1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, July 11, 2013
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
4	FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT
5	ROBERT MCGOFF
6	
7	PAT ROGAN
8	JOHN LOSCOMBE
9	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
10	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
11	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here.
14	As soon as Mrs. Krake returns, we'll
15	dispense with the reading of the minutes.
16	(Mrs. Krake returns.)
17	MS. EVANS: Dispense with the
18	reading of the minutes, please.
19	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
20	AUDIT STATUS REPORT RECEIVED FROM ROBERT
21	ROSSI & COMPANY DATED JUNE 19, 2013.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
25	REPORT APPEAL HEARING RESULTS DATED JUNE 12,

1	2013.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
5	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE OF JULY 10, 2013.
6	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
9	SCRANTON/LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
10	AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY
11	16, 2013.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. 2012 COMBINED AUDIT
15	REPORT FOR THE SCRANTON/LACKAWANNA HEALTH
16	AND WELFARE AUTHORITY.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
20	FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
21	MARCH 27, 2013.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. NOTIFICATION FROM
25	THE FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION THAT

1	MEETINGS WERE NOT HELD ON APRIL 24, 2013 AND
2	MAY 22, 2013 DUE TO LACK OF QUORUM.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	Do we have any clerk's notes
6	tonight, Mrs. Krake?
7	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
8	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
9	council members have announcements at this
10	time?
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes, I have two. They
12	are both actually for the same young boy,
13	the first one is July 18 and 19 from 10 a.m.
14	to 5 p.m. at Hyde Park Hair Fashions. They
15	are having a benefit for Hunter Ricas.
16	Hunter has Global Development delays that
17	are cause by chromosome breakage. He just
18	started to walk, but is not talking. He
19	receives therapy at St. Joseph's and NEIU.
20	He is doing much better, but the cost of
21	communications devices, braces for legs, and
22	many other items that insurance do not pay
23	for. So that's at Hyde Park Hair Fashions,
24	again, July 18 and 19, and you can just go
25	and let them know that you are there for the

1	benefit for Hunter.
2	Also, there is a benefit cookout for
3	Hunter on Saturday, August 17, from 2 to 6
4	p.m. at the Eagles Club. Tickets are \$15,
5	food, beverage and music will be provided as
6	well as basket raffles. Tickets can be
7	purchased by calling Joann Farber at
8	570-343-1110 or Tracy Refus 570-335-8847.
9	Checks also can be made out to the Hunter
10	Ricas donation fund and mailed to 1204 Rock
11	Street, Scranton, 18504. Thank you.
12	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
13	Mrs. Krake?
14	MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
15	PARTICIPATION.
16	MS. EVANS: Our first and only
17	speaker that has signed in this evening is
18	Dave Dobrzyn.
19	MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council
20	Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.
21	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
22	MR. DOBRZYN: And taxes and fees
23	paid. I have two questions tonight, I
24	submitted them in writing or will on recent
25	articles in the Scranton Times. One, I

1	think it was the day of the last meeting, I
2	didn't save the article, I may be able to
3	find it, but it reads: "Recently the
4	Times-Tribune ran an article on \$7.8 million
5	owed in trash fees that were delinquent."

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If so, are there any ongoing attempts to collect and establish, and I suspect the cause was Northeast Credit and Collection in the past. I had trouble with the trash fee when I purchased my house in 2002 they did not get me a bill, it got missed in the closing and I was up there, I purchased an adjoining lot that was tax delinquent and they told me that they had me right there on the computer and they told me nothing but it's a bill being owed. thought it was covered in my closing. They had my credit rating muddied and so forth and it was knocking me down a few points there and I'm a bit curious on this and what we can do and how much is really owed and who knows if it will ever get collected, but it certainly needs to be addressed.

And another article mentioned the suit filed by unions to raise retirement

pensions for 50 to 70 percent. If so, is
this guaranteed by contract and does your
council, meaning our attorney, feel we are
at risk for more large settlements and
further costs in the future. So with your
permission I'll drop this off.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Yes, actually, if you can give it to Ms. Carrera.

MS. CARRERA: Thank you.

And now I'd like to MR. DOBRZYN: speak about some of the events of the last couple of weeks. I had one question on the proposed parking lot on whether the Capouse Avenue who would maintain that because keeping in mind that our bridges are loaded with ice on walkways and so forth all of the winter and, you know, there would be grass that needed to be cut and hedges needed to be trimmed and plowing possibly in the winter that needed to be done and that's just the question in my mind that we -- the donation of a lot is somewhat neutral, but therein again the maintenance of it could be another story and it could reek unhappiness in the future.

1	And now I'd like to talk become
2	council and the current history of this
3	council. When you people ran, I think it
4	was in 2009, it was my understanding that
5	you accepted no corporate donations
6	whatsoever, not a dime came from any
7	corporation other than maybe a little
8	restaurant or something like that throwing a
9	fundraiser, but there is no major
10	corporations. Now, since, from day one
11	almost, the Scranton Times has constantly,
12	especially the editorial page, harassed,
13	excluding our friend Jim here, he has been
14	fairly decent with the city, but questions
15	asked about medical conditions, questions
16	asked about that were nobody's business and
17	constant, constant every two weeks. There
18	has been five articles on this issue with
19	the Scranton University and it's been
20	reopened, so what's the gripe? I mean, one
21	person voted against it, okay, it's still
22	it's actually a supermajority voted for it.
23	And, you know, it's a little bit
24	unideological, but I have seen other people
25	vote against tax increases or whatever on

ideological grounds because they knew it was
going to pass anyway, so, you know, it's
just silliness. It's silliness.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I would like to see the University with a few people in the audience ask the Scranton Times to lay off. the end of your term and it's winding down, Mrs. Evans, and I don't see why you have to be in the paper every day. The Courts were against us, the legislation is redefining tax exempts and it doesn't sound good for small cities and 33 percent of our towns is nonprofits or tax exempts as I call them, so in that respect, I mean, you people have tried to do what you were supposed to do and what you have agreed to try and do and if it didn't get done start looking at other areas and if you want to come up with a couple of other editorials start looking at the courts and the legislature and so forth that won't let us do what we really need to do, which is collect a few fees and PILOTS and so forth and give it a better fight instead of just asking us whether we want manna from heaven of.

1	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.
2	MR. DOBRZYN: One final thing, the
3	golden parrot goes to the Scranton Times
4	over their constant editorializing and
5	telling us how our lives should be. Thank
6	you and have a good night. Don't forget,
7	Scranton Times, bawk, bawk.
8	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
9	cares to address counsel?
10	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: I'm having a hard
11	time hearing, is there anyway to turn up the
12	volume? We can't hear.
13	MS. EVANS: From the podium?
14	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Either one. I'm
15	not sure if that speaker is off or what, but
16	it's just very low, we can't hear.
17	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, that's the
18	acoustics in the room, at one point they
19	changed the speakers to point back towards
20	council, so there is nothing that can be
21	done about that.
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER: You can't turn it
23	up?
24	MR. UNGVARSKY: No, it's not going
25	through the speakers up here.

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, you can't turn up the volume maybe? Okay. It was 2 3 hard to hear you when you were speaking, 4 it's very difficult to hear. When he is speaking I can't really -- I was having a 5 hard time hearing that. Okay. 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, you got a lot on the agenda so I'm going to go quickly through it, at least some of it. Delinquent refuse fees, the paper said it was something like \$8 1/2 million that we're owed for collecting trash. Is that the right figure. We are close to it at least or a great mistake was made from the Treasurer's Office because my sister got nailed and I had to go to the bank and find a receipt where we paid so is this a true figure or a made up figure because they have very poor accounting in the Treasurer's Office, which I assume they do, if they let \$8 1/2 million go down the drain and most of the that through the Doherty years.

25 Something really, really should be done with 1 that.

Now, Boscov's have came up again. I can see that right here, are we are ever going to get that loan? Probably not. We probably should kiss it goodbye because we probably -- they are talking about even going on another 15 years or 12 years or more on that loan.

MS. EVANS: I believe, and our solicitor can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe that this stems from a loan from the 1990's and again from 2003, the subordinate loan that the city holds with the Scranton Mall Partners.

MR. HUGHES: There was the original financing in which the City of Scranton and all other secondary lenders instead of having them recorded as a second or third and fourth mortgage positions behind the first mortgage we did the agreement so that it can be in what's known as in pari-passu so that it wouldn't be if there ever was a default the second, third and fourth mortgages would all share equally, irregardless of when they were recorded

1 timewise, then later on there was a 2 refinancing of the mall and that was 3 refinanced and again the subordinated 4 position is being -- the bank that did -the original mortgage included the 5 Sampter's, the Oppenheim building and also 6 7 the mall so that there was the first 8 mortgage on all of them, it was the second 9 mortgage in pari-passu on them. In order to 10 refinance the mall the last time what they 11 did was that they split out the Sampter's 12 and Oppenheim building for separate 13 financing and now with what they are doing 14 is just refinancing this for the Oppenheim 15 and for the Sampter's building and extending 16 the -- and extending the maturity date to 17 2025 so that the cash flow and everything 18 will pay the first mortgage and also the 19 second mortgages, but what they are doing is 20 stretching it out to lower the payments. 21 MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay. That has 22 nothing to do with the 108 loan we were 23 paying for years and years and years on I assume, this is a different one? You do 24

remember the 108 loan that we were paying --

1	MS. EVANS: Um-hum.
2	MR. SBARAGLIA: for Boscov.
3	MR. HUGHES: We weren't paying, he
4	was paying.
5	MR. SBARAGLIA: As far as I know the
6	city was paying, if I remember right.
7	MR. HUGHES: The city never from
8	what I know the 108 loan is being paid by
9	Scranton Mall Associates and they are paying
10	the 108. They would be in default on that,
11	the whole thing would be in default if the
12	city had to pay it, so that loan is still
13	I think the terms of that loan, I mean, I
14	haven't really looked into this in years,
15	but that loan is a separate loan that is
16	being paid independently because there were
17	bonds. This means I don't want to get
18	into all of that, but, you know, I could
19	talk about half an hour on that, there is no
20	sense talking about.
21	MR. SBARAGLIA: I only have five
22	minutes.
23	MR. HUGHES: I don't want to take
24	off your time.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I don't want to get

1

24

25

too much into it, you got a lot on the agenda. Now, with the Cabrini, I don't exactly know, I heard a lot of rumors that they were planning to close it and maybe that was the reason why we are going to go out and there and enter into a lease agreement for it. I see no other reason to get a lease agreement with United Neighborhoods other than the fact they are going to close the center. It doesn't make any other sense because they are supposed to be a nonprofit and one of the dealings of a nonprofit is to do things like that. not going to get into it. I only heard rumors on it, so I don't know how true they are, but I can see no other reason for the city to step unless they are going to close Okay, we go down to the parking lot that we are going to build for the A & A Auto there project, it's on the agenda again, I just wondered is this going to be a place where people can park and walk into town instead of paying the exorbitant parking fees. If you are building a parking lot there I'm certainty they could park
there cars there, people coming out of town
and then take the bus into town or walk into
town.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have a lot of places where we can park and ride within -- we used to have one in Scranton, too, down there where Abdalla's place is, but things keep changing, but since our police station never got the final coating paved I don't know why we have all of this money to pave private parking lots for people unless we are going to say anybody in the city namely could park there and walk to town if they want to on a nice day, which would probably be good. say it would relieve some of the congestion in town, but it wouldn't help the meters any or the Parking Authority. Well, I don't want to get into anymore, get into this over and over and over and it's not worth it actually because whatever it is it's not going to change.

And voting "no" on some of these
things does not change a darn think because
I watched, Janet, you vote "no", I watched

1	Courtright vote "no" and where we are today
2	no matter if you voted "yes" because as you
3	know three people overtake everything and
4	all of this problems we had go back to the
5	last eight years, not so much the four years
6	should you vote on, but the last eight years
7	were terrible as far as the city financing
8	are and they are continuing to get worse and
9	there is no hope I don't think. I really
10	don't.
11	I really think the new mayor when he
12	comes in, whoever it is, if they are going
13	to sit there and pull out their hair and
14	when they see what a terrible mess we are
15	in. Thank you.
16	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
17	anyone else?
18	MR. RAMERIZ: Yes. Good evening.
19	MS. JOYCE: Good evening.
20	MR. RAMERIZ: My name is Louis
21	Rameriz, I'm a small business owner in
22	Scranton. My concern is I'm primarily South
23	Side and Cedar Avenue, there was a proposal
24	presented about four years ago for the
25	revitalization program, basically what they

did is they had brought a picture showing how Cedar Avenue would look in the next five years, now it's four years later and it doesn't look anything remotely close to the picture that they originally had presented us.

I had just recently purchased a property on the Cedar Avenue and for the last four years I have been solely cleaning Cedar Avenue myself from trash and cleaning the gutters and trying to remedy that area. Now, with the revitalization program supposedly they had received about \$250,000 and so far all of I have seen done with that money is they have -- they are building -- they are breaking ground a block away instead of building a beautiful arch, I guess they were supposed to put up some kind of arch or something at the beginning of the Cedar Avenue that said, "Welcome to Scranton." That hasn't been done.

Also, trash cans were supposed to be put in place and they were supposed to redo the sidewalks with that money and none of it has been completed.

Outside of that I believe that they

have done some things on Pittston Avenue,

3 but that wasn't the original picture that

4 they -- I'm trying to find the actual

5 original picture that they had passed out

6 when they first started about four years

ago, so right now, I mean, I just got done

mowing somebody else's property that's -- I

think it's the city's, but it hasn't been

10 maintained since I have been there.

I moved from Wilkes-Barre to open a business here and so, you know, this property I believe that the city owns, you know, there was a fence that was closing it off from people littering and somehow I don't know what happened to the fence, but it was -- it blew down about two years ago and nobody from the city put it back in place and it just laid there for a good year and a half, two years, and then all of a sudden one day I woke up and it was gone and since then it's just been pretty much a place for garbage. I mean, I don't know if people are driving by and just throwing stuff out of the window or what's going on,

1	but I clean it once a week and it's every
2	week it's just the same thing over and over
3	again.
4	An easy solution to that would be to
5	just put a couple of nice garbage cans in
6	the area and have somebody go down there and
7	clean out the gutters so that way the
8	streets don't fill up with water and dirt
9	debris and so on and so forth.
10	Also, just real quick, there is no
11	street cleaning, and that's something I'm
12	not used to. I'm from Sunbury,
13	Pennsylvania, and they clean the streets
14	once a week there. I mean, it's pretty much
15	part of their agenda, the city's agenda and
16	it's just a simple matter of asking the
17	people of Scranton to move their vehicles
18	for a day and have a cleaning truck come
19	through and just wash the roads. That's it.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake,
23	could we request a written update from Mr.

Gownley concerning the city avenue project,

and also, if we could notify the DPW about

24

1	that abandoned lot. I think, you know, if
2	it is city-owned then it is the city's
3	responsibility to clear it and keep it as
4	clean as possible so if we can send two
5	requests, please.
6	MS. KRAKE: Yes.
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
8	MR. RAMERIZ: Just one more thing?
9	MS. EVANS: Yes.
10	MR. RAMERIZ: I'm sorry. One thing
11	I did notice a few weeks ago they actually
12	did come through at the beginning of Cedar
13	Avenue and they trimmed the trees, past the
14	first block they didn't they pretty much
15	only did it for about 20 to 30 minutes early
16	in the morning, and then they stopped. I'm
17	not sure who is responsible for the trees,
18	but past the first block on Cedar Avenue the
19	trees are actually they are kind of down on
20	the power lines, it's unsafe for the
21	buildings and so and so forth, so if
22	somebody can do somebody about that.
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Yes. Mrs.
24	Krake, please incorporate that as well.
25	Thank you very much for bringing this

1	information to our attention.
2	MR. RAMERIZ: Thank you.
3	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
4	cares to address council?
5	MR. FIGURED: Yes. My name is Jack
6	Figured. I'm a resident of Leggett Street,
7	Scranton, and from myself and all of the
8	working people in the City of Scranton I'd
9	like to thank you each and every one of you
10	council persons for listening to what we had
11	to say and I'm sure it brought a lot of
12	discussion with the council and everything
13	on the City of Scranton and the HARB
14	recommendation.
15	I'd like to thank you, Mr. McGoff,
16	Mr. Rogan and Mrs. Evans. I'd like to thank
17	you, Mr. Joyce, Mr. Loscombe, and I know it
18	wasn't an easy decision that it came by.
19	You put the people first in this case. I'm
20	very happy that you did that and I'm hoping
21	it goes forward. There is lot of people out
22	there that could really use the help and
23	thank very much for your help.
24	On a lighter note, I'd like to thank
25	the woman who sent me a very kind note on

1 Saturday, it's a very thoughtful well-written note. She wrote that she looks 2 3 forward to the good things happening in the 4 City of Scranton and she looks forward to working with the good, honest people that 5 come to this podium and are really trying to 6 7 do the right thing and I believe we all did 8 the right thing. I believe all of council 9 did and I think everyone else that supported 10 this project and I like to thank you all. 11 And thank you to that woman that sent the note. 12 Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good evening, Council. MR. MILLER: Doug Miller, Scranton. First I'd like to start off with agenda item 6-C on the agenda authorizing the mayor and other appropriate city officials to disburse 30,000 both to Connell Park and Novembrino swimming pools in time for the 2013 swim season. I think at this point seeing the date on the calendar, July 11, I think at this point it's certainly going to be quite unrealistic to expect either one of those pools to open in time. You know, I just -- the

1 frustration just continues and I know it's 2 not, you know, council's wrongdoing on any 3 part, you know, Mr. Joyce and, you know, the 4 administration worked very diligently to get the funding but, you know, I think when we 5 talk about the reasoning behind it being 6 that we understand that repairs need to be 7 8 made this is something we have know for 9 quite some time and why on July 1 all of a sudden we are crawling out of our hole and 10 11 announcing the pools needs repairs. I think 12 we have known this for many years now and I 13 don't understand why there is just never any 14 sense of the urgency with this administration unless it benefit the elite 15 16 few in this town and, you know, it's just 17 another slap in the face to the residents of 18 this city where these children are going to suffer. 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

You know, what we would say if it was Nay Aug that was shut down each summer, you know, we know that would never happen, but, you know, anything to benefit the elite few and I think that's what really frustrates myself and a lot of residents of

1 this community is a lot of hardworking people that pay their taxes and only ask for 2 3 little things. You know, garbage pickup, 4 streets plowed and certainly have to pools in the summer. You know, I really feel 5 sorry for the children in West Side and over 6 7 in south Side this summer because it's not 8 their fault, obviously. I think we have an administration to thank that for some reason 10 there is never a sense of urgency and I am pretty disgusted with that.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm just only hopeful moving forward to prioritize things a little better and realize that the essentials matter, you know, rather than worry about taking care of a certain few.

Moving on tonight to 5-K, going back to the University project, just want to reiterate once again my feelings on this and my staunch opposition to this project. You know, we hear an awful lot about the jobs and the \$400,000 in permits, but I think if we sit back and really analyze this I take it in a whole different perspective. I don't think this is about unions. I think,

1	unfortunately, the supporters of this are
2	trying to make this a union issue that we
3	are trying to deny people jobs. Let me make
4	it quite clear tonight that for me my
5	opposition isn't being against union workers
6	having the opportunity, that's certainly
7	false and so I don't want there to be this
8	perception out there that I'm against
9	creating jobs, what I'm trying to let the
10	people out there know is it's my opinion
11	that I think that we are trying to make this
12	a union issue and we are trying to sort of
13	pin the council in the corner and make it
14	seem as if this council is against the
15	creation of jobs and that's simply
16	ludicrous. Anybody that's paid close
17	attention to this council knows quite well
18	that that's not the case. I mean, you have
19	spearheaded many job creation in your three
20	and half years on council and you certainly,
21	you know, made things a lot easier for
22	businesses in this community so that's
23	certainly something that needs to be
24	clarified.

We talk about the permits, the

\$400,000. You know, I think in the whole grand scheme of things it's not even money when you sit and take a look at what the University takes in every year and I know for many it's comical and I was made a mockery of by my statements, but it's the reality. This issue is about the residents of this city and doing what's best for them and we talk about being champions for the people, well, the best thing we could do is stand our ground and object to this piece of legislation. I see no reason why to move

forward with this.

I don't dislike the University, I know my comments that I make here repetitively would come across that way, I don't list dislike them. I understand they do goods things in the community, there is no doubt at the end of the day when you take a look at some of the things they do, they provide some great services, but I look at this as the perspective of I know there is a lot of residents in this community that struggle to pay their bills and paying their bills every year isn't an option, you know,

say, well, this year I'm going to give you a little something, and then next year decide maybe, you know, a little less and so on so forth. They have to pay their fair share for the services that are provided to them and when you have an institution that brings in millions and millions of dollars each year I just don't think it's fair to the average ordinary, hardworking, blue collar

resident of this city and that's who I look

out for.

they don't come to the city each year and

I'm not here to speak on behalf of the University of the Scranton. I don't think members of the council should be mouth pieces for the University. You were elected to represent the residents of this city, you weren't elected to represent the University. If that's the case, then maybe you are in the wrong profession, maybe you should go work for them, but you were elected to do a job and your number one priority is look out for the little guy and I know there is three people on this council that have been doing that for guite some time.

1	So, Mrs. Evans, I'm asking tonight
2	for your colleagues to join you in standing
3	your ground and objecting to this. This is
4	about the people. This isn't about the
5	University. We need to stop being bullied,
6	you need to stand your ground. There is no
7	hurry on this because at the end of the day
8	the zoning board has to overturn is and now
9	we see they are being sued so it goes to
10	show my point that there is no problem with
11	checks being written out and money being
12	spent. It's time they are held accountable
13	and they pay their fair share and I ask you
14	to vote "no" to this, this is about people
15	not the University. Thank you.
16	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
17	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
18	Council. Marie Schumacher, citizen and
19	resident.
20	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
21	MS. SCHUMACHER: I hadn't planned on
22	it, but I will speak on the University,
23	which you know I'm against. It's you folks
24	up there are or so many of you are against

the "U", but the next time we are going to

be rough, we are going to really turn the screws down, make them comply with your institutional zone, but it's always next time. I think the basic issue here is they are obviously on the move, they are going out they are not going up, and what needs to be done is a whole meeting on what is their institutional zone. I don't want them taking Mulberry Street, obviously, although they are happy to park there free, but it's the bigger picture. What are their plans for the future? How much more property are they going to take?

Wayne Evans realtor and member of the HARB, who was not at the meeting when the HARB recommended the approval of this, actually posted to Mr. Rogan's Facebook account saying one of the things that they had looked at was keeping that YMCA at the corner, they actually had plans for that, and not changing that and working around it and maybe taking the other building down that was the dormitory. I think that would be far better.

But, again, it's the bigger problem

3

where are they going and how long is this going to go on and, as I say, you folks have been -- many of you somewhat disappointing. It's always next time.

25

But I do want to speak a little bit, and hopefully I'll have a chance next week again hopefully, on against 7-C. The city is in disrepair, the gentleman who was here, we don't have the personnel to maintain the properties we have, and now we are going to take one property and take it off the tax rolls, we are going to maintain that lot and provide free parking for whatever merchants while other merchants do have their own parking lots and you have not been, I don't think dealt fairly with the merchants downtown yet. You have yet to modify File 100 of 2009 that specifies where the parking meters will be, what the time limits will be, what the fees will be, what the house will be, I don't know why that's been held And then have you have, I was downtown along with Mr. McGoff and maybe some of the rest of you on the Third of July for the concert and the fireworks and Pango has what

seemed to be very permanent signage on the utility polls and I stopped and asked them because I have heard all you have do is put on your smart phone when you leave and when you come back you say you are done. I said, "Can you park for more than two hours?" And they said, "Oh, yes." 

Now, File of Council 100 of 2009 specifies two-hour limit in most of the downtown. There are ten-hour parking areas, but question, are they allowing people to park as long as they want on the street and as long as they pay with their smart phone? You know, again, what are the hours going to be? Are we going to have Saturday parking, are we going to have night parking? I think it's time that you tell the merchants and the citizens what's going to happen, and again, taking the property off the tax rolls.

And then while we are talking about revenues and missed revenues, there is a new newspaper in town, it's the Scranton Independent Gazette. What I have here is the Wilkes-Barre Independent Gazette, which

to town, but the lead story, the headline for this month was, "Taxpayers banning sweetheart deals," and it's about how -- this one in particular is about how somebody fell behind in their taxes and it was sold at a private sale, they came up with money and they weren't allowed to buy it back because this person had been approved by whoever their authorizing agency is, but

they hadn't been paying taxes.

is -- I want to welcome the Scranton Gazette

Now, you folks have done the same thing. Three years ago this last May you authorized the sale of eight properties on East Mountain to a Robert Kolenkoski, a resident of Scranton, for a total of \$49,000 for the sales price, but not only has the 49,000 not been received by the city, but they have had it for three years and the taxes aren't paid. So I guess if you are the right person and know the right people you can get one of these properties at a private sale and not file the deed, not pay the taxes until you are ready to develop it or to sell it to somebody else. I think

it's wrong, I think it's unfair, and we aremissing income. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. FALZETTE: Hi. Chris Falzette, 930 Columbia Street. I know this is redundant to you folks because we did have a caucus regarding 7-C two weeks ago, but I did want to answer some of the questions that did come up earlier. We are committed to maintaining and cutting the grass and trimming the hedges on that parking lot. The question came up about the parking and people potentially parking there and going downtown and working with a lot of the engineers on this including Don King and folks like that within the city I believe it was agreed upon that they are going to do like an eight to five two hour or one hour limit on that parking, so the main reason for that was to prevent, you know, just folks just beaching their car there for long-term and I just wanted to address those couple of things, I know we spoke on it at the caucus. I don't know if you folks have any other questions that I can answer while

1	I'm standing here I would be more than happy
2	to do so.
3	MS. EVANS: You did state that you
4	would be maintaining the lot, specifically
5	the landscaping of it, would that include
6	snow removal as well?
7	MR. FALZETTE: To be honest with you
8	I didn't give that any thought, I know we
9	were going to maintain the hedges and the
10	grass. As far as the parking goes it was
11	designed as such that it would be like Penn
12	Avenue so it would be pull in parking, so it
13	would just be a better of a snow plow kind
14	of pulling in there. If was an issue and I
15	needed to have that done I would do so.
16	Again, I haven't given that thought. I gave
17	thought to the grass and the hedges and the
18	stuff of a nature.
19	MS. EVANS: Any other questions?
20	Thank you, Mr. Falzette.
21	MR. FALZETTE: Thank you for your
22	time.
23	MR. DEVERS: Good evening.
24	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
25	MR. DEVERS: My name is Jim Devers

and I'm the associate vice-president for
facilities operations of the University of

Scranton. I'd like to thank the members of
council for reconsidering the recommendation
from the Historical Architecture Review

Board that the University granted a
certificate of appropriateness.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To answer some of the comments that were made this evening by some of the other speakers, I'd like to reiterate what I explained at previous city council meetings regarding the reason for the need to demolish Leahy Hall. The University seriously evaluated the potential for renovating the existing facility. We hired a national consultant, Einhorn, Yaffee and Prescott to conduct an extensive evaluation of the building, provide a feasibility study of the two interconnected buildings that make up Leahy Hall. EYP has extensive experience in science facilities. In fact they designed our science facility on campus.

The study revealed that there are several reasons why we cannot use Leahy

Hall. The existing building does not have enough space. Even though there are two buildings, those two buildings do not have enough space to meet the current modern program requirements of occupational therapy, physical therapy, and exercise science. The existing building could not be expanded vertically for structural reasons as well as building of fire code requirements.

The 1907 building is basically a wood frame structure and is not capable of the supporting the load of additional floors added to the top of that building. And, of course, Leahy Hall, as I said, consists of the two buildings and the floors do not match up. A building of this type and use must be accessible given the programs that would be contained in it and the clients that it would serve.

Finally, we need the new building to connect to the adjacent building McGurrin Hall with its related programs of nursing, education, counseling, and health administration. Code issues as well as

floor level issues made this virtually impossible.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the last meeting the city council I was asked to explain the University's urgency in seeking the request for demolition. Currently our project is approximately two months behind schedule. Any further delays may jeopardize the new building, the use of the new building for the fall semester of 2015. Our construction manager Quandel, has revised the timeline to complete the new building so that our students can start using it in the Fall of However, it is quite an aggressive schedule after losing two months. It will result in additional costs to the University because of winter construction and protection that will be required during those winter months for pouring of the concrete and things like that.

To remain on schedule we need to begin demolition of Leahy Hall as soon as possible this summer. Not starting demolition as planned jeopardizes the project timeline. The delay will impact the

1	project's positive economic and job creation
2	benefits and create challenges for
3	accreditation of our highly successful
4	health science programs at the university.
5	For these reasons, we urge the
6	members of the council to continue their
7	support of the resolution of 5-K and accept
8	HARB'S recommendation for recommendation for
9	demolition and grant the certificate of
10	appropriateness.
11	I'd be happy to answer any questions
12	you may have regarding this project or the
13	demolition or certificate of
14	appropriateness. Yes, sir?
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have a couple
16	of quick questions, when the University
17	purchased this building was it under the
18	historic registry at that time?
19	MR. DEVERS: I do not believe so,
20	sir. No, we purchased it back before the
21	registry, that was in 1993, I believe the
22	registry was formed in 1993, '94, '95. I
23	have been at the University since 1985 and
24	we owned that building prior to my arrival

so it's not on the registry.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Did the University
2	object to it being on the historic registry
3	at that time?
4	MR. DEVERS: We have no
5	documentation that lists anything. I have
6	looked through the files that we have at the
7	University, I cannot find any documentation
8	that we have ever been notified that the
9	building was put on the historic registry.
10	In fact, it wasn't until we started in the
11	design process that we found out that it was
12	on the city's historic registry.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Again, I asked you
14	the question about the urgency last week, of
15	course, I understand that the plans have
16	been in the process for several years for
17	this building.
18	MR. DEVERS: Well, we have been
19	talking about a new building for yes, for
20	quite a few years, yes, sir, we have, but
21	there were other priorities at the
22	University that took precedence over that
23	such as a new science building and some
24	other projects that we deemed not more
25	important, but of higher priority.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: I understand that,
2	but with this type of construction here, you
3	know, you come and you make it look like we
4	are holding the process up, it was just
5	presented to us.
6	MR. DEVERS: I understand that.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: And we have some
8	questions that we had to ask and we had to
9	check some situations here.
10	MR. DEVERS: Sure.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: By with the power of
12	the press and everything it makes us look
13	bad, which is frustrating.
14	MR. DEVERS: I understand.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Because we are doing
16	our job, too.
17	MR. DEVERS: I understand that.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: The University
19	doesn't have any other locations where this
20	building can go?
21	MR. DEVERS: As I stated, sir, the
22	need to construct this building adjacent to
23	McGurrin Hall is paramount to the success of
24	the programs that are contained in the
25	Municipal College of Professional Studies

1	These are all health related sciences and
2	services that we provide. Counseling,
3	health administration, nursing, as I stated
4	are located in McGurrin Hall and this
5	facility will house occupational therapy,
6	physical therapy and phys-ed science.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Now, when McGurrin
8	Hall was designed wasn't it designed to
9	blend in with this building? The
10	architectural colors, the
11	MR. DEVERS: No, the colors actually
12	actually the colors are different.
13	Actually there is a more brownish brick on
14	McGurrin Hall than there is more limestone
15	on McGurrin Hall, so it does compliment the
16	building, but it was not designed for it.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: I do have
18	communication with contractors and stuff
19	through the years that have done projects
20	for the University and, you know, I do get
21	some feedback on different things and that
22	was the one of the things I was told and it
23	does appear to align and everything, conform
24	to that.
25	MR. DEVERS: Yeah, when buildings

1 are designed --2 MR. LOSCOMBE: And if they were 3 thinking about this here have they -- again, 4 you expressed that you can't utilize the historic part of the building and tear the 5 rest down and encase it, were there plans 6 7 developed that did have -- retain the 8 existing historic part the 1907 part with 9 the new building? MR. DEVERS: 10 No. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: No plans that were 12 done. 13 MR. DEVERS: When EYP performed 14 their feasibility study they looked at 15 saving that existing building and worked 16 very hard to present us with a various plans 17 that would incorporate the programs into that building, but would require the 18 addition, vertical addition of floors onto 19 20 that building, and it was just structurally 21 not feasible to do so because the footings for that existing building would not support 22 23 the addition of the floors onto the top of 24 the building.

So they did do some models, they did

1 do some test fits, but they all proved to be unbiddable. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: And just, you know, 4 off the top of my head if, you know, this is approved and we have a building like the 5 Scranton Electric Building, say the 6 7 University or somebody wants to purchase, 8 that's on the historic registry we have set 9 a precedent now that, you know, just go and 10 tell them you want to tear it down and build 11 a new building because it's not feasible. I 12 iust don't understand. We have a historic 13 board here that was here for a reason to 14 preserve our history, if the University --15 and I think they are pretty prudent should 16 have known it was on the historic registry, 17 should have fought it if they didn't want it 18 at that time, but kept it in the meantime. 19 I have nothing -- and again, the 20 newspapers, the University, everybody is going to lay it out and say, "We don't want 21 22 the guys to have jobs or work or anything 23 like that." 24 It's not that. I do love the 25 University. I have several family members

1	who graduated from there and have fantastic
2	jobs because of it. I think they have done
3	a fantastic job in the Hill Section, but we
4	can't keep making rules for one entity and
5	not the other evenly.
6	We have a Historic Review Board,
7	yes, the reason I approved bringing it back
8	up here again was to let us all vote, just
9	like the Historic Board should all vote.
10	They should have another meeting and let
11	them all vote and see because I have got a
12	lot of different feedback from them.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Do you know that they
14	haven't?
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: That they haven't had
16	another vote?
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I don't.
19	MR. MCGOFF: I believe
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: We asked for the
21	minutes, have you received any minutes from
22	the Historic Board?
23	MS. KRAKE: No.
24	MR. MCGOFF: I believe they did and
25	a member asked that it be reconsidered and

1	they voted no.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: They voted "no" that
3	is can be reconsidered?
4	MR. MCGOFF: To reconsider it, yes.
5	MR. DEVERS: I received the same
6	information as well from the chair of the
7	HARB indicated
8	MS. EVANS: I have received
9	different information that actually a
10	meeting was held, the chairman was not
11	present nor was the secretary/treasurer
12	present, a vote was taken, they asked then
13	for that to be followed and they were
14	ignored by the president as well as the
15	secretary/treasurer. They refused to
16	respond to e-mails and calls regarding the
17	matter.
18	MR. DEVERS: At a regularly
19	scheduled meeting of the HARB this past
20	Monday Mr. McGoff is correct. The motion
21	was reintroduced by a member of the HARB and
22	was voted down, and I received that
23	information from the chair of the HARB,
24	Mr. Moore.

As far as, you know, preservation

approve the certificate of appropriateness

and HARB is concerned. HARB has voted to

3

2

with the conditions and we were perfectly

4

agreeable to those conditions. There were

5

three conditions and we are going to work

6

with HARB to incorporate those conditions in

7

the new facility. So basically they did

8

vote for and make their recommendation for

9

10

11

23

24

25

the certificate.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with you, but they didn't have the full panel, as we didn't when we voted initially, and that's why I voted last week to say yes, okay, if the full panel wants to vote let them vote. We could change our mind or we could all vote against it, we could all vote for it, but I think it's fair. I have heard there has been a lot of division over there and all I'm asking for is the truth, what the status is with that. And if, you know -and, Mr. McGoff, you can snicker, but we know what's been going on in the last few years here and it's just continuing. seems like a very big rush in the last six months of this administration to do things

1	SO
2	MS. EVANS: Might I ask
3	MR. DEVERS: Yes.
4	MS. EVANS: this is perhaps a bit
5	unrelated, but the building that the
6	University purchased I believe in 2012 at
7	the corner of Adams Avenue
8	MR. DEVERS: Yes, the Adlin
9	building.
10	MS. EVANS: At the time of purchase a
11	spokesmen for the University was quoted as
12	say the University had no plans at that time
13	for that particular building. Since then
14	has a plan been devised for that building?
15	MR. DEVERS: Yes. Right after the
16	purchase of that building we decided we
17	would move the vice president for external
18	affairs onto the fourth floor of that
19	building. We would also temporarily
20	relocate the College of Arts and Sciences to
21	the third floor of that building and
22	renovate the existing second floor of St.
23	Thomas Hall, which is undergoing
24	construction right now, and we are going to
25	be ready to move the College of Arts and

1	Sciences from the Adlin building to the
2	campus to the second floor of St. Thomas
3	Hall and vacate that second floor.
4	We do have a plans of I'm sorry,
5	the third floor. We do have plans of moving
6	the vice president for External Affairs from
7	the fourth floor to the second floor and
8	moving the vice president for Institutional
9	Advancement and Development from O'Hara Hall
10	onto the third and fourth floors of that
11	building. We are going to keep Lavish Salon
12	on the first floor and the Small Business
13	Development Center on the first floor as
14	well.
15	MS. EVANS: And they are paying
16	rent?
17	MR. DEVERS: Yes.
18	MS. EVANS: That's all I have.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: That's all.
20	MR. DEVERS: Thank you.
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
22	anyone else who cares to address council?
23	MR. VITRIS: Hi, Council. My name
24	is am Vitris. I'm president of the IAMF
25	Local 2305 representing DPW workers and on

1	tonight's agenda is our collective
2	bargaining agreement that was negotiated in
3	good faith between the city, the mayor, and
4	our union. As you know, I'm an employee a
5	long time with the city and we have come a
6	long way in regards to relations with city
7	council, with previous mayors and it's
8	important that labor, management, city
9	council, the taxpayers, everyone is
10	represented in the collective bargaining
11	agreement and it's very important to realize
12	that last year probably around this time we
13	were making \$7.25 an hour and through
14	cooperation between Scranton City Council,
15	the mayor and the employees I feel they
16	we've come a long way.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Everything is not perfect, it will never be perfect, but I think it's important that we keep trying and that the management, the politicians, the taxpayers, everybody realizes that labor negotiations aren't easy and our contract, this contract, represents our knowing that the city financial situation isn't the best and it probably won't be for quite some time we realize

	,
2	see next year there is no raise for public
3	works employees. It's a very minimal
4	contract and we would just appreciate a
5	"yes" vote so that our labor management
6	relations can continue with the next mayor
7	going into the future, so I would hope that
8	the city's finances do get better
9	beginning well, starting this year and
10	hopefully continue into the next year, so I
11	would just want to say thank you.
12	MS. EVANS: Thank, Mr. Vitris. Is
13	there anyone else?
14	MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.
15	MR. JOYCE: Hello.
16	MR. ELLMAN: What would a meeting be
17	without Ronnie. You know, my friends, I
18	think we got a terrible cancer growing in
19	our midst, that's the University of Scranton
20	and all of this unscrupulous, greedy bunch
21	that oversee it. I read letters of their
22	supporters like this this week, the man he
23	works for him and he lives in Clarks Summit,
24	but he makes a threat that they ought to
25	withhold their little measly amount of money

that, and if you look through it you will

that they contribute to the city if we don't give into their whims.

You know, probably in 50 years the minute amount of money they have given the city would be absolutely nothing compared to what they have taken off our tax rolls. I don't know how somebody could even think something like that. What we desperately need is some simple practical law. What we have is a dismal PEL in Mr. Cross that haven't done nothing except collect salaries.

I think we are very fortunate to have this program and have Mrs. Evans and her administration keep it on the air when the Doherty administration trying repeatedly to remove it. I read the paper just they rearrange facts about everything. That's a good word. I don't know how to say things some times, but they rearrange stuff, it's just blatant lies.

A couple of weeks ago that said
there was an article about them not taking
property that has been taxed and so on which
was just an outright blatant lie. To me

what's really in question here is the future of Scranton. The state must be brought in to this local problem we have of this unbearable taxation for many. We need some laws against these organized benefactors of the loopholes. I keep going back to that these universities if they generate \$400,000 they can't be nonprofit. There is another organization here has over 50 pieces of property all tax free. The city is full of examples like that.

I don't know why the state can't be brought in and do something to help the people of this city that are being taxed out of their houses. You know, sometimes people just don't want to hear about something that they just don't want to happen. To me there is just like a big bear coming down the road that's going to devour the city. We can't go on taxing people out of their homes, you know, there is 3,000 people out there and I have talked to some of them that lost their homes and there is nothing more sad than right across the street a lady stopped me last year she lived in a home that was paid

1 for and couldn't afford it no more, you know, it's -- I'd like to sell my house and 2 3 leave but I have had so many people tell me 4 not to give up. I guess I'll pay my taxes before the year is out and stick it out like 5 I should, but you people just have got to 6 7 put your foot down and draw the line against 8 the University. I think you have tried, but, like I said, they just rearrange facts 9 to suit themselves and it's always us 10 11 against them and somehow things get turned 12 around where they the victim of it all. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else?

MR. HEMLER: My name is David Hemler. I'm an architect in the City of Scranton, practiced here for approximately 35 years in three different locations in the city. I'd like to speak for a few minutes about the activity of the historic property and to perhaps establish some credibility to the design team, which I happen to head for that project, and as Jim Devers mentioned we took the Einhorn study and reviewed it, but

1 also studied i

also studied it somewhat ourselves relative to could the building be economically saved.

establish a little bit about our history as a firm, myself and my partners. Some of the buildings that we have been responsible for in the City of Scranton are the very one you are sitting in. In the late 1970's when the city was going to tear this building down and build a new building caddy corner where the housing project is we had worked for a firm called Belanti and Clauss, and we pretty much did an after hours effort to save this building and it was saved and it is a wonderful building, perhaps in spite of some people that don't like to speak close enough to the microphones.

The City of Scranton firehouse, the main firehouse, just replacing the windows. The firehouse on Petersburg corners, the firehouse in South Side. The Steamtown National Park, I think one of the jewels of the city. The Scranton Prep School, again, a historic property that was renovated and added to and saved Central High School.

1 When the school moved out and the built the new building we instigated an effort with 2 3 Ray Angeli to save that building and keep it 4 as part of the city. The CYC, St. Peter's Cathedral. 5 St. Ann's Basilica, the GAR building, the 6 7 building we occupied for many years. Brooks building. The Bozak Bank building. 8 9 The Lackawanna County Station we did numerous studies for. The Lackawanna 10 11 Courthouse, the Veteran's plaza and roof 12 The Scranton Federal Courthouse work. 13 building. Marywood University and the 14 liberal arts historic dome and rotunda. The 15 Marywood Science building, the original 16 building on the campus. St. Joseph's 17 Center, the main building. The Jersey 18 Central train station, numerous studies. 19 St. Clare's church. The University of 20 Scranton houses on Clay Avenue. 21 University of Scranton main estate. 22 University of Scranton Houlihan-McLean 23 Performing Arts Center. The cathedral 24 prayer garden. The Lackawanna Laundry

building. The children's library.

1 Scranton Public library. St. Matthew's church. St. Stanislaus Polish Cathedral. 2 3 The Cathedral High School. Scranton South 4 Side Catholic High School was turned into a The Scranton State School for the 5 housing. Deaf. Covenant Presbyterian historic 6 windows. 7 The Scranton Lace building, 8 numerous studies. The Trolly Museum. The 9 Smurfit building. I was on the team that 10 helped establish historic history in the 11 Town of Waverly. St. Johns of Main Avenue, 12 which unfortunately later was torn down. 13 St. Thomas Moore church in Archbald received 14 a state award from the American Institute of Architects. St. Luke's Perish House. 15 E1m 16 Park Church. The Colonnade building. The 17 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue and the 18 numerous store front and beautification 19 projects. 20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, on courthouse square there is a plaque that celebrates the lifetime of achievement of Peter Boland, who happens to be my colleague on this project and, if you will, this project would be a signature building that might establish

1 history having a Peter Boland, who was a 2 2010 AIA gold metal recipient to have a 3 project he has been involved in in Scranton. 4 Finally, or I should additionally, another project architect is Richard 5 Leonardo, life-long preservationist in the 6 7 City of Scranton and historian for the city, 8 original member of BAHA, original member of your HARB, instructor of architectural 9 10 history, member of the state historic 11 architecture museum commission, and as I 12 said, a member of HARB. 13 This is a quality team. We have 14 haven't taken tearing this building down 15 lightly. We have taken it very seriously. 16 It just does not work economically. I hope 17 this addresses our credibility. Thank you. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Can I just ask a 19 question? Mr. Hemler, you named those 20 buildings that were you able to save. 21 MR. HEMLER: Um --22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Do you have the names 23 of any buildings that you ended up having to 24 tear down that weren't salvageable. 25 MR. HEMLER: I didn't write that

1	list, but, yes, there were.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: But Mr. Lenori is a
3	member of HARB and member of the design
4	team; that's correct, right?
5	MR. HEMLER: Yes.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, I know
7	Mr. Lenori personally, I have known him a
8	long time, but I don't know if he voted on
9	this or not.
10	MS. EVANS: I don't believe so.
11	MR. HEMLER: He abstained from
12	voting because of his involvement in the
13	project. He didn't think that would be
14	appropriate.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you very much.
16	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
17	MR. WICK: Council. Roger Wick, DPW
18	heavy equipment operator.
19	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
20	MR. WICK: I actually just wanted to
21	answer a couple of things the gentleman said
22	earlier about the trees being on the power
23	lines, I forget the address it was in, we
24	are actually not able to do the power lines,
25	just to know it's PPLs responsibility to

bring them down below the power lines and
take them from there so I just want to let
you know that's the reason for that and when
they come out once we get the address then
we take them down from there.

And the sweeper program, he said about his street not being able to be sweeped, the problem usually is that you know how close the houses are and how many, you know, cars are on a block there is really not the parking to be able to take them and move them and there is no driveways for people to pull in so we try to go in the morning and get it and out as we can and when people leave we try to go when they are at work, but it's difficult because people have different hours so we do have a sweeper out and we do hit the streets. It's just There is no way we would be able to move all of the cars. There is not just the parking for it, so I just wanted to let you know that and answer those two things much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
2	you have any comments or motions?
3	MR. MCGOFF: Briefly. First I want
4	to ask Mr. Loscombe, I don't know if you
5	I had a complaint from a citizen about I
6	think they are called will Air Soft guns, I
7	don't know you've heard, apparently they are
8	like little air pistols that shoot small
9	plastic I'll call them about bb's and I
10	guess they can shoot them at you know,
11	pump them up somehow and they shoot them and
12	they can be dangerous if close and I was
13	also talking to a member of the county
14	sheriff's office and he said that many of
15	these are made to look like actual guns that
16	can be very problematic, but I don't know if
17	and I'm also told that there is some
18	communities that have set regulations on
19	using these, so I wasn't sure if you had
20	heard of anything.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: That was the first I
22	have heard about it. I think I have an idea
23	of what you are speaking of, but I haven't
24	heard anything about them or actually seen

them. Thank you.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Perhaps --MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll present that to 2 3 the police chief and maybe we can come up 4 with some kind of ordinance together. MR. MCGOFF: I'm not even -- you 5 6 know, it's not about an ordinance it's just whether there has been a problem in the city 7 that the police have identified and if there 8 9 is then is there something that we can do to 10 alleviate that problem. 11 And the second thing, and I don't 12 know if it comes under public safety or 13 where it comes, the complaint about 14 advertising being placed on the utility 15 polls, and the most common -- apparently it 16 is a violation and the one that they spoke 17 of was the I think it's "We Buy Homes." 18 These signs are everywhere and they are 19 being placed on utility polls and apparently 20 that's a violation and perhaps we should 21 start to consider the idea that maybe at 22 least warn people that that's not something 23 that they should be do and that those signs 24 will be removed. 25 MS. EVANS: I think didn't also Mrs.

1 Schumacher, there is someone that spoke indicating that Pango was advertising on the 2 3 utility polls? 4 MR. MCGOFF: Was it on utility polls or on the --5 I think that Pango was 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 on and the meter heeds, that they have 8 signs, also, that I saw in the downtown. 9 MR. MCGOFF: But anyhow, I guess the 10 problem was that if we allow people to place 11 signs on the utility polls then we are going 12 to fill -- polls will be filled with them 13 and maybe it's just something to consider 14 and I don't know who would actually monitor 15 that problem. 16 Another thing that somebody asked me 17 about and I didn't know the answer to since 18 we have legislation on the handicap parking 19 signs being removed or, you know, whatever, 20 was there anything -- I don't know if anybody would remember that these could be 21 22 transferable. One lady asked that her 23 husband -- or her father had gotten a sign 24 placed in front of their house, her father

has since passed away, but yet her husband

1	does have a handicapped sticker on his car.
2	Now, is that sign going to be removed
3	because it was in her father's name or can
4	they simply keep the sign there and transfer
5	it to the husband?
6	MS. EVANS: I don't know.
7	MR. MCGOFF: I don't remember if
8	that was done or not but it's something
9	that
10	MS. EVANS: Maybe you can contact
11	the police department and pose the question.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Yes, I
13	guess that would be the best way. As far as
14	the gentleman who spoke about Cedar Avenue,
15	the Cedar Avenue revitalization program was
16	like a three-phase program and I'm not sure
17	where his business was or what the area he
18	was talking about, but that the phase that
19	they are now working on is the 500 block of
20	Cedar Avenue and they have done extensive
21	work in that block. I mean, they have
22	cleared buildings and now they are in the
23	construction phase of I believe that it's
24	apartments in that area and some
25	storefronts, so the UNC revitalization

1 project is something that is ongoing, it's 2 not something that is nonexistent and that 3 the next phase is to move to the 600 block 4 of Cedar. I get the impression that the gentleman was talking about closer to --5 MS. EVANS: To the city. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, to the downtown 8 area or to the 81 entrance and I don't think 9 there was ever anything in that project, I'm trying to remember seeing it, I don't ever 10 remember it talking about going that far to 11 12 the 100 or 200 blocks of Cedar Avenue, so 13 maybe I'm wrong, I don't know, but that 14 project is an ongoing thing and has been 15 very kind of vital to the redevelopment of 16 those couple of blocks in South Side. 17 And as far as other thing, I'll wait 18 until we get to the legislation, it's a busy 19 evening, so thank you. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, 21 Councilman Rogan? 22 Yes, thank you, I'll be MR. ROGAN: 23 very brief. Currently I have a lot of 24 comments on the many agenda items tonight. 25 Just a couple of things I wanted to mention.

There was an article in the paper regarding the condition of Reese and Greenbush Streets and I do agree that with the mayor with trying to get the other institutions to donate some money to the city to repair those roads, to repave them, but in the mean time I would hope that they were repaired. I received some pictures from a resident from the area and there are some areas right in front of people's homes that are almost craters there, and I understand they are very long streets with a lot of water runoff so I imagine keeping the maintenance there is difficult.

But, Mrs. Krake, if we could please send a letter to the DPW director and the mayor asking that they are at least repaired in the mean time and hopefully an agreement will be made to have them permanently repaved.

One other issue, the pools. Now, I understand we have legislation on the agenda tonight to appropriate money for repair and one of the speakers mentioned that they thought it was unlikely that they would be

open this year and I know we discussed last week that we would hope that the money would be used even if it were to repair them for the next year, but I did hear a story, and I don't know how true it is from some city employees that the director, the mayor and the director of the DPW removed parts from some of the pools that are closed to other pools to keep them up and running, one of them being Novembrino Pool in West Side which is one of the -- there is actually two pools in that complex and West Scranton is the biggest part of the city and West Side we have been without a pool for years.

I know Capouse Avenue there is talks about not even reopening it. I would like to know if parts actually were removed from any of the pools and moved to other pools. I don't think the mayor can be picking and choosing what ones we are going to open and opening smaller pools instead of larger pools. I think we need to work to get at least one of the Novembrino Complex open this year for the residents of West Side.

So, Mrs. Krake, if we could please

1	also send that to the DPW director and the
2	mayor asking what the status is and if any
3	parts, specifically pumps, were removed from
4	any city pools.
5	And like I said, I have numerous
6	comments coming up on agenda items, but I
7	will hold off until that time.
8	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman
9	Loscombe, do you have any comments or
10	motions tonight?
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I don't have
12	anything at this time, I'll just make some
13	comments during votes.
14	MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
15	Councilman Joyce, do have comments or
16	motions?
17	
. ,	MR. JOYCE: Just a few. As you
18	MR. JOYCE: Just a few. As you know, there was an article in the newspaper
18	know, there was an article in the newspaper
18 19	know, there was an article in the newspaper about Pango app and smart parking and I'm
18 19 20	know, there was an article in the newspaper about Pango app and smart parking and I'm very encouraged to see that smart parking is
18 19 20 21	know, there was an article in the newspaper about Pango app and smart parking and I'm very encouraged to see that smart parking is becoming successful in the City of Scranton.
18 19 20 21 22	know, there was an article in the newspaper about Pango app and smart parking and I'm very encouraged to see that smart parking is becoming successful in the City of Scranton.  Also, I would like to thank

and I think that the DPW is doing their best and they are putting forth a good effort to be a good partner with the city. They are taking a pay decrease in year one and their raises thereafter are fairly minimal and I would say probably just in line or below the national average, so I will be voting for that.

Also, we had some information relayed to us from Northeast Revenue from June 1 to June 30. As you know, Northeast Revenue collects delinquent real estate taxes for all of the prior years besides 2012, which is collected by the Single Tax Office, and for the period of June 1 to June 30 Northeast Revenue collected and distributed \$119,032.95 to the city, and for the period of June 1 to June 30, Northeast Revenue collected delinquent refuse payments as well as they do that, and they collected \$83,95.147.

Just to update everyone, and I know this is a big issue, the maintenance of traffic signalization, we received a letter from our purchasing clerks who informed us

1	that bids were opened on Monday, July 8, at
2	council chambers for the maintenance and
3	traffic signalization and there were two
4	bidders, being Urban Electrical and Joyce
5	Electrical, which I am not a relation of.
6	After the director of DPW, Mr. Mark Dougher,
7	reviews these bids he will select hopefully
8	the lowest responsible bidder, so once we
9	receive information as who that iis I will
10	inform everyone who will be responsible for
11	the traffic signal or for maintenance and
12	traffic signalization.
13	I do have some comments for the
14	agenda items tonight, but I will save those
15	for the votes, and that's all.
16	At this time our president,
17	Mrs. Janet Evans, has stepped out probably
18	to use the facilities, but I'll make a
19	motion that we take a brief recess for one
20	or two minutes until she gets back.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MR. JOYCE: All in favor signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
2	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
3	(A brief recess was taken)
4	MS. EVANS: At this time the meeting
5	will resume. Good evening. Because of the
6	unusual length of our agenda, I will refrain
7	from motions and comments at this time in
8	order to progress directly to Fifth Order
9	legislation for introduction. Mrs. Krake,
10	please begin.
11	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. APPROVING THE
12	TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE
13	CURRENTLY OWNED BY GREAT UNCLE PETER'S,
14	LLC, 1582 NEWTON RANSOM BOULEVARD, CLARKS
15	SUMMIT, PA 18411 (NEWTON TOWNSHIP) LICENSE
16	NO. R-2782 TO TERRA PRETA, LLC FOR USE AT
17	222 WYOMING AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA AS REQUIRED
18	BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD.
19	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
21	introduced into its proper committee.
22	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	Next week at 5:45 p.m. city council

1	will conduct a public hearing regarding the
2	transfer of this liquor license.
3	All those in favor signify by saying
4	aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE
10	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
11	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND
12	DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA
13	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, VOLUNTARY FIRE
14	COMPANY AND VOLUNTEER AMBULANCE SERVICES
15	GRANT AWARDED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE
16	DEPARTMENT.
17	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
19	introduced into its proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
2	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AMENDING FILE OF
6	COUNCIL NO. 77, 2012, AN ORDINANCE
7	ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET
8	2013" BY TRANSFERRING FUNDS NOT TO EXCEED
9	SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000.00)
10	FROM ACCOUNT NO.01.401.13090.4299
11	(NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES -
12	CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.01.040.00040.4250
13	(BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION - ADVERTISING) TO
14	PROVIDE FUNDING FOR DELINQUENT REFUSE AND
15	RENTAL REGISTRATION ADVERTISING COSTS.
16	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. CREATING AND
5	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
6	02.229606 ENTITLED "PAVING
7	PROJECT-PENNSYLVANIA GAMING ACT" FOR THE
8	RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH
9	OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
10	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL
11	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
12	\$2,044,000.00 FOR PAVING THE STREETS
13	THROUGHOUT THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
14	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
16	introduced into its proper committee.
17	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
18	MR. JOYCE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question?
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Would you be able
21	to request a paving list from the
22	administration? I looked in the backup and
23	I didn't see one attached and hopefully if
24	it's not complete then council could have
25	some input into that as well because I know

1	we all have a list of our own.
2	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if you could
3	please send a memo tomorrow. Anyone else on
4	the question? All those in favor signify by
5	saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AUTHORIZING THE
13	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
14	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO AGREE TO
15	EXTEND THE MATURITY DATE OF THE \$1,163,500
16	SUBORDINATE LOAN MADE TO SCRANTON MALL
17	ASSOCIATES FROM DECEMBER 12, 2011 TO
18	DECEMBER 31, 2013 WITH THE UNDERSTANDING
19	THAT THE MATURITY DATE OF SAID SUBORDINATE
20	LOAN COULD BE FURTHER EXTENDED (AT THE
21	DISCRETION OF PENNSTAR BANK) TO JULY 11,
22	2025 TO ACCOMMODATE A RESTRUCTURING OF
23	PENNSTAR BANK'S SENIOR LOAN, THUS AVOIDING A
24	FORECLOSURE OF PENNSTAR BANK'S SENIOR LOAN
25	AND CERTAIN LOSS OF THE CITY'S SUBORDINATE

1	LOAN.
2	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
3	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
4	introduced into its proper committee.
5	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
6	MR. JOYCE: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question?
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question,
9	would we be able to have a caucus or some
10	sort of meeting with folks from Pennstar
11	Bank and Boscov's well, Mall Associates.
12	It seems every year that something is on the
13	agenda regarding the Steamtown Mall not
14	being able to make their payments. I would
15	like a little more information. I will vote
16	"yes" this week to move it along, but I
17	would hope that they would have a sit down
18	like we did last time when the same issue
19	came up.
20	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if you
21	wanted to see if a public caucus can be
22	arranged for next week perhaps at 5:15 and
23	if they are unable to attend on that date we
24	can schedule it for the following Thursday,

which I believe is the 25th and for a

1	starting date or a starting time of 5:15.
2	MR. ROGAN: Thank you.
3	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those
4	in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AUTHORIZING THE
12	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
13	OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
14	COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH LOCAL
15	LODGE 2305 OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION
16	OF MACHINISTS AND AEROSPACE WORKERS IN
17	ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF
18	A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING DATED MAY 30,
19	2013 AND RATIFIED BY THE MEMBERSHIP.
20	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
21	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
22	introduced into its proper committee.
23	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question,

looking through I do agree that the changes that being made to the previous contract are minimal, I do believe that the next mayor should have the opportunity to have some say in this contract since he or she will be the one living with it. I opposed passing this currently. I do know that the changes that were made were basically just on two items, specifically one being the pay, the other being the city's pension contributions. I would have like to seen increase pension contributions from the DPW employees as well as the elimination of the no privatization clause for the refuse division.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd just like to comment, I literally have run into two different crews out working in the past couple of weeks, one who are out there doing some pothole repairs and filling in some potholes, others collecting, and I think that the gentlemen that work and the people who work for the DPW are doing a great job with the many services that they need to provide or they have to provide for the city 1 and I'm happy to vote for this contract.

2 MS. EVANS: And the only thing I 3 wanted to add is that, yes, it would be

4 ideal if the next mayor were able to

5 contribute to the negotiations of this

6 contract, but in that same vein then could

7 we not say it would also be very

8 advantageous to have the mayor involved in

9 all of the major issues that face the city

from now until his swearing in in January of

11 2013? There is financing on the table for

the payment of the police and fire, there is

a budget forthcoming, there ever issues with

14 PEL. So it would seem, you know, if he were

going to be involved in this, which isn't a

16 bad idea, then I would say he should be

involved in everything.

MR. ROGAN: Well, unlike some of the

issues that are going to come up between now

and then we won't have a mayor elected until

21 November, so that will provide two months,

the issues that come up between now and then

there is still another election. Just my

opinion. It's just other people have

25 mentioned to me that they are concerned that

1	this administration was going to push
2	through a number of items being the lame
3	duck period and this looks to me to be one
4	of them.
5	MS. EVANS: Anyone else?
6	MR. JOYCE: I'd just like to
7	reiterate my feelings on the DPW contract.
8	I think it's a fair contract. I think that
9	the membership of DPW deserves to have a
10	contract and I believe that they are all
11	hardworking people. They do a great job
12	throughout the city with many of the
13	services that they provide and they are
14	taking the pay freeze in year one and their
15	raises are pretty minimal I would say
16	compared to many other unions throughout the
17	city, so I would be happy vote for this.
18	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
19	signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
24	MR. ROGAN: No.
25	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so

1	moved.
2	MS. KRAKE: 5-H. AUTHORIZING THE
3	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
4	TO ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT
5	OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) ACT 101
6	RECYCLING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE GRANT IN THE
7	AMOUNT OF \$34,488.00 FOR THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON RECYCLING PROGRAM.
9	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 5-I. AUTHORIZING THE
23	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
24	TO ACCEPT GRANT FUNDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
25	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) ACT 101

1	RECYCLING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE GRANT IN THE
2	AMOUNT OF \$80,283.00 FOR THE CITY OF
3	SCRANTON RECYCLING PROGRAM.
4	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-I be
6	introduced into its proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MS. KRAKE: 5-J. ACCEPTING A
18	DONATION OF THREE (3) OPTICOM UNITS FROM THE
19	BOARD OF AMOS TOWERS PRESENTED TO THE CITY
20	OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
21	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be
23	introduced into its proper committee.
24	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
25	MR. JOYCE: Second.

1	MS. EVANS: On the question?
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question,
3	if anybody doesn't understand what they are,
4	we are on J; right?
5	MR. JOYCE: Yeah.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: The Opticom units
7	that are devices that are in fire trucks if
8	you noticed the new traffic signals are in
9	place they have like a reflector on them,
10	this is a system that they can press in the
11	trucks for safety to activate the green
12	lights, so it eliminates the possibility of
13	someone coming through a red light or a
14	green light this way and a fire truck going
15	through a red light. Some of the newer
16	trucks came equipped with them, but the
17	older trucks have not had them and I believe
18	they are about \$1,200 a piece so this is a
19	nice contribution.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes, and on behalf of
21	council I wish to thank the board of Amos
22	Towers for this very, very generous donation
23	to the City of Scranton police or fire
24	department.

All those in favor signify by saying

1	aye.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
3	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
5	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
6	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
7	have it and so moved.
8	MS. KRAKE: 5-K. ACCEPTING THE
9	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
10	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
11	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
12	FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON, 800 LINDEN
13	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR
14	DEMOLITION OF LEAHY HALL; TO INCLUDE
15	COURTESY REVIEW BY THE HARB FOR PUBLIC
16	INCORPORATION OF THE LINDEN STREET PORTICO;
17	PUBLIC RECOGNITION OF THE 1907 BUILDING VIA
18	EXHIBIT PHOTO AND TEXT, INCLUDING
19	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE YWCA BUILDING AND ITS
20	ROLE IN THE CITY; AT 630 LINDEN STREET AND
21	235 JEFFERSON AVENUE, SCRANTON,
22	PENNSYLVANIA.
23	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
24	entertain a motion that Item 5-K be
25	introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? 4 MR. ROGAN: I guess I'll start. Ι would first like to start off by thanking my 5 colleagues, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce and 6 7 Mr. McGoff for seconding and supporting my 8 motion last week to bring this back up for 9 another vote. This is a very critical vote 10 for the City of Scranton and for the 11 University of the Scranton and for the 12 workers of Scranton. 13 There are many issues at play here. 14 For me the most important ones are jobs, and 15 revenue for the City of Scranton. I know 16 some that oppose this plan make the argument 17 that the jobs that are going to be created 18 are temporary jobs when, in fact, all 19 construction jobs are temporary. Eventually

are temporary jobs when, in fact, all
construction jobs are temporary. Eventually
every project would hopefully come to an end
when it's completed, but this project will
provide years of work for hundreds of
hardworking men and women in Scranton and in
Northeast PA. It will also generate over
\$400,000 in revenue for the city, and most

importantly, it allows the University of Scranton to expand without taking more property off the tax rolls, which is something that's very important. I believe the University of Scranton should expand up and not out and in this scenario they are tearing down their own building to build higher.

As I stated in the newspaper and I council meetings previously, this project is a home run for the city. It brings in revenue for the City of Scranton, it creates jobs for the hardworking men and woman who need them, and it does it all without removing any property off the tax rolls. For those reasons, I am very proud to vote "yes" for this and I will do anything I can to see this project come to fruition.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I just may add to my comments earlier, if the University -- I wish they had gone to HARB and ask them to remove the declaration of the historic registry, that would have been the easiest possible way. They didn't do that and then it's laid on our lap to decide to tear down

a historic building. That's not something that I feel I can decide.

I would hope to see a compromise to preserve the city's historic building.

After all, the University is constructed on a full lot at the corner of Jefferson and Mulberry Street, a sign that states "The Historic Hill section."

I would like them to keep that in mind and try and devise something around the history of that building and we have to understand the historic part of that building is only a small section, it's not that whole building. It's only the 1907 building that's designated historic. 1920's building is not. They could tear down that, they could tear that down right now without our approval, but I think it would be setting a precedent for us, as I stated before, for other developers coming in say, "Hey, I'm going to take over --" like I said, the Electric City building, I don't have a list of what's on the registry, but those owners right now if you don't want to be on the registry I would go to HARB and

1

2

3

4

1 ask to be off of it.

For anybody that's been on it and didn't realize it I find that hard to believe, too, but, you know, it's part of our history, it's been that designation, we have a historic board that in this case I don't believe they did their duty. I don't believe they did their duty because they didn't take a second vote. They didn't open it up to the full body. I voted to open it up to the full body. That didn't mean that I'm changing my vote.

I could be construed as an obstructionist by the newspaper, that's fine, they have called me a lot worse through the years, that doesn't bother me, and I'm not against labor, this is not a labor employment issue, this is the University of the Scranton and a historic bidding. Maybe the newspaper could get that straight or somebody, but when they come here, I don't know how else to say it, and try to bully us into making a decision because of using certain innuendos as obstructionists against labor, against

permits and s

to be other of

city this year

now is going

some time.

permits and stuff like that, there is going to be other construction projects in this city this year and the University also right now is going to litigation so that may take some time.

If they've had this project down on paper for five years they should have come to us in December or January before the building season. That's my take on it.

Don't put us against the wall and say we are losing time, so either way right now we are losers anyway because it's going to Court, apparently it's being appealed on the zoning issue, but the timetable is not going to allow you to construct the new building because I don't know how fast the board can rule on that, but apparently you are right at the limit at this point.

And, you know, I'm a little guy, I'm David, you're Goliath and I've seen a lot going on in my four years here that I don't like and, unfortunately, you may not be part of that, but it seems in the last couple of months I have come upon a lot of stuff, especially when it comes to buildings,

permits and zoning, and I have a lot of questions.

In fact, while I'm on that, I forget, Mrs. Krake, Mr. Wallace was unable to come here to our caucus because he was on vacation. This is not a University issue, but pardon me because it came up, I have several issues with building permits being issued or authorized without going through zoning or proper procedures and this has been ongoing so this is my fear, this has been an ongoing problem and, unfortunately, in my case this is falling into that category.

We are pushed against the wall when this didn't happen overnight, and I'm not going to be bullied into making a decision overnight on something. I think HARB should get together, vote on it as a full body as we are, and go from there. If our body votes in favor of this, I'm in favor of it, but personally I can't. I mean, we are usurping the rules here. So that's where I stand. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: HARB has voted twice to

1 approve this certificate of appropriateness. 2 They have voted once to approve it and they 3 voted once to not reconsider the vote. 4 can quibble --MR. LOSCOMBE: Not reconsidering it 5 isn't a vote. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: They did vote. It was asked to be put back for a vote and it was 8 9 voted down. We can quibble about who was at 10 those meetings. They were two legally --11 they were two meetings with a quorum, votes 12 taken by HARB within -- taken within their 13 bylaws or within their operating procedures. 14 To question and to say we have to wait for 15 other people to vote on it is to me 16 HARB voted, all we are being senseless. 17 asked to do is to reaffirm their vote. 18 As far as the zoning board is 19 concerned, we are getting into a chicken and 20 egg argument about whether the zoning board 21 should go first or whether we should vote 22 This is a -- we should see this as first. 23 an isolated issue, vote on it not based on

things that have happened in the past or

something that might happen in the future,

24

1	is this project something that is beneficial
2	to the city and is it something should move
3	forward.
4	I have yet to hear any argument
5	where somebody has said that this particular
6	project is going to have a negative impact
7	on either the city or the citizens of this
8	city. If there is no negative impact then
9	there is absolutely no reason to not vote
10	for it.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: And that's your
12	opinion that you say there is no negative
13	impact. We are losing a piece of history.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Tell me something
15	that's negative about this particular
16	project?
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: I just explained that
18	compromise would be ideal. That would
19	appease everyone.
20	MR. MCGOFF: You still haven't told
21	me something negative.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm in favor of the
23	project, but just do it the right way.
24	MR. MCGOFF: It's being done the
25	right way.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: I can't say anything
2	negative on it, I'm not going to rule on
3	tearing something down a piece of history
4	that's, you know
5	MR. MCGOFF: That HARB has said they
6	would approve.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: We don't have the
8	minutes from the HARB meeting we requested
9	so obviously you are the one that has access
10	to HARB, I would like one of the members of
11	HARB to come here and speak to us.
12	MS. EVANS: Well, I think perhaps
13	you would need more than one because
14	evidently there appears to be two divided
15	and separate camps within HARB, so I think
16	hearing from one individual will only
17	present the perspective of that camp.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: That's true, so how
19	do we resolve that? I don't think they are
20	fully
21	MR. ROGAN: I would add if we do
22	approve this this week it will be up for
23	another vote next week, members of the HARB,
24	and I spoke to one of the members who I
25	guess disagrees with my opinion on this and

1 I did hear him out, by moving it forward to next week for a final vote that would give 2 3 members of HARB that are happy with the vote 4 or unhappy with the vote another chance to come and speak in front of council. 5 nobody has. I do know, like I said, I have 6 had one member reach out to me and I spoke 7 8 it him. MR. LOSCOMBE: Again, it's David 9 10 against Goliath because a lot of people are 11 intimidated, too, but I will give you that. 12 I will give you that. I will vote to pass 13 it along and, you know, make my decision 14 next week and hopefully we will have someone 15 here that can explain what the division is 16 on HARB and what the story is. 17 MR. ROGAN: Great. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's my hold up. 19 MS. EVANS: I'm going to be very, 20 very brief. For next week's meeting I ask 21 my colleagues, my honorable colleagues, to 22 consider this question, why must the benefit 23 of the few frequently be placed among or

before the benefit of the many when it is

the purpose of government to do the greatest

24

1 good for the greatest number and to harm the smallest number? 2 3 But, you know, from what I have seen 4 in ten years, and those ten years are quickly coming to an end, I have seen quite 5 a bit that I will never forget, and the 6 7 truth of the matter is the few are often 8 placed and chosen before the many, and that 9 is not good government. 10 MR. ROGAN: Who are the many that 11 are being hurt by this? 12 MR. MCGOFF: We have yet to hear 13 anything negative about this particular 14 project? Nobody is being harmed. 15 MS. EVANS: We have representatives 16 of the University and the building trades who are in favor of this project, quite 17 18 obviously for their personal -- or I 19 shouldn't say personal, but their individual 20 It's to their benefit. When we reasons. 21 listen to many of the people who actually 22 reside in Scranton, pay taxes, they appear 23 to be opposed to this project. So are we 24 working for the few or are we working for 25 the many?

MR. ROGAN: I believe three people 2 spoke against the project this week and 3 about the same spoke about in favor of it. 4 The union leaders that came to us reside, I believe they all reside in the City of 5 Scranton, and many the union workers also 6 7 reside in the City of Scranton. The city 8 brings in revenue from this without 9 taking -- if this property was -- if this 10 was a purchase of a property off the tax 11 rolls I would likely agree with what you 12 just said, but since the taxpayer is not being hurt in any way, shape or form by this 13 14 project it will bring in money for the city 15 and create jobs I don't see it, as 16 Mr. McGoff said, any negatives with this 17 particular project. But I'm looking forward 18 to another vote next week and hearing from 19 more residents. 20 21

1

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: I mean, on top of the people who spoke here I have received a number of calls and e-mails, as Mrs. Evans stated, that are the other way. But, you know, it's intimidating for people to come here to this podium and we have to listen to

1	the taxpayers and what is in the best
2	interest? Again, yes, there is no negative
3	to this, it is a positive, but it's a
4	positive if it's done the right way to
5	benefit everybody and that's not the way
6	it's being done.
7	MS. EVANS: Well, you know,
8	obviously we have opposing opinions on this
9	particular piece of legislation and I think
10	we should rather than denigrating one
11	another's sides let's just agree to
12	disagree.
13	All those in favor of introduction
14	signify by saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: 5-L. APPOINTING JOSEPH
22	GILHOOLEY, 952 NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE,
23	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18510 AS AN
24	ALTERNATE NO.2 MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF ZONING
25	APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR.

1	GILHOOLEY WILL REPLACE DOMINIC GEORGETTI
2	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED ON JULY 1, 2013. MR.
3	GILHOOLEY'S TERM WILL COMMENCE ON JULY 2,
4	2013 AND EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2015.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-L be
7	introduced into its proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question?
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes, this is my
12	appointment. I would just like to say that
13	Mr. Gilhooley submitted his letter of
14	interest. He actually called me on the
15	phone as well as well, he told me he was
16	calling other council members as well to
17	express his interest in the zoning board and
18	I think it's important that we have folks on
19	the zoning board who are interested in the
20	board and are interested in doing a good job
21	and I think that he will fulfill the role of
22	alternate very, very greatly.
23	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those
24	in favor of introduction signify by saying
25	aye.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 5-N. RE-APPOINTING
8	CHARLIE SPANO, 718 STAFFORD AVENUE,
9	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18505 AS AN ALTERNATE
10	NO. 1 MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
11	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR. SPANO'S TERM
12	EXPIRED ON JULY 1, 2013 AND HIS NEW TERM
13	WILL COMMENCE ON JULY 2, 2013 AND EXPIRE ON
14	JULY 1, 2015.
15	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
16	entertain a motion that Item 5-M be
17	introduced into its proper committee.
18	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
19	MR. JOYCE: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question?
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Mr. Spano was my
22	reappointment to the zoning board.
23	Mr. Spano has served as an alternate for the
24	last few years on the zoning board and I
25	wish him well on another term.

1	All those in favor of introduction
2	signify by saying aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
10	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 33, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
11	AMENDING SECTION 340-1, 340-8, 340-9
12	AND 340-13(A) OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF
13	SCRANTON GOVERNING PEDDLING AND SOLICITING
14	WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
15	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
16	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
17	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
18	pass reading by title.
19	MR. JOYCE: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
21	those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
4	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
5	ESTABLISHING THE DUTIES, RESPONSIBILITIES
6	AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE CITY HEALTH
7	INSPECTOR, PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT OF AN
8	ANNUAL LICENSE FEE FOR PUBLIC EATING AND
9	DRINKING ESTABLISHMENTS WITHIN THE CITY OF
10	SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING ANNUAL APPLICATION
11	AND RENEWAL REQUIREMENTS, IMPOSING CERTAIN
12	DUTIES UPON THE DIRECTOR OF LICENSING,
13	INSPECTIONS AND PERMITS AND THE CITY HEALTH
14	INSPECTOR, PROVIDING GUIDELINES FOR
15	REVOCATION AND REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSES,
16	AND PROVIDING FOR IMPOSITION OF PENALTIES.
17	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
18	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
19	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
20	pass reading by title.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
2	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
6	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 35, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
7	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
8	CITY OFFICIALS TO DISBURSE THIRTY THOUSAND
9	DOLLARS (\$30,000.00) FROM THE ACCOUNT INTO
10	WHICH REPAYMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION
11	GRANTS (UDAG) ARE DEPOSITED (UDAG REPAYMENT
12	ACCOUNT) FOR THE CONNELL PARK AND NOVEMBRINO
13	SWIMMING POOLS TO BE OPENED IN TIME FOR THE
14	2013 SWIM SEASON.
15	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
16	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
17	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
18	pass reading by title.
19	MR. JOYCE: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question?
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question,
22	I think it was stated in the newspaper
23	obviously that they wouldn't be opening
24	these pools, they didn't have enough money.
25	If that's the case when it comes up next

1	week I'll be I would vote to put this
2	back into a fund other than be used for
3	those three pools since they are not going
4	to be utilized this year.
5	MS. EVANS: Or perhaps it could be
6	amended to indicate that the funds that are
7	transferred would be used for repairs of
8	specified neighborhood pools for a proposed
9	opening in June 2014.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. That's what
11	my thought, it was to push it to
12	MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.
13	MR. JOYCE: I agree with that.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Otherwise it would be
15	spent and nothing will happen.
16	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
17	signify by saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved. And if we could
24	request our solicitor to perhaps draft an
25	amendment to Item 6-C for next week's

1 meeting, please. MR. HUGHES: Surely. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: One addendum to that, 4 too, perhaps we could find out if, in fact Novembrino and Connell Park are not able to 5 be opened perhaps we could get an estimate 6 7 of what it would cost to repair so that we 8 would know if this \$30,000 was, in fact, 9 sufficient to open them for next year or 10 whether we are looking at just throwing the 11 money at something that wasn't going to 12 happen. MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree. 13 14 MR. HUGHES: If I could. Madam 15 President, would you want the amendment to 16 read that this money shall only be available 17 with the reopening of a park -- if there is 18 -- I mean, it's -- for Connell Park and 19 Novembrino swimming pools is this to pay 20 lifeguards, what's the \$30,000 to be used 21 for? Would the amendment be to only -- that 22 the \$30,000 should only be used for these 23 specific purposes and if the pools aren't 24 opened the monies would then be remain in 25 the UDAG repayment account?

1	MS. EVANS: Yes.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MR. HUGHES: Is the \$30,000 is that
4	to pay for the lifeguards or is for
5	maintenance or clean it, I mean, what does
6	anybody know what the \$30,000 is to be used
7	for?
8	MS. EVANS: I believe it was for
9	repairs and upgrades that had to be made
10	because the pools cannot be opened as they
11	are.
12	MR. HUGHES: So it could only be
13	used for repairs and upgrading.
14	MS. EVANS: Because I know that
15	seasonal employees, specifically lifeguards
16	their salaries are contained in the annual
17	operating budget.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: And I just have a
19	question, next year all the pools are going
20	to require lifts, which is going to be
21	another expense. Now, I don't know if they
22	ever did they do the lifts in the pools
23	that we approved for this year?
24	MS. EVANS: I don't know.
25	MR LOSCOMBE: I know they put the

1	bids out and everything was accepted, but I
2	have no idea because they extended the
3	deadline to the end of this year.
4	MR. ROGAN: I know at these pools I
5	don't believe they were installed.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: These pools, no, I
7	think we approved them for two or three
8	pools.
9	MS. EVANS: Nay Aug Park and
10	Weston
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Nay Aug, Weston
12	Field. If we could check on that, Mrs.
13	Krake, to see if they installed the lifts in
14	those pools that were approved last year by
15	us.
16	MR. ROGAN: I'll also check to see
17	if the federal government will extend that
18	deadline once again. I know there are a lot
19	of communities, and not only municipalities,
20	but nonprofits that have pools that for them
21	it's a very big expense.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
23	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake?
24	MR. HUGHES: So the limitation would
25	be for repairs and upgrades of the pool

1	required for their opening and for no other
2	purposes. I mean, that's the way I'll
3	review it and I draft it to that extent.
4	MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
6	MR. HUGHES: But it's not for
7	lifeguards, it has nothing to do with them?
8	MS. EVANS: No.
9	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
10	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 36, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
11	AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION BY EMINENT
12	DOMAIN OF THE PARCEL AFFECTED BY THE
13	ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE REPAIR PROJECT
14	SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO. 041222-C AND
15	FEDERAL PROJECT NO. 117-X042-060.
16	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: 6-E. READING BY TITLE -
5	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 37, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
6	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
7	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
8	LEASE AGREEMENT WITH NORTHEAST INSPECTION
9	CONSULTANTS ("NEIC") FOR THE FORMER SUPPLY
10	ROOM IN THE LICENSING, INSPECTIONS AND
11	PERMITS DEPARTMENT(LIPS), FOURTH FLOOR, CITY
12	HALL TO BE USED FOR THIRD-PARTY INSPECTIONS.
13	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
14	title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure?
15	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E
16	pass reading by title.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

1	MS. KRAKE: 6-F. READING BY TITLE -
2	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 38, 2013 - AN ORDINANCE
3	- SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
4	COMMONLY KNOWN AS 2314 PITTSTON AVENUE, TAX
5	MAP NO. 16714-010-046, SCRANTON,
6	PENNSYLVANIA, TO GEORGE J. LANGAN, JR., 2313
7	PITTSTON AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
8	18505, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$5,000.
9	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-F, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-F
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 6-G. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 39, 2013 - AN ORDINANCE
24	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
0.5	ADDDODDIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

1	SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT
2	WITH THE UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF
3	NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA KNOWN AS THE
4	CABRINI CENTER LOCATED AT 1004 JACKSON
5	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA FOR A TEN
6	(10) YEAR PERIOD WITH THREE (3) SUCCESSIVE
7	TEN (10) YEAR RENEWAL TERMS AT TENANT'S
8	OPTION.
9	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-G, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-G
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. KRAKE: 6-H. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2013 -AN ORDINANCE -
24	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER

1	INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED
2	NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF NORTHEASTERN
3	PENNSYLVANIA KNOWN AS THE BELLEVUE CENTER
4	LOCATED AT 531 EMMETT STREET, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA FOR A TEN (10) YEAR PERIOD WITH
6	THREE (3) SUCCESSIVE TEN (10) YEAR RENEWAL
7	TERMS AT TENANT'S OPTION.
8	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
9	title of Item 6-H, what is your pleasure?
10	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-H
11	pass reading by title.
12	MR. JOYCE: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
14	those in favor signify by saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
23	-RESOLUTION NO. 24, 2013 -APPOINTING ALAN
24	O'NEILL REAR 1440 CHURCH STREET, SCRANTON,
25	PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE

1	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF
2	SCRANTON. MR. O'NEILL WILL REPLACE LANCE
3	STANGE JR., WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 1,
4	2013. ALAN O'NEILL'S TERM WILL COMMENCE ON
5	JULY 2, 2013 AND EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2018.
6	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-A.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? I'd
11	like to thank Mr. Stange for four years of
12	unparalleled service, we are sorry to lose
13	him and I wish Mr. O'Neill great success in
14	his future service. Roll call, please.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

1	MS. KRAKE: /-B. FUR CUNSIDERATION
2	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
3	-RESOLUTION NO. 25, 2013 -APPOINTING SHAWN
4	WALSH, 2821 CEDAR AVENUE, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE
6	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF
7	SCRANTON. MR. WALSH WILL REPLACE MARY ANN
8	WARDELL WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 1,
9	2013. SHAWN WALSH'S TERM WILL COMMENCE ON
10	JULY 2, 2013 AND EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2018.
11	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
12	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-B.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question?
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Again,
17	Council thanks Mr. Wardell for her excellent
18	service and we wish great success to
19	Mr. Walsh. Roll call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
7	motion to take File of Council No. 29 of
8	2013 from the table.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
20	FOR ADOPTION - ORDINANCE NO. 29, 2013
21	(PREVIOUSLY TABLED) - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
22	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
23	ACCEPT, RECEIVE AND RECORD A GIFT OF REAL
24	ESTATE FROM FMP REALTY, LLC CONSISTING OF A
25	PARCEL AT THE INTERSECTION OF CAPOUSE AVENUE

1	AND MARION STREET, ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER
2	OF SAID INTERSECTION TO PROVIDE FOR
3	ADDITIONAL PARKING IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD.
4	MS. EVANS: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chair for the
6	Committee on Community Development?
7	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Community Development, I
9	recommend final passage of Item 7-C.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question?
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes. On the question,
13	I'm very happy that we had a caucus and that
14	both Mr. Falzette and his father were very
15	willing to come in and further explain this
16	project to us. When it was initially sent
17	down the legislation to accept the parking
18	lot and nothing more it didn't seem to be a
19	smart choice, but after hearing there is a
20	broader plan in place and, more importantly,
21	that the Falzettes will be maintaining the
22	land, that it won't be our city DPW that's
23	responsible for cutting grass and hedges and
24	things of that nature, I fully support this
25	project

And also the fact that I spoke to a

few neighbors from down in the area and they

think it will finally get rid of an eyesore

of what was for I guess decades, a very

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6 reasons I will be voting "yes".

MR. LOSCOMBE: And just my comments, you know, I think it's a great project. It's definitely going to improve that corner, but based on the history with the legal issues I don't know if we are able to make it a parking lot. It went to the Supreme Court, I haven't seen the Supreme Court ruling, but, you know, that's a pretty strong ruling that it's not be to a parking lot and for us to invest \$60,000 for a parking lot there and all we have is a verbal commitment by the developer across the street, he doesn't have to stand by that to maintain that parking lot, but I don't know, to me it just appears to be a public parking lot that's held for a private entity and that's my take on it at this point, I'm not going to kid you.

controversial parking lot, so for those

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the

1	question? Roll call, please.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
13	If there is no further business,
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
15	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
16	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
17	adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
5	notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the
6	above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true
7	and correct transcript of the same to the best of my
8	ability.
9	
10	
11	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR
12	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	