	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, November 29, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	CATHERE G. MANDOZZI, KIR GITTOTAL GOOKI KETOKIEK
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. MARCIANO: 3-A. AGENDA FOR THE
15	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON
16	NOVEMBER 21, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. MARCIANO: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
20	REPORT, RESULTS FROM APPEAL HEARINGS HELD ON
21	OCTOBER 10, 2012.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. MARCIANO: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
25	REPORTS FOR HEARINGS HELD ON OCTOBER 11, 17

1 AND 18, 2012. 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. MS. MARCIANO: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S 4 REPORT FOR HEARINGS TO BE HELD ON DECEMBER 5 5, 2012. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 8 If not, received and filed. 9 MS. MARCIANO: 3-E. LACKAWANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND 10 LAND DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS RECEIVED ON 11 OCTOBER 10, 17, AND 21, 2012. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 14 If not, received and filed. MS. MARCIANO: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE 15 SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING 16 17 HELD OCTOBER 24, 2012. 18 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 19 MS. MARCIANO: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE 20 21 SCRANTON FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION 22 MEETINGS HELD ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2012 AND 23 OCTOBER 24, 2012. 24 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 25 If not, received and filed.

MS. MARCIANO: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 24, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. MARCIANO: 3-I. AGENDA FOR THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 28, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. I don't believe we will have any clerk's notes this evening because our city clerk, Mrs. Krake, is suffering from laryngitis. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I have two. I'd just like to announce a benefit for Westsider Mike Coyne on Sunday, December 9, 2002, at Kilcoyne's Bar, 129 South Main Avenue, West Side from 4 to 8 p.m. There will be basket raffles, a 50/50, food, beer and soda and a \$10 donation can be made at the door.

Secondly, I would just like to congratulate West Sider Matt McGloin for an amazing career at Penn State. We have all

seen him go from quarterback at the local high school to being the quarterback for Penn State. He is eligible for many awards this year and I would also ask, if my colleagues agree, that when Mr. McGloin is back in the city that we have him in for a proclamation and to have a Matt McGloin day in the City of Scranton much as we have done for past athletes from the city.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: And I will get in touch with his family and a get some stats and things of that nature and pass it along to the city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

Pennsylvania's low income home energy
assistance program or LIHEAP is accepting
applications for the programs helpful cash
grants and crisis grants for the 2012-2013
heating season. Cash grants are sent
directly to the applicant's utility company
and crisis grants assist people who are in
danger of immediately being without heat.

For more information and the income quidelines, call the LIHEAP hotline at

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1-866-857-7095 or contact State Senator John Blake's Office at 207-2881.

Since the proposed budget was not submitted to city council's office until November 15 it did not meet the deadline to be included on the agenda of November 15. The Thanksgiving holiday fell during the following week and as a result the proposed budget could not be presented until tonight. Because city council wished to present the budget to the public prior to scheduling a public hearing and because December 1 falls on a Saturday council will conduct a public hearing on the proposed 2013 operating budget of the City of Scranton on Monday, December 3, the first business day of December. The hearing date and time, which I believe will be 5:00, will be advertised in the newspaper this weekend.

The Scranton Civic Ballet Company under the artistic direction of Miss Helen Goust will present it's 26th annual production of the holiday classic the Nutcracker on Friday, December 7, at 7:30 p.m., and Sunday December 9th at 2:00 p.m.

at the Scranton Cultural Center. Admission is free. In order to obtain free reserved seating tickets stop by the Cultural Center box office at 407 North Washington Avenue in Scranton or call the box office at 570-346-7369. What a perfect way to usher in the holiday season in the comfort and splendor of the Cultural Center.

The Scranton/Lackawanna County

Taxpayers' Association has rescheduled its

meeting to this Tuesday, December 4th at

6:00 p.m. in Scranton City Council chambers.

Newly elected state representative Kevin

Haggerty will be their guess.

Finally, this Saturday, December 1,
Santa Clause will arrive by special train at
six communities in the Lackawanna valley.
Families are encouraged to assemble at their
communities' train stations to welcome santa
as the train pulls into town. At each stop
Santa will great all of the children and
photo opportunities will be available.
Afterward, families can enjoy refreshments,
special activities and live entertainment
and all activities are free. New this year

the Scranton High School marching band will perform at the Scranton stop. The santa train will arrive at the state office building parking lot on Lackawanna Avenue in Scranton at 2:45 p.m., and that's it.

(Whereupon during Mrs. Evans' announcements, Mr. McGoff took the dais and joined the meeting.)

MS. MARCIANO: FOURTH ORDER.
CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident,
homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I want to talk about the budget. First thing when I heard about this budget I couldn't believe is 106 million, 109 million, whatever it is, \$24 million more than this year's budget. I can't believe it's that's high. I don't think our county budget is much more than \$100 million and I can't believe our city is that high.

And then I woke up Wednesday and read this in the paper, "City Dolling Out Raises." I was outraged. I mean, this city is in such financial disaster and we are going to -- this isn't a personal attack against anybody, I have nothing personal against these people, but we are close to bankruptcy and see are giving raises up as high as 33 percent? It's just an outrage. We are borrowing money, we are raising the taxpayers taxes, we are going to tax commuters, and last but not least, our public safety people's wages were cut to minimum wage for a pay period, this just cannot happen.

Nothing personal against these people, they might have done extra work they got paid for that work. They do not deserve to get a 24 percent raise, 29 percent raise, not in the situation the city is in. If we were rolling in millions of dollars okay, fine, I might be okay with that, but you are not going to raise my taxes and give these other people raising because I'll tell you what next year is an election year and I

will not support anybody that passes this budget with those raises in it, and I know a lot of taxpayers that have spoken to me felt the same way.

I don't know how anybody could even consider something like this. It's just an outrage. I mean, this city is in such financial trouble, I can't believe anybody would even consider this. I expect it from the mayor, but I'm really surprised you support this, Mrs. Evans. I mean, I have to work two jobs to make ends meet. None of these people that are getting these raises they don't have to work two jobs to make ends meet and I just think that is outrageous and it cannot be passed.

Moving on, this article in yesterday's Doherty newsletter about the streetlight trouble on the McDade Expressway. I brought that up before and it says here Mark Dougher from the DPW said it's been since November 21. That's been for a lot longer than that. I think it was the November 8 meeting I was here I brought it up about the lights from Seventh Avenue

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all the way up to Main Avenue being out, so it's been a lot longer than November 21 and PennDOT is saying it's the city's fault, the city is saying it's PennDOT's fault, so I just hope they figure whose fault it is and get that work done because it's not safe there. There is a lot of dark areas on that highway.

The only thing else I have to say is Councilman Rogan still stole some of my thunder. I, again, would like to congratulate the Penn State football team, a really, really good season after what happened to them and I'm sure Saturday Joe-Pa was smiling down on them, and I agree with Pat, Matt McGloin had a record setting season, represented this city well, and I agree with the proclamation, and as he said, he is up for awards and one that I have voted for already, the people can vote for it, it's called the Burlsworth Trophy, it's for the best walk in. If the people want to vote they can go to www.burlsworthtrophy.com.

That's B-U-R-L-S-W-O-R-T-H-

T-R-O-P-H-Y. Burlsworthtrophy.com. And as of this afternoon when I checked, Matt had 60 percent of the vote and that only goes for 5 percent, the decision of the committee is going to decide, but if they see the people are giving him most of the vote maybe he will get it. I think he should get it. He deserves it. If he didn't play this year they might not have won a game. He had that good of a season and I'd love to see him playing on Sunday somewhere.

Again, that's all I have to say. As you said before, you cannot pass this budget with those raises in it. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Just a few economic things I want to clarify before I call up the next speaker. With regard to increase in the new operating budget, I know that our Finance Chair, Mr. Joyce, explained the causes of those increases during our last council meeting and I believe he will do so again tonight during the presentation of the budget to the public.

MR. JOYCE: I will.

MS. EVANS: And in addition, the 12 percent tax increase is purely to fund the 2012 unfunded debt. It does not include raises or any other portion basically of the budget. It is simply designated for the unfunded debt of 2012. So, for example, if you were to eliminate the entire DPW, the entire police department, you would still have a 12 percent tax increase because that funds the unfunded debt of this year.

In addition to that, council is amenable to changes to the budget. That's why we are still in the budget process and we are beginning the formal discussions on it this evening in terms of the proposed budget. Amendments cannot be made during Fifth Order introduction, but amendments can be made during Sixth Order or Seventh Order and that means during the next two council meetings that are held, and I have no doubt that there will be amendments.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I plan on making amendments to some of the raises that were dolled out, and I think that only people that were going above and beyond their duty

in keeping the city out of bankruptcy should be awarded something.

Ms. Evans: Our next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians --

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SBARAGLIA: -- that first 12

percent tax hike was for the first unfunded debt. Now we went back to the courts and got a second unfunded debt, and then we put out a bond of 20 -- put out four bonds of 20 million. Why? So we can cut down some of the costs of the bonds because the bonds that were taken is paying off the debt from back there I think it was '03 A, B, C and D, so if we had to pay that debt, that 12 percent would not stand.

We've played musical chairs before with finances, it's very easy to do it, but the bottom line is you put a burden on the taxpayer whether you want to or not, it's going to be close to 80 million because when you borrow 40 million you usually double it and that's what the cost will be in the end.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now you plan to do it next year, you plan to borrow another 20 million to pay off the police and the firemen which would put us doubling that would be about 40 million, so what are you doing is putting a debt on the city of 120 million in addition to what you have in the end. That's what it's going to work out to be.

Now, how you plan to pay for that is Thank God that down there in beyond me. Harrisburg tomorrow we'll find out what they are going to do, that judge's ruling has expired tomorrow and we will see what goes on there for bankruptcy. I don't like the bankruptcy, but I don't know if it was \$120 million additional debt placed on the people of the city. I'm not complaining about the raises, I think you should have gave the raise to the mayor a long time ago and got a man in there that can run the city and you pay for what you get and that's we got, a \$50,000 mayor who we got a \$70,000 sanitary worker. Does that make sense? No.

MS. EVANS: No, but there isn't a raise included for the mayor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: It's too late

because there will be a new mayor coming in and the new mayor will come in after that, but we are going to suffer for what we got. I have been sitting there listening to you hammer, hammer, hammer a long time. Janet, I don't blame you, I went to lot of the meetings, even at night when you brought up proposed budgets, and it was scoffed at, is what the best word I could use. scoffed at. People have been coming here a long time to tell you this is going to happen, your figures don't come up. is no way. I don't know how the Sewer Authority got away with the bonds being guaranteed on them, we did guarantee a bunch of bonds for the Sewer Authority a long time ago with the good faith. Now, I don't know how they paid them off or what they did there, but they don't come before us anymore so I assume something was done.

And the Parking Authority, instead of one lawyer they got two down there, they got an administrator that was a commissioner. You got a board that's still

sitting there, and now you are talking about doing something with the parking meters that was brought up that they wanted you to do it in the beginning.

It wasn't a good idea to give the parking meters to the Parking Authority. Why it couldn't be good to do it now for the people running the parking garages. We're stuck. All that finagling we did didn't really do much. The only thing we were hoping for was selling maybe a garage or two or getting in somebody that could run it, but I don't think there is anybody that can run it except God, and I don't think he is going to come back and run it, because there is no way. Your figures don't tally and they will never tally. That's the sad thing about it.

I told you a long time ago you should have called for that board to resign and better yet you should ask them to account for what they did, show them figures that they said they had that said we should build of hundreds of garages around, well, I shouldn't say that, excess garages in town

and I still don't know, is the Hilton getting their free spaces in the garage?

MS. EVANS: I believe they do.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I believe it, too, because they got a contract, so all of these things were done and I don't even want to get -- I got some old contracts where even Boscov's had some lots assigned for over the movie theatre because technically Boscov's owns the movie theatre, they built over the movie theatre and so many spaces are allowed at Boscovs, but I don't think they ever used it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Attorney Anthony Moses.

MR. MOSES: Good evening, Council, and City of Scranton residents. My name is Anthony Moses. I'm an attorney. I represent Bob Bolus and I represent Bolus Truck Parts. I just wanted to take a few minutes of your time briefly to address some issues with the Moosic Street Bridge.

I guess first and foremost my client is concerned with the bridge. He is concerned, he doesn't want it to be an inconvenience to any of the citizens of

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

- -

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton. I am primarily located in Luzerne County and I know that the Hotel Sterling down there for about 15 months it can make your blood boil if you need to be somewhere in ten minutes and you got to go all the way around the city because of that.

My client is concerned, he doesn't want this to be an enormous inconvenience for the City of Scranton. He has, and if he may pass it out, he at his own expense paid for a private structural engineer to assess the bridge. Just some of the stuff I wanted to highlight with respect to the bridge are that it's age, it's about 45, and the engineer feels that the life of the bridge anyway was about 50. There was some preexisting damage. However, he does feel that something can be done to make it -until it is replaced something can be done to make it so people can travel over it and I know my client just wanted to come to council and see if the city could work with PennDOT and not so much exert pressure, just expedite things and make the bridge useful for the citizens so that he doesn't want a

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- -

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation where people are angered over it or anything like that because it is inconvenience and it poses an inconvenience.

And also he just wants to stress that he has taken full, full responsibility It was a driver of his for what happened. that hit the bridge, there was an excavator on top of a truck and he did hit the bridge and also he is a little bit concerned there is obviously some money being spent to store the excavator for an investigation, which we are a little bit confused about because he has taken full responsibility, and I know that was a machine that was involved, that's the machine that hit the bridge. It is what it is and at this point he is just looking forward to having his insurance company take a look at the machine and take a look at the bridge. I guess PennDOT will pay for any damage and then be reimbursed by the insurance company is how we understand it works, but, again, we just come in here today to let counsel as well the citizens of Scranton know that his company has taken full responsibility.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He has paid out-of-pocket himself for a private engineering firm to provide an assessment and they feel it's something that doesn't need to be a long, drawn out year or two-year long inconvenience. Again, I can tell you from being in Wilkes-Barre with the Hotel Sterling it can -- you know, it can make your blood boil when something is a detour and an inconvenience for an extended period of time and that's not what he would like to do. And again, he does have a professional expert that does feel that this can be remedied if it's acted on expeditiously and that's really his purpose here today and that was really my purpose here today.

MS. EVANS: We can certainly make sure that PennDOT as well as the city engineer receives this report and, you know, we will follow-up on that and ask for their response to it.

MR. MOSES: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And it's certainly our intention that this process will be expedited, if at all possible.

MR. MOSES: Yeah, that was just our point. It's a heavily used bridge and, you know, we all now how people need to get to work and people need to do things and, you know, he has taken full responsibility and he does not want this to be a hindrance or an annoyance to anybody, and I thank you for your time and the citizens for listening and for their time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If I could, Attorney Moses, has this been submitted to PennDOT?

MR. MOSES: I'm sorry?

MR. HUGHES: Has your report been submitted to PennDOT?

MR. BOLUS: The engineer did speak to PennDOT, I don't know if he sent it over, we will make sure they receive a copy of it.

MR. HUGHES: Okay, because this is solely their decision. This is a state highway, the city has no control over that, it's going to a PennDOT issue but, you know, I think as Mrs. Evans said that, you know, we will have the city engineer look at this

and also, you know, see what we can do with PennDOT to see if they can get these bridges open.

MR. MOSES: Yeah, and I believe somewhere in the report that the private engineer does say it's, you know, solely on the jurisdiction of the PennDOT but, you know, what he actually says in the report he did speak to them, but we just, you know, we just wanted to sort of let that city know that there is full responsibility being taken and my client would like to cause as little, if any, inconvenience as possible for as short amount of time as possible and thank you again for the time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus. Sometimes we are glad to come here and sometimes, you know, we are not only because of circumstances. However, everybody that knows me I have always taken responsibility for whatever goes on and, unfortunately, owning the company no matter where you sit or what you do you're damned if you do and damned with you don't.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I appreciate Attorney Moses taking his time to come up here tonight and it's important that we all move collectively to find the best resolve here. We are upset about certain things that did transpire regarding this, and Attorney Moses touched a little bit on it as the impounding of the machine, we are paying a rental fee for it. It's now been impounded almost a month. It's been impounded by the City of Scranton and I guess the District Attorney's Office. They went in with a search warrant in the middle of the night and took this out at an exorbitant cost of money to the city and to the taxpayers, and I'm not here to try a case or try anything here, but as a taxpayer in the City of Scranton that's facing tax increases not only in the city but in the county, and as a resident here money being squandered and wasted when there was absolutely no need for it, and it's still continuing to go on and at some point somebody is going to be responsible for the rental fees of between \$850 and \$1,000 a day for this machine while it's been sitting

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here and the owner that owns it, it's not our machine, it was a rented one, is being denied the private use of that machine as well, plus the hauling out and they have permission to leave it right where it was from us to do whatever they needed to do. It's not something you can drive down the highway or go anywhere.

Our company has taken full responsibility. Our insurance company was involved. Everybody has been involved with There is no hidden out in the woods this. hidden anywhere. There is no games here. It's unfortunate, but accidents do happen. That's why we carry insurance. No matter They are unpredictable. what. I have been in the towing recovery for over 48 years and believe me, I have taken many, many trucks out from under bridges, including here in the City of Scranton and the bridges are still standing today.

So, you know, we just want to move forward with it and try and help the people out. It's an inconvenience for everyone, but I believe there is a resolve and that's

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why I did what I did, at least get an outside opinion, you know, PennDOT could listen, PennDOT could say, no, it's their bridge, it's the way they do business and, unfortunately, we can't say anything.

That's why we came before council tonight to ask council's assistance in trying to get this a resolve as expeditiously as possible.

And putting all of that to the side getting on to where we are in the city, as far as raises go, I don't think Paul Kelly is worth the dime more than he has been He has cost the city money. As you are well aware, we have now filed for litigation regarding the property on East Mountain. I have asked and asked and asked, we are going to take him to Court now and get a legal decision, it's now going to cost all of us a lot of money because Paul Kelly didn't do his job. He doesn't respond to council, he didn't produce deeds, didn't show any bill of sale, he didn't show any transfer of the property other than what I showed council and gave council an in-depth title search regarding the property, and

there was \$50,000 on the table.

Is he entitled to the money? No, he cost the city money and he is going to continue to cost them more. He is going to cost money because of the condemnation on my home that he approved and it wasn't condemned legally, so there is a lot of issues going to go on thanks to Paul Kelly and his personal vendetta with me or whatever it is.

Boyd Hughes, on the other the hand, is entitled to a raise because Boyd has done the job. He has gone out and saved the city money and he has worked his butt off to do it, and I know Boyd for 40 years and we have been adversarial in the past, but I commend him for what he has done on behalf of this council because his experience you don't find anywhere laying around. So, yes, there is a difference and who is entitled to it and who isn't.

The nonprofits, and I brought this up many times, let's not beg them for money. We have the wherewithal to put a public service fee on everybody in the City of

192021

23

24

22

25

Scranton. 1 percent, 2 percent, whatever, and that means everybody pays. KOZs, nonprofits, they cannot argue a fee. can argue a tax. No more do we have a garbage fee, and that was taxation without representation because we are already paying money to the city for our taxes to pick up our garbage. So stop begging, let's do what we need to do and maybe we can reduce this budgets. It's the highest budget I think in the history of Scranton. It's higher than a county that has a heck of lot more people and the people are getting hit three times, the city, the county and the school. can't take anymore. It's time now we undo what councils and administration has done over the past eight, 12 years, and I would ask you to do that and I appreciate your time and --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: -- I'm available, if anybody -- or Attorney Moses, if the solicitor or anybody needs to get ahold of him he is available to try and resolve this issue. Thank you. And, oh, one more thing,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my dinner is going to go on at Christmas.

We did get some help from people that are
going to assist us in cooking the turkeys so
we will have it.

MS. EVANS: Wonderful.

MR. BOLUS: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: Obviously the issue tonight is dealing with the budget and that will be the case for the next few weeks now. You know, I did take issue with the recent Scranton Times' article regarding Councilman Rogan and the statements that he made regarding the 2013 operating budget. know, we are yet again going back to referring to things as the Doherty/Evans budget, the Doherty/Evans recovery plan and, you know, I just thought that we put that to sleep back in August when we revised the recovery plan that we wanted to refer to as a Doherty/Evans recovery plan and now yet again we are going back to the Doherty/Evans

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

classification.

Let's make it quite clear, this is the city's 2013 operating budget. This isn't the Chris Doherty budget, this isn't the Janet Evan budget, it's the city's budget and that's the misconception we had, and to make that statement, again, I think is doing disjustice to the residentes of this city especially coming from an elected official. You know, we have been down this path before, you know, we want to, you know, play the sympathy card, we want to get into a pity party and we are not included in on discussions. The fact of the matter is you all have just as much say as anybody else as an elected official and having input on the budget. The budget was never a done deal. Mr. Rogan made have stated that he didn't make any suggestions because it was a done It's not a done deal. The budget hasn't even been final -- hasn't even reached final passage yet. In fact, we haven't even conducted a public hearing yet, so it's certainly nonsensical to even make that statement publically. It's yet again

more grandstanding by an elected official who has consistently time and time again refused to put the necessary work involved to get the job done. This isn't about personalities, it's about protecting the residents of this city. Time and time again we go down the same path and we deal with the same nonsense that we want to grandstand to the TV camera.

It sounds all well and good on TV, but the reality is you were elected to do a job. They are difficult decisions to make, they are not always popular --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Miller --

MR. MILLER: But you have an obligation to protect the residents of this city and that's not happening right now.

You know, as I said, I can't tell you how frustrating it gets over time. I commend council for all of the work you do. I know the time and efforts you put into it with Councilman Joyce with the projection that he is going to make tonight. The effort that he puts into it. This a group effort. We all work together and the reason we do that

is because council during the summer took
the bull by the horn and realized that we
needed the administration to put their
politics aside and come together and sit
down and negotiate a revised recovery plan
and that happened because council took the
initiative and you realized that to move the
city forward and to better the city and the
taxpayers we needed to come together and
that happened.

But now we want to go back and we want to play political games and spew the political rhetoric and move us back. need to move forward. You know, the raises, as some speakers objected to earlier tonight, I absolutely agree. Does Paul Kelly deserve a raise? Absolutely not. think the person that needs to be in the spotlight is Attorney Hughes who single-handily has saved the city from going into bankruptcy. Let's take a look at all he has done, working on the budge, took on the Parking Authority, was very strong with the recovery plan process. These are things that he did and he is an asset to the city

and he should be commended for that. You know, we can go on about, you know, the tax increases, that was clarified earlier. The 12 percent tax increase is to cover the unfunded debt, not the raises, and I know Councilman Joyce will go into full detail on all of that later on and clarify any questions that the public may have.

But when we want to sit here and he we want to be objective and not have a plan ourselves it doesn't look good. Yeah, it's nice to say "no" and it looks good on TV to the public viewing it at home, but they want answers, they want solutions. They don't want to hear "no". They don't want to hear it is a done deal and I wasn't included. Everyone hs an opportunity to be involved. This budget is not a done deal.

Mr. Rogan, I know you had some statements to make, would you like to make them?

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, I wanted to let you finish first. You know, you said I'm not going to finish it, I said when the reporter called me, "I'll have the amendment

.

what I meant.

MR. MILLER: But having the recovery plan as a blueprint knowing full well that for basically for the next three years that's the blueprint for our budget, having that in front of you that should have given you an opportunity to come up with some revisions and amendments that you could have passed along to your colleagues. Did you do that?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ ROGAN: That's what I'm in the process of doing.

MR. MILLER: But I'm saying throughout the whole weeks and months of this whole process with Councilman Joyce and Councilman Evans and Council Loscombe at any time did you have any dialogues to give any input on the budget.

MR. ROGAN: Like you said, it's not a done deal. My amendments will be ready next week.

MR. MILLER: Like I said, I'm just certainly just a little disappointed in the statements that were made in the newspaper.

I just feel that we all need to work

together. This is the city's budget, it's not the mayor's budget, it's not Councilwoman Evans' budget and I think we need to being careful on how we address issues in the future and not make this political.

There is some serious things going on here and we want to do everything possible to avoid bankruptcy. We don't want to see that because when that happens, as we all know, we have no say over that. Taxes will be raised in astronomical amounts and the city will be completely dead and we don't want to see that day happen, so we need to continue making the touch decisions, making the choices, working together, putting politics aside and I'm confident that's going to happen and I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: At the last meeting there were some comments made by yourself, Council President Evans, about /SOFPLT some

information I wanted and I just don't think that you presented what I asked for, but that's fine.

The first thing I have here tonight is going away from the city budget, I'll speak on that on the third. Theres is a Lackawanna County -- in Lackawanna County the guardian ad litem program review has taken place. Here is the document. 122 pages. It comes from the Administrative Office of the Pennsylvania Courts Judicial Programs Department. Zigmond Pines was the person who I guess authorized it. He is the Court Administrator.

I'm really beginning to review this. I have spoken to Judge Corbett who I believe has seated the board. I have spoken to Nancy Barrasse. I don't know if she is a Miss or Mrs., I just know that that is here name, she is a chairwoman. No disrespect to her, I have spoken to her. I'm really concerned, I have also opened a line of communication between myself and this Zigmond Pines, he is in Philadelphia.

As everyone here probably knows, the

Feds, the FBI and everyone came in and they investigated the guardian ad litem and the troubling thing I find about what's occurred here is that I don't think anybody in Lackawanna County knows this review is taking place other than myself, and I really have to say, I'm losing my voice, but I really have to say that I have some very serious concerns for the people that have been appointed to this board and I have made those thoughts clear to Judge Corbett.

I really think that when Judge
Harhut put this together in the late 1980's
he came up with a plan because divorce
courts were a war zone and I just think that
with the makeup of this board I think we are
losing a lot. I have to question a couple
of things here. I think that the most
important thing about the guardian ad litem
program is that I think needs to be expanded
and that no attorney who is a guardian
should practice family law in the family law
system in the courts and in Lackawanna
County. And I think that that's one very
important thing that Judge Harhut did.

But in this review I think there is a special interest group that shouldn't be part of this group and that's the Women's Resource Center, because they are special interest group and, look it, you know, I could see representing somebody in domestic violence, but that's not the purpose of this review.

Now, previous to this I did a
Right-to-Know to the District Attorney's
Office, and asked him if the Women's -- and
I also did it to the county commissioners to
ask if the Women's Resource Center was
funding the District Attorney's Office in
any way and whether they had a prosecutor
attorney that works for the Women's Resource
Center and it's my understanding they do.
The District Attorney refused to answer that
question, and I just think that this program
needs to be shielded from some of the people
on the board and I just think that the
Women's Resource Center should remove itself
from this board.

I think it's fine in instances to have a representative in the courtroom to

represent you, but I think that when this review goes through I think it's not quite proper for them to be part of this review.

Now, when you read and study their funding streams through the state and federal government they are a quasi-private organization. It's very troubling and if we are going to protect children and the rights of their -- to being protected by a guardian I just don't think they belong there and I just hope that anyone who may share may opinions, I have talked to a lot of people and I have to say that the people most troubled by this report that have seen it and heard of it aren't men they were women because they don't think the Women's Resource Center belongs on had board of review.

As everybody in my own opinion knows women are usually involved in a lot more issues than men. That's just the way I see things. I just hope that if anybody has any questions on this they'd feel free to call me at 570-604-1212 and I appreciate your time.

. 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: The last month or so I probably talked to well over 50 homeowners, you know, in the ventures around town and to a tee every last one of them, it's understandable with the tax raise, they are not going to vote for council, the commissioners, the school board, the governor, people have had enough, and I don't know if you need to go to Plan B it is a mathematical impossibility for 37,000 taxable property to pay off this debt. don't care what PEL and all of them people keep telling us to do, you can't. Go talk to anybody that's knowledgeable in banking, tax consultants, business people, anybody you talk to would tell you, you just can't keep falling back on the people of this city with the tradition Doherty has established in raising taxes and borrowing.

You going to get turned down sooner or later and somebody is going to have to do something. You got to go to the source of

the problem. You got to go to the Board of Assessors and have something done about the University and the rest of these phony nonprofits that have just eaten -- they have killed the city.

Brother McGoff, you support the University and it's policies, you people worked diligently on your budget last month and what happened? \$30,000 immediately was taken off by the University. Who is supposed to make that up? The people aren't getting nothing for their dollar. All they do is brag about what they are doing for the city.

Marathon weekend you know where the mayor was? He was in New York City for a coat and tie dinner at a fancy hotel.

MR. MCGOFF: The marathon weekend?

MR. ELLMAN: The marathon weekend?

MR. MCGOFF: He ran the marathon.

Steamtown?

MR. ELLMAN: Do you know whose dinner it was?

MR. MCGOFF: He ran the Steamtown marathon.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLMAN: It was the University had a dinner. Nothing in this city was fine enough or good enough for him and they are the ones that say they support us? This is the man that you said to try to get extra amounts of money from them. He sleeps with the University. He is not going to ask them for any money and they support him, and this -- the fact is it's just an impossibility for us to just go anymore. You people just you have gotten out of tune with the people of this city. Go out there any place in the city and talk to them. Even the nice section of Clarks Summit or somebody, there is just not that much, people got other things to do besides pay city taxes and county taxes and every time we turn around there is just abuse.

And five, six, seven years I have been telling you Paul Mansour and he hasn't done one thing with all of his money he has gotten to fix up the Woolworth House.

Goodwill got 2 1/2 million somebody sitting on it, the building hasn't been touched.

Like they said a couple of weeks ago, it

hasn't been touched. They have no intentions of touching it until they are forced to and then they'll probably give it back to the city. It should have been sold years ago. Every phony kind of developer in this town gets all kinds of tax deductions and KOZs.

I got two sewers at the end of my property that have been stopped up since I lived there. Water goes across the sidewalk and puts all of this dirt on it every time that a heavy rain comes, you know, I don't have any curbs, but those people up there on Keyser Avenue, two or three hundred thousand dollar houses for ten years and they are not paying taxes they got everything I don't.

That's what's wrong with the city everybody's priorities are wrong. You are not thinking of the people that are paying the bill anymore, and I'm one of them that's paying the bill but I've about had it. I told you people once I can draw my equity out of my house and leave it and come out ahead. I mean, way ahead and I'm not going to pay all of those taxes next year. They

can have my house, I'll take my equity and go live in a high rise or something. I'm just not going to pay it no more and I have heard a lot of other, you got thousands of empty houses all over the place.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You need a Plan B or something, you know, like I said, I don't know what it is, but you got to do something for the people that are paying for everything and forget about all of these ridiculous PEL and all of the advice they have given us because it's been adverse since the beginning. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerry Dombrowski.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I just for clarification purposes? The Scranton dinner that is held in New York City is not conducted by the University of Scranton, it's an organization that's been conducting that dinner I think somewhere 1920's or 30's.

MS. EVANS: I think he may have been referring to the dinner during which the

2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University honored the Lynett family for its years of service providing the newspaper.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

 $\label{eq:ms.bar} \text{MS. EVANS:} \quad \text{But I don't know when} \\ \text{that was, I'm sorry.} \\$

MR. DOMBROSKI: Members of council of the City of Scranton, I stand before you a resident of the city for many years. A veteran of the Vietnam era, a retired person. I stand here and I ask why ordinances, laws and regulations made by this council body are just not enforced.

I have been complaining to the appropriate enforcement body of this city for many years of a business established in a residential area zoned R1 that is prohibited by your own zoning laws. I have been told by your representative on several occasions that it's only one truck and other lame rational. The fact of the matter is there are three trucks. There are two trailers. Two of the trucks are equipped with beepers that are very disturbing to the peace of the residential area when countless trips are made in and out of the

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighborhood. I have been many photographs, many photographs and some videos, but your representative says they are not important as he must catch the wrongdoing. How does one go about requesting that he do his job on Froude Ave?

Three days this week I had called the police to report diesel vehicle noise, fumes and noise of loading and unloading, doors slamming, at an early hour of the It starts at 6:30 a.m. The first morning. day I don't think the police responded. The second day I saw the police respond and eventually the owner of this illegal located business shut down his vehicle. policeman then watched as one of the employees or partners noisily unloaded construction debris to be picked up by the city garbage removal. Which is another illegal operation that I have witnesses and reported on several occasions. This was also submitted to no avail because the owner of this illegally located business is the offspring of a worker at the city's own DPW. Which brings to mind the unusual

circumstances that this property was acquired by a then 21 year old and immediately afterwards the DPW made improvements to the driveway, the alley, and other drainage problems surrounding the property while the elderly woman who lived there before couldn't even get the city to clean her gutter.

Now, I question you, doesn't it seem that the person in charge of enforcing these regulations in the city relating to zoning is either getting paid off or is he related to this business illegally located in this residential area? Why has this gone on for so long? Why have my complaints been swept under the rug? Why won't the mayor's office even do anything? And finally I ask, why when I approached a member of the city council with this problem very little was done?

Wait a minute here, you make the laws, and the people who are supposed to enforce them don't. It sounds like a house cleaning is needed starting anywhere you wish, the top, the bottom, anywhere

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in-between. I really don't care where you start just as long as the business is banned from occupying space in this residential area immediately.

There are many others in this residential area that are totally fed up with this business and the noise generated by the owner, who is the son of a Scranton DPW worker and seemingly exempt from There are many others that are penalties. concerned that their property values are effected negatively by the city's lack of enforcement of laws and ordinances. believe that they will also come here to demand this business be banned from operating in the location it is, and be banned from having any commercial vehicles from being parked overnight or even being in this residential zone at any time.

There is lot more to tell that there isn't time for right now, stuff that would get your dander up as well.

I purposely left the names out of this, I have furnished them on page two of a copy of this for your referenced. I expect

nothing less than immediate action of the enforcement of the city's zoning laws.

Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DEMBROSKY: It was a relief that you didn't have to listen to complaints about the taxes; right?

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. Okay,

Mrs. Bolus ws mentioning these and I have

previously mentioned refuse fees for

institutions and that sounds like a pretty

good idea to me. It's about time that we

stopped begging and start insisting on a

fair share of tax poor tax exempts and I'm

not going to call them on nonprofits because

they are certainly not. Some are, some

aren't.

And I mentioned a couple of weeks ago about a sewer fee, it seems like, and I'm going to do some research on this, I'll let you know after the first of the year, that they are not charging our consumption

charge, they are just charging a flat fee.

It seems like they are not tracking any
consumption of water on my bill, so that's a
concern because we wanted to try and
encourage people to use less water and it's
not being done.

And on a 5-B we have an ordinance on fire and burglar alarms apparently and --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. DOBRZYN: -- I might mention in a book I read recently, I think it was the "Free Lunch" book by David K. Johnston how Tyko and Dennis Kozolsky who is currently in prison for malfeasance, corporate malfeasance, actually dumped the responsibility of their company for guaranteeing the safety of these properties onto the police force in big cities like New York and when people were reporting muggings and problems in parks, park managers in New York City would call the police and it would take them three hours because they were so busy answering false alarms, so I think that's a step in the right direction.

Now, a few weeks ago or months ago

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we turned back money from the SAFER grant. Once again, I'll make note that it was nobody from council though it was a great idea to do, and we have an issue here tonight with pay raises, and my suggestion is let's keep our heads. Why don't we tie the pay raises to a timely audit. Ιf somebody is more than 30 days late with their audit, they get to give the money back from the person first of the year. about that? Wouldn't that be wonderful? Ι mean, we still don't have an audit from last year I believe, so if they want their 20, 30 percent pay raise well then were don't they come up with a the timely audit and then they are doing their jobs and maybe they deserve a pay raise. And, therefore, we won't be falling prey to the editorials in the Times-Tribune, the editorial page. mean, let's keep our heads. I don't want to become a puppet to them just because they said that these people don't deserve the raise or whatever or this person or that person is the only one getting the raise. How about if we get to pay for performance

for a change?

It's really ashame how late these audits have been and I feel that they are the cause of some of our current problems, so that's something to consider. If they don't come up with their, was it May 30 is the due date for the audit, how about by June 30 they get to start paying back? We start deducting money out of their wages to pay back to the first of the year for not doing their jobs properly.

All right, got a new thing here.

Free lunch for billionaires, the 800 block of Moosic Street there must be 12 plus excavations on there, patches. It's about a year old. At least, that's conservative 12 patches. They tore up places where the street was painted, crosswalks were painted, it was recently paved and here we have, you know, and it looks like hell. And it's really ashame, but it's free lunch for billionaires time, so us peasants have a lot of nerve pushing that.

And, okay, I'll just make it quick the golden parrot goes to the Blankfein,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Goldman, Sachs CEO, he gets a \$25 million a year salary and he thinks that we should start deducting money off of social security recipients and we have McCain, Snow and Grands, they want to get rid of Rice, I forget her first name, it's not Condalessa, for the State Department head to replace Hillary Clinton. Now, the little punch line will is that if John Kerry is next in line then we have an empty seat and we have to have a new Senate election so how convenient. How convenient. So thank you and have a good night and don't forget, bawk, bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY:

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

I never thought that I would agree with the Scranton Times. Last week they had an editorial in explaining or pointing out the raises this administration is giving out to

their employees. It's hard to explain to

people who are on social security and are

lucky to get a 2 percent increase and they are proposing as much as a 30 percent increase on people who have a high income to start or a higher income to start.

I hope -- well, it seems as though a year ago city council was recommending that everyone on the city's payroll take a 10 percent cut in their salaries. All of a sudden this year, when we are in worse financial shape, we are asking for higher salary increases. I hope city council will reconsider and do what's right by the people of this city.

A year has passed since anything has been said about insurance broker. Has the city gotten any bids or are we soliciting any bids from insurance brokers for the city's insurances?

MS. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of, but it's very coincidental that you raise this issue tonight because --

 $\label{eq:mr.loss} \mbox{MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm sorry, I didn't} \\ \mbox{hear that.}$

MS. EVANS: I said not that I'm aware of, but it is very coincidental that

1 you raise this issue tonight because under 2 my motions I am going to be asking the BA 3 and the mayor when they intend to put this 4 out to bid. MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, that's been 5 6 hanging for two years. 7 MS. EVANS: Yes, it has. 8 MR. UNGVARSKY: This is the second 9 year and apparently nothing has been done. 10 Well, perhaps he has a better connection 11 than other brokers. Thank you. 12 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 13 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. Hello. Janet. 15 MR. SLEDENZSKI: 16 MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chris, where is your 18 Dunmore hat? You got support them. MR. SLEDENZSKI: I hid it. I hid 19 20 it. I was going to put it on. MR. LOSCOMBE: You support all our 21 22 games. 23 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Is it okay if I 24 wear it? 25 MS. EVANS: You can wear it.

1 MS. LOSCOMBE: There you go. 2 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Loss of luck to 3 West Side, Pat. MR. LOSCOMBE: Now you look right. 4 5 MR. SLEDENZSKI: They are playing tonight, so, Dunmore, good luck tomorrow. 6 7 Whip them good. Thank you. 8 MS. EVANS: That's right. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chrissy. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: He didn't show you 11 the shirt he is hiding under that jacket either. Marie Schumacher, city resident and 12 13 taxpayer. First, I'd like to thank the 14 firefighters, I understand they are going back to three per piece of equipment instead 15 16 of four; is that true? 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: On some pieces of 18 equipment so they can man Engine 7 and 19 Engine 4. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I appreciate 21 Will we have the audit before next that. 22 Monday's public hearing? 23 MR. JOYCE: At this point, no. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Unbelievable. 25 After a review of the addition of Section 28

to File of Council 71 of 2012 it appears the amendments impact is the bond proceeds will be used to pay the 2011 or 2013 unfunded debt service which circumvents the need for increasing the 12 percent real estate tax and ignores the decree of Judge O'Brien that the unfunded debt is to be repaid through an increase in the millage dedication of the real estate taxes over a ten-year period.

If I'm not correct, I ask Mr. Joyce to explain the intent and the effect of Section 28 during motions.

Mr. Rogan, do we have a finite answer on whether or not the Moosic Street property being marketed by the Pizano Partnership is collateral for their \$4 million no interest loan?

MR. ROGAN: Not yet, only what I sent you this morning, and I will be following up some more.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, can you tell me what are the number of false alarms been for the last year for the last three years or in the range?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would have to check 1 on that. 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: Would you have that for next week? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: 5 Sure. MS. SCHUMACHER: 6 Thank you. MR. LOSCOMBE: For the year you 7 8 said; right? 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: For three years by 10 year, yes. Thank you. Trash bills, I have 11 been reading in the paper about all of these bills going back to the 1990's and the 12 13 Pennsylvania Municipal Records Act says that 14 you only have to go back seven years, so I don't know how the city can legitimately if 15 16 they haven't been doing their job and 17 collecting the taxes when they were due or 18 when they were delinquent can go back to three years or more. I do have, and I'll 19 20 give Jamie a copy of the financial and 21 purchasing records under that legislation. 22 What is the status of the amendment 23 to restrict rental registration inspections 24 to safety items only? 25 MR. MCGOFF: Do not know.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: A status of the 2 amendments to include exemptions from the 3 amusement tax? 4 MS. EVANS: That will be amended 5 this evening. We actually received the language from the legal department following 6 7 our last meeting and so our Finance Chair 8 will be making those amendments this 9 evening. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'll be amending 11 12 that later. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: And what has been 14 the revenue collected to date on the parking tax both in gross dollars and the number of 15 16 entities billed and the number of entities 17 who have responded with payment? 18 MR. JOYCE: I don't have a breakdown 19 by number -- or by the exact entities who 20 have responded and paid. I know it was 21 around \$40,000 as of September 30, 2011, but 22 I will contact the appropriate party and get 23 those figures by actual --24 MS. SCHUMACHER: If everybody had 25 paid, what would it be? Do you know how

many slots there were?

MR. JOYCE: Well, if everyone paid it would be close to PEL's projection of \$500,000.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So we are little bit shy, okay. Okay, yeah, that would be a little helpful. The borrowing of an additional \$25 million in 2013 for back taxes will be -- or back pay for the police and fire, and an increased MMO for funding pensions, does this mean the new lease rent agreement will no longer be pursued?

MR. JOYCE: Could you repeat that?

I'm sorry, I didn't hear the whole question.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, the plan for 2013 is to borrow an additional \$25 million for I assume the Supreme Court decision?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And in the past, and I believe it's in the recovery plan, revised recovery plan, that it would be a lease rental to do that, to accomplish that, so has that gone by the wayside and there will no lease rental of any further properties that the city owns?

MR. JOYCE: Well, it will either be the 25 million or the combination of the sale of leaseback and additional borrowing to pay off the Supreme Court award solely.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. How much interest do we owe on that to date, on the Supreme Court ruling not having paid for over a year? I asked that once before and you were going to check it.

MR. JOYCE: I don't know the number offhand hand but I will go back and check it again.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And last spring the council approved a similar grant application for 500 Cedar Avenue, do we know whether or not that grant has been approved or are we still waiting or when will we hear on that?

MR. ROGAN: I can check into that as well.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. How much rent is OECD paying to the city? I remember when Mrs. Gatelli was here and talked about when OECD was located in the building in prior years and the rental

amount was I believe in the thousands per month, so how much are we getting and how much is in the 2013 budget, let me put it that way, for rent from OECD?

MR. ROGAN: I didn't see any when I looked through the budget. I could be wrong. It didn't look like there was a line item in there.

MR. JOYCE: No, there wasn't a line item in there for a rental fee from OECD though I know they -- in speaking with our business administrator I do know that they will be contributing to the utilities of the building and that's broken down by the square footage of space that they occupy in comparison to the square footage of the space and the entire city hall building.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, well, I thought that was one of the prime movers and shakers for getting them back into the building, not only because there was space, but for the additional revenue that they were paying to another entity so I'm rather surprised that they we don't have a line item for that.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually another benefit of the move is that federal funds then under the category of administration are no longer used for rental payments, so that would free up the thousands per month that have been paid previously to be allocated to the projects that are far more beneficial within the City of Scranton.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand that, but the way our loans have apparently have been going I don't think they are benefiting very many people because I just learned today of another company that went out of business that had a two hundred -- or a quarter of a million dollar loan, so if I may just do three more quick -- or two more quick items?

On a trip down Providence Road I noticed that there is "For Sale" sign on the vacant land between the new road that goes into the Ice Box where the gas station there and car wash, is it safe to assume that this parcel is not part of the property being leased by BRT Ice? Does anybody he know?

MS. EVANS: I don't know.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: I didn't have a 2 chance to get to the county to check that 3 myself, and then the parking finally, the parking structure operators they were bumped 4 5 from a scheduled caucus quite some time ago, will they be here for a caucus before the 6 end of this year? 7 8 MS. EVANS: No. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 10 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? MS. MARCIANO: 11 FIFTH ORDER. 12 MS. EVANS: Rather than proceeding 13 in our traditional order, I will call upon 14 Councilman Joyce, our Finance Chairman, to 15 present the proposed 2013 operating budget 16 to the public at this time. 17 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Just as long 18 that's fine with my colleagues. MR. ROGAN: 19 Absolutely. 20 MR. MCGOFF: While Mr. Joyce is 21 doing that, I apologize for arriving late, I 22 just wanted to -- I had an announcement to 23 make and I got here at the tail end. 24 MR. JOYCE: Sure, take your time. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Matthew's Mission,

which was created in memory of Matthew
Newell, is having a breakfast with Santa.
That it Saturday, December 8, at Scranton
High School. There are two sittings, one at
9:00 and one at 10:30 a.m. In the past it
has been -- it has had a great attendance,
so they are asking if you plan on attending
to call fr reservations so that they can
provide the space. You need to contact
Kathleen Hackus at 570-961-0818. T Kathleen
Hackus at 570-961-0818 for the breakfast
with santa sponsored by Matthew's Mission.
Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Oh, that's okay.

Tonight, I'm sorry I have my back turned to the public, it's the way that the plugs are setup in here and the seating arrangements, I'd like to face the public if I could, but, unfortunately, I can't.

To begin tonight, I'm going to discuss the 2013 operating budget and it's my goal just to educate my colleagues as well as the public about the budget, go over some of the revenue and expenditures and some of the cuts that were made to the

budget that was proposed, so let's begin.

On November 15, 2012, of this year the administration submitted the budget to Scranton City Council as required by the Home Rule Charter. November 15, is the deadline. The budget process was primarily carried out by the administration in regard to projections of revenue and expenditures, though I did offer some input. I did see some of the revenue and expenditure projections ahead of time and I offered some of my comments into these figures.

Prior to the budget being released,

I met with Mayor Doherty, Councilwoman Evans
and Business Administrator Ryan McGowan to
discuss large budget matters and as promised
by the recovery plan, the tax increase was
limited to 12 percent.

So my goal was to take a proactive approach to the budget this year and work with the administration so that the budget issued would not be greatly amended. As you may know from previous years, the amendments to the operating budget they took nearly probably I'd say 30 minutes to an hour long

just to read off unless, of course, you are Boyd Hughes and you can read faster, but anyhow this is important to returning the trust of the banking community as the city looks to close on borrowing for unfunded debt and future borrowing to fund the Supreme Court award won by the fire police and fire unions.

Now, some may be asking, well, why is this budget so high, it's \$109 million and it was \$85 million in change last year. So essentially the city will incur some extra expenses this year in opposition from last year due to some major reasons, and the primary reason why the budget numbers is so high is the Supreme Court award in favor of the fire and police unions. This will cost the city over \$17 million. This amount could have been well in excess of \$30 million if it were not for the negotiations efforts of some council members and the administration to mitigate the award.

Debt service attribute to the Supreme Court award. Because the city will need to borrow the money to pay the Supreme

Court award since it doesn't have the cash on hand, this will result in an additional \$1.5 million in debt service payments this year.

There will be an increase in the MMO, which stands for minimum municipal obligation, to fund pension payments. The MMO required for the city to fund pension payments 2013 will be \$9.85 million according to a study conducted by Thomas Anderson. In 2012, the MMO was \$4.4 million. Therefore, in one year we are seeing an MMO increase of \$5.1 million in 2013.

And also, with the Supreme Court award there were standards set for the number of officers that must be working during each shift. These standards are projected to result in nearly \$400,000 in overtime expenses.

So to go on more about the budget, overall there were three positions added.

Two of these positions, financial analyst in the Business Administrator's Office, and the rental registration coordinator in LIPS were

added due to the recent clerical union contract.

One position, an accountant in the BA's Office, was added to assist with duties in the office and the city will is applying for grant funding through the state to fund the compensation for this position.

Positions relating to the on-street parking program were not added and these employees will become employees of Standard Parking once they fully take over parking collection operations in 2013.

Raises were awarded to six employees, as many of the speakers mentioned tonight, and I believe that these raises should be scaled down and/or eliminated for certain employees as I believe the only raising that should be awarded are the people that truly worked tirelessly to keep the city afloat in a time when it could have went bankrupt.

So first I want to discuss revenue and then I'll move onto expenditures for a bit. First up in revenue that I'll speak about are real estate taxes. The real

estate tax as promised by the revised recovery plan will be limited to 12 percent in 2013. Real estate taxes in 2012 were projected to generate nearly \$14 million, as you can see by the slide. In 2013, real estate taxes were projected to generate nearly \$15.7 million, so the 12 percent tax increase will generate an additional \$1,698,000 in revenue for the city.

The 12 percent tax increase is also Court ordered in order to repay unfunded debt borrowing that occurred in 2012.

The delinquent real estate taxes.

The collection of the delinquent real estate taxes has been projected to be \$2,100,000.

The projection of delinquent real estate taxes has been projected to be \$2,000 higher than last year's projection of \$1.9 million.

As of September 30, 2012, the collection of delinquent real estate taxes was already over the \$2.1 million mark as it was \$2,134,620.81 constituting the projection of increased collections.

In 2013, the process will stay the same. The Single Tax Office will continue

to collect prior year delinquent real estate taxes. Years prior to 2012 will be collected by Northeast Revenue Services, commonly referred to as NRS.

Refuse fees. The refuse fee will remain at \$178 in 2013 as dictated by the revised recovery plan and the collection of refuse fees was projected at \$5.1 million in 2012. Due to this number not being met, the projection of refuse fees in 2013 has been projected to be \$4.55 million, so it's been decided to take a more conservative approach in regard to the projection of revenue since we are not meeting that \$5.1 million mark this year.

Utility tax. It will not change in 2013. The amount of revenue generated by the utility tax was projected to be \$58,826 in 2012. In 2013, the amount of revenue to be received by the utility tax has been projected to be \$61,000, so it's very synonymous.

Non-resident wage tax, and I would like to cypher between this and the commuter tax because I'm not referring to the

commuter tax right now. Non-resident wage tax collections have been projected to generate \$400,000 in revenue in 2013. The amount of revenue projected to be generated in 2012 was \$500,000, however, due to collections being less than projected for the Year 2012 this amount has been lowered for 2013.

The non-resident wage tax is a 1
percent wage tax on individuals residing in
communities where no wage taxes are
collected. You commonly hear these funds
referred to by council members as 888 funds.

Local taxes governed by Act 511.

The Local Tax Enabling Act, which is Act 511 of the State of Pennsylvania, allows municipalities to levy certain taxes. Local taxes are Scranton greatest source of revenue generations and the taxes governed by the Local Tax Enabling Act are the real estate transfer tax, the wage tax, the mercantile tax, the local services tax, or LST, the business privilege tax, the parking tax, the commuter tax, which is pending Court approval, and the amusement tax. I'll

go into some of these taxes right now.

The real estate transfer tax is the first tax that I'll talk about tonight under this category, and the real estate transfer tax, as dictated by the revised recovery plan, will increase from 2.8 percent to 2.9 percent in 2013, which is roughly a 3.5 percent increase.

The amount of revenue projected to be generated in 2012 was \$4,344,827. Though this tax was increased in 2013, the amount of revenue projected to be generated is \$2,685,000 and one might be wondering, well, if the tax was projected to be increased -- or if the tax was increased why is the projection lower and the amount of revenue that has been projected in 2013 is lower than 2012 due to some large one-time real estate transfer tax revenue from the sale of the former Mercy Hospital and Moses Taylor Hospital to CSH.

Overall, the increase in the real estate transfer tax is projected to generate \$185,000 in additional revenue than what would have been generated if the rate were

kept the same, so if the rate were kept the same we would be looking at \$2.5 million rather than \$2.685 million.

The wage tax. The wage tax for Scranton residents will remain the same at 2.4 percent. I remember know that some people have the common misconception that 3.4 percent of wages go to the city, however, that's not true. 2.4 percent of the 3.4r percent overall wage tax goes to the city while the other 1 percent goes to the Scranton School District.

Anyhow, the amount of wage tax revenue projected to be received in 2012 was \$21,900,000. The amount of wage tax revenue projected to be received in 2013 is \$22,300,000.

The amount of wage tax revenue is projected to be higher due to PEL's projection of an annual rise in wages by 1.75 percent.

The mercantile tax. The mercantile tax will be increased from .875 mills as it is this year, to 1 mill in 2013. This is also dictated by the revised recovery plan

and constitutes at 14.3 percent increase.

The amount of mercantile tax revenue projected to be received in 2012 was \$1,224,064.50. Due to the increase in the mercantile tax, the amount projected to be received in 2013 is \$1,424,064.

The amount of mercantile tax revenue that the city projects to receive is nearly \$200,000 higher due to the increase in the tax. This tax, though it's being increased, is being returned to it's 2010 level before it was decreased in 2011.

The business privilege tax, it's a similar scenario to the mercantile tax in that the business privilege tax will be increased from .875 mills in 2012 to 1 mill in 2013, which is dictated by the revised recovery plan and is also a 14.3 percent increase.

The amount of revenue projected to be received in 2012 from the business privilege tax was \$536,375. Due to the increase in the business privilege tax, the amount projected to be received in 2013 is \$850,000.

The amount of business privilege tax revenue that the city projects will be received is nearly \$313,625 higher due to the increase. And this tax, like the mercantile tax, though it is being increased is being returned to it's 2010 level before it was decreased in 2011.

The local services tax. The local services tax, or LST, which was \$52 in 2012 will remain the same in 2013 as required by law.

The amount of revenue to be generated by the LST in 2012 was projected at \$1.734 million. Because this figure is likely not being met this year, the amount of revenue to be generated by the LST in 2013 is being projected a \$1.65 million.

The parking tax. The parking tax, which is the 10 percent tax on parking garages and lot fees where one is required to pay to park will remain the same in 2013.

The amount of revenue to be generated by the parking -- by parking tax collections in 2012 was \$500,000. Because it is unlikely that the \$500,000 target will

be met, the amount of revenue to be generated in 2013 is projected to be \$225,000.

The commuter tax. The commuter tax, which is pending Court approval, if granted will be a 1 percent tax on the wages of individuals working in Scranton that do not reside in the city.

According to projections by PEL, the amount of revenue that the commuter tax will generate for Scranton in 2013 is \$2.5 million. A Court hearing is scheduled for December 10 to decide if Scranton will be allowed to levy this tax.

The amusement tax. In 2013, a 5 percent tax will be levied on amusements. Amusements that are impacted by this tax will primarily be tickets to concerts and amusement venues throughout Scranton. This tax is limited to for profit organizations and the amount of revenue to be generated by the amusement tax in 2013 is projected to be \$200,000.

Now that we have concluded the Act 511 taxes we'll look at some other revenue

items. First is penalties and interest on tea delinquent taxes. In 2013, penalty and interest revenue projections on delinquent taxes will be the same.

The amount of penalty and interest revenue that is projected to be received in 2013 is \$54,400.

Also, unlike 2012, the city will charge a \$25 fee for searchs related to delinquent real estate taxes, refuse fees, liens and condemnations. This is projected to generate an additional \$50,000 in revenue.

Licenses and permits. In 2013, licenses and permits revenue is projected to be higher than in 2012.

In 2012, the projected amount of revenue to be generated by Licenses and Permits was projected to be \$2,681,230.

In 2013, this is projected to increase to \$3,445,062.50.

This is an overall increase of \$763,832.50.

The amount of revenue generated through License and Permits is projected to

rise due to the expansion of Geisinger as well as the receipt of fees through a third party planning review process.

Fines, forfeits and violations. In 2013, fines, forfeits and violations revenue is projected to be higher than in 2012.

Scranton will entering into a contract with Standard Parking to have them provide meter collection and fine issues for the city.

The implementation of smart meters will also take place in 2013. These technological advancements will be help generate more revenue and IPS, in case anybody is wondering, was the company chosen for the meter upgrades.

Another topic under this section is Scranton will also be looking into establishing a city-owned storage yard, which fees will be collected for abandoned and towed vehicles. If this does not happen, a suitable replacement for the revenue projected to be made will be found.

The overall amount of revenue projected to be collected in 2012 in fines, forfeits and violations was \$1,169,200. In

2013, the amount projected to be collected is \$1,755,500, which is an overall increase of \$586,300.

Interest earnings. Interest earnings are earnings that are generated on the accumulation of interest on money that the city has in the bank. This past year interest earnings were rather low as the city has not had a lot of cash on-hand in the bank, however, as was the case last year, the projection for interest earnings will remain the same at \$10,000 as the city expects to have more in the bank this year than we had over the previous year.

Rents and concessions. Rents and concessions revenue is generated through the rental of city-owned facilities to residents. Like 2012, rents and concessions revenue is projected to remain constant at \$28,000.

Intergovernmental reimbursements.

In 2013, the only intergovernmental reimbursement that the city is projected to receive is state pension aid totaling \$2,921,682.

In 2012, the city received less pension aid from the state, however, the city did receive a loan from the state in addition to FEMA emergency permits.

PILOTS. PILOTS, for those who do not know, are payments in lieu of taxes that the city receives on an annual basis from various nonprofit institutions.

In 2013, the mayor has agreed to aggressively pursue nonprofits for PILOTS. Consistent with the recovery plan, the amount projected to be received is \$1.3 million.

In case this has not received, extra money has been set aside as an expenditure in contingency to make up for shortfalls.

Departmental earnings. Departmental earnings consist of revenue earned through parking meters, the zoning board, pave cuts, and the police and fire department through report copies and false alarms.

In 2012, the amount of revenue generated through departmental earns was projected to be \$1,821,000. In 2013, the amount of revenue projected to be generated

through departmental earnings is \$2,308,500. This is an overall increase of \$487,500. The increase in revenue can be partially attributed to the installation of smart meters by IPS and the increase in revenue can also be attributed to an increase in the

charge for false alarms, which is an agenda

item tonight.

In 2013, there will be no charge for a first false alarm, a \$500 charge for a second and third false alarm, and a \$1,000 charge for a fourth false alarm and any false alarm thereafter.

Moving onto user fees. User fees are fees collected by the city's Parks and Recreation Department for the use of city-own property.

There are no expected changes to the collection of user fees in 2013 in comparison with 2012. In 2012, the amount of revenue projected to be collected from user fees was \$61,000. In 2013, the amount of revenue projected is \$60,500.

Miscellaneous revenue and cable TV revenue. The miscellaneous revenue category

consists of revenue that is not classified in any other category.

In 2013, miscellaneous revenue expected to be generated consists of market based revenue opportunities, commonly referred to by council members as MBRO's.

Repayment from the Ice Box development. The city is going to aggressively pursue the \$600,000 that's owed from the development of the Ice Box Complex, and proceeds from a 2013 bond issuance which will cover the cost of the Supreme Court award as well as increased pension costs.

The amount of market based revenue opportunities that the city is projected to be received as dictated by PEL is \$353,421. The amount that the city is expected to receive from the repayment of the Ice Box Development is \$600,000, and the amount that the city is expected to receive through a bond issuance to cover the cost of the Supreme Court award and increased Pennsylvania costs is \$25 million.

Interfund transfers. Interfund transfers represents reimbursements from

third parties. Transfers from other funds include reimbursement from insurance companies relating to Workers' Compensation excess from recovery payments and the other type of interfund transfer represents liquid fuels tax fund payments.

The amount of interfund transfers projected to be realized in 2012 was \$1,907,472.16. The amount of interfund transfers to be realized in 2013 is projected to be \$1,870,023.22 which is an overall net decrease of \$37,448.94.

Funds from the liquid fuels funds are generally used for maintenance, construction and reconstruction of roads and streets.

And finally on the revenue side, tax anticipation note. A tax anticipation note is a short-term loan designed to make ends meet and keep the city afloat until taxes and other revenues are realized throughout the year.

In 2013, the city will seek one tax anticipation note totaling \$14 million. The lender for the tax anticipation note will be

Amalgamated Bank.

And now that we are done with revenue I will move onto expenditures.

Expenditures overview. The amount of expenditures in the operating budget is equal to the amount of the revenue, which is \$190,688,365.70. Expenditures have risen, and I know this may be a little bit repetitive, but I believe it's necessary so everybody understands, expenditures have risen primarily due to the Supreme Court award, debt service for the Supreme Court award, an increase to the MMO, and the police overtime required by the Supreme Court award.

Expenditures overview. Other contributing factors to rising expenses include salary increase for union employees, the fire, police, clerical and DPW unions due to their respective CBAs or collective bargaining agreements.

Increases in longevity salary for union employees. Increased debt service costs due to the repayments of unfunded debt and the inability to refinance debt to save

as much as was projected in 2012.

The refinancing of debt was projected to save \$6.4 million in 2012. In 2013 the refinancing of debt is projected to save the city \$4.6 million and change.

There is nothing that Scranton City Council or the administration can do to limits these expenditures.

Because expenditures increased in 2013 and no positions were eliminated, department heads were asked to cut 10 percent of their departmental spending outside of the employee compensation.

The following represents what cuts were made in comparison to 2012 for the same line items, and I won't read off the small amounts for each, but I will go through each office individually.

First, the mayor's office. Interest were cuts made in dues and subscriptions and travel and lodging totaling \$337, which is a 43 percent of departmental operating expenses cut in that department.

City council. There were \$102,000 worth of cuts. These stem from professional

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services and the service and maintenance fee, which constituted 48 percent of departmental operating expenditures cut.

The City Controller's Office. There were \$288.34 of cuts, mainly in printing and binding, dues and subscriptions and stationery, which is 1 percent of departmental operating expenditures cut.

The Business Administrator's Office. There were cuts made in professional services, printing and binding, dues and subscriptions, materials and supplies, and the SPA citation issuers since we will no longer be paying the SPA for maintenance of the meters. This was cut out. operation of the parking meters will be taken over by Standard Parking and the compensation will be included in their fees in the amount of money that the city The total amount of cuts in the receives. BA's Office was \$564,999.53 and this is a 9 percent departmental operating expenditure cut.

The HR Office. There were cuts in professional services, materials and

supplies, and liability and casualty insurance totalling \$72,667.38 and this constituted a 6 percent departmental operating expenditures percent cut.

IT, there was a 30 percent cut in materials and supplies. This represented a 12 percent departmental operating expenditure cut.

Treasury. The treasurer agreed to cut professional services by \$20,635.50 and this is a 36 percent cut of the Treasury Department's operating expenditure.

Onto LIPS, license, inspections and permits. In the LIPS administration section, there were cuts to professional services, dues and subscriptions, stationery, training and certification, and maintenance of communication equipment totaling \$2,852.86 and this was a 6 percent departmental operating expenditure cut.

LIPS buildings. There were cuts made to professional services, services and maintenance fees, building repair and supplies, and small tools and supplies totaling \$167,946.94 which is an 11 percent

departmental operating expenditure cut.

The police department. There were cuts made in professional services, services and maintenance fees, dues and subscriptions, miscellaneous services nonclassified, stationery, materials and supplies, miscellaneous, travel and lodging, training and certification, capital expenditure and maintenance of communication equipment totaling \$33,274 and this was a 14 percent cut of the police department's operating expenditures.

The fire department. There were cuts made in building repair supplies, materials and supplies, air packs and rehab supplies and maintenance of communication equipment totaling \$4,799.19 and this did not represent any percentage of operating expenditures cut, though, I did want to mention that there were was a trivial amount of cuts in the fire department.

DPW administration. Maintenance of the superfund site, flood projection system and maintenance were all cut totaling \$60,172.58. This represented a 39 percent

departmental operating expenditure cut.

DPW engineering, there were no cuts made.

DPW highways. There were cuts made in the services and maintenance fee, rental vehicles and equipment, stationery, construction material, paint and sign material, materials and supplies miscellaneous, salt, and capital expenditures totaling \$78,585.14 and that represented a 5 percent departmental operating expenditure cut.

DPW refuse. Through an agreement of forbearance of the landfill fees there was a cut made of one million, two-thousand four hundred and fifty dollars of landfill fees. This is 63 percent decrease in their operating expenses expenditure costs. This forbearance of payment will need to be repaid over a subsequent 36-month term, however.

DPW garage. There were cuts made in contracted services, stationery and small tools totalling \$948, which is a 1 percent departmental operating expenditure cut.

DPW Parks and Recreation. There were cuts made to stationery, building repairs supplies, medical, chemical and lab supplies, performing arts, and the spring and summer program totaling \$26,356.96 and that represented a 15 percent cut of their departmental operating expenditures.

No cuts were made in departmental expenditures for the law department or OECD.

And just some final remarks, though taxes had to be raised by 12 percent in accordance with the Court order, every effort was taken to limit the tax increase to 12 percent and cuts were made where cuts could be made and new revenue opportunities were explored. I worked very diligently to attend meetings with Mr. McGowan, the mayor, Councilwoman Evans regarding the budget and though there will be amendments made to the budget, it will not be nearly anywhere as lengthy as in years past.

And with that, I conclude my presentation tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

Joyce. And, Councilman McGoff, do you have

The

1 any comments or motions? MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Joyce, are you 2 3 prepared to answer questions about it or should we wait until another time? 4 5 MR. JOYCE: It's up to you. MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, I don't 6 7 know what your thoughts were on it? 8 MS. EVANS: No, you can certainly 9 pose your questions to Mr. Joyce or to Mr. McGowan. 10 11 MR. MCGOFF: Just a few quick things 12 that I just wanted to determine, one of the 13 pie chart that's included with the budget, 14 these fire and DPW add up to about 79 percent of the expenditures. Do you know if 15 16 that includes health care costs for those 17 three? 18 MR. JOYCE: I believe it does. 19 health care costs are separated out in the 20 expenditures for each department being fire, police and DPW, so that I believe does 21 22 include the health care costs for those 23 three. 24 MR. MCGOFF: In the past it 25 sometimes was included with the

1	administration of I ween't superif this
1	administration, so I wasn't sure if this
2	was the second thing, on the real estate
3	tax, the 12 percent increase is based on
4	millage
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MR. MCGOFF: or revenue? It's
7	based on the millage?
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes, I believe so. It's
9	a 12 percent millage increase.
10	MR. MCGOFF: And do you know what
11	collection rate is being used to arrive at
12	the figure?
13	MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGowan came up with
14	the millage rates for the
15	MR. MCGOFF: So he would know.
16	MR. JOYCE: for the figure, but
17	I'm assuming it's 87 percent.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Was it 87 we did it at
19	last year? 87 or 82, something like that?
20	MR. JOYCE: I'm assuming it's 87
21	percent, but I can confirm it with him.
22	MR. MCGOFF: I'll check with Mr.
23	McGowan, and I think that was all I had for
24	now. Thank you very much.
25	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
	II

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ ROGAN: I had two questions on the budget and I know you probably want to return to your seat.

MR. JOYCE: I do. but --

MR. ROGAN: -- the two questions
that I had, the one position in the Business
Administrator Office, it was mentioned that
that's trying to be funded by a grant;
correct?

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MR. ROGAN: And all of the health care would be paid for by the city or would that be in the grant as well?

MR. JOYCE: I do not have full clarification that the health care would be paid by the grant, I'm assuming it would be paid by the city and health care on average is roughly \$12,500 for the average individual.

MR. ROGAN: And the only other question I had at this time, obviously, there is much to talk about, was on the DPW refuse, the amount of the cut, I believe, it was a very high percentage because of the decrease in the landfill fees for this year.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MR. ROGAN: But this is really in essence borrowing and not a cut; correct?

MR. JOYCE: Well, I mentioned that it's a forbearance of the payment, so you could look at it as -- that's why I look at it as -- some may view it as a cut, it's a cut in the expenditure line item, however, it's money that will have to be paid back at a later date so you may want to call it a borrowing expenditure.

MR. ROGAN: And that's all I have for now, Mr. McGoff, sorry for interrupting you.

MR. MCGOFF: That's quite all right.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: No problem.

MR. MCGOFF: Just two brief things.

First, I believe, Ms. Schumacher, maybe you already know, but they have been doing work at the intersection of River Street and Meadow Avenue with the intent of placing traffic signals, which I know residents have been asking for many, many years and I'm not sure that the timeline on that, I was told

that it would be relatively soon. Hopefully relatively means within the -- hopefully by the end of this calendar year, if not at least early in the next calendar year.

And now that we have taken care of that situation, the traffic light there, I maybe move our intentions towards Orchard Street and Cedar Avenue, which now with the bridge closed there is increased traffic at that intersection where you have the entrance and exit from 81 or from the expressway, the Central City Expressway, and also Orchard Street and Cedar Avenue, it's to me a very dangerous corner, so perhaps we can move toward resolving that issue as well.

And just a couple of last comments on the budget, I know there has been a lot said about the raising that are contained in the budget, the concern I have and I -- I can see that the raises for those positions were earned for the most part, perhaps not in the amounts that we are looking at, but I believe that the people that are receiving raises did, in fact, do tremendous work in

the past year.

My concern is that by including them in this year's budget I think it was poor timing. I'm not sure that we are sending the right message, especially to the Courts at this time when we are asking for permission to seek a commuter tax and we are turning around and saying that we do have enough money to offer raises. I'm not sure that that's the right message, but that's something we can discuss in the future and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I guess I only have a few items to talk about as well and they're actually the same ones Mr. McGoff brought up, and I'll start with the traffic first since that's a quicker item than the budget. Mr. McGoff is right I actually travel off the expressway five days a week at the intersection of Orchard and Cedar and it's a very difficult, very difficult intersection and I'm surprised there is not more accidents there, we are lucky that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there aren't.

Also, Mrs. Krake, I will send this to you in an e-mail tomorrow, I have had numerous complaints from residents in the city about the new signalization program in the downtown, many people, you know, they see the camera up there and I've had questions about whether the camera is on, obviously they are not because that is something that would have to be approved by council, but from my understanding the program is to know make traffic through smoother in the downtown, so far from what I have heard and what I have experienced it's actually been worse. Now, maybe that's because the whole program isn't up and running yet.

But, Mrs. Krake, I will be sending you all of that information, I believe it's PennDOT that it would be going to, but I will get that all to you tomorrow morning.

And, of course, on the hot issue of the day the budget, I have made my positions on the raises very clear, I think everyone in the room knows what they are. I don't

believe at a time when, as I stated in the paper, that when the city is raising taxes, raising fees and when we are in dire straights that we can be giving out raises of these amounts. Now, whether the tax increase is itemized to pay for borrowing or whether it's itemized as a general revenue item, at the end of the day the taxpayers pay. Everyone's salary in the city. They pay mine, they pay the mayor's, they pay firemen, and the police, DPW, the clerical, they pay everyone's in the administration and we have to watch every dollar.

As I mentioned in the paper, if
Attorney Hughes' position is being moved to
full-time he should receive a full-time
salary for the position, I believe
Mr. Kelly's current salary would be
appropriate for that. That's not a \$15,000
raise though and I do agree that the council
solicitor has been doing more work and
because the city solicitor hasn't been doing
his job, so I don't see how it could be
proposed in a budget that both solicitors
receive a \$15,000 raise. Obviously there is

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more work, but somebody is dropping the ball.

And if you look at the last ten years in the city it's been the city solicitors that have been dropping the ball. We are borrowing tens of millions of dollars to pay for a Court case. Now, I know Mayor Doherty also listened to legal advice from the state, but his solicitors as well would have him input on that. We have had numerous complaints in this chamber about Solicitor Kelly. I complained and I screamed at the top of my lungs for a few years about the conflict of interest with Solicitor Kelly at the Parking Authority and being on the city, being on both ends of transactions and we saw how that ended, so I don't see how an increase for Solicitor Kelly could be justified.

Same thing for Mr. McGowan, it wasn't too long ago when Mr. McGowan was responsible for losing over a million dollars of parking meter revenues that magically reappeared. That's not the action of somebody who deserves a \$10,000 a year

raise.

Furthermore, on the budget, the projections for wage tax are to increase by \$1.75 percent which I assume is the rate of wage earning growth for the city as a whole, so when the average person in the city is getting a 1.75 percent raise I don't think it's right to be giving 20 and 30 percent to anyone, and not only anyone, but there are people that are already making well in excess of what the average Scrantonian makes.

So, as I mentioned, I will be putting together an amendment to remove those raises. I hope it receives the votes of my colleagues. I am encouraged some of the comments that I have heard other members are willing to reconsider what was placed in the original budget, and I hope that will happen.

Also on the budget, and this will be ongoing of many other questions, everyone is beginning to contact us as they dig deeper and deeper into the budget, is the change for towing and storage, and I believe it's

budgeted at \$300,000 to create a city lot, and I should have asked Mr. Joyce this after the presentation, I apologize, but would that cover towing as well? Would that be one contractor or towing remain the same?

MR. JOYCE: Would towing remain the same?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Towing would remain the same, the city would be charging for a fee, a storage fee, for an abandoned car or vehicle, whether it be a semi or --

MS. EVANS: Actually, I think

Mr. Loscombe and I could comment on that

because we were in those meetings with Chief

Graziano and Corporal Bachman, and the

towers would continue tow all the vehicles,

but it had been the desire of the Scranton

Police Department for many years to

establish a storage yard for the City of

Scranton as is done in many other

municipalities and it is highly successful.

The site that was chosen was the lot behind

the Scranton Police Department, which is

covered by security cameras and members of

the police department would actually be responsible for the operation of that storage yard and it would not require -- it would not require overtime or the hiring of any additional police officers; and simply by operating that storage yard the city would see a revenue increase of \$300,000 alone simply on, for example, the vehicles mentioned by Mr. Joyce. The vehicles, for example, that are considered heavy towing, those would be tractor trailers that are stuck under bridges when towers are involved in those incidents they are making upwards of \$10,000 per tow and so that portion of it has not even been calculated into that \$300,000. That's very reasonable, conservative figure.

MR. ROGAN: It is.

MS. EVANS: You know, the heavy duty storage we would see far more.

MR. ROGAN: And as far as, you know, average Joe, car breaks down in front of the fire hydrant and gets towed would that be in the city lot as well?

MS. EVANS: I believe so. I don't

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

.

see why not.

MR. ROGAN: Again, I will be talking to more people about this, I do have some concerns that it's making government bigger and I am sure many people are familiar with the Philadelphia Parking Authority and the problems they have with their lots, and it really can, you know, ruin somebody's day. Not only that, it's not a place for -- you know, if somebody has a bad time and their car breaks down and then on top of that they have to pay a fee to the city and --

MS. EVANS: Well, they have to pay a fee now.

MR. ROGAN: They would have to pay a fine.

MS. EVANS: They are paying a fee to each of these towers who store the cars.

That is who is storing them right now, so they have to then rather than going to a city storage yard which is overseen by the police department, they are going to the storage yards of these towers and paying the tower the daily storage fee.

MR. ROGAN: So the businesses is

being taken from private industry to the government?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe part of the problem, too, is that the different companies that are towing are charging different storage fees, too. It would be uniform for this plan and it would be, you know, it would cause less problems.

According to I believe it was

Corporal Bachman, there are a lot of
problems with a lot of different areas in
this area. We are just trying to make it
easier for our citizens. Towing services
are still going to be doing their towing and
the city will be taking care of the vehicles
while they are stored so they are all in one
location. Right now people have to call and
find out where their cars are stored, you
know, one of 13 different towing companies.

MR. ROGAN: So would additional insurance be required on the city's end as well?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sure it would be covered under our liability. It would be very minimal, but our city insurance needs a

total upkeep anyway overall. We may end up saving in the long running.

MR. ROGAN: Definitely if you are going to address it I'll listen to what you have to say. On the face of it, it's not something that I agree with it, but I'll definitely hear everyone out on that issue as well. And, as I said, I'll be working over the next week to prepare an amendment to the budget for the pay increases that I mentioned and some other items as well that I have seen, that I think could be done differently.

And, you know, it is a very large document and Mr. Joyce did a great presentation, summed it up very well, and it's something that you have to read through as a council member multiple times to get a firm grasp on it, and I've read it three or four times already and every time I read through it there is something else that sticks out that you didn't catch the last time. So over the next week I will continue to doing that. I look forward to hearing from the public at the caucus or the hearing

on Monday, and I appreciate all of the input I received so far regarding the budget, and that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And, Councilman

Loscombe, do you have comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you.

Hopefully I just have a couple of things
that came up this evening so I will discuss
them. As far as the budget, Mr. Joyce, you
did an excellent presentation again as
usual.

MR. JOYCE: Thanks.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And again, as

Mr. Rogan said, there is a lot to go over

for all of us in this budget, and I will

reserve my comments as far as the budget

goes until next week because I have some

questions that I have and some things that

may be answered in the mean time.

Just to touch base on the parking issue, it's still not a finalized product, we are looking at different options. One of our goals, I think all of our goals on council here have been to try and keep the plan of raising taxes down by looking at

different opportunities to generate revenue, revenue that is not coming out of everyone's pockets, and we have heard different situations with the parking problems, people trying to find their vehicles, people paying different fees for storage, even as far as the city has a fixed towing fee that there may be some extra billing to the insurance companies on top of that.

We are just trying to get it a little bit fairer for our residents. At the same time, under police control with these vehicles and the cameras and we have the facility, I think it's a safer situation for the vehicles and I think it's, you know, a way to generate some income for our residents to help offset any tax increases.

And I know, you know, again, it's still preliminary so I don't know how the final outcome is going to be, but there has been some complaints I guess from some towers and stuff like that that we are taking their bills away, but there is probably a hundred different towers in this area, I think there is 13 on the list, they

apparently get their fair share because they are little upset over this and many of them are my friends. But, you know, we are not taking over the towing, we are just taking over the storage. If they want to make noise they can make noise if we took over everything, so, you know, there is still a piece of the pie out there for businesses and, you know, I don't think -- I think it's more of benefit to the residents of this city to do a program like that in the long run.

But, again, it's not a final deal, there is still some things in the works and Mrs. Evans can elaborate more when she speaks on this if I miss anything.

Next on the streetlight situation, I agree with you, I mean, I think I've seen an improvement where the streetlights have, West Lackawanna Avenue bridge they now have a left turning lane coming into town, which is something we have been pushing for quite awhile, but I think, you know, it's still far from being totally completed. I think once it is completed we will see a major

difference.

But the other thing that has really upset the sequences have been the closure of our bridges, the Expressway bridge, the Linden Street bridge. I noticed myself in the mornings going up Moosic Street the traffic coming off 81 that would normally come down Moosic Street is turning right going over to Mulberry Street and they have traffic backed up all the way around. It's difficult for vehicles heading up Moosic Street to turn left onto Harrison Avenue. We have some issues like this that we are going to have to get together with PennDOT and take care of.

Now, my fear is the condition of the Harrison Avenue bridge at this point. It's off limits for trucks, certain weight vehicles, our fire trucks cannot travel across it and I have seen more and more now two lanes of traffic sitting on that bridge which, you know, that's a lot of weight, so I think we should contact PennDOT and see what their, you know, recommendation is for something like that, too, but I do agree I

think once the bridges are open, the lights are complete you will see a much smoother flow of traffic hopefully.

And it was touched on before, and ironically I had the same thing on my mind the insurance coverage. If I remember correctly last year when we approved it, first of all, they finally put it out for bid, but they didn't -- I mean, it was 30 days. For an insurance agency or company to do due diligence and research all of the properties for the proper coverage it's impossible to do it in 30 days. You know, a lot of the coverage was it just continued year after year. To do it right, everything should be looked over again.

I think our agreement at that time was to have it bid out by October and we are already in, you know, the end of the November. And I'm usually on top of this too but the last few months have been a little hectic for me so, you know, I apologize for falling behind on this, but for any bidding to go out I believe any company is going to need at least 90 days,

if not 60, to properly bid something like this to do it right and, you know, I would recommend again, as Mrs. Evans stated, getting the administration to let us know what their intent is on this here. They have done this to us two years, dragged it on and then it's too late. You know, this could be another savings for us to keep the taxes down. All these little things add up. This is two, three years now that this is going by the wayside. So, you know, I hope we can resolve this issue.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. City council will entertain a lengthy agenda which includes several items I wish to address. In Fifth Order for introduction are the following:

Ordinances establishing new fines to be imposed for false alarms, approving a fee schedule for delinquent tax revenues and lien searches, adoption of the general city operating budget for the Year 2013, authorizing a supplemental reimbursement

agreement for design of the Rockwell Avenue bridge project, approving of a grant application in the amount of \$2,044,000 to fund a citywide paving project, and providing for the regulation of storm water management in Scranton, and penalties for violations in order to meet current Pennsylvania DEP standards.

As my colleague mentioned during his excellent presentation tonight, the fines for false alarms are increased to \$500 for the second and third occurrences and \$1,000 for the fourth and each occurrence thereafter.

The fee schedule for delinquent tax and fees is as follows: \$25 per search or real estate tax, refuse fee and lien or condemnation searches.

Both of these initiatives, among others, are included in the proposed 2013 operating budget in order to generate increased or new revenue for the city.

The proposed 2013 budget, which will be introduced tonight, is not a done deal as Mr. Rogan erroneously declared. Council is

amenable to amendments and the budget will not be voted on for final adoption until December 13. Just as the work on the preliminary budget continued right into the November 15 deadline for the mayor to submit his budget to city council, work will continue on this proposed budget and amendments can be presented during Sixth Order and Seventh Orders prior to the final vote.

Amendments, incidentally, are not presented during Fifth Order introduction of the legislation.

Now, I know that Councilman Rogan earlier, very early in the meeting, referred to a proposed budget having been printed, well, council, city council, is the one who sends the budget to print once it is finalized, so that doesn't typically occur until January.

This copy, meaning copies remained for each council member, this copy was produced by the IT Department and there were very few copies made, and I remember in the past, prior to the seating of this council,

we very often received the exact type of copy that we did this year in terms of the mayor's proposed budget. It wasn't until 2010 that we were receiving our copies minus a cover.

Now, as I said, a done deal doesn't exist and it didn't exist before November 15 and it doesn't exist now.

Additionally, the legislation related to the grant funding for a citywide paving project in 2013 includes the following streets:

In West Scranton the 400 block of Railroad Avenue, the 1300, 1400, 1500 and 1600 blocks of Division Street. The 1000, 1200, 1300, 1400, 1500 and 1600 blocks of the Washburn Street, and the Lackawanna bridge from North Ninth to North Seventh Street.

In the downtown, the 100, 200, 300 and 400 blocks of North Washington Avenue. The 100, 200, 300 and 400 blocks of Penn Avenue. 100, 200, 300, 400 blocks of Franklin Avenue. The 700, 800 and 900 blocks of Vine Street, and the 100, 200,

300, 400 and 500 blocks of Lackawanna Avenue.

In South Scranton, the 1000 block of Hemlock Street and the 300 block of Hickory Street.

In Greenridge, the 100 to 300 blocks of Marion Street, 400 to 600 blocks of Glenn Street and the 1300 to 1500 blocks of Albright Avenue.

In North Scranton, and I know that in particular Mr. Joyce and I are going to be very, very pleased with this development: Williams Street, the 100, 200 and 300 blocks of Greenbush Street. The 300, 400 and 500 blocks of Reese Street, and Rockwell Avenue.

So it appears that long last the streets that we have been begging to be paved for years finally made the list.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Is that a final list, Mrs. Evans?

 $MS.\ EVANS:\ As\ far\ as\ I\ know\ it\ is.$

MR. LOSCOMBE: Because we did have that situation off the Morgan Highway, too, I believe.

MS. EVANS: Right. Now, this wasn't

developed by city council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Right. That's the first I heard of streets.

MS. EVANS: Yeah. And since one of this council's major goals has been street paving as evidenced particularly through our increased CDBG allocations for paving, we are pleased to support this resolution just as council is anxious to move legislation for the renovation of the Rockwell Avenue bridge.

Next, contained in Sixth Order is the ordinance to implement an amusement tax. City council received a response from the law department and amendments containing the exemptions proposed by city council will be made tonight.

I urge my colleagues to support this new revenue generator which was also included in the revised recovery plan.

Next, our city clerk, Nancy Krake, submitted to the city engineer a petition from the residents of the 300 block of 13th Avenue in West Scranton to add a streetlight to the top of the 300 block of 13th Avenue

on an existing pole to provide additional security and safety in light of crimes of violence in this area in recent months.

Council awaits the recommendation of the engineer.

Also, to date, city council has not received monthly reports from the OECD regarding the status of the all loans despite multiple requests for such over the last several months, and as community development chair, Mr. Rogan, can you explain this?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I said, and
Mrs. Schumacher knows we've spoken pretty
much daily on this issue, I have sent
probably a dozen e-mails regarding this
issue. The last response I got was that Ms.
Aebli was busy regarding the HUD action
reports, things of that nature and she says
it's still in the works. I wish I could go
in there and put together myself.

MS. EVANS: Maybe you should.

Also -- or I should say finally, Mrs. Krake, if you would please send a letter to the mayor and BA asking when the broker of

1 record for all city insurances will be bid. 2 And that's it. MR. HUGHES: 5-B. AN ORDINANCE 3 4 PROVIDING FOR THE REGULATION AND CONTROL OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN THE CITY OF 5 SCRANTON FOR THE LACKAWANNA RIVER WATERSHED 6 7 PURSUANT TO PENNSYLVANIA'S STORMWATER 8 MANAGEMENT ACT, ACT 167, AS AMENDED; BY 9 PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF STORMWATER PLANS, PROVIDING STANDARDS AND METHODOLOGIES 10 11 FOR THE DESIGN OF STORMWATER CONTROLS; THE 12 ADMINISTRATION OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION 13 14 OF THIS ORDINANCE. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 15 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 21 those in favor of introduction signify by 22 saying aye. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE:

	122
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MR. HUGHES: 5-C. APPROPRIATING
5	FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY
6	GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE
7	FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2013 TO AND INCLUDING
8	DECEMBER 31, 2013 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE
9	GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR
10	2013.
11	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
13	introduced into its proper committee.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor of introduction signify by
18	saying aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
22	MR. MCGOFF: No.
23	MR. ROGAN: No.
24	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
25	moved.
	II

1	MR. HUGHES: 5-D. APPROVING FEE
2	SCHEDULE FOR DELINQUENT TAX SEARCHES,
3	DELINQUENT AND CURRENT REFUSE SEARCHES, AND
4	LIEN/CONDEMNATION SEARCHES.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
7	introduced into its proper committee.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question?
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question,
12	are these title searches are these generally
13	in relation to the purchase of a home in the
14	city?
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
16	MR. ROGAN: So we are trying to tax
17	people that are trying to buy a house and
18	pay property taxes.
19	MR. JOYCE: It's a \$25 charge on
20	delinquent yes, \$25 charge for delinquent
21	tax searches, delinquent refuse searches and
22	the lien condemnation searches.
23	MR. ROGAN: Okay.
24	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those
25	in favor of introduction signify by saying

1 aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 6 MR. ROGAN: No. 7 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 8 moved. 9 MR. HUGHES: 5-E. REPEALING ALL PRIOR ORDINANCES REGARDING FINES TO BE 10 IMPOSED FOR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS' 11 12 RESPONSES TO FALSE ALARMS IN THE CITY; ESTABLISHING FINES TO BE IMPOSED FOR THE 13 14 ACTIVATION OF AN ALARM DEVICE WHICH IS DETERMINED TO BE FALSE ALARM BY THE POLICE 15 16 DEPARTMENT OR FIRE DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING 17 THE ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF SAID 18 FINES: AND PRESCRIBING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE. 19 20 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 21 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 22 introduced into its proper committee. 23 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

25

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to say at first glance I didn't support it until I read the exceptions, there are exceptions to the Act of God exceptions, if your building is hit by lighting, heavy rains, things of that nature, you are not responsible for paying the fee.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. HUGHES: 5-F. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT NO. 041222-C WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING THE FUNDS ALLOCATED FOR THE DESIGN OF THE ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT AND UPDATING VARIOUS EXHIBITS RELATING TO SAME.

1 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. JOYCE: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 13 The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MR. HUGHES: 5-G. RATIFYING AND 15 APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF 16 17 THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING 19 AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, 20 21 PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, IN THE AMOUNT OF 22 23 \$2,044,000.00 IN SUPPORT OF THE CITY OF 24 SCRANTON PAVING PROJECT THROUGHOUT THE CITY 25 OF SCRANTON. PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE

1	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
2	TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL,
3	COORDINATING AND DISBURSING THE GRANT
4	FUNDS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON PAVING
5	PROJECT.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MR. HUGHES: 5-H. AMENDING
21	RESOLUTION NO. 45, 2012, AUTHORIZING THE
22	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
23	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
24	LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
25	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT LOAN

1	PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.34 IN AN AMOUNT
2	NOT TO EXCEED \$150,000.00 TO FRECKLES &
3	FRILLS, INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT,
4	TO INCLUDE THE GUARANTEE OF EARLY
5	DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MR. HUGHES: SIXTH. CONSIDERATION
21	OF ORDINANCES - READING BY TITLE.
22	6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF
23	COUNCIL NO. 74, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE-
24	AUTHORIZING THE VACATION OF AN UNOPENED
25	RIGHT-OF-WAY KNOWN AS THE 200 BLOCK OF

1	MCDONOUGH STREET CONSISTING OF AN AREA 150
2	FEET LONG BETWEEN 39.31 AND 39.62 FEET WIDE
3	LOCATED BETWEEN GREG COURT (UNDEVELOPED) AND
4	COLLIERY AVENUE IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, AS
5	MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN THE LEGAL
6	DESCRIPTION AND MAP ATTACHED HERETO, UNDER
7	AND SUBJECT TO A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND
8	RIGHT OF WAY GRANTED TO THE SEWER AUTHORITY
9	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA OVER
10	THE ENTIRE VACATED AREA.
11	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
14	pass reading by title.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MR. HUGHES: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
25	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 75, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE-

AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE REVENUE FOR THE CITY 1 OF SCRANTON BY IMPOSING A TAX UPON THE 2 3 PRIVILEGE OF ATTENDING OR ENGAGING IN AMUSEMENTS. INCLUDING EVERY FORM OF 4 ENTERTAINMENT, DIVERSION, SPORT, RECREATION 5 AND PASTIME, REQUIRING ALL PERSONS, 6 PARTNERSHIPS. ASSOCIATIONS AND CORPORATIONS 7 8 CONDUCTING PLACES OF AMUSEMENTS; IMPOSING 9 DUTIES AND CONFERRING POWERS UPON THE TREASURER OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON; 10 PRESCRIBING THE METHOD AND MANNER OF 11 COLLECTING THE TAX IMPOSED BY THIS 12 13 ORDINANCE: AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE 14 VIOLATION THEREOF. MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to amend 15 16 Item 6-B as per the following: 17 1. In the Summary Title, on the third line between the words "in" and 18 "amusements", insert "Non-exempt". 19 20 2. Inserting a new Section 5, which 21 reads as follows: 22 "Section 5. Exempt Activities and 23 Manner to Procure Exemption. 24 The following activities shall be 25 exempt from the Amusement Admission Tax:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

~~

23

24

25

A. Student Activities. The tax imposed by this article shall not apply to admission any form of amusement which involves participation of students in high school or younger and/or which is sponsored, organized and promoted by, and whose benefits inure to a public school or public school district within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for students of high school age or younger, or to the students of such public school or public school district or a nonprofit organization created and operated to coordinate such events, such as the Pennsylvania Interscholastic Athletic Association, or organization or associations comprised of public school officials or public school educators, if a majority of the members of such nonprofit organization consists of public schools, public school districts, public school officials or public school educators.

B. Free, Amateur Performances.

Admission to any voluntary, live production, performance, or show. The term "voluntary" as used herein refers to and

shall mean one who performs in a live production or show gratuitously and without monetary compensation therefore, including but not limited, non-paid amateur and student performers;

C. National, State, County or Local Government.

The amount charged and paid for admission to any amusement sponsored by any arm, branch, department or agency of the United States Federal government,

Pennsylvania State government or a local government, county school district or other political subdivision, where the proceeds collected by such organization from charges paid for admissions to such organization from charges paid for admissions to such amusement go directly to said governmental organization; and.

D. Procurement of Exemption.

In order to obtain an exemption from payment of this tax, the entities listed in paragraphs A through D above must request the exemption from the City of Scranton Treasurer, in writing, no later than 30 days

prior to the scheduled event. A failure to strictly follow this requirement, and/or failure to fully and accurately provide any relevant information requested by the City to verify the basis for the exemption, shall be cause for the City to deny the exemption."

3. Sections 5 through 16 shall be re-numbered 5 to 17.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: Are there any questions?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Under student activities it says, "Public schools or public school districts," does that preclude private schools?

MR. JOYCE: Attorney Hughes?

MS. EVANS: Or nonprofit organization created and operated to coordinate such events.

MR. JOYCE: So that would mean, yes.

MR. HUGHES: I believe that, I don't have it in front of me, but if it just says public schools it would apply only to public schools. I think right now, this is an amendment, what would be in order if you

1 want it to include also private schools, it would be an amendment to the amendment to 2 3 amend that section to state both public and private schools then you would vote on the 4 5 amendment to the amendment first. If that passes, or if it's defeated, you would then 6 7 vote on the amendment. So it would be an 8 amendment to the amendment if you make that 9 motion. MR. MCGOFF: Yes, could it be 10 amended next week in Seventh Order? 11 12 MS. EVANS: Well, you might as well 13 do it right now. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Well, I just don't want to confuse it and make it --15 16 MR. HUGHES: Yes, it could be 17 amended next week. 18 MR. MCGOFF: You know what I mean? 19 I prefer that we get the wording that we 20 want for it. If we do it hastily we may end 21 up regretting it. MS. EVANS: That's fine. 22 23 MR. HUGHES: It would be the same 24 procedure next week, you would have to amend 25 the amendment.

	135
1	MS. EVANS: Yes, I understand.
2	MR. HUGHES: Okay.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
4	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
5	question? All those in favor of the
6	amendment or I should say on the motion
7	to amend Item 6-B signify by saying aye.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
11	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
13	have it and so moved.
14	Now, you've heard reading by title
15	of Item 6-B, as amended, what is your
16	pleasure?
17	MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-B per
18	the following
19	MS. EVANS: As amended.
20	MR. JOYCE: Sorry, as amended, pass
21	reading by title.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
24	those in favor signify by saying aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

	136
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MR. HUGHES: 7. FINAL READING OF
7	RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES.
8	7-A.FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
9	COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION
10	NO. 49, 2012– APPOINTMENT OF NANCY D.
11	BISIGNANI, 1200 PINE STREET, SCRANTON,
12	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE
13	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD FOR AN
14	ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MRS.
15	BISIGNANI'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER
16	11, 2012 AND HER NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON
17	OCTOBER 11, 2017.
18	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
19	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-A.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'm just looking
24	down, were we provided a resume?
25	MS. EVANS: Yes.
	II

1	MR. ROGAN: I see it on the second
2	one, Mr. Morgan provided a letter as well.
3	MS. EVANS: He provided a letter,
4	but also I believe Ms. Bisignani provided a
5	very brief dossier. So anyone else on the
6	question? Roll call, please.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MR. HUGHES: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR
20	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 50, 2012-
21	APPOINTMENT OF JOHN MOORE, 315 13TH AVENUE,
22	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER
23	OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
24	FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR.
25	MOORE'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11,

	138
1	2012 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER
2	11, 2017.
3	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
4	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-B.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
16	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MR. HUGHES: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
21	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
22	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 51, 2012
23	- ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
24	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
25	("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF

	100
1	APPROPRIATENESS FOR MAGICAL MARKERS VINYL
2	GRAPHICS, 529 NORTHERN BOULEVARD,
3	CHINCHILLA, PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE
4	INSTALLATION OF A 16 OZ. VINYL, FLEX FACE
5	PRINTED BANNER, MEASURING 30 ¾" H X 272 ¾"
6	W, FILLED WITHIN THE SIGN PANEL RECESS AT
7	516 LACKAWANNA AVENUE, SCRANTON,
8	PENNSYLVANIA.
9	MS. EVANS: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chair for the
11	Committee on Community Development?
12	MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the
13	Committee on Community Development, I
14	recommend final passage of Item 7-C.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
17	call, please?
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes.

F	
	140
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
4	If there is no further business,
5	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
6	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
7	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
8	adjourned.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER