		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, JULY 12, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GESTOTAL COURT DESCRIPT	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		
ı		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not present)

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 11 MR. JOYCE: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MR. JOYCE: Proceed with the reading 14 of the minutes. MS. KRAKE: Mr. Joyce, I believe 15 16 this evening we have a little presentation 17 for Mr. Jackowitz. 18 MR. JOYCE: Yes. Thank you. Tom 19 Dennis, president of the Northeast 20 Pennsylvania Charter Property and Casualty 21 Underwriting Society, CPCU, is here to 22 present a \$500 check to Bill Jackowitz, 23 cochairman of the "Kids Swim Free Program" 24 at Nay Aug Park in Scranton. This year, it 25 cost the residents \$5 to swim at Nay Aug

Park and this donation is being used to benefit those who cannot afford to pay the fee.

MR. JACKOWITZ: At this time I would like to call Mr. Tom Dennis up to the podium. Mr. Tom Dennis called me and his organization is kind enough and generous enough to present the \$500 check for the "Kids Swim Free" program and the people in Scranton know that we have been running this program for six years, this will be our sixth year, and the prices at the pool have gone up to \$5 this year, so this will pay for 100 children in the city to swim for one day at Nay Aug Park and we really appreciate it.

Tom, do you have anything you would like to say?

MR. DENNIS: I just want to say we are a nonprofit organization that supports insurance education and professionalism, but also community service. We have a very limited budget, but we felt that this was a true cause that we believe in helping keep the kids off the street and have some fun

1	going to the swimming pool this summer, so
2	that's all.
3	MR. JACKOWITZ: Thank you.
4	MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Now,
5	Mrs. Krake, dispense with the reading of the
6	minutes.
7	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. AUDIT
8	STATUS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF JUNE,
9	28, 2012.
10	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
11	If not, received and filed.
12	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. FINAL COPY OF THE
13	2012 ROUTINE BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT FOR
14	THE STRUCTURES OWNED BY THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON.
16	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
19	REPORT, APPEAL HEARINGS FOR JULY 25, 2012.
20	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
23	REGULAR MEETING OF THE SCRANTON HOUSING
24	AUTHORITY HELD JUNE 4, 2012.
25	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
	T Company of the Comp

If not, received and filed.

MR. JOYCE: Do we have any clerk's notes, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: I have one this week.

This was in our mailbox. Karen Foster from the West Scranton Neighborhood Watch called today. She would like to invite all city workers and their families to a free block party. It's going to be held at the Jackson Street Skate Park July 21 and 22 from 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. There will be live music, refreshments and a skateboard competition.

If you have any questions, Karen can be reached at 878-7368 or by e-mail at HydeParkWatch.org. Thank you. Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a couple.

On July 14 the Fraternal Order of Eagles,

Lodge 314, is having their fifth annual

ribfest serving from 2 to 6 p.m. for the

price of \$15. Tickets can be purchased by

calling Stella at the club 961-5495 or her

cell phone at 814-3069. That Eagles' Lodge is on Railroad Avenue off Luzerne Street if anyone is interested.

Also, the Antique Car Club is holding a car show, the 41st annual antique car show this Sunday, July 15, at the Allied Service Axo Salt grounds in Clarks Summit and corporate sponsor is Road Scholar Trucking. There is usually 400 antique and collector cars and major -- 100 percent of its profit goes to the Childhood Cancer Foundation. And that is, again, on the 14th-- or the 15th, I'm sorry, I don't see the file here. This was an e-mail that I received.

And last, but not least, I'm going to read this letter in it's entirety, I read it several weeks ago, it's for "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance." We received this back in the May, May 7, 2012.

"To whom it may concern: I'm writing to request your support for "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance." This fundraiser has been organized to benefit Jim Lance and his family after a recent tragedy. On April

22

23

24

25

20, 2012, Jim went for a motorcycle ride to clear his mind. With the daughter graduating high school and his wife, the love of his life, fighting terminal cancer to make it to her graduation he had much on No one could have predicted the events of that unforgettable evening. Jim was in an accident that almost claimed his live. After several lifesaving surgeries, Jim is still with us, but has lost his left leg. Jim and as family are thrilled to know he will recover. He will make it to his daughter's graduation and he will be will to support his wife while she is ill. The road to his recovery, however, will be a long and expensive one. Jim will be transferred to a rehab center, he will need to learn how to walk with a prosthetic leg, his home will have to be altered to accommodate a wheelchair and he will not be able to return to work for some time, if at all.

In an effort to help ease the financial burden, the community of the North Scranton rallied together to support the Lance family in their time of need. "Give

Jim Lance a Second Chance," will occur on
July 14 from 5 to 10 p.m. at the Holy Rosary
Center on West Market Street in Scranton.
It will be an event to remember. There are
a few other communities with as much love
and support of its members like that of
North Scranton and Scranton and general.

We are inviting you to embrace this in opportunity to show the community, you too care about the well-being of its members. I hope you will consider donating to this fundraiser in any form. Donations could be made payable to the "Jim Lance Fund." Your business will be recognized on signage at the event as well as on social networking sites and other advertisements for the event. If you have any questions, please feel free to call 575-6752. Thanking you in advance for your support, Nicole Lance, niece of Jim Lance."

And the unfortunate story since I read this letter originally is that his wife has passed away, so it's even a harder situation, so I would hope everyone gets up to Holy Rosary on the 14th from 5 to 10 and

supports the family of Jim Lance. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: We received an important letter today from DCED, Department of Community and Economic Development, and I just wanted to read that letter out loud. It's regarding emergency financial aid, and it was addressed to Mrs. Evans and Mayor Doherty.

"As indicated in my letter of July 9, 2012, to Mrs. Krake, I had strongly urged the city council and the mayor to begin discussion on proposals that will result in the adoption of a revised Recovery Plan that provides fiscal stability to the city.

Furthermore, I had previously indicated that the Department would be willing to provide services of the mediator to facilitate the process in reaching a consensus. We have been carefully following the events regarding the current financial crisis and in light of the existing situation the Department is prepared to offer the following assistance to the city.

The department will provide services

of a third party professional mediator to facilitate the process of reaching an agreement on a fiscally balanced Recovery Plan. This mediation will take place at a mutually agreed upon location. The negotiation of terms of the amended Recovery Plan will be exclusively the responsibility of city council and the mayor and subject to the Pennsylvania Economy League's, PEL's, judgment as to the feasibility of the proposed amendments. PEL and DCED representative will be available at the mediation to provide necessary input.

Upon city council's adoption of a revised Recovery Plan, the Department will provide the following financial assistance to the city: Authorization for a 0 percent loan of \$2 million to be used for the payment of the current expenses to the city to be repaid as follows, a \$1 million shall be repaid within 30 days of settlement on the unfunded debt borrowing contemplated by the city in its 2012 operating budget, and \$1 million o be amortized over a yet determined period. Authorization for a

\$250,000 grant to be used for the payment of such current expenses.

Given the critical cash flow pressures the city is faced with, the above is further conditioned on having an acceptable Recovery Plan agreed to no later than August 1 and enacted by ordinance by August 15.

I look forward to receiving a favorable response to offer to facilitate the city's efforts to remedy the city's current and projected financial position. The adoption of an amended Recovery Plan that will provide the city financial stability into the future is critical in this effort. The administration hopes that the offer of the financial assistance in the city will provide some relief to your fiscal distress and enable the city to continue to provide vital and necessary services to the City of Scranton. C. Alan Walker, secretary."

And this is something that all council members were copied on and with my colleagues' agreement I would like to have a

public meeting at a time that's conducive to everyone schedule with the mayor and DCED moderators to discuss a revised Recovery Plan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: That's what I have been saying for two months. That's good news.

Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Same.

MR. JOYCE: Sounds good. Does anyone else have any further announcements that they would like to make?

MR. ROGAN: I would just make a brief comment on the letter while we are discussing it now, and I am very hopeful that the mayor will sit down with council and negotiate in good faith. We know his track record in that area has been bleak. The mayor has to understand by this point in time that this city council is not going to pass a 78 percent tax increase. There are many other ideas for generating revenue that council has. Some I disagree with my colleagues on, some we agree on, but that's what negotiating is. You don't get

everything you want. I understand going into negotiations with the mayor and my colleagues that it's not going to be Pat Rogan's plan, and I think everyone on here agrees that it's not going to be their plan, it's going to be the city's plan and that's what we need, something that's fair for the taxpayers.

The idea of the mayor sending a plan that has a 78 percent tax increase down and never even shows up to city council to explain the rhyme or reason why he didn't consider any other options is typical of what the mayor has done over the past ten years. I am hopeful with all of the media attention that has been placed on the city that the mayor will come to his senses and meet with council and negotiate in good faith so we can have a plan and finally get the city in the right direction, and I will comment on it more during motions, but for now that's all I have to say.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I did forget one announcement, I'm sorry. St. Patrick's

1 2 3 Street in West Scranton. 4 5 Loscombe. 6 7 8 PARTICIPATION. 9 10 tonight is John Judge. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 city council for passage? 21 22 23 24 solicitor? 25 MS. KRAKE: No, we have not received

Parish in West Scranton will be hosting their festival this weekend, Friday and Saturday, at the parish grounds on Jackson Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Councilman MR. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER, CITIZENS' MR. JOYCE: Our first speaker MR. JUDGE: Good evening, council. John Judge, President of the Scranton Firefighters' Union. I just have a couple of brief things tonight, two points just to discuss real quick and one question. ratified our contract with the fire department last week and we were wondering if the legislation has been sent down from the city solicitor yet to be put in front of MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake, can you please answer Mr. Judge's question whether legislation has been sent down from the city

that legislation.

MR. JUDGE: Okay, I just wanted to make council aware that those -- I believe the police also ratified their contract so the last step for them to -- for those contracts to take will be for passage by city council, so I know that the city solicitor is obviously busy, and I am hoping that you have that legislation for next week so I will be here to follow-up on that again.

The other comment I have is, and I never got to discuss this simply because of the things that have been happening, \$7.25 an hour and now all the national media attention is on that, but one of the things I want to go back to that I think I could discuss with council, and it was really an issue for us, when the mayor sent back that \$3.1 million to hire new firefighters we are seeing the effects of what that has actually done. We are at 131 firefighters. We were at 150 less than two years ago, about two years ago we were at 150. We are experiencing brownouts on a regular basis.

- -

We had a fire yesterday on Landis Street in West Side and the first due engine was closed again. The second due engine was closed again, engine 4 downtown and Engine 7 on Luzerne. Engine 8 had been relocated to the day to Main Avenue so the Engine 8 firehouse was browned out as well.

This is very concerning. It's response times again, and I'm cautioning everyone once again, I was here at the podium when we had the East Mountain incident. This is going to be the same result. It's just a matter of where and when at this point. It's either going to be in West Side or it's going to be in the Hill Section. It needs to be addressed some how.

That was a fatal mistake for Mayor

Doherty to send back that \$3.1 million.

Camden had received a grant similar and

Camden is in much worse financial shape than

us. They had received that grant to bring

about a number of laid off firefighters and

that mayor down there immediately committed

to keeping them on for an additional year on

that grant. It was not required for the

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grant, this was free money. This wasn't like the old COPS program under Clinton where you had to pay a percentage of the salary or retention requirement, there was nothing. The end of those two years he could have laid off, and I know the Mayor said and I had spoken him and asked him to give me a meeting to talk him out of the sending that \$3.1 million and he did, he listened to me as far as my arguments, but he disagreed. We are going to have at the end of this grant period 40 people that are eligible retirement, and I'm sure historically over the last ten years we have had anywhere between five, eight, ten go every year. Those bodies would be used to backfill those positions as they went. This is just an issue and I want to bring it to council's attention and that's all I'll say on that.

The other issue I saw that the letter from the Secretary of DCED today. I hope this is a great news. Finally maybe all of the pressure and spotlight that's been occurring is getting some movement for

23

24

25

1

the City of Scranton and I hope that this is not just an opportunity for them to hit the ball back in your court and put you on the spot and attempt to hamstring you with passing a Recovery Plan with a 78 percent tax increase. I know you have guys are solid at this point and there is no way a Recovery Plan with 78 percent is getting passed through this council and I think the citizens of Scranton know that as well. just hope that DCED realizes that, PEL realizes, the mayor realizes that, and that this is truly an effort in the spirit of cooperation to get us past this. We need to get past this. It's that simple. Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Charlie Newcomb, Jr.

MR. NEWCOMB: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB: Sometimes there is times where you stay home and sometimes there is times where you got to get off the couch and come down here and I believe

17

18

16

19 20

21

22

23

2425

what's going on in this city is the time for people to wake up. Yesterday I was on my way back from the store and coming up Main Avenue I saw the structure fire that Mr. Judge was talking about and I went down the street to make sure everybody was okay. There was no companies on scene yet. 7, as he stated, was closed, which has been browned out for nearly a week. This engine company is within proximity of less than half a mile to my residence in Keyser Valley. This is unacceptable to me. I came to this podium before we had the SAFER grant and stated the same thing and it went on this administration, as everything else

I don't know what needs to be done, something needs to be done, but it's unacceptable that any engine company is browned out. I called myself to the city hall downstairs to the mayor's office and I was told that these brownouts are occurring because firefighters call off sick and they have vacation days and with the new manning clause they don't have enough men to go

does, on deaf ears.

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around, so my response was, "Well, then apparently we don't have enough firefighters if one or two call off sick the whole company goes down."

I got the same run around as usual and it was a pointless conversation. firefighters I saw yesterday worked very hard, as I have known they have done before. They got paid \$7.25 an hour to fight a structure fire in the City of Scranton in 85 degree heat. Today, I was on vacation this week, today I was out with my family for lunch and I was in the same restaurant which was out of the city and Chief Davis was there with a city resident who owns a business in South Side and who is on the school board. I hope the conversation wasn't because he was being recruited to run for city council, but with that aside, this city is broke, we have \$5,000 in the bank, the firefighters are being paid \$7.25 an hour, the DPW workers are picking up garbage for \$7.25 an hour, the clerical workers are keeping city hall running for \$7.25 an hour and Chief Davis is outside the city having

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lunch in the city vehicle that I'm paying for the gas and have a city resident in the passenger seat that I watched him take back to his business during business hours.

I would much rather the money be put into the fire trucks for gas, God forbid my house was on fire, than to be put in the white van that the fire chief drives and he was on duty at the time. As usual, it's unacceptable and nothing will be done.

The following reasons the City of Scranton is broke: We built a bridge to no where in Nay Aug Park. We have a tree We have a washed out Davis trail. sewer contract that cost over \$6.6 million because the mayor wouldn't listen to We have way too many parking anybody. We spent \$350,000 to tear down the Medallion garage to rebuild another one. have \$600,000 that was never paid back to the City of Scranton by the Ice Box for the DPW complex. We have thousands of dollars in raising to the administration. incompetent people running the Scranton Parking Authority that are making almost

\$30,000 more than the mayor. We pay millions and millions of dollars to lawyers and consultants only to lose all of the cases. We have PEL and DCED who are to me personally incompetent at this point. \$2.2 million dollars gets the city through funding of two pay periods; am I correct?

MR. JOYCE: Approximately two pay periods.

MR. NEWCOMB: And then if you add in the back pay that we owe to city workers we don't have enough; correct?

MR. JOYCE: That could be correct depending on what revenue streams are realized by that time will no longer be given.

MR. NEWCOMB: I would tell DCED where to put that money. We went from surplus to deficits. We have increased budgets by \$22 million while the union employees of the City of Scranton have been paid the same amount of money today as they were if I stood at this podium eight years ago.

We have had ten years of rubber

stamp councils. We have had "Restoring the Pride" signs all over the place. We have had an Electric City downtown with thousands of dollars a months it cost an electric bill to run it. We have had "Summer in the City" signs, remember them, all over the city telling everybody how fantastic Chris Doherty was and what we can do in the summer. Now we have no swimming pools open in the City of Scranton because we can't afford them.

What got me the best today was

Mr. Hazzouri was a former councilman that
sat up there, and I'll finish up, that sat
up there for over 12 years or might have
been 12 years, at least 12 years I should
say, made a quote to the ABC News today,
"Scranton is a great city. It's fantastic
that this mid-Atlantic city is getting in
the spotlight."

First of all, we are in the situation today because of Mr. Hazzouri and the other people that came before him.

Mr. Hazzouri, along with Mr. Pocius and other council people, collect a pension that

the City of Scranton, residents of the City of Scranton went and fought with him to give it back and they refused, so before a former councilman tells me how great a mid-Atlantic city, which we are not mid-Atlantic is, I think you should give your pension back and help out the city. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I think we have to make a determination on -- I mean, there is no doubt there is paralysis in city government and, you know, former Governor Rendell has been on TV, I saw him two or three days ago discussing the situation of Scranton. We can sit here all day and we can condemn everyone, but, you know something, everything that's happened in this city, all of these people were elected by the residents. Mayor Doherty has been reelected a few times. I don't know, councils have basically come and gone. Some members of the council have sat here for a

very long time.

You know, the truth is that all of the legislation came past the council. We have listened for a long time how council had no answers to any of the fiscal problems we were experiencing or they couldn't get answers, and I'm not troubled that we are getting national exposure for this because this just points out how broken government is across this whole country, not just here but in the Congress and the Senate.

I mean, basically you have the same abilities as the Congress and the Senate does. Have you got the powers of subpoena, but you haven't used them. Okay, now, I have been coming here over 20 years and I have listened to everything and to say that the mayor -- and, look it, I don't really get along with Mayor Chris Doherty, but you know something, this city was distressed before he became mayor and there has been mismanagement of this city for so long that all the young people have fled this city for 40 years and when we take a look at what the Scranton Sewer Authority is doing when they

are borrowing they are putting their payments way out in front of them. have a hard time borrowing money because of the amount of senior citizens that are here. I mean, we have to also realize that the council didn't care for SAPA, the Scranton/Abington Planning Association's comprehensive plan. Now, I brought that to council debates and I don't know, nobody wanted to talk about it, but I was prepared to talk about it. This city needs jobs. have gone through all of the neighborhoods, we have condemned homes to make a demand in my opinion for the conversions of office space in the downtown into apartments.

And the one thing that we are really not talking about here in any Recovery Plan is the \$100 million that we owe to the pensions. I mean, really this plan needs to go to the voters to let them decide. They have made very poor decisions in the past. But, you know, we can all talk here about what the solutions are and when the state comes here they are offering us \$2 million they went in a Recovery Plan. No, they are

just trying to hold the residents of this city hostage and they want to push something through this council that really isn't going to benefit the residents because if the residents were being benefited here you wouldn't have "For Sale" signs on houses and all the young people wouldn't be fleeing the city for the last 40 years, all right? Our population was over 100,000 people when I was a child here, now we are down to 70.

And you listen to all of the politicians talk. They are only interested in protecting the bond insurers in my opinion, okay, and I think that it's time to put politics aside, it's time to put a Recovery Plan together, and it's time to present it to the people here and let them make a choice, and I just don't hear enough respect for the residents of this city and, I mean, look it, there is lot of them have just given up on the whole system of voting. That's not a new occurrence.

We have the people who have had -- a lady who came here in the last couple of weeks talking about she is knocking on some

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I mean, you know, a plan has to include everybody and I think we have to realize that the city residents receive low pay, a very vast majority of them, and I'm not sure that they can afford any tax increase, all right, without pointing to the authorities and all of these I don't know -all of these things that take us off the true path and the true path and the question I have is if our elected officials on council didn't use their powers of subpoena for decades and looked the other way then did we really have any representation? Because you know something, Mr. Rogan, you hit it right on the head. We have had one rubber stamp council after another, including this one, okay? I mean, take a look at what's occurred.

Now, I came here and I asked council about the former cages at the Nay Aug Park, I haven't seen anybody prosecuted for that. When are we going to see something? We talk about dangerous dogs, there has been tons of other people that have come and talked about issues. We have pumped hundreds of millions

of dollars into the downtown and nothing in the neighborhoods and blight is in every neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Gary Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: Good evening. I suppose congratulations of some form are in order. The city has successfully blackmailed the stay into funding the financial negligence of this administration. In doing so, we have also managed to turn Scranton into an international news story.

As usual, I am here tonight to talk about the city's financial issues. I want to start with a recap of some scary numbers. We have \$101 million in outstanding city debt. We have more than \$55 million in outstanding authority debt. We owe more than \$90 million to the city pensions. Altogether, we have nearly \$330 million dollars in total long-term obligations and you want to borrow more.

You will need to issue 23 to 25 million dollars in new bond issues in order to repay the state and fund the 2012

deficit. That means by the end of the 2012 you will have about \$130 million in outstanding city debt.

All of this borrowing does not fix the problem. In 2013, we will have a structural deficit of \$10 million. We will have \$8.2 million due in debt service, and that's just for the current debt. We will also owe about \$1.4 million to the unions because of the recent arbitration settlement. We are \$20 million in the hole before we even add in unpaid bills from 2012.

So what's the plan? We have \$2.6 million in net assets as of 12-31-2010, that's the most recent audit available. We have nothing left to sell. The revenue generators that have been discussed, a local sales tax, a commuter tax, a higher wage tax, these all require state approval. We have also talked about a new parking meter system that will bring in about \$300,000.

We can't even manage our current tax system. A few weeks ago there was a gentleman here talking about how he got

charged twice for his garbage fee when he was trying to sell his home. Today the Scranton Times has an article how about 11 people slipped through the cracks and didn't get billed for their garbage fee. We are collecting real estate taxes of less than 87 percent.

I don't trust the city through taxes, you can't even manage what you already have. So I ask again, what are you going to do? You can't borrow and tax your way out of this hole. No one wants to discuss Chapter 9, it's an indelible stain the mayor calls it, but no one else has a plan. You are our community leaders. We look to you to steer the ship of state through this fiscal storm. It's time to stop arguing and grandstanding and going on the national media shows. It's time to do your job. No more borrowing, no more taxes. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. Mr. McGoff, glad to see you could join us tonight on time, I guess there was no baseball game.

First of all, I want a speak about a caller to WILK talk radio yesterday, he said he works in the city but doesn't live here and he watches the council meeting and the host asked if the Legion of Doom still comes to the meeting and he said, "Yeah, they are like the plague, they never go away."

I take great offense to that.

Myself and other people have been coming here year after year after year trying to help this city and we have jerks like this calling, writing letters to the editor making disparaging remarks about us. He want onto criticize the unions and saying how the mayor is bashed weekly. Well, you know what, Mister, the mayor deserves to be bashed weekly, he brought all this upon himself. Why do you a think a few years ago he tried to run for governor and then lieutenant governor and then ended up running for state senator and got his butt

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kicked. He knew that was going to happen, the poop has finally hit the fan and it's come back to bite him in the face and now he is here and he couldn't get out of Dodge and now he is paying the consequences.

He and his rubber stamp councils, including Mr. McGoff, brought this all upon themselves and it proves, like I said, when he tried to get out of Dodge a few years ago, so he deserves everything that is said about him.

Next thing, the article the other day in the Doherty newsletter about the lawyers screwing up the sewer -- about the storm water being sold, the storm water system, it's just another example of incompetence in this administration. Chris Doherty's attorneys didn't know that we couldn't put \$5.4 million in his Recovery Plan because the storm water system can't be sold. The Sewer Authority hasn't been owned since the 1960's. Paul Kelly isn't smart enough to know this. No wonder we are in such bad shape. The mayor is incompetent, his administration is incompetent, that's

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why we are in the shape we are in now.

People have to wake up next year and elect a new mayor and start turning this city around.

Another thing, I almost threw up the other day when I read in the paper about Chief Duffy, soon to be former Chief Duffy leaving. And, Councilman Rogan, you made a quote saying, "Everyone in this city is sad to see him go."

Well, that's not true. I, for one, am not sad to see him go. He lied right to my face about a crime that was committed and was covered up by Chief Elliott, by Ray Hayes and Mayor Doherty, I asked him to look into it, he said he would and he didn't, so he told me a bold faced lie. There is two people who are falsely imprisoned in police headquarters, illegally strip searched, terrorized, they won't -- they are not sad to see him go and I personally know police officers that aren't sad to see him go. you know, when you make comments like that you should talk -- or think before you talk because not everybody is sad to see the

chief go. If there is any cigar smokers in Dunmore and Dickson City they better watch out.

Yesterday there was a crash at

Spruce Street and Franklin Avenue, the

lights are out there, there have been stop

signs up there for a few weeks. What caught

my eye was this crash happened the same time

the fire in West Side, the Dunmore Fire

Department had to respond to this crash. We

have the Dunmore Fire Department showing up

at crashes and our mayor is given back grant

money to hire firefighters. If these cars

caught on fire these people would have been

french fried by the time the Dunmore Fire

Department got there.

This is a tragedy. The mayor has to hire these firefighters back. As Mr. Judge said, there is brownouts all over, fire stations are closing and it's ridiculous. There is going to be a tragedy in this city, like we have said before, and it did come true. This guy has to get on the ball and hire these firefighters and take the \$3.1 million.

One funny thing about this crash, each of the drivers said they thought they had the green light. Those lights have been turned off for weeks, so I think they must have been either drinking something or they were on their cell phones.

Mr. Loscombe, I spoke about this months ago and there was an article in the paper that the Linden Street bridge construction was going to start in July, did you hear anything about that because it's still sitting there and nothing is being done?

MR. LOSCOMBE: The last conversation I had they were bidding out in July for the work and that was it. Like I said, I didn't have a chance, I was going to try and get up there today to get some information because I'm still concerned with Robinson Street, too.

MR. SPINDLER: I have a two quick questions. Since council can't seem to get together with the mayor, you made our own budget, why don't you just come up with your own Recovery Plan?

MR. JOYCE: Well, the Recovery Plan we are hoping to get together with the mayor now that we have all agreed to have a public meeting. We have made suggestions to the mayor for the Recovery Plan, however, not all of those suggestions have been included.

MR. SPINDLER: Lots of luck with that trying to get a public meeting with the mayor. It's not going to happen. One last thing, I know last Saturday was the deadline for the Parking Authority to meet all their defaults and Attorney Hughes isn't here, does anybody know if that happened?

MR. JOYCE: I do not know if that happened, but, Mrs. Krake, can we please ask that of Attorney Hughes.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler, I have the answer, the Parking Authority is still up and running, Mr. Scopelliti still has the position and is still collecting his salary and I will be addressing it further under motions.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin briefly this evening by responding to Steve Corbett, WILK. You know, the last few weeks he has spent the majority of his time on his show chastising the council majority, name calling, calling council members names, saying that the council is dull, they have no solutions, doing every thing he can to paint a rosy picture and make our wonderful mayor look so brilliant.

You know, he also went on to make a comment that I just found totally absurd and that was that Councilman Loscombe was one of the worst councilmen ever and that

Mrs. Evans has no leadership. Just completely comical, but yet again this is the mentality we are dealing with yet he fails to talk facts. He doesn't want to talk facts, he wants to be like the rest of the media in this community, such as the Scranton Times and put a political twist and spin on everything and just mislead the

public, and again, praise the mayor which he has got quite the attention from the media, but with this council and with that camera right there the truth comes out every

Thursday night at 6:30, so they continue to put the spin on it and mislead all they want, but in this chamber we don't lie in here, the truth comes out and I think Steve Corbett needs to get that through his head.

But I did take the other time to call into the show because he did totally disgust me and I felt I needed to go on the show and defend the council with his ridiculous comments and, of course, I was on hold for approximately 34 minutes and he waited up to two minutes before his commercial break to put me on. He knows darn well who I am, I have called him, I've had issues with him the past and I'm not intimidated by him, I'll put him in his place any time, and I did. Of course he didn't like what I had to stay and he cut me right off as has frequently -- he frequently does with other callers, but I told him that it must be real nice to sit behind a

microphone every day and tell us how to run the city and put political spin on everything. And he said, you know, you are a wise guy, you know, mocking me and everything else, but it's the truth. It must be easy to sit behind a microphone just like it must be easy to sit behind a computer or an artist desk and write political cartoons and chastise people and yet not at one time do I recall any of these people coming up this podium like I have and people in the audience who have been coming up here for decades have I seen him coming here and yet Steve Corbett is going to go on the radio and tell us how to run the city.

what you got, what's your solution besides constant banter and silliness. You know, I think the way we need to deal with Steve Corbett is like we deal with a whiny, crying infant, a little child, we give him a little pacifer. We plug it right in the mouth and we shut him right up. Well, I have a pacifier for Steve Corbett. I understand he is out of town, he is in Ireland, he should

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stay there, quite frankly, but take the pacifier and plug his mouth because you know what, nothing relevant or intelligent comes out of his mouth, so what do you do with somebody like that you plug their mouth shut until they have something relevant to say. That's how you deal with Steve Corbett.

So I would say to council you dealing with a complete babbling fool, just stay the course and continue doing what you are doing.

Now, onto some more serious matters tonight, I would like to take the time to address the DCED letter that we received It's my personal opinion that this todav. is just an attempt by DCED and the mayor and the Pennsylvania Economy League to once again manipulate and force council to pass the mayor's Recovery Plan. This time they are offering the city \$2 million and I believe a \$250,000 grant. I truly believe this is just an attempt to buy the mayor's Recovery Plan, buy a 78 percent tax increase, and continue to just take complete shots at the taxpayers of this city, place

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

burdens on them that they just can't afford this time.

You know, we heard tonight that we are going to consider a mediation and we're going to sit down with the mayor and DCED publically. You know, I don't know what, you know, time frame we expect the mayor to come in here, I have been waiting for him for ten years and haven't seen him. only time we have seen him here is when he casts his vote for Councilman McGoff and when he was here begging for mercy for his I believe 40 plus million dollar borrowing plan for the Parking Authority, so if we obviously believe we are going to see Chris Doherty here I think we need to get out of fantasy land, it's not going to happen, and it's my opinion that we should tell DCED to go play because they are not looking out for our best interest, they haven't for the last 20 plus years and their ploy here to handle the \$2 million and think they are doing us a favor is just completely nonsensical. are not looking out for us, they are looking out for themselves, and as I said, we need

to just walk away from them and handle these matters ourselves.

I think it's time that Chris Doherty holds himself accountable, as I said. You know, obviously, as we know, has mismanaged the city tremendously. He is incompetent and he is blaming everybody but himself throughout the whole process. You know, it's truly unfortunate and I know we have made national news recently, as we all know, and it's quite embarrassing to be honest with you because we are the laughing stock of the nation whether we want to believe it or not. Nothing good is coming out of this. Scranton looks bad and we have Chris Doherty to thank for that.

He has gone on TV time and time ago again, he's pointed the finger at council, pointed the finger at the people in the audience, taxpayers, he blames everybody but himself, so I think it's time for Chris Doherty to look in the mirror and, you know, you can say I'm into the props, but, you know, I brought a gift for the mayor, too tonight. You know, he wants to blame

everybody but himself. Well, I would ask
Chris Doherty tonight to come on down and
tell me what you see in here, and we'll get
his answer, and you know what, it's a
two-sided mirror. So you know what, he can
bring his past rubber stamps councils down
and they can look in the other side and they
can tell me what they see in there as well
because they are the ones that have gotten
us to where we are today and we can thank
them for it.

You know, we want to talk about a Recovery Plan, and I'll be real brief here, we want to talk about a Recovery Plan and we want to talk about the banks and last week we had a discussion between Councilman McGoff and Attorney Hughes, and you know I thought Attorney Hughes did a really great job basically summing it up. It is quite simple here, the banks should be willing to sit down and negotiate with the city.

You know, we have been going on and we have been working with a Recovery Plan that's been expired since 2005, and not one time has a Recovery Plan ever been an issue

since 2005. We have gone to banks numerous times to seek borrowing and it's never been and issue and now all of sudden it is, and I do agree with Attorney Hughes there is collusion going on. You know, Mr. McGoff wanted to get into an argument with Attorney Hughes. I guess now all of a sudden he is an attorney now.

It is very simple, it's not complex. We want to make it complex, but it's not. The bottom line is there is collusion, there is an attempt to manipulate the story and point the finger at this council and put all of the blame on you when you have had nothing to do with this and here you are with solutions to a Recovery Plan that you were told were going to be a part of the mayor's Recovery Plan, do we see them in there, No? So you see what kind of man we are dealing with here and nothing is going to change.

So I'd ask you to tell DCED, "Go play, take your money, we don't want it," because they are not looking out for us, they are looking out for themselves and they

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want us to ram this mayor's Recovery Plan down our throats and continue to place burdens on the taxpayers of this city and it's not fair. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
Gerard Hetman.

Good evening, Council. MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. First to follow-up on the request made to me last week by Councilman Rogan regarding the financing for the fireworks that were part of the Scrantastic Spectacular celebration on courthouse square on the evening of July As I provided in response to Mr. Rogan early this week, the fireworks were paid for through corporate sponsorship by Intercom Pocono Northeast as part of their sponsorship package for the show. people asked me, friends of mine, why the giant tookie bird on top of the garage that same evening, so there is your response to that, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you for your quick response, I appreciate you getting an e-mail

2

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the next day. Very prompt.

MR. HETMAN: That's what we are here for. Thanks for your cooperation.

Secondly, your announcement regarding an issue that we have been asked about in our office many times and possibly you folks as well on council, the sale of the former seats from PNC field. Basically, just released from Joseph D'Arienzo, our county communications director, your change to own a piece of the Lackawanna baseball history has arrived. The Lackawanna County Commissioners, the multi-purpose stadium authority announce that the seats from PNC Field will be available for purchase on Saturday, August 4, at the ballpark from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. The seats will be sold as is in quantities of four for \$25 per seat.

In an effort to help the community and its various leagues, the Stadium Authority and Commissioner's office are offering seats fee of charge to the Lackawanna County little leagues and community softball teams that may be in need of riser mounted seats for their facilities.

The organizations must note that the seats being riser mounted this method of installation may not be adaptable for all facilities. While the seats will be gratis, a cost will be incurred to retrofit the seats to their needs unless the group can get a sponsor.

All little leagues or softball teams interested in the free seats should contact the SWB Yankees director of facility operations, Joseph Milano, at 570-969-2255. Leagues and eventual use will be required to show proof that their facilities are in need of the seats. Local teams and leagues will have until Saturday, July 28, to make their request for the riser mounted seats. The groups must pick up the seats by 10 a.m. on August 4. And again, that number for Mr. Milano was 570-969-2255.

In addition, I'd like to make an announcement regarding the 8th Annual Scranton Jazz Festival which will be held the weekend of August 3 through 5 downtown at the Radisson Lackawanna County Station Hotel in the trade shack, quote/unquote.

Scranton taking place on Friday evening and also the Lackawanna County basketball three-on-three tournament on the 100 and 200 blocks of Wyoming Avenue, so it should be a very exciting fun filled energetic evening downtown, as my coworkers in various departments says about most of our events there will be something for everyone. So we have some posters I would like to leave with your office, and again, we always appreciate when we see those posters and those handouts put up outside of the council chambers. I have had several people from the audience tell me, "I saw that event or heard about that event and got the details outside of the chambers," so we do

appreciate with your cooperation with that

and I also do have some pamphlet-sized

There is a number of jazz-related acts

particularly dedicated jazz fan, but for

those who there are a number of names that

This is also all the same weekend we have

both the August edition of First Friday

should be recognized locally and regionally.

playing. I must note that I'm not a

4

3

5 6

8

7

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brochures that we would like to leave maybe with the city clerk and put them someplace in city hall where they would be accessible to the public. We know many people come into the office and I would like to leave that in an accessible position.

Finally this evening, regarding our summer concert series, the next act in our noon concert series will be this Friday, July 13, with the West Third Street Band tomorrow afternoon at 12:00 noon time on courthouse square, and Saturday evening the next one of our Saturday evening concert series is the Bob Monroe School House Rock children's concert taking place from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. on courthouse square, and this evening's courthouse square drive-in movie, which begins at 9:00, will be Mama Mia, and the voting is still open so you can vote for that fifth and final movie at LackawannaCounty.org via the on-line poll. Anything else this evening? Thank you for your cooperation.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

1 Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz. 2 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. 3 South Scranton resident and member of the Scranton Taxpayers' Association, founder of 4 5 the Legion of Doom. Mr. Joyce, yes or no answer, yesterday I was told we had \$1.1 6 7 million in the city budget; is that correct? 8 MR. JOYCE: Yes. We have that in 9 cash, yes. MR. JACKOWITZ: So we went from 10 11 5,000 to 1.2 million? 12 MR. JOYCE: Yes, that is correct. 13 MR. JACKOWITZ: Thank you. 14 Joyce, did Janet Evans call and say why she is not here tonight? 15 16 MR. JOYCE: I did speak to her 17 earlier and she said that she was not 18 feeling well. 19 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, so in other 20 words she was sick? 21 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 22 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, I can live with that. Okay, how did we not know that 23 24 we owned the sewer system? Mr. McGoff, can 25 you tell me that?

MR. MCGOFF: I wasn't involved in 1 it, so I don't know. 2 3 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but you were supporting the mayor's Recovery Plan which 4 5 included selling the sewage, shouldn't you have researched it? 6 MR. MCGOFF: I have no knowledge of 7 8 who owned the Sewer Authority --9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. 10 MR. MCGOFF: -- or water conveyance 11 system. 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand, you 13 were supporting something you had no 14 knowledge of, okay. MR. MCGOFF: And I never I supported 15 16 the mayor's Recovery Plan. 17 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, you sure 18 sounded like you were. Maybe I'm wrong, if 19 I'm wrong the other council members will 20 correct me, but it sounded to me like you 21 have been pushing the Recovery Plan every 22 week. 23 Garbage fees, how did we not know 24 who owes garbage fees and doesn't ow garbage 25 fees, Mr. Loscombe?

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: How do we not know?
2	MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, how do we not
3	know?
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: It was a surprise to
5	me today. That situation was in the KOZ
6	area, so apparently they slipped through the
7	cracks up there.
8	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. Mr. Rogan,
9	how did we not know that the Parking
10	Authority was \$100 million in debt?
11	MR. ROGAN: We did.
12	MR. JACKOWITZ: We had four garages
13	and four ticket readers, how did we not know
14	that the Parking Authority was \$100 million
15	in debt?
16	MR. ROGAN: Well, short answer or
17	long answer?
18	MR. JACKOWITZ: Short answer.
19	MR. ROGAN: The short answer
20	MR. JACKOWITZ: Give the long answer
21	on your time.
22	MR. ROGAN: Sounds good. The short
23	answer is the Parking Authority under Mr.
24	Scopelliti has been very deceptive regarding
25	the financial situation.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. Nonprofits.

My personal opinion the only way we are going to get out of this mess is for the nonprofits to give us money, and I'm not talking about \$300,000 or \$100,000, I'm talking the University of the Scranton first year two million; Lackawanna College, one million; medical school, one million, and we'll go down from there until the City of Scranton gets back on its feet and the mayor needs to push this.

Five years ago I sat in this seat right there -- or, correction, Mayor Doherty sat in that seat right there and I sat in that seat right there, when Judy Gatelli was sponsoring the nonprofit organization committee that she formed and I asked the mayor eyeball to eyeball, "Are you going to support this, Mayor Chris Doherty?"

And he told me, "Yes, he was."

Well, guess what, five years have gone by or maybe six years, I'm not sure on the exact time and he has not supported nothing. So, until -- quite honestly, council, you are spinning your wheels in the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

sand because you are \$100,000 here and you are a million dollars here, it's not going to solve our problems, we need big money.

We need millions for the next couple of years to get back on our feet.

The second thing we need to do is we need to start hiring from outside of the City of Scranton. We are hiring incompetent friends and family members who do not know what they are doing, and I just proved that because I asked you guys questions and every one of those questions we have either a city treasurer or a CEO of the Parking Authority or Redevelopment authority, business administrator who lost \$3 million his first month on the job and found it, and seriously incompetent people running the City of Scranton. We need to hire from outside of the City of Scranton and bring in competent people with new ideas, fresh ideas and turn this city around. Otherwise, we are going to be distressed for another 30 years.

As far as DCED's offer it's nothing but a Band-Aid. \$2.1 million is going to do us absolutely nothing. So, please, do not

13 14

11

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submit to the Stockholm theory, do not give into the hostage takers. Please do not. \$2.1 million is going to solve a temporary problem for a very short period of time, it's not going to help us, as a matter of fact, it's going to make the situation worse because when this \$2.1 million is gone and we have to start paying it back we are not going to have the money to pay it back and we are going to be right back in a worse situation so, please, let's use common College degrees are fine, but common sense is a heck of a lot more important than a college degree will ever be and StreetSmart is much smarter than anything you will ever learn in any University, I'll tell you that right now.

Alex Hazzouri, I couldn't believe
WNEP actually interviewed Alex Hazzouri.
Alex Hazzouri is one of the reasons why we
are in the situation we are in now, and then
on the 6:00 news last night on WNEP they
interviewed a guy walking down the street
talking on a cell phone. The guy had no
idea what the person asking the question he

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is talking about. He is on a cell phone. Go out and interview real citizens of the City of Scranton. Go out and interview real taxpayers, you know, don't interview people who live in the city, interview people who pay taxes in the city. The majority of them are senior citizens, and that includes the national news media, go out there and interview the people who pay the taxes, not somebody sitting on a park bench or somebody walking down the street who has no idea or a clue, they don't even know what a city council is and they probably don't even know we have a mayor. So, I mean, let's use some common sense here. Common sense goes a long way in life, I'm telling you that right now, and that includes the media.

And as far as Nay Aug Park goes,

Mr. McGoff, that's your committee, Parks and
Recreation and DPW, can you tell me who paid
for the fireworks display on Saturday night
at Nay Aug Park?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe part of it was the Recreation Authority and there was a corporate sponsor, I'm not sure who the

corporate sponsor was.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, can you please find out for me, please, and also find out what money the Parks and Recreation used to pay for it? Was it the swimming money, was it the Christmas tree light money? What money did they use or was it tax money? That would be very helpful. And basically that's it. I hope you all have a good day and a good night and I hope you come up with some solutions and solve these problems because I'll tell you what the citizens in this city are disgusted.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, before the next speaker comes up I just want to make one comment on what Mr. Jackowitz said, the fireworks, the big issue last week at the meeting, as we know there were two fireworks displays, we inquired if the county, I asked who paid for them, we also inquired with the Recreation Authority and the city as who paid for those. The county I received an e-mail the next day with an answer. With the ones the Recreation Authority and the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City paid for at Nay Aug we still haven't received a response and this just shows the problem with the lack of communication between with city council on even a simple answer like who paid for fireworks in the city. We haven't received an answer as of yet.

MR. JOYCE: Does anyone else wish to address council?

MS. STULGIS: Good evening. My name is Ann Marie Stulgis. I can't help but -- I didn't intend to speak about this, but after seeing the -- putting the people against the wall, "We'll give you this free money, if you pass a Recovery Plan," we have had a Recovery Plan since 1992. Somebody tell me how we benefited because we weren't \$330 million in debt back then like we are now, so what did a Recovery Plan do? And you can't have a Recovery Plan if you don't have reciprocity. Trust me. I have been dealing with it for eight years. I dealt with the mayor and the Recovery Plan and if you don't have reciprocity he can do anything he wants as he is doing right now at \$7.25 an hour

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having city workers work for that, but the Recovery Plan said no raises for anybody in the city, so he froze police and firemens' wages for '03, '04, '05, '06, '07, '08, '09 and 2010 because a Recovery Plan said, "No wage increases for any city employees."

However, before our former HR director came into office she got a gigantic raise. I believe the first raise was ten grand and she got several more after that.

And part of the problem besides a Recovery Plan that doesn't have any teeth, is that we are a one newspaper town, if you want to call it a newspaper, so you don't find the truth and a perfect example is the fact that we hired that HR Director the Scranton Times had on the front page how he was only hiring qualified people and how are new HR Director had a business degree. Actually, it was a fashion merchandising degree with a class in how to make That's an HR Director. millinery. That's the qualified people that were hired by this administration, and that's why if you don't have reciprocity in a Recovery Plan then the

mayor can do anything he wants, he can violate it, and there is not a darn thing you can do about it. He can only hurt the workers that are working for hourly wage and that's what's going on here for the last 11 and a half years. Believe me.

Now, to speak of our debt, people don't have any clue about what's happened here. When this mayor came into office the first thing he did was open the budget and increase it, and he proceeded to increase it every year thereafter. Remember, he froze police and firefighters wages, but the budget continued to grow and grow and he also borrowed millions. Everybody remember the 47 million he borrowed at one point? The ten another time, a couple of more million here and a couple of more million there, so we are up to \$330 million long-term debt, which before he is done it's going to be a half a billion.

Everyone blames the workers. The workers didn't spend the money. Where did the money go? If the budget nearly doubled and our public safety workers we in a pay

freeze for nine years, where did the money go? It didn't go on equipment. If you go down and look you will find a whole bunch of police cars are owned by the police officers because most of the police cars in this city wouldn't pass a real inspection. The exhaust, people have actually been sickened and had medical treatment because of the exhaust in the police cars. Wheels have fallen off of police cars in the past, they are not safe. We have had brake failures on police cars. He didn't by police cars, that's for sure, maybe he bought one or two, but in 11 years with how many miles we have?

And then you look at what he has done to the fire department. Last week that I counted we had three, if not four days minimum, where this city had three working fire engines. Three to cover 27 plus square miles. Three. It's a recipe for disaster. It's a recipe for disaster. It's a recipe for disaster. So don't buckle, stay strong, because this guy, this is \$2 million they are throwing in your face, they think you can be bought. Don't do it. If you can't get a fair plan, we

don't need it. It didn't do anything for us anyway, he violated it right on through and what good do it do. DCED didn't say anything, PEL only cares about collecting their check from the state, that's all that matters to them. I know, I tried to talk with them. A waste of time.

Just stay strong and make sure you do the right thing for the people and most of us know. Most of us know what's really going on. Good luck.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: I'll bring thi up right away, last week I complained about it, also, on that fireworks business it was not announced. That Park Authority should resign. That's terrible. It's bad enough that we are spending money that the city doesn't have and then turn around and not even announce it so people don't have an opportunity to attend. I was a little tired that day, but I would have attended had I known for sure that it was fireworks up

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there. It's ashame. Now I'm stuck paying the bill. Thank you, Park Authority.

And I'd like to -- oh, first of all, I'm Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes and fees paid. We had an issue and an ordinance passed, 10 percent tax increase and a borrowing of ten million and I would like to know what threw the monkey wrench into that deal which would have basically put us an even keel for this year that would we could consider where we want to go. heard a lot in supermarkets in the last week and it would be to your advantage to go over that because from what I understand then the 76 percent came out, which is really 95 over three years, and all of this other stuff and that's the monkey wrench, so please explain that during motions even if you just spend a minute on it, a lot of people are confused out there.

And I talked to our union steward from the DPW, the tipping fee for recycling is zero dollars, so every pound of garbage that -- or recycling that winds up in the garbage is costing the next guy money.

3

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, that's the kind of the stuff we don't have time for with all of these constant crises and misinformation flying around.

And last week I spoke of an audit, well, what my idea of an audit was is a full audit of the current accounts due or payable and the inaccuracies are and holdbacks are what the problem was. I think that ten years might be too much for a big bite for the situation we are in, but we don't need to find out that somebody borrowed another \$5 million out of this fund or that fund to pay the other fund and because he owed money to the other fund and going back and forth and that's where it all got started with the -- it was spending that you people were not made aware of it and it's just ashame and sadly no Recovery Plan until all of the accounts are in, every last -- it doesn't have to be every last dime, but it certainly can't be, "Well, ooh, I forgot about this four or five million that we owed this guy or that guy or the next guy, and we owe Municipal Energy Management Fund."

And, you know, it's goes on and on and on, but we really need time to sit down and get this straight and then whatever tax increase has to be has to be, and since a basic fact since '07 the working class in this country, say people under 50 grand a year or so or making quite a bit more, have lost 40 percent of their worth through pensions, losses and housing value losses and so forth and since 1970 the upper working class has lost 30 percent and the lower working class has lost 60 percent, and many of these households have negative worth.

And it's just -- I don't know where the money is going to come from with those people. I mean, some of us are treading water, but treading water is okay and a rising tide floats all ships, but if you are treading water you just drown all the faster with the rising tide.

And shame on the sewer. And the parking meters, I don't know what the intelligence or what the reasoning of selling the Parking Authority, our meters

with money that they are going to give us that we are going to owe anyway. What's the point? It's just unimaginable. It's just really ashame, but there is too much going on here and we have to hold our ground, and I apologize to all of the city workers that aren't getting their paychecks, but at some point it's just going to get worse unless it gets corrected.

And I'll make it quick, the golden parrot goes out to once again the bankers that lost \$30 million in our public safety pension funds and now you are not good enough to loan any money because you don't have any cash value. Bawk, bawk.

And Mike Turzai by once again announcing that this voter ID was just a ploy to disenfranchise voters. Shame on him. Have a good night. Bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Does anyone else wish to address council? Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie, you handsome devil, you. Well, jack, those guys are working, they are a doing a good job in this city. They do a good job. Keep it up

1 down there. Thank you. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chrissy. 3 MR. VITRIS: Okay, my name is Sam Vitris. I have a question, and I think we 4 5 have to answer it, and I think all of you people have to answer this question. 6 are we broke? What's the question? 7 Why are 8 we broke. Quick answer, Mr. Rogan? 9 MR. ROGAN: I would say we are broke 10 because over the past few decades the city has spent too much money, population has 11 12 left the city --13 MR. VITRIS: Okay, that's the 14 long -- that's past. We have \$70 million worth of revenue that comes into this city; 15 16 correct? Mr. Joyce? 17 MR. JOYCE: Yes, approximately, 18 about that. 19 MR. VITRIS: Why are we broke? 20 MR. JOYCE: Because we currently 21 can't get the financing for unfunded debt. 22 MR. VITRIS: Why? 23 MR. JOYCE: Refinancing. 24 MR. VITRIS: Why? 25 MR. JOYCE: The banks are requesting

Plan. 2 3 MR. VITRIS: We have been listening to that for how long, four, six, eight, ten 4 weeks? 5 MR. ROGAN: 6 Longer. MR. VITRIS: 7 Longer. Okay, why? 8 Why? Why? That's what all you people 9 have to ask yourselves and the mayor has to 10 ask why. Why are we allowing this to go on? 11 When will it end? They are only questions. 12 There are answers. They are solvable. 13 us, help the residents, help the workers, 14 get it done. This is nuts. Thank you. MR. LOSCOMBE: We can resolve it 15 16 tomorrow with a 78 percent increase, but we 17 are not prepared to do that. 18 MR. VITRIS: Why, why, why? I don't 19 That's -- you were elected, that's 20 what I said in the last couple of weeks. 21 Tax increases are the responsibility, yes or 22 no, of elected officials, not the workers. 23 You know that, Jack, you are a worker. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct. 25 MR. VITRIS: And I'm a homeowner,

that as part of that we need a Recovery

1

70 Help

everyone out there is a homeowner, nobody
likes tax increases, nobody. Cost of
government goes up, either we figure
something out here or your workers are going
to be making \$7.25 an hour and I'm only
asking the questions why. \$70 million of
revenue come into this city for 2012. The
banks are requiring a plan. Why, why, why
don't we have it? That's all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Why are they requiring a plan when they haven't had one since 2005? We passed an ordinance for borrowing for \$9.85 million, which didn't require a plan, and a 10 percent tax increase to cover that. As our solicitor said last week, there is collusion going on. Someone went to the banks, particularly these agencies, and said, "Don't give it to them until they hold our people hostage with a Recovery Plan," and I'm not going to sell my soul to the public, the employees or myself for the Recovery Plan that can't be for everybody.

We didn't reduce your pay, the mayor did unilaterally. He never came to us and

asked us for any advice, so to stand there 1 and accuse anybody of cutting the employees' 2 3 pay --MR. VITRIS: I didn't say that. 4 0h. 5 Oh, no. I did to the say. no. MR. LOSCOMBE: This time he had --6 MR. VITRIS: Jack, I did not say 7 8 that. You are turning this into --9 MR. LOSCOMBE: I just want to tell 10 you something, I have a brother-in-law that 11 works in your department and he has been 12 harassed by several employees in that 13 department because of me. 14 MR. VITRIS: Who? Bobby? Never. MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 15 Yes. 16 MR. VITRIS: Never. No way. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: And there is no 18 reason he should go to work every day and be harassed because of the decisions we made --19 20 MR. VITRIS: First of all --21 MR. LOSCOMBE: -- when are working 22 for you. 23 MR. VITRIS: -- this is why, this is 24 why -- this is the exact -- I asked some questions and we turn political. This is 25

1	why.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: I tried to give you
3	the correct answer
4	MR. VITRIS: These are the
5	questions.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: You don't want to
7	hear it.
8	MR. VITRIS: I am hearing it. I was
9	ready to walk away. I was hearing it and
10	you stopped me and you gave me a political
11	speech I don't want to hear. Jack, I
12	respect you.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: I respect you, too,
14	Sam.
15	MR. VITRIS: Why, why, they are just
16	questions.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Did you ask the mayor
18	why?
19	MR. VITRIS: Yes.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: What's his answer?
21	City council
22	MR. VITRIS: His answer is, "It's
23	council's budget, there's \$16 million worth
24	of borrowing, they refuse to fund it?
25	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris

MR. VITRIS: So I come up here -- I 1 2 come up here and I ask a simple couple of 3 questions, why? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: We have provided these answers for weeks. 5 MR. VITRIS: A couple of whys. 6 you are saying the banks are in collusion 7 with the mayor then I want me, you, the rest 8 9 of the workers or union heads to walk into 10 the banks and I want to find out which banks 11 you are talking about and --12 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd be happy to take 13 you to all of the banks and we'll find out. 14 MR. VITRIS: That's what we got to 15 do. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. 17 MR. VITRIS: To find out who is 18 lying. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: And the let public 20 know which banks did not want to help the 21 city. 22 MR. VITRIS: All this political 23 infighting has got us no where. That's my 24 only point. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: The only thing --

MR. VITRIS: That's my only point. 1 MR. LOSCOMBE: The only thing we 2 3 have is doing what's right or doing what we are told to do in a Recovery Plan that is 4 5 not a benefit to the residents. MR. VITRIS: Was there a motion made 6 7 tonight, did I hear when I came in, to have 8 the mayor come to a public caucus with DCED? 9 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MR. VITRIS: Is that -- has the 10 11 mayor been notified of this meeting? 12 No, not as of yet. MR. JOYCE: That 13 was something that I just got this letter. 14 MR. VITRIS: See, this is where we are going here --15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: The mayor has been 17 invited week after week, Sam. 18 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris, the motion 19 was made an hour ago, our staff hasn't had 20 time to send the letter to the mayor. 21 MR. VITRIS: Right. 22 MR. ROGAN: It was made an hour ago. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: He was been invited 24 week after week. We actually sent letters 25 previously, have we not, Mrs. Krake, asking

1	the mayor to appear here?
2	MS. KRAKE: We have sent many
3	letters on many different issues.
4	MR. VITRIS: That's not what I'm
5	saying, I'm saying we have done that before,
6	so now if he doesn't come, right, is the
7	meeting off?
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, apparently.
9	MR. VITRIS: That's my question.
10	They are questions.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Who are we going to
12	meet with if
13	MR. VITRIS: It's a simple question.
14	Is the meeting off if he doesn't come?
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, our meeting is
16	with him so I would assume that it's off.
17	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris
18	MR. VITRIS: No, the meeting is with
19	the couple of different parties.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: The mayor is this key
21	to this whole city, he is the mayor.
22	MR. VITRIS: Right. So you made a
23	motion, right, to get the ball rolling.
24	MR. ROGAN: Correct.
25	MR. VITRIS: To get the ball rolling

1 and we don't know if the mayor is coming. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct. 3 here letter we received today stated that a meeting with the mayor and PEL and DCED. 4 We 5 just announced we would be happy to meet We received this this afternoon. 6 with them. 7 I received it in my mail when I came in this 8 evening. 9 MR. VITRIS: Well, I think the mayor 10 if this is -- and this is a good thing. 11 This is a good thing, Jack. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: Of course it is if he 13 comes. 14 MR. VITRIS: This it a great thing, but we got to get the mayor here. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's what we been 17 saying for months. 18 MR. VITRIS: If the meeting is off 19 because the mayor don't come, well, then 20 this is a bad thing, too. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: It shows where he stands with the public now. 22 23 MR. VITRIS: We got to get this 24 started and, you know, she is saying we sent 25 numerous letters, Nancy sent numerous

letters -- -

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, she did.

MR. VITRIS: Well, now maybe it's

time we get this thing resolved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with you

there, Sam.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris, you should go to the mayor as well.

MR. VITRIS: Oh, I have. Oh, Pat, oh, I have.

MR. ROGAN: I have been saying --

MR. VITRIS: Lots of times.

MR. ROGAN: I have been saying for two months that all five members and the mayor need to sit down, and as Councilman Loscombe said the 78 percent tax increase is not going to pass. It the mayor wants a Recovery Plan he has to come and sit down with council and negotiate. There are ideas we have, there are ideas the mayor had and I said before the meeting started, not one of us up here or the mayor is going to have everything that they personally want in the plan. That's how compromise works. The

2

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VITRIS: That's right and I agree and that's great.

MR. ROGAN: And the mayor has --

MR. VITRIS: And I think, and this is my opinion, I have been around a long time, when you talk to people you can get through to people. You can come to together and you can compromise, right? That's the only reason I came here because I'm confused why are we broke. That's all. \$70 million worth of revenue flows in to the city, why are the city employees making \$7.25 an hour and the mayor is claiming on that day that we got our checks there was only \$5,000 in the bank when there is \$70 million worth of revenue that flows through these -- through this city. So it's confusing to me, just us workers, right, and we would like our leaders to figure out, right, like, why we are broke. That's all. And how do we get out of this so we can stop the infighting.

I mean, that's all. I don't want to come here, I don't want to come here and complain, I don't want to come here and argue with anybody, just I'm here to try to

1	understand why we are broke. That's all.
2	That's all. Thank you.
3	MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Vitris.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
5	MR. JOYCE: Is there anybody else
6	who wishes to address council?
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.
8	Marie Schumacher, city taxpayer.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.
10	MS. SCHUMACHER: First some agenda
11	items, 3-B how many of these structures do
12	we have in the city that are in that report
13	and what are the worse cases, if any, or do
14	they all pass?
15	MR. ROGAN: I haven't had a chance
16	to review the report yet.
17	MS. SCHUMACHER: Has anybody?
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: What item was that
19	again?
20	MS. SCHUMACHER: 3-B.
21	MR. JOYCE: 3-B, the final copy of
22	the 2012 bridge inspection report.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I guess the
24	answer is you don't know. Okay, 5-C and D,
25	maybe it's just me, but I have a problem
	II

with people who continue to be reappointed to very long, in this case five-year assignments to authorities or commissions. It seems to me that there could be a better -- that other people should be given an opportunity to contribute in this manner and also all sections should be represented and the fact that these two are within -- apparently within houses of one another makes me wonder how the balance, and maybe you can all tell me during motions or before you vote on this, how many people are from what section of the city on that commission. I would like to have that information.

And now I'll proceed to our current crisis, first I'm very happy to hear the letter that was read tonight. I think that's a step in the right direction. I do have a couple of questions. First is, am I correct in assuming there is an agreement on the revenue shortfall for 2012 and on the structural deficit anticipated for next year?

MR. JOYCE: Is there an agreement between council and the administration are

1 you stating? MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. 2 MR. JOYCE: I would say there is a 3 pretty fair agreement. 4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: So what are you saying that the revenue shortfall is for 6 2012? 7 8 MR. JOYCE: About \$5 million 9 depending on if we receive the unfunded 10 borrowing and refinancing. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: And the structural 12 deficit for next year, is there an 13 agreement? 14 MR. JOYCE: I don't have the plan in front of me right now, but I believe that 15 16 was somewhere in the -- before I give you a 17 concrete answer I would like to go back and 18 get the plan. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Okay, next 20 week is fine. Thank you. How many contacts 21 have been made with the county commissioners 22 regarding completing the reassessment, which 23 has cost the city property taxes to run 35 24 percent lower each year than it would have 25 if reassessment had been completed?

MR. ROGAN: I know we did make 1 2 numerous -- we did send numerous letters, we 3 did let our representative from the county that attends the meetings --4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Did you have any 6 responses whatsoever? 7 MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so. 8 MR. JOYCE: I was in a meeting with 9 the county commissioners about a month and a 10 half ago and I did bring up reassessment and 11 they said that it's something that's just 12 too costly for them at this time. 13 MS. SCHUMACHER: Did you tell them 14 how costly it is for the city? MR. JOYCE: Yes. Unfortunately, you 15 16 know, they are -- it's still too costly. 17 That's the answer. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: I would suggest 19 they could do some reprioritization. 20 Next question, how many contacts 21 have been made with our two reps and our 22 state senator regarding expediting the 23 school property tax elimination? 24 MR. JOYCE: I believe our office has 25 sent letters; correct, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: I have to verify that 1 2 that we sent them, but we will get you that answer next week. 3 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okav. Thanks. 5 Nancy, I'll send you an e-mail in the morning. 6 7 Has anyone on council consulted with 8 any of the businesses regarding the commuter 9 tax and what impact at that will have, both 10 intended and unintended consequences of a 11 commuter tax, which, as you know, I am 12 opposed to? MR. MCGOFF: I haven't. 13 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Surveyed any business owners, Mr. McGoff? Mr. Rogan? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: No, and I'm still 17 undecided on where I stand on that as well. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: Mr. Joyce? 19 MR. JOYCE: I have spoken to a few 20 business owners who have voiced various 21 opinions. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Mr. Loscombe? 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Pretty much the same 24 as Mr. Joyce, no one in particular. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And are

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the unions included, I missed that if you said that, in the mediation with DCED and the mayor? Are they party to these --

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  LOSCOMBE: No, not according to the letter.

MR. JOYCE: Not according to the letter, no.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Last Tuesday, the day before yesterday, OECD held a hearing on next year's action plan. Sadly, for circumstances that were unavoidable, I was eight minutes late and the hearing had been closed due to no participation at all. I think that's sad. I'm sorry nobody from council took the time. I will now have to take the time to write something, but I will tell you what I will be proposing and what I would have proposed Tuesday night is that henceforth or at least the next several years all CDBG monies be used to help the senior citizens who fall below a certain income level, disabled vets and the disabled in general to help them with any increase that is forthcoming in

property tax. Since several others have gone over maybe I continue with a just a few more minutes?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Yeah, I think we could put liens on homes so that when they homes are sold that these people, and I'm quite sure, I played around with it and I'd be happy to sit down with Mr. Joyce, I do believe that if we get the same level of funding that we got this year we could be able to do that and give our senior citizens a break on any increases that are forthcoming.

And then I will close tonight with something that was in the LA Times, you may have all read it, it was an the bankruptcies that have occurred, the three recent, but it also talked about one that happened four years ago and it says, "Vallejo was in a similar bind when it filed for bankruptcy four ago. Now, Mayor Osby Davis wonders if the painful road to recovery was worth the cost. The Bay area city of 112,000 was forced to shut down two of it's fire

stations and today fixes just 10 percent of its crumbling roads. It's workforce, including police and firefighters, is about half of its prebankruptcy size and those people are left "insanely overworked."

Meanwhile, Vallejo spent \$10 million on legal fees. It ended up with employee contracts that Osby thinks the city could have struck more cheaply if it had stayed out of Bankruptcy Court and turned to the bargaining table. His advice to other cities on the financial brink, don't do it. It takes an enormous toll on everyone, Davis said, and you have the stigma of being a bankrupt city. How do you come out of being a bankrupt city to one that is a desirable place to live."

And since you have to come up with a plan regardless of whether it's -- whatever it's called, even if you go into bankruptcy, I suggest this is a great first step. You all need to get together, put everything aside and come up with a workable five-year budget rather than a revised Recovery Plan that begins next year. Thank you very much

for your time.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Schumacher, if I may, Mr. Joyce? From the mayor's revised Recovery Plan the projections for 2012, '13, '14 and '15, if that's what you were going to look for.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I was.

MR. MCGOFF: The deficit for 2012 projected is \$4.2 million. Projected for 2013 is \$5.5 million. For 2014, \$13.7 million, and for 2015 \$14.2 with a cumulative deficit of \$37.7 million.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Was there a single item that caused the increase to go from 5.5 to --

MR. MCGOFF: I'm looking at one little chart.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Then maybe next week you can see what caused that to jump by more than double. Thank you.

Mr. JOYCE: Is there anyone else?

Just off the cuff, I believe it was the refinancing that caused it to go up significantly in 2014 because the mayor's Recovery Plan assumes that we would be

refinancing debt this year and next year.

MS. CHILIPKO: Good evening,
Council, Mary Chilipko, Scranton resident
and taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. CHILIPKO: I just had a few thoughts, but now I have a few questions. Well, my first idea, my first suggestion to save the city money, I think we should sell the street sweeper. I think it's a useless piece of equipment that usually is in my way on Olive Street when I'm trying to get to the mall.

I think will might be an uproar, there was a question earlier about the Parking Authority, Mr. Rogan, and I told you I had hoped you were wrong, but I came here and started to come to meetings with faith that there would be an end to the Parking Authority and I felt almost assured that these guys would be out of jobs and be turned over and that would be a good thing, so if on somebody's time if they could answer that question what is it.

. .

MR. ROGAN: I will addressing that.

MS. CHILIPKO: Mr. Joyce, how do we go from -- I'm not good at math, but how do we go from \$5,000 to \$1.2 million? Can you answer that later in your --

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will answer that during motions.

MS. CHILIPKO: I always see good and bad in everyone, but as far as Chief Duffy, I just don't understand why he is still in the news. My opinion he bailed on his crime watchers, his neighbors, his department. I don't know the reasons, I don't know why, but I think it's crazy at this point announcing where he going. I witnessed an accident in Dickson City last night, three police vehicles showed up and they seemed to know how to handle the accident on their own. They seemed okay up there.

On a good note, I think we should move on, and we seem to lose focus so many times, but I have had an e-mail with Captain Graziano as far as the neighborhood goes, and it seems there already will be a good relationship with the new interim chief and

that I'm very happy about. I'm happy to see some fellow workers here from our neighborhood and I also want to mention about people working together as far as the captain and I wish to commend, and I hear the word grandstanding now over and over again, but I wish to commend Pat Rogan and Jack Loscombe. You are not grandstanding when you come to our small neighborhood meetings and listen and try to offer suggestions on what we should do as a neighborhood. That's not grandstanding.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. CHILIPKO: As far as the Times, and I never got in on that, but I like the Doherty newsletter and I see how it works now. I just see this gentleman over in the corner and he comes and writes and writes and writes and writes every week, I just wonder what he is writing. Maybe he has an assignment like we did in school, "I must not write what really happens."

Anyway, moving on, as far as the mayor and Alex Hazzouri, does anyone know if

Advocacy Alliance is a nonprofit institution?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. CHILIPKO: Is Advocacy Alliance a nonprofit institution?

MR. JOYCE: I'm not sure off the top my head, I don't know if other council members do.

MS. CHILIPKO: Can we find out?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. CHILIPKO: Because there is an awful lot of property around Jefferson Avenue, buildings that say, "Advocacy Alliance," so it is a good place for him to be in mid-Atlantic city.

I have some ideas, as far as Steve
Corbett, Doug, you gave him too much
publicity and, Jack, don't you dare call in
there, don't take the bait. I got hung up
on once and that was enough for me. Stay
away from it. Stay away from the
ridiculous, which is what a -- has become a
big part of our city, and as far as the
Newcomb family hasn't even settled as far as
the chief goes, and I'm backtracking, but

there is a big settlement, who will pay that?

As far as bills are concerned, the metal at Nay Aug was never explained, who scraped it. They never explained who paid cleaned up after the lovely fireworks show. We don't know if Carl Servino ever got billed for the illegal demolition by the DPW of property that he purchased, and what about what happened to the rental registration? All of these things in here that just sound so good and they don't happen but they are options for revenue. What happens? Maybe you can answer some of this later.

I just came back from Cooperstown and it's so depressing to come home. There is no signs, there is no -- my biggest thing right now there is no painted lines on the street. I think everybody should come in and start to come in here with one idea a week of how to make things better. We are the people who could do it. You see more people here at council and I'm happy to say that.

1 As far as the public meeting, I think we will need to bombard him with 2 3 calls, the mayor. I think we need to sit at his office and I think it's time for the 4 5 people to work with council and get these things done, and as I said before, I see how 6 hard you work and I thank you for coming to 7 8 our small neighborhood meetings. It means a 9 lot to us. Thank you. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mary, I believe I also saw a "For Sale" sign on the chief's 11 12 house today, too. MS. CHILIPKO: Yes, it's for sale. 13 14 There is a Nasser real estate on it. 15 good sign. 16 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who 17 wishes to address council? 18 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5 - A . 19 MOTIONS. MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you have 20 21 any comments or motions? 22 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. First, 23 Ms. Schumacher, just to follow-up as I 24 looked through the plan the primary 25 difference in the projection was the Supreme

Court award annual debt service. It jumps from in 2013 the total jumps from like 6 million to 15 million in expenses and it's primarily that award. That may have changed with the agreement that was reached between the unions and the mayor, I don't know, that projection may be different at this point in time because I believe that was based on a \$14.5 million obligation at the time.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I believe that is correct.

MR. MCGOFF: Secondly, the rental registration, I'll take care of the simple thing first, the Human Resources Department has not moved on appointing -- or I shouldn't say appointing, putting anybody into the position of doing the rental registration. Apparently in the legislation there was something in for a rental registration coordinator in the budget, there was something in for a rental registration assistant and the claim is they were not sure which we were looking to fund and, therefore, there was never an attempt to fill that position. I think -- and that

upsets me quite a bit that we worked hard to get this thing put together and if there were a discrepancy it probably should have been addressed immediately after the legislation had passed.

I have spoken with human resources and I said that we would -- I would try and get together with other council members and determine what it is that we would like to do with that, whether we were going to get a position or a separate position. I thought all along that we were at this point in time since it was done so late that it would be a union position that they would appoint or bid it through the union, put somebody in that position for this year and look at it for next year, but it hasn't been done. Hopefully, we can rectify that in the very near future so that we can realize the goals of the rental registration legislation.

The letter that we received today
from Secretary Walker, this was a letter
that was initiated through a conversation
with the mayor and the secretary. This is
something that came out of a discussion that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mayor had with DCED and I would assume that if the mayor is pushing DCED to do something that he would, in fact, attend the meeting our be party to a mediation with council and with the other entities.

I think this is an opportunity that we need to take advantage of. I don't think that we can look at \$2 million and say we don't need it. At this point in time, we need every cent that we can and I'm not advocating that we go in and -- and I have never advocated that we just adopt the mayor's Recovery Plan. I think that, and I have said all along, that this is -- and Councilman Rogan has agreed, this is something that we need to sit down with the mayor and discuss. This is something that needs to be a compromise or amalgamation of the ideas that will be followed. something that needs to be a plan for the future, a legitimate plan for the future. This is what the banks had asked for, so that they -- going forward so that they could have some credibility or some reason to believe that the city had a plan for

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

repaying debt.

I think we've kind of gone back and forth with ideas, there has been a lot of words, but I don't think there has been a lot of communication in dealing with this Recovery Plan and I think here's an opportunity, here's an incentive, here's a 2.25 -- two and a quarter, you know, million dollars incentive to sit down and do This could take care of a something. short-term -- the short-term problem that we have. We need cash. Two million dollars, I believe, would be sufficient to reimburse -or I don't I don't want to say reimburse, but to take care of the shortfall from last week's payroll and plus probably fund another payroll period which we sorely need to do. The \$250,000 grant would go a long way to satisfying some issues with Blue Cross so that we can maintain health insurance for all of our employees.

I think this is something that we need to do without qualification, without putting anything out there saying, we need to sit down, no excuses, no past history,

none of that. We need to sit down and get this done and it's the only way in which we are going to get any type of short-term resolution. This is a great incentive, I think it's an opportunity that we can't pass up.

As far as the one question why are we broke? I don't think there is a simple answer to that. There is -- in 2011 council chose to put \$16 1/2 million worth of unfunded debt into the budget as a revenue item. We have yet to realize that. Once we put that into the budget we placed our -- the budget became dependent upon the banking community, and over the past six months we have somehow turned the banking community against us.

Now, I know everyone says it's a simple answer. It's not simple. There is no reason for the banks to collude against us. Rather than working on supposition and saying, oh, the banks must be in collusion, I called a number of the bankers and said, "Why are we -- why are you reluctant to go ahead with unfunded debt when it's

guaranteed, when there is a guaranteed millage to pay for it?"

I received two -- a couple of answers, basically three reasons. One, they want to see some plan for the future. Call it a Recovery Plan, call it a finance plan, call it a five-year budget, I don't care what you call it, they want to see some plan for the future, so that they know that there is a reasonable, reasonable chance that they are going to be repaid on the loan.

As far as the guarantee was concerned, I asked the one banker, I said, "Why is there a problem, there is a 10 percent increase, millage increase."

He said, "There was a guarantee on the SPA loan and council voted to not pay it."

He said, "Obviously, guarantee the council or guarantee on loans doesn't mean anything, so even though there is a 10 percent guarantee, we are not sure that it would ever be paid and so, therefore, we backed away."

And the third reason, and this was

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after last week's meeting, one banker said,
"After you accused of us collusion, I don't
think there is one bank in this community
that's going to want to do business with
city council."

So little by little we have turned the banking community against us. Where do we go to plug a \$16 1/2 million hole in this budget? We have been living off whatever revenues are coming in. We may make it through this quarter, but what happens in the last quarter when tax revenues are gone and we don't have any money? And then we are literally looking at being completely broke and absolutely payless paydays are an option. We are looking at, I forget what the line of credit is that we have that's due, that needs to extended, I think it's something like \$6.5 million at the end of this year. It that gets called in there is another 6 1/2 or whatever that amount is that the city is on the hook for.

We need to solve these problems and we need to find some way, some source for solving it. If the banks aren't going to

help us, and I think at this point in time even with an adopted Recovery Plan I don't think the banks are going to be there.

Maybe I'm wrong and I had hoped up until this point in time that right now I'm not sure, so I think we have to start looking for some alternative sources for this unfunded debt. I don't know where to go. I'm not sure where to go. Do you go to private industry? Are their private -- is there private industry out there that would be willing to lend the city money?

We criticize the University of Scranton, the most successful business in the City of Scranton is probably the University, why not --

MR. ROGAN: It is a business though.

MR. MCGOFF: It is a business though, absolutely, but there are businesses out there that may have the funding that we could use. Do we go to other government entities? We need to find some source for this unfunded debt and the sooner we do it the better off we are going to be.

Maybe with the Recovery Plan maybe

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the banking community will turn around and, you know, offer something hopefully, but we need to do something quickly or else we are in trouble.

The other thing I wanted to talk about was I meant to go to -- I intended to go to a meeting with the county where we have discussing the proposal or proposed by Senator Blake for property tax relief which amounted to a 1 percent sales and use tax for Lackawanna County. I think that is something that really needs to be looked The meeting was with a number of government entities, including other counties, so rather than just Lackawanna County being the only county trying to implement this that surrounding the counties would also be included I believe representatives from Luzerne and Monroe County were there, if I'm correct, this is has been done in I believe Allegheny County and in Philadelphia with some success, and for the long-term I think this is -something that is -- something that really should be considered by the city and by the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

county.

And lastly, just a last mention of the Recovery Plan, there will be a Recovery Plan at some point in time as long as we remain in distressed status under Act 47 it's a requirement to have a Recovery Plan. If we are going to at some point in time implement a Recovery Plan I would much rather see us do it now so that we get some benefit from it than keep pushing this off until some time in the future when there is no benefit to it, and again, I'm not advocating PEL's plan, I'm not advocating the mayor's plan, I am advocating that all the entities get together and put together a plan that will be useful to the City of Scranton and going forward. Something that everyone can agree to and something that is workable for the future. And that's all. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. McGoff.
Mr. Rogan, do you have any motions or
comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. Just a couple of comments on some of the things

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. McGoff mentioned. I agree that all of council and the mayor need to sit down and so far the mayor has been unresponsive to council, as we all know.

Mr. McGoff, since you have the best relationship with the mayor would you be able to get in touch with him to see if he would meet with all five members council?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Next, the rental registration, Mr. McGoff also mentioned, and I don't know if anyone saw in the news the past few days that the City of Sunbury was proposing a one strike and you are out law for people renting to drug dealers, and I called the City of Sunbury to pull a copy of the ordinance and I thought based on what was in the news that it was just specific to drug dealers, but what it, in fact, is it's a rental registration ordinance. And I am going to give our city clerk a copy so she can provide all of the other members of council a copy and there are some good things in here that I think the City of Scranton can look towards.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one that has gotten the most attention is that if somebody is convicted of conduct declared illegal under the Pennsylvania Crimes Code, the Liquor Code or the Controlled Substance Device and Cosmetic Act, basically a drug dealer, they won't be allowed to receive an occupancy permit within the entire city, which I think is something that would go a long way in stopping the slumlords in our city who don't care who they rent to. They don't care if they are selling drugs out of the house. They don't care if they are making meth in the back, all they care about is getting that check. If they know at the end of the day by putting a stop to them through a law like this it would really cut down on the slumlords in the city, and I will give a copy of this to our city clerk to pass along to everyone.

It seems some of the components in here were similar to our rental registration, some I think go a little bit further than I really like and I think it's something we could look into it, and I know

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's been mentioned when we did discuss the rental registration that we would if any other areas came up we would revisit it so I hope we will do that.

Next, I will address some of the comments that have been made by speakers and by the mayor and the national media regarding the current crises facing the city. Mr. Vitris asked why are we broke. It sounds like a very simple question. there really are two issues right now, one that Mr. McGoff addressed is the cash crisis where we don't have enough money currently on hand to pay our bills. Could that be resolved by getting a loan, having a business leader donate money to the city or loan money to the city in the short-term? Absolutely. We would be able to make payroll until the end of the year. looking long-term we have to realize how we got into this mess.

You know, the other problem is much of the leadership in the area doesn't understand the problem. Just a couple of days ago Senator Blake, State Senator Blake,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the mayor went on national media claiming that the crises the city faces isn't permanent. They are delusional if they believe that. Here are the facts. Mr. Vitris brought this up earlier, the city brings in approximately \$70 million annually, but we are spending 80. It can't last. When the mayor took office Mayor Connors budget was \$56 million approximately. Currently we are around \$84 million. That's exactly a 50 percent increase in ten years, and up until the last year the mayor has solely controlled the budget because he had a very favorable council who agreed with his vision for the city. If we want to go back even further, Mayor Doherty was making budgets from council.

When a family realizes they are spending far more money than they are taking in it's not long before you wind up in Bankruptcy Court or you make changes that need to be made. All of the politicians in the city, council, the mayor, Senator Blake, they need to start living in the real world

and realize that they can't tax and spend our way out of the problem, you need to make actual reforms.

Some of the other things that have happened over the last ten years, as I mentioned, the budget has increased by 50 percent, which is a substantial sum when you are talking about \$56 million and \$84 million. It's more money than any of us in this room will probably make in our lifetimes.

The mayor also raised taxes 25

percent in 2007, so he is spending 50

percent more than he was when he took office

and he increased taxes 25 percent.

Also, some of the wasteful spending. In 2008, just one year after raising taxes 25 percent on all the people in the city, he spent \$300,000 on a dog park. For a period of time it was the most expensive dog park in the nation until somebody, who was a little less bright than Mayor Doherty, spent close to a million dollars on a dog park in California. Now, we expect that out of California, we don't expect that in

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton, Pennsylvania.

Dog parks are nice, tree houses are nice, but when you can't afford to pay your bills and when you are raising taxes, as Mayor Doherty has done, you spend money on the essentials. I have said this since the first day I have walked in here as a council member that the most important job of government, whether it be local, state or federal is to keep the people safe. your public safety. Over that ten-year period, as was mentioned before, from 2003 to 2010, the public safety employees have not seen a pay increase, but the mayor still blames them and the mayor chose to fight the unions in Court instead of sitting down, just look he is choosing to fight a battle with city council right now and keeping the employees of the city in the middle on national TV instead of coming here to a city council meeting and negotiating with us.

I make no secret where I stand. I disagree with the mayor, but we have to work together and make a compromise. He has to know from the comments made from these

meetings and the newspaper that his 78

percent tax increase is not going to pass.

It's just not going to happen. So if he thinks he is just going to keep pushing pressure on and the city -- or the city council to pass this Recovery Plan plan, we will wind up in Bankruptcy Court before we wind up passing his plan. He needs to come and negotiate. It's that mentality that he has had since day one when he has been mayor that has got us into this mess.

"Scranton Mayor to Amend Revised Recovery
Plan." When asked how, and this is about
the storm water conveyance, and I admit I
believed the mayor as well. It's my mistake
and I think we all did, we shouldn't have
believed him, we should have checked it, and
Mrs. Schumacher mentioned it to me, and we
didn't do what we had to do, but the mayor
said -- and I'm willing to admit that a
mistake was made, and that's the difference
between council. What the mayor states in
the newspaper is when asked how we could not
have known the storm water sale was

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possible, the mayor said, "We were told by our lawyers that it could be done. They told me we could do it, but we couldn't."

This is, again, the mayor is scapegoating somebody else. Every time something happens he blames somebody else other than himself. We had an issue a few months ago \$3 million magically appeared. I called for Mr. McGowan's resignation. mayor defended him. The mayor controls these appointments. He controls his staff. If he wants a new business administrator tomorrow or a new city solicitor it's done. That's the power of the executive branch of Unfortunately, council doesn't government. have that power, we can only legislate and appropriate money. Do I think the attorneys for the city and business administrator their salaries should be slashed even more than the 10 percent we cut it last year? Absolutely.

The mayor also mentioned, and as I have been saying for at least two months now, I want to sit down with him, even though all of the disagreements we have, I

think we should sit down with all five members of council and the mayor, and that has not happened. The mayor says about meeting with city council, "Mr. Doherty has declined to attend council meetings. This isn't about a circus."

If council is a circus the mayor must be the clown because he is the one that refuses to come here and try to work with us.

Another article in the Scranton
Times, "Scranton sends delinquent garbage
collection bills to 11 homeowners who
slipped through the cracks."

This goes onto say, "If we were not contacted, we don't start billing them because we don't know about them.

Ultimately, it's the responsibility of the new owner to notify city hall," said

Mr. Boland.

He also said the city bears some of the blame and should be able to notice the problem. Mayor Chris Doherty said, "We have been aware of it and are correcting the problem."

I was always under the assumption that to move into a building you have to have an occupancy permit issued by the city. If that permit is issued, the city would be aware that people are living in that home.

Also, the mayor is now aware of it and is correcting the problem. He has been mayor for over a decade and it's the same excuse every time. Same thing when the money went missing. Well, we are correcting the problem. How many terms is it going to take for this guy to get something right? And the mistake is, and it's not all his fault, it's the incompetent staff which is his fault. If he hired competent people mistakes like this wouldn't happen.

Another government agency that is controlled by the mayor is the Parking Authority, and we received a letter from them with some of the questions we had about the money in the reserve found, and some of the highlights here, and as you recall I was the only member of the council to vote against bailing out the Authority six times because I knew at the end of the day

Mr. Scopelliti would keep his job. The deadline has passed, Mr. Scopelliti is still the head of the Parking Authority, he is still running it into the ground, and to make matters worse a week after council voted to bail out the Parking Authority it was revealed that they had an emergency fund of around \$3 million. Council inquired, we finally received a response.

As of December 31, 2010, the balance of the SPA investment account was \$3.2 million. As of June 30, 2012, the balance was \$4.3 million. So while Mr. Scopelliti was sitting in this chair begging the city to bail him out they had money in a reserve And they go on to list that when -on the first vote council did the right thing and rejected the bailout they were under the assumption they weren't going to receive a \$1 million from the city taxpayers, so they transferred \$1,035,948.50 from the reserve fund to pay so they didn't go into default. A week later when council, other than myself, voted to bailout the Parking Authority the trustee received

\$1,035,948.50 from the City of Scranton for funds due under the lease and deposited it into the debt service fund. 6-18, trustee transferred \$1,035,948.50 back into the reserve fund.

Last week's payroll we were about a million dollars short to make full payroll to the city employees. Had the mayor and four members of this city council not bailed out the Parking Authority we would have had the funds to pay payroll. It's right here in black and white.

To make matters worse, some members of the council had said by I believe it was July 9, correct me if I'm wrong if that's not the date, that the Parking Authority would be placed into receivership --

MR. SPINDLER: The seventh.

MR. ROGAN: The seventh, thank you,
Mr. Spindler and it would be placed into
receivership and Mr. Scopelliti and the rest
of the leadership that has been mismanaging
the Authority would be gone. We are well
past that date, Mr. Scopelliti is still
drawing a check, and to make matters worse

that check he is drawing is because in part of the million dollars that was transferred over to them.

So to sum of last month of the Parking Authority, the big debate that's happened with the Parking Authority, because they were bailed out Mr. Scopelliti is collecting a paycheck. Also because they were bailed out, city employees are receiving minimum wage. I am very upset about this vote, it was the most frustrated I have been since I have been council. Everyone knows how I feel about bailouts and to make matters worse, as I stated, there was a debt service reserve fund in place.

And again, I don't blame the members of council as much because again they were fooled. Mr. Scopelliti sat here saying, "We are broke," just as the mayor was either incorrect or lied about being able to sell the storm water conveyance system. We cannot take these people at their word. They have had a track record over the years of mismanagement and incompetence and deception.

As

with Councilman Loscombe and it was good to talk to everyone in the neighborhood about

down the toilet.

many of the issues facing the city, in particular the debt crises that's going on,

and even though right now much of the focus

has been on financial issues, we can't let

blight and crime in this city go to the

wayside, so I do have a list of requests.

Before I get to those though, one of

I don't believe for a second that

Mr. Scopelliti, the head of the Parking

Authority, happened to forget that they had

\$3 million in a reserve fund. They wanted
the taxpayers' money, that's why they came
here, and they got what they wanted. Now
the city coffers are a million dollars
lighter and the Parking Authority has
replenished the reserve fund with that
million dollars and we are in the same place

as we were a month ago, except for a million

Finally, some citizens' issues.

dollars of taxpayer money has been flushed

was mentioned earlier, I did attend the

Pinebrook Neighborhood Association meeting

the articles in today's paper, "Scranton Police Probe Home Invasion." This was on New Street in the Pinebrook neighborhood, and from what I understand the address is 312 and 314 New Street. Now, this is one of the rooming houses that I had spoken about a month ago when I went down and toured Pinebrook with some of the residents, sent letters to the appropriate officials within the city, and nothing happened.

The rooming houses owned by

Mr. Sherman in this neighborhood are a

detriment who have lived in Pinebrook their

whole lives. It's not fair to the taxpayers

and the neighborhood to be overrun by a

person who is renting to drug addicts,

people who are criminals, criminals have

been picked up there numerous times. It's

not fair to the neighbors in that

neighborhood to have to put up with that

just because some slumlord wants to make a

profit.

I will again ask Mrs. Krake, and I will hand this to you and e-mail it to you, can we please send a letter to Licensing and

Inspections and the police department inquiring about 312 and 314 New Street, I do have more information on here. Also, 612 Mineral Avenue, 617 Mineral Avenue and 1137 Capouse, and I actually could go on, there are more than these few I mentioned that are causing problems, and every neighborhood in this city the taxpayers deserve to live in a nice neighborhood where they can raise their family and not have any problems. Everyone has a problem from time to time and they deal with it, but when it's a constant nuisance from the same properties it's not fair to those people.

The mayor is asking the residents to pay 78 percent more in taxes, but when we send requests in to try and help people we don't get any results and it winds up being on the front page of the Scranton Times about a home invasion when this issue was brought up at city council a month ago that this residence is a problem, but he hasn't listened.

That being said, after listing 20 minutes worth of disagreements with the

mayor I still am willing to meet with him because it's the right thing to do. I won't compromise on my values of not raising taxes by 78 percent and leaving other revenue ideas on the table and not even making any cuts. As it's been mentioned by many people here and the media, the mayor is notorious for paying the people who have supported him, whether it be union support or whether it be administrative support extremely high salaries. At one point in time I belive the business administrator was making \$80,000; is that correct?

MR. JOYCE: It was \$85,000.

MR. ROGAN: \$85,000. And the mayor, who is the CEO, makes 50. I have said it before and I'll say it again, the CEO of the city, Mayor Doherty, should be the highest paid then everyone should fall in line after that.

The mayor has also taken care of his friends with overtime, and I know,

Mr. Vitris, you are not going to like to hear it, but I'll say it while you are here,

I'll say it when you're not here, the DPW

everyone knows the abuse of overtime that has been going on in that department. In the winter when there is a snow storm we want our guys out there cleaning the roads, nobody minds paying overtime, but when you see street sweepers out on the weekends people say, "Hey, we are tens of millions of dollars in the hole, we are paying overtime to clean the streets," and the streets need to be cleaned, but --

MR. VITRIS: Pat, can did you ever ask why? I shouldn't interject, but did you ever ask why that happens? Did you ever ask maybe the police called and there was a car accident and --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris, if you would like to talk after the meeting --

MR. VITRIS: We should, but you shouldn't say that unless you talk first.

That's the problem with this council. It's all talk without before -- it's talk after the fact.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris, I have said the same thing and, like I said, I'll say it when you are here, I'll say it when you're

1 not here. 2 MR. VITRIS: But you don't even ask 3 why though. That's my point. There is nobody -- the police called and there was --4 5 MR. ROGAN: So every Saturday, and I don't want to get into a discussion with you 6 because the public comment time is over, but 7 8 you are saying that every Saturday the 9 street sweeper has been out because there is 10 a car accident, so every Saturday at 9 a.m. there is a car accident. 11 12 MR. VITRIS: No, that's not true. 13 That's not true. Check the records. That's 14 not true. MR. ROGAN: Absolutely check the 15 16 records. 17 MR. VITRIS: The street sweepers 18 aren't out every Saturday. Check the 19 records. 20 MR. ROGAN: Are you going to do 21 something? 22 MR. JOYCE: Mr. Vitris, if you could 23 please --24 MR. VITRIS: That's the problem, you 25 are just sitting up there saying that.

1	are sitting up there saying that. There is
2	a payroll record, go and check it. Well,
3	you shouldn't be allowed to say that, Frank,
4	I know, but that's wrong.
5	MR. JOYCE: I understand your
6	frustration, but it is Mr. Rogan's turn to
7	speak.
8	MR. VITRIS: Okay. As long as the
9	truth has to be told eventually, but that
10	check the records. That's all.
11	MR. ROGAN: We can check the
12	records.
13	MR. VITRIS: That's all. Check it.
14	MR. ROGAN: If we look at the DPW
15	the amount of overtime that's been allocated
16	for the DPW in the last year and the
17	positions that were eliminated from the DPW
18	in the last year that haven't been filled by
19	the mayor
20	MR. VITRIS: Pat, is an extra
21	\$100,000
22	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris
23	MR. VITRIS: spent in DPW going
24	to the problems in this city?
25	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Vitris, this isn't

your time --

MR. JOYCE: It's not time for public comment.

MR. VITRIS: But it's just a bashing of a certain group of guys. That's all it is. It's just one bashing right after another every week. Is a 100 grand going to solve the problem of the 16 million we are in trouble with? That's all.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Vitris, could you please refrain from commenting. It is Mr. Rogan's turn to speak. The public comment section is over. I understand that there is things that you may not agree with that Mr. Rogan has to say, but it is his turn to speak and it is his right to say what he feels.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Thank you, Mr. Vitris, for allowing me to speak now. Getting back to what I was saying, and you mentioned just yelling out that \$100,000 isn't going to save the city, you are right, but 100,000 here and 100,000 there and 100,000 there and 100,000 there is going to save the people a lot of money, and I wanted to

mention before when you were going back and forth with Mr. Loscombe and he mentioned he is not going to vote for a 78 percent tax increase you mouthed the words "I don't care," and I know you don't care about the tax increase. You are a union representative, you are here to represent your union, I understand that. We are to represent the people of the city and the city employees. We are not just looking out for one group, and there is no question that the DPW has been the mayor's favorite union over the last ten years. They are the only one that hasn't been dragged into Court by the mayor year after year after year. police and the firemen have been in a battle with the mayor and it's because the mayor has targeted them because they didn't support him in the election. The DPW --

MR. VITRIS: Oh, this is -- no. No.

(Whereupon Mr. Vitris approaches the podium.)

MR. VITRIS: Now, if we are going to be chastised -- if we are going to be chastised for freely negotiating our

23

19

20

21

22

24

25

contract --

MR. JOYCE: I'd like to make a motion to recess.

MR. VITRIS: We freely negotiated that contract and that has to end.

MR. JOYCE: Do I have a second?

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. VITRIS: If we are going to be chastised, Pat, because we freely negotiated a contract you got a problem. This is why you cost the city \$15 million because people can't talk. Freely negotiate.

(A brief recess was taken.)

MR. JOYCE: I call this meeting back to order.

MR. ROGAN: I'll finish my comments very briefly, and I apologize to the residents for the disruption. There is no question that myself, members of the DPW have a big difference of opinion. I believe the DPW refuse should be privatized, I have said it numerous times. Mr. Vitris, like I said, I don't want to get into a debate with you here, if you want to talk afterwards we can talk all you want, we have a big

difference of opinion, and this is a problem with the city right now it turns into a yelling match that we don't need. We need to have all parties at the table, cool heads and work together. Everyone has passionate beliefs, and like I said before, when it comes to a Recovery Plan it's not going to be the Pat Rogan plan, the Bob McGoff plan, the Frank Joyce plan, the Chris Doherty plan, it's going it be the city's plan and the city taxpayers and the city employees that are going to have to live under that plan. It's a very important thing that we are going to do.

As was mentioned, when you call this a Recovery Plan or a five-year budget, it's a fiscal plan for the city. The past Recovery Plans, they have not worked. The mayor has violated them constantly. Act 47 has not worked. It's why we are in the hole we are in, but, as I said before, I hope that we can all sit down and get together and work it out, and I think I have spoken enough tonight, and that's all I have.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a few comments. Mr. Rogan, I'm glad you mentioned Sunbury because I happened to catch that blurb, so I thought that would be a good idea for us to look at and incorporate.

And the Parking Authority, yes, I did vote to -- I didn't vote to bail them out because I never thought they would make the commitment and I still don't believe they will, without our solicitor here I'm not sure because there was a couple of days there where they considered holidays or stuff like that, but I will be totally disappointed if it doesn't go into receivership, and I would be first to admit if it doesn't that I made a mistake on that vote and I will not vote to provide them with any more funding.

Next, one of our speakers here mentioned something earlier and I was just wondering, Mrs. Krake, if we could send a letter to Chief Davis to see what the protocol is for taking city vehicles out of

the city with noncity employees as occupants, also.

I do want to express my appreciation to all of our city employees. I mean, it's been hard. I know it's been hard. We can sit here and say we didn't create this mess, which is the truth, we are trying to look out for everybody in the long run, we are trying to protect the taxpayers, we are trying to protect the employees, and it's a tough tightrope to follow here, but I think this council has been achieving that.

Are we the laughing stock on national TV? I don't know, depends on who you ask. You know, we have been getting the blame and they say the blame game, the blame game, Mr. McGoff said we put it in our budget, but, you know, the last couple of budgets we have started out in the hole which we didn't even know about, and that's something our Finance Chair can address on those issues, I'm won't elaborate.

Is it my fault because I said the banks are in collusion, they are not going to deal with us. I don't know. Mr. McGoff

23

24

25

stated it was, and not me personally, but the fact that council stated that and the banks won't deal with us because of that. Well, you know, I find that onerous because if a banker didn't feel guilty I think he would, you know, still deal with us. Mr. McGoff, what I'm asking is if you could provide me with the name of the banks that you spoke to and what their response was because I plan on going to all of the banks personally who are on that list and getting their answers and finding out what was going on there because I still cannot believe 2005 we have not had a Recovery Plan and we had free borrowing continuously since that time, but it seems when there is a new council majority all of a sudden they are trying to ram a Recovery Plan down our throat, and that's why he believe there is collusion because we had the borrowing in place with the taxes to cover it, and until we get the proper answers and we speak to all of the banks, and again, I hope you will provide me with who you spoke to because it would provide me without duplication there because

I will continue to go down the list.

You know, as Mr. Vitris said, and Sam and I go back a long way, Sam, you know, we are West Siders, we could be hot heads and go back and forth and we have always had gentleman discussions, you asked a question why are we broke, and I think each of us tried to answer it, but the truth is I don't think any of us can answer it until there is a full audit done of where the money has gone over the last ten, 11 years. That's when we are going to know why we are broke.

You know, it's no secret, and I'm not picking on anybody, but, you know, this administration is trying to play unions against the council and stuff like that and we all know that and the difference is the DPW union hasn't been put in this position where the police and fire have over the last ten years so they know how to handle it. You know, there is a little bit of, you know, disgruntledness on all of the unions parts, but, you know, when I hear some of the comments made from public works employees, and this is where I differ, you

know, we can differ here, Mr. McGoff and I, we can differ Mr. Joyce, Mr. Rogan and I differ, and I have said it before, I am not in favor of privatizing the DPW. I think just like police and fire we have to keep that in the city. We have to have control of that, and I stand here and say that.

And, you know, I believe every employee in the city has done a tremendous job, especially under the stress right now, and I know the stress is there, and I apologize for shouting before, and I know you are speaking passionately, too, for your -- the men you represent, but these are tough times and it's the game that the administration has tried to set us up to, divide and conquer, get the unions split up, that's how he has won before.

You know, and then he turns around and says because of the unions we have to raise the taxes, we have to do this, we have to do that, not because I misspent funds over the years, I have given out no bid contracts, those are the truths. And as Chris Kelly calls me, "'Da troot", I'm going

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to carry that monicker thanks to the Times, but he can call me whatever he wants and so can Corbett, and so could anyone out here, I know I can sleep at night. I know what I'm doing is for you.

I have nothing to gain. You know, unlike -- just to go off a little bit, unlike other elected representatives, like the mayor, none of us have the power or the ability here to appoint people to positions or jobs or give anything away. Unlike school directors, none of us here have the authority or the power to give jobs or contracts or anything like that away. Unlike commissioners or senators, we do not have any powers other than to fight for you. There is nothing for us to gain. We are not gaining any other jobs from this, we are not gaining employment for any family members. In fact, in my case it's worked the opposite. I have lost jobs for family members because of my stance here due to politics. That's how dirty the politics is in this area.

And I'm tired of it and I have been

here fighting to try and straighten that out. It's one man, but the whole system around this area is getting to that point. If the public can't see we are not in this for any benefit that we are going to gain except to help the city that we all love then there is something wrong. There is something wrong with the media and the press. But again, I appreciate all of you employees in the city for working under this distress that they have been working under and we are working hard to resolve this and I feel confident that within a few days this may be resolved given the right minds prevail.

And I do want to thank the firefighters for, you know, the work on that fire at West Side. This goes back, again, it was mentioned about the fire grant. I stated it in here, I stated it here time and again, when that \$3.5 million was given back we weren't going to benefit at all. It wasn't going to bring out the brownouts, and I live in West Side, West Side covers a large area and that Luzerne Street station

is closed more than it's open. The engine company in downtown is closed more than it's opened. That's critical with all of these high rises we have in this area.

You know, they can say we have a truck company here and we have rescue over there, I have tried to explain it before, you can pull on a scene with a chief's car and you can pull on scene with a rescue, you can pull on scene with the ambulances or the truck company, but until you get one of those engine companies there you might as well take your garden hose out because that's where water is coming from.

It's a military that's made up of teams. They all have their jobs, but to knock them out synchronization only jeopardizes your safety and your lives, and again, as I stated before, and this is my belief, I think if he had the opportunity, because it's been known from the day this mayor got in he has had a vendetta against the police and fire, if he had his way it would have only been those two departments that got the reduced pay, but he couldn't do

it that way.

And by showing the money he has turned back he screwed up the COM-D funding, there would have been 13 more police officers on the street, there would have been more firefighters to keep the stations open for a free \$3.1 million, people don't like me using that word free, but somebody else is going to get that \$3 million, it would have been better spent here.

I want the first person that losses their life in this city to ask the mayor was it worth \$3.1 million because that's how I feel. That's what he puts it price on because of his vendetta against the public safety unions, and he is trying to turn all of those unions against council as a reason their pay was reduced when we weren't even contacted about it. We find out from the newspaper, like everything else.

But to go off that, Mr. Jackowitz mentioned the nonprofits. We had sent a letter out several weeks ago, Mrs. Krake, to all nonprofits, did we ever receive any response?

MS. KRAKE: I believe we distributed one response from the University of the Scranton, and I remember there may have been one other one, I'm not sure.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't remember seeing that one. You know, we had asked them to get themselves together and form a committee, but I think it's time we get a public committee together to help us to go knock on the doors of these nonprofits. I mean, they watch the same news and read the same newspaper every day and, you know, if they don't want to come up with it I don't know what to say, at least to help their host city out to get out of this hole.

Now, just to go back to the Recovery Plan, again, I had my opinions on the Recovery Plan. As I stated before, since 2005 we haven't had a Recovery Plan yet the banks have been loaning us money without question. All of a sudden since the majority is in here we have to have a Recovery Plan. I find it interesting.

Several weeks ago we said the DCED and PEL was part of this problem -- was the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They pushed the city to keep going and appealing and appealing and when we said they should contribute they responded that they had no money, they wouldn't contribute, the city is on their own, blah, blah, blah. Now, all of a sudden today when I come in in my mailbox there is a letter that Mr. Joyce read before offering \$2 million, but what worries me is why all of a sudden the banks are requiring this, and again, I said before I have my suspicions and my beliefs. you know, if you read their letter there, "This mediation will take place at a mutually agreed upon location and the negotiation of the terms of the amended Recovery Plan will be exclusively the responsibility of city council and the mayor," but this is what worries me, "and

major problem for the Supreme Court ruling.

That's wide open, folks. We could agree to anything at that point and all PEL has to say is, "No, we don't like it."

subject to the Pennsylvania Economy League's

judgment as to the feasibility of the

proposed amendments."

Where does that put us then? Does that put us back to square one? Do we mediate again? It doesn't give any answers. You know, they are forcing us to have a plan agreed to by August 1. That gives us a lot of time, doesn't it?

I just think we have managed to get ourselves in the hole with this plan, I don't think we are getting to get out, you know, with a new plan. Again, I mean, it's been said here, we can argue back and forth, a Recovery Plan is not -- the one we have had so far hasn't been worth the paper that it's printed on. They have been violated by the administration time and time again, and it's the same organization, PEL.

I would be more inclined to discuss this, I mean, I'll definitely meet with the mediator in public with the mayor, all of us, there is no doubt, I'll do anything I can to work to save the city, but I do believe that they should in that plan, and as been stated here before by other members, I would be happy to see PEL replaced. You know, you work for any business and you are

there 20 years, and we're far deeper in debt now than we are in 20 years ago, you wouldn't have your job, yet they continue to keep PEL there driving this ship, and to me just in my two and a half years experience, you know, we have been excused of being political before, but I think there is politics involved there to the n'th degree.

Under Mayor Connors they had to give up a public safety chairman, however, under Mayor Doherty he is was able to put a -- not chairman, public safety director there at a significant pay, so it depends on who the mayor is and who is working with on the Recovery Plan and who is in office and, you know, it's interesting I didn't see Mr. Rendell's comments on the news or anything like that, but, you know, I think he played as big a part of this as anybody, you know, and that's coming from a Democrat.

But we are in this position, there is still the question goes why are we broke? Sam, honestly, we gave it our best shot, but the only reason we are going to know why we are broke is if we have a true forensic

audit that shows where money went unnecessarily, and that's why the employees are getting hurt, and it didn't come to this precipes overnight, it's been working this trail for a long time. We just tightened the purse strings two years ago and now we are here, but I won't belabor it anymore, and I do want to thank you for listening to me, and that's all I have to say tonight. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe. Early this week I received some troubling news from our business administrator, Ryan McGowan. Thus far the city has yet to collect anything from the parking tax that Scranton City Council enacted earlier this year. The parking tax is a new tax that was an integral part of the 2012 operating budget as amended.

As per PEL's recommendations, \$500,000 in new revenue was budgeted for this tax. The administration has failed to send out any notices of the parking tax to appropriate garage and lot owners as well. In the midst of a financial crisis, the

mayor should be realizing revenue from this tax to avert a 78 percent tax increase.

Also troubling is the city's failure to collect rental registration fees. Thus far the city has only realized \$8,955.05 in rental registration revenue. Once again, the city has dropped the ball instituting that rental registration program. When faced with such a steep financial crisis, one would think that the city would be aggressively pursuing these revenue streams but the mayor is not.

A few months ago business administrator Ryan McGowan and Mayor Doherty and Councilwoman Evans met to discuss the Recovery Plan and other related issues along with myself. At that meeting, Councilwoman Evans and I discussed the need for a parking meter enhancement program in Scranton, such as StreetSmart or something similar. At that time the mayor assured us that an RFP, request for proposals, in case anyone didn't understand that acronym, would be issued. I contacted our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, to see if an RFP was issued for

this program. To date, an RFP has not been issued. Our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, replied that an RFP would be issued next week. Again, this is more troubling news for the taxpayers of Scranton, this is just another example of the city dropping the ball on an opportunity to generate revenue rather than increase the taxes 78 percent. An RFP should have been put out months ago. The mayor promised an RFP would be issued. I wonder if I had not contacted our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, if there would have even been a promise to issue an RFP next week.

And, further, I know that nonprofits come up quite a bit, and I just want to talk a little bit about nonprofits. I learned from our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, that the city thus far has collected a mere \$22,779.93 in PILOTS, payments in lieu of taxes from nonprofit institutions. This payment actually came from the Scranton Housing Authority I discovered by doing some research.

Over the past week I researched some

of the tax returns of the large nonprofit institutions in our city. By reviewing the tax returns of some of the nonprofits, one can see their actual revenues and actual expenses.

For example, the University of the Scranton's total revenue for the 2010 tax year was \$227,883,304 while they had \$185,038,181 in expenses.

Johnson College, according to their 2010 tax return reported their total revenue for the year at \$9,037,101 while they had \$7,216,023 in total expenses.

Lackawanna College's total revenue for the 2010 tax year was \$21,697,146 while they had \$20,178,246 in expenses.

Marywood University, according to their 2009 tax return reported their total revenue for the year at \$93,226,411 while they had \$88,559,137 in total expenses.

Allied Services total revenue for the 2010 tax year was \$37,833,661, while they had \$34,300,413 in total expenses.

CMC Hospital, according to their 2009 tax return, reported their total

revenue for the year as \$154,189,392 while they had \$166,598,587 in expenses.

Overall, when scrutinizing six of the larger nonprofits institutions respective tax returns the total revenue of such nonprofits is \$553,867,015 approximately, while their total expenses is about \$500 million on an annual basis.

Consider this, if the sixth aforementioned larger nonprofits donated a mere .25 percent of their reported revenue to the city, the city would realize \$1, 384, 667.54. Of course, the city has never realized an amount even close to this from nonprofits, however, considering what we have realized this year \$22,779.93 and our current fiscal crisis, it behooves the mayor to immediately approach the nonprofits and seek assistance.

The mayor should be aggressively seeking payments in lieu of taxes from the nonprofits as mayors in other cities, such as Providence Rhode Island where Brown University has agreed to contribute millions of dollars to the city to lessen the burden

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

\_

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on taxpayers. Mayor Doherty still insists on raising taxes 78 percent and our garbage fees.

Concerning the audit, Scranton City Council has yet to receive an audit status report from Business Administrator, Ryan McGowan, as requested weeks ago. As of June 28, according to correspondence from Rossi & Rossi there had been a number of open items that still need resolution before the 2011 audit can be completed and subsequent exit conference can be held, and I know that we just received another letter in our mailbox tonight and there are still a number of open memos, actually there is over ten, and I know from before in the last audit status report that was issued from the BA over a month ago it was projected that the audit would be completed in mid-July and we are now in mid-July and we have a bunch of the open issues.

So, Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, can you ask Mr. McGowan and ask him for a status report for the 2011 audit. Speaking of Mrs. Krake, our city clerk, Nancy Krake,

that occurred Tuesday our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, stated that the city had \$477,177 in cash. Also, our city clerk reported to me that Evie Rafalko McNulty informed her that the check was being issued to the city to \$315,000 in real estate transfer tax revenue. This is a total of \$792,477. And, Mrs. Krake, do you know when that real estate transfer tax revenue check was forwarded to the city?

MS. KRAKE: Yes. We ran a revenue status report and that's currently been deposited as of the 10th of July and we have since even running it later this afternoon received another \$300,000 in earned income tax.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. The city also received checks from the Single Tax Office this week totaling \$427,342.13. The breakdown of these checks is as follow: \$13,942.83 in business privilege and mercantile tax was collected and distributed. \$12,649.68 in local service taxes was collected and distributed and

\$467,00.62 in current and delinquent 2011 real estate taxes collected and distributed.

With the money that the city had on Tuesday coupled with the real estate transfer tax revenue and the checks that were recently sent from the Single Tax Office the sum of all of these funds is \$1,219,813.13, which from my understanding is enough to make full payroll.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, along with the audit status report please formally ask Mr. McGowan if payroll will be made for the city employees next pay period.

In addition, can you please request a report of money submitted by Berkheimer on a weekly basis?

that the mayor has made little to no effort to collect alternative sources of revenue that have both promised and enacted by Scranton City Council. It seems like the mayor just simply wants to raise taxes and fees. Why should city council consider this onerous tax increase when he refuses to realize revenue for alternate sources such

as StreetSmart or other programs like it that would generate a good windfall of money for the city, maybe it's not \$10 million, but it's something that could lower that 78 percent tax increase? And as your city councilman I pledged not to support the 78 percent tax hike and continue to work hard to solve our current financial crisis.

And I do have a few citizens' requests tonight. A resident reported to Scranton City Council's office that 1411 Slattery Street is an absolutely disgrace, a fire trap and a health hazard to the surrounding neighborhood. After being the sort of much illegal drug activity and other illegal activities the apartment house has been condemned and now the out-of-town landlord is letting the property become an overgrown haven for rats, mice, and feral The structure itself has floors which are rotted through and the neighbors fear a fire would spread quickly to homes that are very close to this property.

Mrs. Krake, please forward this to Director Seitzinger and ask him to handle

the situation appropriately.

I have also been informed by several West Scranton residents that the portion of Jackson Street that connects with St.

Francis Cabrini Avenue is blocked off and they wish to know why. Residents fear that if there is an emergency in the area it would lead to a catastrophic event.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind can we please contact Director Dougher and inquire why the section of Jackson Street is blocked off and when again it will reopen, and that is all I have for tonight.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That was actually,
Robinson Street, that's what I had mentioned
before the --

MR. ROGAN: The sink hole.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. According to the paper two weeks ago the state was supposed to take care of it, but nothing has been done, just the horses sitting there. That's two accesses to west side that are blocked right now. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: No, thank you actually.

I did receive a few e-mails on that and I'm

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

glad I know now what that is.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83, 2009, FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010 AND FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53,2011 ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$480,320.84 FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL, 10-96, NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL, 11-96, AND NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL VEHICLES, 11-96.2 TO PROJECT 12-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB CUTS.

MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question? All

those in favor of introduction signify by 1 2 saying aye. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 4 Aye. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? 6 The ayes have it and so moved. 7 8 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. APPOINTMENT OF 9 JAMES SCANLON, 929 RICHMONT STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER 10 OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 11 12 FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. SCANLON'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 12, 2012 AND HIS NEW 13 14 TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12, 2017. MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll 15 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 19 20 MR. JOYCE: On the question? 21 MR. ROGAN: Yes. Mrs. Krake, can we 22 please send letters requesting resumes to 23 both Mr. Scanlon, and Mr. -- I wouldn't 24 butcher his name, but on 5-D. 25 MR. JOYCE: And I agree with my

colleague, Councilman Rogan, that we should have a resume in place or a letter of interest before giving the approval to these appointments. I just looked in the backup and I didn't see a resume in there either, so hopefully they could submit their resumes by next week when they are seeking to be a part of these boards. Okay. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-D. APPOINTMENT OF
ELMER J. NALEVANKO, 1000 RICHMONT STREET,
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER
OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL
FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. NALEVANKO'S CURRENT
TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 12, 2012 AND HIS NEW
TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12, 2017.

MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

	153
1	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
2	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
3	those in favor of introduction signify by
4	saying aye.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
8	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
11	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 44, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE-
12	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
13	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
14	ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS IN THE
15	AMOUNT OF \$5,000.00 FROM SANOFI PASTEUR U S
16	PHILANTHROPY DIVISION FOR THE CITY OF
17	SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR
18	SCRANTON POLICE SPECIAL OPERATIONS GROUP.
19	MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading by
20	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
21	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
22	pass reading by title.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
24	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.

	156
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
7	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 45, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE
8	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
9	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
10	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
11	SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE
12	FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE OFFICE OF
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMUNITY DRUG ABUSE
14	PREVENTION GRANT PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF
15	\$1,000.00.
16	MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
21	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
	ll

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 have it and so moved. 3 MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -4 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE-AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 33, 2012 5 ENTITLED "ESTABLISHING A NO PARKING ZONE IN 6 THE 900 BLOCK OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE 7 8 S.R. 3023) ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE OF SAID 9 STREET PURSUANT TO THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT APPLICATION OF THE COMMONWEALTH 10 MEDICAL COLLEGE FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 11 OFFSET 1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 12 1219 FOR A DISTANCE OF TWO HUNDRED NINETEEN 13 14 (219) FEET" TO CORRECT THE INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED SEGMENT NUMBERS OF SR 3023. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading by 17 title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 18 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 19 pass reading by title. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 21 MR. JOYCE: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 23 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

	100
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
4	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE
5	- PROVIDING FOR THE CONFIRMATION AND
6	DEDICATION OF MCCARTHY STREET AS A PUBLIC
7	STREET IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
8	PENNSYLVANIA.
9	MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
14	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
22	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
23	FINANCE FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
24	42, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
25	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
	<b>1</b>

1	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
2	SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE
3	FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE BJA FY 12
4	EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT
5	("JAG") PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION IN THE
6	AMOUNT OF \$24,354.00.
7	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-A.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MR. JOYCE: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
22	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
23	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION
24	-RESOLUTION NO. 26, 2012 - ACCEPTING A NINE
25	THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE

	160
1	(\$9,995.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION FROM ANTHONY
2	DILEO T/D/B/A NORTHEAST INSURANCE AND
3	FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS PRESENTED TO THE CITY
4	OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT.
5	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
7	passage of Item 7-B.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MR. JOYCE: On the question? Roll
10	call, please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
18	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
20	If there is no further other
21	comments or business I'll entertain a motion
22	to adjourn.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
24	MR. JOYCE: This meeting is
25	adjourned.

## 

## 

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER