CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not Present) FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT ROBERT MCGOFF PATRICK ROGAN JOHN LOSCOMBE (Not Present) NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance Recited and
2	Moment of Reflection Observed.)
3	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. Mr.
9	Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MR. JOYCE: Dispense with reading
13	of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT HEARING DATE
16	HELD OCTOBER 2, 2013.
17	MR. JOYCE: Are there any
18	comments?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. JOYCE: If not, received and
21	filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS
23	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED
24	OCTOBER 17, 2013.
25	MR. JOYCE: Are there any

1	comments?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. JOYCE: If not, received and
4	filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. SUBDIVISION AND
6	LAND DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION
7	RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 16, 2013.
8	MR. JOYCE: Are there any
9	comments?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. JOYCE: If not, received and
12	filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
14	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING
15	HELD SEPTEMBER 25, 2013.
16	MR. JOYCE: Are there any
17	comments?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. JOYCE: If not received and
20	filed. Are there any clerk's notes.
21	MS. KRAKE: Yes, there is, Mr.
22	Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Okay.
24	MR. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans requested
25	I attend the meeting held October 23rd

24

25

at Johnson College concerning the major flooding issues in the area of the school.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: And she asked me to relay to council what was discussed.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, please do.

MS. KRAKE: Neighbors reported serious flooding conditions, property and road damage and an exposed gas line on North Main Avenue due to erosion caused by the flooding. John Pocius, city engineer, and Mark Dougher, DPW Director, made recommendations to install a drainage system that would alleviate the flooding and Mr. Dougher alerted the gas company immediately following the meeting of the exposed gas line. repair work would be done completely in-house through the joint efforts of the city and Sewer Authority. However, before the work begins, an engineer's report must be done. This

would tell workers where utilities are

1	located, elevations in the area and
2	other vital information. In light of
3	the significant potential for the
4	development of additional hazardous
5	conditions Mrs. Evans is asking that
6	council send a letter to the
7	appropriate parties directing an
8	engineers's report be addressed
9	immediately.
10	MR. JOYCE: Yes, I agree with
11	that if my colleagues do as well.
12	MS. KRAKE: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. JOYCE: Yes, Mrs. Krake, if
14	you could please send that letter, I
15	would greatly appreciate it.
16	MS. KRAKE: Thank you. And one
17	more quick remark. Today I attended
18	the assessment appeal hearings that
19	were spoken about at council several
20	weeks in a row and I'll have a report
21	for council next week on those
22	hearings.
23	MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mrs.
24	Krake.
25	MS. KRAKE: You're welcome.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Are there any council members that have announcements?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I have a few announcements to make. And the first one and I want to mention this now just so the public has a chance if they have questions or comments. Tonight on the agenda we are going to have the final reading of Community Development Block Grant funding for next year and the few changes that will be made in the amendment proposed tonight are as follows: 0ECD administration will be reduced by \$60,000, EOTC sidewalk lighting will be increased by \$15,000, and \$45,000 will be allocated for the Pinebrook Neighborhood pool. This item was currently -- it was unfunded when it was sent to city council. And then on the public service side, the three changes are the UNC Project Summer Camp will be reduced from \$39,000 to \$27,000, th Northeast Suicide Prevention will be increased from

\$9,000 to \$11,000, and of all of the applicants this group was the only group that was a first time applicant and they will receiving the maximum funding for what they applied for.

And finally an increase from zero to \$10,000 for Dress for Success.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Councilman Rogan. Oh, you said you had a few so go ahead.

MR. ROGAN: And a couple of other announcements that I had. The Dante Literary Society will be having their dinner on October 26th at 1916

Prospect from 4:30 to 7 o'clock. The dinners are \$11 and take-outs are available.

The South Scranton Neighborhood
Watch is having a free safe Halloween
event at Connor's Park at 500 Orchard
Street and that's on Sunday, October
27 from 3:00 to 6 p.m. And finally
and this is another one that I
announced last week as well, the
volunteers of Catholic Social

1	Services, St. Francis Kitchen and the
2	Scranton Chapter of UNICO along with
3	the LaFesta Italiano, Lackawanna Count
4	Committee are having a night of
5	entertainment to raise funds for the
6	construction of St. Francis Commons
7	which is to house help homeless
8	veterans and this event is \$35 per
9	person and it's from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m.
10	at Genetti Manor in Dickson City and
11	all the funds raised will be going
12	towards the homeless veterans. And
13	that's all for now. Thank you.
14	MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Councilman
15	Rogan. Mr. McGoff, do you have any
16	announcements?
17	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to
18	make a motion to appoint Mr. Rogan and
19	temporary chair for public safety.
20	MR. JOYCE: Okay. There is a
21	motion on the floor. Do we have
22	second?
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MR. JOYCE: Anyone on the
25	question?

1 (No response.) 2 MR. JOYCE: All in favor signify 3 by saying aye? 4 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and 9 so moved. Councilwoman Evans and Councilman 10 11 Loscombe will not in attendance at 12 tonight's meeting as they are both ill. Mrs. Krake. 13 14 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER, CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: Our first speaker tonight is Phyllis P. Humphrey. 17 MS. HUMPHREY: I'm back. I want 18 19 to say hello and I really miss 20 everybody. I am a victim of home 21 trafficking since I'm 16, but I am a peacemaker no matter. I fell twice in 22 23 the City of Scranton. Things have to 24 be addressed over across by St. 25 Anthony's. I fell and was thrown out

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

on the road and about six weeks ago when I went to the judge, they had a PFA, he denied me and because I had surgery what has happened -- I'm going to let that go. I'm just going to say this. USA, Israel, Jordan, Iran, Turkey, Syria, Iran, Republic, Egypt, Pakistan, Holy -- the United States. President Lobana, I know you know me, I want thank Homeland Security, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and our police that helped me through my post trauma and the ambulance. We got a couple guy who got it straightened out. Everybody asked me to run for mayor, a lot of people, and I'm 65. Mr. Joyce --

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. HUMPHREY: I know that there is somebody here that is going to do good. Our servicemen, the places I went that it was ran out due to conspiracy because I exposed Mr. Joyce the truth of the slumlords. I got a lot of documents that I need to get

24

25

here and documents that got to go for all of the churches that have been destroyed whether it's Muslim, synagogue or a Catholic church or a Russian church. I've been saving all the relics, pictures, and the load is getting heavy, the load is getting heavy. These countries and 79 countries I baptized in the name of the father and the son and the Holy Spirit. Nobody knows about me. They assume, they assume. I have a certificate here. It's Phyllis Humphrey the Flight of 93 the National Memorial, a common field -- one day a field of honor forever to recognize your generosity and support of building the Flight 93 National Memorial. There's a certificate of appreciation hereby by certify that Phyllis B. Humphrey is a founding sponsor of the Flight 93. I and the City of Scranton in a special way tried to intervene for the protection of our country but something happened

because of a misdiagnose. I don't have no police records, I was misdiagnosed and I'm gifted. I want to share these gifts with the City of Scranton and I want to help them to do the best to their ability in the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania. I'm going to step up, I'm going to give you this, and I'm sure everyone supports this. I want you to see that this is put in an honored place for us.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. HUMPHREY: I have these pictures here. We have those, we have a Christmas tree that I've been trying to get, everything is in storage. I am considered homeless because of my fate. Tonight thank God for St. Anthony's, for Mr. Sherman has abused our servicemen. This -- there's a disk in here. There's a disk and it has everything that is not a imagination -- but it's got to be spoken that God is love and love is God and we've got to do something for

24

25

veterans, they shouldn't be in bug infested places and I even went up an cried to the event. Now, these pictures are -- it's on here. I want this back but this is the disk. have taken this because I'm still working for the International Peace and I want everybody to know this is how the devil works. It doesn't take one, it takes a bunch down. I want to see that abortion is abolished. It's imperative because the Muslin religion, Mohammed abolished abortion. But it's a shame what these people are doing. If I could be of any assistance to any of you and we could sit down humanly and talk, come and get me. I really appreciate because God make this a better place and not have mayors not doing what they're doing. Because of the debit, I called here and nobody seemed to do anything until yesterday when I called the water company. All the characters around the water tank, you've got to

1	do something because somebody else is
2	going to get hurt and if you see what
3	happened here. But I'm strong. I'm a
4	girl, remember that. Thank you, mr.
5	Joyce.
6	MR. JOYCE: Well, thank you very
7	much, Phyllis.
8	MS. HUMPHREY: I have a lot of
9	pictures and they're in suitcases
10	we've got to go through. God bless
11	you.
12	MR. JOYCE: Okay. Our next
13	speaker tonight is Doug Miller.
14	MS. HUMPHREY: He should be the
15	next mayor.
16	MR. MILLER: Good evening,
17	council. Doug Miller, Scranton.
18	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
19	MR. MILLER: I'd just like to
20	begin this evening and address 7-B,
21	the OECD funding on the agenda. I
22	couldn't really hear too well in the
23	back but I believe, Mr. Rogan, you
24	said Pinebrook was going to get some
25	funding for next year.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. MILLER: What was that figure? I apologize. I didn't that figure.

MR. ROGAN: \$45,000.

MR. MILLER: \$45,000, okay. know, as we all know, I mean, that's obviously something that's a long time coming when we look at a lot of the neighborhood pools that unfortunately the last few years have been shut down due to a lack of funding and certainly this will go a long way in an area that has suffered an awful lot and I'm pleased to see that finally the city is able to step up and offer some assistance with this particular neighborhood pool and hopefully Novembrino pool and Connell Park and a lot of the other neighbor pools can also reopen next summer. I'd like to talk a little bit about the headline this morning in regards to the Pension Board and a recommendation made by a member to reach out to nonprofits and

21

22

23

24

25

try to seek some sort of payment in lieu of taxes to assist with the pension shortfall. As we know, I believe we're looking at this year about a five million dollar shortfall for 2013. If I'm incorrect on that statement, I'm sure somebody can correct me. You know, I couldn't help but kind of go back to the suggestion I had made a few weeks ago in regards to the tuition tax and I know it's met was some fixed emotions, some mixed feelings but, you know, as I did throughout my research determine that the city does have the ability to generate, you know, in the excess of million dollars, you know, perhaps more than the six million that I did, you know, present to the council at that time. You know, when you look at the shortfall we have, a tuition tax quite frankly come up with that funding is needed. But I do want to go back to a speaker last week, you know, took some time to address some

24

25

issues and he took the time to, you know, disagree with my suggestion and, you know, in words found it somewhat ridiculous to quote him and, you know, I couldn't help but think to myself that as I've said many times repetitively from the podium when you're a city that's near bankruptcy, you know, near following the path of Detroit, I don't think any idea brought forward by, you know, a resident of the community or an individual council member should be deemed ridiculous. I think it's ridiculous to make that statement. This same individual offered some solutions and I commend him for that, taking the time to step up and offer some suggestions to council but to, you know, classify my suggestion as ridiculous I think is absurd. know, I took the time to do some research and reached out, you know, to individuals in Pittsburgh and I believe this is a plan that should be

24

25

implemented. We have the ability to bring in millions and millions of dollars. We've been told that it's a punishment, that we're punishing the students. You know, those sort of statements kind of irk me because I don't think I could have been anymore clear throughout this whole process of myself, you know, raising this issue. This isn't meant to be a punishment and I sort of get frustrated having to constantly be repetitive in that nature because this isn't about punishment. It's about paying your fair share. If we want to talk about punishment, we all have to pay taxes, we all have to pay fees. It's not just in Scranton. It's all across America. We all pay taxes. Is that a punishment? No. It's our obligation. We pay taxes and fees for services that our government provides us. And that's simply what we're asking our students to do here in the city. You know, we've been told that they've

24

25

been diapers since the city became distressed. Mr. Dobrzyn brought up good point a weeks ago. These same individuals that were in diapers can vote in this community and they're going to vote two weeks from now in an historic election in the city to determine who runs the city for the next four years. They're going to vote in that election. And so simply asking them to contribute an additional one percent out of the tuition I think is totally fair when you look at the services that we provide. And, again, I do not feel that one percent on the overall tuition is going to make or break the decision factor on whether or not a parent sends their child to an institution with our community. Ι just totally find that hard to believe. I do not think that on an average an additional two or \$300 is going to deter a potential student from coming into this community. I do

24

25

not buy that. We are a city that, you know, is in need of help, financial help and we need to be creative, as I've said, and brainstorm innovative ways and that's why I brought this suggestion forward and I still understand that there's some legality questions and I don't think we should continue to sit on this. I think we need to stand up and find out if this is legal. I came forward, I presented the information and now I think it's our job to determine whether or not this is legal because if it's legal, I feel it should be implemented in this budget. You know, we're looking at a \$20 million deficit in the budget. PEL has made numerous unrealistic expectations to the city. We're talking about tax increases in the amounts of up to 117 percent suggested by PEL, increase in garbage fees, you know, just a lot of burdens that the residents of this city can't take at this point. And I know you have a lot

1 of difficult decisions to make in next 2 few weeks, you know, next few weeks 3 here as we get into budget season but, 4 you know, we have to take all 5 suggestions seriously and not be quick 6 to judge them. You know, that's why 7 we come forward and we offer 8 suggestions. They're to be 9 considered. And this is money making 10 opportunity. It's not a punishment. 11 This is about paying your fair share 12 and I just hope that we continue to 13 look into it. I understand it's been 14 brought to PEL's attention but I know 15 there's legality questions. It's easy 16 to determine whether or not it's legal 17 or not legal. It's something that we 18 need to do research on and get this 19 into play because we need funding here 20 and we need to be creative and 21 innovative to move the city forward. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Lee 24

Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening.

25

Council.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I have here today is, you know, we're looking for someplace to host the Bob Bolus Christmas Dinner this year because where we generally have it, St. Lucy's, they're doing renovations there so I've been out and about looking for an alternate site and in discussions with organizations and such to bring about this dinner, I had a lot of discussions with a lot of different individuals. And this goes back to somewhat of some of the comments of Mr. Miller a couple moments ago because some of these people religious and they perform weddings. The vast majority of people they -- the families that come here for weddings are former Scrantonians. They've left the city. They come here for me wedding, I guess, and other events because they're still tied to some of our churches but the bleed of

24

25

the middle class from this city from over taxation is overwhelming and when we start talking about taxing young adults in this city, I guess you could make an argument they could vote but I think we have to realize that for over 20 some years the residents of this city had an obligation to vote. you know, in my own opinion, the union heads took this city to where it is now because they've controlled who was in the Mayor's Office. They had a lot of say on who and a lot of various other offices throughout the city. But the one question I have as we proceed down this road is if they were representing their members and union, the question I have is why didn't make sure that the pension funds were fully funded considering all the sway they had over all the candidates over all these years that ran for public service so in my opinion evidently they weren't very concerned with the rank and file because they were more

24

25

interested in climbing to the top and getting posts in certain positions for certain individuals as I'm sure is taking place right now in regards to the two mayoral candidates at this time who -- because I attended the debates at the University of Scranton and I have to be very honest with you, halfway through the debate I left because I can't sit there and listen to people running for public office who are talking about no tax increases. You know, I would have hope that the League of Women Voters would have asked a lot more relevant but the candidates were more interested in fighting with each over insignificant things that had no value to the city. But when it came to talking about taxation and raising revenue, they had nothing to say. They had vision for the city either candidate and, you know, it's just -we're going go after allegedly, we're going to discuss new forms of taxation

20

21

22

23

24

25

and where the city finds itself at this point, it's not possible for the city to raise the revenue it needs inside the city. It's just not going to happen. I don't care who the mayor is, I don't care who the council is, d you know, Mr. Rogan, it's not a cheap shot at you either to think that you should be finance chair because your success is going to be no different than anybody else is. The only reason I think you're good fit for that is because you've got four more years of council and you're a young individual that has a chance that if you can come up with a good plan, that maybe some day you'll climb to a higher office. And I think that that's the kind of people we need to elect is people that are willing to bathe themselves in the problems of the community and try to come up with ideas. I think, Mr. Joyce, to be honest with you, you know, you did the best you could do but what can you do with a city that's

24

25

been in distress for over 20 years and the Commonwealth stands on the sidelines. I remember when they came and sanctioned Mr. Connors and I just can't understand for the life of me why something wasn't done as this city slid backwards. We put 25 million dollars down one side of Lackawanna Avenue and the mall is ready to collapse. No planning, no vision, no nothing because it was fast easy money from Harrisburg and now the pension plan is 100 million dollars down and we're listening to utter silliness. The money isn't here for that and the union leaders had an obligation to protect the rank and file and they didn't do that either and not to blame them only but if even the residents g haven't done anything in over 20 years and now we're going to go to college students to raise revenue. It just doesn't make any sense. I think to be quite honest with you, when the state representative sat here and state

1 Senator Blake sat here, they should 2 have came up with answer and they 3 should have went to their prospective 4 legislatures and demanded changes in 5 the legislature to help this city turn 6 itself around and not only this city 7 but other cities. But politically 8 it's very dangerous because they don't 9 want to offend other people the 10 legislature. But you know what, maybe 11 it's time to start offending people 12 because my generation, we're going to 13 loss our Social Security and all the 14 pensions are underfunded. It's a 15 nightmare. Thank you. 16 MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much. 17 Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, 19 Scranton City Council. 20 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 21 MR. JACKOWITZ: Excuse me. 7,958 22 days Scranton was declared a 23 distressed city under Act 47. 24 City of Scranton is a total mess financially. The infrastructure such 25

23

24

25

as roads, bridges, parks, buildings, swimming pools, city owned vehicles are in need of repair. Unfortunately the city government is broke and has been broke for some time and will remain broke for decades to come. following ideas have been tried and have failed in the past 22 years: Raising taxes, advertising on city owned property, borrowing more money, taxing commuters, going into court only to lose, costing the taxpayers more money, now we will try to tax students, name calling of the nonprofits, now the city wants the nonprofits to bail the retirement and health care system out, plus make donations in lieu of taxes. When will the so-called leaders step up to the plate and admit they are responsible along with the voters of Scranton for the mess we call Scranton City government. After attending the mayoral debate on Tuesday evening walked away with the understanding

25

that neither candidate, Courtright or Mulligan understands the seriousness of the financial problems facing the taxpayers of Scranton. Neither candidate had an answer or plan and are not willing to make cuts in the dysfunction Scranton City government. First and foremost the 1.3 million dollar payroll must be cut immediately along the lucrative retirement and medical benefits enjoyed by city employees and retirees, past city employees. A good start would be to reduce the mayor's salary back to \$50,000 a year and reduce the city council salary to \$8,000 a year. Let's show some leadership and start at the top. City employees need to be furloughed and departments must be reduced in size along with salaries. I am not saying this to hurt people but this is what needs to be done. The city is broke. We can't afford 1.3 million every two weeks in salaries and entitlements. It's as

1 simple as that. Scranton is a 2 distressed city within the State of 3 Pennsylvania and should live within 4 their means. I personally have taken 5 an annual pay cut, been furloughed and 6 have not had a pay raise or cost of 7 living raise in four years. We have 8 been told do more with less. I'm 9 saying the same thing to Scranton. Do more with less. City elected 10 11 officials and appointed officials need 12 to take a close look at the 13 demographics of Scranton. Currently 14 33 percent of the residents live in 15 poverty; 22 percent age 60 and older; 16 25.3 percent age 40 to 60-years-old; 17 28.1 percent age 20 to 40-years-old; 18 24.7 percent under age 5 to age 20. 19 This information was obtained from the 20 2010 US consensus report. 21 occupied housing units in Scranton, 22 51.3 percent, 15,419 units; renters 23 occupied housing units, 48.7 percent, 24 14,650; rental vacancy rate, 8.3 25 percent; homeowners vacancy rate, 2.6

25

percent; occupied housing rate, 88.8 percent; 30,069 homes; vacant housing units, 3,784, 11.2 percent; houses for sale, 418 homes, 1.2 percent. As you can see from the official census report Scranton has a major problem, that being too many rental units, aging population, too many vacant housing units, on average 13 percent of the property owners do not pay their property taxes on time or at all. These are normally out of town homeowners who have no intentions of ever returning back to Scranton or for that matter paying their taxes. Thirteen percent on property taxes, 11.2 vacant house. Do the math, figure it out. What's the solution? Reduction in expenditures, increased collected revenues such as taxes, garbage, rental fees, permit fees. No free ride for the politically connected or city employees or elected officials. Enforce the laws that are currently on the books. Reduce

23

24

25

salaries and place a freeze on all overtime, bonuses, promotions and do more with less. How do you bring businesses to Scranton when you have an insane city government, high taxes, crumbling infrastructure, more debt than revenue, Democrats who are Republicans, Republicans who are Democrats and no solution on how to get the City of Scranton out of the distressed city status. Sounds to me Scranton will be remain distressed for another 7,950 days unless miracles on Lackawanna Avenue and Steamtown Mall happens. Most businessowners and job producers will never move to Scranton. Why? High taxes, dysfunctional government, poor infrastructure such as roads, closed bridges, controlled political atmosphere, dirty city with an over abundance of vacant property and torn down buildings within the neighborhoods, varmints and animals running loose in every neighborhood on a daily basis. Take a ride through

the city especially in the early morning hours, tell me you don't see varmints and animals all over the city.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. That concludes our sign-in sheet for tonight. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, council. Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: And tonight I'd like to rant about the state first of all. And if you read the state constitution, it gives you the right on the nonprofit to support whatever nonprofit you want, be it the church or whatever. But it does not require you to support that nonprofit. Okay? So in my opinion at 33 percent tax exemptions the people of Scranton are being required to support these whether they basically believe in them or not. And the answer is with the

25

state and it's almost to a point where I'd love to see a class action suits go right to the Supreme Court on how much the state can require us to just sit here and accept one tax increase after another and go on and on and on. I'd also like to see if it's non binding resolution from council some day before January 1st that we request that they kindly plan to locate somewhere else in the future. Basically I regard the current nonprofit level as water over the dam unless we didn't go to the Supreme Court because I feel that our constitutional rights are being violated. Now, last week trash fees were a big issue and I think they should still be a big issue because seven million dollars is atrocious in When I first moved to back fees. Scranton, I had -- it took me three or four years just to get a mailing of my trash bill and I did report it several times and one was missed and I feel

25

that Northeast Credit Collection or northeast conspiracy, whatever that stood for actually passed over -- I was standing there right at the computer paying a back tax bill. Ι bought a lot next to my house and he had me right on the computer there and he treated it there like a rollover investment. He did not notify me that this had been -- so currently Northeast Credit Collection isn't but obvious under city contract but obviously this is how some of this happened and it's a rotten shame with how much they charged extra for delinguent fees and so forth that they didn't put a better effort into collecting some more money. And there is some discussion on bags and I don't mean to harp but I have a question for people that think they're not costing the city a lot of money and that's what did we cost over our lives, how many kids did you have going through school. Currently it cost 120 grand

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

from K through 12 on a national average and how much taxes do you really soak up. In other words, if you're a basic level, middle class person with two kids in school, you might never pay enough property tax or trash fees or whatever to justify getting a tax break even through the whole length of your life so it's time t we start to look inward and ask, you know, what did we do for our county or what our country can do for you to steal a quote from J.F.K. and that's exactly what he meant. I mean, people are costing way more than what they're aware of and I dealt in service for 30 years or so as an automotive mechanic and believe me when you provided the service and they're at the counter, the mistakes get made in the debtors favor more often than not. Well, why am I getting charged for this, why am I getting charged for that, is it under warranty, is it this or that and, you know, it's obvious they don't

24

25

25

want to pay for the service after it's been performed. And on vacant lots I have a vacant lot adjacent to my house and I appreciate it. It's awful expensive so, in other words, if we're going to sell vacant lots for a token amount and charge token taxes newcomers, then how about consideration there for some of the old timers because I have a vacant lot that is just about a half of what a whole house would cost. And on 7-A the towers, I support that and I might want to -- I'd like to note that --I'll try and make it fast here, that they tow a lot of scrap cars and so forth and they pay cash for them. on several occasions when I no longer had a car that I was using, I got 100 bucks, 120 bucks for it and when I'm done with the car, it is done. the point being it's not hanging around the neighborhood being a rat's nest and creating blight. But I'm very concerned with trash. I want to

23

24

25

see that trash is taken out and I'll discuss a little more of it next week because I had Jack Loscombe go to a house and it hasn't improved over three years. You know, the poor guy is with cancer and a heart condition cleaning up behind this lady that just throws her bags. And, okay, I'll make it quick. Finally in taxes they came up with a voter law. If a woman is married, her maiden name becomes her If not, like my wife is May Desee and it would have been May Radeski Dobrzyn. She may not vote or she might be required to a cast provisional ballot. So they get the gold parrot this week. Don't forget bauck, bauck, bauck. And personally some of those type of laws on voting are getting to a point where criminal. Thank you. Have a good night.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council. Marie Schumacher, city resident and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'd like to start this week by going back to last There were so many accolades on the trash fee collection last week that, I think, were somewhat unfair. I think you all had an obligation, first of all, to know what was going on down there and not have so many delinquencies. But second of all there are a lot of people whose names appeared on that list who did note owe a penny. I have several relatives. They were mortified to find their names on that list. I took them three trips to City Hall to get it resolved. The first time to come in and say because they dealt with rental properties that they had come in and filed a required vacancy documentation and at which time there's no fee and then when the apartments were rented, they came back an reinstated it, paid

24

25

what was due and because the city could not come up with a paperwork, they said, you know, it's gets misplace and stuff it was just back in their lap and they were told what they could do to get the situation But it took -- and I might rectified. add that during each trip they were here, there were other people with that same problem and I think that the city -- city council should pass legislation requiring the city to formally apologize in the paper to those people who were wrongly accused of being quote, unquote deadbeats. They did say that the city treasurer was very helpful and even the last day when they finally got the paperwork saying that they were in in the clear and came out with some paperwork, came outside after. So that was nice to hear that at least they were at some point treated well but I don't think you should have to make three trips, I don't think your name should be on

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there at all and I don't think it should be published in the paper. There was no record that they had been sent anything. I believe that the paper said last November that notices went out, never received. And I would like to know for two reasons, one, I believe those people who are honest and have been paying properly are due you a public as public as the deadbeat comments, an apology in the paper from the city and also I would like to know how many of those were just improperly posted as well, so -- and also the other thing was the private sale that settled through last year. It was just one week prior that I had asked that you put a termination date for completing the sale and beginning to pay property taxes and Mrs. Evans I believe asked Attorney Hughes and the missing question about whether that was also incorporated in the Pittsburgh plan but nothing was even said about it last week. And I just

22

23

24

25

find it appalling. Again, another place. I was here at the same podium earlier this year and I told you of several properties that I believe -- I didn't go back and check but properties that were so-called purchased and the deeds were never filed so you never got the sale price, you never got fee of taxes, and I believe it amounted to just in this one instance that I checked about \$80,000. Now, it's not a lot of money but it's still revenue to the city and I don't know why you went and passed that without -- and I would like to know is that because the Pittsburgh plan under which this was approved does have a termination date and it goes back on the market?

MR. ROGAN: I spoke with one of the -- was one of the instances that you referenced where a person was interested in buying an adjoining lot, filed the paperwork with the it, council passed it and at the point

1 they were never notified that they 2 were awarded, you know, that 3 everything processed and they had to n 4 come down to the city to submit 5 payment and in that instance it was 6 the administration's mistake that the notice was never sent out when somehow 7 8 it was realized that it did go 9 through, they went down to make the 10 payment and it was too late. 11 what they were told when they went 12 into office. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: So what is that 14 deadline then? MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure. We can 15 16 look into it. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to 18 know what that deadline is. With 19 respect to 7-B tonight, I do hope 20 there are some new recipients and I 21 will probably be back to the podium 22 later after I hear Mr. Rogan give his 23 changes that you all have agreed on. 24 MR. ROGAN: I did read them at 25 the beginning of the meeting. The one

1 new program that applied was the 2 Northeast Suicide Prevention and the 3 administration had them funded at 4 \$9,000 and in the amendment they will 5 be increased to the maximum that they 6 applied for which was \$11,000. 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: And there were 8 no other changes made that --9 MR. ROGAN: No. There were other 10 changes but as far as new applicants, 11 that was the only new applicant. I 12 can read off the others if you would 13 as well. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, maybe you 15 do it again in motions and then I'll 16 come back if I --17 MR. ROGAN: Sure. 18 MR. SCHUMACHER: Because I just 19 think, again, as I stated at the 20 public hearing, I think there are too 21 many people that are relying on it and 22 if I may just -- Mr. Joyce, would you 23 have a date on the audits for us 24 tonight? 25 MR. JOYCE: I will discuss the

1	audit a little bit more in detail as
2	far as what's lacking still.
3	MS. SCHUMACHER: And then could
4	to check to find out when Republic
5	will be adding the days of operation
6	to the parking meters. It's been
7	several weeks and nothing has been
8	done yet that I can see. Thank you.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will. Thank
10	you. Is there anyone else who would
11	like to address council?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake.
14	MS. KRAKE: 5-A MOTIONS.
15	MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you
16	have any comments or motions?
17	MR. MCGOFF: Not at this. I'll
18	save them for the legislation.
19	MR. JOYCE: Okay. Councilman
20	Rogan, do you have any comments or
21	motions tonight.
22	MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a
23	few brief comments regarding the CDBG
24	application and one citizen's request.
25	I will read off the changes once

23

24

25

The changes that were made again. outside of public service were CDBG administration was reduced to 17.5 percent of the total funding in the past. The administration has always sent it down to 20. It's been passed at 18 in the past but it went a little further this year which would be a savings of \$60,000; \$45,000 was added to the Pinebrook neighborhood pool which wasn't funded when it was initially sent down; \$15,000 was also added to the ETOC sidewalks and lighting which wasn't funded also when it came down and the public service monies which just to explain to the public, only a certain portion of the funds that we received from the federal government can be used for what's classified as public service so any changes made within public service if you're adding to one, you have to take away from another that's in the public service. So the three changes there were the UNC Project Summer Hope

24

25

Camp was reduced from 39,000 to 27,000; the Northeast Suicide Prevention was increase from 9,000 to 11,000 and Dress for Success was initially -- it wasn't funded when it was sent to city council and it will be funded for \$10,000. Some of highlights in the total planned items that haven't been changed but are ones that people commonly ask about are -we have \$200,000 will be funded for the demolition and disposal of blighted properties throughout the City of Scranton and this money goes through LIPS, Lackawanna Neighbors will be funded for the acquisition in lead abatement and rehabilitation of six properties in Scranton for homes that are experiencing blight. funded at \$125,000. City of Scranton DPW, paving and curve cuts which is the most popular of all of the programs with the residents of Scranton is \$418,500 for paving in low to moderate income areas. And the one

other big item was the funding of the neighborhood police patrols which will be funded in the amount of \$152,000 and that money comes -- also comes out of the public service portion of the CDBG allocations. So hopefully next year we will be able to fund at least the same, hopefully even more police officers because there's nothing better than a neighborhood police officer on the streets. So they are some of highlights for the projects that we have get the most questions about.

And finally I do have one citizen's request. A resident contacted me today from the Jackson Street, Cabrini Street area of West Scranton and there's a bus stop right on that corner and they reported to me that between two and 3 p.m., mostly around 2:30 right when school gets out that there are numerous cars running red lights in that area. Mrs. Krake, if it's okay with my colleague, can we

21

22

23

24

25

please send a letter to Chief Graziano requesting that if a patrol car is available to do traffic monitoring in that area. Again, it's the corner of Jackson Street and Cabrini and that area is a very busy area and for those that aren't familiar with it is it's a little strange because you have many streets all converging in one area You have a lot of traffic coming up from town, they'll take a shortcut instead of going all the way up Lackawanna, you have folks going down into town, it's s shortcut and just the regular neighborhood traffic and this location is a bus stop so around those times there are kids getting out of the bus and there's parents picking up their kids and with all the traffic, it seems to be a dangerous situation.

And I guess I'll comment on one other item now, the towing ordinance.

I'm glad this is going to be passed.

And, again, this agreement, what it

24

25

does is fills the hole that was put in the budget with the idea of the city lot that never materialized. I think it's a good thing that we didn't have a city lot because I think it would have been more of a headache and it would have been more costly than it would have brought in. So what it does is it locks in for ten years, the towing contract for the city and throughout those ten years, initially the \$300,000 will come into the city to fill that budget hole for this year and the city will receive a portion of the towing and storage fees that per, I believe it was Mr. Loscombe's amendment last week will only be used for police vehicles in the city and that's something I'm very happy to support. I spoke to most of the towing companies. They all like it. They were all agreeable to it so it works. It's a good compromise that was reached between business and the city and that's what we have to look

to do in the future. Work with the business community whether it be, you know, a small sector or a large sector to work together and I wish this agreement was reached last year instead of going through the putting it in the towing yard and coming back with this but I am happy that that is resolved and that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Councilman Rogan. Tonight I'll begin by addressing the audit. We received some correspondence from Rossi and Rossi, our independent auditors and as of October 24th we have one major open item and that's pretty much the audited financial statements of the Parking Authority. Thus far Rossi and Rossi had received a revised draft of their report, however, the audit can't be completed until such a report has been finalized and issued. After the receipt of this and assuming the information does not require any

25

further followup, Rossi and Rossi will complete the financial statements, and present a draft the full financial statements for the city's review and completion of the management -- and management discussion and an analysis section of the audit report. following this basically the final statements cannot be issued without a final Scranton Parking Authority audit report as I said. The city's required -- or the management and discussion analysis of the financial statement and the city's representation letter followed by an exit conference. I was told that all of these matters were looking -- were on target to be completed by the end of October but judging by today's date being October 24th and how we certainly do not have many of our ducks in a row, it looks like we're not going to hit the end of October. So I will follow-up with Ms. McAndrew, our business administrator, and

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inquire where we were and when we're looking to have things finalized.

Also as stated in the Scranton Times, the city has received a proposal by Amalgamated Bank for an tax anticipation note of up to 16 million dollars. As you know, there are some conditions that such as their approval and PEL's sign off on the 2014 budget as well as having funding in place for the police and fire arbitration award. And speaking of the police and fire arbitration award, I was speaking to Mike Judge from CASCON, I spoke to him today and spoke to him a few days ago as well just for some updates on where we are as far as progress. Our interested lender that we're looking at basically wants to review everything that they can about the city as far as our cash flow reports, the recovery plan, pension information, et cetera. And that's where they are right now. So once they review that information, they

25

will make a determination whether or they are interested in moving forward or not interested at all. So that's pretty much where we are on that. Mr. Judge is making progress. information is being provided to the potential lender and the lender is currently reviewing that information. Also in the picture, as you know, is Janie Montgomery Scott, however, they're waiting on the 2014 budget. They're waiting on the outcome of that and also to see what PEL's analysis of that will be.

And finally tonight I'd just like to talk a little bit about the future of the city and one thing that really needs attention in the upcoming years are pension costs and just overall legacy costs, such as retiree health benefits, et cetera. Just to give you an idea of where the city is. In 2014 the city will be required to make a contribution of \$9,735,912 in regard to the MMO. In 2015 this is scheduled

But

1 to go up to slightly over 11 million 2 dollars. In 2016 this is still 3 scheduled to stay at around 11 million 4 dollars. In 2017, however, this is 5 scheduled to jump up to 15 million 6 dollars again based on some 7 information from the actuarial 8 reports. So it could be pretty will 9 said that legacy costs and our 10 underfunded pension system will be a primary concern of the future and that 11 12 is an issue that the future 13 administration and future council's 14 will need to address. As you know, 15 minimum municipal obligations when I 16 first took office were rather low. 17 However, we're starting to see the 18 rise in that right now. This year the 19 city is pretty much on the hook for --20 well, 6,298,656. As I said before, 21 that increases in 2014 and will 22 continue a steady increase of up until 23 2018. And then after that, well, 24 right now there's date past 2018. 25 it's a major problem and it's

definitely something that needs serious attention and that's all I have for tonight.

MR. HUGHES: If I could comment, Mr. Chairman.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Before Rossi and
Company can complete the audit, they
have to have the audit from the
Scranton Parking Authority. I believe
you said that.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MR. HUGHES: I discussed that today with Shawn Grassi because one of the documents that Mr. Judge needs to do any financing is at least a draft of the city audit. One of the problems is that the audit, the draft audit that Mr. Grassi received from the SPA auditors does not have any of the assets of the garages listed, only the liabilities are listed. I went over that with Mr. Grassi, we reviewed the order appointing Mr. Washo as the receiver or trustee to manage the

24

25

garages. I told him that in my opinion that the audit was as drafted for the SPA was not correct, because the garages are not -- were never transferred or not owned pursuant to the court order by the trustee on behalf of the bondholders, that they are still the property -- or still owned by the Scranton Parking Authority. The only thing that Mr. Washo has is the ability pursuant to the court order to operate and manage the garages and what he has to do is report to the Court what the gross revenues are, what the expenses are, what the net revenues are on a monthly basis, turn that money over to the trustee for the bondholders and then the City of Scranton pursuant to its guarantee must make up the balance in order to make the bond payments. that's what's being investigated now. I told him I was available to discuss that with, you know, the SPP auditors. I did call Mr. Washo, left a message

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

him for him to get in touch with Shawn Grassi up at Rossi and Company to get this straightened out. There's absolutely no deeds conveying the property form the Scranton Parking Authority to Mr. Washo as the receiver. It's still owned by the Parking Authority and they should be set forth on the balance sheet of the Parking Authority as they are the owner of those buildings along with the debt, with the liabilities, of course, would be the outstanding bond Hopefully that will be issues. addressed and we can get this resolved tomorrow. MR. JOYCE:

for him and I haven't heard back from

Thank you, Attorney Hughes. Thank you for providing that information.

And actually I did have one quick comment, and I'll end on a bright note tonight. I did happen to speak to the mayor yesterday for a brief period of time and I was informed by him that

1	since the delinquent folks owing
2	delinquent refuse fees were published
3	in the paper, the city has collected
4	an additional \$200,000 and that's all.
5	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. TRANSFERRING
6	FUNDS FROM FUND 04 CITY OF SCRANTON
7	PARKS AND RECREATION ACCOUNT WHICH
8	FUNDS AND ACCOUNT ARE NO LONGER NEEDED
9	FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY BUSINESS, AND
10	ABOLISHING AND CLOSING SAID
11	ACCOUNT AND TRANSFERRING THE FUNDS
12	REMAINING IN SAID ACCOUNT TO THE PNC
13	GENERAL FUNDING CHECKING ACCOUNT
14	LISTED BELOW.
15	MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll
16	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
17	introduced into its proper committee.
18	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
19	MR. JOYCE: I'll second it. On
20	the question?
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. This is just a
22	transfer of it's \$2,263.48 from the
23	Department of Parks and Recreation to
24	the general fund.
25	MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

1	Is there anyone else one the
2	questions?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. ROGAN: All those in favor
5	signify by saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and
11	so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A NO
13	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER.
14	7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
15	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY
16	-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 50, 2013 (AS
17	AMENDED) - REPEALING FILE OF COUNCIL
18	NO. 37 OF 2011 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED
19	"ESTABLISHING THE LIST OF
20	AUTHORIZED TOWING COMPANIES FOR THE
21	CITY OF SCRANTON AND ESTABLISHING THE
22	RULES, QUALIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS TO
23	BE FOLLOWED BY ALL SAID TOWING
24	COMPANIES, ESTABLISHING FINES AND
25	PENALTIES FOR TOWING AND FEES RELATED

TO THIS ORDINANCE" BY ESTABLISHING THE 1 2 LIST OF AUTHORIZED TOWING 3 COMPANIES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 4 ESTABLISHING THE RULES. QUALIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS TO BE FOLLOWED BY ALL 5 6 SAID TOWING COMPANIES, ESTABLISHING FINES AND PENALTIES FOR TOWING AND 7 8 FEES RELATED TO THIS ORDINANCE AND 9 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER 10 11 INTO A TEN (10) YEAR CONTRACT FOR TOWING AND RELATED SERVICES IN 12 THE CITY OF SCRANTON IN EXCHANGE FOR A 13 14 ONE (1) TIME PAYMENT OF THREE HUNDRED 15 THOUSAND (\$300,000.00) DOLLARS TO BE CREDITED TO LINE ITEM 01.331.33165 16 17 POLICE TOWING/STORAGE FEES IN 18 ACCORDANCE WITH FILE OF COUNCIL 50, 19 2013 (AS AMENDED). MR. JOYCE: What is the 20 21 recommendation of the chairperson for 22 the Committee on Public Safety? 23 MR. ROGAN: As temporary chair 24 for the Committee on Public Safety, I 25 recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
2	MR. JOYCE: On the question?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby
11	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
12	adopted.
13	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make
14	a motion to take file of council, No.
15	47-2013, Item 7-B from the table.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
17	MR. JOYCE: On the question?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. JOYCE: All those in favor
20	signify by saying aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. JOYCE: Opposed?
25	(No response.)

1 MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and 2 so moved. 3 Before we read Item 7-B, I would 4 like to open up the floor for any 5 public comment. 6 (No response.) 7 MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake. 8 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR 9 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-FILE OF COUNCIL 10 11 NO. 47, 2013 (PREVIOUSLY TABLED) -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 12 APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 13 14 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED 15 SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND 16 17 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED 18 UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 19 GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 20 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND 21 EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING 22 23 JANUARY 1. 2014. 24 MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to 25 amend Item 7-B as per the following:

1 CBDG Administration, \$420,000; EOTC 2 sidewalks and lighting, \$15,000; 3 Pinebrook neighborhood pool, \$45,000; UNC Project Summer Hope Camp, \$27,000; 4 Northeast Suicide Prevention, \$11,000; 5 6 Dress for Success, \$10,000. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 7 8 MR. JOYCE: Sorry. We have a 9 motion on the floor. Obviously we 10 have a second. All those in favor 11 signify by saying aye. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 14 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and 17 so moved. Actually I should have 18 opened up public comment after the 19 motion was made to amend. However, is 20 there anyone who would like to address 21 council regarding the amendment to 22 Item 7-B. 23 (No response.) 24 MR. JOYCE: Okay. What is the 25 recommendation of the chairperson for

25

the Committee on Community Development of 7-B as amended.

MR. ROGAN: As chairperson of the Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B as amended.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, a couple of things. First, it should be noted that the reduction in administrative costs for this program, administrative costs do not -- are not just salaries. A lot of people believe that that just covers the salaries of people in OECD. Administrative costs are the costs of operating the entire OECD program. Everything from salaries to staples and in between. Reducing it does have an effect on the ability of OECD to operate obviously if their funding -if that administrative funding is cut. If what happens is if the administrative costs of operating OECD

exceed what's this amount, it becomes

the responsibility of the city to pay those additional costs. So while there are savings upfront, there is a potential that -- or I shouldn't say savings upfront -- while we can redirect some of that money upfront, there is the potential that through the course of 2014 if the administrative costs do exceed that amount, this city will be responsible and it would be a cost to the city, something that would come out of the general fund.

Second thing that I did want to mention and I had spoken to Mr. Rogan in the day earlier about these, but I failed to ask about any changes to the Home and ESG Programs. I know that I had said in the past that I was going to recommend some changes and I did but we don't have any amendments and I was just wondering if you would care to comment, if there was a reason.

MR. ROGAN: Well, when we spoke this morning, I thought we weren't

going to make any changes to the Home or ESG.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MR. ROGAN: And I do remember we spoke on the floor about directing money from demolition and from some of the rehab in the city to other rehab which is something, I think, we all I supported but then nothing ever -- there wasn't any further discussion and that's something I missed, I certainly apologize.

MR. MCGOFF: And I would say that in speaking with Mrs. Aebli, some of the changes that I had recommended were things that she did say not that we weren't possible but that they wouldn't haven't the effect that I thought they would. One of the things that came up was that any home rehabilitation, they can't do it -- they can't do it piecemeal. In other words, you can't go in and just do -- put a roof on somebody's house. That once they get funding, the entire

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

. •

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

house must be brought to code. And so the program that I had envisioned would probably be illegal under or not possible under current HUD standards so, as I said, the recommended changes probably wouldn't have the effect that I had envisioned and that's all. That's fine.

MR. ROGAN: And just a couple and I'm glad you brought these up because I had a couple more comments regarding a few understanding issues and something that was brought a couple weeks ago. I belive it was Mrs. Evans brought up a question regarding funding and some of the grants that went to OECD administration and from speaking to Miss Aebli it was explained that any time it's allowable to build a specific grant for the administration, it's done that way because that's less funding that will be used out of our CDBG allocation and that's generally -- it's done on an hourly basis and it varies, depending

24

25

on how much work is put in. And next regarding the changes, Mr. McGoff pretty much summed it up correctly regarding the rehab of homes, that it has to be -- things have to be brought up to a certain standard and I do know that Neighbor Works NEPA had some other programs that were doing outside of the HUD and we received a flyer and I do want to followup with Jessie Ergott, the president of the group. And they were working on getting volunteers to go through different sections of the community to do minor repairs, things like, you know, if there's a fence -- a picket fence on a house has lead paint, you know, removal of that and giving it a coat of paint and smaller things like that but they add up in a neighborhood and I'm hopeful that little things like that could add up with many of the bigger program where we're rehabbing homes and a lot of the money in the rehab is asbestos and lead removal

because it is so expensive.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. In light of what was said before, I know Mr. McGoff you had some idea for Home and ESG and they weren't included. Would you like to make a motion to include some of your suggestions?

MR. MCGOFF: In light of, again, in light of what Mrs. Aebli and I had spoke about, I'm satisfied with it as it currently exists.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Just making sure. I will offer my comment. I'm very pleased to see some projects receiving some extra funding or funding at all. I'm very glad to see the Pinebrook neighborhood pool received \$45,000. I know this is that Miss Slipko from the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association has been speaking about for a very long time and it would be very nice to see this pool on its way to reopening and it would just be something great to see that area start to revive because I

7 8 9

13 14

11

12

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know it's an area of Scranton that has been going downhill over the years and it's great to see that some money will be invested in the area and also that we have a great neighborhood association over there that does take pride in the area and that's working to improve things.

Also I'm also glad to see Dress for Success being funded. I think that's an important program, and I'm very pleased to see that we will be allocating funding for beat officers, three beat officers. I know that we received a letter from the North Scranton Neighborhood Association Watch encouraging us to approve five beat officers, however, with the requirement, basically you're only allowed -- in layman's terms you're only allowed to allocate so much funding for a public service event and this is a public service allocation. So we cannot just simply allocate money to fund the full compliment of

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officers that was requested of the department at will. However, I do believe that by funding three officers we are doing our best to make sure that we're working to keep our streets safe as well as funding other public service activities. And that's all.

MR. ROGAN: And you brought up a good point. I don't want to belabor it too much but you spoke about Pinebrook and one of the issues we dealt with -- this is going back a few months was the issue of the parking lot on Capouse and Marion Street and I know that -- I believe it passed four/one to approve to accept it and that project for the parking lot rehab is also included in here and the other night I did have a chance to drive by the privately developed portion of that block renovation that the Fausetts have been doing, I talked to Chris the other day and they're doing an amazing job. It's a great project. I'm glad to see neighborhood, that

1	block really looks great. And that
2	neighborhood, it's kind in between
3	Pinebrook and Greenridge, it's right
4	on that borderline there, but it looks
5	great and I think this will really be
6	the icing on the cake, this last part
7	of the project.
8	MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
9	Is there anyone else well, we all
10	spoke. Is there anyone else that has
11	any additional comments to add?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. JOYCE: Okay. What is the
14	recommendation of the chairperson for
15	the Committee on Community
16	Development?
17	MR. ROGAN: As chairperson for
18	the Committee on Community
19	Development, I recommend final passage
20	of Item 7-D as amended.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
22	MR. JOYCE: On the question?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby
6	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
7	adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR
9	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
10	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO.
11	42, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
12	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF
13	THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
14	SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF COMMUNITY LIFE
15	SUPPORT, INC. (CLS), TO THE
16	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING
17	THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING
18	AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT
19	GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE
20	DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE
21	AMOUNT OF \$325,474.00 FOR THE PROJECT
22	TO BE KNOWN AS "NEONATAL
23	TRANSPORTATION PROJECT" LOCATED IN
24	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING
25	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY

1	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
2	ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL AND
3	EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE
4	ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT
5	LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
6	PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE
7	THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$325,474.00
8	AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF
9	PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.
10	MR. JOYCE: What is the
11	recommendation of the chairperson for
12	the Community Development?
13	MR. ROGAN: As chairperson for
14	the Committee on Community
15	Development, I recommend final passage
16	of Item 7-C.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
18	MR. JOYCE: On the question?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.

declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO. A3, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF	1	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby
MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO. 43, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	2	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO. 43, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	3	adopted.
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO. 43, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	4	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR
THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	5	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	6	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-RESOLUTION NO.
THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF S415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	7	43, 2013 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	8	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF
SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	9	THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
12 OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE 13 COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A 14 LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO 15 THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND 16 GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF 17 \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE 18 KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" 19 LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 20 THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE 21 THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY 22 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	10	SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON
COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	11	SEWER AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH
LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	12	OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	13	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A
GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	14	LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO
\$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	15	THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND
KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	16	GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF
19 LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 20 THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE 21 THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY 22 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	17	\$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE
THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	18	KNOWN AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT"
THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	19	LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
22 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF	20	THIS RESOLUTION SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE
	21	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
23 SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF	22	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
	23	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF
24 APPROVED, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A	24	APPROVED, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
25 LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT	25	LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT

1	CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH
2	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO
3	ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT AWARDED
4	BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
5	FOR SUCH PROJECT.
6	MR. JOYCE: What is the
7	recommendation of the chairperson for
8	the Committee on Community
9	Development?
10	MR. ROGAN: As chairperson for
11	the Committee on Community
12	Development, I recommend final passage
13	of Item 7-D.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
15	MR. JOYCE: On the question?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby
24	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
25	adopted.

1	If there is no further business,
2	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Motion to adjourn.
4	MR. JOYCE: This meeting is
5	adjourned.
6	(Proceedings concluded at 7:30
7	p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T E

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol, RPR Court Reporter