1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, October 17, 2013
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COUDT DEDODTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	LANET EVANS DESTDENT
4	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
5	FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT
6	ROBERT MCGOFF
7	PAT ROGAN
8	JOHN LOSCOMBE
9	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
10	JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
11	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 11 (Not present.) MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the 14 reading of the minutes, please. MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. 15 MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE 16 17 SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD SEPTEMBER 9, 18 2013. 19 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: 3-B. SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY'S 2012 ANNUAL REPORT 22 23 RECEIVED OCTOBER 13, 2013. 24 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

1	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
2	REPORT HEARING DATE HELD SEPTEMBER 25, 2013.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
6	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO RECEIVED OCTOBER 11,
7	2013.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. APPLICATIONS ALONG
11	WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
12	HEARING BOARD ON OCTOBER 9, 2013.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	Do we have any Clerk's notes, Mrs.
16	Krake?
17	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
19	council members have announcements at this
20	time?
21	MR. ROGAN: I have a few. The first
22	one is you are invited to help those who
23	served us. Please join the volunteers of
24	Catholic Social Services, St. Francis of
25	Assisi Kitchen, Scranton Chapter of UNICO,

1	National LaFesta Italiano of Lackawanna
2	County as they gather for a great night of
3	entertainment to raise funds for the
4	homeless veteran's project, it's currently
5	in the works in Scranton. This event would
6	be \$35 per person on Saturday, November 9,
7	at Genetti Manor in Dickson City and the
8	feature entertainment will be the Poets, and
9	again, that's for homeless veterans.
10	Two other announcements. Second,

Two other announcements. Second, the Dante Literary Society will be having their Fall dinner on October 6 from 4:30 at night to 7:00 at night at the Dante Club located at 1916 Prospect. The pasta dinners are \$11 and takeouts are also available and.

And finally, on Sunday, October 27, from 3 to 6 p.m. the South Scranton

Neighborhood Watch is having a safe

Halloween event. It's at Connors Park, 500

Orchard Street and it's for kids, free

Halloween bash and costume parade and there is information on this on Facebook as well, which is where it was sent to me. And that's it all.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else? St.

1	Joseph's Malachite Catholic Church located
2	at 130 North St. Francis Cabrini Avenue in
3	West Scranton will hold it's annual
4	spaghetti dinner fundraiser this coming
5	Wednesday, October 23 from 5 p.m. to until
6	8:00 p.m. Takeout dinners will be available
7	beginning at 4 p.m. Each dinner consists of
8	salad, bread, spaghetti, meatballs, dessert
9	and coffee. Adult tickets are \$8.50 each.
10	Tickets for children age ten and younger are
11	\$4 each. Tickets may be purchased at the
12	door.
13	Also, Johnson College will be
14	holding a neighborhood meeting on Wednesday,
15	October 23, 2013, at 10 a.m. in Richmond
16	Hall, classroom 200. It is a meeting among
17	the school, the City of Scranton, the
18	Scranton Sewer Authority, and the residents
19	of the streets affected in that area by
20	water runoff. And that's it.
21	MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
22	PARTICIPATION.
23	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this
24	evening is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

1 Doug Miller, Scranton.

2 MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin this evening on some of the comments last week, we had a lot of discussion on PEL's letter, and obviously, we are well aware that the city is going to, quite frankly, fall short of some of the revenue enhancements that were part of the recovery plan and obviously implemented in the 2013 operating budget. Unfortunately, some of those such as PILOTS and some of the other revenue enhancements that were included we understand we will fall short and our hopeful that moving forward and we can be more successful in those areas.

However, you know, I do have to take issue with, you know, and I don't mean this in a disrespectful way, but I do have to take issue with a lot of the statements made by Councilman Rogan last week and, you know, when I sat back and listened to, you know, this idea of kind of, like, you know, ha, ha, I told you so, you know, I was somewhat insulted by that, and I sort of had some

sympathy for his colleagues on council because I know a lot of the hard work and the research that you put into a lot of these ideas, and at the time if you paid attention even discussing some of these revenue enhancements last summer we knew that some of these were gambles, but when you are a city on life support and you face the decision of whether or not to pull the plug and basically give up and file for bankruptcy or take some chances, you take some chances.

The position that this city currently is in, as I have said, no idea at this time is bad idea. The city has a lot of obligations and so at certain times you have to take a risk. And so I think at somewhat insulting and it discredits the time and effort that Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce and Mr. Loscombe put into this process. And, you know, this, you know, I told you so that's why I voted against the recovery plan and I voted against the budget, again, taking me back to if there were certain portions of that plan that you

1 didn't support or you didn't feel were necessary or in the best interest of the 2 3 city that's when I believe, you know, 4 leadership takes a place and you step up to a leadership role and you offer 5 alternatives, and that never happened, and 6 so I think it's quite hypocritical to make 7 8 those statements and it's unfair to make 9 those statements.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I can only hope that moving forward we sort of have an attitude adjustment and we move away from the, you know, ha, ha, I told you so and that's why I went against That is not what leadership is about. it. Leadership is about ideas, vision, creativity, and when you are in the city's position it's about taking chances. again, you took some chances, unfortunately, some things didn't come through all the way, but a lot of good things have happened and we can only home that we continue to pursue the things that we went after and hope that we do realize those things and hopefully with the new administration coming in, whoever that is, that there will be so more

cooperation and more dialogue and
willingness to, you know, follow through on
some of the things that council puts into
place. But, again, I don't think there is a
need for that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Onto the garbage fee issue, obviously by now everyone is well aware of the fact that on Monday there was I believe 15 pages or so in the Scranton Times of delinquent individuals who have yet to pay the \$178 garbage fee, and I know there is a lot of mixed feelings on this issue and, you know, we had some discussion on that last week and, you know, my feelings on it are that I certainly feel the taxpayers obviously have an obligation to fulfill paying the taxes and fees, but at the same time I think that I kind of hold the city's feet to the fire more than I do the taxpayer because at the end of the day the city has an obligation to ensure that these fees are being collected. And to let nearly \$7.8 million go uncollected I think is inexcusable and it says a lot about the ongoing mismanage of the city by this

situation.

3

4

5

6

7

administration, and now that the city is in a pinch for cash now we are running around and publishing names in the paper, you know, running around doing whatever we can to collect this money whereas if we did right in the first place we wouldn't be in a

24

25

You know, it all goes back to doing a right the first time and that seems to be the history of this administration when, you know, when we look back on it just the mismanagement and letting things go and, you know, I do think moving forward we do need to take a look at a more efficient plan. Going back to Mr. Rogan, him and I don't see eye to eye on a lot of it, but I do have to agree with the last week that perhaps, you know, the idea of maybe looking at a per bag collection maybe something to look at as long as -- and I want it make it clear, as long as it does not, you know, involve a privatization, that is something I did not support, b ut I do think that utilizing the DPW and the staff and our assets we have that maybe this is something we can look at.

I have discussed it with an elected official who actually serves on the Old Forge Borough Council who informed me this is what they do, this is their procedure, it's a \$2 sticker that is put on each bag each week, and again, you alleviate having the burden put on a lot of seniors and those who may not have as much put out each week so it's definitely something that I think we should look at as long as it doesn't mean that we have to, you know, look at privatization because I do in the support that. I think we can utilize the assets we have and maybe take a look at something along this avenue and avoid having to go back from years and years and trying to collect fees that should have been collected at that point in time. Thank you.

15

16

17

18

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just wanted to add briefly to the comments made by Mr. Rogan regarding the delinquent garbage fee collections. I absolutely agree that this is something that should have occurred years ago. I believe the years that are being currently collected are 2002

1	through 2012. Previously, the city employed
2	NCC as its collection agency and apparently
3	they did not do a very good job and as a
4	result we were able to successfully replace
5	them with Northeast Revenue Service, and at
6	the time I know Solicitor Hughes and Mrs.
7	Krake and myself and Councilman Joyce
8	negotiated a contract with them and we have
9	found them to be very transparent, highly
10	accountable, and very successful in bringing
11	in much needed revenue to the city.
12	And like Mr. Miller said, that's the
13	time of type of company that should have
14	been on board many years ago, not just since
15	this council came on board and held the
16	mayor's feet to the fire to get someone who
17	can do the job. It really should you have
18	been done long, long, long before that.
19	Our next speaker is Gerard Hetman.
20	MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.
21	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
22	MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from
23	Lackawanna County Community Relations
24	Department. To begin this evening, as many

of you may be aware the Lackawanna County

Commissioners earlier this week released 1 would their tentative 2014 general fund 2 3 operating budget for public review. I would 4 like to share a couple of informational items and budget highlights from our 2014 5 budget with council this evening. 6 7 To begin, in 2014 the Lackawanna 8 County administration will continue to follow a conservative fiscal policy which 9 10 includes demonstrated fiscal responsibility, 11 restrains and management, while facing the 12 county's financial challenges. Some 13 important features of the budget as are as 14 follows: 15 First, in 2014 the general fund 16 budget includes no tax increases. 17 again, just to repeat that to be clear, the 18 tentative 2014 Lackawanna County general 19 fund budget includes no tax increases. 20 Second, the factors that impact the 21 2014 budget include an additional federal

continuing personnel costs.

Third, the county is renewing its

and state staffing mandates at the county

prison and the continuing challenge of

22

23

24

commitment to economic development in the 2014 budget. Beginning in 2013, the county introduced programs, such as the SPA loan fee waiver program, the community reinvestment grant program, the biosciences initiative, and the construction permit fee waiver program, all of which were intended to encourage the creation of the private sector and family sustaining jobs while stimulating economic growth in Lackawanna County. The 2014 budget renews funding for these programs.

Fifth, the 2014 budget also provided resources for potential annual surveillance fee imposed by a bond insurer. In 2005, as a condition of past borrowings, the surveillance fee was implemented by a bond insurance company in the event of a lapse in the commons investment grade rating. This fee will be assessed until we are able to restore our investment grade rating.

By completing it's 2011 and 2012 financial audits in a timely fashion under the terms of it's bond indentures, the county has generated a modest operating

surplus during 2012 and an accumulated fund balance as of December 31, 2012. The county anticipated another modest surplus during 2013 and 2014. This is a viewed positively in the eyes of grading agencies.

However, the estimate for 2014 is not guaranteed because of money spent in expenses that may arise during the upcoming budget cycle.

Moving forward in the budget process, the Lackawanna County Commissioners have scheduled a series of hearings on the proposed 2014 budget to allow county residents to ask questions and provide input to the county commissioners and our budget staff in the upcoming days. There are four hearings with the dates and times and locations as follows:

Friday, October 18, 1 p.m. a the
Commissioner's Conference Room on the sixth
floor of the county administration building,
200 Adams Avenue, in Scranton; Friday,
October 18, 3 p.m. at the Archbald Borough
Building, 400 Church Street in Archbald, and
the second two are on Tuesdays, October 22,

4:30 p.m. at the Dunmore Community Center on Monroe Avenue in Dunmore; and lastly, Tuesday, October 22, 7:00 p.m. at the Greenfield Township Municipal building, 424 State Route 106 in Greenfield Township. county residents are welcome and encouraged to attend the hearings and give their input to the county commissioners on the budget process.

And there two other items that we have for different departments. First, the Lackawanna County Voter Registration Office is still seeking poll workers for a number of polling locations in the upcoming municipal election, including several that are located within the City of Scranton.

Anyone interested in working the polls can contact Beth Hopkins at Voter Registration Office at 570-963-6737.

And lastly, the Scranton Police

Department, along with the Pennsylvania

State Police Troop R Dunmore, will

participate in the national Take Back

Initiative for prescription/non-prescription

drugs. The event is next Saturday, October

1	26, 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. on both of those
2	locations, the Scranton Police Headquarters
3	on South Washington, as well as the
4	Pennsylvania State Police barracks in
5	Dunmore. Anyone can drop off any
6	prescription or nonprescription
7	pharmaceuticals that they may have laying
8	around their house. There is no cost, no
9	questions will be asked, and it's a good
10	chance for anyone in the city or the area to
11	dispose of those in a safe and
12	environmentally friendly manner. And that's
13	all we have this evening, ladies and
14	gentlemen, thank you, as always.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
16	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Hetman?
17	MR. HETMAN: Yes, sir.
18	MR. ROGAN: Before you leave, last
19	year, and as been stated by numerous people
20	there is no question that taxes in the City
21	of Scranton are going to increase next year
22	last year when the taxes in the city were
23	increased city council requested that the
24	county commissioners extend the discount
25	period for residents of the entire county,

1	and specifically of Scranton.
2	MR. HETMAN: Yes.
3	MR. ROGAN: Would you be able, with
4	my colleagues' agreement, I know we all did
5	last year, would you be able to relay that
6	message to the commissioners from council
7	again, because if the county is running a
8	surplus they certainly shouldn't be too much
9	of a burden for them to extend the discount
10	period a small amount to make it easier for
11	the residents of the Scranton.
12	MR. HETMAN: We'll be happy to
13	forward your request to the commissioners,
14	yes.
15	MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.
16	MR. HETMAN: No problem. Thank you.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just
18	wanted to add that, you know, it is a
19	tremendous and welcome announcement that the
20	county will not be levying a tax increase
21	this year, particularly since it has levied
22	tax increases last year and the year prior
23	to that. I think last year might have been
24	minimal, 4 or 5 percent, and the previous
25	year

ı	MR. RUGAN: 48 percent.
2	MS. EVANS: 48 percent, so
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: 42.
4	MR. ROGAN: It was high.
5	MS. EVANS: It was very high and so,
6	you know, with the additional 4 or 5 it's
7	pushing a 50 percent tax increase. So, you
8	know, the fact that there is none this year
9	is certainly appreciated, but I think it's
10	also important to note that the
11	commissioners also turned away from pursuing
12	payments in lieu of taxes from large
13	nonprofits in a joint effort among the city,
14	the school district and themselves. They
15	also turned away from the development of a
16	health care consortium among the city, the
17	school district and the county.
18	So, you know, inasmuch as they are
19	now seeing a surplus, I could venture a
20	guess that had they been cooperative with
21	the other two governing bodies of our
22	community much more money could have been
23	saved on their part, added to their surplus,
24	and much money could have been saved and
25	brought in for the City of Scranton which

1	remains distressed over 20 years now. So in
2	the future I hope that if the commissioners
3	remain in office they would reconsider their
4	previous actions and move forward
5	intergovernmentally with the other local
6	bodies for the best interest of all the
7	taxpayers.
8	Is there anyone else? That
9	concludes our sign-in sheet.
10	MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave
11	Dobrzyn.
12	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
13	MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of the
14	Scranton. Taxes paid. Okay, once again I'd
15	like to mention that in early November it's
16	time to vote. Inform yourself as to who is
17	the best candidate and get out there and
18	vote, and I'd also like to note that many of
19	the schools in the area, students by a
20	Supreme Court decision are allowed to vote
21	within Scranton if they reside here during
22	the school year.
23	And a few weeks ago before the
24	hoopla down in Washington there was a big
25	thing about taxes and tax exempts and that

certain political parties, minor political agendas of their own were given a positive review as a nonprofit by the government, by the IRS, and they are complaining about it where a 1958 or 1959 law states that they have to be exclusively public welfare. They cannot be politically involved. Now, the IRS turned around and said primarily.

The law sales exclusively, the IRS regulations said primarily, so it opened up the door for all of these political groups to start using most of their money for political activities, and the very wealthy people that are donating and threw in million of dollars a year are actually getting a tax break for it, just as if they had given to a hospital or a church or something like that. So it's time to get out and vote people and it's time to do a little reading and inform yourself as to what's really going on.

22 On the trash fees, I'd like to once
23 again we need better collection attempts and
24 I proposed to a candidate for tax collector
25 if they would be willing to use the tax

office, the Single Tax Office for collecting trash and I think that's a pretty good idea.

We have a total lack of the coordination on this tax or fee collection and it's really out of line. I also read that some people have shown up with cancelled checks, too, so they might even be in the paper

B unjustifiably.

And as far as a per bag fee, I'd like to note that we should go with cans instead of bags because they get torn up and ripped apart by cats and skunks and whatever, and one of the problems with the per bag fee if it's not taken, if it's not taken people start to push it onto their neighbor's lawns. I've had that happen in a per bag community, it was privatized trash, but the mayor and policeman was actually over to my side of the house in a double house that I rented and the lady upstairs told them that it was our trash, so I'm very apprehensive about it not being taken because I don't need it on my lawn and then, you know, whose responsibility is it from there? Mine. I don't want to pay for

somebody else's. I have had a couple of

tires and so forth that I threw away or paid

to have much rather at tire shops and they

don't really want to take them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And also, I'd like to note on recycling, we are doing a very poor job, I see a lot of cardboard, I see cans, aluminum cans, bottles, plastic bottles, glass bottles have very little value, by the way, but the aluminum and steel cans and cardboard and all of that, we just got a mailing from the county, I think I gave you a copy a couple of months ago, and it noted a whole bunch of the other things that they were adding to the recycling. In years back we had a recycling officer and apparently the job was never done. He was supposed to be patrolling for recycling way back, it might have been back when you were running, but somebody from the DPW was actually supposed to be going through the courts and checking to see if it was recycling and issuing citations.

Now, as far as the citations I'd like to mention that I think it's like a

1	couple of hundred dollars so that's way
2	overboard and we should make it like \$25 or
3	something, hit somebody with a small fine
4	and maybe it will wise them up before they
5	get hit really, because I'm not sure
6	somebody once said it's like a \$300 fine, so
7	that's a lot of money.
8	Golden parrot once again goes to
9	USIS, I'll make this quick, they privatized
10	background checks for the federal government
11	and they passed people that eventually
12	became murders because they were hearing
13	voices and so forth, that's what we get.
14	How making it a little cheaper to pass the
15	defects along with it. Thank you and have a
16	good night, and don't forget to bawk, bawk,
17	bawk.
18	(Whereupon while Mr. Dobrzyn was
19	speaking, Councilman Joyce joins the
20	meeting.)
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Let the
22	record show that Councilman Joyce has joined
23	the meeting.
24	MR. JOYCE: I just wanted to say
25	something before the next speaker, I

1 apologize for being tardy to tonight's meeting. I would have been here at 6:00 2 3 otherwise. I received a phone call from 4 Mike Judge of CaseCon discussing potential borrowing for the Supreme Court award along 5 with other issues and the call went much 6 7 longer than expected, and I'll actually 8 elaborate more during motions, but I just 9 wanted everyone to know that that's the 10 reason why I am here late tonight. 11 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Morgan? 12 MR. MORGAN: Yes. The first thing 13 I'd like to say here tonight is that, you 14 know, I'd like to thank all of the people 15 who come here continually regardless of what 16 kind of shape the city is in, and I'd also 17 like to say that I appreciate people who put 18 their name on the ballot whether we agree 19 with what they do or not because they show a 20 real genuine concern for our city, even 21 though we may not always agree with everything that's done here. 22 23 But, you know, the first thing I 24 have here tonight is, you know, the recovery

plan, the budget, it's not a shot at

1 anybody, the Court knew that it wasn't realistic. In my opinion, I think most 2 3 people knew it wasn't receipt realistic and 4 I think most people knew we were going to fall short, and I think a major problem the 5 city is it having is I think the 6 7 Pennsylvania Economy League has proven that 8 it can't steer this ship and as long as we 9 keep following them we are not going 10 anywhere because there is lot of other 11 communities in the same shape we are in, so 12 I'd like to see something really change here and I think the city should take a gamble 13 14 and sever it's relationship with the PEL 15 because what is the state going to do, 16 sanction us? Can it really get much worse? 17 I mean, think about it.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other thing is for the state to come here and sanction us when they used us for a guinea pig for Act 47 in regard to our city employees, I mean, I haven't seen good stewardship from them either because they should have been trying to protect the city and instead they threw us in -- the they threw is like a pack of the wolves.

So, I mean, when you see where the city is we don't only have one problem, we have multiple problems, and in order to be determine what our problems really are we need to get everybody's hands out of our pie and we need to figure out what ingredients we need in this pie to right ourselves, because everybody makes recommendations to us and if they are the wrong recommendations and we take them and pee proceed to use them and they are wrong we hurt ourselves.

And from what I have seen over the decades I have come here I really don't have much faith in Harrisburg and the things they are telling us to do. And, look it, it's not as if we don't mistakes here, because we do, but we are Scrantonians and I think we should have more of a say about what's going on in our city than politicians in Harrisburg.

And don't get me wrong, there is no disrespect for people who run for office, but I just think that when people from Scranton run for an office, they run for an office because hopefully love the community

or concern for community, but when we have people from outside in Harrisburg and other places telling us what we should do, is that politics or is that concern for our community? And if this is the concern they have shown our community then we are in bad shape and if we are going to proceed again and take recommendations from PEL I don't see why we do it because this with the PEL basically, this was their plan, that is what they thought we should do. They had their own projections. Everything came out. They spoke in Court, they gave their position.

And, look it, I'm not saying that the city administration and council don't have a part to have played in that, but the problem is that, you know, everything is, well, if we don't do what we want you to do there is going to be repercussions. Well, how much many more repercussions can we have?

I mean, the Bon-Ton is getting ready to roll over and leave. The mall is in trouble, the community is in troubled, the neighborhoods are in trouble. I mean, we

can't -- I appreciate the gentleman that comes here from the county today talking about no tax increases, and they have had tax increases before, but when we look at where our city is we only have pain in front of ourselves and no solutions, and to go into court with another failed plan, and this isn't a shot at the mayor, his recovery plan when he first took office wasn't realized, stood here and said that, and some people in the community thought it was silliness, but in reality all of this time and the mayor is probably a fine gentleman, but the truth of the matter is it wasn't a plan and it didn't lead to recovery.

We just need to really step back, tell Harrisburg to stay in Harrisburg, we need to tell the PEL to go back home. We need to come up with a plan and, Mr. Rogan, to be honest with you, look it, I still think that you should be the Finance Chair. I still think that. I mean, and that isn't a shot at you, I just think that you have been on council a long time and I think you have something to contribute. You can't

1	make everybody happy about everything, but
2	you are the person that's going to inherit
3	the city. I mean, Mr. McGoff has got two
4	years, Mr. Loscombe has got two years, and
5	you are going to be here for four and you
6	have been here through these things and,
7	look it, I'm not going to condemn anybody
8	because I don't know what took place behind
9	the scenes when everything was being put
10	together but, you know, what I have think
11	have you something to contribute and I think
12	you can show that. Thank you.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
14	anyone else?
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
16	Council. Marie Schumacher, citizen and
17	taxpayer.
18	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
19	MS. SCHUMACHER: First off, I would
20	like to thank Mrs. Evans for the information
21	that was provided regarding the parking
22	meters, I do have a few questions that I'll
23	follow-up with after I have had a little bit
24	of time.
25	And next, I would like to make an

announcement that there will be the League
of Women Voters will be holding two debates
next week. Tuesday, October 22, at 7:00
p.m. there will be a debate of the two
candidates for Scranton city mayor,
Democratic Bill Courtright and Republican
Jim Mulligan. It will be held in the Loyola
Science Center, Room 133, at the University
of Scranton, 1204 Monroe Avenue.
And the second debate will be the
candidates for the Scranton School Board,
Thursday, October 24 at 7:00 p.m. and that
will be in the Moszkowicz Theatre on the
fourth floor of the DeNaples Center and I
urge everybody to come.
A quick question I guess for
Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe, are all of our
city-owned vehicles equipped with GPS
tracking? The DPW and the
MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't believe so.
MS. SCHUMACHER: public safety?
MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea.
MS. SCHUMACHER: No? Could you
inquire? Thank you. Mr. Rogan, and I
guess I'm sorry, Mr. Joyce.

1	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
2	MS. SCHUMACHER: Last week you
3	talked about the \$5 million savings for
4	refinancing the debt.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: \$5 million savings
7	from what?
8	MR. JOYCE: Basically what would
9	happen in a scoop out refinance if it were
10	to happen is some of the debt service
11	payments would be abated for 2014.
12	MS. SCHUMACHER: So there is no
13	savings, it's just not payment in one year.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes. Some people may
15	call it kicking the can down the road a
16	little bit further, that may be a term that
17	some people use to describe it.
18	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. Well, not in
19	favor of that that's for sure. Back to
20	Mr. Loscombe, I have some more questions
21	with respect to the award. Number one, how
22	many people in the city, or maybe Mr. Joyce
23	could answer this, how many of the city
24	employees have a pension of 70 percent of
25	their final pay?

1 MR. JOYCE: I don't know the answer to that off the top of my head. As far as 2 3 who is receiving what, we could definitely 4 ask that question and try to obtain the 5 answer. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I would 6 7 appreciate that. And, Mr. Loscombe, you 8 were correct that -- and I guess it's upsetting either way, but the article that I 9 referred to was from the October 2 of this 10 11 year pension and prices article in the 12 Scranton Times-Tribune, and I would like to 13 know how many -- it says retirees will 14 receive the pension -- will receive a 15 portion of the Supreme Court award, are 16 those retirees retired during the period of 17 2002 through the award or is that all retirees. 18 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well. it's a 20 combination. It's a combination of those 21 who retired under the agreement that they 22 would receive any increase in retirement 23 benefits, which does apply to all

firefighters. That was stopped at a certain

time, and it would apply to any firefighter

24

1	that retired in that time period that the
2	awards were
3	MS. SCHUMACHER: What years did
4	apply that any increase to active retirees
5	would also apply to the retired employees?
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry?
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: You said for a
8	period of time it was anybody any retiree
9	received an increase in the
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Retirees that retired
11	within certain years.
12	MS. SCHUMACHER: But what were those
13	years?
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, I don't have them
15	off the top of my head, but I believe, if
16	I'm not mistaken, I believe they ended some
17	time in the mid 80's, maybe '87. Anyone
18	that came on after that did not did not
19	come under the old retirement plan where toe
20	would automatically get increases, like,
21	half of what the
22	MS. SCHUMACHER: It's a portion
23	that's what I was going to ask you next is
24	what is the portion that they receive?
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: The portion the

1	retiree would receive under that would be 50
2	percent of an active firefighter. In other
3	words, if an active firefighter received a 5
4	percent increase, the retiree would receive
5	2 1/2 percent.
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and how are
7	we coming determining how many people are
8	affected by this award and
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: This is believe
10	me, I'm still waiting for some information.
11	It's not easy coming by all together, and
12	the retirement aspect is a total different
13	thing, that comes out of the pension, it
14	doesn't come out of the active city pay,
15	city budget, so
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: Who has the court
17	award?
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: The legal Department.
19	MS. SCHUMACHER: Can I go down and
20	read it myself.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: The Legal Department,
22	the Law Department, and the Human Resources.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And why
24	are we now just finding out in October that
25	there are two additional cases pending to

1	increase the maximum payout for the
2	firefighters from 50 to 75 or to 70
3	percent of their pay?
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, those are new
5	cases, I believe. Those are something that
6	were just proposed by the current
7	firefighters as far as 70 percent.
8	MS. SCHUMACHER: But when did that
9	happen? We have never been told about that
10	to the best of my knowledge. I mean, I
11	don't think I've missed a lot of meetings.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it happened
13	within the last two months or so when it was
14	put in the newspaper.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: It's already in the
16	Commonwealth Court so they must have been
17	through the local court; right?
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe they I
19	don't know how that worked.
20	MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, do you go
21	directly I mean, I don't know.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm not a legal mind,
23	but I believe part of that recovery plan or
24	part of the agreement there was some
25	language in there, again, you know, you

1 would have to maybe seek to the city solicitor or the solicitor for the fire 2 3 department on that, but it jumped to that 4 aspect because of a prior award mentioned 5 that when the city was trying to fight this 6 whole thing. 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay because, I 8 mean, I didn't think you went directly to the Commonwealth Court, but, okay, I will be 9 back next week, good Lord willing. Thank 10 11 you. 12 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, I'm hoping 14 you might remember this particular circumstance. As Mr. Schumacher was 15 16 discussing pensions, back in 2002 I recall 17 that quite a number of city employees were 18 pushed out of their jobs -- well, prior to 19 the retirement age or, you know, if they 20 were close to it or whatever, but 21 regardless, there were quite a number who 22 left as soon as the new administration came 23 Can you recall what was given to those

employees, former employees by this

administration?

24

1 MS. KRAKE: I believe when people

2

3

4

5

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bargained for at the time. The reason for a

lot of people leaving was because there was

would have retired is what they had

a big fight on everyone's hand, if you want

6 to call it a conflict, of what was going to

7 happen with this administration and the

recovery plan, as Mr. Morgan referred to.

So as it was explained to all of us if you

10 could retire, you know, and knew what you

were going to get as an employee having

worked many, many years and that was a good

option for you and that would be something

that would be in your best interest. You

don't know how this is going to play out

16 after all of these years and that was pretty

much the reason, I believe, that most people

18 left for they would come in.

I know in the clerical union that I was president of they actually offered a double pension, which made at liability of the clerical pension soar. We had a very low liability unfunded liability and it practically quadrupled, quintupled by doing that and that was an incentive that they

1	gave to people to leave which, of course, we
2	are all paying for now.
3	MS. EVANS: That was what
4	MS. KRAKE: That was the money you
5	were thinking of?
6	MS. EVANS: Yes, that the double
7	pension had been offered and so the number
8	of the people took advantage of that.
9	MS. KRAKE: They had non-union
10	people piggy-back on that because it's
11	non-uniform pension and so on and so on and
12	that was not a very fiscally responsible
13	thing to do.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to clarify that
16	for Mrs. Schumacher, that didn't affect the
17	police and firefighters. That was strictly
18	on the clerical and non or the
19	non-uniform.
20	MS. KRAKE: Right, and I do believe,
21	and this I'm so I'm going to apologize in
22	advance if I don't have this correct, it's
23	the Supreme Court award actually addressed
24	the pension the way it was written so now
25	it's remanded back to Commonwealth Court, if

1	I have that correct, I don't think they
2	started over again, it's not like it's a
3	different path because it's in the award and
4	back
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: That's in response to
6	the 70 percent that she was discussing
7	because it was in this award so that's what
8	brought it back forward of.
9	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.
10	Is there anyone else who cares to
11	address council?
12	MR. HULES: Good evening. Greg
13	Hules, West Scranton resident and taxpayer.
14	I come to the podium this evening to discuss
15	some of the ways we can possibly get out of
16	the current debacle that this city now finds
17	itself in. I heard some solutions, some
18	plausible, some not, and I always sit in the
19	audience and listen to the many problems
20	that this city does have, but I'm here to
21	offer some solutions.
22	Last time I stood here at this
23	podium was during the budget fight last
24	year, and I offered some ideas that I
25	thought the city needed to get done to get

the city back on track, and I'm glad to see
that some of the suggestions I made, one of
the things about the parking meters using
debit cards was taken up and done.

At that time I stated that we cannot tax away the city out of this mess and that the city -- what this city needs is jobs and I know everyone is going to say of course it does, we all know this, but how do we get there? How do we invite businesses and give them incentive to want to move their business to Scranton? As we all know, businesses are moving out.

Well, I've come up with an idea to help solve this, maybe it's plausible, maybe it's not. I hope the first. We all know businesses needs incentive to move here to Scranton. Well, let's give them one. I would propose a plan that gives businesses a tax credit for every full-time employee they hire and I would offer -- give them like \$3,500 off the property tax, mercantile tax, or something that we can give them that can be negotiated between council and the business leaders in this city.

Furthermore, I would give them 1 \$3,500 for every Scranton resident they hire 2 3 and a \$2,500 tax credit for anyone that they 4 hire outside of the city from Dunmore, Old Forge, whatever have you. It's putting 5 people back to work, it's putting people in 6 7 work in the city, and we are going a wage 8 tax out of this. We are getting something. It's a win-win for everybody and I think 9 10 that's what this city needs, a win-win. 11 I'm sick and tired of hearing about 12 us losing all of the time. Let's win something. The bridges are going to break, 13 14 you know, they get lower taxes, there are so 15 many businesses that I have talked to that 16 are paying outrageous property taxes, you

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, and we are getting an influx of wage taxes and maybe they will move to Scranton.

As we all know, there is a lot of empty buildings, a lot of empty homes in the city. We do not need more taxes. We just need to privatize and budget wisely.

I don't agree with the 1 percent tax on the University of Scranton students.

We've got to get real. We are basically

punishing students because we feel that the University isn't paying their fair share. That's the way I see it and while I somewhat agree that they don't, I think this is not the way to get money from the University. It's like a tax against the University and that hurts their students. It will just alienate further the University towards the city. Like it or not, it's state law that protects them as a nonprofit. You are going to have to go to Court to fight it and, frankly, we don't have the money or to fight it so why take, as Mr. Miller says, why take the risk. I call it a gamble. Well, we can't afford gambling, okay, we are broke.

If I have my last \$100 and I'm going to the casino and I need to go to buy groceries or the casino, well, I'm not going to the casino and bet on red, you know, I'm not going to do that. I'm going to budget and buy groceries, budget wisely, use the money wisely. I look at it as an investor. I invest and I look at it from an investor's point of view. The investor in this case is the University of Scranton. We are asking

them to city.

city.

dysfund

council

right r

is run

the cit

what we

Scranto

them to invest in the company, which is a city. We have a bad CEO as the mayor and a dysfunctional board of the directors, city council. Why would any investor in their right mind want to invest in a company that is run in the manner we have been running the city the last few years? But that's what we are asking the University of Scranton to do, invest in us. I wouldn't, as an investor, and I'm sure that's what they're thinking as well.

And I talked to business leaders, I talked to people at the University, they want to invest, they want to invest in the city, but they want our fiscal house in order first and we have to give them credible ideas rather than overtaxing the people as the solution.

Furthermore, to promote growth and to give incentive to new businesses to move here I know that people don't like the KOZ, but if we gave new businesses a KOZ zone for seven years but run properly I think this is a way to help and invite businesses into the city and here's how we do it. You give them

1	a KOZ for seven years. They have to pay
2	they have a seven-year break. If they don't
3	own the land and deed to their property and
4	the building they built, they pay all the
5	back taxes for those seven years. They
6	decide to move out of the city after their
7	seven years, they are responsible for the
8	demolition of that building they put there,
9	because we all know selling a vacant
10	building that's 30, 40,000 square feet is
11	nearly impossible.
12	Thank you. I know I ran over my
13	time this week. Thank you very much and I
14	hope you would take these proposals
15	seriously.
16	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
17	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jackie.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
19	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Frankie. My back
20	is killing me. My back went out. Well,
21	this week, what did you think, Frank? West
22	or Abington this week, what you did think,
23	Frank? What did you think, Frankie?
24	MR. JOYCE: West Side is going to
25	win.

1	MR. SLEDZENSKI: I'm going with
2	Abington. I'm going Abington all the way,
3	Frank, I have to. Okay, good luck Saturday,
4	guys. Good luck. Thank you.
5	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
6	cares to address council?
7	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
8	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
9	you have any comments or motions tonight?
10	MR. MCGOFF: Actually, I'd just like
11	to thank people for their well wishes during
12	my recent infirmity, I'm feeling better, and
13	again, thank you. And that's all.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman
15	Rogan, do you have any comments or motions
16	tonight?
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes, two brief comments.
18	The first one regarding the delinquent
19	garbage fees that were posted in the paper,
20	and I talked a little bit about changing how
21	the city collects garbage fees last night,
22	switching to a per bag fee, and I think the
23	reason why you see so many people that
24	aren't paying their garbage fee is because
25	the one bill in this entire city if you

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't pay it you still get the service, and that's the garbage fee. These people who have been delinquent for five, ten years, their garbage has still been picked up every day, and I have had a lot of residents that have called me with different ideas on how to address that problem, one is going to the per bag fee, the other is having some sort of sticker that you place on your garbage cans and you can only use cans to show that you pay your fee. You know, just a small sticker, just like, you know, a lot of neighborhoods have when they have permit parking that you would put in your car and that would prove to the garbage collectors that you paid your fee and if you don't pay the fee your garbage shouldn't get picked up, and the per bag fee would accomplish that, some sort of sticker system could accomplish that as well.

And the other thing, and it was mentioned here from the podium as well, that these changes would encourage more recycling and recycling really is a win for the city because every can, bottle that is recycled

instead of put in a garbage can that's less tonnage that we have to pay at the landfill and not only is it good for the environment, that's the added bonus, but the savings that we have at the dump would be astronomical if everything that can be recycled in the city is.

As was mentioned before, the county is and they should continue to expand the recycling program to accept more items if it can be done in an economical fashion. We see and there are entrepreneurs, I guess, scrap men that you see going through the neighborhood and grabbing different things that can be run to the scrap yard, and there is no reason that the county can't take those items as well because it's a profit actually you take and turn them.

So I definitely think when you look at garbage fees in the city I think we need to start from scratch figuring out the exact cost of garbage collection and the disposal of the garbage, which would be the tipping fees at the dump, and you average that out to either do a fee based on how much it cost

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

per household or how much it costs per bag and everyone should pay their fair share on garbage collection. If people aren't paying for their garbage to be picked up, the garbage shouldn't be picked up and they should be cited by the city. The free ride for some of these individuals has to end.

And there was a good point raised by a couple of speakers, and I think Mrs. Evans chimed in on it as well, that it's not only the taxpayers' fault, there is some people that I know for a fact didn't receive these bills or people that bought houses that had back garbage fees when they purchased the The city needs to be more proactive house. in getting these payments and putting them in a paper to try and embarrass them or notify them to pay is a good first step, but we have to focus on the delinquencies to get the people more to pay and we need to reform the entire system so that it's made to be a fair and equitable situation for everyone in the City of Scranton.

Personally, I don't believe that a single widow who has maybe one bag of

garbage a week should pay the same fee as

the family of 12 that may have 10 or 15 bags

a week, so that's definitely something I

think we should look at.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Refinancing was brought up, and I know I discussed this with Councilman McGoff last week and Mr. Joyce and a couple other speakers mentioned it before, I would support refinancing if it were to be at a lower rate and a lower cost over the entire portion of the refinance, but taking a one-year scoop out or whatever you want to call it where we would be paying less for one year and our rate would go up and we would be paying more overall, that's something that I would oppose, so depending on how that was structured whether I would support or oppose it. I find it very hard to believe and it would be great if we could that the city would could refinance any of our debt at a lower rate from what we are already paying.

Not only is the city's credit rating and creditworthiness been hurt in the media and in the banking community, but the

Ι

1 interest rates in general have increased. 2 purchased my home in December at a rate of 3 3.25, it's now 4.25, so it increased a full 4 percent in less than a year, and that's on a much lower level when you are talking 5 residential loans so I think we can't be 6 7 short sided regarding refinancing or any other items that can be proposed, but it's 8 9 definitely a discussion that we need to have 10 and if those proposals come in and they 11 would save the city in the long run, that's 12 definitely something that we should 13 consider. 14 And I will comment on a couple of 15 other items on the towing when they come up. 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, I said I didn't have anything to say but just a response to the refuse collections and the fee, as far as, first of all, just because -- because somebody doesn't pay the garbage fee I wouldn't want -- if that were my neighbor I wouldn't want their garbage not picked up. You know, I don't think you can discontinue the service because someone doesn't pay the fee. That affects not only them, but it affects an entire neighborhood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The problem has been, obviously, the collection of the fee. If we are going to change anything it should be in the way in which the fee is collected and enforcement of it, whether it is through citations and all for not paying, but any change or any program or any idea that would in some way discontinue service to anyone I think would be a detriment to the entire community.

MR. ROGAN: Just to recall for a second, if you look at every single bill as an individual or as a city or if you ask anyone if you don't pay your bill you stop getting the service, but the garbage fee in the City of Scranton is the one thing that people have gone over decades without paying and still receive the service, and I do I agree that we can't just let our garbage pile up on the streets, that certainly can't happen, but if it gets to the point where somebody is refusing to pay and they continue to put garbage out they should be cited, if they don't respond to the citation the home should be condemned, and that could

be -- you know, could be the repercussions

and if somebody is facing that as a penalty

I think they would pay their garbage fee

instead of letting it pile up.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But there is a lot of different ideas and it's definitely a discussion I think we should all have as a group. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just briefly. Not to beat a dead horse, but this is on the garbage fees, also. A lot has been discussed here, a lot of ideas have been presented and all valid, that list came out, I think we are on the right path to finally pursuing the collections on the garbage fees. There have been some mistakes and there is really no one to blame. It's been a combination of different things. I have done some research myself. I was -- I have an inquiry about the fee that was paid for 2009, and the first thing I want to say is you can go to the Treasurer's Office downstairs and there is representatives from

2 collecting the prior year's -- prior year's 3 garbage fees. Current year's garbage fees 4 are directed right to the Treasury through your Treasurer's Office. Some of the 5 mistakes I have seen have been the past due 6 7 payments have been sent on the current address to the Treasurer's Office and placed 8 9 in their account, so it's a matter of them 10 taking a few weeks to have to get the debits 11 and all of that straightened out, so that 12 happened to be in this particular case I looked at they had the cancelled check and 13 14 everything, but it was sent to the City 15 Treasurer's account and deposited there and 16 now Northeast Revenue has to wait to get it 17 back and to credit this particular one, but 18 it's in the process. 19 But, you know, the representatives 20 from Northeast Revenue are down there, they 21

Northeast Revenue that collect -- that are

1

didn't put the names in the paper, they
didn't do this, all I ask is that if you go
down there and you were wrongly put in the
paper or even if you have any kind of an ill
will don't take it out on the

representatives, they are just there to do
their job and I found them very helpful,
very courteous to everyone that's been
there. They will bend over backwards to try
and look up what the problem is.

As was the case, several years ago there are some bad records out there and I think Mrs. Evans mentioned with the prior collector, so it was a tough job for Northeast Revenue to try and come up with the current list and I think they have done a good job.

Several issues like Mr. Rogan stated, someone purchasing a home that may have garbage fees owed on it for years and not knowing about it until after they purchased it. Perhaps we could do like at Sewer Authority does and put a lien on it if there is garbage fee over a certain amount and if one is purchasing that house that lien shows up so that has to be taken out at closing, maybe we could look at something like that to alleviate those problems.

And there has been situations in a few instances where homes have been

converted maybe and it was a double home where the parents lived on one side and that and the parents have then moved on or passed away and the individuals have converted it to a single home to raise their children and expand and they are still being listed as multiple dwellings and that. You know, with the proof the home has been converted to a single home to raise their children an expand and they are still being listed as multiple dwellings and that, and with proof the home has been converted with the meters, all of that stuff, utility bills, I believe Northeast Revenue will be willing to work with you on those aspects, also.

So there is a lot of resolutions here, but, you know, it is shocking to see the amount of money out there that's owed and for how long it's been, especially for some individuals I don't know how, you know, someone got away with it so long, but just bear with it and I hope we are on the right path now to, you know, keeping up-to-date with this stuff.

And I think in the past couple of

years you have seen our efforts to generate revenue by doing different things are finally starting to come to fruition. It's been an uphill battle and the city if they kept their eyes and ears open years ago I don't believe would have been in this bad of a position at this time, so we have all done our part to try and alleviate many of these problems so it doesn't fall on the back of the taxpayers because that's who it falls on. If we can't pay our bills because other people don't pay theirs that's what happens.

And, you know, just to go on off on a tangent, Mr. Hulse I believe it was mentioned about the University and stuff like that, I believe -- I look at it this way. If I was living in a home free of charge and I say I had a barber shop or whatever and the doors were falling off the barber shop it's not too appealing to bring customers in. If I was in that position that I was so embarrassed and tried to bring people in I would try to help the person that owned the property since I'm there tax free. That's the way I look at it. I know

ı	people look at it dillerently. But, you
2	know, at this point in time the city is
3	hurting and we are hosting a lot of these
4	nonprofits and we are not asking for a
5	permanent commitment, we have asked
6	legitimately for a temporary commitment and
7	yet we haven't had a conversation come back
8	from basically anybody, and that is all I'm
9	saying. The doors are open, the windows are
10	open, I'm happy to, and again, I have got
11	family members that have been big
12	contributors to the University. I have got
13	family members that have been great have
14	great jobs as students graduating from the
15	University, but, you know, all we are asking
16	for is a little bit of help, and not just
17	the University, but I understand, and
18	everyone has a different idea on that, but I
19	just had to throw that out. Thank you.
20	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe
21	Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
22	or motions tonight?
23	MR. JOYCE: I do. First I'll
24	well, I'm going to address a few things
25	tonight. One I'm going address the hudget

a little bit; two, I'm going to address

borrowing for the Supreme Court award and

possible refinancing; and three, Mr. Rogan

brought up a very good issue about trash

fees in the city and I did want to add some

comments to that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, the budget. I did call the mayor's office today and I tried to reach Mayor Doherty. I believe at the time I called he was a little tied up or he was out of the office, but I spoke to the secretary in the office and what I planned to do is meet with Mayor Doherty hopefully next week to discuss the budget more, maybe exchange ideas or reinforce ideas that have been provided by myself and see exactly where the administration is, so I was looking to have that meeting on a Wednesday -- well, I don't want to say, between the afternoon and evening hours, possibly like about 4:00, so that's pretty much where I will -- or I'll stop with that.

As far as borrowing for the Supreme Court award and the MMO this year and the possibility of refinancing debt, I did

receive a call from Mike Judge from CaseCon, 1 2 and I spoke to him for quite some time this 3 afternoon after work, and actually it drug 4 out into a long conversation and actually made me a little tardy for tonight's 5 meeting, but basically we have some lenders 6 7 in the picture. Janney Montgomery Scott, 8 obviously, is waiting to see the budget and what comes out. We have another lender that 9 10 CaseCon is looking at that's sort of a 11 little silent right now, and we have an 12 another lender that is interested and they 13 are in the phase of gathering information 14 and evaluating information. Some of the 15 information that they are gathering are 16 explanations of things such as tax rates and 17 etcetera, what might possibly be done in the 18 future. They are looking at our cash flows. 19 They are looking at our pension system. 20 They are looking at the debt that we 21 currently have in the city, so that's are 22 all things that are being taken into 23 consideration. 24 I know that Mr. Judge from CaseCon

is working very diligently. I usually speak

something I want to see get completed sooner

I bother him for updates because this is

to him about, oh, twice a week and sometimes

4

2

3

than later. Hopefully by the time I am off

5

of council there will be at least something in place to pay the arbitration award that

6 7

we owe as well as cover the borrowing for

8

the MMO this year so it's not carried over

9

into next year, and that's very important

10

because that's another five plus million

11

Now, as far as refinancing, you

dollars.

12 13

know, obviously there is a possibility that

14

we could refinance to the same interest

15

rate, a lower interest rate, or a higher

16

interest rate, there is only three choices.

17

I am looking at a possibility of a scoop out

18

refinance. Now, that would abate some of

19

our debt service payments for 2014. If it's

20

possible, it will be done. If it's not

21

possible, if the lending community does not

22

like Scranton's financial position and they

23

feel that it's too weak then it won't be

24

done, but if you don't favor refinancing or

25

scoop out that leaves very little options to

explore as to how to replace that revenue or save that money or generate the excess \$5 million in revenue, so the only option we have been provided that we know are legal or property tax increases or wage tax increases or refuse fee increases or fees or additional permit increases or you could increase the real estate transfer tax, all things that we don't want to do or we want to limit. So just saying if you don't favor that you if have to come up with \$5 million more.

And as far as garbage fees, I think Councilman Rogan brought forth a very interesting idea and we do have a problem in this city with the collection of refuse fees. It's very evident. When there is 15 pages of non-taxpayers in the Scranton Times, it's very evident that there is definitely a major issue with collecting the fee.

Now, I have noticed, you know, I have been trying to look at what other communities are doing to see what could possibly be done in Scranton. Obviously,

there is the flat fee rate, which we currently have. There is the option of a per bag charge. There is the option of some communities actually sell garbage bags that residents purchase, which I'm not sure how you implement that in Scranton, but I think Mr. Rogan brought forth some very valid points and, you know, the thing I worry about is it too late to actually enact something like that because with the change of the system of how you are doing something comes the implementation of it. How do you actually do it, how did you change this system? How do we convert to a per bag fee?

And I have seen another interesting thing would be to maybe require the garbage fee to be paid by a certain date and if it's not paid by a certain date -- or when you pay -- when you pay the garbage fee maybe the city issues a sticker that you place in your window to ensure that you have paid the fee and then if you don't pay the fee, obviously, you wouldn't get the sticker and then maybe a fine could be imposed.

But it would be interesting, I would

encourage more discussion with Mr. Dougher

from the Department of Public Works possibly

and the business administrator from Mr.

Rogan because I think it's a very -- it's a

very valid idea. It's something that may be

able to work.

While we are on the subject of trash fees, Northeast Revenue, who collects our delinquent trash fees, has sent us a report for the period ending September 30, so for the month of September Northeast Revenue collected \$54,239 in delinquent trash fees for the years of 1999 to 2012. Their fee for collection was \$8,561 and change so the city received \$48,516 from that.

And delinquent refuse fees that are more than one year past due, that Northeast Revenue as we know also collects, during the month of September to report they collected \$39,260.87 so I would like to commend Northeast Revenue for continuing to do an admirable job in collecting delinquent taxes and delinquent refuse fees and going after the non-payers. And that's all I have for tonight.

1	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good
2	evening. In response to city council's
3	request for an evaluation of water runoff
4	problems on Augusta Avenue, Lemon Street,
5	Gaston Place and North Main Avenue, and for
6	a meeting among the city engineers, Scranton
7	Sewer Authority representatives, Johnson
8	College representatives and homeowners,
9	Johnson College provided the following
10	letters which it asks that I read aloud.
11	"Dear Mrs. Krake and members of the
12	Scranton City Council: Johnson College

"Dear Mrs. Krake and members of the Scranton City Council: Johnson College would be happy to meet to discuss the solution to the water issues occurring in our neighborhood. The college has been dealing with the same water issues on our property for many years. Our concern is just as strong as our neighbors in finding a solution to the storm water problem impacting our campus along with our neighbor's properties.

Johnson is dedicated to finding a reasonable solution to this issue. The college has hosted several meetings with our neighbors to try and remedy and/or alleviate

1 some of the problems. Here are the recent 2 meetings that have occurred with residents 3 of Augusta Avenue, Lemon Street and Gaston 4 Place, as well as other meetings we have been part of in relation to the new building 5 and the water issues. 6 On June 2011 a pre-meeting with 7 neighbors prior to beginning the 8 9 construction on the new building in 10 question, which is the Health Science 11 Technology Center. The college's 12 maintenance supervisor, Mr. Bill Kelly, was 13 in attendance as well as Mr. Joseph Durkin, 14 an engineer from Riley Associates. 15 2011 and 2012 Mr. and Mrs. Doug 16 Evans kept in contact with Ms. Leonard, 17 senior vice-president of college 18 advancement, and Mr. Kelly of the water 19 issues. The college also heard from and 20 worked with Mrs. Adele Snyder, another 21 neighbor on the water issues.

22

23

24

25

September 2012 a letter was sent to Mark Dougher, director of the City's DPW expressing concerns about the water. In September/October 2012 Mr. Dougher met with

1 Mr. Kelly from Johnson College.

Also in October 2012, the college organized another meeting with the neighbors to discuss the water issues. Mr. Dougher was invited to this meeting but unfortunately couldn't attend. Mr. Durkin was in attendance, however, to discuss the issue.

In November 2012, the college installed curbing for one of its neighbors, Mrs. Adele Synder, at 3227 North Main avenue to try to help in the management of the water that was affecting her property.

In the Summer of 2013, Mr. Kelly and Mrs. Leonard met with Mr. and Mrs. Evans again to further discuss the water issues, and in October 2013 the college worked with Mr. Evans to organize another meeting of the neighbors to discuss these same issues and at this meeting Mr. Kelly and Ms. Leonard explained their issues at play, reiterated how it was still impacting the colleges property, too, and offered to provide more curbing, which could help neighbors.

Everyone seemed to agree that the

1 the curbing was only a temporary solution 2 and the neighbors declined the college's 3 offer. It was agreed at this meeting that 4 the next step would be to have another meeting and include someone from the city 5 and Mr. Larry West from Senator Blake's 6 7 Office and Mrs. Leonard was in the process 8 of trying to schedule this meeting when she 9 heard from Scranton City Council. 10 Johnson College will move forward 11 with organizing the meeting between the Sewer Authority, the city engineer, and the 12 13 affected residents." 14 They were in the process of getting 15 dates from Mr. Pocius, the city engineer, so 16 that a meeting could be scheduled as soon as 17 possible and the college would be happy to 18 report back the findings from the meeting to 19 Scranton City Council. 20 They also enclosed a letter that was 21 sent in 2012 to Mr. Dougher about this same 22 issue. 23 "Dear Mr. Dougher, I would like to 24 bring some information to your attention

that I have become aware of since the

addition of Johnson College's newest
building to it's campus, the Health Science
Tech Center. The new building is located
toward the rear of the campus close to Lemon
Street and, therefore, we have been keeping
in close contact with our neighbors in that
area regarding all aspects of the project.

In conversations with a few of the neighbors, we discussed some water runoff issues that we think the City of Scranton should be made aware of and they are when it rains quite a few of the neighbors get a lot of water in their yards, and we also found that there is an area of a drainage pipe that is broken which may be contributing to the water build up issue on Lemon Street. Silt and sediment gather due to this break and the college is going to be cleaning out this area and repairing the break through the area of the pipe that is causing this build up.

The larger issue is the fact that there are no storm drains in this part of Scranton and, therefore, no place for any of the water runoff to go except in the

1 different properties in this area." Now, in addition, this letter 2 3 notified us that the requested meeting will 4 be conducted on October 23, 2013, at 10 a.m. in Johnson College's Richmond Hall, 5 classroom 200, and I look forward to 6 receiving the minutes of that meeting to 7 8 determine if the homeowners water runoff 9 problems are being addressed. 10 Next, a few months ago I received 11 the results from the Tax Assessor's hearing 12 on the appeal of the real estate taxes for 13 two properties assessed to the Scranton 14 Parking Authority, the former Molly 15 Brannigan assessment of the 74,000 was 16 reduced by 12,000 to \$62,000. 17 multiplied by the millage for improvement 18 only taxes decreased from \$1,898.54 to \$1.590.67. 19 In addition, Novicare's assessment 20 21 of \$52,000 was reduced by 12,000 to 40,000. 22 In terms of improvement only, taxes dropped 23 from \$1,334.11 to \$1,026.24. In order to 24 determine the amount of tax revenue lost

because of the approximately 30 Scranton

1 businesses that filed appeals of real estate taxes in October 2013, I asked Mrs. Krake to 2 3 contact the Tax Assessor's Office to obtain 4 the appropriate information and correct figures and upon receipt of that information 5 I will report it to the public. 6 7 Next, the League of Women Voters 8 mayoral and school board debates as well as the recent candidates interview will be 9 10 broadcast by ECTV on Channel 19 throughout 11 the coming weeks leading up to general 12 election day, November 5. 13 And, finally, I have one citizen's 14 request tonight, city residents again ask for the paving of Kane Street. And that's 15 16 it. 17 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. RATIFYING AND 18 APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF 19 SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF COMMUNITY LIFE 20 21 SUPPORT, INC. (CLS), TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH 22 FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE 23

ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE

DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF

24

1	\$325,474.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS
2	"NEONATAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECT" LOCATED IN
3	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE
4	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
5	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,
6	IF SUCCESSFUL AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
7	LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND
8	COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
9	PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT
10	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$325,474.00 AWARDED BY
11	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH
12	PROJECT.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into its proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

1	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. RATIFYING AND
2	APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF
3	THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON SEWER
5	AUTHORITY, TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
6	PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH
7	FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE
8	ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE
9	DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT
10	OF \$415,695.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN
11	AS "STREET SWEEPER PROJECT" LOCATED IN
12	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. THIS RESOLUTION
13	SHALL ALSO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND OTHER
14	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT IF APPROVED,
16	AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE
17	ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER
18	WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO
19	ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT AWARDED BY THE
20	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH
21	PROJECT.
22	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
24	introduced into its proper committee.
25	MR. ROGAN: So moved.

1	MR. JOYCE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question? This
3	\$415,695 proposed grant will be used for the
4	purchase of two street sweepers, one for the
5	City of Scranton, one for the Borough of
6	Dunmore.
7	As I perused the application and its
8	line items, I noticed that of that let's say
9	\$416,000, \$24,000 was plugged in for OECD
10	for the administration of the grant and
11	follow-up. And so I'm certainly wondering
12	if each time OECD is handling these grants
13	situations for whatever entities if they are
14	receiving a fee to do so.
15	MR. ROGAN: Especially in this
16	scenario where only half of the grant
17	directly benefits the residents of Scranton.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes.
19	MR. ROGAN: We are using, even
20	though it's federal tax dollars, it's still
21	our tax collars and that money, if we could
22	reduce the administration, would be more
23	money available for other programs.
24	MS. EVANS: Or I'm thinking that
25	exactly it within the CDBG if we could maybe

1	take a look at how many fees OECD let's say
2	has received in the last year or two from
3	the projects that it becomes involved with I
4	think that it could give council a good idea
5	of what number their administration
6	allocation can be reduced.
7	MR. ROGAN: Absolutely, and just so
8	we can get more information in writing from
9	Ms. Aebli, Mrs. Krake, could we please send
10	a request, that request that Mrs. Evans
11	mentioned, asking how often that occurs and
12	why it occurs.
13	MS. EVANS: All those in favor of
14	introduction signify by saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
22	READING BY TITLE -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 50,
23	2013 - AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF
24	COUNCIL NO. 37 OF 2011 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED
25	"ESTABLISHING THE LIST OF AUTHORIZED TOWING

1	COMPANIES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
2	ESTABLISHING THE RULES, QUALIFICATIONS
3	AND STANDARDS TO BE FOLLOWED BY ALL SAID
4	TOWING COMPANIES, ESTABLISHING FINES AND
5	PENALTIES FOR TOWING AND FEES RELATED TO
6	THIS ORDINANCE" BY ESTABLISHING THE LIST OF
7	AUTHORIZED TOWING COMPANIES FOR THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING THE RULES,
9	QUALIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS TO BE FOLLOWED
10	BY ALL SAID TOWING COMPANIES, ESTABLISHING
11	FINES AND PENALTIES FOR TOWING AND FEES
12	RELATED TO THIS ORDINANCE AND AUTHORIZING
13	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
14	OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A TEN (10) YEAR
15	CONTRACT FOR TOWING AND RELATED SERVICES IN
16	THE CITY OF SCRANTON IN EXCHANGE FOR A ONE
17	(1) TIME PAYMENT OF THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND
18	(\$300,000.00) DOLLARS.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make
20	a motion to amend Item 6-A per the
21	following:
22	I make a motion to amend Item 6-A,
23	File of Council No. 50, 2013, in the last
24	line of the summary title after the word
25	"dollars" insert the following: "To be

1	credited to Line Item 01.331.333165, police
2	towing/storage fees in accordance with the
3	ordinance File of Council No. 50, 2013, as
4	amended."
5	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
6	table, is there a second?
7	MR. JOYCE: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question?
9	MR. MCGOFF: I was going to say just
10	an explain of what it changes?
11	MS. EVANS: Would you like to
12	address that, Solicitor Hughes?
13	MR. HUGHES: Sure, Madam President.
14	When the legislation originally came down
15	from the Solicitor's Office to say that the
16	\$300,000 would go into a special account to
17	purchase police cars I looked it over and it
18	was first of all, I thought there were
19	two that there were two items in the
20	title when by the Home Rule Charter there
21	could be only be one item in the title, and
22	it's a separate legislation that during the
23	next ten years its fees are increased, the
24	City of Scranton is going to get a portion
25	of the increase of the fees as the revenue.

I wrote back to Solicitor Kelly and told him that and not only that but that in accordance with this year's budget that the \$300,000 on this contract was a revenue source by a specific line item and is the line item is stated there. The legislation is then sent back with without the statement in there that if would go into the special account to purchase police cars.

However, in subsequent e-mails their intent was that he still thought that this would go into purchase police cars and not in the operating budget. I don't know any problem with this, this is a revenue line item in this year's budget for \$300,000, and I want it specifically stated even though it's not in the ordinance as sent down but only in the fourth whereas clause.

So I just want to clear it up, they do have the check, I told them they should put the check in his escrow account until it is passed, but then I received an e-mail and they put it into the account temporarily to purchase the police cars until the legislation is passed. That's why I want to

1	this to be specifically stated this money,
2	this \$300,000, is going to go into this
3	year's operating budget and that any future
4	monies that would come in over the next ten
5	years will go into the special account to
6	purchase police cars.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
8	MR. ROGAN: So in laymen's terms all
9	this does is appropriate where the funds go.
10	It doesn't change anything with the
11	contract.
12	MR. HUGHES: That's correct, and
13	that's good laymen's terms.
14	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
15	signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	You've heard reading by title of
23	Item 6-A, as amended, what is your pleasure?
24	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A, as
25	amended, pass reading by title.

1	MR. JOYCE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question?
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes, very briefly. As I
4	stated last week, I have begun reaching out
5	to many of the tow truck operators that are
6	listed, I believe there are 15 of them, I
7	know I haven't gotten to all 15 yet, but
8	from speaking to them from the most part
9	they seemed pleased with the deal that they
10	are helping the city, they are helping the
11	city a lot by doing this. We certainly
12	thank them for that, for filling the
13	\$300,000 gap that was in the budget and in
14	return they receive a longer term contract
15	which keeps the towing list at a set amount,
16	which is something which are two items
17	that I support.
18	And I just wanted to stress again
19	because a couple of people did mention,
20	residents that I spoke to, this doesn't
21	affect your towing if you are calling a tow
22	truck for private tow or even if you are in
23	an accident and you are able to request a
24	specific company you can still do that.

This is only for the instance when the

1	person doesn't either doesn't want a
2	specific company or if it's an abandoned
3	vehicle, accidents where a person is maybe
4	taken to the hospital and unable to make
5	that kind of request, so that's all this
6	affects. It doesn't change me calling, you
7	know, my favorite tow company to have them
8	come and fix my car when I get a flat tire,
9	so I just wanted to clear that up.
10	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
11	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
19	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
20	FINANCE-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2013 - SALE
21	OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY AT THE CORNER OF
22	LINDEN STREET AND TAYLOR AVENUE, SCRANTON,
23	PENNSYLVANIA, TO LINDEN TAYLOR, L.L.C., 56
24	LEDGE DRIVE, LAKEVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA, 18438,
25	FOR THE SUM OF \$2,500.00.

1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Finance?
4	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-A.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
21	I know last week I had mentioned an
22	idea that was proposed by our solicitor
23	concerning the sale of many of these
24	properties on which homes have been
25	demolished and, frankly, are too small on

which to construct a new home and our solicitor had proposed contacting residents who live on both sides of those properties if, indeed, there are two, if not one, and giving them these properties rather than selling them the property or, you know, if it were legal necessary maybe a charge of a dollar could be made, but in so doing we have so many residents who are already, maintaining those properties since they are, you know, directly adjacent to their own and they want to enhance their own neighborhood and it would also return those properties to the tax rolls.

So that I think is an idea that merits further investigation and something I think that could work very well for residents and the city and maybe when our solicitor clones himself, his body double, I'm sorry, can look into that because I do think that was an excellent suggestion, Attorney Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Well, you know, I want to thank you, but you know the problem with this is that many of these lots are very

wide, 100, 150 feet deep, which was the

4

2

3

standard size lot, you know, back in the

5

early 1900's all of the way up through the

narrow, they are the old type development in

urban development and they could be 40 feet

6

40's and, you know, right now where they

7

have huge tax delinquencies on them, there

8

is probably a demolition lien against them

9

and they are never going to be sold. Who is

10

going to buy them and put, you know -- come

11

through like with the last one here in the

12

Pittsburgh plan and, you know, come back and

13

make an offer and then put a house up on it

14

because it's not conducive to, you know,

15

modern development.

16

And just driving around the city,

1718

you know, you look at them and you think

19

that if you could just give them away, you

20

know, to an abutting land owner if there is two of them you could split them in half and

21

each one would have half of a lot and put it

22

back on the tax rolls and then they could

23

maybe expand their house or put up some

24

other type of improvement. And they have

25

just laying there fowl and, you know, you

1	drive throughout the city and you see quite
2	a few of them, and I think this could be an
3	idea that, you know, we are never going to
4	get any revenue out of them, they are really
5	a liability from the standpoint that, you
6	know, even though the city has tax title to
7	them that we are never going to be able to
8	really sell them, and I think if we look
9	into it some way that it might be a way to
10	get property right now that's totally
11	unproductive back onto the tax rolls and
12	maybe even add to some development in the
13	city. Somebody could put an addition on
14	their house or some other type of
15	improvement. I'll definitely take a look at
16	it.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you. If there is
18	no further business, I'll entertain a motion
19	to adjourn.
20	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
21	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
22	adjourned.
23	

1	
2	
3	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
4	
5	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
6	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
7	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
8	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
9	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
10	ability.
11	
12	
13	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD
14	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	