_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, September 6, 2012	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON POLICE PENSION
16	MEETING HELD JULY 25, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
20	FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD
21	JULY 25, 2012.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
25	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD AUGUST 28,

2012. 1 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. 4 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE ZONING HEARING BOARD TO BE HELD SEPTEMBER 5 19, 2012. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 8 If not, received and filed. 9 MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED AUGUST 31ST AND 10 SEPTEMBER 5TH OF 2012. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 13 If not, received and filed. 14 MS. KRAKE: Do any council members have announcements at this time? 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Just very quickly, I do 17 want to I guess wish congratulations to the 18 most foolish woman in the world, my wife. 19 Yesterday we celebrated our 42 wedding 20 anniversary. Somehow she has managed to 21 stay with me for that long and I love her for that. 22 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Congratulations. 24 MS. EVANS: Congratulations to both 25 of you. Is there anyone else? Tonight city

council will introduce an ordinance drafted by its solicitor to terminate the cooperation agreement between the city and the Scranton Parking Authority. During Fifth Order motions I will call on Attorney Hughes to fully report the most recent developments regarding the Parking Authority.

The South Side Senior Center located at 425 Alder Street in Scranton will conduct it's annual pasta dinner and basket raffle on Thursday, September 20. Proceeds will benefit the many activities the senior center provides to participants 60 years of age and older, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you, Council. I got up here in time today. You know, over the years many worthwhile suggestions from just intelligent people one after another and council just -- you sit there politely and you listen, but you don't hear. You

just don't hear us out here. You know, week after week it's the same thing in here, just inaction. The people want to see something in concrete. They want to see something, some legislation to stop these -- the rape of this city by all of these nonprofits. You people just are not getting anything done and I'm not mad at you, I'm just telling you what I hear.

It just -- it just -- you can go anywhere in this city you want, talk to anybody, everyone will tell you that they have had enough. They have had enough of Doherty's just gross incompetence and running the city, they have had enough of the stranglehold with the unions, and I'm not anti-union, I belong to two of them, but they have priced themselves out. There is -- we can't afford these unions. They have had enough of this fiasco at the SPA and this \$100 an hour job deal. The city is broke and nobody wants to acknowledge it.

Everybody wants to hire, the school board wants to build, these two commissioners have hired over 20 people and

then they complain a \$100,000 salaries aren't enough for them. Somebody is just not -- you just don't have the heart of the city. You are not listening to what's happening here. 2000 people didn't pay their taxes, that means the rest of us in the city have to cover for them. Why wasn't something done? 2,000 people, you know, I mow some of them just had good reasons, but 2000 people, all of that money just sitting there and nothing being done about it.

Just like the high school out there that Goodwill has had for years and years, they are just the same. They keep telling us what they are going to do and don't do it. And the commissioners there must have been four or 5,000, millions of dollars out and nothing being collected. No wonder we are broke. There has got to be an answer somewhere.

You know, you just can't -- you can't minimize say it's only going to cost the homeowner a couple of hundred dollars, you got a half of dozen paydays you have to borrow money. We cannot afford it no more.

23

24

25

Nobody is getting nothing for their dollar except police and fire protection, you know. I don't have any side sidewalks, I don't have no curbs, my yard floods when it rains because there is no sewer, it has been that way, I don't know, 15, 20 years, nothing been done. Now I'm supposed to pay and pay and pay it's not right. 150 houses of families up there in Keyser avenue they don't pay nothing for ten years and they have got everything I don't have. They got sidewalks, streets, they built a school there was so many kids they are not paying for the school. I haven't had any kids in school in 30 years. It's just -- the city is just so mismanaged and, like I said, people have come here and they tell you and they tell you and they tell you and nothing is said and nothing is done. It's time something is done for the people of this city once and for all, I'm telling you.

What we need to do is s every seat comes vacant we need to fill it with a new face. Maybe that would help because I don't know what else will help. It's just ashame,

you know. The only one that people talk positive on council is Mr. Rogan because he didn't want to give any money to the SPA, that was throwing away good money and it got worse down there not better. They made their salaries with the people in this building didn't. That doesn't make any sense. It doesn't make any sense to the taxpayers.

I don't know, I have read a little about Mr. Lewis' plan and what I like about it is he is using cold hard facts on paper what we made in going from there. You cannot -- you just can't budget on speculation. Every week there is a house in there, two or 300,000 dollars taken off the tax rolls. How can you budget when that keeps going on and never ending?

I don't want to scold you all, I support you. You are our voice to the city, but you just got to listen to the what's going on here and acknowledge it for God's sake. The city is going to pot. The city appraised my house a few years ago at 101,000, a couple of months ago the real

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

estate man said I would be lucky to get 75 because my neighborhood is going downhill.

That's all over the place except around the mayor's old house. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Greg Hulse.

MR. HULSE: Good evening. My name is Greg Hulse, city resident and taxpayer. For the last couple of months I have attended city council and other public meetings in utter amazement. I am sat by and watched my fellow citizens complain and rant in front of the cameras. In these last few months, I have heard about everything that's wrong with this city but rarely have I heard anyone offer positive solutions for our future. I freely admit there are lots of things wrong with this city from the out of control spending at Nay Aug Park, the KOZ zones that were ill, whatever, that were an ill conceived idea and fully mismanaged and the failed attempts to attract jobs to our city.

It's what I call if you build it they will come syndrome, but they did not

come, did they? So why am I here tonight?

I will state for a fact to this council that

I truly do not believe this recovery plan

will work at least the way it's being put

forward to us. I do believe our taxes will

be raise near the 81 percent or more than

the 35 percent proposed, and I do not

believe that city will run the table what

needs to get accomplished because some of

it, frankly, is out of the city's hands and

in the state legislatures.

But I am not here tonight to list the shortcomings of the city council or the mayor, but I am here to help. I have come up with some solutions which I will like to put forward for your consideration this evening. First, let's talk about the elephant in the room, jobs. We need them. The only way we are going to increase the tax base in this city is by attracting jobs and residents. But how?

Well, I would put forward a plan, a proposal really, that would give businesses a tax credit for every full-time worker they employed within the city limits. If they

are a city resident in Scranton this business, if hired them, they will get a \$5,000 tax break. If they are a -- if they hire military personnel they should get a \$7,500 tax break.

Second, I would offer businesses KOZ zones. I know this is not popular, but they have to be managed wisely. How you ask? Well, first, allow it for seven years, but with some conditions. To qualify for the KOZ zone the land and building must be deeded to the owner. Let's say Barnes and Noble wanted to build here, they must own the land and the building within the seven years free and clear, so the city isn't stuck with the building. If they fail to do so, they should be subject to all of the back taxes from the last seven years, which we forgave them plus interest.

This would not include the hiring taxes, which I proposed earlier, they would still get to keep those tax breaks since they fulfilled that obligation to the city.

Now, do I think this is all we need?

Of course not, but it would get the ball

22

23

24

25

moving in the right direction. Morale in the city is horrible. I'm disgusted every time I go out in my travels I hear how bad the city is. Some one remarked to me just last night it starts by removing the mayor and council. That will come, that needs to be done, but it starts with the people. The people are what make the city great. have to give people a reason to want to stay in the city, so I would propose the residents who live and work in this city get their to work waived or cut. They are paying property taxes, wage taxes, emergency taxes, and city income taxes. This \$52 fee should be waived or cut for the people who live and work within the city limits.

For instance, if you live in Clarks
Summit and work in the City of Scranton, you
get charged the fee, but if you work in
Scranton and live in West Side, for example,
your fee should be waived or cut. Should we
be punishing those who are directly
contributing to the city? It's not right.

The commuter tax should be in place for those living outside of the city. I

don't have any problem charging people who live outside of the city paying their fair share. They are using our roads, our bridges, our infrastructure, our emergency services. Materials for building roads and bridges aren't coming down. How can we possibly keep this tax the same? It's irresponsible.

We need a way to entice people to want to move or to stay in Scranton. If we start giving some perks to homeowners within the city limits just maybe it could attract people to want to move and live here.

Next, I would like to talk about the mercantile tax. I have talked to some business owners in the last couple of weeks about this and here is some solutions they put forward to me that I really do agree with it and it make senses. Here, we should be taxing on their net, not their gross. This gives business the freedom to clear their overhead, hire the extra workers and then contribute to the city. It will promote growth and it's the right thing to do.

In the last few weeks I have talked to many owners of the City of Scranton here in the city and I have asked how the city can help them stay in business and the number one complaint they have is parking. The parking is atrocious. Updated parking meters that could take credit card, businesses should have -- and businesses shouldn't have to call whenever a light goes out in front of their business. And even if it does, they don't know who to call. They don't have a phone number, they don't know where to go, where to turn to.

Many business owners do not even know when the street -- or what to do when the streetlight is outside -- what to do when their business goes dark. There has to be a better way to do this.

I have briefly gone on about how business and homeowners alike. Now I'm directly helping the city with revenues. It my proposal that I put forward I ask sacrifices from everybody. For too long we have given tax cuts with reckless abandon so I propose a 45 percent tax increase on day

of passage. So, for example, in 2013 if this vote is not passed in 2013 the citizens would get a 45 percent tax increase. In year two, I propose a 15 percent decrease. In the year three, 2015, another 15 percent decrease. That tells the citizens of Scranton invest in us for the next three years. That, yes, the first year your taxes will go up, but we promise you if you invest with us your taxes will go down in 2014 and 2015.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HULSE: Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA. Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, Mr. Joyce, I listened to your speech on the TV, especially how you described by privatizing the DPW and refuse collection our bags wouldn't go out, but that's only one side of that coin. Why didn't you give the other side of the coin, how many men would not be working? How much salaries

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would we be saving? How much in the pension costs we would save? How much on fringe benefits we would save and how much of a pension we would save? Every man that we can actually decrease in the city is a plus. I don't know when me -- if we don't we are going to get through it, but you didn't even talk about the refuse fee. It was privatization would we be paying directly or would the private contractor be paying the refuse fee?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MR. SBARAGLIA: By the time you get up there, and the gas, the wear and tear on the vehicles, the gas, all of these things Now, whether we do it or not it's add up. immaterial, but when you give a presentation and you give only one side of the coin you've got to give the other side. There is always a negative and a positive. The DPW, of course, does a lot of other things besides collect refuse. We all know that. We all know that maybe only a small portion of that is actually involved in the refuse, but that figure you should have gave instead

of sitting there and running him down on saying about it, but you know what? He was at fault too Rogan, he should have came up with all of those figures and gave them to you and said this is what would be saved by privatizing the collection of refuse.

I mean, when you are up there you try to get both sides of the story all of the time. There is a lot of negatives, too, you know we use vehicles to plow the roads. We always use some of the vehicles to go out there and fill the potholes. There is lot of negatives and positives to all kind of stuff, proposition we offer, but try to give a complete proposal when you say one thing or the other because a lot of things are more than just a bag tossed. You also have a lot of savings on the other end of that.

Now, how it would really effect the city I won't know because I didn't do a study on it and, like, if you tell me you can't do it until what was it '14 or '13 or something like that when their contract runs out or even if you wanted to do it, but anyway, you should always have them figures

available. Whether you do it or not at least they are there and they have been studied and you know exactly what you are doing when it comes up to a budget. So you are not getting all these surprises.

Every time we came before you in the last, well, six months, you have been getting surprise after surprise after surprise. We all know that. We all know you are trying to do your best. We know that, too. Maybe some people have some doubts, some people don't. Everybody can offer solutions, but anybody that lives in the city for as long as I have realizes the solutions are very narrowed at this point.

We all know the huge tax increase is coming, there is no way out of it, we know that, but when you give a presentation, try to give the positives and negatives, both sides of the coin, and then people on the outside here can makeup their own mind what to accept or not to accept, but when you only give one side they think you are hiding something on the other, and that's not right. You don't want to hide anything.

You want to be as open and as forthcoming as you can and that's the only thing you can do.

When the ax falls there is nothing you can do. It's going to do it, it's going to do it, we all know that, but at least the people know that we are very honest in that position and you did your very best to explain it all aspects of everything that happened.

I'm not even sure what the increments is and with that 6.2 loan, I didn't get into it. It sounds like they are giving you so much then you have to pay back so much and they are going to give you so much and then you got to pay back so much. I don't know if that's the way it works or not, I don't know. I know that's how a Ponzi scheme works. I hope it's not similar to a Ponzi scheme. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers' Association.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Here I'm going to say how about bad the say is like the gentlemen up here before and it's no wonder you look at last week PCN had three reporters from the Scranton Times, not reporters, three staff members, and they are saying how stubborn the city council is and how bad the city council is and how bad the city council is and how brave the mayor is and whatnot, you know, and then you see in the paper today they are going to get, the Lynett family is going to get the presidential award from the University of the Scranton.

I graduated from the University of Scranton, I don't think I was told to give a vote or asked to give a vote. I don't know where this it coming, but it seems like a big PR thing coming from the Times in regard to trying to hide up ten years of malfeasance in the City of Scranton by their going hand-in-hand, not only hand-in-hand, financially contributing since 2001 to the Doherty campaign and not doing anything about it, not doing anything about it whatsoever. They just kept on going and

going on.

And, Mrs. Evans, you know, I know the rest of the council has been here with you, you know, you spoke year after year before those councils and everything and the reporters here about the debt, the debt, and they never investigated it. They never did anything. Now they are trying to turn it around to make you three people look like the people who are causing all the problems. Well, you know, they are not fooling the people, okay? I think that their circulation is going down, I just saw the audit, and so I think that they are going to have to answer for a lot, okay?

Now, I really think that one other thing, too, is on the agenda tonight you have a capital budget. Now, that's a laugh if I ever saw one. A capital budget is in the Home Rule Charter and it's to be funded, it goes to council three months before the budget and be funded for three to five years or whatever, year by year and so on, we don't have any funds, we don't have any money.

Development Block Grant and the plan and use that money for some of the infrastructure in the neighborhoods that went down so terribly since the Doherty administration and the Scranton Times just let it go down, you know, maybe if you do something with that capital budget, but right now, I mean, you

Now, if you take the Community

development loan. My God, you know, you might as well bounce your head off the wall thinking you are going to create jobs in the

city through that economic development loan.

are still going with that economic

All he did was create waiters and waitresses, and God bless them, they only get paid a \$1.86, they have to pay taxes on their tips.

So I just hope that you realize that, you know, this Doherty administration and the Scranton Times has lead us down a path and now it seems like the Scranton Times is in a PR to try to turn around and make your council look like the bad guys and it's not so. I have been around for a long time, watched city government, watched

county government, watch the school government, watched the Scranton Times and I know how they act. They used to have a reporter right in here that used to investigate when there was something going on with city hall. That's gone. When Doherty came in that went.

So, you know, don't, please, the public out there realizes, if you are going to pay a commuter tax don't blame it on these people, blame it on the Doherty administration and the Scranton Times for not staying on top of the financial crisis in the City of Scranton since 2002 to the present. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I just want to add very briefly, you make an excellent point in that this financial crisis didn't occur overnight. This is something that has been building and building monthly, annually since 2002 and I think anyone with even common sense knows that what has happened is not the fault of Scranton City Council. Council has been trying to solve the problems that are facing

2

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city and the people of this city. Well, I don't want it take anymore time, I'm going to call on Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. First of all, that was good news, Mrs. Evans, you announced tonight about the Parking Authority. I'm glad there is going to be an ordinance announced tonight. I want to commend council and Attorneys Hughes. It's a step in the right direction, it's about time somebody is finally doing something with the Parking Authority, the Authority has been a thorn in the city's side for years and years and it's about time somebody finally did something, so on behalf of this taxpayer I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: First thing, I have an issue with two speakers from two weeks ago. First, I hope he is okay with me using his name, Lee Morgan, he called this council a rubber stamp council. I have to firmly

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disagree with him. I don't know what he is talking about. I don't know of anything you have ever rubber stamped. You haven't rubber stamped any budget. He is saying you rubber stamped this recovery plan, I disagree with that, but he has got his freedom of speech, I think he is wrong and I just think he is spewing venom because he is not sitting up there.

Secondly, Mr. Ron Ellman chastised this council for raising taxes. Mrs. Evans said, she took the words right out of my mouth, it's not this council's fault their hands were tied, it's the fault of the councils of Mayor Doherty's first two terms, the eight years of rubber stamping which Mr. McGoff was included in. That's the problem, not this city council so if anybody is angry with this city council for raising taxes they are wrong. Nobody wants to pay higher taxes, but something has got to be done. Look back at the first eight years of Mayor Doherty, that's been the problem.

Moving on, there was two weeks ago

or maybe three weeks ago I lost track a woman came here and she wanted council to look into an ordinance to have people spay or neuter their pets, I'm no lawyer but I don't think you can legally force somebody to spay or neuter the pets. I'm all for that, I have pets they are spade or neutered, but I don't think you can legally go to somebody and say, "You have to spay or neuter your pet."

I don't think you could ever pass an ordinance like that. I could be wrong, but I don't think so.

Lastly, an article in the Doherty
newsletter on Tuesday, "Texting violators in
Scranton ten."

Since the texting law was passed they cited fewer than ten people. Just a couple of quotes in here, "Because talking or making phone calls is not illegal, the state ban tough to enforce."

Well, talking or making calls is illegal. It's a distracted driving.

Distracted driving is illegal. Doing anything with your phone is distracted

driving, that's illegal. I don't know what they are printing in the paper. It's a known fact that distracted driving is against the law.

And here's another quote, "It's difficult for an officer to discern whether a driver is texting or looking up numbers on the phone. Carl Graziano acting police chief."

Again, whether they are texting or looking at numbers both are illegal to cite them for distracted driving. It's simple. I'm not a police officer, I'm not -- they should know the law. I don't know how acting Chief Graziano can say something like that. It's been years and years where distracted driving is against the law, and further on in this article the Chief of Dickson says that, "We can't cite them for distracted driving."

Well, why don't they? That could being a great revenue source for this city because all bet you can stand on a street corner and probably eight out of ten cars somebody is doing something with their cell

phone. So, come on, people, let's start citing them for distracted driving. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin briefly, two weeks ago I was somewhat scolded by Mr. Jackowitz for what he alleged to be grandstanding by myself from the podium and he brought up one of my actions from several months ago.

As we can recall when at that time we were hopeful that the mayor would come forward in a public setting and I believe we all remember I pushed forward the big boy chair for our sixth councilman and, you know, several weeks ago our dreams came to fruition. The mayor come forward in a public setting and he held himself accountable, but the whole issue with the chair and it being grandstanding, kind of made me chuckle a little last week if any of us watched the Republican convention,

Mr. Clint Eastwood gave a speech before the delegates with an empty chair right next to him hoping that President Obama would come forward. Maybe Mr. Eastwood caught a replay of the council meeting and picked up on the idea.

But I did get a chuckle, I found it amusing and I thought I would share that with Mr. Jackowitz tonight, I know he is not here, but it worked here, maybe Mr. Eastwood will get President Obama to come forward and debate him some time in the future. Just kind of found that amusing. I don't take it personal, the criticism, I have been dealing with it for many years now. I just thought I would share that.

But moving onto some serious matters tonight with the agenda, I believe it's 5-C, the ordinance that Attorney Hughes will go into detail later on, certainly a long time coming with this with the Parking Authority. I'm glad to see we are finally taking action. I believe this will certainly begin the process of dissolving the SPA, once and for all getting rid of a headache that we

have had to deal with for many years now and certainly has caused much of our financial problems and, as I have stated, I look forward to hearing Attorney Hughes' presentation and I'll reserve my comments in the future.

You know as we sit back and we recap tonight, you know, the events that transpired in the last several months dealing with the recovery plan, you know, I want to just take this time to basically sum it and up and basically make these my final comments on the recovery plan as we need to move on with other issues. You know, we are now going to get into budget season and the issues are just going to continue to grow.

But basically to summarize the whole thing in a nutshell, you know, when we take a look and we step back at the decisions that this council had to make, we need to keep in mind these were difficult choices we had to make. We dealt with many obstacles, you know, you dealt with an administration at times that was uncooperative, but you showing the leadership that you have shown

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throughout these three years were able to reach out and build a Recovery Plan and you forced the mayor to come forward and sit down and negotiate in good faith and that's your doing, and you put a plan together that you felt was in the best interest of the residents of this city.

You know, we can sit up here and we can say that it's a perfect plan, but I don't think we ever claimed that it was a perfect plan. I certainly don't feel it is and I know you don't feel it is. We have been told that the recovery plan is all pie in the sky and it's all wishful thinking, but what bothered me most with the statements such as that was that if we don't try something how do we know we can't accomplish it? It's easy to say, no, you can't do this, you can't do that, but if we don't go after the nonprofits and we don't reach out to them how do we know we can never receive a dime from them? You know, how do we know if can't receive revenue?

I think a lot of this has to do with the fact that if we had an administration

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that from day one followed through on several revenue enhancements that this council had right in the beginning we would probably be reaching a different tune tonight, but we didn't have any and we can't go back and change any of that. What happened in the past is in the past and we need to move full speed ahead.

But taking the easy way out would have gotten us nowhere. You know, I sat and I heard a lot of grandstanding, I heard a lot of objections, but I never heard any alternatives. You know, even tonight we are against this, we are against that, but you didn't hear anything better. And when you are going to come forward and you're going to be critical and you're going to be against something it's my opinion that you should have a plan yourself. We didn't have that from other people, even elected leaders in this city, two weeks ago I got into a debate with one of those individuals who simply thought no was the easy way out. doesn't accomplish anything.

You know, I understand we have a

long way to go and many people doubt that we will ever get out of this financial disaster, but this didn't all happen overnight and I think that's where people have been misled is that we believe that this has all transpired over three years and that we want to point the finger at council.

No, this is a snowball effect that we have had to deal with for 12 years now caused by and administration that has run this city reckless since day one and now today we are feeling the pain and now today it's council that's had to make tough choices. And, no, raising taxes isn't easy and anybody that thinks that I strongly disagree.

You know, I commend you for all of you have done. People can say that I'm a lapdog, you know, people want to make comments that I come up here and I grandstand and I pat council on the back.

No, I come here up and I commend a council that I know is looking out for me and the residents of this city and you can say what you want, but I know that you looking out

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for us and you should be commended for everything you do. Mr. Joyce, our Finance Chair, all the hard work. I know what you have all gone through. Mr. Loscombe, Mrs. Evans, you are all to be commended because you did your homework, you put your minds together and you came up with a plan that you feel is in the best interest of moving forward. We are not only thinking about today, but we are thinking about the future and I want to thank you for all your hard work and this recovery plan process. You have truly been a champion of the people and I ask you to stay the course and continue to look out for the residents of this city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. I would

like to begin this evening by issuing a

reminder to council and all of our city and

council residents both here and watching at

home this coming Tuesday, September 11, will

be our first Lackawanna County Senior Health
Fair. The fair will take place from 10 a.m.
to 2 p.m. at the Lackawanna County Trolley
Museum located at 300 Cliff Street in
Scranton on the grounds of the Steamtown
National Historic Site. Over the past
several weeks, myself and other members of
our Lackawanna County Department of
Community Relations have spent a great deal
of time and effort planning the event and we
are looking forward to a great turnout.

with that in mind, we have over 50 exhibitors, businesses, agencies and other entities from around the community that deal in some capacity with senior health and wellness that will be attending the health fair in some capacity. The most popular will be free flu shots will be offered for seniors. The only thing we ask is that seniors bring some form of identification so they can get some proof or receipt that shows that they got the flu shot for this year for their medical records.

In regards to transportation, I said in the previous meeting that we were working

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on transportation options for senior residents who could not drive or who could not walk to the trolley museum the day of the vent. For information on transportation I would ask that any senior who wishes to get a ride from our transportation department to please contact my coworker, Michelle Newberry, at 570-963-6743, Extension 1873. We are working with all of the senior centers in Lackawanna County so that seniors will go to their nearest center and that day of the event get a ride from coordinated transportation, and they are still working the schedule out tomorrow with exactly what times that would be at, but the center will have that information and the seniors can go and get a ride to the health fair free of charge.

So we look forward to a great turnout. As I said, it's been a lot of planning and we are looking to a great event for all of other senior residents and everyone is welcome to come out. There will be some refreshments, and again, we will have over 50 vendors and exhibitors on hand.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another announcement this evening is that the Lackawanna County Highway Safety Program in conjunction with the Pennsylvania State Police and other local law enforcement agencies will be conducting a free child car seat safety check on Wednesday, September 19, at McDade Park in Scranton from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. This is a free opportunity for parents and others who use car seats in their vehicles as school goes back especially to have police and law enforcement check the installation. I know it's been a long time since I have ridden in a car seat so I couldn't install one myself I probably couldn't even read the instructions it's something that sometimes folks intend well, but they are not able to execute properly and this is a chance to meet with law enforcement briefly and free of charge to get that checked out.

Last, but not least, the Lackawanna
County Recycling Center will be conducting a
countywide tire collection through regular
business hours from September 24 through
September 29. Information on the tire

collection can be obtained by calling 570-963-2017, extension 1. That's 570-963-2017, extension 1. Cost is \$2 per tire, which I believe goes to defray the cost of the actual tire disposal. Tires are 13 inches to 17 inches off the rim.

That's all I have for this evening, I will be here in case any residents or anybody attending has questions about the health care. And again, we welcome all residents to come out. There is adequate parking there at the site for any resident who does want to drive themselves to the event, and again, it's right on the grounds of Steamtown. As soon as you pull in you have to go around the u-turn to get to the building. That's it. Is there anything I can do for you?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Hetman, have you provided the specifics of those events to ECTV so that they can continue to broadcast them prior to the actual dates of the events?

MR. HETMAN: I have not myself.

Several of my coworkers have provided

details of the events to various community agencies.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. HETMAN: With regard to these we can check tomorrow and see if they have the already with ECTV. If not, we can provide these events tomorrow with the details and we can establish a system in the future where we do provide those events for continued rebroadcast.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. HETMAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Tonight I would like to start by saying, you know, you talked about 5-C, but the agenda is blank so I don't know what happened there, but I'd just like to throw that out there. I'd also like to say that I agree with what a lot of Ron Ellman said here and, you know, there has been some, I don't know, criticism of me saying this council is a rubber stamp council and I firmly believe that and I would like to illustrate that with one point

here tonight.

The revised updated Act 47 recovery plan was enacted by this council, but which plan did we enact? The August seven plan, which we had a special meeting for, or the August 24 plan that we didn't have a meeting for.

Now, I have to question whether any legislative body is following any real rules at all when they don't have a meeting on a revised updated version. The other problem I have is that basically what I see has happened here is this, we have created a recovery plan to borrow money, but there is no recovery here because we are counting on too many things that the City of Scranton has no power over. I mean, whether it's the commuter tax, which I think is a terrible thing to do to people, because the people who come into this city from outside of the city to work they didn't create this problem. They are not the ones that voted to put these administrations in, and you know something, even with that said I think what the governor said was the most

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

atrocious thing I have ever heard from an elected official and he said that I hope that the city doesn't come to the state looking for money.

And my problem with that statement is that PEL was here running this ship, they did a terrible job. All of the residents were thrown in the water, the blight that happened in this city didn't happen overnight, I think what we have in this country is a total political disconnect. You know, some people may not care for my opinions, but I think that we have got two people running for president who have no clue what's going on in this country and I think that we have got elected officials on multiple layers of this government who have no respect for the residents and the citizens of this country. Some people may disagree.

You know something, yes, I have run for office before and I have knocked on doors and I haven't really spent any money, but you know something, I'm moved by my commitments and my ideas and the most

And I find this

important thing to me are the people and when we take a recovery plan that's been revived and we vote on it and we enact it, I have to question what's legal about it.

Yeah, we held a special meeting on one plan, but this is a different plan because revised and updated means something. These are words. Words have meanings, okay? Now, we are going to talk about what the mayor has done. I have to be honest with you and say this, the mayor was only a councilman at the time the American Anglican deal went through when the city was sanctioned, okay?

Now, it's pretty east to blame everyone for the things that are going on here. As a matter of fact, the Scranton Times printed an article where I believe allegedly CCAT took money not to file a brief in court to fight it. And then maybe I'm wrong, but I thought that the Scranton Sewer Authority borrowed the money to pay the debt off to American Anglican. So, I mean, who is serving who here?

And I find this document to be

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really atrocious because all we are doing is we are coming up with a formula to borrow more money in order to -- I mean, to tax more to borrow money, but there is no real solutions to our problem in this document because the truth of the matter is that this city is so far over a cliff that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania needs to step up to the plate and come here with money, and the reason for that is they have done such an atrocious job of overseeing the city's recovery plan from the first time we talked about Act 47 at ST. Mary's Center, and that's not a shot at this council, it's a fact. And this city is where it is because elected officials on multiple layers of government didn't do their job.

Now, do I criticize council for not issuing subpoenas? Most certainly I do because council has an oversight in this Home Rule Charter, but you know something, the PEL also had a right to come in here and make sure that there was a recovery plan.

Now, I read the mayor's first plan and it was at the Scranton Public Library

and at that time I stated it wasn't a plan and now all of these years later we find it's not a plan, and you know the real troubling thing is I spent two days this week down at the administration building at the school district watching young children being registered in the Scranton School district. The most troubling thing I heard there was, "I'm hungry," and the amount of poverty I see there.

You know something, we have got a lot of problems and we should solve them, but the last thing we should have ever did was use a legislative body to push something through that wasn't the plan that the public hearing was held on. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: I'd like to also voice my approval of how things worked out here.

Not that they're not painful, but we couldn't go any further with the way things

were going and hopefully with a lot of hard work things will improve. That's all I can say. Things don't change overnight, we have a lot of -- only a small part of the whole American society and country and I pointed out before that outsourcing and so forth has seriously harmed our economic health and we have to deal with it, and it's certainly beyond our control in most instances.

Now, in the future I plan to submit a suggestion in writing, but for tonight I'm once again going to mention box zone. I mean, I don't always approve of my friend Ron Ellman how radical he gets, but we are having a lot of nonprofits hogging up our space in taxable areas in the city and something has to change there. We can't keep paying for what the whole American society or even foreign exchange students might be coming and taking advantage of.

And streets, once again, we need a post-inspection with utility work, Moosic Street is getting messier as we go and it was -- it's not even a year. There must be five or six repairs in three blocks of

Moosic Street between Cosmo's and the stop light at Harrison Avenue and Crown and it's really ashame and it's ugly and the car bounces all over so it's not done properly.

And I looked at my sewer bill today and it's kind of disturbing because they didn't have an accurate -- and I'm going to have keep my water bill, but they didn't have an accurate account of how much water I really used because said something like 200 gallons, and the half of the bill was for consumption charges. So, I mean, this has to change, people conserve water. I collect water and use vegetable oil on the top of the barrel and water my garden and stuff from rain water to save money and then I get whacked with \$40 a month sewer bill. It's not Kosher.

And we have a problem with housing here. Mr. Morgan, he owns a house that he was forbidden to rent apartment on, and the place is mammoth. The place is mammoth and we need to change some of our policy. We have Aunt Millie living in a four bedroom house, her family all moved away or her

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

husband passed away and they could be changed and we could have cheaper housing in this town and Aunt Millie won't have so much trouble paying her taxes.

On this trash, I do not support privatized trash. I mean, if people don't stop throwing stuff on my front lawn I'm going to have to invoke the stand your ground law or something against them, and I lived in other towns where people would just take trash and throw it right on your lawn when you were out at work and, I mean, like the one lady was mentally challenged downstairs, they used to get a nickle a bottle or can up in New York state because we lived close to there, so they would take all of this stuff, well, one time they must have got turned away so I must have had four or five large garbage bags of empty soda bottles and stuff just deposited on my porch. I had to bring the landlord into it and my wife, you know, when something like that happens to her she is kind of hard to control. But once again, I would like to express my approval and all we can do is

try. We can't fix everything overnight and nothing is ever perfect.

But once again I would like to warn the unions on their vote. Out in Ohio they voted for John Casick and he lifted their union rights for firemen, police and 70 percent of the firemen and police voted for him, so we have better things to do than to restore union rights and have referendums and everything else, so be careful who you vote for and make sure that they are prolabor and keep had mind don't vote for outsources.

And the golden parrot, once again, goes to photo ID. I'm finding more and more elderly people that are being disenfranchised and if I have a copy of the constitution here and it states on two different amendments, I think it's 15 and 17, this is the national constitution that nobody's voting rights under any circumstances are to be abridged.

So if they want to take your picture at the voting polls and make a law like that that's a bright idea, but stop making people

jump through hoops, the government of Pennsylvania. Thank you and have a good night. Bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher, resident and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First, I had something for everybody tonight, but I think I'm going to scrap that, but I would like to talk first with Mr. McGoff about rental registration. I would like to know if -- and maybe this would be more for the solicitor but through you, is the city at any added risk for lawsuits if something happens between the time the person pays their fee and something happens that would have been caught during the inspection?

Does that put us at risk?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know how to answer that. I imagine that anything could put us at risk as Attorney Hughes often says. You know, anybody can sue for anything. I don't see that it puts us at

1 any added risk, but I don't know. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, let's 2 3 skip that, too, because I think it's How many inspectors will there be 4 relevant. 5 inspecting the rental properties? MR. MCGOFF: That's a very good 6 7 question. The inspections can be done by --8 the safety inspections that are included in 9 the legislation can be done by basically 10 anyone in the LIPS Department. I think it's the director, the housing inspectors, the --11 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. I know what 13 inspectors, but, okay, if they can be done. 14 MR. MCGOFF: The exact number I don't know. I don't know how it's going to 15 16 be -- I'm not sure how LIPS is going to 17 implement doing those. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: Because, I mean, 19 anything that relates to -- -20 MR. MCGOFF: But I think that's a 21 good question. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: -- to my next one 23 which is how many rental properties are 24 currently in the database and have they all 25 received letters informing them?

MR. MCGOFF: As far as I know, I 1 don't know the exact number, I know it's a 2 3 very limited number based on estimates that we have for --4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Could you possibly get that figure for next week because, I 6 mean, I think it should be up there quite 7 8 high. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: I provided you information --11 12 MR. MCGOFF: As far as I know, 13 notices were to be sent to every property 14 that was in the -- that we did have in our database. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: There is a whole 17 lot more as you well know. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: I have given you 20 some ideas on paper in the past and, you 21 know, maybe we need to revisit that. 22 the procedure, I don't remember it's been so 23 long, does the procedure include the 24 verification of the agent with the agent 25 themselves? Because I understand that some

owners just put like the name of a resident, you know, a neighbor on as their representative here and sometimes they are not even aware of it until they get a call, so I think it might be wise to, you know, verify what the letter is saying. We have been, you know, advised that you are.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, again, I'm trying to remember as well. I don't have a copy of it with me, but I'm pretty sure that there was a stronger language regarding the agent. That the agent had to be, you know, that there were certain things that needed to be done. Mr. Loscombe may remember as well. I'm not sure, but I know we tried to address that issue somewhat.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then will these inspections be done annually?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe so. Again, that was part of the -- if I'm not mistaken, again, I'll check on it when I get home, that it was any new property, any new registrant they would do the inspection and then we were talking about maybe doing other inspections on a two-year basis rather than

1 every year. 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, then, 3 I'll look forward to that for next week. 4 And Mr. Rogan I'll skip him since he is not here. 5 Next, I guess the loan from the 6 7 union/Amalgamated, does the union president John Judge personally or the union get a 8 9 finder's fee for the creation of this? 10 MR. JOYCE: No, the union itself, 11 the firefighters union does not get a 12 finder's fee for the creation of the loan as 13 to my knowledge and to what I received from 14 Amalgamated Bank. MS. SCHUMACHER: 15 Is the city's new 16 co-financing advisor Michael Judge of Case 17 Con Capital related to the union president 18 John Judge? I do not believe that --19 MR. JOYCE: 20 MR. HUGHES: No. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: No. Thank you. 22 And what entity will pay council solicitor 23 Hughes? 24 MR. JOYCE: It will come out of the 25 total fees for the loan.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: So it will come from Amalgamated? 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm concerned about 4 5 setting a precedent with the Home Rule Charter on two jobs, the whole two jobs 6 7 issue. If the city were to pay him for another job other than his solicitor one. 8 9 MR. JOYCE: From my knowledge it 10 comes from Amalgamated. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Could you verify 12 that for me next week? Thank you. 13 MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will. It's 14 actually part of the term sheet and the city solicitor's fee will come from Amalgamated 15 16 as well. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: And then I really 18 hope we have seen the last of three readings 19 in one night. I think there have been -- I 20 recognize there have been a lot of 21 emergencies this year, but I think there 22 have been far too many. 23 And now over to the recovery plan 24 for a few minutes and maybe one person or 25 Mr. Loscombe, I don't know about you were in

-- I know you were in the vassal on the Mulberry Street issue and I just think there are way too many crosswalks for the students. Five in one block is to me excessive. I mean, one at each corner, I think these young people have the ability to walk across a street to cross and they are still jaywalking even with five crosswalks there, so I think something needs to be done about that to reduce the number because they have got four of the two corners and the one in the middle of the block.

Will the source of funding for the current unfunded debt dictated by the Court be revealed tonight with all of the fees?

MR. JOYCE: Could you please repeat your question, I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Will the source of the funding -- when the DCED had their hearing here they said that a source had been identified to loan us that money and what I'm asking is will we get that information? Will that be announced tonight?

MS. EVANS: I'm not aware that there

is a source that's been identified that I have no knowledge of that. I have not been contacted by the mayor about that, so I would question that statement. So, naturally, we won't be discussing that this evening because we have no information at this point.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay.

MR. JOYCE: I will contact Ryan McGowan to try and find the latest developments of who he is discussing the terms with though.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And what account will the forensic audit be funded from? Is that going to be council's professional services account?

MR. JOYCE: It would be my understanding that it would be a professional service, yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then do we have any idea who the lease buyback -- or what the lease buyback property is or who the top three -- and what property of the top three contenders?

MR. JOYCE: No, not at this time.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll finish up then with one on -- the final one on the recovery plan, on the whatever that's called, essentially the advertising on city property, who is going to set the criteria for what is suitable?

MR. JOYCE: There is supposed to be a study done and a consulting firm that would be paid for by a state grant that would do that for us and that would assist us with developing an MBRO program.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I do hope we citizens get to look at it because I do think there is some things that are appropriate and some things that are probably inappropriate for city property and I think it would good to have that clarified before the program begins instead of after.

Okay, next week I'll be back with more.

Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, and I hope that you feel better.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, I will. First frost.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
2	MS. SCHUMACHER: He is going to be
3	52 on Sunday.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: How about them
5	Invaders, Chris?
6	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, you know
7	something, they brought them right down to
8	the ground. Janet, there is not a
9	Thanksgiving game this year. They cancelled
10	it. They heard that on Monday when they
11	mentioned it on the air. I wanted to just
12	tell you guys that now.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: You can stay home and
14	eat your turkey.
15	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Oh, I am, Jack.
16	Eat lick a pig. Thanks, Jack.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Jack.
18	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
19	cares to address council?
20	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
21	MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff
22	MR. MCGOFF: Did you want Attorney
23	Hughes to go
24	MS. EVANS: Well, I was going to do
25	it

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: --first or after?

MS. EVANS: I was actually going to call on him during my motions.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Then I'll go I did have some questions about that, but I can do that later. I took a moment to look up the distracted driving law for Pennsylvania. It was signed into law in March of 2012. There are only two primary offenses listed for distracted driving, one is texting and the one is a video screen forward of the driver so you can't be watching television, I guess, or your computer or something, but those are the only two primary offenses, and primary offenses are ones that at that the police can stop you for doing that.

Everything else is listed as a secondary offense. They cannot stop you for a secondary offense, but if you are stopped for something else then they can add on the secondary offenses if they exist, and since we don't have in the city, since we don't have our own distracted driving law, then we are -- then we use the state law. So I

would believe that that's what Chief Graziano was speaking, the difficulty in enforcing those.

Second, I just wanted very quickly, we did receive some items from OECD concerning the CDBG grant funding and I was hoping that Councilman Rogan was here, I do have some recommendations or things for changes that should I say give them to Mr. Rogan or --

MS. EVANS: Yes, I believe he e-mailed everyone.

MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, he did.

MR. JOYCE: He sent an e-mail.

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't know since he was not here if --

MS. EVANS: I think actually we do have time because it will be introduced next week, on the 13th, and the 20th it will go into Sixth Order and prior to the meeting a public hearing will be held on the 20th, and then the we must wait 30 days in order for the public to submit their comments on it and so it really won't reach its final destination until maybe October 23 or 25.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you and you answered my second question, and I will save any other questions or comments concerning the legislation until after Attorney Hughes and when the legislation is presented, so thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a couple briefly. I know we had discussed the condition of the police vehicles and stuff, it was two weeks ago that we had a several of them in accidents and I know there are quite a few that are out of service. I didn't have an opportunity to get over there due to circumstances this past week, but I did speak to the police chief on the phone and I will be following up on the repairs because I believe there is at least 13 vehicles out of service right now. Some of the cars are being doubled up on.

There are four new cars in New

Jersey waiting to be delivered here, I

believe they should be here this week. They

are waiting to get the police packages installed by the contractor out there that has the contract for it, so hopefully those four cars will be here in service within the next few days and we'll see what we can do to expedite the repairs on the current police vehicles that are out of service so we can keep our police officers safe and on the street.

And on that note, speaking of new police cars, a few weeks ago a new fire truck was put into service at Engine 2 in South Scranton and if you recall that's the fire truck that this city council funded through OECD through the CDBG funding and, you know, that's a big asset. It's a nice piece of the equipment. It was actually made in Pennsylvania in Nesquehoning and Kopvatch and I hope it serves the citizens well, but it's just another step towards protecting your public safety.

And just lastly, I spoke to some of the neighbors back in Keyser Valley and they wanted to let me know that the Sewer Authority through Gene Skeleton has been

21

22

23

24

25

actively -- actually back there several times and they started physically working this week on running some new lines to eliminate some of the perennial flooding that's been going on there and they are going to watch that once it's hooked up and see if that resolves the problem and continue on if it doesn't, but I do applaud the Sewer Authority for, you know, taking the bull by the horns on this, I know it's been an ongoing long process and I do commend them for getting on them at this point and hopefully the neighbors in Keyser Valley will no longer suffer from the constant flooding any time there is a heavy rainstorm. this point. Thank you.

And I believe that's all I have at

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Briefly. To begin tonight I'm going to address the capital budget. The process of the capital budgeting essentially is the process of

planning, evaluating and comparing and selecting the long-term projects involved with the city. The capital budget that was sent down by the administration to council is a five-year plan beginning in 2013 and then ending in 2017.

A common question that one might ask is what is the capital budget? I don't believe that the members of the audience and people at home have a copy of it, so to elaborate a little bit further there are four sections of the capital budget that was presented to council by the administration.

The first section is fire stations and equipment. As one knows, there are eight fire stations and in the City of Scranton. The fire stations are in need of renovations and repair. Also, certain major equipment and apparatuses will need to be replaced. Renovations and repairs will need to continually take place. The estimated cost for fire stations and equipment section is \$2.5 million:

The second section of the capital budget deals with the bridges, roads, curbs,

and sidewalks. Improvements are needed within the City of Scranton' infrastructure. The projects in this section may include, but are not limited to street resurfacing, basically meaning paving, as well as bridge and sidewalk reconstruction. The estimated cost for bridges, roads, curbs and sidewalks section is \$3 million.

The third section is building improvements. As one knows, improvements will be needed for buildings owned by the City of Scranton. These buildings include city hall, the DPW complex and the police headquarters. Projects may include, but are not limited to, the following renovations including general maintenance, new electrical wiring and the replacement or repair of the elevator located in city hall which currently isn't ADA compliant from what I have heard. The estimated cost for building improvements that Section is \$1.5 million.

The fourth section of the capital budget is the parks system. As stated by the administration, improvements will be

needed at the various parks and pools.

Projects may include, but are not limited to projects at Weston Field, Weston Park,

Connell Park, Capouse Avenue pool and

Novembrino Pool and Nay Aug Park.

At Weston field the administration wishes to replace the concrete decking around the pool and install a new bathhouse, garage filtration system and lighting.

At Weston Park the administration wishes to replace concrete deck being around the pool and install a new bathhouse, security cameras, filtration system and lighting.

At Connell Park the administration wishes to install security cameras.

At the Capouse avenue pool the administration wishes to demolish the pool and bathhouse and replace it with new bathroom facilities as well as a splash park.

At the Novembrino pool the administration wishes to demolish the pool and the bathhouse and replace it with a new bathing facility as well as install a splash

park.

At Nay Aug park the administration wishes to build a new garage and install security cameras, a new filtration system, and new electrical upgrades.

The projected cost of the parks system section is \$7 million. The total amount of the capital budget is \$14 million over the term of the five-year plan. The majority of the funding for these projects as reported by the administration is to come from grants and contributions. In fact, \$10.5 million is to come from grants and contributions. I currently have an e-mail into Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, to ask what grants and contributions the city excepts to receive that will equate to \$10.5 million for these projects.

In addition, the administration
expects to receive \$1.5 million in one-time
revenue sources and \$2 million from
operating transfers from other funds. I
also have an e-mail into Ryan McGowan asking
where the one-time revenue sources are

expected to come from as well as where the \$2 million from other funds are coming from.

I'm going to vote to introduce this piece of legislation tonight, but in the meantime I hope to obtain answers to my questions. I would also like to ask for the opinions of other council members as to what projects they believe should or should not be included or deleted from the capital budget. I would ask that other council members provide me their suggestions by Tuesday of next week.

In other news, there were two companies that bid on the parking meter enhancement system. These companies were IPS group and Pango. With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. McGowan and ask what company was selected?

Along with this, Northeast Revenue submitted a report to Scranton City Council for the period ending on 8-31-2012, for delinquent taxes collected and distributed. For all years except 2004, 2005 and 2006, Northeast Revenue reported that they collected and distributed \$47,122.72 to the

City of Scranton after subtracting the fee, of course.

For the years 2004, '5 and '6,

Northeast Revenue reported that they have collected and distributed \$8,319.33 to

Pennstar Bank after subtracting their fees as. As one may know, all delinquent taxes collected for the years 2004, '5 and '6 are directly distributed to Pennstar Bank to pay for a loan that Scranton Redevelopment Authority defaulted on with them regarding the advanced sale of delinquent taxes for such years, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. On tonight's agenda, I have placed an ordinance terminating the cooperation agreement between the City and the Scranton Parking Authority effective 12:01 a.m.

October 8, 2012. The cooperation agreement provided 10 percent of the city's parking meter revenue to the Parking authority for salaries of the meter readers and collectors as well as for at least 50 percent of the management salaries.

Through termination of this agreement, the Parking Authority will no longer have sufficient funds to pay its employees. In the near future, it should be determined whether the meter readers and collector will be employed by the City of Scranton or by the new management company. However, the bulk of the city's parking meter revenue will be used to assist in making annual bond payments.

At this time, I call on Solicitor

Hughes to offer a full report regarding the

Scranton Parking Authority.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam
President and council members and the
public. It's been a long road in a very
short period of time to bring the Scranton
Parking Authority into a responsible
position and let's say to have some light
shown on their finances. I know a lot of
people think that this should have happened
a lot quicker, but I could state that this
is an entire process and it takes time to
complete a legal process and I believe in my
opinion the process has been completed in a

very short period of time.

In fact, I think they have accomplished an extremely extraordinary result in a very short period of time since council -- since the Parking Authority appeared before council in June requesting almost a million dollars to make the June 1 bond payment. I believe it was in -- they came in in the end of May.

What has happened in that time period is that the insurance companies that have insured the payments on the bond have had a receiver appointed and as a result of Mr. Washo being appointed as receiver the one thing that is happening and should happen tomorrow or early next week is that a management company is going to be brought in to run the garages professionally in order to reduce expenses and increase revenue.

The company that is being hired, and the agreement is almost finalized, I have been informed by council for --by both Mr. Washo and also by council, that it will be Central Parking. Central Parking is the largest, second largest, I'm sorry, it's the

second largest parking management company owner of the parking garages and also managing parking garages in the United States. It is currently in a merger negotiation with Standard Parking, which is the largest company in the United States, I believe it's listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and not only that I believe they also are an international company.

To bring someone of that quality to Scranton to run these garages I think is a major accomplishment. It's my understanding that they have had several management companies look at these facilities before they made the decision and, of course, anyone of this caliber that is coming to Scranton is coming here with the view that they know the situation to turn it around and, of course, they are going to get paid and that's what they are entitled to, but once they are hired that all of the monies that come into the Parking Authority will be the responsibility of the receiver,

So hopefully by tomorrow the

contract will be completed, it will executed and Mr. Washo can go into court next week with that agreement and he will take over the full operation of the Parking Authority and receive all of the monies that the Parking Authority has and be responsible as the receiver for those monies and, of course, pay Central parking the management fee.

In my discussions with the insurance companies' attorneys and Mr. Washo, the goal is that to do this as soon as possible. The objective is to reduce expenses and to increase revenues and, of course, as that happens it reduces the liability of the City of Scranton.

The one thing that is not under the receiver's jurisdiction, because it's not in accordance with any of the trust agreement, is the cooperation agreement executed in 1995 between the City of Scranton Parking Authority and pursuant to which the Parking Authority -- the Parking Authority gets the money from -- actually maintains the parking meters and obtains the money for the city

and they receive 10 percent, a commission of 10 percent of all monies collected in the parking meters.

Since Mr. Washo as the receiver does not have jurisdiction over this contract, it would mean that if this contract were not terminated that the Parking Authority would remain in existence, would have over \$100,000 a year in income and could keep employees and run as a phantom agency. This is one thing that council does not want.

The objective here is to terminate this agreement in accordance with Article 9, which either party can do at any time, and just give the other party notice within 30 days of the termination so that in my review of the contract that when this happens in addition the City of Scranton reimburses and actually makes the payment for all of the parking meter people to the Scranton Parking Authority, so the Scranton Parking Authority does not incur any expenses for the employees or for any of the meters, the repairs, any of the supplies. They get 10 percent of all of the revenue collected from

the meters.

Instead of having the Parking

Authority have this and have funds where
they can continue in operation, the parking
meter people will be brought back in to the
city, who is paying their wages anyway, will
then become employees of the city, all of
that money is set forth in the budget so
that will not be an increase in expenses for
the city and the city will be receiving the
full 100 percent of the revenue from the
parking meters.

This is especially noteworthy if the city, and I know they just put it out to bid, for the meter enhancement program.

Assuming that that would be, just for the sake of discussion, that that would raise another 100 -- let's say \$1 million, that would mean that the Parking Authority would now have \$200,000 to spend as they see fit. That's not the intent.

You know, I think as many of the speakers have come up to the podium and stated that something about certain issues that what we are doing here is that this

money will now come into the city, the city does have an obligation to pay on the bonds, it's what known as a double barrel bond in finance. In the event the Parking Authority cannot pay the city has the responsibility to pay. This money will stay with the city and be available to pay for that.

What we did is looking at that drafted this ordinance so that it would terminate on October 6 at 12:01 a.m., and that at that time all of the employees would then be employees of the city and the city will get 100 percent of the revenue.

A letter was sent today by Attorney
Gownley on behalf of Landmark Bank saying
that they have a security interest in the
contract and they are going to file a
lawsuit. They want a meeting with Attorney
Kelly and myself. I reviewed the letter, I
think the allegations are groundless on the
basis that, first of all, when Landmark Bank
lent the Scranton Parking Authority money
back in September of 2011 council knew
nothing about the loan. The only way that
we were informed of the loan was by reading

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it in the newspaper and what was pledged is collateral were the funds of the contract not the contract itself.

I believe for that loan to be made if they had done their due diligence they would have seen it in this contract it could terminated by either party in 30 days. That as long ago as the contract remained in effect the bank did have the security It does not have a interest in those funds. security interest in the contract itself, which is what they are trying to imply; that by them making the loan and not coming to council and seeking -- telling council what they were going to do, why they were making the loan and seeing an assurance for council to amend the contract, to state that as long as the loan was outstanding but would not terminate it, that was never done.

This was done behind closed doors.

It was a cloak and dagger strictly between backroom dealing between Landmark Bank and the Scranton Parking Authority to make that loan and they have no security interest in the contract itself.

I summarily dismissed the letter as being unfounded. There is no basis for them to file a lawsuit. Council is fully within its rights to terminate the agreement and to me it's certainly folly to continue to let the Scranton Parking Authority continue to collect 10 percent of all of the revenue from the parking meters including any increase revenue that would come about because of the meter enhancement program when it was awarded by the city when the city needs this money to pay the obligations of the Scranton Parking Authority.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just wanted to add quickly, and then I'm going to ask Mr. McGoff if he would like to pose his questions to --

MR. MCGOFF: I'll wait until the legislation.

MS. EVANS: Okay. I did speak with Mayor Doherty today regarding the legislation and he is in agreement with it.

Equally important tonight, I wish to clear up any confusion regarding the proposed real estate tax increases. The

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

actual tax increase is 35 percent. percent in 2013; 9.7 percent in 2014; and 13.3 percent in 2015. Because rising pension costs were not included by the administration in the initial draft of the revised recovery plan, the tax increase was reported at 3.3 percent in early August. The figures were later adjusted to 35 percent to include increases in pension obligations.

Although, I can only speak for myself, I believe that my colleagues would agree this council will never vote to approve a 79 percent tax increase, not in 2012, not in 2013, and not ever.

Also, since this council believes in governmental transparency, it was pleased to note that the Scranton Times published all of the related costs of TAN Series B of 2012, which the city recently received. However, I don't recall having read the itemized costs of all previous TANS, bond issues and borrowing the past 12 -- well, ten years in the newspaper.

Therefore, with my colleagues'

agreement, I ask Mrs. Krake to send letters to the appropriate department heads and related parties to request a breakdown of all of costs incurred for any and all TANS, bond issues, and borrowings from January 2002 through December 2011, including any and all bank fees, attorneys fees, and bond council fees and/or payments.

Also, the breakdown must reflect whether each entity was paid through the operating budget, for example, from professional services or through the cost of the financial transactions itself.

Next, as our public safety chair announced earlier, numerous police cars have been out of service, some of which are parked at the DPW while others are located at police headquarters. As a result, police officers have been doubling up in cars while it seems that some of the necessary repairs do not require costly parts. For the safety and welfare of our city residents, the police department needs its vehicles repaired and on the road as quickly as possible.

Therefore, with my colleagues' agreement, council wishes to send a letter to DPW Director Dougher requiring a weekly report that identifies police vehicles that have been serviced, are awaiting repair, the reason for the waiting period and the expected date of completion with a copy of each report to Chief Graziano.

Council also received a response from OECD Director Linda Aebli regarding the loan agreement with 408 Cedar Avenue. In her August 29 letter she states: "On March 9, 2007, the City of Scranton OECD entered into a loan agreement with 408 Cedar Avenue, LLC, for enterprise zone competitive grant funds. These grant funds are not delivered from federal HUD monies.

On June 7, 2012, we were notified that the above-referenced property was sold at the Lackawanna County 2012 judicial sale -- and that would have been the sale, I believe, conducted in February -- "And are in receipt of a check representing the approved court-ordered distribution as share of proceeds."

However, Ms. Aebli did not report the dollar amount of the check received as the city and OECD's share of the county judicial share proceeds.

Consequently, our council staff followed up with the county and report that the check was in the amount of \$8,000 and the property was sold for \$18,000.

Further, excellent research performed by Ms. Carrera, assistant city clerk, indicates the following: Delinquent city real estate taxes owed on that property for 2009 and 2010 totaled \$1,496.95, and for 2011 \$574.91.

Again, with my colleagues'
agreement, I would like a letter sent to Ms.
Aebli requesting responses unanswered
questions contained in our August 28 letter.
When was the last payment made on the loan?
What was the balance on the loan prior to
the sale of the property? And who would be
legally responsible for payment in full?
Include an additional question, please,
Mrs. Krake, will OECD take legal action to
recover the remaining balance on the loan?

So as not to confuse the issue, please attach a second letter to Ms. Aebli requesting identification of any and all accounts and/or programs included in the IDIS 2102-2011; and IDIS 2177-2012 action plans, including 2012 IDIS unobligated funds which did not use allocated CDBG funding and the reasons for each.

Now, council received a complaint in August from a downtown resident or a downtown resident which I will provide to our office. Please send the letters to the appropriate department heads so that they can determine how best to resolve the complaint.

In addition, South Side residents report health concerns regarding a blighted vacant lot at 1006 Moosic Street. They state the brush is over ten feet in height and they fear the lot is a breeding ground for mosquitoes. Now, I know that some of the residents have contacted council and Mr. Dougher by e-mail, I saw his response, which was to contact Mr. Seitzinger.

I, nevertheless, would like letters

sent to both gentlemen, Mr. Dougher and Mr. Seitzinger, requesting a response within two weeks and what action will be taken and when.

MR. MCGOFF: Just very briefly on that one, I did talk to Mark Dougher about it and I believe that they were trying to track down the owner of the property. And, also, I talked to Pat Hinton who has been in here and the South Side, I forget what the--

MR. JOYCE: South Scranton Cleanup Crew.

MR. MCGOFF: And to ask if perhaps they could look into cleaning that lot at least, you know, temporarily until something is done. So I'll look into that with Mr. Hinton again.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Also, regarding the complaints I received pertaining to Olyphant Avenue, we received a response from Marywood University, which I will read to you from Wendy Yankelitis, assistant vice-president of building and grounds states: "I am receipt of your August 28, 2012, letter regarding Marywood

University's Olyphant Avenue property. The
University will begin the clearing of
overgrown brush, grass and trees the week of
September 17, 2012."

Now, just one more thing I wanted to say before I close and we move onto the voting portion of tonight's meeting. I listen, I don't hear, I listen very carefully to the people who speak at council just as I listen carefully to the people who call me, the people I meet and talk to and I read carefully the e-mails that I receive. I have been here all my life. I didn't just get here or leave for something else and come back. I have always been here so I know what the issues are because your issues are my issues.

And secondly, as for comments about this council being a rubber stamp I do take umbrage with that. I have never been a rubber stamp and my record attests to that and anyone who feels differently needs to do the research and read through nine years worth of the council meeting minutes, nine years worth of legislation to see where I

stood on issues.

And I think, you know, when we hear statements like, "Council does nothing," it couldn't be farther from the truth and I don't want to sit here tonight and take your time up listing pages, I have them at home, of the accomplishments of this council since it was sworn into office in January of 2010.

But I'm sure you can see even from tonight's meeting the fact that a city authority has been taken over and held accountable by this council is unprecedented. It's never happened before and, yes, it's taking time, but serious significant changes are occurring.

And as for the financial crisis the city is facing, as I said before, this has been building since 2002. And, yes, it's reached a climax because too much money was borrowed and mismanaged for too long by the city and by it's authorities, but I believe there is a very good chance we would have been continuing along that same road and the only reason we are not is because of this council and it's office staff and Attorney

\_ .

Hughes.

The reason you know about these debts, the reason banks are finally scrupulously examining city finances and reading carefully contract language, and they never did this before, is because of that what council has brought to light, and it's taken a long time and a lot of hard work on the parts of a lot of people.

And you might say, "Well, council is guilty." We are not guilty of a tax increase and we are not guilty of causing the debt, but I'll tell you what we are guilty of exposing the truth and that's what had all of the financial institutions in a tizzy, PEL, DCED, because it finally came out. I believe that's the right thing to do.

And I think, you know, finally, as I listen to everyone who comes up here week after week, I remember the days, and I know you do, too, because most of the people who are here this evening have been coming here for longer than I have been a councilwoman, but I remember vividly even prior to my

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being seated very disrespectful council presidents and I remember being seated with council members who were highly disrespectful and, yes, these chambers were a circus. Yes, speakers were disrespected, mocked, laughed at, taunted, but since this council took office in 2010 each and every one of you have the opportunity to speak, to tell us what you think, your opinions are respected whether or not we agree with them, and the questions that you ask our office staff and this council work very hard to try to answer. We can't solve every problem and we don't have the answer to every question because at the same time there is a tremendous amount of work that is ongoing.

The legislation that you see just doesn't fall from an office, it takes lot of homework and a lot of research, a lot of discussion, a lot of decision making and it's all being done on your behalf.

And so I don't -- as council president I don't often speak this way, I try to in many cases withhold my opinion, remain reserved, but inside I have not

changed. I'm the same person that's fought for you and fought hard and it is a blood sport since 2004. That hasn't changed. And as I said earlier, 79 percent, I don't care what the newspaper wants to print, 79, 78, 81, have fun, it's not happening because I will not approve it. And, as I said before, I think there is anyone here that will. We will find other ways.

And I apologize for taking your time for these matters, but I felt after all of this time certain things needed to be said.

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. APPROVING AND
ACCEPTING THE CITY OF SCRANTON CAPITAL
BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2013 PURSUANT TO THE
SECTION 904 OF THE CITY'S HOME RULE CHARTER.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
have it and so moved.
MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AN ORDINANCE
TERMINATING THE COOPERATION AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE
SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY AS OF 12:01 AM
OCTOBER 8, 2012 AND GIVING NOTICE TO THE
SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY THAT FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 104 OF 1995 HAS BEEN TERMINATED
AS OF 12:01 AM OCTOBER 8, 2012.
MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
introduced into its proper committee.
MR. JOYCE: So moved.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question?
MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, I do have a
couple of comments. Well, first thing is
that when I came to the council office
yesterday this was not even on the agenda
and we are now being asked to vote on
something that has no backup. I guess I

would like to see what the actual operating agreement says. I just think that the urgency which this was put on the agenda was -- deprives of us of an opportunity to be informed on the vote.

Secondly, I do have some questions about how the collection of meter revenue will take place. I realize that some employees will come back into the city, but I'm not sure that the city has the where with all to efficiently collect the revenue that we would normally collect. There is no office in which to -- from which to do this. I understand that there is talk of one person in the administration taking this on as a responsibility, which would be his third position within the city government, so I really do question the effectiveness and the efficiency with which we would collect the revenue.

The other thing that I am assuming that since we said that the 10 percent fee or 10 percent reimbursement whatever to the Parking Authority would no longer be done, I guess that the assumption is that we will

now continue to pay the SPA bond payments indefinitely since they will have no revenue to pay them. To me, it seems that we have now taken on another \$50 million in debt by doing this.

And the other problem that I have is that probably the claim that Landmark will sue the city while maybe Attorney Hughes may feel it's unfounded, I just think any lawsuit now from any bank would present a very negative image for the city as it moves forward with any borrowing, and I think it should be noted that the loan that Landmark did make to the SPA actually saved the city from making bond payments into 2011. It was not some nefarious deal, and I just think if all of these things are being thrown at us very quickly, and I really do not see the urgency that in legislation. That's all.

MS. EVANS: I would like to respond. This isn't anything new, this was discussed at previous council meetings publically and suggested by our solicitor. As for the urgency, well, there is, indeed, a urgency because you heard Solicitor Hughes say that

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possibly as soon as tomorrow a knew professional management company will be taking over.

Now, they have to be paid. What we have got right now would be two management teams being paid, the new professionals coming in with the national reputation for doing a good job and the current management team that does not want to get out. to ultimately be responsible for these bonds payments. Mr. Washo is going to be responsible for the money. It is ludicrous, ludicrous to be paying two people, two people, I'm sorry, a professional company and all of that entails as well as the old group under which the garages and the authorities have been mismanaged. If we do not proceed with this termination of the cooperation agreement beginning tonight, you are just postponing the inevitable and flushing money down the toilet because you are saying you want to pay both. This will stop those payments to the former management.

Now, as I said, I discussed this

with the mayor today, obviously, you did as 1 well, Mr. McGoff. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I did. MS. EVANS: Actually, I haven't been 4 through my mail in its entirety, but I have 5 not even seen the letter, and I know that we 6 7 faxed the letter from the attorney for 8 Landmark Bank to our attorney, but I haven't 9 seen the letter, but apparently you have or 10 you have a copy of --MR. MCGOFF: No, I haven't. 11 MS. EVANS: Well, you were quoting 12 13 from it. 14 MR. MCGOFF: No, I didn't quote prosecute the letter, I just said that I 15 16 informed that they would, in fact, you know, 17 bring a lawsuit against the city. MS. EVANS: No, there was something 18 19 else that you said about --20 MR. MCGOFF: Just suffice it to say, I have not seen the letter. 21 22 Okay, because I don't MS. EVANS: 23 believe anyone on this council has. 24 again, I, too, spoke with the mayor and he 25 has agreed to address the oversight of meter

collectors. He also indicated that since we will soon have a new parking meter program in effect that the future of the parking meters may well be debit cards and credit cards. In fact, his words to me were, "I don't believe people carry around loose change anymore or even dollar bills, they use plastic," and that's where the meters are headed. So there may not be as much to oversight within the future as there had been in the past.

But, in addition to that, there is also the possibility that the new management company might want to take some of that under its umbrella, so I think the concerns are misplaced. Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could just clear up some -- I just want to clear up some issues here, one is when Central Parking is retained and executes the agreement with the receiver at that point all of the money that's generated by the Parking Authority will be under the receiver's control, under Mr. Washo's

control. The Scranton Parking Authority will not have any money to pay any employees from the money that's generated from the parking garages, so all of that money is going to come in, it's going to be accounted for, Mr. Washo has to supply to the Court reports of every dollar he receives and that he pays out. That's the money that's going to be used to pay the bond payments. It's going to go to the trustee, Wells Fargo Bank, to make the bond payments.

In the event that money is insufficient, the City of Scranton has a legal liability to pay that money, to make the balance. The only money that the Parking Authority would have is from the parking meter revenue because that does not come under Mr. Washo's jurisdiction. It's not within Wells Fargo's responsibility as a trustee that was never pledged, so that they could still function with that money and spend it as they see fit.

I mean, all of the bills you got previously, going to lunches, going here, giving Scopelliti \$150 a month car

22

23

24

25

allowance, they could still continue with all of that and that money could be going to a bond payment and that's what this issue is about, it's to cut off all of the money to the Scranton Parking Authority. The board of the Scranton Parking Authority will still exist, it won't have any employees, it won't have any money to pay the employees, but that has to function because it still owns, it is still there as the entity that's the owner of the garages subject to now the receiver is appointed because there has been such misadministration and maladministration of the Parking Authority that the receiver has control, and that's what the issue is here is that that money has to come back into the city to make that bond payment.

Why shouldn't it just be thrown \$100,000 a year plus and maybe \$200,000 a year? And that's going reduce the city's liability on the bond issue, hopefully with professional managers in here and looking at this they will come up with ideas as to how to generate more revenue, how to coordinate things, that's going to take place and

that's what this issue is, is that where is the money better spent? Is it better spent and kept by the city or given to the SPA? And in opinion, and that's up to council it's better to be spent than kept here with the city, and us keeping the 10 percent, us keep the vig on anything that's kept so we can make the bond payment, and then the Parking Authority will still exist, the board will still exist, but they won't have anything to do and they won't have anything to do and they won't have any money to pay any employees because that money that they would be getting is going to be going to pay the bond issues.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: It's that simple.

MS. EVANS: And while you were speaking, I checked with our Finance Chair as to his memory of the line item in the city's operating budget for citation issuers, etcetera, the amount of money that is given annually to the Parking Authority that represents that 10 percent and Mr. Joyce told me \$562,000 --

MR. JOYCE: That's the cost of the

employees and the meter repairs and meter 1 head and --2 3 MS. EVANS: So we are talking over a half a million dollars here. That's 4 5 sizable. That's nearly a September bond payment and that's why this needs to be 6 done. 7 8 Is there anyone else on the 9 question? All those in favor of 10 introduction signify by saying aye. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 14 MR. MCGOFF: No. 15 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 16 moved. If there is no further business --17 oh, I'm sorry. I'm so sorry. I was ready to -- we have Sixth and Seventh Order. 18 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 19 20 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. NO 21 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 22 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs, Krake, 23 and my apologies. If there is no further 24 business, I'll entertain a motion to 25 adjourn.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER