г		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, January 10, 2013	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not present)

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
16	SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING
17	HELD ON DECEMBER 3, 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. BREAKDOWN OF THE
21	ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS
22	ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF OCTOBER, NOVEMBER,
23	AND DECEMBER 2012.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed. Do we have any

clerk's notes tonight, Mrs. Krake?

MR. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

City council Solicitor Hughes is unable to attend tonight's meeting due to a prior commitment.

The Scranton/Lackawanna County

Taxpayers' Association will meet next

Tuesday, January 5, at 7:00 p.m. in Scranton

City Council chambers. County controller

and licensed realtor, Gary DiBileo, will be

the guest speaker and will discuss the real

estate market in our area.

Also, our office received a response to its letter to DEP regarding Lake Scranton Road. I'd like to read that response for the public.

"The Department received your letter today regarding the city's concerns with suspected transportation and disposal of contaminated soil at the end of Lake Scranton Road. Although, I'm in the environment cleanup and brownfields program,

the DEP program which handles transportation and disposal of waste is the Waste Management Program. As such, I forwarded the city's letter to the Waste Management Program today."

And that is the most recent update on this issue.

Once again, I remind audience members to remain quiet and conduct personal conversations in the hallway outside council chambers. Those who wish to address city council should sign the speaker sheet, unless arriving citizens' participation has begun. Speakers are allotted five minutes in order to provide an equal opportunity for all to address council. At the sound of the bell, please be seated. We respectfully ask that you adhere to the rules of these meetings.

And finally, I'd like to wish my son, Chris, and outstanding English teacher at West Scranton High School, devoted husband and father of two darling daughters, Cara and Anna, a very happy birthday tomorrow and many more. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, hello, my good council friends. It's glad to see everyone up there tonight.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: In 1973 I was in the car business with some other people in Missouri and I bought a new Cadillac from a boy I had known for ten or one years. He had been to my house and everything and I sold the car and the man sent the title in and it was stolen. I was in Memphis to borrow some money from my Daddy Ellman and I was crying and pulling my hair up and jumping up and down and saying, "How could this man do this to me?"

And my father said, "Ex-son,"

because he had disowned many years before, ,

he said, "People like Abby save their

friends for last because they are the

easiest ones to get to."

Do you understand? You people

21

22

23

24

25

work in the Woolworth house. there. their houses.

following the policies of PEL are to go the same thing. You just keep on -- it just don't stop with the taxpayers of the city. The source of the destruction of the city is mainly the nonprofits. I bet a one of you hasn't looked up the nonprofits on the computer. Nobody has done nothing about Paul Masour, he's had grants for years to Goodwill has had 2 1/2 million of state money and local grants to work on the high school and they have never done a thing except tell us what they are going to do, this list goes on and on, we are all familiar with it, but instead of attacking the people that are destroying the people here you are tonight you are probably going to ask for another raise of taxes for an emergency. It's just not There is 3,000 people that lost

At Mr. Bolus' dinner I was sitting at a table with man that told me he had two pieces of property that are vacant here in west side. He is paying taxes on these houses that are vacant and these developers

come in here, you give them grants and loans and tax KOZs, just everything under the sun and they don't help us.

I forget the name of it, there is some guy wants to build on the high school on the 300 block of Luzerne Street 22 apartments, Luzerne Street that area is full of empty apartments with people that are paying taxes on the houses. What do you need that developer for? They have beat the city out of gobbs of money not paying building permits.

You know, like I said a few weeks ago, there is a \$33 million project down there with a \$33,000 building permit. It should have been ten times that, you know, who knows. I'm not making a blistering attack on council, I'm making a blistering attack on PEL and their policies are holding us back.

You know, I said last week PEL has us grouped with a bunch of cities that are financially in bad straights. It's like fingers, everybody has got a finger, but your fingerprint is different. This city is

different from all of the rest of the cities in that manner. Not a one of them has dozen and dozen of nonprofits that have taken tax their tax base away like we are suffering and that's the source that you have to stop somehow. I don't know what to do.

Like I said, I talked to a man in the banking business that said it's just impossible for this city to get out of this situation. There is nothing good. There is just no where to turn anymore, but PEL is counterproductive, they don't have one positive to talk about it in 20 years, and to make things worse I read last week where Mr. Cross, I think it was in Sunday's paper, said that management problem wasn't our This is probably the worse manager mayor's. -- the worse mayor since Mr. Hill's picture was put up there, and that is the problem with PEL.

MS. EVANS: Thanks.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you for allowing me to speak.

MS. EVANS: Less spend letter.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, coucnil.

23

20

21

22

24

25

Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, the city received some good news last week, the best news we have received here in a long time with Mayor Doherty announcing he is not going to run for reelection. Now maybe we can get someone in office that will pick a competent administration, department heads that will work with council and maybe that way we will get this city straightened out.

And talking about department heads, I have a copy of the Home Rule Charter here and I want to read Section 312, and it states, "The council may make investigates into the affairs of the city and conduct any department office or agency in aid of its legislative powers and functions and may issue subpoenas for these purposes."

Now, here I am, it was given to me from council's office, a fourth request sent to the mayor and Mark Dougher about a problem I've had with flooding on my corner and it was dated October 5 and to this day I

don't think council has heard anything.

Now, according to the Home Rule

Charter council should -- can subpoen these people, why haven't you? You are getting no cooperation from Mark Dougher and the mayor, why don't you subpoen these people and get them in here? Is anybody going to answer that question? I guess not.

MS. EVANS: I would think that the subpoena powers are used in the course of an investigation and, as you said, an investigation would entail I would imagine significant wrongdoing and so this is -- this is a case of your request not having been addressed by the administration.

Now, I know previously the situation had been addressed. Mrs. Krake is aware of this. She and I worked on this very adamantly and the work was done for you and I understand --

MR. SPINDLER: Kevin Murphy had that work done.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, no, he didn't. It was through -- and Mrs. Krake can attest to this because she spoke with

the gentleman who actually did the work --

MR. SPINDLER: Kevin Murphy got I think it was Jeff Brazil at the time in his office and other people and that's when he got it done.

MS. EVANS: Well, that's a difference of opinion. You know, I do know that our office worked very, very hard on that and it was in touch with the individuals who actually did the work and we were the ones that were able to get them to do that work.

Now, I understand you have a problem again and I do belive it should be addressed, but I don't believe that it rises to the occasion of a subpoena.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I respectfully have to disagree and I don't think it's ever going to get taken care of now with -- because all of these people are just lame duck now and they are going to be out of a job in the next year so they are probably not going to solve the problem, but I hope I'm wrong but I don't think I am.

MS. EVANS: We'll continue to pursue that for you.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you very much. And about this Lake Scranton Road situation, I think council should go on, I think the signs should be put up there because I don't think that DeNaples is correct. Their attorney was saying they are taking the auto parts or truck parts or whatever and Mr. Burke was here last week with pictures of dirt and other fill driven down that road and that wasn't the purpose and I think they should go along with the ordinance because I don't think Paul Kelly knows what he is talking about. He says he is afraid they we are going to get sued and I don't think there is a lawsuit there.

MS. EVANS: I know that there was discussion in the newspaper article that the ordinance could be or would be repealed, but I can tell you that only city council has the power to repeal legislation and it will not be repealed by this council because I would not place it on the agenda to be repealed, and I agree with you, the signs should be posted.

MR. SPINDLER: That's great. Lastly,

there was a story on Channel 16 the other night about how crime and homicides are down in the city and it says the pool of potential future police officers has dried up, but yet the mayor wants people to take the test and apply and saying that they are going to start looking to expand by two in February.

Now, nobody would be happier than me for more police officers and firefighters, I have come here many times and asked for that, but how are we going to pay these people? I'd like to have a dozen more police officers. How are we -- we can't pay the bills that we have now. How are we going to pay two more police officers?

MS. EVANS: Perhaps it might be through the neighborhood police patrols and the CDBG monies. I'm not sure.

MR. SPINDLER: It doesn't say that in the story.

MS. EVANS: I'm not sure honestly, I just --

MR. SPINDLER: I'm just curious.

MS. EVANS: I just mentioned that as

1 a possibility. 2 MR. SPINDLER: I'm happy about that, 3 just curious in our situation how we are going to pay anybody else. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll have more 5 information on that for you. I have been 6 7 under the weather the last few days so I 8 haven't really got a chance to follow-up on 9 it. MR. SPINDLER: Well, glad to see you 10 11 here this week, Mr. Loscombe, I did put 12 something in writing last week. I was told 13 by someone when Engine 15 was shut down it 14 was sent to Throop, do you know anything about that? 15 16 MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, I have the 17 response to that, I received it and I am 18 going to report it on under motions. 19 MR. SPINDLER: All right. Thank you 20 for your time. 21 MS. EVANS: You're welcome. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 23 MS. EVANS: Bob Bolus. 24 MR. BOLUS: Good evening, council. 25 Bob Bolus, Scranton.

. 

\_ :

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: I have a couple of

questions, I guess, I don't know if I have to write them down at the end, but I believe council did receive our written request that was sent to council to questions I had asked last week and other questions that we need to answered. I'm looking at "B" here on the agenda and on the ordinance of the City of Scranton, are the attorneys that are listed in here, financial advisors or whatever it is, is there additional money being paid to

MS. EVANS: There will be additional fees depending on the financial transactions that occur in 2013.

them or are they doing this work under their

receiving? Is there going to be additional

standard pay that they are already

fees for their work?

MR. BOLUS: Why would that be if they are already being paid a salary to represent, like, Paul Kelly represents the City of Scranton as the solicitor, this is Scranton business. Why would they need a

special appointment and more compensation in a city that can't pay them what we are paying them already and handing out more money to them when they are being paid to do a job?

MS. EVANS: Well, one of the individuals or entities that is receiving the contract is Casecon, and that is the company that has worked very closely with the city council and Attorney Boyd Hughes to ensure that the I's are dotted and the T's are crossed and there is nothing in the transaction that's inappropriate.

The other two individuals are council solicitor Boyd Hughes and city solicitor Paul Kelly. I can't speak to the city solicitor, but I can speak for the work done by the council solicitor and it is well above and beyond his duties, and I'm sure you would remember since you come to council almost every week for many, many, many years there has been no solicitor, not since I have been seated and not since I have viewed the meetings, who has ever done this type of work before for the city. None of them were

involved in financial transactions, none of them were involved in let us say a takeover of a municipal authority. There are many, many instances where Attorney Hughes has gone well above what is required by this position and I believe he should be paid.

MR. BOLUS: Mrs. Evans, I'm with you 100 percent on qualifications and what they can do, it's just that, you know, we go out and bid a job and we do the job that's it. We don't come back and say, "Well, gee, we are going to need a little more expertise on this or that so you are going to pay us much more."

You know, it is what it is, and I'm just looking at the finances of the city and with the expertise and, unfortunately, sometimes we take jobs we shouldn't take or not have a better explanation, whether Boyd represents council or Paul Kelly represents the city. This is city business.

MS. EVANS: Yes, it is, but I think you also are aware that there has been 11 years worth of significant problems that have that were brewing, festering and then

came to fruition in the city and the house of cards came tumbling down and I feel it was imperative that city council had a attorney in place, an objective attorney that was not connected to the administration, that would do the work of this council and the people of this city in order to check into everything, to make sure that the right things were occurring and put an end to what had been going on for all of those years, and I can tell you now that would have been completely impossible for this council to do without the work of the Boyd Hughes.

MR. BOLUS: I'm never questioning his integrity or his work ethic, I'm looking at dollars and cents, that's what I'm looking at. I'm looking at a city that the cards have fallen. They are not done falling because the hammer hasn't fallen on the top of the cards yet, so we are not out of the woods by any stretch of the imagination.

MS. EVANS: That's true, but we don't want to go deeper into the woods because we don't have someone competent who is on the

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

side of the taxpayers representing us and making sure that the right things are done.

MR. BOLUS: Okay, and I agree. That's only Boyd Hughes we are talking about, now you got Paul Kelly on the other You know, you put two lawyers in a town when there was only one lawyer and he drove a Volksgwagon. You put two lawyers in the town now they are driving brand new Mercedes because they agree to disagree. I'm looking at costs, I'm looking at the legal profession. I'm looking at the asset we are selling. I would want an estimate of exactly what it's going to cost for the representation or I would put it out for There are competent people out there that are as knowledgeable, and others may be even more knowledgeable. I'm looking at how we don't continue to crawl over the dollars to get to the pennies in the city so I would put it out for bid.

As we can see what Paul Kelly has done in the past, prime example of what he can and cannot do was evidenced by the hearing before the three judges. Didn't

have a hoot what was going on, so how are we going to sit here and say he could agree to do this or, yeah, it's a good deal to sell an asset. You know, I don't want to see this go where the golf course went. I don't want to see another wayward wind as our money just flies away and in the end we have nothing to show and then we are going to sell another asset and another asset and what's left, city hall?

You know, you have the captain of our ship right now who is jumping the ship and, you know, if everything I have ever seen from the captain on the Titantic, which I have categorized Scranton as, we are on the Titantic. Unfortunately, that captain went down with the ship, but Chris Doherty, the captain of the City of Scranton whose more than piloted this ship into the disaster it's in is jumping the ship.

Now, why? Because you know you can't resurrect and save the ship. It's going down and it's going to go down. No matter what assets we liquidate my question and my letter here, and I would like to know

when I could get a response to my questions that I submitted. You know, I don't want to see it go because there is questions on here I have asked numerous times, I'd like a deadline as to when my questions would be answered since I'm now compelled to pull all of the issues in writing, which is time consuming for me not only coming to the council meeting, but to go back and sit here, you know, put them in black and white again.

when I can expect answer or not. They're not hard questions, I think they are very simple that I raised last week. Did the city firemen get a couple of checks? When, who, how, when and where. It's all black and white. The question about a deed to a property on East Mountain. Shouldn't be hard, Paul Kelly has been asked that question numerous, numerous time. There is a \$50,000 offer on it, but yet nobody is getting an answer to where we are going.

So if you can give me a time frame or when we could expect an answer to our

1 written responses I'd appreciate that. MS. EVANS: Thank you. 2 MR. BOLUS: Okay, well, I didn't get 3 an answer to that question, Mrs. Evans. Can 4 5 I expect when I can get a response by what time frame from writing a letter to you and 6 asking these questions? Could I get them at 7 8 next week's meeting? 9 MS. EVANS: If we are able to get the 10 answers by then, yes. 11 MR. BOLUS: Okay, you would give me an answer either way next week whether I'm 12 13 here or it would be a correspondence back to 14 me with the answer? MS. EVANS: Oh, we could do that as 15 well, sure. 16 17 MR. BOLUS: Yeah, either way I'd like 18 some kind of a correspondence and response 19 next week before the meeting. 20 MS. EVANS: Well, as soon as --21 again, as soon we are able. 22 MR. BOLUS: They are not hard 23 questions. 24 MS. EVANS: As soon as we are able 25 to answer them -- -

MR. BOLUS: Well, this is a guy you want to hand more money to, Mrs. Evans, and you want to pay him additional money to sit here and handle te city assets, I'm asking a stupid, simple question, produce a deed. If shouldn't be that complicated nor should it be complicated on did anybody get extra money and where it came from. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: The first issue I would like to address tonight is regarding the Lake Scranton Road issue that was raised last week by a speaker and obviously got some attention in the paper over the week. I just personally believe that -- I don't feel that any resident or taxpayer should have to deal with that annoyance of having trucks go through that part of town causing a disturbance and every other issue that it's caused for them.

You know, the individual that was here last week provided photos and other

documentation for council and, you know,
Mrs. Evans, I do appreciate your statement
earlier tonight that, you know, as we all
know anybody that follows government knows
that council is the only legislative
authority that has the ability to repeal any
legislation, and then I was glad to hear
tonight that that won't make the agenda and
you won't repeal that because I personally
don't feel any resident should have to deal
with that and anybody that feels it should
be repealed certainly isn't looking out for
the residents of this city.

Regarding the parking meters in today's paper, an articale in the Times, we are now sort of moving on track to bring in some sort of new technology and generate revenue for the city. This has been going on for months now as we just been harping on with StreetSmart and other entities that have come in and placed bids, I'm just hopeful at this point in time that something will be put in place, but the only issue and concern that I do have when this is finally put in place I want to make sure there is

2

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transparency and accountability.

As we all know, what caused this mess in the first place with the Parking Authority was a lack of transparency and accountability. The wool was pulled over our eyes, things were kept from us, and we didn't know what was going on and thanks to the work by Attorney Hughes and others that were instrumental in unraveling this whole mess and bringing it to light and turning this thing around we now know what has occurred, but I'm just hopeful that with this new program, even here in city hall and, in fact, in council's office and the clerk's office we have the ability to see what goes on each and every day with those meters, what's going in and what's coming With new technology today I'm sure there is a way to have some sort of computer or some sort of device within council's office that allows us to see exactly what is going on and how much money is being fed in those meters each and every day and where it's going so there is accountability and we know what's going on and things aren't

mismanaged because as we know with the Parking Authority it has caused such a massive debt and has added to the astronomical debt that this city has occurred because of incompetent and leadership by those who have caused quite a headache, and thankfully they are no longer there and I'm just hopeful that we will turn this around and realize the revenue that the city needs very much. We know we have the ability, as we know, looking at estimates in the recovery plan of well over \$2 million each year if it's done the right way.

Regarding the MBROs, the market based revenue opportunity, I mentioned this last week, I'm hopeful that now it's been put out to bid a second time that someone will come forward and that we realize that revenue. We are looking at over \$350,000 that we have the ability to bring in. We need the revenue, as we all know. You know, coming into this year already we are looking at holes and we are only at January 10.

So it's certainly a concern and I'm helpful that we realize these things and

that difficult decisions do have to be made.

You know, tonight there is emergency
legislation, emergency certificate attached,
so we know tough decisions have to be made.

There has been discussion tonight that, you know, we have talked about people going above and beyond. We know the people who have put the work into it and we know those who sat back and they have slacked off and they are grandstanding and played to TV cameras. Certainly those who have gone above and beyond are worthy of a reward or compensation because they have done work on behalf of the taxpayers. We haven't seen that in decades.

You know, Attorney Hughes has been quite instrumental on a lot of key things that have gone over, particularily over the summer with the recovery plan and this budget, and most of all the Parking Authority and the issues he has had to deal with financially others wouldn't take on in the past.

You know, it all goes back to the creativity in the city that we need in city

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government and realizing revenue that we You know, it was stated earlier tonight that it may be impossible to turn this thing around. I disagree with that. Ι don't feel it is impossible. Certainly looking at the challenges we face today it may seem impossible and people may be ready to give up and, obviously, what transpired over the past summer you would certainly come to that conclusion, but I know that when you come together and you put politics aside and you put egos aside and you work cooperatively you can achieve anything. That was proven with the recovery plan and it was proven with the budget. Both sides of government came together and that's what we need to continue to do moving forward.

This isn't about playing favorites, it's not about pointing the finger. We can't afford to go backwards, we need to continue to move full speed ahead and if we do that we can turn the city around. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And just maybe to add something to what was just said, I think

maybe some of the speakers forget the fact that Attorney Hughes saved the city approximately \$700,000 in a Parking Authority bond payment in 2012. \$700,000. That's well over half a million dollars.

Now, in addition to that I know it's been proposed put this out to bid. When you put it out to bid there are specs included, and I can tell you that Mr. Hughes has gone well above the specs, but setting that aside, if it's put out to bid then the mayor will decide who is hired, and so I think you can assume then where Attorney Hughes would stand.

But in addition to that, when you put something out to bid it seems that there is very often extra costs involved. It's bid for one amount and then the individual or company selected comes back with costs overruns and this didn't go according to plan and so now we need to add this to it and so the cost grows sadly, but that's what I have seen in my years on council, that very seldom, if ever, did a bid stay at its original starting point, they grow and grow

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and grown once the person has been hired.

Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers, Incorporated. Yesterday in the Times-Tribune there was an article for a planner from a nonprofit that came into Scranton and spoke about downsizing a lot of the infrastructure, transportation and development where we can afford it, you know, and it was called Strong Town, the organization, and was a curbside chat at Weston Field. They didn't have many people there according to the paper, but I have to agree with what Mr. Miller just said that we have turn this around and I have to agree with what Mrs. Schumacher, my colleague on the Taxpayers' Asssociation, let me just read from it.

"We think all of the new infrastructure is going to lead to growth and be good, Mr. Morrow, he is the consultant said. However, our future will be collection of small projects, three

adults and two children attended the presentation. Scranton resident, Marie Schumacher, said the talk was interesting and resonated with her. For example, she wondered what the city could have done with the money that was spent on the Rennaisance of the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue."

And also Ms. Schumacher in my opinion is right on. There is no doubt about it. She is not a Monday morning quarterback and I started coming here in 2006 and formed the Taxpayers' Association and I know that Andy Sbaraglia sat and came up here at every meeting and kept on telling us about the debt, and I'd be remiss if I didn't give Mrs. Evans credit for doing what she is doing and to try and pull the city together.

I can recall back six years ago she spoke about the Doherty debt and warned the council members, warned the council members, do you want a bid out for another attorney? You know, Carl Greco, who is a big contributor to Mayor Doherty's campaign? He is the solicitor for OECD, he became a

millionaire. He bid out. You know, when you bid out he is the only one that fulfilled the specs. Is that we want to happen?

Now, I worked with Boyd Hughes at the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, he was my boss. I worked before Boyd Hughes and I worked on land use and I worked on blight studies, all right? And I did, when I worked for a private consultant, and we put together urban renewal projects. Boyd Hughes eventually was on the board and became the Chairman of the Board of the Redevelopment Authority the and I know that Boyd Hughes has the ability and capability and the know how to help pull the city together.

And as Doug said, I'm behind this council the majority, I know the majority, I can't trust Mr. McGoff because he was here and I know he went with Mr. Doherty on every roll call, so what I'm saying is that we got to pull together, you know, and we have to do something. I, myself, what can I do? You know, I worked a lot of years in

4

5

3

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

redevelopment and economic development and what not, okay, I would be glad to volunteer, okay, no charge to help the city put together a Housing Rehabilitation That's one thing, okay? Program. think of that money that went down on Lackawanna Avenue went across the city. You know, from the 2000 Census to when Doherty took over to the 2012 we lost 2000 -- there was a negative 2000 houses. That means they were demolished, even with all of those KOZs that were constructed and all of the other housing, you know, there is more houses being demolished than are being constructed. How can you actually build a city like that? You can't. You know?

We have to find creative ways to sell this land off and help these people out there. I have people call me all the time, "How can I get money? How can I get help to fix wiring on my house? How can I fix the leak in my roof, you know, what can I do?"

You know, what can I say? Nothing.

I appreciate it if you could consider my
proposal. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And thank you very much, and I believe that is something that we need in that, you know, if a plan or program can be developed prior to the allocations for CDBG, which typically would occur in September, and I think the applications come out in June or July and are submitted perhaps by August 1, I think there should be application made for just such a program as you have outlined.

Our next speaker is Anthony Palmiera.

MR. PALMIERA: Yes. Good evening, council members and thank you for the opportunity to speak and I thank the previous speakers. This is my first council meeting attending, I'm impressed with their interest in trying to save the city and to you, too. Thank you for your work that you do.

Also, I want to mention that there were two men that came up talking about the situation on Lake Scranton Road. Well, I would benefit from the council's proceeding and keeping that ordinance because I live on

225 Lake Scranton Road. In fact, I'm right next to the access road that was in the discussion that the company -- I'll give you a little history, I moved there in 2008, they were working on that road. That road existed, but it was run down so they beefed it up, they put in new drainage so they can use it as an access for their business. So I'm thinking to myself at the time I just bought the house, I said, "What's going on here and why are you doing this?"

They said, "Well, it's going to be an access, an emergency access road."

I thought what does that mean, an emergency access road. So as years go on more and more trucks are going down the road across in front of my house. So I'm retired, I'm sitting there saying, "I'm paying very high taxes here, I couldn't move to Greenridge, I'm paying very high taxes here and I'm watching these trucks go by."

And to the person living on Keyser

Avenue, that wouldn't mean anything because

trucks goes by on Keyser Avenue, but if you

are living in Greenridge and you're paying

high taxes and these trucks are going by you would say -- call someone and say, "What's going on here? Why are these trucks going by?"

So when the business says, "Well, we need this access," I would say to the business and, council, fortunately said this I guess, "Well, where was your original access? Why do you need a new access? You've had this business for years, use your original access."

Well, I don't know if I'm accurate about this, but the bridge that they are talking about, I ride across that bridge every day. The bridge says "Limit 23 Tons." Common sense to me says don't drive a truck over 23 tons over that. That's all. It's that simple to fix. Evidently something else is going on behind the scenes, I'm not a business person so I can't answer that. Something else must be going on that they don't like to do that, maybe it costs too much money, I don't know.

So I got so annoyed, I'm sorry if I'm losing my temper, I get so annoyed

22

23

24

25

because five things are going on and it's not the business of council. ATV's are driving by making a racket. Pickup trucks are driving by making a racket. Litter is being thrwon there. I'm in Greenridge. I'm thinking, this is Greenridge? And then the trucks come by so that's the icing -- that's the straw that broke the camel's back when the trucks started doing it. I can block my ears when the ATV's and the pickup trucks, but when the big trucks keep going by back and forth it's like an elephant walking through your living room. You see this big truck full of dirt, it has a cover on it. Sometimes junk goes by. I see the scrap metal going by in a truck in front of my house. This can't be.

So if the business says to council,
"Well, that's a business expense and I'm
sorry, but we will have to do it that way,"
I would say to the businessman, "I'm sorry,
you have to pay some other expense some
other way and handle it."

The city council is protecting my invest because if I wanted to sell my house

1 when this truck goes by who would be 2 standing there looking saying, "Oh, I'm 3 going to buy your house. Oh, what is this going on?" 4 5 "Oh, that's an access road to a scrap yard right down the hill there." 6 7 That's not fair to me. I pay taxes 8 and I pay a lot of money for the property, 9 so I thank you for keeping the ordinance in 10 effect. And I have a question for you, I 11 heard that it's on the mayor's desk, is this 12 true it's on the mayor's desk and he has not 13 signed it, is that true, or is it in effect 14 this ordinance? MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, has it been 15 16 signed by the mayor? I thought it was. 17 MS. KRAKE: Yes. The ordinance has 18 been approved. 19 MR. PALIMIERA: Does the mayor has 20 to sign it? 21 MS. EVANS: Yes, but it's --22 MR. PALIMIERA: But he did sign it? 23 MS. EVANS: Yes. 24 MR. PALIMIERA: Oh, well, that's 25 wonderful then. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Yes, it is an ordinance that should be enforced. It was legally and lawfully adopted by Scranton City Council, sent to the mayor for his signature, which he provided, and that makes it now an ordinance or law of the City of Scranton and as part of that ordinance signage was to be posted.

MR. PALMIERA: Yes.

MS. EVANS: My understanding is that has not occurred. I know that there were conversations between a resident of Lake Scranton Road and Mr. Dougher, head of the DPW. It seems to lead back to Attorney Kelly, the city solicitor, and then, of course, a letter that was received by the city solicitor from counsel representing DeNaples Auto Parts, but be that as it may, I understand that the police department can't enforce our ordinance until the signage is posted.

MR. PALIMIERA: I see.

MS. EVANS: And it is my belief that the signage should be posted.

MR. PALIMIEAR: Well, how concerned,

if you can speak to this, how concerned is the council about the threats from the auto parts company about suing the city over it? Is that a legitimate threat? Is this council going to back off because of that?

MS. EVANS: I can't speak for everybody on council, but I feel my job as an elected official is to serve the people of the City of Scranton and this is a safety issue and it's also a quality of life issue.

MR. PALIMIERA: Yes, it is. Yes, it is.

MS. EVANS: And it is my job to make sure that your safety and your quality of life is protected and so I am not deterred by a lawsuit.

MR. PALMIERA: Thank you. I appreciate that. And again, thanks for all the efforts you did to protect our property because I feel sometimes I want to move because of what's going on and I don't want to move. I don't have to.

MS. EVANS: I understand.

MR. PALMIERA: So thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: We do have, as I think was discussed last week as well, we do have major problems in Bellevue with truck traffic and this has been ongoing since I believe 1995. The city has an ordinance and most often the police have not enforced it. We are working on amending that particular ordinance so that it will be enforced because the issue within Bellevue is that it is a cave in area and homes have actually been destroyed because of the truck traffic. People's garages have crumbled as trucks travel their houses are shaking, there are cracks in their foundations and, you know, within the structures of the homes, the garages, the sidewalks, etcetera. So that's an equally important situation and that must also be taken care of.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, before the next speaker, I was going to talk about this under motions, but I guess since we are talking about it I'll address it now. I made comments in the paper to Mr. Lockwood when he asked about the possibility of a lawsuit what would happen and, obvoiusly,

I'll say this, I hope that there isn't a lawsuit that costs both parties money. I think the best solution is hopefully to get all of the parties involved at table together and try to work something out that works for everyone.

I also mentioned in that same article that there may be an exemptions for local deliveries and some people probably misconstrued what I said. I wasn't saying, well, this is a local delivery, what I was saying was usually when you see that type of signage it says, "Except Local Deliveries."

Now, how you consider a local delivery, whether it's a package from UPS or whether it's 20 tons of dirt, you know, a local delivery could cover all of that. I'm not an attorney and that's what I was trying -- the point I was trying to get at when speaking to the reporter was that I'm not sure if there is an exemptions in there, if it would fall under the law or if the law has to be changed, and as I was voting for -- you know, I voted for it and the concerns brought to council it seemed like

the common sense thing to do and that's why it passed council.

So I just wanted to clear that up that I wasn't saying, you know, that I believe it's a local delivery and it should be exempt, I'm saying that may be a loophole in the law, but I do believe than an agreement should be made between all of the parties that works for everyone instead of going to Court which would be very costly and definitely something the city can't afford right you now.

MS. EVANS: And not only can't the city afford it, but I don't think there is any financial gain at this point from suing the city for anyone, so I'm hoping that bright things are going to occur and that city government recognizes and remembers that it's here to protect the residents of the City of Scranton and certainly we are not here to impede commerce, but I think when another route of travel is certainly available and other options as well are available that an agreement should be made that's going to first and foremost protect

the homeowners and also satisfy the business.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: See if I can get this in in five minutes. Okay, I'd like to express support for council in what they are doing and it is an election year, so I don't know how many of you intend to run or whatever with your terms being up, but please emphasize almost weekly if necessary bankruptcy and the negatives because there is a lot of confusion out there.

And I was approached by a person at a shopping center, and I didn't have a chance to get his name, I was in a hurry, I had to get my wife out to work, but his NCC payments for trash are still in limbo and he seems to be running back and forth, so if he sent something in with his name to council addressed to me, I received comments about my hair, so somebody could slip me the envelope and I'll approach the appropriate party if we could maybe help him get it

straightened out. He apparently paid his bill through NCC and it's not being recognized by anybody and --

MS. EVANS: Well, if he could contact our office --

MR. DOBRZYN: Right. Right.

MS. EVANS: 348-4113 I'm sure we can work with him to try to get this successfully resolved.

MR. DOBRYZN: And I'll probably bump into him up at Gerrity's, I usually see him, so I'll try get his name and some of the facts.

But, okay, on page three of the Scranton Times or Times-Tribune today it was announced that our commissioners are looking to buy a building on Washingtin Avenue which would undoubtedly take it off the tax rolls. Once again one more building loss. I was wondering if Mr. Chelik, the mayor of Mayfield, would like to chip in for that and help compensate us seeing as he was so concerned with us trying to find alternative taxes.

And seeing as Mayor Doherty has

3

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

announced that he has no plans to rerun, I think it's way past time that we address the term of the mayor as two terms for executives only especially because I think after two terms they pretty much have lived out there productive importance and after that it seems to get into a lot of negatives or what have you and I see in other mayors, I don't just want to pick on him. instance, the Hilton was conceieved through a prior adminstration they have been in town three times. They get all kinds of things, including the parking garage and they took advantage of the train station and sold it off for two years, all type of tax subsidies and economic development money, so it's just a thing we don't need this, and I think it's time for two- erm executive.

And on that snow, I think it is the responsibility of the people who occupy the corner and we should have some cooperation from the Times to get that out and have these institutions and businesses clear at least the disabled access.

And, okay, now we are to the golden

parrot, which is so dearly loved. AIG, there is a previous Mr. Greenburg, he is looking to sue over the bailout for \$25 billion and \$22 because he doesn't like the way he was treated in the bailout, which was part of our financial collapse. Shame, shame, shame, Mr. Greenburg, why aren't you in prison already?

KBR Corporation, Halliburton, they have indemnity, meaning that we are responsible for the talks since they exposed our troops in Iraq, you killed two already, and they want lied and it was classified. They lied to the troops saying it was a mild irritant. Hexavalent chromium, mil irritant. Don't worry about it. Don't worry about it.

And that's about all I have for tonight, so thank you and have a good evening and I got to in before the bell. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. EVANS: Good evening, Gregory

Evans, resident and small business owner in

Scranton.

ı

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. EVANS: Just a couple of comments on what's going on here and what some other citizens have mentioned. I think everyone generally is satisfied with the work of Boyd Hughes and his performance over the past few years, but more specifically, I know he is going beyond the greater scope of his role, but whose job is he doing then specifically? Is he doing --

MR. ROGAN: Paul Kelly. That's what I believe.

MR. EVANS: Okay, and Mr. Bolus made a comment regarding the additional costs, and they may be right, and I'm not here to debate whether they or not, but is there a way to estimate those additional costs?

MS. EVANS: The fees?

MR. EVANS: The fees, yes.

MS. EVANS: The fees I don't believe can being estimated in advance of financial transaction because each one is very different, each one requires a different amount of work, so I really think it's an individual case. It has to be taken on a

2

3 4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

case by case basis.

MR. EVANS: Maybe like a ballpark, like, threshold of thousands to \$10,000 you know what I mean? Nothina?

MS. EVANS: No, because I believe, at least I don't know how Mr. Kelly handles this, but I know that Attorney Hughes does keep track of the hours that are worked, the hours that are put in by his own personal staff, no one from city government, and so that's how he keeps hours what his fee would be.

MR. EVANS: Is that something he might be able to answer when he returns that he might be able to say, "I anticipate, but don't hold me to it."

MS. EVANS: You can certainly ask him, yes.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. And regarding this special council, which includes Mr. Hughes and Mr. Kelly and Casecon Capital, it mentions the sale of city assets as a possibility, and we know over the years we have lost many great city assets due to just, you know, filling in deficit gaps and

a lot of the citizens are upset over those loss of those assets, and I believe rightfully so because the one time shot in the arm you lose a great asset just to fill the hole, and I understand it might seem necessary, but there is a way that council can keep us abreast of what those -- during the discussions of what assets are being pondered for sale so we may have some input on our feelings towards selling more assets of the city?

MS. EVANS: I think once the decision has been made to put something out to bid and it becomes public then certainly, you know, the public should weigh in, but I can tell that sale of an asset isn't something that I take lightly. It's something that I have researched to the best of my ability and what would be selected, what should be best selected in my opinion would be one that is currently an albatross, and by selling it you will, number one, pay off all of it's debt 100 percent so that is not passed onto the taxpayers as it currently stands, because we all know that much of the

debt of the municipal authorities are guaranteed by the taxing power of the City of Scranton, so that would eliminate all of the debt that's owed on that asset, and then whatever can be gained above and beyond that would come into the city.

MR. EVANS: Okay. And when it comes to selling the assets is that something that the council votes upon or is that determined by the administration?

MS. EVANS: I believe it would involve both the executive and the legislative branch. I know that I have been and Mr. Joyce as well we have been involved in talks concerning such a sale since November of 2012.

MR. JOYCE: That's accurate.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. And one last item, 7-F, Mr. Rogan actually mentioned this last meeting, the appointment of Stuart Renda. Now, I don't know Stuart Renda personally or professionally, I just know him as the former business administrator; correct?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. EVANS: And it was mentioned and it was prior mentioned about the house of the cards falling and it's a great reference because here is a business administrator who was -- correct me if I'm wrong, but the business administrator is also deputy mayor?

MS. EVANS: Not necessarily. I believe the current business administrator serves as the deputy mayor, but it's most likely at the appointment of the mayor.

MR. EVANS: That's regardless because

MR. ROGAN: I believe it was Jeff Brazil at some point, also, so it's not always the business administrator.

MR. EVANS: Well, that's kind of regardless, thank you for clarifying that, but in his role as business administrator it seems that he had a good leadership role with the city and as the house of cards are falling he was one of those people involved with the administration, obviously, and if Mr. Rogan mentioned, and I support what he did say, that I question whether he should be in another capacity or authority, whether

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's with the city or with the city's authority I ask that you consider that, too, please.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, city council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I'd like to start off today by wishing of City of Scranton a happy anniversary. 21 years ago today the Ccity of Scranton became a distressed city under Act 47 so tomorrow we start year 22. I'd like to thank all of the elected city officials who have been elected during that 21 years, and especially Mr. Harold Cross -- or Gerald Cross, excuse me, from PEL for allowing this I think it's a slap in the face to happen. to all residents and taxpayers of the City of Scranton to be distressed for 21 years and I hope by the end of 2013 the City of Scranton is no longer distressed.

Okay, the 2013 budget. I can't

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand how we can have \$109 million or \$111 million city budget when the county budget is like 92 or 94 million. I hope someone will explain that to me later because I think is county is larger than the city, but I might be wrong.

As far as the recovery plan, the recovery plan was a shame and that was exposed in the Court hearings. I attended the two days of the Court hearing. listened to the four city witnesses testify. I listened to the questions that the judges asked, okay? The city had no answers. can you go into a Court unprepared like the city was. They had no answers. Everything was speculation. Everything was smoke and Everything was, this is going to mirrors. happen, and the judges picked up on that, that's why they ruled against the city.

So, again, I hope that when we do get a revised recovery plan I hope it's one that is going to work because this one failed. It was a complete failure, and not only did I see that, the people from outside of the community saw it and so did three

Lackawanna County judges, because otherwise they would not have ruled against the city.

The testimony was -- you know, the best part of the testimony was when the business administrator testified under oath that there were 60,000 to 70,000 commuters coming into the city every day. That's a sworn -- didn't he testify to that,

Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: That is correct.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I mean, how -- he is the business administrator. How is the city going to go in there and defend a recovery plan and ask outsiders, the commuters, to give money when the administration and the business administrator and the mayor don't even know what's going on? Anyway, that's all I got to say.

How do we fix Scranton city
government? First of all, we have to cut
spending and we have to generate more
revenue. We have to keep accurate accounts
of funds. We have to know what money is in
what account and how much is in that account
and someone has to be held accountable and

responsible for that.

Okay, we have to hire qualified and competent people. We haven't been doing that. I came in here and read Mayor Doherty's sworn testimony from 2010 that verified that the mayor did not -- was not hiring competent and qualified people when he was talking about David Elliott and LIsa Moran and and all of these other people. We need to look into this and we need to research it, the administration and city council.

We have several elected officials in this city. The mayor, the five members of city council and the controller. The seven of you should be working together and be on the same page at all times, okay? We need to place qualified and competent people in management and supervisory positions. Stop the bickering between elected officials, including city council members. Reduce costs including the biweekly payroll retirement benefits, health costs, eliminate fraud, waste and abuse or at least reduce it.

Work together with the school board, the county commissioners, Single Tax Office, state representatives and state senator.

Conduct semi-annual public meetings to discuss the problems the area faces such as high unemployment, low wages, cooperate government, mismanagement of the taxpayers' money and above all hire competent people.

Stop using the Court system to solve the problems the elected officials were elected to solve. Eliminate the high number of attorneys that are on the payroll and reduce their fees. No attorney in this this city deserves a raise, not until city is no longer distressed.

Actually respond to the calls that are made to the hotlines. Have someone answer the phone at DPW 24/7. Stop doing charity such as cleanup before and after public events such as First Night, St. Patrick's Day parade. Charges everyone who visits Nay Aug Park for the Christmas light show just like you charge everyone to use the the pool, the slide at Nay Aug Park.

And most importantly, fire the

Pennsylvania Economy League, including Mr. Gerald Cross immediately.

Again, happy 21st anniversary to Scranton for allowing us to be distressed and I hope we are done by the year, the end of this year 2013. I don't want to come here next year and wish you a happy 22nd birthday.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Just to briefly answer
Mr. Jackowitz' question why we have \$109
million budget this year, this is the
highest the budget has been. In this budget
there is a big one-time expenditure, being
the Supreme Court award for the police and
fire unions, and that's a \$17 million
expenditure. Also making the budget hire
this year is the increase in the minimum
municipal obligation for the pension
payments that the city has to make into the
pension fund, which as we know is severely
underfunded.

If you subtract those amounts from \$109 million you would end up with roughly 87 or 86 million, which is generally in the

ballpark of where the budget was last year, but that's the reason why the budget is so high this year. One, we have the Supreme Court award that's in there that we have to pay out; and two, we have the increase in the MMO. And that's all.

MS. EVANS: And I just quickly would add to that, I'm hard pressed to determine what we received, what services we receive from county government. The Ccity of Scranton, on the other hand, provides police protection, and it is a paid police department, fire protection, to a paid fire department, DPW services and in terms of trash pickup, recyclables pickup, snow plowing, road repair, etcetera. Yet, your county taxes are higher than your city taxes and, as I said, I'm hard pressed to come up with a service that I'm receiving in my daily life that's provided by Lackawanna County government.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jack, welcome back.

I missed you all week. What's the matter,
you're sick?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I came here to see

1 you, buddy. MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jack, this is for 2 you, only for you. Only for you, buddy. 3 Keep it, Jack. Keep it. 4 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Better be a big green bill in there. 6 MR. SLEDZENSKI: Open it. Open it. 7 8 Go ahead. You can open it, Jack. Go ahead. 9 You got to remember, Jack, so we can have 10 it. Don't tell anybody, Jack. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm the only one that 12 has his new cell phone. 13 MR. SLEDZENSKI: Go Packers, 14 Saturday. Go Packers. Let's win Saturday, Packers. Win it all the way. Good luck. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, 18 Council. Marie Schumacher, resident and 19 taxpayer. 20 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: I have been 22 checking the legal notices and I know one 23 thing I noticed missing is the audit report 24 so I hope that you are going to be 25 addressing that in motions tonight.

1 MR. JOYCE: I am going to address 2 that. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: That is the status of the 2010-2011 -- -4 MR. JOYCE: 2011 audit. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: And then I would 6 7 also like to know what was the city's actual 8 2012 operating loss? 9 MR. JOYCE: I don't have that on 10 hand, but I could find that out for you. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Speaking of 12 things, do you have the cash flow, the 2013 13 cash flow with the new numbers? 14 MR. JOYCE: I don't have the -- I 15 don't have the last cash flow report, it 16 hasn't been submitted yet from the BA's 17 Office for the close of 2012, so once that's 18 done I could get -- I could get those numbers to you as far as what they were, 19 20 what the losses are. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, okay, the 22 losses, and again, I also would like to 23 track the forecast, the cash flow forecast 24 for 2013, so I'd like that one as well. 25 Last year council voted to conduct a

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

forensic audit. What line item and in what amount does that appear in the 2013 budget? Is that professional services in the City Clerk's Office?

MS. EVANS: Council wanted that included in the revised recovery plan. That means that the forensic audit can be conducted any time during the life of the revised recovery plan, and it is my hope that it will be done but, of course, that requires, as you mentioned, funding and a forensic audit is far more expensive than the traditional annual audits of the city and its municipalities that are done, and so I'm sure when the city has the availability of the funds a forensic audit will be conducted, whether it is this year or whether it is occurs when a new administration takes over.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, thank you, yeah, I'll be pushing up daisies, I'm sure, if I wait until the city is fiscally -- has even three balanced budgets and we get out of the status, current status that we are in.

Two events were held in Scranton
this past week, the first was reported on
Tuesday's Times-Tribune on the front page
was an article on the Northeast Bioscience
Forum. It was attended, according to the
report and the list of people that I saw
that attended, 200 community leaders,
actually there were more, nobody represented
the city council at this forum and I would
think --

MS. EVANS: I wasn't informed about that. In other words, I did not receive an invitation to it so I wasn't even aware that it was being conducted. However, I did meet with Senator Blake this week and I'm going to discuss that under motions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And the second was the event that was billed that, somebody referred to earlier that was billed as a curbside chat dealing with making towns financially strong an resiliant. There was an open invitation to attend published in the paper, and again, yet no one from city -- from the city council or city hall attended. There were, you know, one, two

some very interesting metrics that were there and I think it would have behooved everyone or at least one person from council to attend, and I think when these opportunities are available, you know, we are grasping at straws. I think we really have to start going to these meetings and engaging when we have the opportunity at no cost to maybe get some help or at least some fresh ideas other than recycling the same kinds of the issues and not getting very far.

three adults and two children and there was

And then, Mr. McGoff, when do you expect the rental registration ordinance is going to be amended? Remember you said that that could be amended to cover only the safety inspection, the four safety items in the inspection?

MR. MCGOFF: I did say that and I have no timetable or expectation of it being done. I will -- what I'm saying is that I have not looked into that recently. I will pursue that in the coming year.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And how

much did we collect in rental registrations 1 last year? 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea. MS. SCHUMACHER: No idea. Okay, 4 5 Mr. Rogan, 408 Cedar Avenue loan repayment? MR. ROGAN: 6 Still nothing. Mrs. 7 Krake, could we please send I believe it 8 would be a third request on that issue? 9 I'll get that to you in writing, also, and I will send another e-mail to Ms. Aebli as 10 11 well asking for that information. It's been months since the initial request. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I believe 13 14 there was also -- in August there was also a letter sent by Mrs. Evans asking for the 15 16 entire portfolio and I don't think there has 17 been any --18 MS. EVANS: And follow-ups were sent. MR. ROGAN: Many. 19 20 MS. EVANS: And requests were made 21 in person and yet Ms. Aebli has failed to 22 submit monthly loan portfolios. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, really 24 disappointing. On 5-C, how does something 25 get to be an emergency? I mean, council was

it on the 14th of December that you all agreed with the mayor that we needed the 10 percent added?

MS. EVANS: 13.

MS. SCHUMACHER: 13? Okay, so that's been a month, so now here we are a month later and now it's an emergency. Why couldn't it have been handled in the previous month?

MS. EVANS: I agree with you that it shouldn't be an emergency and so I was not pleased to be receiving an emergency certificate attached to this legislation.

When I looked further into it in the hope that we would not have to run it through three readings this evening, I learned that in postponing it or allowing it to take its natural course, a number of things would happen, those being the tax bills will be held up, they will not be issued on time unless the ordinance is passed this evening.

And secondly, if we were to give it it's traditional course of readings, we would also be decreasing the discount period, the first discount period that is

available for taxpayers who want to make full payment and receive a decent discount on their real estate taxes for the city.

And, as you know, I had asked the commissioners to extend that discount period but they refused, so because we would like the tax bills to go out on time we need them to do and so and because we don't want to penalize taxpayers who wish to take advantage of that first discount period, we will move it through three orders tonight.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, you know, I have heard it said here that council does have the ability to draft ordinances and bring them forward from your office and I would hope when you know that something like this has to happen in the future that, you know, we do it. It could have been done that same night, I would think, or a special meeting that was the last meet of 2012.

MS. EVANS: As you know, our solicitor is the one that drafts the legislation by and large and he has not been well --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry to hear

that.

MS. EVANS: And it is the responsibility of the legal department. I know that Solicitor Hughes has been in touch with them on a number of issues that still remain unsettled as of late, so it certainly was no fault of our solicitor or this council, we have been awaiting that legislation. As I said, I don't appreciate it being submitted in this fashion, but I don't wish to hold up the process or penalize taxpayers.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, neither do I.

And now on the parking meters, I
think there are tons of questions on this
and I hope that you will have a caucus to
explain this to the taxpayers. I mean, how
long of a period are these parking meters
going to be leased to Central Parking? Who
is buying them? Are they buying them? Are
we buying them? If they're buying them --

 $\label{eq:MS.EVANS: No, no one is buying} % \begin{center} \begi$ 

MS. SCHUMACHER: Pardon?

MS. EVANS: They are not being

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

purchased and not being leased, it's a management agreement.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. We still -I'll bring my questions back next week on
that. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you:

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Two questions that kind of touch each other a little bit, Mr. Bolus came up and spoke about Mr. Kelly and Mr. Boyd Hughes and, you know, when you take a look at this, this takes us all the way back to, I don't know, my opinion the abuses that were alleged under Attorney Greco, and I just can't see how we can justify giving money to these solicitors because they have signed a contract and they are on board, and for a city to be in the shape we are in I just have to ask why was it such a problem for Mr. Greco to receive all of these fees and it's not a problem now for Mr. Hughes or Mr. Kelly to receive them? I think it just a -- maybe it's just political.

Is council in possession of the

three judge panel's legal opinion on the 1 ruling on the commuter tax? Do you have a 2 3 copy? Does council have a copy of that? MR. JOYCE: THERE should be a copy 4 5 in our office; correct? Maybe I'm wrong, Mrs. Krake. 6 MS. KRAKE: We do not have a hard 7 8 copy. I believe we did receive an e-mail at 9 one point of it. MR. JOYCE: Yes. I know that I 10 11 received a scanned in copy of it. 12 MR. MORGAN: Can you make a hard copy 13 of that so the residents can come in and 14 read that without having to go to the courthouse to obtain that document 15 16 considering that it has to do with city 17 business? 18 MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake, could we make 19 a hard copy of that and perhaps leave that 20 on the front desk there for the public to 21 have access to? 22 MS. KRAKE: Sure. 23 MR. JOYCE: Okay. 24 MR. MORGAN: Now, I think that the 25 residents in this city in the next election

have to take a very hard look, not just at this council but other councils and what they did in their tenure as council people, whoever they are. Because I think we have to break the cycle of political surfum in this community because that's evidently what we have. We recycle, in many instances, failed politicians and annoint them with the power of government and then we wonder, okay, how we got to where we are. Well, you know, when you keep doing the same thing over and over and expect a different result at the end, hum, I think there is something wrong with that frame of thought.

I just think we keep moving forward in a very haphazard way, and this evening we are talking about possibly deinvesting ourselves of a city investment, at least that's what I took when I was sitting here and we are going to walk away from that debt, Mrs. Evans discussed it a little bit about what we are going to sell allegedly, what will be the city asset we are going to sell.

And my point on that is that, look

it, we have gone forward with some projects in this city, they come through council, council understood whether or not the city could afford to move forward on those projects and so we did, and now we are going to talk about a project that's not paying it's way, I think that council knew that at the time they moved forward with that.

But the real problem with this whole thing is very simple, when we sell this asset we are going to lose all of our investment. We are going to take a blood bath. We are not going to get anywhere near what that asset is probably worth. And, you know, with all of these agreements with private companies coming in and doing city work and displacing workers or the authorities or whatever, all of these people are making money, lots of money, and it's denying the city the revenue.

And, you know, we can blame our employees for all of the city's problems, but the truth of the matter is it has nothing to do with the employees. It has nothing in most instances to do with the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people that are appointed to the authorities, they are just people that were given a job and told to do it. The damage that's been done to this city has most times come through this council. Some of the council members have stated it themselves.

I mean, it's quite simple what's occurred here. You know, people might talk about, I don't know, the North Scranton Junior High School. Well, that money came through council, but we have nothing to show for it. We are doing basically the same thing at the Scranton Lace in my opinion, and we have done it with projects all over the city, and we have invested money in the downtown and then we have people talking about the great things happening in the downtown because people are moving there, but when you walk to our city's neighborhoods it's a mess. People are wondering how we have so many condemned properties.

As a matter of fact, the Pinebrook

Neighborhood Association, the lady who came
here last week is involved with them, and

she gave documentation to council about all of the buildings that were condemned and there is real questions out there about how this has occurred, and it's very troubling and I think that in the next election we need to take a good hard look at the candidates that are running and what they did when they were elected to their positions and where they want to take us now. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who would like to address council? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Just very quickly. I do have one question to ask of you, Mr. Joyce, if possible?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Do we have the assessed values that are being used in the calculations for the millage increases and do we have -- do we know what rate of collection is being used in determining, you

know, the revenue for the budgeted amount?

I guess my question is, the basic thing that
I need to know is that with the amendments
that that are being proposed will the
amended rates achieve the revenue that we
are projecting for 2013? And that can't be
determined unless we have the assessed
values and rate of collection.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually I can respond to that under motions and if you care to respond as well, but I can also quickly add that I spoke with the mayor this afternoon about the amendment and council's intention to abide by the original agreement. I told him what the figures would be. He is in agreement and has indicated that he will sign the legislation as amended.

MR. MCGOFF: And that's all well and good, but I think that if we are going -- if we as elected officials are going to do justice to this we need to know what these numbers are --

MS. EVANS: And --

MR. MCGOFF: -- before voting on

them.

MS. EVANS: -- I'm sure Mr. Joyce has the numbers to report.

MR. JOYCE: Just to basically go over this quick, and all my conversations with Mr. McGowan it's been assumed by me that we are using the 87 percent collection rate, which is the basic collection rate that we have seen historically.

MR. MCGOFF: And that's fine, but without the assessed values, without knowing what the assessed values are that we are using for 2013 it's impossible to determine what the revenue is going to be or the expected revenue.

MS. EVANS: Well, that's true each year because the assessments can increase and decrease annually and they do, as we are well aware, so it's not an issue that's unique to this. This is ongoing year after year after year.

MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not. Every year that we have done this we have had -- there is an assessment from the county that is used to make these calculations.

Otherwise, there is no way of determining what amount of money you are going to realize under the real estate taxes. You have to have an assessed value to work from, and all I'm asking is what they are. I'm not questioning it. It just if there is a certain amount budgeted in 2013 for real estate taxes and these are the millage rates that we are going to be using then we should have an assessed value that would allow us to meet the revenue. And without knowing that or without having assessed values there is no way of determining whether or not these millage rates will achieve what's in the budget.

MR. JOYCE: To answer your question briefly, I don't have the assessed -- the overall assessed value of all properties in Scranton in front of me right now.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you. And that is all I have.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Rogan, do you have comments or
motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. Last

week I made a motion, and I spoke a little 1 bit about Ryan McGowan's poor performance, 2 3 and it was agreed since all five members of council were not here that it would tabled 4 5 to the previous week, so I would like to make a motion to bring my motion from last 6 7 week regarding the termination or 8 resignation of Mr. McGowan back up for a 9 vote. MR. JOYCE: Second. 10 11 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 12 13 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 14 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: (No response.) 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 18 have it and so moved. 19 MR. JOYCE: I wanted to express I wish to abstain from this vote because I 20 21 don't feel this is my decision as this is 22 the mayor's responsibility to select the 23 business administrator and this is his 24 employee. 25 MR. ROGAN: I would just reiterate

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what I stated last week, Mr. Joyce is correct that unfortunately it is the mayor's sole authority to decide who the business administrator is and whether or not he is kept, employed by the city or whether he is replaced, but I think that as a council we can send him a message once again, as we have in the past, approved -- I made the same motion I believe a year ago when Mr. McGowan lost a few million dollars, it was parking meter money. This is a track record with Mr. McGowan, and as I stated last week, it's not a personal issue, he is a great guy and, you know, he is a good person, but he is not doing a good job.

And, you know, a couple of speakers also mentioned, I believe Mr. Jackowitz mentioned that he stated there were 70,000 commuters coming into the city on a daily basis when if you are testifying in front of a Court and you are the Business Administrator for the city you would think he would have those facts and figures. So that is why I made the motion.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Are we still on the

1	comments?
2	MS. EVANS: I thought we had voted
3	on the motion.
4	MR. ROGAN: No, the initial vote was
5	to take it off the table.
6	MS. EVANS: Correct. Your motion
7	that's what I mean, your motion was to put
8	this back on the table for a vote.
9	MR. ROGAN: And now we are on the
10	question, correct, for the vote.
11	MS. EVANS: No, I called for that, no
12	one responded, so then I said, "All those in
13	favor?"
14	We said, "Aye."
15	I said, "Those opposed, the ayes
16	have it and so moved."
17	And then Mr. Joyce said that he was
18	abstaining.
19	MR. ROGAN: I was under the
20	impression we had to remove it from the
21	table and then vote on it.
22	MS. EVANS: Right. Right. So there
23	are two separate things, so now you need to
24	make a motion.
25	MR. ROGAN: But we just removed it

from the table; correct?

MS. EVANS: Well, whether it's --

MR. MCGOFF: Why don't you restate the motion because it needed to be seconded.

MR. ROGAN: Sure. I would like to make a motion requesting the mayor terminate Business Administrator Ryan McGowan and also requesting Mr. McGowan's resignation for a poor performance.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: I think that this is ill-advised for a number of reasons. Number one, it's not -- again, as was stated, it's not in our purview to deal with appointed positions, we have no authority to do that.

Secondly, during the past year I believe Mr. McGowan has worked tirelessly in attempting to deal with the budget that was handed to him and also to deal with the ongoing financial difficulties that the city had faced in paying bills and receiving TANS. I think that he is, again, I think he has done a job that is above and beyond what any other business administrator had done in

the past.

Third, if you are going to use his testimony in Court as the basis for asking for his resignation then I think you should also ask for Mr. Joyce's resignation because he acted as a corroborating witness to the testimony that was given. I just think that we are pursuing something that we really shouldn't.

MR. ROGAN: Just to respond to that, Mr. McGowan, and Mr. Joyce, and I didn't agree on a lot of the financial issues recently, but he is not a full-time paid business administrator. He is a part-time council member who, and I believe all of us on council many times take what the administration sends down numbers wise as truth because council doesn't have the capacity, we don't have a full-time staff of, you know, that the mayor has of all of these department heads working for them. We have a very small staff, they do a great job, but on that issue it's not just the issue of, you know, his testimony, it's a track record.

25

1

When Mr. McGowan first received the job there was money missing. He tried blaming it on a clerk at the Tax Collector's Office and it was, in fact, a mistake that he made and then the missing money with the from the parking meters, it's been a track record and I think the city deserves better. That's why I made the motion that passed months ago and in light of recent developments I think it's an issue that needs to be brought up again.

MR. JOYCE: Just for the record, I was a witness in the commuter tax court hearing, but I also did question with Mr. Gerald Cross about the number of the commuters working in the city and I believe that it was somewhere in the 20 to 25,000 range. I did actually about over that with him.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just -- you know, I was caught off guard with this. I apologize, I wasn't at the last meeting and I have no idea what's gone on. You know, I think I did vote last time time to do this. I have to consider a couple of things, you

know, there is only one year left. To put someone else in there at this point I don't know what we are going to get and the problem is this could go through probably half the administration. If we are going to go call for one to go, we could probably call for a lot of them because there has been a lot of mistakes from many people. I get complaints all the time. I get numerous complaints about our zoning, that's one of the big ones.

I mean, just look at OECD. They have received a 11 1/2 million finding against the city. Those are just some of the things. Our own fire department, you know, maybe the chief should have fought hard to keep the 3 1/2 million. I'm not calling for any of their resignations at this point.

We have a year left to straighten things out, but I'm going to say one thing, that every one of these people that are in these positions we are going to have hold their feet to the fire because, you know, the fact that it's their last year possibly

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not going to let them fail on us. have a pretty tough budget to make this year and, you know, I just think there has been nobody that had more animosity towards this administration than Mr. McGowan and myself. I have had numerous face-to-face situations with him, but I think as Mr. McGoff stated, and I know I have been at many meetings and I know Mr. Joyce, he has probably been at more with him, my negotiations with the police and fire unions Mr. McGowan was there on daily on a full-time basis. I actually went and met with him several times, we had teleconfernences with Blue Cross and Blue Shield trying to work out that. I don't know, I don't know if that's a job I would want to wish on anybody, and I can't say, you know, since I'm not a business administrator that, you know, he has got a gradde C or B or whatever.

It is hard, but, you know,
personally at this point in time I think
it's just a knee jerk reaction to a
newspaper article and, you know, I don't
think calling for one's resignation without

calling for a whole slew of them is going to be of benefit. I mean, that's my personal opinion. I don't know how else to say it.

But, like I said, there is flaws in a lot of departments, but at this point I just don't think it would benefit anybody at this point.

Now, if there was a full term left or something that's a different consideration. Again, it's going to be encumbent on all of us here to keep the administration's feet to the fire because they can't just drop the ball now for the last year.

MS. EVANS: And Mr. Rogan is right that he asked, he made the same motion, the same request I believe, in fact, last year at this same time, January 2012, and it was voted on. I don't know, I can't recall if it had been unanimous, but I know there was enough support --

MR. ROGAN: It had passed.

MS. EVANS: -- so that is passed and the letter was sent to the mayor and nothing came of it. And, of course, as was said

already, the mayor hires and the mayor fires. This council only has authority over its own staff and so I feel as if council members would be better served to focus our attention on critical issues like the recovery plan, budget, generating new revenue, rather than pursuing exercises in futility so I'll be voting "no."

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: No. The motion dies.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Next, another issue that was brought up by Mr. Spindler and also a few months ago was the issue of Engine 15. Thankfully Deputy Chief Mr. Lucas did reply to us, we thank him for the reply. The letter states that he was approached by members of the Throop Fire Department as to their availability of any spare apparatus that might be available to

be loaned to their department in the time of need. Since their engine was out of service and in need of a complete refurbish, he told them that we have a 1987, and I can't even pronounce the engine, maybe Mr. Loscombe can.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sutphen.

MR. ROGAN: Sutphen, that we keep in reserve status for major incidents and breakdowns in our department that was available for use. We have five reserve engines and one reserve truck. This has been a practice of mutual aid between communities in our area for many years. I'm sure that we are ever in need of reciprocity it would be offered the same.

Now, I do think it is a nice token to provide this engine to Tthroop, but the situation Scranton is in we cannot be loaning out apparatus for a long period of time. It doesn't state in the letter, but from my understanding in talking to others is this has been a multi-month loan. This isn't, you know, we need a truck for a week or an engine for a week can we borrow it,

this is a very long period of time. I have a lot of questions. Is the city still paying the insurance on the vehicle? Who is paying for the maintenance of the vehicle? What are the status of the other five reserve engines that the city currently has? And I would request that the mayor and the deputy tea chief and the chief contact the officials in Throop and see when we are going to get our apparatus back. You are paying for it, the taxpayers of Scranton are paying for it.

Now, I'm all for helping our neighbors, if there is a large fire in Dunmore or Throop or whatever in the surrounding community I believe, even though it will cost taxpayes money we should help, help to save lives, help to save property and when there a large fire in Scranton they do the same and I don't think anyone opposes that, but a long-term loan of very expensive piece of equipment. You know, I understand it is a very old piece of the equipment, it's as old as I am, so 25 years for a vehicle is a long time.

But I do remember the city purchased a used fire truck maybe five years ago and it was a very large sum of money just for a used engine because of all of the moving parts, and I'm sure Mr. Loscombe will talk about this, he knows the intricacies of it a lot better that anyone else on this board, but I am disappointed that it was loaned out for such a long period of time at the taxpayers' expense, and that only now it's coming to light.

So, Mrs. Krake, I will have a list of follow-up questions that I will provide to you regarding how long this has been going on, who has been paying for maintenance, who has been paying for insurance, things of that nature and in a scenario like this I think that the elected officials and the public should know that it's being loaned out. It shouldn't be months later that we actually find out that our equipment is being used in another community, so that is all I have to say on that issue.

Next, I received a call from a

constituent who lives on Swetland Street, and I also have some pictures, and again, Mrs. Krake, all this will be provided to you, I have quite a bit for you to type up next week, and they say that every week when the garbage truck comes down Hughes Court they make the turn and when they make it it they make it too tight and it's tearing up their grass, and I have pictures of it where the tire tread completly ripped out a section of grass.

And the resident was very frustrated and called the DPW time and time again, they weren't getting a reply, they finally did.

I believe they went up and tried to fix the situation and they put in what looked like in a picture like a gravel mixture into where there was grass and instead of, you know, top soil or some type of soil, so I would hope that DPW is aware of this situation, and again, that council could send a letter asking that the drivers take more care on that area and throughout the city.

Next, zoning issues. I did catch

24

25

some of the zoning meeting last night, and it doesn't only go to zoning, it seems to go for every department in the city, and it's very frustrating, it seems there are certain people in this community that for over a decade have been getting away with many things that you or I or the majority of us in this community cannot. People operating without permits, going in front of the zoning board and getting shot down and doing what they wanted to do anyways and it's not right. Every person in this community should be treated the same from the person who makes the most money to the person who makes the least money. From the mayor, all members of council, members of all of the boards, authorities, the administration, all the way down to the average resident who, you know, may be a property owner and may not be a property owner. Everyone needs to be treated the same, and this is a big part of why we are in the situation we are in this city.

There are so many cracks this all of these departments and it seems to be

something that's been going on for decades. Many people won't do business in the City of Scranton because the permitting process, a lot of contractors complain about the process in the City of Scranton, that's it's expensive, it's a lot of work and that certain people Licensing and Inspections comes down hard on, even though they might be doing good thinges for the community, trying to rehab a property that was condemned or, you know, try to help revitalize a part of their neighborhood and they are discouraged.

I know many people that won't do business in the city because of that reason, but then we hear other people that may be doing the same activity and everything just gets stamped through or they don't even go in front of the board they just do what they want to do anyways. I hope that in the future things will change in the city.

As everyone knows, the mayor did announce that he won't be seeking a third term. I think this is good news and agree with what -- a fourth term, I do agree with

20

21

22

23

24

25

what a couple of residents mentioned and a lot of people have mentioned to me before there should be term limits, especially iun the executive and possibly even the legislative branch of government. When we have a mayor that's in there for so long it seems that, you know, you get in there, and Ι although I disagree with the mayor's direction of the city from day one, I do believe in my heart that he came in on day one with good intentions for the city. don't agree with what he wanted to do, but at this point it seems that it's just trying to get by and trying to get off the Titantic as many have said, and I'm very hopeful that a new mayor will come in and do the right thing, especially the small things.

You know, obviously the financial aspects of the city is what gets all of the attention and people are upset that they are paying such high taxes, but what they are more upset about is they are paying all the taxes and they are not getting any services. Phone calls to departments unanswered. Its' very hard to even get a pothole filled when

somebody contacts us. You know, between the five of us up here we send out numerous requests every week to department heads, whether it be through our office or through a phone call to the department head or an e-mail, so many of them go unanswered and that's what's very frustrating as a resident and as an elected official it's not right. The department heads are here to serve the people, they are not here to serve the mayor, no matter who the mayor is. So I'm hopeful things will get better, we'll get a new mayor and a new administration.

And finally just one comment, it's actually more of a question for my colleagues and Mrs. Krake, have we received resumes from any of the five individuals who are up for appointment?

MS. KRAKE: No.

MR. ROGAN: And the letters were sent out requesting them though; correct?

MS. KRAKE: No, we did not request them. We actually had a time or two before also since we felt that this was a policy that was established by council, we can

certainly do that if you would like but --1 MR. ROGAN: I'd like to know what 2 3 everyone else's thought is, whether we should table them for a week and give them a 4 5 week to provide the resume or whether we should vote this week. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: I'd like to table it and 8 give them a week to provide the resume. 9 MR. ROGAN: I agree with that. 10 MR. JOYCE: And request it. 11 MS. EVANS: Would you like to make a 12 the motion? 13 MR. JOYCE: Unless Mr. Rogan does 14 since we are on his time. MR. ROGAN: I'll make the motion. 15 16 would like to make a motion to table items 17 number -- I believe it's all of Seventh 18 Order. 19 MS. EVANS: Yes. 20 MR. JOYCE: No, there is a grant on there or there -- or there is a contribution 21 22 on there. 23 MR. MCGOFF: A, B, C, D, E, F. 24 MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a 25 motion to table Items 7-B through F until

1	next week.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, can you
12	please have the office send those letter
13	out?
14	MS. KRAKE: Yes.
15	MR. ROGAN: And I'll provide you with
16	the rest in an e-mail tomorrow morning.
17	Thank you. That's all for tonight.
18	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman
19	Loscombe, do you have any comments or
20	motions tonight?
21	MR. LOSCOMB: Yes, just quickly, I'm
22	trying to save my throat here, but I have to
23	agree with Mr. Rogan on his last comments
24	there, you know, hopefully the next mayor
25	will come in and look at a lot of what's

been wrong here and set -- you know, the tone has been set for change.

Again, as you said, you know, Mayor Doherty probably had the best intentions when he came in, I don't know, you know. We don't agree on everything, but like I often say neither do my wife and I so there is compromise in different areas, but I think enough has been brought to the attention over the years that focus should have been changed in some direction.

And I mentioned before about zoning, as Mr. Rogan stated, everyone in this town deserves a fair share. You shouldn't be treated any differently because you are a contributor or you have big business versus a small business. I know of the same problems, and I'm sure Mr. Rogan discussed with some of the developers, we have people who are interested in investing money in this city and they were being deterred because of the problems of trying to get the proper permits, it's just the hoops they have to jump through versus other individuals who don't jump through any

hoops. It has to be equal for everyone.

And I echo everything Mr. Rogan said in that statement because I do know contractors that won't come into this city to do business because of what's going on. That's something that has to change. If not, within the next year or definitely it has to change for the next administration and I have ideas and I'm sure Mr. Rogan does and my colleagues to make the permitting process easier, to make it a more user friendly system.

You know, just think of the people that get fed up and don't get a permit and see a job through, you know? It might be a 20, 30 dollar permit here and there, if you made it simplier for the people, equitable and easier for people, those 20 and 30 dollar permits add up. That could help the hole we have in our budget. Just little things like that. And I'm sure looking forward that that is one big area that has to be looked at, among others.

But I'm just looking for a brighter days ahead and hopefully we are all going to

work hard the next year to keep us afloat and go from there. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. On tonight's agenda there is legislation to set the millage rates for 2013. I read over the legislation that was sent down by the administration. The rates included in the legislation do not equate to a 22 percent millage rate increase. In 2012, the millage rate levied on all land was .096701. In the legislation sent down by the administration, the proposed rate was .119909, which is a 24 percent increase.

Subsequently, in 2012 the millage rate levied on all buildings was .0213 -- or 021030. The proposed rate in the legislation that was sent down by the administration was .026288, which is a 25 percent increase.

Tonight I'll be making a motion to lower the millage rate in the legislation to equate to 22 percent. This would be a

millage rate of .11797522 on land and a millage rate of. 0256566 on buildings.

This is equal to 22 percent, which is the tax increase stated throughout the veriage of budget. As one knows, the tax increase is Court ordered.

The tax increase that was prescribed and asked for by the administration was 22 percent, not 24 percent or 25 percent, and this is the tax increase that would be.

There is several indications that the tax increase is to be 22 percent.

For one, as was specified in Mayor
Chris Doherty's December 13 letter to
council which reads, actually the letter is
to President Evans, which reads: "I'm
asking that you consider amending the
mayor's 2013 operating budget. I humbly
request that you increase the property tax
by an additional 10 percent making the total
increase for 2013 to 22 percent. This would
allow the city to comply with Judge
O'Brien's decision for the second unfunded
debt."

Mayor Doherty's letter clearly

indicates that the tax increase is to be 22 percent.

Secondly, listed in the 2013 budget highlights it's stated that the 2013 budget calls for an aggressive approach to current revenues. The 2013 budget calls for a tax increase of 22 percent. This is stated in the second paragraph.

Third, listed under real estate tax in the 2013 budget, it is stated that the land rate will be increased by 22 percent in 2013. Also, it is stated that the land improvement rate, which is synonymous to the building rate, will be increased by 22 percent in 2013.

Just a little bit about the tax increase. It's a court-ordered millage increase associated with the unfunded debt. Basically it's \$139,000 for every -- or \$139,000 in revenue is generated for every 1 percent that you increase taxes based on the current rate of the assessed value of land and buildings, and also taken into account an 87 percent collection rate.

Raising taxes is the last thing that

anyone wants to see done, at least that I want to see done, but this is a court-ordered tax increase and it's needed for the city to survive and make it's payments. If this were voted down, we would have to find a way to come up with \$3.2 million, which we have come up with a lot of alternative revenues courses and we are pretty much tapped out at this point, plus we must do this to fullfill the Court order.

Moving onto other matters, /ERL earlier I attended an exit conference for the 2011 draft audit which was prepared by Rossi & Rossi. The audit that was prepared by Rossi & Rossi was marked as "Tentative" and preliminary and subject to change. the exit conference, assets and liabilities were reviewed as well as the statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund Copies of the tentative audit were deficit. prepared by Rossi & Rossi today and submitted to Business Administrator Ryan If there are any changes to be made to the audit, they will be made before the final audit is distributed.

Since I have not received the draft audit before tonight's meeting I have not yet had a chance to review its contents.

The draft audits were not yet distributed, at least not to council members. Once I review the contents of the audit, I will inform the public more regarding the amount of debt of the City of Scranton.

Also, once the final copy of the audit is available to the public I will also advise. I'm assuming that the final copy of the audit will be ready rather quickly if there are no alterations.

Moving on, as one knows our Market Based Revenue Opportunities Program was put out to bid and there were no bidders. The Market Based Revenue Opportunities Program was a suggestion of PEL and an important part of the budget and revised recovery plan. Scranton City Council has received notification that the Market Based Revenue Opportunities Program has been put out for rebid. Proposals will be opened in council chambers on Tuesday, January 22, just to give everyone an update on that.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moving along, Scranton City Council has received a report from Northeast Revenue regarding delinquent real estate tax collected and distributed, taxes. As one may or may not know, Northeast Revenue collects and distributes all delinquent real estate taxes with the exception of delinquent prior year real estate taxes which are collected and distributed by the Single Tax Office.

For the period of December 1 to December 31, the amount of revenue that Northeast Revenue collected and distributed to the City of Scranton was \$56,537.69. Northeast Revenue did not distribute any funds to Pennstar Bank for the collection of the 2004, '5 and '6 delinquent real estate taxes. The default of the Scranton Redevelopment Authority loan payment to Pennstar Bank has finally been paid off and no further payments from the City of Scranton are required, meaning that the collection of 2004, '5 and '6 delinquent real estate taxes will now be realized by the City of Scranton.

Northeast Revenue has also collected and distributed \$119,820.86 in delinquent refuse payments for the period of December 1 to December 31.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests, the first pertains to the 100 and 200 block of North Everett Avenue.

Residents of the 100 a 200 block of North Everett Avenue have inform me that the conditions of these two blocks is subpar as there are many potholes and cracks in the road. Residents request that potholes on these blocks be patched as travel conditions are rough.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake,
please contact Director Dougher and ask him
to handle this problem in the best way that
he sees fit.

Various Minooks residents have reported to me that the condition of Kane Street is subpar as there are various potholes in the road making travel conditions rough.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the list of the items to contact

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Director Dougher about. And that is it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Much of what I was going to say this evening has already been covered by our Finance Chair and so all that I can add to that topic is that the 2013 bond payment for the second unfunded debt of 2012 was already made in December 2012 according to Mayor Doherty. Since the amount of money borrowed was less than the prior 2012 unfunded debt and the interest rate was lower, the increase was cut from 12 percent to 10 Thus, because the payment was percent. already made for 2013 and the costs are lowered, there appears to be no need for the additional increases beyond the 22 percent that was agreed upon and included in the 2013 budget.

As a result, council will vote to amend the legislation and include the correct millage rates which reflect a 22 percent increase for land and buildings during tonight's meeting.

And as I indicated earlier, I also spoke with the mayor this afternoon, and he

agreed to council's amendment and stated that he would sign the legislation as amended.

Now, with regard to the second unfunded debt and the fact that I mentioned that the 2013 payment has already been made, I had a discussion with our council solicitor regarding just this situation and it is his belief that the taxes collected for payment of the second unfunded debt would go into a sinking fund this year and they would be then applied toward the 2014 debt payment.

I was also going to report the response we received from the Deputy Chief Al Lucas, but Mr. Rogan has taken care of that.

And I think there is another response though that we can report with regard to a question that was posed last week by a council speaker, additional arbitration award payments were made recently to Scranton Police and Fire employees. I learned that payments were made for a health care arbitration award

dating back to 2010 which was won by the IAFF and FOP. Approximately \$162,000 was paid to the IAFF and \$199,000 to the FOP for the health care award. The second payment was for the SIT arbitration award to the FOP in the amount of \$1.4 million. These awards were won by the unions for clearcut contract violations.

Earlier this week I met with Senator
-- I should say State Senator John Blake and
members of his staff once again. The
senator outlined his ideas to assist
Scranton as it faces ongoing financial
challenges. For example, he remains
committed to a 1 percent countywide sales
tax that would be shared with municipalities
and is hopeful that it may obtain approval
of this year. We also shared our research
regarding payroll tax that would replace the
business privilege and mercantile taxes and
provide lower taxes for small business and
tax levies for large business such as banks
and for profit portion of tax exempts.

If Senator Blake should determine a lack of support for implementation of this

tax in Scranton, then in my opinion it appears that Scranton should pursue reclassification to a Third Class city. Ife legislation that pertains solely to the City of Scranton cannot be adopted by the state legislature, there is no compelling reason to remain a class 2A city since our population numbers, according to both the 2000 and the 2010 census and certified by the governor of Pennsylvania no longer qualify Scranton as a Class 2A city.

Further, the change to a Third Class municipality would seem to strengthen

Scranton's ability to enact a commuter tax for 2014. The senator's office will keep me apprised of the developments or lack thereof. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ORDINANCE OF THE
CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY,
PENNSYLVANIA APPOINTING W. BOYD HUGHES,
ESQUIRE AND PAUL A. KELLY, JR., ESQUIRE AS
SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
CASECON CAPITAL, INC. AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR
TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON ON THE ISSUANCE,
SALE AND PLACEMENT OF ANY BONDS AND/OR NOTES

FOR THE FINANCING OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S UNFUNDED DEBT, ANY TRANSACTION INVOLVING THE SALE LEASEBACK OF CITY ASSETS, ANY TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING THE SALE OR LEASE OF ANY AUTHORITY ASSETS WHICH REDUCES THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S BOND INDEBTEDNESS UNDER THE UNIT DEBT ACT OR RESULTS IN THE PAYMENT OR LOAN OF MONEY BY ANY AUTHORITY TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON, THE REFINANCING OR REFUNDING OF ANY OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING BOND ISSUES AND ANY 2013 TAX ANTIICIPATING NOTES OTHER THAN THE 2013 TAN NOTE A AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CASECON CAPITAL, INC.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF THE 5 COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 6 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL 7 8 AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", 9 BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2013 -EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED. 10 11 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 12 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 13 introduced into its proper committee. 14 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 15 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I still have 18 questions about whether the millage will 19 achieve -- well, we haven't amended it yet, 20 when it's amended, whether it will achieve 21 the required amount for the budget and I 22 will certainly -- I vote to introduce this, 23 but I don't think that we should vote to 24 move it into Sixth and Seventh Order. 25 I would just state I MR. ROGAN:

actually kind of feel almost the exact 1 opposite. I understand, I did talk to Mayor 2 3 Courtright -- or Tax Collector Courtright this morning --4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Freudian slip. Getting ahead of myself, 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 about the discount period and the tax bills 8 that need to go out and I do understand 9 that. Again, I voted against a number of 10 these issues just because I disagreed with 11 much of what was in the budget, that's where 12 my opposition comes from and I will support 13 Mr. Joyce's amendment though when that does 14 come up for a vote because it is a decrease on the tax increase. 15 16 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 17 introduction signify by saying aye. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 20 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 22 MR. ROGAN: No. 23 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 24 moved. 25 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to

1	suspend the rules to move Item 5-C to Sixth
2	and Seventh Order to be considered for final
3	passage based on the attached emergency
4	emergency certificate.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
7	those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MS. EAVNS: The ayes have it and so
14	moved.
15	MS. KRAKE: 6-A, FORMERLY 5-C,
16	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
17	100, 1976, "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF
18	COUNCIL NO. 100 OF 1976 - ENTITLED "AN
19	ORDINANCE" (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL
20	AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977",
21	BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2013 -
22	EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED.
23	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
24	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
25	MR. JOYCE: I make amotion to amend

1 6-A per the following amendments. 2 I make a motion to amend Item 6-A as 3 per the following: 4 Number one, Section 1, line 13, 5 after "Levy on all land of 8.119909" and insert .117975. 6 Line 14, after "Levy on buildings," 7 8 delete .026288 and insert .025656. 9 Line 15, delete "19" and insert "17. Line 16, delete ".99 cents 10 11 (\$119.909) and insert (.975 cents.) 12 (\$117.975.) 13 Line 17, delete "26" and insert 14 "25." 15 Delete .288 cents, (26.288) and 16 insert .656 cents (25.656.) 17 MR. ROGAN: Second. 18 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 19 those in favor signify by saying aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 22 23 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 24 MR. MCGOFF: No. 25 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so

moved.

MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-A, as amended, pass reading by title.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor, do we have a second?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Once again, I will state that with these amendments without knowing what the assessed values are that are being used for the calculations there is absolutely no way to determine whether these millage rates will realize the budgeted amount for real estate taxes in 2013 and without that information I think it's impossible to vote on it.

MS. EVANS: Well, I am just going to reiterate quickly and then I'll go to you, Mr. Joyce, that the first unfunded debt these tax increases are to fund court-ordered millage rate increases for repayment of the first and second unfunded debt proceedings of 2012. It was determined well in advance by Mr. McGowan that 12 percent would cover the first unfunded debt

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

repayment.

As for the second one, as I said, it has already been made for 2013. The 10 percent collected whether or not -- and, of course, we all know 100 percent of taxes are never collected, that's why we have delinquent taxes, but I don't think that we should be penalizing people who pay their taxes on time by increasing them above what was agreed to to cover for people who don't pay their taxes but, again, the 2013 payment was made. This is going to be a sinking fund toward the 2014 payment and the issue of a real estate tax increase will be voted on once again next year and the folling year forever and ever amen, so this amount doesn't stay in effect permanently, and more than that, the second unfunded debt payment has already been made and this is -- this is to comply with the Court order and to set the money aside so that the budget is in better shape for 2014 and that payment, you know, is going to be largely available to be made.

MR. MCGOFF: And I'm not arguing,

you know, the tax increase, and I understand stand the amount the 22 percent versus the 24, I asked the question back when we were doing the budget whether or not we are using -- whether the percentage was based on the revenue or the millage, and I think the answer I received was both. Well, it can't be both. If you are going to use the percentage on revenue, the percentage on millage is going to be higher and we argued this a year ago.

My point is only that there is a certain budgeted amount for 2013 and I think that we are voting on tonight is not going to allow us to realize that and I think that that's a mistake that needs to be rectified and that was my only objection.

MR. JOYCE: Just to clarify, the 22 percent increase is a 22 percent millage increase, it's stated explicitly in the budget, it's not a 22 percent revenue increase. That's all.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

2

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

3

MR. MCGOFF: No.

4

MR. ROGAN: No.

5

 $\operatorname{MS}.$  EVANS: The ayes have it and so

6

oved. Is there anyone who wishes to

7

address council on Item 7-G, formerly 6-A,

8

as amended?

annual basis?

9

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I do. And I

10

hope Mr. McGoff doesn't get hurt when he

11

faints from my saying I agree with him

12

because specifically, and I believe that the

13

Court order was increase the real estate

14

taxes to cover the payments and so it's not

15

a percent of the millage, it's the percent

16

of millage required to cover that payment of

17

that bond for ten years, and  $\boldsymbol{I}$  think we are

18

in a pretty precarious position already

19

going in, we have got what general

20

obligation debt service payments of, let's

21

see, about \$14 million right now and,

22

Mr. Joyce, do you happen to recall what our

23

total revenue is from real estate on an

24

MR. JOYCE: Currently or --

25

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, roughly.

MR. JOYCE: -- without the tax increases?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Without the tax increases.

MR. JOYCE: Without the tax increases it's \$13.9 million, I believe.

MS. SCHUMAHCER: So, see, right now we are in a position where most of our real estate taxes are going to go to cover our debt payments, servicing our debt, so it does become critical because I'm not sure, and maybe Mr. Joyce can clear it up, but I recall at that second unfunded debt hearing Mr. McGowan was asked about how much leverage we had as far as reaching our Unit Debt Act ceiling and I believe we are very, very close to that and if we go for the sale leaseback we are going to hit that ceiling, so we are not going to be able to go out to get more money, and unless it's in this budget to cover those payments we are still going to be out of the compliance with the Court order.

So I think you do need to look at

what the collection rate is assumed, especially since it's going up roughly let's say 22 percent if that's going to decrease the collection rate from, I think we have been using 87 if that's going to drop it down a little bit, and what the actual assessments are in both land and improvements so we see if we got enough money to cover those.

I mean, we are cutting all of it pretty close to the wire and with a lot of the other unknowns that are still in the budget for revenue I don't think you can afford to mess this one up. I mean, I think people who are going to take advantage of the discount period pretty much know, I mean, 22 percent they can do it or 25 percent or whatever, they pretty much know what we are going to have to come up with and the only thing they don't know is the date, and do you know the date? Is it going to be the end of February?

MR. JOYCE: I don't know the date offhand.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. But, I mean,

	12.
1	I really think it's much more critical this
2	year that you do have those figures and what
3	the amounts are when you apply the millage,
4	so that's my two cents.
5	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
6	anyone else?
7	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
8	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
9	FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 1, 2013-
10	ACCEPTING A ONE HUNDRED (\$100.00) DOLLAR
11	DONATION FROM NEI AMBULATORY SURGERY, INC.
12	PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE
13	DEPARTMENT.
14	MS. EVANS: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chair for the
16	Committee on Finance.
17	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-a.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 6 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 7 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 8 9 MS. KRAKE: There has been a prior 10 motion to table 7-B through G -- excuse me, 11 through F. 12 MR. JOYCE: 7-F. MS. KRAKE: 7-G, FORMERLY 6-A - FOR 13 14 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 1 --15 2013 - AS AMENDED - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL 16 NO. 100 - 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE" (AS 17 18 AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE 19 MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2013 - EMERGENCY 20 21 CERTIFICATE ATTACHED. 22 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 23 24 Committee on Finance? 25 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the

Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-G, as amended.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? The only thing I would add is, you know, as Mr. Joyce said I'm sure there is no one, no one who feels comfortable with this, who is pleased to raise taxes, but this tax increase is necessary to pay for the two unfunded debt issues of 2011. They have been ordered by two different judges and anyone who votes "no" to this is, in fact, saying "no" to the judges' order and saying that you don't believe it has to be repaid. Because, for example, if everyone on council were to do that then what would happen? There wouldn't be --

MR. JOYCE: There would no real estate taxes for 2013 at this point.

MS. EVANS: And, obviously the city would collapse --

MR. JOYCE: It would collapse.

MS. EVANS: And the judges' orders would have been violated, so I do believe that, you know, we, some of us anyway, or

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

most of us, maybe all of us, need to do the responsible thing and comply with the Court order.

MR. MCGOFF: That's a gross misinterpretation and misrepresentation of what has been said. This agreement is with the millage rate. Nobody has -- nobody has disagreed with paying or providing for the payment of anything. I believe, actually I believe that we are doing an injustice to what we have already voted upon. We have already voted for a certain revenue item in the budget and I don't believe that with this millage we are going to achieve it and that is all that I stated. It had nothing to do with approving or disapproving of a Court order. This could easily have been voted on to introduce this week and take a look at the assessment rates and vote on it next week for final passage.

MS. EVANS: And again, these are the numbers included in the 2013 budget. Is there anyone else on the question? Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

_	
	127
1	MR. MCGOFF: No.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: No.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-G, as amended, legally and lawfully
11	adopted.
12	If there is no further business,
13	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
14	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
15	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
16	adjourned, and I do thank the audience
17	members tonight for their cooperation in
18	this evening's meeting.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

## 

## 

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER