1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, September 26, 2013
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NARROZZI DRR. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT ROBERT MCGOFF PAT ROGAN (Not Present) JOHN LOSCOMBE NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR 

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 (Mr. Rogan not present.) 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 11 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the 14 reading of the minutes, please. MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. 15 MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD 16 17 MEETING HELD AUGUST 28, 2013. 18 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 19 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS FROM 20 21 ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED AUGUST 29, 2013. 22 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 23 If not, received and filed. 24 MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CONTROLLER'S REPORT

FOR THE MONTH ENDING AUGUST 31, 2013.

1	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
2	If not, received and filed.
3	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
4	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER
5	25, 2013.
6	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. TAX ASSESSOR'S
9	REPORT HEARING AND APPEAL RESULTS FROM
10	SEPTEMBER 4, 2013.
11	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. TAX ASSESSOR'S
14	REVISED COMMERCIAL REPORTS HEARING DATES TO
15	BE HELD OCTOBER 17 AND 24 OF 2013.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	Do we have any Clerk's notes
19	tonight, Mrs. Krake?
20	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
22	council members have announcements at this
23	time? The Elm Park Church located at the
24	corner of Jefferson Avenue and Linden Street
25	in Scranton will present the program "An

1	Early History of our Church and Community"
2	on October 7, 2013, at 6:30 p.m. Speakers
3	include Gino Aleo, historical interpreter,
4	who will provide an overview and insights;
5	Tom Costello, who will offer an illustrated
6	presentation of the America artist PW
7	Costello, whose works are displayed in the
8	church; and Sandy Connell, who will present
9	personal family accounts of William Connell,
10	former president of the Board of Trustees
11	and US Congressman. The public is invited
12	to attend.
13	And that's it.
14	MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
15	PARTICIPATION.
16	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker
17	tonight is Brian Fulton.
18	MS. SHEMANSKI: Hello, everyone.
19	I'm Kristin Shemanski and this Brian Fulton,
20	and we are here on behalf Scranton Reads.
21	Scranton Reads is a community reading event
22	which is organized by the City of Scranton
23	and the Albright Memorial Library every
24	year. Each year during the month of
25	October, the citizens of Scranton get

1	together and read a great work of
2	literature. They participate in book
3	discussions and special events related to
4	the chosen work.

Each year we have two main goals:

The first goal is to encourage reading amongst people of all ages, and the second goal is to bring in the community together through a common experience. Scranton Reads, One City, One Book, enhances the feeling of community by bringing people from all different backgrounds together and uniting through the love of literacy.

This year we have chosen Stephen
Crane's "The Red Badge of Courage", which
Brian has a copy each of you, and we hope
everyone can help us celebrate our 11th year
in Scranton Reads. There is a listing of
events including in the book and everything
is on-line on our website at
Scrantonreads.com so you can go on and check
everything out there.

MS. EVANS: Indeed. Thank you for all of the wonderful work that you do and for continuing this program year after year.

1	As a former English teacher, I'm certainly
2	an advocate of reading, and I'm hoping that
3	this does encourage everyone in the
4	community, young and old alike, to develop a
5	life-long love of reading and learning. So
6	thank you, again, for everything that you do
7	for this cause.
8	MS. SHEMANSKI: Thank you.
9	MR. MCGOFF: And as a former social
10	studies teacher, I applaud the great choice.
11	Very nice.
12	MS. SHEMANSKI: Yes. Thank you very
13	much.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
15	MS. EVANS: Ron Ellman.
16	MR. ELLMAN: Council.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
18	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
19	MR. ELLMAN: I certainly hope that
20	council investigates Mr. Miller's suggestion
21	last week. We've had 20 years of PEL and
22	they have never brought one positive thing
23	in 20 years. Here is something in five
24	minutes that's worth going into.

'	people in and out of wherever I go all week
2	long and it just seems like the public feels
3	that you people aren't listening to us
4	anymore. You know, half of the people in
5	this city are suffering and low income, last
6	week you were worried about the Mall
7	Association. Let them go broke. Let them
8	give us some money back for crying out loud.
9	We are facing all of these increases and we
10	have to worry about the Mall Association.
11	They are just a bunch of deadbeats. I was
12	at the mall last week to pay my kids' TV.
13	There is nobody there. I don't know how you
14	get people there, I don't wish it upon them,
15	but they just lack something. I don't know
16	what it is.
17	Has any of you all ever sat down a
18	wrote a letter to the governor about the
19	city? No. Why? All he gets as news is
20	what he reads in this newspaper I guess
21	that's full of lies and distortions.
22	MS. EVANS: Well, we did write a
23	letter to the governor
24	MR. ELLMAN: This is a spur of the
25	moment thing, if one of you will get in your

car and go down and make an appointment and see the governor I will pay for it. I will pay your gas, your motel, your food, because this city has been good to me. I love this city and I'm like Mr. Bolus, I'd like to give something. I can't afford to give a dinner like he does, but I can afford to pay for a trip. Something has got to be done about the University and the colleges. They are stealing us blind and all that happens is tax, tax and tax.

They got a bunch of stooges and write letters to the paper and express their exuberance how fortunate we are to have the university in our city. Nobody seems to bring up the fact that our children that are going to go to these universities sooner or later are being deprived of tax money for a good school system. It's just an endless circle that nothing is being done about. You know, every week this Oliver Morgan writes a letter and they print it. Just unsubstantiated nonsense. I write a letter they throw it away. They don't print it.

of any newspaper, a legitimate newspaper.

Yesterday Oliver Morgan had an 2 3 article that we should get rid of 4 Mr. Barletta and Mr. Marino, his boss is the one that has caused all of the misery that 5 half the people of in this city are facing. 6 7 His boss is the one that has caused a third 8 of the people -- a third of the children that he cries about with his crocodile tears 9 10 and nothing is being done, and if you people 11 just won't attack the University, and I 12 realize how powerful they are. I think they say all power corrupts, absolutely. 13 14 the Court system can say that Spruce is an 15 adequate corner and doesn't need anything 16 right now there is something wrong. A week 17 ago I was in traffic, the kids are all over 18 the place, and they are just going to the 19 across -- can you imagine what an 20 eight-story school building is go to be like 21 at that intersection? They need a cross 22 walk on the second story or something. 23 Well, thank you for your time. 24 if one of you would take me up on it, I made

it from my heart, I will pay every single

1	penny you bring me back. You don't look
2	interested. What about you, Jack?
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: I just don't think we
4	going to get anywhere.
5	MR. ELLMAN: Somebody take me up on.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: We've already
7	MR. ELLMAN: I'm good for the money,
8	I promise you.
9	MS. EVANS: I know. I know you are.
10	It's a matter of
11	MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.
12	MS. EVANS: You're welcome. We
13	wrote the governor several times in order to
14	have just the 2010 census certified by him,
15	which is what is to occur by Pennsylvania
16	law, and that took about a year before the
17	governor finally responded and we wrote over
18	and over and over again.
19	I have met many times with Senator
20	Blake. We had public caucuses here with
21	former state representatives and current
22	state representatives. We have asked them
23	for their assistance. I put before them
24	several initiatives that they could be
25	pursue legislatively in order to financially

1 help this city. Their answers were no, can't do that. So I don't know -- I don't 2 3 know if city council or a member of city 4 council would even receive an appointment to see the governor, but I now perhaps, I guess 5 I'll just be frank with you, Mr. Ellman, I'm 6 7 very jaded. I don't see the help coming 8 from the state. You know, I don't see that 9 they're anxious to assist us.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because, you know, rightfully so, the State of Pennsylvania should be stepping in and directly financially assisting the City of Scranton for the role it played in using this city as a test case in the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. We are unique. There is no other city in Pennsylvania distressed or not that this state used in a courtroom, and when the award came down the state walked away, and I asked the state to help us then because of their very active, very public role in this case, and you know what I was told? No. Nope. No financial help coming. So that's why I guess I'm feeling as I do, Mr. Ellman. I'm sorry.

Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

1	MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.
2	Doug Miller, Scranton.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
4	MR. MILLER: We are not too far in
5	the meeting and already a lot of very
6	important points have already been raised
7	this evening, and I'd like to sort of
8	piggyback on some of the things that
9	Mr. Ellman just spoke on and I do agree with
10	him. It's a long time coming for the state
11	to come in and intervene and assist this
12	city and it seems like, you know, we seem to
13	be talking to the wall competitively and,
14	you know, we just don't get anywhere with
15	this.
16	You know, we had our senator here,
17	we had our representatives here not too long
18	ago and, you know, you thought we were
19	getting somewhere about is seems as if all
20	we did was just kick the can down the road
21	further, and, you know, we were yes'd to
22	death, that's for sure, throughout that
23	caucus, but no help came from it and I think
24	that's a very troubling thing.
25	I do believe that you are absolutely

correct, Mrs. Evans, I do feel that the City of Scranton has been used as a guinea pig, you know a scientific test, you know, in terms of our relationship with PEL. They certainly aren't looking out for our interest, and I'm very upset about that as well.

7

3

4

5

6

23

24

25

You know, these resources that are supposed to be here aiding the city are doing the complete opposite and they all have an obligation to assist us. I think it was you, Mrs. Evans, awhile back you alluded to and made a good point we are in an instance the dying child or the sick child and the parent, which is PEL and our state legislators, have an obligation to come in and try to find a cure so that this dying child doesn't die off and, unfortunately, we are dying and, you know, we are now reaching a point where we are trying to determine whether or not it's necessary pull the plug and I hate it see it come to that point, but we are getting there.

Just when you think you see some light at the end of the tunnel and you think

we are getting somewhere we take three steps
back, and I really feel sorry for this
present council because I know you have gone
through a lot of obstacles and you have a
lot a things going against you.

You know, you've obviously try to do some positively things and you should be recognized and acknowledged for that.

Unfortunately, the cooperation hasn't always been there and when you don't have that cooperation from those that have an obligation to cooperate and assist, you don't get too far.

But, you know, I do believe we can overcome these challenges that we face, but it is getting late and if the state is not willing to intervene I do think, that as Mr. Ellman said, we need to continue to put pressure. I know it's easy to say that and it's easy to send letters and try to attempt to contact our state leaders, but, you know, they have an obligation and they are down back in session now and their focus should be fixing struggling distressed cities.

You know, it's my understanding that

they are down there, you know, concerned

about reducing the size of the state

legislature and, you know, it's priorities,

the little things. Where are we going here?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, we need to address these challenges. We are looking at pension shortfalls. You read the paper today the city is on the hook for \$6.3 million. You know, it doesn't look like we are going to come up with that by the end of the year and that's another debt we are going to be carrying over to next year, so just it's a trickle down effect. It just keeps continuing and continuing and when we think we are getting on the right track, as I've said, we take a step back. You know, we look at these union settlement and the judgment against the city and they can seize assets. You know, the unfunded debt borrowing.

You know, tonight I take a look at the mandate impact summary from last summer when council lead to the recovery plan and the other night at the Taxpayers'

Association it was brought to our attention

that, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, but I don't even believe the amusement tax at this point has been collected and we were projecting I believe \$200,000 for 2013, \$250,000 for 2014, and we haven't collected a dime of that, and these are things that we were expected to realize and have been part of recovery plan, revenue that's much needed, that we haven't seen a penny. I'm hopeful that we can get to the bottom of that and try to determine why that has not

been collected.

But there are a lot of issues moving forward and when we get into budget season looking into next year, in terms of revenue and where we plan on trying to generate revenue. Last week I did propose a suggestion to council in regards to a 1 percent tuition tax on post-secondary eduction. I would, however, like to clarify, there was a misstatement made last week and it's hard when you are in the audience sometimes to hear what individuals on council are saying, but to clarify on the collection of the tax, you are not taxing

1	the institution. As we now, you can't tax a
2	nonprofit entity, the way it works is the
3	tax is collected by the institution from
4	each student at the time of registration and
5	then at that time it's remitted to the city
6	and that's how collection works. I just
7	wanted to clarify that to the public.
8	But, you know, at this point in time
9	the financial challenges we face I don't
10	believe that any idea that's brought forward
11	by either the public or council, I don't
12	think we can say it's a bad idea. We need
13	money. It's a simple as that.
14	Unfortunately, we don't have the ability to
15	go out and pick money off trees or print
16	money ourselves.
17	We need solutions, and as I said
18	last week, it's going to take a vision,
19	creativity and a clear goal to overcome our
20	challenges, and I firmly believe we can do
21	that, but really need to put our minds to
22	work and together overcome these challenges.
23	Thank you.
24	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Just for a

point of clarification now, the state, some

state legislators I believe had formed a committee and their purpose was to revise or remand Act 47 and though nothing yet is official it appears from what we are all reading that the state would provide distressed cities, such as Scranton, an option of several tax increases or new taxes that it could levy in order to assist it in successfully exiting Act 47.

Now, I know this council had been very interested for many years in a payroll tax, and that's something worth looking into it, but we discussed the fact that initially the first year or two that's revenue neutral, so you are not going to see the benefits of that immediately.

As far as the or taxes go, that are being proposed, Scranton again is unique in that we have one of the highest wage taxes in the state so clearly I don't believe that the governing body of the city is going to even consider an increase in the wage tax.

As for the others, I really can't speak to that, but my own opinion is, because I will not be here next year, but my own opinion is

the solution is not simply in taxing, taxing and overtaxing the same group of people. The solution, as I said earlier, the state has a responsibility to come into this city and assist it financially. The state jumped in immediately after that Supreme Court decision and made the necessary changes to the law so that no other distressed city would ever be negatively impacted by a court award as this city has been, so everyone else was taken care of except, of course, Scranton, the guinea pig.

And for Scranton to be able to dig itself out of where it is now in addition to all that the city government can do, it needs the financial help of the State of the Pennsylvania who put us here and until that happens I don't know how successful all of the new taxation in the world is going to be because the people in this city, the population has been shrinking and the people in the city just can't support all of this financially. It's impossible.

So if the city -- or rather if the state would really like to help this city, I

1	have the answer for them, but they don't
2	want to hear it and when they do hear it
3	they tell me no. So anyway, enough of my
4	pontification.
5	And, Bob Bolus, you are next.
6	MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.
7	Bob Bolus, Scranton.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
9	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
10	MR. BOLUS: Ron, I'd like to thank
11	you for the compliment, but so you
12	understand it's people like Ron, Les and
13	others that show up at our dinners that
14	really make it happen. The volunteers and
15	the people. They just make me look good at
16	the end.
17	But interesting enough, we are
18	giving you a new bridge in Scranton, also.
19	Keep that in mind, too. When one of our
20	vehicles had hit the bridge on Moosic Street
21	we were blamed for all of the people not
22	making money and the businesses shut down,
23	but ironically almost eight months later
24	they decided to start repairing the bridge.
25	They started on this side of the bridge

coming out of Scranton. Something we had absolutely nothing to do with it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I find it kind of comical that when people point a finger they should know which way to point it. Nobody put any protection under that bridge. Cars ran under it for seven or eight months. No netting, nothing to prevent anything that could have fallen because the bridge was so dangerous. There was a bridge ready to fall down. Unfortunately, our vehicle impacted it and we have taken responsibility for that, but the District Attorney like to play games so they took \$20,000 of money and we are all broke, the city, county, we all have problems, and paid Boots and hanks Towing over 20 some thousand dollars to remove the machine from our property and store it. Where is the common sense? Where is the smoking gun?

They tore the bridge down when our engineers were coming in to examine it and destroyed all of the beams. Creates a spoilage issue because we couldn't inspect it properly for the stress that is needed,

so there is a lot of gains played and politics.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, Janet, the reason I brought that up is exactly when you going through with the state. Council is speaking out on behalf of the people. I went to Court, as most of you know, and filed a lawsuit against the University. Not because I have a vendetta or an issue with the University, it's about the dollars and cents we don't have because we have been taken advantage of to put it bluntly. There was a footprint, Boyd was very elegant in presenting the Scranton Plan with the University Plan when you cross that line you go to a commercial zone. You should no longer be considered tax exempt or nonprofit when you cross that line.

I went to the Court for a reason, was to stop it. Use the wisdom of Solomon, which we believe the judicial system should have approached with, since the Zoning Board and the University did absolutely nothing to determine the volume of people that will be coming out of an eight-story building

instead of a four-story building you. You
are not changing the footprint out, you are
changing it going up.

How many people were killed in the
World Trade Center? They didn't killed

How many people were killed in the World Trade Center? They didn't killed because it was out, because it went up. I expected the wisdom of Solomon by the judicial to sit there and say, "Wait, guys, you are asking me to decide right or wrong here, but it's your responsibility to go back and bring me a study, both of you. I can now make an intelligent, justifiable decision."

Got thrown out, so I went to Court and challenged for a reconsideration on that issue and the Court turned around and said, "Excuse me, get out, you have no standing. You didn't intervene."

So the failure on their part. I did intervene because I came here and challenged the council, as everybody knows, about voting against it to tear the building down before the zoning board had their hearing. It was overruled. Some members of council went and voted to tear it down. The Cart

before the horse. But while we are still pursuing it because we want a judicial decision on fact, not on political issues, not on the powerhouse of the University.

Everybody wants to see progress, myself included. I build buildings, I'm a progressive individual and so is everybody here, but the bottom line is don't take it from us. They get \$700,000 grant for equipment for the building. There are spending 40 some million dollars on it. They need a grant, but yet they don't contribute a darn thing to the city other than a token few dollars.

Yeah, I'm upset over it because you are a bully with money. You are taking advantage of the people in this city and you are not giving anything back. A fee against students. Fine. Too bad. I paid for my education, so did everybody else here. They didn't give us anything. If they are that concerned about it then reduce the tuition to the students that have to pay the fee. They are giving something back. That's the difference here. This is a group that gives

nothing and take everything and that's what upset me. I want them accountable for the people for the impact that it takes on us as we try to drive out there and all of these kids are running out in the street and we don't have the traffic control or the skywalk for them to go across to prevent an injury. One fatality, one critical injury, and everybody in the city is accountable for it because they let it happen when it could have been prevented.

Those are my issues, the health and safety and the economic impact to all of us in this city. Sure there is jobs and the unions are going to make money, but when the job is over we are they going? Back on the unemployment line because there are no other jobs. These are the issues that we need to do.

My other last part of this is we
have the ability to impose an impact fee. I
would suggest the fee of \$1 million on that
impact. Go out there right now and try to
get around the corner. There is a police
out there, you can't get in -- come here

1	through the day and forget about trying to
2	make a timeframe to get somewhere. It's an
3	impact fee. It's never been imposed on the
4	University when they tie us up on Mulberry
5	Street. Think about it, and they still got
6	Mulberry Street literally screwed up with
7	cars parking on it by the University of
8	Scranton. It wasn't meant it be, so let's
9	put a motion on the table to impose an
10	impact fee on the University of Scranton.
11	We have a police car sitting out there right
12	now directing traffic. We are paying for
13	it, not the University. That's the
14	difference here. Let everybody be
15	accountable, and I don't care who they are
16	or what political affiliation they are, stop
17	bullying the people and that's why I speak
18	out.
19	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
20	MR. BOLUS: If you would do that
21	tonight, Mrs. Evans, I would appreciate
22	seeing a motion on there for an impact fee.
23	Let's get justice for the people. Thank
24	you.

MS. Evans: Thank you.

Les

1	Spindler.
2	MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
3	Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and
4	resident.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
6	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
7	MR. SPINDLER: Taxpayer. I have
8	been meaning to say this for quite a few
9	weeks, too, I think Mr. Bolus got the shaft
10	from PennDOT. There were part of that
11	bridge that were torn down and replaced that
12	weren't even touched by his truck. I just
13	think that bridge had to be replaced and
14	they used Mr. Bolus as a scapegoat to fix
15	the whole darn thing and it's really ashame
16	and made an example out of him.
17	Moving on, I have to agree with
18	Councilman Rogan. He made a statement last
19	week the removal of Mr. Moore from HARB was
20	retribution for the way he voted. I
21	absolutely agree with that, and if he voted
22	the other way to keep Leahy Hall I think
23	this would have been a nonissue. As sure as
24	I am standing here, I'm positive of that.
25	It never would have been brought up. We

have bigger fish to fry in this city than

worry about removing someone because he

might be over his limit and how many years

he was there.

We are in danger of losing city assets to the unions because we can't get any money to pay them and this council is worried about removing somebody because of the way they voted. I think the priorities have to be put in more perspective. His appointment, yeah, it did violate a city ordinance, but there are other violations of city ordinances, too, which nothing is done about.

For example, there is an audit done every year and it's never on time in 12 years I have been coming here it's never been on time. I don't even know if we have the one from this year yet and it's due in May and nothing is done about that, so it just seems like this council is picking and choosing what they want to do. I was always in favor of this council, but, like I said, I don't think it was right to remove Mr. Moore from that position.

If you want to remove somebody, the people that should be removed is the Pennsylvania Economy League. They along with Chris Doherty are the reason we are in the shape we are in. They get free reign to borrow and spend and those are the people that should be gone and not Mr. Moore. Taking him out of his job didn't benefit this city in any way. PEL should go and maybe we would better off in this city.

We need -- we have to get somebody to loan us this money, but I don't know if that's going to happen because the interest is just getting higher and higher and the amount of this award \$21 million, but I don't think the unions would sell any assets because that would be cutting of their nose to spite their face, but I don't know what the answer is going to be because, as is evidenced we can't get any money. There is no banks that want to give the city money anymore, so I hope something is worked out.

Last week Councilman Rogan brought up Senate Bill 76, I spoke to Senator Blake.

As Councilman Rogan said, he not on board

1	with that. I saw Senator Balke Sunday, to
2	make a long story short he said right now he
3	is not on board with it, but they did hire
4	two people to do a study on it. He said if
5	those two people come back and tell him that
6	Senate Bill 76 will work he said he will be
7	all for it, so I hope that's what happens.
8	Lastly, the commuter tax, I am
9	totally on board with the commuter tax, but
10	I hope the city is a lot more prepared this
11	year going in to ask the Court for that than
12	it was last year. Mr. McGowan went in last
13	year, made a fool of himself and the city,
14	so I think Councilman Joyce should be in
15	charge of this. He is very smart as far as
16	numbers are concerned and, like I said, I
17	hope we are a lot more prepared this year
18	than we were last year. Thank you for your
19	time.
20	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.
23	MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
24	MS. EVANS: Good evening.

 $\operatorname{MR}.$   $\operatorname{MORGAN}\colon$  The first thing I have

here is look it, I really respect every individual who comes here and this council, but, you know, sometimes I just don't agree and putting a fee on students I just totally disagree with, and I know the kinds of situation the city is in financially. These children were wearing diapers when this community went under Act 47 and, you know, I think it's time that really stop trying to, at least as far as young adults are concerned, trying to strap them with the responsibility of this debt. I think that we should offer young adults opportunity, all right, and I don't think we should penalize them. I think our answers are somewhere else. 

Mrs. Evans, you talked about being used as a guinea pig for act 47. I agree. I just think that desperate times and desperate situations are going to propel maybe the wrong ideas to come forward out of desperation, and I could never, ever support levying a tax on a student regardless of what the reason was because I firmly believe that young adults you should offer

1 oppor
2 this
3 an op
4 chang
5 to ma
6 Commo
7 maybe
8 chang
9 Court
10 assis

opportunity to and I think the residents of this city and across this Commonwealth had an opportunity to vote and to make the changes necessary to this form of government to make it responsive to the needs of this Commonwealth and it just didn't happen and maybe it's time to consider a new format for change. Maybe it's time to proceed into Court and ask the Court to grant some assistance, but that would be based on law and what we could actually do.

I don't want to disagree with Mr. Bolus here, but I don't think Solomon has anything to do with the Court, I think it has to be do more with Caesar. The Court has to do with power and privilege and I think that's the thing we face. When you read case law, and a lot of other things tied to the Court, that's the opinion I get.

But I really think that, you know, we should never sell the Scranton Sewer Authority to pay the judgment for the employees, okay, the money we owe the city's employees should never happen, allegedly that's an idea that's being floated. I

think that's one asset we shouldn't divest

ourselves from. I think that we should make

yearly payments to the city employee.

As far as the pension is concerned,

I think the city needs to move away from the
pension plan. I think that we need to come
up with a plan where every week we will put
in the employee's pay their pension
contributions so that we will ever again be
behind the eight ball and let them invest it
the way they see fit.

I mean it's time for the -- and I understand where this council is because you are going to leave soon, but it's time for the next council to come in and take these seats, change the way the pension plan works, and we need to give the employees their contribution every pay period so that the requirements and the obligations are met and then what they do with that money is entirely up to them.

Because to be honest, and I think
that council hit that again today, the
residents of this city have just nor more
money to give. There is nothing left. The

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city is really faced with a situation where even now residents are leaving the city and abandoning their homes and putting them up for sale and, you know, levying all of the taxes on students and all of the other ideas that are going to come forward we can't tax ourselves into prosperity. We have to change the format and the way -- the direction we are going in and I just think for too long we have' lied on allegedly the PEL coming up with a plan, but we all know that when the first recovery plan was offered by Mr. Doherty it wasn't a recovery plan, so we followed a fail plan to no where, and then the PEL comes in a hearing with the three-judge panel acknowledges that they are not even interested in the city recovering. They want the bondholders to be paid.

And then the problem we found yourself with the employees, I totally agree that the state got us involved in a fight that they wanted us to win so that they could be propel what they intended to propel forward, but the city was left with the bill

1	so what we are got to do is we've got to
2	think out of the box and we have got to
3	change our direction and we have to the
4	could stop waiting for the state to come
5	forward. We have got to try to trigger the
6	Court into being advocate for the city
7	because laws have meaning even to
8	legislators. Thank you.
9	MS. EVANS: Thank you. John
10	Bradley.
11	MR. BRADLEY: Good evening,
12	President Evans and members of council.
13	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
14	MR. BRADLEY: I'm John Bradley, I'm
15	an attorney from Philadelphia, and I am here
16	just to let you know that there is an
17	organization being formed The Northeast
18	Pennsylvania Landlord Tenant Association and
19	it is being formed because a number of
20	landlords have concerns about various rental
21	ordinances which have been passed by local
22	governments in Northeast Pennsylvania.
23	Pittston, East Stroudsburg, Wilkes-Barre,
24	and, yes, Scranton.
25	We see a number of problems with the

1	rental ordinance which was passed last year.
2	Some of them we simply think are harsh or
3	unjust provisions, but that's a matter of
4	politics, others we think are possibly
5	unconstitutional.
6	I don't wish to elaborate ate any
7	further at this point, but I would hope that
8	I would have an opportunity to meet either
9	with your solicitor or with member of
10	council to discuss our concerns in more
11	detail, and we are going to be having a
12	public meeting in Pittston, this is
13	primarily of interest to Pittston residents
14	either October 3 or October 5, we have a
15	website www.NEPALTA.com, NEPALTA.com so you
16	can look on there and you will get some idea
17	of what our concerns are.
18	I just wanted to mention this you at
19	this time to make you aware of the fact that
20	we will be talking with you further about
21	this ordinance, possibly challenging certain
22	provisions in Court, we hope we can avoid
23	that. Thank you for your time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

24

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

1 MS. EVANS: That concludes our 2 speaker list for this evening, is there 3 anyone else who cares to address council? 4 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, council. Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxes paid, no 5 federal -- not for mortgage interest and not 6 7 for taxes paid or whatever or PA taxes paid, 8 no help. I don't fit into the other 51 9 percent or whatever. 10 Okay, I had an idea the other night at the taxpayers' meeting, and I have one 11 12 area here and hopefully help indigent people 13 with reverse mortgages in the tax office. A 14 lot of times this is just let go and 15 basically when it gets into somebody who is 16 in a nursing home with Medicaid you might 17 never see of any of that tax money because 18 it all gets very confused and if the house 19 gets sold or taken by Medicaid, you know, 20 it's over. It's about \$6,400 a month right 21 now for a nursing home, so the money goes 22 awfully fast. 23 Nonprofits, we mentioned before 24 about profit and it's not really a profit I

just wanted to note that nonprofit is called

a surplus. When they have \$16 million a

year like the University left over at the

end of the year, it is called a surplus in

tax language or in legal rhetoric.

And I had an idea parking downtown here, I come down quite frequently and I throw a quarter or two in the meter, and I noticed that it's way bigger -- the space I'm buying is way bigger than my car, so if possible could we some day look into compressing the spaces, adding more of them, and then maybe leaving one or two for poor old Bob Bolus' pickup truck and, you know, but have like pickup trucks or large vehicle only space and then you could possibly get three spaces out of two in a lot of instances, so it's just a thought.

Okay, in the paper today, and I won't bash the Times because they were against it, but there is a benevolent dictator law on PA municipalities before the end and what would do is all of us people that work hard to get people elected at the polls and donate money, we would have a dictator come in and push all you people

aside and he would -- he could actually auction off -- in Detroit they are auctioning off or trying to auction off parts of their Detroit museum pieces out of there, actually doing an evaluation on the Detroit museum, and a lot of these laws are ALEC laws, American Legislative Exchange Council, they are template laws that are just passed like the Trey Von Martin law which a young person lost a life, probably unjustifiably, and a liar and a coward to away with what he did and now Pennsylvania adopted a similar law.

I'm not anti-gun, but I don't want somebody shooting me over -- because they thought I took too much of their parking space, you know, they were scared or something and say something about it, and I might mention that they could possibly even come in and sell Nay Aug Park or whatever under the laws they have done it in Michigan, so it's something you really, really have to watch out for and anything you can do it's -- even if you, you know, I don't know sending letters doesn't do much

1 use.

But the county in today's paper donated 700 grand to the University project. What a Scranton. That's why I'd like to ask the county commissioners, you know? I went there one time and by the time Ms. Schumacher and I got through security the meeting was over. They practically had us do a striptease there.

And they there is also some other laws with all of these proposed tax shifts with last week I mentioned about how these states are giving away taxes, PA income taxes and stuff and allowing it to be deducted out of their employees' check and pocketed by the corporations and we are talking about piling on more sales taxes, all of this is tax on the small guy. It's all tax on the small guy, nothing about the Delaware loophole, nothing about people listing their address in Barbados, and it's just a very egregious, it's pretty sickening.

And one other note, I don't want to bash anything anybody, but hedge funds are

the people that are investing in water
companies and sewer companies, so it's
something you have to be cautious of.

And I'll make it quick, we have a new transpacific trade pack that was secretly negotiated that would surrender our sovereignty where a foreign company could sue the government for rejecting their product on safety and so forth and that's just what we need, you know, we don't want to feed anybody with food stamps, but we want another trade pack so we have more and more. What are they going to do when it's the 55 percent instead of the 47?

And we have the USIS, it's background checks service, and two of the people whose backgrounds were Mr. Olexi, who massacred 12 people last wee, he had a background check by this privatized corporation, and Mr. Snowden, which in a way Mr. Snowden did me a big favor because I have been telling people for years I don't want your stupid radical e-mails, you know, and it's being captured on -- and I don't want somebody thinking that I'm part of your

1	silly organization, so bawk, bawk for USIS
2	and the trade packs are both parties so both
3	parties get it and it's ashame because we
4	can't afford to be anymore jobless. Who is
5	going to pay taxes?
6	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
7	MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you and have a
8	good night.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
10	MR. HUGHES: Madam president, if I
11	could just comment on one thing?
12	MS. EVANS: Certainly.
13	MR. HUGHES: It's probably been 30
14	years since I looked at the deed from
15	Lackawanna Iron and Coal to the City of
16	Scranton for Nay Aug Park, but I believe
17	that in the event there is a diverted clause
18	in it that in the event that whoever
19	divested from the Scranton it would divert
20	to Lackawanna Iron and Coal, so any attempt
21	by the police and the firemen, at least this
22	is how I remember it, to take Nay Aug Park
23	and try to levy it for a judgment would be
24	totally ineffectual because it would revert,
25	the property would revert to Lackawanna Iron

1	and Coal. I believe right now that the PNC
2	Bank is the trustee of all of the assets of
3	the former Lackawanna Iron and Coal Company.
4	As I said, it's been about 30 or 35
5	years since I been through that deed, I went
6	through it at one time for a specific
7	reason, but without doing any further
8	investigation of it I believe that that's
9	what would happen, that property would be
10	immune and it would revert to Lackawanna
11	Iron and Coal to the trustee, which would be
12	PNC Bank now.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you, attorney
14	Hughes. Ms. Schumacher.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, good evening.
16	Marie Schumacher, citizen and taxpayer.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
18	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
19	MS. SCHUMACHER: First of all,
20	though it's not on the agenda tonight I
21	would like to state that I agree with
22	Mr. McGoff on increasing the rehab dollars
23	for low and moderate income homeowners
24	instead of first time home buyers. I think
25	that they are very deserving.

1 With respect to the money owed to 2 the firefighters and to the police unions, I 3 would like to ask one thing, first of 4 Mr. Loscombe, I know it's been long enough that I'm sure all of the numbers were worked 5 out, I would like to know how that breakdown 6 7 I mean, it seems to me that the -- that 8 what the plan called for was like a 2 or 2 9 1/2 percent a year ceiling on increases and 10 I don't know how that could have gotten to 11 the numbers that we are talking about 12 currently as a result of the judgment, so I 13 would like it a breakdown of what the award 14 is for if you have that or if you have the 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: I haven't been privy 17 to it myself. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: And how many 19 employees are included in that, are they 20 only from those that were employed as of 21 2002 through the time of the Court award 22 other than, of course, the chief who I guess

is retired and is paid -- is tied to the

getting, but I would like a break out of

current chief -- or to what the workers are

23

24

1 that if that's possible.

21

22

23

24

25

2 And with respect to the award 3 itself, I think you should ask Governor 4 Rendell, former governor Ed Rendell to intervene. It was his administration, he 5 was the governor, it was his appointee at 6 7 DCED, and if he's got a conscience perhaps 8 he could exert some influence, especially 9 when he is going to be running again because, I mean, it's ashame, not only are 10 11 we being taxed out the wazoo and it's only 12 going to get worse, but the equity in our 13 homes has just dropped like a rock. I mean, 14 unless you want to give your home away there 15 is no way to escape Scranton, we are all 16 prisoners if you own a home and it's very 17 sad. 18 And I apologize, I promised to get some things in that I had mentioned last 19 20 week, I did not get it done, but I will

definitely get to it.

I would like to know why the

Scranton Redevelopment Authority is

assisting Marywood to obtain a \$4 million

grant for a library of the future. I'm

1	certainly not against the library, but I
2	would like to know when the Scranton
3	Redevelopment Authority became the
4	Scranton/Dunmore Redevelopment agency? If
5	they can't find anything within the confines
6	of Scranton to help, I think they need to be
7	replaced.
8	And, Mr. Joyce, I believe we have
9	received something like \$1 1/2 million
10	racing revenue and all of it has been
11	dedicated to street paving; is that correct?
12	MR. JOYCE: Can you repeat that, I'm
13	sorry?
14	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, we have
15	received a \$1 1/2 million or \$1,500,000 and
16	another \$1 million from the gambling, from
17	the racing, I would like to know and it
18	was all dedicated by council and probably
19	the administration to street paving and I
20	would like to get a list of the streets that
21	are to be paved with those monies?
22	MR. JOYCE: Okay.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Is that the correct
24	figure?
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes, I believe it was

that amount or somewhere roughly in that
ballpark and I will try to obtain a list of
the streets that will be paved with those
funds.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then I'm going to skip over to this because I think it's important, I know I read in one of the articles that our new parking meter people are going to be taking pictures of license plates and I would like to know because I think we have a right to privacy and in Pittsburgh they are doing that and the photos of license plates are being stored for 30 days and are considered a public record making it possible for some motorist's travel and parking habits to be tracked just with a simple open records request, so I don't think that is lawful, I don't think it's constitutional, and I would like to know if the City of Scranton is doing that or its employees. Okay. Thank you, I'll be back next week.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

Mrs. Krake?

1	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
2	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
3	you have any comments or motions tonight?
4	MR. MCGOFF: Nothing this evening.
5	Thank you.
6	MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
7	Councilman Loscombe, do you have any
8	comments or motions this evening?
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: That makes two of us
10	Nothing this evening.
11	MS. EVANS: Councilman Joyce, have
12	you any comments or motions tonight?
13	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I just want to
14	speak briefly on a few things. Just to let
15	everyone know, proposals will be open in
16	city council chambers on Monday, October 21
17	for the 2014 tax and revenue anticipation
18	note for up to \$16 million so I'm hoping
19	that we have a number of bidders this year.
20	Second of all, I apologize that I
21	was ill last week, but I did have the
22	opportunity to speak to the mayor a little
23	bit about the upcoming budget and we talked
24	about a number of issues. One is the
25	commuter tay That's going to be something

1 that's p
2 City of
3 that, it
4 \$5.7 mil
5 is grant
6 such a t

that's pursued. Obviously, as you know, the City of Scranton has to go to Court for that, it's projected that this will bring in \$5.7 million to the city coffers if the city is granted permission by the Court to enact such a tax.

Also, there has been some dialogue between Mayor Doherty and Senator Blake about the possibility of obtaining extra liquid fuels money for 2014 in the range of approximately \$2 million or so and if this money was obtained, I guess in the mayor's conversation with Senator Blake, he said that it would be earmarked to pay Scranton Parking Authority debt, which is currently being paid for out of the general fund and it's projected that this year we are going to end up paying well in excess of \$2 million.

We spoke about refinancing the debt as. Well, this is something that I believe should be done. I know in speaking with our city clerk, Mrs. Krake, about the recent PEL meeting I understand it was brought up and PEL doesn't seem like they are for the idea,

I	but and they said it would be hard to
2	accomplish. Now, in the process of all of
3	this, I have also spoke to Mike Judge a few
4	times who is who was actually who is
5	actually a financial advisor and Scranton
6	City Council had previously passed an
7	ordinance for him to serve as a financial
8	advisor on the city's behalf and he
9	mentioned an interested group in putting a
10	proposal together to borrow the necessary
11	funds to cover the MMO for this year as well
12	as the Supreme Court award and the
13	possibility of refinancing debt into 2014.
14	As of this point, I'm sure if this
15	agreement has been signed or not to work
16	with the city. There has been some sort of
17	holdup in the law department office, I
18	believe, and I would like to defer to
19	Solicitor Hughes to explain that a little
20	bit more because I know that Solicitor
21	Hughes has been very involved in this
22	agreement or getting it completed.
23	MR. HUGHES: Well, thank you,

Mr. Joyce. I really haven't been involved

in the agreement. However, I'm --

24

MR. JOYCE: Right, I worded it a little bit wrong.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUGHES: Okay. CaseCon has submitted it's agreement to Mr. Kelly, and the ordinance that approved the hiring of CaseCon as financial consultant to the city authorized the appropriate city officials to execute a contract with CaseCon. From what I understand is that the agreement, there has been discussion between Attorney Kelly and Mr. Judge. He did revise the agreement, it was sent back, and before Mr. Kelly would authorize the execution of it he wanted Mr. Judge to state and to divulge who the clients are or the potential purchasers. wants a letter as to what the proposal is. Mr. Judge says until he has a contract he is not going to disclose that.

I did discuss this with the mayor and I said that the way it is right now that there is absolutely no activity on any financing by the City of Scranton for the police and arbitration police and firemen arbitration award. That's because Janney Montgomery Scott, who was under the previous

bond issues with the city, and I believe certain council members were at the meeting, demanded that the city -- well, they actually said council, it would be the governing body because the mayor would have to sign it, adopt an ordinance that they would fully implement the recovery plan as proposed by PEL for the year 2014 and its budget.

It was my advice that we would not do that based on the fact that they would have a commitment that the City of Scranton would have to raise taxes to the extent that PEL has demanded for 2014. Janney Montgomery Scott then stated that they would not take any further action on underwriting any municipal funding on the bond issue until the city adopted its budget in December and they would see what that budget is and then they would probably go forward with the bond issue for \$26 million.

So between now and we'll say

December 15, since the budget has to be

adopted by December 15, that there is

absolutely no activity going on to finance

1 the police and firemen arbitration award. It's in limbo. 2 3 Mr. Judge, the financial consultant 4 to the city has gone out and through his contacts has two possible groups of 5 investors that are at least willing to 6 7 discuss the financing of the police and 8 firemen arbitration award. I believe that -- I don't be, it's my opinion, that 9 10 Mr. Judge is totally correct in his attitude 11 in stating that he will not proceed any 12 further until a contract is signed and that 13 he has revised the contract. I have seen 14 the revisions as had the city's municipal underwriter, Stevens and Lee. They agree 15 16 with the revisions and right now I have no 17 idea where it is, but I did talk to 18 Mr. Judge on Wednesday and he has heard 19 nothing regarding his contract that has been 20 revised. 21 I know that he and the mayor did 22 discuss it, I believe it might have been 23 earlier in the week or at the end of last

week and Mr. Judge told the mayor exactly,

you know, what he would do, and that he does

24

1	have I believe it is two groups that he
2	could bring to the table to discuss with the
3	city this financing, but he is not going to
4	proceed until he is authorized, until his
5	contract is signed, so that if he does have
6	the commitment from the city that is
7	interest would be protected, and that's
8	where we stand right now.
9	So that I believe that the police
10	and firemen that that award in the best case
11	scenario, if all of the stars align right,
12	probably might, could possibly be financed
13	some time in January of next year, but not
14	before then if we proceed on the fact we are
15	if Mr. Judge if the contract with
16	Mr. Judge is not signed.
17	Anybody else have any questions, I'd
18	be happy to answer them.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just currently our
20	interest is accumulating monthly quite
21	heavily on that award.
22	MR. HUGHES: Well, right now since
23	it's been reduced to a judgment that the
24	interest right now is 6 percent per annum on

that award.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: So right now it's in
2	the city solicitor's hands?
3	MR. HUGHES: Yes.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: There is nothing we
5	can do to push it any harder.
6	MR. HUGHES: Council adopted the
7	ordinance appointing CaseCon as a financial
8	advisor to the city to obtain the financing.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MR. HUGHES: The mayor signed it.
11	That ordinance is in effect. I don't know
12	the number of the ordinance, but that was
13	adopted to the beginning of the year or some
14	time I think before March to put the
15	financing together and at that time it was
16	working with Janney Montgomery Scott and,
17	you know, things were going fairly well with
18	Janney Montgomery Scott until they demanded
19	that the city cart blanch said they would
20	just do whatever PEL says and raise taxes to
21	the extent that PEL said, and think that was
22	the 117 percent for next year and council
23	said we are not going to do that and as a
24	result Janney Montgomery Scott took the
25	position that they have to have that to go

1	to the investors in order to sell the bonds
2	So that they said they are not going to
3	proceed any further, and this goes back we
4	are in September now, I believe this goes
5	back to July, June or July, when we had the
6	meeting with them when they demanded that
7	council adopt legislation to actually
8	implement the recovery plan and council said
9	no, at which time they said, "We will see
10	you in December."
11	Kind of like the song "See you in
12	September." Now the summer is through, you
13	know, but showing my age, but that's where
14	we are and it puts the city right now in a
15	bad spot with the police and firemen and
16	there is that award that's been reduced to a
17	judgment.
18	MR. JOYCE: Okay. Thanks for the
19	update.
20	MR. HUGHES: All it would take is
21	with that agreement that has been revised
22	and it's been approved by, you know, by
23	Stevens and Lee, Brian Koscelansky, who is
24	the city underwriter, I mean the city's

he is the city's legal counsel on municipal

bond offerings, and I did talk to Brian

about it. In fact, I talked to him I

believe it was Tuesday and he was going to

get in touch with the mayor and see where it

was and I haven't heard back from Brian.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Thank you for the update and, you know, I don't like to bring up internal issues, but I believe that this is something very pressing and very important. At this point we are faced with a situation where we have a judgment filed against us, we owe \$21 million between the police and fire unions, that's increasing at \$100,000 per month, and we have an obligation to pay the MMO this year, the minimum municipal obligation, and if we don't pay that by December 31 we have a possible penalty of over \$500,000, which would be the projected 8 percent interest that payment would gain over that period of time.

So with everything in mind, I'll try reaching out to the mayor to see, you know, why this is taking so long and if there is some amicable way that the agreement could be signed and that an agreement could be

reached between both parties because if we have a financial advisor telling us that there are two groups interested in looking at borrowing for the MMO, the borrowing for the Supreme Court award, and the possibility of refinancing debt for 2014, I think that that those avenues need to be explored, and I think that the sooner those avenues are explored the better because, obviously, the budget going to be coming our way soon and we have to know exactly what we are in store for. And that's all I have to say.

12 for. And 13 
14 evening 
15 by a cir 
16 basement 
17 block or 
18 Augusta 
19 and the 
20 the right 
21 road it 
22 Street 
23 Augusta 
24 storm was 
25 storm was 
26 storm was 
27 storm was 
28 storm was 
29 storm was 
20 storm was 
20 storm was 
21 storm was 
22 storm was 
23 storm was 
24 storm was 
25 storm was 
26 storm was 
27 storm was 
28 storm was 
29 storm was 
20 storm was 
20 storm was 
21 storm was 
22 storm was 
23 storm was 
24 storm was 
25 storm was 
26 storm was 
27 storm was 
28 storm was 
28 storm was 
29 storm was 
20 storm was 
20 storm was 
20 storm was 
21 storm was 
22 storm was 
23 storm was 
24 storm was 
25 storm was 
26 storm was 
27 storm was 
28 st

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. During the week, I was contacted by a city resident who reported street basement and property flooding in the 3200 block of Augusta Avenue in Scranton.

Augusta Avenue is only two blocks in length and there is only one storm drain located at the right end of the 3200 block while the road itself is pitched to the left. Lemon Street and Gaston Place, which lead onto Augusta Avenue have no storm drains and storm water travels onto Augusta as a result.

Further, road deterioration has

ccurred at the intersection of Lemon Street

and West Avenue. Although street flooding

had been an issue for many years, it wasn't

until this past summer that homeowners'

basements flooded, the foundations of a

garage was destroyed and yards flooded.

Also, it is important to note that this type of increased flooding did not occur until after the construction of a new building at the nearby Johnson College.

Consequently, homeowners met recently with two representatives of the college to discuss the problem. However, the only action taken to date was the arrival of the city's street sweeper on Augusta Avenue to clean the dirt and debris left behind after storm water subsided.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, I'd like
letters sent to the city engineer,
Mr. Eugene Barrett, executive director of
the Scranton Sewer Authority, and Mr. Bill
Kelly and Ms. Katie Leonard of Johnson
College on behalf of Scranton City Council
requesting examination of the flooding

1	problems on Augusta Avenue, a written report
2	of their findings and recommendations
3	submitted to city council and the mayor, and
4	a meeting among the engineer, a
5	representative of the Scranton Sewer
6	Authority, a representative of Johnson
7	College, and the effected homeowners of
8	Augusta Avenue, Lemon Street and Gaston
9	Place to determine a satisfactory solution.
10	And that's it.
11	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT
12	THIS TIME.
13	SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE
14	- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48, 2013 - AN
15	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
16	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
17	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
18	SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE
19	FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE BJA FY 13
20	EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT
21	("JAG") PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION IN THE
22	AMOUNT OF \$23,391.00.
23	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
24	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
25	MR IOSCOMBE: I move that Item 6-A

1	pass reading by title.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
11	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
12	SAFETY-RESOLUTION NO. 41, 2013 - RATIFYING
13	AND APPROVING THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION
14	OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THE
16	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
17	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (DCED) FOR A LOCAL
18	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT (GAMING FUNDS) MONROE
19	COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$146,467.00 FOR THE
20	CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE
21	ACQUISITION AND INSTALLATION OF THE
22	COMMUNITY SURVEILLANCE NETWORK SYSTEM AT
23	SCRANTON POLICE HEADQUARTERS TO ENABLE
24	THE POLICE TO MONITOR CAMERAS TWENTY-FOUR
25	(24) HOURS A DAY, SEVEN (7) DAYS A WEEK AND

1	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
2	CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF
3	SUCCESSFUL, AND DISBURSE THE GRANT FUNDS FOR
4	THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT
5	ACQUISITION AND INSTALLATION OF THE
6	COMMUNITY SURVEILLANCE NETWORK SYSTEM.
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Public Safety?
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
11	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
12	final passage of Item 7-A.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
25	If there is no further business, I

1	will	entert	ain a mo	tion to	adjourn.
2		MR.	JOYCE:	Motion	to adjourn.
3		MS.	EVANS:	This me	eeting is
4	adjo	urned.			
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	
2	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
3	
4	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
5	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
6	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
7	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
8	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
9	ability.
10	
11	
12	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
13	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	