	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, June 28, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN (Not present)

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 Mr. 7 Loscombe. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Here. 10 11 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, Councilman 13 Rogan asked me if I would read something 14 prior to the meeting. MS. EVANS: Certainly. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: In a communicae from 17 Councilman Rogan, he asked me if I would read this. It says, "Unfortunately, a 18 19 longstanding commitment necessitates my 20 absence this evening. However, rest assured 21 I am keeping a close eye on the ongoing 22 proceedings and will stand by my belief that 23 city government must operate as effectively 24 and efficiently as possible, but not to the 25 detriment of the overburdened taxpayers that

1	I represent. I am still of the belief that
2	all five members of council and the mayor
3	need to sit down and discuss a Recovery Plan
4	that will work for the betterment of our
5	great city."
6	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dispense
7	with the reading of the minutes, please?
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. MINUTES OF THE
9	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD MAY 23,
10	2012.
11	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. 2011 COMBINED AUDIT
14	REPORT FOR THE SCRANTON LACKAWANNA HEALTH
15	AND WELFARE AUTHORITY.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
19	REPORT, HEARING DATE FOR JULY 11, 2012.
20	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
23	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD JULY
24	11, 2012.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's note this evening?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a couple. I just want to remind everyone that the benefit for "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance" will be conducted on July 14 from 5 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary Center on West Market Street. This is a benefit for Jim Lance who was in a serious motorcycle accident and while he was recuperating his wife has passed away. So if anyone has any questions or would like to make donations they can call Nicole Lance at 575-6752.

On June 30 there will be a benefit in honor of the late patrol canine Grizzly at the 20th Ward 2028 Pittston Avenue from 6 to 11 p.m. Tickets are \$10 for an adult and \$5 per child. There will be raffle drawings, buffet dinner and a cash bar.

And on July 14 the Fraternal Order of eagles, Lodge 314 is having it's fifth annual ribfest serving from 2 to 6 p.m. for

\$15. You get a full rack of ribs, corn on the cob, a baked potato, homemade baked beans and dessert and, let's see, the proceeds will be -- there will be a pie throwing contest and canine demos, music and the proceeds will benefit prostate cancer in the area. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Before we begin citizens' participation tonight, I wanted to say that I am aware that Mayor Doherty held a meeting yesterday afternoon with heads of the municipal unions to inform them that he is cutting salaries to minimum wages effective with the July 6, 2012, pay and to urge them to lobby council members to approve his revised Recovery Plan.

I see union heads in the audience tonight. I also see city employees, who I'm told were asked this afternoon to come by Mr. McGoff. It's truly ashame that the mayor put you in this position, but now I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a question for the mayor, will Mr.

Scopelliti and the management of the

Scranton Parking Authority earn minimum

hourly wages for running the Scranton

Parking Authority into the ground. The

savings can be used toward the SPA's bond

payments.

Finally, I'd like to wish everyone a very safe and enjoyable Fourth of July holiday now, in case I forget later, and that's it. Our first speaker is Bob Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good evening, city council. Bob Martin, President of the FOP and with your permission we are going to address council collectively. We will, you know, respect the time limit on our presentation because it's short and sweet. We are here to request that city council along with the mayor ist down and work out a viable Recovery Plan that includes everyone's benefit, citizens, our members. It's important that that get worked out because it's up to this -- the most important thing is the safety of the citizenry and, of course, it effects us as

members and the members that we represent, and we are not here to advocate any particular Recovery Plan, we don't advocate the mayor's Recovery Plan, we don't have advocate yours, we are not sure, we have seen what's in the mayor's Recovery Plan, we just ask that you sit down with the mayor and work out something that is viable.

You know, we just only caution that seeing the mayor's Recovery Plan, PEL, and DCED's participation in that that, you know, we caution that there are work mandates in there that we would absolutely be against and that we will and, you know, we will fight and go back to Court if necessary.

I mean, there is some work mandates in there and I understand they are intended for cost savings, but they are very -- very open, open-ended, and those kind of things, I mean, if it's legal we will take you back to Court, you being the city and the administration, back to Court and I want to avoid that at any possible cost that we have gone through for the past ten years. It's been a toll on the citizens, it's a toll on

us, it's a toll on the council, and I'm sure a toll on the mayor and his administration.

So we just ask that you please, please, sit down and work out some kind of a viable -- I mean, it's down to economics now, I understand the plight with the banks and, you know, they want to loan us money, but they are not going to loan us money until they know where the payment is going to come from. That's an every day -- anybody just walks into a bank to borrow money to buy a car they want to know where the money is going to come back to repay that loan.

So, again, we just ask that you sit down and work out a viable Recovery Plan that includes everybody, and in that I want to introduce President Judge of the Firefighters Association. We have done our part, this part really isn't so much about us as it is about council and the mayor working this out, we have done what we can and President judge is going to unveil exactly what we have tried to accomplish to participate in this.

MS. EVANS: Are the union heads aware, however, that Councilman Joyce and I met for over five months with Mayor Doherty regarding the revised Recovery Plan? He was well aware of all of our recommendations and provided verbal agreement to each and every one of them. He then turned around and produced a Recovery Plan that was quite the opposite.

Now, I would think that particularly the police and the fire would understand what I'm saying here better than anyone else because for ten years you went through very similar circumstances, negotiations, agreements were made, and then they walked away from that. I think actions now speak louder than words, and what the mayor needs to do is quite simple, he is aware of it, he has all of council's recommendations, he needs to incorporate them into the plan because I think otherwise what the unions are saying to us is give the people a 78 percent tax increase.

MR. VITRIS: I said she was going to say that.

MR. MARTIN: No, that's not what we are saying.

MR. VITRIS: That's not what we're saying.

MR. MARTIN: That's not what we're saying at all. We are not -- again, we don't advocate the mayor's Recovery Plan, we agree with you wholeheartedly, and as you are about to find out and the public is about to find out what we have to done to actually thwart any, you know, the tax increases that he deems necessary. I don't know where these numbers come from, but we do not support that. We are not supporting any monstrous, unbearable tax increases.

Not at all. We just want a workable, viable Recovery Plan, and so we don't run over time --

MR. JUDGE: Good evening, City
Council. John Judge, president of the
Scranton Firefighters Union. Let me start
by saying, we came here, we are basically -the timing of this was very suspicious. We
are notified a day before you guys go to
Court, the day before the hearing, I have

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been told by the mayor several times over
the past month to six weeks health care is
getting shut off next week, kept kind of not
threatening but advising me of very bad
conditions that are going to happen so that
myself and our membership would come here
and push you in the right direction. His
right direction, what he considers the right
direction.

I agree 100 percent with you. take him at his face absolutely would not I think that there needs to be advise it. cooperation between council and the mayor, but I think it's a lot more give on the mayor's part at this point in time. I was aware of the meetings that -- I go to PEL and PEL meetings every week. I was aware of the meetings that Mr. Joyce and yourself had had with him and for him to incorporate them and they still tout that -- or your recommendations are in there, yeah, only as recommendations and we have seen over the past how he -- what he does with recommendations. He just won't implement them and then the city will have dramatic

tax increases which is unbearable.

I want to get to this real quick.

This is not something that I want to do here, but you know what, it's about time.

Over a month ago myself and members of my bargaining unit and members of the police, three state mediators, a couple of council members up here, the mayor, the business administrator and the city solicitor, the human resource director had several meetings over the course of several weeks. A lot of long days spent at the University of Scranton, some days spent here, trying to hash out a workable agreement to mitigate this 30 plus million dollar suit that -- or Court award that the police and fire have.

We went in there, the mayor for the first time actually sat down with good intentions we thought and we put together what I have here and I brought it tonight because it's been locked in my safe and, unfortunately, my members are finding out for the first time tonight. I have a signed contract and the FOP president has a signed contract as well. This is the pen I

actually used to sign it and I have the mayor's signature on the contract, which some day hopefully I'll frame because I never thought it would come to true.

Both of these awards were done in good faith and they mitigate the \$30 million award probably to the tune of less than half, a lot of giveaways in this award. There was one condition that was put in here, it was for DCED to sign off on this because the mayor had touted contracts that we had previously or possible contracts that we had had previously and the mayor had said DCED killed the deal.

We said, "Fine, get DCED to sign off on these and we'll bring it back to our body to ratify it."

My signature is on it, the mayor's signature is on it, Councilman Loscombe's signature is on it and we understand that it needs to go to my body for ratification and needs to come back to council for ratification as well and approval. DCED is holding this hostage, literally holding this hostage, much like they are holding the city

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hostage and you hostage to drive the

Recovery Plan through. I was told

specifically in no uncertain terms by the

mayor, quid pro quo, his words exactly, "You

help me get a Recovery Plan and you'll have

your deal."

That's a bunch of crap. He wants us to come here and pressure you guys into passing an exorbitant tax increase and a Recovery Plan that has parts in it that have nothing do with the financial stability of this city and it's ridiculous. He needs to, and Councilman McGoff wants me to demand that you guys meet with him. What I demand is that he come here and sit down and meet with you and the five of you hash this out. It's time. My members cannot endure more pain. They have endured ten years of pain and now you want them to work for minimum They will be there. Those fire houses will be open, those trucks will be manned, my members will be there like they always have in the past.

But you know what? You want them thinking about all of the stresses that this

1

6

7

5

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial problem is going to put on their families while they are trying to run into a burning building to put your fire out or to save your kid? No. You want them of good sound mind, just like you want the police officers and just like you need DPW and clerical to concentrate on their job because their numbers have been slashed as well.

It needs to happen. I demand that he comes here. It's that simple. to be figured out, I understand that there is some give that council needs to give on a little bit, but the majority of it is his stake. This is a deal that DCED is holding hostage much like they are holding you hostage and it's time for it to end. For somebody that DCED touts that they don't want to get involved in small municipality matters, it's a bunch of bull. I have been told more times than once that this will not be signed or approved until such time that there is a Recovery Plan. They need that before they can address that. I feel like I'm being used as a pawn.

When we came out of the meeting with

the mayor the other day all four union heads had the same basic premise, we all thought we are being used. We are being used as a pawn again. What better way to force you to go ahead and drive through that crap document Recovery Plan than have four union heads come in here and start to go, "You need to do this, my members can't endure this."

You do what you need to do for the welfare of this city because I know you will keep the interest of all of these bargaining unit members and all of these employees at heart as well. That's all I have.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to verify what President Judge has stated because I, myself, participated in two of those negotiation sessions with the municipal unions and the mayor when my mother became gravely ill i was no longer able to participate and I asked that Councilman Loscombe and Councilman Joyce would continue with the meetings and take my place and keep me abreast of what was occurring and today, just again to justify what you said this

evening, I received an e-mail from Thomas W. Jennings, the attorney for the police and fire unions, and it was sent to Paul Kelly and myself and I'd like to read it now. "It is now regretfully self-evident that DCED has again blocked the city acting this time through both its mayor and council from entering into an agreement with the unions that would have achieved labor peace for the foreseeable future and saved the citizens somewhere in the area of \$15 million.

This is the second time in which the city has been prevented from resolving issues. We know that first ill-advised occasion has now had disastrous consequences for the citizens of the city and I believe that this second occasion will be even worse.

In view of the foregoing, we have decided to advise the American Arbitration Association to move forward with issuing the award in the audit case. I would hope that DCED has agreed to hold the citizens harmless against any loss that may occur as a result of it's interference with the

agreement of the parties. I do wish to thank the mayor and city council for their un precedented efforts in seeking and achieving what could have been a mutually satisfactory agreement on these complex issues.

I am at a complete loss to understand DCED's rationale in executing an effective veto of these efforts and trust that ultimately whoever made that horrendous decision will be held accountable for it."

And, ladies and gentlemen, this the same DCED and Pennsylvania Economy League who have been interfering with the banks, they have no concern for the finances of this city but more so they have no concern for each and every one of you and the taxpayers of this city need to band together and run them right out of this town and the mayor and city council need to insist that DCED has nothing to say about this agreement, just like the mayor should have said years back in those negotiations to DCED. You have nothing to do with this.

appointed officials. The elected officials of this city wish to settle and we would not have been facing over a \$30 million Supreme Court award, so the mayor needs to tell DCED, "Hit the road with this," and then the mayor needs to put council's recommendations into his Recovery Plan.

And I'm going to give you a second example of another negotiation with this mayor, back in 2010 when he took this council to Court over cuts to city government that we wished to make because this city was teetering on the brink of financial insolvency and, of course, we were ruled against, but the judge asked before anything began, "I want the mayor and the council to sit down and negotiate this."

And that's what we did, and we worked very hard with Solicitor Hughes and we came up with an agreement that, ladies and gentlemen, I can even tell you now it wasn't 50/50, it gave the mayor 70 and it gave council 30, and what happened is very simple, when he was given that document at the 11th hour he said, "No, I'm going to

Court," and back into the courtroom we went.

So it is very, very difficult to be told you need to sit down with someone who says one thing and does another and in the process wastes your time, your life, your work and your efforts. Actions speak louder than words.

And, Mayor, you and I need to make this agreement a reality and save the people of this city \$15 million and you need to incorporate into the Recovery Plan those revenue generators that will cut down that 78 percent tax increase that you and DCED want and bring it down to a level that is manageable for the taxpayers by bringing in the revenue sources that this council has been urging you about for two years now.

And I have had -- I have had meetings in person, I have had countless phone calls where the mayor has told me, "Oh, yes, I'm doing that parking tax."

Was it enacted yet? No.

"Oh, what do you want, StreetSmart?

I'll get right on it."

That is since February. There is no

1

4 5

6 7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parking meter program and I can go down the list of everything that council has put forth as revenue generators. They have thumbed their nose at us, but worse when they are doing that they are thumbing their nose at you because they just want to hand you the bag of bills and say, "You pay that 28 percent you little people --" or 28, I'm sorry, I wish it were, "78 percent you little people of Scranton."

And it's not going to stop there because the full Supreme Court award isn't included in that plan and the debt of the Parking Authority isn't included in that plan, but they figure they'll just sock you with those tax increases in the future, so that really you are looking at 100 percent plus tax increase and the only people who have been standing between you and all of these sources for making that happen are the three people sitting up here right now. We are work horses. We have been doing our job here and behind the scenes and this is where it stands and this is what the mayor needs to do for everyone who lives in this city as

of tonight.

MR. JUDGE: So just so everybody is aware of what that letter is, that was one of the cases in this contract that's signed we were willing to dispense with and it was a concession in this contract.

MS. EVANS: I understand that you made a number of concessions.

MR. JUDGE: Yes, and that was one of them that's now going to be pushed forward, we have now waited a month, we were originally when we left we were -- this was supposed to be signed off by DCED, I believe within seven days, and it's now been over a month and if the banking community wants a Recovery Plan here's \$15 million we'll save you right now if they are looking for some recovery so when Attorney Hughes is speaking with the banks and he gets that chance or opportunity he could tell them the unions are willing to give you \$15 million in savings to the city to protect the fiscal stability of this city. So that's a start.

MR. VITRIS: Naturally, the police and fire problems are very complex, they

have been for a long time. We have been fortunate over the years to be able to negotiate contracts, maybe not the greatest contracts, but nonetheless they were contracts and job security for our members. And what concerns me the most is on behalf of my members and all of the employees of the city is that we are really not going anywhere right now except to a minimum wage and I had a conversation with Janet, and she will verify it --

MS. EVANS: Yes, you did.

MR. VITRIS: -- and with the mayor and also Mr. Hughes and Mr. Kelly today at the courthouse where I feel that it's in the utmost importance that somehow we address the problem at hand, and the problem at hand is how do we continue to provide the services because we are going to keep showing up to work, but how do we avert this train that's coming on July 6?

MS. EVANS: Well, I think you have the answer now, Mr. Vitris, and you should go back to the mayor and tell him this is what he needs to do.

MR. VITRIS: Okay. And, also, has the mayor reached out to council in the last 24 hours regarding resolution of this problem, in the last 24 hours?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of.

MR. JOYCE: Not to me.

MS. EVANS: But I haven't been home all day today, I had quite a number of appointment.

MR. VITRIS: All right.

MS. EVANS: I have only been able to speak with our attorney.

MR. VITRIS: So I'm told that he has and I'm not advocating for his Recovery Plan, I have never been in favor, like, any of the union heads here for a Recovery Plan, I would rather call it a financial plan for the future of the City of Scranton, but just like they said we will fight when our contract is up anything that is in this Recovery Plan that's going to hurt us. Plain and simple, just plain simple language, and we provide a service, it's a very important service, services to the

people of this city and I just hope that the train that's coming down the track doesn't just roll right all over us next Friday on July 6 because it's not going to be a pretty site. I don't think it's fair to have all of the employees making minimum wage. I mean, collective bargaining as always been very important to me, it always has been and, Janet, you were a union member, Jack, you are a union member, you now how important it is when you negotiate a contract that you see those contracts through until the next one, and it's important to us that if there is a way to stop this, and we do it.

And I would just, you know, appreciate if there is any way that we can sit down even if has to be the unions, also, the unions have to be involved, the mayor, the city council, everyone together has to be involved in this even if it means you people in the meetings with the bankers, because for some reason the banker's seem to have this hand in all of this.

MS. EVANS: Well, that's because

Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED have put their hand into everything and it doesn't belong in there.

MR. VITRIS: But I understand what you are saying and what everybody is saying here, but how do we fix it? Is it fixable? Is this fixable, and we all need to know as 400 employees, 600 retirees, whatever it is, is this problem fixable or is there no hope before we -- this train comes down and just runs us all over, are we are going to be lied to for the next six weeks and say we are at \$7.25 an hour and eventually end up bankrupt anyway because we need to know are we going to work together to fix this because we want to, and let's just hope it happens for all our sake.

MS. EVANS: Well, the ball is, as I said, is in the mayor's court. He can do the right thing or he can continue to push along in the courtroom and --

MR. VITRIS: The ball is in all of our courts.

MS. EVANS: -- with your wages and, you know, as you said, you don't want to be

lied to. Well, you have never been lied to by this council. No one has been. mayor is the one that likes to tell the tales, and I know because I have listened to enough of them now for five months by phone and in person, so I'm not concerned about sitting down and talking to him unless he wants to come here because if he wants to talk with five council members this is the only venue in which it can happen because of the Sunshine Act, so if that -- if that pleases him, we can do this publically. Ιf not, he needs to put pen to paper, call his friends at DCED and PEL and get this straightened out right away. It's up to him.

MR. VITRIS: Okay, and I'll leave it, but, Mr. McGoff, one question if there is -- and all -- any council or Mr. Hughes, \$16 million is needed to fill the budget; is that correct? Yes or no, a simple question? Is it 16 million or is it --

MR. MCGOFF: I would say, yes.

MR. VITRIS: 16 or is it 9? Which one is it?

25

22

23

24

1 MR. MCGOFF: There is a \$16.5 million in unfunded borrowing. 2 3 MS. EVANS: No. It's \$9.85 million in unfunded debt borrowing and that was 4 5 passed by this council, signed off by the mayor, and the tax increase that was ordered 6 by the Court in Lackawanna County that was 7 8 passed by this council and signed by the 9 mayor yet nobody wants to go out and get 10 that money. They sent money e-mail to a few 11 banks and they said -- -12 MR. MCGOFF: That's untrue. 13 MS. EVANS: Well, that's what --14 MR. MCGOFF: It is not true. know that that's not true. 15 16 MS. EVANS: I know --17 MR. MCGOFF: The administration has 18 been working to try and secure that since 19 January. MS. EVANS: 20 The 21 administration also -- well --MR. VITRIS: This the exact reason, 22 23 exactly what I'm talking about. 24 MR. HUGHES: I can answer the 25 There is \$9.85 million that was auestion.

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approved by the Court provided for your tax increase. All of the legislation has been adopted for that. Two weeks ago, it will be two weeks ago tomorrow, I called Dennis Kozalanski, who is the bond counsel for the city and the Scranton Parking Authority, coincidentally. I told him that the \$9.85 million has absolutely nothing to do with the Recovery Plan. The bond counsel, the bond people they put that together with the other 6.6 million.

I said you should separate that out, that's standalone borrowing that has nothing to do with the Recovery Plan. There is a guaranteed amount of tax increase next year millage to pay that. Separate it out. Float that. Go back to M & T and float that. In two weeks I've never heard from They should be able to do that them. because it has nothing to do with the Recovery Plan. That's a guaranteed amount of segregated taxes. I know when the legislation came down here I was the one that drafted the formulas as to how to do that to separate out, to separate out the

taxes that are going to come in next year on real estate so that that would be paid.

They took my formula, they brushed it up a little bit, refined it, but that was in the legislation. If that were -- it was my understanding that that was always to pay last year's bills. I haven't investigated it, but I have been told that a lot of those bills have been paid with these year's money instead of waiting for the bond issue, and that's why if that were floated and that \$9.85 million came into the city we have no idea how much of that is already been paid for last year's bills, but whether it's --

MR. VITRIS: But whether it's 9.8 --

MR. HUGHES: Whether it's 2 million, 5 million, I don't know, but that money would then be available to the city because those bills have been paid and that money would be available to pay the outstanding bills, and I don't know why the mayor -- this is not council's responsibility.

MR. VITRIS: Right.

MR. HUGHES: Council's function is legislative. It's up to the mayor as the

executive to go out and do that and be talking to the banks. Dennis Kozalanski should have talked to the mayor, he should have gone back to M & T and said, "Here, this is what council came up with and they're right."

I know I'm right. It has nothing to do with the Recovery Plan, and there is \$9.85 million that right there could have been underwritten and floated in a bond issue within a short period of time. Now, I don't know how long it would take, but I certainly believe it would be -- it's not a public offering, there is no SCC registration there is no state registration, that could be a private placement, one investor could take it, that money could come into the city.

MR. VITRIS: And I certainly respect your answer and it sounds like a reasonable answer, but that's my point. This has been my point, nobody is on the same page, and if we don't get on the same page that's the only reason I'm here tonight, and usually it's us fighting with the mayor, us fighting

with the council over contracts. Now we got the legislative body, this is a big twist for us. We got our bosses, because you are our bosses, and the mayor, fighting with each other and we are not going to get paid. So that's the only -- I respect Boyd, I think he is an excellent attorney, I think all of you people are excellent, I respect the mayor, but we all have to get -- we are all in this together, all of the people in this city are in this. We need to get on with this.

MS. EVANS: I absolutely agree and I sympathize with all of the workers who do a very good job and show up each and every day, but you've got to remember the bottom line here is that 78 percent plus tax increase.

MR. VITRIS: We are not advocating that.

MS. EVANS: And council is not rolling over for it, Sam.

MR. VITRIS: And just so everybody is on the -- I agree. I'm a homeowner for 30 years in the City of Scranton, I lived in

. .

the city all of my life in West Scranton, and I agree city council should have their recommendations put directly into the Recovery Plan. I don't even like to say Recovery Plan, I like to call it a financial plan because I'm so sick of saying recovery. A financial plan for the future of the City of Scranton and they should -- you are the legislative body and they should, but there is no way to do this. It's just like the police and fire contracts that went on for ten years, there is no way to settle it unless we sit down and you fix it.

So, I mean, all of these meetings for the next two, three, four weeks, six weeks if this is going to continue on well into the summer this isn't going to be good, so I would just hope that, you know, we could resolve this. I'm not going to say no more, I've said enough and I appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Mrs. Evans, if I could?

Sam, if you go back there, please, I mean,

all of the unions members because I was -
before I got on the elevator with all of you

today Paul Kelly and I had a conversation and I told him, I said, "It's my opinion that the mayor and council should tell PEL and DCED where to go," because he told me that he had just gotten a letter from Jennings and I said, "I think it's time that the city tells them get lost and we do our own deal."

MR. VITRIS: We have been saying that for 20 years.

MR. HUGHES: Look at, I'm just saying right now because if it's DCED that goofed up that settlement, you know, they are not doing -- they are not doing the unions, they're not doing the city, they're not the taxpayers or the citizens of this city any good and it's my opinion to tell them to get lost.

Now, I'm not involved in that negotiation, it's not up to me, but as a lawyer that's what I said to Paul Kelly, and I think that they should really consider it and that should be put in the Recovery Plan, and I'll tell you what I think about the Recovery Plan from a legal standpoint. I

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think -- if that Recovery Plan, forget the procedural deficiencies, and I told Judge Mazzoni that today, you were in Court, I said, "If that were adopted any one of these citizens out there could go file a lawsuit and they could void it out because the proper procedures were not followed by DCED, PEL or the mayor."

And that's why that's not on council's agenda on my advice and that's going to be in my preliminary objections to the mayor's lawsuit against this council. It's going to be bring it to a dead stop, and the thing is that when it comes to that, that PEL and DCED shouldn't interrupt in They are costing us \$15 million, that could all be put together, that's not in the Recovery Plan. The fact of the Parking Authority and their deficit, the \$2 million for the next 23 years, their deficit, that's what's going to cost those city on those bonds, that's not in the Recovery Plan. banker would take that Recovery Plan and say, "Hey, this isn't any good because we are looking at liabilities that you have

that aren't put in here and how are you going to address them?"

And certainly if they were in there you could take a look at all of the debt of the city and then figure something out structurally as to how to refinance it maybe in today's rates. That's up to the mayor. That's not up to council. I mean, I have ideas on it, I told council what they are, but that's up to them to implement.

MR. VITRIS: Thank you and just like, you know, Boyd, I understand and hear everything you are saying, but I think to be perfectly honest with you I think you play a major role with Mr. Kelly in resolving this with city council and the mayor. I mean, I think you are intelligent people and we need to look at for everybody here because there is train coming and it's coming Friday, July 6. Thank you.

MS. HERCHICK: Just to sum up, we realize, all of our brothers and sisters and all of the unions, we do realize you have a daunting task and we would just appreciate anything that can be done to help not only

the citizens of the city, because we are citizens of the city, but for the workers of the city that lay their lives on the line, do public service, and the clerical workers, we would appreciate anything and from the bottom of our hearts thank you very much. Thank you for entertaining us and letting us speak. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton
Taxpayers' Association. You know, I guess
you would say in a miniscule way about
saving face with the mayor, some years ago I
met with Mayor Doherty in his office when I
was the executive director of Habitat for
Humanity of the county and I asked him if he
would possibly consider selling a piece a
parcel of vacant land in the City of
Scranton that was weed overgrown, nontax
producing for years and he says,
"Definitely," and I told him it would go
back on the tax rolls and he says,

"Definitely," and he stood up and he says,

"I wish all meetings were like this and I

hope we can do future business."

The next week I went before the SRA, the -- what's the -- the board of directors and I was shot down. Shot down. Now, I'm not a fool or naive, I know who appoints the SRA and the vacant land property committee and Sara Hailstone who was the OECD director who was the coordinate and shot right down, so this just goes to show you that how you can talk to the mayor and as soon as he turns his backs he tells the others go the other way, small or not, that is what happens.

Now, I looked at editorial this morning and, you know, the mayor and Scranton Times are not holding itself accountable for what's going on here, and it says here, "Scranton's problem is that it might require a Federal Court intervention to overcome the political dysfunction brought by a city council that will not approve the administration's Recovery Plan while refusing to produce one of it's own."

Now, what they are doing, they are going out and they are doing the big public relations, and the mayor, he is sitting down and meeting with the TV and giving these interviews and sort of putting everything on your back, and I had a person come up to here me that I know doesn't read the paper all the time and all they do is listen to the radio and what not and said, "What is council doing?"

And you have to explain, and this is their game. They get you people driven down so that, you know, the people think that are you to blame. Well, I want to tell you something, I could write a Recovery Plan today and put a 78 percent tax in there and add 22 more percent -- \$22 more dollars in the garbage tax, it's all academic, you know. You can be like a Scranton Times and run it through a political science professor and say, "Here, don't you think this will solve the problem?"

And they'll say, "The man in the books says that will solve the problem," but being the realists, as you people are, you

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know that the City of Scranton the median income is \$35,000 and you know what a median income is, it means of the 30,000 households 15,000 households are making less than \$35,000 --\$35,000 or less, so how could they ever put a 21 percent poverty rate and ever listen to it or, you know, even consider a tax raise. It would kill the city.

And I just want you know that I'm behind you people 100 percent, I know that the Scranton Times, and I'm going to tell you I have the documentation that shows that they monetarily contribute to the mayor's election every time he runs for a campaign and it is not only immoral, it's a illegal for them to, you know, under the social of journalism to do something like that and yet, you know, how can they say that they are supporting this here city and community. They have turned their backs on the community. They want to put you people out so they can save their laced curtain Mr. Doherty, okay? And it's ashame and I want to let you know that anything I can do

to help you I would appreciate it. You call me. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians. I realize they are going to have trouble living on the minimum wage, but is this going to go on for ten years this minimum wage or not? But your tax increase will. That will saddle us forever.

I'm a union person, I always said I was there is no way I could live on -- I live on an union pension and I would hate to see anybody, you know, do without or one way or the other, but now we have to get down to basics. The basic is Scranton is in a cash flow problem. When the mayor was down touting his sales tax increase or down there crying for a commuter tax why didn't he ask the legislature to allow Scranton to collect their own wage tax, which would have helped us tremendously for our cash flow. He didn't do that and he didn't care to do it and it didn't even get down there and talk

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the people when they were thinking of doing it. You got to look at things realistically and things further out.

Government doesn't stop at your nose, it goes on. What you do up there effects all of us in the city, 76,000 of us, and not just for today or tomorrow it's for years and years and our children and grandchildren. Does anybody here ever contact Carbondale up there to see how that plan they got with the fire taken out of their taxes and charge extra for it and if the nonprofits are paying for that? you got to look at things to get the nonprofits to pay. There is no way out of There is no way the common man in this city can come up with all these taxes. are charged 2.4 for every dollar we earn. We are charged \$52 just to work in the city and you are charging everybody else even outside of the city \$52 bucks, and it hasn't made a dent in our problems and it never will make a dent in our problem because these bills will keep growing and growing and growing.

3

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Buildings fall apart, engines fall apart, even people fall apart, that's why they have health insurance. All of these problems are there, but nobody cares.

I'll mention Brian Reap again because I was here when they are were passing that deal where if you retired you get lifetime medical, okay? Brian Reap at that time said, "Hold on, why don't we just take them until their 65 and then let them get on medical care and so forth and that would save a lot of money to the city," but he didn't do that. Now, you claim we can't pay the medical bill. Did anybody ever look other than Brian about what was going to happen in the future?

Government isn't something present, unfortunately, I wish it was. I wish we would say we could vote somebody out of office in a year, but it doesn't work that In this state you are there for four way. years and there is no way to change what was going to know happen. Too much damage can be done in four years in office.

Unfortunately, it's that way.

And I wish people get out there and look at what's happening within your community or anywhere and I know some poor people paying a commuter tax to the city and I don't support them anyway -- anyway contributing to the city other than the 52 bucks, I mean, they got their own community to take care of. It's ashame that we have to go back and say, "We are going to tax you on top of whatever taxing our poor people of Scranton."

But I suggest you contact Carbondale and see how that plan up there is working and that the nonprofits have to pay up there. I mean, that's as simple as only a phone call to the mayor up there to find out what's going on there with the tax because I come up here many times and told you split off the services. You got to force the nonprofits to pay.

I don't have anything to get from all of this. Really, I didn't -- I'm old enough now where my future home is up the Cathedral, too, but you guys to do something for the children of Scranton. If you want

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to get here and you have to raise a family in here I suggest get out there and try to get some way to keep people here so they can live here. It's bad enough we don't have our jobs here. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Bernie Passat.

MR. PASSAT: Good evening, everyone. Within this last week I took it upon myself to, I wrote a little note and I went around and I only got to a few streets in West Scranton area, I'm from West Scranton and my note is to Mayor Doherty and it says, "Does Mayor Doherty think that money grows on Tell Mr. Doherty that you cannot trees? afford more taxes. Tell him to find other ways to finances the city's debt that has resulted from business mismanagement, delinquent taxes, inflated pensions with salary, unnecessary appointments and general disrespect for taxpayers. Our taxes are high enough. No more, Mr. Doherty. No more."

And then I have a place for people to sign. Well, I'm telling you it was -- it

. .

- :

didn't take me any time at all. I went maybe a half hour after summer, a half hour in the afternoon. Coming home from the store I said, "I think I'll stop on this street" and people were like, "Give me that. Give me that."

But one thing I did have to amend it because they said, "It's not only Mayor Doherty, it's also city council."

This is what -- and I'm prepared to hand over a copy of those names, too, that signed, I only hit a few streets in West Scranton, I'm going to continue. I didn't get to South Side yet, Tripps Park, North Scranton, the Hill Section, East Mountain, I'm going to keep going. People are disgusted. It's the council, the mayor, lawyers, the unions, council, mayor, lawyers, unions, and people they just feel -- they said, "I'll sign it, but they are probably not going to look at it, they'll just toss it. They don't care how many names you get."

And I said, "Well, you know what,
I'm so aggravated reading the paper I just

feel I have to do something."

And I started this and I'm going to keep going with, and I just wrote down a few things that I kept hearing the same thing over and over and over, that it's the mayor, as well as the city council, and both sides are to blame, and I understand, you know, I read, I'm up on what's going on and so are a lot of these people, in fact, a couple of people I told them, I said, "Would you run for mayor?" I said, "I'll back you up 100 percent."

Good ideas, good sincere, not political people, and I think that's what you need in there, so it was the city and the mayor both responsible. Taxpayers feel like they have no say. I think that's why they were happy to sign this because at least they are going their two cents in. The mayor and city council do not care about the taxpayers. There is that big feeling out there and the people are -- many people are destitute.

Now, I feel very bad for -- and I'm also upset that all of those union people

left. They don't really care what the taxpayers have to say. That's how I feel when I saw them all go out the door. If one or two them stayed, you know, it would have been nice. But people are destitute. So many people I talked to that signed this they don't have a job. They can't make their mortgage payments. They want to leave Scranton, they really do, and you can see it by the "For Sale" signs going up.

They are destitute, lost their jobs and they cannot pay a tax increase, especially of 78 percent. I don't care if it is over three years. Increases in garbage fees. Different permits. I hear about people trying to fix their houses and they say the permits have gone up sky high. They also feel that too many people are not paying their taxes. They feel that there might be people out there, maybe you know, I hear rumors, other people, that they got some kind of a connection and they don't have to pay their taxes, they don't have to pay their garbage fees. So, you know, just raise the taxes let those that are paying

let them pay, and a lot of these people are people that can afford to pay.

The mayor, here's the big thing, the mayor, why isn't he at these city council meetings? I hear that over -- other almost every one of the 237 people said that. Why isn't the mayor at the those meetings to discuss with council?

And there is just a general feeling of disgust and distrust with all of the city officials and really politicians in general, and I want to say I feel very bad those people if they are going to have live on their minimum wage with their benefits and job security, but a lot of these people I talked to they would give their right arm to have one of those positions at minimum wage working for the city.

And, like I said I just got started, it took me a couple of hours going here and there and, boy, they just grabbed that paper, "I'll sign it. I'll sign that paper," because people are disgusted. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I hope that as you can

continue to make your rounds for signatures that you can explain to people who have been mislead by the newspaper or other sources that city council is working for them.

MS. PASSET: A lot of them agree, yes.

MS. EVANS: And we are the ones standing between you and that 78 percent tax increase.

MS. PASSET: Oh, I know that, yeah, and I agree with that and I told them, but a lot of them wouldn't sign it unless I put, you know, that -- put that in there and I said, "Okay," you know, but I agree with that. And I told them, I said, you know, the taxpayers need to crowd this room and they need to get the mayor here. That was -- I would say almost every one of those 237 people said, "How come he doesn't go to the meetings? Where is he at?"

And a couple of people mentioned

Mrs. Novembrino, the city controller

because, I mean, they said, "Maybe she

should be at that meeting too because she

has to approve payments," I guess and there

are maybe four people that wouldn't sign it for different reasons, you know, but that was it. And it didn't take me any time at all so I'm going to keep going. I'm not discouraged yet.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. You know, sitting back here tonight listening to a lot that goes on here earlier, I'd just like to address some of the comments made by the previous speaker and we talked about why the mayor hasn't come here. It's very simple why the mayor doesn't come here, he doesn't care. It's that simple. His arrogance he's spewed over the last ten years speaks volumes and you are not going to see this man here he just doesn't care.

You know, if I hear one more time that this council majority refuses to sit down and negotiate with the mayor one more time I think I'm going to become even more sick to my stomach that I already am. I can't tell you how tired I am of hearing that in the newspaper and on TV that the

council majority refuses to negotiate with the mayor. This council majority had five months of negotiations with this mayor in a room and with this man promised that he would include your proposal in his revised Recovery Plan and yet again it's time and time where you negotiate with this man and you think you are going somewhere and you walk out of the room and it's not knives in the back and he just continues to drive them down your spine. The man's word is no good. He can't be trusted. He is incompetent and he needs to be held accountable.

But I would like to begin tonight by addressing his recent decision to pay all union and nonunion city employees the minimum wage requirement of \$7.25 an hour beginning on July 6, 2012. The mayor's decision, which is clearly illegal, as it violates union contracts, is simply an attempt to lead the council supermajority down his path of destruction. This is all being done because the council majority won't past his flawed Recovery Plan that places astronomical tax increases on the

residents of this city.

With all of this in mind, the council majority has yet again put it's foot down and refusing to place these burdens on the taxpayers. This is just another example of the council majority watching out for the little guy that has continued to take blow after blow by the Doherty administration.

In an attempt to put a political spin on the financial situation, the mayor, his administration and his lone rubber stamp member of council want to place blame on the council majority for "not having a plan" and to refusing to sit and negotiate.

Well, once again I'll state it for the fourth consecutive week now, this council majority does have a plan, however, the mayor has arrogantly ignored it time and time again. Let us remember for the last ten years it's Chris Doherty who has been captain of this ship. In the past, his rubber stamp councils sat back and did nothing. They allowed the reckless borrowing and spending policies to just continue. They allowed him to take care of

the good ole' boy club rather than doing what was right for the residents of this city.

Now it's time to pay the piper,

Chris. Four people were elected to council,

better known as the supermajority, and they

have called you out and they have put you in

your place and your time of irresponsible

borrowing and spending is over and you need

to get that through your head. You can no

longer intimidate or attempt to extort

through your threats of scare tactics when

you don't get your way.

I truly believe that the time has come now for law enforcement officials above the city to file appropriate extortion charges against Christopher A. Doherty for his recent actions that clearly jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of the residents of this city.

And finally this evening, I would like to take the time to address a few comments, I normally don't respond to irrelevant people, but I do want to take the time to respond to a few comments made by

Chris Kelly of the Scranton Times in his Sunday, June 24, column titled "Words Over Deeds."

In this week's column by Mr. Kelly he continues his tradition of making insults and personal attacks towards the council majority. Tonight I would like to say to council, stay the course and don't let Chris Kelly drag you in and drag you by your nose down to his level of, oh, let's have another cold beer and a cigarette as he blindly types behind his smoke-filled room at his computer.

In this article he goes onto attack
Councilman Frank Joyce by stating,
"Mr. Joyce resembles a dog watching
television who says very little as he waits
for Mrs. Evans to yank on his leash."

I think it's important for Chris

Kelly to know that Councilman Joyce's

comments are self-motivated, but more

important, Mr. Kelly, Councilman Joyce knows

something that he was taught at a young age

that evidently you weren't and that is that

you engage the brain before you put your

mouth in gear.

Councilman Joyce speaks each week were professional and authoritative opinion. He is a man of his convictions as can be said of his three other colleagues on council.

He then finds time to go on and immaturely attack Councilman Loscombe, a man who woke up every day putting his life on the line to defend the residents of this city, including Mr. Kelly himself, and today he has been unfairly revoked of his pension while serving on council when in the past members of the council is up there collecting hefty pensions, but yet we don't want to say anything about that, we just want to sweep that under the rug as we continue to play politics.

I just have to say tonight to

Councilman Loscombe keep fighting and

standing up for the people of Scranton, you

need to know that the criticism is coming

from a complete clown.

Mrs. Evans, I have here tonight with me a leash since Chris Kelly seems to be

fascinated with dogs and leashes and since Mr. Kelly wants to sit behind his computer and tell us how to run the city since he is just so well educated on finance, well, then perhaps we should invite him in, drag him in on his leash, maybe even consider a muzzle because to be honest with you he has yet to have anything intelligent come out of his mouth at this point and let him tell us how we should run the city and, you know, if he is a good dg we may even consider throwing him a couple of treats.

But the bottom line here is really simple, we are in this financial situation due to the actions of our ship captain Chris Doherty, and tonight we need to send a message loud and clear across this city that we will take our city back and Chris Doherty and the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED will be held accountable once and for all whether they like it or not. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, everyone.

Hello, Council. I just don't know where to start listening to everybody.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: They are all just such good speakers and I come up here and I see sideways and upside down and backwards and I just try to kind of say some things like last week that people tell me to relate to you. You know, I often talk about people holding onto their property by their fingertips year after year, this is like the coup de' grace, it's over with for a lot of people. It's just -- it's impossible to pay this new tax base, you know, for -- I don't know percentage wise, for a lot of people that I talk to.

Last week the Lackawanna Heritage

Valley Authority bought a property on

Railroad avenue for \$700,000. \$700,000.

That's \$12,000 a year taxes. That's one of you alls salaries that's off the -- how many times have I stood here and related about property left and right being taken off the tax rolls by these universities and all of these phoney nonprofits like this?

You know, if they can pay \$700,000 they can pay \$12,000 a year taxes. It's

just -- you have got to stop it somehow.

The nonprofits are the one that bankrupt this city, besides that the incompetent Mr. Doherty and his stupidity in finance year after year, it's just a combination.

There is just not solving these problems.

There is no way to solve the problems that we are in right now. Financing, just not any ability whatsoever to finance it and mismanagement.

It just seems like we are attacking the homeowners and just attacking at every level. It just doesn't stop, you know.

Just look at the two knew commissioners real quick, they have hired 20 people in a matter of weeks, one of them is so incompetent they hired a wet nurse for him for 21 people.

They are all friends and supporters. Look at the school board inventing all kind of ridiculous positions for their friends, a wellness coordinator. I'm sure, you know, the city will fall apart if we don't have a wellness coordinator and I don't know how you stop these things.

You know, you people approved that

121 unit apartment building that they said it's going to cost 10 or 15 million, I'll bet my antique car there is not a building permit for anything like that and it probably will be like another \$19 permit like all Austin Burke got, and their own figures, this building is supposed to bring in over a million dollars a year in rent. Why can't they pay taxes? They are a "For Profit" company. You people blink when you don't have to.

I bet they have new sidewalks and streets and streetlights and everything that North Main Avenue doesn't. I probably won't ever see a sidewalk and a curb in my neighborhood. And these people have police protection and fire protection that you and me and these people out here are paying for and they are not paying taxes for ten years. Shame on council for allowing that.

I don't know, I just -- I just give up with it. I got better things to do.

This is my fanfare tonight. I know you people will probably be happy you won't have no more of my tirades. I wish each and

every one of you the very best. You guys
got to pull a rabbit out of a hat somehow
and I don't know how you are going to do it.

I talked to some successful business people in the morning when I have coffee and they don't see -- they don't see you coming out of it. Bankruptcy is not going to help. They is just no tax base left because of the nonprofits and the city and you people and the council just refuse to attack them. That's it.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hundreds of nonprofits that aren't doing one thing for this city.

Tell me how that sign that's supposed to be a religious ornament welcoming people to the University of Scranton, there was I think it was a lawyer's office in that big building.

That sign is costing us a \$100 a week in lost taxes.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: A big empty lot with a sign on it. This goes on all of over the city with these nonprofits, you are just afraid of them or something. But until you

people solve these nonprofits problems,
well, I'm going to join them. I'm not
paying no more house taxes. I just give up.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I'll put it in escrow or something, but I'm not paying no more house taxes to have absolutely fools like running the SPA take my land.

MR. JOYCE: You are out of time.

Just out of respect --

MR. ELLMAN: I work too hard for my money to have it squandered away like this. Three or four million dollars down there. That doesn't make sense to anybody. Thank you and good luck to everybody and God bless you all. I'm through. I'm through with the council, I'm through with the mayor, I'll just go my way.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Our next speaker is Bob Jones.

MR. JONES: Boy, he is a hard act to follow, I'll tell you. Hi. Bob Jones, member of the Taxpayers', resident of Scranton. Hello, Mayor Chris. Lowering city employees' salaries to minimum wage

does that not require that they are eligible for unemployment benefits, the reason that you fought against the firefighters in giving that money back after of that \$8 million? You don't want to pay unemployment to the firefighters, but now they are eligible for unemployment, so think about that, Chrissy. Are you looking at me? So that's your excuse.

Seems every time we turn around you are doing something against the people of this city, between raising taxes, laying off firefighters, giving the shaft to city employees, oh, that's nice.

I wanted to mention the good Chris gave me this sheet, I was looking at the website today as well and Chris printed it out, half the fire stations are still closed today, four of them, as I can count, and I will show everyone. Our other Chris is out there, the good Chris, and if I could approach council I'll show it to Jack and everybody. This is as of the 29th. I don't what excuse you have for that, too. You haven't hired back any firefighters. You

have done nothing.

But anyway, I would like to -- if anybody wants the remainder of my time I wrote a lot of things down but it's all curse words towards Mr. Doherty. If anybody wants the remainder of my time with council's permission they can have it. It not, thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Bob.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: Gee whiz, you know, where did you start? I guess at the beginning, but we are at the end, so we'll start there. I'm a person who makes my decisions basically based on fact and documents that I can collect or have access to. Right here, so that everybody can understand this, I do have a copy of the Recovery Plan with me here today, so I do have that.

The one thing that has been troubling here is that I called council to

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask for a copy of their -- I won't call it a plan, because you don't have a plan, and that's not a criticism, but, you know, a copy of anything that you had proposed.

I don't go to the Scranton Times, I don't go to the Times for information, but as a concerned citizen who has come to these meetings for over a couple of decades, hopefully a couple of more, I would like council to give me a copy of their proposals, Mr. Joyce, and since you are the president here tonight I'm asking you personally to present me with a copy of your proposals because I'm not going to say that I support the mayor's plan because I haven't read yours, and I don't like to make decisions based on sound bites and partial information, and I would like to ask if you would authorize that this evening.

MR. JOYCE: During motions I will go over what we -- or what has been proposed to the mayor for the Recovery Plan.

MR. MORGAN: Okay, but could I come to council tomorrow and pick up a copy of that proposal?

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: I could type out a list, yes.

MR. MORGAN: That would be fine. I would just like to having that I can read and research and come to my own conclusions and that's what I'm asking for tonight. I would like to know if that would be possible.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'll send a list to our secretary and she can print it out.

MR. MORGAN: I appreciate that. Now, I want to move to something else here. The Home Rule Charter gives the power of It hasn't been used even as of subpoena. now for anything, whether it has to do with the Parking Authority or anything else for that matter that's financial in scope, but the one that really troubles me the most is I don't understand how being a city councilman, how council can meet with the mayor and, you know, I don't know if you bring your solicitor or if he is informed, but as these negotiations progress I can't understand why they weren't put in writing as the progress was allegedly made and

signed.

1

24

25

And, you know, I think that if I'm a 2 3 negotiations with somebody, especially somebody that I don't trust, I want 4 everything in writing and I'm just -- I 5 think there is something else here we are 6 7 not talking about either and that's the 8 shortfall in the city's pension plans. 9 mean, I haven't heard them discussed tonight 10 and they are the real gorilla in the closet. I mean, my opinion is, like I said, I don't 11 12 know everything and I haven't come to any 13 super firm conclusion, but I think we need a 14 200 percent or 300 percent tax increase to be real honest with you, because I don't 15 16 know where the \$100 million alleged 17 shortfall in the pension plan is going to 18 come from, and to be really honest with you, 19 you know, it's not a secret that we have had 20 trouble with the PEL for an extended period 21 of time, and like I said before, you know, 22 all of the easy solutions have been taken 23 and now we are pressed.

It's also my understanding that some firemen are coming back to work soon. I

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

don't know if that's true or not either, but that's what I have been lead to believe here, but I'd really like to say that the process needs to be open and in my opinion the Recovery Plan, as late as it is now, not that I don't have any faith in the administration or council, this thing really needs to go on the ballot, and I agree with what the lady said who collected all of these signatures because, look at, I only campaign like I told you for 16 hours, eight hours Minooka, eight hours in West Side and there is just no faith in council, the mayor or the government, and I'm not surprised by the signatures she has collected because they are just disgusted and there is no more money left here.

And I have talked to people, and pathetic as it may sound, and not that I agree, if we lost the fire department and their house burned down they wouldn't care. They just can't pay anymore. They don't want to pay any more taxes, they just have nothing more to give, okay? And I said to this person, "What do you mean?"

And he said, "Well, if we laid off every fireman, I'd take my chances."

I mean, that's how pressed people are. They have no money, no jobs. You read page after page of houses for sale. But, look at, I won't take anymore of your time, but I would like to stop tomorrow and pick that up, Mr. Joyce, please. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. I, too, want to comment about the mayor lowering the salaries of city workers to minimum wage. I mean, I'm not a lawyer, but he can't do that. These people have contracts. He can't break contracts like that. These people have to be paid what's written in the contract and he hs a nerve to come on the news last night and say, "Let me sue me, where are they going to get the money from?"

That's the arrogance that he has shown for ten and a half years now and that's why we are in the trouble we are in

now. He is arrogant. It's his way or the highway and that's why we are in the trouble we are in, and he'll never come to these meetings like people want to because, like I said, he has shown up here three meetings two to ask for money and one to put his puppet Mr. McGoff up there. So he will never show up here for any other reason.

Moving on, it was in the Doherty newsletter the other day I guess we are at 126 firefighters and because the mayor returned \$3.1 million of the SAFER grant we won't be going any higher than that. If the 40 people that are eligible to retire in two years we are going to be down to 86 firefighters. You think you had bad fires in this city before, you ain't seen nothing yet. With 86 firefighters this city is going to burn to the ground and you can blame the man downstairs for that.

Everybody, please, I'm begging you, you cannot reelect this man next year. Tell your friends, relatives, we have to have a new mayor in this city next year or like a business owner told me downtown last week if

this mayor is reelected this city will be a ghost town.

And I want to read a couple more things from the Doherty newsletter this week, and I knew this right away, the mayor taking council to Court, a judge can't tell elected officials what to do. I want to read something that was in the Doherty news letter." The lawsuit contends council's inaction could cause immediate and irreparable harm both to public safety and to the city's long-term financial health."

Well, he hasn't cared about public safety and now all of a sudden he cares about it. Why did he return the SAFER grant? That doesn't tell me he cares about public safety. And there was an article about the election, this is pretty funny, he says, "I took this job to make the city better, and that's what we have done for 11 years and I'm going to go lie to people."

That's hysterical. He should be a comedy writer. "I just hope we do the right thing. I don't want to lie to people."

Well, I could stand here all night

naming all of the lies he has done over the years. He said he would be the sixth councilman, that's a lie. He said he wouldn't close firehouses, that's a lie. I could go on and on and on. This man has nothing but lied. He had a handshake agreement with the unions and he backed out on that. There is another -- the man has done nothing about lie and Mr. McGoff supports him. It's pathetic.

And the next thing, an article about the Parking Authority in Tuesday's paper.

Attorney O'Brien wants to meet with the mayor and council and other officials, I hope council isn't going to take that invitation. I hope they let the Parking Authority drown in their own debt and let them get taken over like Attorney Hughes suggested.

One thing, I don't know if this would be legal, but I have an idea to try to get money out of the nonprofits. Just tell them, you know what, if you don't give us anymore money in lieu of taxes we are not going to provide services anymore. Let the

University of Scranton burn down. Then maybe they will give us more money in lieu of taxes. I don't know if that's legal, but maybe you could talk to your solicitor and find out because nothing else is working so I wouldn't provide services to these nonprofits.

Lastly, a streetlight on my corner has been burned out for about three weeks. It's pitch dark, you can't see anybody standing in front of my house. Two Fridays ago I had to call 911, it woke me up, somebody was breaking into cars on our block. Luckily someone on the block saw this person, they were in my daughter's car. One police officer was in the area and he drove up and arrested the person, but I called the number that's on the pole, they say it's out of service now because I guess MEM doesn't do it anymore.

MR. JOYCE: No, it's a different provider. If you could get a copy of the streetlight number and --

MR. SPINDLER: You know, I do have that, but I forgot to bring it tonight. I

called DPW and they said they would let somebody know, so far -- that was a couple of days ago and it's still dark.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MR. SPINDLER: I don't know who is taking care of that now.

MR. JOYCE: If you can get a copy of the streetlight number and to call our office we could handle that for you.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you because it goes to prove it's not safe. Thank God a police officer was in the area. Thank you for your time.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. FRANUS: Good evening. I'd like to thank Mr. Judge from the firemen's union and Mr. Martin from the policemen's unions for expressing their thoughts to council and really saying it like it was. They didn't have to come forward and say all they did. They took a chance and they went overboard, meaning, they took a risk because there is

lot of retaliation when you speak against the mayor, and they were wonderful and I want to thank them working for minimum wage for the time period they will be doing.

They are really doing us a great service and they should be thanked.

Another thing, Mr. McGoff, I'd like to ask you something, would you prefer the mayor's 78 percent tax increase plus the other that's for the 30 million for the firemen --

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the end of that?

MS. FRANUS: Would you prefer having the mayor's Recovery Plan with 78 percent plus tax increase over your own council's recommendations to the mayor that were ignored? What would you rather, which one? Are you fighting for council's recommendations?

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to see a plan that is viable. I don't want to see a massive tax increase, but I think some of the recommendations that were made by council do not have hard numbers with them

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I was going to address that as well. We need to get some hard numbers so that we can mitigate what is an excessive -- maybe an excessive tax increase.

MS. FRANUS: I also have a problem with Pat Rogan's statements that was on Channel 16 when he said that the reason there is no Recovery Plan is because the president of city council and the mayor are fighting. That's an out and out lie. Mrs. Evans, the president of council, has been meeting with the mayor for five months. No one has been working any harder than she and the other two councilman have to get a Recovery Plan that would decrease the tax increase that the mayor would like to have on the people and he knows this but yet he said this. And then WNEP never put Mrs. Evans side of story on. It's very And then he goes onto say in Mr. biased. Kelly's article that he is not part of the supermajority. That's true, but when he was running for election he sure ran under the coat tails and made sure he was at every campaign party to get elected. He used them

to get elected, once he is in there he doesn't want to be part of it. It's outrageous.

He also said he thought city council should meet with the mayor and come up with solutions. I'd like to see Mr. Rogan -- maybe you, Mr. McGoff, get together with the mayor and see if he would -- if Mr. Rogan had any suggestions to the mayor. I wonder if Mayor Doherty would implement anything Pat Rogan wanted. I doubt it very much. Just like he ignored everything that Mrs. Evans has suggested and Mr. Joyce.

Five months they talked back and forth and Mayor Doherty promised Mrs. Evans StreetSmart, Parking Authority garages sold, da, da, da, Mrs. Evans and Frank Joyce can probably tell you who listed them maybe this evening. He lied to them. And you went along with -- you, Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci everything you gave him, every borrowing you voted for, that's why we are in the shape we are in.

That's like if I had a Mastercard bill of \$15,000 and I expected my neighbors

to pay for it. That's what the mayor has doing. He buried it and we can't do it. I'm a senior citizen and I can't handle this too much more. I'm thankful that I have a sister that helps me and my children that are always there for me because if I didn't have them I would have to move out of town. Most people don't have what I have.

Unfortunately, what about the people that don't have that? How are they going to live here? I'm sure they are very upset and very worried and I'm sure they are very thankful Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce and Mrs. Evans for fighting and fighting and fighting.

And, Mr. Hughes, very much so
Attorney Hughes saying that they will fight
this as long as they have to, and even go to
the Supreme Court, thank you so much for
doing this. I know it's hard because you
are under so much pressure. The people in
this city are ill-informed, unless they see
these council meetings the Scranton Times
lie and they don't say the truth, and the
television stations they had Mayor Doherty

on here today saying -- yesterday, rather, saying that you don't have a plan. That's and out and out lie.

So thank you and I hope you stand -I know you will stake to your guns, I know
you will. I just hope the people in this
city know, and they do, that you are
fighting for them more than ever before and
I hope you stand by them. And, Mr. Hughes,
I appreciate you standing by council, too,
and doing all the hard work you are going to
be doing because you have a tough road ahead
of you and thank you for going today.
That's all I can say and thank you very
much.

MR. HUGHES: That's the most fun I've had for \$7.25.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Francis Tyson.

MR. TYSON: Francis Tyson, resident of Scranton, member of the American Flag Committee. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. TYSON: Madam President, council

members, I wish to invite you to join with us along with the members here this evening and your viewing audience to be with us on the Fourth of July up at Nay Aug Park, that will be this coming Wednesday Fourth of July, Independence Day.

We plan on having a ceremony that's presented by veterans, military veterans conduct this ceremony every year with the assistance of some boy scouts and what we do is principally try to teach good citizenship to our youngsters, so all of those in attendance if you could bring your youngsters, children or grandchildren, we'd appreciate that and show them how we as adults are celebrating Independence Day and this is what we like to pass on to your young people.

As I said, it's on Wednesday, it will 10:30 in the morning sharp and we start the ceremony off with a patriotic music by the Green and Gold band and then proceed into our regular program. And as I indicated, it's done principally by your military veterans.

What I would also like to say is we are very fortunate to be here at this particular time of the year because this happens to be the 250th year anniversary of the constitution. We are celebrating that this year and if anybody might be interested and you should browse through it from time to time to see our local representative your senator and your congressman and stop by his office he will be glad to give you a free copy. Any questions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: What time did that start, Mr. Tyson?

MR. JOYCE: 10:30.

MR. MCGOFF: Every year that I have gone the speakers have been very good, is there a principal speaker this year?

MR. TYSON: Yes, we have a principal speaker. His name is Mike Semian. He is the commandant down here at the Gino Merli Veterans' Center this year.

MS. EVANS: Very good. And I thank
you for coming to make this announcement
because I had actually received several
e-mails in the last week from city residents

who went on these Scranton government website looking for information about this particular event because they enjoy it so much and they said they were very, very disappointed at the lack of information provided on the city website and so your coming here tonight I'm sure provided all the information that you were looking for.

MR. TYSON: And I'm glad because you have a wide viewing audience. Thank you very for having me.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Charlie Newcombe, Sr.

MR. NEWCOMBE, SR.: Good evening,
Council, just a few -- I haven't been here
in awhile, but I'm going to touch on some
things I brought up when I was here before.
We have all of these money problems and I
believe in 2011 we are going to be getting
some money in from the Tripps Park
development, you know, like the housing we
are getting more money but there is nothing
left to show for it.

I want to touch on a few things, but

22

23

24

25

what I'm going to touch on is probably about a year ago if you I remember I came in here and talked about a family member of mine that was involved with an incident in this Well, it's no secret now, city. Mr. Spindler brought it up last week and it was my son. Now, if you read that lawsuit the Scranton Times had in the paper that there was no settlement made, totally false. There was a settlement made in March 9 of this in the federal courthouse with a city attorney and the things that were supposed to come out of that, I'm not going to disclose the amount of money, but it was a very large sum of money, handshake agreement that they are sorry for what happened and the police officers involved were supposed to go for training because they were 100 percent wrong.

So they were supposed to get -- the deal was supposed to get done next week, they got a call like everybody else did and said, "We are not going to pay your money because we have no money to do this."

They are asking for another 60 days.

Well, I'll tell you what, we are checking with our attorneys now, and I told my son I believe this happened to you because of what your last name was, and then when this Chief Duffy said if he had to do it all over he would do it again, so what you are telling me what you would do again, you would pull people over, assume they did something wrong, hold them down in police headquarters for about four hours, make them take their clothes off, strip search them, do everything else, never arrest them, and then say, "Well, sorry for your troubles."

So that's what you would do again?

Terrible, terrible police chief. So I think what we are going to have to do is we're going to pull back out of the deal, we'll go with the original plan. These police officers are supposed to be charged with sexual assault and abuse and all of this other kind of stuff, it's in the original report. I think the best thing to do is have them arrested, go to Court and let a jury decide, and I'm sure a jury will decide much more of an award. We did a favor

because we know the city was in trouble, but now they don't want to pay that either so I think that's going to end up going to court.

But the point of it is it was settled, Scranton Times had it wrong. It was on Channel 28 about two days before it came out in the Scranton Times and they got it right that there was a settlement reached so they always was a settlement reached, the Scranton Times, as usual, was wrong.

And I want to talk about the Recovery Plan a little bit. What happened today I believe in the Supreme Court, what was made, was a far reach of government to expand government and I think it's going to make this country in worse shape than it is and I think what's what happening in the city here. We cannot afford a 78 percent tax increase on top of what you have already, you know, the 10 percent that's already in there.

And when I read the paper this morning, you know, I have been a laborer for 30 years, but I've also got some common sense when it comes to doing contracts, I

think it's a disgrace that these men and women have to work for \$7.25 an hour, but I was coming to council here many times when Mrs. Gatelli was up there and I was saying when they gave the police chief raises and the fire chief like \$15,000, \$10,000 and I said years ago, "Every raise you give them you got to give the retired guy 50 percent."

So that means for that 15 grand in his pension he gets other \$7,500. That's a five or six-hundred dollars a month extra in your pension, and that goes for the fire chief, whether it's given to -- they get 50 percent of that. She said, oh, well I don't think it was true. Fine. She called me on her cell phone and she goes, "Oh, boy, you were right."

I said, "Well, I know I was right, you should have listened."

So the point of this is how much did that cost you? I cannot believe in this morning's paper that I read that we have 400 employees and we are paying for 2,200. I mean, I'm about as pro labor as you can get but I'm also pro taxpayer and common sense

21

22

23

24

25

as you can get. Whoever negotiated a deal, whether it's Mayor Connors or how far back it was, obviously these kind of things are Democratic proposals, but whoever decided to do this I can't believe that they made a deal to go out that far. I mean, I worked at a company for 30 years and when I retired and for those three years I had no copay on my insurance whatsoever. My company paid a 100 percent, but the thing was when I left it left with me. I don't want to -- that's what happened in the auto industry and that's why they went bankrupt and the taxpayers had to pay it out, but I just can't believe that, when I read that number this morning's paper, we will never -- I know it doesn't happen now, since 1993's contracts, but if we don't do something with the language and we don't do something with the Recovery Plan we are never going to sustain these bills.

When Mr. Hughes was talking before about \$9 million, and you mentioned,
Mrs. Evans, about what we needed for last year's bill, we should never be walking into

a bank, any fiscal person should tell you, you should never be walking into the bank and saying, "I need to borrow \$9 million for last year's bills," because if I was the banker I would tell you to the hit road. If I went in the bank tomorrow and I wanted to borrow \$10,000 and the banker said, "What do you need?"

And I said, "Oh, I got four months behind on my mortgage payments and my utilities," he is going to tell me, "Are you crazy?"

We should never spend more than we bring in. If we continue to do this with the country and this city the last one on the city shut the lights out because there will be nobody left.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Charlie Newcomb, Jr.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Good evening, city council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: Before I get into the nuts and bolts of what I want to speak on, I haven't been here in awhile, as we all

know I was adamant on the Washburn Street

Cemetery and getting that cleaned up and it

seems to be this summer that it is cleaned

up and being taken care of pretty good.

Also, we all know about the flooding problem in the Keyser Valley, I have been working with Mr. Skeleton from the Sewer Authority and hopefully there will be a remedy to this situation as much as they can soon and I'll keep you updated on that and I want to publically thank him for all of the work and the phone calls that he got back to me on.

Now, the following people:

Mr. Pocius, Mr. Hazzouri, Mr. Gilhooley, Mr. McTiernan, Mr. McCormick, Ms. Fanucci, Ms. Gatelli, and Mr. McGoff, along with Mr. Doherty, PEL, DCED, and believe it or not, the Waverly Times, these are the people that put us in the situation that we are in today, not the four people sitting before me. These people that I just read are the reason we are in the situation we are in today. These people are the reason.

Mr. McGoff, how can you shake your

head? I mean, I didn't see --

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't shake my head.

MR. NEWCOMB, JR.: They are the reason why the people of this city, the hardworking employees of this city, you included, will be getting paid \$7.25 an hour. Not because of Mr. Loscombe, not because of Mr. Joyce, not because of Mrs. Evans and not because of Mr. Rogan, because of the ten people along with PEL, DCED, and the Scranton Times for putting the spin on it are the reason why we are in the situation that we are in today.

I pay thousands of dollars to this city on a monthly and a yearly basis for my property taxes and the 3.4 ridiculous wage tax that I pay. I get zero in return for it. Unless I use the fire department or the police department, I get zero. Do I complain? No. I will be the first person to stand here and tell you that I am one of the many people in any 30s that are raising children in this city that actually want to stay here, but if this mayor puts forth a 78 percent tax increase I am the first one to

go.

I have a contract that I had with somebody to put an addition on my house and the city would have thousands of dollars that I would pay in permit fees and I refuse to do it unless this guy downstairs figures how to get the city under control. I stood at this podium, I pulled up the minutes on-line, and I'm going to read you exactly what I said in October before the last mayoral election, "If this city reelects Chris Doherty as its mayor and Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci on council, four years from now this city will be beyond help."

I told you, the people that came before this told you, and I sat here and I watched Mrs. Evans the first day she took her seat when she beat thank God Mr. McCormick and sat where Mr. McGoff is and she sat here relentlessly and said, "No, no, no," and she went on deaf ears.

That is the reason why we are in the condition that we are in. The water slides, the tree houses, the flower pots, the electric city sign. Remember the "Restoring"

the Pride" slogan? Whose pride is being restored now? Not mine. Sure as heck not the people who are going to make \$7.25 an hour for God knows how long. They were put all of the over the city. There were signs all over of the city, they were put on every garbage truck, "Restoring the Pride."

I don't know whose pride is restored. Please do not budge to this mayor. Somebody said it before and I'll say it again, it's extortion. Do it my way or this is what's going to happen." And previous before you people came and saved us he got his way all the time. All the time.

I support the unions, I have always did it, I've always gone forward, went to bat for them, got intimidated because I went to bat for them by this administration, but I'll do it again. What right is right and what's wrong is wrong. I commend them for making \$7.25 an hour, and I don't care how long they have to make \$7.25 an hour until this city gets fixed, and I ask you from somebody like me who is stupid enough to stay in this city because they want to make

it a better place, please do not give into this man.

On a final note, my HR director when I started my job called me on the phone and said, "I did everybody else's income tax and they are between 1 on 1.5 percent, are you sure that the City of Scranton has their information correct and that you pay 2.4 percent wage tax of the City of Scranton?"

He told me that I was crazy, but I will not stay in this city if I am hit with a 78 percent tax increase. My children are already paying for next 25 or 30 years because of the people's names that I just read before you. Mrs. Gatelli sat on council when I was here and showed a picture of her grandson and said that she doesn't want him to have to pay, well, because of her along with Mr. McGoff and everybody else they are. Please do not cave into this man and I thank you and next time people say council they are the names that they need to read, not this council.

MS. EVANS: Luanne Hennahan. Is there anyone else who cares to address

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association, founder of the
Legion of Doom.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

I told you so. MR. JACKOWITZ: Ι told you so years ago that this was going to happen, so did a lot of other people. fell on deaf ears. Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce, Mr. Rogan and were not here. Mrs. Evans was here and Mr. McGoff was here along with Mrs. Gatelli, Mrs. Fanucci and the other list of the names that Mr. Charles Newcomb, Jr., just mentioned and I brought that up months ago also, so those are the people who are responsible for this. Someone has to accept responsibility because it happened. It's as simple as that. It's a fact. not -- it's not speculation, it's not -it's a fact. The City of Scranton is doomed and, you know, we are in ruins and crises or whatever you want to call it.

And I guess the thing that bothers me more than anything right now is the fact

that I still believe that the taxpayers are being left out of the loop. The unions are meeting with the mayor, city council is meeting, everybody is meeting with the mayor, everybody except for the citizens. We can't see the mayor because he is a coward, okay? He is a coward. He won't come here. He won't hold a meeting at the Scranton High School where citizens can come there and pepper him with questions for about four or five hours and bring along his business administrator and a city treasurer who can't even keep track of the garbage fees for God's sake.

You want to know why we are ruined and doomed and gloomed and everything else, because we have had incompetent people running this city and the mayor has been in charge, Mayor Christopher A. Doherty, Scranton Prep graduate, Holy Cross graduate, has been in charge for 15 years. Four years as Finance Chair on the city council and 11 years as the mayor. He is the one who appointed these people. He is the one who said you got to pay big money and good money

to get the best. Look at what we got. Stu Renda, what's her name who had a degree in fashion design from Marywood College who was the HR director, Chief Elliott who was responsible for the city being sued, now we got Chief Duffy who is responsible who possibly might be responsible for the city being sued. These are all of the people that Christopher A. Doherty appointed.

I wish the Scranton Times would get it straight for once. They weren't appointed by you four, they weren't even appointed by Mr. McGoff. Mr. Doherty appointed Mr. McGoff and look at what we got. No baseball game tonight? I guess not, you are here.

 $\label{eq:MR.MCGOFF: No there was, I missed it.} \end{substitute}$

MR. JACKOWITZ: I didn't ask for your input, please. Don't interrupt me.

MR. MCGOFF: You asked me if there was a game.

MR. JACKOWITZ: No, I didn't -- I was being facetious. I don't know if you understand what that word is.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, I went to 1 2 high school with you, Mr. Jackowitz. MR. JACKOWITZ: But anyway, it was 3 these people who caused these problems and 4 5 that's just the way it is, and now the taxpayers got to take it in the shorts again 6 and again and again, you know? 7 8 have firehouses closed. Why do we 9 firehouses closed? Why do we have fire 10 stations and rescue units and everything 11 else closed and not available? 12 I have been told that the swimming 13 fee has going up to \$5, is that true, 14 Mr. McGoff? MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry? 15 16 MR. JACKOWITZ: I was told that the 17 swimming fee went up to \$5, is that 18 accurate? MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea. 19 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, that's what I 20 21 was told. It was two dollars and now it 22 went to three, then it went to four and I 23 was just told recently that it was up to \$5 24 this year. I don't know if it's true or not 25 because quite honestly I'm going to adopt

Mayor Doherty's philosophy, I don't care.

Don't care anymore. You know, I'm tired of people laughing at me because I live in Scranton. You know, we're the laughing stock of the entire state. I get calls and e-mails from everybody laughing at us, like, what the hell are you people in Scranton doing? Where did you get this mayor from?

What hole did he crawl out of it -- from under?

I mean, I get e-mails and phone calls from people out of state who I know from my connections and they say, "I was on-line, I'm reading your newspaper, that can't be true."

I say, "Oh, yes, it is true. I'm sorry to say, but it is true."

You know, I'm tired of it. And as far as tax increases go, you know, if you raise taxes, hey, the city is a ghost town already. There is too many people in the city that don't pay taxes, too many people, and there are going to be more. So, I mean, we are talking about millions of dollars, we are not talking about hundreds of thousands

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

of dollars, we are talking about hundreds of millions of dollars, and it was all caused by the list that Mr. Newcomb read off. read that list off a couple of months ago. It was a big joke back when Fanucci, Gatelli and McGoff were here. They used to sit up there and eat candy and chew gum. I don't know if people remember those days, but I do, I remember them very well. It was everything was funny. We used to get lectured from Fanucci and Gatelli every week about how right they were and how wrong we Where are they? Have they attended a council meeting since they got voted out of office? Come to a meeting, Gatelli and Fanucci wherever you are, and bring the mayor with you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. I came tonight with the intent to share only one thing, but I guess I can't stay away from financials. So, Mrs. Evans, tonight you said the agreement that you all negotiated in private saves \$20 million, so

1 how much is left? 2 MS. EVANS: Which agreement now? 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: The one that was revealed tonight by the unions. 4 5 MS. EVANS: According to the e-mail that I read from Attorney Jennings it saves 6 7 approximately 15 million. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: And how much is 9 left? MS. EVANS: At least 15 million. 10 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I'm shocked 12 that if you negotiated we don't have a 13 precise figure, but we don't. 14 MS. EVANS: Maybe we could ask Mr. Loscombe for that because, as I said, I 15 16 was not present at the two final meetings, I 17 was at the present two initial meetings. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: You did, and then 19 also I would like to ask Mr. Joyce if he 20 would also share the data that is he going 21 to provide to Mr. Morgan, I would also like 22 that. 23 MR. JOYCE: Yes, the recommendations 24 for the Recovery Plan I will make them 25 available to the public. I will send them

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to our secretary and she can make copies for everyone who would like them.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Now. I'll get back. I originally intended to talk about the cities revenue shortfall tonight, but I couldn't quite get my eyes and my arms around it, so I did what I always did, before I went to bed last night I prayed about some inspiration so that I would know how to do it. I do that a lot, and when I got up this morning I didn't have a solution but I did what I always do and that is to turn on my computer and read a daily devotional by Dr. Charles Stanley in Atlanta, and I thought it sort of was the answer and so I decided that what I was called to do was to share it with you all tonight, so I will, even though it's copyrighted I consider this a personal use.

"Everyone faces challenges in life.
Whether our struggles are financial,
vocational, relational or physical we can be
certain that nobody is exempt. Fortunately,
we serve a God who is both interested in our
problems and able to take care of them.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When trouble looms, prayer is always a good first step to take, but having a foundation upon which to build prayers also makes a difference. Jehoshpahate, King of Judea, faced an enormous challenge. different tribes, the Moabites, the Amonites and the Meunites, simultaneously waged war against him. Most leaders would have crumbled under such pressure, or at the very least taken drastic measures, but Jehoshaphat was a wise king. Through afraid, he did not strike out against his enemies. Instead, knowing God was interested in his dilemma he turned his attention to seek the lord and proclaim a fast throughout the land.

Jehoshaphat also knew that God, who was greater than any earthly problem, had done miraculous things for Abraham, Issac, Jacob, Moses, David and Daniel. That same God would help, too, in his hour of need. We should never underestimate the Lord's interest in our affairs. He helped our ancestors in the Bible and he can and will help his children today.

It's easy to think our problems are unimportant in the eyes of God but he doesn't feel that way at all. Whatever concerns us concerns him. If we like Jehosphapat turn right to God and proclaim his power. He will intervene. And no matter how great our challenges are, God is greater. He has promised if my people who are called by my name will humble themselves and prayer and seek my face and turn from their wicked ways then I will hear them from heaven and I will forgive them and their sins and heal their land."

And so I ask for you to select a day and ask the citizens of this city to have a day of prayer and fasting for our city and the resolution of the many problems, and I trust he will answer. Thank you.

MS. ROSKY: Good evening, Council.

Marry Ann Rosky, homeowner for 38 years in this -- I was going to say something, in this city. I'm frustrated, I have things jotted down, I may go in different directions, so please bear with me, and I commend our council, four members of

council.

Now, Mr. McGoff I don't want to go back to you because you have been the with the Gatelli and the Fanucci and it was always three to two. The mayor's a 1,000 percent wrong and it's three to two, okay? Prior to you, you got Pocius, McTiernan and the other ones, three to two. So when did this start with the mayor and the Muppets? How many years ago? Why are we in this position now? 78 percent hike in taxes? That's unreal.

I hope and I do, I trust four council members, I think Mr. McGoff is not going to vote for this, but you four council members I know you will do and you have done a lot for us taxpayers. And you know what I think, I know how maybe the mayor and the city can make money? This is like a reality show starring Chris Doherty and you got the Times, you got Chris Kelly, how dare he. How dare he make fun of Councilman Loscombe. Who raised him to make fun of somebody who can't walk right or who says something wrong or who criticizes the way they talk, they

speak? How dare he. As far I'm concerned, in my opinion he is a scumbag Chris Kelly. Scumbag. And he should be making \$7.25 an hour.

And now another thing, you know, I have to get the number for CNN because this is like national news, police and firemen making \$7.25 an hour, I mean, there is a lot of people making minimum wage and they are happy for it, but our police and our firemen going down that low and putting their lives on the line?

So, I mean, like, this has to be like a city in depression. It has to be. The only city that I -- I never heard of anything like that. Your police and firemen are getting \$7.25 an hour and they are blaming council. Everybody is blaming council. This is what I don't understand. It's the mayor and he is spoiled rotten. He is spoiled -- he is a spoiled brat. You know, people raise their kids three, four years old, five years old that teach them not to spoil them, this man has been spoiled rotten for years and you people are going to

correct it, and I know you will. I trust you. Councilman Loscombe, Joyce, Janet Evans and Mr. Rogan.

Mr. McGoff, whatever way you decide is whatever way you are going to decide. You know, in your opinion and my opinion, you know, you are going to do whatever you feel that you have to do. And I want to know is there going to fireworks in the city on the Forth of July. I want to know where the money is coming from if they are going to have fireworks? Does anybody know? There better not be. Oh, there better not be because I want to know where that money is coming from.

And there is so many things, there is just so many things, and the mayor -- now I heard on the news tonight that the paychecks in August comes whatever, whatever, whatever, they will go back to the regular paychecks the city work employees retroactive, you know who is going to fight for that? The mayor won't give it to them. Mr. Martin will be here fighting for it, somebody else will be here fighting for it.

He won't give it to them. He is going to give them -- he will give them a hard time, going to give you a hard time.

Now, another thing I need to address is -- okay, yeah, the 3.4 wage tax, will that ever, ever, ever change. Is that in a law that's going to be here forever, the people that work in this city?

MS. EVANS: Well, 2.4 percent goes to the city and the remainder goes to the Scranton school district, which is beyond our control.

MS. ROSKY: Right.

MS. EVANS: But with the historic debt amassed by the Doherty administration and the city authorities I don't see that there is going to be any movement in the earned income tax for many, many years to come because the weight of the debt that's been amassed is just too extensive.

MS. ROSKY: Thank you. Attorney
Hughes, I want to applaud you as well
because I think you should be getting all of
the city solicitor's money. All of it.
That he should go, the mayor has got to go,

PEL has got to go, DCED has got to go, and I can see the Parking Authority as well, but we know where we are at with them, but I think that's it for now and we can't afford -- I can't afford, I don't where, like, everybody is. There is people that do live in the city and own homes, I don't know where they are at, like, somebody has got to appear when your taxes are going to be hiked. They have to be. I don't know. I don't know. If they go up I'm out of here.

Thank you, Council. I trust you and God bless you in your decision. We need you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. First, I

have to apologize for being a little bit

late, I had to attend by Leadership

Lackawanna class graduation this evening.

MS. EVANS: Oh, congratulations.

MR. HETMAN: So, Mrs. Evans, I'm now have the Honor alongside of you as being

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Leadership Lackawanna alums, so good to be here to get in time to speak so thank you for accommodating me.

One announcement -- a couple of announcements that we do have. First, at a recent meeting I spoke about the drive-in downtown outdoor movies series that's taking place on courthouse square during the months of June and July. Since my last announcement regarding the movie series, there has been decided that the fifth movie, which was yet to be announced at the time of my last announcement, will be decided by a poll that's currently running on the Lackawanna County website at www.LackawannaCounty.org. I believe there is five or six movies. They are all 1980s genre movies and people can go on a vote as many times as they wish, I believe, via www.LackawannaCounty.org, and the fifth movie will be chosen by public vote. link is also available on our Facebook and Twitter pages and the public can vote for whichever 1980's movie they wish to see on courthouse square so the voting is open and

vote away.

Also, I believe with council the updated schedule for the 2010 summer events on courthouse square, which includes the Friday afternoon concerts and also the Saturday evening concerts which are said to begin July 7 with Daddy'o and the Sax Maniacs and, of course, the June list of noon concerts continue from this Friday John Quinn through August 4, so every Friday there will be entertainment there.

I know several regular visitors and speakers have either asked me for a copy of themselves and I have also seen the ones that you have put up outside, so we appreciate your cooperation with getting the word out on those events.

And lastly, we would just like to remind everyone of the Scrantastic Spectacular celebration which will be taking place on Tuesday evening, July 3, right there again on courthouse square. The entertainment starts at 4 p.m. and includes the Northeast PA Philharmonic's annual July 4 show and then the fireworks start at dusk.

It's going to be a great time, lots of fun out there for everyone, and we would like everyone to come down and have a great time. I was there last year purely as a spectator, I have been at major baseball games and major sporting events and walking downtown was very much like walking out after a big sporting event so it was great to see. So that's all we have for this evening and thank you for accommodating me. Thank you.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jack, you handsome devil you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

Ms. Evans: Hi, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDZENSKI: Jack, those games this year it's going to be August 4 this year. August 5 this year. Support the team. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: All right, Buddy.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer and recording secretary of the FOP and exercising my First Amendment Rights with my opinion, and please excuse me, I wrote this here, so does this sound familiar?

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Doomesday scenario. Recently

Gerald Cross, PEL director, warned the
residents of this city about a Doomsday
scenario if city council doesn't approve the
\$44 million borrowing plan."

Stacy Brown, the staff writer for the tabloid wrote, "Scranton is facing a grim financial future."

That was 2006. Here we are in 2012. Dire financial straights. What changed? Are we in a time lapse? We, police and fire, along with the mayor and city representatives were involved in weeks of negotiations at the University with three state mediator as witnesses. We had something to bring it back to our bodies and DCED all they had to do was sign off on that. They didn't in the time limit agreed DCED has not upon by the city unions. signed off on it yet. They basically threw the mayor, the city and the taxpayers under DCED and PEL basically left the the bus. city and taxpayers high and dry. The mayor trusted DCED and they gave them the shaft. They basically sanctioned the city and there

will be no money coming in to help us out.

I will say the mayor should have taken his own advice when he trusted the DCED and PEL. He should have listened to himself, don't take the bait. PEL has been involved with the city for 20 years in Act 47.

The city, in my opinion, basically fired me, I had 36 years of service, so they fired me basically because of my age, but I believe it's retaliation. DCED and PEL, it's time for them to be fired. We are \$300 million in long-term debt and another 100 million if we add in the Scranton Parking Authority. 400 million long-term debt. That's on their watch.

And, as I said, we are basically sanctioned by the state. No bank money, no state money. We have to do it on our own. So sell the Scranton Sewer Authority. Sell the Scranton Parking Authority, sell the garages, lease the garages. Sell Park Gardens. Incorporate all of council's ideas to raise money and use the 35 ideas that the fire department gave the city years ago as

revenue producers. Example, start our ambulance service.

So, in conclusion, fire DCED and PEL. They left us to fare on our own and for ourselves. We are on our own. We will have to do it on our own.

And one question, will there be fire works on the Fourth of July? Anybody know? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Mrs. Krake? 5. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. A couple of responses. First of all, it was a tough choice between Princess Bride and ET, but please put my vote in for Princess Bride and Dread Pirate Robert for the fifth movie.

There were two of the choices.

As far as the word facetious, since I'm being questioned as to my English knowledge, perhaps since Mr. Jackowitz and I went to high school together maybe we could go back and take a look at our report cards and compare and decide who is more likely to

know the meaning of the word facetious, but serious business.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You went to Hofstra, didn't you?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I did, on an academic scholarship if you really want to know.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, okay.

MS. EVANS: Please continue, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, to more serious business. We are looking at a revenue crisis. We have -- the city has no money. It's living day by day and while the recent announcement and the reduction of the salaries for minimum wage I don't know if it's a necessary thing. I don't know -- it certainly is another step toward a catastrophic event, but the city needs to pay its bills and one of the things that I think we need to do is to continue to pay Blue Cross and Blue Shield for health insurance.

I think the more catastrophic event would be if health insurance were to lapse.

That would effect people I think to a greater extent than temporary loss of some salary. Lapse off the health insurance would do no one in the city any good, including the city itself which would have to find a new carrier, probably cost a premium that we couldn't afford, but the simple fact is that we need to pay some of these bills and there is no money or very little money.

There is a hole in our budget that needs to be filled. We budgeted, and we can argue the amount whether it's 9 million, 16 million, whatever the amount is, that needs to be filled with the cooperation of the lending community. It's the only way it's going to be filled and over the past couple of months I think our actions or inactions have made some of these institutions skeptical about whether we have the ability or the will to repay the debts that we may have.

I know Attorney Hughes mentioned before that some of this should be separated out. I know that business administrator

. .

McGowan contacted banks seeking to do just that and there was no one that was interested at that time, even though we have a guaranteed tax millage increase. There was simply no one that wanted to take up that challenge.

The banks are looking for a plan, an economic plan. I don't -- I won't call it a Recovery Plan, they want a viable economic plan for the future. The banks are telling us very simply they want some assurance that they will be secure in loaning us money going forward, and if that requires a Recovery Plan then I think we need to do that.

Yes, I did talk to one person about coming to the meeting tonight. I felt it was important that people be here. There are two decision makers in this city right now, the mayor and the council president, and this problem is not going to be resolved until the two decision makers get together and make those decisions that are necessary for the well-being of the city.

Did I ask that someone demand that

the council president or council meet with the mayor? Yes. I also went to the mayor and made that same demand. I think that we should demand of everyone that they meet and resolve this problem. The crisis is not going to be settled until there is an agreement, and we are looking at minimum wage, possible payless paydays going forward until the problem is resolved.

I have never voiced support for all of the mayor's Recovery Plan. I asked that it be put on the agenda so that we could discuss the plan. I think it needs discussion. I think it needs to be implemented, something needs to be implemented so that we can go to the banking communities and to the banking community and say, "We have a plan. This is it. Now that we have this plan in place can we secure the revenues that we need to keep the city solvent?"

Council has made some proposals to the mayor for the Recovery Plan. For a number of weeks it was asked not to discuss these, that they weren't for public release.

Tonight, you know, Mr. Morgan asked, you know, what were they? Can we see them? I think it's time that we do discuss these and that they become, you know, sort of part of the public discussion.

Many of the proposals, despite what's been said, many of these proposals are in the mayor's plan. If we want to mitigate the proposed action increases in the mayor's Recovery Plan then what we need to do is to provide some hard numbers for how those -- for how that percent can be decreased, and in the proposals that were made through council those hard numbers aren't there, and that is something that I think that need to discussed between the decision makers in this event.

Things that were in the proposal, and I know Mr. Joyce said he was going to mention these, maybe I'm stealing his thunder somehow, but maybe we can discuss them as we go along here. Sale of the water conveying system. It's in the mayor's plan, page 42, but in the proposal there is no projected revenue. We don't know what that

could bring to the city. We can't just put into a Recovery Plan some nebulous amount.

There has to be something that's, you know, a hard number.

Refuse fees, will not increase refuse fee and real estate taxes simultaneously. I think that's something that probably may be sudden included, but if we are not going to put the refuse in for 2013 then that amount we need as a council to determine where that difference is going to come from.

Commuter tax. It's in the Recovery Plan, page 44 of the mayor's plan. Again, I'm not sure what the amount is that we can generate from the commuter tax, but I certainly believe that we need to come to some hard number, at least a hard projection on what that would be.

Sale or lease of the parking meters, delete this section. Okay, if we are going to delete the sale of these parking meters, again, where is the revenue that this would have generated? Where is it going to come from and how much?

Proposed real estate taxes. Council says it would only approve the Court-ordered tax increases. Fine, but again, if we are only going to do the 10 percent increase then how do we makeup the difference? Where will that come from?

Parking theater enhancement program.

I agree that should be in the Recovery Plan.

I think it's something we should do.

Supposedly, I know that there is a RFP being prepared for doing that soon. But again, we need to -- what are the revenues that can be projected from the implementation of the parking meter program.

Sale or lease of the parking garages. It's mentioned in the Recovery Plan, on the mayor's Recovery Plan on page 49, but again, it's only a possibility. And also, we don't know what the dollar amounts from that would be. There are no projections in council's proposals.

Number eight was dealing with the IAM unions, Local 2462, again, I think this is something that could be negotiated and easily settled. Although, it's not in the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Recovery Plan I think that that's something that could be handled easily.

Payments in lieu of taxes will be increase by \$300,000 per year. That's nice to say, but as we know any attempts to increase PILOTS has met with a refusal. There is no guarantee and you can't make a guarantee that the institutions involved Donations or will increase their donations. payments, whatever you want to call it. Yes, should they -- should we pursue it? Absolutely. And in the past it has been pursued. It's been pursued by council and they were rebuffed, so into the future can we guarantee that we are going to get \$300,000 from this? I don't think so. It's nice to put in there, but it's not a hard revenue.

The others, workforce mandates, fine, you know, the last was the amendments to the Recovery Plan should be approved by city council. Absolutely. No problem.

These are things that can be implemented. Other things we have talked about revenue generators that aren't --

market based revenue opportunities on page 42. Amusement tax, page 44. Payroll tax, page 49. The union award, while it's in there at 14.5 because that was the number that was available from the audit, 14.5 five million and it's there, and then there were some revisions given to PEL or worked with PEL if, in fact, that amount were to be greater than 14.5, so it's there.

The sales tax that we have talked about, that's been talked about, again, that needs state approval. We can't guarantee that that will ever occur. Hopefully, I think that that's something that should be looked at, but it can't be included if we don't have an amount, if we don't know that the state is going to implement it or allow us to implement it.

As far as the things that were mentioned last week by Mrs. Evans, the governor certification of the last two US censuses, I think they should be put in there, that would be fine.

And the other three dealing with Parking Authority, I don't know why they

would need to be in there, but I don't see why they would be excluded. They really don't add anything to the revenue, it just maybe explains some of the issues with the Parking Authority, but as far as the Recovery Plan I don't necessarily see that they need to be in there, although, it would be -- I don't see why they couldn't be included.

We have gone back and forth or it's been talked about that the mayor's Recovery Plan is not acceptable. Fine. We can't go through the process of the mayor sending another Recovery Plan and then having council say, "No, we don't like that one. Send it back to the mayor," then another one and another one.

What we need to do is council, council president, council financial director is sit down and go through the plan and agree, come to an agreement and then present it to PEL, DCED, whoever it has to be presented to, but there should be agreement before it gets to us. We should agree on what's going to be in there and we

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need to do it quickly. This isn't something that we can push week after week after week.

Yes, there were people here talking about, you know, the problems that minimum wage salaries were going to present, we can't allow that to continue. We can't allow it to continue to August 3 when we go before a judge. I think that was -- I see no hope in that either. I don't know why that was ever done, but before that Court hearing takes place we need to deal with the problem ourselves. We don't need some judge to tell us to do that. We all know that we need to deal with our own problems and the only way that is going to come about and the only way is resolution is going to come about is when council president and the mayor sit down together and hammer out an agreement and do not do not stop until that agreement is reached, and that's all. you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, if you don't mind, I'd just like to respond?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. EVANS: I have already done that, Mr. McGoff, with very, very disappointing, troubling results. But, you know, I would certainly like you or Councilman Rogan if you would like to sit down with the mayor and try to work something out that's better than what we have proposed.

Now, as Mr. McGoff said some of these revenue generators are contained in the plan, but as optional alternatives not requirements. Now, for the water conveyance system the mayor wanted that sold. Council agreed to that. A number appears to have been picked out of the air and so council asked, and this is what was Mr. McGoff doesn't tell you, that the city conduct an appraisal of the water conveyance system prior to the sale of this city-owned asset and then the projected revenue from the sale would be adjusted following the required appraisal.

As for the refuse fee, again,

Mr. McGoff did not tell you that any refuse

fee increase in 2014 and 2015 will be

of Public Works. There have been no reductions in the Department of Public Works. As soon as an employee retires, resigns or is fired they are replaced.

dependent upon reductions in the Department

As for the commuter tax, the administration did include it as a figure of about \$1 million to \$1.2 million, whereas according to the report provided by PEL from the institute for public policy, they're projecting \$4 million would be collected in year one.

Sale or lease of parking meters should be deleted and you don't have to make up that \$6 million because what Mr. McGoff is forgetting is that \$6 million when you sell those parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority the city has got to guarantee that debt, and just like the city right now had to make a bond payment because the Parking Authority is upside down it's expenditures exceed it's revenues. The city is responsibility for that for the next, I don't know, it's at least 24 years.

Now, you might want to pretend that

doesn't exist or that they are not really on the hook for it, but they are. We have seen that already. We just paid them a million dollars. Well, we paid the million dollars because they can't.

Then we have the parking meter enhancement program. Well, I refer the administration to the presentation made by Mr. John Miskel the Spring of 2011, he put in writing, and each council member has it, the proposed revenue that would be brought in by the implementation of the parking meter enhancement program.

As for the IAM union Local 2462, yes, it's in the plan actually, but the administration lists it as having a contract and that the cuts to this union aren't going to begin to occur until 2015, but that union has no contract right now. It was never approved by city council and so if the mayor wants to follow his own Recovery Plan here from the get go he needs to sit down and start negotiating that 10 percent reduction in their union that he proposed in his very own plan rather than covering it up in his

plan and pretending to have a contract and putting it off until 2015 because they do not.

Then the payment in lieu of taxes, well, as we all know the mayor doesn't enjoy trying to pursue any of that, and it's amazing to me though because, you know, as I said last week, I talked about the mayor of Providence Road Island and how he has brought in tens of millions more in 2012 from the biggest nonprofits in this city and you know why? The mayor went to them and said, "Providence is going bankrupt. We need your help. You have got to step up to the plate or we are going under."

And they did and then we have the mayor, it's mayor Vaughn D. Spencer of Reading, he is also been working on PILOT payments, he sent letters out in April to the property tax exempt organizations, 240 of them, asking them to contribute or to increase their contributions. They have been responding. One, for example, who used to give a \$1,000 a year increased it to \$5,000, so since the end of April he has

brought in an additional \$38,000.

Now, in the big scheme of things in Scranton I know that's not a lot of money with what we are facing, but you know what, that's a good effort. In addition to that, he has asked them -- he sent more letters out this month asking them to help the city conduct a hundred cleanups around the town or adopt a block or a park and maintain it and they are answering that, too, but in Scranton nothing happens and the only reason any nonprofit was ever approached is because this council did it and as a result we got it.

MR. MCGOFF: That's not true either, because when I was president of the council we did go to many of the nonprofits.

MS. EVANS: Well, not that I was aware of and I was here, but this council went and every University slammed the door in our faces, so I think what you need is a mayor on board acting as other mayors do and reaching out to all of these nonprofits, explaining the situation and asking for their help and putting it in writing and

then publicizing who gives and who turns
their cheek, just as was done in Providence
and just as is being to know in Reading.

And as for DCED and PEL, according to a lawsuit filed by the mayor against council they have already approved the mayor's Recovery Plan so they are not interested in our suggestions. They had them, they were aware of them, they have no interest in them.

And on that note, I'm going turn it over to Councilman Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. Just a couple of comments. First some good news, someone asked me before about the firefighters, I received a letter as of Saturday the SAFER grant will be in effect and 17 firefighters will be brought back on the job. As I stated though it's still going to be -- there are still going to be some brownout situations. They were looking for the full amount and they returned three and a half million, so we'll discuss that another time.

But I would like to commend the

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

union presidents that were here representing their members and, you know, the courtesy they showed and I believe they see the whole picture especially, you know, police and fire who have had negotiations with this administration many times and walked away happy and then the next day found out that the deal fell through as they alluded this evening to a possible savings of \$15 million, which is very close to falling through at this point and another arbitration that will be coming up shortly that they agreed to let go. Ands Mrs. Evans read a letter from Attorney Jennings, that's back on the table. That could be a considerable amount of money. I just don't understand it.

But I do want all of the city
employees and retired employees, it's hard
to get the message out, as someone mentioned
before, because they believe everything they
read in the print and, you know, sometimes
it's right and sometimes they use sound
bites and maybe tweak it a little bit
differently, but, you know, I believe those

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who observe the meetings live or who are here in person can see the whole picture.

As I have stated many times, we are not perfect, we don't have all of the answers, but we have worked hard, we have opened up our time to meet with the mayor and different administration officials and stuff like that, but I do want to say to all of those employees, it was the mayor who unilaterally changed their wages. I found out from the news media.

You know, it's okay to sit there on TV and the newspaper and blame council for everything, but, you know, don't count us in when there is decisions to be made, but still blame us and the leveraging that's been going on, you know, I have been doing a lot of thinking the last week or so and I have to agree, not that I'm a friend of PEL or DCED, but I could see it. They are looking for their own longevity. That's why they are pushing for a plan. I find it interesting that the plan expired in 2005 and we have never had a problem getting a bank to give us money all the way up until

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now until this council tightened the purse strings, and I said it before and I'll say it again, a smart bank would feel more confident now, I don't understand, but I think it's a conspiracy with DCED and PEL to force a plan for their longevity here, and I have agree with many of the comments, kick them out. I'm not in favor of them, they haven't helped us, we are farther and farther in debt after 20 years with them here, and even with the plans in place they have let the mayor pick and choose, yet under a prior administration they sanctioned him for minimal infractions. So as Mrs. Evans said, the only plan that would work is one that has teeth, and I still don't see one having teeth.

It just amazes me that, you know, all of a sudden they need a plan. We have the agreement in place, as Mr. Hughes said, for the borrowing which is outside of the Recovery Plan, the bank approved the tax increases there, did DCED and PEL going knocking on the bank's door and say, "Hey, hold up, we are going make them come up with

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a plan."

We want to protect your taxes going up. That's the only plans they have ever come out with, raise your taxes, raise your garbage fees. They haven't been creative in anything, and that's a disappointment to me because we get blamed just as much and we have nothing to do with that, and we are holding strong here to fight.

Obviously, i believe there will be a tax increase but we've got to keep it to the minimum and a plan that doesn't include the funding for -- they didn't even include the parking garage so that was a wrong situation there, but as I stated many times here, if a plan does not have the full agreement that was reached with the unions to save us that money we don't know where we are going forward. If we don't have a signed agreement in that plan what are we going to guess at, 14 million? 30 million? You That's a big significant difference. know? That's not a plan. A plan should show everything right up-to-date and we know where we are going forward.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I agree if, you know, the mayor wants to be part of this, he is the head man He is the head man when he wants to When he doesn't want to be it's us, be. it's our fault, but what I'm saying is he has us on the precipes right now and he is going to the newspapers and the news media every day, I welcome the mayor to come here and sit with us as a body at any time. He is the head of this city. He has to come here and sit with us if he wants to get a Recovery Plan together, not one or renege people because we see what happens when you go in one-on-one, one or two. more of that. It's got to be done here in public with all council people, and if he fails to do that that's his problem. That's his fault.

We are here trying to work for you, and again, as someone stated before the comments from people on the streets and stuff like that, yes, they are observing the printed media because it's, you know, it's all one-sided. It's his way or no way and people have to realize that at this point,

and I'm getting frustrated myself. I'm telling you, it's wearing me out. I can't imagine my colleagues, especially my president here.

I don't know where I'm going. I don't know where this city is going, but we have met with the mayor, I have met with him personally, every one of us has met with him personally and we are no farther ahead at this point. Let's all meet together then, the mayor in front of everybody. Show the people what he is doing here. Show him why he is the mayor.

Again, that bank should have given us that loan, it was already approved, so use that as a poor excuse, but secondly, we haven't had a plan since 2005 and the banks have given us money freely every year and all of a sudden they want a plan, but enough said on that because we have discussed that.

But it was alluded to tonight, and I wasn't going to comment on it, but Chris
Kelly pointed out in his Sunday slam, I may not be the most eloquent speaker, but my comments come from my heart, my experience,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

and from those of you who I meet on the street or through e-mails I address your situation, your problems. I'm one of you. I'm not the country club set. I'm an average Joe in this town, I'm not a politician, never planned on being a politician, I don't plan on going any further, I'm not looking for any additional office, I just came to this position at the urging of my family to try and help with this city and, you know, we are accused of being a super majority and all of that, again, I take that with respect because finally there is a majority of people fighting for the average citizen in this That's the way I feel. town.

And I run into people all the time grocery stores, drug stores, on the street walking, and they are all telling me to keep up the fight, we have to keep up the fight for them and we see that and we know that they can't handle the 78 percent tax increase, and some of the comments made about the revenue sources that we put in, oh, well, you know, there's no hard numbers,

it's a little too late, we don't have this and that, these ideas were given years ago to them, you know? Don't wait until we are falling off the cliff and use that excuse, that's exactly what's happening.

But again, you know, I didn't have

-- just like I was talking about Chris Kelly
and his article Sunday, you know, I just
have to say up here I don't have the luxury
of sitting at computer with my dictionary
and thesaurus. What you see is what you get
and, you know, I'm not apologizing for that
and I'm working for you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: I have a few comments, as one knows there has been a great deal of talk about the mayor's proposed Recovery Plan. It has been the goal of Scranton City Council to achieve a Recovery Plan that works for everyone. Both Mrs. Evans and I met with the mayor a number of times for months to discuss the construction of a Recovery Plan that works for everyone.

However, the mayor left out many of council's recommendations and ideas for revision or at least in their entirety.

It should be the goal of council and the mayor to have a joint plan, not a one-sided plan. It is still the goal of council to have a joint plan that works in the best interest of the residents of the city. For instance, Mr. McGoff offered some ideas than were e-mailed to Mayor Doherty in regards to the Recovery Plan, those were some requirements by city council and I'll just go over some of them again.

One, the sale of the water conveyance system, this was in the mayor's plan, however, it was council's intention to that the city should conduct an appraisal of the water conveyance system prior to the sale of the system to the Scranton Sewer Authority and, furthermore, that the projected revenue from the sale must be adjusted following the required appraisal.

The refuse fee, an increase in the refuse fee is in the mayor's Recovery Plan however, it was council's intention that in

2013 council would not increase refuse fees and real estate taxes simultaneously and that any refuse fee increase in 2014 and 2015 would be dependent upon reductions to the Department of Public Works.

Three, the commuter tax. This was included in the Recovery Plan and projected at about \$1.5 million for the first year. However, according to the study provided to PEL by the Institute for Public Policy, it's projected that \$4 million may be collected by instituting a commuter tax.

The sale and lease of the parking meters. This was a part of the mayor's Recovery Plan, however, it was the intention of the city council that the parking meters not be sold or leased to the Scranton Parking Authority.

Parking Meter Enhancement Program.

This was not the part of the mayor's Recovery Plan, however, it was the intention of city council that the city shall implement a parking meter enhancement program in 2012, and that projected revenues for fiscal years 2012 through 2015 shall be

included in the Recovery Plan. This was not done.

The sale or the lease of the parking garages. Because the City of Scranton is unable to fund the Parking Authority's annual financial obligations, SPA parking garages must be sold or leased either in part or in their entirety.

Tax increases. In 2013 the tax increase should only be what the Court-ordered millage was for the borrowing and subsequent years no more than 10 percent.

Payments in lieu of taxes. It was the intention of the city council to require tax exempt contributions to be increased by \$300,000 per year in the revised Recovery Plan. As the previous Recovery Plans, the mayor and the business administrator would be charged with the collection of such payments.

IAM Union Local 2462, which is the clerical union, to this date the union doesn't have a contract. The legislation was tabled by city council and remained so.

With this in mind, it was Scranton City
Council's intention that the city negotiate
with the union to achieve immediately a
reduction of 10 percent as mandated by the
Pennsylvania Economy League.

Work force mandates, city council's requirement was that it was -- is the intention of the city to negotiate good faith with the bargaining unit representatives of its employees to decrease city expenditures, provide for the health, safety and welfare of all of its citizens and produce stability for the city, its residents and municipal unions.

Any settlement that is mutually agreeable to the elected leadership of the city and it's municipal leaders shall be implemented and shall supercede any related provisions and mandates enumerated throughout the Recovery Plan.

City council approval that was another thing that wasn't included. It was city council's intention that any and all amendments to the 2012 Recovery Plan shall be approved by Scranton City Council because

currently the way it stands any adjustments to our Recovery Plan can only be approved by PEL and DCED.

And with that, I have a few citizens' requests: Daron Northeast, residents of lower Greenridge have voiced their concerns that property owned by Daron Northeast contains grass and weeds and has not been cut this summer. Residents have informed that this is leading to rodent infestation in their back yards and would like to see the problem resolved as soon as possible.

Mrs. Krake, can you please contact
Director Seitzinger and ask him to handle
this situation in the best way that he sees
fit.

Kane Street, Minooka residents have voiced their concern with Scranton City Council that there are huge potholes on Kane Street that need to be filled. Residents fear that the situation is causing a dangerous situation since motorists are veering in the opposite lane to avoid the potholes.

Mrs. Krake, will you please contact Director Dougher and ask him to handle the situation in the best way that he sees fit.

And Scranton's City Council's office has been notified of large potholes in the following locations that need to be filled. These locations are the 300 block of Mulberry Street, the corner of Wyoming and Spruce Street across from the bank, 100 block of Meadow Avenue in front of the CVS pharmacy, the 300 block of Meadow Avenue in front of Wells Fargo Bank.

Mrs. Krake, please add these to the issues to contact Director Dougher about, and that is all for tonight much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. First, I ask for your prayers for Arlene Devine who passed away this week. Beloved mother, sister, friend and cherished grandmother, affectionately known as "Ween." Arlene worked here in city hall in the Treasurer's Office where her keen wit and tireless commitment to continue to work long as she was able will be sorely missed. God bless you and keep you, Arlene.

This morning Mayor Doherty through his solicitor Paul Kelly presented a Petition for Special Relief and Rule to Show Cause and motion -- or, excuse me, in motion Court before Judge Mazzoni. Council Solicitor Boyd Hughes was present to represent the four members of Scranton City Council whom the mayor has taken to Court.

At this time, I ask Solicitor Hughes to inform the public regarding the proceedings.

MR. HUGHES: Yes, Madam President.

Ordinarily it would only take a few minutes to go in, I didn't have to be there, I just went in to inform the Court as to what my position was regarding this lawsuit. After a petition and rule was presented, Attorney Kelly wanted to present Judge Mazzoni with some material regarding the financial condition of the city and Judge Mazzoni told him that the only purpose of this was to establish a date for council to answer the petition and set a hearing date for the rule.

I told Judge Mazzoni that while we

had been served the complaint last Thursday, due to my schedule I have been very busy, I have perused it. I informed him that in my opinion this complaint and the legal issues presented would be akin to Arizona Senate Bill 1070 that raises substantial constitutional issues. I will be presenting preliminary objections to this complaint. There is -- I won't state exactly what they are tonight. It will be filed and I believe that our position will be meritorious to have this unfounded complaint dismissed.

I have appellate cases in our favor that the Court cannot grant an injunction against council, it can't issue a mandamus as requested by the mayor, after which discussion back and forth, Judge Mazzoni stated that he had reviewed the entire lawsuit preparation for the presenting of the petition today. My notes reflect that he stated that he has doubts about the validity of the lawsuit. He said the city is asking this Court to instruct a legislative body to legislate. I don't know if that request is appropriate.

In my opinion it's not, it's contrary to the law, that's what why I'm going to file preliminary objections. They will be filed and when it is the rule will be no hearing on -- it was set for today -- or today that was set for August 3 because the preliminary objections that I filed will be the same preliminary hearing objections I filed to the answer which was due July 16.

Once the preliminary objections are filed, they will have a briefing schedule and there will have to be argument on that.

I would say that will probably be after Labor Day.

Judge Mazzoni, wanted to know why
the Recovery Plan was not introduced by
council. I stated that was on my advice on
the basis that there were people present
there from PEL and DCED. Based on the fact
that, and I stated this before, that the
procedural requirements of Act 47 for the
amendments to the plan were not complied
with that had this been introduced and
adopted that any member of the public could
file a taxpayers' suit and challenge it and

I believe they would win, and as a result that's I think that is going to be part of my preliminary objections from a procedural standpoint is to why the Recovery Plan cold not be introduced.

That's pretty much what happened.

To go on, we had to go down to the Court

Administrator and Mr. McLane, you know,

looked at the Court schedule. Pursuant to

the local rules of Court I would have 20

days to answer the petition and that's

pretty much what it comes down. I believe

it was 19 days, maybe 18 days the 16th, in

two days the 28th, tomorrow is the 29th and

the 30th, so I don't mind that, but we will

be filing preliminary objections to the

complaint and they will have to be argued.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. Tonight, I state for the record
once again that refinancing at a \$5 million
figure was included in the mayor's proposed
budget and business administrator Ryan
McGowan and Mayor Doherty asked city council
to increase the dollar amount to 6.4
million. Mr. McGowan stated that because

the city would pursue refinancing early in 2012 and an additional \$1.4 million would be realized from the transaction. Council cooperated.

In addition, city council never proposed unfunded debt borrowing. That was the brain child of the Pennsylvania Economy League and the administration. Council was not even aware of such a possibility for the city and what it would entail until we were advised by the administration to include such borrowing in our amendments, and in the spirit of cooperation we did so. These are the facts, try as Chris Doherty might to twist them in the local media.

Further, council followed through on its actions and approved legislation in 2012 for up to \$26 million in unfunded debt borrowing, refinancing and a Court-ordered tax increase to repay the 9.85 million in unfunded debt borrowing. These are facts. Mayor Doherty is a desperate man using desperate measures to bully city council and to hand all of his debt to you, the taxpayers.

However, Councilman Joyce,

Councilman Loscombe and I are standing strong together against the mayor's 78 percent tax increase. This is unequivocally not a personal fight between the mayor and council or the mayor and me, as some have suggested. This is purely about you, the people. We don't want you to lose your homes and blacken your credit ratings because you can't pay monstrous tax increases.

After nine years in this council seat, you know how hard I have worked for you, fought for you, exposed the mismanagement and secret financial transactions of this administration, PEL and DCED and stopped wasteful spending. You elected a council supermajority because you trusted me and you wanted a council to create a balance of power, not act as a rubber stamp. Rest assured that we three will continue to stand firm and strong for the people of Scranton, not merely in our words but in our work and our actions.

We attended negotiations with the

mayor for five months, with the banks and with municipal unions. We communicated with the administration and state officials. We worked closely with our staff and Attorney Hughes to review, correct, and draft legislation that helps you to solve your problems. We are the workhorses and we are honored to fight for you.

Now, I know that Councilman Joyce read council's recommendations for the revised Recovery Plan which comprised it's plan, and again, I'll say, ladies and gentlemen, each of these issues was fully discussed with Mayor Doherty for over five months and he agreed to them. They were submitted to him again in written form on May 14, 2011. Nevertheless, he chose to omit some and merely list others as possible alternatives in his Recovery Plan.

Further, the following council recommendations should already have been enacted to bring revenue into this city right now rather than cutting worker's wages, but the mayor has refused to do so.

They are, the City of Scranton will

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

change it's classification to a Third Class city. Governor Corbett certified the census reports of the city. The city will immediately enforce the 15 percent parking The city will immediately adopt and enforce a parking meter program. PEL, which acts as a lobbyist in addition to its role as Act 47 coordinator for distressed Pennsylvania municipalities and DCED will lobby for a payroll tax immediately. administration will negotiate immediately a 10 percent reduction with IAM Union Local 2462 because it has no new contract. Immediately increase PILOTS from large tax exempts and aggressively peruse payments, and Councilman Rogan strongly recommends the privatization of the Scranton Sewer Authority.

Now, all of this could have been happening all of these months, but the mayor has done nothing. The solution is clear and reasonable, the mayor must include council's recommendations plan into his revised Recovery Plan in order to generate new revenue and cut his 78 percent tax increase.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mayor Doherty's 78 percent tax increase is out of the question because the taxpayers can't afford it. They don't have incomes or salaries that can support a 78 percent increase, therefore, the city won't realize those monies. So if we want to talk about fabricating revenue and revenue that can't be counted on, a 78 percent tax increase is not revenue that can be counted on because it's not going to come in and I don't know what city council now or in the future is ever going to even vote for a budget that includes a 78 percent tax increase, and in January raise the millage to meet that tax increase. I don't think are going to find four or five Scrantonians who are going to do that.

What the mayor will create, however, is blight and flight. Blight in our neighborhoods as Scrantonians lose their homes, and flight from our city by those who can still afford to get out.

City council wants the tax increase cut by alternate revenue generators, yet the mayor has done nothing to bring an extra

dollar into our city except through taxes, of course. No parking meter program, no enforcement of the parking tax, no enforcement of the rental registration program, no attempt to increase PILOT payments, unlike the mayors of Reading and Providence, Rhode Island, who took immediate action in 2012 and met with success, and no cuts to his spending habits.

As per the June 22, 2012, news release from the Pennsylvania League of Cities and Municipalities, he remains a member of PELCM, a very costly membership, which entitles him to sit on it's board of directors. He is listed among them. He keeps his city-owned vehicle, as do all of other employees with nonemergency vehicles despite councils call to return all such vehicles to the city.

Employees who are cut in council's budget amendments continue to work because the mayor kept them, but Chris Doherty has no problem raising your taxes 78 percent, in fact, he even has help. His friends at DCED and PEL have approved his revised Recovery

Plan to crucify the taxpayers as included in his lawsuit against council and convinced local banks that his plan is the answer to all of the problems. Without the mayor's Recovery Plan DCED and PEL don't want the banks to give the city a penny. Thus, we are told that no bank anywhere in the country wants to lend 9.85 million to Scranton, a loan which is fully guaranteed by a tax increase that was already legally approved and adopted by the mayor and city council. Does this make sense?

Simultaneously, the banks are asked to believe that the revised Recovery Plan will be followed. Despite Mayor Doherty's naked record of failure to follow a Recovery plans. The mayor makes and follows special rules that apply only to him. As he says, "Just make a Recovery Plan and pass it. You don't actually have to abide by it."

And he certainly lived up to his word because he violated his own plan countless times since 2002. Perhaps the best and fairest solution is to allow the taxpayers of Scranton to vote on the mayor's

Recovery Plan in the next election, particularly since DCED and PEL don't want any public input regarding this particular plan. They seem to think that the Act 47 process doesn't need to be followed at this time for this select revised Recovery Plan. They appear to want to avoid public hearings and prevent written public comments on the mayor's plan as required by Act 47.

Thus, it might well be best for you, the people, to vote on the mayor's plan and his 78 percent tax increase, and if you do remember that Chris Doherty's plan also sells the city-owned parking meters to itself and doesn't include the full Supreme Court award for the SPA bond payment, which will put you on the hook for even more tax increases because the mayor doesn't want professional management of the Parking Authority or a sale of its garages to pay down it's debt. He wants you to pay that debt.

Equally important, PEL and DCED are holding this city hostage through its actions involving a negotiated settlement

between elected city officials and the municipal unions and by pouring poison into the ears of local banks in order to ensure that the Pennsylvania Economy League will be employed by Scranton for another 20 years.

Ladies and gentlemen, again I say,
let the mayor include council's
recommendations for revenue generators in
his revised Recovery Plan. Implement and
enforce them as soon as possible and cut the
78 percent tax increase, follow the Act 47
process and let the taxpayers be heard then
city council will adopt a revised Recovery
Plan.

And finally, I have citizens' requests for the week. A city resident reports that Scranton police cite anyone using M-80s and other illegal fireworks throughout the Fourth of July holiday. Many Scranton homes are older and being constructed of flammable materials residents fear that fires may occur to their homes and wooded areas within city limits.

City residents ask if the DPW could install a sign at the intersection of Parker

Street and Bailey Avenue. Plot residents state vehicles block this intersection while awaiting the traffic light to change at the one-lane bridge over Parker Street. They request a sign to indicate that the intersection must remain open at all times, and that's it.

Oh, and just one final thing, the information that I cited regarding Mayor Vaughn D. Spencer of Reading comes from the Reading Eagle newspaper. Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION
AND IF SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND
ACCEPT THE FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE
BJA FY 12 EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE
GRANT ("JAG") PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$24,354.00.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All

1 those in favor of introduction signify by 2 saying aye. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 have it and so moved. 7 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF 8 9 COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 10 APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 11 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 12 IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 13 14 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE 15 16 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) 17 PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) 18 PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$500,000.00 FROM 19 THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN 20 21 REPAYMENT FUND TO PROJECT 12-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB 22 23 CUTS. 24 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be

introduced into its proper committee. 1 2 MR. JOYCE: So moved. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 4 5 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 7 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 9 MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 10 have it and so moved. 11 12 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 13 SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . 14 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 25, 2012 -15 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 16 17 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 18 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 19 AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF RIDE AND MEASUREMENT 20 21 STANDARDS REGARDING THE SEALING OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES AND ALSO RESPONSIBILITY WITH 22 RESPECT TO JEWELERS SCALES IN THE CITY OF 23 24 SCRANTON. MS. EVANS: As Chair for the 25

	163
1	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7-A.
3	MR. JOYCE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
15	If there is no further business,
16	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
17	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Once again, before we
19	adjourn just again, please, a safe and happy
20	Fourth of July and please attend the
21	ceremonies at Nay Aug, a very nice
22	attendance would be appreciated.
23	MS. EVANS: Thank you and good
24	night.
25	

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER