		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, October 25, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT ROBERT MCGOFF PAT ROGAN JOHN LOSCOMBE NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S
16	REPORT, RESULTS FROM SEPTEMBER 19, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
20	REPORT, APPEAL HEARINGS FROM OCTOBER 17,
21	2012.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
25	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION MEETINGS HELD AUGUST

1	22ND AND SEPTEMBER 26TH OF 2012.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
5	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD'S REGULAR MEETING
6	HELD SEPTEMBER 26, 2012.
7	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
10	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD OCTOBER 24,
11	2012.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
15	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
16	DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION RECEIVED OCTOBER 15,
17	2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	Do we clerk's notes tonight, Mrs.
21	Krake?
22	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
24	council members have announcements at this
25	time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have one. The West Side Falcon's youth organization will present it's first annual Night at the Races this Friday, October 26, tomorrow evening, at Holy Rosary Hall in North Scranton. Enjoy the fun, buffet, beer, beverages and snacks included. The doors open at 6:00 p.m., buffet starts at 6:30, races begin at 7:00 and tickets are \$15 per person. For more information you can visit the west website www.falcons.com for more contact info. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know if you

saw, we received a note from Mr. Beasley at

PPL and it was kind of a caution for next

week with basically says that potential from

Hurricane Sandy is for damage and power

outages and PPL has activated an emergency

personnel that there be additional people in

the area should there would from power

outages during the storm.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

motion to amend the CDBG allocations. I'd like to thank everyone for their input as we put this together, and I just wanted to announce it now in case any of the residents in attendance had any questions prior to -- before the vote. The changes are, I'm going to read them off, two sections, the first one is the general funded items and the second would be the ones that are listed as public service. You know, the two funding sources there is only a certain amount you can use for public service.

So the reductions are the City of Scranton OECD, administration costs of the CDBG program, will be reduced by \$55,000. Sidewalk repairs for the Boys and Girls Club will be reduced by \$32,000, and City of Scranton Parks and Recreation plans for splash pads/splash parks will be reduced by \$300,000.

The additions will be to the Lackawanna Neighbors for housing rehabilitation \$32,000. Scranton Tomorrow master business plan \$10,000, and City of Scranton DPW road paving \$345,000.

The changes to the public service portion of the funding would be, the reductions would be the United Neighborhood Centers SCOLA Program, \$10,000, and the Deutsch Institute recreation activities by \$5,000.

The offsetting increases will be for EOTC, the adult literacy program, increased by \$5,000, and the Neighbor Works PA Home Ownership Program will be increased by \$10,000.

And that is all for now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I'd like to acknowledge and thank Mr. Tom Welby for manning the ECTV camera this evening and making this broadcast possible.

The 2012 election is fast approaching. As you go to the polls on November 6, know that you do not need a vote photo ID to vote in this election. Please be sure to vote.

The Pancreatic Cancer Action Network will hold it's Cheers to Hope fundraiser on Sunday, November 4 from 5 to 9 p.m. at the Radisson Lackawanna Station Hotel in

Scranton. A suggested donation is \$35.

Live entertainment includes the Fab 3, the

East Coast Trio, Angelina and the Cue Balls.

2012 Honorees are Bill Shacowski, Tammy

Saunders and former mayor James Connors.

Please support this worthy cause. And

that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGALIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, in our Sunday paper there was a editorial about the commuter tax where our dear newspaper decided it was a bad idea, but I'd like to say a little on the reasons they gave. It says, "Council has failed to demonstrate seriousness after resisting reforms for decades."

You have been there for two years.

That decade he is referring to was way back in the beginning, you are right, that council is where we are today causing all the problems. I wish they gave the names of

that council so people could actually see who it was.

Okay. They also passed a budget two years ago that reduced taxes amid calamity."

We all know what that calamity was, you had no way of knowing exactly what was happening with the city budget. There was no audit, you voted on a tax decrease without an audit, I can't understand that, but who do you blame for us not having an audit at the proper time? The administration. So whatever you want to say they caused the calamity.

Now, let's go down their number two, "Rather than striving to cut costs that are driving the city problems, the government approved new contracts with the public safety employees to 2017."

They don't put in that article that they paid \$50 million to get that contract. Nobody gives up \$15 million like they did without some guarantee, obviously, that's the reason they got the contract, whatever money they may see, that's what it looks like and it probably is true, so they paid

for their contract.

"Council accelerated the crises by deliberately forcing a default this summer on the Scranton Parking Authority bond.

Commuters who work in downtown pay as much as \$1,200 to park in SPA garages, they shouldn't have to bear further costs of council."

They use the word misfeasance. They claim that what you did was legal, but it wasn't right. How many days did I sit out in the cold waiting to get to our dear council meetings? How many days did I pass through metal detectors so I could speak on what was happening on the city? If there was any misfeasance it should have been with the Scranton Times for not reporting it at that time.

Let's go onto another, "According to the Pennsylvania Economy League, more than 70 percent of the city property owners pay less than \$500 in property taxes. A commuter earning \$50,000 would pay more in taxes than most city property owners."

That may be true. I won't say it's

this or that, but I was glad to pay because my wage tax was high long before you even thought about a commuter tax to keep my grandmothers in their homes, my mothers in their homes without being burdened by high taxes. That is the reason why we did that. Nobody ever come to you and cried about paying the wage tax, and the reason we didn't cry about it because the property tax were kept low for our mothers and grandmothers.

Okay, "The premise that the tax merely covers the service burden," forget about that, that's ridiculous. I mean, we know that somebody drives to the city goes to work, they do the work, I mean, their company pays for taxes, they pay for lighting, they pay for this and that and they get the heck out of the city, so that is not it.

"Council wants to shake down commuters after refusing to participate in the suburban governments in joint planning and failing to pursue consolidated services."

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

That's a stupid plan, again, fall in love with the Abingtons. Why they don't get that I'll never know. That's ridiculous. If we want to go somewhere let us stretch our hands to our communities that surround I go from one street, I'm in Taylor. I go from another street, I am in Dunmore. go from another street up in Taylor. is where we should be stretching our hands, not over the money. I mean, all they want to do is set up so they stay clean air and we get all the garbage down there.

In fact, the paper ran up there, too, and they said come off with a bad part about it, bear the costs of the bad decision would not be able to vote against the people who taxed them. Well, I can understand I hate to see anybody taxed without being able to come here and say "I voted for you" or "I didn't vote for you."

we deserve for not paying more attention to what was happening. Thank you.

I believe what happens to us is what

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city residents and homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Last week Mr.

Sbaraglia was talking about the commuter tax and asking if federal judges would be exempt or the local judges would be exempt, I don't know why anyone would be exempt if they live out of the city and you work here it doesn't matter if you are a federal judge or whatever, you should be paying a tax.

Now, I don't know if council looked into that, if Attorney Hughes would know, would these judges be exempt or is that a perk of being a federal judge or do they have to pay the tax?

MS. EVANS: Well, it appears from at least what we read in a newspaper article that no one is exempt.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. A bunch of streetlights are out. On the Linden Street Bridge I have them listed and I'll give them to Ms. Marciano, the Linden Street Bridge in the in-bound lane, that whole lane

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the lights are out, and on the McDade

Expressway, this has happened before from

Seventh Avenue up to Main Avenue, those are
all out again. I think they were out once
and I think they fixed them and I don't know
if it's a line or I didn't think all of the
bulbs can be burned out at one time. So,
like I said, from Seventh Avenue up to Main

Avenue. I'll give them to Ms. Marciano.

Moving on, it was nice to see in the paper the other day that the city received \$11.3 million. I hope that will take care of things for awhile, but you know what, we can't count on borrowing. That's what got us into this problem in the first place. We have to start bringing in different revenue And I have to talk about what Bob sources. Bolus has spoken about for years and nobody has listened, he brings up that leachate line that runs through the city and, like he said, you can put a 1 percent fee on that and he has brought this up for years and years and years and nobody has looked into it, I don't know why. It's a good revenue I give the credit to Mr. Bolus for source.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_ '

25

bringing it up. I think we should definitely look into that.

And one thing I mentioned last week was the Electric City sign, I think by not having it light all the time I think we could save a lot of money. I don't know why that sign has to be lit all night, who is going to see it at three or four in the morning? Put it on a timer to go when the sun goes down and have it shut off at midnight or whatever or just have it lit on special occasions. Why does it have to be lit all through the night? It doesn't make I would love to know what the anv sense. electric bill is for that a month, too, if council could look into that. I mean, we could save a lot of -- I don't know why it has to be lit all through the night and I just think it's a waste of money. That sign must use a lot of electricity.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, if you would give that suggestion, also, to Ms. Marci ano.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I don't have that in writing.

MS. EVANS: Well, we can give you 1 time and I'll give you a pen and --2 3 MR. SPINDLER: I have a pen, and there is something else, I'll write down a 4 5 couple of things now and I'll give them to Ms. Marciano. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Great. 8 MR. SPINDLER: Thank you for your 9 time. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 11 MR. SPINDLER: That's all I have 12 tonight. MR. JOYCE: 13 Thank you. 14 MS. EVANS: Bob Bolus. MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. 15 16 Bob Bolus, Scranton. 17 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 18 MR. BOLUS: I've got several 19 questions tonight and hopefully we can get some answers. You know, I went by the old 20 21 Ice Box or whatever it's called now, the new 22 Turkey Hill out front, has anything or any 23 consideration been made to terminate that 24 agreement with them down there where we get 25 a \$1 a year that the mayor put this stupid

agreement together? Does anybody considered filing official legislation to amend that agreement down there so we can actually get the money we are owed instead of these people being unjustly enriched at our expense while we are the laughing stock of the country? You watch that down there every day while they can lease and they can develop and they can make money, and the next question, is that a tax exempt property down this? Does anybody know if they -- that's a KOZ or nonprofit?

MS. EVANS: I believe that it was.

MR. HUGHES: It still is. It's a KOZ zone, it was given KOZ status on the basis that when it was declared a KOZ there was supposed to be a grant, there was supposed to be a bank administration office in there this would be employ 600 people, there were other things that were stated in that to get a KOZ status and there is a Turkey Hill there.

This is up at the solicitor's department. I have been looking into it. I intend to go over it with Paul Kelly, I know

he did express some concern about it, also.

So I've looked at it and I will be discussing it with Paul Kelly.

MR. BOLUS: Thank you. Because, you know, we drive and we see more and more going on at our expenses, you know, it gets ridiculous and I hope Paul Kelly finally gets in here and does something for our benefit than mostly for the benefit of others.

I have been to commissioner's meetings throughout the county, attending them, and what puzzled me is why I didn't see any council members or more people out there coming to the meetings or coming to council meetings. Everybody is standing back and yapping about taxes being put on them. You know, the commissioners asked a question, you know, if you have suggestions, you know, give them to us. No more than council, if you have a suggestion, bring them to us.

You know, council or the commissioners they are not Gods, they are all knowing of everything, and people have

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggestions, but don't sit home and hide from them and come out bitching about them later on, when you can come here and maybe make a difference by actually coming before a council or the commissioners and expressing your ideas.

Everybody has an idea, you know, come forward. This is our community, not just Scranton because now we are going to suffer even more. We are suffering now in Scranton, now we are going to suffer because we live in Lackawanna County, it's getting to be an absurdity at the expense of the people. And I looked and I asked them questions, which I raised, you know, as a business in the county here why would I want to expand, why would I even want to bring anything here when all I do is just keep looking at more and more taxes and sit around and watch Scranton here with their nonprofits, making millions and millions of dollars, spending millions, taking land off the tax rolls, like the University, like the leachate line that comes here.

Now, the landfill is taking in 1,000

the original contract, but somehow it just disappeared that you can't find it here to review, the original contract that governed what the leachate line was about.

You could assess a fee as a host community on that leachate line and you could bring in millions and millions of dollars. It's time to do something about it. These are suggestions, but nobody takes them anywhere because they are being

tons of Marcellus shale a day and they are

treating it, now they are going to go to

2,000 tons a day not counting the garbage

that goes in there, and that leachate is

treats it.

coming through to the Sewer Authority which

I have said time and time again,

You know, we have talked about rubber stampers and what went on and, of course, they were controlled, bought and paid for and it made a big difference in where the city got there. It just didn't get there, it's been a long, long snowball

controlled by the big bullies with money,

and that's what wrong with our city.

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

over the hill for many, many years.

But you have assets out there, and I have spoken and I have requested, I have not yet seen a legal document that's been presented that disputes anything I have said here or other citizens. You could put a fee on a University, you can't tax them, as the commissioners say, you cannot put a tax on them, but you can raise and put a fee on it no more than you put a fee, and I say you, I say the administration and councils of the past, put a garbage fee on us, we pay taxes to get our garbage dumped, yet you put a fee on us and have no qualms about it, that was taxation without representation.

Now, why is it that everybody is so scared and intimidated because of a political power that goes on to put a fee that would go against everybody in the community, not just the University or the other nonprofits, but everybody. Think of the millions you have and then you ignore all of that, constantly, constantly ignore it for whatever the political reasons or whatever the personal reasons.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

You got to remember you represent the people in the community and you want to put this commuter tax on. That's a nail in our coffin because I don't think anybody in an outlying community out there should have to pay for the stupidity and the fiscal mismanagement we in the city allowed to happen and penalize them to come in here and pay for what me squandered, millions from the golf course, we didn't have a pool open. It was squandered. It was there, but it was squandered, mismanaged. Nay Aug, I could go on and on and on mismanagement. what's going on in this community.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: When does is council or this administration finally going to do something about the fiscal mismanagement and not penalize the people in outlying communities who come here. You expect a business to take an employee to do the financial responsibility for the city to collect the money at their expense? I don't think so and I don't think they should.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- -

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Joan Hodowantz, and I apologize if I have incorrectly pronounced that.

MS. HODOWANTZ: One of these days I will change that name. My name Is Joan Hodowantz, and I'm a Scranton taxpayer. Mrs. Evans, I thank you for your time to respond to the e-mail I sent you last week and asking you the questions that I asked yesterday and at the county commissioner's meeting and that is the churches and schools that the Diocese of Scranton closed, and I do mean closed, not periodic worship sites, have they been placed on the tax rolls? the answer to given to me by the commissioners was, "We don't know, we will get to it, but we are kind of thinking that maybe they get taxed once they are sold."

Now, have you any idea how long it's going to be before some of these properties are sold? I will be long dead, but I did some research on the Internet and lo and behold I found out that Luzerne County has

been taxing closed churches and schools and rectories and parking lots for the last year or two. The times Leader, November 2009, "Closed Churches to be Taxed."

The Standard Speaker, let's see,

June 2010, "Diocese of Scranton Receives Tax

Assessments on Four Former Hazel Township

Worship Sites."

June 2011, "Luzerne County Begins
Taxing Some Church Properties."

Also June of 2011, the National Catholic Reporter, "The Taxman Cometh.

Scranton Diocese to Pay Taxes on Closed Churches."

All through these articles you will find references to the Diocese of Allentown being taxed by North Hampton and Carbon Counties. Now, I think that -- I understand from reading these that the Diocese is not a happy camper and it's challenging if not the tax at all they are challenging the assessments they think they are too high, but it brings me back to my basic question, are the churches and schools and rectories and parking lots that are no longer serving

a religious function in Scranton proper and in Lackawanna County overall are they on the tax rolls?

Now, I went on the county's website trying to find St. John the Evangelist Church and I couldn't find it, so I suspect they are not, and I'm just wondering are we passing up a potential significant revenue stream that Luzerne and North Hampton and Carbon Counties are tapping into and we are not? I just -- I think this deserves to be looked into, you know, I mean, I'm certainly not a tax lawyer, I took maybe one or two tax courses in my career, but this question needs to be asked especially if our neighboring county is sending out tax bills.

I e-mailed the bishop and his financial advisor and I haven't gotten a response, so I do not intend to drop this. I intend to keep researching this and finding out what's going on. If somebody can show me where it's written that they are exempt until they are sold I'll keep quiet, but if not, I want them on the tax rolls and if they are -- if we are owed interest and

penalties I want every dime of it, too. I have been paying taxes since 1967 and if I can arrange to pay my taxes, the Dioceses can, also. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If I could just to comment on that, the rule generally is as long as the building is vacant for one year it's not put back on the assessment rolls, however, any time once the year is up and it's not sold it can then be assessed because it's a vacant building and it's no longer used for church purposes. This is not a council issue.

MS. HODOWANTZ: No.

MR. HUGHES: This is an issue with the Lackawanna County Assessor's Office --

 $\label{eq:ms.hodowantz:} \text{MS. HODOWANTZ:} \quad \text{And that's where I} \\ \text{was yesterday.} \\$ 

MR. HUGHES: They are the ones that have to go out and they are the ones that have to go out and assess the properties, be it a school, be it a church, no matter what denomination, it's up to them and that's

where it goes. If the commissioners say
they don't know what's going on, it's up to
them to find out from the Assessor's Office
where it is.

MS. HODOWANTZ: Well, that's what they said they were going to do, but I thought you should know because this could be a potential significant stream of revenue if they need to -- because, like I said St. John Evangelist on Pittston Avenue that closed in June of 2010, okay, and that's over two years so I'm sure -- I also went on the Diocese website and looked at their list of closed churches, do you know how many churches in Scranton have closed since 2004?

MS. EVANS: No.

MS. HODOWANTZ: Eleven. Since 2009, five, okay, and I'm wondering how many of them have been closed over one year and never been opened again. I'm not talking worship sites, I'm talking closed churches.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HODOWANTZ: Anybody got any idea how much Bishop Hannon should be assessed for? I walk past that every day because I

live at the Forum, and believe me, that's 1 closed. 2 3 MS. EVANS: It's been closed many 4 years. 5 MR. JOYCE: And thank you for your time, and I did receive your e-mail and I 6 also sent a letter to our Tax Collector Bill 7 8 Courtright seeing if he knew anything 9 additional about any revenue being collected 10 from churches that are no longer being used 11 as a place of worship. 12 MS. HODOWANTZ: And schools. 13 MR. JOYCE: And schools. 14 MS. HODOWANTZ: And parking lots and social halls. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Could we send a letter to the County Assessor? 17 MS. KRAKE: I would like to mention, 18 19 Mrs. Evans, that last summer we actually --20 I actually had a meeting in our office with 21 the Assessor's Office and two of the 22 gentlemen that do the assessment and at that 23 time they are going to go out and look at 24 all of the properties in the city, also our 25 city planner was there, and we have not

heard back, but I know that you had directed us to do that and --

MS. EVANS: Yes, and that's why I would like a letter sent to the Assessor's Office requesting the assessments of all of those properties, churches, parking lots, social halls, schools. Thank you. Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie

Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers' Association.

Attorney Hughes, I really appreciate the work you are doing on the recovery plan and it shows here at every meeting, you know, how much talent that you have in government, you know, and when I saw where you were trying to get paid above and beyond your contract and they started throwing everything at you but the kitchen sink.

MR. HUGHES: Thanks for that, too, Ozzie.

MR. QUINN: Well, that, too, but yet down the street Attorney Carl Greco, who was a neighbor of the Lynetts, the Dohertys, okay, he has made -- they made him a millionaire at OECD as the solicitor and one

time they wouldn't accept what he -- what was above and beyond his contract so he filed for Court, of course they didn't file any defense against it, so no one contested, so he just got the money, you know? So it's not what you know, but who you know here. A million dollars that's lot of money to make around here.

You know, I look out on the website, the mayor's website and he says the major construction he spent \$115 million infrastructure improvements, \$68 million, downtown revitalization \$26.8, Nay Aug Park \$1.9, neighborhood parks revitalization \$2.25 million, miscellaneous proposed projects 44 million for a grand total of \$214.4 million. That's a lot of money going out the front door, you know, since when, 2000 and -- most of all of these debts we heard last week 2003 and 2008 the councils were rubber stamping and everything, you know?

Now, Mr. Rogan, I don't see anything in here on Community Development Block Grant for housing rehabilitation. When this --7-B

was introduced, okay, I spoke, I don't know if you were here or not, about the lack of housing rehabilitation monies CDBG and I gave an e-mail, a copy of an e-mail to Jamie in regards from the OECD Director Linda Aebli to the effect that she said that there was no money spent, the Doherty administration doesn't spend money, CDBG money, on housing rehabilitation.

Now, you got brownouts in the neighborhood, you got potholes in the neighborhood and you got the curbs falling apart, the housing falling apart. You know, and from what I read tonight I propose and I recommended that you try to get a consultant on board at OECD to put together an OECD housing rehabilitation program.

Now, I don't want be to be fed the line, I have been around too long, about the HOME Program and about Lackawanna Neighbors, okay? Now, I mean, a good comprehensive neighborhood program, like, block by block all through because if we don't pretty soon the housing value in the city is going to be so that people won't -- they will all be

going down to the fee assessor's office, yet
I see that you haven't put anything in there
for housing rehabilitation? What was the
reasons that Ms. Aebli gave you?

MR. ROGAN: I spoke to Ms. Aebli through e-mail and a phone and actually we asked this question I believe it was three or four weeks ago right here during a caucus and what she brought up was, you know, the use of the funds. She brought up, and I know you are not going to like this answer, that the housing rehabilitation has been through Lackawanna Neighbors and through basically their outsourcing it, so to say. For them to do a consultant that would be an administrative decision on their part if they want to.

MR. QUINN: Well, you should make a recommendation because what you are doing here is you're letting this city fall apart. There is no -- people are not making money in this city and they need the loans, small interest loans or grants to help them to put a roof, maybe to put some siding or put their porches up on the thing, my God, you

know that, you drive around Scranton. Don't let her kid you. She doesn't know what the heck she is talking about. She got \$11 million in an audit that's hanging over her head and she speaks -- she speaks -- no, with a forked tongue. She speaks who is paying her and I know who is paying her, okay?

So she is a nice lady and all of that and I've got all of the respect in the world for her, but we are not doing the right thing for the people City of Scranton. You see all of this money, I don't know, for instance, \$16 million went into the Southern Union headquarters, \$16 million because they are going to get the national headquarters here, we got zero, okay?

Now, just think what would that would have done if put that into rehabilitation and the housing. We got to start looking at it. We got to get to the back porch of things, not just the front porch, okay? Thank you very much and I hope I wasn't a wise guy to you, but you k now --

MR. ROGAN: No, I agree with you.

MR. QUINN: I hope and wish you would send a letter to the mayor and tell him to, please, he should know, he is the mayor for ten years, what other cities are doing. He has got his head under the covers. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you and,

Mr. Quinn, I certainly agree with you, just

by walking through a neighborhood --

MR. QUINN: Yes, you agree with me, but you are my councilman, we elected you, and I expect something to be done.

MR. ROGAN: Well, as far a position being created that can only be done by the administration. They receive, and I know Ms. Aebli wanted 20 percent of the funding of CDBG to go for administration. We geared it back to \$17.5 and I think that's --

MR. QUINN: Would you call meeting here with HUD or the program director of HUD, CDBG and the mayor and discuss an issue like this?

MR. ROGAN: I would love to have the mayor in here to discuss that issue.

MR. QUINN: Well, I would -- I don't

know, you are the councilman. You should call that meeting. It shouldn't be just left hanging out there and next year we are going to be here in October and we're going to be talking about the same thing and it's going to be houses shot down again and brownouts and there will be streets falling apart and everything.

 $\label{eq:mr.make} \text{MR. ROGAN:} \quad \text{I'll make that request} \\ \text{under motions.} \\$ 

MR. QUINN: Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add though that council did increase the allocations for acquisition, lead abatement and rehab of six blighted vacant homes in Scranton neighborhoods as well as giving funding to Neighbor Works of Northeastern, Pennsylvania, to assist city residents facing issues of mortgage delinquency and foreclosure. I know the administration and OECD had not allocated any funding toward that purpose and council funded it.

MR. QUINN: I hope you realize what I'm trying to -- about a comprehensive

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rehabilitation program.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. QUINN: I don't think the people and the council can grasp what I'm trying to say, you know? All you have to do is go down as far as Wilkes-Barre or Allentown and those cities and find out about it.

MS. EVANS: We will. Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council. Doug Miller, Scranton. Listening to Ozzie here for a few minutes and I do agree with a lot of what he said tonight, we do need to turn our attention to the neighborhoods and we have been talking about that for decades now, it's nothing new, it's an area where the administration sort of in their tenure has swept under the rug focusing on the downtown. You heard the numbers tonight from Ozzie, the millions invested in the downtown and certainly when you drive downtown and you look around you kind of ask yourself where do those millions go because it certainly doesn't look like a downtown that an investment was made, you know, and

24

25

when you drive through the neighborhoods and see the homes in deterioration, the roads in deterioration, the curbs and sidewalks or lack of curbs and sidewalks, it certainly makes you open your eyes and you certainly ask yourself a lot of questions and I'm confident with the council that we will look at all avenues to make the necessary investments and the homeowners that are struggling, struggling need help, and as a city whatever we can do to help them I'm certain that they will look at all those Obviously, our people are struggling and there are legitimate reasons as to why certain properties are in the condition they are in. People just can't afford to upkeep them and some people need a little assistance, and if we do have that ability I think we need to pursue it, but I'm hoping within the coming months and certainly years to come we will certainly focus our attention on the neighborhoods because that's where it starts in the neighborhoods and then you move forward from there.

Onto the issue that I discussed last

week dealing with public safety and Engine 7 over in West Side, just to give everybody an update, I'm sure we are all well aware it's still closed. We are now I believe two weeks from the meeting that was hold over in Keyser Valley where the chief attended and took questions from the audience. percent of the time in the last three and a half months that engine has been shut down jeopardizing the health and safety of all us throughout West Side, including those up on West Mountain, who we now there is a lack of water, and certainly God forbid there were a tragedy up there I think we would have a difficult time responding.

Last night I was made aware that there was a kitchen fire up over off of Crisp Avenue and I am told that the response time was approximately nine minutes, and I find that to be totally unacceptable and I believe that we all should find that to be unacceptable because there is no excuse for it. This goes back to the failure of the mayor and the Chief Davis and their inaction to open the station. The chief said it

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

himself, he made the decision to close the engine. Well, if he has the ability to close stations then, as I said last week, he obviously has the ability to reopen stations and there is no reason why Engine 7 should not be open. There is no justification for it.

The excuse that we are worried about two years from now with the SAFER grant, as I said last week, that's absurd. absurd to think two years from now when we need to worry about the present. Here is a perfect case in point right here, a fire last night that took nine minutes to respond to and we are going to go ahead and wait for two years from now? No, we need to worry Now. And the fact that we about today. gave 3 1/2 million back was no justification for it. Like I said last week, it's stupidity. It's stupidity on Chief Davis' part and it's stupidity on the mayor's part. We have people making these decisions who have no qualifications whatsoever to determine what stations are closed. believe the term the dartboard method has

been brought up before. That's exactly what is going on there, it's the dartboard method. Let's sit down, what one do we want to close today? Okay, let's throw the dart. Well, 88 percent of the time it's been Engine 7, so the dart seems to know where it's going.

But it's totally unacceptable and I encourage all residents in West Side to continue to pursue this issue and to hold the mayor accountable, hold the chief accountable and demand that this station is open. I'm going to continue to bring this up every week until that engine company is open because I will not live in West Side and have my life at risk or my fellow West Side neighbors lives at risk, I will not stand for it, and I expect action to be taken and I expect it to be taken today.

Onto the capital budget that was addressed last week, and we know capital budgets, they are simply wishes list and the majority of the time we usually don't realize a lot of what we have in our capital budget because it is wish list, but I just

4

5

8

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wanted to bring up one of the points that was made last week and I do agree with council's amendment to the 2013 capital budget, particularly your decision to delete the splash parks in the community, I believe it's at Novembrino Park, Capouse Avenue and there may have been one more, maybe it was only those two, but I never supported the idea of a splash park, I don't see the point of it, relevance in it. These pools were built to be just that, swimming pools. believe the children deserve the opportunity to utilize those pools, and the fact that the kids had a summer last summer in the heat and not be able to utilize those facilities I found that to be irresponsible on the administration's part due to their fiscal mismanagement and I'm hopeful that next summer the children will be able to utilize those pools and they will be open and taxpayers will get what they pay for.

And finally tonight onto the commuter tax, this seems to be a very popular issue right now, certainly the variety of the opinions, and as I have

stated before and I may sound repetitive at times, but the people need to once again know that these weren't easy decisions that were made throughout the recovery plan process. It took a lot of cooperation between the council and the mayor, cooperation that at times we didn't think we would have, but leadership was put forth and council knew that in order for us to move the city for war to take the steps necessary we had to put politics aside in the interest of the taxpayers and work cooperatively with the mayor and that's exactly what took place.

Certainly many parts of the recovery plan aren't popular and I know they are not popular with council and you had to make shows decisions. Raising taxes is not an easy decision to make, especially the year before reelection. But, as I stated, when we look at the opposition with the commuter tax I think city residents need to recall one thing by opposing the commuter tax within the city I think you are essentially asking for an additional tax increase and I

certainly don't think that that's something we want to see. So I would just ask those who are in opposition, particularly those outside of the community, it's a three-year plan, we are asking everyone to pay their fair share, you are utilizing our essential services, our roads, our bridges and our public safety, and as we like to talk about so many times here about paying your fair share that's exactly what this is. We need to be creative and we need to be innovative and it starts here. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. To begin this evening I do have some handouts for members of the council.

May I approach?

MS. EVANS: Yes, of course.

MR. HETMAN: As I believe members of council may be aware, the Lackawanna County Commissioners are in the process of

drafting, reviewing and adopting a general fund budget before the Year 2013. This evening, with the handout you have in front of you, I will explain and provide some background into two keys areas of focus that this budget seeks to address.

First, Lackawanna County currently
has an unemployment rate of 9.3 percent, one
of the highest among the counties in the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The
Lackawanna County Commissioners believe, and
I hope you will agree, that this is an
unacceptable situation for the residents and
taxpayers of Lackawanna County.

With this in mind, the Lackawanna

County Commissioners in this current budget

cycle, plan to implement a blueprint for job

creation with six key points that I will

briefly provide some information on.

First, a job creation simplified
will provide a small amount of financial
assistance to businesses that are either
looking to locate in or for existing
businesses to expand their work force in
Lackawanna County covering the last part of

that sometimes very long road in community and economic development and job creation.

Second, creation of a life sciences technology corridor you will see the county partner with the Commonwealth Medical College as well as other institutions and businesses already in Lackawanna County to attract jobs, medical, science and research and bioscience initiatives to keep, as we say, our best and brightest working right here in our communities in Lackawanna County.

Third, is community reinvestment fund. We will have all municipalities in Lackawanna County to pay for some of those small but significant upgrades in our neighborhoods, sometimes things such as parks and public recreation opportunities that increase, they may be small, but those things increase our quality of life by leaps and bounds, since I know we can all wish that for our neighborhoods.

Fourth, high tech innovation in the form of a countywide wireless network that I spoke about during my last presentation to

council which will be accessible to municipal governments as well as emergency service agencies and to private sector employers who otherwise would have to pay an astronomical amount to implement the same technology when we can save taxpayers money by replacing existing infrastructure that is paid through by the county budget in terms of county IT and wireless communication enrichment vitalization program will help attract retail businesses to downtown Scranton in partnership with the greater Scranton Chamber of Commerce.

And lastly, the infrastructure investment will continue investment to county roads and bridges in our county to help provide and pave the way to terms of the physical infrastructure for commerce to continue to grow and flourish throughout Lackawanna County.

If you flip the sheet over, you will see four key areas of money challenge that the commissioners are committed to pursuing in this budget cycle and to save money in the future budget cycles. First, the rising

cost of the prisons and the operation of the Lackawanna County Prison, which includes meeting the federal staffing mandate now in effect to continue operation lawfully at the Lackawanna County Prison.

Second, the contractual obligations in the forms of binding contracts that include a contractual wage increases with several segments of the county work force.

Third, rising health care costs, which we know are not unique to Lackawanna County, but also to the City of Scranton and also any public or private sector employer that provides health care coverage for employees.

And last, but not leas, restoring the county's bond rating, credit rating, to a level above junk bond status, and this is a particular key point. By restoring the credit rating, which includes both completing an on-time audit, for the first time in 35 years the county audit has been completed on time, and also the creation of a reserve fund, a budget surplus, that will help restore the county's credit range to

above the junk bond status, therefore, eliminating a \$1 million surveillance fee to past tied to past borrowing. Because of the county's junk bond status that fee has been paid every year, and as Commissioner Wansacz is fond of saying, the county taxpayers get nothing for that \$1 million. It's just a fee that goes to a credit rating agency in effect taking the money and burning it right in front of them, so by taking these steps now we can save that million dollars after this budget cycle for future challenges, and therefore, reduce that fee, the need to pay that fee and eliminate to pay that fee in few fewer years.

And as you can see, the bottom line of these four budget challenges to meet these in it's current budget cycle is approximately \$4.8 million. \$3.1 percent of that, \$3.1 million in that figure would be met in his current proposed budget with a proposed 4 percent property tax increase equal to 2.5 mills.

The remainder will come through a reduction in county workforce which does

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

include some layoffs as well as the elimination of vacant positions and also other budget savings that the commissioners the department of heads have worked hard to achieve.

As you can see, the commissioners are committed to not just funding these issues now and taking care of these problems, but therefore in several cases saving issues down the road from coming up that have sometimes lingered and caused budget increases, so I will available now and after the meeting and to answer any questions, field any questions from council members or the general public that they have about the county budget process. several residents come to the budget hearings that we held throughout the county last week and I know some people may not have had a chance to come out so we appreciate any questions or suggestions, as Mr. Bolus said, and we can always take whatever questions you have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: As everybody is well aware, I have been coming here a very long time and I do really appreciate every person who attends these meetings because without them there would be no dialogue between the residents of this city and it's government even though many times we get no response or no action.

But, you know, people are -- the Scranton Times, I didn't get a chance to read their article on the commuter tax, but I did listen to Andy speak and, you know, I appreciate their opinion, but I think their opinion isn't correct either. You know, we have to be careful that in my opinion the City of Scranton is not firm ground, it's in a marsh, and if this commuter tax goes through in my opinion the city will be in quick sand.

You know, after coming to council meetings for so long and hitting so many doors and talking to so many people there is many questions that I have on how people could be so misinformed on so many different

things. The recovery plan that the mayor put forward initially, read it at Scranton Public Library, there was no recovery in that plan, that was a plan of self-destruction.

You know, you read other plans, SAPA, I have read that. I have asked other people who are opposed to the plan if they read it, they haven't. I have asked people that are for the recovery plan if they've read that, also, and they haven't. I mean, you just look at this city and see what's going on and you have got to separate fact from fiction and where the city is right now I don't know, you know, the Scranton Times did an article about council members and some others leaving the Lackawanna County Courthouse for unfunded debt, that's a mistake. We can't even carry the debt load we have now. Everything is in trouble.

You know, council is not the place
to find the solutions to our problems
because I'm not going to single this council
out, this city has been in trouble for
decades and council didn't answer the call

and now I just, you know, we talk every week about no curbs, no sidewalks, general deterioration of the neighborhoods, properties in the city selling for \$50,000 that you couldn't buy for \$200,000 in the Abingtons or basically in a lot of other places, and you know, the thing that I don't understand is that when we discuss certain issues, whether it's closing the firehouses, well, how are we going to fund the opening of these fire houses? I mean, it's a great thing to say that they should all be open, but where are the funds coming from?

I mean, we have watched the city over -- when I was child there was over \$100,000 people here, we are down to 70, read the obituary page, and you will see a lot of people that have passed away at one time lived here. They fled the city. They just couldn't take it anymore. You listen to people talk on talk radio, occasionally I catch it if I'm not working, there are people that just say they couldn't get out of here fast enough and I just disagree with any judge that will agree to a commuter tax,

15

14

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

commuter tax plan. We need development. don't know where it's going to come from but I will say this, the Southern Union, I don't know, they have got a water draw now in Carbondale for fracking. We are right in the heart of the whole gas industry. off 167 there is billions and billions of dollars worth of gas there that's being produced to be shipped out of this area, but we are right in the heart of it. So you know what, maybe the Southern Union building the plan wasn't strong enough to bring it altogether, but I'm going to tell you something, it all fell apart, but we are right in the heart of all it and I think they are going to be drilling in Falls soon, Ransom, all of the outlying areas. Marcellus shale map is very large, lots of money, okay?

The Scranton Sewer Authority should be selling it's processed water from the plant to the fracking operations to raise the revenue to meet all of the federal requirements. I think the most important thing that we could put in place in this

city is an administration from the top to the bottom that understands that we need to turn the city around. We need jobs. We need to create a job -- an engine to create employment here. That's our problem. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton. I have a question on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, when is that park that we so have pumped so much money into behind the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue going to open? I always see that it's under lock and key so I don't know if it's ready or if they are tying to get grass to grow or whatever, but it would be nice to hear about it.

I had a thought of the kids on the expressway for John, we should notify the school that at least tell their kids don't play on the freeway. Their parents might not want you to do that, too.

Now, in the paper Andy mentioned that tax cuts, you know, we need to vote a

little more careful because yet to get out to vote I see 22 percent in some districts where I worked, precincts where I worked on elections and, you know, at 22 percent maybe people don't deserve a tax cut anyway, you know. They obviously don't care whether they get a tax cut.

And once again, I would love to see some type of a study group on zoning to prevent any further tax exemptions. Period. We lost -- we have got two off the rolls to our hospitals, they went for profit, which might not be to your advantage anyway, but being that they're hospitals, but also then there has been some expansions like we have on Linden Street and Adams Avenue. building, from what I could ascertain, paid about \$25,000 a year, give or take a few, and that's where our taxes are going, so we certainly can't afford to wake up that 35 percent or 34 percent, wake up some day and it's 45 or 50 percent. We just can't do it, and the Pennsylvania constitution also provides for the fact that you cannot ask somebody to support a ministry, so if they

23

24

25

are running around lobbying for various laws on social issues and so forth then you are being required through your tax -- the lack of taxation on those properties to support a ministry and it specifically states that you do not have this. You cannot be required to support a ministry, so if they want to preach to their congregation that's fine, but I see a little too much going on here with their outside of their churches preaching at the American public at large and lobbying for laws that may or maybe not should be passed.

And a few -- many a week or so ago on that, and it slipped by mind last week driver's licenses. Our state senator had some kind of conference on driver's licenses and from what I can understand they may go up as high as \$130 in five years, so I would appreciate if we could get together with our city to contact our state senator and inquire on this because \$130 to subsidize Marcellus shale, which was never taxed, Lee just brought that up, I didn't really care to fix the roads for Marcellus shale to walk

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around and go tax free and pay \$130 for a driver's license or to register my car.

And a little criticism of Wall Street with bond status, they lost our money on pensions. From what I gather the firemen and police are about \$25 million short thanks to Wall Street and their last collapse and then they turn around and devalue our bond status. We are not going There is no reason to devalue our Period. There is no reason bond status. whatever to devalue a bond status because we can't pack the city up and move away or if we file for bankruptcy are just going to get told to pay it, so as far as Wall Street is concerned cut the baloney, we don't need it.

And, okay, the golden parrot,
Walmart at one time, they stopped doing
this, they were taking peasant insurance
money out on policies out on their employees
in case they're killed in an accident on the
way home and sticking money in their own
pocket. Peasant insurance. Excuse me, I
have never been in a Walmart, so you know,
too bad.

23

24

25

1

2

And Paulson and Sons, Paulson and Company, Elliott Management and Allied Management, equity companies they brought out Delfids, supplies the big three, supplies Toyota, they made a 1,000 percent profit by holding the product of that company which was the old AC Delco and switched to supply major chains, all of the big three manufacturers plus a few, and they shut down the plants, 24 out of 25 or something was shipped into China. Gee, thanks, you know, thanks private equity companies. And once again a certain person, private equity company was involved, and the possible bottom line was \$15.3 million invested and \$150 million dollars profit.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you. Have a good night and don't forget, bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, they are down where I live there today, guess who is down there? They were filming down there down

They are filming down there. 1 there. MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh yeah. 2 3 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Yeah, they were doing it Monday about 3:00 or 3:30. They 4 5 didn't report that, I wanted to let you know about that, Buddy. Thanks, Jack. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Where is that nice 8 hat? 9 Ms. Evans: What are you doing with 10 that shirt? AH. Now you are for Abington 11 Heights? Oh, you traitor. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Put that hat back on. 13 He had a nice Boston hat. 14 MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 17 MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm Tom Ungvarsky. 18 I see where your commuter tax is running 19 into some resistance. I hope the people in 20 the outlying districts realize all of the 21 services this city provides, not only our hospitals and such, but the Colt bus lines 22 23 and the Lackawanna County Prison. We also 24 have many charities that make their 25 residence here in the City of Scranton.

25

Recently I looked over my property tax and I saw where I was paying more to the county than I was to the city. Now, I know what the city provides for me, but I really don't know what the county provides. understand that they are going to raise the property tax again this year. I think with all the money that we provide to the county I think they could maybe help us out with a few things. While I don't approve of the commuter tax, I don't think we should tax the working people, but I think the county owes us a little something for all of the money that we sent to them and all of the services that we provide to them.

8.9 percent. That's gouging. think maybe that should be investigated. Ιt seems like it should be against some kind of a law. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. TALIMINI: Joe Talimini, City of Scranton. I'll try to be brief. There is a couple of things I would like to know, number one, all of the pools I imagine but

Nay Aug were closed last year, is that what it was?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TALIMINI: I wonder if somebody could come up with facts and figures as to how much it would cost to keep those pools open and also how much was expended on fire works displays at Nay Aug and other parts of the city. I'd like somebody to come up with those facts and figures for next week, please.

And number two, I believe that there is a misinterpretation of 501C-3 with regards to the University. I looked at their website and they are way over their borders that were allotted to them and from my understanding of the 501C-3, and I haven't been involved with one for several years, I don't believe that probably 40 to 50 percent of what they are claiming is tax exempt is tax exempt. It's got nothing to do with the educational system, it's got nothing to do with the church.

Now, I think the housing, especially the housing that they got up on the hill,

etcetera, I don't think they're tax exempt, I'm sorry, and I think too many people are afraid to take on the University, but, you know, you got to take on the University or the citizenry and I would rather take on the University than the citizenry.

And, Mrs. Evans, you mentioned the election, the county has already received over 5,000 absentee ballots so this is going to be a pretty heavy election. The law states specifically, and this is the law which has not been enacted yet, that you must have a voter ID card. Now that, I understand, is a moot point at this stage, however, according to Election Commission the election -- anybody who goes to vote will be asked to show a voter ID card. However, if they don't show the voter ID card they will be allowed to vote because the law has not been passed.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. TALIMINI: But that's official from the Election Commission. And again, as I said over 5,000 absentee balance, this was as of Saturday, so I'm sure it will close to

six or seven thousand, and I do ask people to go out and vote because this is a very, very important election and thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, citizen and
taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I guess I'll start where Tom left off, I think that an almost 9 percent interest rate on that first unfunded borrowing is pretty staggering and I can hardly wait to see what the next one is going to be. It's also pretty sad that we are going to be starting 2013 with an almost \$4 million deficit. I don't know. I guess are we planning to go for more unfunded borrowing next year? Is that the plan?

MR. JOYCE: No. In the realm of the recovery plan there is no unfunded borrowing to be undertaken for next year.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Was there a \$4 million deficit presumed that would carry

1 forward to next year? MR. JOYCE: Yes. There are \$4 2 3 million worth of expenses that will be carried into next year. 4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: That was assuming 6 in the recovery plan? MR. JOYCE: Yes. 7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: And has the 9 commuter tax been filed with the Court yet and is there a date? 10 11 MS. EVANS: I can answer that, we don't have a date, but --12 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry, there 14 was a cough and it muted you. MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I have been 15 16 on top of this daily, we haven't received a 17 date as yet. I know that the city solicitor 18 was down to the courthouse and, in fact, 19 even I believe yesterday following the Court case he visited the administrator's office 20 21 once again to see if the date had been set 22 and it had not. The judges were going to 23 meet and discuss I guess when would be a --24 MS. SCHUMACHER: What date was it 25 filed, do you remember?

MS. EVANS: I don't know. 1 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 2 3 MS. EVANS: We should be hearing, the last I heard is that we should be 4 5 hearing any day, you know. I was hearing it would have been this morning then this 6 7 afternoon, possibly tomorrow morning, so as 8 soon as we know we definitely make that 9 public. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you. 11 MR. JOYCE: To answer your question, 12 I believe it was filed on Tuesday. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: Tuesday? Okay. 14 Because I think I checked Thank you. Tuesday morning and it hadn't been, so good. 15 16 Next, a few follow-ups, last week, 17 Mr. McGoff, you promised to get me the size 18 of the database and whether the city had 19 requested the county be -- excuse me, the 20 mismatches between owner and -- excuse me. 21 MR. MCGOFF: I didn't -- to answer 22 your question I did not. I'm sorry, I 23 forgot. I will write it down this time and 24 hopefully remember to do that. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Okay,

and then on the ordinance, I remember there was a dialogue between Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan that there would be only safety inspections affiliated with it, but the ordinance itself says, "Safety inspection is defined as, will include, but is not limited to, a determination that each rental unit shall include an appropriate means of egress, smoke detection, hot and cold running water, heat and electricity."

So it is not limited to those items and I wonder why when that's what was stated publically. Was that your intent?

MR. MCGOFF: Might I?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah.

MR. MCGOFF: Why it was open ended?

Is that what you are asking?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, when all of the dialogue here was that it was limited.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, I think we did discuss that at the time, but the purpose was that if somebody went for a safety inspection and there were other issues that outside of those five that were mentioned that they could then contact, you know, one

of the city inspectors to have them examined as well. It was just to give, you know, if you went and there was noticeable structural damages or something and, you know, it's not included you could then refer it to the city inspectors.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I don't know, I think that sort of leaves it open ended and, I mean, yeah, structural, but there is plenty of other things that could be picked up, too, that could be a retaliation kind of thing that bothered me but --

MR. MCGOFF: I guess I look at it from the positive end that it may -- yes, they may find other things and they may be things that we want remedied.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And,
Mr. Joyce, I know the unfunded debt amounts
were discussed yesterday at the hearing, but
--

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I know also that the only clear backup to that will be the audit, when we can actually see the list of the borrowings and what the principal

amounts are, because I don't really understand that and I didn't understand how it went from, like, I believe it was 37 and the distance from the ceiling went even further away from the ceiling when you added in the lease rental, which I don't understand. I would have naturally assumed it went the other way, so maybe there is something that you can explain next week and give us a list of the bonds. And then do you have a date for when the public is going to be able to see that audit?

MR. JOYCE: No. Actually, we just received a letter from Rossi & Rossi. They are waiting for some additional information from the city and once they've received that information they'll provide their draft copy of the audit for council members to review and then, of course, the exit conference which will follow.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Obviously well into the budget obligations.

And can you tell me now, too, last week I talked about why the controller's report for the month of August was more than

1 what you said had been collected through mid-September? 2 3 MR. JOYCE: I apologize, I still 4 have to look into that, but I will look into that. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And have we 6 7 any collections from the parking tax bills? 8 MR. JOYCE: I will also pose that 9 question to our business administrator for 10 further clarification and hopefully I will 11 have that answer. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And then, 13 Mr. Rogan, you weren't here last week, I'm 14 glad to see you back, I hope you are feeling better. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Continue to 18 improve, back on the 408 Cedar Avenue, do we 19 have any news to report on the collection of the balance? 20 21 MR. ROGAN: No. I do have to get in touch with Linda tomorrow on a couple of 22 23 other issues and I will bring that up as 24 well. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, if I may just 25

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue on that for a minute.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And that is last week it was stated that the two loans that were given, that council was asking for hard proof that the taxes had been paid, I think that 408 Cedar Avenue, if that property was part of the loan agreement then the You administrators are not doing their job. don't go to a sheriff's -- excuse me, a judicial sale, you don't go to a judicial sale until you have been delinquent for a fair amount of time so had they been checking, so I would like to recommend that you ask OECD to provide annually whether or not the taxes have been paid on any property which is part of a loan agreement, and I'll be back with the rest next week and hopefully a little bit more voice. you.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Jack, my friend, I saw you on TV. Too much makeup.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Not enough.

MR. ELLMAN: I wasn't going to talk tonight, because I didn't have my usual envelopes that Kelly makes fun of, I guess I'm a bigger ham than Paul Savino, I just can't sit back there and not talk.

You know, I heard a song the other day, a country and western song, by Tracy Lawrence and the name of it was "Find Out Who Your Fiends Are" and one of the lines is -- goes something like, "Who is going to be there when the well runs dry?"

You know, that pertains to this city. We just don't have a friend one anywhere. Just everybody is fighting us, nobody seems to care about our plight with these nonprofits. What's it been 20 years with Act 47 and all they have done is employ Mr. Cross, he seems to have a job for life. PEL is a complete flop, you know, advocating raising taxes.

You can't just keep attacking the people that have fulfilled the life-long dream of home ownership. We are the victims. You've got to go after these

corrupt nonprofits immediately with legislation. There is no other way to go after them.

Somebody was bragging to me the University built the science hall on a parking lot before it was a parking lot there was a row of houses there, you know. They are not honorable people to deal with in the first place. I know council found that out last year.

Attorney Hughes, is there some way that the city can petition the county to have a small millage or something go directly to the city from the whole county instead of trying to get these wage taxes and everything else we are doing, that way it would be more money coming in from a less amount from people.

I'd like you to address that if you could.

I don't know if you can. I don't know, that's why I'm asking you if it was possible to find the three commissioners, you know, but they don't answer. Mr. O'Brien didn't want to answer a phone call.

But you can't past this city onto

your children the way it is. I hear this all the time from people. They don't want to stay here and we need them. I mean, desperately for tax collectors if nothing else.

I don't know, like I've said so many times, I live here by choice. I was talking to a friend in Detroit this very week and you think you got problems.

MS. EVANS: Yeah.

MR. ELLMAN: He was telling me, he said, "Have you ever seen that hard core porn?"

And I said, "No, I never watched it really."

He said the whole city is like that.

He said it's just ungodly crimewise and tax wise and everything. I was telling him about the problem here. It reminds me many years ago I was telling Father Fanucci the problems with my two boys in school in Moscow, they'd just disappear. Every day I'd take them and they'd disappear. They got on the school bus they'd disappear. I give them a car, they'd disappear. I

couldn't get them in school, and he told me,

"Ronnie, you should go to some homes that I

go to and listen to problems about

children," and he knew my two boys, he said,

"They are not a problem."

That's what my friend said about
Scranton when I was telling him all of this,
so evidently he believes there is some light
at the end of the it tunnel for this city,
but I know you all are out there like I am,
you just don't have as much time to talk to
everybody in the grocery store and things,
but the people just want cuts. They don't
want to see their friends lose their homes,
you know.

What's it, 20 years, 25 years of PEL and Act 47. We got a thousand people with foreclosures in the paper, 2,000 people not paying taxes. A real estate man said there is about 5,000 pieces of the property for sale. There is thousands more of empty apartments and abandoned houses. You can just lay all of this, almost every bit of it, to these lousy businesses that hide under the guise of nonprofits institutions,

and again, you need to go through them. The nonprofits in this city it's unbelievable what's hiding behind the guise of being a nonprofit. Gas stations and bars are not nonprofits, they are businesses making a lot of money just like the University is. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I do. Thank you. First that one of -- the legislation on the Big House Tobacco Shop, I have a number of questions and I understand that attorney Hughes had gotten some information about it, but I would like that at least pose the questions now and perhaps when you gets to the legislation some of them would be addressed, not all of them.

I was told that at one time this was a roll your own cigar or cigarette lounge and that recently the machine rolling machine I guess was confiscated by the

state, I don't know if that was for some violation or what the --

MR. ROGAN: I believe it was a tax issue.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MR. ROGAN: The cigarettes are taxed at a different rate than just buying tobacco, so what happened was, and I'm speaking to a bunch of the neighbors today on this issue actually as well, what the company was doing was people would go in and they would purchase tobacco and they would purchase filters and they would purchase tubes and then they would be allowed to use the machine for free. By purchasing each part individually they circumvented the state cigarette tax.

MR. MCGOFF: I see.

MR. ROGAN: That's why it was repossessed by the state.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay, so it was one question answered, but it certainly needs some other questions if they were doing that to evade paying taxes or having their customers pay.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I was told was that when this was introduced last week they -the place began remodeling and that no visible permits were for the remodeling. I think that we should, you know, see if that's taking place or whether they have received permits or whether they need to have permits.

Also, I was told that they were talking about being a -- selling food, but yet I'm told there is no commercial kitchen in the building so I don't know how much food they would be providing as a restaurant or a lounge. I had some questions about parking availability if it was, in fact, going to be restaurant or a lounge, and I guess the conclusion that I was coming to was that this was starting to sound from some of the things that were said, this was something starting to sound more like a package store as opposed to a restaurant, that this was going be a place where they sold cigarettes and six packs, which I don't know if that's something that we want or, you know, that I would be in favor of doing.

So just some questions and when we get to the legislation perhaps we can discuss them.

Second thing I wanted to mention was --

MR. HUGHES: If you want me to comment now?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: If you want, if it's easier now.

MR. HUGHES: This is a restaurant license. What happens to the restaurant license is that it must have food service, it must have a full kitchen, it must have tables and 30 chairs so that if qualifies under the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board rules and regulations as a restaurant. That's what it must have inside. All of the other issues, I mean, to get the liquor license. Then they would be inspected, they have to put their application in that, you know, in order to have the restaurant liquor license transfer to there.

What they are doing is this would be a person to person, place to place transfer, it's going from two different -- from a

10 11

9

13

12

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

seller to a buyer. It's coming from outside of Scranton into the City of Scranton. first step in the process is that Scranton must approve the transfer of the liquor license to come into the boundaries of the City of Scranton. That's the first step. They have an application pending before the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board to convert that into a restaurant. They have to have a dining room, they have to have a kitchen, all of that would be inspected by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board before the license would be operative. They cannot be That's a delicatessen a package store. license. If they had a delicatessen license then they could just serve beer and not alcohol either within the premise, or I forget if you can take two six packs of beer and three quarters or four quarts, you know, with the delicatessen license.

A restaurant can do the same thing.

You know, a restaurant can sell two six

packs to any person to take off the premises

or they can sell four quarts of beer so that

the fact that if you do an approve this

tonight all that you are approving is the fact that this license can be transferred from one municipality into the City of Scranton to this specific address.

Everything else then comes into play for them to go in and to get a building permit or to go into to get -- they would have to comply with the zoning regulations to put a bar in, now you have 30 seats, I don't know offhand, you know, how many parking spaces, you have to have for every so many seats in a bar, but they would have to have that many parking spaces, they would have to, you know, everything to comply with the zoning. They would have to get the permits to put a kitchen in. You know, they would have to meet all of the requirements of the city in order to have a kitchen, exhaust fans and everything else for the kitchen.

Then the Liquor Control Board would come in and they would actually measure everything, you know, on what their application is, how big it is, that count the tables and the chairs, you cannot count the bar stools because they don't qualify as

1 seats for a restaurant even though you could eat at the bar, so they would have to have 2 3 -- you know, I don't know anything about the business plan, but it has to be a 4 5 restaurant. MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 6 MR. HUGHES: It has to be -- if it's 7 8 a restaurant license and it is a restaurant 9 license so they are going to have to convert 10 this premises into a fully operational restaurant in accordance with the rules of 11 the liquor Control Board and in accordance 12 13 with the city zoning code and in accordance 14 with, you know, the city's buildings code. MR. MCGOFF: So I think you have 15 16 kind of answered that if we approve this 17 transfer we can't --18 MR. HUGHES: They can't open 19 tomorrow. 20 MR. MCGOFF: -- open --21 MR. HUGHES: No, what they have 22 to do now that they have --23 MR. MCGOFF: There would be other 24 formalities and safeguards --25 MR. HUGHES: Lots of them.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: -- before it would be opened.

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea where this is on Greenridge Street, you know, and even what it was, but they are going to have convert it into being a fully operational restaurant in order to get the liquor license.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Thank you very much for that information.

Mr. McGoff, I have a MR. ROGAN: little more information on that as well and I know Attorney Hughes is absolutely right legally that, you know, there has to be so many chairs, there has to be, you know, a fully operational kitchen. Many six pack stores that are -- that you and I would consider a six pack store have this type of license. They will do maybe takeout pizza. They will -- most of their business will be They will have the required amount takeout. of chairs. You know, a lot of these takeout pizza places or restaurants we all know they are all six pack stores and I believe the law, Attorney Hughes, correct me if I'm

wrong, I think it is you can take out 144 ounces?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know, it's two six packs, 12 by 12, that would be 144 ounces, yeah.

MR. ROGAN: So you could take out 144 ounces at one time. If you were to go there and buy five 12 packs you would have to go in, pay for a 12 pack, walk to your car or put it in and go back.

And also one of the things that the residents brought up to me that they were very concerned about was right behind this building another restaurant just opened, so you will have -- if this goes through, you will have your restaurant/six pack store or cigar smoke facing Main Avenue on the corner of Main and Gardner, I believe it's Gardner, and then directly behind that you will have another restaurant that was just in front of the zoning board and there was some controversy with that I believe the objection was withdrawn at the last minute. You would have that restaurant right there and there was issues with parking already

and I know from because I drive past this location all the time, there is very little parking there, but I'm sorry to butt in because we can discuss this more when we get to it.

MR. MCGOFF: That's fine.

MR. HUGHES: This is 200 Greenridge Street so it must by down by the Price Chopper, somewhere in that area? 200 Greenridge Street.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, it's up by the Giant market?

MR. HUGHES: By the Giant, yeah, I'm sorry.

MR. ROGAN: Right on the corner of the CVS kind of right at the River Street so there is no parking on Greenridge Street in that area. There is a small lot on the other side and the parking is an issue for the zoning, it's not an issue for us.

MR. HUGHES: That's for zoning, you know, the building permits and everything else, but the thing is that if they have a fully operational restaurant then they can have takeout food and everything else and

they can sell two six packs of beer, you know, to go with it, but they are going to have to 30 seats in that restaurant. It's going to be have to be a fully operational restaurant and I --

MS. EVANS: If I can interject,
Attorney Francis O'Brien, who represents Big
House Tobacco, called our office this
afternoon in response to our earlier request
and he informed us that his client, Big
House Tobacco, will be complying with all
Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board
regulations as they move forward in the
application process for a liquor license.
He is available for any questions council
may have.

In addition, Attorney O'Brien said he is a former solicitor for the state liquor control board and that this is the only type of work he handles.

MR. ROGAN: As I mentioned before legally, I'm not questioning whether it's legal or not, but because there is the way to get around having a restaurant license, that's the intent because a lot of -- it's

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mostly pizza shops that do it or sometimes even convenient stores, you will go in and you will see a bunch of chairs in the middle of a convenient store and they'll have a kitchen that will sell hoagies, they'll have a menu, but we all know 90 percent of their business coming out it is liquor.

MR. MCGOFF: They do have very good sandwiches.

MR. ROGAN: I'm sorry.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you for all the The other thing I wanted to and was made mention of here was the -- and I don't often mention the newspaper, other people have that fight, but I read the Times' editorial on the commuter tax and I will say that, you know, I was in partial agreement with a bunch of what they said, especially the section on the alternatives to the commuter tax. The problem is that the editorial never said that these were things that we have already considered. You know, everything that they had as a solution or an alternative was something that has already been discussed and most of what they put in

as alternatives were third party, you know, required third party reliance. Is the state going to restructure how the schools are funded? We have been talking about it for decades. I don't think that's going to happen. You know, is the state going to approve a sale's tax? I don't know, you know, that's something that they have put off at least until next year, you know, so all of these things that the editorial included were already things that we discussed and realized that we cannot rely on those going forward and we needed to create an alternative and the alternative is this commuter tax.

And the other thing I wanted to say on the commuter tax is that in doing this one of the things that people need to consider is the demographics of the City of Scranton. It's somewhere -- the average age of a citizen in the City of Scranton is somewhere over 60. Many of them are retired. I'd love to see the percentage of people, the citizens that are actually retired and I'm sure it's pretty high, so we

21

22

23

24

25

are talking about people on fixed incomes, you know, looking at having what could be onerous tax increases. On the other hand, you have a population that many have moved out of the city an onto outlying communities and yet work in the city. They come to the City of Scranton to make their money. come to the city -- when they come here to make their money they rely on the services that are provided, and I think that there should be some responsibility there, and again, as somebody mentioned, that is not, you know, this is not a forever situation. The commuter tax has to be approved yearly, and I would hope that after one year it was gone, but at this time I firmly believe that this is something that the City of Scranton needs. I think it's something that if you looked at it logically, yes, is it a burden on some people? Absolutely, but we all face some burden.

And the other thing, the City of Scranton is the focal point of the entire area and many people in Lackawanna County and surrounding counties depend upon the

City of Scranton for their livelihood and for many of the services that they receive and I would hope that people realize that and that while there may be some opposition to this commuter tax, I would hope that people understand the reasons why we are looking to implement it.

And one very last thing, as far as I know, the fireworks that were at Nay Aug past year were at no cost to the city, they were all sponsored. I may be wrong, but that was the last I checked that was of the case, and that's all. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff, and I echo all of your comments regarding the commuter tax. The only thing I would like to add though about the editorial was the fact that it focuses only on city council as if city council solely governs the City of Scranton. I wish that were the case. Many things would have been different for the last three years, believe me, but the fact of the matter is this is a joint effort between the mayor and his administration and the Scranton City Council

and PEL and DCED provided their input and, in fact, they approve that revised recovery plan, so to single out Scranton City Council and say, "This is all you and look what you are doing," you know, that's completely inaccurate. It's city government, both branches of the city government in conjunction with Pennsylvania Economy League and the State Department of Community and Economic Development.

So it would be nice if the editorialist would check the facts because certainly everyone has a right to his and her opinion, but everyone doesn't have the right to their own set of facts. The facts are the facts overall and I think what's been happening is we have been substituting in editorials opinions for facts.

MR. MCGOFF: I did say partially agreed.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Rogan, do you have comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. again, I apologize for my absence the last

21

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

few weeks and i thank everyone for their cards and phone calls and messages, I'm feeling much better. I'm glad to be back and back at work.

Just a few comments about some of the things that were mentioned and some of the agenda items, I'll be relatively brief. It was mentioned by a few residents of the 8.9 percent interest on the unfunded debt, and I was very upset when I read this as well and when we had the vote on the unfunded debt one of my concerns at that point in time was we -- there was no set interest rates. It's the vote is first and then you find out the details later. That's a big part of the reason why I opposed it.

Also, because the mayor went back on his word when we met privately that it would be the end of the line for borrowing in the city which we obviously see that isn't the case, but maybe ten years ago a 8.9 interest rate on a loan wouldn't have been that bad, but right now interest rates are historic lows. As somebody who is looking to purchase a home in the City of Scranton, put

into different banks looking for an interest -- you know, for a mortgage you are getting interest rates at 3.5 to 4 percent as an individual who has very few assets other than maybe a vehicle and then you have a city who is getting interest rates of 9 percent. It seems out of whack especially with how relatively low interest rates are in general.

Those that have savings accounts in banks realize they are getting, you know, a fraction of a percentage, actually it's not even keeping up with inflation the interest you get on your savings account these days, but the rate is very high and I'm hopeful that the next issue will be significantly lower, but probably won't be. I understand the city needs the money, but a 9 percent interest rate is very high.

A bit of better news, I heard from talking to a few people today that Kevin Conway will be working for the Parking Authority under Central Parking and he worked for from I hear for Mayor Connors and he did an excellent job when he was working

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under Mayor Connors. I haven't met him, but I have heard nothing but positive things about him and I'm hopeful that things will move in the right direction with the Parking Authority. I know I, for one, I'm very happy that Mr. Scopelliti and the extremely incompetent management down there is gone, and it's tough pill to swallow in the short-term, that employees, good employees have gotten laid off as well with the incompetent managements and that's frustrating, it's not right, but we have to look at the long-term. You know, it's not a matter of just continuing to let them borrow and run wild where at the end of the day the chickens are going to come home to roost and they have, but long-term I firmly believe that we are in a better position now with the Parking Authority than we were a year ago when this wasn't even in anybody's mind.

Moving onto a few other items, I'm very happy with the CDBG amendments. I thank everyone for sending in the recommendations. It seems pretty much everyone was on the same page with what we

24

25

wanted to do and I'm very proud that with this amendment we are actually able to increase the funding for paving in low to moderate areas at over 100 percent, which is something I think that everybody in the city agrees that we desperately need. increased the allotment from \$300,000 to \$645,000 through this amendments and one of the big savings was the elimination of splash pads/splash parks or splash pads for the pools. Many of us have that on the suggestion list and it was mentioned from the podium as well regarding the capital budget. You know, I grew up in West Side, I still live in West Side and I'll probably live in West Side my entire life and I remember as a child going to Novembrino Pool and, you know, it wasn't an immaculate pool you went and paid a dollar back then and sometimes it was free, and you went and you swam in the pool and they had two pools there, you know, it was nice. It was nice for the neighborhood kids and we'd all get together and go down there and, you know, it's a great pool and it's in a great area

for West Side and the same thing with other areas of the city, Pinebrook, you know, throughout the whole city.

A pool is a great place for kids to go. We don't need a splash park or a splash pad. If we just maintain the pools that we have I think we are, you know, in a lot better shape than completely taking everything out and putting in these splash pads, which to be honest with you, I think most people don't even know what they look like. I don't know.

Also some other changes that were made, some other reductions, I want to talk a little more in detail while they were made. A deduction was made for the Boys and Girls Club, this wasn't for programs at the Boys and Girls Club, it was for sidewalk repairs, so it will not effect any of the programs, just for their sidewalk repairs. They were three of the bigger deductions.

Some of the other issues, one was mentioned earlier, Lackawanna Neighbors for housing rehab, we absolutely need to do something in our neighborhoods about blight,

but we all know that. It is a small increase but hopefully it will help.

Scranton Tomorrow, I spoke to Mr. McGoff this morning and that was put in as well for a business master plan for the downtown.

And then in public service area the two deductions were one for the United Neighborhood Center, the SCOLA program. It was on a couple of members deductions to take that off and I took -- eliminated it to zero because of the question of two years ago that was posed to Mr. Handly when he was here asking if any assurances were made to ensure that anyone in this program, which is an English as a second language program, is in fact in the country legally, and those assurances weren't made two years ago, so that was eliminated.

The Deutsch Institute was reduced by \$5,000. We needed to find some extra money in one of the programs to fund the adult literacy, which we all supported, and it was removed from the recreation activities portion of the Deutsch Institute.

And as I said, the two additions,

one was for the adult literacy program, which helps train adults to read, do job applications, things of that nature so they can take care of themselves, which is something I believe is good use of tax dollar helping somebody help themselves instead of just giving a handout, and then the Neighbor Works NEPA home ownership program, we have all seen the foreclosures throughout the country and in the area as well and that's very hard for people to keep their homes and purchase homes these days, so that's why that was increased as well.

So I'm hopeful that these will pass and I'm very happy with how everything came together. I remember the first time we did this three years ago now the list of amendments was four pages long because when they came down they had a theatre tickets, this and that, and I think the administration is taking note of how council wants to appropriate those funds and I see that these items weren't even included this year, so that's why the amendments are much more condensed, which was nice. It seems

it's already going in the right direction and we just need to tweak them a little bit.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, but I want to add to what you said in total I think that when I added it up there was over \$500,000 we voted to housing rehab and neighborhood improvements. I think that's an important factor to mention.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. And, you know, just on Mr. Quinn's request,
Mrs. Krake, with my colleagues' permission,
could we please send a letter to Linda Aebli
and Mayor Doherty requesting a meeting at
their convenience to discuss housing
rehabilitation in our neighborhoods.

I understand why Mr. Quinn is frustrated, we all are, too. It's very frustrating walking through a neighborhood and those of us when we were campaigning going door to door you see firsthand how many houses are vacant, even some that are in good shape are vacant, and I think if we can get to those homes early in the process, before they're vacant for ten, 15 years and deteroriating and they have to be torn down

those properties can be rehabbed early in the process. Number one, it will be better for community, we'll have more properties on the tax rolls. It will be good for low to moderate families to move into, to have that pride of home ownership and also not to have to waste money tearing them down when you could be building them up. So hopefully the mayor and Ms. Aebli will honor that request and come in.

That's all I have for now. I will comment more on the agenda items as they come up. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, and I might also suggest to you that if you were able to perhaps get your hands on CDBG allocations and HOME program allocations from other Pennsylvania municipalities and then determine which ones seem to be running those types of programs and funding those then I think, you know, you have something to present to Ms. Aebli and the mayor saying, you know, and hopefully you would even to be able to speak to someone from that municipality --

•

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: -- who could better explain it to you and maybe we can use that as a model to start something new in Scranton.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. That's a great idea. I could get in touch with some of the similar sized cities and also I know a lot of the rural areas the CDBG allocation is done by the counties instead of the cities because they are rural areas, so I may even reach out to one of the counties as well. There are blighted rural communities as well as the cities, so I know a few offhand that I have contacted on other issues before, so I'll definitely do that and look forward to the meeting.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Just briefly, I promise this time. I really wasn't going to make any comments, but I do have to agree with Mr. McGoff and Mrs. Evans regarding the commuter tax. I think we feel the same way.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's not something we really like to do, but, you know, we are trying to do the best we can. As Mr. McGoff stated, you know, we have come up with other ideas, but there are still things in the works. A lot of people come to this podium with good ideas and we have taken note of many of them. We received some good ones this evening. The problem is we can't implement them overnight. There is lot of legal issues that we are checking on some of these things, especially in the filing and stuff, but there are some issues that are getting closer because we are not alone in that issue.

But as far as the editorial goes on Sunday, from what I remember, the one thing I do take issue in there, and I believe Mrs. Evans said it, it's their personal opinion it's not fact and I think we all realize that, they are blaming city council as usual for everything, but you expect to see that on a Sunday editorial, the problem is they took issue with us reducing the business privilege and mercantile two years

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ago and also reducing the property taxes. We tried to help those and bring business back into town, and, yes, they could take issue with that the problem is they didn't look at the facts. The fact and the reason we put those in our budget was the reality -- well, we found out later the reality that we didn't know that the administration had taken \$5 million out of the Workers' Comp Trust Fund. We didn't have full availability of the audit at that time to show where we were, so to chastise us for trying to help the constituents that we represent without all of the facts and not basing the facts in that editorial from an administration that was not providing us with the information, that's the fact.

And we are looking -- again, we are working together with the administration, hopefully that situation won't happen again, but I've stated it before, our friends from out of the area, the surrounding communities and I feel like a lot our own residents that hey, you know, this tax may go into a black

That's the truth.

hole. The only thing I can assure you is I think, you know, this panel has been proving itself the last two and a half years, we are watching out as much as we can and working for you in the best interest that that money goes where it should go and improves this city and the surrounding area, but I just wanted to mention about the reason why we reduced the taxes two years ago and just hope that the editorial board would look into it and get that straight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. Yesterday I took the opportunity to attend and testify at the City of Scranton hearing to petition the Court for Common Pleas to borrow 9.75 million in unfunded debt. Many might be wondering why does the city need to petition the Court for 9.75 million of unfunded debt?

As reported under testimony by our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, the city needs to borrow 9.75 million for the following reasons due to unforeseeable

## circumstances:

1. In the adopted budget there was 6.4 million in savings to be realized from the refinancing of preexisting debt. Mayor Doherty stated in a meeting from Fidelity Bank late last year that \$6.4 million would be able to be saved if the city could refinance the preexisting debt early enough in 2012.

As one may or may not know, the refinancing of the preexisting debt did not happen early enough to realize the savings forcing the city to pay on the preexisting loans that it could not refinance. The total amount of savings lost that the city had to pay in debt service payments was approximately \$5.3 million.

2. Back in April the city borrowed \$1.5 million from the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund. In case one in case is not familiar with the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund rules and regulations, a part of the agreement the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund must be funded 75 percent in order to be compliant with the trust

agreement. Before the borrowing of \$1.5 million from the fund, it was compliant with the 75 percent funded threshold. After the \$1.5 million was borrowed from the trust fund, subsequently, as one can predict, the fund was \$1.5 million short.

Originally, the \$1.5 million was repaid back or was to be repaid back to the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund on May 31 this year. Because of the city's cash position, this could not be done. The deadline to pay back the fund was extended until July 31, however, because of the city's cash position this date could not be met. Currently, the deadline to repay the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund has been extended until the end of the year to repay back the \$1.5 million the city had borrowed from the fund back in April.

3. Due to the October 2011 state
Supreme Court arbitration award, which was
ruled in favor of the fire and police
unions, there are certain requirements as to
how many police officers are to be working
on a shift at all times. The city failed to

budget properly for this requirement. As a result, as reported by business administrator Ryan McGowan, the city is expected to have an overage of \$800,000 in police overtime by the end of the year.

- 4. There is 1.6 million in various fees, damages, and costs owed to the police union related to a recent arbitration ruling that the city agreed to pay in settlement negotiations.
- 5. There is \$197,000 in various fees and costs owed to the fire union related to a recent arbitration ruling that the city agreed to pay in settlement negotiations.
- in the amount of delinquent garbage fees that was budgeted by the administration to be collected this year. The amount that was budgeted to be collected was \$950,000. That amount has not been nearly realized. The amount collected so far is \$288,000. The reason why this gap exists is due to the fact that it has taken some time to get

collection of delinquent garbage fees. The reason it has taken some time to get

Northeast Revenue on board is due to the fact that the city had resolved many problems with the data submitted by the prior delinquent refuse collection firm, being NCC.

the Scranton Parking authority. This includes \$100,000 for meter enforcement personnel that are now being paid directly by the City of Scranton and working for the City of Scranton directly, and the possible \$300,000 that may have to be paid for the Scranton Parking Authority's December bond payment. The amount of money that the city may have to contribute may differ from the \$300,000 depending on how much money that the SPA's Court-appointed receiver may be able to contribute.

8. There are closing costs that are expected to be incurred in the second -- in securing a second unfunded debt. The recent borrowing of \$11.32 million incurred \$542,000 of costs. The projected costs that

business administrator Ryan McGowan compiled was \$500,000, though he does believe there is a possibility of maybe quite lower.

- 9. There is a \$190,000 shortfall in the projection of the real estate transfer tax revenue for the end of the year. This shortfall stems from the sale in early January of the former Moses Taylor Hospital to CHS, Community Health Systems. The sale of the hospital occurred before the ordinance was passed to adopt a higher real estate transfer tax rate for 2012. Subsequently, though the hospital was sold in 2012, the transfer tax that CHS had to pay was based on the 2011 real estate transfer tax rate.
- issues, particularly the fire protection on the East Mountain area and slow response times, there were additional firefighters hired back to the City of Scranton in order to reopen the East Mountain Fire Station.

  Though the rehiring of the additional firefighters was later covered by the SAFER grant, it did cost \$200,000 to bring back

the additional firefighters before the grant was received.

of \$1.4 million in license, inspection and permit revenues than what was actually received so far. Any amount of money that the administration budgeted for licenses, inspections and permit revenue, it was projected that Geisinger and CHS would be undergoing projects related to the hospital, however, those projects which would generate a substantial amount of money through permit fees and licenses did not occur.

12. As one may or may not know, the city was to save \$600,000 in health insurance costs through a retiree drug subsidy program. Unfortunately, the city was unable to enroll in the drug retiree drug subsidy program at the beginning of the year. In fact, the city was unable to enroll in the retiree drug subsidy program until June. Because of the city being unable to enroll in the retiree drug subsidy program at the beginning of the year, it lost out on \$300,000 worth of savings.

Overall the grand total of the 12 points that Ryan McGowan testified to amounts to \$13.049,000. Though this amount is higher than the \$9.75 million, the city believes that the \$9.75 million is the most that the city will be able to access in the financial markets.

In other news tonight, as one may or may not know, \$11.32 million of borrowing has been awarded to the city from Janney Montgomery Scott. At a recent Pennsylvania Economy League meeting, or PEL meeting, our business administrator Ryan McGowan reported what some of the uses of the \$11.32 million would be.

Of the \$11.32 million, approximately \$1.1 million will be used to fund debt service payments associated with the \$6.4 that the city was projected to save through refinancing. Of course, since \$1.1 million of the 11.32 will be used to fund the savings that was to be realized through refinancing, this constitutes the \$5.3 million of savings that the city did not realize.

Also, the \$11.32, \$1 million will be used to repay Pennstar Bank. As one may or may not know, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority took out a loan regarding the advanced sale of delinquent taxes for the years of 2004, 2005 and 2006 which it defaulted on. The City of Scranton guaranteed this loan. Northeast Revenue has been submitting delinquent tax revenue that it has been collecting to Pennstar Bank,

however, there is still a great deal of

money owed on the defaulted loan and it's

just safer in order to clear the default of

\$1 million that is still owed that will be

paid out of the \$11.32 million.

Along with this currently there are \$3.4 million in encumbered accounts payable. Quite simply, the city must catch up on its bills. Out of the \$11.32 million received, \$3.5 million will be used to pay encumbered accounts payable.

In addition, out of the \$11.32 million, \$1 million must be repaid back to the State of Pennsylvania for a loan that the state recently provided to the City of

Scranton.

Finally, out of the remaining portion of the \$11.32 million, future payables in payroll will need to be paid.

And just to quickly comment, I know Councilman Rogan made a comment about the interest rate of the unfunded borrowing being 9 percent, but quite frankly, in the situation that Scranton is in that is the best interest rate this we got, so essentially if we didn't receive this money we wouldn't be able to pay our bills, we wouldn't be able to make payroll, and the city would about in a downward spiral. So this is something that the city desperately needed and this is something I voted "yes" for.

And to conclude, I have a few citizens' requests. First, regarding Greenbush and Reese Street, an employee of the Career Technologies Center has informed me that the condition of Greenbush and Reese Streets are subpar to say the least. As one may or may not, Greenbush and Reese Streets are not only residential streets in the city

of Scranton, but are also entrances and exits to the Career Technology Center. The employee of the CTC reports that there are potholes on Greenbush and Reese Street so large that one almost has to come to a complete stop to get through because they are completely across the whole road.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake,
please contact Director Dougher and ask him
to rectify the situation in the best way he
sees fit.

Residents of Dickson Avenue in Greenridge report that there are numerous deep potholes in the 1700 block of Dickson Avenue making travel conditions very difficult. Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please add this to the list of issues to address with Director Dougher.

And residents of Greenridge also report that there is a streetlight out on Dickson avenue making the area a haven for suspicious activity at night. The pole number to this streetlight is 57558N46800. Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please contact Shott Electric and ask them to

repair the light as soon as possible, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. As part of tonight's agenda, council will vote to introduce legislation to execute and apply for a grant application by the City of Scranton on behalf of Lace Building Associates, LLP, LBA, to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development for a local share account grant pursuant to the Pennsylvania Racehorse Development and Gaming Act in the amount of \$555,000.

I have requested a public caucus with representatives of the Lace Buildings Associates and Ms. Aebli, OECD director, in order to receive an update on the progress that has been achieved to date on this project in lower Greenridge and to discuss the proposed uses of this second state grant. My hope is to conduct a caucus next Thursday, November 1, at 6:00 p.m.

Also, on our agenda this evening is the Seventh Order vote on the 2013 action plan and council's amendments to it as well

as the return to the table in Seventh Order of the legislation regarding an OECD loan to 520 Madison Associates, LLP. OECD director Aebli, indicated that the business name on the loan application was completed incorrectly by the applicant and is now resubmitted accurately.

Next, Berkheimer's earned income tax collection requires attention at this time. In appears that 2012 should have been an sizably increased collection year for EIT since the city receives Single Tax Office 2011 collections and Berkheimer 2012 collections.

Furthermore, the added authority of Act 32 allows Berkheimer to collect the full amount due at source, yet Berkheimer had collected only approximately \$1.4 million more than the Single Tax office who collects only delinquencies as of the third week in October. Timely ability to collect all taxes as the law permits is vital to our survival as a city.

Thus, please send a letter to the business administrator and the mayor from

city council to inform them of council's concern regarding the 2012 EIT collections, Mrs. Krake.

Also, vital to the city's financial solvency is accountable for revenue received in city offices. Each department head must be accountable for his department's revenue collections. Although, other agencies such as Northeast Revenue Services and Berkheimer are collecting certain designated revenues, it is the department heads who must monitor these funds as they are received.

In addition, department heads should notify the mayor, business administrator and city council immediately when an issue with any category of revenue collection occurs from rental registration to permit and license fees, to parking meter revenue, to taxes, among others. Equally important, all outside agencies collecting City of Scranton taxes such as Berkheimer should submit monthly reports to the business administrator, mayor, city council and city controller.

Mrs. Krake, please forward a memo to

Mr. McGowan from city council requesting that as business administrator he direct all outside agencies to submit monthly reports to the aforementioned parties.

Now, I am quite certain that the BA himself would receive these reports, but I know that council does not, I am of the mind that the city controller doesn't receive them and possibly the mayor.

Also, please send a letter to the mayor asking that he direct department heads to notify Scranton City Council when their respective revenue collections are negatively impacted.

Next, council received a response from acting police chief Graziano regarding the Zone Nightclub in North Scranton. It reads: In response to the attached letter regarding the Zone Nightclub in North Scranton, this location has been the scene of 43 police calls in 2011 and three 35 calls to date in 2012. As of this week, the owner of this establishment has offered to hire off-duty uniformed Scranton police officers while the club is open. Whether we

will be able to fill these positions is yet to be determined. In any event, we will continue to take enforcement actions there when warranted.

Council thanks Chief Graziano for his actions in this matter and for his timely response.

Finally, after receiving numerous complaints concerning Kane Street in Scranton I was pleased to learn that the DPW performed extensive road patchwork in South Side last week, particularly on Kane Street between Stafford and South Webster Avenues. I was told the DPW used a front end loader in it's attempt to permanently repair a gash in the road near the Econo Lodge that was nearly half the width of the road. South Side residents, visitors to cemeteries in this location and Scranton City Council wish to thank the DPW for it's fine work.

And, Mrs. Krake, if you would please send a letter to Ms. Aebli to request an update on the park located in the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, and that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I just add one

1

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing?

MS. EVANS: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: You reminded me of something, somebody contacted me about the corner of Main Avenue and Farr Street that there are overhanging trees that made it very difficult as you were coming down Farr Street if you look to the right for oncoming traffic the trees block that, and the person that asked me about this was at a supermarket and I don't know how to contact her other than to say that I have contacted DPW, they are aware of the situation, and when they have the manpower and the wherewithal they will rectify the situation. Thank you.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND APPLY FOR A GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF LACE BUILDING AFFILIATES, LP (LBA), TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE

DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, FOR A REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT LOCATED AT 1315 MEYLERT AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA, TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT IF APPROVED WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$555,000.00 IF AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "SCRANTON LACE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT".

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I'm glad that you have asked for a caucus with that, I think there are a couple of questions that I had, particularly in terms of the timeline, I think we have seen any number of projects where money has been received and yet --

MS. EVANS: Never used.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, yes, the project has never been taken on, and the other thing

I think that we should look is what other 1 funding is, you know, is there for that 2 3 project and will it move through the phases in a timely manner. 4 5 MS. EVANS: I agree. Thank you. All those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -13 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 64, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE 14 - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT 15 LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY CALANNI'S 16 17 INC. T/A LITTLE NIKKI'S PASTA HOUSE, 77 18 FALLBROOK STREET, CARBONDALE, PA 18407, LICENSE NO. R-14028 TO PASS RUSH LLC FOR USE 19 AT BIG HOUSE TOBACCO OUTLET LOCATED AT 200 20 21 GREEN RIDGE STREET, SCRANTON, PA 18509 AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL 22 23 BOARD. 24 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 25 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, and I know we had an explanation as to what this process was, I still have some serious reservations about this project and especially in light of what Mr. Rogan said that there was some involvement with the state over improper taxing and if that is the type of -- if that's the type of operation that they are looking at then I don't want to be involved in allowing it to, you know, move to some other direction and unless -- I plan on voting "no".

If there are other people that, you know, want this to pass perhaps my vote will change. Had we gotten more information especially from the people applying.

MS. EVANS: Well, we have -- it's in Sixth Order tonight, and so if it was passed it would move into Seventh Order next week.

I could suggest that we meet with or speak with Attorney O'Brien and pose all of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerns and questions to him directly.

MR. ROGAN: I would say I'm not comfortable voting "yes" this week either. If he were to come in, you know, maybe 15 minutes before and, you know, try to address or the owner of the property even more so than the attorney because, you know, I don't know if you agree with me on this, I'm not questioning the legality of the permit. From the neighbors I talked to they seem opposed to it, one of them that's opposed to it is out of town, one of the other -someone's working tonight and, you know, it's difficult for people to get to the meetings, but I have no doubt that it will be done lawfully, but as I said before, many of these -restaurant permits aren't really restaurants, they become six pack stores and, you know, I envision it as a people to get a pack of the cigarettes and a 12 pack of beer. I don't think Scranton needs another one of those establishments, especially when I know in that neighborhood one that just opened. If are you traveling up towards North Scranton Junior High and

you make a right maybe two blocks there is one and then if you make a left in Bulls Head you have a convenient store which offers the same service so within not even a mile radius you have two that I could think of, but if he can come in and persuade me otherwise I'm willing to listen, but it's going to take a lot of persuasion.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could, if you remember it wasn't too long ago when Posh, right across the street from the Scranton Club, they transferred a license from outside of the Scranton. The owners were here, their attorney, Greg Pasquale was here, they made a presentation exactly what they were going to do that if they had a liquor license when they leased the first and second floor of the Scranton Club.

I would suggest that, you know, I was kind of dismayed that the owners weren't even here tonight to make some type of presentation on this. I think, and I believe that Attorney O'Brien told Mrs. Krake that had he known about this he

would have come up from Harrisburg. I'd only suggest to council that tell him that there will be another hearing next week or to come at the regular meeting and to make a presentation on this to exactly what it is and clear it up.

MR. ROGAN: Attorney, is it the owner or the attorney that lives in Harrisburg?

MS. EVANS: The attorney.

MR. ROGAN: The attorney. I would prefer actually the owner than the attorney.

MR. HUGHES: Well, as I said, Posh was here and the two owners of Posh were here with their.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah.

MR. HUGHES: You know, I was kind of dismayed that nobody was here tonight. You know, if there is public hearing I thought that they would have been here to make their presentation as to exactly what it is and why this liquor license should be transferred from outside of Scranton into Scranton, and the burden is on them. I mean, that's what it comes down to.

MR. MCGOFF: Would it be acceptable to I guess -- I would accept putting it into Seventh Order and once it's placed into Seventh Order tabling it until such time --

MS. EVANS: Unless, of course, they could come next week.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, I would just as soon table it in case they can't and then it's not on for Seventh Order and we can place it -- would that be --

MR. ROGAN: I would think if they can't be here next week if the business means that much to them you think they would do everything they could do to come and budget time is coming up here soon and that will take, I would assume, the bulk of discussion at the meetings. I'm not comfortable voting for it, but again, if --

MR. MCGOFF: Neither am I, but so it's not in Seventh Order --

MS. EVANS: Right, I think we should do our due diligence, you know, as I suggested and our solicitor suggested and request their presence at a public caucus and if they attend and they explain then we

can consider this. If they fail to attend

MR. ROGAN: They should have -- as
Attorney Hughes said, they should have been
here today. It was publically advertise.

MS. EVANS: Right, but we did, I know in the case of the Posh we did actually conduct a public caucus with them, so we have not setup a caucus. So I think we should do that and see if they could come in.

MR. HUGHES: I would think they should bring the blueprints and everything else, you know, they should have it laid out exactly what it is going be to, is it going to be a takeout restaurant, you know, like you know, some of these or is it really going to be a restaurant and they should have plans.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: And while we are inviting them to come in, I would like to see some sort of proof that they have paid permit fees for the work that they are doing on the premises now.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yep.
3	MR. MCGOFF: That's what I said, I
4	think there are still serious questions. I
5	guess I would be willing to vote for it
6	tonight, but as Mr. Rogan said, it would
7	take some serious persuasion to have me vote
8	"yes" in Seventh Order.
9	MS. EVANS: Okay. All those in
10	favor signify by saying aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
15	MR. ROGAN: No.
16	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
17	moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
19	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
20	SAFETY- FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
21	63, 2012 – AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
22	46, 2012, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AMENDING
23	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 33, 2012 ENTITLED
24	"ESTABLISHING A NO PARKING ZONE IN THE 900
25	BLOCK OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE (S.R. 3023)

1	ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET
2	PURSUANT TO THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT
3	APPLICATION OF THE COMMONWEALTH MEDICAL
4	COLLEGE FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET
5	1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR
6	A DISTANCE OF TWO HUNDRED NINETEEN (219)
7	FEET" TO CORRECT THE INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED
8	SEGMENT NUMBERS OF SR 3023" TO CORRECT THE
9	INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED OFFSET NUMBERS FOR
10	THE NO PARKING ZONE.
11	MS. EVANS: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chair for the
13	Committee on Public Safety?
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
15	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
16	final passage of Item 7-A.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 1 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 2 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 4 5 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a 6 motion to amend Item 7-B per the following 7 8 changes: 9 The changes to general fund items. 10 City of Scranton OECD, CDBG administration 11 costs amended to \$385,000. Boys and Girls 12 Club, sidewalk repairs, amended to \$32,788. 13 City of Scranton Parks and Recreation, 14 splash pool parks, \$0. 15 Increases: Lackawanna Neighbors, 16 housing rehabilitation, \$332,000. City of 17 Scranton DPW, road paving, \$645,000. 18 Scranton Tomorrow, business master plan, \$10,000. 19 20 United Neighborhood Centers, this is 21 a reduction in the public safety, United 22 Neighborhood Centers, SCOLA program, \$0. 23 Deutsch Institute, recreation activities, 24 \$15,000. 25 Additions to the public safety

1	item public service items: EOTC, adult
2	literacy program, \$15,000. Neighbor Works
3	NEPA, home ownership program, \$10,000.
4	MS. EVANS: We have a motion to
5	amend Item 7-B do we have a second?
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: All those in favor of
8	the motion to amend Item 7-B signify by
9	saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	What is the recommendation of the
17	Chair for the Committee on Community
18	Development?
19	MR. MCGOFF: It hasn't been read.
20	MR. ROGAN: We have to read it
21	first.
22	MS. EVANS: Oh, okay.
23	MS. KRAKE: Actually, I was supposed
24	to read it before Mr. Rogan started so
25	MR. ROGAN: My mistake.
	II

MS. KRAKE: That's okay, you gave me a break. So we'll just consider this the reading of it then, is that fine?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT- FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2012
-AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MS. EVANS: As amended.

MR. ROGAN: As amended.

	133
1	MR. JOYCE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
3	call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-B, as amended, legally and lawfully
15	adopted.
16	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
17	motion to take Resolution No. 44 of 2012
18	from the table.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
21	those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 2 3 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT-4 FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 44, 2012 5 (PREVIOUSLY TABLED) - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 6 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE 7 8 CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN 9 AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 10 11 150.35 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED 12 \$150,000.00 TO 520 MADISON AVENUE ASSOCIATES, LLC TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE 13 14 PROJECT. MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to amend 15 16 Item 7-C by deleting Exhibit A, loan 17 agreement, and inserting the corrected 18 Exhibit A loan agreement submitted by the 19 OECD Office Monday, October 22, 2012. 20 In the summary title after "Madison" 21 delete "after". 22 In the second whereas clause, fifth 23 line after Madison delete "Avenue." 24 In the now, therefore, clause, 25 fourth line after Madison delete "Avenue."

	135
1	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
3	those in favor of the motion to amend Item
4	7-C signify by saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	What is the recommendation of the
12	Chair for the Committee on Community
13	Development.
14	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Community Development, I
16	recommend final passage of Item 7-C, as
17	amended.
18	MR. JOYCE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

	136
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-C, as amended, legally and lawfully
7	adopted.
8	If there is no further business,
9	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
11	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
12	adjourned.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

CLKIIIICAIL

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER