	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, January 17, 2013
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE & NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
25	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present)

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE (Not present)

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. Mr.
9	Joyce. Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
11	reading of the minutes, please.
12	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A ZONING
13	HEARING BOARD AGENDA FOR MEETING HELD ON
14	WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2013.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. RECEIVED FROM
18	ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY A TIMETABLE TO ADHERE
19	TO IN ORDER TO ISSUE THE DECEMBER 31, 2012
20	AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS BY MAY 31,
21	2013.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	Are there clerk's notes, Mrs. Krake?
25	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time or council member?

MR. ROGAN: I'll just announce the one item that we all have at the top of our mail today. As everyone saw, there was an article in the paper regarding trees being planted in the neighborhoods and Mr. Santoli did call Council's Office today to respond to homeowners that do not wish to have trees planted on the property, volunteers will approach them and homeowners have to give there approval first, and he also said the volunteers are given orders not to plant trees where they are not wanted so some people did have some concerns about that. Ι just wanted to announce that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, and I thank
Mr. Santoli for his prompt response to my
letter.

Councilman Loscombe is unable to attend tonight's meeting due to illness.

And we thank Mr. Tom Welby for taking over the cameras tonight for ECTV so that this meeting can be broadcast live.

On Monday, January 21, our nation will celebrate Martin Luther King Day, Jr -- or Martin Luther King, Jr., Day in honor of a civil rights leader of great wisdom, courage and compassion. Numerous local events will commemorate the life and lessons of Dr. King, but it's equally important that we practice his teachings of equality, humanity, dignity, respect, and compassion in our daily lives, and that's is.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you, Council. I saw in the paper the house foreclosures the other day and Arthur Alt, A-L-T, this poor guy is losing a piece of property for \$6,400 in school taxes. At the end of the list was a Joseph Crowka, C-R-O-W-K-A. He is losing his house on Phyllo Street. In-between that A and Z there is over 3,000 people, families that have lost property around here in the past few years that's been in the paper. I imagine most of them have left town. 3,000

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people have lost the most cherished thing that you can own is your home.

You know, we have had 20 years of PEL and not one positive suggestion just year after year the useless contemptible stupidity, just raise taxes and borrow. That's all they suggest. When are you going to stop it and do something for the city? had a suggestion to have Ms. Nancy write all of the bankers and ask them to reply with what they think could be done. You might get a good suggestion out of one of the You just can't keep going to these people and raising taxes. Where are we going to be in 10 or 20 years from now? Your children will be in the same place we The city will still owe tens of right now. millions of dollars, the University will still be giving a minute amount of what they have taken off the tax rolls and brag about it.

Lackawanna College, they are not going to give you nothing, they told you guys could go to hell one time when you asked them for money. They're spending

their budget on advertising and TV ads so
they can get more students and steal more
property off the tax rolls and grow their 40
percent. It's just -- you know, if someone
wants to do something positive there is no
help.

There was a fellow who wanted to buy a house in the 1700 block of Capouse, a big six-unit house. He said everybody was against him, he got turned down and tried to buy a house to put on the tax rolls. Then there was that company that wanted to take the building on the 700 block for a Woman's Center. It would have been put on the tax rolls. I don't know why. Council have stood behind them. Instead, JC Nichols he has a lawyer go fight it for some reason.

It's just -- it's just like everybody keeps attacking the few taxpayers that are left, and the other day about the recovery plan the newspaper said there is a shortage because of economic condition in the licensing. The reason it is there is because theses developers deliberately cheat on what they put down. Jefferson says he

spent \$32 billion or something on the Cornell building and he paid \$3,000 building permits. They should have been two or three times that. I mean, I know everything isn't like labor and so on, but these people are cheating the city out of millions of dollars and not one thing is done about it.

Nothing.

It's just like developers get
everything. If somebody wants to put up a
fence you got to pay more of a percentage
for a 2000 foot fence than someone building
a building. It's just backwards. It's like
I have been saying for three weeks, you
people find it easier to attack the taxpayer
than fight for them.

You know, I got 30 something empty apartments in one block this way and one block that way around my house. My house looks like -- it's just the neighborhood is going down so fast. That zone has attracted all kinds of real bad people from other parts of the city that get chased out and they are over in my neighborhood. We have caught two people in my garage. It's the

same thing everywhere and there is just no It's not a police matter, it's just that there is just no help for the taxpayers You need to do something about PEL here. and trying to get the Plan B if you ask me.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Joseph Burke.

MR. BURKE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BURKE: I promise to be brief and I'm going to stay right here in front of the microphone. I wanted to thank the council for their continued efforts to enforce the traffic ordinance banning the truck traffic on Lake Scranton Road. also like to present some more indisputable facts to those council members that still support the notion that the ordinance should be enforced, but the largest, most disruptive and dangerous trucks going to and from DeNaple's junk yard should be awarded an exemptions to that ordinance. I'm still scratching my head trying to figure out when public safety is a matter of compromise.

can't understand what criteria would apply where public safety would be compromise.

This information I'm going to give is readily available on the County Tax

Assessor's website. There is 16 homes on Lake Scranton Road. The lowest tax assessment is \$17,500 and the highest tax assessment is \$35,000. Doing the math, the average tax assessment for one of those 16 homes is \$23,000. I personally paid \$4,100 in property taxes last year. What I got for it was a road that wasn't paved for 20 years, a road I won't even drive on anymore. I leave my house, take a left and go down Elmhurst Boulevard because that's in better shape than Lake Scranton Road.

Another thing I got for my \$4,100 was a mayor who turned his back on the property owners and taxpayers on Lake Scranton Road. Until 2010 my wife and I gladly paid the relatively high property taxes having enjoyed the safety, peace and tranquility that our neighborhood once afforded. We appealed our property taxes last year arguing that the market value of

our property was being negatively effected by the truck traffic going to and from DeNaples junk yard and their newly established dumping grounds.

We were successful in having our tax assessments slightly lowered, but we intend to appeal it again this year because it has been declared the access road to DeNaples junk yard and the city will not defend the taxpayers and residents of Lake Scranton Road.

The mayor and his solicitor have virtually seeded our neighborhood to the DeNaples with no attempt to present any type of defense to Attorney Belardi's specious arguments. Presently because of their inaction, there is no restriction on what can be transported over our once peaceful and isolated road. I would expect other property owners on Lake Scranton Road will follow suit and appeal their property taxes resulting in loss revenue for the city.

If my wife and I intended to purchase a home in Scranton where the diesel tractors roared, we probably would have

traffic was the norm, and we would have expected our property and taxes to be assessed that reflected that location.

However, we chose Lake Scranton Road because of the tranquility, the nature of the neighborhood, and gladly paid the high property taxes never expecting our neighborhood would be transformed into an access road to a junk yard and a dumping ground in Dunmore.

Under the current conditions, the market value of our home has been decimated and so has the market value of our neighbors' home.

Now, in September of 2012, Mayor

Doherty called me at my house and he told me
he intended to remedy the truck traffic
problem on Lake Scranton Road as soon as
possible. He admitted his administration
dropped the ball in 2010 when the city
engineer failed to conduct the traffic study
at Lake Scranton Road that was a
prerequisite to banning the truck traffic.

My opinion of any man is he is only as good

as word, and the mayor's word is not good.

The council members who propose granting exemptions to the DeNaples should remember that talk is always cheap, words without conviction and actions are merely hollow promises. Once again, I thank the council for their efforts. I'd also request that the council request the mayor request Mr. DeNaples, if we could have permission, to put some soft patch in the larger holes on Lake Scranton Road, if it wouldn't interfere with his commerce. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Mr. Burke, as you may know, the testing of
the dirt is underway. I had requested that
at a previous meeting. I had also requested
that a study be done by the city engineer
and today I received a response that I'd
like to read to you now regarding that
study, and this is from Paul Kelly, Esquire
city solicitor:

"I have been asked to opine as to whether CECO Associates in its capacity as city engineer and its past and current relationship with DeNaples Auto Parts

constitutes a conflict of interest regarding the threatened litigation. It is my considered opinion that CECO does have a conflict and council should forward any future requests to the law department and alternate engineer Civil Crossroads Consulting Engineers, LLC."

And so, Mrs. Krake, I would like the request for the traffic study to be forwarded to Civil Crossroads Consulting Engineers and, you know, you can advise Solicitor Kelly that council will be pursuing that.

And in addition, at Mr. Burke's request, if we can send a letter to the mayor requesting that DeNaples would fill the holes in Lake Scranton Road with soft patch.

MR. BURKE: Or if he would grant the mayor permission to have our Department of Works do it. It seems that nothing can be done without Mr. DeNaples permission, so whichever way it could be done I would appreciate somebody filling the holes.

MS. EVANS: Yes, we'll pursue that

for you.

MR. BURKE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BURKE: And once again, I appreciate everything you are doing.

MS. EVANS: You are very welcome.

MR. BURKE: Because as it is well-known the mayor and the solicitor just don't have the fight in them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. Bob Bolus.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: Back for another fun evening. On 6-A Boyd is here so he knows I won't talk behind his back, I totally disagree with any additional money being paid outside of the contracts. I mean, this is basic contract law that we are looking at. It's nothing to the extent that Boyd had to do in the past and I just disagree with any additional money being paid out and if it is I'd like to see a fee agreement and everything else that's going to be paid to him.

Paul Kelly, as I have said more than once -- but I thank council, Nancy called me today to try and give me a response to my request and I appreciate that, however, as usual and for the last year Paul Kelly again failed to respond.

So what I did in the past we have already put the City of Scranton, which is required, on notice by a letter of our intent to sue. Well, now we are going to file the action and Paul Kelly will now become accountable because there is \$50,000 that this city should be getting and if some underhanded deal or something went on we are going to get to the bottom of it.

We could ask the University of
Scranton do an internship that consists of
council, Boyd or Paul Kelly as it relates to
6-A. Get something back. They need to come
in, these guys are going for masters, MBAs
and a lot of stuff, so they have the
experience to do what we need to do. This
isn't overly complicated. Dotting "I" or
crossing a "T" or reading a contract. It's
basic contract law and that's my issue of

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what I believe. I don't think there is any more person in this room more qualified than Boyd and I have a tremendous amount of respect for him, but Boyd knows I speak my peace.

Moving a little further with this, I guess talking about this street that the gentleman is going through, I grew up on the Elmhurst Boulevard and used to use that road on a daily basis to get to Dunmore, and it was a road that was open for everybody to drive their cars through, go down through he DeNaples junk yard, whatever you wanted to call it. I really wondered to this day because one day it just wound up with a barrier across it, I'd really like to see a full title search of that property to see when and if that road was ever vacated or turned over to the DeNaples. It could be still considered a paper street that the city by ordinance could reopen. It could still be a street for access that the city could take by imminent domain where people could be allowed to drive on that road.

If people go back when this was the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

landfill -- or not the landfill, I'm sorry, but the junk yard you now see again along 81, the largest junk yard in the world along-- or in the country and we were known for it, not for what the prosperity of the city was and the people and the growth in the area, but because we were happy to be a junk yard. We grew cars there.

When DeNaples took over on Mill Street, the old iron works and everything else that was there, the agreement, and I sat in on it, was that for them to give them the variance for the Mill Street property that it was his warehouse and car parts yard, he had to vacate everything that was That was the stipulation. on that mountain. It was in black and white. There are people today that were on that council that made that decision for him to do it and he abided by it. People remember that, the whole mountain was cleaned right off, and then gradually he just started putting stuff back. Tractor trailers were going across the bridge. You have no reason -- you don't have to gross as much weight on that bridge

going under the Interstate. You could run less weight, take it over to those yard and transfer loads to make heavier loads going out there if that's what he needs to do.

They used it for years and years and years.

I think the encroachment on the people on East Mountain and Lake Scranton Road has to come to a halt. These people built their homes there. This is an abuse of the privacy of the people that live on there. There is trucks coming down Moosic Street on a daily basis that are running in and out of landfill hauling Marcellus shale cuttings. Whether they are empty or they're loaded they are going up and down there for repairs, as we all know they have a facility past Lake Scranton.

But to have tractor trailers and trucks going up and down that road on a daily basis I would recommend to the city, to council tonight, we have a DOT unit here in the City of Scranton, start weighing them as they come up that road, which we have every legal right to do. So enforce a weight limit. Put a weight limit on that

road, which we can do.

But the people do not deserve to be bullied in this community. We have taken enough, we have to smell the stench of the garbage and so on and so on forth, and I'm not stopping anybody from making money or what you are doing, but these people, and I have lived there, do not have to have their rights taken away because of politics and the threat of a lawsuit when this city has bent over backwards for the DeNaples landfill and yet to this day has failed to implement a leachate feed on there that can generate millions and millions of dollars into this community. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And our next speaker is --

MR. MCGOFF: Before Mr. Burke
leaves, Mr. Burke? I just had a question
for Mr. Bolus, if you wanted mind? The
access road that we are talking about is
that in the City of Scranton or is that
Dunmore? Do you know?

MR. BURKE: I can't answer with certainty.

MR. MCGOFF: The border is somewhere there, and I'm not sure whether that road is Dunmore or Scranton?

MR. BOLUS: I believe part of it,

Bob, when you came down -- when you make a
right-hand turn that becomes more the

Elmhurst Boulevard.

MR. MCGOFF: Yeah.

MR. BOLUS: That crosses into

Dunmore. Part of that road where it goes

from over, from what I understand, you know,

30, 40 years ago it was still part of the

City of Scranton and then it changes as it

gets further down with the lines. That's

why I think a survey should be done maybe to

find out.

MR. MCGOFF: I wasn't sure and I just --

MR. BURKE: All I can say with certainty is I moved there in 2003 and the road was closed until 2010.

MR. MCGOFF: Right. I was just -- I thought maybe you had an answer, you know, that somebody knew whether that was Dunmore or Scranton. Thank you.

MR. BURKE: Had the mayor had the engineer do the survey, the traffic study in 2010 we would all have the answers to those questions.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. BURKE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Well, it appears at least from Mr. DeNaples point of view that it was in Scranton or he wouldn't be threatening litigation against the City of Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Well it's not Lake
Scranton Road, the access road is on the
other side of Elmhurst Boulevard and it's
somewhere along there Dunmore -- the border
between Dunmore and Scranton exists, so I
guess I'm not sure. We're up there -- I'm
up there all the time and I'm just not sure
whether that's Scranton or Dunmore.

MS. EVANS: Well, maybe that's something else that can be determined by the engineer.

MR. MCGOFF: Right. And I thought maybe they would know.

MS. EVANS: If you would talk to

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mrs. Krake and add that --

MR. MCGOFF: We'll do.

MS. EVANS: -- to the request,

please. Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin tonight by briefly discussing the 2011 audit that we did finally receive. I did get a copy of it. I haven't had a chance to fully look it over. I intend on doing that. However, I do have to say I'm a little disappointed in the amount of time it did take to receive it as this has been an issue we have dealt with on many occasions. only hope is that moving forward we receive audits in a more timely fashion so that we can use these as vital tools when we put budgets together and other financial documents to give us an understanding where we are financially.

And it leads me to once again bringing up the forensic audit and I know we have discussed that on many occasions and

we've discussed the cost of doing that and how it is quite costly, and certainly with the city's financial situation it would seem unrealistic at this time that we would have the ability to put forth a forensic audit, but I truly believe that that's the only way we will get a firm understanding of where we sit financially where we account for everything going back for the last 12 years of this administration. Certainly knowing the headaches and the management that they have caused we need that to really understand where we are so that we can answer the many questions that we have.

Moving onto the agenda tonight, 6-A, I did address this last week, I guess I have a different opinion from some of the speakers tonight. I see it a lot differently, I don't see this as double dipping by Attorney Hughes or Attorney Kelly or anyone else involved. You know, when we take a look at -- and as I have said before, I sound like a broken record and repetitive at this point, when we take a look at all of these issues that these individuals have

4

1

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't want to continue to harp on And finally tonight in regards to

the Lake Scranton Road issue, we heard from

dealt with, particularly Attorney Hughes, who has gone above and beyond, and I can't stress that enough, with the recovery plan, the Parking Authority, the budget and many other things that he has done behind the scenes that we don't even know about. certainly taken on tasks that many council solicitors in the past wouldn't go near, and it's not to get an extra paycheck, it's not to get the notoriety, it's to help the city, but most importantly, to help the taxpayers who he knows are struggling and he knows the city is struggling and that we have difficult decisions to make.

So we know that this is about compensation to individuals that have gone above and beyond and dealing with any future financial negotiations that we may have to undertake moving forward. So again, I do see it little differently, I don't see it as double dipping and that's my opinion on that. that issue.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Burke tonight and I had mentioned in the past, and I do feel it's unfortunate that these residents have had to deal with this ongoing frustration. It's my only hope that we can come to a resolution once and for all, avoid litigation, avoid all of the costly fees and everything that are involved with it so that we can satisfy these They shouldn't have to live with residents. this inconvenience every day. You know, certainly I do believe that the city should fill these holes that have been caused. understand the vehicles that do commute back and forth on this road. It's just not fair to them. They pay taxes and they certainly should not have to be inconvenienced by noise and heavy vehicles that travel each and every day causing a disturbance for them.

And with that, that's pretty much it tonight, pretty brief, and I'll be back next week. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, before I forget, it triggered my memory because of the discussion on Lake Scranton

Road, if you could also contact acting Chief Graziano tomorrow and ask him if we may please have his recommendations for amendments to the ordinance that pertains to the Bellevue cave-in area truck traffic as soon as possible so that we could get to work on amending that as well. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. EVANS: Good evening. Gregory
Evans, Scranton resident, Scranton's
Wellness Center. I just want to follow-up
on two questions I had last week regarding
Mr. Hughes, if I may?

MS. EVANS: Sure.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Hughes, I don't if you watched the last council meeting, I just wanted to follow-up on Item 6-A and I don't know if you heard what I said last time, but no one doubts that you are doing a great job for the city, and the couple of questions I had asked though is because, you know, you are going above and beyond your typical duties, but whose job are you going and above performing for? Who would typically do this job that you are

going above and beyond to do?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know if I understand the question. I don't think any of this was ever done by any solicitor, the things that we have been doing.

MR. EVANS: Okay, but is it the solicitor's job like within the administration end or is it typically somebody in this role would be hired by an outside entity?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know if on any of these issues -- the city ever had any of these issues before?

MR. EVANS: The other question I have, I'm not disputing whether or not you deserve for the compensation, but is there a general estimate of what the city can expect to spend, whether it's hourly or monetarily, due to the additional costs?

MR. HUGHES: If the city does nothing it would be zero. I have no idea depending on what work is involved. I have no idea, you know, what's going to be involved or what is going to happen with any type of financing. It's involved in

prospectuses, reading prospectuses, revising prospectuses, giving legal opinions. I have no idea what's going to be done. Nothing might be done --

MR. EVANS: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: -- for the benefit of the city. I hope there is no more financing, that everything is in place.

MR. EVANS: I agree. And last thing is, and I mentioned about this is actually not for Mr. Hughes, but for the council, we talked about last time about assets and if the city sells an asset and if you are able to vote on the sale again, if council whether it's an executive decision or a legislative decision, but to give the citizens the opportunity to, you know, have a caucus or a hearing of some sort, is there like a master list available of the assets or the authorities in the city that you will be working with that you could share with us?

MS. EVANS: I would think that the assets of the city could be contained to the audit, so if you were to take a look at the

audit, you know, I think you will find much of that, but I can tell you if and when the decision is made by the mayor regarding the sale of any asset it's my belief that the legislation would have to come before city council and city council would make sure that the people of the city are given multiple opportunities to weigh in.

MR. EVANS: Good to hear. Thank you for your time. Thank you, Mr. Hughes, too.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton. Last week I didn't realize what was at stake there and I have to say that I'm against overtaxing the land, raw land. I have a lot that I bought years back adjacent to my house, it's being taken care of now, it was by the neighbors voluntarily and sometimes DPW would come in and clear out brush and so forth, and since I purchased this lot it's gone up 20 or 30 percent. Every seven years I actually pay for the lot once again over thanks to all of these tax increases and I have to state that I feel that council did

things right last week. It's one thing to jack my taxes up 22 percent. Everybody that I talk to says, "Dave, why don't you pack it on the lot and let it go back to the city and it can go for another 40 years without a dime of taxes coming in."

So if that's what the mayor and whoever else, Mr. McGoff wants, I see he scurried out of here, then so be it I guess some day.

And on the commuter tax, which was banned or turned down by the Courts, the difference, I don't think I seen it mentioned anywhere in the paper, and this is the states doing, that it would be five months before you had a right to demand 10 cents of the commuter tax had it been collected. So by law the tax runs they have three months to collect it and then they have another month to turn it over and then the Berkheimer has another month to turn it over, so even if we had a commuter tax enacted it would be five months before you saw a dime of that commuter tax and had somebody been sticking it in their pocket

instead or absconded with the money then the taxpayers are on the hook for even more, which is really ashame. That's one type of tax reform we really need.

And I'm a little concerned, I do some walking through South Side and I see a lot of condemnations that were formerly rental units and I hope it's not due to this rental registration and if it is I would hope that the city would lighten up a little bit and realize that if somebody winds up sleeping under the Mulberry Street bridge it's a lot more dangerous than a situation than living in a house with a faulty receptacle that could be disconnected or something and somebody call back in an hour or two and see that was fixed or whatever.

And on the audit, also that relates to our commuter tax problems, it's just shameful how long it's taken for this audit to come in and that's -- the open thing than somebody had to say was that it was a month earlier than last years. Come on. That's bad. That's bad. It's like it's eight months late. I mean, the dog ate my

homework last night, so I'm apologizing for the show tonight.

And last week I realized somebody behind me didn't appreciate the golden parrot and while he stayed, he is not well, he stayed back at the hotel, and I don't know if people realize that, but when I mention these things it's -- we lost \$25 million out of police and firemen's pension and a lot of the taxes that we are paying is because some clown on Wall Street lost that money, misinvested it and we are on the hook for it. So out there in TV land if you want to thank anybody thank Wall Street for a good portion of any tax increase they have to pay, and it's really ashame.

One of the Greenburg I think or Greenspine or something from AIG was trying to sue the government for \$25 billion and he was deposed from AIG over booking errors and what have you, improprieties so that's where our firemen's pension is sitting and thankfully AIG in their best effort didn't join the lawsuit, so you have to give them a little path on the back for that even though

25

they did get a \$182 bailout, so thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \mbox{MR. DOBRZYN:} \quad \mbox{Don't forget to bawk,} \\ \mbox{bawk, bawk.}$

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It was good to see the audit report finally come out. I do hope that the 2012 audit will be on time. Ι had a series of budget questions that I'm going to hold until next week because there are other things. I'm going to be watching carefully the outcome of Mr. Burke's property appeal because my property suffers a similar fate. If the trucks aren't going up East Mountain Road they are coming across Seymour Avenue. Last week it was dump trucks at the rate of five to six an hour every day of the week and it's certainly not pleasant and I don't know is the restriction by weight or by designation? Are there separate restrictions?

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: I don't know. We would have to ask the police department.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Now. to 6-A. First of all, I feel that if these two gentlemen deserves more money because their duties have been expanded they should get a salary increase and not do it this way. think it's, first of all, I think it's poor contracting because the way it reads in here Paul Kelly and Boyd Hughes could walk away from their job 24 hours after this ordinance is passed and if there was borrowing they would still be entitled to be paid, so I don't think it should be specific. I think the language should at a minimum be changed to say the city solicitor and council solicitor, assuming that that's an expansion of the duties, and I also think it could be construed as a disincentive because if you have two employees who are only making money if we are borrowing the incentive is to borrow and to not get things squared away and so I think that perception of that should be negated for that reason. It does need changed, the language at a minimum.

And again, I would prefer a salary increase. 1 Has the vehicle storage begun at the 2 3 police department yet? When is that scheduled to begin? Do we know? 4 5 MS. EVANS: No, we don't. But I --MS. SCHUMACHER: Who knows? 6 7 MS. EVANS: I think we would have to 8 contact the police department. But, as I 9 was saying, I do have some information for 10 you regarding an update on 408 Cedar. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: 408 Cedar, I 12 understand the council was provided an 13 update. 14 MS. EVANS: Just today. Did you receive that? 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't know. Ι 17 just saw that -- I just got a note that it 18 was sent to council and I didn't get any 19 further than that because my little charge 20 is ill and I was babysitting at his house 21 today instead of my house, so I wasn't able 22 to access it. 23 MS. EVANS: If you would like to see 24 it. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I would.

1 Thank you. I'll grab it when I finish and then return it later. Thank you. 2 3 The management agreement with Central Parking, what is the term and what 4 5 fee is to be paid under the current 6 agreement? MS. EVANS: 7 The agreement has not 8 been finalized, and when it is it will 9 brought before city council and then at that 10 time an explanation will be provided. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Now, one for Mr. McGoff, on the rental properties 12 13 again, how many have been registered and 14 when the properties are registered do they check automatically to ensure that 15 16 mercantile taxes and the trash collection 17 taxes are paid or fee is paid? 18 MR. MCGOFF: I believe -- as far as 19 the number I do not have that, but in the 20 ordinance it does state that they will, that 21 all taxes must be up-to-date and --22 MS. SCHUMACHER: So somebody is 23 checking that? 24 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry? 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Somebody is

checking that in this person that's been hired for that rental registration?

MR. MCGOFF: I did not ask that. I will look into that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think it would be helpful if at least one once a month you gave a number of properties that have been registered, that would I think be helpful.

Now, one of the main problems with the prior revised recovery plan, one of, what 2002 or '3 is that it wasn't adhered to and it looks as though we are heading down the same path because although the taxes were raised the recovery plan stated that if the commuter tax was not received, the approval was not received, then the city would either raise taxes property taxes again or cut expenses, but neither has occurred, so are we going to see a revised budget with reductions to cover that \$2.5 million?

MS. EVANS: City council has no authority to open the budget. That would have to come from the mayor and the business administrator.

1	MS. SCHUMACHER: But you can you
2	cannot make cuts to adhere to the excuse
3	me, you could make cuts to adhere to the
4	recovery plan?
5	MS. EVANS: No we can't. That was a
6	decision that was rendered by the Court in
7	2010.
8	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Do we still
9	have any past due bills awaiting payment?
10	MS. EVANS: I think perhaps if you
11	waited until Mr. Joyce returns he can
12	respond to those questions.
13	MS. SCHUMACHER: Is he expected back
14	next week?
15	MS. EVANS: I believe so, yes.
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
17	MS. EVANS: You're welcome.
18	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet.
19	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy.
20	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Pat.
21	MR. ROGAN: Hey, Chris.
22	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Pat, about the
23	potholes, remember? It's bad. It's a mess
24	down there, it really is.
25	MR. ROGAN: I know, Chris.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SLEDENZSKI: In front there.

There is going to be big potholes down
there. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: You are welcome.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any questions or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First of all, on the Lake Scranton Road issue, just a couple of clarifications I guess. In the past, I know that patching on Lake Scranton Road was done by DPW. The problem is that right now it's more patch than it is road than it's been constantly being, you know, patched and it's really not adequate to take care of the road itself, and I know at one time in speaking with I think it was Mr. Brazil and Mr. Dougher, the talk was the that they were looking to actually repave that I guess it's about 4/10ths of a mile of Lake Scranton Road that that what's really needs to be done.

MS. EVANS: I agree with you, but I think the deterioration is largely caused by

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the truck traffic.

MR. MCGOFF: No doubt. I'm just saying that --

> MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. MCGOFF: -- and I know they have been --

MS. EVANS: I'm thinking that this would seem to be, even though, you know, I think as a safety net or fallback it can be the City DPW, I think Mr. DeNaples should be paying for the paving of the road that, you know, his steady truck traffic has caused to the road.

MR. MCGOFF: The other thing that, and I know it's been mentioned and it was mentioned tonight that we spoke about exemptions for Mr. DeNaples and personally I know that I never asked or questioned or asked questions about any exemptions for any one particular person, and I think Mr. Rogan also had some questions, but I don't think that they pertained to, you know, Mr. DeNaples in particular, I think our concerns were -- or at least my concern, I'm

not going to speak for you, I'm sorry, my

concern was that legitimate construction vehicles that were into Mt. Margaret was there a possibility for an exemption and that's what I spoke about, but I would like to make it clear that these -- while I spoke about them here they were never presented to the solicitor to have him question the ordinance. They were just things that we talked about here and I felt that if there was a need to amend later on that we would do that.

I fully support, I voted for it and I still support the ordinance to limit truck traffic on Lake Scranton Road, and I guess I'm upset with the hesitancy that has been shown by the administration. I think that we should move forward with this. It is something that's needed and as I have stated before, I am on Lake Scranton Road, you know, a couple days a week and it is a situation that needs to be -- there needs to be some resolution to the situation that takes place there.

And last thing this evening traffic signals. The traffic signal at River Street

and Meadow Avenue is at long last working.

For a week it was on blinker, this past

Monday it finally went to, you know, full

signal. The only problem is that I'm

stating that my own problem, I drive up

River Street and get on 81 practically every

day going to basketball practice and now I

find myself getting to the top of the hill

and where the stop sign used to be I stop,

whether it's a green light, red light. The

other night by wife yelled at me saying,

"Oh, it's a green light why you are

stopping?

Force of, you know, years of habit.

My big fear is that people coming the other direction many up Meadow Avenue will, you know, forget there is now a light and will just assume that they have right-of-way like they had in the past. So, please, you know, people that use that intersection please be aware that is now a working traffic signal and please be careful.

The other thing I talked to

Mr. Welby earlier before the meeting and in
his capacity through Representative Flynn's

Office he updated me on the possibility of a temporary traffic signal at Cedar Avenue, Orchard Street and the I-81 entrance/exit, which is now being used because of the -- used more because of the closing of the bridge, and Mr. Welby said that there has been an approval for a temporary signal. It is now in a bidding process and that PennDOT has fast tracked the process and that hopefully within the near future there will be a temporary signal at that intersection, which is really desperately needed because of the increase volume of traffic. And that is all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just a few brief items, I'm just following up a couple of issues last week. We received another letter from Deputy Chief Lucas regarding the engine that was loaned out to Throop. I had questioned initially about the engine being loaned out, they quickly replied, and then we had some follow ups and then again

1 ||

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

another prompt rely.

It states that the city has not performed -- has not paid for any of the maintenance on the vehicle since the time it has been loaned out, which was one of the big concerns. And, in addition, there is also a certificate of liability insurance attached to this letter made out to Throop Hose Company 2, so they are paying for the insurance as well. So that being said, a lot of concerns that I had certainly were put to rest and certainly appreciate the quick response. They also note that we have five reserve engines and this is one of the five, so there is no lack of the covering to the city because of this according to the letter. We thank them for the prompt reply.

Next, I had a couple of residents contact me following the article in the paper regarding the tree planting and Mr. Santoli was very, very prompt getting back to us. I think I e-mailed this to Nancy maybe yesterday and we already got a reply. These are the planting location addresses. I'm not going to read the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

individual addresses, but just the blocks.

In West Scranton and Tripps Park Farr

Street. The 1300 block, 1400 block, 1500

block and 1600 block. The Cloverfield area,

areas between the field and the road

designated by the forester. The South

Scranton area, South Irving, the 100 and 200

and 600 blocks. Prospect Avenue on the 300

block. Orchard Street on the 500 block.

Cedar Avenue on the 500 block, and 700 block

of South Webster. The 300 block of

Pittston. The 600 block of the Birch and

Connors Park in designated areas by the

forester. Connell little league off Gibbons

Street in designated areas by the forester.

The Connell dog park off Gibbons Street and

designated areas by the forester.

So if anyone has any questions about that feel free to contact one of the us or the forester, and as I stated earlier, if a resident doesn't wish to have the tree placed on their property it's certainly something they could opt out of. It's not a forced eminent domain project. There is nothing forced about it.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And next I just want to make a few comments, these aren't items on the agenda, but just something that's been in the local news recently. We see again today that our neighbors to the south in Wilkes-Barre had yet again more shootings, more stabbings, more killings, and it's terrible to see what's going on in the surrounding communities, but as Scrantonians it really makes us feel lucky to have the great police department we do have that is doing a great job keeping Scranton so much safer compared to Wilkes-Barre or Hazelton and Allentown and Philadelphia, and we really are lucky to have a much safer community than these do to the south, and I drive through Wilkes-Barre area every day on my way to work and I work even further south than that, and every day when I get home I'm always happy that I live in Scranton and not one of these other communities.

So I definitely would like to commend the great work that our police department has been doing. Also, the great work of acting Chief Graziano and we hope

work, I'm sure that they will, and as the long-term strategy, you know, I always talk about keeping taxes low and increasing the tax base, one of the biggest incentives for people to move into an area is the safety of the neighborhoods. Now, the mayor, although, I don't give him the credit for this, I end up giving the police department the credit, we haven't had a murder in the last year and for a city this size that's a pretty good accomplishment, especially in comparison to the other cities in the Northeast Pennsylvania region.

And I know these council meetings

to -- hope that they will continue that

And I know these council meetings are broadcast in the Wilkes-Barre area, so I would like to invite our friends into the Wilkes-Barre area to come up to Scranton and check out our neighborhoods, they are much safer, and we would like you to come up here and live the Scranton experience and become part of our neighborhoods. But the main point is just the police department is doing a great job. I hope they keep it up and we need to stay safe in Scranton.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So that is all I have for tonight.}$ Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I -- I'm sorry.

Mr. Welby just gave me a note, I misspoke.

I'm sorry, I misspoke as far as the traffic signal at Cedar Avenue, it is now in the design stage and the bidding stage will come next.

MS. EVANS: Oh, okay.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:mr.m$

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Before I begin, I just want to piggyback briefly on what my colleague stated regarding our fine police department. I think it's also important to remember that we have been able to keep a good staffing level of police officers in this city and so they all do an outstanding job, had we seen those numbers diminished by a bankruptcy or failure to produce a recover plan or a failure to increase taxes to pay off the debt incurred in 2011 that was needed to keep this city operational, we would be in the same

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

position, in fact, very likely worse than the City of Wilkes-Barre at this time. So I think it's -- it's important that we all continue to work together to keep the city operating and to return it to financial stability.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I apologize, I forgot to mention one thing. I have to apologize, I left out the neighborhood leaders as well. Mary Chilipko in Pinebrook she actually contacted me today and wrote a letter that she wanted me to read at the meeting thanking our police for the great job they do, and I apologize to her, I had it printed out and ready to go and I left it on high desk, but I promise her that I will read that next week and I just wanted to get that out there that I apologize not being able to read the letter, but she just wanted to express her thanks as well to the police department.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. During the council meeting on January 3, 2013, I asked that the auditors, city business administrator, council Finance

1

7 8

6

9

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chair, city clerk, all employees of the Department of Business Administration and representatives of municipal authorities would meet in February to map out a more efficient and effective procedure to complete the 2012 audit in a more timely manner.

To date, our office has received only two responses, one of which is from Mr. Eugene Barrett, executive director of the Scranton Sewer Authority. He states that the Sewer Authority representatives are available to meet any day during the first week of February. However, he also says, "As a reminder, the Scranton Sewer Authority's fiscal year begins on April 1 and ends on March 31. Approximately 90 days after the end of our fiscal year, work begins on the prior year's audit. effort undertaken by an outside independent certified professional accounting firm takes another 90 days and the audit is published and distributed in September to all necessary parties, including city council."

We also received a confirmation of

attendance from Robert Rossi & Company.

But, Mrs. Krake, if you could please contact all other parties to request a response in order to schedule this meeting and also please send follow-up reminders to those you are unable to reach by phone.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: As I previously stated on January 3, if the deadline set by the Home Rule Charter may have become unfeasible due to the downsizing of the Department of Business Administrator and the completion and distribution dates of audits of municipal authorities then council should expect a completed 2012 independent audit of the City of Scranton on or before September 30, 2013, in order to assist with the annual budgetary process.

Now, this does not mean that council disregards the deadline of the charter, but I think it bears investigation at this point if the deadline established in the 1970's is still workable in this decade.

Further, positions in the business administration department have yet to be

filled, although administrators have been aware of these openings for at least two months.

Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Mr. McGowan on behalf of city council requesting that he contact Career Link as soon as possible if qualified candidates do not respond to the advertisements. It is essential to a timely 2012 audit that these positions are filled in January.

It is also imperative to train new and current employees in their daily duties related to the annual audit and to assign individual responsibilities for all information requested and required of the BA's Office by the auditors.

If Scranton is to rebuild its credit worthiness and financial stability, it must be able to reduce completed audits in a timely fashion which demands a fully functioning Department of Business Administration and the cooperation of the municipal authorities.

On January 14, 2013, a 2012 audit timetable was submitted by Sean Grassi, CPA,

to Ryan McGowan that enables the auditor to issue 2012 audited financial statements by May 31, 2013. The auditor clearly is performing his job and it is now up to the administration to do so as well in cooperation with municipal authorities.

Although, I had discussed in late 2011 this next matter with the mayor, I respectfully wish to write him with a reminder. Mrs. Krake, please send him a letter requesting a monthly meeting among the business administrator, the Council Finance Chair, the city clerk and department heads and/or direct supervisors who are responsible for revenue items in the 2013 budget in order to review monthly revenues and expenditures and to address revenue problems that may arise immediately. The city cannot cover revenue shortfalls caused by department heads or other city employees.

Next, in regard to the tree planting that will occur throughout our city or select areas therein, I have requested, as I did several years ago, that homeowners who were not interested in receiving a tree

would not be forced to do so. And as Mr. Rogan stated earlier, I sent a letter to Mr. Santoli regarding that situation and I really have to thank him for responding to my request and Mr. Rogan's request for the placement of the trees, the geographic placement of the trees, so quickly, in one day.

Finally, I have a few citizens' requests. I'm pleased to report that the abandoned gray Volkswagon vehicle parked across from 312 16th Avenue was moved from that location according to acting Police Chief Graziano. I thank him for his timely action and response.

And elderly Hill section resident reports that recyclables are not being collected as scheduled which causes her a financial hardship since she pays to take out and return the recycling can to her property. Please address this Hill section problem.

A homeowner reports that tree limbs next to her home at 1372 Penn Avenue need to be cut down. She has called the DPW and

been told that her request would be placed on the a list for tree trimming. When the limbs were not cut, she contacted the DPW again and was told there were no funds to remove the tree limbs. I don't believe this to be the case, so please we ask the DPW to address this problem as soon as possible and notify the Office of City Council when the work is completed.

And finally, a letter to Mark
Seitzinger on behalf of the Pinebrook
Neighborhood Association, Scranton City
Council requests the date of the annual
rooming house inspection for two properties,
which I will not publically name.

In addition, we request current rental registration records and the landlord representative or agents name and phone number be provided to the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association or to the Office of Scranton City Council on or before January 23, 2013. I know Mr. Seitzinger has had that request made of him directly from officers of the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association previously and so, you know, a

1	date of January 23 is certainly not overly
2	ambitious, and that's it.
3	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
4	COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED
5	"GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY
6	TRANSFERRING \$692.22 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
7	01.051.00051.4201 (LICENSING, PERMITS &
8	INSPECTIONS - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO
9	ACCOUNT NO. 01.051.00051.4101 (LICENSING,
10	PERMITS & INSPECTIONS - MILEAGE /UNIFORM
11	ALLOWANCE) TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR MILEAGE
12	REIMBURSEMENT TO INSPECTORS.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into its proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor of introduction signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
24	have it and so moved.
25	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -

FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 2, 2013 - AN ORDINANCE ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA APPOINTING
W. BOYD HUGHES, ESQUIRE AND PAUL A. KELLY,
JR., ESQUIRE AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE CITY
OF SCRANTON AND CASECON CAPITAL, INC. AS
FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON ON
THE ISSUANCE, SALE AND PLACEMENT OF ANY

CITY OF SCRANTON'S UNFUNDED DEBT, ANY
TRANSACTION INVOLVING THE SALE LEASEBACK OF

BONDS AND/OR NOTES FOR THE FINANCING OF THE

CITY ASSETS, ANY TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING THE

SALE OR LEASE OF ANY AUTHORITY ASSETS WHICH

REDUCES THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S BOND

INDEBTEDNESS UNDER THE UNIT DEBT ACT OR

RESULTS IN THE PAYMENT OR LOAN OF MONEY BY

ANY AUTHORITY TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON, THE

REFINANCING OR REFUNDING OF ANY OF THE

CITY'S OUTSTANDING BOND ISSUES AND ANY 2013

TAX ANTICIPATING NOTES OTHER THAN

THE 2013 TAN NOTE A AND AUTHORIZING THE

MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS

TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CASECON CAPITAL,

INC.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by

1 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure. MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 2 3 pass reading by title. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 4 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I'd just like to 6 7 make one quick comment. It was stated 8 before that perhaps it should be changed to 9 state the position rather than individual. 10 I think that by naming the individuals it is 11 more reasonable thing to do. I would rather 12 have people that are familiar with the past 13 process and the current process and perhaps 14 the future process of dealing with this rather than if, in fact, it were to --15 16 there were to be a change in solicitors, 17 rather than someone who is not familiar with 18 it, so I think that this is a reasonable 19 thing to do to appoint someone who is, 20 again, familiar with the process. 21 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 22 signify by saying aye. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

MS. EVANS: Before I call for adjournment, I just have two very quick items. I neglected to announce under motions that Councilman Joyce had called that he was not feeling well and was unable to attend tonight's meeting.

In addition, I know at last week's council meeting we had a number of resolutions appointing or reappointing individuals to various boards or authorities and I think at Mr. Rogan's request we tabled them last week. Letters requesting resumes were sent out on Friday, January 11, the day following our council meeting. I assumed that perhaps the individuals concerned would not have received those letters until Monday and so rather than placing those items back on tonight's agenda, I thought we could wait until next week in that we provided an ample time in which for each of these to respond.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:} \text{MR. MCGOFF: Could I -- and I should}$ have mentioned this before myself, one of

the gentlemen who was up for reappointment talked to me and said that he has a resume on file from a previous appointment, and I talked to Mrs. Krake before, and what I would like is if there are any of those people that are being reappointed that do have resumes on file with council's office if they would please contact Mrs. Krake or the Clerk's Office and let her know when those resumes were sent so that, you know, we can just take them out and use them.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. MCGOFF: That's all. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: And finally, on behalf of council and Mrs. Krake and Ms. Carrera, we hope that our other staff member,
Ms. Marciano, is feeling better. She has been suffering badly with the effects of the flu and we want her to know that we are keeping her in our thoughts and prayers.

And if there is no further business,

I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.

MS. EVANS: This meeting is adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER