1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, November 14, 2013
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	,

1	
2	
3	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
4	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
5	FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT
6	ROBERT MCGOFF
7	PAT ROGAN
8	JOHN LOSCOMBE
9	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
10 11	JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
12	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs. Evans. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Here. 13 Before we proceed with tonight's 14 meeting, I have a the great honor of 15 privilege of presenting a Proclamation to 16 women in Scranton who own small businesses. 17 They constitute the backbone of our 18 community and I am very grateful that some 19 of them are here tonight. We were able to 20 select owners from various parts of the 21 city, Greenridge, North Scranton, the 22 downtown, South Side, West Scranton, so that 23 each portion of our city would be 24 represented in this Proclamation, and what 25 our greatest hope would be that as Black

	·
1	Friday approaches all of us would not simply
2	think of the great retail chains that we all
3	rush to on Thanksgiving evening, but rather
4	remember all of the small businesses in our
5	community that need our help, that need us
6	to go out and buy at their stores.
7	And so at this time I'd like to call
8	up to the front of the room all of the those
9	business owners.
10	"WHEREAS, the Council of the CITY OF
11	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, celebrates our local
12	small businesses and the contribution they
13	make to our local economy and community.
14	According to the United States Small
15	Business Administration, there are currently
16	28 million small businesses in the United
17	States. They represent more than 99 percent
18	of American companies, create two-thirds of
19	the net new jobs, and generate half of
20	private gross domestic product; and.
21	WHEREAS, small businesses employ
22	one-half of the employees in the private
23	sector in the United States; and
24	WHEREAS, 89 percent of consumers in

the United States agree that small

1	businesses contribute positively to the
2	local community by supplying jobs and
3	generating tax revenue; and
4	WHEREAS, 86 percent of consumers in
5	the United States have small businesses in
6	their community that the consumers would
7	miss if the small businesses closed; and
8	WHEREAS, 93 percent of consumers in
9	the United States agree that it is important
10	for people to support the small businesses
11	that they value in their community; and
12	WHEREAS, 90 percent of consumers in
13	the United States are willing to pledge
14	support for a "buy local" movement; and
15	WHEREAS, the Council of the CITY OF
16	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA supports our local
17	businesses that create jobs, boost our local
18	economy and preserve our neighborhoods; and.
19	WHEREAS, advocacy groups and public
20	and private organizations across the county
21	have endorsed the Saturday after
22	Thanksgiving as Small Business Saturday.
23	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,
24	that on Saturday, November 30, 2013 Scranton
25	City Council proclaims that day as "SMALL

1	BUSINESS SATURDAY" in Scranton.
2	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Scranton
3	City Council urges the residents of our
4	community, and communities across the
5	country, to support small businesses and
6	merchants on Small Business Saturday and
7	throughout the year."
8	Not all of our businesses are
9	represented here this evening because their
10	owners are at work this evening.
11	Nevertheless, we would like to mention them
12	and as your business name is called, if you
13	can please come up and receive the
14	Proclamation. Bare Accessories. Owner,
15	Stephanie Grudis. Cup-a-Cake Cafe, owner
16	Denise Cosgrove and Jackie Chompko.
17	D'Salon, owner Debbie Hyler. Dress Me Up,
18	owner Christina Horn. Duffy Accessories,
19	owner, Maureen Duffy. Fanciful Fox, owners
20	Cathy and Amanda Fox. Freckles and Frills
21	Early Learning Center. Owner, Elizabeth
22	Kyper. Hair Affair at the Spa on Cedar,
23	owner, Donna Silveri. Keen's Floral Outlet
24	owner, Wendy Westcott. Lenora's, owner

Lenora Lispi. Linda Kay's Old Greenridge

1	Diner, owner, Linda Kay Smith. Lynn Sandy's
2	Bakery, owner Lynn Sandy. Mary Ann Wally
3	Beauty Salon, owner Mary Ann Wally. Miss
4	Elly's Cakes, Owner, Diane Flannigan.
5	Neen's Diner, owner Maureen Locker.
6	Northeastern Lights Espresso Bar, Poppetts
7	Jackets and Accessories, and this is a
8	family-owned business. And finally Small
9	Frye's Boutique, owner, Louise Frye.
10	And once again, it was indeed an
11	honor for this council to be able to
12	particularly acknowledge the work of the
13	women in our community. I think very many
14	times you are overlooked. This is not to
15	say that the gentleman are not important, we
16	certainly want you to take your business to
17	all small stores in our community, but,
18	ladies, it's about time. You do a wonderful
19	job, keep it up, you are an excellent role
20	model for other women, and I'm hoping that
21	we are going to see more and more of us
22	follow your lead. Thank you all very much.
23	(Members retake the dais and
24	continue the regular meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Dispense with the

1	reading of the minutes, please.
2	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
3	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
4	MEMBERS OF SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD
5	ON OCTOBER 7, 2013.
6	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. FINANCIAL
9	STATEMENTS OF THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE
10	CITY OF SCRANTON DATED DECEMBER 31, 2012.
11	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
14	REPORT HEARING DATES HELD ON OCTOBER 23RD,
15	24TH, AND NOVEMBER 6TH, 2013.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
19	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD ON
20	NOVEMBER 20, 2013.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
24	RECEIVED FROM ROBERT ROSSI AND COMPANY DATED
25	OCTOBER 24, 2013.

1	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
2	If not, received and filed.
3	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. DRAFT GENERAL FUNDS
4	STATEMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,
5	2012 RECEIVED FROM ROBERT ROSSI AND COMPANY
6	DATED OCTOBER 24, 2013.
7	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE
10	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
11	OCTOBER 23, 2013.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	Do we have any Clerk's notes
15	tonight, Mrs. Krake.
16	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
18	council members have announcements at this
19	time?
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make
21	an announcement. If you can, I'd like
22	everyone to remember in their prayers this
23	evening my mother, who went to the hospital
24	last Friday and had major surgery this past
25	Monday. She is still hospitalized, so if

1	you could please remember her in your
2	prayers and she is doing real good so she
3	should be home in a few days, but on top of
4	that I would like you to remember in your
5	prayers, also, my brother, David, especially
6	his dear wife Christine who passed away
7	Sunday morning and her family, her mother,
8	her daughters, her grandchildren, her nieces
9	and nephews as our family makes it through
10	this hard time.
11	The reason I held this announcement
12	now is because the news media was here and
13	we have not told my mother yet about my
14	sister-in-law because of her condition, and
15	we plan on letting her know tomorrow. First
16	I called the hospital to make sure they
17	didn't televise this, but I would afraid she
18	would be watching Channel 16 so I didn't
19	want her to hear that, so if would please
20	remember them in your prayers I would
21	appreciate it. Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you, and I'm very
23	sorry for your loss, Jack.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Just one announcement.

1	Benefit for Bobby on Sunday, December 1,
2	2013. Bob Beck is a 63-year-old Scranton
3	man diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease in
4	March of 2009. In October of 2013, he was
5	diagnosed with tonsil cancer. Before his
6	illness, Bob worked at General Dynamics for
7	many years and he is an amazing person who
8	loves his family dearly. Mostly he loves
9	helping others, music, fishing and food.
10	They are looking for donations of
11	all kinds for this fundraiser, baskets,
12	food, etcetera. To give a donation you can
13	call Kiera at 570-780-9270. And again, the
14	event is on Sunday, December 1, from 2 to 7
15	p.m. at the Keyser Valley Community Center.
16	And tickets are \$10 and there will be food,
17	music, bar, 50/50 raffles, basket raffles,
18	and live music by one of my favorite local
19	bands "Graces Downfall" and DJ Ransom as
20	well. And that is all.
21	(While Mr. Rogan was speaking Mr.
22	Joyce joined the meeting.)
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
24	anyone else? Let the record reflect at this

time that Councilman Joyce has joined the

1	meeting. Attorney Hughes is out of town and
2	is unable to attend tonight's meeting.
3	Scranton City Council will conduct a
4	public caucus to discuss amendments to an
5	OECD loan to Alexander's Salon next
6	Thursday, November 21, 2013, at 5:30 p.m. in
7	council chambers.
8	St. Thomas Moore Catholic Church
9	located at 1625 North Main Avenue in North
10	Scranton, will hold a pancake and sausage
11	brunch fundraiser this Sunday, November 17,
12	at 11:30 a.m. Tickets are \$7 per person or
13	a maximum charge of \$25 per family and may
14	be purchased at the door. And that's it.
15	MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
16	PARTICIPATION.
17	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker
18	tonight is Ron Ellman.
19	MR. ELLMAN: Well, my friends
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
21	MR. ELLMAN: and council. I guess
22	being a man of few words I've just got a few
23	things bothering me. 75 years ago, now
24	listen, 75 years ago the city borrowed
25	\$55,000 to make payroll. 25 years ago we

were still borrowing, the mayor was pleading with the University to help us then and nothing was done. They have this 100-year old policy of just taking our city over, the heart of our city, house by house and street by street and whole neighborhoods. Our new incoming mayor cannot negotiate with people like this. They have no honor. You shouldn't even do business with people you can't trust. They are a multi-million dollar business hiding behind the guise of being a nonprofit.

So who is to help Brother Bill?

PEL? HUD? Senator Blake? I don't know how you can get Senator Blake's attention because he supports the University and you would have to triple his taxes like is happening to us. Maybe he could give us some thought and PEL, PEL has no incentive whatsoever to do anything but raise taxes.

Maybe if there was a camp on houses years ago they would have done something, but they just keep taxing. That's the only idea they have ever come up with in 20 years.

And HUD, all they seem interested in

is giving developers grants and loans to buy
houses that are taken off the payroll.

Everything just keeps falling on a
dying city with losing population. We are

dying city with losing population. We are losing people left and right. My neighborhood, like I keep telling you, I got empty houses and apartments everywhere around me. I just don't know what the solution is, but these people have taken away the city's ability to have income with one counter productive situation after another.

Well, I just -- I can't comprehend why either one of these gentleman wanted this job. I really -- I have talked to, you know, both of them. I just don't understand with all of the aggravation that's going to come with it. There is nothing left to sell. Doherty has done sold everything that's not nailed down for crying out loud.

You know, to change the subject for a minute that we once owned an airport?

Yeah. A beautiful piece of property. I think it's a cement plant or something now. People need to go back and look on the

4

5

6

7

computer and see what of the most outrageous crooked deals against this city that was ever -- ever happened to us, was the loss of that airport, you know? I hear people whining about the golf course, this airport was just the most adverse situation that's ever happened to the city, a beautiful piece of land like that.

24

25

Well, just in leaving, I'd like to say something real quick, during my absence when I was punishing you people it was a quarter to three in the morning I heard -- I was waiting for Perry Mason to come and I heard all of this commotion outside of my house and I looked out the window and I could see two cell phones shining and some real loud shouting, so I went outside and there is two little girls, this is a quarter to three in the morning, two little girls like fourth, fifth grade, like ten years old they out there with cell phones and one is just forcing herself to scream and yell on the phone while she is looking at me. said, "What the hell are you guys doing?"

And the other one kept on shouting

1	in the phone. I said, "I'm going in to
2	phone the cops on you," so they took off and
3	ran down the street and I have no idea who
4	they were.
5	But how could any kind of guardian
6	not know where a little baby like this is at
7	3:00 in the morning? You know, it makes you
8	wonder why they have been and what they have
9	been up to. That's why years ago I said we
10	need a curfew in this town. People wander
11	down my street all hours of the day and
12	night, young people with you know, like I
13	said, what I talk once in awhile to one of
14	my friends that drive a cab, I hear some
15	terrible stories that you don't see in the
16	paper and you don't hear on the news, and I
17	thank you all for letting me come tonight.
18	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
19	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
20	MR. ELLMAN: Maybe I ought to give
21	you a Proclamation for putting up with me.
22	MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Bill
23	Jackowitz.
24	MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
25	Scranton City Council.

1	ALL COUNCIL: Good evening.
2	MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
3	South Scranton resident and members of the
4	Taxpayers' Association. 7,979 days ago
5	Scranton was declared a distressed city
6	under Act 47. Reality, not fiction. That
7	was 1,179 weeks ago. That was a long time
8	ago.
9	It was refreshing to hear that the
10	parking garages finally submitted the prope
11	paperwork to the auditor, only six months
12	late.
13	Arbitration awards, what is the
14	latest information on payments? My
15	prediction headlines, "Scranton unlikely to
16	make required payments to police and fire.
17	City unions seize Scranton assets in a New
18	York minute." That will be a headline one
19	day.
20	Will the fair share tax law be part
21	of the 2014 budget and recovery plan? That
22	meaning, taxing students 1 percent.
23	Speaking of taxing students, Scranton Schoo
24	taxpayer's money is now being spent on Wall
25	Street. Scranton taxpayers pay

1	transportation contractors significantly to
2	the tune of \$4.1 million over the state
3	allowance. Although the school district
4	assured the Scranton taxpayers the school
5	district will be taking steps to keep more
6	accurate records.
7	Sound familiar? Scranton taxpayers
8	get milked again by the so-called elected
9	and appointed officials who should be
10	working for the taxpayers not working
11	against the taxpayers.
12	No bid transportation contract
13	awarded to DeNaples' Transportation. Hum.
14	Some facts that council and the
15	administration current and future should
16	consider when passing the 2014 budget and
17	recovery plan:
18	Scranton population 75,809
19	estimated. Persons 65 and older, 16.4
20	percent of the population. Home ownership,
21	54.2 percent taxpayers. Median family or
22	owner-occupied housing \$108,200. The state
23	average, \$163,200. Scranton is far below
24	the state average.

Per capita income, \$19,681, below

1	state and national average. Median
2	household income, \$36,968 below the state
3	and national average.
4	Persons living below the poverty
5	level, 33 percent, higher than the state and
6	the national average. Highest unemployment
7	rate in the state for over four years.
8	Nothing to be proud of, above state and
9	national average.
10	Food stamp use 75 percent in five
11	years above the state and national average.
12	Average salary in the United States
13	grew by 6 percent, in Scranton 3 percent,
14	far below the national average. Business
15	owners that have left the city.
16	Court ruling have crippled the city
17	financially and still have not been paid,
18	never will be paid.
19	Infrastructure that has crumbled.
20	Take a ride through North Scranton or pick a
21	neighborhood.
22	The only salaries that have been
23	raised are the mayor, the attorneys, some
24	city workers and consultants. They all make

well above the Scranton per capita income

1 and median family income level and some are 2 part-time employees. 3 It took 60 years or more to get 4 here, it might take 60 years or more to get out of the financial mess called Scranton 5 government until the elected officials take 6 7 the crises seriously and work together to 8 solve the problems. Nothing, I repeat, 9 nothing will change except faces and names. No buyers for the Wattress Armory. 10 11 No surprise. Why would anybody buy anything 12 in Scranton? 13 Auditor General blasts Scranton 14 School District for ineffective management. 15 What else is new? Everything in Scranton is 16 ineffectively managed. Poor leadership, poor decision 17 18 making, lying, deception, broken promises, 19 lack of jobs, increasing the welfare state, 20 government taking control of your personal 21 life, where does it lead? Broken and 22 dysfunctional government and eventually the downfall of city, states and nations. Who 23 24 It's obvious, the American is to blame?

voter who normally vote for all of the wrong

1 reasons, like straight party ticket. I am HO, in my humble opinion. 2 3 imagine if honest, hardworking people were 4 elected to hold the office and to serve the people of the United States instead of what 5 we currently have at all levels of the 6 7 government. 8 In the past few weeks I have spoken 9 about billions of taxpayers dollars that 10 have been wasted either through fraud, 11 corruption, stealing and mismanagement or 12 just out and out lying. That's why the 13 country is in the shape it's in, that's why 14 the county is in the shape it's in, the city is in the shape it's in, and the state is in 15 16 the state it's in. The American people 17 really need to wise up and start really 18 thinking about who they vote for and why 19 they vote for these people. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 21 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 22 MS. EVANS: That concludes our 23 sign-in sheet, is there anyone else who 24 cares to address city council? 25 Dave Dobrzyn, resident MR. DOBRZYN:

one, I had an idea last week, no new tax exempts until they cooperate with the city government to gain compensation from the state over losses and revenue. Seeing as a tax exempt we are required to accept them under the state constitution and grant exemptions. I think they could be cooperating a little bitter than what they have been instead of making fun of everybody and getting editorials written in the Scranton Times about how cheap we are and

of Scranton, taxes and fees paid. Number

petty.

Steamtown Museum, please consider that, they are down 20 percent of their funding, which was never increased from 1994 so that's nearly 20 years with no increases in any type of funding, and to be honest the attendance has dropped off. They had six cars on their trips and only three were filled. Mainly with the sequester and the other issue with the shutdown the leaves have fell off the trees and a lot of people have -- and currently they don't have one operating steam engine. You can see them in

1 the back shop in various states of repair.

2 Also, I'd like to ask that you note 3 weekly losses of Pennsylvania -- of pension 4 funds from Wall Street debacles. That's a very important note because, once again, we 5 are getting blamed for the pension problems 6 7 and it's my understanding that \$25 million 8 disappeared in 2007 or shortly thereafter. So, I mean, it's not all our fault and it's

certainly is our problem.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd also like to note it's not a city council, \$6 million plus school board debacle. And I have a question for them, when will they realize and act like their city is distressed? It's just shameful. I have to read a little more in the article and do a little more research because two or three paragraphs don't cut it with the full story.

And note, also, the state legislature allows employers, certain employers to packet PA income tax that are deducted out of their employees' paychecks and I have a message for them, forget your additional 1 percent to bring it to 4.2

percent. Just forget about it. Straighten
things out and start doing things right. It
I pay income tax I want it to get to the
government and not in somebody's pocket to
increase the bottom line.

And, okay, the golden parrot goes to Bill Clinton, not president anymore, seems every time a trade pack shows up he is always back there and, once again, he is sticking his nose into the insurance issue. If he didn't sign all those trade packs we wouldn't to have to rely on the government for our medical insurance. Back when I started working no matter how crummy the job was it always had Blue Cross and Blue Shield and a decent plan.

In 1993, under the Clinton administration, our boss called us in and stated he was going to contribute \$75 a month to Blue Cross and we were going to have to pay \$12.50 a week. Ten years later /ER, I'm paying \$325 a month towards it, so thank you, Bill.

And Sara Palin, she keeps crowing about the deficit, well, Alaska receives

1	\$1.65 for every \$1 paid out, so she should
2	talk. She lives in a welfare state.
3	And Wyoming County, we hear all
4	about the food stamps and how the cities are
5	such a drain, well, Wyoming County receives
6	assistance at a rate of 150 percent more
7	than Scranton. Now, I'm sure Scranton has
8	more recipients, but they have more
9	recipients out there per person, a larger
10	amount received, so whenever they talk about
11	taxes they are or whatever and that they are
12	so fine and dandy, well, they have an awful
13	lot of people receiving assistance out
14	there. They are not as rich as what they
15	say or some people are too rich. Thank you
16	and have a good night.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
18	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
19	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
20	MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
21	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
22	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
23	MR. MORGAN: Lee Morgan, not that it
24	really matters that much. You know what,

I'm just curious to know, Mr. Joyce, I guess

1	the question would go to you, with all due
2	respect to you, of course.
3	MR. JOYCE: Okay.
4	MR. MORGAN: Where are we at in the
5	budget process? Can you tell us anything a
6	all about what the possible tax increase we
7	might be looking at at this point?
8	MR. JOYCE: Yeah. I did happen to
9	speak to the mayor on Tuesday briefly later
10	on in the afternoon when I came to pick up
11	my mail at city hall, and he informed me
12	about some things going on with the budget.
13	They are still finalizing some numbers, but
14	he said to me that it would be somewhere in
15	the 40 percent.
16	MR. MORGAN: Okay. I'd just have a
17	couple of questions and, you know, it's
18	not I just general information questions
19	Have you reached out to the mayor elect or
20	to the council elect, the new members of
21	council coming in, for their input to the
22	budget at this point?
23	MR. JOYCE: Well, I did give a I
24	did place a call to the mayor elect actually
25	and left him a voicemail.

1 MR. MORGAN: Well, the reason I ask 2 those questions is because I really think 3 that something extremely radical needs to 4 take place on the part of the council, and some people may not agree, but we had 5 candidates that ran on platforms that in my 6 7 opinion were unrealistic, and it's probably 8 never been asked before, but, look it, I'm 9 not afraid to blaze a trail. I would just 10 hope to be honeste with you, Mr. Joyce, with 11 no disrespect to you, I just would hope that 12 you would consider resigning as Finance Chair and place the burden on someone else 13 14 on council and maybe bring the new mayor 15 forward and the new council members forward 16 and start a real discussion on what needs to 17 take place, because I think with the 18 shortfalls in the budget, with no settlement 19 for the firemen and the police, with the 20 shortfall this year in the city's budget, 21 and with for lack of a better terminology 22 abstract ideas of a council and a mayor 23 elect and a city that's rolling backwards 24 with -- I just think that maybe it's time to 25 bring things front and center and bring

1 these parties in to participate, because I 2 just see that we have got to stop a 3 situation where next year the thing will be, 4 "Well, we didn't pass that last budget, the last council did." 5 And, you know, I just think that

when candidates -- and this isn't, look it, I appreciate every candidate who runs, but, you know, we have listened for too long the blame game and my opinion is people ran for these position, and now I think it's time to really show your ideas because we don't need your ideas next year, we need them now, okay?

And the other thing I would like to see is I would like to see, you know -- see some things I don't understand. I can't support the commuter tax, but I have to wonder if it was in the recovery plan why the mayor didn't proceed and try to pursue it? Even though I disagree with it, that was part of the recovery plan.

And where was the PEL in this whole mess because they are the ones that put the recover plan together, and if the revenue

6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

they said was possibly going to be realized

and it hasn't come are we going to take

another one of their recovery plans forward?

And, you know, to be really honest with you even I just don't see how we are going to fund city government next year in any way. I mean, just don't see it and I think that if this council had to pick another Finance Chair it would have be somebody on council, I think Mr. Rogan would be qualified, since he is going to be here for four years and he was there for the previous four, okay? And let's see the mayor come forward. It's no disrespect to you, it's just that my point is, look, you put a couple of budgets together from information you were given and from the PEL and these plans just haven't worked.

And we had the state representatives here and the state senators and if you listen to what Mr. Jackowitz said, I mean, the city just has no money left. We are just -- you know, I don't know about six years ago I went through the Hill section collecting signatures to be on the ballot, a

1	nice elderly woman answered the door. She
2	had more clothes on than I had on and it was
3	cold. And I said to her, "Ma'am, with no
4	disrespect to you, there is something really
5	wrong here."
6	And then finally she said, "What
7	would that be?"
8	I said, "Well, you got more clothes
9	on than I do, you've got a jacket on and
10	everything."
11	And she goes, "Well, you know, I'm
12	paying my way. We are doing what we got to
13	do."
14	And what I'm saying to this council
15	is there are people in this city that really
16	struggling and they really are, and they
17	have elected people on all levels of the
18	government from the state all the way down
19	and there is no real solution to our problem
20	and I'd want to give these people an
21	opportunity to give input before we put this
22	budget together so they can't pass it and
23	say that you did it. Thank you.
24	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
25	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia.

1 Citizen of Scranton, Fellow Scrantonian. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 3 MR. SBARAGLIA: I'm a realist by 4 nature. I look at something and I see either black or white, I don't see gray many 5 different shades. I look at things as they 6 7 are. Our contracts are set with the 8 firemen, the policemen and the DPW workers 9 for three years. There is nothing the new 10 mayor can do with that contract except 11 follow it, so hence, there is nothing we can 12 do to get savings from there. 13 PEL claims we are going to be \$25 14 million shortfall in our budget, how the 15 budget formulated. I don't see how a 40 16 percent increase is going to makeup that 20 17 million. I just don't see it. The figures 18 are in there. You know and I know that a 19 1,000 city workers are either active workers 20 or on pension. That means 1 out of 75 21 people in the city is a state worker, just 22 active worker. I'm not talking about family 23 members, it could be higher with all the 24 family members, and there is not a darn

thing you can do about that. Them pensions

1 and everything are set in stone.

The only think actually I could telling you should let the city workers acquire our assets and then lease them back and spread it out. We can't afford a lump Did you remember way back when the mayor spent the \$72 million when he first got into office and we said it's not that we didn't need it, it's just that you are spending too much at one time. That's what you are paying for now. Back then it was done.

No one can change what's going to happen. 40 percent is not going to do it. I don't think PEL okay it, I don't think the banks will okay it, that's your problem.

You are no longer in control, the banks are in control, and there is nothing you could do about that. I don't blame them. I don't want to see money thrown away. If I had loaned my money I would make sure there is good possibility I would get it back and the worst part about it is the interest rate.

You mentioned 3 percent up front, and then what was it three point some percent after

that? But 3 percent of whatever you are taken upfront that means money you are not getting so you are being penalized even before you get the money and then, of course, all of the steady revenue is, of course, committed to them loans. Of course you got the 20 million, but that's really when you say you have the 20 million to work -- well, whatever, I wouldn't say 20 million, because God knows what you have to borrow to get the 20 million. But anyway, they are saying 20 million, so we will use that figure even though it may be greater.

And these things are going to happen. You can't change it, I can't change it, God is the only one that can change it and I doubt he will do it. So be realistic and tell the people 40 percent will not do it and say it will not do it. It's going to be a 60 percent increase or maybe 80 percent increase. I'm not even saying the increase that McGoff passed or the increase of 22 percent we did have on top of whatever we had, I mean, it just keeps paralleling and piling up, one after another after another

and there is nothing you can do about it except go to the unions and see if they are willing to take that deal. That's the only thing we can do, that's the only thing that makes sense that would help us. Other than that we are going to sink like a submarine except we are never going to able to rise again.

I'm sorry it come to that, but like I said, the newspaper made fun of us, made fun of Janet made fun of everybody when they are telling them this. This doesn't happen overnight, we have been saying that for the last ten years what was going to happen.

Now it did happen, but the thing is we cannot change what happened, we can only try to get out of the mess.

Maybe the unions won't go a long way, maybe will take the assets then we'll lease them back just like we leased everything from one authority to another authority was wrong with the unions because they are in the same boat as us. If we don't have the money to pay their salaries, they are going to be hurting or their

1 pensions or their welfare. They are going to hurt just like everyone else in the city, 2 3 maybe more, because it's a financial 4 problem. Thank vou. MS. EVANS: 5 Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Just to clarify, a tax 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

increase that we'll see when the budget comes out, I'm not saying it's 40 percent, it's somewhere between 40 and 50 from what I have been advised. There are some other fees that may possibly go up as well, so it's not just a tax increase and, you know, this a very important budget because there is lot that hinges on whether banks feel comfortable with it. Our tax anticipation note for next year is dependent on whether Amalgamated Bank feels that the budget is adequate and if -- obviously if we don't get that the new administration will come into city hall with an inability to pay employees or even keep the city running.

And also, borrowing for the fire and police awards and subsequently the MMO from 2013 is also very dependent on the budget and, you know, the banking community wants

1	to see that there will be revenue generated
2	into the city in order to pay them back.
3	That's all.
4	MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.
5	Doug Miller, Scranton.
6	ALL OF COUNCIL: Good evening.
7	MR. MILLER: I'd like to first begin
8	my responding to a question that
9	Mr. Jackowitz had raised earlier this
10	evening in regards to the 1 percent fair
11	share tax that I had brought up to council
12	about a month ago, and he asked if it was
13	going to included in the 2014 operating
14	budget and I just want to answer that
15	question for him. The answer is no, it will
16	not be. You know, when you are dealing with
17	this type of issue the magnitude of it it's
18	something that obviously you can't just
19	automatically put in a budget, there is a
20	lot of research and legal research that
21	needs to be done on it, and this is the
22	discussion that I had with Mr. Cross when I
23	spoke with him last week or two weeks
24	ago.

This is something I intend on $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1$

reaching out to the mayor elect, in fact, I have already spoken to him about this. The incoming council I intend on reaching out to the two new members coming in to discuss this and this is something that's going to take time, you know, there is going to be a lot of back and forth, but it's not as easy as just putting it in the budget and making it work. You know, a lot of us think that, you know, particularly the last four years that we can wave the magic wand and we are going to magically, you know, erase all of the city's problems and, unfortunately, it doesn't work that way.

But I do intend on continuing to pursue this because I do think this is something that we need to, you know, seriously consider making an annual revenue stream for the city. You know, in the shape we are in we certainly need every penny we can get.

In regards to the budget, obviously that's been a discussion tonight. The issue, of course, is going to be the tax increase and we have already heard, you

know, we are in the 40s. You know, whether we want to believe it or not the reality is we knew a tax increase was inevitable so, unfortunately, a lot of the revenue projections and enhancements that were in this recovery plan we didn't realize those things 100 percent, and that happens sometimes when you do take chances and, unfortunately, revenue comes in short, but it's not to discredit the hard work that this council put into that process, the recovery plan and this year's budget.

You know, as we said moving forward, we hope there is more cooperation and we continue to work together, the council and the new administration coming continues to work together and they follow, you know, the path that, you know, you started.

I think there are a lot of people out there that have criticized this council through the years. You know, I don't think they have necessarily realized the obstacles that have you kind of have to go through and the hurdles you have had to go through. You know, you have dealt with a lot of difficult

decisions.

Unfortunately, the banking community has not been our best friend and that in large part is because the last 12 years, you know, this administration has provided unrealistic budgets and, you know, the fiscal mismanagement by this administration has lead us to where we are today, and that gives the banking community, you know, a bad feeling and that's why they have mixed emotions, and they want to see a budget, they want to see a plan that looks to be realistic.

And I'm confident that this budget for 2014 is going to be realistic because, you know, a lot is at stake here and a lot, you know, we are counting on a realistic budget and working with PEL and this council and the administration. You know, you have about a month left, you know, to this last month half and is going to be very busy and time consuming for you and I would still encourage more people to come forward and offer suggestions.

But one of the point that was made

1 tonight that I do agree with is, you know, I 2 think in order to avoid the, you know, you 3 passing the budget and having the next 4 council come in and, you know, next year at this time say, "Well, revenue projections 5 didn't come to fruition because the last 6 council passed the budget," I think the best 7 8 way to avoid that would be, as a speaker 9 said tonight, is to invite the mayor elect and the two new council members and invite 10 11 them to come forward. In fact, I would 12 suggest we invite them next week. The 13 budget will be handed down tomorrow from the 14 mayor, and I think they should come down 15 here and sit at this table next week at a 16 caucus publically on Channel 61 -- or 17 Channel 19, and discuss their vision for the 18 city because I think it's critical. 19 This is a budget, this is a city 20 that they are going to be dealing with in a 21 very short time, and I think it's very 22 critical that, you know, the residents of

this city came out to vote. They elected

these individuals to lead the city for the

next four years, and I think now is the time

23

24

they discussed a lot of their ideas
throughout the campaign, and now is the time
to come forward and offer those ideas
because, as the speaker did mention, you
know, we can't wait until next year. You
know, now is the time to come forward and
offer your input.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's not going to be easy. As I said, anybody that thinks, you know, we are going to turn this thing around overnight, you know, is very mislead, but I am confident that, you know, we can make the banking community to have a little confidence in us again so that we can secure a TAN, secure the borrowing for this police and fire settlement because these are obligations we have next year and it would be very frightening to have to come in next year and facing a \$20 million hole right off the bat and be back to square one last summer where we, you know, faced pay less pay days and employees being paid minimum wage. We don't want to go back to that nightmare so it's time that everybody comes together, the new leaders come forward, I

1	think they should come next week and begin
2	dialogue. It's critical because the future
3	is at stake. Thank you.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
5	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hello, Jack.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
7	MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.
8	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Hi, Janet.
9	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris.
10	MR. SLEDZENSKI: I hurt my back when
11	I fell down the steps at the house. I
12	slipped.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: You must be getting
14	old, Chrissy.
15	MS. EVANS: Be careful.
16	MR. SLEDZENSKI: I will. Hey, Jack,
17	we are playing Saturday night Abington.
18	Guys, good luck, Saturday. Good luck, Guys.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chris, you must be
21	getting old because you fell asleep last
22	week and now you fell down the steps.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
24	Council. Will it be laughs tonight and
25	tears tomorrow? I sort of feel as though we

1 are in a situation where we are doing the 2 limbo in reverse tonight. It's not how low 3 will it go it's how high will it go except, 4 of course, maybe with a reduction in our 5 fire and police protection. Is it going to 6 be all property taxes increase or will we 7 will have some massive layoffs that will put 8 us in jeopardy to go with that? I can't 9 believe that anything in the area of a 40 10 percent property tax increase is going to 11 cover the shortfall, so that makes me a 12 little bit even more anxious. 13 But in the past legislation has been 14 fast tracked through one or two orders, 15 sometimes in the same night, and I would 16 like to ask if it would be possible to fast 17 track the budget legislation so that the 18 banks can see the final product and perhaps 19 get the TAN approved and in so we can at 20 least avoid the penalty in the MMO 21 nonpayment by the end of the year. 22 MS. EVANS: Well, we haven't

received the budget yet, I am sure that will
be forthcoming tomorrow. It would be
introduced at next Thursday's meeting, and

1	during introduction I believe we can't
2	really fast track because we need to I
3	believe by law conduct a public hearing,
4	which I intend to hold well, the
5	following week is Thanksgiving I believe the
6	28th, so that would be on the December 5
7	meeting a public hearing would be held prior
8	to the council meeting to hear from the
9	people of the city, and at that point, you
10	know, it's very possible for council to
11	discuss whether it wants to continue to
12	Seventh Order or if it wants to suspend the
13	rules and pass a budget that evening.
14	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I think that
15	might be wise if it save us a half a
16	million, and speaking of hundreds of
17	thousands of dollars, Mr. Joyce, did you
18	have a chance to run down that General
19	Dynamics property tax obligation that we
20	chatted about many times in the past?
21	MR. JOYCE: I apologize, I don't
22	have the information with me, but let me
23	just make a note of it and I'll remember for
24	next week.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. The

1	Scranton Sewer Authority, there was an RFP
2	some time ago for quotes on the appraising
3	the value, I don't know which of you, if any
4	of you, keep in touch with them, has that
5	been completed and do we know that the
6	valuation and the market value of the
7	Scranton Sewer Authority is?
8	MS. EVANS: I believe the valuation
9	was completed. I don't have that
10	information with me this evening, but I
11	think we can look into that for you.
12	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, I would
13	appreciate that. And then in preparation
14	for next week do we have Mr. Rogan, have
15	you I assume you have talked with OECD
16	and Ms. Aebli and do we know what the old
17	rate and time was of the Alexander's loan
18	and whether that has been that same
19	privilege has been afforded to any other
20	loan payers of loans?
21	MR. ROGAN: I just got that request
22	into Linda this morning, so she didn't get a
23	chance to get back to me yet, but I will be
24	in touch with her prior to the caucus.
25	MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, it would have

been nice if the public had that beforehand

to. I mean, we have been talking about this

several weeks.

My final item tonight, there was a public meeting on notice schedule -- public meeting scheduled, notice in the paper this morning and an article about this city revitalization improvement zone and PILOT application as part of that program and the hearing is tomorrow and I hope that since it affects the City of Scranton you would discuss that during Fifth Order tonight and hopefully send someone to that meeting tomorrow and at least let the feelings of all of you or maybe the three that are remaining --

MS. EVANS: I can tell you that -well, I shouldn't speak for everyone, but I
wasn't informed regarding this program. I'm
not even sure what it entails other than
what I am able to read in the newspaper and
the assumption is having read that that this
is a program similar to KOZs, and I think,
you know, probably council members have to
decide where they would stand on that

particular issue. I don't know that, you
know, any one person at this point can go in
and say city council supports or opposes
this.

But, you know, as I mentioned initially, and more importantly, I don't know -- I don't have all of the information about this. This was news to me in today's paper and certainly, you know, I would like to know more about it. If it's not -- it's possible, Mrs. Schumacher, that something of this nature or legislation relating to this won't be sent to council until 2014 and, you know, that's why I am assuming why we may not have heard anything about it because it may be an issue that's not going to come before this current council.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, that may be.
While it seems according to the article this
morning it's only now they thought it was
only third class cities and now somehow Act
47 cities are also included, but I feel bad
that Attorney Hughes isn't here tonight
because I'm sure with his knowledge of the
municipal law he would have and been able to

1	explain and tell the impact on the taxpayers
2	because certainly there would be if you are
3	talking about what 130 acre area project, so
4	that's too bad, but I hope those who are
5	staying will stay on top of it and thank
6	you.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: I have to say I'm a
9	little disappointed that we weren't notified
10	or we weren't reached out to on this here
11	because this is the first I have heard of
12	it.
13	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I would think
14	the commissioners certainly would have, I
15	mean, since they are the ones that
16	apparently voted on it yesterday that would
17	have been nice if they have communicated
18	with someone.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree. It affects
20	our constituents.
21	MS. SCHUMACHER: And maybe you can
22	send a letter of disappointment. I think
23	that might be appropriate.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. SCHUMACHER: Just let them know

1	there is a council here and they have
2	legislative obligations and it would be nice
3	to be informed.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
5	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
6	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
7	cares to address city council?
8	Mrs. Krake, before we move on, if
9	it's agreeable with my colleagues, I think
10	we should send a letters to the
11	commissioners knowing that we were unaware
12	of this program. Obviously, they are under
13	a deadline, we understand that and that the
14	county wants to move forward with this
15	quickly, but it seems that the reason they
16	are able to participate because solely of
17	the City of Scranton which falls under Act
18	47, so it would be, you know, quite clear I
19	think to most of us that Scranton or at
20	least Scranton City Council should have been
21	informed and consulted.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: And you are correct,
23	although they are under a deadline they
24	still had to do all of the writing for the
25	legal advertisement. A short note to us

1	would have been just as easy, too. I mean,
2	I know that that's probably the excuse, we
3	are under a deadline, we didn't have time to
4	contact you, but they had to put together a
5	legal advertisement to publish in the paper,
6	so a small note saying, you now, come and
7	see us, we are discussing this would have
8	been fine.
9	MS. EVANS: But again
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: We have to work
11	together.
12	MS. EVANS: we are the sole
13	reason why
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Right.
15	MS. EVANS: they are able to apply
16	so you certainly are very unwise to bypass
17	the governing bodies of the City of Scranton
18	in pursuit of this program.
19	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, with your
20	permission since there seems to be such
21	urgency, perhaps we should do an e-mail and
22	request a response along with our letter.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you, Mrs.
24	Krake, please.
25	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

1	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
2	you have any comments or motions this
3	evening?
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. It seems in
5	reading some things from the newspaper and
6	from reports that we get from investor
7	services and all that the Legion of Doom has
8	transformed itself to include the media. It
9	would seem that from what we read in the
10	paper that there are people that want the
11	City of Scranton to fail and it's something
12	that to me that is unacceptable.
13	What people seem to want to dwell or
14	is the negatives that exist and fail to
15	consider some of the positive things that
16	have taken place, especially during the past
17	year. If we were to take a look at the 2013
18	budget and eliminate the arbitration award
19	and eliminate the pension payment, the
20	budget was pretty close to balanced. We
21	were in the ballpark.
22	MS. EVANS: Oh, I agree. I think
23	since I've been here this year was the best
24	most realistic budget, and I think that, you

know, the fact that the payables due right

1	now are even, you know, much more
2	insignificant
3	MR. MCGOFF: That's what I was going
4	to say, our cash flow has been good. The
5	payable have been reasonable, we have been
6	able to maintain
7	MS. EVANS: The TAN was paid early
8	in full.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. And the other
10	thing is in the budget that many of the
11	or I shouldn't say many, some of the
12	enhancements that were put in there were
13	successful. You know, there were things
14	that worked and yet we don't hear about
15	those. We get reports and we get newspaper
16	articles and outsiders looking and
17	criticizing and dwelling on the negatives
18	that exist.
19	I would like to see I think that
20	tomorrow when we get a look at the mayor's
21	proposal that it will at least provide us
22	with a reasonable plan for moving forward.
23	There may be things that obviously everybody
24	dislikes or that may be need to be changed,

but I think based on what we have done in

2 budget will contain that I think there is 3 reasonable hope, optimistic that the 4 investment community will take a look at it and see it as sustainable budget and that we 5 can move forward with Amalgamated toward a 6 7 TAN for 2014, that we can move forward with 8 the investment community toward borrowing 9 for the 25 million or whatever the amount 10 was at, and I think that we can be 11 successful. It may not happen between now 12 and January 1, but I think moving forward 13 even into early 2014 that there is a 14 reasonable hope, reasonable expectation that 15 we can get past the crisis, if you want to 16 call it that, that we are in and that we can 17 come out the other side with a chance of 18 gaining control of our situation and moving forward. 19 20 I think one of the biggest things that we have to do as a community is to 21 start thinking positively about what we can 22

do and not worry and not be totally and

negatives and give up hope that we can be

completely concerned with all of the

the past year and what hopefully this 2014

1

23

24

1 successful.

I'm hoping that within the next three weeks, four weeks as we deal with the budget that we can agree on something that will be sustainable, that will allow the new administration to come into office with the reasonable chance of being successful. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments
or motions tonight?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. First, I would just like also like to congratulate small business owners that we have had here today, and to remind once again all those in the viewing audience to shop locally, not only on the Saturday following Thanksgiving, but whenever you can. It's when you can help out a local business you are helping yourself because you are helping create a job in our city and that person pays taxes, the business owner pays taxes and, you know, it's a snowball affect in a good way, so we need to shop local as much as we can.

And even with our small businesses

you know, think outside of the box, don't always go to the Wal-Marts of the world. There are a lot of small businesses in the city that have very good product for a reasonable price, but again, congratulations to all of the small business owners that were here today and everyone in the city who takes the leap of the faith in opening their own business because it certainly isn't easy.

Next, I will make a couple of comments regarding the budget. There is obviously not much that can be said because we don't have the budget yet, but just to echo some of what other people have mentioned today. As far as my vote on the budget, I will hope that the budget is realistic, and that's to me is the most important thing that we can't pass a budget that is going to underfund the government, and although this hasn't happened we can't pass a budget that will over fund the government. The funding sources need to be in line with expenditures.

And looking long-term the one big

monkey on the city's back if the arbitration award, and what's so frustrating about that I believe for all of us up here, not one of us on this board was here when four councils ago they decided by a 3 to 2 vote to take the wrong path in fighting our city's workforce instead of working out a deal at that point in time.

At the time only Councilman Reap and Councilman DiBileo had the foresight of what would happen and Councilman Reap had pegged it perfectly when he said the only people that will make money from this deal are the attorneys, and boy was he right.

Once the union award is paid, and whether that's through the sale of an asset, it's through financing or a leaseback as others have mentioned, however it's paid, then it becomes much easier for the city to look at what our firm expenses are of running the city.

This award is backpay for years and interest so these -- really if you look at all of the budgets through those years should have been incrementally a little bit

 higher and we are really paying for that now, but once that award is paid it becomes much easier for the city to figure out what our expenditures are, where we can save money, some other ideas to generate revenue, which we are always looking for, and I'm hopeful that that award will be paid in the very near future.

It appears from what we have been hearing that it's not going to be addressed within the end of this year, but if that's the case that would be great.

And also Ms. Schumacher brought up a good point about the quicker the budget is passed the better as far as the bank willing community. Now, I wouldn't support doing it in less than three readings, but one thing I would support is the week of Thanksgiving, obviously we couldn't meet on Thanksgiving, but I wouldn't be opposed to having a special meeting that week on another day, maybe that Monday or Tuesday, to have an additional reading which would give time to pass it in three weeks, but it would still be passed a week earlier and give them three

readings so if that's something that others

support it's definitely something that I

think is a good idea.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, Mrs. Krake, I know this was brought up as well, can we please send a letter to the Scranton Sewer Authority, the mayor, and the business administrator asking for a copy of the valuation study that was done to be distributed to all city council members? I think that the Sewer Authority would probably be -- it seems to be a last resort of the administration, the current administration, for paying the Court award and whether it's pursued or not we all should know what it's worth. It's an asset of the public and I think finally we paid --I'm sure a lot of money was paid to fund that valuation, so I think we should all have access to it.

And actually one more item, the letter we received and the article in the paper today regarding the city pension fund, and a lot of people have brought up to me, you know, obviously whenever we talk about the pension fund the excuse is always,

"Well, the market crashed in 2008."

But the markets are currently at all times highs, and most of us that have 401-K's and private plans have seen recovery in those funds. The city hasn't had the same fortunes because of increased payments going out and because of an issue that I ready about in the paper today with amazement which is the splits between stocks and bonds.

I think anyone, an individual would have to be crazy to have anywhere near 50 percent of their portfolio invested in bonds in the current market, and I'm not a financial analyst, but if you listen to anyone involved in finance they will tell you right now you should have a very small portion of your investment portfolio in bonds.

I believe it was Mr. Hazzouri
brought up a very good point on that, and I
think that moving forward the city should
look to have another investment firm or
brokerage firm come in with different
proposals on how we can best grow our money

1	in the markets, because the better these
2	funds perform and grow it's the less
3	taxpayer dollars that have to be put in to
4	subsidize it, so growth is the way to fix
5	our pension crises. It's not by just
6	dumping more money in it as a growth rate of
7	1 or 2 percent of the bond because we are
8	paying out more than that and we're not even
9	keeping up with inflation.
10	So that's something I hope that it
11	will be looked into it, it's something I
12	will look into, and that is all I have for
13	tonight. Thank you.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
15	Councilman Loscombe, do you have any
16	comments or motions?
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. I,
18	too, would like to congratulate the small
19	business owners, those who appeared here
20	this evening and those who were unable to,
21	those that continue to have faith in the
22	City of Scranton. I know these are tough
23	economic times and I know we don't have the
24	best tax system for businesses either, but

hopefully down the road we could have enough

of these businesses that stick it out and we work together and get over these budget debacles things will improve but we have to have hope. As Mr. McGoff said, we have to

think positive of this city.

I believe the position we are in, yes, it is the arbitration award that's a big albatross on our back at this point and the MMO, but one thing I want to make clear, and it seems that we have gone back to that in the media that this is all the fault of the police and firefighters. It was utilized for several years, the police and firefighters are ruining the city, well, you recall for over ten years the police and fire budgets did not increase a penny. Where did all that money go?

Second of all, this arbitration award could have been much less if he had agreed with the unions as they had several times with handshakes and then walked away from it listening to PEL and DCED, direct the mayor right through to the Supreme Court. The police and fire would have gotten far less and agreed to far less as

they had many times. It just irks me when I read in the paper the police and firefighters are bankrupting the city. It's the unions, it's the firefighters, it's the police, the employees of the city.

The Supreme Court of this state is the one that ruled this award, let's not forget, and this is one of the most conservative Supreme Courts in the country. They took their duty realistically and looked over everything, yet the Times continues in their editorials to say it was an ill-advised or the Supreme Court made a mistake.

They have been there for many years and made many decisions, but this time they made a mistake. Does it effect all of us? It certainly does. The Supreme Court made a ruling that's going to cost all of us, but the reason we got to the Supreme Court is not because of the police and fire, it's because of lack of agreement here, and I hope that the next administration would Honor the labor agreements, as was mentioned here earlier. That's what got us into a lot

1 of trouble. Whether you are anti-union, 2 union, anti-labor or whatever, the fact is 3 we are in this position now not because of 4 the police and firefighters bankrupted us. 5 I want to get the truth out there. 6 7 to get it clear. And just recall this council negotiated with the police and fires 8 9 on that award and reduced it by 15 million. You hear so many things, "Well, why can't 10 they give it back? Why can't do this?" 11 12 You know what, if you won a lottery 13 ticket how much would you give back? 14 tough to compare it that way, but for 10 15 years they went without a cost of living 16 increase or anything yet every bill went up 17 for them. They negotiated in good faith and 18 finally the Supreme Court ruled in its wisdom in their favor. 19 20 You can't take your employees and 21 treat them like garbage. You sit 22 face-to-face, you make an agreement, a 23 handshake agreement, it's an agreement. You 24 stand by your word. You don't walk away

from it and listen to advice from other

entities that don't give a damn about us in the city. They walk away from it.

But remember, when you read in the paper it's the police and firefighters, it's the unions that are ruining this city, it was the Supreme Court that gave that award. It was the firefighters and police unions that returned \$15 million of that award, but you don't see anything of that.

So I just wanted to remind those who believe in that printed press, the one and only we have in this city, that what you read is not always, probably most likely rarely ever the truth.

Next, I see we have a letter here, a response -- well sent to the police department, also, regarding -- and it's ironic because I was going to bring this up this evening, the traffic signal at Keyser Avenue and Jackson Street the timing is way off. I mean, it's great if you are on Keyser Avenue, but if you are on Jackson Street you might as well have lunch before the light changes. With this construction going on on Keyser Avenue it's turned into a

nightmare, especially today they were

working on three areas above and below

Keyser Avenue on Jackson Street on Keyser

yet they had no flaggers at the red light so

cars were backed up, people on Jackson

Street not only did they sit for that 20

7 minutes for the green light that they

couldn't go through, but they had to wait

for another light and another light.

Now, I think I'm going to have get together with our police chief, they are going to need more traffic flow direction on Keyser Avenue if they are going to continue to work in several different spots as they are. It just seems like they are one spot here, one spot here, one spot here. Clear away and the next week they are in the same spots. It seems like a haphazard situation but it causes -- I have seen people flipping out at the flaggers that are there, and you can't blame them because it's very, very frustrating trying to move on Keyser Avenue. It's going to be great when it's all completed, but to me I don't know if it's the contractor or what, but there seems to

be no lack of coordination with traffic flow correctly there, but if they are going to continue to work in that area they are going to have to get a flagger at the red light,

5 also, because it's a nightmare.

And the other red light I'm going to complain about if we can send a letter, also, Mrs. Krake, is at Harrison Avenue and Mulberry Street. Now, I have noticed when they are working on the Harrison Avenue bridge traffic backs up all the way, if you are coming down Mulberry Street say from CMC or going up you might go three cars through that green light then, again, it sits forever for Harrison Avenue. There has to be a better timing system than that.

And again, when they were doing some work -- and they are going to get into major work on the Harrison Avenue bridge so it will be far worse and we'll be in the same position we are on Keyser Avenue where traffic is backed up through the light and those three cars that would normally get through the green light are going to be sitting there again.

I was hoping that this new traffic

signal program in the city would be

beneficial, but I have found a few spots

where it's turned out to be a nightmare, and

those are just two of them and anybody wants

to bring my attention to any other locations

on those issues, but I have said my peace

tonight. I thank you all. That's it.

feel free to contact me and I'll follow-up

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'll be very brief tonight. First, I would like to thank the small business owners that came in tonight, even though I was tardy to the meeting and couldn't be here, I think we owe them a lot of credit. You know, it's really great to see the small business owners that are in Scranton doing well, and I am very appreciative of their dedication to the Scranton community, and like Mr. Rogan said earlier, I would encourage people to shop local and support these businesses that are in the city because they do have more

1 hurdles to overcome than some of the 2 businesses in the outlying communities. 3 Second of all, our business 4 administrator, Ms. McAndrew, had indicated to our auditor, Rossi & Rossi, that they 5 would be receiving the city's management 6 7 discussion and analysis section of the audit 8 report on Friday, November 15. So our auditor had stated to us after their review 9 10 of the MD and A and assuming it meets all of 11 the necessary requirements an exit 12 conference will be able to be scheduled for 13 either Thursday or Friday of next week and 14 then the audit report will be finalized. 15 So, Mrs. Krake, if you can please 16 notify me when that exit conference will be 17 and when it is set up I would greatly 18 appreciate it. 19 And last, but not least, the city 20 did receive some revenue in the past week. 21 We received some cable TV revenue from 22 Comcast in the amount of \$212,00. And 23 that's all I have for tonight. 24 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good 25 evening. City council received responses on

1	November 13, 2013, from Corporal Richard A.
2	Bachman of Scranton Police Department
3	regarding permit parking petitions for
4	Prescott Avenue and Fisk Street. Corporal
5	Bachman denied both petitions and at this
6	time I wish to read from his letter of
7	decision.
8	"My office is in receipt of a
9	petition for permit parking in the 900 block
10	of Prescott Avenue and here are my findings:
11	I have made four passes in the this
12	block at all different times of the day and
13	found that 70 percent or more of the parking
14	spaces in this block were unoccupied.
15	Therefore, this block does not qualify for
16	permit parking."
17	And the second quote: "My office is
18	in receipt of a petition for permit parking
19	on the 1100 block of Fisk Street, and here
20	are my findings:
21	On November 12, 2013, at 1127 hours
22	I went to that location and discovered that
23	more than 70 percent of the parking spaces
24	on the street were empty. I also counted
25	the households on the block and it is a

total of 38. I then counted the names and
addresses that are on the petition and there
are a total of only 17 households on the
petition.

Under Residential Area Eligibility,
Section 439-66, Subsection C, it states that
you need two-thirds majority of the
households on the street to sign the
petition and this was not met, so,
therefore, the petition is denied."

If residents of the 900 block of
Prescott Avenue and/or the 1100 block of the
Fisk Street have any questions they should
contact Corporal Richard Bachman at the
Scranton Police Department Highway Unit.

Also, we received an update just today from city engineer, John Pocius, on the flooding issues on Augusta Avenue, Lemon Street and North Main Avenue. Mr. Pocius states his firm, CECO Associates, has finished the engineer's report concerning the serious flooding conditions in the area of the Johnson College. Mr. Pocius has provided the information to the director of public works, Mark Dougher, and has reports

1 that Mr. Dougher would like to begin the project in conjunction with the Scranton 2 3 Sewer Authority as quickly as possible. 4 I thank Mr. Pocius for keeping city council informed regarding this issue of 5 great importance to affected homeowners. 6 7 And finally, I wasn't going to get 8 into this tonight, but because of, you know, comments that I have heard from my 9 10 colleagues, I'm just going to piggyback a 11 bit on it. When Councilman McGoff says that 12 the administration and council have been 13 working together, that's very true, and I 14 think the verification for that can come not 15 only from myself, but from Councilman Joyce 16 that it dates back to at least November of 17 2011. And I recall Councilman Joyce as 18 Finance Chair signing off of on documents

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as 2012 began I know that

Mr. Joyce and myself met many times, I would
say at least bimonthly each month with
regard to the revised recovery plan and the
finances of Scranton. And so for, as

that were required by PEL and DCED regarding

finances for the forthcoming year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Mr. McGoff said, these outside entities to insist that an acrimonious relationship was a root of these problems, acrimony that is between the administration and council, that's very untrue. And I don't know if that, you know, is coming from articles in the newspaper, but I do know or at least I believe that the Pennsylvania Economy League is responsible for much of this.

10

And since 2002, and I think Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned the fact that, you know, \$74 million was borrowed I think it might have been in 2003 after an insurance policy for a million dollars was purchased to improve the city's credit rating and then the mayor was able to borrow \$74 million, I came on board in 2004, and I can tell you I never -- I never saw any letters from PEL each year concerning borrowing. I didn't see any letters from PEL concerning the Scranton Parking Authority borrowing that was coming before city council for it's Nothing was said by the approval. Pennsylvania Economy League. They were silent all those years.

Now, of course, they would say,

"Well, we have no control over the City of Scranton," meaning we don't control the decisions of the governing bodies, but they have played a vital role in what's going on currently because in the last year or two they have been on a campaign to inform local banks, to publish on financial websites the circumstances of the city, things that they did not do all those years. Not 2002, '03, '04, '05, '06, '07, '08, '09. Nothing all those years.

And as we all know they were well will aware of this constant borrowing was requesting on and that the city was never going to have the ability to repay all of that borrowing and yet they stayed silent. I don't think they were talking to any of the banks, I don't think they were putting anything on websites warning bond investors, but in the last year or two those are the actions they have taken, so that they have played a clear and present role in the difficulty the city has in obtaining financially assistance.

And as Mr. McGoff said, rather than
emphasizing the many positives, the giant
steps that have been taken, they continue to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerning -- one concerning unions and the Supreme Court award, and the other which, you know, is not news to any one of us, the only solution for the City of Scranton is a

drive home a few facts, you know,

monumental tax increase.

And, you know, they have been here, as Mr. Jackowitz likes to remind us, I don't know the number of days, but, you know, 20 years and nothing has improved and I believe that, you know, there are a number of responsible parties in all of this, but the one -- the one piece of the puzzle here that everyone keeps forgetting about is the Pennsylvania Economy League and their role in the financial collapse or the near financial collapse of this city last year and their role currently and their push for a revised recovery plan when a recovery plan, you know, expired in 2005, but again, there wasn't a concerted push for that not all of those years, not until last year.

1 So, you know, I think when the financial community says, well, they are 2 3 looking to PEL, you know, PEL's response 4 concerning the next budget, and PEL's outlook on the finances for the forthcoming 5 year or two, well, I think the banks really 6 7 should consider the whole story and ask 8 themselves where has this group been all these years? Why did they say nothing while 9 all of this was going on all these years? 10 11 Why were they a party to the covert 12 financial dealings that occurred like the 13 previous, you know, two or three years 14 worth, now, it wasn't this year and last, but I think 2009, 2010 the raiding of the 15 16 Workers' Comp funds without the knowledge of 17 the governing body, this governing body of 18 the City of Scranton. They were well aware 19 of that, no one else knew. They certainly 20 didn't put that on a website. 21 certainly didn't tell that to the banks. 22 So I think the banks need to take a 23 very realistic look at what's going on here 24 and judge for themselves what is the value

of PEL's opinion at this time because PEL

25

has been a failure in the City of Scranton,

it's been a failure in the City of

Harrisburg.

As Mr. Loscombe said, they don't have a vested interest, they are not residents of the City of Scranton, but our state tax dollars have been paying them quite well for these 20 years and here we are far worse off than when they were invited to come to town, and that was great mistake, and basically that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, since you piggybacked on some things that I said. I would like to say that I disagree with Mrs. Evans as far as the role of PEL is concerned. While we may agree on the negativity that has been the papers, I don't see PEL as being the root of that and, in fact, I would say that in my dealings with PEL over the past couple of years has been very positive and I think that, in fact, they do have the best interest of the city in mind and I know that we will disagree on that, but I just wanted to throw out that disclaimer. Thank you.

1 2 but 1 3 the k 4 is wh 5 type 6 throw 7 of th 8 place

MS. EVANS: And I appreciate that, but I'll tell you I think the point may be the bottom line of what I was trying to say is where was that type of concern and that type of work and accountability from 2002 through 2009? If due diligence was done all of those years, we would be in a much better place right now, and that's it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just piggyback on piggyback, I agree. I have met with the personnel from PEL, I have to agree with Mr. McGoff on the personnel, yes they are fine gentlemen, they are here to do a job, I believe they are doing it to best of their ability, who is guiding them or leading them or what the ultimate result is I don't know because it has been a dismal failure. And again, where have they been from 2010 on?

When Mayor Connors was here they sanctioned him. Mayor Connors goes out there hasn't been one iota in that time until now when we were going to get a new leader now all of a sudden they are making it tougher for the new leader to get the

financing and stuff like that as was stated.

I'm not going to repeat, but we read it in

the paper and all of a sudden we are seeing

their interest, their concerns, again, there

was no recovery plan from 2005, but had to

have one last year as they saw the incoming.

But they were as guilty as anyone and no none is going to change my mind for the condition of this city, they were the financial -- the people who are financially responsible for make sure everything ran, yet under the previous administration they sanctioned, there wasn't a slap on the wrist for the last ten years, and now they are starting again to crack down.

I don't understand it. I'm not a politician. I believe that's the way things work in Harrisburg, but it's not the way I like it. But again, that has affected all of us, and there is been a few co-conspirators in this whole thing as to why we are in this condition and some day I'll lay the whole list out, but front and foremost, it's PEL.

You know, if that were you or me in

1 business we would be fired and somebody else 2 would be hired. We had requested I believe 3 last year a new administrator, but we got no 4 results. All we get is threatened with a sanction if we didn't have the plan together 5 6 last year. Again, there was no plan from 7 2005 until last year, but as the end was 8 coming, new administration would be looking 9 forward, look at where we are going again. 10 I just ask you to look back 11 yourselves and try to understand this as we 12 see it here because as nice as these people 13 are they haven't been nice for you, the 14 There should have been watchdog taxpavers. 15 along time ago. Again, while all this 16 borrowing was going on for parking garages 17 and whatnot, those are part of the reasons 18 why we are here and the media has not 19 contributed iota to your benefit either. 20 think they were just as much an enabler, and 21 that's my feeling. Thank you. 22 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake? 23 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. TRANSFERRING THE

FUNDS FROM FUND 02 SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT

WHICH FUNDS AND ACCOUNT LISTED BELOW ARE NO

24

25

1	LONGER NEEDED FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY
2	BUSINESS, AND ABOLISHING AND CLOSING SAID
3	ACCOUNT. THE FUNDS REMAINING IN THIS
4	ACCOUNT SHALL BE TRANSFERRED TO THE CITY'S
5	GENERAL FUND.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING
20	RESOLUTION NO. 19, 2013 A RESOLUTION
21	ENTITLED "AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON
22	TO MAKE
23	APPLICATION TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
24	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
25	FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR THE

1	TEMPORARY TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT THE INTERSECTION
2	OF CEDAR AVENUE (S.R. 3023), SCRANTON
3	EXPRESSWAY RAMPS (S.R. 8025) AND ORCHARD
4	STREET TO REMAIN AS A PERMANENT TRAFFIC
5	SIGNAL" TO INCLUDE LANGUAGE AUTHORIZING THE
6	DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
7	TO SIGN THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED ON BEHALF
8	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
9	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question?
15	MR. MCGOFF: Just very quickly, this
16	was something that we looked to do as an
17	emergency situation and I have yet to hear
18	any complaints about the traffic signal at
19	this intersection and, in fact, it has
20	helped ease some of the danger that exists
21	in that spot and I am happy to see that it
22	could possibly become permanent.
23	MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes, and I believe you
25	are the one who was instrumental in all of

1	that.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Well, I don't know
3	about that.
4	MS. EVANS: Well, you brought it
5	before council
6	MR. MCGOFF: But it's a good
7	MS. EVANS: and were talking about
8	it publically.
9	MR. MCGOFF: And it's a good thing.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes, it is, so thank
11	you.
12	MR. ROGAN: I drive this route twice
13	a day, five days a week, and that light
14	being there makes it much more it's not
15	always quicker, but it's definitely safer
16	because you know who has the right-of-way.
17	Before a light being there, it was complete
18	chaos at this intersection.
19	MS. EVANS: If that concludes on the
20	question, all those in favor of introduction
21	signify by saying aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
4	READING BY TITLE -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53,
5	2013 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
6	COUNCIL NO. 77, 2012, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED
7	"GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2013" BY
8	TRANSFERRING \$410,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NUMBER
9	01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
10	OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT
11	NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
12	EXPENSES-OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE-SCRANTON
13	PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR
14	THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT
15	DUE DECEMBER 1, 2013.
16	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. OR
5	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
6	FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2013 -
7	AUTHORIZING THAT THE RECEIPT OF ANY FUNDS
8	FROM THE CHARGE SCHEDULE, WHICH IS EXHIBIT
9	A, TO THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
10	SCRANTON AND THE FIFTEEN (15) AUTHORIZED
11	TOWING BUSINESSES, WHICH WAS APPROVED BY
12	ORDINANCE FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 50, 2013 (AS
13	AMENDED) BE DEPOSITED INTO SPECIAL CITY
14	ACCOUNT NO. 02.229550 ENTITLED "PUBLIC
15	SAFETY/POLICE GRANTS" WHICH WAS CREATED BY
16	ORDINANCE, FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 21, 2004.
17	MS. EVANS: What is the
18	recommendation of the Chair for the
19	Committee on Finance?
20	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
21	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
22	passage of Item 7-A.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
25	call, please?

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
12	If there is no further business,
13	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
14	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
15	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
16	adjourned.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
4	
5	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
6	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
7	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
8	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
9	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
10	ability.
11	
12	
13	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD
14	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	F
25	