Doherty vetoes the 2014 operating budget, a special meeting can be scheduled and advertised in the local newspaper for the sole purpose of entertaining a veto override, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'

2

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Judge, thank you so much for attending this meeting, and the floor is yours.

MR. JUDGE: My pleasure, thank you. In your packets you all should have a document called "Proposed Term Sheet", a letterhead from the firm of IFS Securities out of Atlanta, Georgia. I have been working with IFS to conclude up with a proceeds for a tax anticipation note, and I'll just go down the terms and conditions that they have set forth. This is very similar to the bond transactions you had done over prior two years or for an investment firm came in with a bond purchase proposal with various terms and conditions on that and upon your acceptance then you work towards a settlement. The attorneys get together, get all of the paperwork done, and you close on a certain day in the future.

This has an estimated closing date of January 3, and there is no reason we can't beat that deadline, all things being

equal.

I'll just run down these terms and conditions, if you have any questions stop and me and ask. Obviously, the borrower is the City of Scranton, the placement agent is IFS Securities, Inc., it's a TAN. The amount, we have not to exceed \$17 million, the actual amount will be somewhat under that. We have over the past two days done some homework on the cash flow.

This transaction will be a tax exempt transaction. The last two TANS were taxable transactions. You did not have to do this calculation in a taxable mode and a tax exempt scenario and the bond counsel opinion to get his opinion you have to do a calculation. The calculation we did yesterday has a TAN size of approximately 13 million, but we didn't know that when we did this so we did a not to exceed amount in the term sheet.

We received an estimated settlement date of January 3. The final notoriety being December 15th of 2014. We have a not to exceed interest rate of 4 1/2 percent.

Again, that's not to exceed -- right now the indication is we should be around 4 percent. They did give themselves a little bit of leeway in case the market goes south from this time until the year end.

The collateral, the collateral is very similar to the last two TANS, whereby your complete revenue stream, taxes, et. al, is UCC and is liened for the payment of the TAN. That is a normal for TANS.

We anticipate the same structure as we did the last two deals. We will need a singing fund depository paying agent. We've had Community Bank, i.e, First Liberty, doing the passthroughs. That may change, you know, when I get down to who the note buyer is, but right now they are comfortable with the way it happened before and they have said that should be sufficient.

The lockbox revenue is little bit different than the last one, the lockbox all your earned income tax, these people want earned income tax and current real estate tax. That was the first lockbox you did way back when, Fidelity was real estate, if you

recall, and it is since then to get them on board real quickly they wanted to a capture all of that. From a cash flow perspective it really shouldn't matter, it's just a larger pot of the money going to the community and he turns around he gives you the net anyway.

So if I could explain the math, the EIT was 55 percent and then 70 percent going to pay the TAN last year -- or this year, and the rest going to the city. This will be a larger pot of money so the spread should be like 35 percent going to the TAN and 65 percent coming to the city. That's still an open question, that's one of the things we have to work towards closing and make sure everybody is comfortable from their side, the note buyers that they are going to be paid, and from the city's side that you can fund operations and not have issues with cash flow that you did three years ago, so that's still ongoing.

The lockbox distribution, I just kind of referenced, that would be in the second paragraph there where you see the

35/65 split and the third paragraph there is kind of the out that we are still working on it. The big one to work on is March since that's the big real estate tax collection and how we can divvy that up going forward.

The draw down is a little bit different than Amalgamated. You did it in two draws. They are going to give you all of the money upfront, which to me is better, you'll have all of your money upfront.

The note buyer, this is unusual, in the last two bonds deals that you did when they came in with a purchase proposal they didn't tell you who was buying the note. I needed that for your reference that this is for real and that you have a significant pot of money buying this note from you and it's Wells Fargo Capital Management out of Wisconsin. Very sophisticated investors and I have spoken to them, they are on board.

The fees, these again are not to exceed 2 1/2 percent, and that is all inclusive of everybody's fee. I'll give you a comparable, the last Amalgamated deals the fee was 3 percent and it did not include

everybody's fees, so we are doing much better in that perspective.

The conditions going forward, fairly, fairly standard. You need a budget before they can close. We need a detailed monthly draw down based upon that budget when it's enacted. The 100 percent blanket intercept I just alluded to that's the revenue distribution.

There will be a provision where they want to see a monthly positive end cash balance. They initially had \$750,000 in there, I was uncomfortable with that since I don't know what the budget is yet, so we have to negotiate some monthly cash balance monthly and then kind of negotiate, well, if you don't meet that what happens so that's an open issue.

The debt ordinance and the documents, obviously. Monthly reporting is obvious. No litigation and so on. Fairly simple comparable to the Amalgamated RFP and. It doesn't have a precondition of closing award Court bond deal. It doesn't have the condition of many of the other

conditions in that other one. It's pretty straight forward. That's basically it. I mean, there is some work to be done, but that's the guts of it and I can answer any questions.

MR. MCGOFF: I have one, if this were to be voted on this evening and approved what would the timeline be for closing on this proposal?

MR. JUDGE: We are shooting for January 3.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. JUDGE: The last two transactions I believe were closed on January 3.

MS. EVANS: Is it possible that could occur sooner?

MR. JUDGE: Everybody asks me that.

Certainly, it can close sooner. I don't know what the -- I haven't talked to bond counsel on whether you can close a 2014 TAN in 2013. I don't know. Yes, certainly. It doesn't give us a lot of time. The biggest item that needs to be accomplished we have to do an AKWE (phonetic) document. This

thing LIKE the last two bond deals. Most of it is done, you know, we just have to update it, but that's the biggest weight we have to lift so far and that just take times.

Other than, hell, I could close in a week if we get it done, but we just have to put things together. Yeah, if you want to close this year, I'll make an effort and if we can close legally I'm going away on vacation on January 2, I would love to close early.

MR. ROGAN: Can you compare, I know you did this a little bit, but can you compare the major items as far as I know you mentioned on the fees, but could you also compare this year's TAN, the 2014 TAN versus the 2013 just for comparison sake.

MR. JUDGE: Sure. In fact, I have a comparison sheet here. This is actually a comparison to the Amalgamated RFP they submitted to you this year, which is very similar to what they did over the prior two years, nothing really had changed in that.

And I'll go down, you can see the middle column is the IFS Wells Capital

Management versus Amalgamated. The first big real differential is the rate. We should be around 4 percent. At a tax exempt rate Amalgamated really didn't care if it was taxable or tax exempt and they came in at an estimated 395 rate so we are a little bit higher in that instance. The terms are the same, the collateral is the same.

The only other difference would be the lockbox revenue. You will see that we are doing real estate and they are very comfortable with the EIT alone.

The splits, I didn't put the split in there, but the last splits were 55 percent through -- going to the TAN through April and then I believe it jumped up to 70 percent to year end and you paid off this year's TAN in mid-October. That's a little bit different. We are going with a smaller percentage of a bigger pot of money. At the end of the day, it should be about the same if we do our homework correctly.

The drawdowns, again, we are giving you one pot of money upfront. The fees, you can see where the fees are, the last box

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The next page it kind of goes down to the conditions which is the substantial difference, I think. The big one being what page would that be on? The third page, middle down where it has complete long-term financing for the police and fire was a precondition to Amalgamated, we don't have that. Page three middle down starting from "We."

And a bunch of the other things. You can see the terms and conditions are much longer that I tried to match them up They are the big differences. You know, a TAN is not a real complicated transaction, it's only for a year long. You are amortizing it monthly. Most TANS throughout the state don't do that, they just pay it year end, principal and interest at the end, but to get people comfortable in this instance that you are going to be pay it they require you to pay it down monthly.

MR. MCGOFF: Can I assume -- I won't assume, I'll ask, the fees --

MR. JUDGE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: -- would be part or

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

drawn from the proceeds of the loan?

MR. JUDGE: That's what we are anticipating, yes. The fees, the language in payment of the fees is payable out of the finance proceeds or other city sources. So we assume it's coming out of the TAN proceeds.

MR. MCGOFF: So it would require an additional budget item?

MR. JUDGE: No, or it can. We put it in the cash flow to calculate the size of the TAN. We put the fees in there. We are obviously trying to borrow, I shouldn't say as much as we can, but borrow what is allowed under the tax law and what is needed to fund your operations and we are still talking about exactly what that amount is, but it looks like it's around \$13 million, which is a little bit under 16 with an RFP for and, frankly, if you collect this year you were committed to \$14 million of the TAN, but you only drew down 12, so we are in the ballpark.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: So to sum up the fees

and the rate, the interest rate may be up to about a half a percent more, but the fees will be a half a percent less all inclusive.

MR. JUDGE: Half a percent or more, yeah.

MR. ROGAN: Or more.

MR. JUDGE: Since we are all inclusive of all of those and the Amalgamated is not, and they tacked on lawyer's fees on top of theirs, so you are probably going to be in the 3 1/2 percent range on their side.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. Great. Thank you.

MR. JUDGE: It's similar, as well it at the end of the day it's a little better.

Not by a lot, but it is a little better for sure, especially there is no condition to do other things not related to the TAN, which makes it a hell of a lot easier. Don't type hell of a lot.

MS. EVANS: It makes a lot more sense, too, because it's such a short-term borrowing, and to place such conditions, you know, really extensive conditions on the TAN

1 I think is highly irregular. Is there anyone else who has question? 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Again, the big factor is, again, not tying it into the police and 4 5 fire arbitration award financing, too, so as we said, there are a lot of conditions that 6 7 are much better here. I think you did a 8 good job. 9 MR. JUDGE: Thank you. 10 MR. JOYCE: And I would just like to 11 say, as you know, I was in the meeting with 12 you, our city clerk, Nancy Krake, solicitor 13 Kelly and Business Administrator Gina Mc 14 Andrew the other afternoon going into the late evening a little bit, and I just wanted 15 16 to thank you for your hard work at getting 17 this together so quickly. 18 MR. JUDGE: Thank you. I appreciate 19 it. And if you would please 20 MS. EVANS: 21 remain, if you can. 22 MR. JUDGE: Sure. 23 MS. EVANS: So that in the event 24 that any of our speakers may have questions 25 about the TAN you can respond to them.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JUDGE: I just had a basketball game and my nephew doesn't want me there anyway.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Judge.

MR. MCGOFF: Before we move to citizens' participation, Mrs. Evans, could I just -- the announcement for the breakfast with Santa?

MS. EVANS: Oh, sure.

MR. MCGOFF: I got the information, I believe this may be the third annual breakfast with Santa sponsored by Matthew's Mission in honor of Matthew -- I'm sorry, I didn't have the microphone on. Matthew's Mission has sponsored a breakfast with Santa for three years to honor Mathew Newell who passed away. It will be held this Saturday, December 14, at Scranton High School. are two seatings, one at 9:00 and one at The cost is \$9 for children, \$12 for adults. If you attended in the past it's a great event. They have a number of raffles. I think this year they have two 39-inch TV that's are being raffled off, they have sittings with Santa for the children and the

adults and, you know, numerous other entertainments. The one caveat to this is that it does require a reservation and you can contact Kelly Ann Davis at 570-961-0818. It's a great cause and a great event and I would encourage people to try and attend, especially bringing the children and they will have a great time, and that number again is 570-961-0818. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, we can go into the citizens now; correct?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I'm slow, but I'm southern, I got the excuse. Thank you for letting me speak the next 15 or 20 minutes up here. That got your attention, didn't it? The unbelievable arrogant statement by Mr. Doherty for us to sacrifice now for people ten years down the road just shows his indifference to what's going on in this city. Thousands of us won't be here ten years from now. These taxes and cuts and everything are effecting us right now.

People just don't care about ten years from now. You know, you can put a fourth grader at this table with a pen and a pencil and in a couple of minutes they can show you there is no way on God's green earth you could have a budget. There is just no way the populous of this city can keep up this tremendous -- the amount of finances needed over the years. It's not there. You can just anybody out there could just take a pencil and paper and divide what we owe and in the, what 35, 36 thousand properties that are taxable? It will never work.

You know, right a couple of weeks ago while you people and the mayor were working on your budget so hard Keystone Resources in Clarks Summit bought a house for \$265,000, it's off forever, it's gone. They have 59 or 60 houses like that, one company in town. The University bought a house for a couple of hundred thousand a couple of weeks ago. How could you even intend to make a budget with this going on? It's got to be stopped.

It just -- it just -- you are

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building budgets year after year on quicksand. It's just senseless unless something positive is done about all of these phony nonprofits. This city is hurting so bad and all I -- about once a week or so you see in the paper and under the transactions for houses a big piece of the property like that sucked up.

You know, I'll just make this short and sweet lastly, I'm tired of hearing every time somebody talks to me they blame all of this problems on the fire and the police. have read not once, not twice, but three times Gerald from PEL and Mr. Doherty could have settled this. The lawyers just kept going and going and going more than three times. Do I have he facts right, Jack? lived through this? It was senseless. every time somebody talked to me the first thing I hear the fire and the police, the fire and the police. It's not their fault, it's the incompetent way the city has been run year after year after year that have caused everything that's happening now and sometimes I know I get -- I really get --

MS. EVANS: Frustrated. 1 2 MR. ELLMAN: I'm trying not to 3 offend council. I just get mad at council 4 for some of the things they do, but council 5 it seems to be our only friend that the people of this city have and I certainly 6 7 appreciate it. Thank you. 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jay Walsh. 9 MR. WALSH: Can I wait until the end? 10 11 MS. EVANS: Yes. 12 MR. WALSH: Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Andrew Porter. 14 MR. PORTER: Andrew Porter, Scranton. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 17 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 18 MR. PORTER: Quick as the word. Quick is the word. Let the children have 19 20 their Christmas, let them have Santa Clause 21 and their toys. What are we doing? What 22 are we going as a city? It took more than a 23 decade to bring forth what has taken place 24 and there has been no apologies to the 25 There has been no apologies to God. people.

This is the arrogance of power. The most powerful in the world is the law of our God. These are signs of government that is out of control, but quick is the word, because we still have time and the opportunity to do it.

A gentlemen just got up and talked about the police department and the fire department and the people we are looking at it as if, you know, you are going the wrong route. Maybe so. The police department and the fire department are essential services and these people that have taken the oath just like you to city council and mayor to represent the people and to do the right thing according to God, and so you have an obligation and not to other people so much as you do to God, and this has to be done and it has to be done quick.

Now, people have voted and they have made a statement that they want to change.

We cannot change government. We can't change the way we do things unless we change ourselves and so we have to have a

conscience and we have to know where we come from at this time of the year, the most holiest, the most religious time of the year we cannot mask what is being done to the citizens of this great city. This is God's city. We have an obligation to change this and it can be changed. You can't do this by staying at home because this is what you have on been doing for more than ten years, for almost going into two decades now.

There has to be a change and there has to be change, you just can't continue to do what you have done from one administration and then run it into a new administration.

You voted for William Courtright and you would like that William Courtright has the opportunity to and the chance to be able to represent you and serve God by representing the people the way God would want this city to be represented. We have to great kids in this city. They had the opportunity to raise funds, to be able to bail out what has been misconstrued from a past administration. When a past administration can say that this is a

flourishing town, a town of progression, a town that has no faults, give you that impression. That isn't a false impression, we would like to enjoy this season, but we appear to be going into a foreclosure. We appear to be a city that's up for sale and we do not -- we should not look to fault because the fault is there, but we should look to fix, and the only way to fix this is for people to come out, for people to come out in prayer.

I don't know how much money it would take, if a billion dollars would fix this city. What needs to be fixed and what is broken in this city is the way government is run. We have taken an oath to do the right thing and we have to do the right thing. And, see, the problem that you will have as a politician is that God knows what's in our hearts, you see, so we have to do what's right according to God, and there is a solution and we have to -- we have to look for that solution, and that solution is through God. No one will know or predict how God will react to what it is that we do,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in this time of the season, in this season. No weather man will be able to predict this. We need to come together and we need to work and we need to be in commune, black, white, yellow, green, it doesn't make any difference what your nationality is we are all God's children and we, as the senior children of God, have the responsibility to do what's right. We have the most wonderful kids in the world in this city and we have to look into our hearts and we have to figure out a way quickly, quickly, how we can make amends to God and how we can make amends without allowing our city to go into foreclosure for those who are just sitting in the wings and to make a profit of this.

You can have my house because my house does not belong to me, my house belongs to God. But whoever picks up that foreclosure, and I'm not just talking about a property, I'm talking about taking advantage of the people. We have to come together, we are a good people. We are proud people, but we are people in need and we need to be able to help each other, and

the only way to do that is to come in.

Those who are at home, all of the churches in this city, this is God's time right now. We need to come and we need to ask God for help. We need to do the things that we need to do and, yes, you have numbers involved and so on and so forth, but no amount of numbers is going to fix this city unless we fix ourselves.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Porter.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: First of all, best wishes and a speedy recovery to Bill Jackowitz and Attorney Hughes. I hope that we see them back here fairly soon. Well, that was a bit of good news today finally that we received that TAN. It's about time we finally received some good news, and I think that's just the beginning of something good that's going to happen. I think the

city is going to turn the right direction,
but the next thing hopefully that will
happen is that the banks see we are doing
the right thing and we get that money to pay
off the firefighters and the police unions.

I think it was Mr. McGoff that said it two weeks ago once we get that paid off I think we could get headed in the right direction. That's a big thing weighing us down, and I think once that is done, I mean, it's going to take a long time for the city to get straight, but I think we will be headed in the right direction once that happens.

Now onto the budget. I know,

Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce, you said you are
not making amendments that you won't be here
next year, but I hope someone has amendments
to this budget. I understand there has to
be a tax hike, the banks want to see a tax
hike or we won't get that court award from
any banks, so I understand that.

I'm more upset with this garbage fee hike than I am with the tax hike. As I said in the past, I don't think that's legal. We

pay our property tax and have our garbage picked up, I think that tax hike -- I think the garbage fee is illegal. To raise it to \$300 is going to cripple some people. The senior citizens cannot handle it. I have a neighbor in back of me she is in her 80's puts out one bag a week, another woman was here last week said the same thing. It's just impossible for these people.

With the garbage fee and the tax hike we are talking over 100 percent hike. These people don't get 100 percent hike in their social security, so how could they manage to pay these taxes and fees? It's just impossible. So I'm urging council to make some amendments. Hopefully make this budget real, more realistic than it is now, and as I said, I understand with the tax hike, but the garbage fee is unrealistic. I would be in favor of a per bag.

I just hope there are some amendments. That's about all I have to say tonight, but lastly, I know this is Mrs. Evans and, Councilman Joyce, this is your last meeting, hopefully you are moving onto

4	bigger and better things. I know Mrs. Evens
1	bigger and better things. I know Mrs. Evans
2	you will be enjoying your family.
3	MS. EVANS: Yes.
4	MR. SPINDLER: I wish you all of the
5	luck in the world.
6	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
7	MR. SPINDLER: It was a pleasure
8	coming here every week speaking in front of
9	you.
10	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
11	MR. SPINDLER: And good luck in your
12	future.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you. I appreciate
14	it.
15	MR. SPINDLER: Same thing with you,
16	Mr. Joyce. You have done a heck of a job in
17	your four years.
18	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
19	MR. SPINDLER: You will be missed.
20	I just hope the person taking your place is
21	just as qualified as you were.
22	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
23	MR. SPINDLER: So best of luck and
24	happy holidays to everybody.
25	MS. EVANS: And to you, also.

MR. SPINDLER: See you next year.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Before I get into the issue of the budget I just once again, you know, in the event that we do not meet next week, once again I'd like to remind everyone that the Bolus Christmas dinner will once again take place, it's 19th year on Christmas Day from noon to six at St. Pat's Church on 1403 Jackson Street and Mr. Bolus couldn't be here tonight, but obviously he extends his invitation to everyone across the city, and we hope to see everyone there.

Moving onto the budget, I first want to thank Mr. Judge for coming in this evening and addressing the public. You know, thankfully you were able to secure that TAN that we were certainly counting on moving forward. Obviously, you know, we always appreciate the work of attorney Hughes and, you know, he certainly hs gone above and beyond many instances and things

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he has been able to assist this council and a lot of different things through the years and so that's obviously one of the positives that we would like to see.

But, you know, as I stand here tonight I can honestly say that I'm very disappointed with the budget that's in front of us at this time and I understand some amendments are most likely going to make place later on in the meeting. But, you know, the reality is we understand what a difficult situation and we talked an awful lot earlier this evening and lot of speakers who have addressed council before me tonight have talked about, you know, the necessity of a tax increase, and I think anyone that, you know is a knowledgeable of city government and understands the situation we are in, a lot of us knew a tax increase was inevitable. We planned for this to happen, but I don't think we planned for it to be, you know, this level of magnitude, and what just really infuriates me, and I'm just really disgusted at this point is a lot of this could have been avoided had this

administration followed this thing. They spent all of that time last summer going back and forth, we had employees making minimum wage, we were a laughing stock of the nation, we were on national TV in the City of Scranton for what was going on here, and we went back and forth for months. Many didn't think that this council and the administration could come together, but you did, and you took all this time and put all of these revenue enhancements in here and we haven't realized half of it.

And I think had we followed through on the recovery plan this tax increase wouldn't be nearly the amount that the mayor is calling for and it's yet again time and time again the arrogance and the fiscal mismanagement by this administration.

That's the legacy that they are leaving behind.

You know, and it really upset me, the other thing that upsets me is tonight, and I appreciate, it's nice to see Mr. Judge here, but council sent an open invitation to the mayor-elect and his so-called transition

2 the Finance Chair --3 MR. MCGOFF: Can I answer your auestion? 4 5 MR. MILLER: No, you can't. Mr. Joyce, you are our Finance Chair. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Fine. 8 MR. MILLER: You handled this 9 budget, you know, have done a lot of good 10 things, has anyone throughout this process 11 in the transition team, including the 12 mayor-elect, reached out to you? 13 MR. JOYCE: No, but they have 14 reached out to other council members from what I understand. 15 16 MR. MILLER: Okay. So you, as the 17 Finance Chair, didn't have any contact with 18 them? MR. JOYCE: 19 No. 20 MR. MILLER: Okay. I think that's a 21 very troubling thing. I really do, that 22 there has been no dialogue between the 23 incoming administration and this council to 24 take part in the budget proces. This is 25 going to be their baby in another three

Where are they? Mr. Joyce, you're

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

weeks and we haven't heard anything and that upsets me.

The other thing that upsets me is the lack of creativity, as I said. know, a comment was made last week by a member of council that, you know, we talk an awful lot about revenue, but we have an expenditure problem. You are damn right we have an expenditure problem, but common sense tells you when you have an expenditure problem you need revenue to pay your bills and, unfortunately, you know, this council and the majority of council, Mr. Joyce, you know, Mrs. Evans and Mr. Loscombe, you gave us a lot of revenue here, but unfortunately, you know, as the old saying, you know, you can lead a horse to water but you can't make them drink. I mean, if we'd followed through on these things, as I said, we wouldn't be looking at a 56 percent tax increase, okay? People across this city wouldn't have to see a \$300 garbage bill next year. Tenants wouldn't have to see their rent jacked up another two or three hundred dollars because of rental

registration.

But because we had administration that has failed to cooperate and follow through on revenue enhancements we are in this position, and I'm not here to listen to excuses as to why certain people haven't come forward, that's not what we are here for, there is too much at stake. I'm not concerned about prior commitments and engagements. I do appreciate Mr. Weschler reaching out, Mr. Gaughan reaching out, at least they had the decency and the courtesy to respond that, I can give them credit for that.

But, you know, to not follow through on a recovery plan I just believe is just totally inexcusable and I can only hope that moving forward, you know, things change because I hate to see that the hard work of this council basically follow the wayside and that's what's happening here because now what's happening is you are being asked to do the dirty work and roll up your sleeves once again, and that's not right, because certain people don't want to make the tough

decisions in moving forward, I say make the necessary amendments to save the residents of this city but don't continue to be bullied and pushed around by people that don't want to do the work themselves because that's what's gotten us in this situation to begin with.

And I only hope that we can continue to take these issues seriously, we follow through on things that are implemented and only better the city and with that said if we don't meet next week I'd like to wish everyone a Merry Christmas and certainly going to be a happy new year. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Merry Christmas to you.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: David Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxes paid, fees paid. Okay, unpaid taxes and fees in the city are considerable and I would like to see council endorse reverse mortgages for elderly people that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are unable to pay them because it's a considerable amount of money not coming in and it's not coming in year after year after year and eventually the chickens are going to come to roost on it some time and then it may be too late.

Now, the DPW I would regard it as important as fire and police. I'd like to see in the new year an accounting of the DPW, what it costs for trash recycle costs, trucks, labor, maintenance and so forth so that we could sort out this fee business as opposed to rise in property taxes, and also I would point out that some people with a higher value of a home would be disproportionately effected also if you are home is assessed at a much higher value than guy down the road, well, then you might wind up paying \$500 extra in property taxes instead of the \$300 trash fee, and I'd like to see a conversion to cans and enforcement of recycling.

The mayor has an ad on and, unfortunately, the one thing that the ad lacks is what is recyclable. There is a

broad expansion of recycling so if we plan to save money in the future on trash fees then by recycling then certainly they need to be -- it's terrible the amount of the recyclables I see when I walk Mr. Pooch through the courts and it's just ungodly amount of recyclable material that wind up in the trash.

And, well, I'm not going to dwell on the negatives, but we need an association of tax exempts for property tax loss replacement and it's been a longstanding idea of mine and they have to go to the state and get compensation because -- at 33 percent tax exempt it's getting to be impossible.

For instance, you know, everybody dwells on the "U", well, a few years back there Senator Santorum was over there talking about the great idea of privatizing social security and I saw a lot of 50 somethings that were concerned about it and Mr. Santorum had his cheerleading section and, fortunately, he is no longer a senator because that would have been a disaster. It

would have been one big disaster, but the point being as a tax exempt you are supposed to provide both sides of the story, have a debate, not a selling -- a selling seminar to con people into something. You should have an opposing point of view, so that's how a lot of the tax exempts operate in a negative fashion towards the public at large.

And, well, a lot has been said on the unions and so forth. Another thing that has been offensive from the tax exempts is somebody came up with, I don't know if it was the Scranton Times I read it, but it's money down the much rat hole for PILOTS and if that's all you think of a PILOT as in the city, is a rat hole, what are you doing here? That's all there is to it, basic question? What are you doing here if it's money down the rat hole. They want to have some kind of a say as to the how the money gets spent or whatever, well, we need too much money to spend right now.

And I'd like to issue a warning with public unions, we had the Transpacific trade

pack, call your senators, call your congressmen, call the president, call whoever you can and tell them to can it. More jobs lost, 33 years of job losses of working class and, by the way, the unemployment compensation is probably not going to be extended by our Federal Congress, it's under vote right now, the Ryan Murphy plan has no solution for it. How do you people pay the bills if there is no money coming in? It's just years back you used to get fired from one job and go right into the next job and you probably got a quarter raise or a 50 cent raise, now, it's forget about it. We have three qualified job applicants for every job, so I often wondered how many income taxes were lost just by these trade packs to cities like ours and the federal government. you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.
Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn. Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

23

24

25

MR. QUINN: And happy holidays.

MS. EVANS: Happy holidays.

MR. JOYCE: Merry Christmas to you,

too.

MR. QUINN: Thank you. I'm Ozzie Quinn, homeowner in the City of Scranton and also the president of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association. We all know there is no holy grail for the City of Scranton, you know? We are in a hell of fix in the City of Scranton. No one wants to have a tax increase or garbage collection increase. You know, the silent majority out there, the white collar, the blue collar and the pink collar they are scared and they are afraid of losing their homes and they have a right to be because of the fact that we have seen in the last six months the number of homes went up on the chopping block either for delinquent taxes or for not unable to pay the bank loan.

Mrs. Evans, you know, nobody
listened to you since 2003 when you kept on
saying and you coined it the Doherty debt,

and as some previous speaker said previous weeks, kicking the can down the street and that's what the Doherty administration did and you stood out there and I want to thank you as really for being as brave as you were and trying to stop this here stupid debt that the City of Scranton has gotten because of the Doherty administration. Year after year after year with the idea of look for a lower interest loan, you will get a bigger loan and pay the bill and that's stupid. We all know stupid. Frank Joyce knows it's

And, you know, but yet it was allowed to go on and on and on and here we are today December 12, 2014. don't know where the heck we are going. You know, it scares me because of the fact that, you know, there is a new administration coming in and I would be totally remiss if I come up here and just said to myself, you know, I want to bang the hell out of the Doherty administration and say we have to have a tax increase and whatnot.

But, you know, from the Taxpayers'

25

21

22

23

24

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Association standpoint view, you know, we reached out to Representatives Flynn, Kavulich and Mr. Haggerty in regards to House Bill 76 and we are reaching out to Senator Blake on House Bill -- Senate Bill 76 which is if approved will eliminate It's called the school property taxes. Property Tax Independence Act, okay? And if approved next year within two years school property taxes will be eliminated throughout the state. Each one of us who own property in the City of Scranton that is the highest majority of the three taxing bodies. 56 percent of my taxes goes to the school Just think if we eliminate that school tax. It will give the new property tax. administration and the people of Scranton a breather to be able to -- we have to pay off this debt. We have to pay off the fire and police because Mr. Doherty didn't negotiate, you know, and it finally came. If I was in the same position I wouldn't give it up, I would want my money. You know, if I was an individual and I was killed or in a coma I want my wife to go after that money just as

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the fire and police are. They have. You know, that's why they are binding together to become a union.

And, you know, just can't say, well, the hell with the unions, you know? them money. The Court came down and says we owe them money and the only way we can pay that money is if we get behind the new administration and look at a positive thing as we are tonight with the tax anticipation note which you people were able to negotiate and get it passed, and if the new administration, and hopefully they will, will get behind this new property tax elimination act and get rid of the school property taxes, get rid of them. People senior citizens don't even know why they are paying such an amount. If we get rid of them then we can possibly start to pay of the debt and pay off the unions and get back into a position where we are solvent again.

And I appreciate if the new administration and those who are on council will meet with the state representatives when you meet with them and discuss this

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because we discussed it here at the meetings, we have the man and we reached our hands out and they accepted what we are trying to do and they all -- those three sponsors are sponsors of this Bill. David Baldinger, their 76 taxpayers' association across the state, we are one of them. Dave Baldinger is the coordinator and he works very closely with the state house and senate and he has got us to a position we are going to pass it, okay? We got to pass it. Get behind it. It's our only salvation. We got to look for tax relief through eliminating the school property taxes.

Lastly, Mr. Rogan, last month I asked about the federal audit where there was \$11 million finding and if it wasn't resolved that would become non-federal funds which would be Scranton taxpayers' money to pay whatever it is, can you give me a status report on that?

MR. ROGAN: There was no indication from PEL or from the administration that that needed to be included in this year's

budget thankfully. I know that OECD is still working on those issues so I'm hopeful that in the next administration they will all be resolved.

MR. QUINN: I hope so, too, because we couldn't pay that another \$11 million for mismanagement thank you very much.

MS. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Walsh, would you like to speak now?

MR. WALSH: I see the winds of change are upon us. Going forward I pray and hope we can do the right thing for the future is here and we are it. All politics are local for the most part and so is corruption, in Harrisburg and Washington it's a little bit more sophisticated. It seems like the information last week and the \$21 million that the union is looking for are we \$28 million dollars in the hole starting off and they want their money now so do we have the locust at the door?

The testimony here from the elderly people last week, that's attempted to plead

to pleas, I think that the bag fee for the garbage is the appropriate way to go because there is no incentive for people to recycle. If they have to pay a bag fee, because I walk the streets all the time, I take lots of walks, and on garbage night the stuff that's going in the garbage is just unbelievable. Is there a way to get the amount of tonnage that we have been putting over the last five or "X" amount of years up in the landfill or is that propitiatory information or is it something that you can actually find or put your hand on, is that possible? Does anybody know the answer to that question?

I'm a numbers man, okay, being in the market for 30 years it's all about the numbers, so are we going down in what we are depositing up at the landfill and now we are going up in price? It doesn't make sense to me. I mean, it's -- you know, people are looking for value.

We are a Clas 2A city, as I understand it, and the Harrisburg administration did not put -- handcuff us

into actually filing Chapter 9 as I understand it. Last week Mr. McGoff said to me -- or said, not to me, he said something about going into a receivership cost more money. I tried to find that information. If you can give me an idea where I can find that information, I know there is 50 cities that have applied for bankruptcy, but I can't see where the cost is actually more, so if you could help me out there. I like researching things. As a matter of fact, I pretty much spend every day researching and I find some interesting nuggets.

We can roll up our sleeves and be the train that can or continue on our off trackness and who knows where we might land up. If you don't stand for something, you will fall for anything, and I think that we are at a crossroads and we have a great opportunity to go forward.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: That concludes our sign-in sheet, is there anyone else who to cares to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, does anybody have a ballpark figure on this TAN? In other words, how much in excess are we going to be paying over the TAN? In other words, if we borrow \$13 million do we have to pay back \$16 million? What is the cost to the -- final cost to the taxpayer?

MR. JUDGE: Four percent.

Approximately 4 percent.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yeah, but that's a number. It doesn't give me a cost. The cost number you must know exactly what the cost will be to the taxpayer. I don't have an amortization sheet. If I had one I could look at it and I would know, but it's not in front of me, but somewhere along the line 4 percent is nothing. It's the cost -- that's a variable, too, right? Plus the cost of the borrowing and the money, too?

MR. JUDGE: You will pay back at 4 percent \$13,520,000.

MR. SBARAGLIA: If we borrow \$13 million.

MR. JUDGE: That is correct. And

you hold that --

MR. SBARAGLIA: In the course of the borrowing money --

MR. JUDGE: And you hold it for the entire year, which you won't, so it's going to be something under that.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay, so we know exactly a ballpark figure what it's going to cost us. That's the only way you can compare the final cost of the TAN to the taxpayers. Given something like 4 percent doesn't mean a darn thing until you know exactly what you are borrowing, and the duration and the closing costs and all of that other stuff that goes with it. That's why I like amortization sheets, but they don't usually give them on TANS.

Okay, now, Mr. McGoff mentioned last time the cost of the real estate tax as being one of the lowest, but that ain't the true cost of living in Scranton. We got a wage tax that's really high. We also got the refuse fee that's high. We got a \$52 fee that's high and God knows what our sewer cost is going to be because that's going

higher and higher and higher. This is all costs of living in Scranton and if you would add them altogether we are not highest.

Living in Scranton you are paying the highest other than any around this community. They are probably getting -- they might have higher taxes on some things, but if you add in all our costs we are higher.

Now, the administration wants to go higher. I don't see how we are going to be able to do it and these things should are been done long ago. I'd like amortization sheets. I like adding one and one and getting two. Ozzie talks about this house bill, Mr. Joyce, you said you rent?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's correct?

MR. JOYCE: That's correct.

MR. SBARAGLIA: You want to pay for Mr. Ozzie's home because that's what you are going to be doing if this bill passes.

Every renter will have to pay for people who don't rent. In other words, the haves and the have nots is what it amounts to.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Now, if they wanted to really do something for the people that were aging they could have passed the bill long ago.

As I explained it when we were explaining here that time, the only people get anything out of it will be the community and businesses.

As far as the average person, you may get it for a year or two before they said the school tax has to go up sky high because the money ain't coming in or this and that. This is something that you have to look at. That's why Blake is against it and I'm going to be against it, too. Why should somebody have to pay for your house what it amounts to. It's not right. Of course, living in this city isn't quite right anyway.

I'm going to miss you, Janet, I really am. I miss them night sessions when we used to go there and go over the budgets that you at least printed out and people keep throwing away, but it was fun. At least you were trying.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And what is going to happen now, I have no idea. I don't know if there will be a taxpayer strike, which can happen. If people decide to put their taxes into escrow instead of putting it into treasure, the city will collapse. Let's hope it don't go that far, but people are mad and they are getting madder and I can understand it. They keep saying you said this was going to happen years ago. I said, sure, I said, it was going to happen years ago. It wasn't hard to figure out. You just can't do what we are doing.

I don't know what's going to happen. Maybe when we get out of these two-year contracts we could finally get in and do something with our workers in the city, maybe retirement age will be close to 55 like they are in private industry. I could never retire before I hit 55, why should somebody have to retire at 23 or something like that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. Mrs. Evans,

Mr. Joyce, I haven't always agreed with you, but I know you have done the best that you can for the city and thank you for your service.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much for your comments.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I hope someone on city council will amend the budget to keep the meters as they are now. It is probably the only thing that is really making us any kind of revenue. I hope someone will amend the budget to include them.

It seems that somewhere along the way the leaders of Scranton forgot what the city is. Scranton was always a city of hardworking people. Somewhere you got the idea that we could bring in people from New York and become a 24-hour city, a college town. Scranton was never meant to be that. We had a Chamber of Commerce that never brought anything into this city and always took from the city. I believe when they sold the old Chamber of Commerce building they owed taxes on it and they never paved

it off. I hope the new administration would

realize that it is the people in this town that need the help and they devote their time to paving the streets, putting sidewalks, new curbing and helping people who can't afford to fix their house to give them some kind of relief instead of just giving it to the developers. Good luck, city council, you will be needing it. Thank you.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher.

had --

ALL OF COUNCIL: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And before I use up all of my five minutes, I would like to echo Mr. Ungvarsky's comments and thank Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce for your service and, as I say we always did -- the same thing, didn't always agree but --

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think we always

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Could I stop

22

23

24

25

you and hold your time for a little bit? 1 MS. SCHUMACHER: 2 Sure. 3 MR. JOYCE: Because I do have 4 answers to your --5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. That was my 6 next question. Thank you. 7 MR. JOYCE: So if you could please pause the stopwatch so I could answer some 8 9 of the questions. I attempted to reach out 10 to all of the department heads as well as 11 PEL with your questions that you had. I did 12 obtain the answers to many of them, 13 unfortunately, I couldn't get the answers to 14 all of them. but let me start. The first question you asked was to 15 16 please provide the assumed percent property 17 tax owners will pay and garbage fee in 2014 18 as well as the rate assumed in the 2013 19 budget for this tax and fee. 20 Basically the collection rate that was assumed for the real estate tax was 88 21 22 percent in both budgets, both 2013 and 2014. 23 For the garbage collection fee, it's 76 24 percent. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Both years?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Please provide a breakdown of the cost of the total garbage fee by category and the number of fee payers to whom the invoice will be sent? How much, if any, is included in this line item for the repayment of the 2013 landfill forbearance?

I didn't get a concrete answer for this question. Basically, the response that I received back from PEL was that we could try to isolate costs further, but what I actually sent them was correct in the things that I listed as expenses of refuse collection and, of course, those are the cost of the labor for refuse/recycling workers as well as their health care benefits, workers' compensation, pension, as well as cost of fuel for the vehicles responsible for the collection of refuse and recyclables as well as the cost of vehicle repair and also the tipping fee. I don't have the exact figure on that number.

The next question that you asked, what additional service will be provided in 2014 that wasn't provided in 2013 that

accounts for the increase in the rental registration fees -- or the rental registration fee of \$50? Basically I said to PEL, you know, are there any other services -- and the department heads, are there any other services that will be provided for the increase in the rental registration fee and personally I'm assuming that they are not. However, I know that the increase in the rental registration fee is similar to some other cities in the United States and in Pennsylvania.

Basically PEL stated that as long as the fee covers the cost of the inspection plus administration/record keeping and is not a tax in disguise then the fee amount is probably okay. The city would have to determine the true cost of the providing the service. So interesting enough, if the city says, well, the inspection would cost \$300 that's the number that they could use to justify an increase.

MS. SCHUMACHER: If they --

MR. JOYCE: Even though maybe the city could think in the year before that an

inspection was only costing \$100, so it's very vague the rule on that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I think the property owners could testify as to how long they spend at their place so that would be an hourly rate, so that's something that could be verified as to whether it's a tax or a fee, but, thank you. Yeah.

MR. JOYCE: You also asked what's the intergovernmental reimbursement split between CDBG, demolitions and the state contribution to pension obligations.

Essentially for 2013 the city received approximately \$3 million in state aid and that was applied to the 2013 MMO. That's the amount assumed for 2014 as well.

Interesting enough, and I did not know this, in regard to OECD, is that they can charge retirement costs to -- they can take them out of CDBG funds and actually PEL did state that they would be surprised if the city is not doing this, but PEL doesn't monitor that fund so they didn't know.

As far as PILOTS, you asked from what entities and in what amount for each

PILOTS will be received. For the University of Scranton, as we know, it's \$175,000.

Lutherwood is \$6,000. Harrison House is 500. And, additionally, the city does receive some PILOT contributions from the Scranton Housing Authority. I asked if I was missing anything and I really wasn't. That's all that we are getting.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But isn't the amount in the 2014 considerably more than that amount which would be \$181,500. I think it's more than that in the budget, so where is -- what makes up the difference?

MR. JOYCE: Interesting enough, I would assume that the city is somehow optimistic that they will obtain more PILOTS.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay.

MR. JOYCE: The next question you had posed was the miscellaneous revenue/cable TV revenue includes \$28 million to be borrowed in 2014 for the public safety employee payback award -- or back pay award. It's my understanding that there will be a \$100,000 penalty per month

. .

for non-payment of this back pay. When is the \$28 million expected to be received and when will and will it suffice to cover the interest?

Well, PEL's response to this, and then I have my own response to it and I asked them to provide some more input, basically said -- they said the award borrowing should be \$22 million for the award, in parentheses, award plus costs of issuing the bonds which, of course, well, Mr. Judge would probably know more about that than I would, and the pension is for \$6.1 million unpaid balance plus the interest penalty unless, of course, that TAN does come in before the end of the year and that pension payment is made, but I know that is asking a lot.

Interest is included on the pension payments, they weren't sure on the monthly interest charges for the union, only half a year of debt payments in 2014 for the award financing. They have really no idea as to the unions willingness to a timetable for the borrowing under the mayor, so as far as

the interest on that award is concerned I hope that mayor-elect Courtright would try to negotiate something with the unions to hopefully come to an agreeable term on the interest because --

MS. SCHUMACHER: So then there is no interest in the 2014 budget for that then; right?

MR. JOYCE: Basically, yes.

Is there a lender for the tax anticipation note, and if so, what will be the fee and interest charged? Well, I think Mr. Judge took care of that one.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think we covered that. I think so.

MR. JOYCE: Will the local tax budgeted for the 2013 be achieved and, if not, how much will the budgeted revenue be short? I said from my review I was assuming that there should be no problems with this and PEL did confirm. They said that we don't -- or we don't see any local service tax problem and they also confirmed that much of the amount for the earned income tax in the 2014 operating budget is obtained.

That was PEL's opinion.

The next question that you had related to a revenue amount for the TAN of \$16 million and then on the expense side it was \$17 million, that was put in there to cover the cost of the fees and interest, but as Mr. Judge did explain on the \$13 million TAN the cost of it would be about 13.5. So, for instance, on a \$16 million TAN I'm assuming the cost would probably be somewhere in the, oh, I'd say 650 to \$700,000 range. Mr. Judge, am I correct in my assumption?

MR. JUDGE: You are. In fact, I just got a tax back, you cannot close a 2014 TAN in 2013.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. So I guess we now know the answers that we will be paying the interest on the amendment only.

Okay, next you asked what is the Tax Collection Committee, who are the members and why do they expect to incur expenses of almost half a million dollars?

This is the countywide Tax

Collection Commission, that collect the

2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

earned income tax, and basically that's what I was able to obtain.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. That's -- okay --

MR. JOYCE: I know I didn't get all of your questions, but I did get as much as I possibly could.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, yeah, there are certainly more unanswered than answers, but I appreciate what you did, but, you know, I feel, and two of the members up there, but everybody should want to know the answers to these questions before they vote on the budget and if they don't, if I were sitting up there I would have to recuse myself because if I didn't know that this was an actual workable budget I couldn't vote one way or the other on that, so I'm disappointed that the answers aren't fully available because I think they are all legitimate, especially nothing on that \$7 million jump over the unpaid bill or the court awards? Is the unpaid bills going to be the deficit that we are going to have at the end of 2013?

MR. JOYCE: Well, we are holding back bills plus there is, of course, the MMO payment that's going to be missed. That's going to be made up for in 2014, so your assumption is very logical and correct.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

And you already said nothing in the budget
either for that all of the interest that is
going out.

Mr. Rogan, I had two questions for him, but I guess he wasn't interested in the answers to my questions either.

MR. JOYCE: I could take a crack at them if they are OECD related.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, yeah, I would like to know where the delinquent loan status of all of the delinquent loans is, that's been weeks.

MR. JOYCE: That's something that

Mr. Rogan has been involved in so --

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then the other one he has been advocating going to a per bag fee for the trash and I wanted to know from him how much per bag he thought we would -- or calculated, I would hope, would

have to be charged to raise the \$7 million that's in the budget for trash removal.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, to be honest with you, I don't know if there has ever been a garbage study done in the City of Scranton or any other city that determines the amount of the number of bags of trash that the average household puts out every week, but just from looking at -- there is one city in Pennsylvania I know that does a per bag fee, and that's Wilkes-Barre, and I know that theirs is \$2 per bag.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay.

MR. JOYCE: But I don't think \$2 per bag would give us \$7 million.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I don't think so either. Will we be collecting the trash at the homes with the 24 percent that are not planned -- will not be paying according to the collections percentage that they are using for the budget?

MR. JOYCE: Well, it's -- I'm assuming that will happen. I don't see the DPW being able to or even knowing who pays their trash bill and who gets behind, you

know. I think at least NRS has done a very good job going after those with delinquent trash bills and I hope they could continue their good work and hopefully there is some way that in the next administration that they will be able to collect refuse fees effectively because that is very problematic when you think --

MS. SCHUMACHER: It is, because our \$300 rate is going to pay for a quarter of the people who do not.

MR. JOYCE: Right. You are absolutely right.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Who don't bother to pay, and it is in the ordinance that was originally drafted back I believe in '89 that that is something that the DPW is allowed to do, so I would certainly hope that was looked at.

And I just want to verify, if there are amendments to the budget tonight. There will be a second round of questions since we have not been made privy to any amendments?

MR. JOYCE: I would definitely advocate for that. I think that the public

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should have the chance to speak about any amendments, and I have a page here from my colleagues that was provided that describes the amendments that they will make tonight and I think that the public should definitely have a chance to comment on them.

Okay, and I do hope MS. SCHUMACHER: that the -- if there is a since there are going to be amendments that one of them would be that any person who doesn't pay their real estate tax or city real estate tax and is 62 or over will be provided materials on reverse mortgage and referred to a financial person that can help them or persons, because I think there a lot of people, as I said, I think this could end up being the great real estate robbery because, you know, within two years they could be sold and all of the equity, assuming there at that age of life and stage, I would certainly think that a lot of people are going to be walking away with a lot of people's hard earned equity, so I do hope that's one of the them, so some I will see next year and some I won't, but probably

later if there are amendments. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'm sure I'll speak to you again in less than an hour.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I think it's really important for this council to send a very strong signal to the community and let the mayor's budget be implemented the way it sits. And when the council does this they need to be vocal, very vocal, all five council members, not just some, but all five. And say that this city cannot pay the this rate of taxation because the tax base just happen.

And, you know, you read in the paper today where the city unions want their money and, look it, I'm not against that, but we can't pay that either, and when we take a look at the amount of these taxes that are coming and, Mr. Rogan, I appreciate what you said because I think it supports some of what I'm saying today when you ask that they extend the deadline to pay your taxes, but I

think it's time to be very honest and very truthful and admit one thing, people can't pay this tax, they don't have the money, and to implement and borrow and pretend. Look it, it's nothing personal against the council, but it's like watching a comedy show. We have taxed this community in every way you can imagine.

Now, Mr. Courtright is going to take office soon. I read in the paper that he is bringing some of Mr. Doherty's on board to steer this ship financially. That's the silliest thing I've heard in my life, because when you take a look at where this city is financially and you are going to bring a failed team in to implement another failed plan to turn this failed city around it's ridiculous.

And, you know, when you take a look at the amount of people who voted if that isn't a very strong indicator that the residents of this city have no faith in its elected government, that should be an eye opener.

You know, I was in the state capital

24

25

today listening to them and the radio station's report on the state's financial condition and to be honest with you, that's as much of a comedy show as this. I mean, we take a look at where the federal government and the state government, cities like Scranton and the community, and I think that the people we elect have a failure to understand reality, because we have come to the conclusion that we can enact things and all of a sudden a miracle will happen and the revenue will appear. We have done that for a very long time and I just think we need to take a new stance. We need the residents of this city to put of all of their tax money in escrow and force this city to do what needs to be done, and not only the city, but the state government to recognize that the residents of this city have no more money to give and let it be the beginning of a tax regrowth across this Commonwealth and maybe across this nation because we elect people that have no sense of reality who spend a lot of money getting elected and all they do is deceive the

people that are voting for them, and the few people come out because they always vote, but most people have stayed home.

And when you watch the elective process that just took place in this city we have elected people that have determined there is no need for a tax increase and they have a plan. And my point is that we need to vote "no", let the mayor's budget take place and, let all of these things take place and then let Mr. Courtright and the new council members come in and do the things they told this tax base that they could do. That's the problem here. We have to deal with reality and not make believe.

And the other thing I think is, look at, I don't know what's going to happen to this council after the election -- after the new council takes place. I don't know if the solicitor is staying, I don't know if the city clerk is staying, I don't know how many of the people in the mayor's, although, Mr. Courtright has made a lot of suggestions to the Scranton Times on what he is going to do, but I'd like to say something that in

24

25

this council chamber whether I agree with what's going on here for a long time I think that Mrs. Krake has been a major asset to this council and I have watched a lot -- an awful lot of councils and a lot of clerks and a lot of solicitors and I'd just like to say that in my own opinion and, like I said, I don't pick sides here, I just try to be factual, at every instance when this council had a question about what had occurred in the past in this city Mrs. Krake had a pretty good handle on what had occurred, and I just think that whether I agree with what the unions have done or whether I agree with where this city has gone that's the kind of people that we need to put in place who know the history of this city, know what's occurred, know where the information is, how to retrieve it, how to present it to council.

And, you know, I know that a couple of times other councils have taken their positions and have kept the clerk and a couple of times they have kept the attorney, and I just think that in some instances we

need to bring and keep capable people, but if we are going to allow -- if Mr.

Courtright is going to build and rebuild this city with the failed team that the mayor had then I disagree with that. You can't mix apples with oranges.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. FRANUS: Good evening. First I'd like to thank you, Mrs. Evans, and you, Mr. Joyce, for the excellence work that you have done over the years and God bless you for all you put up. You didn't deserve any of the crap that you got, but you did it with grace, you really did. You took it with grace. And I'd like to thank Nancy for all of the hard work she has done. She has been great as well.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: There aren't words to describe how great you've been. Mr. Rogan, Ms. Schumacher didn't get a chance to get your response because you weren't here,

1 what's the status on the OECD loans? 2 Regarding the list that MR. ROGAN: 3 was requested from Ms. Aebli? MS. FRANUS: Marie? Yes. 4 5 Delinquent. MR. ROGAN: We still haven't 6 received that from OECD. 7 8 MS. FRANUS: How long you have been 9 asking for this and every week you come in 10 and say the same thing? 11 MR. ROGAN: Years. MS. FRANUS: Well, how come you 12 13 don't get on top of this and ask, keep 14 asking her? I mean, how is that you can't get a response from her? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: City council has had 17 this problem with many department heads, 18 it's not just OECD. MS. FRANUS: Well, I'm not talking 19 about that, I'm talking about OECD, you are 20 21 the head of it. How come you can't get a 22 response from her? 23 MR. ROGAN: Ultimately, she answers 24 to the mayor, not to city council and that 25 shouldn't be --

MS. FRANUS: Are you trying to answer you, the head of OECD?

MR. ROGAN: No, she doesn't.

MS. FRANUS: She doesn't have to.

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. FRANUS: I understand that she is supposed to be working in that department under Billy Courtright, is that possible? I mean, if that's the case how dumb is he? Well, then again, also, he is also keeping the same people. Here is woman that can't keep track of \$11 million, but she is going to be rewarded by keeping her job?

I wrote you letters and I didn't get a response from any of you, Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe regarding the questions that Marie asked. Frank, I really appreciate all of the time, and you didn't even have to because you are not going to be here next year, but you took the time to call all of these people and get the answers, which is very much appreciated. And like Ms. Schumacher said, how could you pass the budget if you don't know the answers to these questions regarding the

1 budget? Mr. Rogan, did you call anybody to 2 3 get any answers to any of the questions? MR. ROGAN: 4 No questions regarding the budget were directed to me --5 MS. FRANUS: Yes, they were. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: -- on Ms. Schumacher's 8 list. They were only provided to Mr. Joyce 9 and Mrs. Evans, I believe. 10 MS. FRANUS: No, last week when she 11 was here she wanted all of you, and I even 12 said, and I wrote you a letter, which I got 13 no response to, and I said, "Ms. Schumacher 14 asked all these questions, I hope you can go to the departments heads and get the 15 16 answers," not just Mr. Joyce. 17 You and Mr. McGoff, I can't believe 18 that you would sit there and say you didn't 19 know what the questions were directed. 20 MR. ROGAN: I didn't receive a 21 letter Ms. Schumacher. 22 MS. FRANUS: I don't think you have. 23 She was here last week and asked you 24 questions. You could have asked it up here

to get a copy of the questions, and I stood

25

here last week and said you should get the answers and make sure that you do. Did you not hear me?

MR. ROGAN: I'm not going to argue with you.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I mean, that's your job, like I told you in your letter that I wrote you, like, make it like I didn't even write it. You also said last week that you're going to make amendments, but are you not going to raise any salaries to the current level, does that mean that since it's the current level are you going to make amendments to raise the salary back -- Mr. Doherty wants to decrease the fire chief, are you going to put an amendment to raise that?

MR. ROGAN: Would you like me to address the amendments?

MS. FRANUS: I'd like you to address the questions that I asked, specific question I asked, are you going to make an amendment to raise the fire chief's salary back up?

MR. ROGAN: The amendment package

1 contains sustained funding for the fire 2 chief's salary. 3 MS. FRANUS: That means put it back where it was? 4 5 MR. ROGAN: The same salary as it was, yes. 6 7 MS. FRANUS: Where are you going to 8 get that money from? 9 MR. ROGAN: DPW overtime. 10 MS. FRANUS: Oh, you can guarantee 11 that there is not go to be overtime? 12 MR. ROGAN: No, there is still 13 funding for DPW overtime. 14 But here's my point, if MS. FRANUS: you could take money out of the budget to 15 16 pay for something else, why don't you just 17 take that money out of the budget and leave 18 it out? Don't put it towards anything else. 19 We are so far in the hole, why don't we take 20 money out something, why don't you just take 21 it out and subtract it and make it less for 22 us taxpayers instead of playing around to 23 take care of Billy Courtright? 24 Courtright, the only thing he asked council 25 that he wanted to do is put the fire chief's

salary back up. Isn't it amazing how he wants to take care of the unions?

But it's the one thing he wanted council to do, nothing else you heard from him because he is no where to be found because he is afraid to answer questions from the council because he is going to appear less than knowledgeable, let's put it that way. I find it amazing that he took care of that.

Another thing, why didn't you, now, this isn't too hard to figure out, you can get a driver's license or a birth certificate, why can't you give senior citizens over 65 pay the same amount they are now or even less for garbage? Why can't senior citizens 65 and older or maybe 62 and older pay a low amount for their garbage? It's not right. I have one bag of garbage, if that today, for tomorrow's pickup. My neighbor has six cans, okay? So how come senior citizens can't pay? That shouldn't be hard to figure out. Wouldn't that be fair?

I mean, you were saying -- somebody

1 was saying earlier that you can't keep track of who paid and who didn't pay, but that's 2 3 why you have people that do that, but it should be easy and find out who the senior 4 5 citizens are and they should be allowed to pay less, this isn't fair at all. Like I 6 7 said --8 MR. JOYCE: I agree. 9 MS. FRANUS: Again, I want to thank 10 you and Mrs. Evans and Nancy for a great job and Mr. Hughes as well, so thank you very 11 12 much. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 14 anyone else. MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, I missed you 15 16 last week. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 18 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Janet. MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris. 19 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, tomorrow is 21 the big game for Old Forge tomorrow, Frank. 22 If we win tomorrow, Frank, it's going to be 23 a good game tomorrow. Old Forge, good luck 24 tomorrow. Thank you. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chris, you need your

24

25

hat.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mrs. Krake?

Oh, yes, we did have quite a number of Boy Scouts present for tonight's meeting. I'm assuming that they were doing so in fulfillment of earning a badge, so we thank them for their attendance.

MR. LOSCOMBE: What was the troop number? 16? Troop 16.

MS. EVANS: Troop No. 16 out of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And this young lady was going to make a speech, did you still She is a little shy. want to speak?

> MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, thank you. of all, to answer a question that was posed earlier in speaking with Mr. Courtright earlier today he did indicate that he and the Finance team were going to be out of town, that they were meeting with some potential investors, some potential people

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that -- or banking interest and investment interest that may be interested in looking at borrowing for the arbitration award and that they felt it important to go to that meeting to deal with that situation.

Secondly, I'm going to save most of the comments that I have for the business part of the meeting. I do want to say that amendments are being proposed or will be proposed, these are amendments that have had input from a number of sources and we have been in contact or we have spoken with PEL, with DCED, with the Courtright Finance team. I know all of us have probably spoken with other people from the investment communities, from the banking community to get input on the budget and I believe that with the amendments that will be proposed we have -- that if adopted would give us a budget that is sustainable for 2014 and can help us move forward and solve some of the problems that we do have.

It may be premature to say, but the amendments to the budget do not provide for a tax increase beyond what is in the initial

proposal, and last week when I spoke I did say that I felt that there may be a need to do that. As we went through the budget and talked with different people, it became apparent that perhaps we could keep it at the level that was proposed by Mayor Doherty and hopefully that will solve our -- help solve some of the revenue problems that we have and I will speak more about that as we get to the amendments, but hopefully we can arrive at an amicable and a sustainable budget this evening and hopefully it allows the future administration to work with it and to move forward, and thank you. That is all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, when will you present the amendments for the public?

MR. MCGOFF: It was my belief from just a couple of weeks ago that we need to introduce the legislation that's before us before we can --

MS. EVANS: Vote to amend, right, but I know that in previous years I think

Mr. Joyce always began the meeting with his amendments so that the public was aware of

1 them and would have the opportunity to 2 speak. 3 MR. MCGOFF: If it's agreeable to 4 everyone we obviously could do that now. 5 MS. EVANS: That would be fine, 6 sure. 7 MR. MCGOFF: Do you want to present 8 them since I'm here? 9 MR. ROGAN: You have them in front 10 you. 11 MR. JOYCE: I'm not sure exactly 12 whose amendments belong to whom, so --13 MR. MCGOFF: And that's the other 14 thing if I'm going to do this now, these are not amendments that were provided by I would 15 16 say any one person. This has been an effort 17 from a variety of people, as I said. I know 18 Mr. Rogan has worked quite a bit on them. 19 have had some input, I know Mr. Loscombe has 20 had some input with Mr. Rogan, and again, we 21 have had input from the Courtright finance 22 team and others and to identify a specific, 23 like, whose this was --24 MR. JOYCE: Yeah. 25 MR. MCGOFF: I don't know that's a

possibility. There are things that we discussed at length in many cases, some things that we took from others, some that, you know, we may have disagreed with and were not put into the amendments, so I would like to say that this is truly an amalgamation of ideas and hopefully ones that can be supported.

The amendments as presented. Number one, on page 33, Office of the mayor, increase standard salary from \$91,085 to \$96,085 and make the same change to total employee compensation.

MS. EVANS: You mean 91,000?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, 91,000. I

got the decimal point instead of -- 91,000

to 96,085.

On page three, increase confidential secretary salary from \$31,085 to \$36.085 and change the department of the mayor total from \$91.085 to \$96,085.

On page 42, decrease the standard salary for the Office of City Council, city clerk, from \$219,298.96 to \$214,290.96. On the same page, decrease the total for the

1 Department of City Council, city clerk, from 2 \$300,942.29 to \$295,942.29. 3 On page 43, in the Office of City Council, city clerk, decrease the salary of 4 5 the legislative legal advisor from \$45,000 to \$40,000, and decrease the total on page 6 43 to \$214m290.96. 7 8 That's all kind of a package, first 9 amendment or first --MS. EVANS: So that is all voted on 10 11 together rather than individually? 12 MR. MCGOFF: Well, I think we would 13 probably have to do them as an individual 14 item I would assume. 15 MS. EVANS: One, two, three or 1-A, 16 B, C. 17 MR. MCGOFF: That was 1-A, B, C, I'm 18 sorry. 19 MR. ROGAN: I don't want to prolong this, but if 1-A were to fail, 1-B would be 20 21 unnecessary, so I guess it would be up to 22 us. MR. MCGOFF: Each one of these is 23 24 going to have to be an individual vote. 25 MS. EVANS: Whatever you are prefer.

MR. MCGOFF: Like I said, like Pat said, Mr. Rogan said that, you know, if one is not passed then the others become moot, so I think we just have to do them in order and move.

Number two, on page 39 in the

Department of Public Safety, Bureau of Fire,
increase the standard salary from

\$9,240,578.50 to \$9,257,806.61, and change
the total employee compensation from

\$21,605,238.99 to \$21,622,367.10.

On the same page make the same changes to the Bureau of fire total, and change the public safety total in the appropriate amount.

On page 40, and this is "A", on page 40, Department of Public Safety, Bureau of Fire, increase the salary of the chief from \$50,000 to \$67,228.11 and increase the Bureau of Fire total from \$9,240,578.50 to \$9,257,806.61.

On page, and this would be "B", on page 82, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Refuse, decrease overtime salary from \$100,000 to \$82,771.89, \$82,771.89, and that

would be -- those items were to be, obviously, to increase the salary of the fire chief.

Anything? Moving on. Number three, on page 83, the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Refuse, reduce the number of collectors from 27 to 26, and the collector's salaries from \$1,106,065.06 to \$1,076,654.06 and add a DPW refuse supervisor for a salary of \$30,000.

Number four, on page 62, in the Office of Economic and Community
Development, eliminate the position of support service specialist part-time and decrease the funding of that position from \$23,824.00 to zero and decrease the Bureau of Administration total at an appropriate amount.

Also, on page 62, this would be would be "A" in the Office of Economic and Community Development, increase the funding for neighborhood police officers from \$184,417.58 to \$207,241.58

MR. JOYCE: I have one question about this one, if you don't mind me asking.

1 MR. MCGOFF: No. 2 MR. JOYCE: I know many of the 3 amendments so far have been adjustments in pay, whether it be an increase or a 4 5 decrease, but one thing I did have a question about, the increase in funding for 6 7 neighborhood police officers, will this 8 provide for a part-time officer, for 9 instance, or is it just going to be used for extra funding in the future if it's not used 10 11 up? 12 It's going to be used MR. ROGAN: 13 for overtime and neighborhood police 14 patrols. MR. JOYCE: 15 Okay. 16 MR. ROGAN: Instead of using the 17 overtime from the general budget it would be 18 neighborhood police patrols, OECD funded. 19 MR. JOYCE: Okay. That's all. 20 MS. EVANS: This officer though will 21 have to stay in--22 MR. ROGAN: Within -- yes. Low to moderate income 23 MS. EVANS:

MR. JOYCE:

Low to moderate income,

24

25

areas.

correct.

MS. EVANS: Because they are supposed to be paid by CDBG funds.

MR. ROGAN: Correct.

MR. MCGOFF: On page 50, this will be No. 5. On Page 50 in the Department of Business Administration, Bureau of Administration, increase the salary of the business administrator from \$53,550 to \$85,000. "A", also, in the Department of Business Administration, Borough of Administration, increase the salary of the finance manager from \$37,400 to \$50,000.

"B". Also, in the Department of Business Administrator, Bureau of Administration, add the position staff assistant at a salary of \$35,000.

On page 49, in the Department of Business Administrator, business administrator, increase the standard salary from \$238,904.21 to \$317,954.21.

On page 49, in the Department of
Business Administration, Bureau of
Administration, change the total for
business administration from \$8,163,921.62

to \$8,242,971.62.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to comment on those, those are being funded through DCED in the form of a grant. All of the changes on Item No. 5.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? Number 6, on page 31, decrease unpaid bills court awards from \$29,098,756.45 to \$29,019,706.45.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, I have a question on that one, if you don't mind. Was there a specific award that won't have to be paid out or was something calculated differently or --

MR. ROGAN: I believe, and I believe we discussed this briefly this morning, I believe that was regarding because the city has been holding some bills I believe that the penalty to the MMO would be decreased because some payment will be made.

MR. JOYCE: Because some payment will be made, okay.

MR. MCGOFF: And finally, number seven on page 24, under rents and concessions, insert "account for cell phone

tower leases for \$18,000." The total for rents and concessions shall remain at \$25,000.

MR. ROGAN: And on that one instead of increasing the totals my initial idea was to put that \$18,000 into contingency because it's not something that is guaranteed. I did want to put it in the budget and a company that I have been working with also wanted to see that in the budget, so by not increasing the revenue or using that money anywhere if it doesn't -- if it isn't realized there would be no harm on that additional line item in the budget, and if it comes in then we would be over budget on that item or we can makeup for another deficiency in rents and concessions.

MS. EVANS: If we could jump back to number three, you are reducing the number of trash collectors from 27 to 26, is that due to a retirement or just --

MR. ROGAN: It was the -- mayor in his budget created two new positions in DPW refuse, both of them are unfilled, so this isn't --

ı	
1	MS. EVANS: So you are just cutting
2	one?
3	MR. ROGAN: We are cutting one of
4	the new positions the mayor included.
5	MS. EVANS: And the refuse
6	supervisor that's a new position.
7	MR. ROGAN: That's funded by
8	removing the funding for the collector and
9	that's also I believe the DPW overtime can
10	be reduced by having a supervisor to monitor
11	the refuse collections.
12	MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Anything else? I'm
14	done.
15	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
16	And, Councilman Rogan, do you have any
17	comments or motions?
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Actually a
19	question before that, should I continue with
20	my normal Fifth Order or should we allow the
21	public to comment on
22	MS. EVANS: Well, I think we'll go
23	through our Fifth Order and then before we
24	vote on the amendments to the budget we can
25	ask for citizens' participation once again.

MR. ROGAN: Great. I will be very brief. Most of my comments I will make in the voting portion of the meeting. Just one thing I wanted to mention and I wanted to read, this is a letter that I received from Commissioner Patrick O'Malley.

It says, "Dear Pat, as a Lackawanna County Commissioner, Scranton resident and taxpayer, I am very happy that we are able to extend the discount period for the City of Scranton. Scranton is the heart of Lackawanna County and it is my belief that this extension will strive to help reduce the financial hardships being placed on taxpayers. It will assist our city on the road to recovery. Should you need my, assistance please contact my office."

And I would like to thank

Commissioner O'Malley, and I also phoned

Commissioners -- the Commissioner's Office

to leave a message for all three of them

last week and Commissioner O'Brien returned

the call saying that he was glad to help out

the city this year with the extension of the

discount period. That's all for now. I'll

1 MS. EVANS: Yes. 2 MR. MCGOFF: -- for people or do we 3 want it at 10:00 or --4 MR. ROGAN: I would just hope that 5 council's swearing in ceremony is complete prior to the mayor's swearing in ceremony, 6 7 which I believe would be at city hall this year, not only for logistical purposes but 8 9 so council members can attend the mayor's 10 swearing in as well. 11 MS. EVANS: And what time is that? 12 MR. ROGAN: I believe that's at 13 noon. 14 MS. EVANS: Well --MR. MCGOFF: Could we have the Sine 15 Die at 10:00? 16 17 MS. EVANS: Um-hum. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Would that be 19 acceptable. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And there yo 21 have it, Mr. Loscombe, ten. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: I do want to thank 23 you for all you have done so I will have a 24 little more to elaborate on that at that 25 meeting.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I guess I'll just briefly address the budget at this point because I don't know when we are voting and how much I feel about that, but we had a few speakers tonight that had some valid points. Mr. Miller he summed up the opportunity of missed revenue through our revenue sources that we developed over the past few years, which could have put you us in a much better position at this point.

Mr. Ellman, he hit a nerve, too, that it's close to me in that whenever something like this comes up, and it's showing again in the news media, particularly the printed media, that the blame falls back on the police and fire departments for this. This was a Supreme Court award and we forget that we negotiated with the police and firefighters after that award and they were able to reduce it by \$15 million. That goes forgotten, but all we want to do is look at the hard end of it, but the realistic part of it is that there could have been -- there were agreements

made many times through the past several years that would have been far less than what we are going to be paying out now, but the blame continues to go that way because it's easy. That's the easiest way to do it, but I think there is enough smart people here, as Mr. Ellman mentioned tonight, to realize where the blame goes to, so I just wanted to clarify that.

I mean, I have been pretty much anguished over the past several weeks trying to work on the budget and I have had a lot of situations in the past few weeks that have kept me away from a lot of this. I haven't been able to get into it as much as my colleagues, and I do appreciate the time that they have put into it and the meetings, there was a couple of meetings I was invited to I was unable to attend, but I did meet a few -- I met -- I have met mayor-elect Courtright, I have also met Mayor Doherty.

A lot of our conversations with my fellow colleagues have been through telephone conversations keeping me up-to-date, asking me my opinions, and the

tough thing is, you know, at the end of the day we have to come up with something that's going to work or everybody and it may not be palatable for everybody and it may not palatable in certain areas for each of us individually.

You know, this council, as it was stated before, I think it was Mr. Quinn stated how much Mrs. Evans has stated over the years where we are headed with this runaway spending and stuff. And again, I know that we have all tried hard over the past two years to limit any increases in taxes and so forth.

But the fact is we are at the edge of the cliff right now, looking over the cliff and I personally don't see any other alternative at this point other than the tax increase to get us back in position. I know it hurts a lot of people and I would hope everybody can make it through this. We have to make it through together. We missed the opportunity on a commuter tax. Again, there is lot of missed opportunities. We missed opportunities on pursuing PILOTS, so all of

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this goes into effect, not for lack of our trying, but we have to pass a budget that's going to work and the biggest obstacle we have are the court awards at this point, and the obstacle placed in our way with those court awards and as we saw with our TAN is that the banks won't even speak to us unless we could show that that borrowing is covered.

What are we going to do? It's not an easy solution. I know people get scared when they hear 56 percent or whatever on their property taxes, it is a scary number. The fact is that 56 percent is a portion of your tax bill, and I have explained this before, you know, for anyone that's interested. Just say your taxes are \$1,000 a year, your combined taxes, that doesn't mean you're going to pay another \$600. Probably 21 percent of that is your city tax, the smallest portion right now of your tax bill of the three taxing bodies is your city tax. That's the portion that would be increased under this budget, not the \$1,000 but roughly the 21 percent. It varies 20,

25 percent on most properties, but that's what we are looking at.

Yep, we are looking at a several hundred dollars a year on that. But, you know, it's still cheaper than your cable bills. It's still cheaper than cigarettes. Your cable bills are \$100 a month. There is not a lot of people paying \$100 a month in city taxes on their property, city portion. That's correct. You can go on the Lackawanna County site and you will see there is people paying three, four hundred dollars a year. We have no other -- no other alternative at this point. Nobody came with a magic wand and said, "We are giving to give you \$5 million, \$20 million."

And if you don't believe how anguished I've been, and I know my colleagues, we'd love to be able to reduce your taxes. Hopefully if we get through this year and get this resolved we will be able to with a new administration that I had specifically personally asked to go after revenue sources that we have put together, to go after the PILOTS, to go after the back

taxes and the garbage fees, all of this has been left on the table for these past few years. If we get aggressive with that stuff it's going to be a benefit to all of us.

But to tell you I'm not sick to my stomach I would be lying. My family has seen me, and I'm sure the rest of my colleagues, to give a 5 percent tax increase is sickening, but to have to go where we have to go in order to meet our obligations we'll get the blame, but we didn't create it, and we are paving the way for whoever comes in next, these decisions aren't easy, they are hard, but I want to see this city succeed.

And, you know, unfortunately, other avenues weren't pursued. We have to keep --- we have to keep going, we have to provide the services that you deserve, we can't even cut any of the department or services anymore, but my take is, you know, not that I want to, but at this point in time it's our only option.

I have listened to a lot of angles.

Spoke, like said, I have spoke back and

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

forth and I have seen the numbers and I just saw how hard it was to finally get a TAN. mean, the company that actually bid on the original TAN backed out, so we were fortunate enough that Mr. Judge was able to get a company like that, but one of the big factors like that is the company see that we are starting, you know, we are probably under new administration and maybe a little bit better to them that there will be -- or hoping that there will be more cooperation, and I'm hoping, too. I'm going to keep the mayor's feet to the fire no matter who is in there, and I did personally request that he do everything to continue to go after revenue sources that were provided by this body here over the past few years that were neglected.

But, you know, at this point the only option I have is to vote in favor of the budget. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, first, in spite of this, I might sound like a scrooge by what I just had to say and I apologize, but

I have to do what I believe is in best interest of the taxpayers even though they don't all believe that, but I do want to wish everyone a happy and healthy holiday season. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. First of all, since this maybe the final meeting before the Sine Die meeting, I just wanted to thank all of the people that have come here and voiced their opinions throughout the years. Whether they have agreed with me or disagreed with me, I have always worked hard, always tried to do my best, I've always tried to do the people's business.

About the budget, you know, you sit there and you look at what the budget is, and while I admit there were definitely some missed opportunities, there were definitely revenue streams that we could have gone after -- or the city could have gone after, but they didn't or they chose not to or they didn't want to head in that avenue, and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's their position and that's not something council could have controlled.

Now, I want to give credit to my colleagues, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff for putting the amendments together. I'm sure that they have -- and they have said had they have spoke to different members of DCED, PEL, Mr. Courtright's finance team, and that's admirable. You know, that's putting in due diligence, but, you know, when I look over most of the amendments a lot of them are raises, and when I first became a member of the council we came in here and we lowered the salaries of a lot of Mayor Doherty's employees. Some people thought, oh, they are just doing that to be vindictive. wasn't doing it to be vindictive, I was doing it to save the people money, and to go back and say, well, you know what, there is a new mayor, a new administration, and some of these people deserve to make more than what Mayor Doherty's people in those same positions made, I think is wrong. I think we can't do it.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do agree with it, and I'll discuss those later, but that's where I stand on the budget, and if these -- and if the majority of these amendments pass, first, the taxpayers in the City of Scranton can't afford the budget as it is, though it may be the last option, that would be viable to some of the banking communities, so I'll be honest with you, there should have been more done to get that tax increase lower or to eliminate part of that garbage fee increase, but I can't sit there and justify raising taxes 56 percent and raising the garbage fee 69 percent and raising the meter rates 25 cents per hour, and jacking up rental registration fees when we could possibly be giving out raises to the next administration's cabinet or department heads.

Now, there is some amendments that I

I did speak to a number of people.

I spoke to PEL, I communicated with them. I communicated with some departments head. I communicated with the mayor as well. I also did place a phone call into Mr. Courtright

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after the election to congratulate him and to have him contact me about the budget, and I will say that Mr. Courtright never contacted me nor did anyone from his finance team except for coming to council.

Now, I'm just telling you the honest truth. I would have expected a little bit more courtesy as a voting council member because I may not be here next year, that's why I didn't propose any amendments, but I still have a voice now, and if Mr. Courtright should want some of his people to make a little bit more money and if he thinks that they should be making more than Mayor Doherty's employees do in the equivalent positions, then all he has to do after he takes office is open up the budget, transfer some funds from contingency and raise salaries, and if he wants to do that, that's his choice, but one thing is I will not do it for him. And that's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. The final votes on the 2014 operating budget will be cast shortly. As

you know, some of my colleagues had discussed adding a 25 percent increase to the mayor's proposed 56 percent tax increase, despite the facts that the \$2 million liquid fuels budgetary hole has shrunk, and the 2014 tax anticipation note had been secured.

In addition, the newspaper reported this week that the Sewer Authority is proceeding with the process of a potential sale or a public private partnership which could generate revenue for the city next year.

Further, Mayor Doherty spoke with Gerald Cross of the Pennsylvania Economy League again today to ensure that his 2014 proposed budget remained acceptable to PEL and he was assured that, yes, the proposed budget is agreeable. Now, would PEL like more money? Yes. Would it refuse an additional tax increase? Never. However, the proposed budget meets the expenses of the city government and for PEL's requirements according to Mr. Cross.

So it appears, and I'm very glad $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

that my colleagues are not proposing this among their amendments tonight. It appeared that the mayor-elect and his league of mercenaries from the Doherty administration who wanted to burn the people of the Scranton with an extra 25 percent tax increase in addition to the 56 percent increase proposed by the mayor are not going to get their way.

Now, during last week's meeting I mentioned to Mr. Hickey, former Doherty administration solicitor and now advisor to Mr. Courtright, who was looking for money from the -- more money from the taxpayers last week, that the mayor is granted the power to open the budget by the Home Rule Charter. The tax formulas have not been set by ordinance for 2014. That legislation will not be drafted and submitted to city council until January 2014.

Consequently, the new mayor can open the budget at that time if his advisors counsel him that there is any financial emergency and propose an additional 25 percent tax increase or anything else that

he is seeking in the tax formula that he will submit to the new council for its approval.

In addition, if the mayor-elect wanted more money from the taxpayers he could have and should have participated in the proposed budget process with Mayor Doherty, as he was invited to do, yet he chose not to do so, and I choose to stand with people of this city for whom I have worked and fought so hard for the last ten years.

Not only would I never approve an additional 25 percent tax hike, I wouldn't approve an override vote of the mayor's vote of an additional 25 percent so, Mr. McGoff, you know me well.

The financial problems of our city have multiple causes, too numerous to discuss in one evening. The proposed 2014 operating budget is a reflection, or more appropriately, a consequence of those problems. If I had to reduce the issues to a bottom line, however, I would say that excessive borrowing and wasteful spending

through 2008 gave birth to the crises that occurred in 2012 and beyond. I warned against these actions and voted against each of them.

When the crises occurred I didn't respond with inaction or the phrase "I told you so," rather, I rolled up my sleeves and partnered with Mayor Doherty to face the problems head on and solve them through a revised recovery plan and procurement of TANS in order to prevent bankruptcy and to maintain the level of public safety and services for which the people of Scranton pay their taxes.

Councilman Joyce, Mayor Doherty and I worked together on the 2013 budget and the city government had no crises, surprises or delinquent bills this year. However, the 2013 budget also charged the administration to pursue a commuter tax, PILOTS from large nonprofits and \$600,000 owed by the Ice Box and the sale of the Sewer Authority among other revenue generators, and these were to provide for the 2014 budget and beyond. For whatever his reasons, the mayor chose not no

follow through.

At the same time, the state government turned its back on Scranton and its people after leading the mayor to the Supreme Court to fight the states own war against municipal unions. It left the mayor, this city council, and all of the city taxpayers with the bag of bills. The state refused to contribute to payment of the Supreme Court award while state elected officials said they could not help us with nonprofit PILOTS, countywide tax reassessments or payroll tax, among other requests I made.

So, ladies and gentlemen, it's simply this, the mayor didn't do his work and the state gave us excuses, apologies, but no real financial assistance. That's why the mayor's proposed budget contains tax and fee increases.

Now, I worked tirelessly to prevent a 56 percent tax hike and garbage fee increase from occurring. Others, unfortunately, did not. I know the problem of our city, I know that most senior

citizens, blue collar working families, the unemployed, the sick and the poor cannot afford the taxes contained in this budget.

The people first elected me in 2003 to fight for them. They reelected me in 2005 and again in 2009 trusting me so greatly as to elect my team of council candidates to represent them always, fight for them when necessary, solve their problems and protect the little guys. That I have always done and often times against all odds, and that I will continue to do tonight. Merry Christmas to all of you, my friends. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
ISSUANCE AND SALE NOT TO EXCEED SEVENTEEN
MILLION (\$17,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT, TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES 2014-A AWARDED
TO IFS SECURITIES; DETERMINING THE FORM AND
TERM OF SAID NOTE; AWARDING SAID NOTE;
AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE FILING OF
CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER
OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
ANY AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED

IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID 1 2 NOTE. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 3 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 4 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 5 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Second. 8 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 9 those in favor of introduction signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 16 have it and so moved. 17 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 18 motion to suspend the rules to move 5-B to Sixth and Seventh Order to be considered for 19 20 final passage based on the attached 21 emergency certificate. 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor of introduction signify by 24 25 saying aye.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 7 At this time, if anyone would like 8 it address council on the emergency TAN 9 legislation you may do so. 10 (No speakers come forward.) MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake? 11 12 MS. KRAKE: 6-A, FORMERLY 5-B -13 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 14 2013 AN ORDINANCE 2013 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE NOT TO EXCEED SEVENTEEN 15 16 MILLION (\$17,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL 17 AMOUNT, TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE OF THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN SERIES 2014-A AWARDED 19 TO IFS SECURITIES; DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE; AWARDING SAID NOTE; 20 21 AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE FILING OF 22 CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER 23 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE 24 ANY AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED 25 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID

1 NOTE. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 2 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 3 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 4 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 5 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . 15 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 16 17 FINANCE -18 FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 55, 2013 -APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE 19 CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON 20 21 THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2014 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 BY THE ADOPTION 22 OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE 23 24 YEAR 2014. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans --

1	MS. EVANS: Before we take a final
2	vote on this, I would ask the amendments to
3	be presented.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Well, I was just going
5	to make a motion, I would like to make a
6	motion to amend File of Council No. 55,
7	2013.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MR. MCGOFF: As said before, I
18	believe that it will be necessary to make
19	these as individual motions and so for each
20	of the items that was mentioned before I
21	will make a motion for each one, and we will
22	vote, if that's to your approval.
23	MR. JOYCE: I would prefer that,
24	too.
25	MS. EVANS: Unless, I think what you

are saying before is that some were not approved you would be --

MR. MCGOFF: It would be negative.

MS. EVANS: That's fine.

MR. ROGAN: Will we take the public comment prior to voting on the motion?

MS. EVANS: Yes, I think that would be a good idea.

MR. JOYCE: I think that would be.

MS. EVANS: If there is anyone who wishes to address council on concerning the budget amendments, please do so now.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, again.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Obviously, I want to comment on the budget. You know, I don't know what to say anymore, I really don't.

After coming down here for all of these years I'm just totally baffled by, you know, what I heard this evening that we want to, in, fact call these amendments. I think this is the lowest form that we could possible do to the residents of this city.

What we did here this evening or are attempting to do here this evening is very simple, it's not complex, there is nothing

_ '

complex about it whatsoever, nothing was done to protect the residents of this city. All we did was increase salaries and create jobs, something that Mrs. Evans and her majority had been fighting against for the last four years. Particularly Mrs. Evans fighting against it for the past ten years as a councilwoman.

And I think it's a total insult and lack of regard to the residents of this city, and I say that we -- you have failed those that have created these amendments. You have failed in your obligation to look out for the residents of this city. You did not propose one thing that benefited the taxpayers. We didn't do anything to lower the tax increase. We did absolutely nothing to reduce the garbage fee. We did absolutely nothing to reduce the rental registration fee. We did absolutely nothing to reduce the meter rates. All we did was yet again look out for our political friends.

I guess this is what we call back on track. You know, that's what we heard all

summer long that we were going to go back on track. Well, if this back on track the next four years look pretty darn bleak, let me tell you, and I totally lost any faith I had in the next administration, and if this is a sign of what's to come with the next council we are going back to another remember stamp council and I'm losing faith in that.

You have an obligation and a responsibility to look out for what's best for the residents. You know, looking at these amendments here, there seems to be all of this money where we have the ability to increase salaries and create jobs, well, if that's the case why wasn't anything done to decrease the tax increase? Why wasn't anything done to lower the garbage fee? And why wasn't anything done to lower the rental registration and everything else that was proposed in the mayor's budget? Don't you care?

You know, I come up here and I think about the senior citizens, okay, the elderly, the hardworking blue collar people of this town, okay? The people that

struggle to get by day-to-day, okay? That's who we need to be looking out for, not who do we owe a favor to, who could we create a job for, whose salary can we increase. It's what got us into this position that we are in today.

But, evidently, we don't comprehend that. We keep going down the same tired path of politics and nothing is going to change, obviously, we are just going to continue to go down this road because you know what? With these amendments you are showing that you do not care, and if this budget passes tonight you are sending a message, a signal across this city that you have a complete disregard for the hardworking people of this city and I just wish they were here this evening to, you know, staunchly oppose what you are trying to do them tonight because it's not fair.

And, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Joyce, I ask you to stand by what you have always done the right thing and vote "no" to this budget. You, too, Mr. Loscombe, and vote "no" to this budget and vote "no" to the

amendments and put the people first because that's what you ran on. Until you leave office January 6 stick to that. Forget being bullied, forget doing the dirty work for the administration that they are cowards. They don't want to come forward and do the jobs themselves, they want to use their mouthpieces that they have up there to do it for them and it's not right.

This is about the future of this city and if you want to continue going down this road there isn't going to be anything left to save. We talked about on the edge of the cliff, if you pass this budget tonight we basically might as well all jump off because it's over. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. Mrs.

Evans, I'm very proud of you, there is no double standard with you any time ever and, Mr. Joyce, I'm glad to hear every word you said. You said it like it was.

Mr. Loscombe, I know you are for the

people, but when you said the one thing I couldn't believe it, you said this budget is for the best interest of the people. It's hardly at all. It's not for the best interest at all of the people. It's a knife right in the back, and it's like Mr. Miller said. Well, if anybody knows Victor Newman he called his son-in-law who he doesn't like at all, Billy Boy, and that's how I refer to Bill Courtright, Billy Boy.

So Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff are carrying Billy Boy's water. I'm sure Billy Boy doesn't want to have to open the budget and do this because it would make him look bad, so he is having you do it and I can't believe you'd do it. You're supposed to be for the people, not for Bill. I can't believe that you put -- but you are doing it. It's typical. Mr. McGoff, you take care of your majority, now you are going to take care of Bill Courtright. God knows what you are getting in return, I don't know, we'll never know.

But I'd love to connect the dots and find out who are all these people are in the

administration and say, "Oh, this is Bill's 1 good friend. This is Bill's cousin. 2 3 is Bill's sister-in-law's brother." Something is happening here. You 4 5 are raising salaries in the administration 6 and you care about the people? There should 7 be decreases in almost everything and I 8 hope-- here is my question, if you vote, are 9 you going to vote on each amendment so if 10 you vote "no" to one thing that means it's no and if you vote "yes" it means it's yes? 11 12 MS. EVANS: Well --13 MS. FRANUS: It's not going to be a 14 package deal? MS. EVANS: Oh, no, it's not a 15 16 package. 17 MS. FRANUS: Each amendment is going 18 to be separate? It's not going to be A, B, C as one? 19 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff has already 20 21 clarified that, yes. 22 MS. FRANUS: That is going to make 23 one? 24 MS. EVANS: No, that they will be 25 voted on individually.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, so here's the thing, so if you vote hypothetically three, four and two against, does that mean it's the mayor budget that goes through or is it--

MR. MCGOFF: The amendments would pass.

MS. FRANUS: -- and then he has to veto that?

MS. EVANS: If you have three yes votes an amendment passes, the motion carries, and then it would be included in the operating budget. That budget, the final budget then as amended will be submitted to Mayor Doherty tomorrow, I would assume, for his signature or his veto.

MS. FRANUS: I see. Well, I hope he vetoes it.

MR. MCGOFF: May I? I think it was-- not to correct you, but the second step would be would have a vote on -- we would have to vote on on the budget, as amended, after all of the amendments were approved.

MS. FRANUS: Right, or not approved.

1 You would have to vote on the budget as you voted through all of them at the end of it. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. FRANUS: I just hope the mayor 4 5 vetoes this budget according to your amendments. Another thing, Mr. Rogan, you 6 said you are going to put a supervisor into 7 8 the DPW so he can control the overtime. 9 Wasn't there a supervisor in the DPW 10 already? Isn't that what Mark Dougher does 11 and somebody will probably take his place, 12 but isn't that their job? 13 MR. ROGAN: DPW refuse specifically 14 doesn't have a supervisor. MS. FRANUS: What is Mark Dougher? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Not doing his job. 17 MS. FRANUS: What is his position? 18 MR. ROGAN: He is the DPW 19 supervisor. 20 MS. FRANUS: Okay, so now you're 21 creating another -- so isn't somebody going to take his place? 22 23 MR. JOYCE: He is the director. 24 MS. FRANUS: Well, if he's the 25 director, aside from the point he is not

doing his job, so somebody is going to take 1 his place as director plus another 2 3 supervisor that you are going to put in? MR. ROGAN: Minus one collector. 4 5 MS. FRANUS: No, a director plus a 6 supervisor. 7 MR. ROGAN: The director -- there is 8 always a director of DPW, there is no 9 change. 10 MS. FRANUS: Okay. 11 MR. ROGAN: The supervisor will be 12 supervising DPW. That funding is coming 13 from the elimination of a collector. 14 MS. FRANUS: I know that, but why do you have a supervisor if you have a 15 16 director? Why would you need a supervisor? 17 It's like having two bosses. 18 MR. ROGAN: Well, this one for me is 19 something I that have seen a lot of problems 20 with in the DPW. 21 MS. FRANUS: Well, then maybe you 22 should just a good director then that will 23 take care it. Why have two people do the 24 same job? If the director is not doing a 25 good job then you get someone that is.

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't create a position just because the director is not, make another position.

MR. ROGAN: Well, the director is in charge of the entire DPW.

MS. FRANUS: Well, then the director should take care of the overtime. shouldn't have to have another supervisor doing the work that he should be doing. You're certainly taking care of somebody, I don't know who that person is yet, but when all of these names are brought up we are going to know who knows who and why they got this job, and you caught salaries of people you apparently didn't like and raise the persons the ones you wanted to get in there. What you wanted to do is a disgrace and I hope, I hope that Mayor Doherty vetoes this budget. And thank you Mrs. Evans and thank Mr. Joyce and, Mr. Loscombe, I hope you stick up for the people like you always do. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. WALSH: Good evening again, my name is Jay Walsh. Mr. Loscombe, let me give you a heads up, the ship is at the

1 bottom. Let me make that particularly clear. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. 4 5 MR. WALSH: I said the ship is at the bottom, okay, let me make that perfectly 6 7 clear, okay? It's a slow train wreck. 8 thing I found with the city, as I found all 9 over the County, as that it's 2013 and the 10 Scranton Inspection Office is handwriting receipts. Why that is, I have no clue, and 11 that's why Danielle Ross was able to be 12 13 nabbed. 14 And far as cable, get Chrome Cast it's \$35 a month and you get 5,000 channels. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 16 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: First I'd like to 18 ask Mr. McGoff, what are the total increases 19 in the budget? MR. MCGOFF: I did not add all of 20 21 the increases together, but the dollar amount of the total budget does not change 22 23 from what it is. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand you 25 are switching money back and forth, but if

you -- if those -- if the things that you are taking money from are not really required then that could -- that money could have gone -- been taken out of the budget and been used to reduce the principal amount of our debt and start working on our debt service and getting that down or could have taken some of the tax burden away. Granted not a lot, I would prefer that it would reduce some of the debt, but --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROGAN:} \textbf{MR. ROGAN:} \quad \textbf{If I could answer on a}$ couple of those points.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I only made one.

MR. ROGAN: Regarding the salary increases, these funds are being provided from the state. We, unfortunately, can't use those for anything else other than the business administrator's salary, the addition of a position in the business administrator's office.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Now, wait a minute, we pay state taxes, too, and it's not coming out of some pot of money that fell out of the sky.

MR. ROGAN: No, you are absolutely

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's coming from the taxpayers. DCED wouldn't have to send it to us if we didn't have to do this. tell you, this is the difference, and I know most of you have worked in government over your life, this is the biggest difference between government and private industry. Only in government does government stand on the brink of bankruptcy and proceed to increase positions and give people salary increases and just in general act as though there is a bottomless pit. When you are in private industry, if you keep raising the cost of your doing business it goes into your product, it's got to and people stop buying and you go out of business. When you are in government all you do is this is poor shmuck called the taxpayer and all you do is say, "Oh, yeah, we'll spend whatever we want and then when we get to the bottom line and it doesn't balance we'll do whatever the heck we want and put it on the backs of the taxpayers."

This is -- this is very, very

disappointing. Now, I understand that the, the new mayor will have discretion to appoint his administrators, but that does not extend to all of these positions I wouldn't think that you people are intent on adding, so would you please tell me which of these will be advertised for vacancies?

MR. ROGAN: City council doesn't hire or fire.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You have been working with the mayor-elect you said.

MR. ROGAN: Well, that's what you would have to ask him would they be advertised. Council didn't --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, it's too late. I mean, I can't imagine that a refuse collector or a refuse supervisor would not be an advertised position and I would certainly hope that Mr. Courtright would do that.

And when did we get this grant from DCED, I don't remember council voting on it?

MR. ROGAN: This is actually the exact same process that the city followed last year. We received a commitment from

DCED to fund I believe last year it was two 1 positions in the Business Administrator's 2 3 Office. The city had to budget the money and then as when the grant comes in the 4 5 positions are filled. If the grant was not materialized, if DCED broke their word, then 6 the positions would be paid. 7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: 9 apply for this grant? 10 11 12 13 14 went through last year. 15 16 17 MR. ROGAN: 18 19 20 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: And? 22 why making it --23 MR. ROGAN: 24 25 about the current situation.

138

When did the city

MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure. I wasn't involved in the application process. not sure when the application was put in, but it was the same process that the city

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I certainly will be -- what is the name of that grant?

I don't have the grant I could get more information for you on it, but it is -- this isn't anything new. It's the same thing that we did last year.

I don't know

If you want I can read the memorandum we received from PEL now

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I would like a copy of that, if you please. Yes, I would like that, and I would also finally like to repeat my concern about the folks who have a lot of equity in their homes and stand to lose it and there is just something the matter with a budget that passes, you made all kinds of amendments and you were willing to accept the fact that you were going to give the same collection rate this year as you got the last year with a 56 percent increase that's ludicrous. You are not going to get it. A lot of people are going to suffer and a lot of people are going to suffer needlessly because they are elderly and they have nobody to help them and they are going to have the entire equity, the thing they worked for, the American dream, ripped out from under them and I can just -if we didn't have a ceiling I could see the vultures circling. I'm very disappointed, thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Rogan, you just mentioned a letter from PEL about a grant or something?

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 MS. EVANS: I don't think the rest 3 of council received it. 4 MR. ROGAN: I believe I received 5 this at a meeting with PEL that was a couple of weeks ago. To sum it up, basically what 6 their recommendations are: "Based on our 7 8 analysis we make the following 9 recommendations to the staffing and structure of the Office of Business 10 11 Administrator." 12 Establish the salary level of the 13 business administrator at a level that will 14 attract a qualified candidate. Raise the salary level of the 15 16 Finance Manager in order to recruit a 17 qualified candidate. 18 Add a staff assistant position is the Business Administrator's Office. 19 20 Continue to use college level 21 interns to assist in audit documentation 22 prep." 23 Further, it goes on to say, "During 24 2011 and 2012 the Business Administrator's 25 Office was unable to accomplish the required

Home Rule Charter core functions of its financial management. The 2010 audit was not completed until early 2012. The 2011 audit was completed by the end of October of 2012.

Several areas of responsibility have been performed by other organizations. For example, the Act 47 coordinator has worked closely with the city throughout 2012 and '13 regarding the city's monthly cash flows and the city's financial advisor had to prepare some city documents related to the 2012 borrowing.

Meanwhile, the business administrator has had to function as the accounts payable contact in the financial analysis while still overseeing the city's financial role in the Act 47 Recovery Plan process.

The BA's current duties leave little time for proactive management as the BA has been reactive to the significant financial issues that have confronted the city in the last quarter of 2011 and throughout 2012 and '13. As part of the deliberations and

negotiations that proceeded the adoption of the 2012 revised recovery plan, the mayor and city council agreed to restore the senior accountant and financial analyst position to the Business Administrator's Office. Both positions are now full."

These are the two that are I was referring to that were placed in last year's budget.

"The finance manager recently announced that she would be leaving the city for a position in the private sector. The vacancy created by this resignation represents the immediate loss of institutional knowledge and expertise in the preparations of the city's annual budget and ongoing financial reporting requirements.

The current compensation for the Business Administrator financial manage and remaining professional staff in the BA's office was reduced significantly by city council in the 2011 and 2012 budget. The BA's salary was reduced from \$85,000 to the current \$53,000 and other salaries received similar reductions. The city will have

_ :

considerable difficulty attracting qualified candidates for the business administrator and financial management positions at current levels.

The BA in particular has excessive responsibilities as the city's contact person with the financial institutions and capital markets. Attracting a qualified BA candidate is crucial to reestablishing the city's creditworthiness in the financial community.

PEL recommends that the city apply for an Act 47 grant to provide temporary funding that would be used to increase the compensation levels for the business administrator and finance manage and to pay for the additional staff position. The grant funding would pay for salary and increases of the existing portions for two years.

In conclusion, the business
administrator's time and efforts should be
dedicated to the many initiatives on the
2012 revised recovery plan rather than
performing county functions. Completion of

the RRP tasks with a short timetable is necessary and requires the full attention and leadership of the business administration."

MS. SCHUMACHER: If I may, that doesn't say anything about the other positions that you are adding.

MR. ROGAN: There were only two positions being added, the one in the Business Administrator's Office, and the one in the DPW that is really not an addition, it's an addition and subtraction.

MS. EVANS: When is that letter dated?

MR. ROGAN: November 27, 2013.

MS. EVANS: Well, it was -- I'm glad you shared that with us because it was certainly right in keeping with PEL's policies to selectively disseminate information. Mr. Dobrzyn, di you want to speak?

MR. DOBRZYN: First of all, that PEL always decides did wish to express that -- and even when you disagree I'll still miss you, you know. So, thank you, Frank, and

thank you, Janet. And a suggestion on the refuse coordinator, I certainly hope that things turn around because years ago we had people in foreman's spot at the DPW and it was my understanding, it was only hearsay from somebody I worked with, but they were supposed to coordinate recycling and so forth, and basically I don't know what their job was, counting paperclips or whatever, but certainly we have no coordination, we have no discipline as far as the way people toss out their garbage, I had Mr. Loscombe -- Councilman Loscombe up to see somebody several times and the person still throws the trash out the same way, which is a total You get it straightened out and two weeks later it's the same old thing, and with somebody that would supervise something like that if they would do their job it would be asset. If they don't do their job, then it's a waste of money. And thank you for your service and have everybody a Merry Christmas.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, and Merry Christmas to you as well.

24

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 MR. JOYCE: Merry Christmas to you as well. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 4 5 motion tot amend the proposed budget on page 33, Office of the mayor, increase standard 6 7 salary from \$91,085 to \$96,085 and make the 8 same change to total employee compensation. 9 MR. ROGAN: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Opposed? 15 16 MR. JOYCE: No. 17 MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and 18 so moved. MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 19 20 motion to amend the budget on the page 34, 21 proposed budget, on page 34, increase 22 confidential secretary's salary from \$31,085 23 to \$36,085 and change the Department of the 24 Mayor total from \$91,085 to \$96,085. 25 MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I will say that I have been privy to know the person that is going to assume this position, and I will say the person that's going into this position is a very hard worker. Do I think they are worth \$36,085? Yeah, I do. But, you know what, there is other confidential secretaries that are going to be in the administration and I can't support saying the mayor's secretary is worth more than the secretary in the law office who will make \$5,000 less.

MS. EVANS: And, you know, I probably would just add, I feel very similarly to the Finance Chair. I, too, know the individual who will assume this position and she is a wonderful person and very capable, highly qualified, but this council cut that salary and some of us were more aggressive about, you know, these cuts to salaries than others and, you know, I don't see what has changed.

And most of all, I don't see that this is something that we can do when

	148
1	people's taxes are being increased so much.
2	You know, we are just adding insult to
3	injury.
4	Is there anyone else on the
5	question? All those in favor signify by
6	saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
11	MR. JOYCE: No.
12	MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and
13	so moved.
14	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans
15	MS. EVANS: Oh, the ayes have it and
16	so moved. Thank you.
17	MR. MCGOFF: I make a motion to
18	amend the proposed budget on page 42,
19	decrease standard salary for the Office of
20	the City Council, City Clerk, from
21	\$219,290.96 to \$214,290.96. On the same
22	page decrease the total for the Department
23	of City Council, City Clerk, from
24	\$300,942.29 to \$295,942,29.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. This is the corresponding, this item and the next one, is the corresponding \$5,000 cut in the salary to balance item number one in the four parts.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will say this,
Solicitor Hughes earned \$45,000 per year for
his work here on council, and I will say
this, he did one hell of a job for that
money. He broke his back doing legal work,
doing research, he has done more than any
other council solicitor I think has ever
done.

MS. EVANS: More than city solicitors do.

MR. JOYCE: But I will say this, I know that there are three people returning to city council and, you know, I would be hypocritical if I didn't say what is appropriate for Boyd Hughes wouldn't be appropriate for whom they shall choose as well, so I just want to ask each of the

returning council members if this salary 1 adjustment is okay with you? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. MR. MCGOFF: Is okay with --4 5 MR. JOYCE: Yes, with whom you may want as your solicitor in the future. 6 MR. MCGOFF: 7 Oh, the proposed 8 solicitor we're agreeing? 9 MR. JOYCE: Yes, I feel if Mr. 10 Hughes earned \$45,000 then I think that's what the incoming council solicitor should 11 12 earn as well unless they feel it should be a 13 little bit less. 14 MS. EVANS: Well, in addition to that, Mr. Rogan mentioned, and quite 15 16 correctly, that these changes are occurring 17 in order to provide for the increase in the 18 mayor's office, and since I didn't approve 19 of the increase in the mayor's office I 20 won't be approving of finding funding to 21 provide for that increase. 22 Is there anyone else? All those in 23 favor signify by saying aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 5 motion to amend the proposed budget on page 6 7 43 in the Office of City Council, City 8 Clerk, decrease the salary of the 9 legislative legal advisor from \$45,000 to 10 \$40,000, and decrease the total amount on p 11 age 43 to \$214,290.96. 12 MR. ROGAN: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 14 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 18 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes 20 have it and so moved. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make 22 amotion to amend the proposed budget on page 23 39 in the Department of Public Safety, 24 Bureau of Fire, increase the standard salary 25 from \$9,240,578.50 to \$9,257,00 -- or

1 257,806.61 and change the total employee compensation from \$21,605,138.99 to 2 3 \$21,622,367.10. On the same page, change Bureau of 4 5 Fire total from \$21,737,233.99 to \$21,754 -or 754,462.10 and change the Department of 6 7 Public Safety total from \$44,115,646.12 to 8 \$44,132,874.23. 9 MR. ROGAN: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Opposed? 15 16 MR. JOYCE: No. 17 MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and 18 so moved. MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 19 20 motion to amend the proposed budget on page 21 40, Department of Public Safety Bureau of 22 Fire, increase the salary of the chief from 23 \$50,000 to \$67,228.11, and increase the 24 Bureau of Fire total from \$9,240,578.50 to 25 \$9,257,806.61.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

2

MS. EVANS: On the question?

3

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

4

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

5

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

6

MR. JOYCE: I will say that this is

7

one of the amendments that I will support

8

because technically it's not a cut from

9

what -- or technically it's not a raise from

10

what the previous fire chief earned.

11

MR. ROGAN: And I just wanted to

12

add, I appreciate Mr . Joyce for that

13

comment, and that's pretty much what I was

14

going to say, and the fear of many of the

15

fire department and the incoming

16

administration is even with the fire chief's

17

salary at six -- at the former level that

18

Chief Davis made, Chief Davis makes less

19

than the men under him, which is fine. And

20

you know, the next chief is going to have to

21

work for the same pay that our current chief

22

made, but by reducing that further where it

23

would come to, you know, where the point,

24

where a senior man in the fire department

25

would be taking a 20, 30 thousand dollar pay

cut, it would make it almost impossible for anyone on the Scranton Fire Department to accept that position, and at that point it may be somebody from outside of Scranton that would be needed that didn't know the procedures, and I know Mr. Loscombe wanted to speak on it, he knows this a lot better than I do.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think you guys have covered it pretty well.

MS. EVANS: Well, I agree with what you are saying, but my one question is this, why didn't Mr. Courtright work on the budget and make these changes, this change in particular, does anyone know?

MR. MCGOFF: I would say that in speaking with Mr. Courtright and also speaking with people in the administration that there had some attempts by Mr. Courtright and by members of the finance team to be involved, I don't know the level of the cooperation that existed.

MS. EVANS: Well, that was after the proposed budget was submitted, I mean --

MR. MCGOFF: And even subsequent to

that -- or before and subsequent to the proposal I'm not sure, you know, what the level of cooperation was.

MS. EVANS: Well, I have heard that afterwards both sides were trying, but beforehand no.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to add, I believe the election was November 5, and the budget was sent down was it November 10?

MS. EVANS: No, the 15th.

MR. ROGAN: The 15th, so that was a ten-day period in-between. That's not a lot of time to work on -- I would hope the budget, the administration had a pretty good idea of what they were planning on doing three weeks to ten days out, but that being said, I know last year Mayor Doherty wanted to increase the fire chief's salary. Why one year he wants to put it in I believe it was a \$20,000 increase, and council rightly took it out, and then the next year he wants a \$17,000 decrease. I believed last year the funding should remain the same for this position and I believe this year the funding

should remain the same for this position.

MS. EVANS: I do as well, but I think it was the responsibility of the mayor-elect to take a look at these things and do some work and his financial team as well. They certainly have become busy thereafter, but I will approve this because I believe that it should be increased, and I don't mean beyond what it was, but to the salary that it had previously been, but I believe none of this was really council's job to be doing.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a motion to amend the proposed budget on page 82, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Refuse, decrease overtime salary from \$100,000 to \$82,771.89.

157
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question?
MR. ROGAN: This is the
corresponding cut in regards to restoring
the fire chief's salary to its previous
level.
MR. JOYCE: Just out of curiosity,
why is it the Bureau of Refuse? I know, and
believe me, I know, Councilman Rogan, that
you are very critical of DPW overtime.
MR. MCGOFF: Should I answer? Maybe
I would be not as biased.
MR. ROGAN: I think I have a very
good answer for it, and it's that we are
adding a DPW refuse supervisor with the hope
that that will cut down on DPW refuse
overtime.
MR. JOYCE: Okay.
MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
question? All those in favor signify by
saying aye.
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a motion to amend the proposed budget on page 83 in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Refuse, reduce the number of collectors from 27 to 26 and the collector's salaries from \$1,106,065.06 to \$1,076,065.06.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: And add --

MR. ROGAN: My apologies.

MR. MCGOFF: And add a DPW refuse supervisor for a salary of \$30,000.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I just have one question about this. Now, interesting enough I'm not an expert about refuse collection or recycling collection, but from I do know there is usually three men on a truck; correct? There is a driver and a two men or women who collect the garbage and, you know, when they drive by house to get the garbage, you know, one goes on one side

of the street and the other one goes on the other side of the street. Now, if we go to -- now, if you divide 27 by three it would make sense that there is nine garbage trucks or refuse trucks running on the city.

MR. MCGOFF: There is.

MR. JOYCE: Would this create an uneven balance? I mean, would there be a short staff refuse truck with just a driver and one collector, you know, running from one side of the street to the other side of the street? That's my only question I had.

MR. ROGAN: It wouldn't be any different than if somebody called off sick or somebody was on leave.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Basically that's what happens now.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: There's a couple of fill-ins.

MR. JOYCE: Believe me, I'm not an expert on DPW operations so I was just asking if someone happens to know what exactly happens, you know, if we have one less guy on one truck, how would they

replace them? Is there a -- would it come 1 out of other salary in the DPW account or a 2 3 temporary person be used or how exactly is that handled? 4 MR. ROGAN: I believe that the 5 current compliment in the budget that passed 6 7 last year was 25, which is also not 8 divisible by three. 9 Right, so that's it. MR. JOYCE: 10 MS. EVANS: Costs and positions are 11 growing everywhere. Anyone else on the 12 question? All those in favor signify by 13 saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 15 Aye. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MS. EVANS: Opposed? 18 MR. JOYCE: No. 19 MS. EVANS: No. Oh, the ayes have it 20 and so moved. I'm very sorry. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 22 motion to amend the proposed budget on page 23 62 in the Office of Economic and Community 24 Development, eliminate the position of 25 support service specialist part-time and

1 decrease the funding of that position from \$23,824 to zero dollars, and decrease the 2 3 Bureau of Administration total from \$457,507.44 to \$430,683.44. 4 MR. ROGAN: 5 Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 MR. JOYCE: Yes, just a quick 7 question, I'm sorry for being so 8 9 inquisitive, but is this position, is this 10 someone that's retiring or is this a 11 position that Ms. Aebli suggested that is no 12 longer needed or --13 MR. ROGAN: I believe this position 14 is currently filled, but there are two full-time positions in OECD that are 15 16 unfilled, so the hope would be to have --17 fill the full-time positions and eliminate 18 the part-time position. 19 MR. JOYCE: Okay. Then I could 20 support that. 21 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the 22 question? All those in favor signify by 23 saying aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN:

Aye.

25

	162
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
2	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a
6	motion to amend the proposed budget on page
7	62, in the Office of Economic and Community
8	Development, increase the funding for
9	neighborhood police officers from
10	\$184,417.58 to \$207,241.58.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
13	question? All those in favor signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a
22	motion to amend the proposed budget on page
23	50, in the Department of Business
24	Administrator, Bureau of Administration,
25	increase the salary of the business

administrator from \$53,550 to \$85,000. 1 2 MR. ROGAN: Second. 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? 4 MR. ROGAN: On the question, the 5 next five amendments will all be paid for by grants from DCED. 6 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 7 8 signify by saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. MR. JOYCE: 13 No. 14 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 16 17 motion to amend the proposed budget, also in 18 the Department of Business Administrator, Bureau of Administration, increase the 19 20 salary of the finance manager from \$37,400 21 to \$50,000. 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 know, I was just thinking it's a good thing 25 the mayor's salary was increased to \$60,000

1 next year or you wouldn't be able to vote for any of this. Since, you know, Mr. Rogan 2 3 had said no one should earn more than the mayor, so it's a very good thing we 4 5 increased the mayor's salary. Anyone else on the question? All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Opposed? 12 MR. JOYCE: No. 13 MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and 14 so moved. MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 15 16 motion to amend the proposed budget in the 17 Department of Business Administrator, Bureau 18 of Administration, add the position staff 19 assistant at a salary of \$35,000. 20 MR. ROGAN: Second. 21 MS. EVANS: On the question? 22 MR. JOYCE: This position I will 23 support. The Business Administrator's 24 Office, I'll be honest with you we have made 25 cuts there in the past, and I know they

1 are --Short staffed. 2 MS. EVANS: 3 MR. JOYCE: Very late on audits and 4 short staffed and I do believe that there will be a lot of work that needs to be done 5 in this office and hopefully our future 6 7 mayor will find the right person to fill 8 that position. Anyone else? All those 9 MS. EVANS: 10 in favor signify by saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Opposed? 15 Aye. The ayes 16 have it and so moved. 17 MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 18 motion to amend the proposed budget on page 19 49, in the Department of Business 20 Administration, Bureau of Administration, 21 increase standard salary from \$238,904.21 to 22 \$317,954.21. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 On the question? MS. EVANS: A11

those in favor signify by saying aye.

25

	166
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
5	MR. JOYCE: No.
6	MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and
7	so moved.
8	MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a
9	motion to amend the proposed budget on page
10	49, in the Department of Business
11	Administration, Bureau of Administration,
12	change the total for business administrator
13	from \$8,163,921.62 to \$8,242,971.62.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
21	MR. JOYCE: No.
22	MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and
23	so moved.
24	MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a
25	motion to amend the proposed budget on page

31, decrease unpaid bills, court awards from 1 \$20,098,756,45 to \$29,019,706.45. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 4 A11 5 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MS. EVANS: Opposed? MR. JOYCE: 10 No. 11 MS. EVANS: No. The ayes have it and 12 so moved. MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a 13 14 motion to amend the proposed budget on page 24, under rents and concessions insert, "An 15 16 account for cell phone tower leases for 17 \$18,000." The total for rents and 18 concessions shall remain at \$25,000. MR. ROGAN: Second. 19 A11 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 21 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 25 MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

What the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I do not recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MS. EVANS: Second. On the question?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'll just say the same thing, I think I made my point clear before, I do not support a budget with the 55 percent tax or 56 percent tax increase, 69 percent garbage fee increase, 25 cent raise in your meter rates and a jack or large spike in rental registration fees that also includes raises.

MS. EVANS: I just want to add, this is what is called the lazy man's budget.

It, I'm sure, is a great delight to the Pennsylvania Economy League who believes that tax increases are the one and only solution to the financial ills of every municipality. I think it's far easier to just say, "I'll increase your taxes. Heck,

the way you are going, why not increase them 200 percent? Boy, the city won't have a problem in the world then, would it?"

But the point is you don't want to take that route, you have to find other roads and you've got to work hard. You've got to make those things happen with these new revenue generators, but nobody has got the inclination to do so evidently. Not the previous administration, not the new administration. So, as I said, I agree with Mr. Joyce, I will be saying "no" to the lazy man's budget.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I may just add, and again, this has been anguishing me, and you can see, as I mentioned before, it could be called a lazy man's budget, last year we proposed a budget that had all of these revenues, had the been watched and done we wouldn't be in this position. The problem is now the banks aren't going to take those ideas and run with them, I'm sorry. I'm going to be here for the next two years and, you know, believe it or not I doubt I would ever be voted in again, but hopefully in two

years the people are going to see the turn around and say, you know, you had to do what you had to do.

The easy way for me to do it is to vote "no" and then where are we going to go? Bankruptcy? I don't know. Would you prefer that? 130 percent increase in taxes and no say.

MS. EVANS: You know, Jack, we were just about at that tonight. If you take 56 and then you add 25 and then as Mr. Lockwood would tell us, that 25 increases, so it would have been more like 32, and then you add the, what was it, 69 percent increase for the garbage fee, you are there.

 $\label{eq:MR.MCGOFF: Could I -- I'm sorry,} % \end{subsetequation} % \end{subsetequation}$

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, but you can go on.

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to add, I know Mr. Loscombe mentioned during motions starting to go through some of the numbers, if this were to pass the average taxpayer would see an increase, this would be including the tax and the garbage fee

increase, would see an increase of about \$390. That's a little over a dollar a day, okay?

The total tax bill increase that they would realize would be somewhere around 20 percent of their total bill, so that somebody that was paying \$1,000 in 2013 in total taxes, that's city, county, school district, would now instead of paying \$1,000 would be paying \$1,200, okay?

And it would still leave us as the lowest taxing body of all three taxing bodies. I believe, and the other thing that I think should be mentioned, if we vote no to these amendments and to the budget this evening with the proposals, that would automatically revert to -- we would then automatically revert to the mayor's proposed budget, which would be the same dollar amount. It would not change anything. The tax increase would be the same, the garbage fee increase would be the same, and rental registration would be the same, all of those things would be the same.

So by voting to not approve the

amended budget really doesn't accomplish, I don't think it accomplishes anything, and while it may be seen as a lazy man's budget, I think it's a lazy person's vote to vote against because all we do is revert to the same thing that we had before us before.

MS. EVANS: And that may be --

MR. JOYCE: Let me ask this for a second, if you are saying no is a lazy man's vote, I wanted to ask you, why did you vote no last year on the budget?

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Joyce, I'm not going to argue over how I voted that --

MR. JOYCE: I'm not saying that, I'm just saying it like it is.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess I'm just being somebody used to called, you know -- said a lazy man's budget, I just turned it around and, you know, if I'm going to be called lazy maybe I'm just being reactive.

MR. JOYCE: I'm just saying -- I wanted you to take into consideration I wasn't the person that said that that was a lazy man's budget.

MS. EVANS: No, I'll take credit for

1 that. 2 MR. MCGOFF: I apologize. 3 MR. JOYCE: That's okay. MS. EVANS: I'll take credit for the 4 5 statement, and I when I said the statement I was referring to the current administration 6 and the new administration. However, I had 7 8 not thought it applied to council members. 9 However, on further reflection I guess it 10 does, because as has been pointed out so 11 well, none of the amendments are anything 12 that help this situation or help the people 13 of this city. Not a crumb. Not by a 14 dollar. Why did you support some 15 MR. ROGAN: of them then? 16 17 MS. EVANS: Why did I support some 18 of them? 19 MR. ROGAN: Because you believe some 20 of them were worthy? 21 MS. EVANS: I believe that the fire 22 chief's salary needs to be restored, but I 23 said I also believed Mr. Courtright should 24 have done that. I am supporting something

in the BA's Office because I had believed

25

that they have been understaffed. Do I think they all need to make more money? No I don't. Do I think they need more people. Yes, I do. And as you said, that money is coming through a grant.

MR. ROGAN: So it does do a little bit to help.

MS. EVANS: Well, it gave somebody a job, but did it help -- did it help the people of this city? No. And when you look -- when you look at these amendments and you compare them to the amendments made over the last three years, there is no -- there is no comparison. None.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to comment a little bit on some of what was mentioned by other council members and some of what Mr. McGoff said, and I want to elaborate on a point where, as Mr. McGoff said, if this budget were voted down whether it be 3-2 or 0-5, however, it was voted down, the mayor's budget would revert into law.

As far as the total dollar amount in the budget and the increases in line items,

they are all identical. The other only differences are, and many of these differences to some people may seem small, but I believe some of them to be certainly worthwhile.

Attracting a competent fire chief from within the City of Scranton is certainly something that is worthwhile. I have talked to many men of on the fire department that believe their supervisor should be somebody that came from the ranks, not somebody from outside.

Regarding the Business

Administrator's Office, and as we stated before, these positions are coming as from a grant from the state and it was just two years ago that our current Business

Administrator lost over \$1 million of taxpayer funds, and I would be the first to say if this happens under our next mayor and, our next administration, I will be first one here calling on that person to be fired just as I did with Ryan McGowan.

A couple of the other items in here, regarding the changes in the public works, I

have been -- this is something I have probably said at every single council meeting for the last two years that I have problems with how our refuse division is currently run. I believe putting a supervisor in there can save the taxpayers money on overtime without costing additional money, because we are eliminating another position. By having one less union position in the DPW union and one more supervisor we could get more out of our workers, and that's something that I believe we have to do in any every single department in this city.

As far as the tax increases go, it's not a pleasurable thing to do, to vote for. I work three jobs to pay my bills. I know many of you out there do. Many of you can't because you are on fixed incomes. I completely understand that and I think we all do, and I think the common thread between the three of us that are voting to pass the amended budget tonight, and I may be wrong, but I believe this the first time Mr. Loscombe, Mr. McGoff and myself voted as

a block to past a piece of legislation, and we are going to remain in the city's government going into next year, and it's important that the financial -- although the local banks and the larger banks are willing to do business with the City of Scranton, that they are willing to provide the TAN, which luckily we passed tonight, and that's thanks to the good work of Boyd Hughes.

Even more important, and this is, as I have said and many of us have said, the biggest monkey on the city's back is finding a lending institution who will lend us money to pay the arbitration awards. We are currently spending nearly \$3,000 a day in interest on that award. Every day that goes by the city is paying more in interest than most of us up here make in over a month. This bill has to be paid or this interest is going to continue to grow.

The budget needs to be seen as sound by the financial community, we need a Business Administrator's Office that is going to be able to get these items done.

Do I like the entire package of this budget?

Of course I don't. I don't think there is one single person in this city that does.

But as Mr. Loscombe said, Mayor

Doherty has not pursued many of the other

items that I know Mrs. Evans and myself

agreed on, which are sale of the Sewer

Authority, hasn't happened, something that

really could bail out the city. Other

revenue ideas, I know Mr. Loscombe and I

talked about this today StreetSmart, another

item that didn't happen, and pursuing of the

commuter tax prior to a budget being

proposed, but the administration didn't

pursue any of these items, so the choice is

either to vote "yes" or vote "no."

Whether you council approves this budget tonight or shoots it down tonight the tax increase will be the same, the garbage fee increase will be the same, the rental registration increase will be the same, and the meter increase will be the same, and the total dollar amount of the budget will be the same.

That being said, I would rather get a few items that I believe can help the city

-

and the vast majority of them being paid for by the state, which are still your tax dollars, but we should be using and bringing our state dollars home to Scranton to help where we can so our Business Administrator's Office doesn't lose a million dollars again in two years. So that is why I will vote for this. And that is all I have.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to say something finally. It's the same way either way, as has been said, but it's the same way either way because clearly, you know, there haven't been amendments made to make any remarkable changes. But beyond that, it was as important, even more important in 2012 when the city was really on the verge of the bankruptcy, when the city was down to \$5,000 and people were being paid minimum wages, and the only way to maintain safety and services and to attract any bank that would assist the city was to pass a recovery plan and you voted against that.

MR. ROGAN: Because those revenues wouldn't materialize and they haven't.

MS. EVANS: And then, you know, we

1	went forward and we had to get a TAN, we had
2	to do borrowing to take care of that year's
3	bills, we produced a budget. You voted no
4	to all of that, but you didn't have any
5	alternatives to all of that, just that I
6	don't like that. So here we are now, and I
7	guess what I'm asking you is what's changed
8	about you?
9	MR. ROGAN: I could ask the same
10	thing back.
11	MS. EVANS: No, nothing has changed
12	with me. In fact, I
13	MR. ROGAN: Well, last year you
14	criticized me for voting against it and not
15	proposing a package of amendments, this year
16	you are voting against it and not proposing
17	a package of amendments. The shoe is just
18	on the other foot.
19	MS. EVANS: No.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes
21	MS. EVANS: Not at all
22	MR. ROGAN: it absolutely is.
23	MS. EVANS: I had offered
24	solutions
25	MR. ROGAN: Not this year.

MS. EVANS: -- they did not come to 1 2 pass. I had done it in this budget and all 3 year long, unlike you. So, you know, I think there has got to be ownership, at 4 5 least I hope there will be, you know, with going into -- going into the future, but I 6 7 guess I'll just end it, we can vote, and I'll say God help the people. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Might I just remind 10 council members that --11 MR. JOYCE: Yeah, it's been awhile, 12 so a "yes" vote is that you're agreeing with 13 me and --14 MR. MCGOFF: A "no" vote would be to approve the amended budget, a "yes" vote 15 16 would be to defeat the amended budget. 17 MR. JOYCE: Correct. And I see our 18 newspaper reporter looking at me like we 19 have --20 MS. EVANS: Just reverse --21 MR. JOYCE: We'll explain it after 22 the meeting. 23 MS. EVANS: -- the traditional 24 process. Roll call, please? 25 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

	182
1	MR. MCGOFF: No.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: No.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-A, as amended, passes.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Is approved.
12	MS. EVANS: Is approved.
13	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
14	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
15	RESOLUTION NO. 48, 2013 - AMENDING
16	RESOLUTION NO. 43, 2013 ENTITLED "RATIFYING
17	AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND
18	SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE
19	CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON
20	SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
21	PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH
22	FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE
23	ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE
24	DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF
25	\$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS

1	"STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN
2	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION
3	SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER
4	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
5	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF APPROVED,
6	AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE
7	ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER
8	WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO
9	ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT AWARDED BY THE
10	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH
11	PROJECT" BY CORRECTING THE TYPOGRAPHICAL
12	ERROR IN THE PROJECT NAME IN THE FIRST
13	WHEREAS CLAUSE TO STREET SWEEPER PROJECT AND
14	REDUCING THE GRANT AMOUNT TO \$410,211.00.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-B.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 6 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 7 8 motion to take Resolution No. 47, 2013, from 9 the table. MR. ROGAN: 10 Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? We had 11 12 tabled this piece of legislation during last 13 week's meeting because council had not 14 reached out to Mr. Deantona to request a resume. Since then, council's office did 15 16 contact him and he did provide a wonderful 17 letter and resume. MR. JOYCE: Yes, and I would also 18 19 like to thank him for doing so and I wish 20 him very well. 21 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 22 signify by saying aye. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE:

	185
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
5	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
6	RESOLUTION NO. 47, 2013 (PREVIOUSLY
7	TABLED) - APPOINTMENT OF PAUL DEANTONA, 333
8	NORTH SUMNER AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
9	18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL
10	RECREATION AUTHORITY TO FILL THE UNEXPIRED
11	TERM OF THOMAS SMITH WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED
12	TO EXPIRE JUNE 17, 2016.
13	MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-C.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. 1 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 4 MS. KRAKE: 7-D - FORMERLY 6-A - FOR 5 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -6 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56 - 2013 7 8 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE NOT TO 9 EXCEED SEVENTEEN MILLION (\$17,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX ANTICIPATION 10 NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS TAN 11 12 SERIES 2014-A AWARDED TO IFS SECURITIES; DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE: 13 14 AWARDING SAID NOTE: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS: 15 AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE 16 17 CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER 18 ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED IN CONNECTION 19 WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID NOTE. (EMERGENCY 20 CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 21 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 22 23 Committee on Finance? 24 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 25 Committee on Finance, I recommend final

1 passage of Item 7-D. MR. ROGAN: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? Again, I think it's very important to acknowledge 4 5 and thank the great efforts Mr. Michael Judge and Council Solicitor Hughes who never 6 7 gave up on the attainment of a TAN. 8 others thought it was not going to happen, 9 when Mr. Hickey of the League of Mercenaries 10 came in last week to say it would not happen 11 and something else that needed to be covered 12 we never gave up, and I thank those 13 gentlemen immensely. Roll call, please. 14 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 15 16 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 17 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 18 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 19 20 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 21 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 22 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 23 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 24 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. 25 On behalf of city council, I'd like

to wish everyone a very happy and blessed Christmas and a healthy and happy new year. This meeting is adjourned.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

_

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER