_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, December 5, 2013	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	The second secon	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT ROBERT MCGOFF PAT ROGAN JOHN LOSCOMBE (Not present) NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH &
16	WELFARE AUTHORITY HELD OCTOBER 17, 2013.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
20	ZONING HEARING BOARD TO BE HELD DECEMBER 11,
21	2013.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
25	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND

DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION RECEIVED OCTOBER 9TH, 1 2013. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 4 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S 5 REPORT HEARING DATES OCTOBER 30TH AND 6 DECEMBER 11TH, 2013. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 9 If not, received and filed. 10 Do we very Clerk's notes tonight, Mrs. Evans? 11 12 MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans. Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Do any 14 council members have announcements at this time? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Just one. We received a 17 letter today from Lackawanna County and, as 18 you know, city council requested both last 19 year and, unfortunately, the county said no. 20 This year we received better news regarding 21 extending the discount period for taxes. It says, "Dear Mrs. Krake, pursuant 22 23 to your request stated November 25, 2013, in 24 the spirit of intergovernmental cooperation, 25 the county commissioners have agreed to

extend the deadline for the discount period in order for taxpayers to have additional time to pay. The county agrees to extend the first discount deadline from February 28, 2014, to March 31, 2014."

So this is good news and I'd like to thank the county commissioners who I was very critical of last year when they refused to do this and I thank them this year.

Mrs. Krake, could we please send a copy of this letter to the school district and ask for their cooperation as well, and could we also give an a copy to Mayor Courtright, mayor-elect Courtright's transition team?

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
This Saturday, December 7, Santa Clause will arrive by special train at six communities in the Lackawanna valley during the
Christmas in a small town program. Families are encouraged to assemble at the state office building parking lot on Lackawanna
Avenue in Scranton at 2:45 p.m. to welcome
Santa. Santa and his friends will greet all of the children and hear their lists of

Christmas wishes. Afterwards, families can enjoy refreshments, live entertainment by the Scranton High School marching band and special activities, including photo opportunities with the Santa train and its crew. All activities are free. Train rides for the public are not available at this event, however.

This Saturday, our country marks the anniversary of the December 7, 1941, attack on Pearl Harbor. We remember in our thoughts and prayers the over 2,000 soldiers killed and greater than 1,200 injured on that day that continues to live in infamy.

Finally, I hope that all in our community enjoyed a happy Thanksgiving and that our Jewish friends and neighbors had a very blessed and happy Hanukkah.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I make one historical note as well since --

MS. EVANS: Please.

MR. MCGOFF: Today is the 80th anniversary of the end of prohibition.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Just throw it out

there.

MS. EVANS: I don't know that that belongs in the category of Pearl Harbor Day, but it's certainly an historical event and one that might interest Scrantonians.

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Hickey.

MR. HICKEY: Thank you. Gene
Hickey, a resident of West Scranton, and
here on behalf of mayor-elect Courtright's
transition team to comment on the proposed
2014 budget.

Before I get started, there were a lot of pointed questions that were raised by some of the speakers earlier, many of the same questions that mayor-elect Courtright's transition team has looked at in its review of the budget. I'd like you to know that it's not just me on that transition team, there are many individuals participating. We have lawyers, accountants, financial investors and the like who have taken their time to look at this budget and make some

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

analysis to determine whether or not it's a realistic budget, which is what we understand the banking and investment community to be looking for for 2014.

And I bring that point up early on in this presentation, because as all of you know, the City of Scranton when we start a fiscal year in January, one of the most important components of that is it a TRAN, which is a tax and revenue anticipation I believe it was Mrs. Schumacher who note. brought up the fact that there is a \$16 million TRAN in the budget, with a \$17 million on the expense side. We are assuming the expense side is higher for the interest portion of that TRAN, and I see your face, which raises some eyebrows, and the reason the interest is going to be so high is because of the cost of the funds to the City of Scranton is so significant because of our current status versus other municipalities who aren't facing that same dilemma.

So Mayor Courtright asked us and really charged us with the tax of going out

24

25

and looking at the budget from a general overview to line item by line item to determine whether or not there were any issues in the budget that we thought needed to be brought to the forefront, and I'm sorry to say that there are a lot of issues. Many people have raised the questions here tonight with regard to this budget, and I'm not going to use smoke and mirrors here, nobody is going to hide the 800 pound gorilla in the budget. There is a huge tax increase and there is a huge revenue increase on the refuse fee.

Fortunately, we were warned about that maybe a year ago when PEL suggested we were looking at 117 percent tax increase rather than a 57 percent. With that said, we have looked at many issues, and I mean numerous. We have met with PEL, we've meet with DCED, we met with our communities who are either still struggling similar to Scranton or who have been through a process and actually come out of it and talked to those individuals who have been involved in the process, and one of the real things that

we were concerned about and made aware of is realistic items in the budget is at the forefront of what we need to establish for the City of Scranton, that's expenditures and its revenues and, unfortunately, the city has for many years, and I know all of you are aware of this and everybody in the audience is aware of it, we have been deficit spending in this town, I dont' know, somebody raised the issue of 40 years, I don't like to go back that far because there's a guy up here on the wall that was mayor back then, but it's been a long time.

And there was a quote I saw Ron Paul said, "Deficit spending is nothing more than tax increases." And all that means is sooner or later you have to come up with with the money to make the payments. So when we look at the budget, we obviously looked at the revenue side first because that seemed to be the spot where most people were concerned.

Somebody raised the issue this evening about the liquid fuels and the \$2 million of state liquid fuels money. The

- -

city is not getting that money this year or in 2014. You have an immediate \$2 million gap in the budget before you even got out of the gate to look at it, unfortunately. The Governor signed the Transportation Bill and he did not include the liquid fuels revenue in there for distressed cities.

As a result, we already have shortfall of \$2 million almost immediately after the mayor's budget was submitted to council. So far there has been no suggestion of how to replace that revenue, so we have an issue there.

Secondly in the budget, there is a sale of city assets of \$1.7 million. The budget, unfortunately, didn't identify what those assets are. We have been told it could be parking meters, it could be Sewer Authority. The unfortunate part from the investment banking standpoint and from a lending standpoint is if we don't know what we are selling, we don't know what their value is and we don't have signed contracts it's not real revenue from their perspective. That gets us to \$3.7 million.

Let alone the fact that we are going to be short next year \$1.7 from the sale, and the revenue from the meters on top of that. And as Attorney Hughes knows, I think he has been involved in the Parking Authority issues, we've got all kind of problems on that end which is going to involve the meter revenue, so we have those issues as well.

The third dilemma in the budget on the revenue side is we have an estimated additional \$1.6 million next year in earned income tax. The collector for the county, which I believe is Berkheimer, saw a spike in all municipalities this year of earned income tax revenues. Do you mind if I continue?

MS. EVANS: Please, but then wrap it up.

MR. HICKEY: I'm trying to go as fast as I can. He has told all of the municipalities to use caution when putting that revenue item into the budget, and the reason for that is they believe it's a one-time spike as a result of the Act 32 being implemented and the new collector

coming on board. You are up to \$5.3 million short on the revenue side on three items.

Unfortunately, the bad news doesn't stop there. If you look at the delinquent real estate taxes, the local services tax, licenses and permits, fines, forfeits and violations, in lieu of taxes and departmental earnings there is another \$2.55 million short in those projections based upon simply the historical data.

When we looked at these revenue items in the budget, most of these items come in at 60 percent of funding at most and as a result of that you have this built in what we would deem a structural deficit on the revenue side because the revenues aren't there, they are projected, but they are not collected.

So when you add all of that up you come to a \$7.85 million revenue shortfall without looking at the expense side of the budget. You know as well as I do the expense side is pretty well set in Scranton I think you guys have slashed every where possible to cut expenses. We are not sure

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where anymore cuts are coming from. We have organized labor contracts in effect until 2017. Roughly 80 percent of this budget is based upon fixed costs. We have extensive borrowing obligations on top of that, and as a result of that somebody -- I have a friend that says it all the time, and I think Mr. Parrera, kick the can down the road. That's what we are doing. We are kicking it down the road.

What our concern is when we get to January we don't have a TAN. There is no TRAN in place, this is no money to fund the city government for January, February and March, particularly in light of the extension on the discount period for real estate taxes, those revenues are going to come in very slowly. The banks have told us, and we are going to be meeting with them again shortly, without a realistic budget we may not get that funding. On top of which you have a \$22 million arbitration award, a missed pension payment this year, potentially the whole thing, maybe some of it, depending on whose cash flow analysis

you are looking at, plus an interest penalty of up to \$640,000 on top of that starting off in January of 2014.

So you are confronted as a body with some very significant issues. It's very difficult for the mayor-elect's transition team to come in and analyze every department line item at a time to see where savings can be met because we are not in there. Mayor Courtright is not in office yet, he doesn't have his team in place, whoever he is going to appoint as department heads and whatnot, so they don't have the ability to go in there and do that, but as you know just looking at the expense side it's going to be very difficult to realize savings.

There is some hope, all right? And the hope is that somehow we come up with a realistic 2014 general city operating budget, and the lending institutions agree to loan us the TRAN and then we can somehow finances the arbitration award and at that juncture the city may be out of trouble.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney Hickey.

MR. HICKEY: And I appreciate your

time, and if I can just leave with a quote 2 3 from Martin Luther King, I think you guys have a very difficult task at hand and he 4 5 once said, "The ultimate measure of a person is not where they stand in moments of 6 7 comfort or convenience, but where they stand 8 in times of challenge and controversy." 9 And our hope and wish for council is 10 that you can do what's necessary to assist 11 the city moving forward in 2014. Thank you. 12 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I think 13 some of us have already done that. 14 MR. HICKEY: Pardon me? MS. EVANS: Some of us have already 15 16 done that. 17 MR. HICKEY: Oh, I -- just so 18 everyone knows, I think everyone in the transition team believes council has done an 19 20 admirable job of trying to move the city 21 forward. 22 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 23 Mr. Spindler? 24 MR. JOYCE: Before we move forward I 25 just have one question.

1

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HICKEY: Sure.

MR. JOYCE: You know, the transition team obviously has looked at the budget, went over it, and noticed some deficiencies particularly on the revenue side. What is the idea of Mayor Courtright or the transition team of how to makeup for those deficiencies?

MR. HICKEY: I can give you a couple of examples. Somebody raised the question of the rental registration ordinance, I forget who said it, how many units are there in the city? And I think the budget is based upon \$50 a unit and 3,000 units for \$150,000. You send out 20,024, I believe, refuse fee bills. We don't understand where the discrepancy is. If you only have three -- even if you had three units per building at 3,000 you are still only at 9,000. We are missing 11,000 potential The US Census for 2010 indicated units. that there were over 14,000 multi-family dwellings in the City of Scranton. only collecting on 3,000.

Delinquent real estate taxes, we had

real estate tax sales in the city, I don't know, we had one this year, I think nine people showed up and maybe three properties were bid on. Lackawanna County sells delinquent real estate properties and gets them back on the tax rolls almost immediately and if they are not sold at an upset sale, they are sold at a judicial sale, and even if you don't collect all of the revenue from the sale you are still getting the properties back on the tax rolls for purposes of future taxes.

I mean, I think going on and looking there is revenue items to look at, but when you are asking a new administration to come in, until they get in there and get their feet in the door and look at these issues nobody in mayor-elect Courtright's administration is going to say, "We are going to generate these revenues next year."

The rental registration program,
that could be a yearlong process of going
out to each neighborhood door to door,
similar to a tax assessment, and determining
how many units really are in the city. The

information is just not there.

revenues, there is way to go after delinquent taxpayers, there is ways to go after revenues, the problem is we haven't done it. So when we the banks are coming in, and this is what our concern is, when the banks come in and you don't have a commitment from a bank for a TRAN for 2014, they are going to wait until you pass the budget to make that determination. When they come in, if they say no, that's the concern we have because if they say this budget isn't real, we are not going to loan you the money, remember, one bank.

MS. EVANS: You do recall that the mayor has the ability to open the budget.

MR. HICKEY: Well, the mayor can open the budget on certain items.

MS. EVANS: On anything.

MR. HICKEY: But you are going to issue a -- I'll give you an example of your real estate tax. Whatever you do with it, whether it's current, at current rates or increased rates, we are not sending out

extra real estate tax bills next year. They are going out in January or February, that's it. That revenue item is over. You don't get a second crack at the apple, so when you look at those revenue sources it's one thing to open a budget and transfer expenditure and move it around to pay for other things, but to increase the revenues in the budget isn't possible based upon those bills.

MS. EVANS: I think you have to keep in mind that that should this budget pass, you are going to see increased delinquencies because there are many people in the City of Scranton who cannot this.

MR. HICKEY: I don't think there is any doubt --

MS. EVANS: So I don't think you are going to realize the amounts of money that are envisioned.

MR. HICKEY: On the EIT and the real estate taxes, real estate taxes in this city are collected at approximately 88 percent, so there is a built in 12 percent every year that remains unpaid. The EIT is a little different because it's collected at source.

The garbage fee is down around 78 percent. And I agree with you, the problem is if you are right, and you very well could be, that structural deficit that I'm referring to is bigger next year. The bank looks at it and says, "Hey, you are not going to get this money, we don't like the risk here, we are not going to give you a TRAN."

So that the level you are faced with is if the budget is not real and nobody comes to the table on the loan that's where we see the problem coming. And honestly, this issue could be way more impactful a year or two from now. If you have a million dollars in interest payment on the TRAN this year, you borrow \$30 million for a judgment and a pension payment at four or five points above what everybody else is paying, the interest cost to everybody here, including me and you, our grandkids are going to be paying that. That's just the simple fact.

So we understand you guys got a horrible task ahead of you, we understand that. Mayor-elect Courtright is coming into

it, he is going to have to deal with it when he gets here. We just hope that by offering some comments you can see some of the issues in the budget that we saw and hopefully, you know, we can assist each other and try to resolve them together. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: I didn't think I would ever get up here. Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city residents and homeowner and taxpayer. As I stated two weeks ago, I totally am against this budget. 56 percent tax hike, people just can't handle it and the garbage fee hike is ridiculous. I don't know how people could do it and could I ask Attorney Hughes a question, Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Correct me if I'm wrong, our property taxes go towards our garbage being picked up; am I correct?

MR. HUGHES: Pardon?

MR. SPINDLER: Our property taxes go to our garbage being picked up?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, okay.

MR. HUGHES: From the budget I have no idea.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm under the understanding --

MR. HUGHES: I always thought the garbage tax that was used to help defray that and if it wasn't sufficient then there must be something in the line item in the budget to pay for the balance due for Public Works to collect the garbage.

MR. SPINDLER: I was always under the understanding that our property taxes are for our services, fire, police and having our garbage picked up, and the point I'm trying to make is I think that garbage fee is illegal, because we are already paying to have our garbage picked up, I don't think that fee is legal. Do you have an opinion on that?

MR. HUGHES: No.

MR. SPINDLER: As I stated, I don't even think that garbage fee is even legal because that's why we pay our property taxes to have our garbage picked up, and two weeks

ago right down the street here there was a race through Scranton where Mayor Doherty was interviewed on Channel 16 and he was quoted as stating the city is thriving. If the city is thriving, why do we need a 56 percent tax hike? Why do we need a raise from \$178 to \$300 in the garbage fee? It doesn't make any sense to me. I'd like to hear him explain that to someone.

Moving on, Mayor Doherty has been sticking it to the taxpayer for 12 years, and he is sticking it to the taxpayer to his final day, and a couple of examples are he has been firing city employees to give some of his friends jobs, and one person was a police officer who was elderly, and he let him go and he took it to arbitration, the arbitration didn't go on for 11 months. He won his arbitration and got his job back and who did you think paid for it? The taxpayers paid for 11 months back then.

Now, recently, another city employee who I know was fired, it's going to go to arbitration. This person is going to win and as is happened before Mayor Doherty has

never won an arbitration and the taxpayers are going to get stuck with the bill again. He is sticking to us right to the very end and it's just unbelievable.

A couple of weeks ago there was the caucus with OECD and it was mentioned 28 loans are late. Why is the city going after these loans? We are in such dire need of money and there is 28 whether they are, businesses or whatever, out there that are late with their loans and nothing is being done? This is an outrage.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler, one of the first thing I want to do when the new mayor takes office is to sit down with the mayor, the Chair of Economic and Community

Development and myself and go over each one of these loans and look at the ones that are, obviously some such as the Molly

Brantigan's loan, we're probably never going to see a dime out of it and that never should have been made, but many other loans that can be worked out to get -- bring revenue back into our revolving loan account when we can do that, that will then have

more money to go out to other small business owners.

So I think we need to review the entire loan portfolio with the chair and with the mayor, which is something that I wish Mayor Doherty would have afforded the same opportunity, which unfortunately he hasn't, but we need to be more proactive. The city can't just give a loan and then send a bill once a month and if they don't send it back forget about it.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. I think these people should be hit with severe penalties. I mean, if I don't pay my mortgage on time I have to pay a penalty like everybody else does, like any loan. I think these people -- and we should take them to court if they are not going to pay and let them pay the court fees because if somebody makes a loan they have to pay.

And lastly, oh, this is something else, last week's paper the mayor wants to cut the fire chief's salary. This is ridiculous. It's not going to be Mayor Doherty's fire chief, that's why he wants to

Chief Davis a \$16,000 pay raise. Now it's not going to be his chief so now he wants to cut 26 percent. I think the fire chief's salary should stay the same. I'm totally against that. As I said, it's not going to be his person, so now he wants to cut.

Where was were all of these cuts when he was mayor? Maybe if he had made more cuts when he was mayor we wouldn't be in the -- having the problems we are having now. So I hope when you vote that the fire chief's salary is kept the same.

cut the salary. Last year he wanted to give

And lastly, the previous speaker who was here saying about you better go after the department heads, blah, blah, blah, I can be a witness where you sent letter after letter after letter to the department heads, and a lot of them were about my property, and they don't respond. If they don't respond, what are you going to do? I don't know what this person expects you to do. You can't go out after them and force them to come here or to respond to you. So your hands are tied. I know it's frustrating,

20

21

22

23

24

25

but I know starting in January that will change. We'll get competent department heads, people that know what they are doing, and I'm sure they will respond when letters are sent. So we only have another month to We have been suffering for 12 years, finally another month the suffering will be over. I know we have a tough chore ahead of us, our new mayor has a tough chore, but the people have to be patient and it will take time. It took 12 years to tear this city down because it was better under Mayor Connors. A lot of people don't believe that, but it was. It took 12 years for Chris Doherty to tear it down, it's going to take a long to time to build it back up, and I have faith in Bill Courtright, and I know he is going to try his best. Thank you for your time.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. HODAWANITZ: My name is Joan
Hodawanitz, I'm a Scranton resident. I
hadn't intended to speak tonight, but I just
retired for the second time last month and I
used to work nights, couldn't come to these

meetings, and I dumped my cable TV, it's a waste of money, so I have to come now or I won't know what's going on, and I came here to be educated about the budget, both what was proposed in the budget process. And I could tell you I was not a little offended with what appeared to me to be a little bit of a cavalier air starting the caucus part of the meeting late.

You know, first of all, there wasn't enough publicity about this meeting. I read the Times, and I don't know, was it in the Times or did I just miss it or did I get the

MS. SCHUMACHER: It was in?

MS. HODAWANITZ: It was in? Well, I missed it, okay. But what really annoyed me was clicking on the council meetings website every day and looking to see, because I know when there is a caucus they, you know, show the caucus minutes or the agenda and they will tell you what time the caucus is going to begin, and my goodness, I didn't see that until today. Is that when it was posted, today?

MS. EVANS: I don't know. That's done by the IT Department.

MR. HODAWANITZ: Well, somebody ought to make sure the IT Department gets it in there, especially when the notice is something about if you want to see such and such paperwork you must notify the city clerk 48 hours in advance. Well, that's kind of hard to do, okay, when you get that kind of late notice.

Be that as it may, I want to compliment Ms. Schumacher on her usual astute analysis of the budget which she does every year unfailingly. I don't know where she gets the time and the talent to do it, but you are an educational by yourself.

I have a question, however, would it be possible to schedule another public caucus with mayor-elect Courtright's transition team to see what they have learned about the budget and their analysis?

MS. EVANS: I think -- certainly it's possible, but I think it should be mayor-elect Courtright who is doing this speaking and I do know that he was afforded

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the opportunity to work on this budget with the mayor before it was presented on November 15, and he didn't take that opportunity.

MS. HODAWANITZ: Well, you still might want to consider inviting him to the caucus which whatever representation he might want to bring, because I think it's important for the citizens of Scranton to at least be informed about the budget and what they are going to be paying next year and the future years, okay? Because, you know, I mean, we need to be informed participants in our government, okay, which is one of the reasons why I was irritated by the late start and your comment, Mr. Joyce, when you started, you know -- well, you read the notice saying, you know, "This meeting is conducted at 5:15, oh, well, it's 5:30."

You know, somebody could have come out and said, "We are running late."

This is a courtesy to those of who are bothered to come. "We are running late, we are going to probably start 15 or 20 minutes late."

That should be something. We are sitting there watching, you know, you all lollygag in, okay? You should have all known what time the meeting started, shouldn't you? You should have all been on time, shouldn't you?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. HODAWANITZ: I mean, just give us hat courtesy. This is a painful enough process, but at least we came. And I thank you I know you are doing a very hard work and I appreciate it. But, remember, we are the ones ultimately who are going to be paying the bill. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, if you would send a letter to tomorrow to Mr. Courtright and Mr. Hickey, who appears to be his spokesperson, asking if they would like to participate in a budgetary meeting where they can present to the public their views on the budget. I don't know that it has to be before a city council meeting, they can very likely select any day or evening that is most convenient for them and arrangements can be made to have ECTV cover

that meeting. Thank you.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia.

Citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I
listened to Attorney Hickey's comments on
the budget. If you read between the lines
he is saying 56 percent isn't enough.

That's what he said.

wilat lie salu.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And I'm not saying he is right or wrong, but I don't think the people can afford it. Many times I sit here, I say the reason we took at that wage tax at 2.4 and we took that burden on was to keep our mothers and grandmothers in their homes, but you have taken that away. This budget would take all of that away, all of the suffering we did for all of them years paying that wage tax you destroyed it in the last four years, and that's really -- if you are a realist, this is what it is.

You know, unfortunately, that we have a lot of retired people in the city that would like to die in their home, and many times I came before you and said that, too. I want to go out gracefully, but you

are making it awful hard. You have to fight for everything in the city. I always said the government is our enemy, your vote is your weapon, and apparently, we haven't used our weapon good enough or we wouldn't be in this condition we are now.

I'm not saying he is wrong, because anybody that can add and one and get two realizes that's probably pretty close to the ballpark, but I looked at your refuse. Now, when we started that was only a tipping fee when that started. When we did away with our refuse pile we put that on as a tipping fee, not as a way to generate money for the city. That was just to pay the landfill, but unfortunately we seem to be getting away from that.

And another thing is, you know the University does not pay the refuse fee, and a lot of the other people that are commercial do not pay the refuse fee, but they can write it off, whatever it is they are paying -- the people who are hauling the refuse away. I don't know why that wasn't a tax instead of a fee or we would have wrote

it off. You can't write off a fee, but we can write off a tax, and there is too many things in this city that the poor common people have to pay, but businesses are able to write them off as a part of doing business.

So when you are looking at that refuse fee, find out, obviously they don't get a notice from whoever mailed out the refuse fees, so I don't know why some way that couldn't be incorporated into the tax so that at least they can write it off their income tax and get something back from it, other than that we are just throwing it away, but this at this is great for that. This is the most mismanaged city I think around.

I'm not talking about the fight between you and the mayor or what have you, that's your job. Your job is there as that. You are a safety valve, and that's your job whether you agree with the mayor or not agree with the mayor, that's your prerogative, but we got department heads that should have been collecting the taxes

that are delinquent. And we have to hire outside, are we so incompetent that we can't even manage our own parking meters? Have we fallen that far?

Now, you know the paper said we may be selling them off, that's why they raise it up another quarter to give this guy more revenue to buy it or put pressure on it to up our piece of the action. That's not way a city should run. A city should be able to do the things they sudden be able to do, and this city is not able to do them. That's why we are where we are now. People just couldn't to their job. They collected their salaries, smiled and did this and that, but they didn't do their job.

We wouldn't have never had them

parking garages all over of the darn place

if they -- if the Authority had done their

job, but did you admonish them? Did you ask

for their resignation? No, they are

Democrats. The Democratic party has ruined

this city and has destroyed it, and there is

no other way to look at it. This is where

we are now because of contracts and

patronage and not caring about the public.

If people cared about the public long ago we would never be in the condition we are now.

I thank you.

MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening, Council.

ALL COUNCIL: Good evening.

MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Suzanne Williams. I am a single parent, a property owner for the past 35 years, and I am here to represent my mother, my sister, and my daughter, who are all property owners in Scranton. Our family has resided in Scranton since 1865, and this is the first time that we will find it a hardship or perhaps an impossibility to pay our property taxes with the proposed 50 percent increase. I am sure that I speak for many other property owners.

What future can the property owners look forward to except for the possible loss of their property in the future, and then what will happen to our city? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I'd like to say that generally sometimes I find myself going in the opposite direction of everybody else, and I think that this is one of those times. This budget, I really just honestly think we should throw it in the garbage, and I'm going to be really honest with you because it's not going to solve any of our problems and it's not workable, it's not feasible.

I think we need to do a couple of other things here. I think we need to have a real discussion about eliminating the wage tax, okay, the rental registration fee, the garbage fee, the mercantile tax, returning the parking meters to 25 cents an hour, and the truth of the matter is that over 20 years ago the state had an obligation to come in here and make sure that this city was set for recovery, but that's not happened.

Now, there has been a lot of discussions about all of the projects that were done on Lackawanna Avenue and the garages. You know, the state officials did

the system is just so flawed and so broken that we find ourself, and for lack of a better terminology, just a dictatorship from the top to the bottom of this country that is just pushed by politics, and I know that in dictatorships generally you don't vote, but in some dictatorships before they become embedded they are voted into office and then they take control. And what's happened in this country is we have created the elite political class of people, not on this level, because you guys are too low down, I mean, in the You know, we've got people playing status. politics in Harrisburg and Washington, and

what's happened is we have caused massive

our country, we have created poverty that

really they tried to address that in the

the things that have been just laid to

60's with Mr. Johnson and former President

Kennedy, and you just take a look at all of

unemployment across a very broad spectrum of

a very good job in making sure money got

an inability to pay any of it back.

here to spend, even though the taxpayers had

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

waste, the new deal, everything.

And what's happened to the working core is that they have been disenfranchised from everything and they don't vote because they think there vote is a waste, and then we listen to this presentation and my question is, where is this transition team because these council members and the mayor that are going to come into office, they told the public that they weren't going to support any tax increase. So my question is, I was at the debates, Mr. McGoff, my point is, that if you said that to win election and you said you had answers and you don't have any then why mislead the public and the City of Scranton to believe that there are solutions to our problems, because evidently in my opinion there are no solutions. This city has been completely looted from the top, from Harrisburg and Washington, and it's just trickled down to where, look it, if somebody gets on the phone and, look it, I'm not going to pick on Mayor Doherty or any politician or anybody in public office, but if somebody in

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Washington or Harrisburg comes in and says,
"We've got grant money coming your way and
we need you to match it or we need you take
this money we are going to give you and
spend it," they don't question it. They
just go out and do it and whether the
project was legitimate and offered any real
change to the residents of any community or
not, that's the question.

But in all of the projects we have done what is the benefit to the people actually been? We haven't moved forward. We haven't paid any of our debt off. Are we really going to sell the Scranton Sewer Authority, the last asset we have? Is that what we are going to do? I think we have done a lot of silly things, and now we have Mr. Hickey who comes in and here and talks about, I guess he called it a TRAN, but a tax anticipation note, our problem is all embedded in borrowing, and I really think that the mayor need to come forward and find a way to go forward without a tax anticipation note to take the rough and hard track and find some solutions, because to be

honest with you, if we could have borrowed money we would have settled the settlement with the fire and police. We are uncreditworthy.

So the truth of the matter is we need to have someone go to Harrisburg who okayed the recovery plan, just like the PEL did with the last hearing with the three judges, they talked about a recovery plan.

None of this was a recovery plan because this city hasn't recovered and it's not going to recover. And to be honest with you, most of the people responsible for this, believe it or not, are the voters because they went to the polls, whether they were mislead or not, city residents have an obligation to know what they're voting for and why and they didn't.

But the truth be told, we just can't -- this is not a course of action we can take, and I honestly think that this council should take this budget and throw it in the garbage, okay, and let them do whatever they got to do because they don't have a big enough window to come up with another plan.

If you take this budget and you throw it in 1 the garbage what are they going to do? 2 3 are going to have to come up with a plan or something is going to have to be done. 4 5 But I honestly think the transition team needs to come forward, the mayor-elect 6 7 needs to come forward, and we need a lot of 8 answers from the state and federal 9 government how we can be in receivership or 10 a distressed status for this long with no 11 solution and no intervention by the state. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 14 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? 15 16 MS. HEMKLER: Good evening, Council. 17 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 18 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. MS. HEMKLER: I'm here because I 19 20 want you to know how upset I am. 21 MS. EVANS: Could you state your I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt 22 23 you, but if you could state your name 24 please? 25 MS. HEMLKER: My name is Maude

2

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hemkler, and I'm a resident of the City of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HEMKLER: And I'm very upset and that's why I'm here. It's about the huge increases in property tax and the \$300 increase in the garbage fee. Now, people are selling their homes at half of what they are worth just to get out of the city. spoke to a realtor, she said people come and tell her any place but Scranton when they are look for a home. That's upsetting. I own a home, and I might want to sell it one day, maybe soon, I don't know, but anyhow, there are "For Sale" signs all over the city, and no one can find a buyer at a decent price. They are selling for 29,000, 39,000, if you read the courthouse notes it's all there. We aren't going be able to survive.

And about the garbage fee, as far as I know Mr. DeNaples did not raise the rates at his landfill so calling the \$300 raise a garbage fee is not acceptable, it's not affordable, and it may not be legal, I don't

know, but I can't afford it, and many people 1 that I know can't afford it. I want to 2 3 suggest that you look at a per bag fee for garbage to make it more affordable for 4 5 people living alone as they don't even fill one bag at a new cost of \$478 a year, is it, 6 7 or just raising it to \$300? 8 MS. EVANS: It's being raised to 9 \$300 from what it is now at \$178. MR. HEMKLER: So it would be \$478 10 then? 11 12 MS. EVANS: Pardon? 13 MS. HEMKLER: It would be \$478 then? 14 MS. EVANS: No, no, currently it's \$178. 15 16 MS. HEMKLER: I know that, I'm 17 paying it. 18 MS. EVANS: And the mayor has 19 proposed raising it from \$178 to \$300. 20 MS. HEMKLER: Well, do you think 21 that's right for a person that's putting out 22 a bag of garbage every week? We have a lot 23 of elderly people here and they don't use a 24 lot of garbage, they don't make a lot of 25 garbage, and you want them to pay that kind

2

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of a fee?

MS. EVANS: Oh, I don't.

MS. HEMKLER: Thank you. I'm glad you don't, Janet. I wish the rest of you didn't. Hopefully you might think about changing this to a per bag fee. Please consider the people you are hurting with these unreasonable and unfair taxes and that goes for PEL, too. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. FANUCCI: Mr. Rogan, I understand you just said that all of the loans that Mayor Doherty had, like with Molly Brannigans and all of those, did you ever ask Mayor Doherty if he would sit down with you and go over these loans with you?

MR. ROGAN: I not only myself, all of council has sent --

MS. FRANUS: Have you? You said he has never gotten in touch with you about going over these loans, you make it sound like if he did you would have. Have you ever contacted him and said, "I'd like to

1 meet with you about these loans"? 2 MR. ROGAN: Unfortunately, Mayor 3 Doherty didn't have an open door policy as 4 mayor. 5 MR. FRANUS: That's not my question, did you ever ask him I'm sure --6 7 MR. ROGAN: Specifically on that, I 8 may have, I may not have. 9 MS. FRANUS: You don't know. MR. ROGAN: On other issues when 10 11 I've asked to meet with the mayor or 12 department heads to get information myself 13 and other members have been stonewalled. 14 MS. FRANUS: Well, you made it sound 15 like you wanted to, but he didn't let you. 16 Somehow I don't believe that. MR. ROGAN: Well, it was his --17 18 these were his loans. 19 MS. FRANUS: I know, but you said --20 I have other things to discuss here. 21 where you spoke with mayor-elect Courtright, 22 did he ever offer any suggestions to this 23 budget to you during that meeting? 24 MR. ROGAN: Our meeting was, as 25 publicized in the paper, myself,

1 Councilman-elect Weschler -the talking. MS. FRANUS: suggestions for the budget? MR. ROGAN: budget, but he didn't --MR. ROGAN: question to Mr. Courtright. MS. FRANUS:

MS. FRANUS: That wasn't my 2 3 question, did he ever any suggestions for 4 this budget while you met with him? MR. ROGAN: Well, most of the 5 conversation was from PEL and DCED, that's 6 7 who we met with. They did the majority of 8 9 Still didn't even my 10 question, did Bill Courtright answer any 11 12 We discussed this 13 14 MS. FRANUS: Did Bill Courtright 15 offer suggestions to help with this budget 16 to amendments to it or anything to it at 17 all, any of his own thoughts, not PEL? 18 You should address that 19 20 I'm asking you, you 21 were there. You are a member of council, 22 did Bill Courtright come to you at any time, 23 now, at that meeting, any time, has he come 24 to you or anybody else on council to offer 25 any suggestions for this budget, to change

1	it, to help it, to do anything, any
2	suggestions as to what he may want?
3	MR. ROGAN: I have talked to him
4	about a number of issues within the budget.
5	MS. FRANUS: Did he offer any
6	suggestions?
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. FRANUS: What are they?
9	MR. ROGAN: There is a number of
10	things we have talked about and continuing
11	to talk about.
12	MS. FRANUS: And you are not going
13	to say what they were?
14	MR. ROGAN: If it's something that
15	I'm going to propose absolutely.
16	MS. FRANUS: Are you going to make
17	any amendments to this budget?
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. FRANUS: Are you going to raise
20	any salaries?
21	MR. ROGAN: Again, we are still
22	looking at the budget.
23	MS. FRANUS: But do you yourself?
24	MR. ROGAN: Salary increases from
25	this year's level, no.

MS. FRANUS: From next year's level.

Did you want to raise any salaries period,
at any level anything?

MR. ROGAN: No, no salaries should go up from their current levels.

MS. FRANUS: Do you want to create any new positions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. One knew position in the DPW, which I spoke about, which would be a refuse supervisor, and two offset that eliminating one of the new positions that the mayor proposed creating.

MS. FRANUS: So you are just taking one place and putting a name on the other?

MR. ROGAN: If it would actually save the city -- it would save the city a small amount of money, but hopefully would make the department run more efficiently and save on overtime costs as well.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I'll be curious to see what Mayor Courtright has to say about the unions and the banks since he campaigned saying that they would be willing to work with him if he got elected. Has the banks contacted him or the unions to make

1 any concessions to this budget? You don't 2 know? 3 MR. ROGAN: You are asking me? 4 MS. FRANUS: Do you know? Did he 5 mention anything about that? MR. ROGAN: You would have to ask 6 7 Mr. Courtright. 8 MS. FRANUS: You are not aware of 9 any of that. MR. ROGAN: You would have to ask 10 11 him. 12 MS. FRANUS: You are not aware of 13 any kind of concessions? 14 MR. ROGAN: No, he is not even in the office yet. 15 16 MS. FRANUS: I don't think that --17 it doesn't make a difference. He said he 18 would be here speaking and stuff, where is 19 he? Why was Attorney Hickey here, why 20 wasn't Bill Courtright here? He had a lot 21 to say before he got elected. He should be 22 here telling the people and he shouldn't run 23 on campaign promises that he can't keep and 24 I get a kick out of Attorney Hickey saying 25 PEL 117 percent tax increase, but we are

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

only get 57. Who is he kidding? We are getting 127 percent increase, whether you call it a fee, whether you call it a tax, and I agree with Les Spindler that garbage fee certainly should be illegal. Property taxes always paid for firemen, police protection and your garbage for years since forever, more times than it hasn't. This garbage fee should be definitely illegal and I hope that Joe Pilchesky is he's the only on that ever takes the city to task. I hope he has enough information he could gather and sue the city for that because the senior citizens certainly can't afford that garbage fee, and if you sit up there and you think we can then we know where you stand.

Like I told you, and I will tell you again, you are here to represent the people, not your buddies, not your political buddies, and you are not here to serve the unions. Like Mrs. Evans said, you can't anticipate the money coming in from the taxes and the garbage fee to help pay this budget because people aren't going to pay

it. We need a revolution in this town. People shouldn't pay anything. What would they do then? Nobody should pay any of their bills, what would you do then? You know, when I go to town or something and I buy something I don't send you the bill because I live within my means, but you live without our means, just like Mr. McGoff and Judy Gatelli and all of those people that

voted for all of those loans.

You just expect -- you did everything you wanted, but we have to pay for it. How come when I go and buy something nobody pays my bills, why should I pay yours? I don't get it. You should be arrested. You should be thrown out of office. You are there to represent us, not to make us lose our homes. You should be ashamed of yourselves and let's see what you do with this budget because we can't afford it and that's the bottom line.

Just because you live by yourself, Mr. Rogan, or whatever you don't have the bills like we do, you should see what it's like living on a pension and if you even

have a pension you should be our age and
live on a social security check and see how
you're going to get your next meal.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.

MS. FRANUS: What do you give up, your food or you pay your garbage fee? You won't have garbage because you can't afford food.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Doug
Miller, Scranton. I'll begin on a positive
note and move on to some other issues. I'd
just like to begin, obviously this time of
the year the 19th annual Bob Bolus Senior
Christmas Day dinner will once again take
place. This year it will be held at St.
Patrick's Church in the city's West side and
it's 1403 Jackson Street. Due to some
renovations taking place at St. Lucy's we
had to change venues.

But certainly Christmas Day is where it would be for the buffet-style turkey dinner where all are welcome. For many it becomes an annual tradition for many who

1

6

7

8

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

otherwise wouldn't have anywhere to go and whether it's family away from them or just lonely and it's a place for them to go and enjoy a good meal and meet up with friends and neighbors they haven't seen for the year.

So again, it's at St. Patrick's Church and, you know, I'll continue to announce that each week as we get closer.

As I stood in the back this evening earlier because we seemed to get away from our normal protocol of the way we conduct the meeting, which I think moving forward I think that we should stick to our normal procedures. You know, some statements were made in regards to, you know, speaking from the podium and discussing this budget. Believe me, nobody is more passionate about it that I am, but this has been going on for 12 years, you know, we have had 12 years to come down here and discuss this budget and discuss -- not the budget but to discuss the serious financial magnitude that this city is in, but I understand the frustration, don't get me wrong, but people have had

ample time to come down here and it just seems that time and time again we only hear from people when things are bad. They had 12 years to get up and get involved and it really bothers me, you know, when people make those statements, and I respect people coming down here, I'm glad to see some new faces this evening, but we have had 12 years to come down here and address the issues of the city government.

You know, you listen to I believe it's Mr. Hickey speak on behalf of the transition team this evening. You know, Mr. Joyce, I thought you raised probably the best question that anybody could possibly ask and that is as we heard transition team has many concerns, many concerns that I have, many concerns that you have and the public has, and that is, you know, we talk about some of the, if we want to call them flaws or, you know, lack of revenue sources in this proposed budget, what are the alternatives? What is the alternative suggestion by Mr. Courtright and his transition team? And I thought you hit the

nail on the head, Mr. Joyce, and I commend you for asking that question, what is the vision? What is the plan?

And tonight I think you heard the sound of crickets. There is no plan. There is no vision. Another speaker talked about a lot of the promises that were made throughout the campaigns. You know, we are in a situation where time is of the essence, and I understand that these individuals haven't been sworn in yet, it's irrelevant, it means nothing. They were elected, they are the mayor elect and the council elect. I think it's very urgent that they take part in this process and I think it's very vital that they should have been here tonight sitting at that table.

I have a lot of respect for these individuals, I appreciate the fact they ran and campaigned, I have done it as well. I understand the issues and I'm sure they do, I hope they do, but they should have been there. They should be here. You know, you put a lot of hard work into this, and I don't think a lot of people realize the hard

work you put into it and I know some of us think it's comical, and that's unfortunate. You know, the comical thing is going to be when the tax bills come, okay, that's going to be comical, but it just frustrates me from all of the years of coming down here and listening to the same old silly rhetoric time and time again where so many people think it's so easy that, you know, they think you live in a fairytale land and we're just going to waive this magic wand and solve all of our problems. You know, everything is so easy. I get the biggest kick out of that, believe me I do.

But people don't understand the magnitude of the issues we face and, you know, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Joyce, you know, Mr. Loscombe, I know he is not here tonight, if the people only knew the things behind the scenes you went through. And, you know, when people talk about bankruptcy and why we're not in bankruptcy it's due to you three because when it comes time to roll your sleeves up you did that. Some chose to sit, quarterback in the bleachers, you know,

waive their pom-poms and talk a good game, contribute absolutely nothing, but they choose to be the fans in the stands and scream and holler and rant and rave while you were the ones that rolled your sleeves up.

And it really upsets me that we have -- or we hope to have a new vision moving forward, but it seems to me it seems like it's just going to be the same old rhetoric and, you know, I really thought we are were moving in a positive direction, I really did.

But, you now, individuals have an obligation to come forward and, you know, it's nice to talk about things, it's nice to say, oh, this isn't going to happen, you know, or I don't like this, I don't think this is a good idea, but you know, every time I hear that repetitively I never hear an alternative, and that's the most important thing is when you are against something I always thought common sense was you had to have an alternative plan yourself and we have never had that, and from the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looks of it, it seems as if we are just going to continue to follow down the same repetitive path of, you know, just playing politics and worrying about, you know, what political favors we can do.

But I just would hope that, I understand, you know, the majority of this council, two of you are leaving, but go out on a high note and, you know, you have always in your tenure in office, especially Mrs. Evans, you were the longest serving, you have always done what's right and, you know, you are going to be remembered that and the legacy that you have left behind is that, you know, you may have faced some tremendous odds and obstacles and you have had a lot of in your way, but you never let those bullies push you down, and I hope that you go out on that high note and don't let these people walk all over you and don't let them discredit the hard work that your majority has accomplished in the four years. You should be very proud of what you have done, really proud.

And don't let the bullies and the

4

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

naysayers discourage you because you know what, I know what you have gone through, a lot of people have paid attention and those that do pay attention are involved understand everything you have gone through and the hurdles, you know, you have had to overcome, and don't give us. Continue to fight right all the way up until January 6 and continue to look out for the little guy as you have done on your time on council and, you know, I would only hope that the transition takes more of a proactive approach to this rather than saying than, you know, we don't like this, we don't like that, it's not what you were elected to do. We've heard enough of that. That's why we are in the situation we are in today.

But the challenges are there, ideas are more important than ever. We need creativity and it seems at times we have a fear of creativity and that's not a good thing because when you are as desperate as we are we need to be creative in your thinking and people need to step up and get involved.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Because it's never too late. Thank you very much.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, back again.
Still a city taxpayer. I will start
tonight, although, I have a few more budget
items, on 7-C because it is up for final
passage, I am very strongly, very, very
strongly opposed to this legislation.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Schumacher, before you continue it will be amended. Would you like me to tell you about the amendment before you speak on it?

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, I'll speak on what was read, what was proposed, what was available in the Clerk's Office. First of all, we were promised, I have been after all of these loans, Mr. Rogan, for a long time, all of these delinquent loans, and we never heard anything. And a couple of weeks ago we were promised we were going to get these loan reports and they were -- I looked first thing, one of the first things I looked at, was the Third Order. Guess what? No report

from OECD on the loan status. That is not acceptable nor is acceptable that -- well, first of all, two other things, did any of you bother to go back and read from 2007, the minutes of the 2007 meetings, April 12 and particularly April 26 where this loan was originally discussed?

MS. EVANS: I perused it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Pardon?

MS. EVANS: I perused it. It was very interesting.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, interesting is one word for it, I might find another, but we'll go with that, but I don't think anybody should vote on this until they have read that. I would like to know what kind of a deal Wilkes-Barre gave them. I believe there is a misstatement, if I'm recollecting correctly, they said that they didn't -- for the first three years they didn't know that this wasn't going to be a grant, converted to a grant, not true. Mr. Spindler on the 12th of April, 2007, posed that. Just read through those minutes. This was -- none of this should have been a surprise and if they

knew in 2007 and they opened a place in 2009 that's giving them a problem, oh, well.

They knew. They knew this was a loan.

And now not only are we agreeing to reduce to one-half of 1 percent when the going rate is back up to between 4 and 5, but we are also giving them a credit and we are giving them a credit for what they have already paid, which is stated as \$25,000 which of, course, is principal and interest.

MS. EVANS: No, it's only principal. It's applied to the principal and not the interest at all and so, in fact, it seems that approximately \$45,000 in interest has miraculously been forgiven, and in addition to that, they have been paying -- they had been paying \$500 per month for a period of 50 months.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, we discussed that two weeks ago how it took a year and a half for this to even come before council.

MS. EVANS: Well, that precedes that. In other words, it seems that from the very origin of this loan they were not paying the full amounts, they were paying

\$500.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And go back and read with on the 26th what Mr. Stivala claimed, very interesting, but whatever they have paid it should be -- only the principal should be allowed to be reduce the principal at whatever was done, if there is any reduction.

And I will promise you this, if this passes, I will write to every single person who has a loan through OECD and I will tell them the sweet deal that Alexander's got and recommend that they come in and ask for the same. It's only fair. Okay, enough on that.

To the garbage fee, a fee is different from a tax in that the fee is only to cover the cost of the services rendered. I need it to be proven to me that the fee does not exceed the cost of the service that is being provided. I also went to the library and I pulled out the City Code during these past two weeks and it said that the original ordinance said that the DPW chief will ensure that no garbage is picked

up where the fee has not paid. So for all of these years, you know, just down the tubes.

I am convinced that the \$300, I agree perhaps that the \$178 was slightly under, but I need to be convinced that it's costing that much, \$300 a clip for everybody.

And then one amendment I would urge be provided to the budget if this continues, and may I finish this thought?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Two thoughts actually quickly, is that for those who are eligible for reverse mortgages that's someone 62 or over, I know things have been tightened up somewhat, but I believe that there are a lot of people who have all of their equity in their home and they could see it evaporate like that. It could be taken, within two years their home to be taken, and so I would recommend that you need a signed -- a signed meeting with a financial advisor or someone up on reverse mortgages so people are -- those 62 and

older who are eligible actually have an opportunity to not loose all of that equity in their homes. I think that it's grand theft larceny, no grand theft taxation, and I am definitely against that. Nobody should have all of that equity if their homes are paid for.

And then finally, still Senator
Blake is still opposed to Senate Bill 76. I
would urge everybody to call. I think that
would be a tremendous help and call Senator
Blake and tell him you want him to get
behind Senate Bill 76 and eliminate the
school property tax. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Before you leave, I have a few comments and questions. I know that you had sent me an e-mail over the holidays season, and I'm sorry it took me awhile to respond, but I don't know if you have checked that e-mail will address lately, but I have reached out to the different department heads, one of them. Chris Boland, did e-mail me back privately saying that he is going to research the

1 information and that he will get back to me, but I was wondering your questions that you 2 3 brought forth in the public hearing, do you have these in like a Microsfot Word document 4 5 or something where I could e-mail certain 6 segments of them. 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Except for that 8 last one that I hand wrote, yeah. 9 MR. JOYCE: Okay, well, I can type 10 that, but would you please be able to e-mail them to me? 11 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: To that same e-mail 13 you provided? 14 MR. JOYCE: Absolutely. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I'll do that 15 16 when I get home. Thank you. 17 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 18 cares to address council? 19 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave 20 Dobrzyn, resident. 21 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 22 MR. DOBRZYN: Taxes paid so far. Ι 23 don't have much of a choice, I have an 24 escrow account so I'll just have to pay 25 whatever I have to pay. I'd like to point

23

24

25

out something on trash first of all. 2000 I lived in a small farm town that had privatized trash and the fee per bag was If you multiply that times 52 weeks, \$2.25. one bag of trash was \$118. That was nearly over 13 years ago since I have moved and, well, how would we sort all of this out as to whose trash is whose and potentially have someone accused of -- I had the police up my house at that time, it was a rental unit, and the mayor, because it was a small town, accusing my wife of not paying the trash bill I. And I walked in about two months after we had moved there, she wanted to move again, and she finally showed them the coupon book that we paid. I think you were allowed three bags at the time, that was 1988, for about two bucks a week and then it gradually inflated. We don't know what the inflation would be now. Certainly the trash fee is -- it should be a tax, and in that way we would definitely have everybody paying for also except for nonprofits.

And on tax fairness, it's time for tax exempts to develop an association to

3

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

petition the state on our behalf for property tax replacement. We are 33 percent. If you look at the figures every year we are 33 percent tax exempt right now, and if you figure that possible money into the budget you wouldn't have as much of a problem, and small towns and whatever they have a few churches or maybe a school and they can grant a few KOZs, but that's their personal choice and, by the way, these KOZs that they are building aren't paying very well and the first word out of their mouth is do you have reliable transportation to their potential employees, because we have no such thing as public transportation at all hours of the day and night in Lackawanna County. So if you acquire a job up in Olyphant or whatever for \$9.40 an hour just imagine paying to have a car to get there on top of everything else and still pretty putting food on the table.

And I would love to see before this council adjourns for the year pass a resolution asking no more exemptions until these institutions do so and they don't --

even if it's a state building they don't have to hire one person from Scranton. Go with a KOZ and they can't say, "Well, you are from Scranton, we don't like you, go away," but they certainly don't have to --not one person at General Dynamics has to live and reside in Scranton. Maybe they do, they probably do about, and back in the 60's they probably all lived in South Side, but right now they don't necessarily have to be.

And, you know, a lot has been said on voting tonight and part of this isn't the fault of the people in this room, but I have worked a lot elections and, I mean, you just get a pit in your stomach when you see the turnout and the amount of information -- how well informed or poorly informed people are. We elected an official that didn't pay his trash fee for ten years by a landslide and, you know, it was right in the newspaper, but they all voted for him. And the people that did show up, well, they just didn't seem to care.

And I'll try and make it quick, beware of constituents making errors in

their favor. We all cost the government money, and as painful as it is we should be informed and vote, of course, I dealt with service for 35 years and the customer could make -- you know, I don't understand how you got what this bill is. Well, you know, a lot of work was put into a car or whatever and they just didn't want to pay the bill or they were so used to the warranty.

And finally with the unions, I'll make it real quick, it came to my attention in 2011 that a lot of the unions voted for extremely right wing conservative candidates in many states, I don't have the figures in Pennsylvania, but if you want your raises and your jobs you better -- it's really time to wake up. I love most of them, but it's time to wake up. They have voted 70 percent in Ohio and the first thing he did was pull their rights and started fighting with them, so it's up to them. We can't afford to keep paying the bill with no jobs. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

Is there anyone else who cares to address

council?

MS. ROSKY: Good evening, Council. Mary Ann Rosky, Scranton. So far, taxpayer, unaware of where I'm going to go from here, I'm not sure. Anyway, first and foremost, I would like to say, well, I left all my notes at home so, therefore, I don't quite know in what direction I'm going to go in, but I'm going to start with the garbage fee, and as I said about a year and a half ago, paying \$178 a year I thought was a lot, and I thought that taxation without representation, once again, paying your property taxes and whatnot.

\$300 a year is lot. Like, I feel like a pinata, like, every year it's something new. How much more can like the administration beat out of us? Nothing is left to come out. You know, now 50 percent property taxes again. Like, you figure if there are people that are paying \$3,000, you add \$1,500 onto that they can't afford it. I really can't afford it.

And the elderly, there are so many elderly in this city and so many people on

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fixed incomes and so many out-of-town landlords, so many blighted properties already, and it's like where is this city going to go? I already know of places that have already started to uproot and they are going to Old Forge, and I don't know if they are state run or what they are, but they said the cost in Scranton is way too high. And we pay the 3.4 percent in the wage tax to work in this city, I mean, it's just too much. Like, it's just too much to suck out of us. We are suffocating, we just can't do it anymore.

And I know you council, you guys up there, every one of you I know has done your part and done a very good job keeping it at bay and you are so much more appreciated than you will ever know, and I don't come here every week, the last time I was here it was a year and a half ago, and I come when I feel I have to open my mouth and say something. I don't know where half the city is today, I really don't know. It's 60 degrees outside now, it's beautiful. If they don't come tonight to fight for their

rights as property tax, we are taxpayers.

If they don't come tonight when are they going to come? They are going to come next year and they are going to blame Bill

Courtright for this. That's what they are going to do, and nobody better come and blame Bill Courtright as the next mayor for all that's happened in the past. They have no right to speak. If they are not here tonight stating what they have to state they shouldn't be here at all, and maybe they're rich. Maybe they have money to pay and they don't care.

But where I live we are surrounded by mines. I have two neighbors next door to me on either side, their houses went down.

One next door to another, the house is gone.

One up, the house went down. The property behind me, down. I don't know where I'm -- if I'm on a pillar or not, I'm not sure, ut, ours should be decreased, not hiked 50 percent or near there. Let's leave ours where it is. I mean, everybody knows there is mines all over, but what houses have gone down without them being blighted and just

falling down on their own.

So there is only so much we can do as taxpayers, and I'm not taking it out on you guys, I'm just so frustrated with this administration, that when that man's picture gets up there it better be hung upside down because that's all he has done for us is down, down, down, so with respect to up there facing downward. It just keeps flipping, there is a ghost in here, you know?

I mean, what could we do? There is nothing we can do. I know you guys understand you live in this city, too.

There is nothing we can do. We can't do anymore. There are people one, two family households, a bag of garbage week and we are going to pay \$300 for one bag a week? Then there are people that there is six people in a family. They are still -- it's like the Sewer Authority. Finally, they did by consumption.

Why can't we figure out, I know the take a lot of people and, yeah, maybe more money being spent on those that have to be

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paid to do this, but somehow some way there has to be a way to figure out who is a family of six and who is family of one or two and go by consumption of garbage and if it's not the sticker part that can't be used for whatever reason, I don't know how much it would cost for a sticker or by bags or stickers or whatever, a weekly thing, like I said, I don't keep up with everything in the city, like, I don't keep up everything federally because I will lose my mind and I still have partially, I have it intact a little bit, I don't want to lose everything, so I try hard not to get too involved, how much would it be per bag? Is that a good idea. I don't know.

Bankruptcy for this city is not a good idea, but garbage? What would it be a bag to -- you can answer me later because I don't want the bell to ring in my last and final statements. But, yeah, so I don't know, I don't know whom I'm speaking for out there. I hope I'm speaking to most of you people out there, I wish you were here to speak for yourselves, but I hope I touched

nerves tonight that maybe -- is too late to come next week for these people?

MS. EVANS: Oh, no.

MS. ROSKY: Please come. Please come. You don't want to pay higher tax. You are paying enough now. If you area family of two, one or two, and you are elderly, you are disabled and you take one garbage bag out a week, come forth. If you are fighting and struggling to pay your taxes now the way it is, and this council has kept it minimal, come forth. Please come forth before it's too late and before people start to take it out on Bill Courtright.

You know, Mrs. Evans, Mr. Joyce,
Mr. Rogan, Mr. McGoff, Mr. Loscombe, you
have all done your part. You have all done
good jobs. I am proud of all of you because
you know what, I wouldn't want to sit up
there. I would not want to sit up there. I
wouldn't want to be blamed and if I got
praise and did my job that's okay, too, but
that's what we are all in this city for to
work together, but there are people that

just don't want -- they want to bleed us out and we have no more to give.

And with that, thank you, Council, for letting me, you know, speak and come forth, and if I don't come next week I wish you all a very, Merry Christmas and God's blessings to the five of you, and I know Mr. Loscombe is not here.

Mr. Hughes, and I'm sorry, I forget your names --you girls.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake.

MS. ROSKY: Happy, happy holiday and Merry Christmas and let's pray that 2014 is a whole better year than it has been in the past 12. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would care to address council?

MS. DUNN: Good evening, Council.

My name is Carol Dunn. I moved to the City of Scranton South Side one year ago today.

I am retired, I became widowed at a very early age. I worked every day of my life to guarantee my children an education, sacrificed many, many things, fell in love

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the City of Scranton a long time ago simply because I love trains. I grew up around them and I love them.

I came here hoping that I would find a place in my retirement, I'm over 65, but I still have a little bit of life left in me, so I think. I came here and I said I'd like to be active in the community, I would like to make a difference, because I think it's well worth it. When I hear those train whistles, it just makes my heart feel good, but at this point in time I bought a home where my taxes are over \$2,000 now, and under the circumstances I have to say to you, I stand here afraid. I stand here afraid because I am hoping to God that my first feeling for Scranton continues to hold and that I go forward with the thought that I love to see it come back, to be just a wonderful place for hard working people to I hope that can be a reality, but it's something that I think we all need to pray for. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

Mrs. Krake?

2

3 4

5

you have any comments or motions tonight?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do

MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, thank you. just a note that I received a message from someone that Nelson Mandela has passed away. Really a true world leader, a great humanitarian, and fighter for civil rights and freedom, truly a loss to the world.

Obviously, a topic of discussion is the budget and I do -- since I wasn't here at the last meeting when the budget was introduced. I do have a few comments that I would like to make concerning the budget as we move forward with it.

The budget contains two things, Mr. Hickey -- or Attorney Hickey said that when he spoke that the budget is revenue and expenditures and tonight we have spent -- a lot of people have spent time talking about the revenue side of it. I think it's important, also, it's very important to look at the expenditure side of this budget.

For years, for the years that I have

sat here on council, numerous, you know, requests have been made concerning expenditure items. We have talked about fire and policemen getting the raises that they were entitled to, raises that they had not had for ten years. We have talked about keeping firehouses open and keeping an adequate staff of firemen. We have talked about putting policemen on the streets and maintaining, you know, a legitimate number of police. We have talked about getting streets paved, streets plowed, parks open and pools open, all of these things cost money. And the budget that was presented provides for many of these things that have been requested.

You know, the compliment of firemen are, you know -- is not being reduced. Fire or police are not being reduced. DPW being maintained. Salaries alone, salaries alone make up 44 percent of this budget. That's before -- that's 51, around \$51 million before a fire truck leaves a house, before a policeman responds to a call, before one bag of garbage is picked up or a pothole filled,

this budget has \$51 million in salaries that must be paid.

Three departments alone, fire, police and DPW, to operate those and those alone make up over 80 percent of the budget.

Again, these are services that we need to have and need to be paid for. They are hard numbers that need to be met and there is very little that we can do at this point to reduce those expenditures and for the other 15 to 20 percent that remains in the budget beyond those three departments is also absolutely necessary to the operation of the city.

How do we pay these? And that's where the revenue part comes in. We are limited in what we can do to create revenue. Taxes and fees are hard numbers. There is no speculation involved. We can look at those numbers, we can say, okay, this is what we can expect to receive based on historical record and, you know, the numbers that we have. The rest of what we are looking at, the alternative sources that are in the budget, are speculative. They are

optimistic speculations even. Yes, they are based on historical record, you know, what we have collected in the past, and what we can hope to collect in the future, but they are optimistic and they are not hard numbers and so it's very difficult to go into a budget and say, "Well, we are going to collect \$2 million more, you know, based on raising --you know, some other alternative source."

It just doesn't happen that way, and then we add to this the added problem that in the budget there is, and again, Attorney Hickey mentioned it, there is a \$2 million revenue item for an increase in liquid fuels, and thanks again to the state legislature and our governor for not including that in the Transportation Bill and so now they are looking at another \$2 million deficit or hole in this budget. Where do you get the money to make up that \$2 million that we don't have? There is no alternative source to go to and say, "Oh, we can get it from here."

So again, what we are looking at is

a -- people saying a 56 percent increase, Mr. Joyce and I have argued over in the past couple of years over, you know, millage rates versus the revenue or the money rate and I have always chided him a little bit on the fact that he used revenue, which is what the mayor did when he said 49 percent, I have always used millage, and I'm not going to hypothetical here and try and say that this is a 49 percent tax increase, it would amount to in millage about 56 percent. Tο make up that \$2 million deficit that we are now looking at the only real place to go to find that \$2 million is to real estate taxes again.

We are caught. We are caught in a real bind. Our expenditures are things that we must pay and yet the revenue that we can generate is limited and limited to things that become yes, hurtful to most people.

I'm a property owner. I'm a taxpayer.

Believe me, I'm looking at this and it's problematic. It's not an easy decision.

Are there answers? I mean, there are some real simple answers, cut your biggest

expenditure, salaries. Lay off 30 firemen.

Lay off 30 of the policemen. Pick up your garbage every two weeks or once a month and lay off part of the -- half of the DPW.

That would get take care of the problem immediately. The only problem is what are we left with? We are left with

Wilkes-Barre, and I don't think anybody

wants to go there.

We want the services that we have and the simple fact is that we need to pay for them if we want to maintain them. I like to use the analogy of buying a car. When I go to buy a car and I want some extras, I want the GPS in it, I want the automatic starter, I want a sunroof, the answer is always to get that "Well, that's extra."

Well, I'm willing to pay the extra if I'm getting the luxury. I think what we are looking at, if we are going to pay more maybe the one thing we can look to is if we are going to pay more for these services then we should expect more from the services, and I'm not saying that the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police, fire and DPW don't do a good job, but if we are going to pay -- if we are going to increase salaries and we are going to do these things maybe we should be expecting more for our money in the future, and I don't think that any of those people would object to that, I think that they are willing to do that.

When you talk about -- we have been talking a lot about percentages, and I'd just like to for one second talk about real dollars. The average assessed value or median assessed value of a home in Scranton is \$9,500, that's the median assessed value. A 100 percent tax increase would increase that value or that those taxes by \$448. That's at 100 percent. In your total tax bill, that if that 100 percent increase really equates to only a 36 only -- sorry, a 36 percent increase in your total tax bill, since we are the lowest of the taxing bodies, and again, this is on a 100 percent, would represent increasing your total tax bill, that would be including the city, the school district and the county, by 36

percent. That's a lot of money. If you say \$448 and add in \$122 for the garbage fee, you are talking an increase of \$570 a year. Those are the real dollars.

Now, if we decrease that 100 percent and we are talking about 75 percent tax increase, now you are talking about maybe a \$470 increase in dollars for 2014. At what exact now a little over 50 percent, well, 50 percent on the revenue side, it would cost about \$350 a year. These numbers, obviously, are not good. I don't think anybody can reasonably say that they can look at this type of tax increase and say that it's a good thing. I think it might be a necessary thing. Are people going to be hurt by it? Yes. I just don't know where else we can go.

Someone said, you know, mentioned the word bankruptcy. I don't think it's an answer because the simple thing that happens when you go into receivership is that somebody will just increase your taxes, and probably more than we would do through this budget.

I'm sure that there are going to be amendments to the budget. I don't know -- I don't know the people will be necessarily happy with the amendments that need to be made or that will be made. This is going to be a difficult process, and moving into 2014 hopefully we can reconcile somewhat the idea that, yes, we are going to pay more, but can we -- are we going to get more for what we are paying? Hopefully we do. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
Councilman Rogan, do you have comments or
motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, some comments and a motion as well. I guess I'll reflect and speak a little bit about what Mr. McGoff mentioned and some of the areas where I disagree with much of what he said. Did I say disagree or agree?

MR. MCGOFF: No, you said disagree.

MR. ROGAN: I meant to say agree. I guess it's a force of habit to say disagree, but I do agree with many of the comments that Mr. McGoff made regarding some of the

individual items. The first one, and I have said this through the course of my last campaign and I have said this from here many times, the last thing Scranton could ever afford to become is Wilkes-Barre. We see on the news every day shooting, stabbings in those neighborhoods, and that's a result of their cuts to their police department, and our public safety hs always been my top priority as a councilman, and I believe it's the top priority of government on every level, so that's certainly something that they needs to be funded in the budget.

And again, as I stated last week because of the mayor chose to fight city unions over a decade ago we are now left with a massive arbitration award, and salary increases that were mandated by a Court instead of being negotiated at a table like this one here. That's what we need to get back to is a mayor and union heads and members of city council sitting down and negotiating in good faith, and that's something I think that was left out over the last 12 years under Mayor Doherty's

administration.

Mr. McGoff also was correct when he said that people are going to expect more from their services, and the biggest complaint that I have as a councilman and that I hear from you isn't about the cost of paying the bills, it's I pay my taxes and when I look to get something fixed or something done city hall is broken.

I could have a book this thick if I reported every single person who we have tried to help as a council and didn't even get an answer from a department head or from the administration, and sometimes the answer may be we simply can't afford to fix that person's problem, but they still deserve an answer, and especially when fees and taxes increase people deserve a better service, and I'm very hopeful that our next mayor and his department heads will do that, will be open to the people and will take suggestions from city council and from the residents.

A couple of items, and the garbage fee has been discussed quite a bit tonight, and a couple of people also brought up the

per bag fee, which is something that I have advocated for for the last year or so and, unfortunately, and I take a lot of criticism for mentioning it because I hear it when I go to the grocery store, and here and there, a lot of people are against it. I think a per bag is fair. I think a person putting out ten bags of garbage should pay more than the person putting out one.

Unfortunately, that's not the route that others want to go and it's really -- the garbage fee of \$300 is not fair to a single widow who puts out one bag a week. Is it fair to a family of four? I think it is, but I don't know that it's fair to that senior citizen who doesn't create a lot of garbage.

And another reason why I would support a per bag fee is you increase recycling by going to a per bag fee and that reduces our fee at the dump. It would also encourage more people to compost and do other things to reduce the trash burden, which is not only good for yourselves, but good for the city, it's good for the

21

22

23

24

25

environment, and those are the types of the thing that need to be promoted in the city, and I mentioned that because I received a very long message on Facebook from a resident the other day who lives in a court and she was very upset because she can't recycle because the recycling trucks only go on the streets not through the alleys. Ι understand as a cost saving measure if somebody has a street and an alley we don't possibly collect the recycling on the street, but for residents who live in an alley without street access on the other side I think we need to look to expand our recycling program to those courts, not only to save -- help the environment, but to save the city money. We pay for every ton of garbage that we dump, and we need to go everything we can to reduce that burden.

Next, since the budget came out, and not only since the budget came out, but over the last four years on council, many people have brought, and I'm sure it's the same from my colleagues, I have brought up many different ideas on how the city could create

revenue or, you know, reduce taxes to, you know, bring in money using other means. Being a city, and specifically a 2A city, it's very difficult for the City of Scranton to raise money in other ways. A commuter tax is one that I know a lot of people support and I think it should have been pursued in this budget. Unfortunately, despite council wanting to go that route the mayor chose not to, and because it needs Court approval the mayor would have had to petition the courts prior to submitting the budget to city council for a vote. Last year it was done in the reverse order and we saw that didn't work.

Many other items that have been brought up that other cities do in other states and many of them are good idea ideas, they bring in real revenue, but, unfortunately, they aren't legal.

I said this last week and I'll say it again, we need help from the state.

Senate Bill 76 needs to pass, Senator Blake needs to support it. This would drastically reduce the tax burden from the homeowner to

the consumer, to the younger person who could afford to pay a little bit more on goods that are necessities. Food, clothes, these things still wouldn't be taxed, but you really see the savings on your property tax bill.

Another item, and I think most people know about it, but I want to mention it because I know a lot of people watch the meetings, if you live in a home you are entitled to a Homestead exemption, which is through the gaming funds. If you haven't applied for a Homestead exemption, call the county. It's through the County Tax Assessor's Office that you would apply. It's actually a one-page form and anyone who lives in a home they own is entitled to that.

For property tax owners -- or property owners over the age of 65 you could apply for a property tax rebate through the state, which many people do not know about. That rebate I would advise contact Representative Flynn's office if you are in his district or Representative Haggerty's if

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're in Haggerty's district and they will be able to help you to see if you qualify for these rebates. They are income based, but only half of your social security earnings count towards the income cap, unlike most other benefits where they count your entire income. With the rebate only half of your social security would count.

For renters, there is a renter rebate in the City of Scranton for senior citizens who rent. Again, they can go through your state representative for that as well and they are very willing to help. And I notice from going different places in the city there are so many residents that don't know about these programs and they were established and mostly funded through the lottery and through our casinos to help taxpayers. The Homestead exemption is for anyone, any age, any income as long as you live in the property you own. The other ones, the other two rebates are specifically for senior citizens, but I can't stress enough if you are have not applied or you think you may be eligible give a call.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worse they could say is you have to wait two more years because you are not 65 or your income is a little too high, look into it because I know for the rebates on the property tax I believe the income threshold is in the 30 some thousand dollar range, after half of social security is taken out so really it is a good program that can help people.

And finally, I think the most important and lot of mention was made regarding our future mayor and this budget and, obviously, I guess some of the criticism directed towards me because I was a staunch supporter of Mr. Courtright and I'm looking forward to working with him, but he hasn't taken office yet, and I don't understand how people could criticize somebody who is not even in office. Mayor Doherty's budget and Mayor Doherty and the five of us who sit on this board have an obligation to fill your term until that last It doesn't mean because somebody else was elected to fill your position that your I don't believe is done.

I just ran for election this year. If I lost I would still, you know, be here today as my colleagues who didn't run for reelection or ran for other offices are and that obligation is a four-year term. Mayor Doherty isn't off the hook, he is still the mayor until the end of the year, and I actually think the mayor could come to city council like he did last year to explain some of these items in the budget, specifically the \$2 million hole regarding the liquid fuels.

So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a request to Mr. Mayor Doherty requesting his presence at city council next week if that's agreeable to my colleagues to have him discuss the budget and how he would like to see the hole in the budget filled.

MR. JOYCE: I agree.

MR. MCGOFF: Fine.

MR. ROGAN: And I know Mr. Mayor

Doherty did come last year when council was

faced with a similar situation when tax -
when there was I believe there was a millage

for the unfunded debt needed to be included

at the last minute, the mayor, and I rarely agree with him, to his credit did come to council and hopefully he will do the same this time.

Finally, regarding Item 7-C, which is the Alexander's loan, I am going to propose an amendment to it. Before making the amendment, I do want to explain the amendment a little bit. What this would do is this would delete the term, it would eliminate the 25-year change to seven months, which would have that rate for the next seven months until July of 2014.

In-between now and then a longer term agreement can be worked out between the new administration and council and Alexander's, if they wish, or it can expire on July 1, 2014, and revert back to those original terms. So instead of letting the legislation sit on the table and die, which is what would happen if council didn't vote on it this week or next week, I will make a motion to amend Item 7-C as per the following:

Section II shall be amended by

deleting "25 years" in the second line and inserting the following, "Seven months ending with the payment due on July 1, 2014."

In Section III, shall be amended by deleting the period after began and inserting the following after began at the end of the second line "And terminating with the payment due on July 1, 2014, after commencing on July 2, 2014, until the loan is paid in the interest rate payment amount of \$1,649.84 per month. And the terms and conditions of the loan documents set forth in Resolution 144 of 2007 shall apply."

That's the motion.

MS. EVANS: Oh, you made a motion?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. EVANS: I thought you were reading it for the public.

MR. MCGOFF: I'll second it.

MR. ROGAN: And again, just I know especially the second part was a little long and more legally, but basically what it says, and Attorney Hughes can correct me if I'm wrong, for the next seven months this

would be the terms of the agreement. What was placed before us originally sent from OECD expiring in July.

MR. HUGHES: That would be July of 2014, with the July 1 payment of 2014.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: That's correct, and then it would then all of the terms and conditions of the original loan would then be in place and the loan would have to go back to start being paid at the rate of I think it's \$1,649.86 per month.

MS. EVANS: With the 5 percent interest rate?

MR. HUGHES: Pardon?

MS. EVANS: With the 5 percent interest rate?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. That would be the -- well, all of the terms and conditions which is a 5 percent interest rate, and it was to be amortized over 20 years. I think the legislation tonight would extend the loan from 25 years from today, so that what would happen is that all of the terms and conditions of the original loan as the

resolution that was passed in 2007 would 1 then be in place. There would have to be a 2 3 new amortization schedule, that's not in there, but they can work out a new 4 5 amortization schedule based on what the principal is and until the end of the loan, 6 so the loan will probably be extended for 7 8 more than the 20-year term of the original 9 loan that should have been paid off I think 10 it would have been December 1, 2027. 11 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 12 anyone else on the question? All those in 13 favor signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 15 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 18 have it and so moved. MR. ROGAN: And that is all for 19 20 tonight. Thank you. MS. EVANS: 21 Thank you. And, 22 Councilman Joyce, do you have comments or 23 motions tonight? 24 MR. JOYCE: Yeah, I do. You know, 25 when I first looked at the budget and, you

know, I saw what it was, honestly it made me feel sick. After I spoke -- or after Attorney Hickey spoke on behalf of the transition team tonight and spoke about some of the concerns in the budget, not only was I sick to my stomach, but I wanted to throw up because it's just absurd. You know, it worries me. It worries me a lot that there could be a \$7.85 million hole in the current budget that's proposed with a 56 percent tax increase, a 69 percent garbage fee increase. You know, it's to the point where, you know, you look at people out there and you think how much could they handle? How much could people really afford?

You know, we had a lot of new speakers tonight, a lot of people that I haven't seen actually in my four years up here, but I'm glad I saw them tonight because they expressed really valid concerns and, you know, I'm not going to be here next year, there will be a new administration and new council members and, you know, it doesn't mean that because I'll only be here for two more meetings that I don't care and

that I don't have the best interests of the people in the city in my mind, but you look at where we are at there is a big problem with the budget. People can't afford it and now they may be additional revenue that may be needed on top of that, so instead of a 56 percent tax increase, you know, it's almost like Attorney Hickey came in and said, well, there is \$7.85 million that you can be short with that big of a tax increase.

I'm very glad that council is inviting the transition team to come to council chambers and discuss, you know, their concerns because, you know, I would like to hear what they have to say as well as, you know, other things that they may have to say about, you know, there could be revenue generated possibly to offset some of that \$7.85 million.

But, you know, it's gotten to the point where you look back and, you know, I could sit here and I would like to point fingers at people and I don't like to blame people or call people out, but when you look at why the city is in the way -- or in the

shape that it's in right now, why things are the way they are, you know, I can't help but to look back at state and, you know, Mr. McGoff brought up some very valid points.

You know, you look at the state legislature, the state senate, our governor, our taxation laws, you know, what does the state give a city the ability to do besides raise people's property taxes? It's ridiculous, and I'll be honest with you, my colleague, Mr. Loscombe isn't here and I usually don't get harsh with my language, but you know what, it really pisses me off. Pennsylvania has one of the biggest state legislatures besides the State of California and we can't get out of the old days. We can't do anything to help distressed municipalities in the state.

And, you know, this city really needs the help now and I'm hoping that when I'm gone it will happen in the future because it makes me sick to my stomach to look out there and see people struggle and see people go through hard times and, you

know, everything is going up, like, people just say taxes, well, sewer rates have gone up, your water bill has gone up, other utilities have gone up. You know, it's getting to the point where you think to yourself how much could people afford? And I'm saying this from the bottom of my heart and I don't -- I don't pay property taxes, I rent, which that will probably go up too, but that's besides the point.

But all I could say is this much, I will vote to move the budget along tonight because I do want to hear what the transition team has to say, I do want to hear their ideas and I do want to hear if there is anything that Mayor Doherty can contribute additionally because, you know, it's not about if you are for this guy or that guy anymore or if you like Mayor Doherty or if you like Bill Courtright, it's about the City of Scranton and you know what, the City of Scranton is in some real trouble and there's is lot of obstacles to overcome.

And I know if you could add to the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

letter since we invited the mayor and the mayor-elect and the transition team, any incoming council members that would like to be here and offer any suggestions or ideas I think, you know, that should really be taken into consideration because I stepped into my roll on city council as the Finance Chair being stuck with the budget that the previous council passed and, as you know, there were some of us on council, Mr. Rogan, Mrs. Evans, myself, Councilman Loscombe, we wanted to make changes to that, and the state -- or, I'm sorry, not the state, we went as far as to make changes to it and we got taken to court and lost and the Court ruled that we can't make changes to a budget once it's adopted. So I would just be interested in hearing everyone's opinion at this time, so that's all I really have to say for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Can I --

MS. EVANS: I'm very sorry, we cannot allow citizens' participation once that portion of the meeting has concluded.

Good evening. First, I'd like to provide the Republic Parking management report for the month of October 2013. Total revenue was \$166,822.28 surpassing September's total of \$159,220.98.

Bagging fee revenues were \$29,100 and largely due to construction projects, street vendors and two checks from Geisinger CMC. Meter revenue sharply increased by approximately \$14,000 likely as a result of the extra meter polls, new meters and extended enforcement hours included in the original city ordinance.

Republic Parking remains under budget on expenses and as of October was \$177,555.93 over the budgeted year-to-date revenue in merely four months of operation, so their management and other oversight of the parking meters system has been outstanding.

Next, the mayor informed me this week that the City of Scranton will receive an additional \$1.2 million under the newly signed State Transportation Bill.

Consequently, the previously reported \$2

million budgetary hole has decreased significantly. As was reported by the Scranton Times, the mayor believes the lease of city-owned parking meters in 2014 may cover any shortfall. He was informed of the \$1.2 million by a representative of Senator John Blake.

Next, I wish to report the results of the October tax assessment hearings related to Scranton businesses, and as you recall, our attorney drafted a letter to the Tax Assessment Board regarding the unusually high number of Scranton businesses that were looking to have their taxes lowered in the month of October, and again, neither school district or the county government had any issues with this matter, but council did send a letter, as I said, to the Board of Appeals and I promised that when the results were in I would report to you on each of these businesses.

Tobyhanna Army Depot FCU, 300

Mulberry Street, a vacant lot, the result was their request was denied.

BRT, Incorporated, LP, which is the

Icebox on West Olive Street in Scranton, their request was denied.

Tobyhanna Army Depot, FCU at 325 to 331 Franklin Avenue, their request was denied.

245 Wyoming Avenue Development
located at 245, 247 and 247 1/2 Wyoming
Avenue, they received a reduction of
\$2,571.86 so that their city real estate tax
after the change from this hearing will be
\$2,159.50.

Mellow L. and Ferrario G. and J.

Langan at 229-235 Wyoming Avenue in

Scranton, they received a reduction of

\$3,124. Prior to the tax hearing they were

paying \$5,270. With the reduction they are

now paying \$4,901.

245 Wyoming Avenue Development
located at 318-324 Linden Street, they
received a \$15,750 reduction on the land
only. Prior to the hearing they paid \$9,349
in taxes. After the hearing they will now
pay \$7,491.41.

Mellows L. and Ferrario G. and J. Langan, another request, this one was

denied.

245 Wyoming Avenue Development, 312 to 316 Linden Street, another request by them, denied.

Yet another by Mellows L. and Ferrario G. and J. Langan, they did this time receive a reduction of \$2,186. Prior to the change they were paying \$3,348 in taxes. After the hearing they will now pay \$3,090.95.

The Third National Bank and Trust located at 1025 River Street, their request was denied.

Third National Bank and Trust located at 1033-35 and 30 Meadow Avenue, they were granted a 26 -- must be a \$2,600 reduction for improvements only. Previously they paid \$3,650, they will now pay \$2,983.

MR. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: If I may, on the report that our office provided to you, when you were reading the part, and it's our fault we didn't explain it clear enough in the column, the reduction or the word "denied",

the reduction is in the amount they are assessed so that's why as you reading I could see the numbers don't seem to line up, so we felt that just providing the reduction amount then we gave you an example of what their taxes actually were so people could see that versus the assessment.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Susquehanna Investment Properties, 120 Wyoming Avenue, they received a \$52,743 reduction, so there city real estate tax before the hearing had been \$10,351 on land, and \$3,207 on improvements, and following the hearing they will be paying \$7,078.50 on the land, and \$2,565.60 on improvements.

Capouse Avenue Associates, this would be the Sonic Restaurant, East Olive Street, they withdrew their appeal.

F & M Realty Company, which is the Martz Bus Company located on the 100 block of Lackawanna Avenue, their appeal was denied.

SLIBCO, which is an arm of the Scranton Chamber of Commerce, the Enterprise Center, located at 201-215 Lackawanna

Avenue, they received a reduction of \$505,000, and so the city real estate tax changed from \$26,521 on land to \$23,595, and \$30,279 on improvements to \$17,959 on land. It's important to note that this property owned by SLIBCO was a KOZ paying absolutely no taxes until 2013, so that in the first year that this began to pay taxes like the rest of us, the Chamber of Commerce went in looking to get a significant tax reduction.

The Lackawanna Industrial Fund Enterprise, another arm of the Chamber of Commerce, 222 Mulberry Street, they had been a LERTA from 1990 through 1999. They received a \$29,000 decrease, and so in terms of the city real estate tax prior to the hearing they paid \$9,162 on land, and now they will pay \$8,258. They paid \$3,446.50 on improvements and now they will pay \$2,899.13, but, remember, these are taxes paid to the city. So, again, you know, they are paying county taxes, school district taxes, everything would be reduced.

This one is very important, Montage
Mountain Resource, LP on Montage Mountain

Road, as you are all aware this was recently purchased by a development group lead by Charles Jefferson, who also purchased the Connell building and the old Chamber of Commerce building and converted it into apartments, and truthfully, the resort was purchased at a steal. Montage went in looking for a reduction, I can't remember now what it was, but it was millions of dollars they wanted their taxes reduced by and they were denied.

So I'd like to thank the Tax

Assessment Board for accepting the request
of Scranton City Council and for its
diligent and fair application of the law.

I have two citizens' requests this evening: The first concerns a repair issue the Weston Field pool. The residents who utilize this public pool depend on it to help their overall health and well-being both physically and mentally. Please address the entry stairs to the pool and install the new set as soon as possible.

In addition, city council requests that city employees treat residents

respectfully and inform the public of repairs, replacements and the timeline.

Some residents cannot use the pool currently since the steps have not been replaced.

They either cannot use the lift due to its weight limit or the ladders, which are in poor condition and awkward for use according to the city residents. No employee should tell elderly citizens to use the lift or ladder or we'll close the pool.

Provide a report to council on or before December 12, 2013, regarding the installation of the steps and the corrections made to provide a positive, respectful environment.

Secondly, residents report that there is no visible permit posted for the demolition occurring at the site of the former Daron Northeast. Please contact the LIPS Department to confirm the purchase of permits.

Finally, I want those who attended tonight's public hearing and regularly scheduled council meeting, as well as those who of you viewing this meeting at home, to

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know that I have heard your pleas regarding the 2014 budget. I believe and I understand the hardships, struggles and problems you suffer. I have fought and worked for the people of our city for ten years against all odds and I'll continue it do so until I leave this chamber next month. And that's it.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans. before we vote I just want to relay a message from State Representative Haggerty, and it's a bit of good news for those who want to see property tax reform at the state level, Representative Haggerty informed today he did sign onto Senate Bill 76 which will, as you know I have spoke about it numerous times from here, that he will be supporting that Bill and that's the one that would eliminate your school portion of the property taxes. He also relayed that he is going to write legislation asking the state to cover reassessment for all counties with distressed municipalities, which is something that Lackawanna County desperately This is fairness for the tax laws, needs.

so just a quick update and I wanted to share.

MS. EVANS: That's encouraging because I know when we had the state representatives here at a public caucus several months ago, I don't remember how long, I had asked them to do just that, and none of them responded that they would. So thank goodness for election years, Folks. You are going to see some things happen.

MR. JOYCE: And, Councilman Rogan, I want to thank you for the update. I'm also very pleased and glad that Mr. Haggerty has agreed and he is on board with Senate Bill 76.

MR. ROGAN: And of the three people who represent Scranton our two representatives are supportive in reducing your property taxes. Unfortunately, Senator Blake in three years still hasn't done a thing to help the city.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING RESOLUTION
NO. 43, 2013 ENTITLED "RATIFYING AND
APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON. ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF APPROVED. AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT" BY CORRECTING THE TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN THE PROJECT NAME IN THE FIRST WHEREAS CLAUSE TO STREET SWEEPER PROJECT AND REDUCING THE GRANT AMOUNT TO \$410,211.00.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

	119
1	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor of introduction signify by
5	saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
12	READING BY TITLE -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 55,
13	2013 - AN ORDINANCE - APPROPRIATING FUNDS
14	FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR
15	THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF
16	JANUARY, 2014 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31,
17	2014 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY
18	OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2014.
19	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
20	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
21	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
22	pass reading by title.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	Everyone else I think has thoroughly

discussed their perspective on the budget and I will have more to say next week, but I have to say as the budget stands currently it's unacceptable, and if Mr. Hickey was here tonight looking for an additional increase on top of that 56 percent, I'm not going along with that one either.

Now, I proposed many ideas to generate new revenue and realize savings for the City of Scranton. Some of them, like the amusement tax, the parking tax, a revival of a strong rental registration program, aggressive collection of delinquent taxes from big land developers, the use of e RE-RE funds to benefit Scrantonians instead of being used for luncheons and cakes and gifts, professional management of parking meters, among others, all of these have come to fruition and they will continue to bear fruit well into the future years.

Other suggestions, however, were not followed by the administration, namely, the commuter tax, the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority, a health care consortium, PILOTS from large nonprofits, payment of \$600,000

by BRT Ice or the Icebox, a city-owned storage yard, review and changes to health care administrative fees, specifically, Blue Cross.

Now, each of these ideas was discussed with the mayor and his administration in 2011 and meetings were conducted in 2012 and early 2013 to pursue these types of revenue sources, such as the sale of the Sewer Authority, commuter tax, and the health care consortium. If this had occurred in 2014 it would be drastically different today.

We look at PILOTS, people say, "Oh, well, they don't have to give. That's pie in the sky."

Well, of course they don't have to give when no mayor is asking them to, and in other municipalities, be it Pittsburgh, Providence, Rhode Island, there are many where mayors have very successfully obtained significant payments in lieu of taxes from large nonprofits, those being universities, colleges, hospitals, etcetera.

Our mayor though appears not to have

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

made the effort because rather than doing so he placed a million point something into the contingency fund in 2013 to cover PILOT payments, so that tells us no effort was made.

Now, the administration dropped the ball this year and declined to follow-up throughout 2013, rather the mayor chose to raise taxes and fees significantly when there were multiple opportunities to address the 2014 budget without solely saddling the taxpayers of Scranton. But as they say, you can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink, and as Mr. Joyce has said, oh, for many weeks this fall, yes, an additional tax increase was needed, but it did not have to be anywhere near this and when council work on a recovery plan, council only agreed to a 31 percent tax increase over a three-year period. year was 22 percent, the previous year was 5 percent, what was remaining or perhaps a bit more is what was supposed to come for 2014.

But, as I said, people didn't hold up their end of the bargain and I think that

as much as I would like to hear what the new mayor has to say and all of his transition team members and the committee members for every department, I think they would be been much better served to make the recommendations before the proposed budget came out because it certainly looks like a lot of people are looking to this council to do the work for them.

And you know what, ladies and gentlemen, I'll just say this, I have never been a rubber stamp. I wasn't Mayor

Doherty's rubber stamp and I'm not Mayor

Courtright's rubber stamp, so God bless this city. Is there anyone else on the question?

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 54, 2013 -

	124
1	TRANSFERRING THE FUNDS FROM FUND 02 SPECIAL
2	CITY ACCOUNT WHICH FUNDS AND ACCOUNT LISTED
3	BELOW ARE NO LONGER NEEDED FOR THE CONDUCT
4	OF CITY BUSINESS, AND ABOLISHING AND CLOSING
5	SAID ACCOUNT. THE FUNDS REMAINING IN THIS
6	ACCOUNT SHALL BE TRANSFERRED TO THE CITY'S
7	GENERAL FUND.
8	MS. EVANS: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chair for the
10	Committee on Finance?
11	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-A.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-2 3 RESOLUTION NO. 47, 2013 - APPOINTMENT 4 OF PAUL DEANTONA, 333 NORTH SUMNER AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF 5 THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY 6 TO FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF THOMAS SMITH 7 8 WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE JUNE 17, 9 2016. MS. EVANS: As Chair for the 10 11 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 12 passage of Item 7-B. 13 MR. ROGAN: Second. 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. JOYCE: Yes, when I went through 15 16 all of my mail I didn't see a letter of 17 interest or resume or any type of 18 correspondence from this fellow, so I'm not sure did the office receive that? 19 MS. KRAKE: 20 No. 21 MR. ROGAN: Did we send a letter 22 requesting on this one or did we --23 MS. EVANS: I don't think so. 24 MR. ROGAN: I think we as a council might have got mixed in with some others, 25

	126
1	would we be agreeable to table it for a
2	week?
3	MS. EVANS: Yes.
4	MR. ROGAN: Send a request.
5	MR. JOYCE: I would definitely
6	table.
7	MS. EVANS: Well, you want to make a
8	motion?
9	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to table
10	Item 7-
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved. Item 7-B is tabled.
19	MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I
20	could, I know that there was a motion to
21	amend this resolution.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes.
23	MR. HUGHES: At the time it was made
24	there was no motion on the floor, so it
25	really was invalid. What you must do now is

introduce this resolution and then

Councilman Rogan would then make the motion
to amend this resolution that was previously
made and you would vote on the amendment
first and then vote on the motion.

MS. EVANS: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: Seriously that's the correct -- I don't want anybody coming back and saying that the procedure that was used was not the correct procedure because there was no motion on the floor.

MS. EVANS: But first we had to put it back on the table.

MR. HUGHES: There is -- nothing was tabled.

MS. EVANS: It was tabled.

MR. HUGHES: Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: Several weeks ago.

MR. HUGHES: Oh, okay, then the procedure -- I thought it was voted on.

MS. EVANS: It was in Fifth and Sixth Orders and it was tabled in Sixth Order until such time as a public caucus could be conducted with the representative of Alexander's Salon. That occurred on

1 November 21. MR. HUGHES: I was not at that 2 3 meeting. 4 MS. EVANS: Right. 5 MR. HUGHES: So you take it off the table, then the motion would be introduced, 6 7 then Councilman Rogan would make the motion 8 to amend the motion, then we would vote on 9 the amendment and then on the motion. MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a 10 11 motion to take Resolution No. 45, 2013, from 12 the table. MR. JOYCE: Second. 13 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 16 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 20 have it and so moved. 21 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 22 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-23 FOR ADOPTION- RESOLUTION NO.45, 2013 24 (PREVIOUSLY TABLED) - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 144 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AUTHORIZING THE 25

MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS

OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN

AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE

COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN

PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.9 IN AN AMOUNT NOT

TO EXCEED \$250,000.00 TO ALEXANDER'S SALON &

SPA, INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT" BY

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO

EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A MODIFICATION/

EXTENSION OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE

CITY OF SCRANTON AND ALEXANDER'S SALON

& SPA.

MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to amend Item 7-C, Section II shall be amended by deleting "25 years" in the second line and inserting the following: "Seven months ending with the payment on July 1 2014."

And Section III shall be amended by deleting the period after "began" and inserting the following after began at the end the second line, "And terminating with the payment due on July 1, 2014, after commencing on July 2, 2014, until the loan is paid and the interest rate repayment of

	130
1	\$1,649.84 per month and the terms and
2	conditions of the loan documents set forth
3	in Resolution 144 of 2007 shall apply."
4	MS. EVANS: Do we have a second?
5	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
7	those in favor of the motion to amend Item
8	7-C signify by saying aye.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
13	have it and so moved.
14	What is the recommendation or,
15	excuse me. Yes. What is the recommendation
16	of the Chair for the Committee on Community
17	Development?
18	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Community Development, I
20	recommend final passage of Item 7-C, as
21	amended.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please?
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

_	
	131
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-C, as amended, legally and lawfully
9	adopted.
10	If there is no further business,
11	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
12	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
13	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
14	adjourned.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER