_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, January 3, 2013	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	on real contraction of the contr	

```
1
2
     CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
 3
 4
     JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT
5
     FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT
6
     ROBERT MCGOFF
7
     (Not present)
     PAT ROGAN
8
     JOHN LOSCOMBE
9
     (Not present)
10
     NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK
11
     JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
12
     BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR
13
     (Not present)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. Mr.
8	Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Here.
12	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
13	RECEIPT OF A \$1,000.00 CHECK FROM COVENANT
14	PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH WHICH REPRESENTS PAYMENT
15	IN LIEU OF TAXES FOR 2012.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
19	RECEIVED FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY DATED
20	NOVEMBER 29, 2012.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. APPLICATIONS ALONG
24	WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
25	HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER

	·
1	12, 2012.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
5	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
6	DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS RECEIVED ON OCTOBER
7	31ST AND NOVEMBER 15TH, 2012.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
11	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
12	HELD ON NOVEMBER 14, 2012.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
16	SCRANTON FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
17	MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 14, 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE
21	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
22	NOVEMBER 14, 2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-H. TAX ASSESSOR'S

REPORT FOR HEARING DATE OF NOVEMBER 14, 1 2 2012. 3 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-I. TAX ASSESSOR'S 5 REPORT FOR RESULTS OF APPEAL HEARINGS HELD 6 7 ON DECEMBER 5, 2012. 8 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 9 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-J. TAX ASSESSOR'S 10 REPORT FOR APPEAL HEARINGS TO BE HELD ON 11 12 JANUARY 9. 2013. 13 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 14 If not, received and filed. Do we have an clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Krake? 15 16 MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any 18 council members have announcements at this time? Councilman McGoff is unable to attend 19 20 tonight's meeting because he is hospitalized 21 with pneumonia. Councilman Loscombe is also 22 ill this evening with a stomach virus. 23 thoughts and prayers are particularly with 24 Bob McGoff for his speedy recovery. Council 25 Solicitor Hughes is also absent due to a

health-related problem.

Scranton City Council is most pleased to open its 2013 session. We begin this new year I'd like to remind audience members to turn off cell phones when entering council chambers and to remain quiet throughout city council meetings.

Speakers are allotted five minutes in which to address council. At the sound of the bell please be seated in order that all may have an equal opportunity to be heard.

Finally, all questions and requests must be submitted in writing to council's office. Thereafter, council members and our staff will to our very best to obtain answers our provide solutions. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Joe Burke.

MR. BURKE: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. BURKE: I appeared before council on October 4, 2012, and I spoke about the dangerous conditions that the

truck traffic going to and from what was formerly known as DeNaples' junk yard presented to the residents of Lake Scranton Road as well as to all of the walkers and joggers who use the road. I supplied pictures, I supplied maps, I supplied photographs of the trucks, I supplied police reports of the incidents when the truck drivers threatened me for taking the photos. I supplied e-mails.

When I was done, poor Mr. McGoff, gave a very impassioned description of the conditions, and I quote from the minutes of that night. "May I add tot Mr. Burke's statements, a lot of people probably are not aware of the situation on Lake Scranton Road. Probably many people not even aware -- may be aware of where it is, but from personal experience, I do know that the residents of the area have been disturbed by the frequency of the traffic on the road and hopefully we can do something about it.

The second thing, Lake Scranton

Road, all of the properties along there have

no sidewalks and yet it does get a lot of

pedestrian traffic for people walking out to the bus stop or out to the lake. Personally -- "Mr. McGoff said -- "We run that road on a frequent basis and it's dangerous with the amount of truck traffic that is on there to pedestrians as well.

In addition, the last thing the road itself is very narrow and pretty much has been destroyed over the years by the traffic that is on it. You are right," referring to me, "It's hazardous just driving a car along it at a time with the potholes and shoulders being destroyed so hopefully we can take care of these problems and, you know, rectify the situation for you."

Well, council acted swiftly, introduced an ordinance for a vote on November 1. At that time Mr. McGoff apparently lost his zeal to put an end to these dangerous and hazardous conditions and he posed this question, "As I was looking at the exemptions, would this prohibit development taking place in the section of East Mountain and actually up to Roaring Brook Township --" and his quote, "and the

primary access for any of that construction-type traffic is across Lake Scranton Road. Now, as I said before,"

Mr. McGoff said, "I realize it was an excessive amount and I'm wondering if this legislation would prevent reasonable traffic from utilizing that access?"

Well on October 4 Mr. McGoff indicated he was aware the citizens of Scranton were at risk because of the terrible condition of Lake Scranton Road and the excessive traffic, the huge triaxial and dump trucks going to DeNaples' junk yard created. On that day he described the traffic conditions on Lake Scranton Road as dangerous and hazardous, not merely excessive.

Mr. McGoff's claims that Lake
Scranton Road is the primary access for
trucks going anywhere is absurd. On October
4 he stated that he doubted if any residents
of Scranton even knew where Lake Scranton
Road was located. Lake Scranton Road may be
the preferred route of the trucks going to
the former junk yard, but that does not mean

that the residents of Lake Scranton Road have to tolerate dangerous and hazardous conditions so that trucks can get in and out of the junk yard as quickly and possible.

And I have to make one thing clear,
Attorney Belardi sent a legal consideration
to Attorney Kelly and based on a commerce
clause in the constitution saying that if
Lake Scranton Road was closed that DeNaples'
Auto Parts and the Recycling Center would
not be able to operate.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Burke, I don't mean to interrupt, but if you could continue to speak into the microphone because I want to be certain that the people listening at home are able to hear you.

MR. BURKE: Okay. Well the facts of the matter is, and here is a map. May I approach?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. BURKE: It's the same map I showed council or a picture to council six months ago. Mr. DeNaples is making a landfill. These are the loads of dump trucks that go by. It's not going down to

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

the junk yard to, it's not going to the recycling center. All of the photos that I have taken are dump trucks full of fill or dirt. So Mr. Belardi's claim that we are interfering with DeNaples' Auto Parts and the recycling center doesn't hold water because the trucks that we are concerned about are dump trucks that are creating a landfill because the dump truck are where DeNaples junk yard used to be. It's really that simple. There is no car carriers coming across Lake Scranton Road, they are dump trucks. I have pictures galore of nothing but dump trucks.

MS. EVANS: Please finish.

MR. BURKE: Okay. The two block
lane of Lake Scranton Road, that's all there
is, 20 homes, where I have resided since
2007, never was or will be the primary
access for construction traffic headed
toward Roaring Brook Township. Six miles up
the road is Blue Shutters Road. It's a
state owned, state maintained road. It goes
all the way from Moosic Street to Moscow.
You can pick up 84, 380, 81, and you can

also intersect with Elmhurst Boulevard which will take you exactly where Mr. DeNaples wants his trucks to go. They don't have to go across Lake Scranton Road.

There never was any excessive truck traffic on Lake Scranton Road until 2009 when the access road was created. Part of Attorney Belardi's claim is that Mr. DeNaples operated for 50 years. Well, he probably did, but he never operated through Lake Scranton Road until 2010. He claims that if Lake Scranton Road is restricted from truck traffic that the junk yard would be landlocked.

I was at the Bunker Street bridge yesterday and saw a red triaxial dump truck, I had to stop my car so it could come across the bridge. The bridge has a 13 ton capacity. It still operates, there is no restrictions on that bridge, everyone uses it, there is no reason why they can't use it.

On November 8 the council legally and lawfully adopted an ordinance restricting the truck traffic on Lake

Scranton Road, but Mr. McGoff sought an amendment and it went back to Attorney Kelly's office for review. I tried to call Attorney Kelly every day for 12 consecutive days and he never returned my phone call. I explained to his receptionist, Sally, and Cara that I had all of this information that he might want to review before he made his decision. He never called me, he never reviewed it.

On Saturday, November 22, ironically right after D's U-Pull it came to my house with a turkey from Mr. DeNaples. He felt bad because his truck driver had threatened me in September. Now, I realize that every man has his price, but a turkey is a little low.

Later that day I got this from

Attorney Kelly saying, "Regarding the
closure of truck traffic at Lake Scranton

Road the city receives a letter from

Attorney Jeffery Belardi objecting to the
ordinance saying: (1) No truck study was
completed; and (2), the closure will result
in illegal restraint of trade."

Once again, it's dirt. It is a landfill in DeNaples junk yard. They are not car carriers, they are not recyclable trucks. They are dump trucks, I have dozen of pictures and I showed you the site. If no one is interested in the City of Scranton, talking about the administration, to stop this or to at least investigate it without the summary review that Attorney Kelly said he did then I don't know what to do.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Burke, I'm actually going to address this under motions this evening. I believe there are several options available and certainly as a council it is our duty and responsibility to safeguard the safety, welfare and quality of life on a daily basis of Scranton residents.

MR. BURKE: Well, I appreciate that, but I think the most salient point is nobody wants to admit that there is a landfill being created in the area that was formerly known as DeNaples junk yard and why no one wants to admit that is awfully suspicious. I'm saying council, I'm saying Attorney

Belardi didn't meaning these dump trucks, all he mentioned were recyclable trucks and trucks going to DeNaples Auto Parts.

So that's about it, that's all of information that I have that is new. It's disappointing, but I fail to see how Solicitor Kelly could say that the city is not interested in pursuing this because they would be a liability. The city has nothing left to lose. It the city really wanted to protect the citizens liability wouldn't be a issue, it would be the priority, and I never heard of anyone saying that commerce -- the commerce clause supersedes public safety, but that's all. I have gone over my time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

I'm glad Mr. Burke spoke because I'd like to address the same issue. Mrs. Evans and Mrs. Krake, as you well know in 1995, 17 years ago, I had an ordinance passed at city council to stop truck traffic in Bellevue.

To this day 17 years later not one truck has been stopped. I live on Railroad Avenue and

there must be at least 15 trucks a day go down my street. The whole area I live in, I mean, it's not East Mountain, but it's a much more drastic situation because I live in a cave area, and every time a truck goes by my house shakes, my garage came in. The mine had to come and fill it with concrete. The house behind me completely demolished, gone, it just caved right in, now it's just an empty lot. The house down the street from me the whole kitchen caved in. I could go on and on.

So when I go down the baseball field every day with my boy I see policemen in the parking lot sometimes and I saw them and I say, "Oh, you here to stop the trucks?"

He said, "What trucks?"

There is like 15 signs from every section coming down Luzerne Street, coming down South Washington, coming down Elm Street, Seventh Street, every angle to get to Bellevue saying, "No Trucks Over 10 Ton." They just whiz right by. The policeman didn't even have a clue what I was talking about.

Now, I spoke with Chief Murphy when he was chief, along with Captain Graziano, and we had a long discussion about this and they said the would look into this and do something. Well, to this day nothing has been done. And there's like a \$300 fine for trucks. You know the money that you could have made, the city could have made if they enforced this? Not just warnings, they should ticket these mean.

I mean, Ed Chomko and myself we have tons of pictures, we brought them to council many times. I have addressed this many types with council and then one policeman said to me, "Well, we have to have the truck weighing devices."

Well, maybe so, but then you know what, in Clarks Summit, a little Borough like Clarks Summit, I just read in the paper two weeks ago, where Patty Lawler and Gerry Kerry got this grant or something for weighing machines for the police to stop their trucks. State Street is terrible with trucks so I'm glad they are doing something because that's a nightmare up there trying

to get across that street.

But as bad as that it is we live in a cave-in area and truck after truck after truck goes by, so this is a law that's already in effect and nothing is being done, nothing has been done. I don't know where to go again. I'm going to ask to speak with Chief Graziano again.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually this was brought to his attention and he did respond to city council, unfortunately, I don't have that letter with me this evening, but he is suggesting changes to the ordinance that would make it enforceable for the Scranton Police Department and with his assistance that's what we intend to do.

MS. FRANUS: Mrs. Evans, you mean without the machines? Without having the machines? Are you saying -- how are they going to enforce it if they don't have the weight thing?

MS. EVANS: Actually --

MS. FRANUS: That's the excuse they are giving me.

MS. EVANS: And the police chief is

well aware of that and so we'll follow-up on it, but I believe he was suggesting that perhaps that that would be the section that would be amended.

MS. FRANUS: They are going to put an amendment in there saying it's enforceable? That's not already in there? I mean, it's not enforceable?

MS. EVANS: No, no, no. It a city ordinance is enforceable, but there has been the issue involving the weights and the police chief feels that by amending the original ordinance whenever it was passed in--

MS. FRANUS: In 1995.

MS. EVANS: -- in 1995 can rectify the situation and provide language whereby the police department is going to be able to enforce the ordinance.

MS. FRANUS: Well, thank you very much because we are living in fear seriously because our houses shake and Eddie Chomko is besides himself and he has a nice business up there on Railroad Avenue, but every person in Bellevue deserves protection.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another issue, I'd like to thank Dave Gervasi very much for coming in front of the council and letting the citizens of Scranton aware of the fact that the Scranton's Fire Department is coming to give people's homes and putting in fire They are lifesaving measures and detectors. I was one of the people that called the night, they came to me house and they were the nicest, kindest men. There was nothing they wouldn't answer. They took all of the time in the world to explain everything and they tested everything once they put it in. They went over everything with me and asked if I had anymore questions and specified if I had any questions at all to call any time they would be more than glad to answer anything.

It was -- I'd like to give them a recommendation because they were the best.

Lieutenant Jim Walsh, with Engine 7; AJ

Samuels was the driver; Private Tim Koch, I believe it was, he was there, also; and Private Art Frankle, he was on board. They were wonderful and talk about lifesaving for

the people. I mean, this is an opportunity
I hope everybody takes advantage of and
thanks to Dave Gervasi now we all know about
it and these wonderful guys.

And they were explaining to me that these specific four men they are like in the West Side area I guess and I guess in South Side a different group of men would come.

You can't go wrong. It's the best thing that ever happened and I want to thank them very, very much and the Scranton Fire Department. We're certainly getting something out of our tax dollars with the Scranton Police and the Scranton firemen.

Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council, I knew you started at 6:00, but my 92-year-old aunt phoned me and I just couldn't leave immediately. She phoned one time and I was watching wrestling and I hung up on her and I never lived that down.

I'd like to thank Mr. Bolus on behalf of several people that I delivered dinners to. The city is blessed to me to

have a benefactor like that that cares so much about the people. It was a very successful event. You know, what has Chris Doherty done in ten years something positive like that? He hasn't done a thing for the city in 10 years. You need a roll of toilet paper to write all of the adversity. He hasn't done one thing positive like this for the people of the city. It's ashame.

And I ready Charlie Newcomb's letter in the paper and he seemed upset about anybody -- against the wage tax. Charlie Newcomb doesn't go anywhere and talk to people like I do. There would have been an organized boycott of this city just before Christmas and forget 22,000 or 10,000 or 5,000 if just a few hundred people boycotted the downtown and the stores in this city it would have hurt. That's why I was against.

And I think Mr. Sheridan made his point very well last month about the parking. It's going to be a bit expensive if it happened with insurance and so on.

The money that you would be taking in there you would be losing tax wise at the other

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

end because it would be less of it, so I don't see where -- to me that's all just counterproductive.

You know, we have had 20 years of PEL, all they come up with 20 years borrow and raise taxes. I think Tuesday the paper said foreclosures were up 91 percent; is that right? Something like that, in Tuesdays paper and here we are raising taxes again, you know. PEL, we just don't need PEL. Last month the hospital bought a house on the 700 block of Monroe \$36,000. know how you to save \$36,000 real fast? Get rid of Gina McAndrew. She got a \$36,000 salary. What does she do for the city? Just tell me one thing why we need her as a coordinator to PEL to tell us to raise taxes?

I heard mother more intelligent conversation at that meeting -- at that Christmas dinner then I heard coming from PEL year after year after year what's in the paper. I don't know. You people have to learn that Scranton is an individual city, it's not like the other cities. It's like a

fingerprint. We are all alone and you can't just open a book and say this is what you need to do. They need some intelligent people to tell Scranton what to do and PEL doesn't seem to have a single one. 20 years there is nothing intelligent comes out of PEL.

Christmas Eve I was at a party and someone came up and said I offended them saying the University of Scranton was one of the worst things that ever happened to the city. Well, I say that ten-fold times, twenty-fold times. Our school system would be better off, we would have streets and everything else if it weren't for the University.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: You'd be able to make a budget if it weren't for the two colleges.

Let me ask you one thing in going, what were you people do when you lose Steamtown to the colleges, and it's coming, just as sure as the noses on the end of your pretty little face you are going to lose Steamtown and all of those businesses in the near future,

1 believe me. 2 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 3 MR. ELLMAN: You have got to put an end to them taking over the city like they 4 have. 5 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. 6 7 MR. ELLMAN: Well, thank you for 8 allowing me up here. 9 MS. EVANS: Certainly. Andy 10 Sbaraglia. 11 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 12 citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian. 13 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 14 MR. SBARAGLIA: I went over your budget and really there is more holes in 15 16 that budget than there is in swiss cheese. 17 Everywhere in there your numbers don't add 18 This budget is more of a dream than 19 actually a reality. I don't know if we are 20 actually going to be under the 12, the 22 21 the 29 percent tax increase, and I don't 22 even know what the tax increase is going to 23 be on the millage or the land -- I mean, 24 improvements. But that's beside the point.

The point is a lot of stuff in that budget

25

isn't there and probably never will be there.

Your commuter tax went down the drain, okay, but you knew that but for the reasons and what came out of that, I mean, whoever prepared all them facts and figures and went before the judges didn't really do a good job. Whether you did or -- I don't blame you, Mr. Joyce, you not somebody that would sit there you, the business administrator should have done a better job. That's his job to do it. You were only an auxiliary and everything you did was based on him. So this didn't go through.

The nonprofits are laughing at us.

They will continue to laugh at us. There is another hole in the budget. The advertisement, I know it's out to bid, you are going to re bid it, let's hope something happens, but I don't know. Your amusement tax, Sno Mountain is up there in receivership right now on the auction block. Whether they get much money from the skiing or not I don't know because they are bankrupt, and people are going for tax

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reductions all the time and nobody from this city goes down there and -- goes down there and monitors who is getting reduction on their taxes.

Your budget is not going to work Next year I can see you coming for an unfunded debt before the judges again because even your landfill you can't really put the landfill out and say, "We aren't going to pay for a couple of years, we are good ole' boys you can trust us," that's not going to work. You got to pay your bills no matter what they are. Either go bankrupt or pay their bills. You cannot borrow us into oblivion at 9.8 percent, paper claimed one of them was 50 percent. In other words, for every dollar we pay back 50 cents. That's only because they were short loans, longer loans probably would have been double.

You got to come to reality what's happening. I don't care if you never run for another office. At least one point you won't be putting our grandchildren into bondage and our children because they got to pay this debt and at this interest rate

21

22

23

24

25

it's -- there is no way. I looked at the school budgets, I think they wanted 109, city 105, and plus accounting, you are close to a quarter of a billion dollars you want to draw out of the pockets of the Scranton. How deep do you think our pockets are? got to come up with a reality, some way you got to get the nonprofits some way, even if you go to Harrisburg and ask them to pass a law that they must pay for fire and police protection even though if you give away That you can do. They shouldn't be for all taxes, that's ridiculous, but fire protection and police protection they should be made to pay and you got to do something like that because these figures are so obnoxious. Anybody that could ad one and one and get two is frightening of what's going to happen.

People come up to me now and ask about the 22 percent. I said, "I don't really know, it's probably--" if they really wanted to pay off the debt put the city where it should be probably should be about 29 this year. God knows what next

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

year is because other than that we are going to run into unfunded debt again. You are going to react and they are going to laugh They already did laugh at us, but at us. this time they are going to really laugh at us saying, "You people up there can't add one and one and get two."

I'm sorry, but I don't want to pay higher taxes, but unless you come up with some way to get the nonprofits to kick in for police and fire -- police protection we are on the way to no where. The city will constantly decline, and I don't blame people for not wanting to be here. I mean, I saw some people sometimes go up, Wilkes-Barre going up 33 percent or something like that, Hazelton 85, but they don't pay our wage tax. That's what you have to remember.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

> MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Lee Morgan. I'd just like to let the council know that I made another Right-to-Know request under Section 312 and 313 of the Home Rule Charter. I had

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ironed out with the staff in the office of council last time the information I requested, but for some reason council refused to comply and give me that information, so I did another Right-To-Know and I just hope that this time council will comply with my request for a Right-to-Know.

At a prior meeting I came in and brought the law books for the Right-to-Know and that request could be made verbally. also made that request verbally at that time. I think the sad part that I think all of the residents should know is that this is an election year and we need to know what investigations council has done, what frequent audits or special audits council has done, there is reason we got laughed out of court, and what I take out of that is that the Court knows that there is just so much incompetence in city government that there was no way to move forward. When you sat there and you listened to the testimony and, you know, Council President Evans, you talked about a responsibility for safety, welfare and the quality of life off

Scrantonians. I think people need to -- I don't think most people need to walk around and recognize that the vast majority of rental properties are empty here, and I just think that one of the great things that could happen is here is I think the FBI should come here and investigate what has happened with all of the community development money and why we are tearing all these properties down in the neighborhood and why we're decimating our own tax base. I mean, it's just ridiculous.

And then, well, to vote against SAPA and the creation of jobs and any comprehensive plan at all that will help to lift the residents out of the poverty that we are experiencing I find troubling, extremely troubling. This was a supermajority that came in and said it was going to be transparent and make changes and do things differently.

But, you know, when you have got

Judge Nealon wondering why the whole city

tax base goes to pay wages and then you

realize that what's come out in Court is

that we haven't closed any of our shortfall in the budget. Not one drop of the borrowing we have done that money has gone other places. You know, they talked in the Court about the settlement with the fire and police and how the interest on that was only 6 percent, but the city was probably going to borrow money to pay it off at over 10 percent. It doesn't make any sense. It doesn't make any sense.

You know, they talk about the mall possibly and what would happen to that property. Well, I'm going to tell you that there is a high probability that that mall is going to close because our population base keeps declining. You go back and couple of years and remember the shops that were there, you take a look at what's there now. You realize that we can't make our pension obligations, we are borrowing money for everything. We are so behind on that it's ridiculous, okay? I mean, all of our tax revenue going to pay wages and we keep talking about the nonprofits.

Well, look it, council had an

obligation under the Home Rule Charter and then we kept blaming the mayor when councils for 30 years could have overrode every mayor's budget and created a budget that was based in fact and reality and started to turn this city around and it didn't happen and all we can't getting is the blame game of who did what and elect me and I'll make a different and now we have come to the point where the PEL has acknowledged they are not here to salvage the city, they are here to make sure the bondholders get paid.

So we are borrowing money at astronomical amounts of interest and continuing to sink the city further in debt without any idea how we are going to salvage what's left off this city and we are going to keep tearing structures down throughout all of the neighborhood and using community development money to do that and keep -- and now we are to the point where, you know, we are going to pay the landfill and then we are going to talk about Scranton being a progressive city, and you've got seniors who cannot pay anymore and it doesn't even only

have to do with seniors, it has to do with young families, all families. Go through the neighborhoods and see all of the rental properties that are empty.

People who have the ability to move are leaving. We are losing all of player wage tax, we are just creating a problem where we are causing more deterioration in the neighborhood as we listen to half truths, propaganda and outright lies by the people we elect to represent us, all right, and they should be concerned about our safety, our welfare and our quality of life.

Is this the quality of life we want for Scrantonians locked to our debt for 30 years? Ask yourself that question.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin by wishing everyone a happy new year, and obviously with that in mind, we hope to have a productive new year in terms of our

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial situation. Certainly the past year we obviously are facing tremendous financial challenges. Throughout the summer we faced the difficult task to come together, elected officials and council and the administration to revised a recovery plan and to craft an operating budget for 2013. Certainly a difficult task and a daunting task.

You know, listening to some comments tonight regarding the future of the city and things that have gone on in the past, you know, certainly I'm just as frustrated as anyone in terms of the situation we are in and knowing full well that we have had to deal with fiscal mismanagement by this administration for the last 10, 11, 12 years. But I know being realistic, as we all like to be realistic, that we cannot go back and point the finger and say this person did that and this person did that, we know what happened. We know we have rubber stamp councils that ran the administration's agenda through, but going back and addressing that and being repetitive with

that isn't going to change the situation we are in.

As I said, I'm just as disgusted as anyone else about it, but going back and harping on it isn't going to get us out of debt. We need to continue moving forward, working together to move this city forward, and that's the only way in which we are going to solve our financial problems is by continuing to move forward and working together.

You know, a lot of people didn't think that council and the administration could come together, but because this council has a commitment to moving forward and bettering the taxpayers of the city you knew that we had to put personalities aside and politics aside for the betterment of the city and you realize that we had to work together and you were able to see things happen in these chambers that in the past we never saw, and that is having a business administrator come forward and a mayor come forward to council and the public and address the issues facing the city. We

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never saw that in the past, but because this council is committed to openness and transparency something that, you know, you are ridiculously criticized of doing the complete opposite, you should be commended for it. Because we haven't seen the transparency and the accountability and the openness in this chamber in decades and it's occurring right now.

You know, some of the events that transpired the last few weeks, certainly the decision by the judges to deny the commuter tax was a difficult decision for the city. We know that that revenue that was put in the recovery plan was an integral part of the city's financial situation. It was an integral part of our budget that we were hoping to realize that revenue over the next three years and, obviously, with the judges' decision at this time we now face the task of having to come up with another solution to realize that potential \$4 million in revenue that we have an obligation to realize.

We were also made aware that no

entity at this point in time has put a bid if for the market based revenue opportunity, that's \$300,000 in that area, a little more, that we now have an obligation to put that out for bid a second time and hopefully someone will come forward and if not we then have to obviously look into dealing with some sort of marketing group so we can realize that revenue.

But the people at home viewing tonight and all of the taxpayers across the city need to realize one thing, this was not an easy task and, you know, the last year throughout this whole process as I sat back and listened to speaker after speaker and I talked to people on the street I don't think people realize how difficult of task it is to sit in your place. Certainly through my experience of coming here I know it's not easy, and I wish it was as easy as a lot of people think it is. I truly don't believe a lot of people could last five minutes in your shoes if they realize what you went through each and every day. This isn't about coming down here at 6:30 every

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thursday night and playing in front of a TV camera, this is a 24/7 operation where you are consistently on phones dealing with e-mails, dealing with issues, it's not easy.

So when we come forward and we make statements I think we need to realize that this is a difficult job and this council came into a situation caused by the previous council where you had to come in and clean up the mess. It was a nightmare and it is still a nightmare. We know we have a long way to go and this past summer, as we all know, we faced payless paydays and the city was on the verge of bankruptcy and we didn't want to see that take place. Difficult decisions had to be made, and I know at times we were ready to throw in the towel, but I know this council has a philosophy of not giving up and that's the attitude that we need here.

We need to continue to come forward, we need to continue to offer positive solutions, the negativity we need to leave at the door. This needs to be a new vision, new direction, so we can see a day where we

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

21 22

20

2324

25

turn the city around and the future is bright. All this debt that's left affects my generation. All of the young people in this city, Councilman Joyce, Councilman Rogan, it's our generation and it's our time to come forward and offer the solutions because the decisions that were made in the past certainly have caused a lot of problems.

But it's just my hope that moving forward as we look in a new year we stop the finger pointing, this isn't about personalities, this isn't about egos, it's about finding solutions and I'm confident there will be a day when we turn this thing around, but it's going to take teamwork and a cooperative effort and, as I said, I'm confident that we will turn this thing around and I thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: First off, I'd like to

wish everybody here a happy new year, hopefully this year is going to be a lot better than last year was. It's been a rough year in a lot of respects. We were successful in our dinner this year and I need to thank the people that showed up, the volunteers that came there and the media that got our message out. It's for all of the cooperation of all of these people that made this a successful dinner again.

And I'd like to kind of paraphrase that into tonight. What makes something successful is everyone working together and if we all work together we can come to a solution, we could come to a reasonable outcome if everybody is interested in doing that. It's not about politics any more, we have seen that with the fiscal cliff and all of the other nonsense. We all knew they were going to sign the paper. You know, it's a joke. They didn't even read it and they signed it and that's why we where we are today not only in government but in our own city.

I sat through the hearings and kind

of was dismayed that this city didn't even know what it's debt was and was asking for a commuter tax to pay the debt because they had no money, yet when it all came out they were getting more money than they were entitled to. They don't know the purpose of debit and credit, basic accounting, one and one is two. That's why we are where we are.

What we need to do now in this year, let it be a year of revival. Gotta put fees on nonprofits, you can't look around the corner anymore and play politics with the powerful, you got to stand your ground and make it happen. You got to cut the debt by instituting out of the box creativity.

You've got to become creative. You can't be stagnant. We can't just sit back and let nothing happen and say, "Oh, well, it will take care of itself."

You know, we have to find out where our real debt is. Nobody knows. That was just proven. You got to put the pride back in our police, fire, DPW, back into the people in the City of Scranton that are made to look like fools throughout the country

paying minimum wage. It's not what we are about, not what we used to be about, but this is just way out of control.

To turn around and sit and point fingers, let me say this, and I don't want you to take it the wrong way but when you run for an elected office you run for a purpose, the purpose should be the represent the people no matter good, bad or indifferent and we learned from the past. The past isn't gone from our learning process. We learned from history.

Unfortunately, the City of Scranton has not learned from history.

When we have the debt that we are facing where is the money come from to pay just the interest on the loans, not counting what we are going to have to figure out how to pay the principal? As I have always said, you cannot borrow to get out of debt. If you keep taxing, tax them, but one day the people are going to revolt, they are not going to pay the tax any more then where is the city going?

The fiscal mismanagement of this

city over the last eight, 12 years has been ridiculous. No business could survive the way the city has been run. Professional fees, give them what they want rather than put them out for bid. Where you need to take this is to reign that in. Yeah, it's an administration's issue. The administration has control over the millions of dollars to the good ole' boy club and what do we get for it? Absolutely nothing.

The question I do have is I understand, and I don't how true it is, that the police department received a couple of checks for something whether it was settlement of some sort in the last few weeks, is there any truth to that? I mean, I didn't read anything in the papers or the press or anything like that, but if anything of that nature had happened if they received money for something?

MS. EVANS: I have no knowledge of that.

MR. BOLUS: Would council check into it and see if the police department has, in fact, received any type of compensation

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether it was for litigation or anything that was out there?

MS. EVANS: Yes. If you put your request in writing to our office we'll look into it.

MR. BOLUS: Oh, absolutely. definitely want to do that. One question, the mayor had made a statement that he intends to sell another city asset. council going to let him give away more of our assets this year than he did over the last few years like the Ice Box, like when he tried to give away part of Nay Aug to Lackawanna College, give away because we are not getting paid for it. Gave away the South Side Center. Is council going to sit back or does council have any knowledge of what asset the mayor intends to try and liquidate to balance his playgrounds or whatever, his little ballpark or his own little empire here at the expense of all of Would you like that in writing, Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: You can put that in writing, yes.

MR. BOLUS: Okay, thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I, too, would like to wish everybody a happy, healthy new year.

As Mr. Bolus said, I hope it's better than last year was for everybody. I think it's too bad that the commuter tax was shot down, but as Bob said, I heard the mayor said we either have to borrow or sell an asset.

First of all, borrowing is why we were in this situation we are in now. We can't borrow any more money. I don't know what the answer is, and I'm not an advocate of bankruptcy, but maybe that's the answer. I don't know any other way out. We cannot borrow any more money. I don't know how we are going to pay it back.

As far as an asset, what do we have left to sell, Nay Aug Park? What else is he going to sell? Maybe we'll sell city hall with the stipulation that Mayor Doherty goes with it and we'll have him out of our hair.

I don't know what the situation -- what the solution is, but maybe it is bankruptcy.

One thing when Mayor Connors tried to implement the commuter tax he was denied by the local judges but he appealed it and he won in a higher court. Is the city considering possibly doing that?

MS. EVANS: I'm sure it's under consideration, but I haven't received a definite answer.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Now, then the 2011 audit, Councilman Joyce, was that finished yet, do you know?

MR. JOYCE: We received back from
Rossi & Rossi a draft and it's now up to the
city to prepare a management discussion
analysis section, that's customary that they
do that every year, and then they sent that
back to Rossi & Rossi and then Rossi & Rossi
gets that they issue a final audit and there
is an exit conference held so right now the
city has to prepare that section.

MR. SPINDLER: But this was due in May; am I correct?

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: Why did this take so long? To me, this is just total incompetence and I think the city should look for a different firm to do this audit. This is every year anymore. Here we are in January of 2013 and this was due seven months ago and it's still not -- this is just incompetent.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, it really isn't a matter of incompetent on the part of the auditors. I think if we take a trip through time prior to the Doherty administration you will find that audits were generally produced in a timely manner and it wasn't until 2002 and beyond that a pattern of never ending lateness was developed and I think in large part, this actually is something I was going to address under motions, in large part it was due to the fact that the Department of Business Administration had been downsized so severely from 2002 forward so that there aren't the people working there who once did who knew their responsibilities and were able to produce an audit in a timely

fashion.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you.

Someone brought to my attention when Engine

15 on Ash Street was closed that was a

fairly new fire truck I was told and they

say that's in Throop now and someone told me

they saw it at a Throop fire on the news and

Engine 7, which is on Luzerne Street, is

driving a much older vehicle. Can council

look into that and see if that is true?

MS. EVANS: Yes, if you would put in this writing to our office and we'll follow-up on that.

MR. SPINDLER: Okav.

MS. EVANS: I would think the reason the engine would have been in Throop would probably have been the Scranton Fire Department was assisting the Throop Fire Department at the time.

MR. SPINDLER: That's possibly. I was told it was given to Throop.

MS. EVANS: I wouldn't tend to --

MR. SPINDLER: I find that hard to believe, but I was told by a good source.

MS. EVANS: I wouldn't tend to

believe that.

MR. ROGAN: I actually heard the same thing that Mr. Spindler did, and I know a letter was sent months ago that once again we didn't receive a reply from the administration asking if any fire trucks or engines were being loaned out to other municipalities, and I use the terms loaned out, because as Mrs. Evans said, if there is a fire in the neighboring community obviously we want to help them out, but if they are having our equipment on a long-term basis for free that's certainly not something that we want.

MR. SPINDLER: I can see renting it to them maybe, not just giving it to them.

Lastly, a few weeks ago Senator John Blake, I forget if it was in the paper or on the news said that any city that's under Act 47 that didn't come out of distressed status was only Boroughs and he said he thinks this city should revisit Act 47 because it just doesn't seem to be helping us, and I know he is trying to do something else to help the city along, I forget exactly what his idea

__

was, but the statement was that there wasn't a city that was under Act 47 that came out of distressed status, so I think we are just wasting our time with that, we are wasting our time with PEL, I think the city should see if they can get rid of PEL even if we have to get sanctioned. They have done nothing in 20 years. Everybody knows that we are worse off than we were 20 years ago and we never, this city has never been this bad. Never.

I mean, people criticize Mayor

Connors, I think he did a great job. We
didn't have these troubles under Mayor

Connors. It all started in 2002 when Chris

Doherty took office. Again, with the
borrowing and spending. And again, I
implore council not to borrow any more

money. We just cannot do it.

One last question, are the meetings now starting at 6:00?

MS. EVANS: Pardon me?

MR. SPINDLER: Are the meetings starting at 6:00 now?

MS. EVANS: Yes, they are.

1 MR. SPINDLER: Because I was unaware of that. I got here 20 after and to my 2 3 surprise --MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, it was 4 5 advertised in the newspaper. MR. SPINDLER: Okay, I normally 6 7 don't look at advertisements. For years it 8 was 6:30. Okay. Thank you for your time. 9 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 10 MR. TOBIN: Good evening, Council. 11 Happy New Year. 12 MS. EVANS: Happy New Year. My name is Edwin Tobin. 13 MR. TOBIN: 14 You all know me from our great experience with the door down here. Well, it looks 15 16 like I'm going to have to do the same thing 17 with your crosswalks. 18 Before I go any further I want to 19 ask you one question, Mrs. Evans, who paid 20 for the DPW men to spend last Sunday down on 21 Lackawanna Avenue cleaning the snow away so 22 we could have a New Year's Eve party? 23 MS. EVANS: Well, I'm sure that will 24 cost us through our budget which means the 25 taxpayers of Scranton.

MR. TOBIN: Now, we can spend tax money to have a party but we can't take tax money to clean crosswalks? Right out here in front of city hall I want you all to go take a look when you go home. This side beautiful, no problem. The other side, snow up like (demonstrating) this on Mulberry and North Washington. A little bitty path.

Can't fit a wheelchair through there, but yet come on downtown. Shop downtown. How the hell do we do it? Excuse my French.

This was turned in right after the first snow storm, took it down to the mayor's office, the lady that -- not the regular girl that's his secretary she was on vacation or something, it was another lady. She says, "I agree with you. I will call them right now and tell them."

She called DPW. She can't remember who she got. "Oh, we were down and got them all cleaned already."

I come back. Hum. Hum. I guess
I'm seeing things. It wasn't cleaned. I
went down a couple of days later, got the
young lady that is the secretary, "We'll get

at it."

I went in today at 10:00 and complained about it. She called, she said she called, and they said, "Well, we made a little path, but we'll get somebody right down to take care of it."

It's still the same way. You know what, if this was the -- if this was the federal government and the president was pulling something like this he would be brought up on charges for not doing his duty. Federal law says they are to be accessible at all times. You wonder why I'm getting so mad and agitated, I like to be able to go around and move. I don't want to sit in my apartment all winter long.

Your garage, you know, your garage on Linden Street there, it's just now that you can get through that little what is it Forest Street that comes out there? Ice and snow like that. (Indicating.) The parking lot up here next to the garage, ice and snow like that. (Indicating.)

I come up Mulberry Street this afternoon, I had one hell of a job getting

up because we got a bench here where people sit to go for the bus and then we have a path like this on the sidewalk, yet we have an ordinance that the sidewalks are to be cleaned after so many hours; right? Am I right?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TOBIN: You don't need to raise taxes, all you need to do is get a man to enforce the laws you got now on the books.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Tobin.

MR. TOBIN: You would come out of debt easy.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TOBIN: Get policemen on the street. I had a young girl miss me by that much in the intersection down on Spruce and Wyoming. She pulled in by the bank there, what used to be a bank, to let a passenger off. And I said to her, I says, "Do you know what the crosswalk laws are?"

And she squinted up at me and she said, "What's a crosswalk?"

She is driving an automobile and she don't know what a crosswalk is. I just

coming down now right to turn here on Washington Avenue, the car was right behind me. If we got our policemen out and we got our laws enforced by our mayor you wouldn't need to raise taxes and we wouldn't be hearing about the University so much or the hospitals. I agree they should pay something.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TOBIN: Commuter tax, I'm glad you didn't get it. I was in favor of it, but what good is it doing to put these new taxes on if we are not going to enforce the law --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TOBIN: -- to make money. Who is responsible to enforce the laws? This is the only place that I know of that a mayor can say to the President of the United States and to the United States government, "I don't care what you say about intersections, I'm not going to take care of them."

MS. EVANS: Well, I realize what you are saying, Mr. Tobin, thank you very much,

1 but we must move onto other speakers. 2 MR. TOBIN: I mean, I did it once, I 3 don't like doing it, but if I have to I have the number and telephone number and 4 5 everything alls I have to do is call them 6 and maybe we can get something done around 7 here without every year 12 percent increase, 8 22 percent increase. What are we going to 9 wind up like Hazelton? 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Tobin. 11 MR. TOBIN: I wish I could speak to 12 the safety director. I wish he would call 13 me because I think it's time we talk about 14 safety. There is no safety here in these intersections. We had one lady get killed. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Mr. Tobin, you can call 17 Chief Graziano about this tomorrow, but we 18 have to move on. I'm sorry, but we have to 19 move on. 20 MR. TOBIN: Yeah, we have to move 21 on. 22 MS. EVANS: Yes. Other speakers 23 have been waiting quite awhile for their 24 opportunity.

MR. TOBIN: I wish somebody would do

25

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something about this --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. TOBIN: -- very fast.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Taxpayer and so forth.

I'd like to mention, first of all, I mentioned last meeting about an expanded recycling program and I'm still trying to -it seems like every time I call the Recycling Center I get no answer on the phone, so I'll try the DPW, but I'd like to know how many trucks a day they take on average down or even a year, and I had an estimate from the union represent that only half of our recyclables is being recycled and the rest is being tossed in the trash and I would agree with that on a general principal, and in realizing that anything that goes down here is free. There is no tipping fee so we heard two or three times tonight about the landfill not being paid in it's entirety this year or whatever and that could be a considerable chunk of money.

suspect it is and I'll try a little harder maybe DPW can come up with an answer for me along with the price of the full trucks of-the tipping fee on the full trucks of trash.

Now, as far as I'm concerned we hear a lot of criticism here and what I feel about politics is if you are trying to attain your campaign promises then it's time for some people to lighten up and stop making a federal case out of it. I don't -- I think bankruptcy is an idiotic idea because it's going to totally take

Democratic process out of our city and we will wind up with a receiver and they will run things whatever damn way they feel like.

If you go on PEL's records for the last 12 years it might not be too good, it might not be very good at all because whenever there was an issue with spending or questionable or somebody not doing, adhering the legislation they had nothing to say.

Nothing to say at all and it's a real shame.

And, furthermore, I'd like to see

I'll be listening to what you have to say on

PILOTS and commuter tax and hopefully some

10

11

12

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

day we can get some kind of committee going or whatever and to our own research. time that we just say no more tax exempts. That's it. No more. I regard the current ones as water under the bridge, they are recalcitrant about paying anything on a PILOT and it's a real shame because if you take the amount of property tax that they would pay if there were a regular profit generating institution it will fill the hole every year for the last how many years and that includes the school district and everywhere else, so how much can we afford to carry the rest of the county on our backs. It's just not --

Now, I'll have to put this in writing, but I see a lot of condemnations in the paper, one of my favorite restaurants got condemned for some reason. I see apartment houses or rental houses being condemned and it's concerning, the one seemed to have a fairly nice family in it and it was about two weeks before Christmas in South Side, so maybe we could tell me, tip me off during motions as to where to go

to get information as to why these were condemned.

And on this snow business, it was my understanding years ago that the institutions and businesses that are adjacent to these like sidewalks they are responsible to clear these and not the DPW.

I used to come downtown after a serious surgery, I was advised to walk for exercise. And I would come downtown, ride the bus down but because it was flat and the sidewalks were supposed to be shoveled that's why I did it.

And, okay, I'll make it in 30 seconds or less, once again, the golden parrot goes to the trade packers. Well, you know, people have taken steps backwards in wages or wages have been flat and going backwards. Social security is one issue, and I agree with it, but it's not keeping up with inflation, but average private sector workers in the working class have dropped as much as 30 percent and some of the professional classes have gained salary and those the only reasons that wages are flat

and not in reverse. So thank you once again, and don't forget out there in TV land call your Congressman and tell them to take that trade pack and stuff it and when he sends you that polite letter back stating that he is creating one job in ten for every one he sends overseas tell him I thought I told you to take that job and/or trade pack and stuff it. Thank you and have a good night and don't forget, bawk, bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. First off, I would like to
empathize with the man in the wheelchair.

As many of you know I stood behind a
wheelchair for five years and I know what
it's like and when you are prohibited from
going where you want because the snow is too
deep.

And also, East Mountain Road the truck traffic it's the same thing there. We get -- that road I'm sure was not built -- not only was it not built for 53-foot

tractor trailers that traverse it, but it also has signage saying, "Trucks For Delivery Only" and I know they are not making deliveries, so it's an issue I guess all over the city.

But I'm mystified as to why there is not a revised millage ordinance on the agenda tonight. I believe last year before the hearing you approved an ordinance at 12 percent for the real estate taxes and then at the last meeting you were increasing it and I don't understand why that's not on because won't the bills be going on? Pat, you said the county would not go along with extending the period this year, so I would think the bills should be going out within the next couple of weeks.

MR. JOYCE: We didn't receive anything from the law department as far as a revised bill that's why we are still waiting, that's why not it's not on the agenda tonight.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and when does that have to be to the Single Tax Office? I assume they are the ones that do the

printing?

MR. JOYCE: Yeah. In fact, I was surprised that there wasn't an ordinance and that it wasn't something that was on the agenda myself and I'm assuming that it will be something that the law department will send down by next week.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Because I was downright shocked tonight because it said on the agenda tonight and the agenda there was a problem in the IT Department getting it posted so I didn't know until I saw it tonight and I was amazed.

Now, December 15 there was a newspaper article, I believe December was a Friday, that reported the city had been advised that the estimated revenue to be collected from a nonresident on Wednesday, as in the day before your last council meeting for 2012. This taxpayer would like to know before you explain why we were not informed of this change prior to your vote, your final vote on the budget during fifth -- during Seventh Order?

MR. JOYCE: Could you please repeat

1 that one more time, I'm sorry? MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. 2 There was a 3 change in the revenue, estimated revenue to be collected. You knew that there was not 4 5 going to be - you were advised there was not going to be a nonresident commuter tax on 6 Wednesday. On Thursday you approved the 7 8 budget. Why was that not shared with us 9 that night? MR. JOYCE: As far as a nonresident 10 commuter tax not being approved? 11 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. I mean. that 13 has a big bearing on the budget and if you 14 knew that wasn't happening that you were advised on Wednesday. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: I received word of the 17 nonresident income tax not being approved 18 after the budget was already approved. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: On Thursday night 20 or on Friday? 21 MR. JOYCE: I'd have to go back 22 exactly and check my calendar. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, okay. 24 monthly cash flow I've been asking is the 25 2013 monthly cash flow projection available.

1 MR. JOYCE: Not yet. 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Do you have 3 an ECD? 4 MR. JOYCE: Pardon? 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Do you have an estimated completion date when that will be 6 7 available. 8 MR. JOYCE: I don't have an 9 estimated date but I could contact the business administrator and ask him when the 10 11 cash flow report will be available. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Appreciate that. 13 Now, back to some other old business from 14 last year. 408 Cedar Avenue the status of the loan repayment that 408 Cedar had? 15 Still haven't received 16 MR. ROGAN: 17 anything on that. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: I might suggest you 19 might want to follow up. 20 MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: And again, at the 22 September 6 meeting last year Mrs. Evans 23 said, so there was a discussion on 408 Cedar 24 and a letter to be sent to OECD, but Mrs. 25 Evans said, "So as not to confuse the issue,

please attach a second letter to Ms. Aebli requesting identification of any and all accounts and/or programs included in the IDIS 2102 through 2011 and IDIS 2177 through 2012 action plans including 2012 IDIS unobligated funds which did not use the allocated CDBG funding and the results for each."

That's never been received?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't understand that. I really, really don't understand how this can go on for this many months and still be in the dark.

Have ground rules for what is acceptable advertising on city property been defined yet?

MS. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The controller's report for the month of November shows three budget transfers from account in 4310, 01080008520108000854401 in the amounts of five, two and six thousand dollars. This was all within one month. Now, since the total was over the \$10,000 requiring council

1	approval why didn't council vote on this? I
2	think breaking up transfers, budget
3	transfers, that would otherwise require
4	council approval into small increments is a
5	very dangerous precedent to be set. And I
6	would hope that
7	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
8	MS. SCHUMACHER: that would be
9	duly noted. Thank you.
10	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
11	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Janet.
12	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy.
13	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Did you get my
14	card? My Christmas card I mailed to you?
15	Did you get it?
16	MS. EVANS: I got it and I loved it.
17	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Did you get it from
18	me, Pat?
19	MR. ROGAN: Um-hum.
20	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Did you get it
21	already?
22	MR. ROGAN: Yep.
23	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Did you like my
24	Christmas card, Janet? I'm handsome, huh?
25	MS. EVANS: Did you get my card?

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thank you, Janet.

I appreciate it. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: You are welcome.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Really. Happy New Year. Welcome back, city council. Welcome back, everybody. Welcome back.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris.

MS. CHILIPKO: Good evening. I feel like I'm playing to an empty house here.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. CHILIPKO: I heard some good suggestions tonight. Mary Chilipko, resident, City of Scranton, and I think I'm on point with some of my comments as some of my fellow speakers. I just want to remind everybody first that for 2013 the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association will meet every second Tuesday of the month at 6:30 p.m.

My question is how much revenue is being lost in-house? I came partly because I read an article in the paper today about the South Side residents meeting last night and questioning licensing and inspections and permits being pulled and not pulled and favors and who gets pulled and who has to

and who doesn't, very quickly.

One name came up to me recently and it was funny because it was the same name that I saw in the paper today. I think there is favoritism, as I said again. I think there is lot of legal procedures going on within licensing and inspections. I have a copy for you, I have been given a copy of the condemned property list for the City of Scranton I think you will be shocked with what you see, and some of the reasons and some of the code violations and that so very few have been released.

Here is tax money. That's why I say in-house. We are so -- we are like fantasy about some of the things that we can accomplish -- may I approach and give you this?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please. Can we --

MS. CHILIPKO: You can have it.

MS. EVANS: Oh, thank you.

MS. CHILIPKO: There has to be -- is there a way to find out, and I never would think I would agree with Judy Gatelli, but she did say that or mention an inspection or

a permit hotline or try to find out, you know, more info as to what is the situation in that department. As far as she had already mentioned that Mark Seitzinger was supposed to be unable to attend their meeting. She can forget that. I was told that I was the reason that he doesn't help Pinebrook. I just expect him to do his job, but I'm also the reason he doesn't attend meetings because I'm too hard on him.

Boo-hoo. So she shouldn't expect to see him at any of their meetings either.

At one point I received an e-mail regarding a nuisance property on New Street saying he was dotting his i's and crossing his t's because he didn't want his action to get thrown out on a technicality. The next time I saw him it was in the District Attorney's hands now. I believe that was all fantasy.

I agree that condemnation and demolition is a problem in the city. The replacement costs, as I look around even my neighborhood, are like astounding of some of these structures. For the vacant lots it's

been brought up before, for the loss of the tax revenue, codes, buildings that are being expected to be by today's standards that just isn't logical, and then you have unqualified people again, as I have said before, running around and making these decisions.

And I did have another complaint, I also had complaints about snow removal.

Whose job is it? Do we have any anybody that is supposed to inspect? We all know there is an ordinance, is anybody supposed to actually inspect or can you call or go out? You can't possibly -- we can't all possibly call the police department.

MS. EVANS: No, I would think that licensing, inspections and permits, again, is responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance. And very coincidentally, I received an e-mail from a city resident who was noting the city businesses that have not cleared their sidewalks and I think like Mr. Tobin was saying before the city were to actually enforce it's ordinances --

MR. CHILIPKO: There would be a lot

1 of money --2 MS. EVANS: Yes, there would --3 MS. CHILIPKO: Do you know how much money we would make in the last few weeks to 4 5 start off the new year? But they don't Nobody cares because they know 6 7 nothing will happen. Nobody is out there, nobody cares. It is a really problem. 8 9 MS. EVANS: Well, it goes --MS. CHILIPKO: It's the same 10 11 locations every year. Down by the high 12 school we should be ashamed of ourselves. 13 only have a small snow blower, I can't turn 14 it, it's turned to ice. What, do the 15 inspectors get snow days? 16 MS. EVANS: Do they get snow days? 17 MS. CHILIPKO: Do they get snow 18 days? I haven't seen it on the television. 19 Do they get snow days like somebody calls 20 them and says, "You don't have to come in 21 today?" 22 MS. EVANS: I don't believe so, but 23 I feel that --24 MS. CHILIPKO: And I'm joking, but

it's serious.

25

MS. EVANS: No, I understand what you are saying, but I think the buck needs to stop at the top of the hierarchy.

Leadership is responsible when city departments heads or employees are not doing their jobs then it is the responsibility of the executor or the executive of the city, I should say, who has the power to hire and fire to oversee all of this and demand accountability and demand that work is performed appropriately.

MS. CHILIPKO: Well, we have been down that road before.

MS. EVANS: I know.

MS. CHILIPKO: Maybe there is one more year -- I hope whoever the next mayor is can hire some qualified people. This is a big problem, the loss revenue.

MS. EVANS: And if I could jump in and add something, and hold her time, please. My additional concern is with revenue sources coming into this city that, you know, we don't have this concerted effort, this ambition to do the job. In other words, there is an agreement to put

24

25

numbers into a budget, to put ideas into a revised recovery plan, but then they have to come to fruition, and what's happening is people aren't living up to their responsibilities. People who are supposed to do the work, who are supposed to oversee the revenue, whether it's rental registration, whether it's enforcement of these ordinances, it just all seems to slide by, but it's not going to be able to continue for much longer because the fact of the matter is if city workers don't want -and had goes from, you know, every department and department heads. Some people do a great job and they are irreplaceable, but there are a lot of people who don't do much of a job and whether that's a lack of a work ethic or an inability to do the job because they are basically not qualified to hold that job, well, that now becomes irrelevant because the money needs to be produced that has been placed into these documents and if people aren't doing it then there is going to have to be consequences for this.

And by consequences I mean that the city is going to wind up losing certain chunks of itself, and I think, you know, we all agree bankruptcy is a very bad thing. I have read article after article now about cities in the United States and the shape they are in from bankruptcy, how everyone -- each individual has had to become their own police officer and they take turns standing on corners and guarding their properties and guarding stores because as a result of bankruptcy their police force is decimated.

Well, you know, I also think you could only, as people said, you can only borrow so much. So where are you going to go? And it can't be the taxpayers all the time because they don't have it and anyone with a brain in his or her head knows the vast majority of Scranton residents can't afford monumental tax increases.

Now, the city has been dying. No one has wanted to help the city, whether it's the state, whether it's commuters, whether it's nonprofits, whether it's tax exempts, they have all turned away and said,

"We are just going to stand by and watch the city die."

So what's going to have to happen, you know, the only answer here is going to be if city workers don't want to do their jobs, don't want to bring the revenue in that's been plugged into all of these documents in order to keep this city operating and to keep them in their jobs there is going to be -- there is going to be drastic consequences. I'm sorry, I didn't mean that --

MS. CHILIPKO: I want follow up on that with two subjects, the major problem with not doing their jobs or knowing or whatever they are is with licensing and inspections. DPW I really wasn't, you know, saying about the workers and the DPW Department, that with the snow issue is more like private individuals and businesses that just get away with not clearing sidewalks.

MS. EVANS: And DPW it's not their job to police them.

MS. CHILIPKO: Right.

MS. EVANS: It's the job of LIPS.

. 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHILIPKO: What's that?

MR. ROGAN:

MS. EVANS: Licensing, inspections and permits.

MS. CHILIPKO: Oh, okay. I forgot.

I would just add that, I

was going to say this during motions, but I have had so many complaints, and I'll elaborate on it during motions as well, but I'm going to ask that we send a letter to not only to the DPW, but some of the concerns are on city property. Lackawanna Avenue Bridge, for example, the sidewalks, other streets which I will get into that later, and private property. I have been contacted by half a dozen people who complained about private properties that haven't cleaned their sidewalks or they plowed their lot and plowed the lot onto the sidewalk, things of that nature, so I think what needs to happen is council, the mayor, the head of LIPS and the head of the DPW all need to sit down and figure out how this problem is going to be addressed because we are not going to be lucky as we were last year to only have an inch of snow, and we

already see just first week of January we already have two snow storms and every time it snows my phone probably rings just as much as dispatch and the DPW, but I answer my phone and I'm talking to these people and they are upset. It's people that are driving, people that are walking, people in wheelchairs, it's everyone in the city, but I'll address it more under motions.

MS. CHILIPKO: If you could please add the area around the high school, like the Olive Street area. I don't know who is responsible for that, but that's embarrassing.

One last thing, I did have two homeless gentlemen approach me as a neighborhood leader, it's only a description, that's what they, you know, introduced themselves as and they want to let me know that they were having trouble walking to and from the soup kitchen because the sidewalks were not cleared. And then they also told me that, you know, they're tired and afraid because they're getting yelled out by the drivers and everybody is

blowing their horns at them what can I do about it, how could I help them? And I said the only thing that I can tell them is spring will be here soon. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan, do you have any motions or comments tonight?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I guess I'll pick up where I was talking about the snow removal, and I'm not going to be beat up on any department or any individual, but the snow removal and particularly with the first smaller storm was terrible throughout the city, and not only from the city's aspect, but also from the private individuals and business owners.

As most people know, and I just hope this message gets out there, that if you own a property or a business and you don't clear your sidewalks within 24 hours from the snow you can receive a citation. Now, I do agree with comments that were made that the city

needs to do a better job of enforcement on that ordinance. Now, hopefully nobody gets a ticket because everyone is clearing their sidewalks, that's the best scenario, but if not I would bet that if you just had an additional inspector go around and focus on those type of issues that would pay their salary and then some.

I have had -- these are just a couple of the stories that I have had and, Mrs. Krake, I am going to give you all of these to send out. A resident who lives in South Scranton they have coal heat. Their coal truck couldn't deliver their heat because Hamm Court wasn't plowed. The truck couldn't get up the hill. You know, South Side, West Side, the Hill section, Scranton is very hilly. This wasn't the day of the snow storm or the day after, this was today.

And I understand there has to be, and I think everyone agrees there has to be an order of priority of how roads are cleared, but this many days following a storm, we're five days now following the storm, every road in this city should be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cleared and nobody in the city should have to go without heat or the fear that they are going to run out of fuel because the truck couldn't get there.

Another example also in South Side, Brook Street and Remington Drive there is a DayCare right there, and I have driven down there, it's a pretty large DayCare. I would gander to say there is probably 100 children Obviously the children aren't driving but they have parents that pick them up. There is buses that bring them to and from school. That intersection is an "L". You go down Brook and you turn onto Remington. It's actually right behind the Dunkin Donuts in the South Side Shopping Center, right behind there. When you go back there that road looks like an ice skating ring. That was one of the worst onces in the city.

Down in other parts of West Scranton roads were deplorable and the day after a snow storm you can kind of forgive it, you say, "All right, there is a lot of roads to be plowed in the city."

And another thing that I wanted to mention, it's also illegal to throw your snow from your sidewalks or your parking spot in the middle of the street because that also happens and then it thaws and then that creates ice, but there is problem, it needs to be addressed, and most of the complaints I have had were from South Scranton, West Scranton, a few from Pinebrook and they are the areas of the city where the most population is.

Now, I haven't heard any complaints from Greenridge people, maybe the mayor takes care of his backyard first or maybe it just happened to be they didn't get as much snow on that part the city, but we need to have a plan of snow removal for the city.

Areas where hospitals, schools and DayCares are located should be plowed first. Believe me, I vote for my street to be the first one plowed, but I think by CMC, Mercy and all of the local schools and DayCares should be plowed before my street or a side road, but then a day or two after the storm you expect to see all of the roads in the city cleared.

So I would hope that we could get something together, and I'm going to send this, and I have if we can request a caucus with -- especially with the DPW director and Mr. Dougher and Mr. Seitzinger to setup a caucus just to discuss a plan for the city on snow removal. It's not all in the city's hands and city property and it's not all in private hands. The snow has to be removed everywhere. Businesses have to adhere to the law in the city.

Avenue to work every day and today the sidewalks on that bridge, which I believe are still the city's responsibility to clear, were covered. And when you let it go, and as you know if you have ever not shoveled for a day or two and you let it sit there it becomes ice and then it becomes nearly impossible to clear. So at this point in time it would take somebody -- probably somebody a day of hard manual labor to clear those sidewalks, but if it was done right after the snow storm somebody could have did it with a snow blower or a shovel

within a few hours.

So that is definitely something that needs to be discussed by everyone, and again, I'm not picking on one department over another or businesses, but we need to all get together and take care of that.

MS. EVANS: If I could, I agree with what you are saying, and I noticed that over the vacation there was an emergency declaration from the mayor, although it might -- I can't recall, it might have been signed by Ryan McGowan, Deputy Mayor --

MR. JOYCE: I think it was.

MS. EVANS: -- that authorized hiring all of these contractors for snow removal, many of whom are the very same towers that were here several weeks ago about the storage yard, and it seems that between the DPW employees and these independent plowers and snow removers that it all should have been taken care of.

And probably, you know, the last aside I would add to it, I live in Greenridge and I can tell you that my area, my street, wasn't done. We had that first

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

snow fall I believe on December 26, nothing was done for days, that just remained, and then after the second snow fall it might have been very late Saturday evening or the early hours of the Sunday that was the first time a tow truck came through.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, the second -- I have complaints on both, and I'm sure we all have, the second storm seems the response was a little bit better, still not up to par, but it's definitely -- I don't think there is anyone in the city that would say that the service is up to the standard it should be. And what is even more frustrating was the article in the Scranton Times where, you know, I'm not sure what, the city spent a lot of money on overtime clearing for the First Night necessities, they can't clear sidewalks for a resident who is in a wheelchair, so hopefully this will be addressed.

Next, onto a few issues, a few articles that were in the paper over the break that I wanted to bring up, and these are all specifically concerning Mr. McGowan.

And whether you support or opposed the commuter tax the court testimony really showed how disfunctional the City's Business Administrations Office is, and I have two articles here. The first one "Scranton Single Tax Office Disputes Testimony in Commuter Tax Hearing."

And the second one, "Judges Reject the Scranton Commuter Tax."

I'm sure most of us have read both of these, but I just want to quote a couple of parts that really struck me.

"Contradicting testimony in Scranton's commuter tax hearing that the city had not receive certain wage taxes from the Single Tax Office, officials from that office said Thursday it had remitted \$600,000 to the city in 2010.

Wednesday's ruling by a panel of judges rejecting the commuter tax cited testimony from city Business Administrator Ryan McGowan that the city annually keeps more than \$500,000 in earned income taxes on nonresident commuters whose hometowns don't have an EIT, but the city "inexplicably" did

not receive any of this tax in 2010.

Mr. McGowan also testified during the commuter tax hearing last week that he could not explain this discrepancy because he was not the business administrator in 2010."

Going on in the article, I'm not going to read it, it's multiple pages, it was yet another mistake by the Business Administrator Office. The money was received by the city, and I believe it was actually almost \$600,000, \$601,000 and it was placed into the wrong account.

Now, this is going back nearly two and a half, three years, where this money was misplaced. And granted, Mr. McGowan may not have been the business administrator in 2010, but he was in 2011 and he was in 2012 and when you are preparing to be in court and have to tell the truth and know the facts to present a case he once again let everyone down.

Next, "Judges Reject Scranton

Commuter Tax." In this article it says,

"The city's commuter tax revenue estimate

also changed during the Court proceedings
that took place on December 11, 12 and
Friday. The petition initially estimated
that a 1 percent commuter tax would generate
\$4 million per year in the latter two years
but only 2.5 in the first year because of
the lag in obtaining full collections.

However, when asked by judges to back up that estimate with actual numbers on how much EIT is produced by the city, the city's witnesses on Friday testified to a revised estimate that a commuter tax would raise around \$4 million this year and \$6.7 in 2014 and 15. Again, with the differences because of a start up lage between year one, two and three."

So, once again, we have a business administrator whose job it is to do these projections off by millions of dollars, and I think it was embarrassing for the whole city when you have somebody testifying on behalf of the city, whether you agree or disagree with why we were there, that he is that far off on these numbers.

Also, when the testimony first

began, and I couldn't find it, I know it was in one of the Scranton Times' articles, Mr. McGowan was off by tens of thousands on how many commuters that actually are in the city. Going back to Mr. McGowan when he first took over as business administrator, there was an issue of \$3 million of parking meter money that was missing for over three years, and once again, it was just attributed to a mistake.

Obviously there have been numerous mistakes made by the Business

Administrator's Office, and as Mrs. Evans stated, only the mayor can hire or fire, but I would like to make a motion, and I'll pass out a copy to my colleagues, requesting for the resignation or termination of City Business Administrator Ryan McGowan, and that is a motion.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor, is there a second? The motion dies for lack of a second.

 $\label{eq:MR.JOYCE: I'll second that just so} \mbox{ we could vote.}$

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Just to elaborate a little bit further, Ryan McGowan as a person is a nice guy, I don't have problem with him, but if you look at this pattern of mistake after mistake after mistake, when you are going into a court of law and you are on basically the biggest stage possible, you cannot lie, you have to tell the truth or you could go to jail for it, and he makes all of these mistakes.

Now, I don't believe that he was cooking the numbers to make it look like the city was actually going to bring in less money to possibly fill gaps in other areas of the budget that might not be obtained. If that is possible he lied under oath, and I'm not accusing him of that, but he should have known what the actual numbers are. It shouldn't take going to court in an open court for these numbers to come out. He is a full-time business administrator. He has mid over \$100,000 of taxpayers money in the past two years and not one item has been correct so that is why I believe he should

be either resign on Mayor Doherty should terminate him.

MR. JOYCE: Just to speak a little bit about where the numbers for the commuter tax projections came from, I actually do have a study from the Institute on Public Policy Development that did suggest that there would be \$2.5 million in revenue in year one and \$4 million in year two and three and that was confirmed by PEL. Now, somehow that all changed when the numbers from Burkheimer came in that showed that we had more commuters working in the City of Scranton than was originally projected in the study, so I can understand why there would be a discrepancy in that.

However, the 888 money I do remember seeing that from Tax Collector Courtright that we did receive that money back in 2010.

But as far as the decision to hire and fire the business administrator, I don't believe that's within council's scope, so I'm going to abstain from voting on this motion.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree it is not

2
 3
 4

in the scope of the council, it's a motion, it's not binding, just the reason I'm making it is to put our opinions as a council on record. Again, the mayor is going to do what he wants, that's what he has done the last 11 years, he hasn't listened to anyone other than himself, but it's just been, you know, one thing after another with these numbers not adding up from the business administrator's office.

MS. EVANS: From what I'm hearing from both of my colleagues you are making valid points. However, unfortunately, tonight we are missing two of our colleagues and so I would ask that this would be tabled until such time as the entire council was present so that if, indeed, this passes it's coming as a message from an entire council hopefully rather than a quorum.

MR. ROGAN: I would be agreeable to that and also it would give -- and the motion does state either Mr. McGowan to resign or for the mayor to terminate him, it would also give Mr. McGowan a week to reflect on his performance in his capacity

as business administrator and maybe step aside and let somebody else take the job, somebody else that's going to come in and actually do the job properly and hopefully these numbers in the future will be provided to council and to the public, proper numbers, and I have no problem if somebody wants to make a motion to table the motion.

MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to table.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. Councilman Rogan's motion is tabled until such time as we have a full attendance by city council.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And just one other comment on the agenda items, they are all appointments to boards and commissions, and as always, if the appointee sends in a resume they usually gets council's stamp of approval. Not to be picking on the Business

Administrator's Office today, I see that Mr. Renda, a former business administrator, is going to be reappointed to the Sewer Authority and I believe that the previous business administrator is part of the reason why we are in this mess we are now so that appointment I will oppose whether or not he sends in a resume.

And that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any motions or
comments tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'm a little bit under the weather so I'll be brief tonight, but first I'd like to wish everybody a very happy and prosperous new year. In regards to tonight's agenda items, as Councilman Rogan said we have various appointments and reappiontments to boards of commissions.

I'd like to express that I'll be voting "yes" to introduce all of the appointments, however, I will require that a resume or letter of interest be sent to council's office by next week's meeting before voting

"yes" for final approval.

To report, over the holiday Scranton City Council received correspondence from Rossi & Rossi, our independent auditors regarding the 2011 audit. At this point Rossi & Rossi has sent us a draft of the audit report from which the city is to prepare the city's management discussion and analysis section of the final report.

At this point, the city needs to prepare the management discussion and analysis section. Once this is done, Rossi & Rossi will complete the final audit report and an exit conference will be held.

Mr. Krake, with this in mind can you please contact Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, and inquire when will the city complete the management discussion and analysis section?

Scranton City Council has also been notified of a contract executed to perform the Scranton Single Tax Office audit for the year ending December 31, of 2011. This contract has been awarded to Bonita and Rainey, certified public accountants. Their

firm is located on 3 West Olive Street in Scranton, PA.

Just to inform everyone, the cost of the audit of the Scranton Single Tax Office will be \$35,000. The cost to perform the audit will be shared by the Scranton School District and the City of Scranton with each entity contributing \$17,000 each.

Over the holiday the City of Scranton received a PILOT or payment in lieu of taxes from Covington Presbyterian Church in the amount of \$1,000. At this time, I'd like to take the opportunity to thank the church for their contribution and being a good neighbor.

And tonight's I have some citizens' requests as well. I have been informed by several residents of Rock Street that since the building across from the Imperial Bakery has been torn down mice have been infesting the homes in the 1200 block of Rock Street. This is becoming quite a problem as these residents have never had rodent problems in the past and since the building across from the bakery has been torn down mice have

become quite the problem.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please contact our animal control officer about this problem and inquire if anything can be done to help the residents on this block of Rock Street.

A concerned West Scranton resident reports that there has been a car parked across from 312 16th Street for over a month and is becoming quite an eyesore to residents. The concerned resident reports that the car is a gray Volkswagon that has rusted out and would like to see something done about the nuisance car.

Mrs. Krake, please forward this request to acting Chief Graziano and inquire about a control person to check out the problem.

And finally, several residents
living on Birney Avenue have reported that
motorists are speeding up the street and it
is resulted in sideswiped car and damaged
driver's side mirrors for residents that
park on the street. These residents living
on Birney Avenue would like to see some

increased speeding enforcement on the street as speeding motorists are becoming problematic.

So with this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please forward this request to Chief Graziano and inquire as to whether a patrol person can be sent out to the area and watch for speeding, and that's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. I wish to begin by recognizing Covenant Presbyterian Church for it's 2012 payment in lieu of taxes. Scranton City Council and Scranton taxpayers are most grateful for the generous donation of \$1,000 to help our city.

It has come to my attention that vehicles are parking for free on Mulberry Street and Colfax Avenue, the streets that surround the Audubon School across from Geisinger CMC. Parking meters are in place in the streets surrounding other city hospitals and although there is metered parking for some areas around CMC, the area across the street needs to be examined.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please

contact Standard Parking to request meter installation on the blocks surrounding Audubon School.

Next, I would like to address the issue of continuous truck traffic on Lake Scranton Road and offer solutions to remedy this problem. In 2012, city council legally and lawfully adopted File of Council No. 70, and ordinance drafted by the City Law Department to prohibit truck traffic with certain exemptions off Lake Scranton Road from Route 307 northeasterly to Elmhurst Boulevard in the City of Scranton.

Thereafter, the City of Scranton was threatened with a lawsuit if it enforces it's ordinance and posts signage.

Mr. Burke, who spoke earlier this evening and is a residents of Lake Scranton Road, feels that public safety is jeopardized by a Dunmore businesses need to get trucks to and from their destination as quickly as possible. In 2010, land was purchased to create an access road to a dumping site and D's U-Pull It. Prior to 2010, no major truck traffic existed on Lake Scranton Road,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is a peaceful two-block residential area comprised of I believe 20 homes. It's a narrow street with no sidewalks that has not been paved in approximately 20 years according to homeowners. The continuous truck traffic to and from Dunmore has further deteriorated the condition of this Scranton road.

It seems there are a few available options to solve this issue. Apparently for 48 years prior to the development of an access road in 2010 commerce occurred successfully and without creating problems for Scranton homeowners and taxpayers. seems there is another route available to truck traffic on Elmhurst Boulevard off of Blue Shutters Road. When traveling south on Pennsylvania 307 turn right onto Blue Shutters Road State Route 2010, follow Blue Shutters Road for 3.1 miles and turn left onto Elmhurst Boulevard. Elmhurst Boulevard runs parallel to Interstate 380 and leads directly to the access road created for the dump site. Thus, it appears that the City of Scranton is not responsible for land

locking a Dunmore business.

Another possible option may be the use of smaller trucks to transport dirt as has been documented by Mr. Burke as well as flattened cars, bail mount and recyclables, and that is according to Attorney Belardi's letter. An agreement to repair and maintain Lake Scranton Road for as long as trucks continue to travel on it.

Certainly no business would wish to jeopardize the daily safety and quality of life of Scranton homeowners and no homeowners wish to stymie commerce. There are options available outside of litigation against the City of Scranton, a financially distressed and struggling municipality that suffers from no assistance from large nonprofits, tax exempts, commuters and the state. It seems there can be no financial gain from litigation for either side.

Rather, the goal should be to settle this issue in a manner that is satisfactory to all and that upholds very important the safety, welfare and quality of life of Scranton residents.

Further, although, similar signage has been posted in Scranton without commissioning an engineering study, the issue has been raised by the attorney for DeNaples Auto Parts.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to city engineer John Pocius and Mayor Doherty requesting an engineering study of Lake Scranton Road and the related affects of tractor trailer truck traffic.

Also, Mrs. Krake, please contact
Pennsylvania DEP concerning the dirt that is
being transported. Perhaps it could perform
soil test testing on the dirt that is being
transported from I'm not sure where, perhaps
the Poconos, and if they can also perform
testing on the area in which it is being
dumped.

Next, a draft of the audit report
was submitted to the Office of City Council
by Robert Rossi and Sons on December 27,
2013. Following the exit conference between
the auditor and city officials and the
delivery of the final 2011 audit to
council's office, council Finance Chair will

announce the long-term debt of the city as well as other financial information.

More importantly, however, at the conclusion of this process I ask that the auditors, the business administrator, all business administration department employees, the city clerk and our finance chair would meet to map out a more efficient and effective procedure to complete the 2012 audit in a timely manner.

Throughout my terms on Scranton City
Council the annual audit has never been
submitted to city council by the May 31
deadline included in the Home Rule Charter
and perhaps that date may have become
inappropriate or overly ambitious due to the
downsizing of the Department of Business
Administrator which occurred beginning in
2002 through 2011.

However, the problem of past due audits has significantly increased in the last few years. While the audit process began in February, as is customary, the finalized audits were not completed until nearly a year later. That is seven to eight

months past the charter deadline. In an effort to remedy this problem, two positions were added in the 2013 budget, one by clerical contract and the other by a grant and it is essential that these positions be filled as soon as possible.

It's also imperative to train new and current employees in their daily duties related to the annual audit and develop individual responsibilities for all information requested and required by the auditors.

In addition, city authorities must be put on notice that their audits or financial statements are due on or before the end of the second financial quarter.

Therefore, a draft -- or excuse me, thereafter, a draft of the audit report should be submitted to city council in July 2013 with the exit conference following no later than in August of 2013 in order that the final audit is available in September as the annual budgetary process begins.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send letters to the mayor, the appropriate

municipal authorities, the BA and Robert
Rossi & Sons requesting an initial meeting
during the first week of the February.

And finally, I just have two citizens' requests: Scranton residents report that there are huge potholes on the corner of Curtis Lane and Bedford Street as well as in front 1000 Curtis Lane. In order to avoid these cavernous holes drivers swerve dangerously close to parked cars.

Although, residents have called the DPW nothing was done. Please send a request to the DPW to fill these holes as soon as weather permits. This is a second request, the first having been made in October of 2012.

Residents report that lack of the snow removal by city businesses, and I will forward the specific lists to you,

Mrs. Krake, to report to the department head, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ACCEPTING A ONE HUNDRED (\$100.00) DOLLAR DONATION FROM NEI AMBULATORY SURGERY, INC. PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.

1	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. JOYCE: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. APPOINTMENT OF
14	JOSEPH DEANTONA, 1331 CORNELL STREET,
15	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18504 AS A MEMBER OF
16	THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
17	AUTHORITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR
18	TERM. MR. DEANTONA'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON
19	DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL
20	EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2017.
21	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
23	introduced into its proper committee.
24	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
25	MR. JOYCE: Second.

	100
1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor of introduction signify by
3	saying aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
6	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
7	have it and so moved.
8	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. APPOINTMENT OF JOHN
9	GRANAHAN, 1504 PRICE STREET, SCRANTON,
10	PENNSYLVANIA 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE
11	SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
12	AUTHORITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR
13	TERM. MR. GRANAHAN'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON
14	DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL
15	EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2017.
16	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. APPOINTMENT OF

JACK DELEO, 125 WHITETAIL DRIVE, SCRANTON,

PENNSYLVANIA 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE

SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY FOR

AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR.

DELEO'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31,

2012 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON

DECEMBER 31, 2017.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? I know Mr. Deleo, he is a very fine individual, hardworking, family man, man of faith, very professional. However, I do not approve of many of the actions of the Scranton Recreation Authority and I have said so consistently for the past several years I do not feel that they should continue to be in existence. I further do not feel that the authority ever should have been created and

1 so this is nothing personal against 2 Mr. Deleo or Mrs. Gleason, whose appointment 3 will be voted upon next, but I will be 4 voting "no" to both pieces of legislation. All those in favor of introduction 5 signify by saying aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 9 MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes have it and so moved. 10 MS. KRAKE: 5-F. APPOINTMENT OF 11 12 COLLEEN GLEASON, 2104 CAPOUSE AVENUE, SCRANTON. PENNSYLVANIA 18509 AS A MEMBER OF 13 14 THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MRS. 15 GLEASON'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 16 17 31, 2012 AND HER NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON 18 DECEMBER 31, 2017. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 19 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 20 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? 25 those in favor of introduction signify by

1 saying aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 3 4 MS. EVANS: Opposed? No. The ayes have it and so moved. 5 6 MS. KRAKE: 5-G. APPOINTMENT OF 7 STUART RENDA, 1112 WOODLAWN STREET, 8 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18509 AS A MEMBER OF 9 THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD FOR AN 10 ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. RENDA'S 11 CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31, 2012 12 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 13 2017. 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 15 16 introduced into its proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 24 MR. ROGAN: No. 25 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so

	112
1	moved.
2	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO
3	BUSINESS AS THIS TIME.
4	SEVENTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS
5	TIME.
6	
7	MS. EVANS: If there is no further
8	business, I'll entertain a motion to
9	adjourn.
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
11	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
12	adjourned.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER