	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, July 26, 2012
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
10	Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
12	reading of the minutes, please.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
14	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL RESULTS FROM APPEAL
15	HEARING HELD JUNE 27, 2012.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
19	REPORT FOR APPEAL HEARINGS TO BE HELD ON
20	AUGUST 8, 2012.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CHECK RECEIVED FROM
24	THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE AMOUNT OF
25	\$253,477.16 ON JULY 18, 2012.

1	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
2	If not, received and filed.
3	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. CHECK RECEIVED FROM
4	THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON ON JULY 16, 2012
5	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175,000.00 FOR PAYMENT IN
6	LIEU OF TAXES.
7	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. RECEIVED A COPY OF
10	THE APPROVED INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT OF
11	THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR THE
12	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR CITY
16	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON JULY 18,
17	2012 AT 7:00 P.M. IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. NOTICE OF
21	RE-SCHEDULED CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
22	MEETING FROM JULY 18, 2012 AT 7:00 P.M. TO
23	JULY 25, 2012 AT 12 NOON IN THE GOVERNOR'S
24	ROOM.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE:

City of Scranton.

MS. KRAKE: 3-H. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION RECEIVED ON JULY 16,
2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

Are there any clerk's notes tonight?

No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time? On tonight's agenda, I have placed a resolution in Fifth Order for introduction which demands the return of excess funds from the Scranton Parking Authorities' reserve bond account to the

During last week's meeting, council passed a similar motion, which I amended correctly to include only excess funds.

However, after discussion with Council Solicitor Hughes it was determined that a resolution was more appropriate and effective in achieving our purpose.

Also, I'd like to announce a new

business in Scranton. It is Mann's Tire and Auto located at 1200 South Main Avenue. They offer tires, brake work, oil changes and suspension work and we wish them the best of luck. They said under the circumstances they are not afraid to open their business here in Scranton and they look forward to meeting many of you. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

(Whereupon during the comments, Mr. Rogan took the dais and joined the meeting.)

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I gather you got it on the agenda your 7-A, did you ever get the notice from the paper telling you exactly what is stated?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Did you notice you had 30 days to send your -- any kind of complaints you might have to OECD, not to here, OECD. Why are you passing something

that hasn't even been decided on by OECD?

MR. ROGAN: I'm actually going to make a motion to table that until August 9, which will be the expiration of the 30 days.

MR. SBARAGLIA: But the question is do you want that money to go to cuts or do you want it to go somewhere else that's more immediate? You and I know that half of them streets cuts will not be used because people in wheelchairs maybe don't live all over the city or maybe don't live in the boondocks. That money was for supposedly for police patrols and police vehicles from -- well, you got it on there, and '10 and '11. They didn't use the money because they didn't care about the police at that time, they were in trouble with them, so OECD said, "We are not going to use that money."

Now they are talking about they can't use it in 2012, okay? But why wasn't it used in '10 and '11 where they could have used it, and you go along with these people? You got to get down there and shake some heads, all of them. They are making fools of you, and I don't like to be made a fool

you. I believe that when you are going to do something you should do it with the greatest input from all city residents.

Other people may have use for this money.

They are saying they cared little or nothing about the views of the city. These dumb people we have to pay, why should we listen to them? This is what this says. This is on the agenda for you to pass before even HUD gets approval for it.

I don't know how far you are going to get going on some of this other junk on the agenda with North Scranton again. They want us to assume the loan because we gave it to another company and the other company is transferring the assumption to another company, why don't we ask for the money to help with the loan? Let's just get the \$700,000. Let them pay us because we need it desperately now. We don't need another mortgage. That's one thing we don't need unless we can find something who wants to buy mortgages, I am sure we can find a lot of them somewhere.

But this \$700,000, when it came

before us they said they are going to do something with tax credits, now we are talking about 4 million bucks in borrowing, us assuming that assumption of that mortgage agreement when we should ask for the money being it was -- they owe us the money. They defaulted on what they were going to do. The money should be returned to the city. That's all I can tell you about some of those things and when somebody tells you tax credits don't say we will give the loan on top of tax credits. That's not the way to work it. I don't know where that four million from that company is, but they want borrow \$4 million.

Well, I'm not going to get into a big soap opera today. It's not worth it, we got too many other problems besides these, but look at it, anybody took the tax credits they could find, but when they talk about loans on top of tax credits that's not out of pocket. Thank you.

(Whereupon while Mr. Sbaraglia was speaking Mr. Joyce took the dais and joined the meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' Association, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. The Taxpayers' Association met the other night and they passed a motion unanimously and they wanted me to read this letter to city council and then submit it to city council for questioning.

"Dear Honorable City Council, the
Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' and
Citizens' Association, Inc., on July 24,
2012, approved unanimously a motion
regarding the proposed revised Recovery Plan
for the City of Scranton. The motion
carried. We request the city council to:

- (1). Place the new revised Recovery
 Plan on the November 2012 election ballot.
- (2) The city council provide a Recovery Plan plan public hearing prior to said election.

Since a revised Recovery Plan does not actually take effect until 2013, we believe this is a plausible request by the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Taxpayers' Association. Thank you. Ozzie Quinn, President."

We appreciate if you would consider honoring our motion so the people of the City of Scranton could have something to say. I know you like the people and we respect that, but everything that's going on about this tax break that the mayor wants to put up there and then he had a Recovery Plan and he was pushing that Recovery Plan and he didn't really have a Recovery Plan, he had\$ 5.4 million that was trying to sell what wasn't even the City of Scranton's, so he don't have a Recovery Plan. I don't know if he ever got five more -- 5.4 million to fill that gap, but I think the people have got something to say in regard to what this man is doing. He just -- he is just -- he is just way out in left field someplace. He is finding the money in banks and he is -- now he wants to hit pensions, and he is just all over and I think people are about fed up with him and they want to express it either in a public hearing or on a ballot and I would appreciate it if you could consider

1 that, okay, because it does have to do with the lives of people for years to come. 2 3 Thank you very much. MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gary Lewis. 4 5 MR. LEWIS: Good evening. MS. EVANS: 6 Good evening. 7 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 8 MR. LEWIS: Was anyone on council 9 aware the mayor attempt to borrow \$16 million from the pension fund? 10 MS. EVANS: Yes. I was sent -- I 11 12 received a letter on Saturday at my home. 13 It was addressed to not myself, a gentleman 14 from the Composite Pension Board with a copy to quite a number of people, and also 15 16 included in the package was a piece of 17 legislation that would provide for that type 18 of situation. 19 MR. LEWIS: So the mayor was 20 pursuing this without your knowledge until 21 Saturday obviously; right? 22 MS. EVANS: Well, he had -- he had 23 mentioned it to me previously. 24 MR. LEWIS: And I hope you don't 25 support it or endorse that?

MS. EVANS: Well, I believe that the Composite Pension Board has the right to make its own decisions and to handle its own pension funds as it sees fit.

MR. LEWIS: Even though --

 $\label{eq:ms.evans:} \text{MS. EVANS:} \quad \text{And so I would comply}$ with their decision.

MR. LEWIS: Even though borrowing a third of the pension funds would leave them in an even worse situation than they are, leaving the city with a much, much, much larger liability to the pension fund in future years?

MS. EVANS: Well, my understanding is that they have been advised, the pension board has been advised by their attorney, by representatives from Mellon Bank and others and they will be further advised, and I will adhere to their decision. From what I was able to learn, it appears that they have been advised against doing this. And again, that's going to be their decision. Council, no one on city council receives a pension. Previous council members did and that was in violation of the Home Rule Charter, but I do

believe that this is a decision that has to be made by those members of the pension board and by their membership.

MR. LEWIS: I would actually like to read a copy of the letter that I submitted to M & T Bank on the 16th in which they called me on Monday the 23rd to confirm that they had received and forwarded it to their credit department. It's addressed to Bob Wilmers, the chairman of CEO of M & T, and copies went to the vice-chairman, the president and the vice-president of M & T as well as the Governor's Office.

It reads, "Dear Mr. Wilmers: As you are certainly aware the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania, is in a midst of a major financial crisis. With the city coffers running empty, the State of Pennsylvania has offered the city short-term financing and the administration is currently working to secure more than \$26 million in funding to cover the 2012 budget deficit of approximately \$16 million, as well as repay the state's short-term loan of \$2 million.

I strongly urge you to refrain from

4

1

5

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lending or participating in the procurement of these funds. Not only would the terms of any new financing be particularly onerous, but the funding merely addresses the 2012 deficit and does nothing to address the issues the city will face in 2013, including a structural deficit of nearly \$10 million exclusive of debt service, a new debt service of \$8,168,536.35, exclusive of new debt issuance costs, an additional \$1.4 million would be due to the local public employee unions due to the recent settlement and Court-ordered arbitration award, and unpaid bills rolled forward from the prior year, which in 2012 exceeded \$6 million. Altogether, I believe the city will have a deficit approaching \$25 million in 2013.

Additionally, the recent Recovery
Plan proposed by the mayor and rejected by
city council called for the sale of an asset
to the Sewer Authority for more than \$5
million. It was later discovered that the
Sewer Authority already owns the asset, a
fact the administration ignored in its
Recovery Plan even though the same

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

administration sued the Sewer Authority in 2007 for ongoing maintenance costs paid by the city and won the case. Perhaps the next generation of the Recovery Plan will offer to sell the Brooklyn Bridge in an attempt to balance the budget.

The Recovery Plan also call for a 78 percent tax increase on homeowners. The simple fact is that residents of Scranton who currently have a median income of \$36,000 per year, according to Bloomburg, cannot support additional taxes. Between a 3.4 percent local wage tax, the 3.07 percent state wage tax and an average real estate bill approaching \$2,000s, the residents of this city are heavily burden, a fact supported by our dismal collection rate of less than 87 percent of the budgeted tax revenues. Neither Lackawanna County nor the Commonwealth guarantee the city tax hike.

The city's current year deficit, as a percent of budget, more than 19 percent exceeds similar metrics in Sacramento and San Bernadino, both of which filed for Chapter 9 bankruptcy protection in the last

few weeks. I believe the city is also a prime candidate for bankruptcy filing.

Lending this money to Scranton is not only an endorsement of its weak financial management, it represents a less than investment grade risk to bond holders. Notwithstanding any credit rating agency action, my analysis of the city's finances should be included in any offering document distributed to investors and any future borrowing.

Should the bank fail to comply with this request, I will file a Material Event Notice with the Municipal Securities Rule Making Board on an account of material misstatement and omission under Rules 10-B-5 and 15-C-2-12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

As the lender of last resort, you are in the unique position to refuse a kick the can down the road approach to the city's financial crisis. Take a stand for the taxpayer and residents of the city and send a message to the administration. Such action is not unprecedented. In 1974

Manufacturers of Hanover refused to renew approximately \$2 billion in notes for New York City for fear of an ability to repay when due. New York City was shut out of the credit market for years until it cleaned it's financial management. Scranton needs to learn the same lesson."

I will be forwarding copies of letter to any bank that attempts to do business with the city. We can't afford anymore borrowing.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Lewis.

Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. First I

have some information to follow-up on

requests made last week by Mrs. Evans

regarding the cleanup and the refuse removal

policies throughout downtown events that

take place on or around courthouse square

and other places in downtown.

First to address several of the specific events that will take place in the coming weeks, first regarding the

three-on-three basketball tournament which takes place on August 3 through 5 on the first two blocks of Wyoming Avenue, the cleanup will be handled by the Lackawanna County Buildings and Grounds Department.

Second, regarding the Scranton Jazz
Festival, which takes place August 3 through
5 of that same weekend, the events will take
place at the Radisson Lackawanna Station
Hotel, so there will be no need for refuse
removal and cleanup of any downtown
thoroughfares or properties that lead from
the Radisson.

Third, regarding the events held on the courthouse square property, which will including the Turkish Cultural Festival events that Friday night the same weekend, August 3, the cleanup is handled by the Lackawanna County Buildings and Grounds Department.

Event planners when submitting their request to use courthouse square to Lackawanna County Commissioner's Office, are asked to clean up after their events any refuse or debris that is remaining, at most

requestees are in compliance with that request when they do use the facilities on the courthouse square.

Specifically, this isn't an event that we have discussed in any of my previous comments to the council, but since last week's meeting our office was contacted by Mr. Chris DeMattio, president and event chairman for La Festa Italiano, after he witnessed a replay of last week's meeting on ECTV. Mr. DeMattio wanted us to note that clean up for La Festa is handled by an outside vendor hired by la Festa Italian's organizing committee. Most set up work for the event is done by volunteers with some help from the Lackawanna County Work Release Program.

This is all the information that I have. I hope is a help to answer your questions submitted by city council.

MS. EVANS: Yes, thank you very much.

MR. HETMAN: Not a problem. Second, on a logistical note, this evening the drive-in downtown summer movie series

showing of ET, which was the on-line valid poll winner, has been postponed to a time and date to be determined due to the inclement weather this evening, and we will be sure to pass along the reschedule date as soon as it is available and we remind everyone that the rescheduled edition of Rocky will be shown Thursday, August 9, also on courthouse square in downtown Scranton.

The Matthew F. Flynn Library Lecture Series, conducted by the Lackawanna County Library system, will have its latest installment entitled, "Scranton to Broadway, the Jerry Orbach Story," presenting John Anthony Givley, the man who wrote the book about Scranton showbiz great. This gentlemen wrote a book about Jerry Orbach, the former Scranton resident and stare star the Law and Order television series. The lecture will take place, Tuesday, August 7, at 7:00 p.m. at the Scranton Cultural Center and information can be obtained at any Lackawanna County library system library.

Also, on a little more sporting note, Team U.S.A. luge will be holding it's

U.S.A. luge slider search, which is a tryout, an open tryout for youth participants for the U.S.A. luge team that we all see in the Olympics and international sporting competitions. The trials take place Saturday, August 18, and Sunday, August 19, 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. and 2 to 5 p.m. each day and it will be at the Toyota Pavilion main parking lot at Montage Mountain open to boys and girls ages 9 to 13. No experience necessary and the event is completely free.

To register or for more information visit www.usaluge.org or call 1-8000-USA-LUGE. That's USALUGE, extension 105.

Finally, tomorrow with our noon concert series, weather permitting, will be the Great Condrat duo performing around the courthouse square and the Poets will perform from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. this Saturday, July 28, as part of our Saturday evening concert series.

And that's all the announcements I have for this evening. Thank you and have a

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great week.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Mrs. Evans, you brought up about the Parking Authority, that was the first on my list tonight to talk With all due respect, I think it's a waste of time this resolution. I think you can send resolution after resolution. you said yourself last week, Mrs. Evans, they thumbed their nose at city council for years and I think they will continue to do I don't think they will abide by any resolution. I think the only way you can stick it to them is don't give them a penny Let them drown in their own debt. It looks like it might be turning out to be a mistake that you did give them money to pay that debt off, I don't know that for a

fact, but Mr. Scopelliti still has his job and we are well past July 7.

MS. EVANS: I'm going to be addressing that under motions, Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: But I know they will probably be here in September again asking for more money and I think it will be time to city council to thumb their nose back to the Parking Authority.

Moving on, Attorney Hughes, welcome back. I have a question for you for a few weeks now.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you,

Mr. Spindler. Unfortunately everywhere I
was they don't have ECTV so --

MR. SPINDLER: About the nonprofits, everyone knows people have been asking them to contribute more money, if they don't contribute their fair share is the city legally obligated to provide services for them or could we send a letter and say, "If you don't give us more, we are not going to provide services"?

MR. HUGHES: I'll just give you -- and this is bad for a lawyer to say, an off

21

22

23

24

25

the cuff legal opinion. The city is legally obligated to provide services to them even though they are a nonprofit and don't pay I believe if we didn't and we turned taxes. off the services, and I'd really have to look into this, but I think there would be a liability by the city. I really think that, you know, even though when I was away I see the University of Scranton contributed \$175,000, I would state that in I believe it was in 2010 the University of Scranton's budget was over \$250 million, that's three times the city's budget. 1 percent would be a contribution of \$2.5 million. A half of 1 percent would be \$1,250,000. They have taken more real estate there and have paid more in taxes every year of over \$2 million.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. I said that last week.

MR. HUGHES: And they are the largest landowner in the City of Scranton, they are the largest landlord in the City of Scranton, and to pay \$175,000 is mere pittance, however, I have explained it many times before, and I'll state it, that the

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City of Scranton problems are local but the solutions are in Harrisburg, one of the items would be that something should be done with the tax exempts to give municipalities, especially the municipalities that are county seats, the ability to tax them.

One thing that has to happen and anything that they use up there that's proprietary where they making a profit it's up to the county assessor to go in. city can't go in and do it, it's up to the county. I don't know what their arrangement is, but they have a Chick-fil-A, a national franchise. It's not publicized here, it's open to the public. They are selling to the public. What's the arrangement between them as a franchisee, who has the franchise? don't know that. That's up to the assessor's to go up to the DeNaple's building, go through there and see the Starbucks and Chick-fil-A, they have a restaurant that's open to the public, all of that should be taxed. They should be paying real estate tax on it. They provide public functions. That's a county assessment

responsibility to go do it, and that's where it has to come from.

It's my opinion that even though they are a nonprofit and not paying real estate taxes they are operating within the law. I explained it one night, I said, by virtue of the fact that they are a nonprofit and they are a 501-C-3 corporation there is cases out of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court that their properties are not tax exempt. That's up to the county to go after them on that and when they have revenue producing properties and they are making money from it that those have to be -- that they could be charged real estate taxes.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. We have had people here in the past talk about the parking space they get money for.

MR. HUGHES: That's another thing that I think that all their parking spaces or parking garages and everything else now that the city has the ordinance in effect, it doesn't apply to the Parking Authority because legally it can't, there is a case out of the Supreme Court of Pittsburgh

Parking Authority vs. The City of Pittsburgh where they couldn't be taxes on the tax that was imposed and as a result I certainly think all their parking spaces or parking garages they should be paying on that because they are charging for those spaces and they you had be paying the city on that.

The parking garage I think should be looked at by the county to say that, here, should they be paying real estate taxes on that garage in addition to what the taxes are from the city.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. And maybe council could send the county assessor a letter asking if he could look into that.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually,
Mr. Spindler, that was discussed -- that was
the primary topic of discussion during the
first meeting of the county, the school
district, and the City of Scranton and
Solicitor Hughes was present at that
meeting, our city clerk was present, our
Finance Chair and myself and they can
correct me if I'm wrong, but the message
that was received by Commissioner O'Brien

was that he really had no interest in pursuing the University of Scranton, that he felt they are an economic engine and they provide so many assets to the city and the county they are no different than other colleges and universities across the country and basically he is taking a hands-off approach to it.

MR. SPINDLER: I don't think he is right doing that.

MS. EVANS: Well, I certainly don't agree either. That was why I went before them for an intergovernmental meeting, but, you know, in terms of the nonprofits and those meetings I don't believe there has been much progress.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. And,
Attorney Hughes, I said it last week,
\$175,000 they have probably taken more
properties off the tax rolls than probably
add up to more than that, so I don't know
how they can continue to get away with it.
I wish we could do something about it.

Moving on, I brought this up -MR. HUGHES: Maybe we should just

1 turn the city over the University of Scranton and let them run it. 2 3 MR. SPINDLER: It looks like eventually that might happen. 4 5 MR. HUGHES: That might happen if they keep taking more and more land the 6 7 nonprofits. 8 MR. SPINDLER: Maybe they will be 9 taking this building soon. 10 Moving on, I brought this up well 11 over a month ago, a streetlight on my corner 12 has been out, I have called DPW, I have talked to Ms. Marciano about it, she has put 13 14 requests in, it's well over a month now it's Mr. McGoff? still out. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 17 MR. SPINDLER: Since you are with 18 DPW could you look into getting our 19 streetlight fixed possibly? 20 MR. MCGOFF: Sure. 21 MR. SPINDLER: I'll give Ms. 22 Marciano the information because it's well 23 over a month and I did bring it up the first 24 time my daughter's car was broken into, they 25 did catch the guy, but the thing is that

19

20

21

22

18

23

24

25

it's unsafe. I was surprised, you know, you can't even see the stop signs on the corner. I'm surprised there hasn't been a terrible. Accident up there. It's just pitch dark. It's terrible, so hopefully, Mr. McGoff, with your pull you can get something done.

Lastly, the Tripp Park Neighborhood Association is having our annual picnic August 10 and 11 at the Tripp Park Community Center parking lot from 6 to 10. It's fun, food, music with EJ the DJ and that's August 10 and 11 from 6 to 10, hope to see everybody there. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton, taxes paid and so forth. I would support the taxpayers' suggests that the Recovery Plan go on the ballot. Too much there and the more you people take on your shoulders the worse it's going to look for you and we have quite a bit of twisting it around in

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the local media and what have you, and I think it's really a good idea.

And on this pension borrowing, there is two sides to that. Now, we have to become much more transparent, but currently I understood by the article that these people are getting about a half a percent from the banks return on their pension investments, some of these banks they have actually increased the major banks own 43 percent of the wealth in this country and they have actually increased their wealth by their holdings by about 30 percent since the stock market crashed. I mean, the tea partiers had a great idea, the only trouble with it was that the banks eventually integrated with them and took them over with their donations and so now there isn't a voice to call attention to any of this.

And really what happens is our pension benefits all over the country just get sopped up for credit defaults swap derivatives, so if they could earn 8 percent and loan the city money and it could be done on a great -- it would have to be a great

deal different than the current situation, it might be to their advantage, I don't know. It's just food for thought.

On resolutions, I have been an advocate of that for a long time. It doesn't matter whether somebody can thumb their nose up at you, but if you come and make a resolution you are expressing disapproval to their behavior, so that is -- I mean, you can't ask for more than that. We really need to voice disapproval to some of the behavior that's gone or here.

And I often wondered about fees as opposed to property tax as an answer, and block zone. It's time these people just get zoned in and if they want to go somewhere else go. Just go. We are on strike against further tax exempts. We don't want any more, we are on strike. If we have to go up there and march with picket signs that we're on strike against their tax exemptions then that's great. I don't want to argue about a Chick-Fil-A and something like that. Well, we could turn them over to the gay community. There has been donating against

gay issues, they donated \$2 million or something like that, so we could get the gays up there, too.

But it's really ridiculous, I elaborated on it more last week where these outside representatives hand all kind of money to them and locate tax exempts here and we get nothing out of them and then 300 parking spaces to the medical school unpaid for, 120 to the Hilton and that goes way back to Mayor Connors, I don't know what he was thinking there, a different time and era, but don't worry, the cash cows will pay.

Okay, I think I'm going to skip the golden parrot for this week there has been a lot of events in the news and we'll just skip over that, but, well, we'll make a new golden parrot, we're on strike against the tax exempts, bawk, bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: That was the letter

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that Ozzie spoke of when he was at the podium. I would just like to say that, you know, Attorney Hughes said that maybe the University should take the City of Scranton over, and that may not be possible, but maybe it's time to consider letting the state come in here and clean up this mess. I really have to be honest when I say that.

I had an opportunity this week to go on a bus trip to Knoeble's in Elysburg, so we picked up people in Dunmore, Nicholson and, gee, there was one more town, Tunkhannock. The sad part is everybody we picked up was a former resident of this city. Really very stark event. They didn't really have anything positive to say about the city, and I honestly have to say that I think that just the amount of people that have fled this city is astounding and I'm hoping that council will put the Recovery Plan on the ballot. I think there is enough time to do that by law, you have to talk to Attorney Hughes about that. I think it's time to give the people in this city a Everybody that I have had the voice.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity to speak with, and I won't stand here and try to tell that I spoke to everybody in the city because that's not the case, but everybody who has watched these meetings or who might know me one way or the other they are just yelling bankruptcy, I'm going to be real honest with you. They just don't see how they can pay anymore.

They are really, really, really upset with the fire and police situation even the amount of money that it's going to cost the city. They are fairly disgusted with, I don't know, everything. I mean, you know, being in Court and Judge Barrasse saying that, you know, he is going to start holding people in contempt and they are curious as to wondering does the judge have any idea what it means not to have any money, and he may be required to do the things he is doing by law, but we have an awful lot of people across this nation that have no job and had no job and have lost every single thing they own and I think they think it's time for some reality here.

I think they really do for once

understand in some small what's taking place here, but when you are talking to people on a bus trip, a lot of kids on the bus, everybody but the adults, the ones that used to live in this city are so thankful they are not here, all they could say is, "Good luck" and laughed.

And to be honest with you, borrowing all this money I don't know, where is it going to take us? How are we going to pay it back with all of our revenues dropping and all of these one-time fixes. I just think that in my own opinion it's time to start with the clean slate, I think it's -- to be honest with you, I'm not taking sides against or for or against anyone, it's time to stop pointing fingers. It's time to go in a new direction.

I mean, everything you see in the newspaper, even when you read today's article about trying to borrow money out of the pension plan they talk about how severely underfunded it is, and then you listen to all of the other things and all of the other answers. You know what, maybe

5

4

7

8

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there are no answers. Maybe the answer is like somebody who is personally in trouble, they go file a bankruptcy, they get some kind of relief, it may not be total relief, the Court will have to determine that, but I mean, we could have speaker after speaker come up and talk about the wages paid in the city, about the jobs in the city, about poverty and no children receiving the lunch program, about all of the seniors knowing how they are going to pay their taxes. just keeps spinning and spinning and, you know, the state threatening to come in if we don't do what they want and take over the city, well, you know something, when you take over a pile of ashes it doesn't change and I just think we have reached a point where residents have no machine money to give, you can see it by the amount of homes for sale here, and you can also, without pointing fingers, see that those houses aren't selling.

You see continually nonprofits come in here, Goodwill came up tonight. Well, look it, they have gotten a lot of money at

the public trough. That project has moved almost nowhere and everybody wants something for free. Farley's is closing, that was in the paper today and, you know, the gentleman that's opening up the business Mann's up on Main Street, you know, congratulations, glad to have you here, glad to see that you willing to try to do business here and really appreciate it, but I really think that hopefully you will vote and put that on the ballot. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Jack.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MS. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet.

MR. EVANS: Hi, Chris.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Janet, it's moved this year to August 1 this year, that's the first night instead of Friday. They switched it, our away game. Hey, Jack, don't forget, Saturday night Joe Snedeker is coming home. He is coming home Saturday night, Joe Snedeker. Come home with the money this time, will ya? Don't spend it on yourself.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: You should ride your
2	bike with them.
3	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
4	Council, Marie Schumacher.
5	MS. EVANS: Good evening.
6	MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: Taxpayer and
8	citizen. First question is how does 3-H
9	effect the or does 3-H effect the City of
10	Scranton in any way?
11	MS. EVANS: We don't have that
12	document but I'm sure the office does if you
13	would like to see it.
14	MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would think
15	that somebody on council would have reviewed
16	it and have the answer, but
17	MS. EVANS: Or you could actually
18	you could actually ask the Lackawanna County
19	Planning Commission.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: We just received it
21	on our agenda as we came in this evening.
22	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Another one,
23	maybe I'll strike out on all of them, but is
24	3-C for a single tax collected by the Single
25	Tax Office, if not, what is the breakdown?

1 Will you be sharing that, Mr. Joyce? MR. JOYCE: Could you repeat the 2 3 question again, I'm sorry? MS. SCHUMACHER: On 3-C. 3-C says a 4 5 check was received from the Single Tax Office, was that for a single tax that's 6 7 collected or is that a combination of all 8 the taxes they collect for the city? 9 MR. JOYCE: I actually mentioned 10 this last week, I don't have the figure off 11 the top of my head, but this was for 12 delinguent real estate taxes and real estate taxes only, and I believe that the 13 14 delinquent amount was somewhere in the ten 15 to twenty thousand dollar range. 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I can check 17 the minutes. If it was what you talked 18 about last week I could check the minutes. 19 MR. JOYCE: Actually, I have it 20 right here now. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. 22 MR. JOYCE: Delinquent real estate 23 tax was \$15,477.36 and current real estate 24 tax was \$237,999.80. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

. 2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then finally on the third item, what legal weight does a resolution carry?

MS. EVANS: Perhaps our solicitor might want to comment on that, but I can say if it's passed by council and it does not die it will go to the mayor then for his signature. Should he veto it, it will come back to us for a council override and it will be sent to the Scranton Parking Authority and the Scranton Parking Authority, as you know, has borrowed \$50 million that is guaranteed by the City of Scranton, and so because the city is on the hook for all of that money and because the Parking Authority, I believe, and I'm going to talk about this more under motions, should soon see new independent management, perhaps this resolution will actually be respected and addressed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, well, I'm going to jump then to something I had down later then, since you say that's our debt, will that count against the calculation for

the Unit Debt Act ceiling as part of the city's then?

MS. EVANS: That I don't know, but I would -- I would expect that it should. It's included in the independent audit of the City of Scranton and, as I said, the taxpayers of the city are responsible for it. Former council members approved it and part of that agreement, part of that bond agreement, was to pledge the full faith and credit by the City of Scranton, which is the taxing power.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand that, but, yeah -- but, you know, you people were against it before you were for it, so I didn't know how that worked.

Will we get an update on the last year's audit, the 2011 tonight?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Awhile back there was a contract, I believe it was with the clerical workers, that was not ratified by this body because it was not in the approved Recovery Plan, but since we don't have an approved Recovery Plan may I assume

Do we

that the fact 7-B and C are on the agenda 1 2 that that is agreed to by both parties in 3 the development of this revised Recovery 4 Plan? MS. EVANS: 5 Now, are you -- okay, you are talking about the contracts with the 6 7 police and fire? 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. 9 MS. EVANS: Okay, I assume you meant the clerical union because that's a contract 10 that has never been approved by this 11 12 council, yet, the mayor has included that as 13 if the clerical union has a contract in his 14 proposed revised Recovery Plan. Now, as for the new contracts with 15 16 the police and fire, yes, they will be 17 included in a revised Recovery Plan. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: And that's agreed 19 to by both -- both of those then are agreed 20 to by both parties at this point in the 21 negotiations? 22 MS. EVANS: Yes. 23 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 25 have an aging of the unpaid bills and what

is the total at this point?

MR. JOYCE: Our business administrator is out of town this week, so I didn't get an e-mail on the aging or amount of the bills, but if the last -- from the last e-mail that he did send me it was somewhere in the \$4,100,000 range.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And what was the oldest, do you recall?

MR. JOYCE: Pardon?

MS. SCHUMACHER: What was the oldest unpaid bill, do you recall?

MR. JOYCE: I would have contact him again to find out the oldest.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Regarding the revised Recovery Plan, again, I want to emphasize I think one of the essentials is this city has to go away from the cash accounting system and go to an accrual system so that we know where we are every year. That's absolutely essentially as far this taxpayer and resident is concerned.

I would also like to know if a realistic revenue stream has been approved by both sides in the negotiation of the

1 revised Recovery Plan since there is only 2 what, four more days left to come up with a 3 plan? MS. EVANS: Real estate revenue 4 5 stream? MS. SCHUMACHER: No, realistic 6 7 revenue stream, all the total, the total 8 projection of what we may expect for the 9 next three years? It remains a work in 10 MS. EVANS: 11 progress. Councilman Joyce and I are going 12 to be meeting with the mayor again tomorrow 13 morning. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: And then, if I may, just what will be the process then since we 15 16 only four days left, will there be a public 17 hearing after you all agree or what is 18 the --MS. EVANS: I will be sure there is 19 20 a public hearing. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. The rest 22 I'll hold for next week. Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 23 24 Is there anyone else? MS. EVANS: 5-A. 25 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER.

MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any comments or motions tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First, just I can't believe that they are extending an invitation for no experience necessary to go and ride and sled at a 100 miles an hour. That's sounds like a real invitation to disaster, so luge trials, I'm not sure.

Secondly, I did say that what I could find out about the fireworks at Nay Aug Park, I was told that the fireworks themselves were paid for by Geisinger and the band that performed at those fireworks was paid for through the Recreation Authority. What the amounts were, I'm not sure, but there were no, I'll say budget funds, used for either the fireworks or the entertainment.

Recovery Plan. We are not being asked to approve a Recovery Plan, and I guess that's where maybe the public hearing and all of this, I'm not sure the process, but as far as I know what we are being asked to do is to provide revisions, amendments to

.

then be presented to PEL and with those revisions PEL would then present a revised Recovery Plan which then would be voted upon. Is that the process that we are looking at?

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, I'm not quite certain because at times I hear it's called a revised Recovery Plan and the documents that have been presented to us all contain that title. Then other times I know that it was either the DCED representatives or the PEL representative or perhaps both who refer to the document as merely an amendment and there is -- you know, the way in which you approach the situation then and the work and the process and the adoption is really different in each case and I have never received, you know, a definitive clarification of whether this is the plan or an amendment.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess from the meetings that I attended with PEL representatives they are saying that what we are -- what we are in the process of doing is providing amendments to the plan and then

once they are done then they would then in turn have a final proposal. I was hoping that was the process that we are working through.

I'm glad to hear that there is a meeting with the mayor being scheduled for tomorrow. I think that this meeting if it's going to be fruitful has to be one that has resolution at the end of it. Meeting just to offer discussion or to offer suggestions and then to go back to the drawing board, again, I don't think is, you know, just pushing this too far.

I would be in favor of, I don't know if it's in the form of -- do it in the form of a motion or just a suggestion that council meet with the mayor, you know, through the weekend until there is a resolution to the Recovery Plan revisions. I am hoping that we can do that, I'm hoping that members of council are willing to do that. I just think it's a necessity. If we are going to meet a deadline for -- I don't think we can pass up two and a half or two and a quarter million dollars from the state

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

at this point in time.

I think we need to move forward, I think we need resolution now before this -- before we lose another opportunity to provide some revenue to the city. So hopefully the discussions with the mayor do provide resolution and aren't just another, you know, one-time meeting with more suggestions and really no commitment.

MS. EVANS: If I could?

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MS. EVANS: I wouldn't categorize any of the meetings that Mr. Joyce and I myself have had with the mayor and Ryan McGowan as one-time meetings. There were quite --

MR. JOYCE: Several.

MS. EVANS: -- a series meetings, I don't recall how many there were so many. It talked to the mayor daily by phone and if there isn't a resolution tomorrow and you would like the conversation to continue throughout the weekend would you be available?

3

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd have to check my calendar, but, yes, if I'm open.

MS. EVANS: Okay, because what I'm thinking is perhaps then we can have some fresh eyes take a look at the situation and since only two of us should really be dealing with these matters at one time since we are making decisions and three would constitute a quorum and necessitate the public's attendance, the Sunshine Law would kick into effect then, you know, perhaps the rest of the council would like to continue throughout the weekend, and I can contact you and let you know if that's a necessity.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Next thing, we did receive notice of a request or I don't know if it's a request, a demand to attend the civil contempt hearing on Tuesday in Judge Barrasse's chambers. In light of the meeting with the mayor going on, prior to that I would have thought that the order by the judge was a good idea. It would

25

force us to sit and do something. see, also, that there is a motion being made to reconsider being presented by Attorney Hughes on behalf of council so that council would not be required to attend. As I said, I think this is a good idea and hopefully the meeting with the mayor precludes us having to do that, but in light of those past events I would like to leave that on the table as, you know, again, an opportunity to meet and to resolve the issue, and personally I would ask that my name be removed from the motion, Attorney Hughes, if you would, please, because --MR. HUGHES: I'll inform the judge

of that Monday at 9:00.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?

MR. HUGHES: I'll inform the judge of that Monday at 9:00.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I'll comment later.

MR. MCGOFF: The other thing that's been mentioned about the loan through the Pension Fund -- Composite Pension Board or fund or whatever, this is not a new idea.

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This was presented to I know members of the Firemen's Association I'm going to say back maybe late 2011 or at least early 2012.

MS. EVANS: I don't remember that.

MR. MCGOFF: I know it was mentioned by a member of PEL to members of the association as a possibility. It was never a formal presentation, but it was mentioned. I believe I even mentioned it offhandedly at a council meeting, so it's not something new, but it is something that I guess was being seriously considered for the first time and while, again, I'll second what Mrs. Evans said prior, this is their This is not something that can be decision. forced upon anyone by us or by the city, but certainly it's I think something that, you know, deserves some serious consideration as a possibility for meeting some of our needs.

So hopefully, you know, something can be worked out, and again, you know the objections to doing that are numerous, but hopefully there are some positives that exist, you know, one being that guaranteed rate of interest that they probably could

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not receive through any private investments given the market at the present time.

It would also, you know, hopefully guarantee paychecks for a period of time so that their salaries would be guaranteed. So there are some positives to this. And again, hopefully it will be considered and something can be worked out.

I attended a meeting along with Mr. Loscombe, and, please, if I leave anything out and Mrs. Krake, concerning the proposed sales tax for -- the 1 percent sales tax for Lackawanna County. There is a bill that was presented by Senator Blake that talks about a 1 percent sales tax for Lackawanna County. At the meeting, which was held at the commissioner's -- in the commissioner's office, there was regional support for it, and not just from Lackawanna County, there were representatives from Luzerne County and also from Monroe County, and the intent was to reach out to members of adjoining counties to make this a regional proposal as opposed to, you know, single county proposal. There are others

that are very interested in this proposal, this bill.

The discussion had to do more with

-- at least the last meeting was more with
the possibilities of the probability of this
actually getting through the current House,
current Senate prior to, you know, 2013 and
there was some skepticism as to whether it
could be done, but again, another
possibility for what I think at least is a
more egalitarian-type of tax. It doesn't -its purpose is to spread out, you know, who
is being taxed to some extent and it is
written as a tax abatement program.

Somebody asked about distribution
last week and I didn't have the information,
what's proposed in the Bill, and again, Mr.
Loscombe and Mrs. Krake, if I'm misspeak
please correct, the distribution was that 50
percent would go to the county, 40 percent
would go to the participating communities,
those communities that opted into the Bill.
Of that 40 percent 60 percent would be used
for property tax abatement and then the
remaining, a maximum of 40 percent, could be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used for general fund purposes, okay? then the last 10 percent of the total distribution was for county collaborative efforts. There was some discussion on the county collaborative part as to whether that was necessary or should be included, there is still a lot of talk, you know, about amending the proposal, but all in all it's something that seems to be moving forward at least, you know, at the county level and they are looking for support from the local communities and from, as I said, from the adjoining counties and trying to get some support for the Bill through in the State House and in the State Senate.

Similar proposals are -- or similar taxes are already in place in Philadelphia and Allegheny county so there is some precedent for it, and I think that would be all.

MS. EVANS: And if I may, I was lead to understand, if this is correct, that of those present at the meeting there was bipartisan support for the sales tax?

MR. MCGOFF: Bipartisan meaning?

1 MS. EVANS: Meaning Democrat and 2 Republican. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Okay, I wasn't sure which --4 MR. ROGAN: 5 There is Republicans in this area? 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: I was going to say, nobody identified themselves by party, so I 8 9 can't necessarily say "yes" to that, 10 although -- again, I'm not sure. 11 MS. EVANS: I'm thinking not so much 12 Lackawanna County as Monroe. 13 MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure what the 14 party affiliation was. MR. LOSCOMBE: I think there was 15 16 some conversation at the meeting, had 17 conversations with other representatives 18 that were favorable, again, like you said, 19 on both sides and they urged everybody --20 everyone is urged to contact their 21 representatives, and if I'm not correct, Mr. 22 McGoff, I think we had that list of 23 representatives on the back? 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: In those areas and I

know there was some conversation from some of the people from surrounding counties that had contacts with some of those other parties that were across the table on different sides. Yeah, it appears there is some bipartisanship.

MR. MCGOFF: As I said, I thought the most promising aspect of it was that adjoining counties were eager to implement this as well, especially Luzerne County and Mayor Layton in Wilkes-Barre was very expressive of his support of it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. Before I get into what I was planning on saying just to stick with the topic of the sales tax, Mr. McGoff, you mentioned about the breakdown of the funds and you said 40 percent to participating communities.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Does that mean, for instance, say Lackawanna County had the 1 percent sales, does that mean Dunmore could chose to opt out?

where people would go instead of shopping in Scranton they would drive to Dunmore Corners and do their shopping there and, you know, we would see all of the development outside of Scranton.

You know, my initial reaction is always to oppose any new taxes, but especially with the sales tax it's very regressive in that the poor in the community they are not investing their money, they are spending it, they are consuming it, and it seems that they would be hit the hardest by a sales tax increase than wealthier people who they are not spending their entire paycheck every week.

Again, it's something that we could talk about more and get some more information on. I am concerned, and the same thing I brought up last week, especially with large purchases, a vehicle, I don't know if you received an answer on that either at the meeting?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry.

MR. ROGAN: But I brought up if someone purchased a vehicle instead of

driving to Burne Pontiac, where I did buy my car, I would drive to Wayne County, you know. It would maybe cost me \$50 in gas, but on a \$20,000 car the 1 percent is substantial savings. Again, that would hurt businesses in our community in Lackawanna County so that is my concern with that, but definitely willing to discuss it with everyone as we move down the line.

Next, I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-A until Thursday, August 9.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. ROGAN: This is -- it was brought up last week regarding the transfer.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor and a second. On the question, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. My apologies.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan, please.

MR. ROGAN: It was brought up that this legislation was being put up for a vote before the end of the 30-day public comment period. I spoke to Ms. Aebli through e-mail and again today in person about this issue

and she mentioned, you know, tabling this until August 9 isn't a problem with their office for administering the funds transfer if it's approved, you know, I did provide everyone with a copy of the e-mail and so it wouldn't do any harm by tabling it until the end of the 30-day period.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Next, the pension fund was brought up by some speakers, it was also in the media, I do have a question, I'm not sure and honestly I know that the initial decision would be made by the board, the Composite Pension Board, after that would the city council have a say?

MS. EVANS: I don't believe so.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. You know, I glanced through the remember letter in the newspaper before I got that Scranton Times' popup that stops you from viewing the articles without paying, but by reading through it I was wondering if council did have a vote at the end of the day because it would be money transferring into -- from the pension to the city budget.

MS. EVANS: Well, as I noted earlier, there was legislation included in the package I received from the mayor on Saturday. Now, I don't know if, you know, we would have to pass new legislation or if the previous legislation that was passed by council which would be \$26 million, would apply.

MR. ROGAN: But --

MS. EVANS: But that's the only way in which I could see that council would entertain that agreement.

MR. ROGAN: That's just one obviously one of the first decisions, you know, if the Pension Board says "no" then it's dead.

MS. EVANS: It's moot.

MR. ROGAN: If it gets to us, you know, I am concerned about borrowing from the pension fund and I agree with the comments made by Mrs. Novembrino that, you know, our retirees have paid in their whole lives to get their pension and I think it is gambling with their money by taking it out and moving it to the city, granted an 8 percent rate in this market is a nice return, that's assuming the city can make the payments and as everyone knows right now the city is not making their payments on numerous debts from health care to fuel to even payroll.

So I would hate to sell the retirees, who rely on their pension to pay their mortgages, their food and their medicine, I hate to sell them down the river just for a short-term solution to fill the hole in the city's budget for the year.

If there was a vote, I would very likely oppose raiding the pension fund.

It's not our money, it's not the city's money, it's the retirees money, they paid in

all of the time they worked and that money 1 2 should be invested in them properly and 3 hopefully they will see a great return and I do believe before I read it the people city 4 5 will be next year doubling our contribution to the pension fund? 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Yeah, even 8 unfortunately --9 MS. EVANS: It's spiraling. MR. JOYCE: -- it's more than 10 11 doubling our contribution to the pension fund. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: So obviously the pension 14 fund is extremely underfunded and now is absolutely not the time to borrow from it 15 16 when we need to kick in more money. 17 MS. EVANS: Well, I think, too, we 18 have to recognize that this is a case in 19 practically every city throughout the Commonwealth --20 21 MR. ROGAN: And the country. 22 MS. EVANS: -- and the country now. 23 None of our pensions are secure. 24 MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. Next, the 25 Recovery Plan being placed on the November

ballot was brought up by a few residents and the Taxpayers' Association. This is something I fully support. I don't know what the legal process would be. I know --

MS. EVANS: It might be in the Home Rule Charter.

MR. ROGAN: I know the last time a Recovery Plan was placed on the ballot it was actually passed by city council first, which obviously isn't going to happen, this city council is not going to pass the mayor's Recovery Plan, and then it was -- I believe it was a petition done by residents to put it on the ballot.

Now, without the Recovery Plan being adopted by council and the mayor I don't know how -- if or how it would be placed on the ballot since a referendum generally approves or denies a piece of legislation that is already passed. But, again, I'm not an attorney, maybe Attorney Hughes would know more than I would on this.

MS. EVANS: We can look into it.

MR. ROGAN: And I would agree, the taxpayers should have the last word. I

think we would all agree to that, that putting it up for a vote in November would be great to have the taxpayers, you know, voice their concerns at the ballot box.

Next, on Item 5-E I believe it is, the resolution demanding the Parking Authority repay excess funds from the reserve, I support this 100 percent. I think it's not a secret to anyone in the room that I have staunchly opposed giving the money to the Parking Authority in the first place. I hope that it can be returned to the city, \$1 million is almost a payroll.

Ms. Schumacher mentioned that council is against before we were for it, but I did vote against it all six times and Mrs. Evans mentioned that we are going to see new management soon, and I hope you are right, I really do. I think Mr. Scopelliti and the board and the other crony hires that we have had or the mayor has placed on that Authority have really run it into the ground. They ran up the debt and the principal \$50 million is a huge sum and then when you look at the interest it takes you

21 22

23

24

25

to nearly a 100. So for every dollar they borrowed they are paying back two, and as I mentioned and others have mentioned if we could sell some of those assets now even if they realize say \$20 million by paying down on that principal early you save a lot on the back on that interest payments, so by paying \$20 million on the principal it might be saving you 35 or 40 in the long return.

Same thing if you pay ahead on a mortgage or your car payment or whatever it may be. So hopefully new management will be in place. I'm not optimist judging by the history of the Parking Authority and the mayor, I know that Mr. Scopelliti and the mayor because he does control the board will be clinging to power as long as he can. That's been one of the areas where he has putting crony hires. Same with the Sewer Authority, we have seen the Authority debt grow and grow and grow.

And on that topic I saw an article in the Scranton Times, and I forget to print it out, that mentioned the Library Authority once again, and this was -- I think it was

actually one of the first actions we took
after being sworn in was disbanding the
Library Authority. Now, how they wish to
proceed and where their debts stand is
anyone's guess, but all of these authorities
there is no transparency.

Now, I support libraries, I support the county library system, but I don't support an authority being in place if the city is backing the debt. I don't know exactly if we are, if there is debt. I believe it was a 4-1 vote that we disbanded the Authority and it seems they are continuing to operate.

request tonight, I will comment a little more on the agenda items as they come up.

There is a vacant lot on 1431 North

Washington Avenue, it was formerly 1431, actually there was a home there that the city tore down and recently rocks -- and it was leveled out and the residents in the neighborhood want to know what the plan is for that property, whether it's city-owned, if there is going to be a parking lot placed

there. They have heard that the gas station on the corner purchased the lot and they are going to be put in an expanded parking lot.

So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send that request to the DPW asking if the city has any further plans for the lot and also if we can find out who actually owns the lot now because I believe the city paid for the demolition of the building, and whether this is another one of them where the city demolished the building for a private company, you know, we can look into that, but the main concern is that the residents are made aware of what's happening on that situation. And that is all I have for now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Actually, Mr. Rogan, some time, and this is going back awhile, I know that discussion was had with the mayor regarding that location and a few others and the mayor said, yes, the city did demolish those structures for a business and he felt that that was a very good city policy toward businesses and makes us that much more business friendly.

MR. ROGAN: And there was a couple in West Side I think that Mr. Loscombe brought up a couple of times, same situation and do I agree with the policy? No. But at least notify the residents, the neighbors of what's going on in the neighborhoods at the very least, so hopefully we can get some answers on that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you.

Just briefly, Mr. McGoff touched on the meeting with the commissioners, he gave a good summary and that was my first meeting so I'm still trying to get up to speed on that. As he said, there were representatives from: Luzerne and Monroe County there and it's looking to expand.

And he also mentioned a schedule about trying to get it because in the Senate there is only ten session days left this year -- I'm sorry, in the House and in the Senate there is nine left, so it's pretty tough because there is a lot of questions that

were asked and answers to be accomplished before this is in it's final stage, so it is a crunch. We are trying to work to beat the clock on it, but we can't get any guarantees on that aspects.

Next, I would like to thank our
Solicitor Hughes for the motion to
reconsider. You know, I think for obvious
reasons we shouldn't be compelled to have to
be there, this is a case of contempt against
the mayor. It has nothing to do with us.
That's the fact. You know, voluntarily if
we are eligible to go that's fine, but I
still don't think we have to be compelled
since, well, Mr. Hughes put a good response
together here and it explains itself. This
is a totally different issue. That's all I
have to say on that.

And I hope everyone survived the storms tonight. I happen to be coming from West Side and the usual flooded areas were hit again, North Cameron and Lafayette, the end of Dewey Avenue. I think now that we know that we do own the -- the Sewer Authority owns the storm sewers that I'll be

meeting together with the Sewer Authority to see what remedies we have in that location. I know they are pretty familiar with it, but, you know, something has to be done of. A property was just sold there and it took a long time to sell because of the situation. Now, I hope the new owner, when I went by it was flooded around that house, you know, doesn't jump out of the deal or whatever, but it's unfortunate that they have had to put up with that for all of these years and it's just the same thing over and over.

And I also called and requested

Mrs. Krake notify DPW and police there was a

tree down across North South Road, also,

totally blocking the traffic, but we have to

get this storm situation resolved. I know

there are some other areas in the city, too,

but now I remember when we are working on

issues before it was the city is responsible

for the storm sewers and, you know, the

sanitary sewers where the Sewer Authority,

and the Sewer Authority I have to say did

help us with the storm sewers at that, but

obviously nobody knew what they owned. Now it's clear, it's clarified, so I want to get the Sewer Authority on board to get this situation resolved for those neighbors. And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Over the past week I have been working very diligently on the revised Recovery Plan as I had stated last week. Late Tuesday afternoon of last week Business

Administrator Ryan McGowan had sent me a Recovery Plan worksheet with various mandates. Since that time there have been some challenges that have come up.

e-mail from Business Administrator Ryan
McGowan that the city's MMO will be
increasing by over \$5 million per year,
which was the determined through a study
conducted by Thomas Anderson. This amount
was not included in the original Recovery
Plan spreadsheet that was sent by our
business administrator, although it should
have been, since the letter from Thomas
Anderson regarding the increased of the MMO

was sent on June 28.

To provide some background on what is meant by an MMO, an MMO is a acronym for minimum municipal obligation. Every year the city is required to contribute a minimum amount to fund pensions. There are three pensions that the city is required to fund. There is a firefighter pension, a police pension, and the non-uniform employee pension.

In 2012, the total owed for all these three pensions as reported by Business Administrator Ryan McGowan was roughly \$4.2 million. In 2013, the MMO for the police pension plan is projected to be \$3,658,77. For the firemen pension plan the MMO in 2013 is projected to be \$4,644,813. For the nonunion pension plan in 2013 the MOM is projected to be \$1,013,260. Altogether, this summation of the MOM is projected to be \$9.305,150. The city will be on the hook for this money because we are required to fund our pension.

Another large challenge in the Recovery Plan is the Supreme Court award

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is \$17 million approximately. If
Mayor Doherty had negotiated in good faith
from the get-go with the police and fire
unions and did not listen to the advice of
DCED and appeal numerous Court cases we
wouldn't have arrived at this point, but we
did.

The Supreme Court award could have been even worse for the City of Scranton if it were not for negotiations to mitigate the award. If it were not for negotiations, the city could have been on the hook for \$32 million instead of \$17 million. Though I took part in some of those negotiation meetings, I would like to thank my colleagues, Janet Evans and Jack Loscombe, for their active participation in If were not for their negotiations. efforts, the Supreme Court award would have been much more a hit to the city than it is. Their efforts have saved the taxpayers \$15 million.

When Mayor Doherty initially sent down the revised Recovery Plan and called for a 78 percent tax increase over three

years, which would be a Titanic blow to the taxpayers of Scranton, a tax increase of such high magnitude is something that the taxpayers of Scranton simply cannot afford. Since that time, I have asked for suggestions from my colleagues regarding when we can do to minimize the tax increase. Last week I asked for realistic suggestions that would bring in extra revenue and ask everyone to reply by Tuesday.

Unfortunately, I didn't receive input from all council members. As I said last week

all council members. As I said last week, as other council members have alluded, this will not be the Recovery Plan of one person, but ideally I would like to have every council member contribute.

Progress has been made on the
Recovery Plan, however, despite some council
members failing to participate. Since last
week former Business Administrator Stu Renda
has filled in for current Business
Administrator Ryan McGowan who has been out
of town and returning next week. Tomorrow,
Councilwoman Evans, Mayor Doherty, Stu Renda
and I will be meeting to discuss suggestions

that were received by council members. We have received -- or we have great challenges to face in this Recovery Plan.

As I previously stated, we have a Supreme Court award to pay, in addition we have a large increase to the MMOs of the fire, police and non-uniformed pension plans.

that will there be a tax increase included in the plan. It is unavoidable in the situation that the city faces. It's my hope to minimize that tax increase as much as possible by using alternative revenue sources. As your councilman I can guarantee you that a 78 percent tax increase will not be on the table but, unfortunately, with the situation that we are facing it's inevitable that there be some sort of tax increase.

On other issues, Mrs. Krake, last
week Scranton City Council sent out a
request to LIPS, the Department of
Licensing, Inspections and permits to
determine who received parking tax bills or
who they were sent to according to

Mrs. Schumacher's request. Did we ever receive a response to that inquiry?

MS. KRAKE: No. We actually sent it to -- also to the Treasurer because I believe that was the originally part of Mr. Rogan's request. The Treasurer did respond in saying, no, that did not come from his office, but we haven't heard from anybody else.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, please resend this request to LIPS and inform them that he would like to see a list of the recipients of the parking tax bills as soon as possible as Mrs. Schumacher requested.

Another source of the revenue that the city realized, as mentioned in 3-D tonight, was a \$175,000 from the University of the Scranton, which is a PILOT, payment in lieu of taxes. While I would like to thank the University of Scranton for their contribution, again, the \$175,000 in contribution funds is just a small part of their budget and I would encourage the mayor to ask for more from the University.

As I reported last week, the
University of Scranton's total revenue for
the 2010 tax year was \$227,883,304 while
they have \$185,038,781 in expenses.
\$175,000 is merely a small part of their
expenses when looking at how much money the
University brings in on an annual basis.

While we are on the topic of revenue, to report since last week's meeting we did receive three deposits for current wage tax over the last week from Berkheimer. The deposits from Berkheimer were \$129,923.18 on July 19; \$27,391.37 on July 23; and \$68,296.53 on July 24. The summation of this deposits made over the last week was \$225,611.08.

Scranton City Council has yet to receive an audit status report from Business Administrator Ryan McGowan as requested six weeks ago. As of this week, according to correspondence from Rossi & Rossi there are 12 open items that still need resolution before the 2011 audit can be completed and the subsequent exit conference can be held.

According to the previous audit

Administrator Ryan McGowan, there were a number of other open items that had to be completed by now and that were to be completed by now. An exit conference was to be held by mid-July, which is obviously not going to occur since mid-July has already passed us. So, Mrs. Krake, can you please resend that request to Mr. McGowan and ask him for a status report for the 2011 audit?

And finally, I do have a few citizens' requests. Several East Mountain residents have reported to me that there is a large chunk of road that is cracked off at the corner of East Elm and Blucher Avenue. Residents fear this will cause an accident as residents report cars swerving to miss the displaced portion of the road.

Mrs. Krake, please contact Director

Dougher and ask him to handle this situation

in the best way that he sees fit.

Various residents have informed me of a blighted property in their neighborhood in South Scranton that has been abandoned by the owner. They have asked me not to say

the address at the meeting because they do not want to make the general public aware that the house is abandoned as they fear it will lead to more problems.

Residents report that this home is becoming an eyesore, however, in the community as the front porch is falling off the home and grass in both front and the back yards is extremely high and has not been cut in months.

Mrs. Krake, I will provide you with the address of this property. Once provided please contact Director Seitzinger and ask him to address the problem accordingly as there may be various code violation associated with this property.

A resident of Scranton has notified City Council's Office of a continuous problem at 122 West Parker Street. The resident reports that this house condemned -- that this house is condemned and is becoming a haven for stray cats. In addition, the home is in very rough shape as the grass has not been cut and is extremely high. Along with this, the resident reports

that people are entering the condemned property.

Mrs. Krake, please contact Director Seitzinger and inform him of this situation as well as asking him to handle it in the best way he sees fit.

Several North Scranton residents have reported to me that there is a large pothole on the 100 block of Oak Street. In fact, this pothole is deep enough that the brick under the current pavement are exposed and it's making travel conditions very difficult for travelers.

So, Mrs. Krake, accordingly please contact Director Dougher and ask him to handle this situation as he sees fit.

And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Joyce.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, just to answer two questions that Mr. Rogan had about the sales tax, apparently I did misspeak and it would appear a county -- counties cannot abandon and all municipalities within the county would be

participants.

The second thing, there is within the Bill, it's a sales and use tax, and I'll read what it says under use, "Any county within which the tax authorized in Subsection A is imposed, there shall be leveled, assessed and collected upon the use within the county of tangible personal property purchased at retail and on services purchased at retail as defined in Article II of the Tax Reform Code, a tax on the purchased price."

So apparently something that you are using within the county that is purchased outside of the county can be taxed at the sales price.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. I wish to begin with an update regarding the Scranton Parking Authority. During discussions with our solicitor I learned that progress is occurring toward placing the parking garages under new independent management. At this time, I defer to Attorney Hughes for his comments.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President. I think the problem is that there is too many people that aren't involved in litigation and, of course, being a lawyer for well over 40 years there is an old saying that the wheels of justice turn slow. What has happened in the process, and this is not an ordinary process due to the convoluted method of financing and agreements between the Scranton Parking Authority and the City of Scranton, it's a very unusual situation. It's taken considerable time for the insurance companies to get their arms around the issues as to how to proceed.

It's not a situation where the
Parking Authority Just floated the bond and
the city guaranteed them. There is
agreements involved where the Parking
Authority financed the parking garages and
as a result of that to get the financing the
City of Scranton pledged it's full faith and
credit and taxing power to the bonds.

Then what happened is there is a lease agreement that existed to this day between the Parking Authority and the City

of Scranton that confuses the issue and then an operating agreement back from the City of Scranton to the Scranton Parking Authority. The thing is very convoluted and its taken time for the attorneys for the insurance companies to review these documents and get a game plan.

One thing that has happened about
two or three weeks ago is that the insurance
companies had Bank of New York Mellon
replaced as the trustee with Wells Fargo.
That was their decision. They wanted a
trustee in that they could work with and
that would really enforce the provisions of
the agreements, and they made that decision.
That is step one.

Step two is that they are going be to be exercising their remedies very shortly. They have informed the mayor and the Parking Authority of that and it's their opinion and what they are going to seek to do is that the garages must be run by an independent professional and when they come in and they act the existing management of the Parking Authority will be gone and they

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to administer the parking garages.

They will hire an operator and all of the foolishness and shenanigans that have been going on for years will be stopped.

And I can tell you that it's imminent and it's going to happen, and I know I repeatedly stated at these meetings that when the default letter went out that they had 30 -- the Parking Authority, it was determined the Parking Authority had 30 days to respond, I believe that was July 7, which was a Saturday, which would then give them to the 9th. The insurance companies just couldn't act from the 9th until today and do it properly. They don't want any glitches, they don't want any -- to have any -- let's say, leave any stone unturned. They want all of the I's dotted and all the T's crossed, but I can assure council, I can assure the public, and I can assure the Parking Authority that something is going to happen very shortly and there is going to be a new sheriff in town over at the Parking Authority. So that this is what's happening now, this is what's going to happen.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to the I guess, I could comment later on the legislation that is before council tonight, it doesn't seem that the Parking Authority really has a handle -they don't even know their own finances. There is a disagreement now that between PNC and the Bank of New York Mellon as to what the actual figure is. PNC states that it's over 4.2 million. The trustee states that it's 3.2 million. How this occurs Attorney O'Brien, who is the new solicitor, he doesn't know. He has ideas that, in fact, that right now he has informed me that PNC does not even want to talk to him, which is a very pathetic situation.

I have empathy for him because I know exactly what he is going through because two years ago or a year half ago when I tried to get information out of Bank of New York Mellon on the Parking Authority they ran me all around and then they refused to give it on a recommendation of the Parking Authority, and one of the things that I asked for in my October 17 letter to Mr. Scopelliti, I think it was Item No. 3, I

have it here, I could look at it, was the balances in all of these accounts. He never responded to the letter and there is many accounts, that service reserve account is one of them. I don't know what all of the agreements say, but I know that there has to be a minimum amount usually to cover the bond payments. The bond payments right now are about \$3.3 million per year in increase.

Based on my knowledge of finance and agreements and contract law that if the requirement is that it's \$3.3 million but there is an excess in there of \$1 million to bring it up to \$4.3 million that the accounts are over funded and the question is when did had occur?

I mean, if the Parking Authority had the money and they had it in that account in June of 2012, they could have taken it out because it's not restricted, it's not restricted funds that are required by the agreements to fund a debt service reserve fund. It's over funded. And certainly what would have happened is that they could have made the payment instead of the city making

the payment. If that were there, there wouldn't be a contempt action in Courtright now because the Parking Authority would have made the payment, the city would have had an extra million dollars and they could made the payroll.

This is why in my opinion that the demand has to be made by resolution, it's going to be legally enforceable. If the mayor vetoes it, hopefully council will override his veto. If the funds aren't received then a resolution states that the solicitor will sue, shall sue the Parking Authority to get the money back that rightfully belongs to the city, because we have to be reimbursed for that money.

That's pretty much where we are. I would state, and I did have something else here and I don't know when I should comment, but it's that, you know, the mayor talks about council's budget, council's budget, but I think that the public should be aware, and these are all audited figures from the city's audits, that in 2001 the principal and indebtedness of the city was

\$35,925,000. Of that, the Scranton Parking Authority indebtedness was \$8,265,00. That was a guarantee. If they never defaulted, the city would never would have had to pay, so you can look at it and say the city's debt in 2001 was \$27,665,000.

The 2010 audit, and this council has only been in there I believe at that time for one year, when that audit came in the total indebtedness of the city was \$177,792,000. It was an increase of almost 500 percent. Of that, the Scranton Parking Authority was \$51,931,000. It went from 8 million to 51 million that the city guaranteed.

If you back out the Parking

Authority debt, the actual debt that the city increased in nine years went from \$27,600,000 to \$125,861,000. That's just principal. You add interest in. In 2010 the interest that would have been payable on that debt to maturity would have be \$39,700,000 of which the Parking Authority was \$6,800,000. That means that the total interest that would have been payable in

2010 to maturity was \$32,879,000.

As of 2010, the total interest on the city's debt, including the Parking Authority, was \$113,452. You back out what the Parking Authority interest is, \$49,975,000, it means that the city's debt on its -- the city's interest on its debt was \$65,477,000.

You put the total together of principal and interest in 2001 the total principal and interest including the Parking Authority was \$75,667,000. You back out the SPA of \$16 million it means that the city in 2001 was indebted, just the city debt was \$59 million with principal and interest.

As of December 2010, the total \$291,000. You back out the -- I mean, \$291,000,000. That's a Freudian slip of the tongue. You back out the \$99 million of the Parking Authority, it means that the city's total debt in interest was \$191,250,000. So in a period of nine years the debt of the city, the debt and interest, went up by over 300 percent.

You put all of these figures

together of principal and interest that all has to come out of a budget item to be paid. That's where the a good portion of the money is going to pay this debt. At least it didn't have to be paid on the Parking Authority. The Parking Authority is to the City of Scranton what the incinerator is to the City of Harrisburg. It put the City of Harrisburg into bankruptcy.

The Parking Authority right now that the city came up with \$1 million, between now and the end of the year the payments that are going to be due are going to be close to \$3 million. I mean, another -- there is going to be another almost \$2 million. There is only \$600,000 left in the contingency account but that's not money that's available. The bond payment September 15 is close to \$900,000.

I have no idea what the Parking
Authority, how much money they have, but
assuming hypothetically that the Parking
Authority could come up with \$250,000 for
the September 15 bond payment and they look
to the city to come up with the \$650,000,

there is only \$600,000 budgeted, so there not enough money in the budget even if the money were there. Then there is no budgeted money for the payment in December.

That's how bad this thing is. If you think the country of Greece is in bad shape kicking the can down the road the City of Scranton ain't far behind, and that's the reality of the situation with the Scranton Parking authority. That's why the insurance companies they are going to move and they are moving very shortly and very quickly. I have nothing further.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. Today I spoke with Mr. Hasham, who
is no longer interested in renting retail
space in the Medallion garage despite the
article published in today's Scranton Times
stating that the Parking Authority moved a
step closer to filling retail spaces in its
garages, Mr. Hasham is withdrawing his
letter of intent.

Also, in response to a Right-to-Know request of June 11, 2012, filed by the city clerk, the Scranton Parking Authority

submitted expenditures and receipts of expense accounts to the Office of City Council on July 20, 2012. The documents begin with March 2003, since the Parking Authority stated that it doesn't have any records of expense accounts for the years 2001 and 2002.

Mr. Caterino, the open records officer of the SPA, also stated that the expense account from January 2003 to January 2008, was that of Mr. Wintermantle while the account of February 2008 to the present is that of Mr. Scopelliti. He further stated that the only expense paid to Mr. Scopelliti during this period was a \$150 monthly car expense. Because the language is unclear regarding the payment to Mr. Scopelliti, I would ask for a letter to Mr. Caterino from the Scranton City Council.

Mrs. Krake, council requests the following clarification on or before August 1, 2012: Is Mr. Scopelliti paid a monthly car expense in addition to his use of an SPA credit card for monthly gas expenses?

After reviewing the records, I

learned that a credit card was issued by
Penn Security Bank and Trust Company at a
14.80 percent annual percentage rate with a
credit limit of \$5,000 initially and upgrade
to \$7,500.

In addition, there are months missing from the records for 2003, 2005, 2006 and 2007.

From 2003 to February of 2008, the card was used largely for hotels and car rentals, lunches with SPA board members, the mayor, the assistant city solicitor, construction representatives and SPA staff, two florists and purchases of telephones and cameras at Office Max, Sam's Club, and this is very interesting, Life Style Fascination for two dummy cameras, among others.

The records and receipts document hotel stays at the Westin Hotel Long Beach, California, and the Hyatt Hotel in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Local meals at the Banshee, Smilers

Bar and Grill, Cafe Classico, Smith's,

Brick's, Hilton food and beverages,

Trolley's, Taste of Italy, Carnone's Italian

Deli, La Trattoria, Sibio's, Scanlon's,
Molly Brannigan's, Abe's Deli, Cosgrove's
Clubhouse and the Loading Dock Bar and Grill
as well as a pizza delivery from Bella Pizza
for \$82.62. Meals at the Rock Bottom 1072,
Parer's Lighthouse and Sports Legions in
Long Beach, California, and the Gumbo Shop
and Court of Two Sisters in New Orleans.

From 2008 forward purchases include flags from Cal ideas and one other. A camera from Sam's club in February of 2008 for \$147.81 and again in April 2010 a Nikon Cool Pics digital camera for \$129.00, edible arrangement for \$68.90, soda and cups, and a Dunlop ATV tire from Bike Bandit for \$256.15 and, of course, frequent gasoline purchases.

Now, it is difficult to obtain a valid tote of expenditures from 2003 to the present because, as I noted previously, many months of records and receipts are missing. In addition, I did not include the gasoline purchases from February 2008 through the present. In the event that gasoline bills are the car expenditures of Mr. Scopelliti that Mr. Caterino refereed to its cover

letter to city council.

If, indeed, Mr. Scopelliti is paid \$150 monthly for car expenses, the gasoline that he has charged to the credit card is another perk he was given in addition to his \$83,000.

An approximate total of the expense account of the Scranton Parking Authority is \$12,320. Again, I must caution that this figure does not include Mr. Scopelliti's regular gas purchases for four years, 2008 through 2012, and the records and receipts of the missing months.

Obviously, it is quite rewarding to be a management employee of the Parking Authority. Yet, in 2011 while the SPA informed city council that it was unable to meet it's financial obligations for 2012, the executive director continued to receive car expenses of \$150 monthly and free gasoline.

The City of Scranton backed the SPA borrowing with its taxing abilities several years ago as per the bond agreement and the SPA must submit an annual budget to city

council for it's approve. The report of these expense accounts clearly demonstrates the need for an annual line item budget to be submitted to city council by the Scranton Parking Authority rather than the three-page covert document it produces. Expense accounts and car allowances require pre-approval in a budget, but the SPA spent freely for all of these years without the knowledge and approval of city council and Scranton taxpayers.

Furthermore, for several purchases made since February 2008 the Scranton Parking Authority used City of Scranton requisition forms. In a best case scenario, it used these forms internally, although, they are proprietary to the city. But why? For example, the Office of the Scranton City Council wouldn't use the letterhead from Penn Security Bank. A worst case scenario is that these forms were used to obtain purchase orders and funding from the city.

Next, although Mayor Doherty
promised that the RFP for a parking meter
program would be advertised this past

weekend, no such ad appeared in the Scranton Times. This is revenue he continues to ignore.

In addition, I spoke with him this week regarding the collection of wage taxes by Berkheimer and delinquent collection by the Scranton Tax Office. In compliance with state law, Berkheimer should collect 2.4 percent rather than the prior standard of 1 percent and should submit wage tax reports to the tax office to better enable it to aggressively collect delinquencies.

Further, when we meet tomorrow I will ask Mayor Doherty to allow the city employee who previously handled rental registration successfully to work with LIPS to get the program running again and offer the assistance of city clerk Nancy Krake and Cathy Carrera, both of whom possess years of similar work experience and volunteered to use their knowledge to help implement the parking tax. I thank both of these professional, conscientious women for stepping up to the plate during this crisis.

The mayor has not tapped in-house

resources to generate revenue for our city. The implementation of a parking meter program, appropriate collection of earned income taxes on delinquencies and enforcement of the rental registration program and the parking tax would provide much needed revenue and should be addressed immediately. When employees work together much is accomplished as was demonstrated by the June Treasurer's tax sale, the first such sale since Mayor Doherty assumed office.

Next, as I reviewed the contracts for Scranton police and fire I noted the attorneys fees that must be paid by the city in 2012. Since these fees were a direct result of labor unrest, failure to negotiate in good faith from 2008 through 2012, and numerous Court battles which lead to the Supreme Court chambers all of which, all of which occurred at the urging of the State Department of Community and Economic Development and it's appointee, the Pennsylvania Economy League, I do not believe that our taxpayers should be handed

that bill.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, I ask you to send a letter to DCED secretary C. Alan Walker, requesting that DCED remit payment of attorney's fees for the Supreme Court hearing and the years 2008 through 2012 in which it opposed contract settlements between the city and its public safety unions to the City of Scranton.

It was DCED Attorney Clifford Levine who presented the city's case before the Court and such action demonstrates the full involvement of DCED in this matter. Do I have the agreement of my colleagues?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, certainly.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. IF DCED has the authority and financial ability to buy a revised Recovery Plan for \$2.25 million, it then should have the authority and ability to pay the attorney's fees at once.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week. A letter to the DPW letter head, an abandoned and condemned property at

1913 Clearview Street is highly overgrown and neighbors are asking the DPW to address this eyesore ASAP particularly since the DPW was previously notified.

City residents report that the corner of Wyoming Avenue and Linden Street is totally overgrown. This eyesore is visible to visitors during first Friday events and will be viewed by thousands during the fast approaching Latino and Italian festivals.

Neighbors report a vacant lot at the corner of Olive Street and Mineral Avenue is highly overgrown. Please clear ASAP.

I will submit the remainder of the citizens requests directly to our office since they are quite lengthy. That's it.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I was just thinking when you read off all those expenditures on the taxpayers' dime by the Parking Authority, and with council's agreement if we can send a letter to the Auditor General and the Attorney General to look into these to see if there was any fraudulent use, and also many restaurants

were listed and I would like to know if they were drinking alcohol on the taxpayers' dime as well because, you know, a lot of businesses will reimburse food, but the question remains were they drinking on our dime as well? So if everyone is in agreement I think it would be a good idea to send those letters as well.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Now, I know that in some cases the receipts will be itemized and they will show, you know, they aren't the case where each of the bills, but ion some cases it will itemize what was ordered during the meal.

MR. ROGAN: I know --

MS. EVANS: At those times I see that it would have been sodas and ice tea. Other bills, though, are not itemized and for some expenditures there are no bills whatsoever.

MR. ROGAN: And I do know, for instance, say Olive Garden or wherever you go for dinner and you pay with a credit card you get two receipts. You get an itemized receipt and then you get a second one that just lists the total and you write in the tip on that receipt for the waiter or waitress.

MS. EVANS: They are many like that where the tip is written in and that's not itemized.

MR. ROGAN: And we have no idea what they are spending on, you could have went to, you know, Molly Brannigan's, where they were open for food, but the entire tab could have been alcohol, so hopefully the state will look into that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. ACCEPTING A

DONATION OF ONE HUNDRED (\$100.00). DOLLARS

FROM BEN WEITSMAN & SON OF SCRANTON, LLC

PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE

DEPARTMENT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be

1 introduced into its proper committee. 2 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 3 MR. JOYCE: Second. 4 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ι would like to thank Ben Weitsman and Sons of 5 Scranton for their generous donation to the 6 7 City of Scranton Fire Department. 8 All those in favor of introduction 9 signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 13 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE 16 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE 18 CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A COOPERATION AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE 19 20 REHABILITATION OF THE FORMER NORTH SCRANTON 21 JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL LOCATED AT 1539 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA BY GOODWILL 22 23 INDUSTRIES OF NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA, INC. 24 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be

introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just like to reiterate my support for this project.

The North Scranton Junior High School is one of the most beautiful buildings in the city driving up Greenridge Street. You know, I really am looking forward to driving up the street and seeing a beautiful building lit up and I know that the neighbors in North Scranton are very upset that they have been living next to that eyesore for decades now, so hopefully this project will finally actually come to be.

MS. EVANS: And I believe that this legislation is involving the RACP grant in the amount of \$4 million in connection with the rehab of North Scranton Junior High, so the city would be entering into a cooperation agreement I think to oversee that particular grant, but the city is not awarding that money.

MR. ROGAN: No, no.

1 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the 2 question? All those in favor of 3 introduction signify by saying aye. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE 10 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE 11 CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A MORTGAGE 12 ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT AND TO EXECUTE ANY AND 13 14 ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE ASSUMPTION OF MORTGAGE BETWEEN NORTH 15 SCRANTON PARTNERSHIP, L.P. AND GOODWILL 16 17 INDUSTRIES OF NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA AND THE FURTHER ASSUMPTION OR ASSIGNMENT OF THE 18 MORTGAGE BETWEEN GOODWILL AND 1539 NORTH 19 MAIN LP. 20 21 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 23 introduced into its proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

25

MS. EVANS: On the question? 1 those in favor of introduction signify by 2 3 saying aye. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. 10 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. A RESOLUTION 11 DEMANDING SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY IMMEDIATELY REMIT ALL EXCESS FUNDS FROM THE 12 13 RESERVE BOND ACCOUNT UP TO \$1,023,000.00. 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 15 16 introduced into its proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes. Just a brief 21 comment on what Attorney Hughes mentioned earlier, and, you know, just thinking what 22 23 he mentioned and thinking back to the caucus 24 we had, when Attorney Hughes was asking 25 questions and all of us were asking

1	questions and every time Attorney Kelly
2	would say, "Don't answer that, this is a
3	legal question. Don't answer that, this is
4	a legal question," well, I think we found
5	out why he didn't want them to answer any
6	questions because they lied to the city.
7	They lied to the city taxpayers.
8	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
9	question? All those in favor of
10	introduction signify by saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MR. ROGAN: I'll finish my comments
18	on that next week.
19	MS. EVANS: Oh, I thought you were
20	finished.
21	MR. ROGAN: It's okay. No, it's
22	okay. Not a problem.
23	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO
24	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
25	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A has been tabled.

7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 1 RULES - RESOLUTION NO. 29, 2012 (AS AMENDED) 2 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT 3 4 AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND FIRE FIGHTERS LOCAL UNION NO. 5 60 OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE 6 7 FIGHTERS (IAFF). 8 MS. EVANS: What is the 9 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Community Development? 10 11 MR. ROGAN: That was 7-A, tabled. 12 MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, yes. As 13 Chair for the Committee on Rules, I 14 recommend final passage of Item 7-B. MR. ROGAN: Second. 15 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 17 call, please? 18 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 19 20 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 21 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 22 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 23 24 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 25 MR. JOYCE: Yes.

	112
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
4	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
5	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - RESOLUTION NO.
6	30, 2012 (AS AMENDED) - RATIFYING AND
7	APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND
8	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND E.B. JERMYN
9	LODGE NO. 2 OF THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF
10	POLICE.
11	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
12	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-C.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
16	call, please?
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
24	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. If there is no further --

MR. HUGHES: If I could make one statement.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. HUGHES: I thought it was going to come up, as everyone knows there is a hearing Tuesday at 10:30 before Judge Barrasse regarding the contempt action of the unions against the mayor. Last Tuesday there was -- actually a hearing was supposed to take place last Tuesday and it was continued to this Tuesday so that the city could come up within 48 hours to provide Thursday with all of the documentation that the unions requested.

Mrs. Evans called me about -- it was a question regarding what Judge Barrasse's order was and about council being there. I called Judge Barrasse's chambers, they said the order was being drafted. I received it on Tuesday afternoon. I looked at the case that Judge Barrasse cites, Mulligan vs.

Piczon and his authorities to bring council

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before the Court at that hearing. I researched that, I looked at the local rules

of civil procedure that he cited 212-F and

also the Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal

Procedure 215 and it's my opinion that this

has to be challenged for several reasons,

one is that we do to the have an

opportunity, nobody was present and nobody

had an opportunity to be heard because

council is not a party to the litigation we

are not a defendant, we are not brought in

as additional defendant, we did not file a

petition into intervene, we have no

knowledge, and I put this forth in the

motion, that council has no knowledge, never

acquiesced as to what the mayor did by fiat,

he did it unilaterally on his own without

consultation with the unions and in my

opinion in violation of the Administrative

Code and the Home Rule Charter, and the

biggest issue is that with the Piczon case

is that was a medical malpractice case that

involved the CAT fund that hospitals and

physicians pay into.

In accordance with the local rules

and also the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil
Procedure that they were the ones who were
controlling and had a very integral part in
this settlement, that's why they were
ordered to be involved in the pretrial
conference which they refused to attend,
they reused to attend the trial. As a
result, the judge found them in contempt and
fined them on a daily basis, which the
Commonwealth Court upheld and which the
Supreme Court also upheld on the basis that
they were an instrumental party to the
settlement. Council has absolutely nothing
to do with this settlement or has no
settlement power.

In addition to that, and I put forth in a motion for reconsideration of this order, I said if any council person wants to appear voluntarily they certainly can, but to order all five council persons to be present they can take no action, it's a violation of the sunshine law, so that there is going to be -- a motion is going to be heard before Judge Barrasse Monday morning at 9:00, hopefully he will reconsider the

order in accordance with the rules I had to give him a proposed order which states that the council -- that he would revise his order. I deleted certain words from it where the council is mandatory must attend to council persons can voluntarily attend, but if they do they cannot be engaged in any settlement negotiations. That would result in a violation of the Sunshine Act.

So I just wanted to state pubically before council tonight that in the event that the judge denies my motion and you would be ordered to be there on Tuesday morning at 10:30, but since all five council persons are present, and I don't see how it what would could be settled to me it's -- I have never seen the complaint, I have never seen the petition for contempt, we have never seen any of the pleadings, so that it would be that any discussion, and I don't what the discussion would be, but council cannot -- more than two members of council can't cannot enter into any discussion with the Court or anything with settlement as a violation of the Sunshine Act, and that's my

legal opinion on that and certainly we have nothing to do with this. And hopefully the judge will mold it, will revise his order to state that council will be there voluntarily.

I also said that with the pending case that the mayor has against council that there is -- the Court cannot, what we call collateral estoppel, cannot order council to appear and to enter into any type of settlement negotiations. It's a separation of the doctrine of separation of the governmental powers and that's the basis of our preliminary objections to the mayor's action against council. I have appellate cases on that that the Court cannot award -- cannot order council to take action that's legislative in nature. I'll present that to the Court on Monday, also.

I will inform council Monday after
the judge -- you know, after we are in
motion court as to what the judge's opinion
is, but thank you. I just wanted to explain
that to you before you adjourned.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much,

		118
1	Attorney Hughes. I'll entertain a motion	to
2	adjourn.	
3	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
4	MS. EVANS: This meeting is	
5	adjourned.	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ability.

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER