1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, July 18, 2013
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	AMELIA NICOL, RPR
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	0.777/ 0.5 0.00 1.1701/ 0.01110.71
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
4	FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT
5	ROBERT MCGOFF
6	PAT ROGAN
7	JOHN LOSCOMBE
8	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
9	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
10	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not present)
11	(Hot product)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	LACKAWANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
16	SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS
17	DATED JULY 2 AND JULY 9, 2013.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	REGULAR MEETING OF THE SCRANTON
22	HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD ON JUNE 3, 2013.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-C. ZONING HEARING

1	BOARD AGENDA FOR MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY,
2	JULY 10, 2013.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
6	FROM ROBERT ROSSI AND COMPANY DATED JULY 11,
7	2013.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. TAX ASSESSOR'S
11	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD ON JUNE 26,
12	2013.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. TAX ASSESSOR'S
16	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD ON JULY
17	24, 2013.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. APPLICATIONS ALONG
21	WITH DECISIONS RENDERED AT THE ZONING
22	HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY,
23	JULY 10, 2013.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

1	Do we have any clerk's notes
2	tonight, Mrs. Krake?
3	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
4	MR. EVANS: All right, do any
5	council members have announcements at this
6	time?
7	MR. MCGOFF: Perhaps we should
8	mention we did get a notice of the National
9	Night Out which will be held Tuesday, August
10	6th, at Scranton High School at 6 p.m. A
11	canine demonstration, fireworks display,
12	fire department vehicle extraction, they use
13	the jaws of life to rip apart a car, pretty
14	neat. There's information booths from the
15	various crime watch neighborhood
16	associations and there's always music and
17	refreshments at these and it's sponsored/-D
18	by the Scranton Police Department. That's
19	Tuesday, August 6, 6 p.m., at Scranton High
20	School.
21	MR. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake?
22	MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
23	PARTICIPATION.
24	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this
25	evening is Ozzie Quinn.

1 Ozzie Quinn, member of MR. QUINN: the Scranton taxpayers. Good evening. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 4 MR. EVANS: Good evening. MR. QUINN: I want to preface this 5 by saying I'm a graduate of the University 6 7 of Scranton, I graduated with a major in 8 sociology, that's trying to solve the social 9 ills. I think what that we have to bring 10 closure to this University of Scranton in 11 regards to PILOTS, nonprofits not paying 12 They sit up there on the hill and taxes. 13 they thrive on what they're doing and they 14 fail to recognize that if the city fails 15 they're in for a big surprise and the city 16 is in terrible debt and I can see the next 17 budget coming on board with a big, big tax 18 increase. I can't see getting any other 19 revenue. If you go ahead and leave Mayor 20 Doherty borrow again then shame on you. 21 I don't think the University caused 22 the problem, but I think it should be part 23 of the solution. A couple months ago I saw 24 in the paper that an elected official said

that Scranton should be like Providence,

1	Rhode Island and Brown University did so
2	much for the highest employment is from
3	Brown University in Providence, Rhode
4	Island, economic development money. Now,
5	I'm all for jobs, believe me, I was a card
6	carrier for the IBEW, you know, Local 81 an
7	I'm all for the jobs, but the fact is that
8	how are we going to continue.

Now, Providence, Rhode Island, it's official that was quoted as saying how well that Brown University, and he compared it to Scranton University. Well, Brown University I researched contributes \$4 million to Providence, Rhode Island's municipal government every year and there is a memorandum of understanding with all of the other colleges and all these other institutions in the city that if they buy a private property fine, but they have to continue paying taxes or part of whatever the memorandum cites, you know?

And I think it's time that we have to do something like this with the University of Scranton. They have to come up with a bigger -- pay into our coffers

1	with a larger amount of money. There is no
2	doubt about it, you know? We're not with
3	the number of taxpayers in the City of
4	Scranton, homeowners, property owners, we're
5	not going to be able to take another bang,
6	you know? It's going to drive more people
7	out of the city and we have I know it's
8	only July, but we've got to do something now
9	about this here and I think with the
10	University of Scranton I think there has to
11	be some kind of away that they got to sign
12	an agreement with the City of Scranton that
13	they're going to commit more monies to the
14	City of Scranton's coffers and that all of
15	the other institutions in the City of
16	Scranton do, too.
17	I mean, they create jobs fine and
18	there's people out there that needs jobs,
19	there's no doubt about it, but I think
20	enough is enough in regards to them sitting
21	up there on the hill and just keep on going
22	and going while the city fails miserably in

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, council.

MR. EVANS: Ron Ellman.

debt. Thank you.

23

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

restaurant.

MR. ELLMAN: Before I start I want to say that a young lady come over to me Saturday down in Kingston and he said how much he enjoys watching this program because of the relaxed atmosphere and the congeniality and she said it wasn't stuffy with rules all the time, then the most important thing she said she didn't like me because I was always against this and that and everything else. I don't know where she lives, she was just down there in the

As you know, I'm not a very happy with the University. I consider them just a sue happy group when they don't get their way. I think they are a complete mortal enemy of the people of this city, they have help destroy our tax base house by house. They brag about generating \$400 million yet they don't care nothing about the people of this city that are paying taxes to cover what they have taken, and I think it's time to go to the state, like I said last week, and have something concrete done about the

way they are stealing our tax base from us.That's enough about them.

25

And I'm not being argumentative, but I think it was is wrong choice to give Al Boscov all this extra time. Thousands of people in this city have loss their houses. They weren't given ten minutes extra. This man owes us money that shouldn't have ever been given to him in the first place. opened up more stores. He's not in the financial situation, but he's caused it. Ι just -- to give him up to 2025 is ridiculous. He ought to be forced to give us our money back now. We're not a bank and people don't -- they don't care about the mall no more. I've talked to I bet 50 people over the past year or they don't go down there, they have lost interest in the mall, there's no restaurants anymore. mall is going to pieces. I don't know if it's because of mismanagement, the rents are so high for the spaces or what, but there's something wrong down there. Maybe it's all the people that go in and just walk around and don't buy anything, I don't know.

1 But if the mall had been a year -- a 2 couple of years ago to the university we 3 might have lost some tax base but they would 4 taken over this building eventually and it would have been everything they need in one 5 place. Now all we have is a dying bunch of 6 businesses and an eyesore. 7 8 And I've talked to six or eight 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people they are going to shun downtown like the plague because of the parking meter. Today at lunchtime a doctor, I don't think he's a dentist, he's already moved from downtown so there's, I don't know, let's just say 20 for 30 people during the month that won't be downtown with him to spend money and use the parking system. system I just don't see how it's going to work. I was down there this morning. There is parking places all over the place. It's not like it's a shortage or something. I just think that they're the wrong people for it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. To get started I'd to

ask a couple quick questions of council.

Has any member of council ever attended the

University of Scranton or any of their

family members? Okay.

Has any member of council ever been employed or their family member, past or present by the University of Scranton in any type of capacity? Okay.

Mr. McGoff, I would ask that you recuse yourself, okay? I believe you have a conflict. My issues here tonight, I sat here and listened to this council and I licensed to this caucus, unfortunately, we were deprived, the citizens of this city, of asking questions of Mr. Scartelli or any of the other people to their qualifications, to their backgrounds. What qualifies them to decide our future in this city.

I'm a developer and a business
person in the city, I'm born and raised
here. I took a historical church that the
city was going to demolish in the area of \$3
they were selling it for, two or three or
whatever it was. I've saved it. I'm paying
\$25,000 a year or being assessed in taxes

for that church that's now assessed at over \$500,000. Now, think about that for a moment. Here's a piece of history I saved. Here's a piece of history they're tearing down and not paying one bloody dime into this community. It's appalling.

Sure they're tax exempt right now

Sure they're tax exempt right now and the next building will be tax exempt, they're a nonprofit, they have no money.

Let's reverse the situation here and members of the University that show up here they're paid puppets, that's where they work.

They're not going to say anything but what they have to say because of the influence that's put upon them.

Let's say Mr. McGoff, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Rogan, Ozzie Quinn I believe is still here and myself decided to buy the YWCA and tear it down. Think we would have been permitted? And what we wanted to do is take a part of our history, The Yankee Lunch, the Liberty Lunch that's no longer in West Side, Keystone Lunch, the two Coney Island's, Scooter's Hut Dog Hut and we made that part of history by putting hot dog stands in

1 their. Would this historical group of people you think they would have allowed 2 3 that to be torn down? The heck they would 4 because we don't have the finance or the

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

influence to politically motive their decision, and that's what's wrong here, and 6

7 if this council goes along with what they're

doing there, architecturally that building

is as sound and is better built then 9

10 anything they'll ever build today.

> Look at Holy Cross Church that I bought, it's over 100 years old and you cannot find a flaw in the cement or the concrete or the structure of that church. Those were craftsmen, those were builders that came here and gave us our history. We tore the group down from Coopers, some clown made a statement because I babbled about it being a black parking lot. Well, it didn't do nothing for us. We got nothing out of a parking lot, but we could have had something out of our culture and the history that the dioceses and everybody allowed to be destroyed to make it a parking lot with stripes for a college that pays us nothing.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're here and I'm sick and tired of it, paying taxes, going through the things that we have to go through to survive while the so-called nonprofits spends over \$40 million to build just this one building, which is better than half our budget in the city, yet they have no money. They give you 100 and some thousand a year.

Nothing is more disgraceful in my opinion to allow these people to threaten to sue us, too. If you don't bow down, little dog, we're going to kick you in the face and sue you because we got more money than you do because you're a broke city. You can't fight us and politically we own you. lawyers, the judges, everybody is controlled by the University of Scranton or against the people in this city, and I'm speaking now for the little people, not the big and the powerful, the bullies with money, I'm talking about us, the people in this city. That's what the city is. That's what these councils are for, that's what these boards are for. They're for us, not the powerful.

That building could be gutted, it

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

here.

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

could be utilized, nobody could tell me it can't. It's just an easy way to make more money and get more out of us. And then what are they going to do next? Jump across the little alley to the other building they own already, remember that, now you are setting a precedent to tear historical buildings down because you're not going to be to go backwards. Once you allow it to happen once look what happened to the clinic on veterans down in Pittston. They don't wan it there because they don't want their people coming If you set a precedent you're going to live by the precedent in the sense you're going to live by the sword, die by the sword in this town until none of our history is

Impose a public service fee once and for all across everybody in this city and bring in the millions of dollars we're entitled to. Make them pay their fair share. \$40,000 -- and just so you know, I attended the "U" many, many years ago for a year and had to move on because I couldn't afford it at that time so I have no

prejudice one way or other of what goes on in this city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then my final comment is all those that were in the council and in the past that took the golf course money that I and many citizens fought to keep, took the interest from that money and squandered it, blew it right down the toilet. Go out and see us sweltering in the heat today because we couldn't open the pool. They should all stand and applaud themselves for what they did to the people in the city to the kids who have no where swim. Tell the University to write a check and fix the darn pools. Maybe you'll get something out of that and then you could say we did something in the city, Mrs. Evans, then we can say we did something here and they can contributed something other than literally taking us down the toilet for nothing.

It's time this councils and people get a voice, put politics to the side, vote what's right for us, and I would like more one more thing? You hold another caucus, you bring the board back in and let us have

1	our say with them instead of what council
2	wants to say because the voice we heard
3	tonight isn't the voice of the people.
4	Thank you.
5	(While Mr. Bolus was speaking Mr.
6	Joyce joins the meeting.)
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
9	MS. EVANS: And Doug Miller.
10	MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.
11	Doug Miller, Scranton.
12	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
13	MR. MILLER: I, too, want to once
14	again address this issue. Many concerns
15	over this. I was quite troubled by a lot of
16	the things that I heard in this caucus
17	tonight, statements made by members of HARB
18	certainly troubled me. Many questions I
19	have, just kind run through them. Number
20	one, it certainly puzzles me how a group can
21	vote on key issues such as this without
22	having any bylaws. That's definitely
23	something I'm quite dumbfounded by. I think
24	that would be council voting on legislation
25	without you know having any Administrative

Code or Home Rule Charter or Robert's Rules

of Order to follow so I certainly think

there's some questionable areas in that

regard.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, I was quite troubled by the statements I heard by members, particularly those who have admitted on the record that they have personally done work for the University and yet they're voting on this critical issue. I certainly think there's a conflict of interest. I personally believe a lot of politics was spewed in this room this evening. I think this whole issue is politically motivated and we've gotten way from the fact of what this issue needs to be about, the number one priority, the future of this city and the best interest of this city moving forward. Ultimately protecting history and looking out for the residents of this city and I'm going once again reiterate my feelings, I do not feel does any of that.

The job of HARB, the job of council is to protect the city's history. It was stated tonight that in 1996 this building

went on a list claiming it to be a

protective historical structure, so

obviously the University was well aware of

this throughout the last 17 years. They,

too, had an obligation and still to this day

have an obligation to preserve that

structure.

The fact that it can't be restored,

I don't buy it. I think as it's been stated
numerous times tonight I think this is just
an excuse to go out and, you know, make
money for somebody else and ultimately place
the burden on the residents of this city
like we have seen them consistently do here.

It was also stated that it's nothing but bricks, there is nothing significant about it. I take personal offense to that. We had members of the board state that they're not even lifelong residents of this city and they're making these decisions so how could they have any idea whatsoever as to what's history of this city when they haven't even lived it.

I think it's a complete disregard, a complete lack of respect for our city's

on this council, Mr. McGoff, Mr. Rogan, I think you've also shown a complete lack of respect for this city's history and you can laugh, you know, you can smirk all you want, but you've shown your true colors and I'm quite disappointed in you as well. You have an obligation as an elected official to be our representative and you failed to do that

by taking this position.

history to make those statements and anybody

The University could build this building anywhere they'd like to. They've shown that they're bullies with money, as it's been stated here for years and that's exactly the case, they are quite frankly bullies with money and I think it's time for council to stand up and put their foot down and let them know that you're not going to be pushed around. That yeah, they have a lot of money, they have a lot more money than we do and, yes, they probably could buy this city if they wanted to, but this is our community, this is our town, and we as Scrantonians need to put them in their place and let me know that this is our community

and we're not going to allow them to take over our city.

I understand this building is already off the tax rolls and it will remain so, but my issue is moving forward. We talk about you long term, yeah, let's talk the long term. What's going to happen when they want to go and buy another property. What's going to happen with the Adlin building that they recently bought? I believe it was last summer they purchased that. That's going to been taken off the tax rolls. We don't have any issue over that.

You know, I just think it's time to look out for the residents of this city. I don't feel how you should be pushed around, pinned in a corner on this. I'm asking to go against this tonight, to look out for the little guy in this community. As I said, there's no reason why this building can't be incorporated with the new proposed building. A \$47 million building that was noted tonight, almost half the city's budget for this year and that's just -- that says a lot.

1	You know, I think that, you know, we
2	need to take a look at this and determine
3	what's best for the city moving forward.
4	You know, I'm looking out for the little guy
5	here and, you know, I think we have allowed
6	the University to get a free ride for far
7	too long and it's time to be held
8	accountable and I think the start is tonight
9	by denying the demolition of this structure
10	and I think that's the best course of action
11	we need to take and let them know quite well
12	that they're not going to continue to
13	dictate what goes in this city as they've
14	allowed as this administration has
15	allowed that to happen for 12 years now.
16	It's time to show some leadership.
17	You know, a statement was made in
18	the paper by, I believe it might have been a
19	member of HARB or somebody that made a
20	comment that, you know, we don't want to be
21	cowards. That's exactly right. We don't
22	want to be cowards and continue to bow down
23	to the University. Stand up for the people.

25 And finally tonight just on a piece

24

do what's best and vote against this.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of legislation, a few seconds here, on the DPW contract, an issue was raised last week by a member of council, Mr. Rogan, that we feel that the next mayor should take part in the discussion of the new contract. I don't find that to be appropriate at all. don't even know who is next mayor is going to be at this time and even if we did hypothetically speaking that individual has not been elected, candidates I don't feel should be a part of decision making process, that's why we have elected officials. that was the case then all the candidates should be part of budgets and this and that so I think that's just a lame excuse to back out of a piece of legislation.

I can recall a few months back we voted on a clerical union contract and I don't know remember at time us being concerned about who the next mayor was, so I think it's a fair contract when you take a look at the services they provide. If anything, we can consider a study, not a drastic study, but a study to be done in the future to see how we could better ourselves

1	moving forward in terms of our public works.
2	Thank you.
3	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave
4	Dobrzyn.
5	MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council,
6	Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, I'll try
7	and talk fast.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
9	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
10	MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, a few weeks ago
11	I requested that you consider a letter of
12	support to Steamtown. I'd appreciate, I
13	don't know if we're going on break this year
14	or not, but I appreciate if you'd really
15	consider that. It's supposed to be a center
16	piece of the downtown and Congress keeps
17	cutting the money back.
18	Now, I have a few different things
19	for the newspapers. I'll submit them to Ms.
20	Marciano. More tax exempts. "County still
21	on building search." How about state
22	revenue replacement now? Going to go off
23	the tax rolls at the county. "County
24	Targets Business. Program Targets
25	Businesses." Well, they're going to cut

1	taxes for replace taxes for local
2	community who get businesses to move in.
3	Sounds like a great idea, but are they going
4	to raise my county taxes for some other
5	town? I don't think so. They're parked
6	here and we have enough county in our town.
7	"Legislator Advances Bill to sell
8	Army Reserve." Now, I wrote a little note
9	in there, "Plan announced ten minute after
10	Audubon closed vote. \$30 million.
11	"Sewer Panel box added \$2.1 million
12	bill." In that case I'm on the side of the
13	sewer panel, why? Millions given to suburbs
14	in grants for sewer authorities. Millions.
15	It's going to be a \$108 for Jefferson
16	Township for sewer authority so we can't be
17	going doing everything by grouping together.
18	We're being taken advantage of.
19	"New state tax credit health
20	startups awaits final vote." Now, the only
21	trouble is they didn't include costs two-way
22	and they will not drop us to Class III so
23	we're out once again. Thank you, the State
24	of Pennsylvania.

And I have a letter here from the

editor about the good neighborhoods and Mr. Oliver Morgan has a point that other people have refused to pay and actually scoffed at council over a PILOT, but there in again it's time that we start to pay taxes when we move outside of the original zone, I agree with Ozzie it's gone too far and I don't want to sound a bumpkin pickin' on education, I don't want to sound like that way.

And here's give back park by Chris
Phillips and it notes several promises from
prominent politicians when a South Side
Sports Complex was purchased and they
facilitated it with all of their promises
and they were going to get us help to
replace this ball field, well, years later
the ball field isn't, replaced, the money is
gone who knows where.

Now, I already had addressed the PILOTS and there are a few people I would note to Mr. Morgan that do give us PILOTS other than the University, although not many.

Now, on bankruptcy or privatize,

1	please, I don't want to hear any more of it,
2	I just want a city that runs right. I don't
3	want to hear about it. The only people that
4	I trust less than government officials at
5	times are corporations. So if you don't
6	take anymore corporate money it's a plus
7	with me and that's been your problem all
8	along, you people haven't taken any
9	cooperate money and you're not interested
10	incorporate and they're all mad at you
11	because you're not dancing to their tune.
12	And as far as HARB is concerned
13	there was a really nasty remark in the paper
14	about Mr. Joyce and I would appreciate that
15	it never be said gain. Never, ever again do
16	I want to hear somebody knocking council
17	over a disagreement.
18	Oh, and by the way, once again,
19	anybody want to the buy the Hilton? They've
20	been here four times what is this the
21	fourth time it's sold? You should know,
22	Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: It's been several.
24	MR. DOBRZYN: It's the fourth time
25	about; right? Okay. Anybody wants to buy

it, it's up for sale once again and, by the
way, they were in town two times before and
they took all the money out of the
Lackawanna train station and sold it two
years later after all the government.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the golden parrot goes to, and I'll make this quick, people in our armed forces are furloughed in place. So, in other words, if you're on a nuclear submarine or if you're on a aircraft carrier you get furloughed in place, so you get to stay on the ship and not get paid for the day and if you've ever seen a sleeping area on an aircraft career it's about the size of a human coffin and there's very few other places. Oh, by the way, on a nuclear submarine you can't make any noise you have to lay in your bed and not make any noise because we're silent running, and also our Congress they get for creating these conditions with their sequester, so bawk, bawk, Mr. Congress.

And please consider that letter about Steamtown because that's one more broken promise and the place is hurting.

1	Thank you, and if you have a chance go down
2	there, it's seven bucks to do a tour of the
3	museum and it's really interesting.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
5	MR. EVANS: Our next speaker is
6	Marie Schumacher.
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
8	Council. One small item of housekeeping
9	before I talk to the main subject, Mr.
10	Loscombe, I would request that you provide
11	the police arrests by charge for the first
12	half of 2013 by zip code if possible next
13	week.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll begin tonight
16	by reading a quote from a recent letter from
17	the National Trust for Historic
18	Preservation. "Every year our nation's
19	history, our beautiful landmark buildings
20	are threatened by the wrecking ball. It's
21	more than heartbreaking to watch the
22	destruction."
23	Adding to the heartbreak of the
24	agenda item this evening authorizing the
25	demolition of the YWCA building is you are

putting the cart before the horse. There is
a lawsuit regarding the decision of the
zoning board so this historical building may
be sacrificed and then found out the zoning
board prevails, how sad would that be?

Approving this demolition will set a precedent and no historical building will henceforth be saved from the wrecking board. The HARB should probably be disbanded.

I'm really confident that Charlie

Jefferson or Art Russo or some other

developer would be interested in the YWCA

building if the Scranton University does not

feel it's any value to them any longer.

This issue should be tabled until
the zoning issue has been resolved by the
Court at a minimum. I know an August
decision might interfere with your August
vacation, but I believe the cause is just.
On the HARB I did some research and I could
not find, even with the help of a
professional researcher, any legal notices
announcing the meeting dates and time for
HARB for their 2013 meetings which would
make all actions taken by this board null

1 and void I believe.

I also checked the 2013 agendas and could find know record of city council having received minutes of the HARB meetings as they do with other authorities and boards.

As with so many issues, the Goliaths of the University power over the historical significance of the YWCA, yet the University itself bestowed an intercession grant to one of their associate professors of history in 2009 to "Research records concerning Scranton's YWCA."

The research is part of an ongoing study of women's history in Scranton. Was this grant laying the groundwork to challenge the historical designation perhaps? Who knows. While I doubt that any of you did any personal research on the historical significance of the YWCA I did and found this totally ironic. One of the reasons the YWCA had to be sold was a shortage of funds despite the fact that the YWCA received income through leasing a parking lot. Fully half of the income was

used to pay realty taxes on the parking lot of their property.

Compare this with the University of Scranton, last year this council enacted a tax on parking garages and parking lots.

What did the Goliath of the University do?

They sued the city and refuse to pay the tax.

It appears a news rule is being invoked by council, do anything you want in this city as long as it brings money into our coffers, morality has no place. I now know who really runs this city. I wonder whether Mr. Joyce and Mr. Rogan really believe all the two years construction employees will be Scranton residents or is local and not the city primary any longer.

I fully understand property taxes for 2014 will probably be raised by this council by more than 50 percent so perhaps putting revenue first is a simple act of desperation. I also find it ironic that a Christian University that owes so much to this city, including the use of eminent domain to take perfectly good homes and give

1	the property to the University, tax free
2	status, grants, including, again,
3	ironically, a Kresge grant to purchase and
4	renovate the YWCA when it was originally
5	acquired, we provide fire protection and now
6	you want another hook and ladder building,
7	would chose to demolish the history of
8	another Christian structure with a rich
9	historic pedigree.
10	Now, after months of planning this
11	demolition, perhaps even years, the Goliath
12	known as the University of Scranton is
13	demanding the city jump and your response is
14	apparently, "How high?"
15	Thank you.
16	MR. EVANS: Thank you.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
18	MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.
19	MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
20	citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
22	MR. SBARAGLIA: I'll probably be
23	speaking on the "YW", too. To look at that
24	building it's not very historic. It really
25	isn't. We can find a building like

1 throughout this valley almost anywhere, but the use of that building makes it historic. 2 3 That building was the Ellis Island of 4 Scranton. When the people came in from outside the city they had to have a place to 5 live and then they would go back out to 6 7 their farms or wherever or even coming into 8 the city, you know, from overseas they still 9 had to have a place to stay and that's where 10 the "Y" came in. God knows how many famous 11 mothers lived in that "Y" or how many 12 children that their mother had really made 13 contributions to the world and to the city. 14 That's what makes that building historic, 15 not the design. That outside facade really 16 isn't that great, but the end use of it 17 makes it very historic. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you tear down something, down in below Harrisburg, down there at Gettsyburg they're keeping the train station because, of course, the president got off at it to give his Gettysburg address. That made that train station historic and that's what you got to look at. The outside building doesn't necessarily make anything

historic, but the use of the building that really makes it historic and you've got to look at that. You've got to look at the use of that building and how many famous people might have even slept there besides George Washington, which I doubt, but this is what

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

I mean.

I lot of people slept in the "Y". See, I don't think any of you remember the "Y". I don't think any are old enough to remember the "Y". I had the luck to take care of elevator in the "Y" and the University, too. I don't fault the University really, it's just that you have to look at a building in use, how it was used before you can really make a decision on the building. The facade, like I said before, is not very great. I mean, you look at the Masonic temple and you compare that building to it and you see right away it's not very good, actually it's not very good design. It was functional. It was a functional design and that's what it was for, but historic use of that building is historic and that's what you're really

1	voting on, the historic use of that building
2	and not necessary the building itself.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
5	anyone else who cares to address council?
6	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
8	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
9	MS. FRANUS: Good evening. Mrs.
10	Evans, you were correct before when you
11	said, "This is a very enlightening meeting"
12	with the HARB members. I personally think,
13	my own opinion, that Mr. Moore should be
14	taken off that board immediately.
15	Immediately. He went out of his way not to
16	let the other members vote to reconsider
17	this because he had his own agenda. I can't
18	prove what it is, but it was very apparent
19	that he's fighting tooth and nail for the
20	University, not for the preservation of
21	historic buildings which is why this group
22	is there to keep these buildings, not to
23	have them torn down.
24	And it will set a precedent and then

the judge will come back and say, "Well, you

did this then, so we have to do it again."

You have to take that chance? I want to see tonight, and I hope you don't table this vote, like Ms. Schumacher said wait until the zoning board, to me there's not a judge in this county that will vote against the University of Scranton. To me that's a done deal, it's just a matter of time. No way do I think the zoning board will win that, so do what you have to do, but I want to find who up there, who up there is a public servant and who up there is a politician. Who is really for the people and who is for their own agenda. We are going to find out with this vote.

And the union people are going to come up here, I'm a union member, a union member. They say there's not many jobs for contractors. Well, how come every time you call a contractor you can't get anybody to come to your house? And once they start something they never come back and finish it so they have a reputation, too.

And the University is here tonight and they're going to plead their case. Are

9

10

24

25

they ever here any other time saying, "We'd like to give you money"? You don't see them unless they want something. They're never, ever here to help the city, they're here to take from the taxpayers constantly and the city -- the people in the City of Scranton have had it up to here because we have to pay the taxes for all of the buildings that they tore down, we have to make up for that missing money that they took.

But that's not the reason not to vote for. The reason not to vote for this is because you want to preserve a historic building and make sure that it never happens again and I hope this council has some kind of power to look into the minutes of these HARB meetings and I think it would be good if ECTV could film those meetings. I think we would learn very much the actions that take place, the stifling that this chairman rules over these members that they have no say in anything. God help them if they try. It's like Germany.

So tonight I hope you do do the vote and I pray to God you vote not to demolish

1 this building because we're going to see who up there is really elected to serve the 2 3 people, and the ones that aren't you're 4 going to have to face the consequences. Thank you. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 8 MR. FIGURED: Yes. Good evening, 9 Council. My name is Jack Figured, I've been 10 here before, spoke on the University 11 projects a number of times, I'm a lifelong 12 resident of the City of Scranton. First of 13 all I want to know who here doesn't have 14 someone right now that's unemployed or under 15 employed wouldn't benefit from a good paying 16 job? Anybody in this room that doesn't have 17 a friend or family member? 18 Second of all, the University pays 19 \$175,000 a year in lieu of taxes. 20 scheme of things is it a small amount? 21 But let's look at the tax bill that Mavbe. 22 we all pay as residents of the City of 23 Scranton. You have a school tax, a county 24 tax and a city tax. The city tax on my home

is probably \$600 a year. By my calculations

that \$175,000 pays for 350 homes for every
year that it has torn down. Could we do
better? I hope some day that we will.

You've heard Rick Schroeder from the IBW, you've heard Drew Simpson from the Carpenters tell you about the unemployment rate in the area and true, this job will not benefit all union members. Yes, we will do the majority of the construction on the project and we will pay and, Marie, a lot of city workers will be there from my local, from the carpenters and electricians and all the trades. We put or people in geographical areas so they don't have to travel too far from their homes because of the price of gas and stuff like that. So will this benefit us? Yes.

You heard from Jim Devers. He told you that this building will be razed and an eight-story building will be put up and they need this to facilitate their students there and a great paying job. You go to physical therapy, I've had someone that's been there recently, that's a nice job to have, okay?

A lot of city residents will be there,

hopefully will go through this program and get good paying job. Whether they stay in the area or not remains to be seen. If we don't go further we'll never have anybody employed with any good jobs here.

Second of all, I want to say that for everyone sitting here tonight I'd like to thank you for all the opportunity to speak here. I'd like to thank all the council members again for taking the time to go over this, it's been a lot of controversy, there's been a lot of things said.

As far as the HARB vote, any time you can get eight members of a committee together to vote on anything and seven of them voted, they voted no matter how long the discussion was that's what people in this country fight and die for every day to have a say, and they did have their say, but the majority rules, just like on the council.

So I'd like to thank everybody for your time and everything else and hopefully you'll do the right thing here. Look at the

major scheme of things, just don't look at the University as being big and bad and forcing things down our throat because they're not in this instance. They're taking a building that's been off the tax rolls for a long time. Is it a grand building? Like Andy said, it's a decent building, it's a common building of that error design. The design back then was multi-width, I'm sure none of you know what multi-width is. The brick walls in that building are probably 12 inches thick and some wood structure on the inside. no place for air conditioning, there is no place for heating, there is no place for technology.

Look at the rage today everybody watches all of the home improvement shows on TV all day long, all day Saturday and Sunday. You see where they're giving a \$100,000 to renovate two rooms in a house, they start to tear the house apart, they find out there is structural problems with it and they're lucky to get a bathroom out of it. \$45 million doesn't go far today,

okay? So what are you going to do is you're
going to have building there that has no
functional use, they've done a study on it.

The university has hired engineers, said,

"This is what we intend to use the building

for, can we do this?"

The engineers have come back and said no. You have an architect that has looked over the building, he said he's probably looked at two dozen buildings over his career in the City of Scranton and saved many of them, for some things, yes, you can do work in. If you are having office space you can stud the walls out and stuff that like, but when you are doing renovation and you're running technology and new air conditioning and new heating and stuff like that this building doesn't meet the use.

There's no significant historical advantage to it. I've been a bricklayer for 38 years, that's my life. I built these buildings. I know what can be done with them, I know the structure of them. Yes, it's an old building, the walls are probably 18 inches thick, okay? What would you do --

1 what would you do when start to tear that 2 apart and you open asbestos abatement 3 problems, lead paint problems in the 4 windows, no matter who you are when you do construction on your home the worse thing 5 you fear is opening up structural problems. 6 7 The best thing for this building is 8 to be razed, a new building be put in its 9 place and we'll have something to look at 10 for the next 50 years and every one of my 11 men and the construction workers and all the 12 people that is work in this building will be 13 very proud of that building just those 14 people who worked on it 100 years ago are proud of the work they did. 15 16 So please take all this stuff into 17 consideration and vote hopefully the right 18 way. Thank you. 19 MR. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 20 MS. UTT: My name is Utt Drea, I 21 live on East Mountain and I am a physical 22 therapist. I have been a physical therapist 23 practice for I think 54 years on two

continents and I came to Scranton in 1968

into some kind of a situation that was like

24

1	peace, cool in the biggest richest country
2	in the world and there were four physical
3	therapists in town at the time. It was
4	Edith and George and Tony and Rosie and I
5	was No. 5, and now I congratulate the city
6	for the medical advances that have taken
7	place. Since I'm here I consider myself
8	part of the renaissance of Scranton having
9	been here all that time, having at least
10	observed it, but also contributed with it.
11	And I thought, you know, how
12	wonderful that new building is a physical
13	therapy, yeah, but would someone come
14	visiting great Pennsylvania and say, "Oh,
15	yeah, let's go to Scranton and see that new
16	physical building."
17	That could be anywhere, especially
18	physical therapists, they could walk to
19	classes so it doesn't need to be downtown in
20	a historic district. Thank you for your
21	considerations. Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
23	anyone else?
24	MR. SPARROW: Good evening. My name

is Paul Sparrow, I'm a member of the

1	International Brotherhood of Electrical
2	Workers, I'm also president of the union.
3	Thank you for giving me some time to speak
4	tonight.

I've been following this in the paper for the last few weeks, actually the last few months, and I have great pride in saying to some of the people who had courage enough to change their positions on this University of Scranton project.

You know, some things about -- I'm a taxpaying citizen of Scranton, also. I live in the North Scranton part of the city, Providence, and I've been a member of IBEW for 35 years. You know, the building trades people are looking forward to this project for a long time. It would put a lot of people to work and what's that going to do? That's going to put the money back into the tax rolls, they're going to be spending money in the stores, shops, restaurants, bars and whatnot and that's good for our area.

You know, the problem with

Northeastern Pennsylvania sometimes we're

afraid to move forward. You know, we like to stay put, stay history, don't tear anything down, let's not move forward. Case in point, when they were going to put in Montage Mountain there were so many people against that. The Lackawanna County stadium the same thing. You know, oh, we can't build a stadium, nobody will ever go to the games and, you know, the rest is history.

You know, one thing about people on city council here, you know, at election time guess who they come to looking for votes, they come to the building trades because they know there is over 10,000, 15,000 building trade members, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne, Pike, Monroe County and guess what they're coming to us looking for votes. Well, now we're coming to you looking for help. We need your help now and we appreciate anything you can do for us.

And you know what, do the right thing. You know, the building is an old building, it's over 100 years old, it needs to be demolished, let's move forward, let's get the city moving forward, put people back

1	to work. Like Jack Figured said we have
2	relatives, friends, neighbors who are
3	unemployed or under employed looking forward
4	to going to work on some of these projects.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
8	anyone else?
9	MR. DEVERS: Good evening, Council.
10	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
11	MR. EVANS: Good evening.
12	MR. DEVERS: My name is Jim Devers,
13	I'm the associate vice president for
14	Facilities Operations at the University. By
15	my count I've been here about four or five
16	times officering testimony or reasons why we
17	need to demolish this building and move
18	forward and I'm not going to reiterate that,
19	but what I want to is give council members
20	the opportunity to ask me any questions they
21	have regarding this project. Mr. Joyce?
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes, what's the current
23	timetable for this project?
24	MR. DEVERS: The current timetable
25	if we're able to get approval for the

1	demolition permits, we have worked with
2	Quandel, our construction manager, and
3	basically two weeks behind or two months
4	behind the regular schedule. We can make up
5	that time at a significant cost of overtime,
6	protection from winter conditions and things
7	like that and we be able to occupy the
8	building for the late Summer of 2015.
9	MR. JOYCE: Okay. Now, what is the
10	exact reason that the historical site had to
11	be used and another location couldn't be
12	used?
13	MR. DEVERS: Well, first of all,
14	there is no location, but the most important
15	part is that the adjacent, McGurrin Hall,
16	houses the Education Department, Counseling,
17	Health Services and Nursing Departments.
18	Physical therapy, occupational therapy and
19	exercise science are closely related to
20	those disciplines. There will be
21	interdisciplinary teaching that goes own
22	between all disciplines that are housed in
23	those two buildings.
24	As I stated many times, the floor

levels of those buildings do not match up.

1	When you're dealing with people with
2	disabilities, clients with disabilities it's
3	very important to have the building
4	accessible, and really the only way we can
5	make an accessible building is to raise the
6	existing Leahy Hall, which consists of two
7	buildings, with floor levels that do not
8	match up.
9	MR. JOYCE: Okay.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Devers
11	MR. DEVERS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. MCGOFF: concerning the zoning
13	board the University of Scranton has
14	appealed through the Courts the decision of
15	the zoning board, do you have a court date
16	established for that?
17	MR. DEVERS: No, sir, we do not have
18	a court date established.
19	MR. MCGOFF: And if, in fact, a
20	variance weren't approved through the courts
21	would the project continue with another
22	plan, let's say, architectural plan?
23	MR. DEVERS: We would be forced to
24	do that. This is a top priority for the
25	University. We need this building. We have

1	made commitments basically to accreditation
2	boards for occupational therapy and physical
3	therapy so we would, yes, need to somehow
4	adjust just the design to allow us to build
5	this building without having to go back to
6	the zoning board.
7	MR. MCGOFF: So it's fair to say
8	that this property would not go undeveloped?
9	MR. DEVERS: Oh, no. No, we need
10	the building. We need to develop this
11	project.
12	MR. ROGAN: I would just ask if this
13	legislation passes in its current form, and
14	obviously I'm hopeful that it will you're
15	saying that there will be jobs created
16	within a few months with the demolition of
17	the building.
18	MR. DEVERS: As soon as we get the
19	permit, there will be jobs created for the
20	demolition contractor, and we also would be
21	fast tracking things like the structural
22	steel and other long need items and putting
23	those out to bid through the construction
24	manager, yes.
25	MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

1	MR. DEVERS: Thank you.
2	MS. EVANS: Is there is anyone else?
3	MS. NIEHUS: Good evening, council.
4	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
6	MS. NEIHUS: Deborah Neihus, West
7	Scranton. I ran down here and I just have a
8	whole bunch of notes ad hoc in nature and
9	I've watched this particular topic be
10	addressed for several weeks in the
11	newspapers here, the zoning board, etcetera,
12	and I think my biggest concern at this point
13	is witnessing the unfolding of flawed
14	procedures.
15	Concerns here tonight were brought
16	forward regarding the voting of HARB. Take
17	it another degree further, you hear a number
18	of individuals on this particular board make
19	statements that were, quite frankly, almost
20	incomprehensible to me as a person with a
21	degree from the University in history and
22	communication, which I probably and has
23	served me well in my life beyond my career,
24	so I have nothing but good things to say

about the University as an educational

1 institution regarding education.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when I heard various people on this board make statements such as, "Well, it's not architecturally pretty and it's not really much to look at, and it's just a bunch of bricks and we have all these other overriding concerns of jobs and money and we have pressures," I had to just sit back and say, and I think it was brought up here before, that is not your task, and I did hear some of the members of that board state that their job as a member of the board is to protect the history of community and there are buildings across this nation that are frankly little more than shacks that their historical review boards protect. They don't raze them.

Motown in Detroit, various birth
places throughout the country of notable
people that live there are preserved. Why?
Because of the symbolism and the memories
that they generate and create what's a
community, a community of people. You can't
just raze that and that not have it ripple
with repercussions throughout a community.

That memory is gone once you level it. can make little notations and nice presentations, but the actual building that I could point to my grandchild and say, "You know, I used to go there and swim on Saturdays and that's where women went," and provide history that's lost. You can't provide that in a display or with a few architectural remnants left over. 

So I think that is what's not being considered here which is the primary purpose of a historical board. Then you can go own an discuss whether it's historically significant enough or sufficiently to keep, but I haven't heard that process take place, not until tonight where I'm just starting to.

And that brings my other concern, you know, I graduated from the University many, many years that I'll never one father that I did have, Father Gannon, he was my philosophy instructor, I believe, and he saw how Debra Neihus used to just fly into the University, I wasn't happy about staying here in Scranton, I had a full scholarship

at the University of Pittsburgh but because my Catholic upbringing I stayed home because my father had a massive coronary and I had a younger brother and sister to look after. Father Gannon noticed that I was a person that came and left real quick after class and he stopped me once and he said, "Ms. Niehus, are you a pupil at this University or you a member of the student body?" 

And I cannot tell you, it stopped me in my tracks that he even noticed, that he actually took the time to ask what was going on, why was I not engaging myself more in my student body matters because he saw possibly something in me that was not being tapped or taken advantage of, and I can't tell you how many times in my life that scenario has played through my mind.

So I would like to ask the
University that instilled in me principles
that have served me well in life of
sensitivity, consensus building, listening
to everybody even if they don't seem
important, conscientiousness and compassion.
They're not just things that you learn in a

1	religion class, those were instilled in me
2	to show in my life, my daily life, and
3	that's what is troubling to me is that I
4	don't seen the procedure this exhibiting,
5	and it saddens me to say this, any of those
6	various principals from my alma mater.
7	So I would ask the University let's
8	start talking. If we're going to lose this
9	building, let's start talking and start
10	acting, and I want to ask them, are you a
11	member of this community of this City of
12	Scranton or are you merely a resident and
13	that echos with Father Gannon asked me and I
14	would ask them to be more forthcoming, more
15	honest, and understand that buildings are
16	more just bricks and mortar. Thank you.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
18	MR. LEWDNESS: Jackie.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Hey, Chrissy, you
20	came out of retirement, huh?
21	MR. LEWDNESS: I'm retired.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Everybody was worried
23	where you've been.
24	MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jack, don't forget
25	what I told you about the Dream Game,

1	remember? July 31, i told you, remember, in
2	the hallway we talked about? So everybody
3	come out and support the kids. Thank you.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Take care, Buddy.
5	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
6	Mrs. Krake?
7	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
8	MR. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
9	you have any comments or motions?
10	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, thank you. First
11	of all just a couple of responses to things
12	that were said. I'm sure that the
13	University of Scranton would appreciate any
14	comparison that was made to Brown
15	University, that they would be on par with
16	an Ivy League School, I think they would
17	welcome that comparison.
18	Also, the city doesn't own Leahy
19	Hall. The University of Scranton owns Leahy
20	Hall and it's their decision what to do with
21	that hall and how to use it, the manner in
22	which to use it, and I think in some cases
23	we need to respect how the property owner,
24	and they are property owners, how the
25	property owners utilize their property.

I was asked to recuse myself from

vote on this. I'll emphatically say no. I

have not been a student at the University of

Scranton for many years. I went to the

graduate school there and then subsequently

took a couple of courses in psychology later

on.

Yes, I was employed there, I was assistant coach for a number of years, actually a volunteer assistant and then one year was an assistant basketball coach of the women's program and I then I did serve as an adjunct professor for two semesters and that was well over five years, so I have at the current time know ties to the University of Scranton and no reason to recuse myself from the vote.

And the last thing on the University of Scranton, people keep talking about the burden that this is placing on the city. I still have not heard anyone say anything about how this burdens either the City or the residents of the City of Scranton.

Yes, does it have some historic value? I agree, but there is no burden,

there is no little guy that is being hurt by this legislation and to me it's just a bit of demagoguery, you know, to talk about that idea that somehow this project is hurting the taxpayer or hurting the city, but more I guess when we get to the legislation.

Other things, I did receive a phone call from a lady in Minooka that contacted me concerning an overgrown lot next to her home. I subsequently called Mr. Dougher. DPW came out and looked at the lot and did partially clear the lot for her and then told me that further work would be done, they didn't have the right equipment, and the lady called me back and was very thankful. She said the people that came to work on the property next to her home were extremely courteous and did a great job and she would just like to, you know, a public

And lastly, and very briefly, the PEL meeting this week was rather lengthy and somewhat informative. Last week we received an updated letter from PEL concerning 2013, but more importantly the 2014 and in that

thank you to those people that did that.

1	update they were projecting somewhere around
2	a \$15 million deficit for 2014, and it
3	seemed to be drastic, the numbers seemed to
4	be a drastic change and at the meeting on
5	Monday we asked I asked and Mrs. Krake
6	was there and also asked for an explanation
7	of how that number was arrived at because
8	the figures they gave us looked at a \$5
9	million deficit for 2013 and the only reason
10	for that deficit was a change in the figures
11	for the arbitration award, which we budgeted
12	at \$17 million, but the actual number will
13	probably be closer to \$22 million, so that
14	made a \$5 million dollar deficit.
15	And I asked, I said, "So otherwise,
16	other that difference the 2013 budget is
17	basically balanced?"
18	To which they responded, "Yes."
19	You know, there's some slight
20	differences, which then begged question how
21	did we get from what is essentially a
22	balanced budget to a \$15 million deficit for
23	2014.
24	And they did provide us with some
25	updated figures, some more explanation, I

don't want to get into all of that, but I'm

sure that we on council will be taking a

look at those as we move forward because

it's those figures that they provided that

will be used for a number of purposes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that was other thing that I brought up to the representatives from PEL, we're using these figures to justify a commuter tax possibly, we're looking to use these figures for the borrowing that may be necessary to pay the arbitration award and the pension, minimum payment for the pension. We're using it for budget preparation and we're using the same figures for the revised recovery plan and it seems as though we're at some times working at cross purposes with all of these, and I think that we're going to need some major explanation and, you know, discussion on how these figures are arrived at and how they will be resolved for 2014.

And at the end of the meeting Mr.

Cross from PEL did say that he would be -that he would be available to come to a
caucus to the explain the figures and

1	explain their recommendations and maybe
2	sometime in August or excuse me, sometime
3	in the September or October we can arrange
4	that so that we do have a better
5	understanding of the numbers that are being
6	provided. And that is all I have. Thank
7	you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. Just a very few comments, I'll save the majority of my remarks for the voting portion of the meeting. First, there have been a lot of comments tonight from council members and from residents regarding the August recess, I would like to once again for another year reiterate my opposition to council taking a recess in the month of August. Even if there is not legislation coming down I believe council should meet for citizens' issues and also for number of caucuses that I believe we need to have, one of them regarding mall and I know saw Mr. Joyce will be making a motion to table that, I believe that's the right course of action at this

1 point in time until we get that information.

I'm hopeful in the future that the

August recess will be removed from the Rules

of Council. It's something I will fight

very hard for next year when the Rules are

rewritten.

One quick comment regarding the
University of Scranton issue before we
proceed. Most of the debate tonight that
you and the audience and the TV audience
will hear will be regarding this project.
This is certainly one of the bigger issues
facing the City of Scranton. What is
important that the people keep in mind when
looking at this issue is what we're actually
voting on.

As a council member I believe we all need to look at what's best for City of Scranton as a whole. There are questions regarding HARB and how their meetings were conducted, how they should have been conducted, how they weren't, but that's not something that city council can act upon. HARB has changes to make in how their meetings are run, that's their issues.

Council's issue is whether or not we should 1 2 accept their certificate of appropriateness 3 for this project.

> You will hear council members cite that is a reason for opposition. You will hear council's member cite the zoning board as an issue -- as a reason to oppose this measure. The zoning issue is completely separate from the HARB issue. The HARB issue is whether is specifically on whether it's appropriate to raze this structure. The zoning issue is specifically regarding the construction of the new building.

> I know council has be assured numerous times from the representatives of the University of Scranton that regardless of what happens with the zoning appeal that a new building will be placed on that property if the building is razed, and that's the issue we are looking at. The zoning issue could take years to play out after appeal and appeal and appeal, we've seen that happen before with many other issues in the city.

> > Also, other issues that may be

14

15

16

13

6

9

11

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

brought up that are another distraction are general issues regarding the University of Scranton. PILOTS. Do I think the University of Scranton should contribute more to the City? I do. Do I think there are many issues that the City of Scranton and the University of Scranton need to work out? Absolutely, but that is not relevant to this specific project.

What is relevant to this project is whether it's best for the city and it's residents and the workers and the city coffers or if it's not and that's pretty black and white.

One more comment, I see that there will be a motion made later this meeting trying to tie accepting the certificate of appropriateness to the zoning decision. I firmly believe that these are two, as I stated before, these are two separate issues and anyone who would vote to tie these together obviously doesn't want to see this project progress in a speedy manner. I'm hopeful if this motion is made it's defeated and I'm hopeful that the legislation will

1 pass in the original form that we've been debating for many weeks now. 2 3 I will have many more comments on 4 this issue and others when the voting portion of the meeting comes up. Thank you. 5 MR. EVANS: Thank you. Just a few 6 7 quick remarks, regarding the August recess 8 it's not a matter of council rules, it's 9 included in the Home Rule Charter so if, 10 indeed, in the future council hopes to 11 change that then they're going to have deal 12 with the issue of Home Rule Charter. 13 MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, on that --14 In addition, the representatives of 15 the Mall Associates have already schedule 16 for caucus September 26 as we were notified 17 by Ms. Aebli. They're coming in from out of 18 town and they're unable to attend at any 19 time prior to that date. Further, according 20 to Ms. Aebli, the approval for this 21 particular loan extension is needed by 22 December 2013. 23 And then finally, the amendment that 24 is being proposed this evening was drafted

by and recommended by our city solicitor

1	Boyd Hughes.
2	MR. ROGAN: I would just reply
3	regarding the recess in 2005, and I believe
4	you were in the majority then, and you also
5	supported meeting throughout the month of
6	August.
7	MR. EVANS: And at that time I did
8	because council were facing the foreclosure
9	of the Hilton Hotel
10	MR. ROGAN: And we are currently
11	facing
12	MR. EVANS: and that was going to
13	happen at any given time and it was at the
14	request of the president at that time, Mr.
15	DiBileo, and Mr. Courtright that council
16	remain in session that August just, for
17	example, as council remained in session last
18	August when we faced an emergency.
19	MR. ROGAN: Well, we came back into
20	session because my motion to meet throughout
21	August was defeated last year. I don't want
22	to belabor this point, I know it's a losing
23	battle, but I do hope in the future that we
24	will meet in August and we'll debate the
25	other issues in the voting portion of the

1	meeting.
2	MS. EVANS: And, Councilman
3	Loscombe?
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: I guess I'll get into
5	it again, too, some of it will be
6	repetitious, but Mr. Rogan asked the
7	question, "What are we voting on?"
8	I may have a different take on what
9	are we voting on. It seems that everyone is
10	missing the whole point. This isn't a union
11	issue. Obviously, if I vote against it
12	being a union man I won't have union
13	support, but that's besides the point I have
14	77,000 people I represent here, too.
15	You know, we're letting emotions of
16	the economy come into play here and the
17	University is using that to their benefit by
18	getting the unions coming here with their
19	rallying call and anybody that knows me
20	knows I bleed union, so nobody has to come
21	and tell me, we are missing the whole point
22	in this. The point is about a historic
23	building and nobody can tell me, no
24	architect can stand here and tell me it
25	cannot, it is not feasible to put in. It may

1 cost a few extra bucks, but to build with
2 that, believe me, I have somewhat of a
3 background and I know quite a few
4 architects, I worked for many myself.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The problem is it's not an issue of should the University pay us more money, that's another day. We all know where I stand on that, also. That's for another time. The issue at hand right now is did the Historic Architectural Review Board do their due diligence with this project? After listening tonight, it appears to me there was a little bit of push there, a little bit of aggressiveness to get this That's my take on it. Maybe someone done. else will take differently, but that's my take on it. It has everything to do with the history of the building, nothing to do with the unions or employees or anything.

If the University would compromise and incorporate that corner of the building there's the same amount of work, maybe even more, for the unions in this case. That's all they have to do.

Work with HARB, work with us, come

up with a plan that is going to do
everything and I'm favor of it, and what I'm
saying here may be for not because the vote
may pass regardless, which is why we're here
and why I gave everybody the opportunity to
bring this vote to the panel gain.

You know, I have the ability to see it in black and white, it's not what the "U" should pay us, it's not about, you know, can the building be rehabbed or not, which I believe it can, so I don't believe that's an issue. I believe that building can be incorporated into the new building. You're talking about different levels, look at Mercy Hospital, they're at different levels, they have elevators that open both sides. There's nothing that is, you know, change my mind on that aspect.

But, you know, yes, definitely, money is an issue. This city is cash strapped, but to be pushed into a wall and say, "Look at all the permit money we're going to get and all that," just think if we made this decision with the parking agreement? We would be paying double for a

parking contract right now if we didn't sit
back. We needed that money bad, but we
delayed it and we sat back and said, "It

should be done this way."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In my four years here I've seen a lots and I've seen a lot of this lacking, things being pushed certain ways to go certain ways without going through the right process, without going through a public process. I had an open mind, as I stated earlier, I could go either way on this vote, but, you know, the more I heard this evening and I've heard a little bit beyond that that I can't even express here on some of the pressure, I just feel, you know, that the deck is cards was dealt, this is what we're going to do, this is how we're going to do it, and we'll get all of these organizations rallying behind us we don't have to spend a dime. We'll threaten the votes, we'll threaten the council members, you know, we'll use the power of the press. That Scranton Times knows my name good, believe me, I'm in every editorial anymore, and I'm proud of it because when I know the Times

doesn't like me I'm on the right side.

Believe me. Because they're just as guilty

as anybody of worrying about what they're

5 not helping you.

And, you know, look at perfect example, look at the Oppenheim's building, they had a major general alarm fire in there, they could have opted to tear that done. No, they rebuilt that. This fine lady in the front row here, I'm sorry, Uta, she showed me a book on buildings in Germany after the war, after the war ravished the towns of Germany and look at the beautiful work in those buildings. They've kept their history.

going to get and who they're going to get

All I'm asking is for you for the University to consider keeping that part of history, not a door, not a portico, but that building as a part of history and constructing around it and then I think you will do the service to the public in this city to show that you have respect for the citizens of this city, just as that woman Ms. Niehus, I believe it was, spoke earlier

1 about her education at the University.

But, you know, right now, you can

say what you want, I said there's no winners

or losers in this, you can badger me, you

can call me whatever you want. Mr.

Lockwood, you can tell your editorials,

"Bring it on."

You're fair, but they have the power and you see what happens with the power, but it's not about me and the unions and trying to keep jobs away. I think a compromise would create more jobs for everybody. So if you want to look at it that way that's your prerogative. It's the same way we agree to disagree here many times.

You know, we can disagree on many issues yet we can still sit down like gentlemen and ladies and discuss other issues because this isn't a one-issue city council. We have a lot of issues on the table and a lot of finances that we have to work on, and I was -- just to go on that, I was upset at the comments that the cowardly lion here would you not show, because I will tell you what, for the last several years

here at budget time he secluded himself for
weeks at a time working on facts and
figures. It was his budget that have
brought this city back, back to where we're
at and his budgets are going to bring us
ahead.

So for anybody to make fun of Mr.

Joyce because of that I'm offended for Mr.

Joyce, I'm just stating that. I know how hard he has worked. He may not be aggressive, he may not like mouth off like I do, more of my Irish is showing even though I don't have the last name, but I just want to say I love the program, I want to see this happen, I think it's great, but I think there's got to be compromise. I think there's, you know, a few opportunities that were missed in this whole project and I hope everybody gets together and satisfies everybody, and that's how I feel.

And, you know, no matter what way it goes, I'll be on board with it, I give you my commitment, but I just feel a little bit of compromise can go along way towards everybody and I apologize, but that's all I

1 have to say at this point.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman

Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. It seems like this week I've been put on the spot, I've been looked at as the swing vote. There was a recent article in paper yesterday in case anyone doesn't know about my views on the University project, and when making an informed decision I look at the pros and cons of things and I see the pros and I see the cons in this whole project with the University. One, it will create jobs for the unions the trade unions. It will bring license and permit revenue into the city. Those are things that we need.

Some of the cons, well, we're taking down this historical building. I really didn't consider that much -- I really didn't consider that con that much at the time I spoke to Mr. Lockwood on Tuesday, but after hearing the HARB tonight, after hearing them out, and I see that there's a lot of tension in their group, and I will say that it seems somewhat dysfunctional, I would personally

1	like more time to decide and make a more
2	informed decision and weigh the historical
3	aspects of the building in my final
4	decision, so I'm asking my colleagues that
5	we could table this for one week and I hope
6	that my colleagues could respect that
7	decision and honor that for me so I can
8	weigh all the pros and cons of what the
9	speakers had to say tonight and what we've
10	had to hear from HARB.
11	MR. EVANS: We have a motion on the
12	table, is there a second? I'll second it.
13	On the question?
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. We've had more
15	than adequate time to look at all of the
16	issues here. We've been debating this for a
17	month. I don't see any necessity in tabling
18	this and I don't know what other information
19	could be provided in a week's time. We've
20	heard from every source available on this
21	and I think it's time to make a decision.
22	MR. JOYCE: Well, before tonight I
23	never heard from Peter couple, Michael
24	Muller. I spoke to Wayne Evans about

different aspects dealing with real estate

in the city before but never about this project and I didn't hear from William Lesniak and they strongly opposed taking down this building, and I realize that Mr. Moore, Ms. Rayburn, Mr. Borthwick and Mrs. Scartelli all are in favor of this and we really truly have a split Historical Architectural Review Board, and if that vote was recast that would have failed and I personally just need more time to decide the appropriate way that I feel in my heart my vote should be cast.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with Mr. McGoff. I feel this is all I've talked about, not only at council meetings but talking to members of the community every day regarding this issue, people on both sides, and obviously HARB is divided. There is no question. The vote came down, it was a narrow vote. Council's vote is going to be a 3-2 vote either way it appears, but we have to make a decision and this has been -- this item has been on the agenda either formerly in legislation or in the public eye and through the speakers for well over a

1	month, which is much longer than we have to
2	consider many other items.
3	I would like to vote now. I will
4	see what happens. I hope it passes whether
5	it passes this week or next week, that's
6	what I want is for this pass and get people
7	in the city back to work. I think I'm blue
8	in the face talking about this issue, but I
9	support it 100 percent. I would hope we
10	pass it tonight. That's all I have to say.
11	MS. EVANS: All those in favor of
12	tabling signify by saying aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
16	MR. MCGOFF: No.
17	MR. ROGAN: No.
18	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
19	moved, the legislation is tabled.
20	MR. MCGOFF: This is absurd.
21	MR. EVANS: Do you have anything
22	else, Mr. Joyce?
23	MR. JOYCE: No, I do not.
24	MR. EVANS: Thank you. Excuse me,
25	there is a meeting being conducted and just

1	as this council respects everyone in this
2	audience, I fully expect your reciprocation.
3	Now, because of the public caucus
4	and public hearing conducted prior to
5	tonight's meeting, and the length of
6	council's agenda, I'll refrain from motions
7	and comments at this time. Mrs. Krake,
8	please begin.
9	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ACCEPTING THE
10	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
11	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
12	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
13	FOR QUAD THREE GROUP, INC., ARCHITECTS, 37
14	NORTH WASHINGTON STREET, WILKES- BARRE,
15	PENNSYLVANIA FOR MASONRY RECONSTRUCTION
16	OF THE COPING STONES OF ELEVEN DORMERS AND
17	FOUR GABLE ENDS; RESTORATION OF TWO
18	CHIMNEYS; VINE STREET PORCH AREA FLASHED,
19	REASSEMBLED AND REPOINTED; ENTIRE BUILDING
20	FAÇADE REPOINTED AND CLEANED; REMOVAL OF
21	COPPER GUTTERS AND LIGHTNING PROTECTION
22	DURING MASONRY RESTORATION AND
23	REINSTALLATION TO ORIGINAL LOCATIONS;
24	REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT OF BASEMENT
25	AWNING-TYPE WINDOWS AND FRAMES WITH

1	HOPPER-TYPE WOOD AND BLACK ALUMINUMCLAD
2	WINDOWS; REMOVAL OF WROUGHT-IRON SNOW GUARDS
3	ALONG ROOF PERIMETER, TO BE SANDBLASTED AND
4	RECEIVE NEW STAINLESS STEEL PINS AND BLACK
5	POWDER-COATED FINISH AND REINSTALLATION TO
6	ORIGINAL LOCATION; DIX COURT EAST GABLE ROSE
7	WINDOW MASONRY FRAMEWORK RECONSTRUCTION;
8	REMOVAL OF STAINED GLASS AND REINSTALLATION
9	FOLLOWING MASONRY RESTORATION; PROPOSED NEW
10	CONSTRUCTION IS LIMITED TO A FREESTANDING
11	STONE MONUMENT SIGN WITH BRONZE PLAQUE;
12	EXISTING FENCING AND GATES TO BE REPAIRED
13	AND REFURBISHED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION AND
14	SUPPORT PIERS REPOINTED; AT THE ALBRIGHT
15	MEMORIAL LIBRARY, 500 VINE STREET, SCRANTON,
16	PENNSYLVANIA.
17	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
19	introduced into its proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes, are we going to
24	hold a caucus on this item as well and drag
25	this out for two months?

1 MS. EVANS: Well, actually, it was 2 Mr. McGoff who requested the caucus, not 3 myself; and secondly, isn't it notable that 4 the majority of the people from the University, the unions and the HARB board 5 are no longer present to even seen what 6 7 happens to another HARB recommendation. 8 MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. They're 9 still here and the University of Scranton was off the agenda since it's been tabled, 10 11 so there's no reason for them to be here. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to 13 commend the HARB on this project here 14 specifically. I know there's quite a few 15 items in there that they had to review and 16 this is a perfect example of, you know, what 17 they do in renovations and keeping a 18 historic structure and I do appreciate, as I 19 stated before, I do appreciate the hard work 20 you've done on this and the other projects. 21 I'm looking forward to see it when it's done 22 because I know it badly needs it. Thank 23 you. 24 MR. EVANS: I don't believe anyone

on council or council's office was ever

1	contacted by any HARB members concerning
2	this legislation and their reservations.
3	All those in favor signify by saying
4	aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
12	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 41, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
13	APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT
14	LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY GREAT
15	UNCLE PETER'S, LLC, 1582 NEWTON RANSOM
16	BOULEVARD, CLARKS SUMMIT, PA 18411 (NEWTON
17	TOWNSHIP) LICENSE NO. R-2782 TO TERRA PRETA,
18	LLC FOR USE AT 222 WYOMING AVENUE, SCRANTON,
19	PA AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR
20	CONTROL BOARD.
21	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
22	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
23	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
24	pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
10	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 42, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
11	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
12	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON
13	TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE
14	PENNSYLVANIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
15	VOLUNTARY FIRE COMPANY AND VOLUNTEER
16	AMBULANCE SERVICES GRANT AWARDED TO THE CITY
17	OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
18	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
19	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
20	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
21	pass reading by title.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
24	those in favor signify by saying aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
7	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 43, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
8	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 77, 2012,
9	AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY
10	OPERATING BUDGET 2013" BY TRANSFERRING FUNDS
11	NOT TO EXCEED SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS
12	(\$75,000.00) FROM ACCOUNT
13	NO.01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
14	OPERATING EXPENSES - CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT
15	NO.01.040.00040.4250 (BUSINESS
16	ADMINISTRATION - ADVERTISING) TO PROVIDE
17	FUNDING FOR DELINQUENT REFUSE AND RENTAL
18	REGISTRATION ADVERTISING COSTS.
19	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
20	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
21	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
22	pass reading by title.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
8	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 44, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
9	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY
10	ACCOUNT NO. 02.229606 ENTITLED "PAVING
11	PROJECT PENNSYLVANIA GAMING ACT" FOR THE
12	RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH
13	OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
14	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL
15	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
16	\$2,044,000.00 FOR PAVING THE STREETS
17	THROUGHOUT THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
18	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
19	title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
20	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
21	pass reading by title.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
24	those in favor signify by saying aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
7	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
8	- FOR ADOPTION - ORDINANCE NO. 33, 2013
9	AMENDING SECTION 340-1, 340-8, 340-9 AND
10	340-13(A) OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF
11	SCRANTON GOVERNING PEDDLING AND SOLICITING
12	WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
13	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
14	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-A.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.

1	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
3	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
6	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
7	-ORDINANCE NO. 34, 2013 - ESTABLISHING THE
8	DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS
9	OF THE CITY HEALTH INSPECTOR, PROVIDING FOR
10	THE PAYMENT OF AN ANNUAL LICENSE FEE FOR
11	PUBLIC EATING AND DRINKING ESTABLISHMENTS
12	WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING
13	ANNUAL APPLICATION AND RENEWAL REQUIREMENTS,
14	IMPOSING CERTAIN DUTIES UPON THE DIRECTOR OF
15	LICENSING, INSPECTIONS AND PERMITS AND THE
16	CITY HEALTH INSPECTOR, PROVIDING GUIDELINES
17	FOR REVOCATION AND REINSTATEMENT OF
18	LICENSES, AND PROVIDING FOR IMPOSITION OF
19	PENALTIES.
20	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
21	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
22	passage of Item 7-B.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
25	call, please?

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MR. ROGAN: Shall I make the
13	amendment now or after it's read? The
14	amendment for 7-C that we talked about last
15	week.
16	MS. KRAKE: Yes, I understand. I
17	believe we put it in to be made prior to the
18	reading
19	MR. ROGAN: It says after, but I'll
20	do prior, that's fine. I make a motion to
21	amend Item 7-C per the following changes:
22	In the third whereas clause, second
23	line after "the" insert "repairs and
24	upgrading of the."
25	Two. After the third whereas clause

1	insert "Whereas, the UDAG RE-Re Money in the
2	amount of the \$30,000 shall be used only for
3	repairs and upgrades required for the
4	Connell and Novembrino swimming pools to be
5	open for the 2013 swim season and for no
6	other person."
7	In the now, therefore clause on the
8	fourth line after "Money for the" insert
9	"Repairs and upgrades for the."
10	Four, also in the now, therefor
11	clause, after "season" insert "and for no
12	other purpose."
13	MR. EVANS: Do we have a second?
14	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes. These are the
17	changes that we discussed last week that
18	Attorney Hughes drew up for council.
19	MR. EVANS: Anyone else? All those
20	in favor signify by saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
24	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

1	have it and so moved.
2	MS. KRAKE: I'm going to, I believe
3	we did it a little size ways, I still
4	believe it's correct though and I am going
5	to read 7-C now and I understand Mr. Rogan's
6	question, but I still believe we are well
7	within the forum.
8	MR. EVANS: Right, and then we'll
9	just say, "As amended."
10	MS. KRAKE: Yes. 7-C. FOR
11	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
12	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - ORDINANCE NO.
13	35, 2013 - (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE
14	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
15	TO DISBURSE THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS
16	(\$30,000.00) FROM THE ACCOUNT INTO WHICH
17	REPAYMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS
18	(UDAG) ARE DEPOSITED (UDAG REPAYMENT
19	ACCOUNT) FOR THE CONNELL PARK AND NOVEMBRINO
20	SWIMMING POOLS TO BE OPENED IN TIME FOR THE
21	2013 SWIM SEASON.
22	MS. EVANS: What is the
23	recommendation of the Chair for the
24	Committee on Community Development?
25	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the

1	Committee on Community Development, I
2	recommend final passage of Item 7-C, as
3	amended.
4	MR. JOYCE: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
6	call, please?
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
20	FOR ADOPTION - ORDINANCE NO. 36, 2013 -
21	AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION BY EMINENT
22	DOMAIN OF THE PARCEL AFFECTED BY THE
23	ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE REPAIR PROJECT
24	SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO. 041222-C AND
25	FEDERAL PROJECT NO. 117-X042-060.

1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Community Development?
4	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Community Development, I
6	recommend final passage of Item 7-D.
7	MR. JOYCE: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
23	ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2013 - AUTHORIZING THE
24	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
25	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT

1	WITH NORTHEAST INSPECTION CONSULTANTS
2	("NEIC") FOR THE FORMER SUPPLY ROOM IN THE
3	LICENSING, INSPECTIONS AND PERMITS
4	DEPARTMENT(LIPS), FOURTH FLOOR, CITY HALL TO
5	BE USED FOR THIRD-PARTY INSPECTIONS.
6	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-E.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
25	ORDINANCE NO. 38, 2013 - SALE OF TAX

1	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
2	2314 PITTSTON AVENUE, TAX MAP NO.
3	16714-010-046, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO
4	GEORGE J. LANGAN, JR., 2313 PITTSTON AVENUE,
5	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, FOR THE
6	CONSIDERATION OF \$5,000.
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Finance?
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-F.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.

1	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
2	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
3	MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
4	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
5	ORDINANCE NO. 39, 2013 - AUTHORIZING THE
6	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE
7	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LEASE
8	AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD
9	CENTERS OF NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNOWN
10	AS THE CABRINI CENTER LOCATED AT 1004
11	JACKSON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA FOR A
12	TEN (10) YEAR PERIOD WITH THREE (3)
13	SUCCESSIVE TEN (10) YEAR RENEWAL TERMS AT
14	TENANT'S OPTION.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-G.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
9	ORDINANCE NO. 40, 2013 - AUTHORIZING THE
10	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE
11	CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LEASE
12	AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD
13	CENTERS OF NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNOWN
14	AS THE BELLEVUE CENTER LOCATED AT 531 EMMETT
15	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA FOR A TEN
16	(10) YEAR PERIOD WITH THREE (3) SUCCESSIVE
17	TEN (10) YEAR RENEWAL TERMS AT TENANT'S
18	OPTION.
19	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
20	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-H.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please?

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.
11	MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a
12	motion to table Item 7-I.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MR. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor of tabling Item 7-I signify
16	by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR

ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 27, 2013 -

1	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
2	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
3	COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH LOCAL
4	LODGE 2305 OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION
5	OF MACHINISTS AND AEROSPACE WORKERS IN
6	ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF
7	A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING DATED MAY 30,
8	2013 AND RATIFIED BY THE MEMBERSHIP.
9	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
10	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-J.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question?
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just like
16	to reiterate my opposition to this contract
17	in part and the main reason is I believe the
18	city should have the option to privatize.
19	I'm not saying that's the best course of
20	action, but the option certainly should be
21	on the table for the new administration and
22	the new city council to pursue, and also I
23	believe that it's a good bargaining tool to
24	end some poor practices that we see at the
25	Department of Public Works one of them

1	being Public Works refuse employees going
2	home when their routes are done and then
3	sometimes two or three hours later returning
4	to punch out. It's a practice I believe
5	needs to end and some of that is on
6	management. Hopefully that will change, but
7	I believe the city should always have the
8	option to chose a private owner.
9	MR. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
10	the question?
11	Roll call, please?
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: No.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. KRAKE: 7-K. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
25	RESOLUTION NO. 28, 2013 - AUTHORIZING

1	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
2	OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS FROM THE
3	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP
4	ACT 101 RECYCLING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE GRANT
5	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$34,488.00 FOR THE CITY OF
6	SCRANTON RECYCLING PROGRAM.
7	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
8	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-K.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
23	Item 7-K legally and lawfully adopted.
24	MS. KRAKE: 7-L. FOR CONSIDERATION
25	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -

1	RESOLUTION NO. 29, 2013 - AUTHORIZING
2	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
3	OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS FROM THE
4	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP
5	ACT 101 RECYCLING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE GRANT
6	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$80,283.00 FOR THE CITY OF
7	SCRANTON RECYCLING PROGRAM.
8	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
9	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-L.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-L legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-M. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
2	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 30, 2013 -
3	ACCEPTING A DONATION OF THREE (3) OPTICOM
4	UNITS FROM THE BOARD OF AMOS TOWERS
5	PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE
6	DEPARTMENT.
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Public Safety?
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
11	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
12	final passage of Item 7-M.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

1	Item 7-M legally and lawfully adopted.
2	MS. KRAKE: 7-N has been tabled.
3	7-0. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
4	COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
5	RESOLUTION NO. 32, 2013 - APPOINTING
6	JOSEPH GILHOOLEY, 952 NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE,
7	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18510 AS AN ALTERNATE
8	NO.2 MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
9	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR. GILHOOLEY
10	WILL REPLACE DOMINIC GEORGETTI WHOSE TERM
11	EXPIRED ON JULY 1, 2013. MR. GILHOOLEY'S
12	TERM WILL COMMENCE ON JULY 2, 2013 AND
13	EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2015.
14	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
15	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-0.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-0 legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. KRAKE: 7-P. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
8	RESOLUTION NO. 33, 2013 - RE-APPOINTING
9	CHARLIE SPANO, 718 STAFFORD AVENUE,
10	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18505 AS AN ALTERNATE
11	NO.1 MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
12	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR. SPANO'S TERM
13	EXPIRED ON JULY 1, 2013 AND HIS NEW TERM
14	WILL COMMENCE ON JULY 2, 2013 AND EXPIRE ON
15	JULY 1, 2015.
16	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
17	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-P.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-P legally and lawfully adopted.
8	If there is no further business,
9	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
11	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
12	adjourned.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
4	
5	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
6	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
7	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
8	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
9	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
10	ability.
11	
12	
13	AMELIA NICOL, RPR
14	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	