	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, September 20, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	CATHEME 3. MARDUZZI, KFK - UFFICIAL CUURT REPURTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. AUDIT STATUS FROM
16	ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 13,
17	2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. APPLICATIONS ALONG
21	WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
22	HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 12,
23	2012.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT, HEARING DATES FROM AUGUST 29,
OCTOBER 3RD, AND 11TH OF 2012.
MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
If not, received and filed.
MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT, APPEAL HEARINGS FOR OCTOBER 10,
2012.
MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
If not, received and filed.
MS. KRAKE: 3-E. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT, COMMERCIAL APPEALS HEARING DATE
OCTOBER 31, 2012.
MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
If not, received and filed.
Do we have any clerk's notes
tonight, Mrs. Krake?
MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
council members have announcements at this
time? The Fraternal Order of Eagles, No.
314 in Scranton will hold a chicken barbecue
from 1 to 6 p.m. this Saturday, September
22. The cost is \$8 and takeouts are
available. All proceeds will benefit ALS.

For additional information call the club at 1 961-5495. 2 3 Ruby Tuesdays located at 15 Radcliff Drive in Moosic invites everyone to 4 5 participate in it's giveback program on Wednesday, October 3, from 4 to 8 p.m. 20 6 percent of your purchase will donated to St. 7 8 Francis of Assisi Kitchen in Scranton to 9 feed the poor and homeless. You need only 10 to present a flyer to your service for the donation to be made. Flyers are available 12 in council's office or visit the restaurant 13 online at www.RubyTuesday.com. That's it. 14 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker 17 tonight is Les Spindler. 18 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city resident and 19 20 homeowner and taxpayer. 21 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 22 MR. SPINDLER: First off, I want to 23 talk about the University of Scranton, Mr. 24 Ellman's favorite subject. I agree with a 25 lot of what he said and the other day in the

11

paper it was a letter to the editor and the gentlemen had a great idea, he said council should pass an ordinance. If the University buys any more properties, that it's not protected by their nonprofit status that they have to pay taxes on them.

As Mr. Ellman said, they are just taking away all of the taxpaying properties, for example, he mentioned last week the corner of Jefferson Avenue and Mulberry Street. They tore town a tax paying property, it's a green space with a big hunk of the concrete saying "University of Scranton." What a waste. The city is making no money on that. I think that's a great idea to have an ordinance where if they buy anymore property it's not tax free.

MS. EVANS: Well, Mr. Spindler, actually if Solicitor Hughes joins us tonight I'm going to ask him to report on several issues, one of which will be council's direction regarding the University of Scranton.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. Next thing, big pet peeve of mine, I have been

here many times, Pit bulls. I'm sure everyone heard about the attack in Olyphant the other day, it's tragic and there is a little dog -- I have a little dog right now, to hear about that it made me sick and thank God there was a person there that shot him because God knows what would have happened to that woman if he wasn't around. She might have been severely injured or killed.

May I approach, Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Sorry for the small print, but that's how it printed out for me. People come here and defend Pit Bulls saying it's the owner, it's not the dog, well, what I have here printed out is ten myths about Pit Bulls and the number one might is that it's the owner, not the dog. Well, it's the dog and I'm going to read the first one.

"The outdated debate it's the owner not the breeder has caused Pit Bull problem to grow into a 30-year-old problem.

Designed to protect Pit Bulls breeders and owners, the slogan ignores the genetic history of the breed and blames these

horrific maulings inflicted by the Pit Bulls genetic hold and shake bite style on environmental factors. While environment place a role in the Pit Bull's behavior, it is genetics that leave Pit Bull victims with permanent and disfiguring injuries.

The Pit Bull genetic traits are not in dispute. Many US Courts agree that Pit Bulls pose a significant danger to society and can be regulated accordingly. Some of the genetic traits, Courts have identified are unpredictability of aggression, tenacity, high pain tolerance, and a Pit Bulls hold and shake bite style.

According to forensic medical studies, similar injuries have only been found elsewhere on victims of shark attacks. Perpetuators of this myth also cannot account for many instances in which Pit Bulls and family members are victimized by their own pets. From 2005 to 2011 Pit Bulls killed 128 Americans, about one citizen every 20 days. Of these attacks, 51 percent involve a family member in a house with a Pit Bull. In the first eight months of

2011, nearly half of those killed by a Pit Bull was its owner. One was even an avid supporter of Bad Wrap, a recipient of Michael Vick's dogs."

And there is nine other myths on here. So, again, I'm urging council to pass a dangerous dog ordinance. Pittsburgh has one where if you own a dangerous dog, I forget all of the facts, but I think you have to have like a seven or eight foot high fence and you have to have special insurance and we need something like that. I mean, this wasn't in Scranton, but it was right in our backyard, it's Olyphant. There is Pit Bulls all over the place.

I've seen that happen to a coworker that lived four days away, she didn't know that the Pit Bull was there. So, again, I hope council takes this into consideration. That's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Hello.

MR. JOYCE: Hello.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, Scranton is

22

23

24

25

just being attacked in all corners it seems It seems like all of these little jerk water towns all they need is for us to leave to have a projects and dump all their nonprofits with us. Nobody wants to help us, but you know they want us to join this and join that. It's time for everybody to realize all of this is nonsense when all of the -- I hear all of these people running for office promising jobs and promising this and that thing, there is not going to be any more jobs. There is not going to be any more Chamberlain and Haddon factory and Haddon Books and RCA, it's over with. can't compete with nobody anymore because the nonprofits have taken the heart of the city out. We have lost our tax base.

You know, you people are going after the the wrong one, you are going after the taxpayers. You need to go after the universities and the colleges and all of these phoney nonprofits for a change and you get in their achilles heal and their achilles heal is very simply these two little paragraphs and five rules under.

This is the Nonprofit Charity Act. The University, there is no way in the world they can fall under it anymore. On their own admission, I forget what month it was, they had an editorial saying they generated \$400 million in the City of Scranton. You remember this, Ms. Janet? We talked about it.

MS. EVANS: Yes, I do.

MS. ELLMAN: Anybody that generates \$400 million certainly can't come under the Pure Charity Act any longer. You got Marywood buying \$250,000 houses for investment, it's as plain as the nose on your face. One day the banks are going to say no more. There is just no way we can pay for any loans from banks, it's going to end and everybody is going to be in bad shape except these universities. They won't have any depression, they will just be living high on the hog, you know.

When these guys go eat lunch over there from the University at the Radisson they have to pay a tax on their food bill. When they put gas in their car, in their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cadillacs and Cadillacs and Lincoln
Escalades they pay tax, they don't mind
that, but they don't want to pay a penny to
us. It just got to end. You got to go
after their Achilles heel, this Nonprofit
Pure Act.

You know, I don't know always agree with council, but I want you all to know that I respect your decisions and I support them and I support this council, even when I get mad at you for something that I don't agree on, which hasn't been too much. Just a couple of weeks ago, Jack, and I'm not saying this adversely, you minimized the It's not just \$200, it's everything \$200. is going up for a homeowner and it's not stopping you getting -- it's getting -- you got 2000 people in the city didn't pay taxes, you know, nobody seems to be going after them. There is no reason there is 2,000 people, there must have been another four or five thousand in the whole county didn't pay taxes and our two new commissioners they are too busy with their nepotism inventing jobs for their friends

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and then they complain that they can't get \$100,000 people. You know, they need to come down-to-earth. The school board keeps hiring and talked about building schools and \$3 million and they don't get bids they just want to -- the whole town just needs the FBI to come in here and do something like down in Wilkes-Barre.

And Andy Jarbola he seems afraid to attack them, just like the school board. They took bribes and nothing is done about it and people talk about it. This town is just as bad as Wilkes-Barre and it's such a good city. It is. I love this city and I have told you I live here by choice. Well, I wish that you would reconsider attacking the cause of all our problems, which is this university and the nonprofits, and all these developers that come in here and blow smoke in people's ears what they are going to do. We have empty apartments that people are paying taxes on because they move into the new building that's not paying taxes for 10 This just doesn't make good sense. Thank you.

MS. EVANS:

Thank you. David Lewis.

2

MR. LEWIS:

Good evening.

3

MR. LOSCOMBE:

Good evening.

4

beyond comprehension.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

5 6 MR. LEWIS:

My name is David Lewis.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm the president and general manager of LA Lewis Moving and Storage here in Scranton. The story of my life it seems like I'm a week late. I should have came to the council meeting last Thursday after the zoning hearing, which was on the 12th of September. This hearing was the lowest blow to my family in over 100 years. My family started the business here in 1899. I reside in the City of Scranton, 75 percent of my family members reside in the City of Scranton, and what happened last Wednesday night is still just beyond words. It's

First of all, I own a business property at 1621 Washburn Street. The building was built in 1963, my family operated the facility as their main office up until 1989. We moved our main portion of the business to 2401 Luzerne Street here in

Scranton which, you know, we are continuing to operate. I employ approximately 20 to 25 people at a given time right here in Scranton, Pennsylvania, believe it or not. About half of the people are Scranton residents.

The sale of the property at 1621
Washburn Street was very vital to my
business plan in order to survive. I'm
going to say the biggest kick that I got was
the city controller lives next to my
property and she got up and gave very
damaging testimony and was on -- the
testimony, you know, it was just repetitive
with neighbors and it's just beyond words
that neighbors have a very, very large
impact on how this city is going to grow.

It's a perfect example of last evening you had a zoning hearing, one block away, 1600 block of the Jackson Street, basically the same thing happened to that property owner that happened to me. The neighbors kicked up their heels and basically the neighbors made the decision for the zoning board.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evening is what kind of plan does the city have in place for existing businesses like myself and the properties that we have maintained for years? How can we market these properties if this is going to be status quo of how the outcome of these zoning hearings are? How many people are on the board? I was scheduled to be heard in August, had to have a special meeting for me because there was only three people that showed up for the meeting or three zoning board members showed for the hearing and, unfortunately, one of the zoning members had to recuse himself because he knows me. Probably, you know, most of you folks on the board know me, so you would have to recuse yourself, too. You know, it was just one comedy of error after the other. You know,

My question to city council this

Now, three people that showed up in August weren't the same four people that

how many people are on the board? You know,

aren't they supposed to be a full board

meeting? Why are they not attending?

every time the zoning board has a regular

- -

showed up last week. Now, another kick in the pants, the fourth member disappeared and only three people voted, you know? It's -- it's one error after another after another. You know, and it's just clear, you know, the neighbors are going to make the decisions on how this city is going to somehow recover. How do you expect to attract business?

You know, another one last week
Parodi Cigar, what did we do to -- they are
going to Dunmore. What did we do to keep
those 50 jobs or whatever it is on Main
Avenue? Could we find them another
location? Seems to me not. How are we
going to grow this city? How are we going
to get these taxes down to where they belong
because we can no longer afford to pay the
taxes in this city, especially as you
propose right now you have another disaster
that you are about to face.

You are about to face the disaster where people that are commuting into the city are no longer going to come into the City of Scranton which, you know, that's another issue down the road. I don't know

MS. EVANS: 2 No. 3 MR. LEWIS: You have a very disgusted -- I manage a business that's over 4 5 100 years old in this city and I need some guidance from the city of how we are going 6 to proceed further. How can I sell my 7 8 property? This sale was vital to the 9 vitality to my business plan and, 10 unfortunately, I had to give the man his 11 deposit back. 12 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Lewis, I did receive 13 an e-mail from Mr. Borthwick that I believe 14 you spoke to yesterday regarding the concerns, we will be in touch. 15 16 MR. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you very 17 much. 18 MR. ROGAN: You are welcome. MS. EVANS: 19 Gerard Hetman. 20 MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council. 21 Gerard Hetman, Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. First, we would 22 23 like to report this evening that our first 24 annual Lackawanna County Senior Health Fair 25 held last Tuesday, September 11, at the

if that was the one-minute warning or what.

1

Lackawanna County Trolley Museum was a resounding success. We had over 200 senior citizens visit the health fair. A great many of whom were City of Scranton residents.

We would like to city council as well as everyone that attends our meeting and everyone watching at home live and on the rebroadcast who helped us spread word of the senior fair to friends, neighbors, relatives. In talking with many visitors, we learned that many of them had learned of the fair by watching council, being at council or getting a referral from a friend or a neighbor who somehow witnessed the council meetings or spoke to folks who were here regularly, council staff, etcetera, etcetera.

So we would like to thank you for your cooperation in making our event a success and we are certainly working hard already to look at new ideas no make the next senior fair next year even better and hopefully grow in population that we are able to serve with the events and continue

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to provide the quality event that is of service to all our senior residents in Scranton and Lackawanna County.

With that in mind, our next event coming right up this coming Saturday, September 22, is our Heroes' Day celebration held from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the Lackawanna County 911 Center, and again, this constitutes an open house at 911 Center with guided tours and also a large amount of emergency equipment on display, fire trucks, police cars and other emergency vehicles and equipment, and I should note that these apparatuses and vehicles and equipment items are coming from agencies around the county. We try to spread it around so we can get as much participation over as broad of a geographic area as possible, and we will have Scranton representatives with the Scranton Police Department's Canine Unit which in conjunction with the Lackawanna County Sheriff's team I know will be doing a joint canine demonstration for all in attendance, so we looking forward to welcoming the Scranton Police Department as

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well as all of the city residents who wish to come out to the 911 center this Saturday for our events, and hopefully it will be a good day weather wise. It will be rain or shine and we would like to welcome everyone who would wish to attend of all ages.

With the events in mind, I'd like to call upon a suggestion from Mrs. Evans at the last meeting I attended regarding our announcements possibly appearing on ECTV. did speak with Mr. Joe Direnzio, our Lackawanna County Communication director, and he does issue press releases to all our media outlets in Lackawanna County and Northeast, Pennsylvania, which does include ECTV whenever they plan events such as the Senior Health Fair or Heroes' Day, and we will actually work with Mr. Direnzio to increase that participation with ECTV for some of the other events that are planned by other departments such as the county parks and recreation announcements that we do read.

We do have a level of cooperation with ECTV, and as we do have every avenue

2

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we look to publicize these events, we will certainly look to improve that and build on that in the future as we do more and more things coming up.

With that said, we have two announcements from the county parks and recreation department this evening. The first is concerning their 2012 Boys and Girls Basketball Clinic. For boys and girls in the fourth, fifth and sixth grades only that will be six campsites around the county during the month of October, one of which will be held at Scranton High School on October 22, 23, 24 and 25. All clinics start at 6:30 p.m. sharp and end at 8:30 p.m., and information on this can be obtained by contacting Lackawanna County Parks and Recreation Department at 963-6764.

And also, the Lackawanna County

Parks and Recreation Department will hold
their 20th annual children's fishing derby
at Merli Sarnowski Park on Saturday, October
6, 2012. This is open to children ages four
through 12, and all ages will fish from 11
a.m. to 1:15 p.m. and registration begins at

10:30 a.m. and the cost is \$5 per child.

And for more information they can also contact the Lackawanna County Parks and Recreation Department as 570-963-6761.

Again, our thanks to council for helping make the senior fair a success. We look forward to serving senior residents in the county and, of course, the City of Scranton well into the future, and that's all I have this evening.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin with a brief follow-up from last week, as you know I had a few questions of Councilman Loscombe, I know he was absent last week. I had just received some notification from a citizen who was actually had some interest in the issue of the police cars, I believe it was 13 that were out of service, and we were waiting for four new vehicles to arrive in New Jersey and I just think we were just curious to know if we did receive those and

if the other vehicles were back in service?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I will be back in action tomorrow actually speaking with the police chief, and I apologize, I was ill, I'm just getting back.

MR. MILLER: I understand. I appreciate it. Thank you. You know, it's unfortunate I have to address this issue, but, you know, I do have to respond to the Sunday newspaper article, I should say headline by the Scranton Times. Once again, they want to go back to the scare tactics and deceive the public in believing there is going to astronomical tax increases with the recovery plan, and I just think it's quite frankly at this point it's beyond frustrating.

I don't know how many times this newspaper has to be told that it's at 35 percent tax increase, not 79, not 80, not 90, not 100, not 1,000, whatever number they want to float out there. It's a 35 percent tax increase over three years. 12, 9, and 13. It's very simple and yet they want to continue to play the worst case scenario.

They want to continue to scare the public into believing that their taxes are going to be raised through the roof, and I am beyond frustrated. Like I said, I don't know how many times this council has to tell them consistently that you have stated on the record it's a 35 percent tax increase.

We want to continue with the scare tactics, we want to make the issue political. You know, I think if we want to do investigating reporting, well, not investigating reporting, but if we want to talk tax increases and astronomical amounts, why don't we go talk to the county? Why don't we go over here and talk to the school district? Nobody ever says a word about the county or the school district yet everybody is up here week after week at this podium or the newspaper constantly criticizing this council and the city, the taxpayers. Take a look at your tax bill.

How many times have we gone over it here? Councilman Loscombe has gone over it, Mrs. Evans has gone over it, Mr. Joyce has gone over it. The city makes up the

smallest portion of your tax bill. I
believe the highest we said was the school
district. We never see anybody at the
school board meetings ever. Never see any
letters to the editor. Never see any
reporters. We never see anybody doing
stories, any front page headlines, "Oh, 100
percent tax increase, 1,000 percent tax
increase," but we want to constantly go
after the city and criticize council. We

need to start reporting fact.

It's just totally -- it disgusts me, it truly does. We have been told it's wishful thinking, it's pie in the sky, that our revenue enhancements aren't going to come through. As I've said before, and as council stated, how do we not know we can't realize anything unless we try. We told we are never going to receive anything from the nonprofits. We are told the commuter tax isn't fair. You know, we are the told the sales tax isn't fair, the amusement tax isn't fair.

We need revenue. We face serious deficits and without the revenue we are

going to continue to stay the course and continue year after year and continue to face deficits. We need money and, as I have said before, if we want to be critical at plans then I suggest you come up with one yourself, and the infamous response I get all of the time is, "Well, Mr. Miller, I wasn't elected."

You are right, you weren't elected, but if you are going to come up to the podium and you are going to write letters to the editor and be critical of the recovery plan well then you have one thing, it's a mouth. You do have a say in this town. You do have the ability to go out and vote and if and you don't like the things you see you have the ability to make changes. Nothing prevents you from coming forward and adopting a plan yourself.

No plan is perfect. We never claimed that this plan was perfect, but at the same time when you are objective and you go against somebody I've always believed that you should do the homework yourself, and I can't say that we all did our

homework. In fact, we didn't all do our homework. We though no was the easy way out. No gets you nowhere, it just continues to lead down the path we are in right now. We can't continue to stay the course, we need to move in a new direction. We need innovative ideas, that's what we need here.

You might not like the plan, you might laugh, might even think it's a joke. These are difficult decisions and anybody that thinks that this council made decisions that were easy they need to get their head examined. They weren't. Raising taxes is a very difficult decision to make, especially a year before election. They are not popular. You face criticism.

I find it be unfair because you were placed in this position. This doesn't all happen overnight, this isn't something that's going on for three years, this is a decade's worth of fiscal mismanagement that all of a sudden was dumped into your lap and now you had to come up with the enhancements.

But what really infuriates me is

that if we go back to when this council initially put forth revenue enhancements that maybe today we would be singing a different tune, as I said, and if this administration had followed through on StreetSmart and every other enhancement that you had we wouldn't be talking about all of these other so-called nuisance taxes that people are against.

And that leads me to this morning's article on the commuter tax. We now have a group that is rallying people to come into protest the 1 percent commuter tax. As I stated before, it goes back to what I said before, what's your plan? And I'm going to continue to say it and I know people are going to come back with the same response, "I wasn't elected."

I don't care that you weren't elected, it has nothing to do with being elected. When you go against something you do the homework yourself and you present a plan. How many times, Mrs. Evans, you sat y up for there for years as a lone majority council member knowing full well that past

rubber stamp councils they weren't going to look at your budgets at all, they weren't even going to give you the time of day, but you knew that he couldn't just simply say no, you had to have a plan, you had to have a vision and you always did.

So what I'm asking all the critics to do is come up with a plan, come up with a vision, what course do you think we need to follow? If you don't like what you see then come up with something. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Well, I guess I'll start with -- and then I'll switch off here, but recovery plan isn't real, it's not a recovery plan. Anybody who believes that has to be either crazy -- well, they just have to be crazy. Okay, I don't see of any those things coming to light and I'm glad that people are fighting against them. I think that our city has got a lot of problems and we have elected a lot of people that I think weren't competent and have

taken us the wrong way, but the residents here voted for them so be it, and I'm would like to say that borrowing money isn't going to make us successful.

And with that said I'd like to switch gears here a little bit and talk about the zoning board. I own a house on 1215 Stafford Avenue that was a triplex from the day it was built. Now, Mr. Wallace, and you know some people seem to believe I get along with the mayor and that's definitely not right, because the mayor sent Mr. Wallace up to condemn my home with the city's inspection's department. Well, they came through, there was nothing to condemn it on or any of my properties as Stacy Brown wrote in the Scranton Times newspaper at the time, but we proceeded into the zoning board.

Well, Mr. Wallace and the zoning board stripped my home of its right to be a rental because they said it was an illegal conversion. So when Mr. Lewis gets up here and speaks I think it's really time to recognize in my opinion what's occurred

here. We have created a city that is hostile to development, hostile to success, things that at this point when all of the chips are down, you know, I have sat in the council chamber for over 20 years and listened to people speak, okay? I remember when bankruptcy was actually brought up by the council and they stayed it and that was probably the way to go, but they had a different vision for this city.

Well, you know, it doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand that the residents of this city can't afford this tax and the time has come for them to decide if they want to still keep electing spaghetti dinner politicians to run the city and it's really hurt us. You know, when you read the Ryan's book on zoning, your solicitor probably knows what that is, it sets rules for zoning.

MR. HUGHES: Pardon me for laughing at this.

MR. MORGAN: Well, it's a book that exists and in many instances the zoning word pays attention to it whatsoever, and then

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you take people that have to be forced into the Court of Common Pleas and a higher court and spend money, fight their own city.

And, you know, appointments for the zoning board, I think people should have to take a test before they are allowed to be given that power because the great amount of harm they can do to an individual, a property owner, a business or a city.

And when you take a look at where this city is, as Ozzie Quinn spoke, you know, we are tearing down so many homes it's ridiculous. I came here I think it was last week and talked about 202 that were torn down with federal money. Now, one of the council members smiled and laughed about that, but you know something, I just think that goes to show that when you elect people that don't understand the people they represent then we get a city like we have now and the people outside of the city that are fighting the commuter tax and the sales tax they are right. They didn't create this problem, the city does. The city had a commuter tax before, the city knew it had

problems, but you know the big thing that hasn't been discussed is a shortfall in the pensions. Not even the legislature I think wants to address that and, you know, with the nation \$16 trillion in debt, 40 cents of every dollar spent is borrowed, I think we have got a real problem and I think the problem is, to be honest, we are electing the wrong people. We should be electing dishwashers, cooks, just people that have an understanding of what money is and what it means when you place a debt on somebody else's property.

You know, you don't have to go to Harvard to understand how the economy should work. We have exported all our jobs and the people that did this to us they were all Democrats and Republicans, alleged leaders. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Good evening to you, too. Your 7-B, final reading of a resolution and ordinance. Let's go back to background. The mayor took out an option on the old Penn Security building up there in South Side. Mr. McGoff sets up an authority with Fanucci and Gatelli, okay? Now, he wants this library built so how does he do it? Look at your "B". By restricting the people from using this library from it's intent. It wasn't an intent for that library to be used as a depository. It was to be used as a library for the general public. Why would you want to restrict it? Why is that even in there?

MS. EVANS: Actually, Mr. Sbaraglia, we directed our solicitor to work on this particular piece of legislation and it's going to be amended tonight as you requested.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Because I see no -this is like, I'm willing to give you money
but under these conditions, and it's not
right. When they founded this library long
ago they must have been in love with the

city like I am. They had to be to spend that much money and put that much beauty into that building, and they put it in there for a specific reason, that the public could use that library as long as it stood, and that was the intent. Why they would put this in to negate that intent is beyond me.

First of all, the grant is fine, I have nothing against the grant, I had something against you taking this library and saying you can only use it for 50 years. The Times editorial in the paper where it says they want to use this as a depository, that wee build a new library and spend more millions and millions of dollars and put us in debt for a new library that we don't need.

When this was brought up long ago I said why can't we have the school admin building where they can get out and they spoke the college has an option on it. Why should the college be more important than the people of Scranton? If anything should have been done we should -- if that should ever come up we should use eminent domain

and make that the library if we still need one, but to sit up there and spend millions and millions of dollars because he likes that bank up at Penn Security is beyond me. There was no need nor it, there is no need for it, and there shouldn't ever be a need for it as long as that library stands. It should be open to the public forever.

Mr. McGoff, you can shake your head all you want --

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Sbaraglia --

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's what you did.

No one else did that. You were even going to lose your ability to control the legislation. That's why you pushed that library authority. You were already -- Fanucci and Gatelli were already gone and you pushed that though with three votes.

Don't tell me what happened I sat there and council sat there and watched you do things like that until we are where we are.

This didn't happen for two years ago, this happened under your watch. A lot of damage was done under your watch and the previous council watch. I seen them gave a

parcel of a land for 198 years for a dollar a year and that makes sense to the council. It didn't make sense to me, but it made sense to the council, and when the hit men said, "Subordinate your loan, we'll give you electricity lights the fixtures as collateral," and I told that council then there was stupid and they are dumb.

He had said, "Well, they are going to go bankrupt."

I said, "Let them go bankrupt."

At least we wouldn't be on the toll for this money that we owe now for these 108 loans. We watched these councils destroy this city. People came up and spoke. Many, many people came up and spoke and they made sense, but no one listened because they had their own agenda and the agenda was to destroy the city's financial stability. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, if I can just speak, just one comment. The legislation dealing with the library 7-B does nothing to restrict the use of the library. All it says is that in order to

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accept these funds it must stay as a library for a minimum of 50 years. It does nothing to change the original charter. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Mrs. Evans, if I could respond?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. HUGHES: There is, and I drafted legislation tonight to amend this,

Mr. Sbaraglia, it goes back to 1890 when Mr. Albright transferred the property to Mr. Smith and three other people to then be transferred to the city after he built the library in accordance with a deed. library must be a free public library for the citizens and residents of the City of Scranton forever. The legislation has been amended to provide for that tonight, so that there is no misunderstanding this council tried to disband the Scranton Public Library Authority. We did everything we could to have it disbanded before the deed was conveyed so it would still be city property. However, it was done we are really powerless to disband that Authority, but what it is,

this is a condition of the state grant that

it must be open for 50 years. However, legislation has been amended so that it states that the Albright Library must be a free public library. It can't be depository, it's a free public library for the residents and the citizens of the City of Scranton forever, and as we say in the ordinance, in perpetuity.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you. Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay. Today I bumped into a few of John's friends and they were on the fire truck and they made a mention to me about this commuter tax and all, and if any of these outlying communities wish to avoid the commuter tax maybe they could take all of the donations that they give to certain politicians in this town and donate it to our bankruptcy fund instead. They mentioned election campaign poster on lines up in Dalton stating who they wanted to be

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

running in Scranton. Shame, shame, shame.

I mean, you know, if you want to spend money that way you could always help us out with a few contributions to our firemen's fund or whatever.

And I'd also like to mention the University once again, and this goes for everybody, I have been saying this now for a couple of months and I'd really appreciate it if we can look into how we could zone out any further nonprofits and just tell them they have to pay the taxes if they want to move here. That's all there is to it. can't do it anymore. It sounds like, oh, under \$500, but then you have property tax most of us, but then you have -- you have your wage taxes and everything else that are high, and then on top of that we have a lot of problems being a city where we have people that are homeless, people that have been driven crazy by homeless. We are increased crime and so forth, people that are -- actually you could measure the amount of the crimes you can expect by the amount of poverty from recessions and depressions

and so forth, so we really need to give them a zone and whatever is lost is lost and then after that they have to pay taxes on it.

The pools, I don't want to hear anymore about any splash parks or bathhouses being built or whatever, let's keep the poles open that we have. If we have to mothball them for a year or two so be it, but I don't want to take down something and then, of course, who knows what has to be contributed from the city, maybe 20 percent or whatever, and it sounds like money coming in, but all it is is enriching somebody else, some construction outfit that does a slip shot job in most cases from what I can see.

And I'll be listening careful on 5-B and C and that's where I'll go from there.

And once again, any meters that came into town have to accept change. I don't know if somebody has change in their pocket, they probably don't have two nickles to rub together, but I always have a quarter because I want to pull in and pull out. I stop at Markowitz Tobacco or something and

buy a cigar and I'm out of there in 10 or 15 minutes, and that's what I want to pay. I don't want service charge and all kinds of baloney with my credit card in addition to the exposure of identity theft.

On this library, I apologize for anything that was misconstrued, but I do appreciate that if it's for perpetuity and it's the Scranton Times that's coming up with this bright idea. They have a lot of bright ideas for our town, too bad they didn't stay here. Basically their editorial board can stay up in the Abingtons where the hell they belong as far as I'm concerned.

And also available at the library, once again, is "Free Lunch. How the Wealthiest Americans Enrich Themselves at Government Expense and Stick you with the Bill."

How the pension plans are looted,
like our firemen and police, it's down about
\$30 million, the consequences of clowns and
thieves on Wall Street, and he also has a
new book out "Fine Print" where employees
were being taxed and used for renovations on

the plant and the state of Ohio is letting them do it and there is probably a hundred other examples in there.

And once again the vote, that was a joke. I don't know where our Supreme Court is, I don't know where these people get law degrees from, I don't know maybe Dolittle Tech or something like that, but shame on them. Shame on our Supreme Court now they kicked the can down the road further and there is all kinds of people, I was at a conference last night, there is all kinds of people having all kinds of troubles getting a vote, getting the right to vote. And Mr. Metcalf they booed him off today, you know, I'm glad I don't have to vote for him or it would be nice to vote against him.

And once again, the golden parrot,
I'll make it quick, Yohan -- Mr. Hoyah, Mr.
Burke and Pat Toomey they put a by partisan
vet employment bill cosponsored and amended
and voted to continue the filibuster. One
vet every 80 minutes commits suicide so they
need jobs. We have 225,000 unemployed vets
in this country and probably the reason they

are unemployed is because they had to go over there, which they really didn't want to do. Thank you have a good night and don't forget, bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council. City resident and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to clarify the figures I used before because they were based totally on those who only paid \$500 a year in taxes or less, but I still do believe that some level based on assets and age and income are 65 years old and disabled folks need help and I think that economic development is a perfect place to use it.

Okay, I played around with the figures that I done much as Boris had done with the entire county and what I did was take the local taxes that we pay. The school, the wage, county, municipality, and I used the median household income to make all of my calculations and it turns out that

in the City of Scranton you are sort of buying your house twice because if you get a 30-year mortgage it takes -- every 27 months you have paid the principal in -- using local taxes, so you paid the principal yet you have three more years probably to pay on your mortgage because that's got the principal and the interest, so that's pretty short.

The longest in the county is Scott
Township, they don't get their house
rebought for 52 years and, you know, that's
like almost double what it is in Scranton,
and frankly, we can't afford it. You know,
Norm France in his book "Money and Wealth in
the New Millennium" that came out in 2001
has this quote, "Gold is the money of Kings,
silver is the money of gentlemen, barter is
the money of peasants, but debt is the money
of slaves."

And I fear the City of Scranton is turning into the latter category, we are slaves to debt. We are in this year alone we are going to increase our debt somewhere between a third and a half what it was when

we started the year, and I can't tell exactly what -- what that is because that will segue into a question on when we are going to see the 2011 audit. We don't even know what our status was at the end of the last year, so I would hope that we will get some information on that tonight.

With respect to 7-A since it's going to be voted on tonight and since it's a five-year budget I think you need to amend it to include the parks and rec, the addition of the South Side Sports Complex replacement that we were promised when the existing one was sold to the University of Scranton. I think young people and young adults need a place, and that was a terrible thing that happened and I do think it should be amended to include that.

And then now I'll go back to my list from last week, first of all, do we have now the parking -- who received the parking --

MR. JOYCE: Actually I did receive an e-mail back from our business administrator today with the list and I'll forward that over to you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And now that the Scranton Parking Authority garages are under the control of the for profit does that mean they will also be paying the sales tax?

MR. JOYCE: That's actually an interesting point. Mr. Hughes, could you clarify more on that?

MR. HUGHES: It's my opinion that they do not have to pay the parking tax based on the City of Pittsburgh vs.

Pittsburgh Parking Authority case which was a ruling by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. They are merely administering it for the trustee. They are not a lessee of the garage. Even if they were a lessee, there might be an issue on that, but they are merely managing it for the trustee on behalf of the Scranton Parking Authority.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And while we are on the parking garages do the parking garages include all of the retail space or does the Authority still take responsibility for the leasing and --

MR. HUGHES: No. The parking

garages are under the control -- I mean, the retail space in the parking garages is under the control of the receiver. The retail spaces do pay real estate tax. They are assessed and do pay real estate tax.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Understand.

MR. HUGHES: And they will continue to pay real estate tax.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you very much. But while I'm Solicitor Hughes, do you have any new -- if I may ask? Several weeks ago you brought up the Ice Box and the fact that they very properly owe us \$600,000.

MR. HUGHES: If I didn't have to sleep I could probably work at this job 24 hours a day, and if I didn't have a private practice I devote a considerable amount of time, you know, to this. I have done research on it, I believe right now based on my research and discussions with the assessors the assessors will be taking action on that. I believe that -- not I believe, but when I do have time I would want to discuss this with Attorney Kelly, so

that, you know, I cannot bring a lawsuit on behalf of the city. That's up to the city solicitor's office. I think the entire transaction has to be looked at and I have looked at it, it was a redevelopment plan adopted down there in accordance with the original lease.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have all of these dates.

MR. HUGHES: And as I stated two years when I looked into this, I thought that there was something drastically wrong. It's on my list to give Attorney Kelly a call and give him my ideas on this as to how the city should proceed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. That's all I was asking.

MR. HUGHES: In order to collect the \$600,000. It's my opinion the \$600,000 is due and owing today and it should be paid by BRT Ice.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's been mine for a long time. Thank you. I'll be back with the rest of my list next week, the good Lord willing.

1 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? 2 3 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi. Frankie. MR. JOYCE: Hi, Chrissy. 4 5 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie, glad to see you back. I missed you last week. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Jack is back. 8 MR. SLEDENZSKI: What's up, Jackie? 9 Well, Frank, the other night we got our butt 10 kicked. You know that, don't you, Frankie? 11 Dunmore beat us by one lousy point. Frank, what's going with these guys? Well, you 12 13 want to know something, Jack, these firemen 14 are doing a good job down there. Keep it 15 down there, boys. We love every one of 16 yous. Thank you. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Chrissy. 18 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? 19 20 MR. LEWIS: Could I add a public 21 service announcement? 22 MR. JOYCE: Very briefly. 23 MR. LEWIS: I was very impressed 24 with Mrs. Evans earlier announcing all of 25 the activities for the next week, this

upcoming weekend the Junior League of
Scranton is participating or has a Touch a
Truck program at our Nay Aug Park. Local
trucking companies as myself will be there
with trucks and that starts at 11:00 in the
morning at Nay Aug Park and ends at 3:00 in
the afternoon. Bring your kids and touch
the truck. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Lewis, was that Saturday or Sunday?

MR. LEWIS: Saturday.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Thank you. I would like to address the issues of the zoning board. Ironically, I was speaking with one of the members of the zoning board today about some things that were going on and one of the things that he did bring up was that attendance at the zoning board meetings has been very lacks. That very often there are only three members present and many times it's not three of the

permanent members, that they are -- it's necessary to call the alternates and very often the alternates have been unwilling to come, and that does pose a problem.

The primary -- not only with some consistency in a vote, but one of the problems and he said it's bringing up and Mr. Lewis kind of alluded to was very often they are having to re-advertise for meetings, which is an added expense, you know, to advertise the meetings because they don't have a quorum to make decisions.

I don't know what we as a council can do about that, but the way in which the appointments to the board have worked in the past, we have all -- we are all responsible for one member of the board, the zoning board, I think that the maybe one of the things that we should do is that we should contact those people that we are responsible for appointing and find out if they are, in fact, going to be members or active members of the zoning board and if they are not to resign from the position and allow for us to reappoint those positions. I think it's

important that there is some consistency on the zoning board, so that we are getting a consistent vote on things pertaining to zoning.

And the other thing that we did talk about today, again something that Mr. Lewis brought up, that very often some of these votes are being -- are being made based simply on influence from the outside, the neighbors or other businesses, whatever, and in opposition to what the zoning codes are that they are -- that rather than, you know, making that difficult decision and saying to the neighbors, no, this is, you know, what's being proposed in the law or the codes and, therefore, you know, we are going to approve it, they are really voting in opposition to what is legal and what really should be done.

So I think that perhaps that we should take a -- really take a look at the nature of the zoning board at this point in time and perhaps do something to at least improve the operation of it. I just think it's something we should at least look into

1 at this point in time, especially the attendance. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Well, yes, because they have alternates. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: And that's what I just 6 said when you were out of the room that the alternates have not been very responsive, 7 that many of them are called and have 8 9 refused to -- you know, or not gone to the 10 meetings. So, you know, how many alternates are there, three? I'm trying to - there is 11 five board members and two --12 13 MS. EVANS: Two alternates. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Two alternates. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: So to get three out of 17 seven people for most meetings is really I 18 don't think that that's the way it was 19 intended to be. So with that, you know, 20 that's something I think we can discuss at 21 some point in time. 22 Rental registration, just very 23 quickly, I am told that bills have been sent 24 out, that the billing has begun and 25 hopefully, you know, we will, you know, get

the ones that are identified, the bills that those properties identify, and I know we have talked about various ways of improving the database that we have, and I was contacted by a number of the I think it's South Side Neighborhood Association, South Scranton Neighborhood Association, and they said that their members would be willing to go through their neighbor -- that, you know, whatever neighborhood they define as their kind of area to identify rental properties, and I thought personally it was, you know, maybe a way to build the database.

I don't know if it's within -- if we want to get involved in neighbors doing that, but again, I would like to propose to the council, as you know, something to discuss and possibly if we feel it's a good idea to possibly, you know, propose to other neighborhood associations to do the same thing. But I think it is something that we need to discuss and whether we want to give that power or authority to these associations.

And the last think that the recovery

plan, perhaps one of -- that we as a council, I think one of the things that we can start to -- there is so many things that need to be done in order to bring this recovery plan or make this recovery plan viable, I think it's imperative on our part that we get to work on some of the things that we can control, and I look at first the amusement tax. If we could -- if we could possibly work on this and have it, you know, put something that we want on the agenda prior to 2013 so that when we are looking at the budget for 2013 it's already in place and ready to be implemented.

Also, perhaps the real estate transfer tax that we can, you know, do the legislation on that and have that ready for the budget.

And lastly, going back to the rental registration, perhaps we can discuss the idea of a rental registration coordinator to hopefully improve that and maybe have an RFP in 2012 so that that person can be in place for 2013. Just some ideas for things that we can do to get prepared for the budget and

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for 2013. And that's all I have. Thank you. I'm sorry, one last thing.

MS. EVANS: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: I meant to mention it earlier, October 7, the 17th annual Steamtown marathon will be held. It is too late to register. They have full registration. I think they have registered close to 3,000 runners, but I'm sure that they would still be looking for volunteers and it really is a little too late to start training for it so you are really lucky the registration is closed. You won't be tempted to go out there and try, but be prepared for it, it's a great day, it's a great weekend, you know, but it does tie up the city for a few hours just so you know. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, and I just wanted to add that I happened today to run into one of the inspectors from LIPS who said that over 700 letters went out for the Rental Registration Program within the last week and that the last few days I believe it's \$3,400 was remitted, so it's a start.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: It's a start.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan, did you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, very briefly. Most of the items I have are positive this week, which is very nice. Yesterday I met with residents from the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association, Sandy Banks and Mark Seitzinger from LIPS regarding some of the problems they had in the neighborhood. It seemed to be a very productive meeting and Mark actually just followed up with an e-mail before the meeting started down in that neighborhood again started to work on some of the blight issues, and since rental registration was brought up that was one of the other issues that we discussed.

And as Mr. McGoff mentioned in the past, they are working on numerous ways of putting this list together. Some of them -- one of them is through the sewer bills that come in. You know, the other thing is in the past people have tried to get around the rental registration by listing the property as an LLC and now that's putting up a red

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

flag so they can look into it.

He explained a bunch of different things that they were doing to try and make sure they can get a database that will be accurate and get these notices out to the landlords that need to pay, and I was very encouraged by that.

And also he informed me, you know, we were speaking a little bit about the issues of Pinebrook and the blight in different neighborhoods, when the rental registration safety inspections begin I asked that they would first take place in neighborhoods that are blighted. wouldn't want to start off in, you know, Mayor Doherty's block of Greenridge Street when there is no blight, but we have other neighborhoods throughout the city that have blight that would be the logical place to start the inspections. Although, at the end of day they all have to be inspected, and we also spoke about the idea of hopefully in the future the program being self-sustaining, that if it could bring in enough revenue after it's setup possibly

another inspector could be brought on to do rental registration inspections throughout the city and just to crack down on blight in the neighborhoods in general.

Another good thing in the community, the Keyser Valley flooding issue residents at Keyser Valley have let me know that the Sewer Authority has been doing great work down there. I know I'm hard on the Sewer Authority many times, but they deserve credit for doing good work there. The project should be wrapping up soon and hopefully the residents in that neighborhood won't have to be deal with the constant flooding in their front yards every time we get a little bit of rain.

And the issues that came up regarding the CDBG money, I will be bringing all of these up to Ms. Aebli, and a lot of them that were brought up I think are good ideas, we just have to check to make sure that they are eligible for the funding, and that is why I'll put all of this together in the morning and get that to her and then report back and then, you know, after

hearing from the public we will compile the amendments. I know a few of you have already provided, others wanted to wait until after the public hearing, so we will be getting those and getting that together and trying to allocate the money in the areas that will be best for the most amount of people in the city.

And finally, I just have one request, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to the administration and the fire chief asking if there is any city fire trucks that have been loaned out to any other municipalities?

And that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. Just briefly, I apologize for my absence last week, I have had a few health issues I had to take care of and I'm glad to be back here. I will -- because I had a lot of catching up phone calls, e-mails and stuff like that, I will be working on that before

prior to the next meeting. I will have reports on the fire department over the past month, station closings and responses how it affects your neighborhoods. I will have a report on the police department vehicles, the maintenance and the vehicles that are under order and I will have an update next week on that.

Just to add to what Mr. Rogan mentioned about Keyser Valley, I do have a letter that I would like to read. "Dear Honorable Council Members: As most of you are aware, I have been begging for help with the major water and flooding issues in Keyser Valley, mainly my street. I approached City Council and the City of Scranton in 2005 after the flooding in November of that year and asked for help. I continued to ask the city for help for seven years. Neighbors have been looking for a resolution prior to me and my wife purchasing our home for nearly 30 years.

I had meetings with two former state representatives, three city DPW directors, representatives of the Governor's Office,

_ :

numerous city council members, and two state senators. I have also had an unsuccessful caucus with former DPW Director Jeff Brazil. He committed to fixing the problem and never did. The only response of individuals were four members of this current City Council and the Scranton Sewer Authority.

After seven years, 43 letters, numerous unsuccessful phone calls and meetings, I am extremely happy to notify this City Council that the Scranton Sewer Authority has been working tirelessly for almost three weeks on fixing the issue. They are in the process of installing new lines down Lafayette Street from Keyser Avenue. They connected into a 18-inch main that comes from Keyser Avenue and drain into our neighborhood. They also put in new catch basins along Lafayette Street and they believe this will resolve the issues.

Mr. Gene Skeleton of the Authority has personally spearheaded this project and is determined to get this fixed. He has been in contact with me almost on a daily basis. He is the reason this project is

getting completed. After seven years of flooding and frustration, he needs to be highly commended for giving this project the attention it needed and deserved.

I would also like to thank the men that are working on the project. I can only speak for myself and my family, but we are extremely grateful for this project finally getting attention and coming up onto completion.

I also want to sincerely thank this council, and more importantly, Gene Skeleton, the Board of the Sewer Authority, and the men that have been working tirelessly to get this job done."

And I would just like to add, you know, all of us here have been involved in this situation as we are with many others. It's nice to see progress and something we have been working on for a few years happen, especially these kind of conditions. We have these problems elsewhere in the city and I hope the Sewer Authority will continue to work with them. I have met and discussed situations with Mr. Skeleton on many

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

occasions and he has also tried to do whatever he can.

I think that the final fruition of this came about when it was clarified that, you know, the sewers, the storm sewers are the responsibility of the Sewer Authority and not the city, because I believe they were sort of holding back at that time so there were no cross problems between the DPW and the Sewer Authority at that time, so being that that was resolved I think we can see further advancement with the help of our Sewer Authority to progress into the other areas of the city that we still have some of these problems and I, too would, like to commend them for the job they are doing. And this was Charlie Newcomb actually, and Mr. Newcomb for, you know, staying on top of it and keeping us advised, also.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. To begin tonight

I'm going to address the Scranton Single Tax Office. We have received correspondence from Tax Collector Bill Courtright regarding the Scranton Single Tax Office's collections and distributions for the period ending on August 31, 3012.

First, in regard to real estate tax collection, for this year so far the tax office has collected \$11,616,728.80 in real estate taxes that -- current real estate taxes. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$10,965,343.96. With this in mind, there has been an overall increase in real estate tax collections of \$651,384.84 thus far.

Secondly, in regard to the delinquent real estate tax collections for this year, so far the tax office has collected \$470,445.86. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$550,938.74. With this in mind, there has been an overall decrease in the delinquent real estate tax collections of \$80,492.88 thus far.

Third, in regard to the local

service tax, for this year so far the tax office has collected \$1,023,182.04. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$1,299,619.34. With this in mind, there has been an overall decrease in the local service tax collections of \$276,437.30.

Fourth, in regard to the business privilege and mercantile taxes for this year so far, the tax office has collected \$1,703,798.94. In the same period last year, the tax office collected \$1,281,051.54. With this in mind, there has been an increase overall of \$322,747.40 in business privilege and mercantile collections thus far.

Also to report, the Scranton Single
Tax Office has collected \$6,106,987.09 this
year in earned income taxes. As far as
offering comparison to the amount collected
last year, it would not be comparing apples
to apples since Berkheimer took over the
collection of 2012 earned income taxes. The
\$6,106,987.09 figure collected by the
Scranton Single Tax Office this year is

~-

primarily fourth quarter tax receipts from 2011 that were made in this current year.

While we are on the subject of the tax office, to inform proposals were open on Monday, September 17, 2012, for the rebid of the Scranton Single Tax Office independent audit for the year ending 12-31-2011. Both Joseph Alu and Associates as well as Bonita and Rainey, certified public accountants, submitted a bids.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please contact Mrs. Novembrino and inquire whether or not a firm has been selected to complete the audit of the Scranton Single Tax Office.

In addition to the bids being opened for the Scranton Single Tax Office audit, RFP proposals were opened on Wednesday, September 12, 2012, in council chambers for the city pharmacy benefit manager services. Proposals were submitted by three companies being Cat Moran, Express Scripts and Millennium.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please contact Ms. McAndrew and inquire if a

pharmacy benefit manager for the city has been selected yet.

Regarding the capital budget, I'm going to make a motion tonight during

Seventh Order to table this legislation. To this date I have only received input from one council member regarding the amendments and the prioritization of the sections of the capital budget.

In addition, with our council solicitor being busy this week due to minor surgery, he has not had enough time to draw up amendments to the capital budget. With this in mind, I would ask that all city council members e-mail by next Tuesday with the suggested prioritization of sections and also with any amendments they would like to make to the capital budget.

And finally, I do have a few citizens' requests. West Parker Street residents have informed me that the condition of the home on 122 West Parker Street is deplorable, to say the least. Residents have voiced their concern regarding overgrown grass and weeds.

Residents have also voiced their concern there are many rodents in the neighborhood because of this property as well numerous stay cats.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please contact Director Seitzinger and ask him to handle this situation in the best way that he sees fit as the property is abandoned and it's becoming quite a problem for neighbors in that specific community.

Also, since school season has begun
I have been contacted by residents of
Greenbush and Reese Street as well as
employees of the Career Technology Center
regarding the condition of both streets.
Neighbors and workers have informed me that
they are numerous potholes making travel
conditions difficult on both of these
streets which serve as the entrance and exit
paths to the Career Technology Center.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake,
please contact Director Dougher and ask him
to handle this situation in the best way
that he sees fit.

And finally, various Keyser Valley

residents have reported to me that the 2600 block and 2700 block of Frank Street are in very poor shape. There is numerous potholes and cracks in the road and these blocks make travel conditions very difficult. Residents request that the situation be handled accordingly and that these blocks are repaired so they are in a more drivable state.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please add this to the list of issues to contact Director Dougher about, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. I have only a few issues to discuss, but before I do, I'd like to call on Solicitor Hughes to update the public regarding the Parking Authority and council's plans for the University of Scranton. Attorney Hughes, give the public the good news, please.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President and Council. As everyone is

aware, the city is in the process of having

a private placement of the bond issue

through Janney, Montgomery, Scott under the Securities Exchange Commission Rules is that there is a private placement memorandum. I reviewed it, there is a section about two pages on pages 13 and 14 regarding the Parking Authority which really was outdated, it did not have the current facts regarding the City of Scranton and the Parking Authority, especially determination of the cooperation agreement.

I contacted bond counsel regarding that in Philadelphia. She requested me to rewrite the section, which I did, and the pertinent part, you know, regarding the Central -- actually, regarding the receiver taking over the operations of the Parking Authority and hiring Central Parking garage to manage the facility on his behalf, and what was reported in the Scranton Tribune on Saturday regarding Central Parking's proposed budget, that budget was attached to the management contract and is for the fiscal period of the September 11, 2012, to September 30, 2013.

Central anticipates that the revenue

during that we'll say calendar year will be increased from what was estimated by the Parking Authority of \$3 million to \$3,717,953.

In accordance with the management contract, Central Parking will receive a 3 percent commission on all revenues in excess of \$3.1, which to me is a very modest fee for the increase of revenue, so that the operating expenses including the \$18,000 plus for the increase of revenue would be typical and paid to Central Parking would be \$938 -- I'm sorry, \$738,000. This is approximately two-thirds of what the Scranton Parking Authority's current management was taking out or what their expenses were, which is a savings of one-third or almost \$350,000 savings there.

That would mean an estimated net revenue for the Parking Authority to pay its bond indentures of \$2,979,000. To that we must add because of the cooperation agreement being terminated the \$100,000 commission that the Parking Authority got and collecting all of the parking meter

revenue which was around \$1 million. So that has to be added back in because now the Parking Authority will now get that \$100,000.

In addition to that, the city in this year's budget made a grant or makes a grant of \$586,000 to the Scranton Parking Authority, that's divided up into increments every two weeks. Included within that, that's for the seven employees, the seven meter employees, that would be \$80,000 a person, which it is not. The salaries are around \$30,000.

Included within that figure is \$140,000 management fee. Now, what the management fee is I have no idea, but you have the back up back out the management fee so that that would not payable to the Parking Authority. The reason the cooperation agreement was terminated was to deny the Parking Authority any funds so it would not have any funds to pay anybody. When you add that back in, the \$100,000, the \$140,000 it would be \$3,200,000 available to pay the pond issue.

Added to that has to be in the recovery plan is the enhanced meter program which for 2013 the estimated increase in that is \$700,000. That would bring the total revenue that would be available to pay the bond issue to \$3.9 million. The 100,000, 140,000 and the 700,00, of course, the city money the city is the guarantor of the Parking Authority debt.

So as of a result of that it will now be \$3.9 million available to pay the bond payment at least almost \$3 million from the Parking Authority.

It was estimated and was stated in the Tribune article that the bond payments were \$3.7 million. When I did my original calculation and I sent this e-mail out last Wednesday, yesterday morning, I used that figure which meant that the city would have by the current management and having Central involved, the city would be able to keep \$200,000 that it would not have to pay because of the Parking Authority not having sufficient monies. You know there is \$1.6 million in this year's budget, we are going

to spend all of that.

This turns out to be an immediate turn around of \$1.8 million of funds because of this action that council took back in January, I'm sorry, back in June when the Parking Authority came in and requested a million dollars and council did not pass the legislation authorizing the transfer of that money from the contingency fund to make that bond payment.

There has been a lot of criticism, especially from the Scranton Times, what it's done to the city. What it's done for the city is the fact that it brought to light the ineptitude, the incompetence of the Scranton Parking Authority management. The bond insurers came in, the next day they informed me, they called me, and I had a conversations with them after that council did, based on the assurances that I had from the attorneys for the insurance companies, the next week we provided for that payment, the bond payment was made.

During those discussions they told me that, number one, they would replacing

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the trustee. Number two, they would immediately move -- after the trusty was replaced they would immediately move to have a receiver appointed, and that they would then seek and hire a competent manager whose goal would be to raise the revenues for the Scranton Parking Authority and decrease its expenses.

My time estimate for that to be accomplished would have been six to nine However, it was accomplished in an months. extremely short period of time of three months. Mr. Washo was installed within two months. Central Parking was hired within three months. And as a result, if these figures hold -- well, if these figures hold, the turnaround that I put forth in my e-mail to probably about 15 lawyers and consultants and Janney Montgomery was that the turnaround would have been approximately \$1.8 million so that the city would not have to come with \$1.6 million payment next year and would have an extra \$200,000.

I have done further investigations on that and have determined that the

estimate of \$3.7 million was incorrect, it's actually lower, and the total bond payments, and I'm using the calendar here next year from January through December, are actually \$3,487,461.25. When you add the bond payment from the anticipated revenues, that would mean that the city by taking the -- by council taking the action it did, and really putting the Scranton Parking Authority out of business per se, has resulted in almost \$2 million swing of monies available.

If these figures hold there will not have to be anything in next year's budget, any monies, to provide for payment of any Parking Authority bonds. That's a \$1.6 million savings.

In addition, there will be an extra \$400,000, so that's a \$2 million swing to the positive for the City of Scranton and to me it's extremely good news. As I said in my e-mail, I said that -- this is my response to Attorney Kelly's inquiry and I'm only the solicitor for Scranton City Council, and it is information for informational purposes only. We put it in a

22

23

24

25

prospectus and it should be reviewed by a financial analysis, financial consultant and a certified public accountant, and I believe they rely on the same figures that I have, but based on this and, of course, we'll see what happens by December when the budget comes up, but it would appear that because of the action that council has taken that right now based on preliminary figures we will not have to put in \$1.6 to \$2 million in next year's budget, that the amount of revenue raised by Central Parking if it's \$3 million the City of Scranton will only have to contribute -- we will have to have some money in there, but it would be from the \$100,000 revenue the Parking Authority got and \$140,000 management fee, there is \$240,000 of almost \$480,000, so we will have to come up with some money but it's a tremendous swing to the positive.

And that's all I have to report on the Parking Authority. If anybody has any questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

MS. EVANS: Would you like to move ahead with the University of Scranton?

MR. HUGHES: Oh, I'd love to. I've read with great consternation the fact that the University of Scranton is going to oppose the City of Scranton parking tax. I will state that there is probably many directors that are on the board of directors of the University of Scranton, there are probably many people in management positions up there that do not have the history of the University of Scranton, and that the University of Scranton would not have a footprint that it currently has if it were not for the city.

The University of Scranton is

located where it is because of donations

from the Scranton family with the Scranton

Estate and somewhere in the early 60's on

Monroe Avenue they built a new classroom

facility, that was in the early 60's. That

was pretty much the confines of the

University of Scranton. It could not expand

beyond that footprint because virtually all

of the land to the east was a residential

area. I don't know how it came about, but

the University of Scranton is what it is

today because of the actions that the City of Scranton and Scranton Redevelopment took.

They adopted what was known as the University plan, which is an area bounded by Moyer Court on the west, Webster Avenue on the east, Mulberry Street on the north, and Ridge Row on the south. Virtually all of that area was a residential area. Many stately homes were in that area, and it was adopted as a blighted area in order to conform to the urban redevelopment laws so that the properties could be condemned, acquired by the Redevelopment Authority and conveyed to the University of Scranton.

The plan was never challenged by any residents and as a result many properties were condemned and that is how the University of the Scranton gained it's foothold in the Hill Section and a major residential high tax producing area of the city.

If it were not for that plan, the
University of Scranton never would have been
able to expand eastward, northward or
southward, in fact, in any direction. The

city really came to the rescue of the
University of Scranton and in later years
even vacated large portions of Linden Street
in order to make a campus.

I thought it very ironic that, and I looked at it after giving this some consideration, that the City of Scranton created it's own Trojan horse, such as the Greeks did with the ancient city of Troy, and I'm sure everybody knows the story of the Trojan horse. This is, I think, very apropos especially since the former dean of the graduate business school of the University of Scranton wrote a very influential book called "Inside the Trojan Horse." It is currently out of publication. There are copies available at the University of Scranton library and probably also at the Scranton Public Library.

Dr. Strickland has his PhD in economics from the University of Pittsburgh, taught economics at the University of Scranton and ultimately he became the Dean of the graduate school at the University of Scranton. "Inside the Trojan Horse" is a

book that's very critical of authorities in Pennsylvania, especially since they become more powerful, they end up controlling or having much more influence over the municipalities that they are supposed to serve, they end up to be out on a frolic of their own. They are free will, free spirit and there is really no way to control them.

Because he was so critical of the authorities, Gene Peters, Mayor Eugene Peters, appointed Dr. Strickland to both the boards of the Redevelopment Authority and the Scranton Housing authority. I served with great pride on both the Scranton Redevelopment and the Scranton Housing authority with Dr. Strickland, and what has happened now and it's been echoed I'll say week after week, month after month, almost year after year, that the city should do something in order to curtail the University of Scranton.

What can be done is that right now the University of Scranton is beyond its institutional district. It is spreading into the residential areas up in the Hill

Section. They have acquired vast amounts of property. They have built a dormitory on Mulberry Street in an R-1 zone. There is a small sliver of that, it is a C-1 zone, but most of it is constructed within an R-2 zone. They had to go before the Scranton Zoning Board in order to get variances.

One variance should never have been granted, and that's a use variance. Every variance they request should have been denied. They have established parking lots in residential zones for which they had to have had a variance. It is my recommendation to the council since the Scranton Zoning Hearing Board is an independent agency totally separate and distinct from the City of Scranton, that the City of Scranton has standing to oppose any variance as filed by anyone.

I believe that from this day forward due to the fact that the University of Scranton that has a budget which ended May 31, 2011, of \$227,883,304, that's on the charitable tax return, that was their gross, which is approximately three times the

city's budget, they had expenses of \$185,038,781, which means that they have a gross profit of \$42,844,523. Now, I know I'll be chastised for saying it's a profit. This is what they filed with their charitable -- their 990, I think it's 999-S tax return.

It has to be determined, if they are going to take the City of Scranton to Court how much of that \$42 million actually goes towards charitable purposes, and I think that the city should vigorously, and this is not my function, it's easy for me to say, but I think the city should vigorously challenge the filing that they are a eleemosynary institution. There is lot of money in here that they can use to pay the city's -- to pay help the city and pay a fair share to the City of Scranton as is set forth in the recovery plan.

They should take a good luck at this and everything that the City of Scranton has done for the University of Scranton over the last 50 years and take a look and do what Brown University did to the City of

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Providence, Rhode Island. They should kick in a couple of million dollars to the city because if weren't for the city they wouldn't have the institution they have.

And, as I said, it's a Trojan horse because now they are outside gobbling up more and more property, taking it off the tax rolls, going in because they are outside of the institutional district and having to get variances.

It would be my recommendation to council that Mrs. Krake write a letter to the Scranton Zoning Hearing Board and that every time the University of Scranton files an application for a variance that the zoning hearing board give the mayor and council notice of the fact that they have a variance. If the city does not file an objection to the granting of a variance anywhere to the north of Mulberry Street, to the east of Webster avenue, to the south of Moyer Court, and I know they can't go anymore than Ridge Avenue because I think the Lackawanna river is there, but anyway, that I will appear before the zoning board

on behalf of council and object to the granting of any variance.

It's one way to stop the University of Scranton from gobbling up anymore properties knowing that they will not receive variances, that they will not able to get a variance to construct anything north of Mulberry Street or east of Webster Avenue.

One of the speakers tonight gave me another idea, I really think that so we will be fair to all nonprofits, I think any time a nonprofit makes application for a variance before the zoning hearing board, no matter who the nonprofit is council should be notified --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: -- and we should object. I don't care if it's the medical school, Marywood, you know, a hospital, I don't care if it's a small nonprofit that wants to acquire a property, knock it down and put a parking lot in a residential zone, it should be denied, and that's the way we are going to get control and it's the only way that we

can get control of this area of the city, many areas of the city, back to where it should be. And I have nothing further. If anybody has any questions on council I can answer them.

MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, if we can send that letter as soon as possible please to the zoning board that council wishes to be notified of the appearance of any nonprofit before the zoning board seeking a variance for expansion, and I'm sure members of the council and our solicitor will be present and vigorously opposing any further expansions in this city which has been financially raped by the loss of tax paying properties.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, one thing I didn't say is the fact that that dormitory on the north side of Mulberry Street legally never should have been built. The two residents that took exceptions were on solid ground because that was a use variance -- in accordance with the law, that use variance could not be granted and the granting of all of these variances for

parking lots in these residential districts do not meet the requirements of the law, and as such those two people that did take -- I don't know why they withdraw their appeal that's not my concern, but they did, if they continued with their appeal I have no doubt in my mind that they would have won.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Maybe that they were bought out.

MR. HUGHES: Jack, I have no idea.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I've seen some properties over there that were purchased for \$27,000 and the University paid 550 two years later without any improvements. So, you know, when you have big money, I'm not saying that's what happened, but we can all surmise.

MS. EVANS: And there is never an issue in making those purchases. They are done regularly. And as you said, at quite increased prices. And I have noticed as well that the University, for example, is moving farther and farther into the downtown.

MR. HUGHES: Well, the thing is it's

zoning. Moyer Court is between Jefferson and Madison Avenue. It's behind the old Emmanuel Baptist Church. That's the end of the line for an institutional district. Now as they start coming into town you are into different areas of zoning where maybe some of the things that they are purchasing and doing they can legally do because it's in the proper zone. What I'm saying is that even if they are a commercial district and they want to do something there where they are to have a variance, we should object.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and we will.

MR. HUGHES: And that until they realize that they cannot expand, if they buy another house up there with the idea that they are going to knock it down and add another vacant lot and add another dormitory they have to have a use variance and there is no way that they legally can get it, and we have to object. It's the way to get the pressure, to keep them -- we can't push them back inside of the institutional district, but we can keep that institutional district from further expanding into a residential

zone.

25

1

MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, just while we are on that subject something touched my mind and we have written letters about this previously, the street signage on Mulberry Street, since the University is working on that corridor is it their responsibility to replace the signage or is it still the city's responsibility, because there are no street signs from I believe Jefferson up to Webster or beyond and we have had numerous rear end accidents for people looking for Quincy Avenue from out of town going to Moses Taylor Hospital, we brought this issue up several times here and sent letters with I was just wondering can we no response. send a letter and who do we find out who is responsible since those light polls and are all part of the project of the University, is the signage part of their, you know, their deal with this Mulberry Street? Something has to be done. It's been without signs for a long time and I have had a lot of people come to me and they have sent letters previously, and another situation,

1 where we don't get any response. don't know, you know, who we would send --2 3 MR. HUGHES: Who do I look like, the answer man? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Pardon? 5 MR. HUGHES: Who do I look like, the 6 7 answer man? I mean, I have no idea with that Mulberry Street corridor, I mean, that 8 9 was there with the state money and with PennDOT and everything else, I have no idea 10 11 on that, but maybe you write a letter to the city solicitor and ask them. 12 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Because I know it's 14 not a state road from Jefferson up, it's 15 city. MR. HUGHES: I would add one further 16 17 comment, and it goes to what was stated 18 before about BRT Ice, Paul Kelly and I have 19 had discussions, he is upset about it just 20 like I am and that's why I am coordinating 21 my thoughts with him as to how to proceed. 22 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 24 MR. HUGHES: And if I could, I would 25 like to be excused.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you very much.

Because of the 2013 increase in city real estate taxes, I would like to ask
Scranton Tax Collector Bill Courtright to extend the 2013 discount period from the last working day of February to the last working day in March. I spoke with Mayor Doherty yesterday and he agreed with this proposal.

Also, I spoke with Mr. Courtright and he is most willing to help the city taxpayers. He asks only for a letter from the city administration stating it's concurrence with city council in requesting an extended discount period in 2013.

In order to prepare for this change in a timely fashion, Mr. Courtright needs this letter quickly and thereafter he will speak with the county and school district on our behalf to obtain their approval. I thank Mr. Courtright for his gracious assistance in this matter.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please deliver council's letter tomorrow if

- '

possible to the mayor requesting that the administration provide a letter to Tax Collector Courtright concerning the extension of the discount period for 2013 city real estate taxes. Thank you.

City council recognizes the hardship incurred with the tax increase. As a result, we will do whatever we possibly can to assist taxpayers.

Next, OECD director Linda Aebli will participate in a public caucus at 6:00 p.m. next Thursday, September 27, to explain Items 5-B and C which are included in tonight's agenda for introduction. Both pieces of legislation pertain to use of CDBG monies for advanced payment of the Hilton Hotel Section 108 loan.

Finally, due to the scheduling of
the public caucus prior to next week's
regularly scheduled council meeting,
Mrs. Krake, please reschedule the caucus
with representatives of Central Parking and
Mr. Washo to another date. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, ENTITLED, "AN

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM". THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO ALLOCATE UNOBLIGATED FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO HUNDRED EIGHTY ONE THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED EIGHTY TWO AND NINETY-NINE CENTS (281,782.99) TO THE ACTIVITY KNOWN AS THE SCRANTON 108 LOAN REPAYMENT FOR THE HOTEL AND CONFERENCE CENTER UNDER PROMISSORY NOTE B-99-MC-42-0014.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

23

21

22

24

25

1 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING RESOLUTION 7 8 NO. 674, 2000, AS AMENDED "AUTHORIZING THE 9 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO 10 PROMISSORY NOTES B-99-MC-42-0014 SERIES 11 AND ALL OTHER NECESSARY LOAN DOCUMENTS IN 12 THE AMOUNT OF THREE MILLION DOLLARS 13 14 (\$3,000,000.00) WITH THE REGISTERED HOLDER AFTERWATCH AND COMPANY AS SECURITY FOR AN 15 ADVANCE OF MONIES FROM THE U.S. FEDERAL 16 DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, 17 18 FOR THE HOTEL AND CONFERENCE CENTER PROJECT", THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS 19 TO AUTHORIZE A DEFEASANCE OF THE CITY OF 20 SCRANTON'S REMAINING OBLIGATION UNDER A 21 22 PORTION OF PROMISSORY NOTE B-99-MC-42-0014; 23 AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A 24 DEFEASANCE ACCOUNT WITH THE BANK OF NEW YORK 25 MELLON, AS TRUSTEE AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING

1	THE FUNDING OF THE DEFEASANCE ACCOUNT WITH
2	FUNDS FROM THE CITY OF SCRANTON CDBG ACTION
3	PLANS OF 2011 AND 2012; AND FURTHER
4	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND APPROPRIATE
5	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
6	EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTATION NECESSARY TO
7	ACCOMPLISH THE DEFEASANCE OF A PORTION OF
8	THE PRINCIPAL DUE UNDER THE ABOVE-REFERENCED
9	NOTE.
10	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
25	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56,

1	2012 - AN ORDINANCE – AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
2	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY
3	OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
4	IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR
5	COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
6	TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
7	BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
8	PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
9	SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE PERIOD
10	BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013.
11	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
14	pass reading by title.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question?
17	MR. MCGOFF: When would the final
18	reading of this be?
19	MS. EVANS: I believe it is October
20	20.
21	MR. MCGOFF: It's a 30-day wait.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
24	MS. EVANS: You're welcome. And,
25	Mr. Rogan, since Ms. Aebli will be present
	11

next week at the caucus, perhaps you could at that point ask her about the suggestions that were presented this evening by --

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely, and I'll also e-mail those to her in advance just in case she has to look into them a little bit.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 59, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE
- PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF CERTAIN
STREETS, OPEN SPACE PARCELS AND STORMWATER
FACILITIES IN THE MOUNTAIN LAKE ESTATES
SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO
ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND
RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY RECORDER OF
DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A DEED FOR THE ABOVE

MENTIONED LANDS AND RIGHT-OF-WAYS. 1 2 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 3 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 4 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 5 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 6 7 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 14 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -15 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 60, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE 16 17 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A GENERAL FUND 18 ACCOUNT #01.101860 TITLED "FIRST LIBERTY BANK-ESCROW ACCOUNT CITY OF SCRANTON EARNED 19 INCOME TAX SINKING FUND" FOR THE RECEIPT 20 21 AND DISBURSEMENT OF 2012 EARNED INCOME TAX REVENUE TO BE DRAWN DOWN BY AMALGAMATED BANK 22 ON A MONTHLY BASIS FOR PAYMENT ON THE TAN 23 24 SERIES B- 2012. 25 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by

1	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
2	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
3	pass reading by title.
4	MR. JOYCE: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
6	those in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a
14	motion to table Item 7-A.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
17	floor and a second, on the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
24	have it and so moved.
25	MR. JOYCE: I'd also like to make a

motion to amend Item 7-B per the following:

Number one, amending the last line in the title by inserting, "After 50 years as required by the grant."

Number two, in the second whereas clause insert the number "42" after resolution.

Number three, in the third whereas clause insert, "After the grant for 50 years as required by the grant."

In the fourth whereas clause insert,

After for 50 years as required by the

grant."

Number five, after the fourth whereas clause insert "Whereas, the deed from the City of Scranton to the Scranton Public Library Association is subject to the deed from William Smith, et. al., to the City of Scranton dated April 5, 1890, and recorded in the Lackawanna County Deed, Book 100, page 47, which requires the Albright Memorial Library building to be a free public library for the use and benefit of the citizens and residents of the City of Scranton forever (the deed.)

1 Number six, in the now, therefore, clause insert, "After for 50 yeas as 2 3 required by the grant and in perpetuity as required by the deed." 4 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the 5 floor, do we have a second? 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 8 MS. EVANS: On the question? 9 MR. MCGOFF: I would personally like 10 to thank Attorney Hughes for doing these 11 clarifications and really putting into words 12 what the original intent of the legislation 13 was, and I thank you. 14 MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 21 have it and so moved. 22 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -23 24 RESOLUTION NO. 41- 2012, AS AMENDED -25 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

1	CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A GRANT
2	AGREEMENT WITH KEYSTONE RECREATION, PARK AND
3	CONSERVATION FUND REQUIRING THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON TO COMMIT TO A GRANT REQUIREMENT TO
5	ASSURE THAT THE ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL LIBRARY
6	BUILDING WILL BE USED AS AN UNDISTURBED USE
7	BY THE PUBLIC AS A LIBRARY ONLY FOR FIFTY
8	(50) YEARS AS REQUIRED BY THE GRANT.
9	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
10	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-B, as amended.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question?
14	MR. MCGOFF: This is Seventh order?
15	MS. EVANS: Pardon?
16	MR. MCGOFF: Seventh Order, we need
17	a roll call.
18	MS. EVANS: No one is on the
19	question. Roll all, please.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

	106
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-B, as amended, legally and lawfully
6	adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
9	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
10	CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR A GRANT
11	APPLICATION AND, IF SUCCESSFUL, TO ENTER
12	INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE FUNDS
13	RELATED THERETO A KEYSTONE RECREATION, PARK
14	AND CONSERVATION FUND GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
15	\$500,000.00 FOR REPAIRS TO THE HISTORIC
16	ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL LIBRARY.
17	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
18	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-C.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
22	call, please.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

_	
	107
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
8	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
9	If there is no further business,
10	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
11	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
12	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
13	adjourned.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER