		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, July 5, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.70505 0 0.0050777 000 000077 000077	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
moment of reflection observed.)
MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Here.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
MR. JOYCE: Here.
MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
reading of the minutes.
MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. A.
CHECKS RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE
IN THE AMOUNTS OF \$182,213.61, \$93,368.06
AND \$47,537.46 ON JUNE 19, 2012.
MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
In not, received and filed.
MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CHECKS RECEIVED
FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE AMOUNTS OF
\$190,482.19, \$40,705.38 AND \$15,018.92 ON
JUNE 28, 2012.
MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
In not, received and filed.

1	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
2	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
3	AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD MEETING HELD
4	MAY 17, 2012.
5	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
6	In not, received and filed.
7	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
8	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
9	HELD MAY 23, 2012.
10	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
11	In not, received and filed.
12	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
13	FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION MEETINGS HELD
14	APRIL 25, 2012 AND MAY 23, 2012.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
16	In not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. THE COMPLIANCE
18	AUDIT REPORT OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON
19	AGGREGATE PENSION FUND FOR THE PERIOD
20	JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2010.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	In not, received and filed.
23	Do we have any clerk's notes this
24	evening, Mrs. Krake?
25	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have two.

July 14 the Fraternal Order of Eagles Lodge
314 will be having it's fifth annual ribfest
from 2 to 6 p.m. for the amazing low price
of \$15. All proceeds will benefit prostate
cancer in the area. There will be live
music and fun all day long, including a pie
throwing contest, police canine unit demos
and other various fun things to do
throughout the day.

Tickets may be purchased by July

10th by calling Stella at the club at

961-5495 or her cell phone at 570-814-3069.

Come one come all to the best party in

Scranton this year. Thanks, Bill Legget, PR

director and former president of Fraternal

Order of Eagles Lodge 314.

And also a remainder that there be will a fundraiser on July 14 from 5 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary Center and the benefit is to "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance." Jim was involved in a serious motorcycle

accident and while recuperating he has lost his wife to cancer and next week, which will proceed this event, I will read the letter in it's entirety again, but please remember if anyone would like to offer support or make a donation they can call Nicole Lance at 570-575-6752. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else, because of the city's current financial crises, Scranton City Council will remain in session this summer until the crises is resolved. Although, August meetings violates the Home Rule Charter, as council president I believe this action is warranted. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Blake Carlton.

MR. CARLTON: Good evening. Thank
you for letting me speak tonight. My name
is Blake Carlton. I'm working with the
Community Coalition called Delivering for
America. Basically what our organization is
we are community-based with religious

groups, veteran's organizations, labor unions, small businesses, we are all working for one goal and the goal is to save the postal service. If you don't mind if I read something I had written?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. CARLTON: The United States

Postal Service is a vital national resource
that provides essential services to 151

million households and businesses nationwide
and supports more than seven million private
sector jobs. Yet, in recent years the USPS
has struggled against the combination of
economic factors that threaten it's
long-term liability.

Currently, there is a Bill in

Congress, it's called HR 2309, the Postal

Service Reform Act which would close
thousands of local post offices, which our
plant in Scranton is already effected with
this, and it would enable them to close
hundreds of more processing plants across
the country. This wouldn't just be hard for
the small business to survive, it will also
take away useful postal services that are

essential to their success. If we dismantle the network that small businesses rely on drastically slowing the delivery of mail.

It would take more time for businesses to send and receive critical goods. What's more, letter carriers deliver more than just the mail to small businesses and communities they serve. They know and care about their customers and they keep an eye out for them. Further removing letter carriers from the business customers would comprise their ability to address, resolve business owners postal needs such as identifying opportunities for business to streamline delivery services or save money.

The American economy depends on the vitality. America small business depends on the United States Postal service. Instead of recklessly slashing services to cut costs, Congress should help the USPS evolve to the changing needs of the 21st century economy. We need a solution and the Postal Service Reform Act isn't it.

If enacted, American homes and businesses would no longer receive mail at

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

their door. Approximately 40 million Americans whose mail is delivered directly to their door would lose that service. change in practice that would unfairly target American seniors and the disabled. 90 percent of the people whose mail currently comes to their door will instead be forced to retrieve it from a centralized clusterized box delivery relocation.

In addition, the postal service is America's largest civilian employer of veterans. HR 2309 proposes significant job cuts that would impact veteran's preferences given to service men and woman seeking employment with the United States Postal Service. HR 2309 would disproportionately harm our men and woman returning from military service.

Americans who have valently served our country at home and abroad often struggle to find employment. The United States Postal service is the second largest employer of veterans behind the Department of Defense.

HR 2309 is a dangerous bill that

could further damage and ultimately tear apart this essential institution. America's small businesses, seniors, veterans and communities need legislators to strengthen, not dismantle, the United States Postal Service.

Basically what I'm here today to do is I'm asking council if they would enact a resolution saying that the City of Scranton Council does not support HR 2309. They have enacted a similar one in Taylor and what would that would do is just alert our representative in the 11th District that the community really doesn't support this bill.

If you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

MS. EVANS: I think we can certainly send a letter on behalf of Scranton City Council, perhaps we might be able to obtain the resolution of the Taylor Council and model one after that and, fortunately, we have a council member who is actually employed by one of our congressmen and, you know, maybe there is something that he would be able to do.

Sure, I could certainly 1 MR. ROGAN: 2 pass your contact information, if you leave 3 it, along to the Congressman. MR. CARLTON: Mrs. Evans has it. 4 5 it's on the back of the form I gave her if you would like to pass that on. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 8 MR. CARLTON: Thank you. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz. 11 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. 13 With council's indulgence, I would like to 14 wait until Councilman McGoff arrives for the meeting this evening because I have areas in 15 16 which I would like to address to him if 17 that's okay with council president and the 18 rest of Scranton City Council. 19 MS. EVANS: We can certainly move 20 you to the end of the speakers' list. 21 MR. JACKOWITZ: That's all I'm asking for, yes. Thank you very much. 22 23 MS. EVANS: You are welcome. 24 next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia. 25 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I come before you in real dark, dark days facing the city. Your Scranton Redevelopment Authority is bankrupt, you had to bail them out. Who are they to blame for that bankruptcy, the council, the mayor or the authority? Obviously, it can't be council and it can't be the mayor other than he appointed them, it has to be the Authority, or maybe we should blame somebody like John Wayne. We've got to find somebody to blame, somebody has got to get up there and take the blame for what's going on in the city. Either the mayor has to come forward or are all of these authority members bankrupt their authority.

It's obvious to all in the city that we are in trouble because of the appointees to these authorities. The poor kids can't swim in Scranton. They have to come up with five bucks to use Nay Aug because we have a pool authority up there that cares little or nothing about the children of Scranton. They claim they have to pay a lot for chemicals, but I think somewhere in that

prospectus for chemicals for the pools and most likely we paid for chemicals for the pools. Now, where is that chemicals going to be being that we didn't use the pools? Are they going to Nay Aug, so besides the lifeguards and the insurances are we now going to supply the chemicals up there? And still the kids can't swim. \$5 is just a fee that's astronomical and then blaming them chemicals.

I know you passed something, but you could have threw a feather in the wind for all of that was good for. The Parking Authority, you know, has become an albatross. They are going to try to stay there and milk it right to the bitter end and you got to realize that. They have no intentions of resigning or giving up any power because they care little or nothing about the taxpayers. Obviously they don't care or know nothing about the taxpayers or we wouldn't be in this condition.

Now, let's look at the authority down there with the Sewer Authority. Their

rates keep raising down there like crazy. They just went up 45 percent blaming the federal government for a mandate. Now, you know and I know that's the way you look at the mandate and how you handle the mandate that's relative to the cost. There is many ways to handle the mandate besides the most expensive and apparently they are going with the most expensive, and if you want to go back that's the reason why the mayor wanted this Sewer Authority back onto his domain because of all the contracts that are going

Where we are now is because of political ambition. A man that wanted to move to a different office, a higher office, and to do that you need money, and the only way could get money is either to contact or patronage, and you can sock somebody for their job or you can make sure a contractor gives money for certain things.

to be given out.

We all know the same contract that the city used the county used, and look what happened to the county commissioners there.

They used the same contractors we are using.

Now, I don't know what is going on there, that's not up to me, that's up to the federal government to look at things like that to make sure there is no -- nothing going on that shouldn't be going on, but we all know that most of them contracts gave political contributions to the mayor and that's public record.

But, unfortunately, we are stuck. You have to sit up there and really balance things out. You got two choices, either go bankrupt or you raise taxes. There is no other choice out of there somewhere or other you are going to have to raise taxes. When you talk about an amusement tax I guess the churches would have to pay or anybody that has a band would have to pay or anybody this, that or whatever, because when you said the amusement tax you never spelled out all of the things that were going to be taxed under this amusement tax.

You found out about the catch basins, but I wouldn't blame you because back, oh, maybe 2003 they were talking about selling that to the Sewer Authority at one

time when we are -- to get that 5 million.

We are still looking for that \$5 million to for all of these years we have been looking for that \$5 million, but yet we fall deeper and deeper into the hole. And it's true, when this mayor took office Mayor Connors said we had a \$3 million surplus, which we probably did, but what's really strange when all of the things that happened in the city did we need you will them improvements at once? No, but we are stuck for paying now for all them improvements. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to bring this evening by addressing the events that transpired today. If you were in Court, regarding the mayor's illegal decision to pay all union and nonunion city employee the minimum wage requirements of \$7.25 an hour. This afternoon, Judge Barrasse granted a special injunction in favor of the unions to

block the mayor's plan. Despite City
Solicitor Paul Kelly's hopeless plea of
money is not there and that the city only
has \$5,000 to it's name.

But on a brief side note, I'd like to know tonight if we have the information on how much the Nay Aug Park fireworks show cost us and where did that money come from? Do we have idea? Okay. Well, tonight I would like to request from the mayor, I'd like it in writing and I'd like it next week, a real simple request. I don't know where the money came from and how much it cost. We got money to put fireworks on up at Nay Aug and we can't meet payroll and something is wrong and I want an answer next week in black and white.

The judge made his decision today based on the fact that the unions have a contract in place and the city is obligated to honor it. The mayor was quoted earlier this afternoon as saying that, "The judge can rule against us, but I don't have the money to pay the make payroll."

And tonight on WBRE at 6:00 he was

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also quoted as saying, "I don't have a printing press, this is all council's fault. It's their budget, they failed to keep it."

Well, here we go with the blame game We don't want to look in the mirror, yet again we want to point the finger to a council that's been in power for two and a half years, whose mess was dumped in your This man needs to be held accountable. It's ten years of reckless borrowing and spending that's lead us to where we are today and he had the audacity to go on TV tonight and point the finger at this council. I think it's time, like I said, that he takes a look in the mirror and he will get his answer there. This finger pointing at council is completely ridiculous and it has to stop. It's time he comes forward and holds himself accountable.

It's really simple, Chris, tonight, you have an obligation and the refusal to follow a contract is simply not an option.

It's your responsibility to find the money, not council. Remember, you are the ship captain here. You caused this problem with

some help along the way, not council, and as I said last week, he needs to get that through his head. He seems to be having a real difficult time doing that.

I hate to say I told you so, but last week I told the residents of this city right from this podium that that day was going to come. Sure enough, here we are tonight with the same problems due to years of reckless borrowing and spending policies by the Doherty administration.

Past rubber stamp councils, including the one lead by Bob McGoff, I'm glad to see he is here tonight, I'm glad to see that he is taking the situation serious. He must be at a ball game tonight, I'm glad that takes precedence, but they approved all of these policies without any hesitation whatsoever and not one time did they ever hold Chris Doherty accountable. They just put the rubber stamp and stamped away and we wonder why we are in the situation we are in tonight.

Let's also keep in mind the millions of dollars squandered on professional and

legal services and not at one time have we ever considered placing these services out for bid. We instead took a page out of the pay and play book. This philosophy has lead us to the disaster we are in today and Chris Doherty has been captain of this ship and like the Titanic we have hit the iceberg and we are sinking fast.

So what's the mayor's solution to solve our problem? More tax increases, this time 78 percent he elicits in his proposed flawed recovery that plan that he sent down to council. The council majority, which has refused to place these burdens on the taxpayers, has responded by putting its foot down and demanding a plan that is fair for the taxpayers. Once again, the majority is looking out for the little guy that continues to get knocked down.

The mayor and Ryan McGowan have responded to council by saying it's the majorities' responsibility to come up with a solution. I'll say it again, council majority does have a solution and the administration knows that. This is a case

of the mayor and Ryan McGowan wanting to play politics and place a spin on the issue. It's quite clear that these two have a difficulty in comprehending the concept of fact.

Council's plan does generate revenue and it does save tax dollars regardless of what Ryan McGowan tells the Scranton Times. He needs to get his facts straight as well, but I understand that may be difficult for someone who is clearly overwhelmed with all of this.

For five months now the council majority had a working relationship with the administration, but as usual Chris Doherty turned his back and ignored council's suggestions. Yet again we want to criticize the council majority for refusing to work with the mayor. I find that to be quite amusing. While in the spirit of the cooperation tonight I would ask Bob McGoff, of course he is not here, but I would ask Bob McGoff since he is such a good Recovery Plan with Chris Doherty to invite him to come here publically, we'll welcome him

here. Let him come in here and discuss his Recovery Plan pubically and let him be held accountable. We are open, we won't bite.

Come in here. Hold yourself accountable.

Chris Doherty will no longer bully and extort through scare tactics when he doesn't get his way. His actions do resemble a school yard child who doesn't get his way and just takes his ball and runs home crying. You know, he obviously was a child who was never told no growing up and he has a hard dealing with that.

I think the best thing that Judge
Barrasse can do is put Chris Doherty behind
bars for all of his actions today and the
actions of the last ten years. I don't know
who he thinks he is that he has the
arrogance to go on TV and blame council,
basically blame everybody but himself for
what's going on in this city over the last
ten years.

You know, we are the laughing stock of the nation. It's my understanding we made national news this evening. We are the talk on the radio, WYLK, KRZ, they think

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton is a big joke, and you know who caused this? Chris Doherty. And enough is enough already. I mean, I can't tell you how disgusted I am. I come up here each week, it's the same routine every week and we have resolved nothing, and you know I also am disappointed with a lot of the residents of this city who sit back and just expect everybody else to solve all their problems. You know, it's time for them to come forward and demand accountability. This is your town, too, and I blame each and every voter for the last ten years or each election cycle came out and voted for this fool, and you wonder why we're in the shape we are and you to criticize, you want to whine and cry when your taxes go up, well, you caused this, too, and you came out and you voted not knowing the facts and we are in the situation we are. You know, I'm totally disgusted.

But the best thing we can do is get behind this council because with you the only ones we have. You are the ones standing behind the fool downstairs and the

78 percent tax increase that's looming, so I would ask you to keep fighting for the residents of this city and my message to everyone else in this city is get up off the couch and get down here and demand accountability. This is your town, too, and let's hold Chris Doherty accountable once and for all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I just wanted to comment briefly on two topics mentioned by Mr. Miller. First of all, I do understand that the mayor has time again, over and over in the newspaper, on the television channels, blamed city council and its budget for the current state of affairs, and I discussed publically during council meetings that this is a city budget and that this budget was constructed jointly.

Tonight, after the meeting, I'm going to provide the Scranton Times and GO Lackawanna with documents that will prove that what the mayor is saying is not the case and that the unfunded debt borrowing can be traced all the way back to September 2011 when legislation was first sent on that

matter. There are documents that are signed jointly by Council Finance Chair Joyce and Mr. Ryan McGowan, business administrator. There are letters from the mayor to council asking for the increase in unfunded debt borrowing and that will be turned over, as I said, later this evening.

In addition, I have spoken with the mayor this week on more than one occasion.

In fact, we spoke just this afternoon and we were discussing the judge's decision that was rendered earlier today. And I said to the mayor, "I have one question before you unilaterally cut wages, did you speak to the union heads?"

His answer was, "Well, yes, I had.

I had a meeting where I brought all of the union heads in and I discussed the finances."

And I said, "Oh, no, no. I'm aware of that meeting that occurred last week, I'm talking about before you made this announcement did you sit down and talk to the union heads and see if perhaps you could work out a temporary agreement, something

that, you know, could temporarily alter their contract so you would avoid Court cases?"

And his answer was, "No."

I asked him to repeat it. I said, "I didn't hear you clearly, could you repeat that?"

And he said, "No, I did not."

I asked, "Why not? "

He said, "Well, I really didn't think the unions would go for it."

And I said, "You know, when this case is in Court tomorrow morning I hope that the judge might ask the question that I just did and hearing the answer he might say then, 'Why don't you both -- or why don't you all sit down right now and see what you can work out' or else you can even call them."

And his answer was he will wait to see how the judge rules and maybe then he will talk to the union heads. I don't believe that any leader should merely bark orders unilaterally, particularly illegal orders. He had the responsibility to sit

down with the union heads and discuss his ideas with them and he didn't and we are in Court yet again. And this baby belongs solely to the mayor, no one else. He takes unilateral action, ladies and gentlemen. He does not ask for the input of this council or it's advice, he does not ask the unions, he does not ask anyone, he just barks the orders and proceeds, and here we are as a result.

Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton

Taxpayers' Association. As you know, we had an audit done under the Community

Development Block Grant Program for the years 2008, '9 and '10. In November of 2010, the Office of Inspector General of HUD come up with the finding of \$11 million that the city spent and not under the guidelines of HUD. I followed up on this because it was the Office of Inspector General. If it was just HUD that would be washed out somewhere, but the fact is this afternoon I

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

got a letter under the Freedom of Information Act from the Inspector General's Office and they told me that the record sent in response to your request is still ongoing is being held and pursuant to, and then they cite the law, which protects privileged documents that records are also being held, withheld under, again the cite, pending a determination of the enforcement of the proceeding. Also, there may be many exemptions associated with these records that we have not addressed in the response and that we expressly reserve. Finally, at this time we can't give you a date as to when a final decision on any enforcement proceedings will be made.

So that means that there are sill looking at that \$11 million and we are talking now, it's out here, but we are talking now about the \$16 million, we are talking about the public safety, \$22 million and we are also possibly talking about another 11 million because of the fact that the Office of Inspector General has said that anything that has to be paid back to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HUD has to be nonfederal funds, meaning taxpayers' money, so that's a possible another 11 million that can be added to what our debt is, okay?

Now, in regards to the \$5 million by the Scranton Sewer Authority, I laugh when I saw that this morning because of the fact that not that it was supported, but the fact that I went back and I remember I recall, and I went back to the minutes on my computer in regard to May of 2007 and, Mrs. Evans, you were talking about you spoke to the mayor this morning and so on, at tax time in May you spoke to the mayor and you spoke about the storm water, the cost of it and what not, you know, and he says he is looking at it, he is going to be in touch with you and whatnot, and you go on and say the next morning after it was in the paper already, okay? So that's how much you can trust this man, all right? So you saying that tonight just reiterates what he already has done.

Now, here's what he done. He went unilaterally an arbitrarily went out and

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

took the American Water Company, the SSA, and signed a settlement agreement of \$5.5 million himself without going before the city council.

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. QUINN: Okay? And on the only thing on that agreement was his signature without any witness or without any notary or whatnot, okay? Now, I appeared at council about this here when it was in the paper and I said how can an appointed authority legislate money? And they are still doing The Scranton Sewer Authority, the mayor said when he signed the \$5.5 million settlement, and it was in the Scranton Times, that the Scranton Sewer Authority no longer has to come to the Scranton City Council for any type of legislative approval. Now, come on. Anybody that knows government knows that an authority cannot, you know, legislate something that elected officials are supposed to be doing, you know?

So I know Mr. Hughes has a lot on his plate, much more than he ever expected,

but the fact is, Mr. Hughes, down there they are operating as an authority, as elected officials would act, and they are not answering to the city council. Now, when he said \$5 million that was in the settlement agreement and he knew it and it was there that the amount of that -- not the \$5 million, the amount of the storm water cost. He knew it, if he didn't, he didn't read the agreement, but here we are again left with a liar, all right?

Now, it bothers me because of the fact that that happened in 2007, and again, the Scranton Times, okay, who campaign, after campaign, after campaign financially contribute to Mayor Doherty's campaign and you saw Debra Neihaus I believe here a couple of weeks ago saying about the four pillars of journalism and it's politically -- you cannot do that, you shouldn't do it and they did it. Because if they were on the ball then, if they weren't backing the mayor and they didn't care -- oh, by the way, who was there? There was Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Fanucci and Mrs. Gatelli who let the

mayor do this, okay? Now, if they were on the ball then and they investigated or looked into the matter they would have found out and they would have realized that you cannot just turn over the Scranton Sewer Authority to an appointed body. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, did we receive the documents that were requested from the Scranton Sewer Authority involving the storm water conveyance system?

MS. KRAKE: No, we did not.

MS. EVANS: I know that I sent a Right-to-know letter requesting documents from the Scranton Sewer Authority that would prove their ownership of the storm water conveyance system. I also called Mr. Belardi, the solicitor of the Sewer Authority, I wasn't able to reach him, but I did leave a message requesting that he faxed those documents to the Office of City Council, and I left a number. I also asked that he would either contact our solicitor. To date, I have heard nothing.

Solicitor Hughes, did you receive a

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phone call from Attorney Belardi this week?

MR. HUGHES: No.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Bernie Bassacco.

MS. BASSACO: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

I have a MS. BASSACO: I'm back. 139 additional signatures and names, I didn't have too many as last week because of the Fourth of July and the weather was hot, but I hit South Side this week, I was in several neighborhoods over in South Side, talked to the people again, and I'm been trying to encourage them, "Come on down. Come to the city council meetings, because I'm hearing such great comments and, you know, I tell them come down and get your say in because the city council needs to hear And once again I'm finding the same thing, I with every 100 people that I talked to there is maybe one, sometimes two that, you know, just, "I don't want to get involved" or they know somebody that works for the city or whatever, but that's it. we are looking at 98 percent agreement with,

you know, what's going -- or what I have stated in my letter, which very shortly I'll just say that, "Tell Mr. Doherty he cannot afford more taxes, especially 78 percent, and tell him to find other ways to finance the city."

And there is mismanagement, the comments that I'm hearing from people, they are very disturbed, again, about what's going on. First, the number one comment, same as last week, where is the mayor? Why isn't he at these meetings? He needs to come down, he needs to talk to the public, even if he has to get a bigger venue come and talk to the people, answer their questions. Don't keep hiding because, you know, the people want to know what's going on.

Some of the comments, these are all that I've written down are comments prosecute people. They said if he were to run a business the way he runs the city he would have been fired a long time ago, the place would have been bankrupt. There is no way in the private sector that he could, you

know, do the things he is doing and still continue to work.

Although, the majority of people continue to hold the mayor fully responsible for the sad state of affairs in the City, many said in reference to the minimum wage that he just proclaimed that for himself, he himself, doesn't even deserve minimum wage. They say the way the city is and what he has done to he should be willing to work for nothing until things get straightened out.

Probably 80 percent of the people that I talked to they want to move and sell their houses, but they know it's not an option, who is going to buy with the reality transfer tax, the property tax, the wage taxes, nobody wants to live -- I think if people could leave, just get out and leave their houses this city would be three-quarters empty. The question is who would buy their house in Scranton. It's branded the high tax mecca of the east, and I'll tell you what, this week so many people have told me that they are embarrassed that they have -- when people say, "Well, where

do you live?"

They are embarrassed to say they
live in Scranton. You know, it's getting to
the point where it's a laughing stock.

As I was going around I came to a city worker, for obvious reasons, of course, they are not going to sign the paper, but they felt, too, that city hall itself is in disrepair and there is no pride left in the city or working for the city and that's why you see some of the things going on, like people complain about they come to city hall and maybe get a dog license, pay their tax bill, they are ignored. They are ignored at the desk and they stand there if they are invisible.

There some great young couples out there that are trying to raise a family and couple of them have said to me, "I think we made a big mistake trying to buy a house here and come to the city because there is no way that we can afford these taxes," and between the taxes, the school taxes, the sewer is going up, all of these things they just can't afford it. They said if it goes

up 70 or 80 -- you might as well say 100 after three years you are almost doubled they can't do it, they can't stay here.

And one young man, very nice, I said, "Why don't you come Thursday to the city council meeting," and he was saying about how he worked in the private sector, he had -- he lost two jobs and now he has a third one he is working. He said, "When I wasn't working my wife and I had to cut our expenses. We could not spend more than we had coming in," and he said, "I just don't think Mayor Doherty understands this concept. He is promising. You know, people jobs, paybacks and more salaries and the money is not there."

And he said, "When I wasn't working we had to cut back on our living expenses," and he said that's what needs to be done.

There is several stories about young -- this one young girl she told me she and her fiance were looking to buy a house in Scranton, there is no way they can afford it. She said, "We are look up in Archbald and Peckville now."

There goes --you know, we could have another tax payment there. A young woman is living in her family homestead, belonged to her grandparents and then her parents, and she -- I believe she was buying it from her siblings, but she just couldn't afford the tax base.

But the main thing I want to say, I can go around and keep getting more and more signatures, but it seems like every hundred people there is two that don't want to sign it because. In fact, today I had it was cute, there was a man over in South Side and I was going down his side of the street, he signed it, and i went down a couple of houses and some guy from the second floor said, "Hey, hey, come over here, let me sign that, my friend just called me down the street," he said, "I want to sign that."

And, I mean, I'm having people tell me, "Go to my mom's, she will sign that for you."

You know, if I wanted to put like ten hours a day in I would probably get everybody in the city signed up, 98 percent

of them anyway, but that's the thing.

People still feel there is so much

dishonesty and there is so much payback and

they want the mayor here. They want to see

him, talk to him, they want their questions

answered and they want him to converse with

you n front of them in public, and they love

ECTV, by the way. I get more positive

comments on that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I have lived in my city the whole life and that was sad to here what the last speaker just said that people are telling her they are embarrassed to live in the city. I have been coming here for 10 1/2 years, I love this city, for people to say they are embarrassed I hate to hear that. It's one person's fault, it's Chris Doherty. It's ashame it's like that.

And I have to say I wasn't surprised by the Court decision today by Judge

Barrasse. I stood here last week and said,
"I worked in the union for 14 years, if you
have a contract somebody can't come along
and say, 'Oh, I'm going to pay minimum
wage.'"

Didn't take a judge to make that decision. It should be common knowledge.

And I have a question, Attorney Hughes, he says he is still going to pay \$7.25 an hour, he is not abiding by the Court injunction, could he be held in contempt of Court?

MR. HUGHES: That's up for a judge to say. There is two types of contempt, one is civil and one is criminal. That would be up to the unions to present to the Court.

I'm not a judge, I don't sit here in the robe so I can't assume what a judge is going to do.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I'm an advocate.

MR. SPINDLER: I just wonder, is his administration being paid minimum wage,
Chief Davis, Chief Duffy, are they getting minimum wage? Is Ryan McGowan? Is Mark
Seitzinger? Is Mark Dougher? Are they all

getting minimum wage, does anybody know?

MS. EVANS: I'm not 100 percent certain, but it's my understanding that, yes, everyone would be cut to \$7.25 with the exception of those who are paid by federal funds, that would be employees of OECD and I would think the whatever the number was of firefighter who were reinstated using the SAFER grant.

MR. SPINDLER: I hope they all are and I like to know if Paul Kelly is included in there, too, I hope he is making minimum wage.

And it's funny how Chris Doherty says -- he keeps saying, "Oh, sue me, we don't have any money," Doug stole sum of my thunder, I was going to mention the fireworks, when, Chris Doherty wants something does he seems to come up with money. Where did the money come up to have that fireworks show at Nay Aug? I'm hearing it cost upwards of \$30,000, and they say we only have \$5,000 in the bank. Where did that money come from? Maybe he took it out of his pocket where the rest of the money is

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come for years. That's all I can say on that subject.

An article in the Doherty newsletter last week, "DCED is okay with the unions giveback." And I guess DCED solicitor sent a letter saying he is okay with that. You know what, I wouldn't trust that as far as I could throw that. Until there is signatures on that contract I wouldn't care what that letter said. And I don't know why the big deal is if they can't sign the contract why send a letter, why not just sign the contract and really make it official. Ιf they had the time to write up a letter and send it in, why don't they just sign the contract.

As I said, I wouldn't trust them as far as I could throw them. They haven't helped in city in 20 years along with PEL, just pocketing money every year from the city, and they along with Chris Doherty are the reason we are hurting so bad and I do commend this council for sticking to their guns and stay strong and keep up the good fight. Thank you for your time.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: First, I'd to thank everyone who came out this last Tuesday, July 3, to the Scrantastic Spectacular events. I hope everyone enjoyed the The downtown was full of lots of fireworks. crowds, lots of different people came out I think it picked up as the evening went on through the concert and, obviously, the vice president's visit to Scranton and the fireworks. We'd like to see the event go further in future years and we just really hope to see everyone out at the next big county sponsored event down town which will be the three-on-three basketball tournament the weekend of August 3.

This evening I would like to make some announcements regarding several arts and cultural related programs sponsored by the County Arts and Culture Department. The

first one, which is free of charge, is the 2013 Lackawanna County Community Arts and Cultural Grant Workshop. This is a workshop where artists and organizations not currently receiving grant funding can apply for up to \$3,000 for a community arts and culture grant for the community project. The workshop will cover the types of projects that are eligible and how to write a grant proposal for the grant program. Applications for the grants will be due October 12, 2012, for projects that will take place in 2013.

Now, I should note that there is a series of the these workshops that will take place at each the Lackawanna County library system libraries throughout the county, the one at the Albright Memorial Library will be held Monday, August 13, 2012, from 6:00 p.m. to 8 p.m. at the Albright Memorial Library. For more information contact Chris Kelly, program coordinator at 570-963-6590, extension 106 and she can also give you the other dates for all of the other libraries throughout the county, and we will have the

information posted on LackawannaCounty.org as well as our Facebook and Twitter pages for the full range of the dates throughout the county.

Secondly, the workshop PR Do's and Dont's, Marketing your Art, will take place, Wednesday, July 18, 2012, from 6:30 p.m. to 8 p.m. at the Library Express in the Steamtown Mall in downtown Scranton. The Lackawanna County Arts and Cultural Department will present this free workshop with writer and artist Alecia Greco of the Electric City, Diamond City and 570.com regarding how to market your art and art work. Again, RSVP to the program will be to Chris Kelly, 570-963-6550, extension 106.

Third, the rhythm of the region guitar workshop and concert will take place, Friday, July 13, 2012, at the junior ballroom in Scranton Cultural Center, 420 North Washington Avenue in downtown Scranton with accomplished guitarists Tim Farrell. There will be a free workshop from 5 to 7 p.m. with a free concert at the same location at 8 p.m. All are welcome, no

artist fee is required.

And last, but not least, our summer noon concert series on courthouse square continues tomorrow, Friday, July 6, with the band East Coast, and our Saturday evening concert series will kick off this weekend, Saturday, July 7, with Daddy'O and the Sax Maniacs from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. and both of these events are on the courthouse square.

Thank you for your cooperation and we hope to see you soon.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Hetman?

MR. HETMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just wanted to make a comment, last week you were a little bit late you said you graduated from your Leadership Lackawanna class?

MR. HETMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You were a bit modest. I happened to see in the GO Lackawanna that you were the top in the class and you got a special award.

MR. HETMAN: Yes, sir, that's correct. I received the Shining Star and also the class leader award.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd like to 2 congratulate you. 3 MR. HETMAN: Thank you, 4 Mr. Loscombe. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: A great honor. It's a great pleasure 6 MR. HETMAN: 7 to be in the program and a great activity 8 for anyone who is in the Scranton area, 9 Lackawanna County and Northeastern Pennsylvania. Like I said, it's a pleasure 10 11 to follow President Evans in that list of 12 the Leadership Lackawanna alums and some 13 other certain employees, administrators and 14 many other residents of our city and the Thank you, Folks. 15 area. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 17 MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Dave 18 Dobrzyn. 19 MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident 20 of Scranton. I have a question for the 21 administration, when can we see some audits 22 and figures instead of spin? That's 23 where -- I don't care if somebody from the 24 administration ever shows up, I don't want 25 to see a circus, I don't care about it, I

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just want to see the figures. I want to see accounts receivable and accounts payable and honesty not whipped out six months after a budget is made or what have you and find out at that there is \$800,000 of unpaid trash fees and it just going on and on and on.

And on the fireworks I'm kind of disappointed because somebody could have said there is an intractable contact signed a year ago or something, well, I looked in the newspapers and I saw what dates there were fireworks, my wife and I like fireworks, we were obviously paying for the fireworks that went off Saturday night and I assumed there wasn't any fireworks because last week there was nothing in the newspaper saying that there was a fireworks display at Nay Aug Park in the general announcement on the fire works. I think I still have the page if anyone wants me to bring it in. Ιt was not announced and here now I'm stuck paying for it, which is even worse than if it was an intractable contact signed a year ago or something, okay, well, just say that and stop the spin and the spite. To me that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was ridiculous. It would have been nice to see them at least if we were going to pay taxes on them.

Now, the sewer is real interesting, anybody want to by the Brooklyn Bridge, too? That could bail us out a lot of debt. You can sell the London Bridge, we might own some of that, who knows, we'll have to sue Mr. Kelly and go to Court.

DPW and trash, I would advise the union officer there of DPW and P. Stuart, I seem to forget his name, but maybe he should take my idea to heart and start to estimate how this guy has estimated through the union how much recycling is actually going out in the form of trash, and some people probably deserve to pay double the fees judging by what I see, and if we -- Andy came up last week, Sbaraglia, and mentioned the fee as opposed to a tax. Well, you know, if you get 10 or 15 percent of \$180 or something on your IRS, you know, what is that as opposed to having people starting to pay like these profitable nonprofits where executive boards might be on an ungodly high wage and most of

the money just gets eaten up and it's a nonprofit, but somebody is turning the money from it. The CEO of it might be making \$100,000 a year, when in reality he is supposed to be a big donor in society and it's really ashame.

And, once again, I guess the Parking Authority I'd like to hear something on that with that \$3 million or whatever, was that just an investment or was it like they said in the paper that it was a bond payment that they had to have to set aside.

And, okay, I have two golden parrots this week and one is going to be called -- one of them is going to be close to home, two of them actually. Our banks don't want to loan us money, we have a lousy credit rating, well, they lost -- Wall Street lost \$30 million out of the police and firemen pension, but then sadly we had to devalue your credit rating because you don't have enough money in your pension and so forth and so, unfortunately, we have to charge you more money and play hardball with lending money so bawk, bawk, bawk, to the Wall

Street clowns.

And it was heard by a state assembly man who let the cat out of the bag that the real reason for the photo ID was to ensure a stake for Romney, well, that would be shameful if he won the State of Pennsylvania seeing as people like him are probably the reason that \$30 million was lost out of the firemens' pension plan and taken out of the country to whoever knows, the Caymen Islands or whatever. Thank you and have a good night, don't forget, bawk, bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Mr. Joyce, I'd like to thank you for

council's ideas. I did look at them and I

do appreciate you giving me the access to

those.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: I sit here tonight and I listen to council saying that nobody is getting back to them. Well, in the course of the last week I have gone to the authorities myself trying to get answers to

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my own questions and I had no trouble getting access. I mean, so I just -- maybe it's time to stop sending letters and start walking into their office and saying, "Hi, guys, how are you and can I ask a couple of questions," because if letters weren't working maybe it's time to try a new approach.

I really after looking at everything, and I do have the mayor's Recovery Plan and I do have council's ideas, and I have to be very honest, personally speaking I think that the city needs to do the hard thing and I think we need to try to file bankruptcy. I think that's our best I mean, I hear us talking about 72 percent, but I don't hear anybody talking about the \$100 million that's allegedly owed to the pension plans and how much would that -- of a tax increase would that cost the residents of the city? I'm already well aware of how the people in this city feel about being overtaxed and having no faith in government because I have stood myself at this podium before, but, you know, I mean, I

just -- when I look at the mayor's plan and he talks about determining the value of the city's portion of the Authority, are we talking about, I don't know privatization.

I mean, are we going to do another American Anglican deal with the Authority? I mean, that's absolutely ridiculous. The blood bath last time was unbelievable, and I don't find that to be workable.

And, you know, a lot of the other things I personally don't hold the mayor responsible for everything that's happened in this city because I know in '92 when I went to St. Mary's Center and I listened to the city start to head down the road with the PEL, he wasn't the mayor and we were in trouble then, so I think that maybe we would hopefully stop throwing mud at each other because we have to find some answers.

Now, when I look at council's plan,
I mean, you know, refuse fees, that's number
two, isn't the total cost to DPW already
paid by our refuse tax, our fee? I mean,
that's what I have been lead to believe that
personnel and tipping comes into that fee.

MR. ROGAN: It doesn't encompass those. It covers what PEL said when we were here analyzing the budget as well.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Well, I asked that question to council and I was told that here at the podium by council itself, but as far as the parking meter enhancement program, StreetSmart, I don't even think Wilkes-Barre has gone with StreetSmart, and to be very honest with you, I think if we were going to do anything maybe we should get parking meters to accept credit cards or debit cards and that way people won't be looking for quarters and we may make a little more revenue considering they may pay --

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \text{MR. Loscombe:} \quad \text{Not to interrupt you,} \\ \\ \text{but that is part of the StreetSmart Program.} \\$

MR. MORGAN: Yeah, but, I mean, the problem I have with StreetSmart is the problem I have with everybody that's going to privatize an asset, whether they are privatizing a government entity, there is Silver Springs, California, I think, that made the news because everybody in their

1 employ is hired by someone else, all of their work is farmed out and I just can't 2 3 see how --MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, it's not a 4 5 privatization plan, the city would still own the meters. It's just a different mechanism 6 7 of paying. 8 MR. MORGAN: But people will be 9 collecting the fee for doing that service for us and what I think is that we should do 10 all of these services in-house and we should 11 12 capture all of the revenue in-house. 13 Instead of letting somebody come here and --14 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's part of the problem now, I believe. 15 16 MR. MORGAN: What's that now? 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe that's part 18 of the problem now. MR. MORGAN: What's that? 19 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: They are doing it 21 in-house, there is no accountability. 22 That's why we need someone that has the 23 technology to provide us with the 24 transparency and the accountability. 25 MR. MORGAN: I think that if these

meters were debited all over the central computer all of the information would be kept, and I just think that if we were to take a look at what happened to American Anglican and the Scranton Sewer Authority we took such a beating on that it was remarkable, and it didn't give us any benefit, and I just think that every time we talk about privatization it's only because our government has failed.

And, you know, we can all twiddle our thumbs and all pretend like things are great, but they are not. The residents are overtaxed, we keep talking about even in this document, you know, decreasing the amount of the employees, what are we paying taxes for? It isn't the employees' fault that the government is mismanaged, it's the people that manage it, and hiring people with suits on they are going to make a profit off of capturing revenue for us. Why aren't we paying somebody else to do a job that should be done in-house? If we can't get honest, credible people in government then maybe we need to have mass

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resignations.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, the software has to purchased from somebody.

MR. MORGAN: You're right, it does have to be purchased.

MR. ROGAN: So that's what

StreetSmart is, we are purchasing the

program, but we are not administrating. The

city will still own the meters.

MR. MORGAN: No, my thought on that is the city itself should purchase this equipment and use it and administer it and capture all of the revenue instead of somebody else buying the equipment and coming in here and leasing it or getting a contract signed by council and the city government because what they are doing is they are making a profit, and we need to capture all of that revenue and bring it into the city and not let all of our revenue spin off to everybody with a plan that's going to come rescue us, because the truth of the matter is we need money and the 70 some percent of the tax increase that doesn't even begin to talk about the

shortfall in the pension plans and many other things.

So, look it, if we are going to do a Recovery Plan let's raise taxes or whatever we have to do to cover every obligation we have and have a real Recovery Plan, and you know something let's have it on the ballot, let's -- it's such a late time now let council vote to put it on the ballot in November, there is time, and let the voters decide because evidently council and the mayor cannot agree to a plan, the residents are disgusted, I think they would like to have a voice, and let's give them that. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{(Whereupon Mr. McGoff takes the dais} \\ \mbox{and joins the meeting.)}$

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Scranton City Council. Bill Jackowitz,

Scranton resident and member of the

Taxpayers' Association, founder of the

Legion of Doom. You know, 49 years ago I

failed my sophomore year in high school, 49

years ago, and I'm not ashamed of it.

Mr. McGoff's report card is probably better grades than mine, but you know what, the only report card that matters right now is Mr. McGoff's report card and Mayor Doherty's report card, and that's their voting record and their accomplishments since they were elected to work for the people of this city.

I see Mr. McGoff shows up 40 minutes

-- or an hour and ten minutes late for a
meeting, again, which he was elected, he was
elected by the citizens of this city to
represent the citizens of this city.

Mr. Joyce, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Rogan, did
Mr. McGoff inform you that he was going to
be late for his mandatory council meeting
tonight?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JOYCE: Yes, he did, he informed the office.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, did he say where he was going to be at or was at a South Side American Legion baseball game.

Mr. McGoff, where were you.

MR. MCGOFF: Are you asking me?
MR. JACKOWITZ: I just said,

Mr. McGoff, where were you, so I guess --1 2 you are the one who has got the scholarship. 3 Now, if you can't understand a simple auestion --4 5 MR. MCGOFF: No, last week when I 6 answered your question you told me you didn't want an answer, but, yes, I was at my 7 8 grandson's baseball game. 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Are you getting paid 10 tonight, Mr. McGoff? 11 MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me? 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: Are you being paid 13 tonight? 14 MR. MCGOFF: I don't think I get a 15 check tonight, no. 16 MR. JACKOWITZ: No, but are you 17 going to be paid? When your pay comes are 18 you going to get a check? 19 MR. MCGOFF: I would assume to. 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: Are you going to 21 request that they deduct the money out of 22 your check because this is like the fourth 23 meeting in a row now that you have gone to a 24 baseball game. Is that more important than 25 your city and your community, Mr. McGoff,

because that's a yes or no answer? What's more important, a South Scranton American League baseball game or your city that is on the eve of destruction?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm at the meeting.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You're an hour and ten minutes late, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: What did I miss?

MR. JACKOWITZ: And this the fourth meeting --

MR. MCGOFF: What did I miss?

MR. JACKOWITZ: You have missed the citizens who voted for you and who are paying you salaries speaking for the last hour and ten minutes, that's what you missed Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: What did I miss?

MR. JACKOWITZ: I just told you? We are talking about the financial situation of the City of Scranton which you and your mayor, Mayor Doherty, put us in. You can sit there and laugh and snicker and smile all you want because whether you are here or not, Mr. McGoff, right now you are sitting in that chair, but that chair is still

empty. Still empty because there is nothing there. Someone who would put a baseball game in front of a city, a community that you say you grew up in and that you were raised in and that you love to put a baseball game in front of that, especially since you voted for all of this, you voted to close fire stations. You put the public safety --

MR. MCGOFF: No I didn't.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, you did. We have already gone through this, Mr. McGoff, you can lie all you want --

MR. MCGOFF: No, are you wrong.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And you can spin all you want, but you voted for Mayor Doherty's budget, Mr. McGoff, which included closing fire stations --

MR. MCGOFF: No, it did not --

MR. JACKOWITZ: -- and laying off police -- yes, you did, when you voted not to override his veto. Yes, you did. And you can lie all you want, Mr. McGoff, and you can shake your hand and you can smile and you can snicker and you can talk about

my report card from 49 years ago,

Mr. McGoff. I admit I failed, Mr. McGoff, can you say the same thing? Can you tell the citizens of Scranton right now that you failed them, that you are responsible for the city being in the distressed status along with your buddy, Mayor Doherty? Can you admit that, Mr. McGoff, do you have the courage to do that?

MR. MCGOFF: You want an answer now?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe in the last election there was something like 7,000 people that voted for me and I think that's your answer.

MR. JACKOWITZ: How many people are registered to vote in the city, Mr. McGoff? So you got 7,000 votes. Big deal. There was only three people that ran for that office, you, Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Morgan, two of you were going to be elected, so you're -- and now your response is nothing but BS, and you got a degree, so I imagine you know what BS stands for.

MR. MCGOFF: Bachelor of Science?

MR. JACKOWITZ: No. No, Mr. McGoff, bullshit. That's what it stands for. That's exactly what it stands for. You asked, I gave you the answer. You can sit up there and laugh and smile all you want and you can go to your baseball games, but you know what, the people are watching and the people know, and you can shuffle your papers every night like you do at the city council meetings. You know, that's a sign of immaturity. Very much. It's a sign of immaturity that you questioned my report

card from 49 years ago, Mr. McGoff.

Let's talk about your report card.

Give me a some of the accomplishments that you and Mayor Chris Doherty have had since you have been on city council? He appointed you. You never got a job on your own.

Every job you had ever had was an appointment. School teacher, official score keeper of the Wilkes-Barre/Scranton Red Barons, all political appointment jobs and then the job at city council, political appointment. Shake your head, make your faces, embarrass yourself all of you want.

You are the one who came into this meeting late by 70 minutes with no excuse except you went to your grandson's baseball game.

Well, I hope your grandson played well, I hope he had a good game, and I hope -- by the way, are a member of the American Legion?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Why not?

MR. MCGOFF: Never joined? I don't know.

MR. JACKOWITZ: No, you can't join because you never were in the military unless you wanted to be --

MR. MCGOFF: All right.

MR. JACKOWITZ: So you don't know the answers to anything. Last week you didn't know the answer that the pool fees were up to \$5 a day and you are in charge of that, okay? Yeah, you are the chairperson for DPW and Parks and Recreation and you didn't know they raised the pool fees \$5?

You know, you can't sit there, you are exposed. Everybody knows who you are and what you are and you can look at your

watch and play with your wrist and smile and give those silly grins and those stupid faces all you want, shuffle your papers, I can imagine what it was like to be in one of your classes. I imagine students came in any time they wanted, that they could be late for any class, they could sit there smiling and chew gum and laugh because that's what you do as an adult and supposedly a scholarly one because you got a scholarship.

I failed, and you know what, it didn't bother me back then 49 years ago and it doesn't bother me right now, Mr. McGoff, you know why, because I have had a successful life and no one has ever given me anything. Ever job you ever got was a political appointment.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? And before Mrs. Schumacher speaks, I had not been in council chambers for all of Mr. Jackowitz's comments, however, I think as I entered I may have heard some language that is inappropriate, and I want to remind all of

the audience members that that type of language will not be tolerated.

Mrs. Schumacher?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, current city
resident, future resident of the Village of
East Mountain. First I'd like to say I was
very disappointed by the crowd that turned
out or failed to turn out for yesterday's
observance of Independence Day at the park.
Last year was not very well-attended and
this year was far worse and it was really
disappointing.

Now, as to the Recovery Plan, I think it's -- I believe it's time that we taxpayers find out where we are going and how we are going to get there, so I would like to suggest that maybe some ground rules for a meeting between the administration and city council might be suggested.

First of all, I would suggest a one-on-one rather than a five-to-one. I would suggest a neutral venue, perhaps the Governor's Room since I believe ECTV could probably broadcast from there directly, and

I don't know that they can do that any place else. On the mediator, I would suggest that each of the parties select three willing and acceptable persons who will act as the moderator and then that being successful, that person gets to be picked from a hat by a member of the public.

I would further suggest that with respect to new revenue line items that year one of any new line item for a current year be used to retire debt and at the end of that year when you have a good handle on what can be gleaned from that new line item then it then be applied to reduce property taxes.

I think we need to tell the county that we need a reassessment and we need it sooner rather than later, assuming that the undervaluation that was produced by PEL, which I believe was from the equalization board, is we have been losing 35 percent. That alone would be worth \$5.5 million a year based on the 2012 budget.

You need to send an urgent letter to the Finance Committee of the House in

20

21

22

23

24

25

need it passed now. As things currently stand, we taxpayers have little control over what our government does to us with respect to taxes, but at least if it's based on a sale's tax we have control over what we buy and where we buy it and I think it's imperative that that bill get out of the Finance Committee and get passed this year and signed into law. People would be certainly not happy with the tax increase that we are going to get hit with, but at least it will be more bearable if they didn't have the -- if the granddaddy of all of the local taxes was based on sales tax revenue on the property tax. I'm convinced that we need to

Harrisburg and tell them that we need, that

Scranton needs HB 1776, the School Property

Tax Independence Act, to be passed and we

I'm convinced that we need to replace our cash accounting system with an accrual accounting system where we know where we are all the time. I think the current year operating budget, obviously we are going to get a multi-year budget in the form of the Recovery Plan, but I think the

first year of that should be by month. As your solicitor pointed out with respect to the Parking Authority, their budget was no different really from the city's, it was just an annual fee and you just can't divide everything by 12 and say that's what's going to come in or that's what is going to go out, and if we are truly going to track and hold ourselves accountable that we say -- that we collect what we need to collect and we expend only what we need to expend we need to know where those spikes are and where the valleys are, so I think -- I would rather than a month-by-month budget for the next operating year.

I think maybe it's time to look at regionalization as a possible option. I mean, we just -- this city's tax base cannot continue to bear the burden of all of the nonprofits -- excuse me, nonproperty tax paying entities that are in the city and if the legislature is not going to do anything to help us at least get on par with most of the other municipalities in the state then I think regionalization, although I hate to

see it happen, I like to keep things local, 1 but that may be the only option to equal 2 3 things out. And then I would like to know if you 4 5 are going to designate a day -- the day of prayer and fasting for our city, if that 6 7 will be announced tonight. Thank you. 8 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 9 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 10 Hello, Janet. 11 MR. SLEDENZSKI: 12 MS. EVANS: Hi, Chris. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: How did your hot dogs 14 and steaks turn out? MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack. I burned 15 16 them. I burned them, Jack. I burned them. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: You told me you were 18 the best chef in West Side. MR. SLEDENZSKI: I'm the best cook 19 20 in the world. Jack, as far as these guys 21 downstairs, Jackie, they are going a good 22 job. Keep them all open. Please. Jack, do 23 it for me. Do it for my friends down there. 24 You know I love them. You know that. Thank 25 you.

2

3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: We are trying. Thank you.

MS. CHILIPKO: Good evening, Council. Mary Chilipko, resident and taxpayer, sadly City of Scranton. My first question, I guess Attorney Hughes answered earlier about Mr. Doherty being in contempt of Court. From my limited knowledge as a University of Scranton graduate, who I'm very disappointed in as they watch our city go bankrupt, if it were me and a judge told me to do something and I didn't I think I know where I would be, what do they call it, the Stone Wall Motel in the 1200 of North Washington Avenue.

And also I wanted to know, my question is has the Scranton Parking Authority, I know we were counting days at one point on the defaults, Attorney Hughes, if you could possibly do you know if they have made any progress on those default issues or, you know, will it be business as usual after the 30 days are up? If you know have any idea.

> MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MS. CHILIPKO: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I assume that they have done nothing. I do know that the insurance company that -- for the bonds has moved and has removed Bank of New York Mellon as the trustee. There is new trustee for the bonds that has been appointed. It's Wells-Fargo. They are the same trustee that has taken over in Stockton, California, which is now bankrupt, they replaced the existing trustees out there, so I would assume, which is a bad thing for a lawyer to do, but I will assume that with the new trustee appointed it's going to be a different game show over there.

But about a month ago Mr. Scopelliti when he was here stated that he would submit to us a revised budget. It's been over a month and we haven't received a revised budget. So the answer is that I don't think they have done anything.

MS. CHILIPKO: Thank you very much because as I understand Mr. Rogan was berated for his "no" vote and when we spoke I said I hope, I think I'm saying this

right, I hoped that he was wrong and that they don't pull some kind of another trick and money appears magically as it has in the past. I think you all have a lot of courage to even get up there. I'm over here and I wouldn't be up there.

As for the woman who said that, you know, you really can't get waited on in the permits and to get dog licenses, I don't think you will see a license, they went up from \$9 to \$20 as we had spoke about earlier, so I don't think you will see a line up in that office.

As far as the fireworks, I don't know funding, I don't know where funding came from, but I was just asked to mention by a neighbor that possibly the city's portions of those donations, for whatever reason those people seem to make them when it's fireworks, could have been used better to maybe open more of the pools in the city that would take people through the summer rather than one night.

And I also had an elderly neighbor who was support of intimidated to go to the

_ .

event since it became a political event and not really when people have their faces out there politicians rather than like my elderly neighbor who felt a little intimidated to go down given the circumstances.

I think we all should, and you have probably heard it before, I think we need to look forward and in my opinion I'll look forward to next year and if you can get some citizens involved what do we need to do, because we can can't go back and forth, we can have all of this it's turned into chaos again, but I really hope it doesn't turn into I heard people say, "Let's turn out the lights, lock the doors and leave our homes."

That would truly be sad. Maybe we can raise some revenue on the money that, you know, we could make a movie where if that were to happen if that were to the case. So thank you all and have a good evening. I also can see, Mr. McGoff, that you are not paying attention.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. ROSKY: Good evening, Council.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mary Ann Rosky, homeowner, taxpayer and I would like to echo the words of the previous speaker with the fireworks. I last week had mentioned that hopefully the city will not have the fireworks because of the financial straights that we are in right now, and would like to see even a pool be opened besides Nay Aug, so I don't know where that money came from for the fireworks. know if the one at the courthouse was, you know, provided by the county or it was just Nay Aug that the city paid for, does anybody know where that money came from for the ones at Nay Aug and who paid for the ones at the courthouse? No? Okay.

Well, I think we have reached a time in our lives right now where all of the taxpayers what we can do now, I guess, is first of all believe what you hear from the council. Anybody watching at home do not believe the Times, do not believe the news that the mayor goes on there to say his side of the story, which is always incorrect. It's not council who refused to meet with the mayor, it's the mayor that has not come

21

22

23

24

25

forth. Please, please, please, there has to be a way, file a petition, whatever we have to do, to get the mayor here, and if people are allowed to come, fine. If not, it could be the five members of the council and the mayor and have it televised, just so we know when it will happen. That's the only thing that we can do right now is find a way, I don't know, Attorney Hughes, is there a way that we can kind of drag him out without dragging him, you know what I mean, physically doing it? Because I wouldn't mind physically doing it, but I don't think that could be done unless we bring him in a straight jacket.

MR. HUGHES: It's called self-help.

MS. ROSKY: There has to be something that we can do to get him here and face council and anybody who is like watching tonight I'm sure there are people who do watch and that's where maybe the majority of them are if they have Comcast, but they cannot -- they listen to the speakers and say they find certain things amusing when the speakers talk or whatever,

well, you know what, you have to finish watching the whole city council meeting. You can't just pick up and walk in another room, change the channel. You have got to listen to each and every single council member that speaks because they the answers and they speak the truth and so does GO Lackawanna, the newspaper, and I would advise people to get that on their Sunday as well as if they get the Times whatever, because that speaks the truth.

Now, onto something else, I see we are -- the mayor prized possession, that Scranton, Pennsylvania, wonderful world, the Bridge to Nowhere, well, face it people, we are all on it right now. We are all walking that bridge to no where, and we will only end up down the cliff, down the bridge if that hike of 78 percent tax goes up. I just found out tonight that the Sewer Authority is going to be raised and that the garbage the mayor wants of \$22 -- is it \$22 or 20 whatever and 78 percent we can't do it. We can't do it.

The next thing that's going to

23

24

25

happen to us taxpayers, put out the arm, come with a needle, find our vein and take our blood. That's the next step. That's the next thing the mayor is going to ask us We have got nothing left, and we don't have a choice right now. Most of us, I would say, most of us homeowners we either continue to pay the high taxes or we do work There is no both. There is on our homes. the one or the other so expect more blight in this city if those taxes go up. commend you, council members, I pray for you all the time, and I hope that this will continue with what you are doing. Do not give into this man. He always -- he thinks the money is coming. I don't know where he thinks he is dealing with previous council. It's time for him to get serious and be This is not the previous councils, this is you guys and you mean business, and the mayor has got to know that and I think you are doing a good job of it, but he won't let it sound that way.

So, Mrs. Evans, with you providing documentation to the Times and GO

Lackawanna, I thought that weeks ago that something has to be in writing so that if the mayor says something that you will have in writing what was actually said, because the Times-Tribune and the media don't get it. They just don't get it. So pass one out to everyone if something is said and have them correct themselves, because to me it's all defamation of character on council.

And also I did want to know if I recall back in -- I'll come back next week. Thank you.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer,
exercising my First Amendment Right, voicing
my opinion.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: Where is the beef?
Better yet, where is the money? Since 2002,
when this administration took office with a
\$3 million surplus from the Connors'
administration, between borrowing and the
loans and budget holes, the city took in
over a billion dollars. That's a billion
dollars. Where did we spend a billion

dollars in 11 years and now have a deficit of \$5 million. A billion dollars is a lot of money to now have that deficit. Now that we are bailing out the Scranton Parking Authority our long-term debt is \$400 million plus, 300 of ours is the city's, 100 is theirs, the Parking Authority's and my question and since we are the tax office under Mary Lynn Vitali Flynn found \$12.2 million and the Scranton Parking Authority found or had an account with over \$3 million in it. Are there other accounts out there? Me, I have to believe there are. I can't help believing that.

Now, the city says they don't have the money to pay full payrolls, even though they have to pay them according to a judge. They say they only have \$300,000 to pay the minimum wage of \$7.25 an hour, if I remember correctly \$5 million was borrowed a number of times from the Workers' Comp Fund to pay previous years bills, why can't they be done now? You didn't know it was borrowed from the fund at those times, no one told you. They didn't mention the fund they are

borrowing from that now, they don't mention it at all. Why? Maybe they want a Recovery Plan in place so they can borrow money, are they using that as an excuse?

It was brought up by someone last week that there was no plan since 2005 and the banks loaned the city money. They were able to borrow. Why now that we can't?

The fireworks, I know it's repetition, someone paid for them. They were two nights of fireworks, one on Sunday night at Nay Aug and one Tuesday a courthouse square, we are asking did the city pay for the fireworks at either or both? But we have to remember these famous words, money has to come from somewhere. We know who said that, but don't worry more and more people are waking up, more and more people are coming here. They are not going to take the bait. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening. Bob
Martin, 420 Adams Avenue. I would like to
recognize Councilman McGoff and Mr. Rogan
and Councilwoman Evans, Councilman Rogan --

21

22

23

24

25

Councilman Joyce, I'll get it right, and Councilman Loscombe. I haven't been here the last couple of weeks because I was in the hospital, I was having breathing problems so my lungs were filling up with fluid, so I'm feeling a lot better now, I feel like a new guy. The question I want -well, I want to make a comment about the fireworks, I think they were great. part of the reasons why they had them downtown was because of the stadium being tore down, but I hope they continue to have the fireworks downtown because I'll tell you when those fireworks are going off they were just bouncing off all of the buildings and like echoing and it was great, and I think they were a lot of the booms and a lot of bangs and compared to some fireworks they were great.

And I congratulate vice-president

Joe Biden for being here. That's the first

time I ever met a vice-president, I mean, I

wasn't real close, but I will give him

credit he really didn't -- you know, there

was comments making that he coming here for

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

politics, he didn't really politic, he talked about his family and stuff like that, and I agree with that and there was a question that whether or not the city has to pay for it, but I think I saw it on TV that the Obama administration is paying for it because it wasn't an official visit, I don't know if that's true or not, and if that's the case that's good because I know the city is in dire straights.

Talk about dire straights, the street out here in the 500 block of Mulberry Street reminds me of the way the city is. I don't understand why that hasn't been paved. It's the only street down this way. Why? Does anybody know where it hasn't been paved? I mean, it doesn't make sense. Ι mean, you know, three or four days I have gone by, but, you know, as I come up here I usually -- usually when I talk if I don't have anything good to say I don't say anything at all. I don't like to down anybody. I know, you know, it's a tough job up there and I know, Councilman McGoff, you are doing the best job you can do and I

appreciate you for serving on council, okay, and everyone else, and also for Attorney Hughes, Nancy and Jamie, they are all doing a good job. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. I'll try and be very brief. I know over the past couple of weeks we very been talking about the problems facing the city. I thick we are looking at two interrelated issues and the first and most immediate one is a cash flow crisis. If we are going to be looking at questions about payroll and vendors and so on into the future we need to find a way of providing revenue to the city. Right now what was in the budget is, and I'm not trying to blame anybody, but right now we are looking at the unfunded debt and some other things that we weren't -- isn't being realized and we need to do something to

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hopefully fill this void so that we can pay our bills, so we can pay our employees. It just seems that we have been relying on the banking community to somehow resolve the issue for us.

And we have also been talking about a Recovery Plan that the banking community wants us to have, and so the long range problem of the Recovery Plan is having I think a very negative effect on the short range crisis that we face. I don't know how we resolve it. I'm very happy to see from e-mails that I have seen, even from the newspaper article that it seems as though we are finally at the point where we are talking numbers for the Recovery Plan. think that's a step forward and I think in the past we are trying to look at placing blame, but now at least we are getting down to the issue of, you know, what numbers can we put into a Recovery Plan, what numbers can we agree to.

Hopefully we can resolve some of that over -- in a short period of time and put together something that's reasonably

acceptable to everyone. I know one of the stumbling -- or one of the issues is the estimate on the commuter tax. I think that council's recommendation was something like \$4 million and the administration had it at \$1.4, and I believe our \$4 million estimate was based on a study done by PEL; am I correct?

MR. JOYCE: A study provided to PEL.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?

MR. JOYCE: A study provided to PEL by an outside group.

MR. MCGOFF: I would just wondering if we could somehow send a letter to PEL or that group who conducted the study to just get verification that that was, in fact, an estimate that we could rely on. Would anyone be opposed to doing that? If we can ask Mrs. Krake if we could find a -- you know, send a letter to PEL and possibly to the company that conducted that study, just to verify that those numbers are, in fact, accurate and that they are reliable and that, again, we were put -- it's put into the Recovery Plan something that the banking

community or whoever is looking at it will see that it has some credibility.

I think what we need now is a solution. We need a solution to our immediate crisis. We do not -- I don't know what the result of, you know, tomorrow's Court hearing will be, perhaps, I heard Attorney Hughes speaking about it, but I think people should be paid, but we need to get money in order to pay them, and I think that we need to expedite any solution that we can in order to bring about some fiscal solvency for the short term and then work on the long-term solution for the Recovery Plan.

And, as I said, I'm optimistic that since we are now moving into talking about the numbers that we will move forward and we can have some plan acceptable in the very near future. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I actually had two motions, but one of them has already been addressed, as most people

_

in the audience know I have been a staunch proponent of meeting throughout the month of August and I'm glad to hear that council will be meeting throughout August this year.

And my second motion I would like to make a motion instructing Mayor Doherty to withhold compensation for members of city council until the current cash crisis is resolved.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor, is there a second?

MR. MCGOFF: I'll second it.

MR. ROGAN: On the question, obviously, a lot of the city employees are severely hurting by taking minimum wage and the mayor had made comments on TV that it would be up to council to act on what we would be doing with our salaries, I believe it was WNEP where he made those comments. I am fine with not cashing my check, but I believe that as a body we should go without pay until the current crisis is resolved, so that is why I made the motion.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question,

I will be receiving no pay at that point.

1	As we know, my pension was taken off me, I'm
2	only surviving right now on my city council
3	pay. Yes, I am willing to give it up, but,
4	you know, I will be applying for food stamps
5	I believe.
6	MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Rogan, you have
7	a federal job; is that correct?
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
10	the question? All those in favor signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Opposed?
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
16	MS. EVANS: No.
17	MR. JOYCE: (No audible response.)
18	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it, I
19	believe, do they not.
20	MR. ROGAN: Can we ask for a roll
21	call?
22	MR. MCGOFF: Fine.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. 1 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 4 MR. JOYCE: 5 No. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 6 7 MS. EVANS: No. The motion did not 8 However, I think it's important to pass. 9 understand that city council's pay has been cut to \$7.25. Our solicitor's pay has been 10 11 cut to \$7.25. Our chief clerk, the city clerk, her salary has been cut as has Ms. 12 13 Marciano and Ms. Carrera. 14 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mrs. Evans. Moving onto another issue, I lost my train 15 16 of thought. Getting back to that issue, I 17 will withhold cashing my checks until the 18 cash crisis is taken care of. 19 Secondly, on other issues of 20 finances, I have heard from police officers 21 that have been performing extra duty, and 22 for those that aren't familiar with how 23 extra duty works is if there is a private 24 entity that would like a Scranton police

officer there for protection they would pay

25

the City of Scranton and the City of
Scranton would pay the uniformed police
officers, so it's private money that it
paying their salary to these they call it
extra duty. For instance, I believe Maine's
Food on up in Minooka they pay for a police
officer for extra duty, different
organizations pay for events that are going
on. Unless anyone has an objection, I would
like to send a letter to the mayor and the
business administrator or the police chief
asking if police officers that are
performing extra duty will still continue to
receive payment above the minimum wage.

I firmly believe they should. It's not taxpayer money, it is private money when they are doing extra work and I'm hopeful that the mayor isn't taking that money and raiding it to pay other bills because it should go to those police officers who are doing the extra work, so if no one has any objections, Mrs. Krake, could we send that letter? I have that in writing as well.

The second one, as long as nobody has any objection, is a letter to the mayor

and business administrator asking what the cost of vice-president Biden's visit to the city was and if they plan on sending a bill to the Obama-Biden campaign for the costs associated with the visit. And I have an article that I pulled off the Internet, now, this is from German, New Hampshire.

President Obama visited the town for a campaign stop and the associated costs were \$20,000. One of the town managers said, "It's the right thing to do. The campaigns, whoever the party, whatever the party should pay the costs associated with the visit."

I agree with this manager of the Town in New Hampshire, it should apply to not Mr. Obama and his vide-president, but Mr. Romney and whoever he selects to be his vice president and in situation actually North Carolina what wound up happening is an anonymous donor did step up and I assume was a supporter of President Obama and paid the \$20,000, so if there is a cost associated with the visit, and I know Scranton every election year is a hot place for candidates to stop by, last year I know President Obama

visited numerous times and the same thing with Senator McCain, and if there is a cost associated with it, it's very expensive to protect a president or a presidential candidate, so as long as there are no objections could we please send that letter as well.

MS. EVANS: Can we also include the clean up costs as well.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. Absolutely and that would apply not only to both candidates as well.

Next, a few comments and things that the speakers have mentioned. The fireworks show, I believe we sent a letter to the mayor regarding this, we haven't received a reply. Mrs. Krake, could we please send another letter to the mayor and the Recreation Authority as well asking what the costs of the firework display were and exactly who paid? I have heard, I believe the downtown fireworks was paid for by the county; is that correct, Mr. Hetman?

MR. HETMAN: We can find out for you, Mr. Rogan.

2

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: What was that?

MR. HETMAN: We can bring an answer to next week's meeting.

MR. ROGAN: Okay, thank you, I appreciate that, and I believe the fireworks at Nay Aug were paid for by the Recreation Authority, which I'm not 100 percent sure, but I would like to see it in writing, but the bottom line is the Recreation Authority is still your money, and as a few speakers mentioned, that money could have been used to open another pool in this city or maybe for this weekend since it's going to be in the high 90's again open it up for free for everyone. I think that would have been a better investment than choosing to have two firework displays within a week. hopefully we can get an answer on that.

Next, Mr. Morgan mentioned the

StreetSmart plan and I know Mr. Loscombe -myself and Mr. Loscombe are going back and
forth with him a little bit on that,

StreetSmart is not a privatization plan by
any means. I don't think any member of this
board wants to sell the parking meters, any

of us want to lease or sell the garages, but not the meters, they are bringing in money to the city every day, and we need to -- it's a meter enhancement program where we would be bringing in more money, and basically all we are doing is purchasing software from this company. It's not a privatization plan at all. It will still be operated by the city, but we can't expect our meter readers and other employees to create the software that's necessary to run this type of program, so we do have to go outside of the city and purchase it.

And from the meetings we had they had many plans that would be very easy for the city to pay for this because when they would put it in initially we would be getting the extra revenue along the way and out of the extra we would be paying the bill so we wouldn't have to come out-of-pocket a couple of hundred thousand dollars up front, it would be over time and it would be coming out of the increased revenue from the system.

Finally, the Scranton Parking

agree with what was mentioned by a couple of speakers that I hope I'm wrong that they will be placed in receivership, I think there is a few days left. Right now it doesn't seem like there is any sign of them folding up. Mr. Scopelliti is still there, he still hasn't provided the revised budget to the city, and if the Scranton Parking Authority wasn't bailed out with a million dollars the city would have been able to make payroll this month, this week.

Now, for next week we would still be in the same situation but that million dollars could have came in pretty handy this week. I hope it works out that competent officials are eventually placed into the Parking Authority. I think the end goal for the majority of us on council is the same that we want it placed into receivership and we want Mr. Scopelliti out. I hope that they are not going to be back here in another two or three four months asking for more money. Personally, I don't think they should have received a cent until they

Authority was brought up once again and I

9

10

11

7

8

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

folded up, we had a difference of opinion on that. Hopefully moving forward it will work out, eventually they will gone and competent leaders will be placed in the Parking Authority. The city taxpayers cannot afford to pay \$100 million of Parking Authority debt on top of the increased taxes, the payroll tax and the increased fees and less services.

And, finally, a few comments on the Court case and everything that's going on, the mayor has established a pattern of behavior over the last 10 years and even as a council member. He has borrowed a lot of money, he has wasted a lot of money. he didn't get cooperation from council he would just do what he wanted. I know Mrs. Evans mentioned -- asked if the mayor called any of the union leaders to try and negotiate something before it went to Court, of course not. This is the mayor's track record. He doesn't negotiate. I don't know how the other members found out, but I did receive a call from Mr. McGowan, and I appreciate the call of him letting us know

that all employees would be dropped to \$7.25 an hour, but he never asked, "Do you an alternative? Do you think we should try to work this out?"

No, it was a call this is what we are doing. Council didn't have any input and for once the Scranton Times has actually been somewhat accurate that the mayor did do this on his own without any input from city council or union leaders or any other city employees. The mayor owns it.

With the other Court cases with the union the mayor has been trying to pass the buck onto PEL and DCED, but it was his plan from day one to blame the city, city unions and specifically the public safety unions, and everyone that knows me knows I'm not a union man, but I support public safety and that's where the mayor went wrong. We need to make cuts in the city and we still do, but he made them in the wrong places at the wrong time, and that's were why we are in the spot we are in.

I'm 25 years old. The mayor has been either on city council or the mayor

more than half of my life, but it's my fault that the city is falling in disrepair and we don't have any money because I have been on city council a little over two years, the same thing with a few of my colleagues. He has been creating budgets from council, creating budgets from the mayor's office, but yet it's city council's fault.

I have many differences of opinion with Mayor Doherty and how this city should be run, but I still believe at the end of the day all five members of the council and the mayor need to sit down and talk, whether it be all five of us at once or as Mrs. Schumacher mentioned one on one, each one of us going in one after another. If we have to have a mediator, that's fine, but I think we need to sit down and discuss different options. A 78 percent tax increase is never going to pass. I don't think -- I don't see how anyone could vote for it.

And the other idea that was brought up was to put it on the ballot for referendum. I think that's a great idea

down the mayor's plan as well.

That is all I have nor tonight, and I would just like to once again apologize for missing last week's meeting I was out of town, and I will comment on agenda items as they come up. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, I just have a few. First of all, I would like to congratulate my nephew, Rick. His birthday is later on this week and I do want to let his father know it's time to let him get his driver's license so, to my brother, Rick, the rest of the relatives will be on your back about this. I promised this to little Rick.

Speaking of birthdays, I happened to be at a gathering yesterday in Clarks Summit at my daughter and son-in-law's house, it was a combination Fourth of July party but it was my son-in-law's 30th birthday party, also, and as we got to the part with the

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cake, you know, I was thinking about joking when they lit the candles stating that next year we would have to have the fire department on standby as you usually do, you know, when you hit a certain age with all of the candles, but I really didn't say it.

And lo and behold within the next few minutes a lighting storm came through and there was a loud clap of thunder and flash and we knew that the hitting hit close by and, you know, it didn't even knock the lights out for anything, but I just happened to stick -- then the rain came down torrentially and I happened to stick my head out the back door and I saw smoke billowing out of her dryer vent, and I knew it wasn't dryer smoke it was something on fire, so I told everybody to get out of the house and I had my daughter call 911 and my son-in-law grabbed a fire extinguisher and we ran down to the basement where the dryer was and the dryer hadn't even been on. That was the strange thing about it, and we went down there and I pulled the ceiling apart and there was a lot of smoke above the ceiling.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Apparently, the lighting hit the dryer vent and whatever lent was still in there was burning and shorted out on one of the wires, fortunately, it didn't catch any of the flooring or anything, but in the mean time there was a great response from Chinchilla and Clarks Summit fire departments and I'd like to thank -- and my friend was there, Chief Quinn and Chief Connolly from both departments and their men for their quick response. You know, we have worked together many times, I have met with them on different occasions and they do a lot of training with our guys so they are all professionals in their own right, and they did handle the situation professionally and made sure there was no extension and I did want to send out a shout out and a thank you to them for, you know, their quick response on a holiday like that and making sure everything was in order.

And, fortunately, you know, all I have to do is replace the dryer vent for her, so that was a blessing, but to my surprise when I looked out the back door I

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, "Uh-oh." It's been awhile since I handled that stuff.

But just to go further on -- oh, also, Mrs. Krake, all she's not there, I'll pass this information onto her, I believe it was a week or two ago in the newspaper that the state was supposed to repair the problem on Robinson Street in West Side. We have two access roads from downtown, to West Side closed for a period of time now. The West Linden Street Bridge, I was assured that they were putting the bids out this month to have that done. Now, I want to get a timetable so I'm going to contact PennDOT and check that out, but Robinson Street in West Side they said the state was going to repair it I believe it was over ten days ago and nothing has been done yet, the road is still blocked off, so I'd like to request some information on that, also.

Back to the situation that the city faces here. You know, it's no secret, I don't know how to clarify is, people keep saying, "Meet with the mayor. Meet with the mayor." We have all met I think

individually with him. Individually you get no where. Individually it's your word against his word. I don't -- I mean, the only way we are going to resolve this is meet with the mayor in mass. He is the mayor, we are the council, we got to meet with him together. I mean, no questions from the audience or anything, we have to deal with this ourselves, that's fine with me, but, you know, we have always been open to meeting with the mayor. I know we have all met with different times and different periods with him personally on issues, but nothing is being accomplished that way.

If he is serious about this situation he has to meet with us. He has to meet for you. I don't know understand it. You know, we can says it's the blame game, we are blaming him and he is blaming us, you know, one of our speakers brought it up, but, you know, an audit for what's going on since this administration has been in office. I think that's great idea. I'm curious, can we include an audit in the Recovery Plan for the last ten years or

whatever to find out where the money has been going then I'll be happen to take the blame if it's council's fault. Let us know where that money has gone the last ten, 12 years.

MR. ROGAN: That's a great idea.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But I think we should include that in a Recovery Plan and then PEL and DCED, everybody will know why were we are in the hole we are in. I mean, it only makes sense. Is that something that I should bring as a motion or can we agree to include that in our Recovery Plan or I don't know what the proper procedure is?

MS. EVANS: I believe that because -- well, first let's make the motion and get the agreement of council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I see, I should
-- I would like to make a motion that we
include an audit for this current
administration's time in office as mayor as
part of our Recovery Plan.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't even -- we

don't necessarily need a motion to do that,

I don't think. We can put anything in there
that we want.

MS. EVANS: Exactly, and that's what would be coming next, but --

MR. MCGOFF: We haven't done a motion on anything else that we have requested, you know, for the Recovery Plan, so I don't disagree with that, I just think it's, you know, an unnecessary vote to take or an unnecessary motion.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else?

MR. ROGAN: I would just say I agree 100 percent with Mr. Loscombe, and I'd like to know exactly where every penny was spent for the last, ten, 12 years, every eraser accounted for, every pencil, every piece of paper to find out where the money has been going. We know where much of it has been going and where it's going to go, to arbitration awards, to pay back debt and borrowing, but all of the small things add up and they all need to be watched.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And again, if this audit proves it was our fault, I'll gladly

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take the blame, but I'm not going to continued to be watched on TV and hear watch bloggers and listen to radio stations accusing of us of being the problem, accusing of us, especially one of the radio commentators is calling us inept. I may not have a master's degree, I know my colleagues have much more education than me, I have a lot of life experience. I know what it's like living in Scranton, I have lived here all my life, I can make the right decisions. We elected a businessman to run this city and where are we now? So he can call me as inept as he wants, and we all know who I'm speaking about, our governor's namesake, but, you know, he can say all he wants over the airwaves, but we are not inept. We have been working for you.

If we were here maybe eight years ago in mass like this maybe we wouldn't have been in this point, but we finally stopped the buck, and I'm not afraid to take the blame if it is our blame, but I know we only been working hard to take the pressure off the taxpayers and to work within our

confines and to provide the adequate public safety that you deserve.

And Mr. Rogan said this mayor has shown a pattern, he has shown a pattern from the day he came into office of fighting against public safety. He has turned back \$3 1/2 to protect you that was given to us free, but yet he won't turn back \$5,000 for a park. It's amazing. I don't know where his priorities are and I don't know many times we have to sit here and explain to you because you don't read it properly in the media.

MS. EVANS: Well, Mr. Loscombe, I think what we have at play is, you know, if you repeat a story often enough, you make a statement often enough and you do it through the newspaper and news channels, people are going to believe it and that's, unfortunately, what happened in a lot of European nations when there was, you know, a newspaper that was working with the leader in power and information was kept from the people and, you know, whatever propaganda was perpetrated it was repeated and repeated

and repeated until everyone believed it, and that's basically what we have going on here.

But I think the only thing I wanted to add is I feel you may actually need a forensic audit.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct.

MS. EVANS: Rather than your typical audit in that there is so much to be uncovered. For example, even in terms of the health care we have never even gotten to the bottom of any of those situations. I know, for example, going back through the years we were paying I believe for individuals who had died.

So there is so much to be uncovered that I believe it's going to be a lengthy process probably a costly process, but certainly one that is worthy, more than worthy.

MR. ROGAN: I also think it needs to be determined whether the pensions of Mr. Pocius and Mr. Hazzouri are legal. I know that was a hot button member when they were council members.

MS. EVANS: That was determined in

1 Court. The Courts ruled in their favor that 2 they could keep their pensions, and everyone 3 before them. MR. ROGAN: It seems that it may be 4 5 a conflict, I know Mr. Loscombe has his situation with his pension, but Mr. Pocius 6 7 is on the city payroll through his company 8 at CECCO Associates collecting a pension and 9 receiving contracts through the city, so I 10 think that's something that also needs to be look at as well. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Now, if there is nothing 13 else on the question --14 MR. LOSCOMBE: I apologize, because I got back into my dialogue. 15 16 MS. EVANS: I know. I know, but I just wanted to make sure that we vote before 17 18 you finish. Anyone else on the question? 19 All those in favor of introduction signify 20 by saying aye. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

have it and so moved and that will be included in the council's spreadsheet.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. And just a couple more items on this whole -- to clarify again. City council passed an ordinance for the borrowing of the unfunded debt of \$9.85 million. We also provided a tax increase to cover that, that was outside of the Recovery Plan. It makes no sense to me why the administration who had an agreement from M & T isn't proceeding with this. That's all they require, the tax increase and the ordinance.

MR. MCGOFF: M & T withdrew from it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They withdrew from

it --

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But we had the agreement prior to that, and what I believe is, it's what I believe, they might have been talked out of it until there is a Recovery Plan. Since 2005, as I stated before, we have a Recovery Plan that's been expired but every year there has been millions borrowed. Millions borrowed

without question of a Recovery Plan or a new Recovery Plan. I wish someone can explain to me why all of a sudden we have to have a Recovery Plan as part of this. This was outside of the Recovery Plan. Wasn't this part of it?

MR. MCGOFF: Were you at the meetings with the banking community?

Mr. Joyce was I know that I attended and they said at those meetings they would like to see a Recovery Plan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We do have a Recovery Plan according to what we are operating on, it's expired in 2005.

MR. MCGOFF: You are misconstruing.

MS. EVANS: No, I think his question is, if I'm hearing you correctly, why was it never a requirement previous to this?

MR. MCGOFF: That's something you would have to ask the banks. They are the ones that stated it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, I think if a bank doesn't take this opportunity when we have the money to back this loan is missing the boat, and I think any bank that wants to

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cooperate with the city in the future we should push that bank, but if any of them want to turn their back on us now we should make sure they are known, too, and it's a disappointment. I know that M & T has the backing here of the tax dollars and they were going to agree to it and now they are backing away.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. Loscombe, if I could, three weeks ago after this statement was made I called Dennis Kozalanski, who is bond counsel for the City of Scranton. told him specifically the exact statement that you just made and for him to investigate it because that loan for \$9.85 million has absolutely nothing to do with the Recovery Plan. What they did is that they linked, and this was M & T and bond counsel, linked together that borrowing which should be independent and totally independent of the other borrowing, but they commingled it. They put them together. They can easily separate it. In three weeks I haven't heard back from them, so I don't know why, but that's been asked to bond

counsel, asked to M & T and should proceed

I started working on the docks at a \$1.25 an hour, so I'm going getting five times as much now as when I started working on the docks when I became a Teamster, and I'm a card carrying Teamster, and I worked my way through college and law school by working on the docks and driving truck, so to me it's simple. I'm a simple guy from West Side, and I'm not a council member.

MR. MCGOFF: If it were that simple then why aren't the banks responding?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think it was just clarified.

MR. HUGHES: It's so simple it's obvious. There is collusion going on. In the event for the city to get money until they adopt a Recovery Plan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Remember --

MS. EVANS: We don't like to use those words because we are all just imagining these things, just like we imagined newspapers that don't report goes on, just like we imagined radio stations that don't want to tell the truth about what goes on, and some television stations

because they are all owned by the same newspaper. We all are imagining this I suppose.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add, I apologize, Mr. Loscombe, for jumping in again, and I agree with what Attorney Hughes said it is -- to me it seems simple as well and I didn't support the unfunded debt, but if I was a banker or even as a common man if somebody asked me for a \$100 and they said they were guaranteed \$10 a year or \$11 a year actually for the next ten years and it's guaranteed money coming in by the taxpayers I would jump at that I think.

Now, I don't know, if I was a banker I would jump on it in a heartbeat.

Just like the same situation with the first TAN it was done through the -- using the lockbox method from with the tax office, it was guaranteed money. There was no way that money could have been moved around. It was guaranteed to come in and pay the TAN off. The unfunded debt could be done the same way, and by reading the e-mails I know Mr. Joyce and Mr. McGowan,

they are going back and forth, I think, as I said before, Mr. McGowan and the administration they need a civics lesson. It is not the job of the legislative branch of government to also be the executive branch of government. We pass laws, they execute them. That's how the system works. You don't see the Congress and the Senate going out trying to execute the laws that the president should be executing. That's why the system is setup this way.

The mayor can't pass legislation, council can't execute the legislation.

That's why you have the two branches of government. The mayor and Mr. McGowan don't seem to understand that. Mr. McGowan mentioned in those e-mails that council should reach out to --

MR. LOSCOMBE: The banks.

MR. ROGAN: -- different banks and vendors. The job of council is to make laws. It is the job of the administration, whoever it may be, Mayor Connors, Mayor Doherty, the next mayor, to put it into motion. That's how government works. It's

very simple. And again, I apologize for taking your time, Mr. Loscombe.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd just like to respond, Mr. McGowan, did give us a list of all of the banks and all of the people he was in contact with and not one of them is responsive to our request.

MS. EVANS: He sent them an e-mail.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. And he had been in contact with every one of those banks since January and yet we are not --

MS. EVANS: Those banks are all part of a consortium.

MR. MCGOFF: And all he did was ask us if we had a better idea, if we had a better way of dealing with it, go ahead and contact them.

MR. ROGAN: When you looking for either -- as an individual if you are looking for a home loan you don't send an e-mail out to a bank. That's what they are doing. When you need a car loan you don't send an e-mail out, you go there and present your -- you know, you have a credit score and different things how are going to pay it

back, that's what the administration should be done. They should be administering the laws that are passed. It seems very basic to me whether -- and, as I said before, I didn't vote for it, but it seems very simple to me how it should be administered. You go to bankers to try to get the loan, you do whatever it takes to administer the laws that have been passed. It was passed by council, it was signed by the mayor. At that point council's responsibility is over. It is not job of the city council or any legislative branch of the government to go out and execute laws.

MS. EVANS: And I think --

MR. ROGAN: It's very basic.

MS. EVANS: I think the ultimate irony in this situation is that a Recovery Plan, a revised Recovery Plan, the 2005, they are meaningless. They are worthless. They are nothing more than a collection of projections. Some based on historical trends, some not. How many times have Recovery Plan plans been violated in the last 20 years? Time and after time after

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time each mayor has selected what he could use from it, and what he thought he didn't agree with so he just ignored it altogether and did what he wanted, and this mayor has even said, "Just get one together and pass it, you don't have to actually do it or listen to it."

And I believe him when he says that because that's exactly what he did the last time, and if banks, forgive the language, are banking on a Recovery Plan as evidence that they are going to get their money, my goodness, you know, good luck to you because it's nothing more than a worthless document and for some reason PEL and DCED they have wanted this now since this council got in and they kept pushing and pushing and pushing for it and we kept pushing back. And they finally found their opening, Mr. McGoff, it was with the finances and they figured, "Ooh, here it is. Here is the way we keep our control and we keep PEL employed," because God knows they haven't earned their wages over these 20 years, and so they decide, "Um-hum, we are going to let

those banks know."

DCED and PEL, boy, we -- you know, you know how we'll get your money? We guarantee it through a revised Recovery Plan, and you put that into every term sheet there is and make that council do it and we guarantee you will get the money.

And here is another really, you know, questionable statement. DCED has said, "Oh, get that revised Recovery Plan, we'll go right to the bank the next day."

Allen Walker said this to me,
Pennsylvania's secretary of DCED, "We'll go
to the bank the next day and get you the
money."

You know what that says to me? Made me at least come up with a question, really? And who is telling them not to give us the money?

MR. MCGOFF: I think the irony is that we included \$16.5 million worth of borrowing in our budget --

MS. EVANS: At the request of the administration, yes.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: That was not in the budget, it was not in the mayor's budget but --

MS. EVANS: The refinancing was not in the mayor's budget, and I have legislation here that was sent from the mayor for unfunded debt borrowing before his proposed budget came out and he wanted the money then. He still wanted the money at budget time --

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, he did.

MS. EVANS: And I've got e-mails from him and I've got signed documents, so, Mr. McGoff, maybe you weren't involved in it quite the same way we are because I know you operate differently as a council member --

MR. JACKOWITZ: He is at a baseball game eating hot dogs.

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, it's a discussion among council.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, it's ironic that we included the borrowing and now we are accusing the people that we need to get the borrowing from we are accusing them of

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collusion so good luck in that --

MS. EVANS: And we quickly cooperated for the first time based on all of the cries to cooperate and we did and we included what was asked of us and no good deed goes unpunished, Mr. McGoff, because now suddenly because the mayor says so it became our budget. Selective memory. Very selective.

MR. LOSCOMBE: All I could add to that, I agree with everything Mrs. Evans said here. I mean, you see what's going on here and we have people saying supermajority. I happen to agree that 99 percent of the time with maybe the majority of my colleagues here, that's because I see what's going on here, you know, in 2 1/2 years here what has happened to me personally and I see what's going on in this city, I am disgusted. Trust me. Politics at its lowest, and they will do anything they can to come out ahead. I have been the victim, I have had family members that are the victims and all of our taxpayers are becoming the victims right now and our

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employees.

What I'm saying we guaranteed that loan for the \$9.85 million with the taxes, they are telling us we need a Recovery Plan, to get this, and as Mrs. Evans stated that's just a bunch of, you know, possibilities which hasn't been followed before. Ιt doesn't make sense. If we come up with a Recovery Plan who is to guarantee that banks are going to give us a loan after we already guaranteed them the money for the \$9.85, you If there is any banker out there know? watching this right now or hears this, if you and concern for your city you should be looking at us watching your dollars, not downstairs. That's why we are in the position we are in.

And that's what I want to say if we don't get any offers it only shows the banking community is enjoined here and something that's not -- does not pass the smell tells. Trust me. They are only out for their own benefit. We all for your benefit, and if the mayor wants to pursue this and keep pushing it and putting your

safety at risk, that's what he is doing. If I was Judge Barrasse tomorrow I would say, "You already have an agreement, you have the tax dollars to back the \$9.85 million, get to the bank right now and come back to this Court and tell me you have it."

That's what I would do if I was

Judge Barrasse tomorrow, not pretend that we
are on the precipice that we are not on.

I'm sorry, I got my Irish up there. But
again, his Recovery Plan was approved by

DCED and PEL and it included the sewers,
didn't it?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Now we find out we can't put the sewers in, so there is a \$5 million hole and he wants to sell the meters. No way. That's not going to happen. But, you know, he was mayor when this deal was done, that's why we need this forensic audit to find out what the heck is going on here. We discussed -- and Mrs. Evans mentioned before when she spoke to the mayor today did he speak to the unions regarding this pay cut to discuss

something with an agreement or whatever. He must have a short memory because he just met with the unions, I was there. The unions have saved him half of that 30 million plus award to the citizens of this city. Now, if they are willing to do that for him I'm sure his speaking to them on this issue would have worked. But you know what, I think they all know what he is up to at this point. I think everybody does, so maybe it wouldn't have helped anyway.

But, you know, that's basically the last thing. Mr. Rogan discussed the StreetSmart, and their excuse, again, was always, you know, there is not enough, we don't have that much money this year, that year, all of our suggestions were made 2 1/2 years ago, just think how much farther ahead we would be now at this point.

But, again, I apologize for my frustration, but I sit on the opposite end of the dais here and I see a different view and it's not going to change until I see cooperation from downstairs. We have extended our olive branch as much as we can.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. Over the past week Mr. McGowan, our business administrator, had taken the liberty to incorporate some of council's suggestions from last week's meeting into a spreadsheet and project figures for council's suggestions. Currently I'm working on verifying the accuracy of all of the figures in the spreadsheet and should have this done by sometime next week. As one knows by reading the Scranton Times article this morning, Mr. McGowan projects that we will have a deficit of \$12.5 million over three years.

Over the past week, along with verifying the accuracy of some of the figures, there have been some issues. A major issue was the sale of the storm water conveyance system, which I have been informed by business administrator Ryan McGowan may not be sold for \$5.4 million to the Scranton Sewer Authority. This was a

2 3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

large part of the mayor's Recovery Plan and was intended to be a part of the council's plan as well.

When I asked for a reason why the storm water conveyance system was not included in the spreadsheet and why it could not be sold I was informed that the city may not own it. This is after it was a suggestion made by PEL's alternative source of revenue and was a component of the mayor's Recovery Plan. Mr. McGowan had resorted back to selling or leasing the parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority as an alternative for council when this is something he knows that council does not support.

Since both the sale or lease of the parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority, along with the sale of the storm water conveyance system are both part of the mayor's Recovery Plan, this begs the question, how valid now is the mayor's Recovery Plan, because they were both in there?

And now if the storm water

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conveyance system cannot be sold this would mean that the mayor's Recovery Plan would have a large \$5.4 million hole, which would mean that the mayor's Recovery Plan would be asking taxpayers to pay an even larger amount than the 78 percent tax increase, which is absolutely ludicrous.

Speaking of other items in the Recovery Plan included the settlement of the police and fire Supreme Court award decision. This is approximately \$17 million. This could have been a lot larger somewhere in the 30 plus million dollar I took a part in a few meetings range. which were held in the University of Scranton to help mitigate the award. However, two of my colleagues were able to participate in more meetings, being Councilwoman Evans and Councilman Loscombe. I pride them in their leadership efforts that they have shown in negotiating with the fire and the police unions to help mitigate the award.

As I know, if the award was not dropped down to \$17 million, the tax

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been catastrophic. This could have been a result, as Mayor Doherty was insistent, of handling the unfunded debt and the refinancing before negotiating. If it were not for the efforts of the Councilwoman Evans and Councilman Loscombe, negotiation efforts could have ceased and the Supreme Court award for the police and fire could have been much higher than it is. saved the city a great deal of money by choosing to negotiate with the police and fire unions, and I truly believe that if we were on the hook for 30 some million dollars that tax increase would be somewhere in the 140 or 50 percent range.

increase that could have resulted would have

In other news, the city did receive a number of checks from the Scranton Single Tax Office over the past week. Tax collector Bill Courtright submitted three checks totaling \$246,206.49. A breakdown of the checks submitted is as follows: \$15,018 in 2011 delinquent real estate taxes were distribute. \$40,705.38 in business privilege and mercantile taxes were distributed, and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$190,482.19 in 2012 current real estate taxes were distributed.

Northeast Revenue, who collects all of the delinquent real estate taxes besides 2011, which are currently being collected by the Single Tax Office, has sent us a report of funds collected and distributed as well. The period of collection for the report was for June 19 to June 30. During this timeframe Northeast Revenue collected a total of \$47,420.87. Out of this total, \$38,440.66 was distributed to the City of Scranton and eighty-nine hundred nine-hundred eighty and twenty-one cents was distributed to Pennstar Bank. The amount collected and distributed to Pennstar Bank represents the delinquent taxes collected from 2004, '5 and '6.

As one may or may not know, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority defaulted on a loan taken out on the advanced sale of delinquent taxes from '04, '5 and '6. With all of this in mind, delinquent taxes from those years that are collected by Northeast Revenue are distributed directly to

Pennstar.

Also, Mrs. Krake, has Scranton City Council received an audit status update?

MS. KRAKE: We did not receive one for this week. I think possibly because of the holiday we usually receive them Thursday prior to the council meeting, so maybe we will receive it tomorrow yet.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. So Scranton City
Council has yet to receive an audit status
report from business administrator Ryan
McGowan as requested three weeks ago. As I
have reported in the past in correspondence,
and I think this is getting lost in the
shuffle with all of the talk of the Recovery
Plan and unfunded debt and refinancing, as I
reported in the past in correspondence from
Rossi & Rossi, there have been a number of
other items that need resolution before the
2011 audit can be completed, and
subsequently the exit conference can be
held.

So, Mrs. Krake, with this in mind can you please contact Mr. McGowan and ask him for a status report for the 2011 audit

because the last status report from an over a month ago, I believe, in my best memory that an exit conference was projected to be held sometime in early July and we are into early July and we haven't heard anything on an exit conference to this point, and that's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. And as I mentioned earlier, I spoke with Mayor Doherty twice this week regarding information drafted by Ryan McGowan. I noted that the information contained inaccuracies and that city council is revising the new document. We hope to be able to present it next week after more current information becomes available in relation to the Scranton Parking Authority and Sewer Authority.

As you may recall, the Parking

Authority's debt line to cure five defaults

which was imposed by bond insurers is nearly

upon it and the Authority appears to have

taken no action beyond replacing its

previous solicitor, Paul Kelly, with

Attorney O'Brien, and shaving exorbitant

management salaries. At the same time, a very significant action has occurred and it was noted earlier by our solicitor, the previous trustee has been replaced and council awaits further developments. In addition, the sale of the storm water conveyance system proposed by the mayor and included in his revised Recovery Plan appears impossible thereby creating a \$5 million hole in 2012.

Now, in order to fill the hole in the mayor's Recovery Plan Ryan McGowan has once again proposed the sale or lease of parking meters to be SPA. However, Mr. McGowan also includes the sale or lease of the meters for \$6 million elsewhere in the Recovery Plan. So what he is doing then is creating a financial hole or deficit in the 2014 mandates.

Further, since the administration has been fully aware that city council adamantly opposed the sale or lease of parking meters in September 2011, the date which the administration first submitted legislation to city council to borrow \$14

million for the sale of meters and to secure unfunded debt borrowing, it must propose another way in which to fill the hole in its Recovery Plan. Both the administration and city council will continue to work actively on a joint plan.

As I have so often publically discussed during these weekly meetings, the mayor has failed to enforce the parking tax and rental registration program and to aggressively pursue PILOTS from nonprofits, he reinstated DPW and Parks and Recreation position cut in the 2012 budget and refused to call for the return of the nonemergency city-owned vehicles, to cut his dues and subscriptions, and properly reclassify Scranton as a Third Class city. He stopped the city from implementing the parking meter program.

Before he asks a visiting judge to order his Recovery Plan and the 78 percent tax increase, he should have to enforce all other avenues of revenue generation and cost containment. To date, he can only present apparently illegal pay cuts as evidence of

his action.

Next, I was pleased to learn that DCED did reverse course following last week's city council meeting and agreed that the Pennsylvania Economy League will include the police and fire contract settlement in the revised Recovery Plan. This was one of the requirements of this council and it is a victory for the taxpayers and public safety personnel. Yesterday I was officially notified that the Fraternal Order of Police had ratified the proposed contract and that the IAFF would take it's vote today.

Assuming approval by the IAFF membership, the next step requires the administration to send the legislation and contract to city council for placement on its agenda and adoption. The contract is a result of good faith negotiations among the mayor, city council and two municipal unions for the first time since I have been seated on city council. It not only saves the taxpayers \$15 million, but also provides safety and stability into future years for all city residents, public safety employees

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and city government.

The striking negative in this situation is DCED's statement to the unions to continue to pressure city council into approving the mayor's revised Recovery Plan which is included in the letter for Chief Council for DCED Christopher C. Houston to Attorney Jennings and copied to me. I'd like to read that one paragraph to you from the letter, it is the final paragraph, in fact. "In an effort to continue this aminity, we would appreciate your members continued support for this process and encourage their efforts to secure the procedural ratification of the agreements and the approval by the city's elected leadership of an Act 47 revised Recovery Plan which contains a balanced budget and fiscal stability for the foreseeable future."

You know, it's shameful that DCED continues its attempt to use city unions as leverage against city council. However, DCED seems to be unaware of the union heads' opposition to a 78 percent tax increase and

their subsequent lack of full agreement with the mayor's revised Recovery Plan as stated during last week's city council meeting.

Further, such blatant attempts may only serve as an impediment to recent cooperative efforts between the administration and council.

And finally, I just have two quick citizens requests for the week. Luzerne Street property owners request that DPW clean the area under the bridge at the lower portion of Luzerne Street near Meridan Avenue, including the sidewalks, and this is the second request.

City residents and softball players request Parks and Recreation would empty trash containers and maintain the field at the Pinebrook softball field, and that's it. Mrs. Krake?

I'm sorry, just one thing. I just saw this and I have to add this because she is so deserving of it, our stenographer,

Cathene, has not been paid at all in many months, so \$7.25 would actually be a raise for this poor individual, and this happens

while simultaneously CECCO has been paid,
Carl Greco has been paid, DPW overtime has
been paid and a litany of other things that,
you know, maybe good have waited.

Now, you know, the last thing I think I want to say about city council is somebody figured out for me tonight what we earned, you know, the \$12,500 a year divided by our hours and, ladies and gentlemen, city council has been and already does work for less than the minimum wage, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND
DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$5,000.00 FROM SANOFI PASTEUR U S
PHILANTHROPY DIVISION FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR
SCRANTON POLICE SPECIAL OPERATIONS GROUP.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question, this grant is to provide financial backing for equipment and training for the special operations which operates in Scranton in-house also in combination with Taylor, too.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION
AND IF SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND
ACCEPT THE FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMUNITY DRUG
ABUSE PREVENTION GRANT PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT
OF \$1,000.00.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

	142
1	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
2	MR. JOYCE: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question?
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, on the question,
5	just to explain this one here, this grant
6	will allow the police department to obtain
7	two breath testing units for DUI stops.
8	MS. EVANS: All those in favor of
9	introduction signify by saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AMENDING FILE OF
17	THE COUNCIL NO. 33, 2012 ENTITLED
18	"ESTABLISHING A NO PARKING ZONE IN THE 900
19	BLOCK OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE (S.R. 3023)
20	ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET
21	PURSUANT TO THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT
22	APPLICATION OF THE COMMONWEALTH MEDICAL
23	COLLEGE FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET
24	1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR
25	A DISTANCE OF TWO HUNDRED NINETEEN (219)
	II

ſ	
	143
1	FEET" TO CORRECT THE INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED
2	SEGMENT NUMBERS
3	0F SR 3023.
4	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
6	introduced into its proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. PROVIDING FOR THE
19	CONFIRMATION AND DEDICATION OF MCCARTHY
20	STREET AS A PUBLIC STREET IN THE CITY OF
21	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
22	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
24	introduced into its proper committee.
25	MR. ROGAN: So moved.

	144
1	MR. JOYCE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question?
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: 5-E. I'm sorry.
4	MS. EVANS: That's okay. Anyone
5	else? All those in favor of introduction
6	signify by saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. ACCEPTING A NINE
14	THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE
15	(\$9,995.00) DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION FROM ANTHONY
16	DILEO T/D/B/A NORTHEAST INSURANCE AND
17	FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS PRESENTED TO THE CITY
18	OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT.
19	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
21	introduced into its proper committee.
22	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, on the question

on this one, this is a donation, this will be applied to purchase a police vehicle and for the donation there will being a logo on the police vehicle for this insurance company, so it's one of the suggestions that we have had in our plan, too.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 42,

2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR

AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO

APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION

AND IF SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND

ACCEPT THE FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE

BJA FY 12 EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

GRANT ("JAG") PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION

IN THE AMOUNT OF \$24,354.00.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

MS. EVANS: On the question?

Just on the question,

I just wanted to explain what this was, this here will give the police department the opportunity to purchase two police vehicles, but they are used vehicles, but two additional police vehicles and I do have to say we read many grants and that from the police department this evening, and I would like to commend Chief Duffy for pursuing these grants and it looks like Maggie Perry, who is the grant writer, for them, but, you know, it's definitely a benefit to the professionalism of the police department and our city and I would hope all of the department heads take this example and look to every opportunity that the city has on any department to obtain grants and, you know, the low interest loans or whatever, but just to help the city out. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor

1 signify by saying aye. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 7 have it and so moved. 8 MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -9 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 43, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, 10 ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE 11 12 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY 13 14 ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND 15 16 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE 17 FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 18 GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 19 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY 20 21 TRANSFERRING \$500,000.00 FROM THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN REPAYMENT FUND TO 22 PROJECT 12-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND 23 24 HANDICAP CURB CUTS. 25 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by

148
title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
pass reading by title.
MR. JOYCE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question?
MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.
Following passage of 6-B would anyone object
to moving it to Seventh Order?
MS. EVANS: No.
MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff brought it
up, and I agree it would be a good idea just
to get everything, move the week ahead and
provide an opportunity to get comment if
anyone has any questions.
MS. EVANS: All those in favor
signify by saying aye.
MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
MR. JOYCE: Aye.
MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
have it and so moved.
MR. ROGAN: I would make a motion to
suspend the rules and move Item 6-B into
Seventh Order and it will be become Item

7-A.

2

1

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

3

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

4 5

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

6

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

7

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

8

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

9

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

10

have it and so moved. And prior to the

11

reading in Seventh Order are there any

12

citizens who wish to comment for two

13

minutes?

14

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher,

15

will you please tell me what amount will be

16

left in the commercial industrial revolving

17

loan repayment fund after this transfer of a

18

half a million dollars?

19

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe that's

20

in the backup. It's not in the backup.

21

could get it for you. The reason for

22

pushing it forward is with the paving season

23

it's only so short, you know, and it is one

24

of my priorities and I think many of us in

25

the city to get the ball rolling on these

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

•

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issues.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't see how a week is going to --

MS. EVANS: Well, I think it's been a council priority for years, and like Mr. Rogan said I think the people of the city have been crying to have their streets paved and repaired for as long as I have been on council. Is there anyone else? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR FINAL PASSAGE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 43, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$500,000.00 FROM THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN

	15 ⁻
1	REPAYMENT FUND TO PROJECT 12-04
2	RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB
3	CUTS.
4	MS. EVANS: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chair for the
6	Committee on Community Development?
7	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-A.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
23	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
24	If there is no further business, I
25	will entertain a motion to adjourn.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Ŭ

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER