		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, October 11, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. OFFICIAL COURT DESCRIPT	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		
ı		

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN (Not present) 

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	BREAKDOWN OF THE ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE
16	LIQUID FUELS ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF,
17	JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	SCRANTON FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
22	MEETING HELD AUGUST 22, 2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

1	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD AUGUST
2	22, 2012.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. CHECK RECEIVED FROM
6	LUTHERWOOD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,000.00, WHICH
7	IS PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES FOR THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON.
9	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
10	If not, received and filed.
11	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
12	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
13	AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD MEETING HELD
14	JUNE 21, 2012.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
18	REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
19	SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD SEPTEMBER
20	10, 2012.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. AGENDA OF THE
24	SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY'S REGULAR
25	MEETING HELD OCTOBER 3, 2012.
	$\Pi$

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Just very quickly, congratulations to the Steamtown Marathon Committee, another great race, both the men and the women's winners were local, Matte Burne, owner the Scranton Running Company, finished first in the men's division and Heidi Peeples won her third women's title in the marathon.

Also, a number of city employees were participants, Paul O'Hora had a personal best of somewhere around 250, Jack Davis was I believe, the Firemen Jack Davis was under three hours. Chief Davis was a little over three hours, and I know I'm going to leave people out, but it was a great race and a great day, and again, congratulations.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

22

23

24

25

McGoff. Is there anyone else? Councilman Rogan is unable to attend tonight's meeting, however, our business administrator, Mr. Ryan McGowan, is in attendance this evening, this is a particularly due to the fact that council tonight is considering emergency legislation for the passage of a commuter tax, and I'll talk more about that under the motions, but we thank our business administrator for his participation tonight, and it's important to note that this measure was included in the city's recovery plan and that the legislation is designed to give the administration the ability to petition the Court for the commuter tax.

The Out of the Darkness community walk for suicide prevention will be held this Saturday, October 13, at courthouse square in downtown Scranton. Registration is from 8 to 9 a.m. and the walk program begins at 9 a.m. You may also register online at Outofthedarkness.org.

Saints Peter and Paul Fall Festival and Bazaar will be conducted this Sunday,
October 14, from noon to 5:00 p.m. in the

church hall located at 1309 West Locust
Street in Scranton. Delicious homemade
pierogi, halushki, kielbasa, potato
pancakes, clam chowder, whimpies, roast beef
sandwiches and hot dolls will be served and
takeouts are available from 11:30 a.m. to
1:00 p.m.

In addition, the festival features a \$1,000 raffle, speciality baskets,

Portuguese delicacies, wheel of fortune, try
your luck give certificate stand, children's
corner, baked goods stand and a warm
welcoming autumn atmosphere. Everyone is
invited to this fun filled event.

And, oh, I have one more announcement that pertains to my colleague's announcement regarding the Steamtown Marathon, it seems that Jeremy Evans, son of Mr. Wayne Evans and nephew of Mrs. Krake, came in 15th in that race, and so we heartily congratulate Jeremy as well. And that's it.

MR. MCGOFF: My apologies to the Evans' family.

MS. EVANS: But they are not related

to me. And that's is.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Good evening, Council, I hate to go first. If I signed that list it would have been like four or five spaces from the top, I still wouldn't have been first.

Brother McGoff, I've opposed many of yours views and choices over the years and I've never confronted you, and I respect you being elected to that seat, and I know you have every right whatsoever to your views and opinions, but I find your support of the University and it's policies at this time very obscene. I read the article in the paper.

I'm not trying to -- this isn't a personal attack or nothing. I just -- I'm trying to be diplomatic so you don't get sore at me. They just can't be allowed to go on year after year after year with this policy that is written in stone of taking

over the city. I have talked to some business people and nobody seems to have faith in the city getting out of the dilemma it's in, the financial dilemma. You know, the city is defenseless against them and the council is drawing a line in the sand a few weeks ago and taking a stand had to be done. It just. You just can't go own on letting these bunch of phony nonprofits get away with murder like they have been.

You know, last week I gave an examples, if you go to that nonprofit page it's absolutely loaded with a bunch of phonies like the doctors I mentioned last week and restaurants and there is no way in the world they will fit under the -- they are not nonprofits, they are businesses, they are making money. What was done about it? Probably nothing. But that's why the city doesn't have any money to fix streets and pay salaries because of all of these parasites that have just eaten away at the base of the city and promised to do more like Lackawanna College going to grow 40 percent and the medical school wanting five

neighbors for a campus, then we have

Dr. Belardi, Jr., an office in the hospital badmouthing the city and it's policies. It just doesn't make sense. He uses our streets, the hospitals, the libraries, just police, he probably parks his car at Nay Aug, if a tree branch fell on it he would be using our insurance, but he doesn't want it pay for nothing.

Nobody wants to pay for anything, they just want to use up everything we got. I think if the county taxes went up a third in par with us, maybe the people would just feel differently out there and see, but what we do have three -- over 3,000 people not paying taxes and losing their houses right here in the city? I got another empty house in my neighborhood in the last few days. got houses that are supposed to be torn down, they're not. I think mentioned the real estate man told me several months ago my house is probably worth 25,000 less than the city appraised it for at this time and it's -- when I had the fire the insurance paid \$180,000 to rebuild it so it's a nice

house. It's nice and modern and everything is new. It's just not worth nothing anymore in this city. If it was in Dunmore or somewhere it probably would be very profitable to try to sell, but I don't want to get you mad at me, but I really feel that if you can't fight for this city and stand up like the rest of council you should give up your seat on that position immediately and let someone up there that wants to fight for this city and go against these nonprofits have it. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Evening.

MR. BOLUS: Regarding 8-c, I guess it would be, the commuter tax, I think it's an absolutely disgrace and a joke that this council or this administration would even consider imposing a tax such as this on people. We are where we are in this city because of the stupidity, the ignorance and

the inability of our leadership to manage our own assets and now we want people from other communities to pay the price to try and bail out a city that is ran backwards rather than forward or progressively.

Keep in mind, this city squandered 3 1/2 million of taxpayers' money from the golf course. To this date we have never heard where the interest of that money went to because nobody has a clue. Remember, the interest on that money was he to be paid for parks and recreation. It was a perpetual fund that the kids sweat and the residents suffered this year with one pool and you want people to pay the tax? You want people to bail us out?

What you are doing is driving a nail in the coffin of the City of Scranton. You are driving us deeper in debt. You are not pulling us out because you don't know how to manage the assets. It's a disgrace. We should hold our heads in shame. If I were other community leaders, I would impose a tax on every city resident in the City of Scranton to go to Clarks Summit, to go to

the Viewmont Mall on their other end or Dickson or Old Forge, make it a two-way street, see how we would like it. I don't think we would be pretty happy about it, but they manage their assets. What we are doing is just a total, total humility.

Scranton is a laughing stock, not only Scranton residents, but nationally. I have been in Florida and read about Scranton. It's a hell of thing for us to have to do to go to where we are today. If you pass this tonight I don't care what the Courts do, or what your stupid little budgets we're playing around with, this is wrong. Totally, totally wrong.

The University, Mr. McGoff said,

"Oh, we can't single the University out,"

but he made a statement that made sense last

night. He said, "Everybody has to pay."

And if Mr. McGoff and other people would pay attention we have raised that issue to pass a few collectively across everybody in the City of Scranton, the KOZ's the nonprofits, they all pay their fair share. Get creative in your thinking.

You're educated people. Start creating a fee that passes across everybody and can be used in the community, not just the specific clean air fee, for example, or the garbage fee that we pay. That's a taxation without representation and it should have been abolished. Yet you can put a fee on us for the garbage when we already pay taxes for it because you cannot manage your assets.

You destroying us. Not you or the administration, you are destroying the residents of the City of Scranton because we are going to suffer. People aren't going to come here. They are not going to shop and they sure and the heck aren't going to want to put a business here. Think about it. Pay attention what the economy is and where Scranton is.

Past councils and administrations
have put us where we are being ignorant,
being political and playing the good ole'
boy club. Millions of dollars at Nay Aug
Park just squandering the residents assets.
You have so many assets in this city and you
have ignored it. I raised an issue on East

Mountain for a piece of land the city owns and that's going to go to litigation because I'm going to get the answer now and you were offered over \$50,000 and it was ignored by your solicitor, by your administration, you need to get forceful and straighten our own house out. Don't ask somebody else to come in as a house cleaner and try to clean up our mess, and that's the people in the surrounding areas. And when you are doing that actually you are telling the people that work at the University of Scranton, "Your are going to pay a commuter tax to come to Scranton to work."

I said put a 1 percent fee on everybody, you want to put 2 percent, they are not going to be happy but you are taking it out on the businesses in this city who now have to spend their time and money, forget about what it's costing the taxpayer, take a business that has to do the police work, to do the dirty work in this city to compute the tax and pay it. That's an additional expense and burden on their part, and then if they screw it up, you are

penalized.

If I were most of the counties in this city I would stop doing business here because this city should go bankrupt, this city needs management, it may be need a trustee, but the ways you guys are running it and this administration you are killing us. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

The first thing I would like to do is I

would like to say that I'd like to give my

telephone 570-604-1212 and, you know, I'd

like -- I'd appreciate anybody who might

want to call me and give me their opinions

and really what I'm hoping is that on 5-C we

need to table that or vote it down. I am

totally against a commuter tax. I've come

to go this podium for a long -- very, very

long time.

Last week I did a Right-to-Know about Section 312 and 313 in the Home Rule Charter. I haven't received a response yet, but I don't ever remember any investigations being launched by council in all of the time

I have come here or any subpoenas being issued to anyone.

Now, I could be wrong, and that's why I have done the Right-to-Know because the city has been literally in distress since the 70's. We had a commuter tax once before, I agree with everything Mr. Bolus just said from the podium and I'm very troubled that we are going to pick on the people that are least able to defend themselves, which are the working class people, not only of this community but of all of the our communities who come here to work.

Now, I'm going to hope that the leadership in outlying communities don't try to enact a commuter tax because that's not a solution to this problem. The solution to this problem is to lobby the courts, hire a legal team, fight it out because really I think the city has been mismanaged, not just the golf course money, we have sold all of our assets. We have had terrible leadership in this community for a long time.

I mean, I can't imagine how we could

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a Home Rule Charter and how we could get where we are because, you know, everyone blames the mayor for where we are and I see it totally different because I see it as council having the obligation to do oversight and conduct investigations into the city's affairs and I just have never seen it happen.

And I agree with what Mr. Bolus also said about Nay Aug Park. The one thing he did miss is that the children were paying to swim there. Really, to be honest with you, the residents of this city get nothing for their tax money. Nothing. I mean, you know, somebody came up here and gave a figure that there were 25,000 homes in this city and allegedly people paid \$500 or less, so if you compute that or calculate it that's about 12 1/2 million, so how can we be spending all of this money? Council should have did something long ago. I mean, take a calculator and multiply 500 by 25,000 and see what number you come up with, but yet we are millions and millions, 122 million in debt there, allegedly somewhere

near 100 million in the pension fund.

And my other question is where was the PEL if they were the supposed to oversee all of this? So really what we have is a total breakdown in government across the very broad spectrum and the last person they are worried about evidently is the working core because Scranton has had a real wage problem for over -- for decades, going all the way back to the Scranton plan, which is long before my time.

And what I'm saying to this council is it's time to just table this, okay, and it's time to table a lot of things. I think council made a very serious error because they introduced the second recovery plan, I think it was dated the 23rd or 24th of August and never held a special meeting on it, and they determined that they were going to go with which plan, I don't know, the first recovery plan or the second one?

Because really all that matters here as far as this government is concerned is you want money. The city can't manage itself and all you want is everybody who

cannot defend themselves, property owners, wage earners, to just give you all of their money so that more can be squandered and the states just going to stand there and watch and do nothing because they sent the PEL here. I don't know what their plan was for the PEL because it hasn't worked and I haven't seen any -- I saw Mayor Connors' administration sanctioned when they did the American Anglican deal.

But to be honest with you this is a sham because you are just beating up the poor, helpless, defenseless people, the wage earners, and it's been going on in this community for generations and the people sit at home, and like I said before, you can elect all of the spaghetti dinner politicians you want, but what we really need are leaders, and we lack leaders across the whole spectrum of the country because too many people think only Democrats and only Republicans have answers and they have control over the political machine. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

2

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin with going back to a few comments I made last week regarding the University and the nonprofit discussion we have been having. You know, after I had gotten done speaking later on in the motions Mr. McGoff addressed a few of the questions I had regarding the University and, you know, with all due respect I would like to respond to the question I had was what has the University done for the City of Scranton for decades, and Mr. McGoff went on and talked about the Mulberry Street corridor and the project they have done over there recently and how going back 20, 25 years ago it was a blighted area, there were many issues over there and some problems. It was a haven for drug dealers and a lot of other chaos and today as we travel up there we see new sidewalks, street lighting, paving, and other -- a whole wide variety of other things.

But I think where we sort of mislead people and we mislead people into believing that that was done to better the Hill Section where this was done to benefit the University and their students, and I think that's where there is a misconception is, that we believe the University is making investments to better us when, quite frankly, that's not the case. They are doing this to better themselves and I think we need to clarify that with the public because I don't feel it's fair to mislead them into believing that this is going on because they are trying to help us out.

You know, we see how they had no problems spending millions of dollars on purchasing properties throughout the city, \$2 million for Adlin building and Jerry Zaboski, I believe his name is, the vide-president of the University was even quoted in the paper as saying that at this point in time they simply do not know what they are doing with that building, and that seems to be the case with a lot of the buildings they purchase in the community.

They never have plans for them, but they have no problem spending millions and millions on buying them and taking them off the tax rolls.

It's also my understanding, and I believe you can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe they purchased the Farley's building and yet they don't know what they are doing with that building as well.

Another property that will be taken off the tax rolls, and yet, they can't give the city more than \$175,000 a year, and it just truly, truly, truly upsets me knowing they have no problem spending millions on buildings that they have no idea what they are doing with, yet they can't contribute to a city that's financially in disarray.

And that's all I have to say on that and you know where stand on them, I'm totally disgusted with it, but I know we have a council committed to going after them and holding them accountable, they will pay their fair share and we are going to continue to vigorously pursue all of avenues to make sure that they do because we have

four individuals that are committed to doing so.

Onto the commuter tax, obviously that's the issue tonight, 5-C on the agenda, we are dealing with legislation that's been drafted, to pretty much take this to the next step which is asking Lackawanna County Court for approval of this tax, and for months and months we have had a lot of criticism, people at the podium, the media and from those outside of the city who have had objections to the commuter tax, raising issues that they don't find it fair to penalize others outside of the city for our financial problems.

And I think everybody knows at this point in time my stance on it and I will repeat it once again. I disagree. I look at it from a different standpoint. I don't believe we are penalizing anyone outside of the city and making them suffer the consequences. There is no secret, we know the situation we are in, and it's the result of decades of fiscal mismanagement by the administration, the Doherty administration,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

past rubber stamp councils who blindly rubber stamped legislation, allowed the borrowing and spending to go out of control and we wonder why we are in the situation we are in today.

And, you know, for weeks I have listened to people come up here and criticize this council as if they have had something to do with our financial problems and they are totally misinformed. take the blinders off and if we pay attention we would clearly see that in the last two and a half years this council has taken drastic measures to reduce the burden on the taxpayers of this city. While we want to come up here and we want to whine and cry about a commuter tax and increases in taxes, why don't we take a trip back to I know for some people they might want to forget about that, but I don't. I can recall council majority coming in and drafting a 2010 budget that cut the property taxes for the residents of this city by 10 percent or nearly 11 percent, I believe it was 10.55, but, Mr. Joyce, I'm sure you

would correct me if I'm wrong on that,
nearly 11 percent tax reduction for the
residents of this city and yet we never hear
anything about that.

We never hear about council taking steps to help businesses by cutting the business privilege tax and yet we want to come up here and we want to point the finger at a council majority that has done everything in their power to reduce the burden. I don't forget it. As a matter of fact, I'll continue to remind people of that. It feels like there is less uproar -- there was less uproar back in 2007 when our property taxes were raised 26 percent by Mr. McGoff and his fellow rubber stampers. It seems we want to forget about that.

You know, I think it's absolutely ludicrous to criticize council, whether it's the media or people at this podium or those outside of the city who notoriously like to tell us how they feel we should run our city. Throughout the whole process, I never heard an alternative. You are against the commuter tax, you are against this revenue

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enhancements, you are against that revenue enhancement, but did you ever take the time to do the homework and come up with a plan yourself?

I understand we not elected officials, and that's what I'm constantly faced with that is that statement, we are not elected officials. I understand that, but at the same time when you are critical and you are against something you come up with a plan yourself. We didn't have that. So in the future if we are going to come forward and we are going to be against the commuter tax or anything else come forward with a plan yourself. What do you suggest we do? If we don't implement the commute tax that's \$12 million of revenue we lose out over three years, where do you suggest we come up with \$12 million?

We have financial obligations.

Unfortunately, the administration has caused us to be in the situation, so I'm asking tonight without a commuter tax where do you come up with the \$12 million and I'd like somebody to come forward and tell me how you

1 plan on doing. MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller. 2 3 MR. MILLER: Thank you, and to council, keep doing what you are doing, stay 4 5 the course and don't be sidetracked by the 6 criticism. Thank you. MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 7 8 cares to address council? 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, 10 Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident 11 and taxpayer. I'd like to pick up a little 12 bit from where I left off last week. 13 Mr. Joyce, you said you would find out about 14 the new parking -- when the new parking meter evaluation was to begin and when is 15 16 that and how long will it last? 17 MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGowan, you can 18 correct me if I'm wrong, IPS was the bidder 19 chosen; correct? 20 MR. MCGOWAN: Yes. We were working 21 through a contract right now. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: So it's going to be 23 this winter? 24 MR. JOYCE: Are we looking at this 25 winter?

Ι

1 MR. MCGOWAN: Roughly, probably at 2 this point. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: What's the duration of the trial? 4 5 MR. MCGOWAN: 60 days. MS. SCHUMACHER: 6 Okay. And then I 7 asked last week, too, how close we are to 8 the our ceiling under the Unit Debt Act. 9 You, now, it's really tragic that here we 10 are in October, and I believe I read in the 11 paper this week that we are not going to have an audit until the end of November. 12 mean, how can we calculate what our 13 14 borrowing base is or our how much debt we have without the audit? So what did you 15 16 find out about how close we are including 17 the borrowing for this year? 18 MR. JOYCE: I'm still looking into 19 it that. MS. SCHUMACHER: 20 I did some 21 calculations, I came on the city alone to 22 within \$10 million, so I could be wrong, but 23 if I had the tools to work with that we 24 should have had months ago I would have it, 25 but I just think -- I don't know. I just

don't know if we are at the point where we should about bankrupt now or bankrupt later. We just -- if something falls out I don't know where we stand, but I guess we will wait and see.

And just a general question, now that the process of converting from a two way to a class three municipality has been underway for several months, is someone able to report on when this process should be completed?

MS. EVANS: I don't have any information for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Have you received the status of all of the loans made through OECD --

MS. EVANS: Not yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- that was requested last year. Okay. Here is one that disturbs me, so I'll just read this, this is from September 6. Mrs. Evans, you said, "Again, with my colleagues' agreement I would like a letter to sent to Ms. Aebli requesting responses unanswered questions contained in our August 28 letter. When as

the last payment made on that loan," we are talking about the 408 Cedar Avenue property, "what was the balance on the loan prior to the sale of the property, and who would be legally responsible for payment in full? Include an additional question, please, Mrs. Krake, will OECD take legal action to recover the remaining balance on that loan."

It's my understanding maybe only \$20,000 roughly was paid on that what I believe was a quarter million. Do you have the -- Ms. Aebli is usually pretty prompt, do you have the letter that responds --

MS. EVANS: She did respond, I don't have it with me this evening. I can report on it next week for you or actually show you the letter, but all of the questions were not answered. I believe that she indicated the property was sold during was it the county --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, the sheriff's sale.

MS. EVANS: Yes. And that the amount of money the city realized from that sale I believe was \$8,000, but as you

indicated I wanted to know who remains responsible for that loan. Well, we are calling it a loan, I believe she is calling it a grant and --

MS. SCHUMACHER: It was grant money but we loaned it.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and I agree with you, so I still had several questions and we sent those to her, I believe, and I haven't gone through my mail this evening, but prior to that I didn't receive a second response to those additional questions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Maybe you could send out another because I think that's important, as are the other loans that may be delinquent or late payers.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I know things are bad, but -- and then, of course, again, it's no surprise I have been saying we shouldn't be trying to balance our budget with 5-C, it is taxation without representation. I think it's immoral and I just would encourage you to not -- to not pass this and especially as an emergency. I mean, we have known this

was coming since the revised recovery plan was passed and this was part of it, so I don't how we can consider this an emergency tonight when you have one -- I think people have the right to know how their council people that they voted for would vote on this and Mr. Rogan is missing tonight and I think he should -- we should have at two readings, if not three, to allow people to respond.

And then I have on other question which is on going back to the I guess it was three weeks ago, the 20th of September when the solicitor expounded on what the City of Scranton and the Scranton Redevelopment did in adopting the University plan and admitting that there were stately homes that were in that area and it was adopted as a blighted area even though there were -- I'll follow up and finish with this, but even though there were decent homes that were still paying taxes in that area.

Now, Mr. Hughes has also said that he wants to go after all of the variances, but I remember back -- well, I think it was

right after this council was elected,

Mrs. Evans, you and Mr. Hughes negotiated

something with the University of the

Scranton and I don't remember precisely, it

was having to do with those dorms though

that increased the payment in lieu of taxes

from I believe 110 to 175 from the

University, why didn't the council act at

that time if council had the authority to

turn down that variance? Why wasn't the

variance turned for those dormitories

challenged back then because those are

that are used for the University?

Council has no control over that.

really the only major buildings they have

MR. HUGHES: If I could, I want to go home and watch the debate, but I'll be very blunt and quick. Council had nothing to do with the variance. There is an appeal period that council did not go to that hearing, so that it did not have any standing to challenge the variance, the variance was already granted by the zoning board. Council has no control over that of the zoning board is an independent agency.

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, what I stated, and it has been so misinterpreted, I mean, I just love people from out of the area and out of the state, experts commenting on what I said when they never even knew what I said, what I said is that council should oppose every use variance, not every variance. We want to see every variance that's coming through, but the opposition should be to a use variance so you can't put a seven-story dormitory in a R-2 zone where the limit of any building is only 40 feet and that dormitory never should have been built on the northerly side of Mulberry Street. was a use variance. That use is not Use variances are an extreme permitted. exception under the zoning law.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But, Counsel, in second order -- or third order, I'm sorry, we get all of the these read including the agenda of what the board is going to do and I don't understand why.

MR. HUGHES: And I said at this time now what we'll do is if there is a use variance when we get everything I'll go in

and I'll oppose a use variance. It shouldn't be granted. Any use variance to any nonprofit, no matter who it is, should be denied. They should not allowed to expand where the use is not permitted in that zone. The University of Scranton has outgrown its zone.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand that.

MR. HUGHES: And now to go on the northerly side of Mulberry Street and even put a parking lot in there, that's not permitted in an R-2 zone. The city should oppose it until the University of Scranton comes in and says, "We should be the champion to go out for the city and get all of the nonprofits to contribute \$2 million."

They should be the one that should be spearheading the whole deal. They are biggest ones. They have a quarter of a billion dollar budget. They have a bigger budget than the city, the county and the school district combined and probably multiplied by one and a half.

MS. SCHUMACHER: One last question, because the Commonwealth Medical College do

1	they have an institutional footprint, too?
2	MR. HUGHES: I don't know.
3	MS. SCHUMACHER: I think that might
4	be
5	MR. HUGHES: They might be in an
6	institutional district because where they
7	were that was on school district property I
8	believe where it was built.
9	MS. SCHUMACHER: But they are also
10	expanding, so I think we should
11	MR. HUGHES: The same would apply to
12	them.
13	MS. SCHUMACHER: find that out.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there
16	anyone else?
17	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet.
18	MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris.
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, welcome back,
20	Buddy.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
22	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I missed you all
23	week, Jackie.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: You would have had
25	the bell on the West Side if you suited up

1	that night.
2	MR. SLEDENZSKI: They played
3	pathetic, they blew the game. Frank, they
4	blew it.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Two tough teams,
6	Buddy .
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: For you two, I have
8	two sheets.
9	MR. JOYCE: Thanks, Chrissy.
10	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, I'll tell
11	you, Jack, that' sit. Three games left,
12	boys, one games. We're going win all this
13	year. West is going all the way this year.
14	Thank you very much.
15	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris. Is
16	there anyone else?
17	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
18	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do
19	you have any comments or motions?
20	MR. MCGOFF: I will wait until the
21	legislation to comment on those. Thank you.
22	MS. EVANS: Councilman Loscombe, do
23	you have any comments or motions?
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a couple
25	briefly, and I will comment on the

22

23

24

25

legislation when it comes up for that, but, first of all, I understand that the person that was responsible for the arson fire in West Side was convicted today, and I would like to send my heartfelt thank you, and I know it's from every citizen in Scranton to our police and firefighters who responded to that horrific scene that evening the resulted in the death of two young children. The hard work the police and firefighters did not only at the scene, what they had to remember from what they seen there, their representation in Court to rehash the whole scenario with their continuing investigations and arson fire is really one of the hardest to prosecute. So, you know, all of the diligent hard work on behalf of the prosecutors, firefighters and the police that were involved in this I think all citizens deserve to give them a thank you for the resultant sentence.

Also, I have a letter from the

Keyser Valley Community Center -- Keyser

Valley Citizens' Association, I'm sorry, and

it's addressed to me, Councilman Jack

Loscombe.

"Dear Councilman Loscombe and city council members, my name is Bill McDonald of West Scranton and I write to you as vice-president of the Keyser Valley Citizens' Association. The Keyser Valley Citizens' Association has recently become aware of the documented fact that the only West Scranton firehouse on Luzerne Street has been closed during the past three months of July, August, and September an alarming 82 percent of the time or 77 out of 92 days.

Our organization has been aware of the station closings as we individually drive by since the neighbor next door to the station notifies passerby with a large sign on their fence. We had no idea of the magnitude of closings until we inquired.

West Scranton fire safety meeting on
Wednesday, October 17, at the Keyser Valley
Community Center at 7:00 p.m. to discuss
this very important concern. We would
invite Fire Chief Davis and Mayor Doherty to
address this situation and answer citizens

questions. As a neighborhood association, we do not want to wait until something bad happens before we address this situation.

Sincerely, Bill McDonald, vice-president of the Keyser Valley Citizens' Association."

So I know there has been a lot of people in the west side questioning the closings, the amount of closings and stuff like that, just as happened up in the East Mountain, and this station on Luzerne Street covers quite a wide area from the Taylor line all the way up to Newtown Ransom, up the old sanatarium way, there is lot of areas on that west mountain where there are no hydrants, there is it no water for protection.

So is it does -- you know, I think we have been very fortunate citywide that we haven't had a major disaster and everyone knows how I have been preaching about this for quite some time now. I think our major problem was to turn back over 3 1/2 million for the SAFER grant which would have kept these stations open. I don't know if there is lack of communication at the top or what

the problem was, but it was a very, very bad decision that can place -- that has placed a lot of lives at risk.

Fortunately, it hasn't come to that yet but, unfortunately, it may and then it's too late and now the people in Keyser Valley are coming together. They are coming together next Wednesday at 7:00 p.m. and are inviting anyone from the west side area to attend this meeting, voice their concerns and to, like I said, they are inviting Fire Chief Davis and Mayor Doherty and I will be there as chairman of the Public Safety Committee on this board to represent us and anyone else is also welcome to come.

But this is a serious issue and it's tough and we are getting into the winter heating season now, which is even worse.

The holiday season people start turning their furnaces on and stuff, and again, as I stated before, with the closure of these stations people in other sections of town who currently have their truck in the station might feel comforted. However, probably 80 percent of the time now more so

than before their company is responding to another part of town, so the jeopardy is throughout the whole city.

It's a sad state of affairs, but the money was in place to open all these stations and it was given back, just like we could have had more police officers on the street with COM-D funding but somebody missed the ball there, we would have 15 for the price of two, now we are paying for six to have six. It doesn't make sense. Who is going to be at fault when something happens? Who is going to get the blame? Where is all of the yelling going to come from.

To make matters even worse, I think everyone knows here if you have a home and you leave it empty for a period of time it starts to deteriorate. Well, we could only imagine with the amount of time that these fire stations have been closed the deterioration that has been taking effect in these buildings. I actually went through three stations on the west side today, and I have to tell you, I'm appalled. Because of the amount of time they are closed when a

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

home is occupied the way it's setup the firefighters that man that station 24 hours a day, seven days a week maintain that house. They do all of the house cleaning, they by their own supplies, they keep everything up-to-date, it's their own house. They're a tenant in a city property basically.

What the city has done now is thrown that tenant out for three or four weeks at Do you know what happens when your time. house is closed up for three to four weeks at a time? Not only the deterioration from the elements, the invasion of rodents. would not believe what I happened to see in each of these stations. Right now they are unfit for humanity. They should be condemned. I have seen the city condemn homes that were in better shape than what these fire stations are right now.

The men aren't there to maintain them, they are the city's property, and the city has not maintained them. Not only are they having problems with rats and mice. I mean, they moved the crew from Engine 9,

which was actually Engine 8's crew because they have been keeping Engine 9 stationed with one company and closing the one up on Market Street, closing the one on Luzerne Street, keeping engine -- moving Engine 8 to Engine 9's station on Main Avenue centrally located on the West Side.

Well, I believe it was yesterday's shift one of the firefighters happened to be sitting on the chair and he had a guest crawl all over him right out of the chair. Then they happened to see a rat and they found more and more. They went over to Engine 7, I got photos, Folks, you would not believe it. So the answer today was to send the crew from Engine 9 up to Engine 8, work out of Engine 8.

So right now whole West Side is covered from Market Street so if you live down near the Taylor border you are praying for who gets their first, Engine 2 from South Side or Engine 8 from Market Street. But the ironic thing is when they went to open Engine 8 station they found a couple of dead rodents. It's a problem.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, they could say it's the budget, they could say whatever, but I don't think anyone should have to stay in a property that's unfit for habitation like that and I don't believe it is the responsibility of those firefighters actually who operate out of those stations because they are out of there. To come back one day and find five weeks worth of mess.

It appears that the buildings themselves have been left go structurally, maintenance wise as I far as I can remember. There is leaks in ceilings. I mean, you know what happens there, look, they are closing schools because of that, the mold I don't know what the answer developing. I think we should have a very fast is. meeting with the administration, get an inspection agency in there and get a professional cleaning agency in these buildings and get them fit to let our men return and work out of them and if they are going to keep them closed they have to have somebody maintain these buildings.

But I happened to see a lot of

structural deficiencies, which I did take pictures, so I think an engineer has to look at these buildings, too. Engine 9 is settling quite a bit and not only the mouse and rat problem, but I think this is a lot of structural problems over there.

Definitely, you know, we are fighting budgetary battles every day, but these are firehouses to protect the firefighters, to protect you and the equipment, and we have doors that the strings are broken. I believe it's rescue where there is one spring and it's ready to go, the other one is gone. Now, what's going to happen, right now they can probably fix that for \$200, what's going to happen by letting it go when that door comes down on that \$500,000 rescue truck? Or it comes down on one of the men working there.

The problem is we can resolve these problems for dollars and they are letting them go to where they become costly. I don't know what the answer is, but I think we should have some kind of an emergency meeting, I'll discuss it with my colleagues

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

later, with the administration, with the firefighters and do whatever we can to get these buildings up to shape so they could occupy them when they have to protect the residents they serve.

Not only that, there is several pieces of equipment that are still down that need repairs, we have to address that, as well as a number of the police vehicles. We are letting our public safety departments and fleets by the wayside. It's been a history for the last 10, 12 years. I don't know what it is. There hasn't been anything in the budget for increases up until the Supreme Court ruling for police and fire budgets, for payments, and it appears there hasn't been a penny in the budget to repair any of the structures. I'm even talking cosmetic repairs. For the most part on the interiors the firefighters have historically taken care of the buildings themselves, painted them, did repairs, stuff like that, but they cannot touch the exteriors, they cannot do the structural stuff and they cannot maintain these buildings when they

are gone from there for long periods of time.

I just wanted to let everybody know the state of what's going on here. And again, you know, the administration could turn around and say, well, We don't have money because of the Supreme Court ruling. We don't have money because of the budget cuts."

And again, the Supreme Court ruling was ruled by majority of the Supreme Court justices who obviously felt that the city had acted in bad faith for over ten years when all they are requesting, the police and firefighters, was a minimum cost of living increase and I guess the Supreme Court was so exasperated with what they witnessed through the proceedings that that's when they slapped the \$34 million award on the city.

And for the administration to turn around and blame the police and firefighters for those costs, the police and firefighters know where the costs came from, the inactivity of the city to fairly negotiate

1

5 6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of this time. They let it go to the Supreme Court and in spite of all of that the police and firefighters sat down and negotiated with us, with the administration and reduced that award by over \$15 million, and I think that's applaudable. Many people say, "Oh, they shouldn't have taken anything."

But, you know, ten years without a basic cost of living increase and now to live in the conditions and work in the conditions they are, OSHA would have those buildings condemned, but I don't know how our License and Inspection Department right now can leave those buildings open in the condition they are in when I have seen them condemn properties in this city for much, much less. I think it's a sin, it's ashame, and we definitely have to sit down immediately and resolve this issue because it's a safety issue for the public that are being protected on that side on for the gentlemen and ladies that work in these departments, and I guess that's all I have to say on that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. As one knows on tonight's agenda there is a legislation for a commuter tax on residents -- or an income tax. In the recovery plan that was drafted by the administration and Scranton City Council, the commuter tax is an integral part of the plan's implementation.

In 2013, according to PEL, the Pennsylvania Economy League, the commuter tax is expected to generate \$2.5 million in revenue for the City of Scranton. In the following years 2014 and 2015, the commuter tax is expected to generate \$4 million in revenue for the City of Scranton.

In order for the City of Scranton to implement this tax, it is required that the City of Scranton gains Court approval every year while the tax is implemented. Scranton City Council has been advised by the administration that it is important that legislation is passed quickly since budget season is fast approaching and the

administration needs to get a court date since the increase in the tax need court approval before implementation.

In order for the city to implement the tax before January of 2013, this legislation needs to be passed now. It was anticipated that the legislation would go through three readings, however, it has taken the law department time to draft the appropriate legislation. The commuter tax is an important part of the recovery plan. Without it, we would need to fill a \$2.5 million hole for next year, which would likely come in the form of a tax increase.

Secondly tonight, Scranton City

Council received correspondence from Tax

Collector Bill Courtright regarding the

Scranton Single Tax Office collections and

distributions for the period ending on

September 30, 2012.

First, in regard to the real estate tax collection for this year so far the tax office has collected \$11,852,412.28 in current real estate taxes. In the same period last year, the tax office collected

\$10,965,343.96.

With this in mind, there has been an overall increase in real estate tax collections of approximately \$887,068.32 thus far. This is an increase in collections of approximately 8.1 percent for the same period last year.

Secondly, in regard to the delinquent real estate tax collections for the same period last year so far the tax office has collected -- or for this period this year the tax office has collected \$476,031.45. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$550,938.74.

With in this mind, there has been an overall decrease in delinquent real estate collections of \$74,907.29 thus far. This is a decrease in collections of approximately 13.6 percent in the same period last year.

Third, in regard to the local service tax for this year so far the tax office has collected \$1,143,385.82. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$1,376,145.74.

With this in mind, there has been an

overall decrease in the local service tax collection of \$232,759.92 thus far. This is a decrease in collections of approximately 16.9 percent from the same period last year.

Further, in regard to the business privilege and mercantile taxes, for this year so far the tax office has collected \$1,746,933.69. In the same period last year the tax office collected \$1,497,417.

With this in mind, there has been an overall increase of \$249,516.69 in business privilege and mercantile collections. This is an increase in collections of approximately 16.7 percent from the same period last year.

Also to report, the Scranton Single
Tax Office has collected \$6,134,771.71 this
year in earned income taxes. As far as
offering comparison to the amount of taxes
collected last year, it would not be
comparing apples to apples or oranges to
oranges since Berkheimer took over the
collection of 2012 earned income taxes. The
\$6,134,771.71 collected by the Single Tax
Office this year is primarily fourth quarter

earned income tax receipts from 2011 that were paid this year.

Office in regard to the real estate tax, the delinquent real estate tax from 2011, the local service tax, the business privilege and mercantile tax, and the business privilege and mercantile tax, the Scranton Single Tax Office has collected a total of \$15,218,763.24. In the same period for these taxes last year, the Scranton Single Tax Office had collected \$14,389,845.44 in these taxes.

This is an approximate increase in overall tax collections of 5.8 percent in the same period last year for the tax office.

Third, Northeast Revenue has submitted two reports to Scranton City Council regarding the delinquent tax collections for the period ending on 9-30-2012. As one may or may not know, Northeast Revenue collects and distributes delinquent real estate tax collection for the City of Scranton with the exception of

the 2011 real estate delinquent real estate tax collections which, of course, are collected by the Single Tax Office.

Also, as one may or may not know, the revenue collected by Northeast Revenue is not all distributed to the City of Scranton. Northeast Revenue distributes all delinquent tax collections for the years 2004 '5 and '6 directly to Pennstar Bank. This is a due to the Scranton Redevelopment Authorities default on the loan taken out previously to cover the advanced sale of delinquent taxes which must be paid back.

For the years of 2004, '5 and '6 for the period ending on 9-30-2012, Northeast Revenue collected and distributed \$13,977.52 to Pennstar Bank. This includes \$2,829.97 in delinquent taxes from 2004, \$4,213.25 in delinquent taxes from 2005 and \$5,704.32 in delinquent taxes from 2006.

For all other years, with the exception of 2011, for the period ending on 9-30-2012, Northeast Revenue collected and distributed \$79,619.23 to the City of Scranton. The majority of these tax

collections came from the years 2010, 2007 and 1998. As one may or may not know, with the scope of work currently going on in the Business Administrator's office regarding the unfunded borrowing and other pressing matters, the administration has been behind on audit issues. Scranton City Council has received an update from Rossi & Rossi regarding the audit status at the present time. A summary of the contents of the Rossi & Rossi's letter is as follows:

In Rossi & Rossi's January 26

letter, the original letter that they sent,

Rossi & Rossi stated in this order to issue
the December 31, 2011, audited financial
statements by May 31, 2012, the outline of
the 2011 audit timetable contained in the
letter had to be adhered to. Because Rossi
& Rossi have not received the information on
a timely basis, the completion of the audit
and financial statements has been delayed.

Rossi & Rossi further provided a list of open items required to be completed that have been not yet been completed as of October 3, 2012.

As of the March 31, 2012, the following were to be completed that are still not yet completed: Finalized fixed asset schedules and entries necessary to report activity for GASB 34 conversion including an infrastructure reporting, actuarial calculation of the GASB 45 post-employment benefits.

In addition to the aforementioned, that were memos from Rossi & Rossi that were still open as per the date of their letter to the city. The memos that were open as of the date of the letter were as follows: The April 25 memo on special city's fund demolition, the April 25 memo on special city's fund fire loss security account, the April 25 memo on special city's fund inactive accounts.

In the letter Rossi & Rossi asked our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, to provide expected completion dates for the open items because Rossi & Rossi needs to coordinate requests for attorney letters responses near the issuance of the audit report.

After receipt of the open items and audit testing is completed Rossi & Rossi will issue a completed financial -- or complete financial statements and present a draft of the full financial statements for the city to review and complete with management discussion analysis section of the audit report.

The financial statements, according to Rossi & Rossi could not be issued until they have received attorneys' responses to audit requests letters, the required city's management discussion analysis of the financial statement, and the city's representation letter and exit conference is held.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake,
please follow up with Ryan McGowan and ask
him for a timeline of when the open items
can be expected to be completed. And that's
all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Included on tonight's agenda are two loans from the Scranton Office of Economic and Community Development to city

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Both loans are in the amount businesses. \$150,000 and provide a term of 15 years as well as a 2.5 percent interest rate. recipients of the loans are Freckles and Frills, a DayCare facility in South Scranton, and 520 Madison Avenue Associates, LLC, a bed and breakfast in East Scranton. The latter project was denied a loan in April 2012 by city council due to delinquent taxes owned on the property, which were later paid.

It is to council's credit that it discovered tax delinquencies and declined approval of OECD loans or state grants to Mulberry Lofts and 520 Madison Avenue Associates until taxes owed to the city, school district and county were paid in full.

Since OECD submitted legislation to city council for loans to individuals or groups that were tax delinquent in 2011 and 2012, city council requests proof of paid taxes for all proposed OECD loans.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Ms. Aebli requesting that a copy

of paid receipts for taxes is attached to legislation that is submitted to Scranton City Council by the office of Economic and Community Development for any and all loans and grants provided and/or facilitated by the Scranton OECD.

Also, included in council's agenda this evening is a return to the table in Seventh Order of clerical union contract. The legislation was previously tabled because city council and the union were involved in litigation. Fortunately, the litigation has been dropped and council is now able to take it's final vote.

Additionally, the mayor sent emergency legislation to city council regarding the increase in earned income tax for nonresident to work in Scranton. It is necessary to move the legislation by emergency in order that the city would receive a Court date in 2012.

Further, the commuter tax is contained in the city's revised recovery plan which was previously approved in August 2012. This legislation allows for the

administration to petition the Court for permission to enact a tax in 2013.

I just want to add to that, the city anticipates a commuter tax lasting no longer than a three-year period and as was said earlier each year the city must petition the Court once again for the continuation of the commuter tax.

Next, throughout the week Council Solicitor Hughes and I continue to discuss the status of the local nonprofits and at this time I call upon Attorney Hughes for his comments and advice.

MR. HUGHES: I think it was two
Saturdays ago I went up to the DeNaple's
Center at the University of Scranton. I
went to Chick-fil-A it was quarter to three
in the afternoon. The area in there was
jammed with people. I had to wait in line
to buy a Chick-fil-A, both to get the
Chick-fil-A and also to pay for it.

I looked around, as I said, it was about quarter to three in the afternoon.

There were substantial number of students and other people there. I went into the

book store, actually my wife and I did, and she purchased something in there as a baby gift with the University of Scranton logo on it, and after that we have done some investigation.

We have determined that the
Chick-fil-A is operated by a franchisee. I
would believe the same is so for the
operation of the Quizno's, the Starbucks,
the other area there where they sell pizza,
I don't see a franchise on that. I have
done further investigation and it is -- I
have been informed that the book store is
not owned by the University of Scranton,
that is leased out, it's a leased operation.

Based on my experience I would -- it would be my educated opinion or guess, I was going to say, that there was probably a base lease rent plus a percentage override to the University of Scranton for these leased operations. There is also a small mini-mart there. I say a mini-mart, you know, in the area where like a convenience store, it sells soda and other items. I do not know if that's a leased operation, but I think

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one thing that should be done is that all of these operators of all of these stores if they are leased operations, if they are franchisees and they lease the space from the University of the Scranton they should be paying mercantile taxes to the City of Scranton.

I think that the Single Tax Office, I think a letter would be in place in order to have Mrs. Krake write to the Single Tax Office to determine if any of these businesses, and they are businesses, in the DeNaple's Center are paying -- are filing their tax returns to the city, if they are paying the required taxes, and I also think that they should look at it and get a copy of the leases to see exactly what the leases I would be extremely naive to think that these spaces are leased for a small consideration. There aren't too many restaurants in downtown Scranton on a Saturday afternoon at 2:30 or 3:00 that are jammed with people, tables full and people buying, you know, Quiznos, buying hoagies, you know, chicken sandwiches, pizza.

I think this a big money making operation. I think it should be looked into by the Single Tax Office. Maybe they have been paying their, you know, their business taxes, but at least we'll find out and if they are not, I think a Single Tax Office should go up there, take a look at every one of those businesses and if they haven't been paying their taxes make sure they pay the taxes and they should probably also get a copy of the lease to see exactly if there a percentage override on their gross sales to the University of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Solicitor Hughes.

MR. MCGOFF: May I?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: And just to answer part of that, in the economic impact the University of Scranton sent to us, it did indicate that Aramark, which is the cafeteria and Follet, which is the book store, pay local services tax and mercantile tax. I don't know if -- it doesn't include any of the other franchise situations.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I would state that Aramark is not the franchisee for Chick-fil-A.

MR. MCGOFF: No, I'm saying that, that's the cafeteria.

MR. HUGHES: I think it should be looked into it and see what is.

MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely.

MR. HUGHES: And certainly if that's being run on a lease basis that section should not be exempt from real estate taxes. Any nonprofit that has -- even the Scranton Parking Authority, even though the Scranton Parking Authority is exempt from real estate taxes, the areas that they have leased out for commercial operations are taxable and they pay a real estate tax on that.

Now, it's a pass through tax because it's assessed by the Assessor's Office and to the Scranton Parking Authority in accordance with their leases they then pass that through to the tenant to pay their pro rata share of the real estate taxes. If it applies to the Scranton Parking Authority

the same should apply to the University of Scranton on the first floor of the DeNaple's Center. That should be taxed. And, you know, in fact, I think the Scranton Parking Authority has a better exemption than with the University of Scranton.

That's my opinion. I think that it should be looked into and certainly if there is businesses operating up there that are independent businesses under the lease agreements they should be paying their taxes to the city. They certainly put enough of businesses out of business up there --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: -- small restaurants and everything else that no longer exist, that they should be taxed. And I think the area the county should look into taxing, you know, the first floor of that center for real estate tax purposes.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, on behalf of Scranton City Council, if you could draft that letter please to the Single Tax Office and I ask that you would speak with Solicitor Hughes, I know that you were out

of room temporarily when he was discussing this matter, so that we can be certain to include all the points made by our solicitor.

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Finally,
Mrs. Krake, please provide council members
at your earliest convenience with copies of
the city's noise ordinance. Because of the
number of citizens' complaints we have
received concerning boom cars, we should
review the ordinance to be certain it
addresses the nightly disturbance, and if
so, then we can forward it to the police
department for enforcement. If it is not,
if the language doesn't specifically address
this problem then I think council should
look toward amending it.

Also, I will forward citizens' requests submitted by e-mail to our office for notification to the appropriate department heads, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 46, 2012, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL

1	NO. 33, 2012 ENTITLED "ESTABLISHING A NO
2	PARKING ZONE IN THE 900 BLOCK OF NORTH
3	WASHINGTON AVENUE (S.R. 3023) ON THE WESTERN
4	MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET PURSUANT TO THE
5	HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT APPLICATION OF THE
6	COMMONWEALTH MEDICAL COLLEGE FROM SR 3023
7	SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT
8	0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR A DISTANCE OF TWO
9	HUNDRED NINETEEN (219) FEET" TO CORRECT THE
10	INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENT NUMBERS OF SR
11	3023" TO CORRECT THE INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED
12	OFFSET NUMBERS FOR THE NO PARKING ZONE.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
15	introduced into its proper committee.
16	MR. MCGOFF: So moved.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
19	those in favor of introduction signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE: PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2013 FOR RESIDENTS, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY SOLICITOR TO PETITION THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY TO INCREASE THE NONRESIDENT EARNED INCOME TAX FROM ONE PERCENT (1% TO TWO PERCENT (2%EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED.

1 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. MCGOFF: So moved. MR. LOSCOMBE: 5 Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 13 have it and so moved. 14 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 15 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A 16 17 LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE 18 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.35 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO 19 20 EXCEED \$150,000.00 TO 520 MADISON AVENUE 21 ASSOCIATES, LLC TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT. 22 23 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

	12
1	MR. MCGOFF: So moved.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
4	those in favor of introduction signify by
5	saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
9	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE
12	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
13	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
14	LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
15	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT LOAN
16	PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.34 IN AN AMOUNT NOT
17	TO EXCEED \$150,000.00 TO FRECKLES AND
18	FRILLS, INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
19	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
21	introduced into its proper committee.
22	MR. MCGOFF: So moved.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I'd like a brief

comment, where Freckles and Frills is moving to is the former St. John's Parish Center. They purchased it and I believe in 2010, so what they are doing is they are taking a piece of property that was off the tax rolls, they have done amazing renovations to it, and are placing it back on the tax rolls and it's really a remarkable job that they have done with that Parish Center to put it into a very functional child care center.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to suspend the rules to move Item 5-C to Sixth and Seventh Order to be considered for final passage based on the attached emergency certificate.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All

those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

At this time, would anyone like to address council on the emergency legislation?

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll just reiterate what I said before, I don't think this is an emergency at all. The recovery plan was passed, well, many weeks ago and this is known that had to be here, we have done it before a decade ago or almost two decades ago and this should have been on the agenda before and it should have had three orders. I'm really disappointed that this council has probably set a record for ramming ordinances through with three readings in one night and it's very disappointing. Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller. Just to basically reiterate

what I said earlier, this is a piece of

24 25

legislation that we have had ample time to discuss and, you know, I definitely take issue with the comment that this council has an issue of ramming ordinances when, in fact, a recovery plan that we have been discussing for months now we have all had the time to come forward and raise any objections we have had to the commuter tax or any other part of the recovery plan.

We need the revenue, as I stated. We face challenges financially and we are coming up with all kinds of alternatives to alleviate the burden, and as we were made aware tonight, we remove the commuter tax council is faced with the difficult decision of having to raise taxes on property owners, something we do not want to see happen.

So I commend you for the actions you have taken and continue to stay the course and stand up for the taxpayers, you have been unfairly criticized and I take offense to that. Thank you.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: The comment I have here tonight is that in my opinion I find the

people out of the homes. This city -- people are wondering what the answer was, the answer was bankruptcy. The answer was tough. It was to ask the Court to intervene and allow the city to reorganize and try to take some of

the burden off the taxpayers and, you know,

recovery plan to basically be bluntly possibly illegal because council pushed a revised recovery plan forward without a hearing, and there two different dates for these recovery plans. And the other thing is, we had a commuter tax once before, it didn't do anything for us, and like I said previously, we are just exploiting people who can't defend themselves here and we are fleecing people for a government which doesn't know how to control its spending and doesn't know how to live by the Home Rule Charter, and I just find it amazing that we are going to ram this through council in three readings in one day, because for a council that talked about transparency and caring about the city and the residents, I find that to be not true. It's easy to tax

24 25

20

21

22

23

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of this other stuff is just ridiculous.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I'll just briefly comment that in addition to what I stated under motions, I do agree that the legislation should have been presented two, three, possibly four weeks ago. However, it was in the process of being developed for that time period and it was reviewed and tweaked by several entities, one of which is the Department of Community and Economic Development, so it was not possible to have it on the agenda until this evening and, of course, as we all know from the arguments made for the unfunded debt borrowing, it is very difficult to get a Court date in the Lackawanna County Court system within the time period that's prescribed for the revised recovery plan.

Should we fail to move tonight and allow it to go through, pass the legislation in it's traditional readings, then we are risking failure to obtain a Court date in 2012 and moving this issue into 2013.

And, finally, I do say that I take  $\$ 

umbrage with the comments made against this council and ramming legislation through. I think if one looked at the percentage of the emergency legislation that's been passed since 2010 versus what is passed according to the traditional three readings, you would see a very, very minute percentage. But more importantly, the city has been in a state of the emergency and we are all well aware of that. We are also aware of the repercussions of not addressing those emergencies and the severe repercussions of bankruptcy.

And so whenever council has entertained emergency legislation it is legislation that was sent to us by the administration and the reasons to pass it rapidly were sound and urgent and if we want to meet the crises that we are in these steps are necessary. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A,

FORMERLY 5-C - READING BY TITLE - FILE OF

COUNCIL NO. 62 -2012 - AN ORDINANCE 
AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976,

ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED)

23

24

25

ENACTING. IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS. ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2013 FOR RESIDENTS, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY SOLICITOR TO PETITION THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY TO INCREASE THE NONRESIDENT EARNED INCOME TAX FROM ONE PERCENT(1%) TO TWO PERCENT (2%).(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-A

1 |

pass reading by title.

Scranton.

\_

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I'll comment at

this point in time. Many of the things that were included in the recovery plan, including this, the commuter tax, were things that I don't think any members of the council particularly wanted. They were things that were forced upon us in order to meet the needs of providing services in this city, and services not only for residents of the City of Scranton, but also services for the people who do business in the City of

Those people who come into the city, work in the city, shop in the city, eat in the city, they are all benefiting from the services that we provide. Fire, police, all infrastructure. And while it's -- again, while it's not something that was particularly wanted I believe it's something that is needed, and I think it's something that we need to do at this time in order to take care of the services that this city

provides and I support this as I support the other parts of the recovery plan.

And the last thing that I want to say is that this isn't final even though we vote on it tonight -- well, two things I'll say. We have known that this is -- was going to be before us from the time that the recovery plan was adopted, so there was ample opportunity to discuss and to deal with the ramifications of this legislation and I don't think it's something, yes, we are voting on it in one night, but I think that we have had ample opportunity to look at it.

And again, I'm supportive of it and hopefully when it goes to Court that the judge or judges see that it is an emergency, that it is something that needs to be done in order to rectify the problems, the financial problems, that the City of Scranton is having.

Just because we vote on it tonight it does not finalize the issue. We still need to go before the Court and have them approve this legislation, so it's far from

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done, but tonight I do approve of what we are doing with this tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And just to repeat what I said earlier, basically the legislation enables the administration to petition the Court of Lackawanna County for a date for a hearing during which the administration will present it's need for the commuter tax. So, as Mr. McGoff said, this certainly isn't a new issue and council is doing what it can to help the city survive to help the taxpayers of Scranton and to abide by the timeline set by the Pennsylvania Economy League and the State Department of Community and Economic Development for the implementation of provisions in the revised recovery plan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add quickly, I agree with my colleagues on their comments and their statements here of. I don't think it's something that we all feel palatable including, but it was part of the package, the package to save our city that we were elected to save. It was part of the recovery plan and it has to be brought to

Court every year for the next three years and I believe all of us have friends and relatives that live out of the area that will be affected by this. We all have fellow colleagues, elected officials and friends in many of the townships and the boroughs surrounding Scranton that will be affected by this. I understand some of their concerns and, you know, they have the right to raise the issues and the concerns for their constituents, but we were elected here to represent the City of Scranton.

And, you know, as hear comments, you read in the paper no one has been watching where the money has been going for years and years and that's where I think we are different. We are watching. We have only had a little over two years at the helm, but I think you are starting to see the positive impact of someone actually watching and looking at every detail. It hurts when you hear comments that, you know, it's just going into the black hole and that. I think we have passed a number of bits of legislation that ensure us the ability to

make sure that these monies are going to where they should be going and not disappearing as has been done in the past.

I know my colleagues will be as diligent in watching this and having this recovery plan succeed because we are all a part of it. You know, we own it, we voted for it. I do ask our neighbors surrounding Scranton to bear with us. A stronger Scranton will be a whole stronger area, and again, this isn't in our favor to do this, but it's all part of the package to bring us back on sound footing and I believe that we will be approving this this evening. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And if I could just add I'd like to echo some of Mr. McGoff's comments. As an elected official you are sitting up here and you are faced with many tough decisions such as raising taxes, commuter taxes, we have the real estate transfer tax in the recovery plan as well, and I don't think anyone wants to raise taxes on someone or impose a commuter tax. However, the city is in a such dire

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial position right now that these things are necessary to avoid bankruptcy, and if bankruptcy happens the tax increases will be as astronomical if a receiver is appointed to just take over the operation of the City of Scranton, and I don't want to see that happen.

So, as Mr. McGoff said, I do support this and I will be voting, yes, for this tonight.

MS. EVANS: Just quickly and lastly, I'll add to piggyback a little bit on what you said, Mr. McGoff, not only do we provide the services, but our city hosts the county seat. If you want to get married you are coming in Scranton for a marriage license, because we also include the Register of Wills, the Recorder of Deeds, the Social Security Office, the Federal Courthouse building, the major hospitals, the major colleges and universities, the social services agencies and so from the time, you know, that you are born right through your demise you are coming into Scranton for its services.

And the truth of the matter is that those buildings are tax exempt properties so over 30 percent of our property is nontaxable and I think when everyone is using the services of this city, whether it be the roads and enjoying, you know, being able to get into the hospital because the roads have been plowed, enjoying fire and police protection while you are within our city limits, that is certainly -- you know these are important services and they are services that aren't offered by many of the areas outside of the City of Scranton. They

don't have paid police and fire departments

and as a result, they are ill-equipped to

described within their boundaries because

they cannot provide adequate protection for

take those types of entities that I

them and service to them.

And so it's important I think that everyone consider these factors and to remember as well that it's but one of many new revenue generators. This one, however, is the one that has a time limit attached to it and it's not a tax that is imposed

	07
1	endlessly, and hopefully if the city is able
2	to get back on its feet more quickly than
3	anyone anticipates there won't be a need for
4	three years of a commuter tax.
5	And that's it. All those in favor
6	signify by saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to take
13	File of Council No. 4, 2012, from the table
14	and place it into Seventh Order for final
15	consideration.
16	MS. EVANS: We have a motion
17	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: Thank you. On the
19	question? All those in favor signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

1 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to amend Item 7-A as per the following --2 3 MS. KRAKE: Excuse me, I think I have to read it first, Councilman Joyce. 4 5 MR. JOYCE: Oh, very well. Go ahead. 6 Thank you. 7 MS. KRAKE: 7 - A . 8 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 4, 2012 9 -(PREVIOUSLY TABLED) AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 10 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 11 OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 12 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH THE 13 14 INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND AEROSPACE WORKERS LOCAL NO. 2462 (CLERICAL 15 16 UNION). 17 MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to amend 18 Item 7-A as per the following, and this is a 19 letter of agreement dated October 1, 2012: 20 This letter will serve as an 21 agreement between the union, Local Lodge 22 2462, District I, IAM and AW, and the City 23 Council of the City of Scranton of the 24 following: 25 (A.) In order to resolve the

current appeal of the city council of a decision of the arbitrator on the IAM and AW's grievance regarding the positions of the executive assistant and confidential secretary in the Office of City Council of the City of Scranton working in the Office of City Council of the City Council of the City of Scranton, are positions included in the CBA.

The IAM and AW, city council and the City of Scranton agree as follows:

Effective January 1, 2013, these two positions are permanent positions within the bargaining unit covered by the CBA and will be considered a separate classification within the CBA. These positions will fall under the jurisdiction of city council and city clerk as defined in the Administrative Code, Article II, Section 6-4C, appointments and removal of employees. The city council and the city clerk will be responsible for the appointments and removal of the employees under the jurisdiction of the Office of City Council City Clerk.

(B.) The positions of executive assistant and confidential secretary in the

Office of the City Council of the City of Scranton will be subject to all provisions of the CBA, including the just cause provision and the grievance and arbitration position between the two parties with the exception of Article 25 seniority.

It is further understood that whenever management rights is referred to in the CBA with reference to the separate classification (executive assistant and confidential secretary in the Office of Council of the City of Scranton) it will revert to the jurisdiction of City Council and City Clerk.

- (C.) The people who currently hold these positions will carry their seniority for the purposes of vacation, sick, personal, and retirement benefits with that position.
- (D.) Pay scale of these positions in the Office of City Council of the City of Scranton will be defined as executive assistant at \$16.42 per hour and confidential secretary at \$15,17 per hour.
  - (E.) Both parties agree that if

either party deems it necessary to discuss and define the duties of these positions in detail the other will do so in a reasonable amount of the time after such request is made by either party.

- (F.) The provision of this agreement will commence January 1, 2013. This agreement between all identified parties is contingent upon the newly created two clerical union positions as identified as rental registration assistance/housing inspector, and financial analyst department of business administrator being added to the 2013 City of Scranton budget as adopted by the mayor and city council.
- (G.) City Council agrees that it will withdraw its appeal to the Commonwealth Court, case No. 1118 CV 2012 in writing to that Court by October 12, 2012.
- (H.) This agreement will be enforceable through a grievance and arbitration provisions of the CBA.
- MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor to amend, do we have a second?

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Just one clarification, if one of these positions should be vacated would it be filled from within the union or can it be filled by the person from outside of the union? I wasn't sure how that read.

MS. EVANS: Well, you know, according to the Home Rule Charter the hiring still lies in the hands of the city council and the city clerk and I believe the letter of agreement is stating that as well, but those positions must be union positions, but they now have a separate classification.

MR. MCGOFF: Right. That part I understood, I just didn't know when it would be replaced, if somebody left there, would it have to be someone who was already in the union to take that position?

MR. HUGHES: The answer is no.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: Council -- this specifically recognizes that council has the right to hire, fire and discipline the employees within their office.

MR. MCGOFF: And once they were

93
appointed then they would become members of
the union.
MR. HUGHES: Correct.
MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?
All those in favor of the motion to amend
Item 7-a signify by saying aye.
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
have it and so moved.
As chairperson for the Committee on
Rules, I recommend final passage of Item
7-A, as amended.
MR. MCGOFF: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
call, please.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
MR. JOYCE: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A, as amended, legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B, FORMERLY 6-A, FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 62 -2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2013

1	FOR RESIDENTS, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY
2	SOLICITOR TO PETITION THE COURT OF COMMON
3	PLEAS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY TO INCREASE THE
4	NONRESIDENT EARNED INCOME TAX FROM ONE
5	PERCENT(1%) TO TWO PERCENT (2%).(EMERGENCY
6	CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Finance?
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-B.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. If
25	there is no further business

		96
1	MR. MCGOFF: Before we adjourn I	
2	wish our colleague well and hope that he	
3	recovers quickly.	
4	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.	
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
6	MS. EVANS: If there is no further	
7	business, I'll entertain a motion to	
8	adjourn.	
9	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
10	MS. EVANS: This meeting is	
11	adjourned.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER