_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, November 15, 2012	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 | .

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

7 PAT ROGAN

8 JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

10 KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

11 BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	AUDIT STATUS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO.
16	RECEIVED NOVEMBER 8, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. SINGLE TAX OFFICE
20	CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON 2012-2011.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
24	REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
25	SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD ON

OCTOBER 1, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 24, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes this evening?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: May I? I'd just like to announce that once again this year there will be a tree decorating and lighting party at Connors Park. That will be Sunday, November 25, at 3:00. People are invited to come, bring ornaments, lights, whatever they would like to use to help decorate the trees in the park and there will be some refreshments for the children and the adults so that's Sunday, November 25, at 3 p.m. at Connors Park on Orchard Street.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

Councilman Rogan will not be in attendance this evening.

Scranton City Council mourns the passing of our friend and former city clerk Jay Saunders. He was a devoted family man and gentleman whose infectious smile and sense of humor won him many friends throughout his life. Tonight, council members, our city clerk, assistant city clerk wear purple ribbons symbolizing the ongoing fight against pancreatic cancer.

In addition, the Office of Scranton
City Council will make a contribution to the
Pancreatic Cancer Action Network in Jay's
name. Our thoughts and prayers are with his
family during this most difficult time.

Because of the Thanksgiving holiday,
Scranton City Council will not meet on
Thursday, November 22. Regularly scheduled
meetings will resume on Thursday, November
29, 2012.

The Scranton/Lackawanna County
Taxpayers' Association will meet on Tuesday,
November 20, at 6 p.m. in Scranton city
council chambers. Newly elected state

representative Kevin Haggerty of the 112
legislative district will be the guest
speaker. The public is invited to attend.

Finally, on behalf of Scranton City
Council, I'd like to wish everyone in our
community a very safe and happy
Thanksgiving. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council and fellow Scrantonians, Giovanni Piccolino.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PICCOLINO: I just have a quick announcement. Thanksgiving both locations of Buona Pizza in downtown Scranton and our newest one in Shamokin will be open from 11:00 to 2:00 and we are going to have a free dinner for the community so whoever wants to come out come one come all. Also, if you know anybody that is elderly or that does not have a vehicle that cannot make it to either location we would be more than

happy to deliver to their home. And that's it. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much for that generosity.

MR. PICCOLINO: You have a wonderful Thanksgiving as well.

MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, you were going to give you us a lot of information on this bond you wanted, actually issue a series of bonds or whatever you want to call it. I know you don't have much data, but exactly how much -- how much money do we owe on A, B, C, D and was it, A, B, C and D of that was it 203? How much money is outstanding on that?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Sbaraglia, actually under motions I will be calling on our city solicitor who is going to provide all of the information about both pieces of the legislation.

MR. HUGHES: Council solicitor.

1 MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. 2 MR. SBARAGLIA: I was hoping he was 3 coming down. 4 MR. HUGHES: I didn't know I got a 5 promotion. MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, that's really 6 the most important other than are you 7 8 talking about the other piece of legislation 9 is the amusement tax? MS. EVANS: Yes, I'll be addressing 10 11 that. 12 MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, then foolish 13 for me to ask questions if it's going to be 14 addressed later. Okay. I'll open my ears and listen. 15 MS. EVANS: 16 Thank you. Doug Miller. 17 Lee Morgan. 18 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. MS. EVANS: 19 Good evening. 20 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 21 MR. MORGAN: What I have tonight is 22 I did a few different Right-to-Knows at the 23 business administrator and they came down to 24 council, before -- I went up after over a 25 month and I went to the business

administrator and said I did a few Right-to-Knows, all of them had to do with council, so I asked them where they were and they had sent them down to council, but before they sent them down to council for some reason they ran them through the law department and I guess they figured out that they were okay and they sent them down and I still haven't received a response.

Now, I did talk to the clerk who tried to explain something to me, but today I went to the law library and got the Pennsylvania Bar Institutes book on Right-to-Knows, and what I'm asking the council to do is I'm asking you to tell me why this information can't be furnished because from what I have read in this Right-to-Know law in 2005 they were correct and from what I understand I think I'm entitled an explanation so that I can clarify them from council or however can't seem to understand them because I really think they are straight forward.

And, you know, the law even allows the council or any branch of the government

22

23

24

25

to even do Right-to-Knows just by a verbal request and really, you know, I'm really troubled that it's such a -- it's such a hard thing to get information especially when all of this information came through council. I mean, you know, I've gone to other people that have been using some of these laws in one of them and they told me that that law came through council so I had to do a Right-to-Know and went to the business administration and evidently, you know, they sent them down for council to comply with the law, but somehow they seem to be hung up, so I'm just asking council tonight to furnish me with an explanation of why these Rights-to-Know may be deficient so that any deficiencies can be overcome and this information can be delivered to me.

And on a different note tonight, you know, I really think that people have to realize that I know this council is going to just keep borrowing and borrowing and borrowing, but did it ever occur to council or anybody else that maybe the city is so much debt that borrowing isn't the answer?

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, I have talked to a lot of people about the Scranton Lace project, as I spoke about that here previously, and the North Scranton or High School project and every person I have talked to is of the opinion that this money is being wasted. That we are giving people with money more money and the projects just aren't getting done. North Scranton is still waiting for money, the Lace works well, we can't talk about what's going to happen there, but, you know, we need to move money into neighborhoods for people who live here and when we talk about understanding the plight of ordinary people in this city I don't know how anybody in government can say they understand that considering the very steep population decreases we have endured, and I can't even seem to get information on the cost of the pools and the lifeguards because I have talked to the county and the school district about helping the city to open these pools and I can't even get that information.

I mean, I find it remarkable that we $\,$

can say the city government functions in any way whatsoever, and I can't understand how council and the mayor couldn't have come together for the public good to make sure these pools are open this year, but maybe you can explain that. But I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. To begin this evening, I do have one more information item regarding the Lackawanna County budget for 2013. May I please approach?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MR. HETMAN: As members of council may be aware from the time that the Lackawanna County tentative budget for 2013 was finalized in October to now the county has faced an unexpected revenue shortfall of 1.7 -- approximately \$1.75 million which stems from the loss of state inmates which

are normally housed at the Lackawanna County Prison. Now, to address this budget shortfall, the Lackawanna County commissioners have come up with a series of cost savings measures through reductions, constellations, salivations and also one new revenue item which would seek some prisoners from Bradford County and house them at the Lackawanna County Prison.

The item in front of you shows you those items of budgetary savings and what percentage may factor in to that \$1.75 million in savings. As you can see, there is a list which gives you the color coded breakdown on the savings and also the dollar amount that goes with each item, so you can clearly see where that revenue is replaced in the budget and how it's made up and how that shortfall has been addressed by the commissioners in the final budget, which went through its first reading yesterday afternoon at the Lackawanna County commissioners' meeting.

Moving forward, we do have a number of Christmas-themed events that will take

place throughout Lackawanna County over the next few weeks. The first one though is the annual festival of trees which takes place at the Electric City's Trolley Station and Museum. The opening cocktail reception for the event will be held on Friday evening December 14 from 5:30 to 8:00 p.m. and the exhibit will run from December 14 through December 31 during normal operating hours at the Electric City Trolley Museum. For more information, please call the trolley museum at 570-963-6590.

Second, and there is a particular one coming right before the regular scheduled meeting, the Lackawanna County commissioners invite everyone to attend the annual Lackawanna County holiday tree lighting which will take place on Thursday evening, December 6, with the tree being lit at 6:00 p.m. so there is time for everyone to attend and still make it to your meeting on time. There will be free hot chocolate and hot refreshments provided as well as entertainment by the Robert Dale Choral.

Last, but not least, any child that

brings a new unwrapped toy valued at \$5 or more will receive a free trolley ride from the Lackawanna County Trolley Museum. Those toys will be donated to the Toys for Tots program on the days of November 23 through November 25. The trolley will depart the museum at 10:30 a.m., 12:00 noon, 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. on all three of those dates.

And last, but not least, the Santa on the trolley program will run every weekend in December running through December 22 with those same departure times that I just mentioned 9:30 a.m., 11:00 a.m., 12:30 p.m., actually 2:00 p.m. in addition and also 3:30 p.m. Reservations are suggested for that and they can be obtained by calling the Trolley Museum at 570-963-6590. And we do actually have a large poster that we could share with your office to put up at your discretion to promote the festival of trees. And as always, we thank you for cooperation with that.

And last, but not least, I do thank each member of council and also Mrs. Krake, you've all received invitations to our

legislative breakfast last Friday and I appreciate -- Mr. McGoff was the only one that could make it, I thank you for your attendance, sir, and for everyone else thank you for communicating to me, I know the rest of you were unable to attend, we thank you for letting us know in advance of your attendance, and when future events along that nature are planned we will certainly let you know and we welcome your attendance and anything in the future that you could make. So thank you and have a good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton.

I didn't even get a chance to take my coat off it's so fast tonight. Taxes, I'd like to know across the valley because the newspapers have been expounding that many of us pay less than \$500 in city support taxes, went through and Dickson City and maybe

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moosic or where have you, what they pay on an average old house because most of our housing is old.

And in Guinness Court, I have a message for somebody because they are spreading graffiti around and it's like Kid PYO or "P" something or other from what I could gather, you just vandalized two police officers' houses in the 500 block of Guinness Court, and nobody is going to be very sympathetic, so if there ius parents out there try to reign in the spray paint cans, it's probably just a bunch of silly juvenile stuff, but one of these days you are going to get caught and nobody cares for a petty criminal at the of very least steal from a bank, you know, from the inside in a three-piece suit and everybody will just love it. They might even elect you to office some day.

I'd like to see some more

petitioning to the county on nonprofits. We

need some help here. That figure, middle

figure on the tax increase is kind of scary,

and also I think PEL should take -- seeing

as it's their bright idea with the PILOT payments they should start going around to some of these nonprofits and giving us some help instead of having our council slandered in a he said/she said situation.

Also, and this is mainly the administration, please, let's get these audits on time, this is getting ridiculous. Every week I hear -- I hear about the audit still or still not complete, it's what, five or six months late by now. And, I mean, how do you people do a job and it just gets to the point where paychecks won't be signed if you don't let it go, but it's atrocious. We need that audit at least in the beginning of the summer.

Okay, and the golden parrot, we have "We, the People" they are signing petitions they would like to succeed from the union and many of the states, now, Texas is a big state and they actually pay more to the federal government than what they receive, but I think it's Alabama it's \$2.09 for every tax dollar they pay to the federal government, and we, the people want to

succeed from the union. Oh, by the way, please make sure that my social security check is in the mail even though I signed a petition.

And we are up to 6,000 suitcases of fresh \$20 bills spent on the 2012 election and 6,000 suitcases of \$20 bills and that's atrocious and there is a Congressman from Georgia, he denounced evolution and theories on the universe, Einstein, he may serve on the House Science Committee. I mean, come on, you know he described it as a pit of sin form the gates of hell that Einstein's theories. I think Einstein is a little smarter than him.

And one other thing, and I'll talk to our county representative, I was a little alarmed on an article I read on the county budget where GEDs were being taken out of the county jail, the opportunity to earn your high school education and, I mean, let's keep in mind that some day these people get out and we have to live amongst them and ride on a bus next to them or park a car or pull out in front of them and we

1 don't need a bunch of people that are 2 enraged by the way their life is going, so a 3 GED is at least the start. I was very 4 appalled with the Chivarella and Conahan 5 case because a lot of those kids got out of school -- or got out of that reform school 6 7 without a high school diploma so they were technically put for silly reasons for a 8 9 profit and they got out without a high 10 school diploma. Where is that going? Thank 11 you and have a good night. 12 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 13 anyone else? 14 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, you handsome 15 devil you. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. Where's 17 your hat? 18 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet. 19 MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy. 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, a little lower or higher for me? A little lower? 21 22 MS. EVANS: Little lower. 23 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, the game is 24 tomorrow night, Janet. The game is tomorrow 25 Dunmore and Old Forge, Abington on night.

Saturday. One more announcement, Janet,
Scranton is going to win Friday night. I
hope they win. I wish them all good luck.
Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chrissy.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'd like to start off tonight by asking whether agenda Item 2-B, does that comparison compare 2011 with 2012 adjusted to remove the tax increase as well as the raw figure for collections? If you don't know the answer offhand during motions will be fine.

And also, Mr. Joyce, I asked awhile back about why the controller's report for the end of the August shows significantly higher collections than what you were saying was the cumulative trough mid-September, did you ever find out why?

MR. JOYCE: I'm still working on that actually. One thing I did find out is that the reporting between the Single Tax

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Office and the Controller's Office sometimes differs. For instance, the Single Tax Office will submit a check in say August 31, for instance, and the city will receive the check in the beginning of September causing a difference in reporting because the city will report it as September revenue and the tax office will report it as August.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But that would make it lower. I mean, that should make it the other way, but -- well, maybe I'll talk to you on that off-line, I don't want to use my whole five minutes on that one item, because I'd like to move onto the budgeted amount for the 2004 sale leaseback of the DPW for 2012 is \$535,000, but only about \$75,000 has been expended through September. haven't these payments been made and will part of the massive debt we have incurred this year be used to make this debt current or will we be merely a half a million dollars in arrears at the beginning of 2012 and to whom do we owe this back rent and is their a late payment penalty and, if so, how much?

MR. JOYCE: I will research that question for you. I apologize, I do not know the exact answer offhand.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I think the foregoing shows a need. There are a lot of items in the controller's report where it's pretty obvious either -- well, either the revenues were overstated or expenses were overstated and/or they were not making payments on them because if you just divide by 12 we are nowhere near where we should be in payments.

that we need a 2013 cash flow forecast. I think I would like for you to provide the same when the budget is introduced in two weeks. I don't think it's sufficient any longer to just have numbers on an annual basis, I think we need to know how they are disbursed across the years so we can track and know where we are in any given month, so I would ask you for that for 2013 along with a budget.

In regard again to the market based revenue opportunities agreement, the

an RFP process to select a commissioned broker to help identify potential city assets available for an MBRO proper, assist with the establishment of a policy framework and market available and approved opportunities to begin in January 2013. Is this going to happen or will the expected revenue have to be reduced because the program didn't get started on schedule? I pretty well check the legal notices every day and I have not seen that announcement, that solicitation for --

MR. JOYCE: I will bring that up with our business administrator and find out if that is going to be instituted. It's my understanding that it will.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But it won't be started in January 2013, will it, if they haven't solicited a bid yet?

MR. JOYCE: I'll ask him, but I'm assuming that they are behind if they haven't solicited the bid.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And also maybe an update on when the parking enhancement

demonstration or whatever is being called, that trial, is going to begin.

MR. JOYCE: I'll find out the exact dates on that as well.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And and then back on the MBROs, will council be setting the standards for what is acceptable to be advertised, what products, what solicitations, what buildings? Do we know? I mean, we don't know the buildings because you haven't selected a broker yet, but who is going to set parameters for what's acceptable? Alcoholic beverages, you know, what -- I think that's -- I know that's created an issue in some other cities that have MBRO's and I would highly recommend that you as a council set the policy for what is acceptable along with the brokerage.

And then we have heard nothing about the sale leaseback program that's -- since the revised recovery plan was passed. Are you able to identify the property being sold and the buyer and the status of this transaction? Frank, do?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'm sorry, I'm

writing down the questions. I will address 1 that with our business administrator as 2 3 well. MS. SCHUMACHER: 4 Okav. MR. JOYCE: As far as what 5 properties will be --6 MS. SCHUMACHER: I would also like 7 8 to request that you also obtain a list of 9 the bills paid with the proceeds of the 10 first unfunded debt borrowing as well as the outstanding bills by vendor and how those 11 will be covered, and then I guess we don't 12 13 have the loan status in Mr. Rogan's absence; 14 is that true? MS. EVANS: That's true. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 17 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 18 MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS. 19 MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do 20 you have any comments or motions tonight? 21 MR. MCGOFF: Since you asked last 22 week if we would be brief, I will defer 23 until after Attorney Hughes presents the 24 information on that. Thank you. 25 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,

Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just briefly. Last week I reported that the Keyser Valley Citizens' Association was conducting a safety meeting regarding the closure of the fire station on Luzerne Street. There was a follow-up to the meeting from the prior month and Engine 7 was opened yesterday and the prior day for the first time. They did receive the air quality results that enabled, you know, the firefighters to return to those buildings.

There was a lot of questions asked and the chief was unable to attend the meeting, apparently he was out of town, and the mayor had a function that he was attending last evening a few blocks away, but the questions were asked still not answered. There is newspaper -- our newspaper reporter was at the meeting last night, but one of the biggest points that was not brought out in the newspaper was brought out in the meeting again why we don't have the coverage that we have with

the firefighters is the fact that \$3 1/2 million was turned back to the federal government. That would have supplied us and kept our fire stations open for the next two years. Not one word of that was in the newspaper, yet many people mentioned that last evening and I myself brought it up. That is a fact. If that money was here today those stations would still be opened.

Now, there was a fire on Harrison

Avenue yesterday and last week I believe I

said we are going to have a logistical

problem with the bridge closures in the

city. Now, since Engine 15 in Petersburg

has been permanently closed, that was the

protection company for the Hill section and

Dunmore, the next engine would be Engine 10

on East Mountain, which is always open now

since they had the fire up there. They

manned that with overtime if they have to.

But in order for that fire truck to respond to the fire on Harrison Avenue it has to respond -- it has to come down from the top of East Mountain, go down to Cedar Avenue, make a roundabout loop and come back

up Mulberry Street because it cannot go over Harrison Avenue and it cannot go over the Expressway now, complex, so time is a big factor, and I stated at last weeks announcement of the Keyser Valley meeting that it's just not Keyser Valley or West Side that's being affected by these closures, it's the whole city. Engine 10 responding to that fire leaves that whole mountain open and they are respond to a lot more calls now because of the other closures.

Two of the stations that are open all the time right now are South Side across from the 20th ward and the East Mountain.

Rescue 1 on Wyoming Avenue that, of course, is open, but Engine 4 right here in fire headquarters closed. They could have been the first company to respond up to Harrison Avenue. Closed.

You know, when the firefighters arrived there they said they though the fire started in the attic because it was coming out of the attic, but it was a basement fire. Perhaps if we had the adequate

equipment in place it could have been confined to a basement. And, unfortunately, and somebody brought something up at the meeting last night and it hit a nerve with me and it could happen that, you know, God forbid something happens to someone's home or family because -- and this is their exact quote, because of malfeasance of this administration turning back that money there could be a major lawsuit here. That's what I'm worried about, but I don't want to see a disaster happen to force these stations to open.

I'm just still irritated and disappointed that this council was not even consulted before that money was turned back. The mayor did it unilaterally. I don't even believe the fire chief had any knowledge of it until after the fact and it's ashame. You know, our taxes are going up, but your services are going down and we are trying our hardest here to do the best we can and provide you with the services we have. But, you know, when things are done unilaterally and we don't have control over it this is

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what happens. They could tout all of the parks and the apartments downtown and stuff like that, you know, downtown is becoming a, you know, a neighborhood with the apartments and everything. Unfortunately, they have taken the first responder from the downtown and closed that, and I hate to come back some day and say, "I told you so."

I don't know what the answer is. You know, West Side, Keyser Valley residents try to be proactive rather than reactive like East Mountain after the fire. They are trying to get things done before there is a disaster or catastrophe, but there is a lot of apathy out there and the only way we are going to get things done in this city or get the attention of the administration if you are worried about your public safety is to get to the mayor, write him, call him, whatever, but they started a petition back in Keyser Valley last night and, you know, this isn't over yet, but I don't want to see anything happen to anyone in this city. They deserve the best public safety for their tax dollars.

And I could go on and on, but I apologize, I'll cut it at that point. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. To begin, I would ask that everyone keep the family of the former city clerk Jay Saunders in their prayers. Jay Saunders, who is a distant cousin of mine, fought a courageous battle with pancreatic cancer. He was a very honorable man and he will be sorely missed by his family and friends.

Tonight my intention is to briefly discuss the 2013 operating budget that was sent to council by the administration today. The legislation for the budget will not go onto the agenda for two weeks due to the Thanksgiving holiday next week. The budget will have its first reading on November 29, and subsequent second and third readings on December 6 and 13. In the following weeks, I will elaborate more on the budget and its content.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To some council members the budget may seem foreign since this is the first time they are seeing it. However, it is not foreign to me. Last Friday I met with business administrator Ryan McGowan to discuss the budget. On Monday I met with Councilwoman Evans, Mayor Doherty and Business Administrator Ryan McGowan to discuss the budget again. Yesterday I met again with Mayor Doherty and Business Administrator Ryan McGowan to discuss the budget. My goal is to take a proactive approach to the budget rather than a reactive approach to the budget and work with the administration so that they produce a budget that is acceptable and adequate on a united front that keeps the city fiscally solvent.

As dictated by the revised recovery plan and as promised, the real estate tax increase in the budget has been limited to 12 percent. As one may know, Scranton City Council decreased taxes in 2011 by nearly 11 percent and increased taxes in 2012 by nearly 5 percent. If this budget is passed

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as is, the overall tax increase will be 6 percent since this council took office.

In regard to other major taxes and fees besides the real estate tax, the wage tax is not being increased and will remain at 2.4 percent for the city and, of course, 1 percent for the school district. refuse fee is not being increased and will remain at \$178 per year. The local services tax is not being increased and will remain at \$52 per year. The parking tax is also not being increased. There will be an increase in the real estate transfer tax from 2.8 percent to 2.9 percent along with a business privilege and mercantile increase from .875 mills to 1 mill. These will return these taxes to their 2010 levels.

The budget also contains an amusement tax and a commuter tax of 1 percent which is pending for approval. Last year the budget was slightly over \$85 million. This year the budget is over \$109 million. One may be wondering why. The largest contributor to the increase in the budget is the Supreme Court ruling in favor

of the fire and police unions. The estimated cost of this award is over \$17 million and it is something that must be paid to the police and fire unions. Since the city does not have the money on hand to pay this award the money must be borrowed. It is estimated by our business administrator that the cost of borrowing will be an additional \$1.7 million in -- or \$1.7 million expense next year.

Along with the Supreme Court award and the lump sum repayment to the fire and police unions, there will also be an increase to the MMO, which stands for minimum municipal obligation. The minimum municipal obligation is the minimum amount that the city must contribute to fund pensions for the year. The MO was determined by Tom Sanderson and must be adhered to in order to keep our very underfunded pension accounts stable.

For the non-uniform city workers, the MMO for 2013 will be roughly \$1 million. This is up roughly -- or this is up from roughly \$800,000 last year. The MMO for the

IAM union pension will be roughly \$300,000. This encompasses most of the DPW and is similar to this year. The MMO for the fire department pension will be \$4.6 million in 2013. This is up from \$1.8 million this year. The MMO for the police pension will be \$3.6 million in 2013. This is up from \$1.5 million this year.

Overall, the total MMO that the city is required to contribute to fund pensions in 2012 is \$4.4 million. In 2013, this will jump to \$9.5 million which is an overall increase of \$5.1 million.

In addition to the lump summary payment to the police and fire unions and the Supreme Court award, the Supreme Court award also sets certain staffing levels to occur within the fire and police departments. The Supreme Court award dictated that there must be a certain amount of personnel per fire apparatus and a certain amount of officers on duty per shift. The requirement that there must be a certain amount of officers per shift has resulted in an increase of police overtime.

This year the budgeted amount for police overtime was \$120,000. In 2013, the budgeted amount for the police overtime will be \$550,000. This will ensure that the city is covered with an adequate number of officers per the order of the Supreme Court award and, of course, this is an increase of slightly over \$400,000.

The sum of the increases that I just mentioned totals \$24.2 million which constitutes the spending gap between thi year's budget and last year's budget. As I stated, tonight my intention was simply to provide a brief overview of the operating budget. I will discuss the budget in greater detail in the following weeks. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, before you start, I did forget one thing.

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. MCGOFF: Before you begin, just something that Mr. Joyce brought up that the delinquent refuse fees, the bills that were sent out, there are some problems with

Many people are experiencing some problems. If you feel that you have received a notice that -- of something you have paid please see if you can find proof of payment, be it a cancelled check or if you go to the bank most banks have a record of your checks and you don't have the cancelled checks, if you can find proof of payment, you know, bring it to the Treasurer's Office so that the records can be brought up-to-date and your problem rectified, and I say that because I received a delinquent notice and have had to go through the process of trying to find proof of payment.

The other thing that I would like to mention on this, for many people this notice is the first one that they have ever seen, that delinquent notices have not been sent and some people -- and granted, many people have just not paid that refuse fee, but for some people who have inadvertently not paid it by mistake or whatever circumstances, this is the first time they are seeing or knowing that there is a delinquency and now

they are being, you know, hit with penalties and interest and fees and whatever else, I would like to in the future see if somehow delinquent notice can be placed on the subsequent years bill like you might receive on a credit card or anything else that if you are late or you haven't paid that on your subsequent bill it indicates such so that you can make payment even if it is late it's not going to be a year or two.

But, please, if you do have a problem with your -- with that notice please find proof of payment and take it to the Treasurer's Office and get it taken care of. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good
evening. Before I begin my comments I just
wanted to very briefly respond to some
statements made tonight by Mr. Morgan.
First of all, with regard to the
Right-to-Know requests, council members do
not handle those requests. It is my
understanding from your statements that you
would have spoken with Mrs. Krake and she
would have, I'm sure, answered your

questions and provided explanations to you.

You know, that may not have been
satisfactory in your estimation, but it has been addressed.

In addition, contrary to the statements made by Mr. Morgan, city council is not the source of the borrowing nor is it in charge of the borrowing, rather, the administration called for borrowing to prevent a financial collapse in 2013, and as everyone here would recall, it was due to the extreme levels of unbridled borrowing and spending from 2002 through 2008 that the financial house of cards collapsed into 2012 and this borrowing became necessary in order to keep the City of Scranton alive financially and functioning so that public services and public safety can continue to be provided to all of our citizens.

Now, although today, November 15, marks the deadline for the presentation of the mayor's proposed 2013 operating budget, it cannot be included on city council's agenda in Fifth Order for introduction until the November 29, 2012, council meeting. The

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget would have had to have been submitted to council's office prior to today in order to have been introduced tonight. Since council will not be in session on November 22 due to the Thanksgiving holiday, a formal presentation of the budget by City Council Finance Chair Frank Joyce and a Fifth Order vote will occur on November 29.

However, included on this evening's lengthy agenda are four pieces of legislation that particularly merit discussion. In Fifth Order for introduction, is an ordinance to implement an amusement tax effective January 2013. This tax is contained in the city's revised recovery plan and must be adopted in 2012 prior to the December 10 commuter tax Court hearing. If revisions or clarifications are necessary, the ordinance may be amended in Seventh Order. Council members should contact city solicitor Paul Kelly or council solicitor Boyd Hughes with any suggestions or amendments.

In addition, the city administration submitted emergency legislation to council's

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office today for \$150,000 for the December

1, 2012, bond payment for the Scranton

Parking Authority. At this time, I ask

Attorney Hughes to comment on this

legislation regarding the SPA bond payement.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, madam chairman.

The total amount of the bond payment that's due on the first is \$813,481.25. I have requested that Mr. Washo and Central Parking through Central Parking give us an update on their proposed budget that is Central adopted effective as of October 1. been a little while in order to put that together. I did receive an e-mail from Mr. Washo that the total amount after expenses that Central Parking had for the month of the October was \$112,013. that's only revenue from the garages itself. Mr. Washo has an additional \$38,000, which is the net amount on the lease -- the leasing of the stores within the garages and I believe some other machine east. that together, it was \$150,000 that would be available to make the bond payment.

The money from November revenues

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would not be available for the bond payment because they would not come in until some time around December 10 or 12 so, therefore, there was a deficit of \$663,481.25 that the city pursuant to its guarantee of the bonds would have to transfer to the trustee on or before December 1.

Back in October when I wrote Mr. Scopelliti, you know, my two and a half page letter that was never responded to, one of the questions that I wanted, there were seven areas that I inquired into, one of them that I wanted to respond to was what were the balances in the various debt service reserve funds and other reserve funds required pursuant to the indenture. Mr. Scopelliti never responded to that. However, once Reedy Insurance and Attorney David Debrow of New York City once they moved to have a receiver appointed and Mr. Washo was appointed, of course, they had a new trustee appointed, they removed PNC Bank and had Wells Fargo appointed as the new trustee, Mr. Dubrow informed me that there was -- that the 2007 debt service reserve

fund had excess funds probably close to \$800,000.

As a result, I had correspondence and conversations with Attorney Dubrow. I sent him an e-mail on November 10, I believe that was Monday, and requested, I set forth that the amount of the shortfall of \$663,481.25 that the city would be responsible for that. In the 2007 debt service reserve fund there was approximately \$1,840,000. I believe there had to be somewhere around \$1 million maintained in that debt service reserve fund, and I requested that Radiant make provision to pay the entire amount from the surplus funds that were in the 2007 debt service reserve fund.

Mr. Dubrow contacted me on I believe it was Tuesday, I keep forgetting the days here, on Tuesday regarding it, said that it was the position of Radiant that the city should make some monetary contribution, he was looking for approximately 50 percent. I had a conversation with Ryan McGowan, the city's BA, I said, "How much funds are

available for us to contribute?"

He assured me that the city would be able to come up with \$150,000. I e-mailed that to Mr. Dubrow. He got back to me yesterday, informed me that -- or actually today, informed me that they would accept \$150,000 from the city, \$150,000 from the receiver, and that the balance of almost \$515,000 would be -- they would authorize release to make that bond payment so the city will not have to come up with that.

In addition, the Parking Authority debt service reserve fund on the 2005 bonds is about \$180,000 under the reserve, again, that's the city's responsibility. In my discussion with Attorney Dubrow, Radiant had previously stated that this was the city's responsibility to come with \$180,000, they wanted the city to come up with \$90,000 in the 2013 and \$90,000 in 2014. After negotiations with Attorney Dubrow, Radiant agreed that an additional \$180,000 of the surplus funds or debt service in the 2007 debt service reserve fund would be available to be placed into that debt service reserve

fund.

So as a result, and I concluded my first e-mail to Attorney Dubrow, you know, in the spirit of the cooperation the way that we have cooperated with Radiant and the insurance companies, you know, in these matters with the Parking Authority that I hoped that they would pay or authorize the release of all of the excess funds in the debt service reserve fund.

As a result, the city's responsibility -- or put it this way, not responsibility, the city is responsible for the full amount, but the liability of the city on this payment will just be \$150,000.

As a result of that, and council the next Thursday being Thanksgiving, I contacted the mayor and Paul Kelly to have an ordinance done authorizing the release of \$150,000 for wire transfer to Wells-Fargo to bank the bond payment that's emergency legislation tonight because it was not done until after Thanksgiving that would have been the 29th and it would have been tight. So as a result of that and my negotiations

4

1

5

7 8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

with Attorney Dubrow on behalf of Radiant and also the other bonding company -- or the insurance company, not bonding company, on this that, you know, we had a savings here of \$115,000 and also another \$180,000 which is almost a \$700,000 liability that the city has avoided through cooperation with Radiant insurance and Attorney Dubrow. They have cooperated with us and I wants to thank them for everything that they have done to make this possible and saved the city at least right now over half a million dollars of monies that we don't have or if we have them we can use elsewhere, you know, for other payments such as, you know, Blue Cross/Blue Shield and other items like that and saving us \$90,000 next year and the year after.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. City council is very grateful to
our solicitor who single-handedly solved
these pressing financial issues. This had
not been requested by the administration,
they would have been prepared to try to make
full payment, this was done through the
efforts of one individual, our solicitor.

Consequently, he saved the taxpayers of Scranton \$700,000. Well over half a million dollars in bond payments.

Also, included in Sixth Order of tonight's agenda are two ordinances, Item 6-A and 6-B that are elated to the city's second unfunded debt borrowing in 2012.

Again, I call on Solicitor Hughes for his comments on this legislation.

MR. HUGHES: This is a little bit more complicated, but anyway, I'll try to cut to the chase on this and explain it to council and to the public. If you would look at the ordinance, Ordinance No. 71 of 2012, I think that's the best way I can explain it, if you have it in front of you, if you go to page two, and the ordinance is probably about 15 pages long, but if you go to page two, in the first whereas clause it says, "Not to borrow -- or to borrow not to exceed \$20,910,000."

That's the absolute maximum, that is not what the city is borrowing. It could go up to that amount, it depends on the conditions of the market and what the

interest rate is going to be, so we are not borrowing -- I say "we" the city is not borrowing that amount. That's the cap.

That's the ceiling. In the event that the price of the bonds comes in over \$20,910,000 it does not have to be accepted by the city, they can refuse it.

If you go to the third whereas clause, and I believe this is a question that was asked previously, the city in its financing, and when we refer to these the 2003 bonds Series A, B, C and D, back in 2003 the total amount of that borrowing, I do have the prospectus here, I should have had it out, I believe it was \$73 million and it was broken down into Series A, B, C and D.

Actually, it was \$72,300,000 of which it was broken down in the various series. Series A of 2003 was \$5,025,000. The Series B was \$35,650,000. The Series C was \$18,145,000, and the Series D was \$13,480,000.

And with that background, we look at the second to the last whereas clause on

page two, the Series A bonds originally floated they were \$5,025,000 of which as of today remains outstanding \$1,025,000, and that's a payment that's due on September 1, 2013 and September 1, 2014, but I'll say this also applies to the other Series B, C, D and E. The only thing that the city can refinance on these are the payments that are due next year.

As a result, the way that this is going to be broken down by Oppenheimer in their offering, the unfunded debt service of \$9,750,000 would probably be financed -- will be financed this year in December. The interest payment on the Series bonds 2003, A, B, C and D will probably be financed in January.

As a result of that, by the time that the financing is made the bond payments due on January 1, 2013, on the Series A, B, C and D will have to be paid to the trustee for the sinking fund. So what is happening here is that the city will not be making the payments due and on the Series A bond, that would be \$505,000 on September 1st of 2013,

and the payments for September, October, November and December of the \$520,000 is going to be due on September 1, 2014.

What this financing will do on each of these issues will take -- will provide the monies to pay the seven payments of principal and interest on the 2006 A bonds that are due September 1, 2013, that will be put into a sinking fund or be held in escrow to make that payment and then make the first four payments that are due on the bond that's due September one, 2014.

That's what's being refinanced.

That total amount of principal and interest on those two issues is \$494.98 and then what will happen is the city will then pay back that over a period of ten years on those bonds. So instead of coming up on this issue with \$498,000 over the course of a year, there is only going to one payment that's going to be paid, and that has to be made, that's going to be in January. The other 11 payments will be paid from the bond fund, from the floating of the bonds, and will be paid and held in escrow and those

payments will be made and in 2000 the mature of the bond on the first series that are due on September 1, 2013, retire that, and have the other four payments available to be made. So then in the next nine years the amount of this funding will be put into the budget so the city won't have to come up with that money.

You go to the next page and you look at the second whereas clause and on the Series B bond that was floated for \$35,605,000 in 2003, there currently is outstanding, and that's on the third line, \$29,045,000. That's the amount that's outstanding, so what's happening is that what will be financed in this is that the payment that's due September 1, 2013 is \$845,000 of principal and interest, and it's due on September 1st of 2013, it would be \$880,000.

So what is being done here is that the seven payments on the payment that is due on September 1, 2013, is being financed with principal and interest so that bond will be paid off in full. The bond that is

due on September 1, 2014, of \$880,000 the first four months of payments on that will be financed that total comes to \$1,949,926.

You go down to the fifth whereas, and this is Series C bonds, they were floated for \$18,145,000. The principal remaining on that is \$15,035,000, so this is where it gets a little tricky because it says -- not tricky, but has to be explained is that there is a payment due September 1 of 2013 of \$410,000.

Then you go down and on the 2000 "C" bonds maturing on December 1, 2018. The way that this bond issue was structured is that the bonds mature on September 1, 2013. The next maturity date is September 1, 2018. Bonds don't mature in that time period, but the city has to make payments in escrow, but the amount that has to be paid on September 1, 2018. So the structure of the "C" bonds is different on the maturity dates. Most bonds mature serially every year so many, however, the "C" bonds and the "D" bonds don't mature every year. The "C" bonds and the "D" bonds will skip in there of four

years so that's why it has September 1st of 2018 in here.

So what we are doing here is that the payments that would have to be made on this we would have the payments from February 1, 2013, to September 1, 2013, those bonds would then be paid off, they would be matured, and then what we are doing is making the payments from September 1, 2-13, four payments, December 1, 2014, which are going to go into a sinking fund to pay the bonds that mature on September 1, 2018. So there will be 11 payments on that bond issue of \$1,125,888.

If you go down to the next whereas clause is on the "D" bonds, the "D" bonds that were floated in 2006 or 2003 "D" bonds the total was \$13,480,000 of which there is \$8,790,000 outstanding and what we will be doing is making the interest payments and the principal payments that are due in 2013, there are seven of those, and then we will be making the four payments that are due from September 1, 2014, to December -- I'm sorry, 2013, that totals \$988,725.

That's what is being refinanced here. Right now it's anticipated it will be refinanced in two series of financing, one under the \$9,750,000 for the unfunded debt and the rest for the financing so the city won't have to make all of those payments next year, it will be paid through this bond issue and then spread out and amortized over the period ten years for repayment.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If you have any questions feel free to --

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any questions?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Solicitor
Hughes. City council will suspend its rules
to move both pieces of legislation from
Sixth Order into Seventh Order tonight in
order to meet the timeline necessary to
accomplish this borrowing and refinancing.

Next, the Office of Economic and Community Development has filed a request for a voluntary grant reduction request of \$49,500 in 2013 CDBG funds in lieu of

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

repayment of two activities that were found to be ineligible during monitoring reviews conducted in April 2011. The request is based on the city's inability to provide nonfederal funds or reimbursement of the ineligible activities since it remains financially distressed.

In addition, city council received a response from OECD Director Linda Aebli regarding the park located behind the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. She states that the park is part of the Phase II of the Park Plaza Pedestrian Court. Funding was made available from a grant to complete the park from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources or DCNR. An addendum to the construction service agreement between OECD and Sardoni Construction Services, Incorporated, was executed on September 9, 2009, in the amount of \$499,000. A second addendum was recently executed on October 24, 2012, by the DCNR to extend the term of the grant agreement and thereby the completion date for this park which is

located above the wall in Bogart Court to April 30, 2013.

Finally, city council also received a response from Theresa Osborne, Chancellor of the Scranton Diocese, on November 9, 2012, which provides a listing of all churches, schools, parking lots and social halls owned by the Diocese, including dates of vacancies.

Mrs. Krake, please contact the County Tax Assessor's Office for the assessment of those properties that are closed and therefore taxable.

And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
VACATION OF AN UNOPENED RIGHT-OF-WAY KNOWN
AS THE 200 BLOCK OF MCDONOUGH STREET
CONSISTING OF AN AREA 150 FEET LONG BETWEEN
39.31 AND 39.62 FEET WIDE LOCATED BETWEEN
GREG COURT (UNDEVELOPED) AND COLLIERY AVENUE
IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, AS MORE
PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN THE LEGAL
DESCRIPTION AND MAP ATTACHED HERETO, UNDER
AND SUBJECT TO A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND
RIGHT OF WAY GRANTED TO THE SEWER AUTHORITY

	30
1	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA OVER
2	THE ENTIRE VACATED AREA.
3	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
5	introduced into its proper committee.
6	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
9	those in favor of introduction signify by
10	saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
17	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AN ORDINANCE TO
18	PROVIDE REVENUE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY
19	IMPOSING A TAX UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF
20	ATTENDING OR ENGAGING IN AMUSEMENTS,
21	INCLUDING EVERY FORM OF ENTERTAINMENT,
22	DIVERSION, SPORT, RECREATION AND PASTIME,
23	REQUIRING ALL PERSONS, PARTNERSHIPS,
24	ASSOCIATIONS AND CORPORATIONS CONDUCTING
25	PLACES OF AMUSEMENTS; IMPOSING DUTIES

AND CONFERRING POWERS UPON THE TREASURER OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING THE METHOD AND MANNER OF COLLECTING THE TAX IMPOSED BY THIS ORDINANCE; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I just have one question on it, are there any exemptions to this, and I speak particularly of schools, churches, nonprofits?

MS. EVANS: Those were the questions that I had posed at last week's meeting and I believe we received a response from Attorney Kelly. He has received additional information from other municipalities and townships who do levy an amusement tax and provide exemptions for the types of activities that you and I have enumerated so he is going to be reviewing that and I do believe that the tax will be -- or the

legislation, I should say, for the tax will 1 be amended in Seventh Order. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on 4 5 the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 11 12 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. APPOINTMENT OF NANCY D. BISIGNANI, 1200 PINE STREET, 13 14 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 15 16 FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MRS. 17 BISIGNANI'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 18 11, 2012 AND HER NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON 19 OCTOBER 11, 2017. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 20 21 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 22 introduced into its proper committee. 23 MR. JOYCE: So moved. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, Mrs. Krake, if you can contact Ms. Bisignani and all of the other appointments and ask them for a letter of the interest to ensure that they would like to be a part of HARB.

MS. EVANS: I think maybe we are looking for, because they have served already, they are having their terms renewed, I think what we are requesting is a resume from both individuals in order that council could consider reappointing them. I know that although I may be familiar with these two individuals, I believe we have at least three council members who are not which necessitates the resume.

Anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. APPOINTMENT OF

JOHN MOORE, 315 13TH AVENUE, SCRANTON,

PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER OF THE

HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD FOR AN

1	ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. MOORE'S
2	CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11, 2012 AND
3	HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11,
4	2017.
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
7	introduced into its proper committee.
8	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor of introduction signify by
12	saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. ACCEPTING THE
19	RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL
20	ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND
21	APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
22	FOR MAGICAL MARKERS VINYL GRAPHICS, 529
23	NORTHERN BOULEVARD, CHINCHILLA, PENNSYLVANIA
24	FOR THE INSTALLATION OF A 16 OZ. VINYL, FLEX
25	FACE PRINTED BANNER, MEASURING 30 ¾" H X 272

	03
1	¾" W, FILLED WITHIN THE SIGN PANEL RECESS AT
2	516 LACKAWANNA AVENUE, SCRANTON,
3	PENNSYLVANIA.
4	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
6	introduced into its proper committee.
7	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MS. KRAKE: 5-G. EMERGENCY
18	CERTIFICATE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
19	56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL
20	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING
21	\$150,000.00 FROM VARIOUS ACCOUNT NUMBERS
22	LISTED BELOW TO ACCOUNT NO.
23	01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES
24	- OPR TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING
25	AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE

	04
1	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE
2	DECEMBER 1, 2012.
3	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
5	introduced into its proper committee.
6	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
9	those in favor of introduction signify by
10	saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
17	suspend the rules to move Item 5-G to Sixth
18	and Seventh Order to be considered for final
19	passaged based on the attached emergency
20	certificate.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
	II and the second secon

READING

1

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

2

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

3

have it and so moved.

4

BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 71, 2012 -

5

6

AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF

MR. HUGHES: SIXTH ORDER.

7

SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA,

8

SETTING FORTH ITS INTENT TO ISSUE ONE OR

9

MORE SERIES OF FEDERALLY TAXABLE AND/OR TAX

10

EXEMPT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR NOTES OF

11

THE CITY IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT

12

NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY MILLION NINE HUNDRED

13

TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$20,910,000)

14

(COLLECTIVELY, THE "BONDS") PURSUANT TO THE

15

ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE

16

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PA.C.S. 53,

17

CHAPTERS 80-82, AS AMENDED, KNOWN AS THE

18

LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT (THE "ACT");

19

FINDING THAT A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION

20

IS IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE

21

CITY; DETERMINING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL

22

EVIDENCE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE CITY:

23

SPECIFYING THAT SUCH INDEBTEDNESS TO BE

24

INCURRED TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR A CERTAIN

PROJECT OF THE CITY CONSISTING OF ALL OR ANY

25

24

25

OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) FUNDING UNFUNDED DEBT OF THE CITY; (2) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES A OF 2003: (3) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; (4) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003; (5) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AND (6) FUNDING NECESSARY RESERVES AND PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS: SETTING FORTH THE REASONABLE ESTIMATED USEFUL LIVES OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE FINANCED AND REFINANCED BY THE BONDS; ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR THE PURCHASE OR ARRANGEMENT OF THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF SUCH BONDS AT PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION TO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYERS AND/OR ACCREDITED INVESTORS: PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL CONSTITUTE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE CITY; FIXING THE DENOMINATIONS, SERIES DESIGNATIONS, DATED

5

4

7

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DATE, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, MATURITY DATES, INTEREST RATES, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS. OPTIONAL AND MANDATORY REDEMPTION PROVISIONS (IF APPLICABLE) AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO CONTRACT WITH THE PAYING AGENT FOR ITS SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM OF THE BONDS EVIDENCING THE DEBT: AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND ATTESTATION OF SUCH BONDS: PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO DEBT SERVICE APPLICABLE TO SUCH BONDS TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH. CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE CITY IN SUPPORT THEREOF; CREATING A SINKING FUND FOR EACH SERIES OF BONDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH SERIES OF BONDS, TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DESIGNATING THE PAYING AGENT TO BE THE SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY; PROVIDING A COVENANT TO INSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT FOR SUCH BONDS WHEN DUE: SETTING FORTH REGISTRATION AND TRANSFER PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING THE

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATION OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROJECT AND THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DO, TO TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED, REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS TO EFFECT THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE. AND THE FILING OF SPECIFIED DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ALL AS REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DECLARING THAT THE DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY SUCH BONDS, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY, WILL NOT BE IN EXCESS OF ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY THE ACT; AUTHORIZING PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DELIVER THE BONDS UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; IF APPLICABLE. SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS

24

25

PRECLUDING THE CITY FROM TAKING ACTIONS WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE BONDS TO BECOME "ARBITRAGE BONDS" OR "PRIVATE ACTIVITY BONDS." AS THOSE TERMS ARE USED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE AND COVENANTING TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, IF APPLICABLE; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENT NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS: APPROVING THE FORM OF AND RATIFYING THE PREPARATION, USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM AND A PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM BY THE PURCHASER OR PLACEMENT AGENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE MARKETING OF THE BONDS; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED ACTION: PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE: PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF

PROVISIONS: AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR 1 PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME 2 SHALL BE INCONSISTENT HEREWITH. 3 4 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 5 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to amend 6 Item 6-A as per the following: 7 8 Inserting the new Section 28, which 9 reads as follows: 1. "The City shall provide funds to 10 11 pay the 2013 debt service required on the 12 bonds issued to the fund by the unfunded 13 debt service which shall be transferred to 14 the paying agent for deposit into the sinking fund on or before December 31, 15 2012." 16 17 2. Sections 28 to 31 shall be 18 renumbered 29 to 32." MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Are there questions on 21 the amendment? All those in favor of the 22 motion to amend Item 6-A signify by saying 23 aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 3 have it and so moved. MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Chairman, I move 4 5 that Item 6-A, as amended, pass reading by title. 6 MR. JOYCE: Second. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you. On the 9 question? All those in favor signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -16 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 72, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE 17 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 18 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO LEVY A REAL 19 ESTATE TAX MILLAGE INCREASE FOR A PERIOD OF 20 21 (10) YEARS DEDICATED TO RETIRING THE UNFUNDED DEBT INCURRED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2012 22 IN THE AMOUNT OF NINE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED 23 24 FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,750,000.00) AND DIRECTING THE CITY TREASURER TO SEPARATE THE 25

1	PROPER PORTION OF THE REAL ESTATE TAXES
2	RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE DURING
3	SUCH TEN (10) YEAR PERIOD AND FORWARD SAME
4	TO A SEPARATE ACCOUNT TO SERVICE AND RETIRE
5	THE UNFUNDED DEBT.
6	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
7	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
8	MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-B
9	pass reading by title.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 6-C - FORMERLY 5-G -
19	EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE - READING BY TITLE -
20	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 73, 2012, AN ORDINANCE -
21	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 - AN
22	ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING
23	BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$150,000.00
24	FROM VARIOUS ACCOUNT NUMBERS LISTED BELOW TO
25	ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299

1	(NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES - OPR TSF DEBT
2	SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO
3	PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE SCRANTON PARKING
4	AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE DECEMBER 1, 2012.
5	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
6	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-C
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
18	suspend the rules to move Item 6-A, as
19	amended, and Item 6-B to Seventh Order for
20	final passage.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

Is there anyone who wishes to address council on Items 6-A and 6-B or 6-C that have been moved into Seventh Order?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, this is procedural, but there is no 5-G on the agenda that we were provided and I thought all agenda items had to be advertised a day before they were to be voted on.

MS. EVANS: 5-G is the emergency legislation?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah.

MS. EVANS: Yes, well in cases of an emergency, no. It isn't advertised a day in advance because it was just presented to council's office today and I believe that Solicitor Hughes during his explanation of the bond payment for the Scranton Parking Authority explained the need for --

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's for those of us who are here, but there might have been other people who might have been interested, I don't know. I think they need to move the

3

4

6

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Radisson up here because this is getting to be the railroad station, just move it on through.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you.

Mrs. Schumacher, if we don't do this then we can pay the \$700,000 rather than the \$150,000.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I want to get back to the bond payments, have you noticed that the money owed on them bonds is nowhere near the \$21 million that you are going to be taking? So them bonds are not going to be paid off, what we are borrowing, God only knows at what percentage, that's the problem. I don't know what they percentage they are. If we only had an audit where we can look at the stuff before it comes, but it's obvious to anybody that we are going to be paying on the "A", "B" -- well, maybe not "A" but "B", "C" and "D" long after I'm dead and thank God my son ain't going to come back here, and we are going to be borrowing this other 20 million.

Now, I understand that we are in trouble, but are we going to borrow at a

greater amount than what is owed on those bonds? We are not paying off the bonds. In fact, there is lot of other stuff in there that we are supposed to be paying off, but the basic thing is when these bonds were sold I don't know what them bonds are 5 percent, 5 1/2 percent I think if I can remember way back when they came out, I think it was somewhere in that neighborhood and we are going to be borrowing today at 10 percent or 9.8 percent or 8.9 percent. No wonder why Mr. Rogan was shaking his head like crazy when you are talking about the refinancing.

Now, I understand we are in trouble, and I understand we are in desperate trouble, but why are we making the debt higher and higher and higher? I mean, we cannot pay off them bonds by raising taxes that cover it so at least we are paying on the DPW side and the police station and the lights. Oh, we needed them lights that the mayor so did. I mean, practical. I applaud him for doing it, I wish he had added up all of the debt that we are still owed on them

bonds compared to the 20 million, 21 million we are borrowing, but the problem is when we borrowed that 72, 73 million way back when our rating was good, now we are below junk bond. You are not going to get a good rating, we know this. That 9.8 that we borrowed or 8.9 was it, that's what we are looking at, maybe even higher now as the debt keeps accumulating.

I applaud you for what you did. I did like -- I listened to it very good.

That's why I wanted to know what portion of the debt we were paying because I knew that debt. When we borrowed anything usually you can double it and that's what the poor people have to pay. I don't care how politicians like to say it, we are borrowing 20 million, but we are paying back 40 million and that's how it works. That's why we are where we are today. Nobody ever looked at tomorrow. Everything was today.

As I told you before, parties run like contracts and it's too bad the system is that way. Scranton is suffering from politics. That's what destroying the city

and I ain't going to bring this up. I keep bringing it up every time, but so people know that we are not paying off them debts we are borrowing more money to pay off like a Ponzi, we are going to keep borrowing to pay off money back along and eventually everything goes down. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just wanted to state though in addition to that, and I think Mr. Sbaraglia might have touched on this, in addition to the refinancing of the bond payments, the city will also be paying the remainder of it's 2012 accounts, bills that have not been paid to this point in the year.

I think though what's important to also consider, ladies and gentlemen, is this. You know, I agree with what Mr. Sbaraglia says and that's why I didn't approve borrowing all those years and everyone most that. I felt that it should only be utilized when it's absolutely necessary and in cases of emergency, which this is, and we are faced with a choice of these unfunded debt borrows or allowing the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the tax increases astronomically and at the same time your services are going to be cut in half so for the people who are, for example, very upset about the brownouts of their fire stations, well, that situation will look palatable compared to what would happen because you would be cutting the fire department, the police department, and the DPW at least by 50 percent, probably more.

And if you read about cities where, you know, these bankruptcies have occurred crime has run out of control in those cities for a period of several years afterwards because public services were so -- were cut so deeply.

city to go under, which will then drive up

So that's what you have to consider here when we are talking about this type of borrowing. Do we borrow to keep the city going and extend that out over ten years or do we throw our hands up and say, "I give up, can't keep borrowing and paying off borrowing," but you have to be prepared then for very big, very, very big tax increases and to lose what you now enjoy as the

Scranton Police Department, the Scranton

Fire Department and the Scranton Department

of Public Works.

Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening once again, I have one question, I understand about the emergency and so forth, as egregious as it is it hasn't been done by the council up here and I still support council that exists right now, but can any of this borrowed money be redirected to any other pet projects, and that's mainly a question for Attorney Hughes? In other words, can we say it's for "A" and we really have "B" in the back of our minds somewhere? Can any of that -- and I'm not considering council, but --

MS. EVANS: I know.

MR. DOBRZYN: But the administration has been known over the years to just do what they want.

MS. EVANS: No, the money is committed. In terms of the bond payments, those funds were, you know, placed into a sinking fund to make those payments each

year in 2013 and 2014 as they often do, and as for the remainder of it, it will be used to pay the outstanding bills of the city right now, and there are very many. For example, \$1.5 million has to be repaid to the Workers' Comp Fund. Health care has to be paid. There are -- there are just so many. Mr. Joyce, if you want to jump in and expand on it?

MR. JOYCE: There is the money to the Parking Authority, there is the DCED loan payment from the state, I believe Mrs. Evans mentioned the Workers' Comp Fund, so we are up to a couple of million dollars there. I do have a list on my computer, I don't know the rest off the top of my head.

MR. DOBRZYN: Right, they were somewhere around \$5 million right there.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, we have three payrolls left, that's roughly another \$3.7 million, so these are the things that will be paid out of this money.

MR. DOBRZYN: Right. And I just have a little comment on DPW, it's time that after all of this overwhelming stuff is done

we start to straighten things out with an increase in the trash, especially for nonprofits that use the trash, and also today on Moosic Street our fine utility companies have about a dozen excavations.

Now, that's not our street, but it was one year ago today that it was completed and that's where I think a lot of other money goes and they are externalizing their liabilities. They just pass whatever they want and despite the fact that we are spending tons of money every year the streets just get worse and worse and we can't keep up with it because they do.

Mr. Jackowitz, an associate of his from work, I bumped into him at work and --

MS. EVANS: Well, actually,

Mr. Dobrzyn, we have to stay on the topic of the three pieces of legislation.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, well, he had a gas leak and instead of fixing the whole street, which you could still smell gas afterwards, they fixed one at a time so they tint have to repave the street. There is where our money goes. Thank you. I'm sorry

about that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I apologize, I know I was probably on the sheet earlier, had another commitment, running a little late tonight, but obviously we know the situation we are in, we know this is an emergency situation, and anyone that's paid close attention certainly knows the procedure on emergency certificate legislation. We need to act now and certainly no one ever wants to borrow, it's always the last resort. As Mrs. Evans stated moments ago, it's something that came before council in the past and you objected to it.

But today after a decade of fiscal mismanagement by the administration, reckless borrowing and spending policies that's put us in the position we are in at this point in time, and not doing this tonight or at this point in time is going to cause us to have a future that doesn't look

too bright. You know, we talked about having a tomorrow. If we don't make this decision there won't be a tomorrow. I'm someone of the next generation in this city I don't plan on going anywhere any time soon. I believe in the city and I believe we can turn this city around and I know it's going to be take a lot of time. This mayor really put us in some mess, I'll tell you, and a lot of people doubt we could ever come out of the hole, but if we just throw in the white towel and we give up and we don't act we won't come out of the hole.

This council has been put in a tough position. You have been cleaning up for him, nobody else, because now it's in your lap. We dealt with rubber stamp councils who rubber stamped all of these policies, turned a blind eye to all of the spending and we wonder why we are in debt. We wonder why consistently year after year bills continue to pile up and all continues to escalate because past councils never did anything to stop it and now you have to do something to stop it and you have, you've

pulled the purse strings, you've cut fat, but there is only so much you can cut.

We consistently hear each year during this time of year that we need to make cuts. Eventually, it's going to come time where we are going to need to cut into the essential services, public safety, and I don't think we want to see that happen. You know, I have been coming up here week after week disgusted that Engine 7 in West Side has been closed because of the failed policies of this administration they let that happen and they haven't taken our public safety seriously.

Well, if we think that's a problem now that's nothing compared to what's going to happen where there will be less police officers on the street, there will be less firemen on the street and we'll have crime rates like Wilkes-Barre, and I don't want to be critical of Wilkes-Barre, but I don't think we want to be in that position where you are afraid to go outside at night or you can't leave your car unlocked. Those aren't positions we want to be in.

We need to act now and, you know, I commend you for the responsibility you have taken, it's not easy raising taxes and borrowing, putting budgets together, but if we don't do this you are looking at bankruptcy, and we have been told we should consider bankruptcy. That's not what we want. We come up here and we whine and cry that we don't want our taxes raised, but when you come up here at the same time and you talk about bankruptcy you are asking for tax increases beyond your wildest imagination, and I don't think we want that because when that happens we have no say over that.

We have a say right now and we have a chance to turn the city around and if we don't make these decisions now we'll never have a say, so I ask you to stay the course and continue what you are doing. You know, Councilman Joyce we have a tremendous Finance Chair when it comes to budgets, when it comes to numbers I put him up against anybody any day of the week and anyone who thinks they can challenge him on numbers or

budgets has been misinformed.

Mrs. Evans, the leadership as council president that you put forth continue what you are doing. Mr. Loscombe, your expertise on public safety leading us down the right path. Keep up the good work and ignore the criticism because you are doing the right thing. If people thought it was easy as they think it is then may they should try to give it a shot and sit up where you are because it's not. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.

FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON

FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

65, 2012 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.

6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED)

IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES

ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE

WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND

REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE

PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND

IMPOSING DUTIES UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND

PROVIDING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE

OF THE REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND NINE 1 TENTHS PERCENT (2.9%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2013. 2 3 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 4 Committee on Finance? 5 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 6 7 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 8 passage of Item 7-A. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? 11 MR. JOYCE: Tonight we are 12 introducing -- or sorry, not introducing, we are taking our final vote on a number of the 13 14 Usually these are implemented or are voted on in the beginning of the year. 15 16 However, this year we have a different 17 scenario. Taxes are being introduced and 18 the final vote is being taken before 19 December 10 to justify to the Court of 20 Common Pleas that we are, in fact, 21 increasing other taxes in sharing the burden 22 on our own residents in an effort to 23 implement a commuter tax. 24 If we do not tax these measures now, 25 and I know it's very easy to just say, you

know, we want to wait until the beginning of the year, however, if we wait until the beginning of the year chances are we could run into a situation that makes the case for a commuter tax less to the Courts and, therefore, ends up in a situation where taxes would have to be increased higher.

MS. EVANS: In addition to that, I think we all recall last January I believe it was when council was voting on the tax millages for each one of its taxes and, of course, it was done at one of the earliest times in probably the last decade, yet the city still lost out on a tremendous chunk of revenue on the reality transfer tax from the sale of one of the hospitals.

So, you know, of course what Mr. Joyce is primary, but I believe there is justification beyond that as well and I think, you know, to say that this should not be done, you know, certainly is saying that then, well, this entire process that the city has been undergoing this year should be just tossed out the window. These are all of the steps that are necessary to get us

1	where we need to go to keep the city alive,
2	to keep the city functioning, to continue to
3	employ over 400 people and families, and to
4	be able to provide services and public
5	safety to everyone who lives in this city.
6	Roll call, please?
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
16	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
17	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
18	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 66, 2012 -
19	AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976,
20	ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING
21	A MERCANTILE
22	LICENSE TAX OF TWO MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976
23	AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS
24	ENGAGING IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND
25	BUSINESSES THEREIN; PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY

1	AND COLLECTION AND FOR THE ISSUANCE OF
2	MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND
3	IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX
4	COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON; AND
5	IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE
6	MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT ONE (1) MILL
7	(.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2013.
8	MS. EVANS: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chair for the
10	Committee on Finance?
11	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-B.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
	II

MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR 2 3 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 67, 2012 -AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 8, 1976, 4 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) 5 PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY 6 7 IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS 8 UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR 9 CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM; 10 REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE 11 TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH 12 BUSINESS: PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND 13 14 COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX: PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS: 15 CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX 16 COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY 17 18 IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF ONE (1) MILL(.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 19 20 2013. MS. EVANS: What is the 21 22 recommendation of the Chair for the 23 Committee on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the

Committee on Finance, I recommend final

24

25

9
passage of Item 7-C.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
call, please?
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
MR. JOYCE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 68, 2012 -
AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994
ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED)
AUTHORIZING THE
GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE'
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER
THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS
INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE
DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE

	34
1	DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$178.00 FOR
2	CALENDAR YEAR 2013.
3	MS. EVANS: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chair for the
5	Committee on Finance?
6	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-D.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
23	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 69, 2012 -
24	AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007
25	ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE RENAMING THE

	93
1	EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX
2	("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY
3	IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE
4	CALENDAR YEAR 2013.
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-E.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
19	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR
25	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 47, 2012 - ACCEPTING

1	A SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY (\$750.00) DOLLAR
2	DONATION FROM SANOFI PASTEUR PRESENTED TO
3	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
4	MS. EVANS: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chair for the
6	Committee on Finance?
7	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-F.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question?
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'd just like to
13	thank Sanofi Pasteur for their donation to
14	the Scranton Fire Department.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll second that.
16	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR 2 3 ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 48, 2012 -APPOINTING MARY ANN WARDELL, 629 DEPOT 4 STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A 5 MEMBER OF THE ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF 6 7 SCRANTON. MRS WARDELL WILL FILL THE 8 UNEXPIRED TERM OF JIM WILLIAMS, WHO PASSED 9 AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2012. MRS. WARDELL'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 1, 2013. 10 MS. EVANS: As Chair for the 11 12 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 13 passage of Item 7-G. 14 MR. JOYCE: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, just for the 17 record, Mrs. Wardell had a resume on file 18 from previous experience with council and 19 that was placed with her letter of intent 20 for interest in the position. 21 MS. EVANS: And we all wish 22 Mrs. Wardell a very successful productive, 23 term on the zoning board. 24 Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

25

1 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 4 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 8 Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted. 9 MR. HUGHES: 7-H, FORMERLY 6-A, AS AMENDED. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMIT EE 10 ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL 11 NO. 71, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF 12 13 SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, 14 SETTING FORTH ITS INTENT TO ISSUE ONE OR MORE SERIES OF FEDERALLY TAXABLE AND/OR TAX 15 EXEMPT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR NOTES OF 16 17 THE CITY IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT 18 NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY MILLION NINE HUNDRED 19 TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$20,910,000) (COLLECTIVELY, THE "BONDS") PURSUANT TO THE 20 ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE 21 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PA.C.S. 53, 22 23 CHAPTERS 80-82, AS AMENDED, KNOWN AS THE 24 LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT (THE "ACT"); FINDING THAT A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION 25

23

24

25

IS IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE CITY: DETERMINING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL EVIDENCE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE CITY: SPECIFYING THAT SUCH INDEBTEDNESS TO BE INCURRED TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR A CERTAIN PROJECT OF THE CITY CONSISTING OF ALL OR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) FUNDING UNFUNDED DEBT OF THE CITY; (2) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES A OF 2003; (3) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; (4) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003; (5) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AND (6) FUNDING NECESSARY RESERVES AND PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE REASONABLE ESTIMATED USEFUL LIVES OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE FINANCED AND REFINANCED BY THE BONDS: ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR THE PURCHASE OR ARRANGEMENT OF THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF SUCH BONDS AT

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION TO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYERS AND/OR ACCREDITED INVESTORS; PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL CONSTITUTE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE CITY; FIXING THE DENOMINATIONS, SERIES DESIGNATIONS, DATED DATE, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, MATURITY DATES, INTEREST RATES, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, OPTIONAL AND MANDATORY REDEMPTION PROVISIONS (IF APPLICABLE) AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS: AUTHORIZING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO CONTRACT WITH THE PAYING AGENT FOR ITS SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS: SETTING FORTH THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM OF THE BONDS EVIDENCING THE DEBT; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND ATTESTATION OF SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO DEBT SERVICE APPLICABLE TO SUCH BONDS TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE CITY IN SUPPORT THEREOF; CREATING A SINKING FUND FOR EACH SERIES OF BONDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH SERIES OF BONDS, TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT;

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DESIGNATING THE PAYING AGENT TO BE THE SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY: PROVIDING A COVENANT TO INSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT FOR SUCH BONDS WHEN DUE: SETTING FORTH REGISTRATION AND TRANSFER PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATION OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROJECT AND THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DO, TO TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED. REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS TO EFFECT THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE, AND THE FILING OF SPECIFIED DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ALL AS REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DECLARING THAT THE DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY SUCH BONDS, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY, WILL NOT BE

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN EXCESS OF ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY THE ACT: AUTHORIZING PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DELIVER THE BONDS UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: IF APPLICABLE, SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS PRECLUDING THE CITY FROM TAKING ACTIONS WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE BONDS TO BECOME "ARBITRAGE BONDS" OR "PRIVATE ACTIVITY BONDS, " AS THOSE TERMS ARE USED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER: AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE AND COVENANTING TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, IF APPLICABLE; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENT NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS; APPROVING THE FORM OF AND RATIFYING THE PREPARATION. USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM AND A PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM BY THE PURCHASER OR PLACEMENT AGENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE

1	MARKETING OF THE BONDS; AUTHORIZING AND
2	DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, EXECUTION AND
3	DELIVERY OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS AND
4	THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED ACTION;
5	PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME
6	EFFECTIVE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF
7	PROVISIONS; AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR
8	PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME
9	SHALL BE INCONSISTENT HEREWITH.
10	MS. EVANS: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-H.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: As amended.
18	MR. JOYCE: As amended.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

1 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 3 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-H, as amended, legally and lawfully 4 5 adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-I, FORMERLY 6-B -FOR 6 7 CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE = 8 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 72, 2012 9 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO LEVY A REAL 10 ESTATE TAX MILLAGE INCREASE FOR A PERIOD OF 11 12 (10) YEARS DEDICATED TO RETIRING THE UNFUNDED DEBT INCURRED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2012 13 14 IN THE AMOUNT OF NINE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,750,000.00) AND 15 16 DIRECTING THE CITY TREASURER TO SEPARATE THE 17 PROPER PORTION OF THE REAL ESTATE TAXES 18 RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE DURING 19 SUCH TEN (10) YEAR PERIOD AND FORWARD SAME TO A SEPARATE ACCOUNT TO SERVICE AND RETIRE 20 21 THE UNFUNDED DEBT. 22 MS. EVANS: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chair for the 24 Committee on Finance? 25 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the

1 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 2 passage of Item 7-B. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 4 5 call, please? MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 11 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 14 Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-J, FORMERLY 6-C, FOR 15 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -16 17 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 73, 2012 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 - AN 18 ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING 19 20 BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$150,000.00 21 FROM VARIOUS ACCOUNT NUMBERS LISTED BELOW TO 22 ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 23 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES - OPR TSF DEBT 24 SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE SCRANTON PARKING 25

	106
1	AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE DECEMBER 1, 2012.
2	EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED.
3	MS. EVANS: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chair for the
5	Committee on Finance?
6	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-J.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
17	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
19	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
21	If there is no further business,
22	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
23	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
24	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
25	adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER