	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, December 13, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	AMELIA NICOL, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	
25	

		2
1		
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:	
3		
4	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT	
5		
6	FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT	
7	ROBERT MCGOFF	
8		
9	PAT ROGAN	
10	JOHN LOSCOMBE	
11	NANOV KRAKE OTTV OLERK	
12	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK	
13 14	JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
15	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR	
16	BOTE HOUNES, SOCIOTION	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Г

1 (Pledge of Allegiance) 2 MS. EVANS: Please remain 3 standing for a moment of reflection 4 for our servicemen and women 5 throughout the world and for all those 6 who died in the last week, 7 particularly the Rev. Daniel O. 8 Waters. Associate Pastor of Bethel AME 9 Church, loving cousin and friend; 10 Nancy D. Bisignani, beloved wife, 11 mother of Judge Margaret Bisignani 12 Moyle, grandmother, sister, and former 13 president and member of the 14 Architectural Heritage Association; 15 Mary Louise Mayer, devoted wife, mother of Scranton Police man Michael 16 17 Mayer and Scranton School Direct 18 Kathleen McGuigan, grandmother and 19 aunt and their dear families and many 20 friends who suffer their loss. Also 21 please remember in your payers Mrs. 22 Santoli, wife of Tony Santoli who 23 suffered a stroke. 24 (Silent Moment of Reflection.) 25 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Here.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with
11	the reading of the minutes, please.
12	MS. KRAKE: 3rd ORDER, 3A. TAX
13	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL REPORT FROM
14	HEARING DATE OCTOBER 31, 2012.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any
16	comments? If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3B. MINUTES OF THE
18	REGULAR MEETING OF THE SCRANTON
19	HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD ON NOVEMBER 5,
20	2012.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any
22	comments? If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3C. MINUTES OF THE
24	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING
25	HELD NOVEMBER 14, 2012.
	1

MS. EVANS: Are there any 1 2 comments? If not, received and filed. 3 MS. EVANS: Do we have any 4 clerk's notes tonight, Mrs. Krake? 5 MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans. 6 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any 7 council members have announcements at 8 this time? 9 MR. ROGAN: Yes, I have one. 10 Just pardon me one second. Giovanni 11 Piccolino, owner of Buono Pizza sent a message. He's having a free Christmas 12 13 lunch at Buono Pizza from 11 to 2. 14 will also be delivering meals to any 15 elderly who cannot make it or somebody 16 who isn't mobile. They can contact 17 Giovanni at 342-4032. They can call 18 on Christmas Day on ahead of time. 19 Everything is free of charge. 20 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 21 MS. EVANS: Scranton City Council 22 will not meet on Thursday, December 23 27th, 2012. However, if there should 24 agenda items submitted by the mayor to 25 be addressed as an emergency, a

meeting will be conducted on December 20th, 2012.

Tomorrow at Hershey Park Stadium the Dunmore Bucks will play defending state champion Clairton for the PIAA Class A State Championship. We wish them the best of luck and a victory in tomorrow's game.

Also on behalf of Scranton City
Council I'd like to wish everyone a
very blessed and Mary Christmas, Happy
Hanukkah, Joyous Kwanzaa and a very
healthy, happy and prosperous new
year.

And speaking of the holidays, city council has some special guests but I think they're much too slim and clean shaven to be mistaken for Santa. And so we welcome Mayor Doherty and Business Administrator Ryan McGowan to our chambers once again to address city council and the people of Scranton. Mayor?

MR. DOHERTY: Thank you, Mrs. Evans. If I may, I'm going to

approach and hand you a letter.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOHERTY: Mrs. Evans. I come tonight to ask this council to consider amending the mayor's 2013 operating budget, to increase property taxes from 12 percent to 22 percent. It would be a ten percent. The ten percent increase would be in order to comply with Judge Brien's ruling that allowed the city to go out for its second unfunded debt and have a dedicated millage towards that. Ι would like to, first of all, congratulate council and their hard work as we put that second unfunded debt together, the initial ruling had thought it was going to be 12 percent through the hard work of really Mr. Joyce and Mr. McGowan over the last couple days, they've been able to get it down to ten percent.

These are very challenging times for the city, but I have to thank this council for the great work you've done

23

22

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

over the last several months. We've been able to achieve our two unfunded debts, we've been able to achieve a TAN for next year and put the city on good solid base. But I think it is important and that's why I come before you tonight to humbly ask that you consider instituting this because it was the judge's ruling, and I think as a governing body we should abide by the judge's ruling. We've done so much to solidify our base. As we do remember our role here is always to protect the assets of the people who live in our city and primarily that is in our homes and I think back over the last year and I look at all of you and all the help that you've given to us.

Mr. Loscombe, I think of the two weeks you and I spent at the University of Scranton as we negotiated long term contracts for our public safety unions which has brought tremendous savings for us in the future but really has given us

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stability as we look towards the future and we look to borrow and run the city's budget. Giving us that base has been a tremendous help to us. It would not come about without your help. Being a fireman, you had the ability but also you had the knowledge to reach across the table as you were bargaining or behalf the city but understanding how we can come to a deal. It really wouldn't have happened, Jack, without your great help. And I want to thank you for that because it has made a difference and not does it make a difference at that point in time as we are able to bring labor peace but those agreements resonate through all our discussions. Mr. McGowan and I have spent a lot of time as has Mr. Joyce dealing with financial organizations. And one of things they us is, how have you been able to put this contract together? And I talk about all the great work you did and the help you gave us.

24

25

they're very pleased as the look at our finances say now I know what your costs for the next five years and that came about from your hard work. And I want to thank you because oftentimes we make decisions and then we go on but now as we have the opportunity to look back and see the benefit of that hard work, it has been made a difference. And, you know, as council people you're temporary -- you're not temporary employees but you're part-time employees. You have lives. You all work and do other things and we don't run into each other to really take the moment and say thanks, I really appreciate what you've done, not only for the administration but for the people of our city. You know, it's the type of thing that most people don't recognize but I recognize because I know how difficult it is to get these deals done. It doesn't happen unless we have a long term contract and agreement with the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bargaining units. And I can say as the person who's in that room, it wouldn't have happened without your help. So thank you very much.

And, Mr. Joyce, all the times we've spent together over the last six months, your work with Mr. McGowan, I can't thank you enough. I mean, a lot of tough decisions and a lot of hard work, even today, even yesterday, even over the weekend, the amount of phone calls and e-mails and your willingness to keep pushing, how can we save more, how can we lessen this burden. the truth is, it's through your hard work and the savings that you've been searching for, that allowed us to get a better interest rate. When we went into the market, when Jamie Montgomery out, they had given us an idea that it was going to be a lot higher. from the hard work that you and Mr. McGowan and the rest of this council has done, it made a difference. You saved us money. Which means that

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

savings then goes to the taxpayers.

That's a direct reflection from your hard work. And, again, just as I said to Mr. Loscombe, oftentimes we don't get the chance to say thank you but you've done a great job and I do say

thank you.

And the same is true with Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff, we don't live in a vacuum. All of your suggestions, all of your commitments, all of your willingness to say, hey, maybe we can do it differently. It does resonate with it. And it may not come to fruition tonight, but trust me, it will be in future. There will be things that will happen that will come from suggestions you've made that made us think, you know what, we should look at this differently. Bobby, you spend a tremendous amount of time going to the PEL meetings, you spent this week at the court hearings. take time out of your own private life to dedicate, you know, your service to

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the people of our city. And for Ryan and here, we're here everyday so we just keep working and moving and figuring out how we get these things done but it doesn't happen without your help.

And lastly, Mrs. Evans, without your leadership we wouldn't have been able to get to this point. If we look back to where we were in the summertime and how close we were to going over the cliff, we came together. All of us look at life differently in terms of politics and how we want to go. But we put all that aside so that we can take care of the people that we represent. The people of this city, the city that we But that only comes about from your leadership. And I can attest because you and I speak regularly everyday, and we don't agree all the time but we agree on one thing, we have to make sure we supply the best foundation for our city. And that's

23

24

25

what leadership is about. And I just want to say to all of you, thank you, because we have come together over a difficult time. A lot of people have challenged us and said, I don't know if you'll get this borrowing, I don't know if you'll get to that borrowing but I know when I sit with the people from Levine who flew in from Chicago two weeks and we had to met with them, they met with Frank and they met with Ryan and they posed these questions to us, how are able to come to this resolution. I said, Because everybody on city council and in this city, we want it to work because we understand our obligation to the city we love and the people we represent, not just about today but about a year from now and five years from now and ten years from now. We are a great city. have the lowest crime in Northeastern Pennsylvania and probably in the whole We have economic development state. taking place all the time. You can't

25

just walk out the front of this building and you see our latest example of that, the Chamber of Commerce building. That's our eighth building which apartments have been constructed and renovate din our downtown. No other city in the state has that. We are headed in the right direction. Next year well over 125 million dollars will be spent on construction but we have financial challenges and those challenges have to be met by us, the leaders, and it wouldn't have happened without you. want to say thank because this is a shared government. We all have roles and without council's help, leadership, sacrifice of your own personal time, you all have lives, yet you give of your time to meet at 10 o'clock in the morning and 2 o'clock in the afternoon. You know, Mr. McGowan and I are full-time but you have decided to give. During this critical time in our city's history

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when we are posed to keep growing, we have decided that we are willing to invest in ourselves, that we are worth the investment, this city is worth the investment and it doesn't happen without the five people on this council. So I want to make sure that you know how much I appreciate what you've done. These are not easy decisions but I can tell you they have be the decisions that have allowed us to move forward, and I think going forward that we are poised for even better things. So I just want to say thank you very much and really just to offer you happy holidays. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I agree that this has been arguably the most perilous year in the City of Scranton's history, and I thank you for your willingness to work with this council and myself to make sure the city got through, and I think because of this tremendous joint effort among yourself, your administration, this

1 council, both solicitors, our city 2 clerk, our staff, we've been 3 successful in avoiding bankruptcy. 4 MR. DOHERTY: Right. 5 MS. EVANS: And I do thank you 6 for setting aside your differences 7 with me and your willingness to work 8 with me and with everyone on this 9 council for the betterment of our 10 people and the survival of our city 11 and I think you're right that as we're 12 moving forward, we're beginning the 13 process now of placing the city back 14 onto sound financial footing. 15 MR. DOHERTY: Well, and you have 16 my commitment in 2013. We will 17 continue this and we will grow even 18 better. 19 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 20 MR. DOHERTY: Thank you. 21 MS. EVANS: Now, just one 22 The amendment that you're question. 23 proposing for the additional ten 24 percent and I understand what you're 25 saying it is to comply with Judge

O'Brien's decision for the second unfunded debt and I know that the city has made it's 2013 payment already, and as a result, we had hoped that the Court ordered tax increase wouldn't have occurred until 2014.

MR. DOHERTY: Right.

MS. EVANS: And unfortunately -well, fortunately it's paid for next
year but on the other hand I know that
there were many questions concerning
this particular issue during the Court
case. And do you feel that this can
strengthen the city's position in that
we are respecting the judge's decision
and we are proving to them that the
city does intend to make the payment.

MR. DOHERTY: Yeah, I believe so, and I think you've hit on a perfect point which is people watch what we're doing and -- all the time and are we following what we said we're going to do and are we honoring a judge's decision, and I think that's important that we do that. It shows respect for

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the court and it also lays the foundation, it takes out doubt where people say, Did you pass that yet? Yes. we have. We've dont it. That's beyond us. So many times as we've gotten through as we've gone for our e first unfunded debt and our second unfunded debt which became easier to get, it is because we were able to hit all these landmarks and get past them and people said, you know, in the financial community, you're doing what you said you were going to do and that's what this leadership is about as we lead this city, but it also removes the mystery and we need to show that as we go to ask the court for help during these tough times, we want to show that we're doing everything we're supposed to be doing and we'll continue to do more. We know that we are asking for help and we're very mindful and respectful of that and we're very appreciative of it. We don't take these -- make these

decisions lightly or ask -- make these requests lightly. We understand that these are sacrifices for everyone and we're asking people to come together in this time of need. But I do think this is the right thing to do because it shows that we honor the court's decision and we're respectful of the court.

MS. EVANS: And in addition to that as I mentioned a few minutes ago, the increase was scheduled for 2014 and beyond and if it is moved now into 2013, will that decrease the tax increase that was forthcoming for 2013?

MR. DOHERTY: I think we definitely have the opportunity for that because we have the whole 12 months to work towards that and as we've proven over this last year, we've been very resourceful and very dedicated through the cooperation and that is my hope and that is my goal is what I'm going to push for.

1 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 2 MR. DOHERTY: Than you, Mrs. 3 Evans and thank you to everyone and I 4 wish you all a happy holidays. 5 MS. EVANS: And you as well. 6 Thank you both for coming in this 7 evening. 8 Mrs. Krake? 9 MS. KRAKE: 4TH ORDER, CITIZENS' 10 PARTICIPATION. 11 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker 12 tonight is Ron Ellman. 13 MR. ELLMAN: Hello council. 14 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 15 MR. ELLMAN: Being first doesn't 16 do me any favor, I guess. You know, 17 we've had 25 years of hell and where 18 are we? It's seemed like their 19 policies is nothing more than raise 20 taxes and borrow. In the paper this 21 week he's asking for a raise for Miss 22 He's supposed to be McAndrews. 23 protecting us from these things. 24 me, PEL is destroying the city. 25 Many years ago probably in this

24

25

same room there was a very wise man named Charles Luger, and I don't know how many of you all knew him politically but his solution to the problems that to this city then was to consolidate. Consolidate the city and the county, the fire and the police, all the little towns around here just consolidate. See, all these years PEL has never even come up with a suggestion that's a minute bit of that good. From what I gather Mr. Luger thought to oversee this large amount to use the Sheriff's Office because they were the least politically corruptible at the time. You know, the Catholic church had to consolidate. It made a lot of people mad but it saved them. All car companies consolidated the Boy Scouts. It's something to consider especially when we're on our last leg. taxing these 20,000 people that come and go everyday, that's not a good thing. I've talked to so many of

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think anybody would them. quit their job and go someplace else but it's an unfavorable situation. Ι was talking to Bobby before, Mr. Sheridan. I mentioned -- I've said it up here, if you want to know what is happening in this city, I have a taxi driver, a cab driver I talk to. He tells me things that are never on the television or in the paper. talking to a UPS man a few days ago. He told me things are slower than last year. These people have -- they're on it. They know what is happening. I stopped at a garage to ask if I could park my car there for awhile when I went to the library and they were telling me they rent more trucks and equipment that leaves town than come These things ought to tell people in. something what's going on here.

I'm going to leave you real quick
but I want to tell you a real quick
little story that this relates to the
city. Many years ago I was sitting

23

24

25

with Elvis at MGM they had what they call the Three Corner Church and we would drink the chocolate milk, used to come in little bottles then. And we were talking about a dear friend from Memphis that came and visited named Dewey Phillips. He was in the 40's and 50's a successful DJ in Memphis. His brother, Sam Phillips, that owned Sun Records. And Dewey -this will just take a second. Dewey had this old white double breasted suit and white shoes like Pat Boone wears and he had these expressions from 30 years before. He was sponsored by Falstaff beer and he used to say, Open up a rib and pull it in and get a wheel barrel, push it. He had all these -- see the world had passed Dewey by. He was 20 and 30 years behind. The world has passed Scranton by. We're a 100 years behind. We're not a modern city. You can't have this influx of business and people and everything like we desire

Bob

Council, I'm here tonight to talk

1 being so far behind. Let me just --2 Elvis said -- talking about Dewey. He 3 said every once in awhile you have to 4 stop and just take account of where 5 you are in life and make that next 6 little step up on the ladder. See, 7 Dewey didn't do that, the city is not 8 doing it. All that is happening is 9 the indifference of PEL to the people 10 of this city is destroying it. 11 They're not helping us one bit. Thank 12 you. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 14 Sheridan. 15 MR. SHERIDAN: Good evening, lady 16 and gentlemen of the council. 17 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 18 MR. SHERIDAN: I want to thank 19 you for the opportunity to speak 20 tonight to you on behalf of the 21 council of towers, of 15 towers in the 22 City of Scranton, but not only them 23 but the 85 families that we employ in 24 the City of Scranton.

25

on the storage, that the facility that you are about to put in behind the Scranton Police Department. First of all, we're placing this facility behind the Scranton Police Department which is a gorgeous facility that we built and I was a police officer in the city so I know and I've worked in the city. My name bears on the memorial that is in front of that building, it was part of the council that protects this building and it has the memorial for the Police Department.

First of all, I want to talk about the facility that you are putting in place. The building that you're putting in place and the lot you want to be in place, we need to talk about the cost, okay. Someone last week said that we have a fence, we can put the cars behind this area. Well, first of all, we need to look at the facility, the building that you have to build because you have

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

homicide vehicles, you have drug vehicles and you have all kinds of DUI vehicles that they were involved in serious body injury that has to be stored and sealed off while our detective bureau goes in these buildings and process them. So that's going to be a cost of the building. Then you have to look at what the cost is to maintain this lot because you're going to have to man it 24 hours a days, seven days a week because we're going to be bringing the vehicles in to you and at the gate, then the city is going to take the responsibility of putting it in your facilities which is going to take in to tow trucks, you're going to have personnel because our insurance does not regulate us going into the yard and parking the vehicles in place and pulling them between any other car. You're going to have personnel there to mandate this. talked to several unions. They're not ready to change their description of

22

23

24

25

working. You're going to have to deal with the personal items in these cars. So you're going to have another facility that you're going to have to store the inventory. You're going to have personnel going into the cars that were in serious accidents with a lot of sharp edges on it. We've been trained. We've gone through classes and been trained this. You have to have tow trucks which is going to cost a lot of money. You're going to have to have infrared cameras to protect this. You're going to have liability insurance that is going to have to protect the personal property and the car and the vehicle at all times. And then let's talk about the national historic park that you're putting this behind. What are we going to say when we take our grandchildren into for a train ride and the first thing we see as we drive out in the train, we take the ride and we see a junkyard because that's what we're going to have. We

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to be storing a lot of cars. What are we going to tell our children and our grandchildren when we take them out into the walkway over the mall and we look down at a junkyard because that's what we're going to have. Now, you say that you want all vehicles towed into the City of Scranton. Let's look at the cost factors the numbers that that were inputting in the budget. You didn't take into consideration of all the vehicles, the request outside of the towing list that are asked to have a request for special towing company to come in, tow that vehicle to ABC body shop. That's not going to come into your facility so cannot put that in your number. How about the number of tows that we get as businessmen from our cousins or aunts or sisters or brothers or customers that get in an accident and request our services. They're not going to be coming into your facilities. How will about the

25

massive amount of AAA requested for accidents throughout that you have placed in your numbers that are not going to come to your facility. all comes off the cost of the initial investment that you have placed in your budget and put the storage in that we get overall from that, does not come anywhere near your number you have injected into your budget Now, let's talk about you're tonight. asked to have all the storage or the all the vehicles towed to the City of Scranton and I'm talking on behalf of 15 people, council and 15 people can come up for three minutes but we've chose not to, to have one representative come up so I appreciate that we get all our comments across that we can, okay?

MS. EVANS: Another minute or two.

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. You've asked to have the heavy duty towing facility brought into your

24

25

facility but when you sat down and planned out this, did you take into account that on the north side you have a low bridge, on the south side of South Washington you have a low bridge. You cannot bring your heavy duty wrecks into this facility. You can't get them in there. Let's take in account for the wrecks, say a tractor trailer that tips over and rolls over on the expressway that has a thousand turkeys in it. Insurance regulation regulate that you have to have the cargo with the storage in the storage area where it's going to be stored. You're going to have a thousand turkeys running around City Hall. That's going to look great. What we're saying is we have a 100 families involved here. We've serviced the city well. Anything the city came to us on, we've been more than willing to step up to the plate if was for fireworks or any contribution to the canine, we've been

there, we've stepped up. We put hundreds of thousands of dollars into our facilities to be ready to take care of and to make sure the people were safe in the City of Scranton when there's an accident in the highway that the lanes are open, that we get there, that we get there quick and we clean the streets up quick and we clean up all that has to be cleaned up.

I'm asking you tonight when you take all this into consideration, your numbers do not match, council. Your number do not match. It doesn't account. It's going to take a massive amount of money to build the facility that you need to build to accommodate the personnel, the equipment, the facilities that have to be put together to run this right. You have to have it manned 24 hours. We do. We're ready. We're ready to go at any time. We're willing to step up to the plate and sit down with council and

23

24

25

work out an agreement that fills in the budget that makes it all because we're taxpayers, we own homes, we own businesses, we have families. I'm a Scranton School Board Director, I have a big interest in this city. asking tonight when you look, please take the facts in hand here, look what you need to do, look at the amount of money we have to come up with to put this facility together and then look at these, not 15 families but a 100 families in the face and look at them when you make your decision and make a correct decision. We are 50 businesses paying occupational tax, mercantile tax, business privilege tax Workmans' compensation in the city to do what we have to do and I feel we do a good job for you in the city and, please, consider us, consider all the facts here when we make a vote tonight.

Council, thank you very much for having us and thank you for listening

to us.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ken Roland.

MR. ROLAND: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ROLAND: Thank you for having me this evening. Councilmen, my name is Ken Roland. I am a citizen and taxpayer in the City of Scranton. I'm also employed at a local towing company that Mr. Sheridan was talking about. I'm one of the 100 families that will be tremendously affected if this new budget is passed and it will affect on the storage taken away from I don't think that all members of council realize taking even part of the storage contracts away from the small business, it not only hurts the owners but also has a negative impact on the employees like myself and many others which most of us are taxpaying citizens of the city. I think I can say on behalf of all the towing company drivers, we take pride in

1

23

24

25

working with the Scranton Fire and Police Departments making ourselves available at any given time day or night to help out in any way we can, being in an accident scene or help out with a evidence car. I know the personally myself I'm called out all hours of the night. If our other driver is on on a different call, I'll get a call, I live two blocks away from my garage, I'm down there, I'm on The Scranton Police and scene. Scranton Fire Department are the main priority of our business. We make sure of that. If you don't understand how it works with small businesses, the more money that an employee can make or make the owner, the better the owner can pay the employee which, in turn, the employee can pay more in taxes to the city. So there's another benefit of it, you know. You know, all I can really say is that by taking away from the small businesses, like Mr. Sheridan said, you're not just

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hurting the business, you're hurting the average taxpayer like myself. I struggle to pay my bills, you know, but I do pay them and make my taxes are paid, I make sure my child support is paid. I make sure everything is paid and the only way I can do that is by having a good working relationship with the owner of my company which I've work for major corporations. I worked at Proctor and Gamble fresh out of high school for almost ten years, right. I made a lot of money. I make half the money I made there but I'm happier because of the service work I do and I take pride in it and I know every driver out there does.

So in closing I'm asking you please don't take the contracts away from the company, work with us, work with the owners. I'm sure they're good people. I know most of them.

And, you know, if the do take something away from us or take something away from them, you are

1 taking away from us and you're taking 2 away from the hardworking taxpayers of 3 this city. They're the ones you're 4 hurting the most. You're not hurting 5 the owners the most, you're hurting 6 the taxpaying workers, the employees. 7 I hope you understand that. 8 And, Miss Evans, you said have a 9 prosperous New Year's, if this budget 10 is passed and this is taken away from us, it's going to be very, very hard 11 12 for us to have a prosperous new year 13 and I bless all you guys, God bless 14 for the work you. Have a Merry 15 Christmas, Happy New Year. Thank you 16 very much for your time. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug 18 Miller. 19 MR. SHERIDAN: Can I get just one 20 minute to say one thing? 21 MS. EVANS: No, we can't. I'm 22 sorry. 23 MR. MILLER: Good evening, 24 council. Doug Miller, Scranton. 25 MS. EVANS: Good evening.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MILLER: Let me begin now by just going back to some statements I made last week. I'd like to just take this time for a few moments just to apologize. Last week I said I was very critical of some residents in this city who I felt were not participating in our process and I left frustration get in the way with the statements I made. I do understand people have busy lives, busy schedules, they have personal matters that they must attend to and that prohibits them from coming and participating at our meeting even though they do have just as much as an input as any voter or resident of this city. I understand we have an elderly population, we have families who have to attend the homework with their children, get dinner on the table. I understand all of those issues and for that I do apologize for being critical. Again, I let emotions get in the way and I appreciate everyone's

involvements, those who come down come here each week and those who do other things they do that they may go unnoticed, that we not see publicly.

But under the main issue tonight that's dealing with the 2013 budget, first want to commend and thank Mayor Chris Doherty and our Business Administrator Ryan McGowan for participating in tonight's meeting. I think their attendance speaks volumes on their commitment to working with this council and moving the city forward and putting the best interest of our taxpayers in mind and their attendance tonight prove that.

For the last two days I had the privilege of attending the commuter tax hearing in Lackawanna County court and it was certainly a very unique experience for me to take part in that process and to listen to both arguments, the city's argument and obviously the argument from those who opposed the commuter tax and certainly

24

25

we will await to hear the judge's decisions on that matter. certainly plays a intrinsical role in the city's part moving forward in the best interest of the residents of this city whether you support the commuter tax or you don't and I'm hopeful that a decision will be made shortly. Regarding the discussion that took place tonight with the mayor in dealing with the unfunded borrowing, certainly we heard tonight that the city will follow through on Judge O'Brien's ruling, that to pay back that unfunded debt we will do so with a ten percent tax increase. And I do believe that following a judge's opinion is certainly in the best interest, not only the city but the taxpayers out of the respect for the Court. And I understand that 22 percent tax increase is what we are looking at tonight in the proposed amendment and with that in mind, I know a question was posed to the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor, will that alleviate the burden of looking into 2014 and I believe that that would.

I also want to take this time to thank the council and the administration for the past months coming together and working together in the interest of the residents of this city to come up with a plan that works for everyone. As we all know, this past summer in August things were -- looked pretty bleak. I think it's safe to say we were at time ready to pull the plug and throw in the towel and give up. But because of a dedicated and committed group of elected leaders of this city, you realized that we had to put political differences aside and we had to come together and make difficult decisions. And that's what took place. Your tireless hard work and effort certainly has paid off. We don't know if this recovery plan is going to prove to be a success in the end. We

23

24

25

don't know what is going to happen with this budget but what we do know is that five elected council members and a mayor, a business administrator, a Pennsylvania Economy League, whether you support them or not, all came together and that's what happened and that's what had to happen and you had Certainly to make these decisions. there was a lot of back and forth, there were differences but you put all that aside because you knew one thing, people were at sake, the residents s of this city were at stake and the future of this city was on line and you should commended for all you've done, the Supreme Court ruling, Councilman Loscombe stepping up to the plate with his expertise in that area by cutting that award in half, saving the taxpayer's money, again saving the taxpayer's money. That's what this is about is cutting the burden, reducing the burden. Our finance chair as I've said so many times from this podium

. .

hen it comes to finances in this city, I would put Mr. Joyce up against anybody any day of the week with his expertise and the background and the credentials that he carries. And I think you, councilman, for all of our hard work whether it's with your Power Point presentations informing the public of what's going on. It goes back to the openness and transparency that this council pledged back in 2010 and you've exercised that time and time again and you should be commended for that and I thank you.

Mrs. Evans, time and time again put forth your leadership and you've put political differences aside and were willing to step up to the plate and work with the mayor and you also should be commended for all the time and effort that you put into this budget making process, the recovery plan and all the other issues that we've dealt with over the summer. You certainly have represented the city

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very well and I thank you for all your hard work.

Attorney Hughes, I don't know what's going to happen tonight with the raises, whether you're going to get one not but for what it's worth, I want to say thank to you. you've put a lot of time and effort into this and I know it's been stressful for you but as a taxpayer and resident of this city, I thank you for everything you've done, because I know it's not easy. I wouldn't even want to step in your shoes for a day because I couldn't even begin to imagine what your job is like but I thank you for everything you've done because you certainly have gone above and beyond and, again, I thank you for you that.

You know, to many of the doubters that we've had for past few months coming up stating that we should consider bankruptcy, we've done everything we could to avoid

23

24

25

bankruptcy because as I've said before from this podium, whether you agree with it or not, bankruptcy is not the solution to turning this city around, it's just not and that's the reality. Bankruptcy only continues to increase the burden on the residents of this city by driving tax rates through the roof and announce that the taxpayer simply can't take on right now. But, again, thank you for all your work. truly appreciate as one resident of this city and I continue to ask you to keep doing what you're doing. I do think we will turn this around. won't be easy, as I've said, but I do see a day where there will be a rebirth of the city and we'll be able to look back at this night knowing that today was the start of revitalizing this city and I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening,

council. Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: I'm not really going to get into the towing too much. I think I pretty well addressed that These are the guys that last week. are suffering, as I said, and tonight I'll make my same offer I did to them. Any time they need extra equipment to go do the job it's available to them. As far as creating little junkyards and stuff like that with the city, I don't think that's an issue. issue is fairness and looking at it realistically and council has to do that so has the administration. guys work hard and they work hard for a living. When they can't make their payments, they can't just go borrow money, float bonds, pay a high interest rate to get them out of debt. It doesn't work that way. This is the real world they live in. gentlemen, applaud you for showing up tonight. It's about time people came

25

forward and let people really know who the backbone workers are in this city and I commend you and I've worked with each and everyone of you over the last 40 some years. So our equipment and I'll make that statement here and now is always available to you, and you'll never get outbid, and you'll never get thrown out of here as long as I can help you. Moving on to his -- oh, Bob, if you find 75 turkeys, send them to St. Lucy's Church for Christmas. Thank you.

What we're doing here and getting serious here, I think it's a little problem we have, we have too little too late. This isn't something that just happened. This is something that's been going on a long time. I totally disagree and would ask council tonight to abandon this commuter tax. It's not for the city, it's not good for people, it's serves no useful purpose. There were many, many assets in this city that were ignored, could

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been explored, we didn't do it. Don't ask the people outside Scranton to clean up our mess. We made it, we have to live with it and we've got to clean it up. Don't have somebody coming here in Scranton to pay for. You have the Christmas tree decorations, they're beautiful, great. We're in the City of Scranton, we're paying for them. We're going to put a commuter tax on people, then let's charge everybody that doesn't live in the city to go in there and look at the lights. It's a business then, make money. That's just one little item.

The way we're going, there's no future. I heard the mayor tonight protect the assets. Come on, mayor. That was a joke tonight. You took three and a half million dollars, plus the interest from the golf course and blew it. That didn't belong to the mayor. He had made a commitment it would never be touched. He didn't

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

protect the asset. You gave it away. And what do you got to show for it, the highest budget we ever had? didn't go forward. We went backwards. We're living in the administration's fantasy vacuum. That's what it is. It's not the real world. That's the real world sitting there. What we have going on here is not real world. It's an absolute joke. There is no future. What are you going to do, keep borrowing? You can't borrow to get out of debt. It just doesn't happen. You can't crawl over the pennies to get it a dollar. That's what is happening. You know, we keep going on, the golf course went, the Ice Box, look at the stupid lease over there, a dollar a year, a half a million dollars, 50,000 for a piece of land that I offered for. And what did Paul Kelly do? He thumbed his nose at everybody. He won't even produce documents. Yet he cried before the judges, oh, how much the city has done

24 25

23

24

25

and didn't do. He hasn't done a thing that he should have done. Those are issues are created where we are. that's only one little thing. You have the Ice Box, you had the assets, have the leachate line. It's now going to take in 2,000 tons of Marcellus Shale waste that's going to go in the landfill. You could be a host community. You could have put a public service fee across everybody in the city, one percent brought in millions of dollars. Public service fee, the money could be used to accomplish many, many, things, including swimming pools that we blew the money when the golf course went, the interest was to take care of that. So, you know, let's open our eyes. Let's take the blinders. Let's come out of Alice in Wonderland. Let's deal with reality.

You know, I was taught by my uncle many, many years ago when I was child that if you drop a penny or you

23

24

25

see a penny on the floor, bend over and pick it up because if you have 99 cents, you need that penny to make a dollar and I've lived with that philosophy. However, this city when they look, they'll drop the penny -no. They'll 99 cents and they'll walk over and pick up the penny. that's what we do in this city, the n penny, remember that. That's what makes us grow, that's what puts us somewhere. To put us where we are and that's people who cover our debts is absurd. It really is. It's a mockery of how intelligent people could go borrow, you got the interest, you're going to pay on your debt. You're paying basically credit card interest. How do you expect to pay your debt when you don't even know how you're going to pay the interest. I listened to Paul Kelly. Unfortunately I couldn't get there to speak as I wanted to because quite honestly I was supposed to have a hearing with Judge

24

25

Thomson yesterday and he continued it the day before before he was still there. But because I wasn't on the witness list, I couldn't speak, but I can tonight, and I hope my voice is heard very loud. If this budget this budget is passed it's more than a nail in the coffin. As I said in the past, it's buying a ticket on Titanic and you people to have the power to look where you didn't look or knew it was there and have ignored it. Don't ask somebody else to clean up our mess. This is our mess, it's our responsibility. Stop worrying about being politicians, including the mayor coming in here and giving a fancy speech. Where was he for the last eight years, okay? He wasn't here, he put the city where it is, and we can't ride his coattails down into the mines where we're heading.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: And one more thing, we will have our dinner because I

won't be here at the next council meeting. It will be at St. Lucy's, it was will be on Christmas Day. And, Bobby, we do have the turkeys. Thank you very much. I'd like to wish to all a Merry Christmas and a Happy New year.

MS. EVANS: Merry Christmas. Lee Morgan?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I think the first thing I have here tonight is that I think it's time for the residents of this city to attempt to separate politics from reality. I spent the last two days watching the hearings with the three judge panel; Mazzoni, Thomson, Nealon. Mr. Nealon, himself, asked about bankruptcy. Today coming in and determining you're going to put in the taxes necessary to follow the order from Judge O'Brien, well, you were in contempt. You really had no

22

23

24

25

choice to be quite honest with you because I really think the court had a way to deal with this council if it The 22 percent, that doesn't had to. even address any of the shortfalls in regards to anything to do with the It came up in the hearing budget. that the PEL doesn't see a time when the city can leave distressed status because it's population base continues to fall. And from what I heard PEL say they weren't interested making sure the bond buyers got paid. really don't see anybody here concerned about the residents. when we talk about a future for the city, do we bankrupt every resident in this city to feed this monster that the political has created. I honestly believe when you listen to the testimony that was given in court, you find out that the budget is a fallacy. It's short even today. I just have to say that we're not on a to recovery. It's been alleged that we're 25

24

25

million dollars short next year. also came to light that we're paying out outrageous amounts of interest, It's almost comical just outrageous. if it wasn't so pathetic the damage being done to the remaining residents of this city and for the political base to slap each other on the back and talk about the great job they've done. You know, the city's attorney, Mr. Kelly, talked about the inability to sell properties that were acquired with Project 70 funds but didn't they sell the South Side Sports Complex. The city tried to skirt around every single thing in this hearing and it really illustrated the blundering and the mismanagement of this city. was really a disgrace to sit there and listen to the testimony. In my opinion the opposing council only made They should have requested one error. that the judge close the record so that this tonight's council meeting would have no affect on the testimony

23

24

25

that was taken and would seal it, because to be honest with you the commuter tax is really the greatest joke you've done because when that issue came up, they determined that the commuter tax will really only fund ten percent of the shortfall. testimony in court. People don't lie to judges generally. Generally they tell them the truth. I mean. I came here and talked about Section 312 and 313 of the Home Rule Charter. We're not going anywhere because the political base in this city refuses to face reality and we can all talk about how great a job we're doing. But, you know, question came up in court how went from a city of 130,000 to 70 70 and the judges themselves questioned whether the elderly tax base of this city would have the ability to make these taxes. So I just don't really understand how we can pat ourselves on the back as we deny all the children growing up in this city a future here

8

9

6

7

10

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there

because bankrupt cities that continue forward, I honestly have to say that I think that this city should throw this budget out and this city should request that Harrisburg allow this city to file bankruptcy and move a petition forward and why is that? Because the PEL has been here for 21 years and when they say that they're only worried about the bondholders getting paid and the residents down the soup kitchen living in poverty, low wage jobs, where do we go? And you know what, it comes a time when you elected to office where you have to stand up and don't worry about who you make angry in Harrisburg because, don't forget, Harrisburg exempted themselves from the commuter tax but we're going to reach outside. And you know what we have determine, we have to determine whether we're electing or spaghetti dinner politicians in this city and in this country. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ASHMAN: How are you doing? Tom Ashman from Scranton. I'm a business owner and I'm a property owner. I originally came to thank you for your diligent work and going what, I think, was above and beyond just regular civil service to really try to solve the tax raise on the property tax but the mayor thanked you and a couple other people thanked you so I'm going to move into another thing that I want to talk about which was the commuter tax. I think that from my prospective I can offer a unique view on the situation being that I'm a life long city resident and currently I live up in the Dalton so I'm now also a commuter. I'm a business owner and a property owner in Scranton so I sort of see both sides. Nobody likes taxation. We all pay them and nobody likes them. But they're a fact of life and it's the only way the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government really could pay their They need to impose taxes. bills. Looking at the situation as a business person, I drive down from Dalton everyday and on a snowy day my streets are cleaned, I pull over to the side of the road, I have light on the roads, I don't get robbed going to my car after work and I realize at the end of the day it's because Scranton is providing services and maintenance and so on and so forth. So although I don't live in Scranton, if you're earning your living in Scranton, you are part of Scranton, and I think that it's a reasonable expectation to expect that people that are earning their living in Scranton, even if they're living outside of Scranton contribute to the benefit of the services and maintenance that are provided by this city. You have a lot of hardworking business people here and property owners and I don't think that it's fair to burden everybody

24

25

that is in the City of Scranton with the whole bill and yet let 20 some thousand people reap the benefits of it that aren't per se living in the city. So that being said, I want to offer my support as a business owner and as a property owner and as commuter to say that I think that one percent is justified and reasonable, I don't think that it's unreasonable in comparison to passing that cost off on maybe to a fixed income individual that doesn't have the means to pay their property tax raise. I think that it's a reasonable expectation to spread that cost out amongst 20 some thousand. I heard another individual saying that this commuter tax only represents ten percent of the deficit that you need to cover it. Well, I don't know what's school he came from but my father told me pennies make dollars. So you're not going to get one clean swoop to solve a problem. It's going to be a conglomerate of

1 solutions that add up to the dollar 2 bill. So four pennies here, ten over 3 there, 20 here, before you know it, 4 you're at the dollar, right, and 5 that's the way we need to look at it 6 and I think you guys are doing an 7 excellent job. And as a commuter and 8 a resident and a property owner I'm 9 supporting that. Thank you. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 11 think anyone else who cares to address 12 council? 13 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 14 citizen of Scranton, Fellow 15 Scrantonians. Good evening. 16 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 18 MR. SBARAGLIA: When I grew up I 19 watched a lot of cowboy movies and 20 never, never has coming to this 21 meeting did I ever get the impression 22 that white man's speaks with forked 23 tongue and I heard this man speak. 24 How you sit up there straight face is 25 Mr. Loscombe, he sit and beyond me.

24

25

praised you but he took away your pension. He gave it to Pocius. Pocius didn't get his pension pulled, but you did. Now, Janet, you said 12 percent, 12 percent, 12 percent. I knew it wasn't going to be. I knew that long ago. And I would come to this podium -- in fact, the last time I told you probably 27 would probably be up there and it still might go to 27 because them things aren't going to The nonprofits laughed at you happen. and they will continue to laugh at you because you don't take action to force Whatever action you can, you them. should have done. I mean, your solutions aren't really helping. We still haven't gotten rid of the authority for the parking authority. They're still there laughing at us. And let's look at this deal where you are going to use the old shuffle game again selling this piece of real estate to somebody else within the administration. The last time you did

24

25

that, it cost us a million and a half dollars when you did that with the delinguents. That's where that SRA money had to come from. That's what we lost. Your budget still isn't realistic. And I don't care you should look at it more -- well, you don't have much choice but you should look at it in much more and much more detail. I told you a long time ago you've got to watch the pennies to make the dollars. This mayor cost us 40 million dollars. We wouldn't even be talking about a commuter tax if it wasn't for this mayor and his fight with the unions and then they sit there and say how beautiful the unions were when all the time he wanted to get rid of them, he wanted to cut them way down, he wanted to be the dictator over manning. I stood and watched him speak and this is the first time I ever said what man speaks with forked tongue. Never in my life have I heard a politician sit there and spills such

24

25

garbage out trying to say he did this and that. I've been here for the last -- all of his term. I know what he did, and I know how he laughed at the council. Janet and Billy did fight them. I'll always say that. The first time I met you up there in the line to get the chickens I told you what do you plan to do for the city. I've been with you in a lot of budgets when you were knocking heads against the majority and you were in the minority. But the 12 percent that you quoted I knew it couldn't last. knew it was going to go higher and it still may go higher even at 22 percent it still may go because a lot of things that are in the budget aren't going to happen and we know that they're not going to happen. We can't paint the city in bright colors when actually there's a cloud over us. We've got to pray for sunshine and make sunshine happen. Whatever we take we're going to have to take, I

1 know this. But for this man to sit up 2 there and praise everybody after we 3 wouldn't even need a commuter tax if 4 he didn't blow that 40 million 5 fighting the unions and that's what it 6 is. He's been fighting all along. was his way or no way. Did the South 7 8 Side Complex have to go? No. But for 9 some reason or other he wanted it to 10 go. And then as you know the money 11 filtered away in budgets filling the 12 Now, he's promised us a field holes. 13 to replace the South Side Complex. 14 I'll be long dead before that field 15 ever materializes. The man does speak 16 with forked tongue and you've got to 17 realize that. Maybe you don't realize 18 it but I think most of you do realize 19 it. Thank you. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 21 MR. DOBZYN: Good evening, 22 council. Dave Dobzyn, resident of 23 Scranton. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBZYN:

Okay.

On all of

25

this commuter tax and so forth, I know you people have taken a lot of abuse both in the paper and by certain citizens in outline communities and I have -- if I ever see another newspaper like this out of the Times. Because I like the paper delivery person I will pay him two months' severance pay based on his profits and tips and discontinue the Scranton Times. So Mr. Kelly beware you're on thin ice. You're ready to go over the cliff, buddy, and I've probably been a subscriber for about ten years.

Now, on this commuter tax, I mean, I'm not really favorable towards it but it kind has to be. And I wish that the people that had the complaints would point out that these tax exempts that are plaguing our city. If I'm not mistaken, Mr. Joyce, we have a five or six million dollar annual structural deficit; is that correct.

MR. JOYCE: That's what we did

have.

MR. DOBZYN: Okay. Well, that's what we do have or did. And we get about 12 million dollars a year from the property tax; is that about correct?

MR. JOYCE: About 13 million and change.

MR. DOBZYN: Now, multiply that times 1.5 because 30 percent of our property so that would be right equation, right? And then there goes our deficit right there every year. So people don't care on the outlining community why should I pay for that and so forth, well, if they don't like being held hostage to a commuter tax, maybe they'd like to speak up to the state and get some equity for us. And Mr. Lewis, I've read about him in the paper once again, Gary Lewis with his consulting. Find somewhere else to go, please. Just find somewhere else to go. Bankruptcy is not -- it's not a solution. We'll just wind up with

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

200 percent property tax increase or whatever and somebody from the state running our town that we never voted and wouldn't vote for in a 1,000 years.

And I have to say once again on the towing and storage, these people made a lot of sense tonight and I really, really, please, put that on the table, please just table it until more research could be done. And I think the research will find that where we are right now with the city, we're better off keeping the public sector public and the private sector private and trying to figure out where we're going to from there. There's a lot of savings with the DPW and so forth could be made like with recycling. There was an article in the paper about two weeks ago and they have greatly expanded the amount of recyclables that they'll take so, I mean, I see some people's garbage, when I lived up the country, if I had

24

25

that much trash in front on my house on Friday morning is my trash pick, I'd pay \$30 or \$40 bucks for it to have it removed, not a \$180 a year. That's what, \$3.50 a week so -- okay. And if these people really have so many complaints about this commuter tax then it's time that we start zoning any further tax exempts out because I'm not going to call them nonprofits. You can have an institution where somebody getting two or \$300,000 a year as the financial officer and calling themselves a nonprofit. Well, somebody is making something on the place and I'm very glad for hospitals and so forth. mean, they saved my life more than once. But it's just a shame. And the nonprofits, I think Mr. Mayor really wants to go. He's the person that's supposed to be doing it and it sounds like he was in his office, not, so let That's what PEL has him go ask them. directed and you people tried it and

23

24

25

it certainly did work out. You just wound up getting slandered. And don't forget everybody in the audience, call that representative or politician and tell them take your trade pack and stuff it because that's what where in the condition we're in all over the county. And finally we have a proposal to go from 65 to 67 or Medicare. Well, the Keyser Foundation estimated that it would save 5.7 billion a year. It would cost you 3.7 billion out of pocket for elderly people, 700 million by Medicaid, also 4.5 billion from employers and affordable Health Care Act 2.5 billion with the total of costing, cost shift of 11.4 billion. So it's time we find some more talented politicians on the federal level. Thank you. Have a good night. Don't forget, quack, quack, quack.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

Is there anyone else who would like to

1	address council?
2	MR. JOYCE: Hey, Chrissy.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy, what's
4	going on?
5	MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Oh, there was a
6	big one, Frank, the monster big one,
7	what do you think, Frank? All the way
8	TO the wire, you know that. I hope
9	they win it tomorrow.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Cheer them on.
11	MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Oh, I hope so.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: You got the hat
13	on.
14	MR. SLEDNESZSKI: I got the hat
15	on. Jack Henzes, good luck tomorrow,
16	Jack. Good luck, coach. Thank you.
17	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.
18	Marie Schumacher, city resident and
19	taxpayer.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
21	MS. SCHUMACHER: The status of
22	the audit, Mr. Joyce, will we see it
23	this year?
24	MR. JOYCE: I'm hoping that we
25	will. There's still a few attorney

1 letters that have to go out and be 2 received and then Rossi and Rossi will 3 have the draft audit for us. 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And if 5 there are no further meetings this 6 year, how will we know that the audit 7 has been released for the public? 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: We have a meeting 9 next week, right? 10 MR. MCGOFF: Doesn't there have a 11 public notice of the audit being 12 completed. I'm not sure. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, okay. 14 Thank you. Okay. 15 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: And with respect 17 to the budget because you know my 18 feelings on the budget that I feel 19 revenues are overstated so I really 20 want to stress the need for a monthly 21 cash flow projection for 2013 that we 22 can all follow as the year progresses 23 and not wait until August to find out 24 where we had problems. And do we 25 have -- this is off the budget for

just a minute -- but do we an updated on the status of the Spruce Street bridge repair and reopening? There was a proposal, I think, by Mr. Bolus saying that he had a person who said it could be reopened a lot sooner. Do we have any feedback on that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: As far as I know,
Mr. Bolus was still in negotiations
with his engineer, his attorney and
PennDOT regarding that so there's no
details let out at this point.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Back to the budget. Does the \$100,000 collection of revenue for -- cover the fees, cover the salary of two people, including the benefits -- Burdyn, and doing the physical safety inspections?

MR. JOYCE: Are you relating specifically to rental registration?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I understand you're adding -- the budget adds a person, a second person for the rental registrations.

MR. MCGOFF: It was the belief

1 that, yes, that it would be a 2 self-sustaining -- I'll say 3 operation --4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, that's 5 what a fee is supposed to be, yeah. 6 MR. MCGOFF: And that the 7 expenses would be covered by the fees 8 and that the revenues that were 9 projected in the budget were above and 10 beyond what those costs would be. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And how 12 much -- what have we collected to 13 date? 14 MR. MCGOFF: To date I have no 15 Since I last mentioned to you, 16 I do not know what the amounts are. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And with 18 respect to the storage lot, I question 19 how many vehicles it would hold and 20 because the bridge being out I go up 21 and down South Washington Avenue a lot 22 more, there are always a lot of people 23 parked in the lot if it's the one 24 immediately behind the police building 25 so I think it's currently fenced in.

23

24

25

So where would those people go to park. And that parking lot has never -- remember they ran out of money when they built that place and they never did anything but put the base layer of asphalt in that rear lot. finishing layer was never applied so would we have to be -- if we're putting in heavy duty storage of vehicles, would that breakdown that base that's in there and we would have another expense of replacing that? mean, they're all things I think that need to be considered because -- in addition to the comments that I made last week. And then will we know the mandate alternatives that you will be proposing tonight and will we have another chance to comment before you vote. I was sort of hoping that what happened last week would have been followed and we would have known what the changes in the budget were going to be before 4th Order so we could have could have commented. And is the

1	sale of lease back the same as the 25
2	million dollar bond that's in the
3	January budget or are they still two
4	separate items?
5	MR. JOYCE: They're currently
6	it's currently being looked at as a
7	sale lease back but I guess you could
8	view them as two separate items.
9	MS. SCHUMACHER: So the sale
10	lease back would bring in how much
11	revenue then?
12	MR. JOYCE: It was projected in
13	the recovery plan at five million.
14	MS. SCHUMACHER: Five?
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And so
17	we'll have it is that this year yet
18	then, a n additional five million this
19	year coming in?
20	MR. JOYCE: No, it won't be this
21	year.
22	MS. SCHUMACHER: I will be next
23	year. And it's still in addition to
24	the 25 million dollar bond in January
25	then or in 2013, whenever.

MR. JOYCE: It would be 1 2 considered a part of that 25 million. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And as 4 since Mrs. Evans said the bill has 5 been paid for the unfunded debt 6 borrowing, I assume that means we have the bond and you can now identify who 7 8 the holder is and what all the terms 9 and conditions are of that bond? 10 MR. JOYCE: At this point I don't 11 have all of the terms and conditions 12 with me. I would have to get those 13 off of the BA. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I mean, I 15 think that's rather important and I'm 16 sorry that we don't have the 17 information provided but I'd be 18 looking forward to the amendments and 19 how you're going to cover those 20 mandates. Did PEL get a response today or this week? I know they --21 22 you said that didn't up until the 23 court hearing. 24 MR. JOYCE: Did PEL get a 25 response in regard to what exactly?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Their December
4th letter asking for the responses to
the mandates of the revised recovery
plan by this prior Monday.

MR. JOYCE: Not as I know of.

They didn't get a response to all of
the updates that were to be provided.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. So they'll be presented tonight then. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who would like to address counsel?

MR. STOGGIE: Good evening, council. My name is Lance Stoggie, Sr. The reason why I'm here is I'd like to lend my support to Bobby Sheridan and the other groups of professionals that he was representing this evening. I strongly suggest that you let the professionals do the job that they've done for many years and they've done it successfully. I know of no major lawsuits that they've encountered and I think it's the smart

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

move to let the people who know the job do the job. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. MARTIN: Bob Martin, 420 Adams Avenue. This might be out of text but I'd like to congratulate the West Scranton Falcons, I believe the A, B and C team going to the national championship. And congratulations. know I've been -- I was a volunteer at the Lackawanna Little League and Jack knows this as an announcer at the Little League games and helped with the West Scranton Falcons taking the cameras and stuff like that. I was watching them on TV and they are very excited. I didn't even know there was such a thing as a national championship team, you know. I believe they're going to Virginia. Ι think I heard they're playing tomorrow. I'm not really sure. They are playing tomorrow in Virginia. And

24

25

I want to mention about Kenny Martin. He's been there over 35 years and the unique thing about him, he never really had a child playing. He's been involved in the C team since the very beginning and I remember Mr. Romano was involved in that C team and like I said the unique thing is he usually -parents go from one team to another but he stayed there and it's over 35. I'd like to congratulate Kenny Martin. I saw him on TV and a great guy, great guy and I wish whatever they can come But I know those kids are back with. excited. I congratulate everybody that does the volunteer work. And I also want to congratulate the Dunmore Bucks even though I'm a West Sider, congratulate the Dunmore Bucks. are playing a team, Clairton, they're sixth -- they've been run 61 games --I think 62 games in a row. And the unique thing, I heard about Clairton. The unique thing about Clairton, every player that's -- everyone on that

member of that football team is graduating and going to college. But I tell you, I wish the best for the Dunmore Bucks and just to remind people I believe the game is at 1 o'clock tomorrow on PCN, I believe it's like 180 -- Channel 186. The game is going to be rebroadcast on Channel 11 WQ&Y, I think at 8 o'clock and they're also going to show the other game before that where they played Bellwood-Antis or something and I congratulate them for them.

And there's one other thing I
want to ask you about. The bridge on
West Linden Street, I think I heard
the planning commission said people
think they're not doing any work up
there but they are moving
communications and moving things up.
Is it true that possibly sometime in
2013 that that bridge will be opened
up or not?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, yeah. The anticipation is, you know, late

Spring, early summer. The tie up was 1 2 the telecommunication lines and 3 approvals there. 4 MS. MARTIN: Yeah, because a lot 5 of people don't think there's anything 6 going on there but, you know, you've 7 got to realize it's just like that bridge down in Taylor where you've got 8 9 to move different things in order to 10 get everything going. But, again, 11 congratulations to West Scranton 12 Falcons and bring home a national 13 championship to Dunmore Bucks and I've 14 got to wish everybody, members of city 15 council Merry Christmas, Happy New 16 Year. And, again, I want to mention 17 to Attorney Hughes, you're doing a 18 great job and the city clerks doing a 19 great job and Merry Christmas and 20 Happy new Year. 21 MS. EVANS: Thank you and to you 22 also. 23 MS. FRANUS: Faith Franus, 24 Scranton. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS:

Good evening.

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRANUS: I also would like to say good luck to Dunmore Bucks.

They're the best team we've had in this area in years and Coach Henzes is one of the best you're ever, ever find. What a man that guy is.

Also about this hearing yesterday in the courtroom about the commuter tax. One question was raised like did Scranton try to raise the wage tax in Scranton. Well, I would hope the judges would know the answer to that without even asking. We pay like three point three-fourths wage tax. It's like the second highest in the state and these surrounding boroughs pay one percent. It was nice to see that man come today who lives out of town in favor of the commuter tax. I even had lunch yesterday with Patty Lawler. She's a councilwoman in Clarks Summit, and she was very reasonable and very understanding. She and I don't agree on this issue

24

25

naturally because she's representing the people in Clarks Summit to help them which she should be doing but she was kind and she was willing to listen and she felt that all the borough people should get together, unlike this mayor from Mayfield who charges him with a radical group and they want to keep coming into Scranton. They're just very controversial and they want to come and to get all our services for free and put the burden on the taxpayers and then you have all the nonprofits scooping up more properties, putting their tax exempt footprints all over the place in the city. How are these other towns, all these boroughs, how would like it if they had all the hospitals and colleges that we did and they had all these properties taken from them that were no longer taxable and those taxpayers and those towns had to take care of that burden. They don't understand because they don't have

23

24

25

These commuters, they come to that. Scranton from the time they're born to the time they die for all the services in this city between the courthouse, marriage licenses, everything. come here all the time because this is where everything is. This is the heart of the whole area. And like the man from Dalton said, they come in here and use our roads, they use our police, they take it for granted. I mean, I think for as much as they're getting a little bit wouldn't hurt to contribute. And then Gary Lewis, I don't know if people remember his last appearance in city council, the judges should take that into consideration. I don't know if they saw that side of him. But here's a man who doesn't even own a property and why would he care. He doesn't property in the city. I just think he likes to be right here in front of the camera grandstanding for his consulting firm, get more business. This man doesn't

25

have a clue what he's talking about. Marie Schumacher, with due respect, Lee Morgan, Bill Jackowitz -- well, not Lee so much. He has a family But Marie and Jack, they don't have kids like a lot of these people do. Like I'm still helping my son from college and he's 40-years-old. And I'm sure many other people. know, they have people in their families. Mrs. Evans, you had four kids in college. You know the It never ends. And then you expense. try to set them up in their homes. we as parents and family members have much more of a burden than the people that don't have these things. So for them to speak and say, Well, let's get the commuter tax. It's not fair. Well, what about what's fair to us in Scranton who has to handle the burden of everything, everything, everything. And I had to thank you very much for bringing this tax down as much as you possibly did and if it's -- here's my

one question. I understand the taxes may go up like Mr. Doherty said and I'm very happy to see he was here with Mr. McGowan. I think that speaks highly of him. So if we make it 22 percent and the Court says no to the commuter tax, we still have that 22 percent because this is what the judge's wanted. So the judges say no to the commuter tax, we still have the 22 percent because we --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: Well, okay. You know what you're doing and I trust you with that. And, Mr. Hughes, I'd like to thank you as well for all the work you've done. Nobody will ever know the work that you've done. And people keep on saying all the things that have happened in the past. You know what, the past is in the past. I mean, if I was going to go into the past, all the loans that they did, I can sit here about Judy Gatelli, Billy

22

23

24

25

Courtwright voting for every loan that there was with a smile on his face and nobody even knew he did it. But we can't change that. We have to move forward just like you and Mr. Doherty tonight, Mrs. Evans. You two have never spoken like you do -- like you have in the last couple of months which is nice to see because that proves that you are trying to move the city forward. We can't go back and keep on saying what a mess it is. is what it is. And that's all there is to it. We have to forget about that. You can't do anything about it. You have to keep working together and go forward. So that's all. I just hope that people sort of understand that the people from the area outside of town, they do owe us something. They really do, absolutely, positively. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? You need to state your name, please.

1 MR. HILL: My name is William 2 Hill. 3 MS. EVANS: Wayne --4 MR. HILL: William Hill. 5 MS. EVANS: William Hill. Thank 6 you. 7 Ten years ago I moved MR. HILL: 8 to Pennsylvania from Jersey City and I 9 came here to start a brand new life, a better life. Jersey City was starting 10 11 to become rundown and between the 12 crime that was happening there, I 13 just -- it was time for me to leave, 14 move on, really couldn't make a living 15 there. What I'm seeing here lately 16 and going up and down these streets, I 17 see a lot of 'for sale' signs on 18 houses, houses that are not being able 19 to being sold. I see businesses that 20 got 'for sale' signs, closed, they're 21 not coming back. What I'm starting to 22 see here is the same mistakes as what 23 they made in Jersey. The towing 24 businesses, I see people trying to 25 take that away from them. I also see

24

25

a lot of other things that can be contributed to this whole subject. There's got to be other ways to make cuts in the budget to save like the towing companies, bring back businesses back into Scranton. a lot of things going on here. It's the same exact mistakes as another place that I lived and it's a shame. Putting an impound yard behind a historical site, I mean, that's not something I want to go down and see. I want to down and see trains. don't want to go down and turn to my left and then see junk cars in place of that. I mean, that's something of a place that you want to go in and say, you know, this is part of my heritage. Scranton has got a lot of historical sites here. It would be a shame to see them ruined. I mean. there's got to be other ways that you can cut the budget to bring up that money and give the people like towing companies, small businesses a better

1 chance because the more and more you 2 take away, the more and more people 3 are going to leave. The more and more 4 people leave, the less taxes people 5 are actually taking out. I mean, 6 that's all I have to really say about 7 in. Thank you for your time and 8 listening to me. 9 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 10 anyone else? 11 (No response.) 12 MS. KRAKE: 5A. MOTIONS. 13 MS. EVANS: At this time I'd like 14 to call on our finance chair to 15 present all amendments for individual 16 Thereafter, I would call on votes. 17 each of the remaining counsel members 18 in the established order for their 19 comments or motions. Councilman 20 Joyce, please begin. 21 MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, would 22 these also be measures that were 23 tabled last week for a vote? 24 MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. Thank you.

25

1 MS. EVANS: Everything is 2 included. 3 MR. JOYCE: I'll pass out a copy 4 of all the amendments I compiled some 5 of the amendments I made, along with 6 the amendments that Mr. Rogan, ade and 7 I'll read each one of them out 8 singularly in the form of making a 9 motion and we can discuss each one 10 individually as I know Mr. McGoff 11 suggested last week. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 13 MR. JOYCE: No problem. And the 14 first amendment that I'd like to 15 propose is a reduction in expenditures 16 in Account 01.011.00078.4010, Standard 17 Salary (Fire). 18 MS. EVANS: All right. Is that in 19 the form of a motion? 20 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 21 MR. ROGAN: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? 23 MR. JOYCE: This is the amendment 24 that will reduce the salary of the 25 fire chief and eliminate the pay raise

that was provided in the 2013 1 2 3 15th. 4 MR. MCGOFF: 5 comment. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 on the question? 22 (No response.) 23 24 signify by saying aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye.

operating budget submitted on November I'd just like to While I think that the raise should be reduced, I also believe that pay for the five chief is woefully below what is received by others in comparable positions throughout the state and it had been reduced in the past and given the fact that the court awards that were made to the firemen and policemen has raised the salary, I believe, over half of the firemen, that they are making more than the fire chief, I believe that we should include a small raise or a raise commensurate with the raises that were received by the firemen themselves. MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else MS. EVANS: All those in favor

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
5	MR. MCGOFF: No.
6	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
7	so move.
8	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
9	motion to reduce account No.
10	01.202.00000.4010, Standard Salary
11	(City Council) by \$15,000.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question?
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes. This amendment
15	will reduce the salary of the council
16	solicitor and eliminate the pay raise
17	that was provided in the 2013
18	operating budget.
19	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else
20	on the question?
21	I just wanted to say that like
22	Mr. McGoff in this case I feel that
23	our solicitor has gone well above and
24	beyond the duties and responsibilities
25	of any council solicitor in not only

24

25

the time that I've been seated on city council but even prior to that. I've never seen a council solicitor become involved in tax anticipation notes, in taking down an authority, in restructuring debt, in providing for unfunded debt and at all times he works on behalf of the people of Scranton. When the administration is content to accept the offers or the numbers that are presented to us, it is this man who conducts the negotiations and says, Why not? don't we try for the lower figure? And he leaves no stone unturned until he accomplishes that for everyone that lives in the city. And so if anyone in my opinion deserved a raise, it would Attorney Boyd Hughes because I view him as the single most valuable employee that the City of Scranton has and they're damn lucky to have him because they haven't had the likes of him before. And the debt right now would be much bigger. And for all the

24

25

people that want to say, you know, there shouldn't be a commuter tax or we shouldn't do this or let's go bankrupt. People have to understand what bankruptcy entails and what that means is very simply two things, huge tax increases, over a 100 percent, and no one on this council or even the mayor can stop that from happening once the ball starts rolling because the city loses sovereignty. No elected official has any authority in that situation. And, No. 2, at the same time those taxes are going through the roof for everybody who lives in this city. Your public services and your public safety services will be slashed in half if not more so. So when people are upset that a fire station isn't opened in their area, I don't know what people would do when 90 percent of them would be closed always and a Fire Department would be cut in more than half, and the people who are concerned for their

24

25

safety in their homes as they travel, you get in an accident, who do you call, you call the police. Someone is breaking into your house, you call the police. Think about all the times in your lives that you've needed to call the police. They wouldn't be coming because there wouldn't be enough of them and the sad fact of the matter is in order to avoid bankruptcy and that huge tax increase and the loss of the services you've come to expect and enjoy, something has got to give because all this has to be paid for. And there are mistakes dating back ten years, a big mess that had to be handled this year because, yes, the truth of matter is the city was this far from bankruptcy. And over there is a man who went to extraordinary measures to make sure this wasn't going to happen. And yet I can't give him a raise because there's not enough money, and I think we'll count ourselves lucky if he even agrees to

stay on. And when you're asking for everything, you also have to ask yourself, how is it going to get paid for and how many people are going to share that burden. I'm sorry. Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, I'd like to make a statement, Mrs. Evans. Thank you very much for the kind words.

MS. EVANS: And the truth.

MR. HUGHES: I've enjoyed three years being here with council and working with council. The fact is that I didn't get a raise this year doesn't mean that I won't be here next year. I'm fully committed to council, I'm committed to the city and I'm committed to see everything through that this council has started three years ago to get this city back on a financial sound footing and I'll be here even if you cut my from \$45,000 down. So anyway I'll be here. Don't worry about me quitting.

(Applause)

1	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: I, too, commend
3	you, Solicitor Hughes, and I think
4	Mrs. Evans spoke from her heart and I
5	think that speech was from all of us
6	here. I think we all feel the same
7	way. And, you know, rather than
8	belabor it definitely I think Mr.
9	Hughes knows and he's been dedicated
10	to get us to where we're going and I
11	thank him for that.
12	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
13	signify by saying aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
20	(No response.)
21	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
22	so moved.
23	MR. ROGAN: Okay. I would like
24	to make an amendment or a motion to
25	make an amendment to reduce account

4	No. 04 040 00040 4040 Standard Salary
1	No. 01.040.00040.4010, Standard Salary
2	(Business Administration) by \$20,000.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question?
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes. This amendment
6	will reduce the salary of the business
7	administrator and finance manager and
8	eliminate the pay raises that were
9	provided in the 2013 operating budget
10	submitted on November 15th.
11	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
12	(No response.)
13	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
14	signify by saying aye?
15	MS. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
20	MR. MCGOFF: No.
21	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
22	so moved.
23	MR. JOYCE: I would like to make
24	a motion to amend or to reduce account
25	No. 01.040.00040.4010, Standard Salary

1	(Business Administration) by \$57,400.
2	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on
3	the floor. Do we have a second?
4	MR. ROGAN: I'll second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: I just have a
6	question before we proceed. I was
7	under the belief that that \$57,400 0
8	included the raises for the two
9	positions, plus the additional plus
10	Mr. Rogan had made the motion to
11	remove a position that was at \$37,400.
12	MR. JOYCE: Oh, okay. The one
13	that I am making now includes the
14	removal of the position. The first
15	one I made was the removal of raises.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Right. But the
17	position was only at 37,000.
18	MR. ROGAN: \$37,400.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Not 57.
20	MR. JOYCE: Well, this is for the
21	removal of two raises and the
22	MR. MCGOFF: But we already voted
23	on the removal of the raises.
24	MR. JOYCE: Okay. Well, then I
25	guess we could make it \$37,400 just to

1	alleviate any confusion.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Or else yeah. If
3	we voted on 57, then we would have to
4	find another 20,000 to remove from
5	that area somehow.
6	MR. ROGAN: What would be the
7	procedure then, either vote down the
8	initial amendment or amend the
9	amendment.
10	MS. EVANS: Why don't you amend
11	the amendment.
12	MR. JOYCE: Okay. I would like
13	to amend my original amendment to
14	reduce
15	MR. HUGHES: Was there a second
16	on the amendment?
17	MS. EVANS: Yes.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MR. JOYCE: Let me repeat. I'd
20	like to amend my amendment to reduce
21	account No. 01.040.00040.4010,
22	Standard Salary (Business
23	Administration) by \$37,400.
24	MR. ROGAN: Second.
25	MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. This would be the removal of the position in the Business administrator's Office and it was I believe that they were very beleaguered over the past year in dealing with the many issues that were created or that occurred, and I believe that this position would be greatly needed in the future in dealing with budget and the recovery plan.

MR. JOYCE: I would just like to make a comment that this is a position in the operating budget that the business administrator will be -- or the Business Administrator's Office will be applying for a grant to fund this position. I agree with Councilman McGoff that Business Administrator's Office has been hard at work this year and the business administrator has put forth a great effort and personally I think that in a typical situation he would deserve a raise as well, however, not in a cash

1	strapped situation. However, I will
2	be voting no for this amendment
3	because this is position that we very
4	well could receive grant funding for
5	in the future.
6	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else
7	on the question?
8	MR. ROGAN: I would just state
9	for all of the costs, many of these
10	were duplicates and my original, Mr.
11	Joyce, as I said last week, basically
12	I'm voting for every single one of the
13	cuts. We need to save money so it's
14	plan and simple for me.
15	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
16	signify by saying aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
19	MR. JOYCE: No.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
21	MR. MCGOFF: No.
22	MS. EVANS: No. You can
23	continue.
24	MR. JOYCE: Oh, I don't know if
25	we have to say the no's happened and

1	the motion dies.
2	MS. EVANS: The no's have it and
3	the motion dies.
4	MR. JOYCE: Okay. I would like
5	to make a motion to amend account No.
6	01.040.00041.4010, Standard Salary
7	(Human Resources) by \$10,000.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question?
10	MR. JOYCE: This amendment will
11	reduce the salary of the HR Director
12	and eliminate the pay raise that was
13	provided in the 2013 operating budget.
14	MS. EVANS: Anyone else?
15	(No response.)
16	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
17	signify by saying aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
23	MR. MCGOFF: No.
24	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
25	so moved.

1	MR. JOYCE: I would like to make
2	a motion to reduce account No.
3	01.1060.00000.4201, Professional
4	Services (City Controller) by \$2,500.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question?
7	MR. JOYCE: This amendment will
8	reduce professional services in the
9	Scranton City Controller's Office to
10	match the amount that the school
11	district is providing for the Scranton
12	Single Tax Office audit. Professional
13	services in this department will now
14	be budgeted at \$17,500 if accepted.
15	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
16	signify by saying aye.
17	MS. EVANS: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
23	(No response.)
24	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
25	so moved.

1 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make 2 a motion to reduce account No. 3 01.020.00000.4201, Professional 4 Services (City Counsel) by \$20,000. MR. ROGAN: Second. 5 6 MS. EVANS: On the question? 7 MR. MCGOFF: What is -- just a 8 question what that would reduce, what 9 line item or what? 10 MR. JOYCE: It would reduce 11 funding for the court stenographer and 12 it basically funds the court 13 stenographer and the audit primarily. 14 MR. ROGAN: I would just add, 15 when looking through this year's 16 budget and the on-line budget from 17 past years, I did notice that there 18 was a \$20,000 discrepancy between --19 on last year's proposed funding for 20 this line item. So going back to this 21 funding level brings us back to the 2011 funding. 22 23 MR. MCGOFF: Would it -- I guess 24 what I'm asking is what I will ask, 25 will it affect our ability to pay for

1 the stenographer and also to pay for 2 the audit? 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. 4 MR. JOYCE: I did speak with our 5 city clerk about this who is 6 responsible for sending in the 7 departmental budget and we did have problems in 2011 with making timely 8 9 payments to our court stenographer on 10 time who I can see her typing pretty 11 slow now since I said that but, 12 anyhow, I will voting no to cut this 13 amount as I believe it's necessary to 14 insure that our court stenographer is 15 paid, there's no problems and that our 16 audit is paid on time or well paid for once completed as well. 17 18 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 19 signify by saying aye. 20 MR. JOYCE: Aye -- oops --21 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 22 MS. EVANS: What's the oops --23 MR. JOYCE: I retract my aye. 24 Sorry. 25 MR. ROGAN: Don't feel bad. Ι

1 did it last week. 2 MS. EVANS: Those opposed? 3 MR. JOYCE: No. 4 MS. EVANS: No. MR. LOSCOMBE: No. 5 6 MR. MCGOFF: No. MS. EVANS: The motion dies. 7 8 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to 9 reduce account No. 01.040.00040.4201, 10 Professional Services (Business 11 Administration) by \$15,000. 12 MR. ROGAN: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. On some of 15 these professional services accounts I 16 took the liberty to e-mail some 17 department heads. Some got back to 18 me, some didn't and some I spoke to by 19 phone. And as far as reducing 20 professional services, our business 21 administrator did reply that reducing 22 professional services by \$15,000 would 23 make it impossible to pay the 24 accountant performing the audit of 25 back pay award for the fire and

1	police, the actuarial study for
2	Workers' Compensation account and the
3	actuarial study that needs to be done
4	for the Workers' Compensation account
5	on a yearly basis. So for those
6	reasons I'll be voting no to this
7	amendment.
8	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
9	signify by saying aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
12	MR. JOYCE: No.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
14	MR. MCGOFF: No.
15	MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
16	and the motion dies.
17	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
18	motion to amend Account No.
19	01.040.00040.4240, Postage and Freight
20	(Business Administration) by
21	\$4,841.93.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question?
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes. As far as
25	postage and freight is concerned, our

business administrator did reiterate
that postage would be increased next
year due to the mailing of rental
registration bills.
MS. EVANS: All those in favor
signify by saying aye?
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Opposed?
MS. LOSCOMBE: No.
MR. JOYCE: No.
MR. MCGOFF: No.
MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
and the motion dies.
MR. JOYCE: I'd like make a
motion to amend or to reduce account
No. 01.040.00040.4270, Dues and
Subscriptions (Business Administrator)
by \$6,500.
MR. ROGAN: Second?
MS. EVANS: On the question.
MR. JOYCE: Yes. Regarding this
specific account. With respect to
dues and subscriptions our business
administrator is still discussing with

1 Municipalities about a reduced 2 membership for the year. He thinks it 3 would in our best interest to be a 4 member since they can provide a great 5 deal of assistance with a one percent 6 sales tax issue which the city and 7 county are currently looking at as an 8 alternative source of revenue in 2004 9 so I'll be voting no for this specific 10 amendment. 11 MR. ROGAN: I would just say I 12 believe the city was a member of this 13 organization for numerous years and 14 you see what happened over that period of time. I don't believe that this is 15 16 a valid expenditure of taxpayer 17 dollars and I also oppose a one 18 percent sales tax so I have two 19 reasons to support making this cut. 20 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 21 signify by saying aye? 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. LOSCOMBE:

No.

25

1	MR. JOYCE: No.
2	MS. EVANS: No. I'm not quite
3	sure what the vote total was on that.
4	MR. MCGOFF: I voted yes.
5	MR. ROGAN: I voted yes.
6	MS. EVANS: Excuse me?
7	MR. MCGOFF: I voted yes.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
10	MR. JOYCE: No.
11	MS. EVANS: And I'm a no so the
12	motion dies.
13	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
14	motion to amend or to reduce account
15	No. 01.040.0004.4290,
16	Stationery/Office Supplies (Business
17	Administrator's Office) by \$3,000.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question?
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes. Our business
21	administrator, well, he wrote all
22	these responses back in an e-mail and
23	he replied at this point of the year
24	the city has basically no office
25	supplies. We have barely made it

1	through the year with the amount of
2	paper we currently have. Cutting it
3	by \$3,000 would insure that we will
4	not have enough money to pay for paper
5	for the entire year of 2013 so I'll be
6	voting no to this amendment.
7	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
8	signify by saying aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MR. JOYCE: No.
14	MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
15	and the motion dies.
16	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
17	motion to reduce account No.
18	01.040.00041.4201, Professional
19	Services (Human Resources) by \$30,000.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question?
22	MR. JOYCE: As far as the
23	professional service line item in the
24	departmental budget of human resources
25	it includes the following: Payroll

25

processing charges payable to We-Pay This fee varies and is pavroll. incurred when a payroll is run. annual membership fee to the Delaware Valley Health Care Coalition (DVHCC). This fee is \$200. Members of the DVHCC are afforded many benefits include a discounted pricing on products and services. Also this account includes risk management services through CLR Consulting. The fee for this is \$2,000 per month. This includes an administrative service fee to Millennium Administrators. This fee is \$2.326 per month and this also includes the cost of drug and alcohol testing payable to Northeast Occupational Medicine and Con-Court, Incorporated. This testing is a provision of the Department of Public Works, DPW collective bargaining agreement. Fee varies based upon the amounts and the type of tests administered, also fees for arbitrators who preside over heart

1	and lung matters are paid out of this
2	account. These fees vary depending on
3	the arbitrator and can include the
4	arbitrator's daily rate, travel time
5	and study time. Also other
6	miscellaneous fees related to the
7	services provided by the Department of
8	Human Resources are provided out of
9	this account so I'll be voting no for
10	this specific amendment.
11	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
12	signify by saying aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
15	MR. MCGOFF: No.
16	MR. JOYCE: No.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE? No.
18	MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
19	and the motion dies.
20	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
21	motion to reduce Account No.
22	01.040.00042.4390, Materials and
23	Supplies Miscellaneous (Information
24	Technology) by \$5,000.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I received a reply back from Frank Swiniki, our IT Director, and he states that the materials and supplies account will be used to purchase replacement PCs in 2013. Every desktop and laptop that the city has developed is out of warranty. If any of them break beyond repair -- or if any of them break beyond repair, they'll need to be replaced as the cost of getting replacement parts is often prohibitive and in many cases replacement parts are not even available. For example, if a Gateway branded PC fails, it has to be replaced as it is impossible to get replacement parts for Gateway since the company was bought by Acer/MPC and the professional division was don away with. We also have a number of Dell machines deployed. Ιf one of these breaks and needs hardware replacement, it is often cost prohibitive to buy the replacement

15

16

17

18

19

20

in that account will buy about ten replacement machines. He fully expects the need to purchase at least ten replacement machines in 2013 as they currently have two PCs that could be used as viable replacements right now. The average cost of the everyday use work station runs about \$750 to \$1,200 depending on the spec and just how much of a discount that the city gets. Any new work station comes with a three-year warranty. He also asks that please keep in mind that they're not buying consumer grade equipment. They're buying professional grade equipment that comes with the three-year warranty from both Dell and HP, Hewlett Packer. There's a difference between the two. A professional grade machine is meant for the rigors of everyday office use and 24/7 up time. Buying consumer grade workstations and laptops is just They're a little bit not a good idea.

parts from Dell. The \$10,000 budgeted

2122232425

cheaper but they don't come up with the extended warranty and they don't hold up nearly as well over time. My response -- the response that I received from the IT Director I believe justifies the necessity to keep the amount of funding in place for the materials and supplies account so I will voting no on this amendment.

MR. ROGAN: Very brief. While I understand the need or the want to have computers under warranty, I think the common sense approach that myself and many of you out there take is if you have a product that works and the warranty expires, you don't go out and get a new one just because the warranty expired. I think it's a savings of money. There will be some repairs that need to be do. There is still money in that account. I fully support the cut.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MS. EVENS: Opposed? 2 MR. JOYCE: No. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. 4 MS. EVANS: No. MR. MCGOFF: 5 No. 6 MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it 7 and the motion dies. 8 MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a to 9 amend or to reduce account No. 01.040.00043.4201, Professional 10 11 Services (Treasury) by \$10,000. 12 MR. ROGAN: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? 14 MR. JOYCE: I spoke to our 15 treasurer, Mr. Boland, and the 16 professional services in that account 17 are attributed to the printing and 18 mailing of refuse bills. From 19 speaking with the city treasurer if we 20 cut professional services in his 21 department, we would risk not having 22 all of the refuse bills being able to 23 be printed and mailed. So that will 24 definitely prompt me to vote no for 25 this amendment.

MR. ROGAN: I would just ask have the refuse bills for this year have been sent out and the account hasn't been dried up so that's why I propose making the cut.

MR. JOYCE: In speaking with the treasurer he did reiterate that the costs -- there would be extra costs with NRS this year as far as the printing and mailing of the bills so that's essentially what's going to prompt me to vote for this.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, this is a little bit off topic, but is the treasurer saying that the city will be paying out -- paying to send out bills for the collection agency? Because I know a lot of people have contacted me, they're very upset because they receive bills for garbage fees that were paid sometimes before they even own the property. Is the treasurer saying that the city is going to pay for postage and mailing of the collection agency's bills?

1	MR. JOYCE: Well, we won't be
2	paying to NRS but the cost will be
3	higher to printout all of the bills
4	according to our treasurer. That's
5	just what he told me.
6	MR. ROGAN: Okay. Thank you.
7	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
8	signify by saying aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
11	MR. JOYCE: No.
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
14	MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
15	and the motion dies.
16	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
17	reduce account No. 01.051.00051.4201,
18	Professional Services (LIPS
19	Administration) by \$2,000.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question?
22	MR. JOYCE: Nothing on LIPS
23	administration.
24	MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those
25	in favor signify by saying aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 3 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 4 MR. EVANS: Ave. Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: 5 No. 6 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and 7 so moved. 8 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to 9 amend or to reduce account No. 10 01.051.00082.4201, Professional 11 Services (LIPS Building) by \$20,000. MR. ROGAN: Second. 12 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. As far as for 15 professional services for the building 16 account, Director Seitzinger did state 17 as I know many services come from 18 professional services and cutting it 19 would be harmful to the employees of 20 the city as well as to the citizens who come to our buildings. For 21 22 instance, our elevator repairs from 23 professional services. Losing the 24 elevator that we have in City Hall 25 would deem our building non

24

25

handicapped accessible which, in turn, would violate state and federal law for public buildings. As it is now, we don't have the money to replace the elevator so the money in professional services is used for the elevator company to repair and keep it operational which, in turn, keeps our building handicap accessible. from the professional services account comes a contract for supplies for all of the buildings. This includes cleaning supplies for all our firehouses, Police Department, DPW and City Hall. Cutting this would hamper our ability to fulfill our contract and provide supplies to our buildings. This could be very harmful for employees as that would lead to unsanitary conditions in the buildings. Furthermore, professional services is used in our heating contract at City Hall with Siemen's. This is an obligation that must be maintained so for these reasons I'm

1 voting no for this amendment. 2 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 3 signify by saying aye. 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Opposed? 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. 7 MR. JOYCE: No. 8 MR. MCGOFF: No. 9 MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it 10 and the motion dies. 11 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to 12 reduce account No. 01.060.00000.4201, 13 Professional Services (Law) by 14 \$15,000. MR. ROGAN: Second. 15 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. JOYCE: This would bring the 17 professional services account in law 18 19 back to the amount that it was at --20 or that it is at this year. Out of 21 this account it pays for various 22 arbitrator fees, membership to West 23 Law and also various transcript fees 24 for court proceedings. 25 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, is this

\$15,000 the salary for Attorney Kelly because I see the \$50,000 on the next line item. I think that might of -that was probably supposed to read o standard salary law since I don't see that we voted on that amendment yet.

Okay. Well, there was a \$15,000 and a \$50,000 amendment for professional services in law so let's make these two motions first and then we'll go and do the standard salary for law because that would be the correct account number.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah. I think then if both passed, then it would be a \$65,000 cut when the highest --

Right. The next one would have to be \$35,000 to add on.

Okay. That's right.

Did anyone second?

I second. The first vote would be to cut \$15,000 from professional services law and then we'll vote to cut an additional \$35,000 professional services law,

1	then we'll vote to cut the salary?
2	MR. JOYCE: Correct. And then in
3	the meantime while we're voting, I'll
4	get the account number for it.
5	MR. ROGAN: I believe I have it,
6	Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Okay. I have it
8	standard salary account number.
9	MR. MCGOFF: I would suggest we
10	vote against this one and then just
11	vote on \$50,000 on the next one.
12	Defeat this one rather than having two
13	separate
14	MR. ROGAN: But there might be
15	support for \$15,000 cut and not a 50,
16	though.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. You're right.
18	I'm sorry. You're correct.
19	MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, which is
20	your current amendment?
21	MR. JOYCE: Reducing professional
22	services in the Law Department by
23	\$15,000.
24	MR. ROGAN: Second.
25	MS. EVANS: Now, that is not the

1	salary cut.
2	MR. JOYCE: That is not the
3	salary cut.
4	MS. EVANS: I shouldn't say
5	salary, raise.
6	MR. JOYCE: That's not the raise.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question?
8	Anyone else?
9	(No response.)
10	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
11	signify by saying aye?
12	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
16	MR. MCGOFF: No.
17	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
18	so moved.
19	MR. JOYCE: To make a motion to
20	reduce account No. 01.060.00000.4201,
21	Professional Services (Law) by
22	\$35,000.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes. This as I said

1	before pays for our transcript fees,
2	arbitrator costs, court costs and also
3	fees due with West Law. I think
4	reducing it to the level that they are
5	currently getting this year is prudent
6	and conservative but I think reducing
7	it by more than that would be taking a
8	risk of underfunding the account.
9	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
10	signify by saying aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
13	MR. JOYCE: No.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
15	MR. MCGOFF: No.
16	MS. EVANS: No. The no's have it
17	and the motion dies.
18	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
19	reduce account No. 01.060.00000.4010,
20	Standard Salary (Law Department) by
21	\$15,000.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question?
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes. This would be
25	the elimination of the pay raise for

1	the city solicitor provided for in the
2	2013 budget.
3	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
4	signify by saying aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
12	so moved.
13	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to
14	reduce account No. 01.401.10060.4299,
15	Everhart Museum by \$15,000.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question?
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I believe it's
19	important for the city to invest sort
20	of in itself. The Everhart Museum,
21	you know, cultural centers for the
22	city and I believe it's important that
23	we continue to fund it.
24	MR. ROGAN: I would just state.
25	I enjoy the Everhart Museum. I go

1	there about once a year but when you
2	have city that is broke, we can't
3	afford to be spending money. Fifteen
4	thousand dollars is a lot of money but
5	it can be raised privately and if this
6	amendment does pass, I would be happy
7	to help the museum raise the funds
8	privately.
9	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
10	signify by saying aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
14	MR. JOYCE: No.
15	MR. MCGOFF: No.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: No. I'm sorry.
17	No.
18	MS. EVANS: Okay. So the no's
19	have it and the motion dies.
20	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
21	amend or to reduce account No.
22	01.401.15325.4299, Operating Transfer
23	to Debt Service 2012 Series C by
24	\$200,000.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: Please explain. 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I figured that 4 you would want an explanation. I did 5 speak with Ryan McGowan about this, 6 our business administrator and he 7 state that the interest rate for 8 unfunded debt B is not going to be the 9 same as unfunded debt A. It will 10 actually be lower. Thus, that's why 11 the mayor came in and stated that 12 there would be a ten percent tax 13 increase proposed rather than a 12 14 percent tax increase to pay for it. 15 Therefore, the amount of unfunded --16 the amount of the payment for unfunded 17 debt B would be two -- would be 1.5 18 million dollars rather than 1.7 19 million dollars. 20 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 21 signify by saying aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The 2 ayes have it and so moved. 3 MR. JOYCE: Okay. I make a 4 motion to reduce account No. 5 01.310.31295, Amusement Tax by 6 \$100,000. 7 MR. ROGAN: Second. 8 MS. EVANS: On the question? 9 MR. JOYCE: Yes. The original 10 figure that was provided in the budget 11 for the amusement tax was based on a 12 ten percent amusement tax and that figure was \$200,000. The amusement 13 14 tax that was passed by city council 15 was a five percent amusement tax, 16 therefore, the amount of revenue 17 projected to be collected from that 18 tax would be half being \$100,000. 19 MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Joyce, can 20 you tell us why the reduction was made 21 from ten to five? 22 MR. JOYCE: Yes. It was believed 23 that there was some piece of 24 legislation that was passed -- I'm not 25 sure how many years ago -- that stated

1	cities having an amusement tax in
2	place after a certain date could not
3	go over five percent.
4	MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those
5	in favor signify by say aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
13	so moved.
14	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
15	reduce account 01.331.33165, Police
16	Towing/Towing Fees by \$300,000.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question?
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I was going to
20	speak to this during our motions but I
21	guess it would be appropriate now
22	since it is brought in as a motion. I
23	believe that creating an impound lot
24	for the city is a bad idea. Last week
25	it was talked about that we were

24

25

giving in to special interest. this were to be done, it is a special interest. It applies to only one business in the city, the one form of business in the city. So, therefore, yes, it is special interest. And I will admit that among the towers, the people here are gentlemen who did support my political campaigns when I ran, and I just want to make that public so that people do know that -am I friends with some of them, yes, but I still think that in total that this is not a good idea. I think it's in the wrong place and I think that while we're asking local small businessmen to pay more in mercantile taxes, property taxes and all of that, at the same time we're trying to take business away from them. I just don't think that it is a good idea for supporting small businesses and I think that in the long run it would be detrimental to the city and detrimental to those businesses and to

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the people who work for them and I believe that taking this out of the budget would be a prudent thing to do especially if we are going to increase the real estate -- if we are going to entertain another ten percent increase in real estate tax, I think that we can afford to remove this as a revenue item from the budget.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add and I think Mr. McGoff you've said what I planned on saying but a couple of the other points that weren't up that I brought up last week and when I spoke to many of you that you brought to my attention was that, No. 1, the city can't be a salver. When cars build up on city property which is what they're going to do, the city cannot just go and bring them to the junk yard. doesn't work that way. Somebody owns that vehicle and it's not the City of Scranton. It takes a very long period of time even for you guys to move these vehicles. And as a city, we

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't have the right legally to become a salver. So I had mentioned which was mentioned by a few members of the public that we will have vehicles sitting in a parking lot next to a national historic site. It's not the idea of -- you know, like it was mentioned before, people want to go to see trains at Steamtown. They don't want to go to see junk cars. Also the figures don't add up. From speaking to the 15 companies on towing list, you know, they told me it was around 80 jobs that they received from the city. When you add that up, the storage works out to less than \$120,000 and that's spread out amongst 15 company before any expenses. As it was stated also before, there are certain rules of procedures when a vehicle is involved in a crime. Ιt cannot be -- a vehicle involved in a crime cannot be left out in the cold and the rain and the snow. The police may have to dust for fingerprints,

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

there may have to be, you know, a second search done on the vehicle. From what I want understand, they have to be stored inside. I don't know if the city has a place to store them inside.

Finally if, for instance, say the city gets in a few 100 cars that aren't picked up and I come to get my car which there's five other cars before it, somebody has to move those vehicles. Now, if they're broken down, how is the city going to move these vehicles without a tow truck? The logical explanation is the city has to buy a tow truck and I haven't been in the market for one and I don't think the city should be. I know they're very expense. It's a very expensive piece of equipment. Although I have a strong belief that this won't work, the idea of it goes against my core philosophy of what government should be involved in. Government should not be in the

business of putting businessowners out of business. Businessowners are being asked to pay more in taxes this year, in mercantile tax, in the business privilege taxes, in property tax on their business. Their employees are being asked to pay more in property tax and they already pay the second highest wage tax in the state. It is not right to go after businessowners to provide jobs in this city. It's not way to balance a budget and frankly the \$300,000 revenue item will not realized.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I have -- I'm sorry. I just have one other thing. Even though at this point in time this is a budget line item, I believe that in order to do this there would have to be enabling legislation. So maybe I'm arguing against myself somewhat here but even if that --

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN:} \mbox{ If we kill it now,} \\ \mbox{though, there won't be legislation.}$

MR. MCGOFF: Correct. So I'll

stop.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add something briefly then if there's anyone else cares who cares to speak. With regard to the additional ten percent in the real estate tax bringing it to total of 22 percent, that's dedicated tax millage. It can't be used for any purpose other than the repayment of the 2012 unfunded debt. So it can't be moved around throughout the budget to make up for a \$300,000 budget deficit. So that would require you to find equal funding elsewhere to balance that out.

In addition, when we're talking about the increase in the business in mercantile, the business privilege and mercantile taxes, yes, they have been increased to their prior level. This council is the one who had decreased them and now they would be returning, as I said, to the previous level, whereas the real estate tax unfortunately cannot say the same

thing.

24

25

MR. ROGAN: I would just add and obviously it is correct that increases in real estate tax are going to pay off debt but to the taxpayer they're still paying more in taxes. You know, they get the bill at the end of the year for most of us -- you know, I'm closing on a house in eight days. I'm setting up an escrow account. It's very expensive. And you see -they'll see their mortgage payments go up every month and to them it's not, well, this is just going to pay off debt which, you know, I think many of us agree even though we disagree on this issue it was not caused by us, it was caused by the mayor, they still have to make the payments. So when you're asking people to pay more and they you're taking money away from businessowner's pocket at the same time, I just don't believe it's right so I will support taking -eliminating this line item.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

_ :

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just say a few things. Just what you just said, I mean, that's what puts us in a That \$300,000 would help spot here. the taxpayers in the city without raising their taxes. I'm not one to put any family out of business. You know, nobody what I've gone through personally in the last couple of years financially. I knows what it's all about. Many of you towers out there are personal friends of mine. I spoke to several of you regarding this issue. And, you know, there was a proposal to just bid it out to one person. We didn't want to see that. We want everybody to have a piece of the pie but we also have to do what is right for everybody in the city. We have to generate revenue beyond taxes. And that's what we're taxed to do. Ι worked for the Fire Department, I worked rescue, I ran into many of you there. I know you'll shake your heads and stuff like that. I know, you

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, if this passes that you'll be upset with me but I understand that was case last week anyway. It's not going to change things. I'm up here for 77,000 people in this city that I have to look at. The same with the commuters. I'm not in favor of the commuter tax. I work with a ton of commuters and how you think I feel everyday when they're jabbing me. the way we have look at it right now is everybody has to share in paying and maybe we'll be able to do something again. That's my personal philosophy. I'm not out to take anybody's jobs but we have to look at the city as a whole and not a certain group and by keeping the towing, we're not towing. It's just the storage yards. And that's not a done deal yet either. We have this hole to fill in the budget and Mr. Sheridan stated they have some ideas and he spoke to me about. I haven't heard anything since then but we're willing to sit

down and do something to fill this hole.

MS. EVANS: Actually I believe I spoke to the mayor about this several times. He told that me that the first meeting was conducted between Corporal Bachman and the towers and that there would be an additional meeting and that the mayor was going to take care of this but that the mayor was in agreement that the \$300,000 cannot be removed from the budget because there is no way in which to replace that money.

MR. MCGOFF: We have just reduced expenditures by \$290,000 approximately.

MR. ROGAN: And if this goes through, I know the mayor may have stated that he's going to try to work it out but if it goes through, that money has to realized somehow. If it's taken out along with the cuts that's off setting.

MS. EVANS: I don't believe that

1	you can really look at this as a
2	reduction in debt service because the
3	debt service is still going to be paid
4	through the dedicated millage
5	increase.
6	But is there anyone else?
7	(No response.)
8	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
9	signify by saying aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
13	MR. JOYCE: No.
14	MS. EVANS: No.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
16	MS. EVANS: The no's have it and
17	the motion dies.
18	MR. JOYCE: Make a motion to
19	increase account 01.301.30100, Current
20	Real Estate Tax by \$1,543,043.00.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. MCGOFF: I'm assuming that
24	this is the additional ten percent.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Again, I was going to speak to this during motions but I guess I'll do it now. I'm in favor of I believe that from doing this. listening to the testimony that was provided and the questions that were asked by the judges during the recent court hearings on the commuter tax, that one of things that they were hesitant about was the fact that we had not complied with the ten percent dedicated tax increase. I believe -firmly believe that by doing this that we will, in fact, have a better chance of realizing the commuter tax which I also support. And in addition to that an additional -- a tax increase of 22 percent works out to approximately \$10 per month for the average -- \$10 a month or less for the 75 percent of the taxpayers in the city and the other 25 if their properties are being assessed at more than that, I would be reasonably sure that they could probably pay the increase. I don't

think that this places a great burden on people. I believe it's something that is necessary and if, in fact, as the mayor stated Mrs. Evans had asked if it helps to mitigate the 2014 tax increase, there's another plus for doing this now. And if we didn't realize the commuter tax, then the tax increase for 2014, I believe, is somewhere around -- estimated around 44 percent. I believe that this -- doing this is the most prudent thing we can do at this time.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor?

MR. JOYCE: Oh, I had some --

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. Go ahead. I had a little bit to add. Tonight as a council member I'm faced with my toughest decisions since I've been seated here. That decision is whether or not to approve an additional ten percent tax increase on city residents thus bringing the total tax increase in this year's to 22 percent. I spent all of Tuesday in court, both as

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

someone who testified for the commuter tax and someone that viewed other witnesses and it was clearly evident from the questions that Judge Nealon, Judge Mazzoni and Judge Thomson imposed that they believed that the court order to have a millage dedication in the 2013 operating budget for the second unfunded debt borrowing was not being followed. payment for the second unfunded debt borrowing has been paid, however, though it has been paid, the three judge panel firmly believed that a millage increase related to the unfunded debt should be levied next year as they saw the stipulation of Judge O'Brien's order. As per the recommendation of Mayor Doherty, I'm going to vote yes to include this increase in the 2013 operating budget tonight. Thought the recovery plans calls for a 12 percent increase this year, the tax increase will need to be 22 percent to comply with Judge

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O'Brien's order in the eyes of the three judge panel. This was a sticking point with them during the commuter tax hearing. Essentially they questioned all witnesses about it and it was a key component of the cross-examination. Without increasing the tax in order comply with Judge O'Brien's order and thus show respect to the Court, it is the collective assumption of Mayor Doherty and some council members that the commuter tax approval would be severely ieopardized. If the commuter tax is not approved, the tax increase would need to be much higher than the original 12 percent that was proposed in the 2013 operating budget and much higher than the 22 percent tax increase set as being proposed tonight. In order for the City of Scranton to get on the right track fiscally the commuter tax is a vital component and if we jeopardize it now by not raising taxes higher in

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accordance with Judge O'Brien's order, we can face a year where paperless paydays and jeopardizing of city services become a glooming reality. The last thing that I want to see is the city face a fiscal cliff by not doing the right thing in the eyes of the three judge panel. We need them as a city to approve this commuter Without it, we face a large hole. Since the recovery plan calls for a 12 percent tax increase this year, the 2014 tax increases per the recovery plan will be lower than what was anticipated originally due to the fact that taxes would be increased Though if approved there will now. still be a 22 tax increase, the tax increase this year would still be lower than some of our neighboring city such as Wilkes-Barre which is proposing a 30 percent tax increase and Hazleton which is proposing a tax increase of over 80 percent. I asked that you bear with council as we are

1 working hard for you. Unfortuna	tely
2 the decision to raise taxes is n	ot a
popular one, however, it's one t	hat
4 has to be made and must be appro	ved.
5 If it is not made and not approv	ed,
6 the commuter tax could be in ser	ious
jeopardy.	
8 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone	on
9 the question?	
(No response.)	
MS. EVANS: All those in fa	vor
12 signify by saying aye?	
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.	
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.	
MS. EVANS: Aye.	
MR. JOYCE: Aye.	
MS. EVANS: Opposed?	
MR. ROGAN: No.	
19 MS. EVANS: The ayes have i	t and
so moved.	
MR. ROGAN: Before Mr. McGo	ff
does his motions, there was one	that
23 was left out was the property ta	x
rebate for veterans. It wasn't	

that were made which I'm happy there were some I don't see them going anywhere. For instance, and I believe Mr. McGoff says around \$90,000 if you take out -- if you don't consider the 200,000 transfer with the debt service, there's \$90,000 in cuts that haven't been appropriated elsewhere. So I would like to make a motion to appropriate those funds to a property tax rebate for veterans.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question. I think it's a great idea as I stated last week. I'm just wondering how it would be set up and coordinated.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually our office did the homework on that and it turns out that it's already in effect.

MR. ROGAN: That is only for 100 percent disabled veterans. That is not for all veterans and that's already in effect. In the State of

Pennsylvania if you 100 percent disabled veteran, you don't pay any property taxes. You go through a process with the county and with the state. This would be a small rebate for all veterans whether they are disabled or whether they are not.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe that if we were approve this that it would need some type of enabling legislation.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: With the parameters for how the rebate would work.

MS. EVANS: And I do, you know, I do think it's a very fine idea and, you know, certainly veterans have made tremendous sacrifices for this country but I'm also concerned, for example, about the elderly in our city who are on fixed incomes and Social Security rise very much. It doesn't keep pace with their food, their utility where they are constantly rising. Their tax bills which are now rising, well, if the city is rising it last, the county

has quite a record of hefty tax increases and the school district raises taxes fairly regularly. So I guess my concern is that where then is the fairness in it for the elderly, for other disabled people who may not have served the county but they are disabled.

MR. ROGAN: I would just reply by say I agree with you. I wish we can do -- we had money for a tax rebate for, you know, elderly, for, you know, lower income folks as well but I think if there's a small pot of money left over and that's what this is, these cuts are a very small amount, I'm grateful they passed. If that money has to appropriated somewhere, who better than to give it to the veterans.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question?

(No response.)

MS. EVANS: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 3 MS. EVANS: Oppose? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. MS. EVANS: 5 No. 6 MR. JOYCE: No. 7 MS. EVANS: The no's have it and 8 the motion dies. 9 MR. ROGAN: So what happens to 10 the money we cut. 11 MR. JOYCE: Basically we'll have 12 an overage of revenue over 13 expenditures which actually is 14 speaking with Business Administrator 15 McGowan it is permitted to have a 16 surplus. MR. ROGAN: Okay. 17 18 MS. EVANS: Well, it's a very 19 meager surplus but certainly with all 20 of the struggles that the city has 21 faced throughout the year and 22 particularly without a commuter tax is going to continue to face next year, 23 24 money, I'm sure, will be put to its 25 proper use.

Is that all?

2

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

3

Joyce. Councilman McGoff, do you have

MR. MCGOFF: Just one last thing

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

4

Joyce. Councilman Actori, do you have

5

any comments or motions.

6

to speak on and that would be the

7 8

commuter tax. As I've stated before,

9

my reason for supporting the commuter

10

tax hinges on a number of things and

11

the first of them has to do with the

12

demographics of the city of Scranton.

13

Seventy-five percent of our population

14

is over the age of 60, many of them on

15

limited incomes, many of them unable

16

to increase their incomes due to the

17

fact that Social Security increases

18

and pension increases don't occur very

19

often. And in addition in listening

20

to the testimony that was provided

21

over the -- during the commuter tax

22

hearings I didn't realize that almost

23

two-thirds of all the workers in the

24

City of Scranton are commuters. I

25

think they said 366,000 --

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approximately 36,000 workers and over 22,000 of those workers are commuters. These are people who have -- who use the city to make money. They use -they are in our city to provide for their income. And in the process of that, they avail themselves of the services that this city provides: Fire service, police protection, medical services, streets, paving, lighting, plowing, that all of these things are provided to commuters. while I may agree in some instance or to some degree that taxing somebody from outside of the city may be somewhat onerous, I do believe that in the situation that the city has been placed, I do believe that it is a reasonable thing to do and it's a temporary thing if approved. It needs to be approved every year. It is not a continuous tax and for that reason I am much -- very much in favor of the commuter tax and hopefully that what we have done tonight with the tax

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

increase for the unfunded debt or the dedicated tax increase that the panel of judges will see fit to allow us to impose a commuter tax for 2013.

And last thing, just a happy holidays to all even those participating in Festivus which was not mentioned before.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Rogan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, very briefly. made most of the points I wanted to make when we were voting on the Obviously I'm very amendments. frustrated about much of what transpired this evening, happy that Mayor Doherty came into Council Chambers. I think the meeting should have been months ago when the mayor and the five members of council could have made a budget instead of the way of the past when the mayor would pick -- find out that he realizes he only three votes to pass a budget, work with three people and ram it

25

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

. .

15 16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

through. I am thoroughly disgusted that my colleagues would not vote for a tax rebate for veterans when there is extra money in the budget that we just made the cuts for. Instead they choose to have a surplus which we'll see if that even materializes. know, I'm really at a loss for words on much of what I saw transpire here tonight. I'm very frustrated and I really look forward to the day when we can have five members of council and a mayor that can sit down in a public meeting like this and work these things out before it gets to this point. Where you have budget that's due in two days, you have it all hinging it on a court, through three judges tomorrow. The city doesn't get the commuter tax, you know, all this was for nothing. There will be a huge hole in the budget. Mayor Doherty should have been in here earlier, he should have been working with all members of council. I don't have much

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more to say than that. And, yeah, Mr. McGoff is correct, we also never had a caucus. On the amendments -- the amendments, I am glad that Mr. Joyce did include mine and we did give everything an up or down vote. I'm very happy about that. I'm not happy about how the votes went but I am glad that we at least give an up or down vote. But another thing that really frustrates me and this is something that I campaigned when I ran for council was the recess. Now council is going to take off one or two weeks, again, you're all going to be voting -- well, not all, but some of you are going to be voting to raise taxes 20 percent and than taking a vacation. Now, I know we all do work outside of this meeting. You know, I was at a four hour meeting the other day and I was glad to be there. It was just something I believed in. But it's our duty to be here. especially after passing a budget that

21

22

23

24

25

has many mysteries in it which, I think, is safe to say and that is going to effect a lot of people, I think we should be here next week and the following week because the Christmas holiday does not fall on a Thursday, either does Christmas Eve. I think we should be here at least to listen to the public and give our input. That being said I will be opposing the budget as I did the previous two weeks. I am grateful that my colleagues did listen to the public and to myself when it comes to the raises eliminating them, I am happy about that. That was the most egregious part of the budget. But still we're going to be putting -possible putting some companies out of business with this towing stuff. And not only that, I even mentioned this before with the towing, we're establishing a city yard. It's your cars that are going to be in this lot. If your car breaks down or you're in

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an accident and, you know, it winds up in the city lot, you're going to paying these fees to the city. I talked to many of the towing companies and when they do storage, they'll cut people a break from time-to-time. city can't to that. If we have it in law that the rate is \$100 a day, it's a \$100 a day. There's no way around it. With a private business they can run their business how they see fit and they do a good job at it. That's why they've been around for so long. And it's not just the towing industry, it's all businesses in Scranton. need to support our small businesses. I have talked to so many people in this city who are leaving because the taxes are too high and the government is dysfunctional. Hopefully in the future we'll have a new mayor and we'll get on the right track. But for right now, it's just very frustrating and that's all I have to say tonight.

MR. JOYCE: I'd just like to ask

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as far as the mayor and the budget process, what would you have suggested to have been done differently besides a public meeting?

MR. ROGAN: Well, first of all, I

suggest that we all should have worked together. Also, are there many things, some of them small, some of the large that could have been changed. Once is OECD. OECD used to pay rent to the City of Scranton. They moved back inhouse and I understand the argument can be made that some people would like that money in there for programs, but when the city was on the verge of bankruptcy and believe we still are on the verge of bankruptcy, we could use that rental income. If not rental income, they were using one-eighth of the building, they could pay one-eighth of the electricity bill with those funds. I think that's a very common sense solution to a small problem but it's something that could have included. Ι

1 also think that cuts need to be made. 2 I know that there were cuts in line 3 items such as, you know, basically the 4 pens and paper accounts which there's 5 nothing wrong with that. I voted for 6 19 cuts tonight and I sometimes get 7 criticized for voting no a lot but 8 when it came time to make these cuts, 9 I voted yes on every item. 10 MR. JOYCE: As far as OECD is 11 concerned, when we voted as a council, 12 we cut their administrative costs basically down to the point where they 13 14 can't afford anything besides their salaries. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: And that was done at 17 the time when they were outside of 18 City Hall. 19 MR. JOYCE: Mr. Loscombe, do you 20 have any motions or comments? 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: I have a couple 22 comments. I do have a motion. Ι 23 would like to amend File of Council 24 No. 17-2012 as amended which was our 25 rental ordinance as per the following?

1	No. 1, in Section 9, fees, after the
2	third paragraph insert any owners who
3	paid their 2012 annual rental
4	registration fee and annual permit fee
5	after August 31st, 2012, shall receive
6	a six month credit toward their 2013
7	annual rental registration fee and
8	annual permit fee.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second. On the
11	question?
12	MR. MCGOFF: Just clarification
13	that File of Council No. 17-2012 is
14	the rental registration ordinance, and
15	I am assuming that what this will do
16	is for those who have complied with
17	the rental registration in 2012 that
18	they are going to be given a credit
19	towards 2013.
20	MR. JOYCE: All those in favor
21	signify by saying aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Opposed? 2 (No response.) 3 MR. ROGAN: The ayes have it and 4 so moved. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Just -- Mr. Rogan 6 just made a comment about Christmas 7 week taking that night off for the 8 pubic to speak on the budget and stuff 9 like that. Well, we had a public 10 hearing for the public to speak on the 11 budget and I don't think more than a 12 handful showed up. I mean --13 MR. ROGAN: Well, the timing of 14 that meeting was even difficult for 15 It was at 5 o'clock on a work me. 16 day. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, people may 18 be away on a holiday week. That's the 19 And, you only one we're looking at. 20 know, I don't want to get into it or 21 anything like this but, you know, I 22 think you missed a lot of meetings 23 this year. 24 MR. ROGAN: I had surgery, Mr. 25 Loscombe, and before that surgery, I

had the best attendance out of anyone 1 2 on this board and you can go and check 3 on that. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm just making a statement. That's all. I'm not 5 6 looking --7 MR. ROGAN: I was in the hospital 8 for two weeks, nearly two weeks. I 9 don't know what more I can do about 10 that. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, I apologize 12 and I'm glad you're well. 13 MR. ROGAN: It's okay. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: But, you know, 15 things happen and I don't think, you 16 know, the summer vacation or a 17 Christmas vacation when we're all in 18 contact or if a meeting as Mrs. Evans 19 stated, if a meeting needs to be 20 called, it will be called and we'll be 21 here. But, you know, basically that's 22 the way I look at it. 23 I do want to make another 24 announcement, too, that was brought up 25 last week about the smoke detector

24

25

program by the Fire Department. happen to see it on TV, getting good exposure, they're getting around with quite a few. I hope we still have But if anyone is in need some left. of smoke detectors in their home, this is a free program and they are installed by the firefighters. So you can call Shawn Flynn, he's the fire prevention officer at 348-4164, Extension 1 or his e-mail address is S as in Shawn; Flynn, F-L-Y-N-N, at scrantonpa.gov and it's also on the city's website www.scrantonpa.gov. And, you know, as you've saw on the news recently we've had a Christmas tree fire down the line. I think a wood stove just caused a major fire down the line also. This is the season. So if you know anybody that needs a smoke detector and these detectors, I believe, have a ten-year battery so, you know, they're good for ten years without having to change the battery on an annual basis. I would

like to congratulate also it was mentioned earlier the West Side

Falcons, A and C team, they're going to Virginia tomorrow to play in what's basically the Super Bowl of their league. So I would like to wish them good luck in representing Scranton.

And also our neighbors, Dunmore, on their game tomorrow. They're representing this whole area and I would like to wish them good luck.

And just briefly I would like to go back to some of the votes. It's not easily being up here. You can't please everyone. You know, I often said I can walk down the street handing our hundred dollar bills to everyone and two or three people are going to complain to me that there's had a wrinkle in it. And that's just a fact of life of being here. We agree on some things, we don't agree on other things. But the one thing that we agree on for the most part is we're put in a position here to get

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this city back on its feet. We know what happened in the past. We've been bringing that up for a long time. we weren't getting anywhere. Finally we worked together with the administration and, again, I don't agree with 95 percent of what the administration does or stands for but I think we're on the same page knowing we have to straighten this city out and do what we have to do. People tell bankruptcy, well, check what happened in other cities with bankruptcy. We're trying to keep your taxes down. Again, it's spreading pain to a lot of people. There is a lot of pain out there. I don't think anybody in this area has been spared pain from anything, from the economy, from jobs, from different things. You know, I've got well over a half of century on this earth and I've seen a lot and I make the decisions that I feel are in the best interest of the majority. I apologize if some people

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

feel offended or think it's a personal situation and that's not the case. have personal friends who I'm dealing with on a lot of these issues. And it's personally hurt me financially, it's personally hurt me being involved I've had here in other positions. family members loss their jobs because of my position here. So I know what it is. I know what's about. can't sit here and have to make a decision based upon one group when I have to represent thousands more. I know they're stating the city isn't going to make any money or anything on it like that, but, you know, if that was the case I don't think they'd be fighting oh hard. We're fighting to keep you guys involved in this, and I want to continue the conversation. This is not a done deal. But the reaction we've gotten is not too good. You know, we want to work with you. We know you're residents and taxpayers and business people but I'm not going

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to sit here and say yes and no to special groups and this and that because I've been asked to. I have to make my decisions based on what a lot of people that I run into tell me about. They may not be the right decisions all the time but I have to live with them. But if any of you gentlemen are offended, I apologize, but I have to do my job here to represent the majority of the taxpayers in this city. You sat here all night. It's been a long evening here and you've heard the problems that we're facing in this city. And if we don't turn it around and I think the citizens like this are going to help us. A few bucks here and there, you heard me, it adds up. That's the only way we're going to get out of this. So we're just asking for your help for a bit. We're not trying to take anything away from you. Again, if you feel that way, I apologize, guys, but, you know, I'm taxed with

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having to make a decision here that affects a lot of people. I've seen a lot of behind the scenes of where we're at, why we are where we're at and if we didn't work hard and, again, I can't say we. I would have to say our finance chair, our president and our solicitor with our staff in the office have worked tirelessly and tremendously to get this budget together and to try and straighten our finances. And, you know, I'm not apologizing for trying to do that but I am apologizing is offended but I have to do what I was elected to here. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Just to quickly add to that. As I mentioned earlier on the topic of the towers. The mayor has indicated to me on more than one occasion that he is going to be sitting down with you and working on this situation with you. And if the meager surplus has to be used in that respect toward that \$300,000, then

2

council will not stand in its way.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Now, good evening friends, according to the City of Scranton's Home Rule Charter, Article 9, Budget and Fiscal Matters, Section 905, Council Action on Budget as well as the administrative code -- pardon?

MR. JOYCE: No. Councilman Rogan signified that there was a motion that I was going to make and I'm just going to do it on 7th Order. It's on an agenda item.

> MS. EVANS: Oh, okay. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

MR. ROGAN: My apologies.

MS. EVANS: The council must adopt an annual budget by no later than December 15th of the fiscal year currently ending. If council fails to adopt a budget by December 17th, the mayor's proposed budget shall become the official budget for the city for the ensuing fiscal year. Thus, city council has no choice but to take its final vote during this meeting.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Particularly since no additional meeting can be advertised 24 hours in advance and still meet the budgetary deadline set by the Home Rule Charter. If council does not vote on this budget tonight or votes down this budget due to the uncertainty of the commuter tax or any other reason, then any and all amendments which council approve tonight, for example, elimination of raises for six employees will not occur and the mayor's proposed budget would take effect without amendment. Had a decision been rendered by the judges on Wednesday, council would have had the ability to postpone tonight's meeting and to advertise a rescheduled meeting for December 14th or 15th. Absent a decision from the judges and in order to comply with the Home Rule Charter council must take its final vote on the 2013 operating budget this evening. It seems that the judges may announce their decision in the very

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

near future. And should they deny our city's request for a temporary commuter tax, it is then incumbent on the mayor as the executive officer to address and make recommendations to minimize the 2.5 million dollar budgetary deficit according to Article 9, Section 909 of the Home Rule Charter. Further, in 2010 Judge Mazzoni ruled that city council cannot amend the operating budget following Therefore, any additional adoption. amendments following tonight's meeting must come from the mayor and be submitted to city council in the form of legislation.

It has been a very difficult year for our city, arguably the most difficult in its history. The financial mistakes of the past ten years came home to roost in 2012 and through a combined tremendous effort on the part of the mayor, his administration, a majority of city council members, our city clerk,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council's staff member, city and council solicitors and the unions, Scranton successfully avoided bankruptcy and public and safety services remained intact. As a city, we have taken all possible measures to provide for a 2013 balanced budget and to begin the process of returning to sound financial footing. Although the 2013 debt payment for the city's second unfunded debt borrowing has been paid, at the mayor's request, we will entertain -- well, we did entertain a motion tonight to increase tax millage dedicated solely to payment of the second unfunded debt in 2013 rather than in 2014 to comply with the judge's order early and to satisfy any doubts or questions regarding repayment of this debt that the three judges who will decide our commuter tax case may have. After having been presented with the naked financial facts of our seriously wounded city and the myriad of actions

23

24

25

taken to address our critical problems, we can only hope and pray that the judges will decide to help Scranton and its people. State government used this city as its test case, it's guinea pig for Act 47 versus Act 111 and led it down the legal path to the Supreme Court of approximately 34 million dollars against the city, but they refused to provide financial assistance toward payment of that award. The large nonprofits unlike their counterparts and other struggling cities across Pennsylvania and this country ignore all requests for fair share contributions to their host City of Scranton. Simply because they can while state laws protect them. At the same time, however, they continue to increase their footprints while growing the number of tax exempt properties within our city limits. The towers oppose a city-owned storage yard and naturally because they don't

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want their profit lines affected.

Commuters, some of whom have county,

school district, state, federal

government and professional jobs here

in Scranton believe that they do not

need to contribute their fair share.

In fact, more people who reside in the

surrounding areas are employed by

government and business in Scranton

than Scrantonians. Further, they will

not all pay an additional one percent.

The amount will be determined in

coordination with the wage taxes paid

to their host boroughs and townships.

Some may pay less and some may pay

nothing additional. Even the Chamber

of Commerce weighed in against helping

Scranton. They all appeared to

believe that you who live in Scranton,

you alone should bear 100 percent of

the financial burden to make up for

what they refuse to do. Now, it's

said that God helps them who helps

themselves. At the end of the day

Scranton has done all it can to help

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

itself. And now we can only hope that the judges will recognize this and will decide to help our people as well.

Finally, I have one citizen's request for the week and a report on one request that has been addressed for Mr. Dembroski who asked for help during a recent council meeting. first is the request after the water company replaced water pipes in the 600 block of North Bromley Avenue, it hired a contractor to pave the street. However, it appears that the paving created a hazardous condition since the road was not restored to its previous level. Rather, the paving company created a depressed area in the road in the front of 615 North Bromley Avenue. Living in this home is a handicapped young child who requires feeding tubes which are overseen by visiting nurses. Should this sweet child ever experience a serious health emergency during the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

winter months, and ambulance could have difficulty responding as quickly as necessary due to the ice that forms in the street in front of this home and on its sidewalks. During rainfall events the same area of the road floods and the water runoff lands on the sidewalks. Now, the homeowner contacted the parties involved in the creation of this safety hazard but they have refused to correct it. Neighbors are very concerned and state that it's a miracle that a serious accident hasn't occurred to date. The paving occurred within the time deadline for appropriate road restoration and city council urgently

And finally I'd like to read the letter I received in response to a neighborhood problem reported by Mr. Dembroski and he has been trying to have this addressed for years. This comes from Michael Trienello. It is

requests that this problem is

addressed immediately.

25

going to Ms. Garcia. "According to records of the tax assessor, you are owner or agent of the property located at 1321 Froud Avenue, Scranton, Pennsylvania. On Friday, December 7th, 2012, I observed the apparent violation of applicable land use regulations on your property. Specifically construction company operations with construction equipment and vehicle storage in an R-1 zone. These activities are a violation of Section 306(b) and 604.B.5 of the zoning ordinance of the City of Scranton, File of council No. 74 of 1993. On behalf of the city I hereby order you to remove the violations within five days upon receipt of this order. If you do not take the requested action or make other arrangements with this office within five days, we will begin formal enforcement action. Enforcement action may include civil penalties, administrative remedies, such as

denial or revocation of city permits and licenses, criminal municipal court proceedings and/or an action for an injunction or other court order directing the elimination of the violation. If you have any doubts about your rights or obligations under the city code, please contact an attorney of your choice. If you cannot afford an attorney, the Northeast Pennsylvania Legal Services can be contacted. Any person or firm or corporation which deems itself wrong by any order of the zoning official shall have the right to file a written appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals. Failure to appeal this order is considered an admission of guilt under the Pennsylvania Municipalities Act. This is the only letter you will receive. Your next communication from us will involve formal enforcement action. I await your reply, Michael J. Wallace, Zoning Code Enforcement Officer." And I am

1 very glad to see that at long last the 2 city is doing its due diligence in 3 correcting a problem for the 4 homeowners and neighbors of that area. 5 And that's it. 6 MS. KRAKE: 6TH ORDER, 6A. 7 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 8 80, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING 9 THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A FOURTEEN 10 MILLION (\$14,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, TAX ANTICIPATION 11 NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS 12 TAN SERIES 2013-A AWARDED TO 13 14 AMALGAMATED BANK; DETERMINING THE FORM AND TERM OF SAID NOTE: AWARDING SAID 15 16 NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE 17 FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND 18 DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF 19 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED 20 21 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF 22 SAID NOTE. 23 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading 24 by title of Item 6A. What is your 25 pleasure?

1	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6A
2	pass reading by title.
3	MR. JOYCE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question?
5	(No response.)
6	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
7	signify by saying aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
16	so moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
18	suspend the rules to move Item 6A to
19	7th Order for final passage.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question?
22	(No response.)
23	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
24	signify by saying aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Aye.

1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
8	so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: 7TH ORDER, 7A. FOR
10	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
11	RULES FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
12	76, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR
13	THE REGULATION AND CONTROL OF
14	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON FOR THE LACKAWANNA RIVER
16	WATERSHED PURSUANT TO PENNSYLVANIA'S
17	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT ACT, ACT 167,
18	AS AMENDED; BY PROVIDING FOR THE
19	APPROVAL OF STORMWATER PLANS,
20	PROVIDING STANDARDS AND
21	METHODOLOGIES FOR THE DESIGN OF
22	STORMWATER CONTROLS; THE
23	ADMINISTRATION OF THIS ORDINANCE BY
24	THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND PENALTIES FOR
25	THE VIOLATION OF THIS ORDINANCE.

1	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
2	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7A.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
13	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
15	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
16	declare Item 7A legally and lawfully
17	adopted.
18	MS. KRAKE: 7B. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
20	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 77,
21	2012 - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE
22	EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR
23	THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST
24	DAY OF JANUARY, 2013 TO AND INCLUDING
25	DECEMBER 31, 2013 BY THE ADOPTION OF

THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING 1 2 BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2013. 3 MS. EVANS: What is the 4 recommendation of the chair for the Committee on Finance? 5 6 MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend 7 8 final passage of Item 7B as amended. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. JOYCE: Yes. You know, 11 12 during motions I know that Mr. Rogan 13 stated that he was frustrated. He was 14 frustrated about the tax increase, he 15 was frustrated by the lack of cuts, 16 he's frustrated that we didn't do the 17 budget in a plain public meeting. But 18 you know what, sometimes I sit back 19 and I think, you know what, I'm 20 frustrated, too, because no one did as 21 much work on this budget, last year's 22 budget or the budget before that as 23 I'm met with the mayor numerous 24 times, I met with the business 25 administrator and, you know what, at

this point I think that all council members have access to e-mail, have access to a phone, if you don't like the way something is going, I just suggest instead of being frustrated about it, do something about it.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, I would just -- I hope you didn't get the impression that I was saying you didn't do a lot of work on it because I know you did the most. There's no question about that in the last two years and I voted with you the last two years because I agreed with it. And I did I put up my amendments and most of them were voted down, so. I hope you weren't getting that I was insinuating that you weren't, you know, doing a lot of work on it because that wasn't what I was getting at.

MS. EVANS: And I -- just
listening to what you had to say,
though, because I wasn't in here for
your motions, I don't know of any

22

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1	government body that develops its
2	budget publicly.
3	MR. ROGAN: Maybe that's why all
4	governments are broke.
5	MS. EVANS: Maybe but, you know,
6	from the federal government on down
7	that doesn't happen. But it does take
8	many, many people to put together a
9	budget and everyone had the same
10	opportunity. Some chose to take them,
11	some did not.
12	Roll call, please.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: No.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
23	declare Item 7B as amended legally and
24	lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7C. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
2	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 78,
3	2012 - APPROVING FEE SCHEDULE FOR
4	DELINQUENT TAX SEARCHES,
5	DELINQUENT AND CURRENT REFUSE
6	SEARCHES, AND LIEN/CONDEMNATION
7	SEARCHES.
8	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to
9	amend Item 7C as per the following:
10	In the now therefore clause after the
11	No. 1 insert delinquent, after the No.
12	2, insert delinquent. No. 2, in
13	section No. 1 on the third line,
14	delete city treasurer and insert
15	authorized collector of delinquent
16	real estate taxes and delinquent
17	refuse fees.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? I
20	know that these changes were requested
21	by the city treasurer in order to make
22	the legislation clearer and the
23	collection of it more efficient.
24	All those in favor of the motion
25	to amend Item 7C signify by saying

1	aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and
10	so moved. What is the recommendation
11	of the chair for the Committee on
12	Finance?
13	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for
14	the Committee on Finance, I recommend
15	final passage of Item 7C as amended.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question?
18	(No response.)
19	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: No.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
5	declare Item 7C as amended legally and
6	lawfully adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7D. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY
9	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 79,
10	2012 - REPEALING ALL PRIOR ORDINANCES
11	REGARDING FINES TO BE IMPOSED FOR
12	POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS'
13	RESPONSES TO FALSE ALARMS IN THE CITY;
14	ESTABLISHING FINES TO BE IMPOSED FOR
15	THE ACTIVATION OF AN ALARM DEVICE
16	WHICH IS DETERMINED TO BE FALSE ALARM
17	BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OR FIRE
18	DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING THE
19	ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF SAID
20	FINES; AND PRESCRIBING PENALTIES FOR
21	VIOLATIONS OF THIS
22	ORDINANCE.
23	MS. EVANS: What is
24	recommendation of the chair for the
25	Committee on Public Safety?

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: As chairperson for
2	the Committee on Public Safety, I
3	recommend final passage of Item 7D.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
18	declare Item 7D legally and lawfully
19	adopted.
20	At this time would anyone like to
21	address council on item 7E formerly
22	6A.
23	MR. SBARAGLIA: This has to do
24	with the borrowing, I presume, right?
25	MS. EVANS: This is for the tax

anticipation note for --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: Right, the borrowing. I read some of it. don't like how it was written. All it is a piecemeal deal. They said it was around five percent, I believe. we're going to pay on this piecemeal deal. In other words, you ain't getting 14 million. You're X amount of dollars until we pay so much and then X amount of dollars till we pay so much, X amount of dollars until we pay so much. All it is more or less like if you build a house with a bank, as you build a house, you get paid for so much; as you build a little more, you get paid so much. As you do more, you get pay for so much. This is really, really terrible that we're in a position where they have to give us piecemeal because they're afraid we're going to go bankrupt on them before we can pay it off. I'm sorry we have to do it that way but I get you're stuck with it. At least I understand why

1 you're stuck with it because I can add 2 one and one and get two and not like 3 everyone else did for years and years 4 and got three out of it. Thank you. 5 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. 6 Sbaraglia. Is there anyone else? (No response.) 7 8 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake. 9 MS. KRAKE: 7E, formerly 6A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 10 11 FINANCE FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL 12 NO. 80, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF A FOURTEEN 13 14 MILLION (\$14,000,000.00) DOLLAR PRINCIPAL AMOUNT. TAX ANTICIPATION 15 NOTE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON KNOWN AS 16 17 TAN SERIES 2013-A AWARDED TO 18 AMALGAMATED BANK; DETERMINING THE FORM 19 AND TERM OF SAID NOTE; AWARDING SAID 20 NOTE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE 21 FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF 22 23 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ANY AND 24 ALL OTHER ACTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED 25 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF

1	SAID NOTE.
2	MS. EVANS: What is the
3	recommendation of the chair for the
4	Committee on Finance?
5	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for
6	the Committee on Finance, I recommend
7	final passage of Item 7E.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question?
10	(No response.)
11	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby
22	declare Item 7E legally and lawfully
23	adopted.
24	If there is no further business,
25	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

	190
1	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
2	MS. EVANS: Merry Christmas all.
3	This meeting is adjourned.
4	(Proceedings concluded at 10
5	p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol, RPR Official Court Reporter