_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, January 31, 2013	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	•
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

, II

•

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
15	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
16	AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING HELD
17	NOVEMBER 15, 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
22	DECEMBER 12, 2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

SCRANTON POLICE PENSION MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 12, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT, HEARING RESULTS HELD ON JANUARY 9,
2013.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes tonight?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time? I have scheduled a caucus on Thursday, February 7, 2013, at 5:15 p.m., with Mr. Romey Vallera of Standard Parking, Incorporation, in order to provide an overview of the parking meter program and to respond to questions or concerns of council members.

The Fraternal Order of Eagles No.

314 in Scranton will conduct it's fifth
annual chili fest this Saturday, February 2,
from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Proceeds benefit Stacy
Smith, who is battling cancer. All you can

1 eat tickets are only \$8.00 and it includes music, prizes and fun for everyone. For 2 3 additional information, call the Eagles Club at 570-961-5495 and, remember, as they say 4 5 at the Eagles Club, it's never too chilly for chili. And that's it. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: May I say thank you, 8 Mrs. Evans, for arranging that caucus with 9 Central Parking. I know that there was some 10 problems with it last week when we talked 11 about it, but I think that will be 12 beneficial to not only council, but to the 13 public in general, so thank you very much. 14 MS. EVANS: You are very welcome. MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 15 PARTICIPATION. 16 17 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker 18 tonight is Ron Ellman. 19 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, my council 20 friends. I guess we are still on speaking 21 terms. 22 MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Good 23 evening. 24 MR. ELLMAN: My cat didn't like what 25 I wrote tonight so I had to explain to him

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one more episode like this and he is on his way back to JCPenney's.

You know, I'm very glad to see some new faces entering our local politics. Ι mean, there is really -- I don't think the mayor's office is made for on-the-job training. We've had about ten years of on-the-job training and it failed. I'm not trying to discourage somebody in the least bit, but it's like starting as president of a company or something to me. I think to be the mayor you should maybe have a degree in political science. If not, I think you need a strong administration, an intelligent administration and certainly Mr. Doherty failed in that. So, lastly, I think that most important thing is to have common I won't emphasize my common sense statement.

But I read in the paper someone that's had a half dozen jobs and worked for the University of Scranton for seven years on a resume that's not an asset to me. I think you're allegiance would be to the University and not to the people in this

city. The University has supported the mayor these many years and I think that's what -- all that would happen if I had an association with someone all those years.

Last week -- I'm going to drop that before I get somebody offended. Last week I mentioned to please see if you can terminate the use of the swimming pool slides. I didn't say nothing about closing pools and several people were mad that I'm trying to close the pools, the mayor is closing the pools. I have worked with the Taxpayers' Association that did everything to try to have young people swimming and paid for swimming. I just asked for a qualified legal inspection of the slide, you know, I don't want any pools closed up, you know, not in the least bit.

And last week across the street from my house a pipe broke, the gas company come over there and fixed it, you know, I was watching them and this week a sewer pipe broke across the street and I watched them for two days and as a somewhat critic of these two, the Sewer Authority, I'd like to

say I couldn't believe how organized, and I mean this, I'm not joking, they were so well organized and professional I couldn't believe it. You know, I don't think they were doing it because I was watching them, but there wasn't six or eight guys leaning on shovels watching one worker, there weren't trucks getting warm, everybody was working and had a job to do. On both occasions it greatly surprised me. I think that's makes me take back some of the things I've said in the past.

And one thing before I go, I was just wondering if the mayor is going to have a garage sale before he leaves. There is still some parks and fire trucks and things that he could sell, but as you know I'd like to get the two falstaffs at the end of the stairs down there.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: They remind me of my boyhood in Memphis when they had Falstaff beer and I was 12, 13 years old and used to go down to get some. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy

Sbaraglia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, you heard me speak many times about the mayor's plans to sell an asset and buy it You heard me call him a flimflam man, which he is, and I hope you are not going to be a flimflam council. You have to tell me how do you figure that it's going to be cheaper on the citizens of Scranton to have the SRA buy a property and then sell it than the people of Scranton selling the property themselves or borrowing themselves. You and The police complex was I know that. mentioned, whether that would be or not I don't know, but it only cost about \$17 million to build and you plan to buy it back and it's not even paid for as you know and I know and everyone else knows. But yet, he comes up with these grandiose plans.

We followed him down a street to a dead end where Scranton is now. We are at a dead end. To keep oppressing the people of Scranton, which you are going to have to do, is self-defeating.

A little on that parking meters, of course you had to do it, but I don't understand why we would want to go to 8:00 at night or six days a week, whatever.

That's going to cause more people anyway to run, but the directions they ain't there. I don't see a light in what you are doing and I really don't understand that we were going to be better off had you filed for bankruptcy or not? As far as I can see, the only people that would suffer more would be the public employees. The people probably would have got a break, but we are heading down a trail we cannot go. We cannot survive.

And you can shake your head all you want, Janet, we are heading that way. We are going to end up with 100 percent tax increase by the time the next four years are over, you and I know both know it. A lot of these grandiose plans ain't going to work out. People ain't going to come to Scranton. They are going to drive more businesses away than you bring in. Our problem is bring people in or bring

companies in. Your plan, all your plans to help small business are fine, but you are going to drive away the big ratepayers.

Bestco left and you ain't going to tell me it wasn't that he needed more room, he just didn't like to pay the high taxes, which I don't blame them. No one wants to pay what we are doing and there is no way out of it.

I know you're stuck with it. I told you that when you got there almost, what, almost three years ago, coming up on four now what was going to happen. The figures were all on the wall then and they are not any better now, in fact, we have gotten worse. Never did we have to go out and get the judge to give us their -- to borrow money to pay off the bad debts, which the city had many bad debts, and we continue to have many bad debts.

All of your grandiose plans aren't going to phase out. They are just not going to work. People are like me, they are stubborn. We live in a place where we are very stubborn and very opinionated and we are not going to stand by to see people

really have to pay a lot of money for so little service. I told you a long time ago you should have raised the mayor's salary, not come up now, you should have done it five years ago, even ten years ago.

I told you before, you get what you pay for and that's what we got, lots of debt but very little progress. Progress is not words, progress is deeds and this city has lost deeds for a long, long time.

Everywhere you look you see problems and you are not going to correct them problems

without massive increases in taxes.

When I said go down to Harrisburg like the mayor did when he wanted to sell the South Side Complex, he went down to Harrisburg. How many of you went down to Harrisburg and asked them to work on that bill where the taxes would come up for the school board from increase the wage tax and increase in the sales tax? How many of you went down there and ask the legislature to do it?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Sbaraglia, that's actually what I'll be speaking about on that

issue tonight.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yeah, I figured you would, Mr. Rogan, I understand that. But there is where we stand. That's your solution. All of these other solutions are just going to drive people away. Do you remember when they used to have satellite parking outside of the city and they would bring the buses and bring the people into the city with satellite parking? Well, they had it and I think it's coming again. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: First off, I would like to say I was rather disappointed last week when Attorney Moses and I got here we are a little late, and we both have extremely busy schedules. We got here prior to going into motions, I held up the agenda and waived it back and forth, it was looked at by members of council and we were totally ignored.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Bolus --

MR. BOLUS: I don't want to give up my time, Mrs. Evans, please explain to me later, if you would.

MS. EVANS: Then I'll respond when you are done.

MR. BOLUS: We went through a lot of time and energy to be here to explain the council regarding the potential lawsuit that was going to be filed and we couldn't get an answer. You know, I understand the rules that we look at, but we wanted to speak before you got into the agenda and we were denied that and we were quite disappointed. I felt it was very unprofessional.

Well, we filed a lawsuit now and we will get the answers that we have asked council to get from Paul Kelly. Paul Kelly will now be held accountable. He will give the truth, he will give the answer, and he will tell us who owns the 16 1/2 foot piece of land up on East Mountain, whether it's owned by the city or whoever and what happened to it and what transpired to it. There is a \$50,000 offer out there that's

been ignored by this city, when they are paying minimum wages to employees. So now we are going to get answers and, unfortunately, it's going to cost us all money for absolutely no reason because Paul Kelly couldn't do his job, yet you want to give him a backdoor raise and pay him more money to go do extra work and it's ridiculous.

On the legal fees, I requested the fee agreement. I have not seen one yet or been sent to me by either Mr. Hughes or Mr. Kelly. Anybody hires an attorney you get a fee agreement and I have numerous attorneys that worked for me all over the country and nothing is done until I have a fee agreement and know what we are paying and what we are paying for, but in this city it's business as usual.

City council members, now, we heard

-- Boyd, this isn't personal one way or the
other, but we have heard that Boyd is the
only one that can do the work that had to be
done that's why all of this additional money
is being paid, but I have seen councils

here, Bob McGoff sat as council president,
Bill Courtright has been here, Kevin Murphy,
Hazzouri, Pocius, Gary DiBileo, Chris
Doherty, I never heard anybody say that
their council solicitor wasn't competent or
incapable of doing the work Mr. Hughes did
and I think that warrants some serious
explanation to the legal people that
represent a lot of people in this city that
we are paying extra money for people who
could do the same job.

The mayor's salary, I agree it should go to 80,000. There is no question. When are you taking on responsibility what this town is and what this city is you definitely need it.

On the bridge in Scranton, I'm going to read this, and I submitted this letter to council and asked for question. This is sent to Scranton City Council, "As per article in today's Scranton Times, the Scranton Police Department is still investigating the Moosic Street bridge incident," which is three months or better old.

"Since the City of Scranton has been involved in the Moosic Street bridge incident and that payment in excess of \$20,000 has been paid to Boots and Hanks Towing Service in Scranton where the removal and storage of the excavator involved in this nonreportable accident."

By questions are did the city pay this amount? If so, who authorized payment? If not, what agency paid Boots and Hanks on behalf of the city police department? They have permission to leave the machine on our property after they were given insurance information and everything else they need. That evening in the middle of the night they came in with a search warrant and removed the machine. They chose to remove it and incurred this expense in excess of \$20,000.

Who was responsible for the environment cleanup costs ordered by the DEP in the amount in excess of \$7,000 caused by the City of Scranton and Boots and Hanks Towing during the removal of this machine from our Dunmore property?

I would request, not only did I send

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it in writing, I would request that I receive this response from whatever department or whoever paid this and allow this to take place at whose expense it was.

Our insurance company didn't pay it.

Our engineers have stated the bridge could have been repaired. We paid for it and reopened. PennDOT, who owns the bridge, not the city, has elected to replace the This bridge is almost worn out. bridge. It's been compromised in the past by other accidents and been hit. Our insurance company is involved, they will deal with it as they have to deal with it, but for people to pay in excess of \$20,000 of taxpayers' -at somebody's expense to remove this machine I think is an absurdity and I think it's council's responsibility to find out who did this.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: Thank you, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Before I call the next speaker I just want to respond to some of the incorrect allegations that were just made. First of all, Mr. Bolus, you were

denied nothing last week. I called your 1 name, I called the name of Attorney Moses 2 3 during citizens' participation. Neither of you were present and so I continued with the 4 5 sign-in sheet. When that was exhausted, I 6 asked if there was anyone who cared to address city council. There were no 7 8 individuals who responded. 9 MR. BOLUS: We weren't here, Mrs. 10 Evans, we came in late, I admitted that. MS. EVANS: Yes, and --11 12 MR. BOLUS: And I raised my agenda 13 and I asked for the courtesy --14 Mr. Bolus, I'm speaking MS. EVANS: I listened to you, now please listen 15 now. 16 to me. 17 MR. BOLUS: Well, you should have 18 explained to me --19 MS. EVANS: Show a reciprocity of 20 respect. 21 MR. BOLUS: Um-hum. 22 MS. EVANS: Now, we began motions. 23 In fact, I believe, I can't even recall 24 which council member was presenting his 25 motions at the time you came in, so you

arrived well past the deadline of citizens' participation that evening, unfortunately.

Now, if you are asking that we should have stopped a meeting in progress to accommodate you, I don't believe that exceptions like that should be made. Now, in addition to the prior solicitors that have served city council, no former solicitor ever did this type of work. They were never asked to do this type of work by any previous council because I think you and the audience members can recall those council members that you enumerated were often very busy approving the mayor's financial agenda such as parking garages, unnecessary borrowing, annual budgets.

So the only question that was ever issued from this desk came from me. The only one offering any alternatives to what was ongoing all those years was Janet Evans, and so to say that council is disparaging or to infer that we are disparaging any other solicitor is absolutely untrue, but let's tell the truth. These responsibilities were never asked of any other solicitor.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in addition to that, why? The city was not teetering on the edge of bankruptcy either during those years. The actions that were taken were the actions that lead up to what happened in 2012 and they needed to be addressed.

And, sadly, I know there are those that think bankruptcy would have been the answer because our problems do seem insurmountable, but what they seem to be unable to understand is that bankruptcy isn't the easy out. Bankruptcy appoints a receiver. The state appoints a receiver. The primary obligation of that receiver is to repay the debts of the City of Scranton, that means bonds, banks, it is not their primary responsibility to take care of all So would happen is in order to make those payments back to the people that are their priorities your taxes really would go through the roof. You are not going to walk away unscathed through a bankruptcy. will be exceedingly high and there will be no one, no elected official who will have any authority to change that.

1	And in addition, they will in an
2	attempt to save money decimate your police
3	department, your fire department, your DPW,
4	so if citizens are anxious to stand guard on
5	their homes and their own blocks and hope
6	that we never have more than one fire at one
7	time and be willing to pay 100 percent or
8	more to get service like that then I say go
9	for bankruptcy. Go for it. But what I have
10	been trying to do is save this city.
11	MR. BOLUS: I think you are way
12	beyond that.
13	MS. EVANS: Excuse me.
14	MR. BOLUS: I will respond to that
15	next week.
16	MS. EVANS: Your time thank you.
17	MR. BOLUS: I know my time is up;
18	right.
19	MS. EVANS: That's right.
20	MR. BOLUS: I will address it next
21	week why the city is where it is.
22	MS. EVANS: We all appreciate that
23	very much.
24	MR. BOLUS: Thank you.
25	MS. EVANS: Now, I think it's

important to remember if you did not have this council seated here you wouldn't have had a 22 percent tax increase, that's very true. Very, very true. Instead, you would have had an 81 percent tax increase. 81. 22. And if PEL single handedly directed the city it would have been, I believe, I don't know, Mrs. Krake, I might need your correction I don't recall right now where it was 126 or 134, that's what they're looking for, and this is all that stood between those things happening.

So, ladies and gentlemen, you decide for yourselves what's the correct direction for this city and you consider the facts and what would you rather have had?

Our next speaker is Doug Miller. Or I'm sorry, I'm so sorry, it actually is Mr. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Well, I think the first thing I'll say here is, President Evans, your opinions are fine, but you are not a judge and you haven't heard a bankruptcy

1 case presented by the city and you don't 2 have the ability to reach a determination, 3 only a judge can, and any attorney can have 4 any opinion they want, but a judge makes decisions based on law and no one else. 5 Now, with that said, I've tried very 6 7 hard --8 MS. EVANS: I want to interject very 9 quickly --10 MR. MORGAN: Well, I'd hope you wait 11 12 MS. EVANS: That was not the case in 13 Harrisburg. 14 MR. MORGAN: Look at, I don't want to get stuck in some kind of spinning thing 15 16 that's going no where. 17 MS. EVANS: It's not a spinning 18 thing, it's a fact. 19 MR. MORGAN: It is spinning 20 absolutely no where because this city is so 21 downtrodden by the political spaghetti 22 dinner politicians we've had for decades 23 that when you sit there as a councilwoman 24 and make the statements you just made I just 25 think it's very disrespectful and I think

that you should make your comments to people when they are standing here so they can respond.

But with that said, you know, I have done requests to council and Section 213 -- I mean, 313 and 312 of the Home Rule Charter. I received one subpoena allegedly from 2005, but I'm looking for a letter from council and that's what I have asked for stating whether any record exists or not and a copy of all subpoenas and this is what I was given.

asked for a record of all city council votes from 1976 until January 1, 2013. And what I would like to do is I would like to come here and scan these documents and load them into my own computer. I'm not interested in paying \$300 for those documents. I want to come here, scan them, and own them or I want the city to put them on a website so that I can have access to them because I think as a resident I should have a right to them and I can't understand why I can't just load them electronically, because my opinion is much

different than this councils.

I believe there has been a lot of mistakes made and rolling your eyes,

Mrs. Evans, I think you should put your name on the ballot again and I think you should allow the residents to make the determination on whether you should serve anymore, and that's not disrespectful to you. You know, so many people are just so fed up.

You know, that's why starting on -I'm going to start collecting signatures and
I'm going to run for mayor in the next
election. I am just so disgusted with where
the city is and we can all smile, but
working people have come up to me and said
-- a guy came up to me today and said, you
know, I earn \$23,000 a year. I work a
full-time job and a part-time job, council
forced the Parking Authority into
receivership, they are borrowing tons of
money. They are going to borrow money to
pay the employees at a higher percentage
rate than if they just put it in the budget
and paid it at the 6 percent rate.

I think there is such a disconnect in the city government, you know, when you sit there and listen to Judge Nealon, he is a judge, "Why aren't we doing a bankruptcy petition here?" A judge asked that. I mean, and we keep coming back to the same taxpayers and telling them that we need more of your money and, you know, when you talk about the 22 percent tax increase this year it didn't close any of the budget shortfall, not one drop of it, okay?

And that came up. I mean, so how can this council honestly come to the residents of this city and tell them that this council is doing anything for them?

All the neighborhood pools are closed. The council decided that SAPA was a bad idea for the city, came up with no plan.

You know, Mr. Rogan, with all respect to you, you voted "no" on things, but I didn't hear any plan from you either and I just think the residents of this city have a right to a council that has some idea what reality is and votes in reality, okay, because the truth of -- I agree with Andy,

there is not going to anybody living in this city because we got a lot of people running around here with suits and nice clothes who make incompetent decisions, okay, and then say to the voters, "Wait a minute, we can't pay our bills so, look, just give us more money."

Look at what Mr. Corbett wants to do, the governor. He wants to get rid of the lottery, he wants to sell the liquor stores. Now, why don't we just take all of the revenue from the liquor stores and plug it into education instead of selling, and I know, Mrs. Evans, you have a lot to say, so do you want to say it while I'm standing here or you do you want to wait until I leave?

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, I will now that you have given me permission --

MR. MORGAN: Well, I would love to have the opportunity to respond.

MS. EVANS: Thank you so much for your permission. First of all, please don't make false statement. I was not rolling my eyes at you nor have I ever.

1	MR. MORGAN: I was looking at you.
2	MS. EVANS: Well
3	MR. MORGAN: Not well. Well doesn't
4	come into that. With all due respect, Mrs.
5	Evans, when I have a line of vision and I'm
6	looking at you and you are rolling your
7	eyes I'm saying you were just
8	disingenuous.
9	MS. EVANS: If you would like to
10	have a discussion
11	MR. MORGAN: I'm trying to do that,
12	but you are being very deceitful with your
13	comments because if you can't acknowledge
14	that you rolled your eyes, I'm looking right
15	at you.
16	MS. EVANS: Listen, I'm looking
17	right at you
18	MR. MORGAN: You are right, you
19	didn't see me roll my eyes because I'm not
20	disrespectful.
21	MS. EVANS: Mr. Bolus further asked
22	me
23	MR. MORGAN: I'm not talking about
24	Mr. Bolus.
25	MS. EVANS: Mr. Bolus I'm
	11

1 responding to your comments. Mr. Bolus 2 asked me not to respond and use his time 3 until he had finished and unlike Mr. Bolus you seem to wants the responses while you 4 5 are speaking which means the time will be 6 taken from you, but --7 MR. MORGAN: Well, that's fine, but 8 you know something --9 MS. EVANS: I tried --10 MR. MORGAN: -- I come here -- now, wait a minute. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Excuse me, I have not 13 finished. 14 MR. MORGAN: Go ahead. MS. EVANS: I tried to respond to 15 16 you during your time, but it's quite clear 17 that you are undeterred by facts and unmoved 18 by the truth. 19 MR. MORGAN: No, the facts are that 20 as many people have seen here from the 21 podium many times I have left the podium and 22 you have made comments or you wait for 23 motions to make comments because. Mrs. 24 Evans, to be quite honest, okay, you as 25 council president or council in general can

do what you perceive to be right, but you know something, making comments when somebody leaves the podium is extremely disrespectful and you did roll your eyes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: And you know what I'm saying, Mrs. Evans, put your name on the ballot, please.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Morgan?

MR. MORGAN: Don't waste your breath because I can't respond.

MS. EVANS: I'm sure, but perhaps if would be nice if remained during the meetings when you ask so many questions, you are often seen fleeing out the door before you can ever receive a response.

Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. MORGAN: I think that these meetings are on public access channel, but then again, Mrs. Evan, that's the way you conduct your meetings. You take shots at people after they leave your podium because you are a very disrespectful person.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: You know, I just have to address some of the statements that were made tonight. You know, again, we seem to want to go back to this bankruptcy thing again and I just, you know, I think your batting your head off the wall here and I just can't simply understand why the residents of this city cannot understand the concept that bankruptcy is not the answer.

I mean, I don't understand how many lessons and education courses we have to go through on this. You know, we saw what transpired over the summer, that if decisions weren't made and if the council and the administration didn't come together we would have to have to file for bankruptcy, but we know what bankruptcy does. Look at Harrisburg. I mean, it's not complex. A receiver comes in and the taxes go through the roof. It will be obscene and somebody that's 23 years old I don't want to be stuck with paying what I am already stuck with and that's what would happen if the

taxes went up 123 percent.

I'm looking out for myself and the next generation and I'm asking myself, do I want to be left with an additional mess on top of what's already been left for me? No. And that's what happens when you go for bankruptcy. You lose your services, you think things are bad now with the police and firemen, well, let's go for bankruptcy then and when your house catches on fire let's see what's left, because let me tell you, you better run and hope you don't have anything that valuable in there because you are not going to save it.

You know, you get mugged on the street, well, you better hope there is a cop in sight because with bankruptcy you are not going to have one or if you have the chief get you better hope there's somebody on standby that you can call to come in and help you so that's what bankruptcy does.

It's not solution, I have said it before and I'll continue saying it, and I just get frustrated hearing it because we think bankruptcy is the solution to everything and

it's going to solve all of our problems.

It's going to just come in with an eraser

and just wipe everything out. It's not that

simple, believe me.

I have looked at it, I've listened to council, I have listened to people and I know it's not the solution. We have had people in here in the past who have been making money off coming in here to try to get the city to file bankruptcy, so it's not the solution. I'm just tired of talking about it.

Moving on, I'm glad to see that we will conduct a caucus next week with Standard Parking to answer any questions that people do have. I'm certainly looking forward to have them come in in a public setting, I'm glad that the council President Evans was able to put that together with the request of Councilman McGoff.

In regards to the agenda tonight,
5-C, the increase of the mayor, I have a
different opinion than some people that
spoke tonight. Do I believe the salary of
the mayor is low for the duties that he does

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I do. Do I think it have to take on? should be increased? I do. But do I think a \$30,000 increase at this time is I don't know. I think that appropriate? rather than considering a \$30,000 increase at one shot perhaps we should consider a gradual increase. I think that may be the more appropriate avenue to take due to our current financial restraints and having the inability to, you know, make payroll as is, going back to the summer again where we had employees making minimum wage.

You know, we just -- the bottom line is we struggle to pay our bills and going and approving a \$30,000 increase in the mayor's salary in one shot I just don't feel is in our interest at this time. Again, it doesn't mean that I don't feel the salary should be increased, because I do think it should, but I think maybe we should consider a gradual increase, you know, rather than just simply saying no. I know some of us have a history of just like to say no and move on and not consider other options, there are other options out there and one of

23 24 25

them is a gradual increase and I look forward to hearing some of our council members' opinion on that later on that when we go into the agenda.

In regards to the \$500,000 that the city was rewarded as our share of the casino revenue, we have been made aware that that will be used for paving and street repair.

My question to council tonight is do we have an idea of where the city plans on using that money and what streets do we intend on repairing? Will we be going by the 2013 street paving list that was already approved by council or will this money go in other repairs, and maybe we can get an answer to that later on in motions.

Finally tonight, in regards to the dirt testing that was conducted on council's request off of Lake Scranton Road, we certainly know the issues that the residents have dealt with up there in the last few months. We understand DEP did conduct a visual study and made a determination there was no contamination in the dirt, my only question would be is maybe this is a gift I

23

24

25

wish I had, I just don't understand how you can look at dirt and visually make a determination that it's not contaminated, I don't know if they are like Superman and have some type of vision that we weren't all born with, but I just don't think they abided by council's request and I think we should send another letter to them and tell them to come in and we want a testing done where samples are taken so that we have a factual determination as to whether or not that dirt is contaminated or not. Simply looking at something, like I said, I don't know what gift they were born with, but I don't see how you can make that determination by looking at it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: This is a detriment to the health, safety and well-being of those residents and they should have this issue resolved once and for all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton. I have

25

a couple of newspaper trimmings and stuff I would like for people to look over. one is from the mail, and a copy of this one for Jack, this one I'll speak on then hand over and this is on taxes. I don't know if you get the papers, because I could imagine you would look at, but here we have Clearbrook CEO defends high pay, \$2.5 Now, Clearbrook isn't in our town, but previously, I have spoken on profitable nonprofits, and I think it needs to be examined all throughout the state. How much compensation some of the honchos in the top of these organizations are getting because it's obvious it's a very profitable nonprofit, I'll give this to Cathy and you can look at it and go through it later, and it's ungodly that somebody is worth \$2.5 million working for a nonprofit drug rehab. It's another town, but my question is how many nonprofits or alleged nonprofits do we have in this town where somebody is raking \$2 or \$3 million every couple of years and calling it a nonprofit and they can't give us \$150,000 or \$200,000 PILOT, which is

probably 5 percent of what the property is worth for private.

And there has been talk about a sale's tax and personally I feel that the county is looking to get a good portion of that for themselves and I'd like to just point out, I realize that we have a lot of ends we have to meet, so as far as the tax increases and so forth I realize that they have been necessary, but the poor pay that, the people that are homeless would pay that on certain items. So, you know, it's just like I can't understand or can't believe that somebody has very much to be thankful about if he are homeless in our society.

On Mr. Hughes, I would say that the competency of the current people or past people that have done these things was questionable so that's why we need to pay him a couple extra pennies and that's all there is to it. If we let the other guy do it, who knows what it's going to turn into.

On this bankruptcy business, I'm also tired of hearing it. I don't know if you realize that once you file bankruptcy --

1

12

13

14

11

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in our other states they actually come in and tell you are bankrupt, in Michigan, and the only thing you people would be allowed to do is to salute the flag and make announcements about what church has a social, so I don't know what Pennsylvania has in store for us, but to me that is handing it over to the same fancy pants thieves that have put us where we are at now, the state. They want us to pay for everybody else, a free ride.

And on elections a little advice to try and get your names out there as soon as you can, please, I support this council. don't care what anybody else thinks. tell you how I vote each and every time. Ι work seven out of the last nine days for Obama and I'm far to the left of him, and I'd like to point out with the DPW I talked to a small store owner and he is paying \$700 a year so now if we start cutting back on these services I don't need people throwing garbage around my lawn. I have had tires thrown on. I have a little semi-wooded lot next door to my house that I purchased.

pay taxes pretty high taxes on it, and then every now and then there is a tire deposited there, well, I have to go and beg some tire shop to take that and pay five bucks out of my pocket.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Finally, I'll make it quick, Bernie Frank was turned down by the governor of Massachusetts, he could have filled Kerry's seat so the governor of the Massachusetts gets the bawk, bawk and Mayor Chelik from -- he had said that he had us surrounded, I couldn't find hat in the newspaper and, I mean, Mayor Chelik, you get a trouble bawk, bawk. Thanks a lot you freeloader.

And on the voting situation, they are looking to turn, and Pennsylvania is one, away from the electoral college and make it a district and if they had done that, if the states proscribed, although, it was like a six point difference between Obama and Romney, Romney would have won.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Have a good night and

don't forget, bawk, bawk, bawk.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You, too.

MR. EVANS: Good evening, Council.

Gregory Evans.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. EVANS: Resident of Scranton, small business owner in Scranton. I want to start by thanking you for having the caucus next week. I think anything regarding the Scranton Parking Authority is a sensitive topic for anybody that pays taxes here in Scranton and also even for the downtown business owners, so thank you for that.

And I do have a question though regarding that because I came in late, would there be an opportunity for citizens' participation in that caucus or is it just council's asking the question?

MS. EVANS: It is for Standard
Parking to provide us with an overview and
then those representatives will take
questions and concerns from city council
members. However, I'm sure when the caucus
conclusion if you would like to speak with
them I would think that he would be more

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to that, if you have any specific questions or concerns if you would like to forward them to council we would be happy to pose the questions for you.

than happy to.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. The other thing item I want to speak about, and it's a hot topic, is the increase and I think most people believe that, you know, the mayor is underpaid, the role of the mayor is underpaid, I should say, regardless of your opinion on the mayor, but I had a thought or an idea and everything is just an idea and, you know, the University of Scranton is a hot topic with the PILOTS and this is more of a question than an idea but has there ever been any effort in reach out to the University of Scranton to maybe touch base with the Department of Economics and utilize their expertise to help with the recovery plan?

MS. EVANS: Actually, I believe there were interns working in the BA's Office in 2012.

MR. JOYCE: There are or there were.

MS. EVANS: Yes, so they were involved.

MR. EVANS: I'm thinking of more than interns, I'm thinking actually professors and people and educators versus the students who might be a little more enlightened to, you know, the roll of economics in this society because I know with the PILOT issues, you know, with a better return would that be if they were actually able to help us with the recovery versus just monetary payment, just a thought. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It pains me that this year we may be shipping \$120,000 in management fees and another \$306,800 in commissions to Chicago that could have stayed in Scranton and helped to make Scranton Parking Authority debt payments

which now will fall to us taxpayers, and for what, so a receiver is able to make \$100 an hour and the city will receive more citation revenue that could have been achieved under prior management with new meters, meters for which we'll pay \$414,600 for and another \$53,700 for two automobiles though you were incensed the Scranton Parking Authority director was provided a vehicle?

None of this really makes a lot of sense to me as it appears the city is paying all of the bills and absorbing all the risk while the provider is reaping all the benefits, but onto my comments.

First, we are putting the cart before the horse. File of Council 100 of 2009 defines the placement of meters, the days of operation, the hours of operation and the maximum time a vehicle may remain at a meter, the cost per hour or minute and perhaps other ground rules but this document is no longer available on-line as the Scranton Parking Authority's website is no longer available. This document forms the basis for this entire operation and should

be amended prior to a final vote on the Standard Parking agreement.

Now, I know this is on the ballot or on the agenda tonight and I have some concerns for the people who are in the downtown and trying to have viable businesses that you are going to extend the days of the week through Saturday and the hours of the operation until 8:00 at night. I thought the city -- the downtown was starting to come back, but it looks as though we are trying to do everything we possibly can to kill it.

Now, one second, I'm sorry. Some of the other questions I have now was a business impact study conducted on any of this, and as a consumer I know recently, as you mentioned, Standard Parking bought

Central Parking and US Justice Department required Standard to sell 107 parking facilities in Chicago and 28 other cities to obtain approval to buy their rival parking,

Central Parking, as federal anti-trust authorities said that the combined company would have gained a dominant market share in

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certain areas in each of the cities that would have resulted in higher parking fee from a parking consumer standpoint having the same company managing both the parking garages and the parking meters is the worse thing that could happen. Consumers would be better served with competition between the meters and the garage.

And now onto the agreement itself which starts with, I am convinced, a fabrication. Only a copy of the Scranton Times-Tribune legal notice soliciting bids for the management and administration of the city's on-street parking meter operation including enforcement and citation collection services. I don't believe I ever-- I read them all the time, and I don't ever remember seeing it. All I ever remember seeing was a demonstration program for the downtown for the enhanced parking system and those were, I don't know whatever happened to them they died on the vine, and I'm surprised anybody even bid the second time around. Making a bid is not exactly inexpensive either.

One generic flaw that is reported throughout the agreement is the use of the word "city". For example in paragraph four, I believe, it's stated, "Operating expenses shall also include any operating expenses not listed above that are approved by the city prior to expenditure."

Who is the city? This needs to be clear. Is it the BA or city council or the mayor or some other entity? This is an item that should come before council and the city just doesn't do it for me. I'll be back next week, good Lord willing.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

Why is it whenever the City of Scranton
enters into an agreement we always seem to
come out second best? It seems like the
City of Scranton is always the guarantor
instead of the guarantee. Any time we enter
an agreement we either give up our position
and come out as second or third in line to
collect our debt or when we give up

something to an authority we end up paying, such as the \$2 million last year to Pennstar Bank, several years ago to American Anglican, and many other such as the streetlights, the fire plugs, and I can name three or four others. We always seem to give away our rights. Here again, we are now entering into an agreement for the parking meters and maybe somebody can tell me how they are coming up with 300 more parking meters than we presently have. We are going up from what is it, 900 to 1400 parking meters plus we are raising the fee by 50 cents.

Last week we were told that we would raise approximately two million eight-hundred thousand dollars extra by giving these meters to Standard Parking.

Just the fees, the extra hours and the 1400 meters would amount to a lot more than that two million eight-hundred thousand. Once again, it seems we are giving away the store. I hope we get a better explanation this week than what we got last week. There is lot in this agreement. I guess we are

1	going to have to read it to see what's in
	going to have to read it to see what's in
2	it. It doesn't sound kosher and I hope you
3	will investigate it a lot more than what the
4	past has presented.
5	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Nice shirt.
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thank you. Well,
8	Frank, the game is Sunday, who is going to
9	win, Sunday? Who do you think? Who is
10	going to win Sunday?
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Niners.
12	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Um-hum. No way,
13	Jack.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: You a Raven's fan.
15	MR. SLEDENZSKI: These guys. Ravens
16	all the way. Good luck down there.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll get you a 49er's
18	hat next week.
19	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
20	MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city
21	council. My name is Dave Gervasi, I'm a
22	citizen and a resident of the City of
	citizen and a resident of the City of Scranton.
22	

1 you a few questions? 2 MS. EVANS: Yes. 3 MR. GERVASI: When we borrowed \$7 million to buy the streetlights were you on 4 5 city council? MS. EVANS: No. 6 MR. GERVASI: When we borrowed all 7 8 that money. 9 MS. EVANS: No, I wasn't. MR. GERVASI: Then I believe there 10 was a -- I don't know what number, I don't 11 12 remember, whether it was multi-millions of 13 dollars in refinancing to do work in the 14 parks. Were you on city council when that happened? 15 16 MS. EVANS: No. I think that was 17 back in 2003 that occurred. 18 MR. GERVASI: I believe you are 19 correct. Were you on city council when the 20 war started between the unions and the 21 administration? MS. EVANS: No, I was not. 22 23 MR. GERVASI: Are you aware that we 24 really weren't asking for much and then we 25 ended up getting more than we wanted?

MS. EVANS: Yes, I'm aware of.

MR. GERVASI: I believe there were three more sets of borrowing, I believe one you weren't on council at the time, I believe two of them, one I believe was a refinance and one was a huge borrowing, how did you vote?

MS. EVANS: I voted "no."

MR. GERVASI: You voted "no" for that borrowing. So now that we have all of this debt -- one more thing, and I'm just jogging my memory here, when you got actively involved, when you became the council chairperson and you got actively involved in budgets and there was no I believe for the last eight years there hasn't been an on-time financial audit of the city?

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. GERVASI: And am I correct in saying that you really don't know where you are and where you are going into your next budget if you don't have the audit to tell you where you've been; is that an accurate statement?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. GERVASI: And wasn't it you digging last year that found out that the city I believe it was six or \$11 million that nobody even knew about even when the budget came out before you even touched the budget; is that accurate?

MR. GERVASI: Yes. So the only question is why is everybody blaming you for this all of a sudden? I was kind of here, I kind of know a little bit about it and I was very involved in the budgets and analyzing them anyway and all of you sudden it's your fault for everything. I don't understand why.

MS. EVANS: Well, maybe because it's election time.

MR. GERVASI: Maybe. Wasn't is you who got Mr. Loscombe to go out and ask the nonprofits for PILOT payments?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. GERVASI: I believe that was true. Thank you. That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else? Mrs. Krake?

·

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Thank you.

First, the PEL meeting on Monday we discussed an update of the recovery plan and took a look at the different changes that were made and the aspects of the recovery plan and Mr. Cross said that they would be providing an update to council within the very near future, so that we can see where we are at and any recommendations that PEL may have.

I also noticed that we received a letter from PEL stating that they would -- they were extending the time for the amended -- amendments to the -- it was in our e-mail today.

MS. EVANS: I didn't see that.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, I'm sorry. It's it stated that the coordinator shall provide to the governing bodies an amendment to this August 24 revised recovery plan to meet the provisions of Act 133. They had said that this would be done by January 31, 2013. Due

to some that they want to use the actual -final 2012 expenditure information from the
city and that was not available to them as
of yet that they are extending that, that
they will provide that amendment by March
31, 2013, so basically I guess it's the same
thing that they -- that PEL intends to give
us an update on the recovery plan by May 31
-- or March.

Also, at the time we discussed the rental registration and at not necessarily at the request of one person, but a little bit of an update on the rental registration. The database is being increased daily. have tried to contact the Sewer Authority for information from them, that was not forthcoming for some reason and the people in charge were then going to go to the garbage bills from the city to try and increase that but the most effective thing that they found so far has been the housing inspectors as they are out on a daily basis reporting properties back to the rental registration coordinator and those are being added regularly and as soon as a property is

identified notices are being sent to them so notices are being sent out pretty much on a daily basis as new properties are identified.

Also, the second position in the rental registration program has been filled. That position was filled as of this past Monday, so that there are now two people working on rental registration which hopefully will improve the operation of it.

The other thing that they are looking at doing is hopefully at some point in time using the assessment, Lackawanna County assessment, to provide a mass mailing. That would be something that would have to come before us because of the cost that might be involved in it, but that's something that is being considered.

And the final two things on rental registration, collections from August of 2012 to December of 2001 were somewhere a little over \$100,000, so the program if we can base 2013 on the short period of 2012 I would think that the projections that we have made for -- revenue projections that we

have made have, you know, have good a potential to be realized in 2013 and hopefully we can do that, and if I would say that if we can -- if those projections are realized and hopefully even more is collected that perhaps I know Mr. Rogan has spoken of it before as well perhaps that that would allow us to also put on more inspectors, city inspectors, and that should even enhance the program even more and allow for the dealing not only of the revenue part of it, but also additional inspectors would help us deal with the blight problem as well.

And the last thing, the amended legislation that has been asked for, we are still awaiting that, I don't believe that it has been sent to the office as of yet, I thought that it would have been here last week and I will check tomorrow and see, you know, where that is, that I see some quizzical looks, the amendment was simply to remove a phrase dealing with the safety inspections that would make it little bit more controllable.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last thing I would like to speak on, and I thought I would do this prior to it being introduced, was the section on the mayor's -- legislation on the mayor's salary. Somewhere about four years ago I suggested this at council and was attacked, for want of a better word, for suggesting I thought it was a good idea then, I it. believe that it's a good idea now for any number of reasons. I do believe that raising it that \$30,000 from 50 to 80 is perhaps too much at once. What I would like to see, what I would like to see and I had suggested it when we spoke about this, are graduated increases, a salary schedule, as such, that would provide for a guaranteed raise over the course of the term of the mayor that would reach perhaps a maximum of 80,000 or whatever it might be set at, but that would start at a lower rate, at a lower amount, so that it would perhaps act as incentive to do a good job, that, you know, that raises beyond the, you know, the four years might encourage someone to do a better job as mayor.

Also, the other thing it may by raising, gradually raising the salary of the mayor, it might also act as a deterrent for multi-term mayors, that people may look and say, you know, do we want a mayor at \$85,000 or do we want a mayor back at an original \$65,000 or whatever, you know, the amount was set at. It may somehow act as a little bit of a deterrent, but I don't know how others feel about that, but I looked at some possibilities, you know, I considered some things if we are interested in perhaps pursuing something of that nature we can talk about it when it is introduced.

And that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. Just a few brief comments on some issues. Some other issues then I'll address the two hot topics of the day. First we saw on the news about the Moosic Street bridge, this is very good news that eventually and hopefully soon it will be back up and running. I know it

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a hindrance to many on the South Side and on East Mountain.

For those in West Side I did speak to representatives from PennDOT today regarding the Linden Street bridge. said that it is expected -- construction is expected to start very shortly. problems they ran into with this bridge was a little more complex because of the railroad tracks underneath and also the fire optic cables that were running through that area, but they did say they project that the bridge will be completed by the end of 2013, so the end of this year from Linden Street bridge as well. So I think everyone in West Side, myself included, will be ecstatic when that bridge is open again.

Next, is issue that Mr. Sbaraglia brought up that I had jotted down to speak about tonight is property taxes to funds from the school district. I know it is a new legislative year and Scranton has two brand new state representatives. I know it's been mentioned before and in the previous legislative there were proposals

out there to completely eliminate property taxes to fund school districts. were to happen, the burden would be removed from senior citizens who generally own property and their children have graduated many -- sometimes decades or generations ago and would place the burden of the taxes on the working people, people my age who maybe in the short future will have children that are in those school systems and at the same time it would also by reducing the property tax burden for school taxes, it would make any future tax increases on the city's end easier to swallow for the taxpayer.

So with my colleagues' agreement, I would like to send a letter to Representative Haggerty, Representative Flynn, and Senator Blake encouraging them to support the complete elimination for property taxes funding school districts. Ιs that agreeable?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

24 25

21

22

23

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Great. So far

Representative Flynn and Representative

Haggerty have been very eager to talk to me,

I have spoken to both of them on numerous -
many times and they have been great to work

with so I just want to compliment them for

that.

Next onto the two big issues on this week's agenda, the first one being the pay increase for Mayor Doherty, or I apologize, for Mayor Doherty's successor, and I'll basically reiterate what I said in the newspaper this morning, I think everyone agrees that the position of the mayor should make more than \$50,000 per year. Do I think right now is the right time to make that raise up to \$80,000. Absolutely not. a few months ago we had city employees making minimum wage and Scranton was on national news because we couldn't pay our bills and there was I think \$5,000 left in the city coffers and just a few month removed from that to consider raising the mayor's salary by \$30,000, which is more money than the average Scrantonian makes is

something that I'm not comfortable voting for.

Now, I do understand that the mayor's salary hasn't been raised since the year I was born, not too long ago, but it's been 25 years since the mayor's salary has been increased, so I would be open to a graduated increase and the one I gave that some residents brought up to me that actually would be the best scenario, although, I don't know if it would be legal because it would have to be placed into the Home Rule Charter is to have a pay scale based on performance.

For instance, currently the mayor has \$50,000 a year plus a city vehicle, plus gas for the city vehicle, plus insurance for the city vehicle, and those three items are always left out of the media because those are part of his compensation as well.

People have proposed a couple of extra thousand dollars for passing and adhering to a balanced budget, which is something that hasn't happened in this city in a very long time.

Also, there were also proposals to request to put in there increases for things such as services that are provided to the city, not making cuts in services, working to eliminate government waste. You know, I think those are excellent ideas. I don't know how practical they are by putting them into legislation.

That being said, I would like to speak to all of my colleagues, and Mr. McGoff had a proposal and Mrs. Evans made comments in the paper as well, as the current proposal stands I will vote "no." If we were looking to increase the pay to \$60,000 over the course of four years, \$2,500 a year and then take a look and revisit it another four years, that I would be willing to consider, but as the proposal stands I will be voting "no".

Next, onto the parking agreement, this is an issue that I have been wrestling with over the last week. I voted "yes" last week. I do plan on moving for final passage this week, but that does not mean that I have many, many reservations about this

piece of legislation. I'm very happy that
we will be having the caucus to answer a lot
of these questions and I do want to hear
from those of you who had concerns because I
know a lot of questions were just bought up
at this podium that I would like to look at
before making a final vote.

A couple of my concerns that I do have are I fully support the initial proposal that council, the majority of council wanted a few years back, which is a StreetSmart style system where -- and I think the best part of this would be, and we've talked about this many times, if I put a dollar in the meter to park for an hour and I left after 15 minutes and Mr. McGoff pulled in after he would get my 45 minutes.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: You're welcome. Under a new system it can be set to a reset, the meters would reset and set back to zero, so the person -- every person that would be pulling in would be paying for their own time. I would prefer to see that happen instead of raising the rates across the

board. So they are some issues that I do want to talk about next week at the caucus before making a final decision on that.

I am encouraged to hear Mr. McGoff comments on the rental registration and I did vote for the rental registration proposal and I have said all along that I don't believe it's something should be used as a revenue enhancement, it should be used as something to combat blight in our neighborhoods, and the idea of having the program being self-sustaining or if it's bringing in "X" amount of dollars we will be using that money specifically to fight blight in our neighborhoods, that's what it should be used for. It shouldn't be used as a gap fill to balance the budget.

Finally, just one citizens' request for tonight, Mrs. Krake, and I'll provide this to you after the meeting as well, the lines on Meadow Avenue are in need of repair. They are fading and that is all. I'll have more comments on the agenda items as they come up.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just briefly. Ι was going to wait until the legislation, but I might as well make my comments now, as Mr. Rogan said, the two hot topics this evening. So far it appears, I mean, I'm on the same page as my prior colleagues that spoke here prior to me, Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff, so I won't repeat a lot of what they stated, but we all know that, you know, the mayor's salary is pretty low at this point, but given these economic times and the condition of our city to ask for a \$30,000 increase right off the bat is just beyond, you know, what we can do at this point.

Again, I do believe that we should work on something as far as increase and there has been many ideas passed here, graduated increases or whatever, so I will, you know, be happy to work with my colleagues and come up to some kind of consensus on what's going to work out best for the future of this city.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as voting on it, I will vote to introduce it tonight because if we all vote it down tonight we won't have this conversation, so I will vote to introduce it and then we can work on discussing the options in the future.

And as Mr. Rogan stated, too, I believe last week I had a lot of questions on the parking agreement. I brought some issues out, I will be making an amendment tonight on 6-B, but there are still some questions and I'm happy we are going to have a caucus next week, hopefully we will have a lot of those questions answered because as you know we are all concerned and we did look at this issue for the past two years and we just want to make it that it's transparent, it's going to benefit the public and it's going to benefit the businesses, so there are some questions, we don't want to detract from the downtown businesses and we don't want to detract people from parking there with higher increases.

So, again, there is going to a

number of questions yet, but I will be, you know, putting in an amendment tonight to address some of the financial issues and then perhaps, you know, in the mean time we can get some more information together, but that's basically all I have this evening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have comments or
motions tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'm very pleased to hear that Mr. Romey Vallero will come in next week for the public caucus. I have some questions I would like to see answered regarding Standard Parking and what they intend to do and I think it's important that the public receives more information as well. Excuse me, I'm still a little bit under the whether so I'll try and be brief.

Secondly, on tonight's agenda obviously, as everyone knows, there is legislation to raise the salary of the mayor in 2014 from \$50,000 a year to \$80,000 per year. While I agree that the mayor's salary should be raised for the next mayor, I do

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not think it should be as high as \$80,000.

Tonight I'll vote to introduce this

legislation only. After I would like to see

this legislation amended to lower the raise.

I did some research to see what mayor's in

other cities comparable to Scranton's size

were making. Currently the salary of the

Scranton mayor is the lowest. For instance,

the mayor of Allentown earns \$95,000 a year.

The mayor of the Bethlehem earns \$90,500 per

year. Easton mayor earns \$80,000 per year.

Erie's mayor earns \$65,000 per year.

Harrisburg's mayor earns \$80,000 per year.

Lancaster's mayor earns \$77,932 per year.

The mayor of Reading earns \$72,600 per year

and the mayor of Wilkes-Barre earns \$79,900

per year, and the mayor of York earns

\$75,000 per year. As we all know. The

mayor of Scranton earns \$50,000 per year.

Looking at the ten cities I just mentioned, Scranton has the lowest paying mayor salary by far. The next closest in regard to the mayor's salary is Erie where

the mayor earns \$65,000 per year. I firmly believe that the next mayor coming in should

earn no more than that given the situation

-- than that given the situation that

Scranton faces. In fact, I believe that

\$60,000 per year is appropriate. A raise to

\$60,000 would still put the salary of the

next mayor as the lowest salary out of the

ten cities that I just mentioned.

Furthermore, the salary of the mayor who is the leader of the city would still be lower than each of the county commissioners and the leader of the Scranton School District who merely earns \$140,000 per year. As the leader of the city the next mayor faces many unique challenges that other mayors will not have to face. Much work will be needed from our next mayor.

With the state that the city is in,

I do not see a raise of \$30,000 as

appropriate. However, I do think that some
raise in salary for the next mayor is
warranted.

In other news, Scranton City Council has been forwarded some information that proposals will be opened on February 26 for insurance coverages for the period of the

April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014. Once more information is known on the potential bidders I'll further inform the public.

Secondly -- or actually fourth, a meeting will be held next week that I'll be attending with Rossi & Rossi as well as other appropriate administration and authority parties to review the timetable that must be adhered to for the timely delivery of the 2012 audit. As one knows, the annual audit has been historically late over the past number of years and this year I'm hoping for that trend to change.

And I do have a number of citizens' requests. Number one, several South Scranton residents have contacted me regarding the condition of the road at the intersection of South Webster and Ripple Street. The road is in very poor shape. There is numerous cracks and potholes in the road making conditions difficult. Mrs. Krake, please contact Director Dougher and ask him to handle this situation the best way that he sees fit.

Also, South Scranton residents have

informed me that the patch on the road on top of the 600 block of Brick Street is in very poor shape, there are many cracks making travel conditions difficult. Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the list of concerns for Director Dougher about.

Hill Section residents have informed me that the 200 block of Wheeler Avenue is in poor shape as there are potholes throughout the whole block which is making travel conditions difficult for residents. In fact, one resident has informed me that over the course of one week he hit a pothole so many times that his tire went flat.

So, Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the list of the concerns for Director Dougher.

Also, residents in the Hill Section have informed me that home located at 217 Harrison Avenue is in poor shape to say the least. Upon visiting the site I was welcomed by debris all over the yard which included numerous busted bottles and other rubbish. The home also had busted windows. Residents have informed me that this home

has been an eyesore to the community and they would like to see something done about it.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please contact Director Seitzinger and ask him to send out an inspector hopefully to rectify this situation as residents would like to see something done as soon as possible. And that's it for tonight's.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. First, I wish to thank Mr. Tom Welby for operating the ECTV cameras and making this live broadcast possible.

Included in tonight's agenda in
Fifth Order for introduction is legislation
to increase the annual salary of the mayor
of the City of Scranton from \$50,000 to
\$80,000 effective January 1, 2014.

At Mayor Doherty's request, the city's legal department drafted this legislation and submitted it to the Office of City Council just yesterday. The mayor also determined the dollar amount of the pay increase.

According to the Home Rule Charter,

Article 13, Section 1304, and I quote,

"Compensation to be paid to elected

officials holding the positions of the

mayor, council person and controller shall

be established by the city council no later

than February 1 of the year in which

election will be held to fill any or all of

these positions for a full four-year term."

Because tomorrow is the deadline set by the charter for establishment of a pay increase, both Mrs. Krake and Solicitor Hughes researched prior legislations to learn the process that had been followed. Salaries for all of those elected positions were previously increased in 1987 following adoption by city council on February 11 and signed approval by Mayor Wenzel on February 17.

In 1999, the salary of the city controller was increased to its current level after adoption by city council on February 8 and signed approval by Mayor Connor on February 18. Thus, it appears that precedents were set in 1987 and 1999 to exceed the charter's deadline. As a result,

the current legislation will undergo three traditional readings. If it succeeds in passing introduction tonight.

Like my honorable colleagues, I do believe that the salary for Scranton mayor should be increased. However, I cannot agree to a \$30,000 raise at this time while our city faces additional borrowing to comply with the Supreme Court award for police and fire and must cover the costs of a defeated commuter tax.

In addition, the panel of judges who decided against the commuter tax in December, were concerned by the proposed raises to six city employees totaling approximately \$70,000. Consequently, it does not appear likely that a \$30,000 salary hike would meet with the approval of judges in the future. I do hope that when our city has achieved the goals of the revised recovery plan and has returned to sound financial footing, the salary of the Scranton mayor will become commiserate with her or her colleagues throughout comparable Pennsylvania municipalities.

In the mean time, however, I am open to discussion among my colleagues as to how best to increase a mayor's salary. Perhaps council might consider an annual percentage increase equal to the percentage received by city employees or a set dollar figure that is paid annually throughout the next four years such as perhaps a \$5,000 annual increase over a four-year period up to a ceiling of \$70,000.

I ask all council members to keep in mind that amendments are not made during Fifth Order introduction, rather, they can be made only during Sixth or Seventh Order. Should you vote to introduction tonight, then no salary increase will occur. If you sincerely wish to raise a mayor's salary by any dollar amount you must vote "yes" for introduction tonight.

Also, on tonight's agenda for Fifth Order introduction is an ordinance to amend File of Council No. 100 of 2009 which establishes parking meter rates, meter zones and hours of operation. It provides for two hour meters at the rate of \$1 per hour in

designated blocks of the downtown and areas surrounding city hospitals as well as ten-hour meters at the rate of \$1.50 in other designated lots.

In addition, parking meters will be operational between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m. with the exception of Sundays. The rate increase and time extension will provide for much needed increased revenue for the city to meet its financial obligations. Without such changes, Scranton homeowners would be faced with larger property tax increases.

I live in Scranton, I pay property taxes among others. Admittedly I don't travel to the downtown on a daily basis, but I would rather pay a higher parking meter rate for my occasional trips to the downtown than see my property taxes rise.

And remember, ladies and gentlemen, the city is on the hook for \$100 million in past Parking Authority debt. Monies raised from the parking garages and meters will be used to make these debt payments. If insufficient funds are realized, the burden then falls squarely on the shoulders of the

taxpayers.

Furthermore, during the recent commuter tax hearing, we learned that twice as many commuters work in the downtown as Scranton residents. Therefore, the parking meter rate increase will be fairly shared by all who work in downtown Scranton.

Next, please request on behalf of Scranton City Council a revenue report from the business administrator for the month of January and each month thereafter. It's important to determine areas of strength and weakness and to detect any problems as they occur in order that they can be addressed promptly.

requests for the week. City residents report that the structure located at 131 Morris Avenue has been condemned for four years and poses a safety and fire hazard particularly to children playing in the neighborhood. Windows are open or missing causing water damage to the structure. The property must be secured immediately before someone is injured. Thereafter, it should

be included in the city's 2013 demolition program.

Residents of Lake Scranton Road are requesting that council contact the Harrisburg office of DEP regarding the visual soil testing performed by the regional office.

Also, they state that our drinking water supply may be compromised by the proximity of the junk yard and they ask council to request MTVE water tests of the American Water Company.

Mrs. Krake, please send a letter of request to AWS and I believe that's it just one quick addition. I have just been informed that Mr. Charlie Newcomb is now working on cameras. We thanked Mr. Welby for being here earlier and we thank
Mr. Newcomb for assisting us as well, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 100, 2009 "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING
FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 91, 2002 "AN ORDINANCE
AS AMENDED PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT
OF PARKING METER ZONES WITHIN THE CITY OF

SCRANTON: ESTABLISHING HOURS OF OPERATION: 1 PROVIDING FOR THE INSTALLATION OF METERS AND 2 3 PARKING METER RATES: AUTHORIZING THE 4 ENFORCEMENT OF PARKING ORDINANCES AND PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS THEREOF" 5 BY AMENDING SECTIONS 3 (A). 6 7 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 8 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 9 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 10 JOYCE: 11 Second. MR 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 13 14 talked earlier on Item 6-B and 6-B and 5-B are very connected. I stated I would vote 15 16 to move 6-B along and I will do the same for 17 Item 5-B until after we have a caucus and 18 since they are so closely related at least 19 we get that information then I will make a 20 final decision on this item as well. 21 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 22 All those in favor of introduction signify 23 by saying aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF 5 COUNCIL NO. 31 OF 1987, SECTION 2 6 BY INCREASING THE SALARY OF THE MAYOR TO 7 8 EIGHTY THOUSAND (\$80,000.00) DOLLARS 9 ANNUALLY WITH SAID SALARY INCREASE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2014. 10 11 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 12 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 13 introduced into its proper committee. 14 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 15 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, and I'm not going 18 to do this as a motion tonight, just 19 something that I've said before that I 20 believe that is something that maybe we can 21 do to look at a salary increase but not one 22 that is a large one over one year. 23 suggest the idea of a salary schedule. 24 I meant by that was that something that also 25 Mrs. Evans mentioned, gradual increases

. .

_ :

through the course of the terms of the mayor. I put together one that started with year one at \$60,000, year two at 65,000, year three at 70, year four at 75,000. If you are thinking that would start -- if we were to do something like that it would start in 2014 and it wouldn't be until 2017 that we are looking at a salary of the \$75,000.

After that, I would suggest a 2 percent increase per year for the remainder of the salary or the remainder of the terms or the second terms of the mayor or third terms up to a maximum of \$85,000. And again, we are looking at those increases not until we get into, you know, 2020 or that would even get close to that amount and that that would renew at year one at \$60,000 with the election or appointment of each new person to the office of mayor and so that we weren't always looking at a salary of \$80,000 that it would go back to, you know, year one and an increase for each subsequent mayor.

Now, you know, with inflation and

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

other things we could, you know, look at or future councils could look at changing those numbers, but I think it's a way of increasing the salary of the mayor without doing it in one large amount. Just something that we can -- that I think we can consider and, as I said, I'm not going to do that as a motion now, it's something that we can possibly talk about and look at at future readings.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

 $\label{eq:MR.MCGOFF: That's all I have.} % \begin{center} \begin$

MS. EVANS: I indicated that earlier, that we cannot amend during introduction, the amendments must come --well, ideally during Seventh Order, but we have amended during Sixth Order as well.

So, you know, I'd like to consider what my colleague has said, I'm considering what Mr. Rogan, stated in the paper a raise to the \$60,000 flat. I think if we are look at, for example, the raises of workers, the highest raises would amount to 5.2 percent annually, those being for police and fire.

That may be a consideration to raise the salary of the mayor 5.2 percent for 2014, 2015, in other words, following along in line with what's been established for the city workers.

I know, on the other hand, we might also consider, as I was saying earlier, which is very similar to what you were saying, Councilman McGoff, \$5,000 annual increases even if it began at \$55,000 and worked its way up to \$75,000 over a four-year period, thereby costing only \$5,000 per year.

But I think it's very important to note that if we sit here tonight and just say "no", and I know I don't agree with the \$80,000. Mr. McGoff has said he doesn't agree. Mr. Rogan has said he doesn't agree. Mr. Joyce do you agree?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: There is no agreement on council to provide a \$30,000 increase in one year, but if we were all to cast our votes

tonight in the negative, in other words, if each one of us tonight says "no" because each one of us believes \$80,000 is wrong and it's not happening, then the legislation dies and there will be no raise. So I think it's important to remember that if you sincerely are interested in raising the salary and gaining a consensus on council then you must approve the legislation for introduction tonight and, thereafter, you have provided yourself and your colleagues with the opportunity to amend in a reasonable fashion. Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. As I stated before, I won't vote for it in its current form. It can be shot down and put back on the agenda. The legislation is one and a quarter pages, all that would need to be changed is the dollar amount once it's agreed upon.

As I stated in the paper and earlier, I believe the \$60,000 is a fair raise. It's actually a little bit more than I'm comfortable with giving, but another

thing that can be negotiated, and I don't know if anyone feels this way, but the mayor does receive a city vehicle, city fuel and city insurance. That could be negotiated as well whereas the salary increase may be a little bit more than the perk of having a city vehicle would be taken away, so that's all something we can discuss as well.

MS. EVANS: I think it's important to remember as well that the mayor receives full health care and if he serves long enough or has served in other offices, for example, tax collector or remains as a mayor for three terms will qualify for a pension, so there are additional circumstances that merit our consideration.

As for voting it down and placing new legislation on next week, I don't believe that that's possible in that once something is defeated and it could have been defeated by all of us it cannot be raised again for a period of a year. So is there anyone else on the question?

MR. JOYCE: By the Home Rule Charter does it have to be done by the end of the

month as far as the legislation?

MS. EVANS: Well, I addressed that under motions, that we have precedence set in 1987 and 1999 and the legislation was adopted in both cases well past the February 1 deadline and signed by Mayor Wenzel and Mayor Connors well past the deadline.

MR. JOYCE: But, I mean, if say we were to vote it down could it actually be placed on the agenda after February 1? I understand that it could be adopted.

MS. EVANS: Oh, but you mean --

MR. JOYCE: -- in its full form? I mean, is there a set deadline that it has to be introduced before February 1?

MS. EVANS: Yes, it has to be introduced -- what they are saying is council has to establish this by February 1 so I think by voting "no" tonight it kills any sincere attempt to make a change.

MR. ROGAN: I would just want to make one final point that I forget to mention, we all mentioned it the mayor salary for the responsibility is very low, and I forget to mention and one of the

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things when you get involved with public service you shouldn't be in it for a paycheck. You don't sign up to be mayor of Scranton to work 80 or 100 hours a week and collect hundreds of thousands of dollars. You are doing it for the love of your city and that's why it should be done.

The same thing with being on city City council is a part-time paid position, but as we know there is it no part-time position when your phone goes off at 11:00 at night when you have a request from a citizen and you have to take the call and call them back, and I think that's something we also have to consider that it shouldn't be comparable to the private sector because this isn't the private sector, and in the private sector people are compensated on how much money they bring into a company and things of that nature, in the public sector we are not here to make a profit, it's to help the community and that shouldn't be -- money shouldn't be the thing that's driving people to run for mayor. already have a few candidates in the mayoral

1 race, who knows, there may even be more 2 before the salary was even being discussed 3 being increased, so that's for one point I forget to mention earlier. 4 All those in favor of introduction 5 signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 11 MR. ROGAN: No. 12 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 13 moved thankfully. Mrs. Krake, back to you. 14 MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 4, 2013 - AN ORDINANCE -15 ESTABLISHING A "NO PARKING" ZONE ALONG 16 17 THE EASTERLY SIDE OF WEST MARKET STREET 18 (S.R. 6011) FROM BRICK AVENUE TO ROCKWELL AVENUE TO ALLOW FOR SAFE SIGHT DISTANCE FOR 19 A PROPOSED DRIVEWAY BY NOONES MARKET FOR A 20 21 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 416 WEST MARKET STREET. 22 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 23 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 25 pass reading by title.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 2 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 3 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -10 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5, 2013 - AN ORDINANCE -11 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 12 OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A MANAGEMENT 13 14 AGREEMENT WITH STANDARD PARKING CORPORATION TO ADMINISTER AND MANAGE THE CITY'S 15 16 ON-STREET PARKING METER OPERATION; PROCURE 17 ON-STREET PARKING METER EQUIPMENT; ENFORCE VIOLATIONS OF CITY ON-STREET PARKING METER 18 ORDINANCE: EMPLOY PERSONNEL TO ADMINISTER 19 AND ENFORCE THE CITY'S ON-STREET METERED 20 21 PARKING OPERATION; PREPARE AND DELIVER TO THE CITY A BUDGET EVERY YEAR FOR CITY 22 APPROVAL: DEPOSIT GROSS RECEIPTS FROM MONIES 23 24 COLLECTED AND EARNED BY STANDARD INTO A FEDERALLY INSURED BANK ACCOUNT IN EXCHANGE 25

FOR THE SUM OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS

(\$10,000.00) PER MONTH FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE

(5) YEARS BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND

ENDING ON DECEMBER 31, 2017.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd like to make a motion to amend Item 6-B per the following amendments:

File of Council No. 5, 2013, shall be amended as follows: The following shall be inserted as the fifth whereas clause to the ordinance. Whereas the management agreement, Exhibit A, shall be amended to add the following as Article 32:

Yearly revenue meeting and Article 32, Authority, shall be renumbered as 33, and Article 33 counterparts shall be renumbered as 34.

Article 32, yearly review meeting.

The governing body of the city, mayor and council and the operator shall meet in

January following each calendar year of the agreement to review, analyze the previous year's operating expenses and gross receipts

	9.
1	and net profits and discuss the developments
2	in parking meter technology that would be
3	applicable to upgrade the parking meters and
4	whether the purchase of that technology
5	would be economically feasible.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
8	those in favor of introduction signify by
9	saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
17	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
18	FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 3,
19	2013 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56,
20	2011
21	MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, we have to
22	return to 6-B. We did pass the amendment
23	MS. KRAKE: I apologize.
24	MS. EVANS: but we did not pass
25	the legislation.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairmen, I move 2 that Item 6-B, as amended, pass Reading by 3 Title. 4 MR. ROGAN: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the questions? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 13 7 - A . 14 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 3, 15 2013 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 16 17 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 18 \$692.22 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.051.00051.4201 19 (LICENSING, PERMITS & INSPECTIONS -20 21 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO ACCOUNT NO. 22 01.051.00051.4101 (LICENSING, PERMITS & 23 INSPECTIONS - MILEAGE /UNIFORM ALLOWANCE) TO 24 PROVIDE FUNDING FOR MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT TO 25 INSPECTORS.

1	MS. EVANS: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Finance?
4	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-A.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
23	RESOLUTION NO. 7, 2013 - ACCEPTING THE
24	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
25	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

1	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
2	FOR POCONO SIGN & GRAPHIC, 1147 THE HIDEOUT,
3	LAKE ARIEL, PENNSYLVANIA FOR REMOVAL
4	OF EXISTING SIGNAGE LOCATED ON LEFT-SIDE
5	FAÇADE; REPLACE WITH NEW SIGNAGE OF THE SAME
6	DIMENSIONS/COLOR SCHEME AND CHANGE BUSINESS
7	NAME ON EXISTING AWNING TO MATCH DIMENSION
8	OF 10"H X 7'W AT 414 SPRUCE STREET,
9	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
10	MS. EVANS: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-B.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

2

MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

3

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

4

Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before I ask for adjournment I just have two very quick items that I neglected earlier, Mrs. Krake, please a second request to Mr. Seitzinger regarding the information concerning the housing inspection in Pinebrook in February. I'm disappointed that we have not received a response nor has the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association. have heard, you know, that often times when these requests are being discussed by the department heads that the response have been, "Well, I didn't get a raise," meaning, well, it's up to your interpretation, ladies That's not sufficient where and gentlemen. I'm concerned. I expect the job will be done regardless of whether or not you received a raise, so I would like this answer prior to next week's meeting, please.

And also, Mrs. Krake, gave me several letters to department heads and wanted to know what I would like done, we

are going to follow-up on them, please,
Mrs. Carrera, send it out again, and if need
be we will remind them that "I didn't get a
raise" is not the correct answer to I'm
ignoring the taxpayers of Scranton.

MR. GERVASI: Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. GERVASI: Can you make an announcement that we have received a new shipment of smoke alarms and people can go to the Scranton website, Scrantonpa.gov and get information on how they can be installed in their home. We just received a shipment.

MS. EVANS: We just received a new shipment of smoke alarms I have been informed by Mr. Gervasi, and anyone interested in having those alarms installed in their homes should go to the city website, I believe it's www.ScrantonPA.gov and you will find assistance in contacting the fire department. I know they do a wonderful job. I received a few of my own, they were installed and I'll tell you I feel much safer, I'm very happy, and I appreciate that very, very much and I ask all city

residents who do not have smoke detectors, please, take advantage of this program, and it's absolutely free of charge. If there is no further business, is there a motion to adjourn? MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. This meeting is MS. EVANS: adjourned.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER