	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, June 21, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATUENE C. NADDOZZI DDD. GEELGIAL GOUDT DEDGOTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan .
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING MAY
16	31, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. APPLICATIONS ALONG
20	WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
21	HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY JUNE 13, 2012.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
25	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD JUNE 20,

1 2012. 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-D. LACKAWANNA COUNTY 4 PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND 5 DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS REVIEWED AND 6 RETURNED JUNE 14, 2012. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 9 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS FROM 10 11 ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF JUNE 7, 2012. 12 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 13 If not, received and filed. 14 MS. KRAKE: 3-F. THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (OECD) 15 ARE NOW ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2013 16 17 FEDERAL FUNDING THROUGH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT 18 OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD). 19 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do we have any 20 clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Krake? 21 22 MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans. 23 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any 24 council members have announcements at this 25 time?

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have a couple. 0nJuly 14, the Fraternal Order of Eagles, Lodge 314, is having it's fifth annual ribfest serving from 2 to 6 p.m. for an amazing low price of \$15. You will get a full rack of ribs, corn on the cob, baked potato, homemade baked beans and desserts. All of the proceeds with benefit prostate cancer in the area. There will be live music and fun all day long including a pie throwing contest, police canine unit demos and other various fun things to do throughout the day. Tickets must be purchased by July 10 by calling Stella at the club at 961-5495 or her cell phone 570-814-3069.

Next, there is a benefit at the 20th Ward on June 30 from 6 to 11 p.m. to benefit the late patrol canine Grizzly. All proceeds are to be donated to the Scranton PD canine unit. Tickets are \$10 per adult and \$5 for children, and again, that's 20th Ward 2028 Pittston Avenue from 6 to 11 p.m.

And one that I have been mentioning the past few weeks, it's getting closer now

is the benefit for "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance" which will occur on July 14 from 5 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary Center on West Market Street, and if you have any questions or would like to make a donation to the Jim Lance fund you can contact any Nicole Lance at 570-575-6752. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: The Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' Association will meet on Tuesday, June 26, at 6:00 p.m. in Scranton City Council chambers.

The Mary Mother of God parish block party will be conducted tonight until 11 p.m. and Friday and Saturday June 22 and 23 from 5 to 11 p.m. at the corner of West Market Street and Wayne Avenue in North Scranton. Live entertainment includes the Wannabees tonight, Picture Perfect on Friday and Sweet Pepper and the Long hots on Saturday. This annual event offers great food and fun for all ages. See you there, rain or shine. And that's it.

Before we proceed this evening with citizens' participation, we have a proclamation to present to the West Scranton

Neighborhood Watch, and I would ask if all involved would please come up to the front of the council chambers.

WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON is desirous of honoring the "WEST SCRANTON HYDE PARK NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH" for being selected by the National Neighborhood Watch Advisory Board and field experts as a winner of the 2012 Award for Excellence in Neighborhood Watch; and

WHEREAS, the Neighborhood Watch
Awards of Excellence Program was established
by the National Sheriff's Association in
2004 to recognize and honor those law
enforcement agencies, watch groups,
organizations, and programs that make
exceptional contributions to promote
neighborhood watch and public safety in the
communities; and

WHEREAS, the award was presented to the "WEST SCRANTON HYDE PARK NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH" on Monday, June 18, 2012, during the National Sheriffs' Association Annual Conference and Exposition in Nashville, Tennessee; and

WHEREAS, "WEST SCRANTON HYDE PARK
NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH" is comprised of citizens
committed to making a difference in their
neighborhood. From their inaugural meeting
held on October 29, 2012, the group has gone
on to make a major community impact.

Members helped to design educational
programs on lessening chances of
victimization to topics such as substance
abuse; and

WHEREAS, "WEST SCRANTON HYDE PARK
NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH" have organized community
clean-up projects and built valuable
partnerships with not only local law
enforcement but multiple other government
agencies. The group has worked on issues of
trans pickup, street lighting, traffic
control, community shredding, and blight
issues; and

WHEREAS, Police Chief Dan Duffy recently presented the group with the City of Scranton "Part of the Solution" Award for their amazing efforts at single handedly transforming a City Park from a troubled area into a safe haven for local youths.

Their efforts have benefited the residents in the area of West Scranton and served as a reminder of how important it is to empower the people and the change that can happen.

Further, the watch group organized skateboard contests, basketball games, outdoor movie events, and transformed an on-functional playground into a community garden.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this Proclamation dated Thursday, June 21, 2012 be made a permanent part of the Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to "WEST SCRANTON HYDE PARK NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH" members, volunteers, neighbors and friends for their self-motivated volunteerism and hope that others will join them or follow their example.

This is Karen Foster, president of this group, and I would ask if you would like to present any comments publically we would love to hear.

MS. FOSTER: Madam President,
members of city council, on behalf the West
Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch we

thank you to this prestigious honor. As president of the Neighborhood Watch, I'm very proud to have a wonderful and inspiring group of residents, neighbors, and friends who have united to make West Scranton better.

Recently a friend reminded me of quote from Margaret Meade, and without a doubt does embody the spirit of our members, "Never doubt a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens that can change the world. Indeed, it is the only thing that ever has."

The Scranton Police Department's

"Part of the Solution" award, the National
Sheriff's Association's award of excellence
for neighborhood watch groups, the
proclamation given to us by city council
this evening is testament to this. We have
only begun our work in West Scranton, much
more is in our future. None of the success
we've had thus far would not have been
possible without the ongoing support from
Chief Duffy, the cooperation from Mayor
Doherty, members of city council and all of

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city departments.

Finally, to our members of our neighborhood watch, you have contributed and sacrificed much for a mutual benefit. Thank you all very much.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, before we start, I would just like to add I was driving down Linden Street the other day and I noticed the signs that the neighborhood watch are putting up and they cleaned different blighted areas, and I have lived in West Side my whole life, which I have been up and down Linden Street thousands of times, and I saw one of the signs placed and I looked to the left and I didn't even realize there was a home behind all of the blight. There was -- I wouldn't even know if you could call it a home, it's a shell, but I didn't even know it was there because of all of the overgrown weeds and brush and garbage. And I love seeing those signs throughout West Side, you know, it's great to see our neighborhood being cleaned up. As a Westsider myself, thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I guess there is lot happening in the city. You know, the first day that you took -- the majority was up there, I said you should double the salary for your solicitor. You finally got around to doing it except you were a little late you should have done in the very beginning.

Your Parking Authority is preparing to sue you. There is no way that you can say it. When they change solicitors they didn't change because they wanted to change. They changed because one solicitor cannot sue the city and represent the Parking Authority at the same time. They have no way of making their bond issue. The only way they can make it is what he said right here, he said you are going to get the same people that paid for the first one that paid

for the second one.

I suggest that you draft legislation for filing Chapter 9 or Chapter 11, whichever. This the intent to file because when they come back at you that's the only thing you got over their heads. You should have had them resign before you gave them their first million. I told you that before, you should have made that a stipulation. You got a board in there that shouldn't be there, all they are going to do is drain the city of whatever assets we have.

Now, I don't know exactly what's going on down there, but there is something going on down there or that you would never been in a position we are in now. There is no way they can pay off \$100 million, I don't care, we'll all be dead before that's even done, and if somebody came in and bought the parking garages or police came we are still obligated for the bonds unless we can get enough money and this bond issue there is also stipulations about how many years before you can recall them. I went

through this before, that bond that you are supposedly going to do now probably has a recall provision that expired so you can recall it. That's for that 203 bonds, but you got to, got to, got to get up there and prepare to fight this mayor tooth and nail because really you know and I know that he tried to get out of the city the best he could and as fast as he could before this all came.

If you ever looked at the bond issues they ballooned, after so many years they ballooned the payments go up and up and up. They figured you would be out of here before they actually came down, but now they are down and the only way you can stop that because if the Courts get ahold of that, that they're going to sue, is if the Parking Authority sues you for another million dollars they are going to win in Court, and the only way you can stall it is if you have a letter of intent or something legally intent that you may file Chapter 9.

That gives you a little work because somewhere along the line they have to comply

with that and you are the legislation in that. No one else can draft the legislation but you people. You are it. You are the heart of the city. The mayor he should have been the head but, unfortunately, he lost it long ago. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie

Quinn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Taxpayers' Association.

Mr. Loscombe, last week you noted that on

Mulberry Street the Mulberry Street

headquarters fire station was a brownout

last Thursday?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On Mulberry Street, yeah, it's happening quite frequently. They did it before.

MR. QUINN: The reason is I live up on Ash Street, the Ash Street station has been closed for over a year, I should know. I live up on Ash Street almost where you go under 81 there, you know, so that Mulberry Street station used to come up, the fire engine would come up for any calls, so with

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that closed for the fire up there last week probably the East Mountain probably would have had to cover it, so they would have had to come over and down 3307, it can't go over the Harrison Avenue bridge.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's correct.

MR. QUINN: So it had to come down through Bunker Hill, you know. Why I mention this here is because of the fact these brownouts that are so dangerous and they are going to continue as we know with the mayor returning that \$3.1 million. Now. if you get a grant, and just not being arrogant by expertise is if you have a grant and you try to leverage what you have or parlay it into some other grant, for instance, with a state grant, you know, like Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development, you know, they are monitoring PEL for the distressed cities, they have the neighborhood partial program whereby corporations can file -- get a corporate tax right off of a half a million dollars for a donation to help the city like Things like that, you know, all this okay?

he had to do is just look around. Obviously this mayor is vindictive and I just think he wants to fire -- get at the firemen and get rid of them as much as he can. I think he said that since day one back in 2002.

That disturbs me because, you know, when you are that far away and there isn't a fire station around it's very scary, and there is no doubt about it, when they do have this -- what's the name of this, there is going to -- there are still going to be brownouts, you know, because if you know anything about the fire companies, you know, days off and so on and so on, and it's going to be leave people out of we're going to have to close and brownouts stations.

Now, I went on-line and he looked at the FEMA SAFER grant, okay, and I looked at it and there was one thing in there that I want to give you, Mr. Loscombe, and maybe you can talk to Jack Judge about it, okay, since the mayor is returning this. It says that maintenance and staffing requirements. "Grantees who are unable to backfill the fire positions that were vacated due to

documentable economic hardship may petition FEMA for a waiver of staffing maintenance requirements. In order to qualify for this waiver, the economic hardship must effect the entire public safety sector in your jurisdiction and not only the fire department."

And I think that does and I think that I would rather see all of the stations opened with three people manning them and have two fire companies coming to my house, that would be six man, rather than hoping that one would be up there with four that would be the staffing requirement under the SAFER.

So I want to give this to you and maybe you can talk to Mr. Judge about it and see if it can be looked into, if isn't too late. I know it's on the agenda tonight.

Thank you very much.

In my final few moments I would like to say, I want to follow-up on what Andy says I hope that you will consider Chapter 9. You know, Mayor Doherty has been around for a years, four members of council, this

is his 11th year as mayor, so he is here almost as long as a distressed city, and as Andy said the bonds are ruined and everything and he wanted it out, okay, and now our good friends at the Scranton Times a/k/a Doherty newsletter, Doherty Gazette, is backing him 100 percent trying to knock you people, trying to back you into a corner with all of the news media and hoping that they will get up in arms against the city council and the majority of the city council. I hope you hold your guns and don't back down.

The Scranton Times has been just terrible to the community with the way that they have spun stories for Doherty in his favor that made him look good, you know, and, for instance, the renaissance. If this is renaissance wow. Okay? I would appreciate if you look at that. Thank you very much.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Les Spindler.

22

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. Well, it was on the news tonight that Mayor Doherty is going to take city council to Court to make them come up with a Recovery Plan. I hope this judge is smart enough to tell Mr. Doherty to sit down with council and try to get this settled together. This shouldn't be a Court issue. I don't think a judge should be telling the city council what to do, so hopefully that will happen because we can't be spending any money on Court battles, we are deep enough in debt.

Last week listening to your comments, Mr. Loscombe, I was very upset hearing that the mayor is going to refuse \$3.1 million of that grant. After that I fired off a letter to the editor, to the Times-Tribune Doherty newsletter, they didn't put it in because I questioned why wasn't it in the newspaper, let all of the citizens know what's going on, and three days later it was in the newspaper, what do you know? Hopefully my letter had something

to do with that because the people have to know what's going on in the city and to not accept money, free money to hire firefighters, to say if we are to lay them off in two years is a lame excuse.

Hopefully he won't be around in two years, but we will have somebody here that actually knows how to run the city and maybe we will have the money to keep those firefighters on, but we are talking about saving people's lives here and we need all of the

I want to read something that was in the Doherty newsletter that really angered me. It's in Sunday's paper, "Words of Warning, health, safety, part of the Act 47 bankruptcy rules. Mr. Doherty promises he will do what is necessary to protect the health, safety and welfare of the Scranton residents."

firefighters we can get.

Well, if turning down money to hire firefighters is protecting the health, safety and welfare of the residents, that's news to me because this mayor doesn't care anything about the safety of the citizens of

this city and he just proves it all the time.

Moving on, there was a letter to the editor in the newspaper today, and if you read it it sounds like Chris Doherty wrote it himself and then somebody else signed it. I just have a few words for that that I want to comment on. First of all, he criticizes council and the Legion of Doom claiming they know everything. Well, everything the Legion of Doom has come here to this podium and said has come true. Just look at all of the tapes of the last meetings for the last ten years.

He goes onto say, this is good, "The mayor religiously tried to compromise with the unions," we all know that's not true, "Restored our park system, attracted developers toward downtown," and this a good one, "hired exceptional administrators to straighten out city hall."

If Bob Scopelliti is an example of our exceptional administrators, we are in deep, deep trouble because as everybody knows the Parking Authority is in deep, deep

trouble as is our city, so whoever wrote this letter I think that person must have been in a coma for the last ten years because they don't know what's going on at all.

And on that subject, too, as somebody said last week it's not to early talk about next year's election. Next year is the mayoral election and I am imploring everybody to talk to your friends and relatives, this mayor cannot be re-elected again, I had a business owner tell me last week, a downtown business owner, if he is re-elected this city will be a ghost town, and I firmly believe that, because if he gets his way and raises taxes 78 percent nobody is going to want to live in this city.

Lastly, people might get sick of hearing me talk about this subject, but I have another Pit Bull attack. It's not here, but it was in San Diego, California, but it just goes onto prove how dangerous these dogs are. An eight-month baby boy was attacked by pit bulls and died. This is

just terrible. It's all you hear. It's all over the country, it's not just here, it's all over the country. They said the police took away three Pit Bulls and one of them was a family pet.

So as I've said in the past I hope council can come up with some kind of legislation to say how dangerous these cogs are and there should be special rules for them. That's all I have tonight. Thank you for your time.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to once again begin this evening by addressing the mayor's proposed Recovery Plan. For those of you who may not be aware highlighting the mayor's plan is a 78 percent tax increase over the next three years along with a \$22 increase in the garbage fee over a two-year period. Both proposals are extremely unrealistic to the taxpayers of this city at

this time.

As we were all made aware, this afternoon around 2:30 the mayor did file a lawsuit in Lackawanna County Court seeking to have a judge demand that council pass his Recovery Plan, yet another ploy to try and get his way. The mayor's plan fails to obtain critical issues such as the recent Supreme Court ruling regarding payment to the public safety unions. This is vital information that must have before we can even consider adopting a Recovery Plan.

For the past month or so the council majority has been ridiculously criticized by lone minority council member, the administration, as well as the Scranton times for "Not having plan." So I have to ask tonight, are they all living in a fog or are they just outright ignorant? I have to conclude that it's a combination of both. Remember, the mayor is on record as saying, "He just doesn't care anymore."

The fact is that council majority
has offered a plan not just for a Revised
Recovery Plan, but in the budgets as well as

legislation. Unfortunately, ignorance took over and most of the council majority's efforts have fallen on deaf ears, but tonight let's once again briefly recap just a few of council majority's proposals for the Revised Recovery Plan.

We have an enforcement of a 15
percent parking tax on private and nonprofit
parking garages in the city, an alternative
parking meter program similar to the
StreetSmart program; and finally, an
aggressive pursuit of payments in lieu of
taxes, also known as PILOTS from nonprofits
within the city.

However, none of these have been complied with or even considered by the administration. We cannot have a plan for recovery unless the mayor is willing to sit down publically with council and negotiate a fair plan that addresses all of the city's financial obligations, but most of all, it has to be in the best interest of the taxpayers of this city both short-term and long-term. What we have in front of us right now simply is not.

13

14

12

9

10

11

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mrs. Evans, I believe there has been some unfair characterization of you and the rest of the council majority, and with that said I hope it wouldn't be unfair of me tonight to ask you to please respond to the allegations made by the Scranton Times and various cartoons such as the one we saw today and editorials that we have read in recent weeks and let's once and for all put all their issues to bed so we can finally move on with the every day city business rather than having an editorial board tell us how to run the city. Let's also keep in mind this is coming from the newspaper that moved it's plant to Waverly outside of the city so it could avoid paying it's fair share to the city.

Moving on, in regards to the SAFER grant, I can tell you tonight I'm completely appalled with the mayor and his decision to return \$3 million of the \$8 million awarded to the city to restore a full compliment of the firemen in the city. By giving back this money, the mayor has yet again put the safety of our citizens at risk. His actions

will result in the continuation of brownouts and decreases in response times. This ignorance on his part has me wondering if we need to consider a mental evaluation on his part because it just simply makes no sense whatsoever. Councilman Loscombe, you summed it up best last week, it is like winning the lottery and then saying, "Hey, you know what, I don't want all of it, take some of it back."

It makes absolutely to sense. I do not understand what goes through this man's behind yet again playing games and jeopardizing the health, safety and well-being of the residents of this city and he doesn't care. He has proven it time and time again and this action yet again speaks volumes of what he did by returning the grant.

Like I said, I think some kind of mental evaluation needs to be conducted because I can't understand what would go through an individual's mind to give that money back. The fact is that in two years over 40 firemen are eligible for retirement,

therefore, it will cut costs. We also have the opportunity to re-apply for the grant. These are things he should be thinking of because they are fact, but he doesn't care. He has never taken our public safety serious and he never will. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Hello.

MR. ELLMAN: I'd like to

congratulate the Capri Cruisers for having such a successful car show Father's Day. I must have talked to over 20 people about politics and to a man every last one of them was disappointed in council to say the least. These are the people that are out in the streets that put you in those seats. You shouldn't have caved in. You threw millions of dollars away and the same people that have been blown millions of dollars are still there. The greedy bankers look good, Mr. Doherty looks good, Mr. Scopelliti looks good, and council looks bad. I think the only one going to come out of this positive

is Mr. Rogan. You know, don't be mad at the messenger now, this is what people are saying out there. Somebody may as well tell you, you know.

The city just is in such bad shape the people running it just don't -- they just don't seem to -- they have never had to do without. I talked to a poor guy today at the grocery store talking about the heat, he said he just sold two air conditioners for \$25, \$30 or something, I said, "I got one in my garage that works, I'll give you."

He said, "I sold my car last year to pay for my house and the expenses."

The people are sacrificing, they just don't have no where else to go. You guys and the city doesn't realize it. That money could have went all over the place, we are going to get sued anyway. Mr. Doherty is going to hold our houses for hostage again until the taxes are doubled and I'd like to see the day, his taxes are \$8,300, \$8,400, I'd like to see him pay \$15,000 and like it. My taxes doubled like \$2,500 and it's an effort.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, the only thing in the world, there is one way and one way only to do something about this and it's not sending Mr. Doherty out there to talk to the University because they bought them all up just like the oil companies have bought and owned the government. You've got to send someone now up to Harrisburg and demand something be done about these greedy nonprofits. They have taken -- last week I told you Marywood took a house. A few months before that they took a \$200,000 Every time you look in the paper, Jesus, thousands of properties are just off the payroll and it keeps going and going and never ends. Harrisburg is the only one can do something about it.

You know, last week I read that article from 25 years ago it was a problem, now look at it. It's just never going to stop unless the city sends someone, like I said, to Harrisburg and I don't know if they are going to help. You know, this man has brought us to the brink of bankruptcy. I tell you, I bet it wouldn't be this way if

Mr. Bolus was running this city. He might not have the charisma of some people, but he knows how to run a business and I just can't imagine anybody, just anybody running the city to the ground and bringing it to the brink of bankruptcy like we are at doubling the taxes. Why don't you all get out there and talk to people? What are we getting for our money?

I told you over and over and over again I can't even walk down the sidewalk there is cars parked on it from Section 8 houses with out-of-state license plates. Everything wrong is going on. I got a house across the street a block up the porch fell off, it was sold over a year ago to be remodeled, the man uses it for storage. It's not on the tax roll, he gets free storage in a condemned house. You know, nothing is done.

I showed Jack a house almost next to the yard is full of crap, it's -- the grass has never been cut this year, Mr. Juice's house, nothing is being done. My neighborhood is going to pot. That's

diminishing my house values. Well, you know, I saw in today's paper, Penn Water bought some property on Stafford Avenue and the city will be getting \$56,000, I hope you do something positive with that money, and you people just need to get back on the right track again and make the people that you put in those seats proud of you.

Again, don't be mad at the messenger, you know, people tell me these things every place I go. They are just disappointed in everything. Everybody is concerned with the city, but that SPA is the epitome of cronyism.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, something should have been done. Those people should have been fired last year. This shouldn't have been allowed to lose millions and millions and millions of dollars then take more millions to save them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. This

evening I'd like to announce that actually kicking of this evening beginning at 9 p.m. is the first movie, the first installment in the summers drive-in downtown outdoor movies series on courthouse square. The feature this evening is the Muppets and then there will be four additional movies over the course of the summer. Rudy will be shown June 23 -- excuse me, June 28; Mama Mia will be on July 12; Rocky will being on July 19, and a fifth movie yet to be announced will be held July 26. All movies begin at 9:00 p.m. on courthouse square.

Also, a live radio show and town hall meeting on the topic of underage drinking will be held on Saturday, June 30, from 12:00 noon until 2 p.m. at center court at the Mall at Steamtown. The program is running by the Lackawanna/Susquehanna Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs. The live radio show involves WYLK, 91.5 KRZ, the Mountain, and Froggy 101. Refreshments and prizes will be offered.

Also, the Lackawanna County Parks and Recreation Department will be conducting

an adult tennis league at McDade Park. The league will be held Tuesdays and Thursdays, July 10 through September 6. Games will be conducted from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for ages 21 and up. The registration cost is \$35. More information can be obtained and also registration can be conducted by calling 570-963-6764. That's 570-963-6764. And that's all I have for this evening. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: David Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

David Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Citizen of Scranton, taxes paid. I have a question maybe that can be answered during motions, if you people hadn't paid the SPA, and I thought it-- had an alternative thought in passing, if it went to Court, and you were ordered to pay or whatever would the original people be retained down there potentially so that's a question I had, and a lot of people criticized you because you paid it, but

there in again would we be stuck with the same old people in 30 days if it had gone to Court.

And there is a lot of talk about a recovery and -- or so called recovery, let the mayor and PEL go to Court and let them raise our taxes, at least it's not on your backs. That's less time and effort that we have to spend getting you people re-elected. Yuck, yuck, yuck.

The firemen, shame, shame, shame. You know, every news station I listen to I, of course, it's usually more left than right, MSNBC, they talk about how local firemen and teachers and so forth are needed to sustain the economy and how all of the layoffs are actually hurting and we are turning back \$3.1 million. It's just shameful. It's vindictiveness. That's all I can think of. We can figure out what to do in two years and having a well-managed and adequate fire protection in a year or two -- for the next year or two would be much more important.

And once again, it seems like these

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems just never end that where something has to be done, we can't look in the future, we always have to deal with the immediate Zoning, we really need to zone situation. out any further tax exemptions, LERTA If it's a really good deal that's included. wonderful, if somebody wants to move a Ford motor plant in here and employ 2,000 people well, I could say, yeah, okay, but if it's a fancy pants ya, ya that just wants a free lunch, well, then that's all we are getting and we are like a big cash cow for all of this and it's gotten it a point where I'm really starting to resent seeing taxes go up 35 percent and the city tax exempt. It's impossible to makeup the difference reasonably.

And I had another thought, but it kind of jumped out of my mind. Well, I'll do the golden parrot. It goes to Scranton and our reporter down there in the corner he seems like a pretty nice guy, but you chilens' should be happy being our cash cow. We let you be our cash cow and you chilens' should just behalf yourselves.

And the Congress in Washington they have an investigation, they just held the Attorney General responsible or in contempt of Congress, and despite the fact that I heard on the radio station a disclosure of some of those who had exposed active investigations, so if they want to disclose active investigations I guess that's okay by them, but it's not okay by most people.

And, furthermore, it had a little more to do once again the voting with all of these requirements and people being kicked off the rolls in certain states, that was brought into the picture that Eric Holder hadn't done enough, if anything, on the voting issue, he should have been on that three years. So thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council? (Whereupon Mr. McGoff takes the dais and joins the meetings.)

MR. HEMORSKY: This is my first time here so I'm a little nervous about it, but when I come up here for is about the taxes.

MS. EVANS: Well, first, excuse me.

And welcome to counsel, if you could state
your name and your address?

MR. HEMORSKY: Stan Hemorsky and good evening, council. You ready? I'm here about the tax hike for the disability. See, I have been on disability now since 1999 and I have been in Scranton 50 years. Now, if the tax hike goes up any higher I'm ready to -- I'm at the bottom, I can't go any more. If the tax hikes goes through I'm leaving Scranton period and I have to sell my home. And, you know, I had the home for a long time and I just -- I don't think it's unreasonable to even tax someone with a disability. How far could you stretch the disability check? It ain't far.

And what I'm trying to say is even when he goes to Court and he takes you to Court don't give in. Maybe make some exceptions for disability people, there is a lot out there, and we are, you know, trying very hard to live a normal life, a happy life, but the disability needs some looking into. Tax the rich, I don't have a problem

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with that. But the poor, that's me, give us a break. That's all I got to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MS. NIEHAUS: Good evening, Council.

I'm Debra Niehaus.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. NIEHAUS: Member of the West Scranton and West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch. I probably placed more than 50 calls last summer so I hope it had some positive effect calling 911. You know, nearly every week I prepare comments for this meeting only to have their relevance in large part eclipsed by developing events. Truthfully, I'm not even going to attempt to say all I believe needs to be said because the problems that the city faces are not unlike peeling an onion, and further, an attempt to say them all in five minutes or less is pretty much futile. I don't believe it can be done without huge explanations being omitted or without the risk of my being misinterpreted, and as a former print

journalist as well as an attorney I fundamentally try to avoid those consequences.

I know that we don't need -- I know we don't need for starters, however, we don't need photographs such as this that have no justifiable concrete reason for their being taken other than the misuse of one's power in the press and the taking of obvious political sides, which a newspaper should be avoiding.

The newspaper in this town should have one clear objective when writing about local governmental developments and issues and that is the reporting being accomplished with a keen eye and the four pillars of journalism that I learned at the University of Scranton while pursuing an undergraduate degree in communication and history. Those four pillars are fairness, accuracy, objectivity and balance, i.e., lack of bias. That's what any daily newspaper, particularly when it is the only one in town, should strive for and I mention that so that when you go to read various articles

written by the Times-Tribune reporters you ask yourselves those very quick questions. Was the article fair? Was it objective? Was it balanced and was is not biased and accurate? Obviously, the Times does hit the mark in my opinion at times. There are instances when Jim Lockwood, I believe his name is, does an admirable job in using the principals of the acronym FABO as his guide in his story, and I hope that when he does he receives the praise of his editors as well as his readers. It is all too often a fairly rare occurrence in this local paper and that, frankly, is ashame.

The other thing I would like to comment quickly on is there does seem to be a stalemate on several issues, and I make no bones about it, I tend to think it is the administration who attempts to strong arm this council into, for example, ginormous, as they say, tax hikes or thwarts council's proposed revenue generators through stalling or misinformation or other rather unsavory political tactics, and I tend to believe the city would be moving forward just fine if

those tactics had not been employed. They really did nothing but push us closer to the cliff without solution, without resolution, and these strong armed tactics or unpopular objectives usually end up having that effect. They reach a short-term goal, but they create a far more dire and far more complex problem or problems, but the other administrative did it and in their mind apparently there is nothing wrong with a huge tax increase being the substituted remedy.

But the mayor must realize, he must realize as being the head of a local government that in the City of Scranton we have a high unemployment rate right now in the city. We have a high poverty rate in the city, and not everybody, let's be clear, is in type of predicament economically. All I can say is that Mr. Doherty must know a whole lot of people who have the income to handle these type of the increases without batting an eyelash. More power to them.

The rest of us may do a lot more than bat an eyelash, however. Many of us would face

eviction, homelessness, loss of our property through defaults, tax sales or mortgage foreclosures.

I have to ask, does our mayor has he thought through these tax increases thoroughly? Personally, I think what it would create is more than one tent city, a cardboard box city, within the city limits. These displaced people are going to have to go someplace. Chief Duffy won't be able to help in that situation, that will be the city's own creation, and it could very well happen.

So without being disrespectful toward the wealthier segments of Scranton, if they did, indeed, support these tax increases that are being proposed by our mayor I don't see why we can't allow them to individually offer donations, donations larger than what they would otherwise pay toward taxes. In this way, the remainder of us, the used car bunch, not the BMW and Cadillac bunch, we would not be financially overwhelmed and overburdened. And then, you know, if we can, we can print up a nice

little booklet as was done in my original parish many years ago, and I'm not being facetious, if they so desired showing their larger contribution via a donation to the city. It's an idea and Lord knows we need ideas. May I finish?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MS. NEIHAUS: Nonprofits, that's a nonstarter. Most of them have for profit assets and they should not be getting a free ride, plain and simple, that in my estimation is not even a point of debate.

And I'd like to say one final thing, I have a lot more to say and therefore my last point is I would like to see there be convened, if possible, round table discussions, caucuses on both sides of the issues, and counsel appointing who they feel would benefit -- be beneficial to those round tables and let us start resolving all types of issues that plague good government in the city and prevent it from taking hold. Thank you, Council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

1	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.
2	First I'd like to ask, Mr. McGoff, where
3	were you earlier that you weren't here
4	again?
5	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me?
6	MS. FRANUS: Where were you while
7	the people spoke?
8	MR. MCGOFF: I had another
9	engagement.
10	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
11	MR. MCGOFF: I had another
12	engagement.
13	MS. FRANUS: Another engagement.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
15	MS. FRANUS: More important than you
16	job at city council that we are paying you
17	for?
18	MR. MCGOFF: I'm here.
19	MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?
20	MR. MCGOFF: I'm here for the
21	meeting.
22	MS. FRANUS: What did you say?
23	MR. MCGOFF: I'm here for the
24	meeting.
25	MS. FRANUS: The meeting starts at

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6:30 you were not here. Every year you are not here for public participation. You shouldn't shirk it off. I should maybe just take a little of your paycheck back. It's every week. It's too much. Anyway, I don't even know where to start here.

Boyd, I think you are doing a wonderful job and I hope this gets right back on the agenda to get your pay because the mayor is telling the city controller not Why? I want the people to know to pay you. why because if you take him to court you will win, unless the judge's are in their pocket again like they were before, and they don't want you to go to Court to fight them because they are a good lawyer, so that's the only way of stopping you is send the counsel court. They figure don't pay him, he won't go to court and represent council. This has to be stopped. The people have to know that you are getting paid to help them and they should thank you very much for all you are doing, because when the city sends the legislation down it's always wrong and you have to correct their dirty work. You

have you to correct their mistakes. You are not getting paid to correct their mistakes.

Just like last week Ryan McGowan said, "Tell me where we don't have something right. You show me and I'll fix it."

Let him find it himself, why should you do the work? Boyd, and I hope they -- Mrs. Evans and the council, I hope you put that back on the agenda and make sure he gets paid the way he should for the work he is doing.

Another thing, I'm reading in the paper, Mrs. Evans, where council -- the paper is saying, "Where is your plan, where is your Recovery Plan?"

Well, if I'm not mistaken,

Mr. Evans, you met with the mayor week after

week and spoke to him on the phone numerous

times it was quoted in the paper, and all of

these things that you recommending him,

like, StreetSmart, nonprofits, rental

registration, all these things, many things

that you presented to the mayor and he went

along with it, then all of a sudden two

weeks ago or three weeks ago he presents a

25

1

Recovery Plan, but not one thing that he promised you was in that Recovery Plan, and you said his word is worthless talk about -so how can you trust this man? And then he is recommending that you send two people from council to go to PEL and DCED, the very people that kept us distressed for 20 years that are in his pocket and doing his dirty work and advising him not to settle with the unions for the firemen and policemen. people along with the mayor have gotten us where we are or the last 10 years, 11 years, and he wants you to have a mediator with these people, these very people that the city is the shape that they are in now?

And, Mr. Rogan, if and you

Mr. McGoff even dare try to meet with these

people, you have a right to do this, but we

are going to know where you are coming from.

And, Mr. Rogan, another thing that gets me really upset about you, people stop me in the streets and say, "Pat Rogan is doing a good job."

I said, "What are you crazy? He is grandstanding."

1 You are very easy to say, "Oh, I'm 2 not going to give the Scranton Parking 3 Authority one penny," when you know fully well that other council members had to do, 4 5 otherwise, there would be a gigantic tax 6 increase. 7 MR. ROGAN: That's not true, 8 Mrs. Franus. 9 MS. FRANUS: Oh, yes, it is. 10 MR. ROGAN: This city -- we agree 11 the city had to pay the money at some point, 12 everyone agreed with that --13 MS. FRANUS: Pat --14 MR. ROGAN: But I wanted to see Mr. Scopelliti --15 16 MS. FRANUS: Do you want a tax 17 increase? 18 MR. ROGAN: Of course not. 19 MS. FRANUS: Well, then why did you 20 vote no? 21 MR. ROGAN: Because I voted to keep 22 the money in the coffers now where we can 23 use it to pay bills. 24 MS. FRANUS: You don't think every 25 council member knew what they were doing

tell me that. Pat, I know you.

MR. ROGAN: I vote the way I feel is fair.

MS. FRANUS: You don't do any work all the time, you just hop on your band wagon and take credit for everything they do. I don't want to hear another word from you because I know where you're coming from.

MR. ROGAN: You know, somebody told me when I was first elected every time I went against Mrs. Evans that this was going to happen and I guess they were right.

MS. FRANUS: Pat, you are what you are. You are a grandstander. You make people think that you are -- you are not for them. You don't do any work at all for the people. All I know is I want the people to know that this council, Frank, Janet and Jack are working for the people, working for the people to stop their taxes. My taxes -- say I pay \$500 in property tax -- not property tax, city taxes rather, with this 78 percent increase it's almost \$400 more. That's just a hypothetical amount, I really don't know what mine are. It's probably

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more than that. I can't afford that. I
live by myself. How about these people that
lives by themselves or maybe with another
person? How can they afford it? There are
people leaving this town in mass. This
cannot continue.

And thank you very much for fighting for the people, because you are the only three that are doing it, and I wish the Scranton Times would stop lying because everything they say in the paper is not true and people don't see these meetings sometime and they think everything they say is true. It's not. They are all lies. You worked with the mayor and he turned around and put a knife in your back, and then they keep on saying where is your plan? Well, you went to him with your plan. He even said that he doesn't want to go after the nonprofits, I wonder why, because his family has a religious business. You think he's going to go to the University of Scranton and say, "Give us some more money." Come on. don't go for this crap.

Please, I know maybe you can't say

too much, but I hope you can say enough that the people know what the truth is. When you can't speak, if you can't speak, I saw where the mayor is taking you to Court, and I hope -- can I say one more thing?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: He took you to Court last time because, Mrs. Evans, you amended would the budget, but when he was president -- or he was the Finance Chair when he was on city council and Mayor Connors was the mayor, Chris Doherty amended the budget, he was allowed to, but when you amended the budget he took you to Court and they ruled against you. Talk about politics. That's all.

So, Boyd, I hope you get your money and I hope you can fight this mayor. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you very much, and I will fight whether I get the increase or not.

MS. FRANUS: Thank you, Boyd, from everybody.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Hello, city council,

I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm a member of the Scranton taxpayers'. Over the last few weeks you have passed some things that most people have questioned, such as the LERTA. Here we are strapped for money and you are giving tax brakes to wealthy developers. You paid the \$1.4 million that the Parking Authority owes, has the insurer started any kind of action against the Parking Authority to put it into receivership?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. EVANS: The Parking Authority
has until July 7 to remedy four or five
events of default. To date, to my
knowledge, they have done nothing to address
those. If they are not all satisfied fully
by July 7 then I believe there will be a
receivership.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. They received their money and there is not another payment due until September. They may just hold off doing anything until September seeing as they have already been paid they can wait and see what happens with the next payment.

This past week WNEP ran about a

two-minute article about how distressed the City of Scranton is, how financially strapped they are, I wonder where they have been for the last ten years? Our mayor has had three consecutive runs at the mayorship, that means he has ran up approximately \$100 million in debt for each term that he served. I think it would be nice if the radio stations brought out such things or the newspapers even covered it. I mean, we didn't get into debt in the last year or two, this has been going on for a long time.

Mr. Joyce, did you find out for me what the interest is and what percentage we made on that TAN loan?

MR. JOYCE: I don't have an answer yet, but I will request it again.

MR. UNGVARSKY: It seems like it should be public information, but thank you anyway.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JONES: Hi. Bob Jones, City of Scranton, member of the Taxpayers'. I see we have ourselves another hostage situation that Chrissy started again running to the

judge and I see, of course, there is a big media blitz over it, and I know you guys are taken it well, but it just sounds like too many people it seems like the mayor is in touch with them. I just want to let the mayor know that I'm not willing to drink in his Kool-Aid, so I think all the bath salts you confiscated you put in that Kool-Aid so any voters out there better remember that, not everybody thinks the way you do, especially Steve Corbett's fan club they call up and say, "Oh, it's a great idea he went to the judge he is asking for help against the evil bulldog council."

Well, a lot of us are for the evil bulldog council, so call them what you want. And the guys at the Scranton Times, especially Chris Kelly and that John Cole, the cartoonist, you know, making cartoons and criticizing Janet even when she went through the death of her mother what she was going through the last couple of weeks and they kept right on going, "Why wasn't she at work and let's make a cartoon about her," well, I think that's childish and you should

be ashamed of yourself, too, so as far as those four pillars go you don't have a pillar to stand on.

What else? Oh, I wanted to ask, I should send an e-mail to Senator Casey, by the mayor sending back half of the federal grant for the firemen would that jeopardize any future grants that the city might need from the federal government? Any negotiations on how much money we might need in the future? I'll write a letter to Senator Casey and I'll let you guys know what he said.

MS. EVANS: You can certainly contact your senator or any of your elected officials and explain to them your point of view and ask them to represent you and vote accordingly.

MR. JONES: If I get an answer I'll forward it to you guys. I know you guys are busy, I know you got your hands full. And, by they way, the firemen should have got that money directly. I had my doubts at first when they handed the money to the mayor to handle, I said -- I figured they

are going to pocket it or find some way to blow it, but at least he was honest and said he was sending it back. Well, let's see if he really sends it back so -- well, I want to thanks, Chrissy, for letting down the firemen once again and congratulations on the Times taking your side and Steve Corbett's fan club say hi to you. I hope there is not that many of you come election day. Thanks, guys, and if you decide to stare down the devil in the future, we'll be behind you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, resident and
taxpayer. First of all, I have a couple of
things that fall into the realm of
Mr. Loscombe, and the first one is pretty
simple. Those of us who get treated to
M-80s going off around the holidays I just
wondered if there is anyway to appeal to the
police department to at least get out there
pre Fourth of July and actually do something
to stop the illegal use of fireworks, that

1 would appreciated. 2 And then second of all is, 3 Mr. Loscombe, did you actually see or read the contract that stipulates that no charges 4 5 filed against a police officer may be made public? Did you actually see that? I mean, 6 7 I read it in the paper, but that was why the rogue officer that we have that was 8 9 demoted - -MR. LOSCOMBE: Have I seen a 10 contract that stated that? 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. You said you 12 couldn't reveal the charges, and then I read 13 14 in the paper the charges could not be revealed because that was a clause in the 15 16 contract. Now, did you actually see the 17 contract? 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I did, some time 19 ago. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Who negotiated that 21 contract? 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it was --23 well, contracts are negotiated between the 24 administration and the unions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, does council

25

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get to approve those?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I'm really disappointed. I hope you never do that again. I think we have a right to know, particularly when something like a rogue cop, as I say, that could be doing -putting pressure on people to do things I just -- I think, you know, I wish --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I can only do so much with my powers and duties, but I believe, you know, I mean, I have to abide by the legal system here and if the District Attorney's Office does not find charges, you know, it's -- it could work the other way, I mean, innocent people could be named and they are not guilty. So I have to abide by those regulations.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, there was an internal investigation, you said the person was demoted, the person is apparently still working and it bothers me a whole lot and it bothers me that it doesn't bother you all more.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It bothers me, but I

1 have no power as you could see. 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Moving on --MR. LOSCOMBE: You need to speak 3 with the chief. 4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Moving to 5-B, the I was very excited, as I think you 6 7 are all aware, when East Mountain fire 8 station reopened and that's -- we got that 9 SAFER grant, and I would like to see new 10 officers hired to replace those who will be retiring. However, I also understand the 11 12 mayor's position on if they had to be laid 13 off at the end of two years, so I have two 14 questions there. Number one, is it possible to reapply for the SAFER grant? 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: It's definitely 17 possible to reapply, but in light of 18 returning the money I don't think they would 19 be willing to offer it. That's my personal 20 opinion. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: But it is possible. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, you can reapply 23 every two years. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: The other thing I 25 thought, and if you talk to Mr. Judge I'm

going to ask you to ask Mr. Judge something, but, also, would you please ask him not to talk about grant money as free money. We taxpayers pay for that whether it's through our federal dollars or state dollars or every penny that comes through. That's not a private grant, that's a government grant and it's not free. We pay.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, it's taxpayers money for everybody, but it was basically free money coming in. The city --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand what a grant is. I understand what a grant is, Mr. Loscombe, but it's not free. Somebody is paying for it and it's a taxpayer. I also would like to know if you would discuss the possibility with Mr. Judge of the 40 eligible retirees from the fire department if they could state whether or not they are definitely planning to retire before the end of the SAFER grant so that at least perhaps the money for those people could be money to replace those and train -- the replacements could be retained, so there is at least some kind of a middle ground instead of just

returning the all \$3 million.

MR. LOSCOMBE: This was discussed with the administration. So, I mean, they knew -- I'll explain it in motions a little bit more, but --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: -- that was discussed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, and then finally I hope that you will invite -- as a council invite Attorney Schwartz back to discuss the possibility of what the options are because, frankly, I wish I knew how you could succeed from the city because I think East Mountain Village sounds pretty good right about now.

I took the time to go back to 2006, that's six years, of going through all of the financial records from the controller's reports to see through May how much of the annual amount had been expended, and I forecasted those out, and it look as though we are going to be, excluding the TANS because they are a wash, we get the money in and you do something with it, but you also

have to pay it back before the end of the year, so without the TANS it looks as though we are going to be \$5 million under revenue or -- so we are going to have a deficit there and some of them I don't understand why, why the figures are so far off, but it does look as though maybe Chapter 9 is the only course we have regretfully, that really bothers me, but I do hope that you can bring in Mr. Schwartz in or Attorney Schwartz in to discuss the experience in Harrisburg and at least give us some options. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, up there by
my house, Jack, they paved the whole thing
down there. I want to thank you for helping
me. I appreciate it. Thanks.

MR. LOSCOMBE: All right, buddy, thanks.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do

you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: I have nothing this evening. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. First I'll address a couple of things that were mentioned from the podium. I, as well as many of the speakers, have been very frustrated with the Scranton Times' coverage of the current situation in the city. Although I do not take my frustrations out on the journalists, because often times they may submit an article completely different than what's edited and placed in the newspaper, but I would urge them to call Pat McKenna at the Scranton Times of and have them print the truth about how we got into this mess.

You know, Mayor Doherty is blaming all of this on council. This council passed two budgets. Two years. Mayor Doherty has been in office either as a council member as a mayor for 15 years. He constantly blames council in the paper, so the mayor says it's my fault. I was ten years old when he

started this journey of debt. I wasn't even old enough to vote, but it's my fault. I don't even think Mr. Joyce was old to vote 15 years ago, but it's our fault. But the mayor is constantly looking for a scapegoat.

He says on TV that it was council's budget. As anyone who has a basic understanding of government would know, the legislative branch can only appropriate money. We can appropriate money however we see fit, but it's up to him to administer the budget. If council votes to borrow money, it's not the city council that goes to the bank to get the tax anticipation or to get the unfunded debt, it's the administrator, it's the mayor. I think the mayor really needs to go back to an eighth grade civics class.

Not only is he incorrect in stating that council needs to go out and do these actions, ut, now he is getting the courts involved with a matter they should not be involved with. It's a matter of the elected officials, it's not a matter for the courts.

Moving on, a couple of the other

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things that were mentioned, Mrs. Franus, I'm glad that people you spoke to think I'm doing a good job. The issue of me grandstanding, I voted against the legislation six times. The first time I was the only one that voted against it. second time I was only one that voted against it. The third time I was very pleased when Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Joyce voted against it with me, and they know how happy I was after that meeting that it was I was consistent across the shot down. It wasn't a vote that my vote didn't matter because it did. Every time I was consistent on it. So we have a difference of opinion there, and that's fine, I'll explain my vote and where I'm coming from any day. That's not a problem at all.

Next onto -- before I just address the Recovery Plan I do want to talk about the Parking Authority a little bit. An article in today's paper, which contains some good news and some bad news. The headline is, "Scranton Parking Authority appoints former Lackawanna County Solicitor

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as new SPA solicitor."

The first time Mr. Kelly was in these chambers I asked him the question, "It is a conflict for you to be both the city solicitor and the solicitor for a board if the city backs their debt?"

Every time he has stated it is not a conflict. He has finally admitted he was wrong all along by resigning his position as solicitor for the SPA. That is the good The bad news is Mr. O'Brien, the new news. solicitor who I haven't met yet, is claiming that the defaults are not fatal and it seems that the Parking Authority is going to fight to stay in operation, which I hope doesn't I hope it's placed in a happen. receivership and the assets are -- some assets are sold off so debt is paid down and they get competent managers in there. Scopelliti and the other crony hires that the mayor has placed in the management of the Authority, not the employees, the employees from all accounts do a very good job with limited resources, but the management of the Authority has to be

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is no way we can continue removed. down this path of borrowing and borrowing and borrowing. You know, I run into people all the time who talk about different issues and somebody really summed it easily to understand for everyone else, he said it's like borrowing a million dollars and when I can't pay it back you pay it back. That's what the Authority did because they knew at the end of the day they could spend free, they could spend all the money they want, they didn't care about their debt payments because they knew at the end of the day the city would be here. That's why this leadership has to go.

Next, onto the Recovery Plan, I believe everyone has received the same letter from DCED asking that council meet to discuss a revised Recovery Plan, and I have stated this before and I will state it until it's done, I do not support the mayor's plan for a second because of the tax increase. With that being said, I think all five members of council and the mayor and any other interested parties, whether it be the

unions, whether it be PEL, whether it be DCED, sit down and negotiate. If the mayor is not willing to negotiate in good faith, which very well may be the case, then it's on him, but I firmly believe we should all sit around the table and discuss. I think every one of us has ideas that are not in the Recovery Plan that they would like to see and those ideas would reduce the tax increase. The residents of Scranton cannot afford the mayor's Recovery Plan. They absolutely cannot.

If the mayor is going to stand by his guns and say, "This is how we want to do it," he is probably the first mayor in the history of the country to sue a body who is trying to stop tax increase of nearly 100 percent if you think about it over the years, so we will see what happens with the lawsuit. I don't want to comment too much on that now. We'll leave that to Attorney Hughes. All I will say about it is it's really a sign that the mayor is desperate. As many of the speakers mentioned, he has always planned on being out of here before

the house of cards collapsed and it's starting to collapse now. It's not because of this council, it's because of the last 15 years of councils. It's because of the mayor.

When Mayor Connors was in office people thought, oh, we are in terrible shape. I bet every single person in this city would love to go back to those days, take the debt from those days, the amount of employees we were paying for this those days, especially the taxes that we paid under Mayor Connors.

Mayor Doherty makes -- he doesn't apologize for wanting to raise taxes. He has made it very clear that he is a tax and spend politician from day one, and that has been his plan. Nay Aug Park looks nice, we have spent far too much money there. All of the money that's been wasted. And the worst part about most of that money is wasn't even tax dollars coming in, they with borrowing against future tax dollars that would come in. When you do that the taxes eventually have to go up to meet the debt payments.

When taxes go up, people leave the city for lower taxes, and those of us who are left are stuck paying more because the size of the city is not going to get any smaller unless Mrs. Schumacher gets her East Mountain branch, which would be pretty nice.

But I'm hopeful that all five members of council will sit down with or without the mayor and discuss the ideas that we have. As I have mentioned before, I did vote for Mr. McGoff's motion to put the plan on the agenda, that does not mean I support the plan by any means, but I do think it needs to be discussed.

I will comment on the agenda items -- a comment real quickly now. I agree with Mr. Loscombe, and some of the speakers have said about the FEMA grant, part of it being returned, and it is not free money, it is taxpayer dollars, federal taxes paid by everyone in the country, but it's a nice windfall for the city to receive that to help our budget for a few years, but for the mayor to not sit down again, it's the lack of communication that we have seen

with the mayor and council, the mayor and the unions, the mayor and the people. The one common denominator is the mayor. It's not just that he can't talk with council, he chose to fight the unions for ten years when he could have sat down at the table and talked to them and this is the same issue.

I trust what Mr. Judge and what Mr. Loscombe say more than the mayor when it comes to public safety, especially the fire department, where there could have been an agreement made. Sit down all of the guys that are near retirement age, "How long do you plan on staying?"

Figure out on where everyone stands so we can get the most bang for the buck on the grant, and it's for the safety of the people. It's not for me. It's not for the mayor, it's not a political thing, it's for the safety of the people. But the mayor has this grudge against the public safety unions since day one. Since day one when he came into office he has been going after the public safety unions. Meanwhile, the DPW runs rampant racking up overtime every day.

Something has to be done. I would hope that the mayor would come to his senses and realize if he keeps on his current path the city is going to fall apart and he needs to sit down with council and negotiate in good faith. He should have sat down with the unions and negotiated in good faith, would have saved us tens of millions of dollars, but that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you and,
Councilman Loscombe, do you have any
comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, just a few quick comments. I'll pass this onto Mrs. Krake, but there is a couple of condemned properties on Cherry Street, I'll give help the exact address and that were boarded up, but the boards have -- some of the boards have been removed and this building has to be secured because there is some vagrants going in and out of the properties, so I'll pass that on.

I do want to thank the crew from the DPW that responded to South Side the other

day. There was a situation up off Hickory
Street and, you know, the gentleman was
planning a Father's Day cookout and
everything and I do want it thank the crew
for getting up there and removing the debris
from behind his property and hopefully we
can straighten that out with the property
owner.

And then again, it was touched on by several speakers, you know, I laid into a little bit last week as far as the SAFER grant went, you know, I haven't changed my feelings. I think it's a slap in the face to everyone in this city to, you know, compromise your public safety when it could have been fully complete. I know that there were discussions on how -- because there was some questions as far as layoffs down the road. There was an excess of 40 some who would be eligible to retire at that point, those situations could have been worked out.

There was a situation or a question on training since, you know, part of the contracts deal with the training in the Harrisburg Community College. The

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

firefighters were willing to do their training in-house since we have several certified firefighters who are trainers now, which would have saved significantly, although, all costs are born by this program.

You know, we were asked can we reapply again? Certainly we could reapply again, but, you know, I don't think the federal government is going to look at us favorably now after handing money back. Ιt would have been a lot easier to reapply after taking the full amount of money. It's just the same old agenda to me. It appears that the administration's agenda is not dealing with your public safety. They keep touting public safety and welfare of the public and every column in the newspaper every time something comes up they are talking about, you know, the Recovery Plan that's based on the health, safety and welfare of the public.

You know, here they had the opportunity to provide you the proper health, safety and welfare and I assure you,

you know, we have it on the agenda tonight to pass the amended amount, and I will be voting for it, unfortunately, I'm disappointed. The only reason I'll be voting for it so we can get this money here before the mayor decides to send the rest of it back and, you know, get our men back up. But, unfortunately, things aren't going to change that much. We are still going to have browned out stations, and God forbid the time the stations that are browned out in your neighborhood and something happens.

I don't understand the mindset, you know, he will take any grant for a park that he can't maintain, but he will turn away money to provide safety which your taxpayers are dollars are paying for. Your public safety. He screwed up the COM-D money for police officers last year and we are not eligible now until next year for them, so you tell me if he is concerned about your health, safety and welfare, but I will be voting tonight for approval so we can get these men back and, you know, hopefully keep you protected to the best of their ability

but they were willing to work with the administration on several issues. You won't read that in the newspaper, I'm not even going to touch that either because you know how I feel on the newspaper, too.

But as far as the lawsuit goes, I found out that from a call from the newspaper reporter. That's how I found out the mayor was suing us today. And, you know, that's the first place he runs with his get together with the editorial boards and the stuff like that, but that's how he respects us and he respects you.

You know, we did have communication going for several weeks there, but I think as Mrs. Evans said it's the same ole' same ole'. You walk out of there, you are discussing something, you think you are getting somewhere, you think you have worked a deal out and you walk away and boom you are stabbed in the back and it's all distorted through the ink. They have barrels of ink. Unfortunately a lot of people don't see this live so they believe everything they read in the paper.

Mr. Ellman, if a lot of these people happened to see the meetings live I think they would understand a little bit better. We don't have all of the answers, but I'll tell you what, we have been trying dammed hard the last couple of years. We are not at the edge of the cliff overnight.

And again, I believe the newspaper has been an accomplice to the condition of this city. They have stood by for the last 11 years and not said a word, but yet they continue to put cartoons in and editorials about us. We have big shoulders. We are fighting for you and we will continue to fight for you. Ink doesn't hurt me. I tell the truth and I tell it right here.

And as far as the lawsuit goes, I'm looking forward to standing up for you in Court and letting them know the truth.

Thank you, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Tonight I wanted to say that like Councilman Loscombe's stated before, I will be voting in favor of

the SAFER grant, however, I am very appalled by the fact that the mayor is returning some of the money that could have been used to put more firefighters back on the job.

As we know, he could have applied for this in two years and in addition to that there are many people eligible to retire, which would have saved our unemployment costs, but we have what we have right now.

To report, our tax collector, Bill Courtright, has submitted a number of checks to the city totaling \$323,119.28. A breakdown of the checks sent to the city are as follows: Local service taxes, commonly referred to as LST, \$9,966.84. Earned income tax, \$93,368.06. Business privilege tax, \$37,570.62. Real estate tax, \$163,115.75 and the delinquent real estate tax, \$19,097.56.

As one can see, real estate tax was sent to the city now that our tax anticipation note is fully paid off and all future real estate tax distributions will be distributed to the city.

As I stated last week, Scranton City Council has received an audit status update from Rossi & Rossi, as was mentioned early in Third Order, regarding some outstanding items. In Rossi & Rossi's correspondence they indicated that there are 12 overdue items and eight open memos as of June 5.

A few weeks ago our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, indicated in an audit status report that the audit would be completed in July of this year. In the audit status report he also indicated that many of the old items would have been completed in the beginning of June.

Mrs. Krake, did Mr. McGowan provide an audit status update as we have asked?

MS. KRAKE: No, he did not.

MR. JOYCE: Okay, with that in mind, please resend this request and as it is vital that our audit is completed in a more timely fashion than it was last year.

Northeast Revenue, who collects our delinquent taxes besides 2011, which is collected by the tax office, has sent us a report of funds collected and distributed.

A period of collection for the report was from June 5 to June 18. During this timeframe Northeast Revenue collected a total of \$53,290.27. Out of this total, \$38,315.81 was distributed to the City of Scranton and \$14,974.46 was distributed to Pennstar Bank. The amount distributed to the Pennstar Bank represents delinquent taxes collected from 2004 through 2005 and 2006. As one may or may not know, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority defaulted on a loan taken out on the advance sale of delinquent taxes from those years. With all of this in mind, delinquent taxes for those years that are collected by Northeast Revenue are directed directly to Pennstar Bank.

And I do have a few citizens' requests: West Scranton residents have reported to me that the 200 block of North Everett Avenue is in rough shape as various cracks in the road and potholes are making travel conditions difficult.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind can you contact Director Dougher and ask him to

22

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

handle the situation in the best way that he sees fit.

Residents of the 1100 block of Farr
Street have contacted me in regard to the
property located at the corner of the 1100
block of Farr and North Sumner Avenue. Upon
visiting the site, it can be seen that the
outside of the property is in poor
condition, being the grass on the property
and also on the adjacent side of the
property of North Sumner and it's three feet
high. It doesn't appear that it's been cut
one time this summer.

Mrs. Krake, if you can please contact Director Seitzinger regarding this property and ask him to handle it the best way that he sees fit.

Various residents of the 300 block of Merrifield Avenue inform me that there are numerous potholes and cracks in the road making for some very rough travel conditions. Mrs. Krake, please add this to the list to contact Director Dougher about.

Residents of the Keyser Valley section have informed me that there is a

large pothole at the stop sign connecting

Lafayette Street and Cameron Avenue.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the items to contact Director Dougher about.

South Scranton residents report that the property located at 429 and 421 Cherry Street is in poor condition, contains overgrown weeds and grass.

Mrs. Krake, please add this the list of items to address with Mr. Seitzinger.

And South Scranton residents report that the property at the corner of Breck Street and Curtis Court is becoming an eyesore for residents as there is overgrown grass and weeds over two feet high.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the list of items to contact Mr. Seitzinger about, and that's it for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman,
Joyce. Good evening. At the advice of
Solicitor Hughes, city council will not
comment on the mayor's lawsuit against it at
this time. However, it has come to
council's attention that the mayor's revised
Recovery Plan is flawed, therefore, on

behalf of Scranton City Council I ask

Mrs. Krake to send letters to C. Alan

Walker, secretary of DCED, and Gerald Cross

of the Pennsylvania Economy League to revise

the proposed plan since he did not include

the following items which have an effect

upon the city's financial condition:

One, the governor's certification of the city's last two US census that demonstrate the city's population is less than 80,000 and the city by law is a Third Class City.

Two, the Scranton Parking

Authority's insolvency which has required
the city to provide \$1 million to the
trustee to make it's June 1, 2012, bond
payment and the Scranton Parking Authority's
potential default on it's September 15,
2012, bond payment of \$1 million; and
December 1, 2012, bond payment of \$850,000.

Third, the continued insolvency of the Parking Authority for the next 26 years since it's yearly bond payments will only be \$3.4 million per year, but it's revenues are currently only \$3 million, and with

operating expenses the Parking Authority's deficit will be between \$1.6 million and \$2.4 million for which the city is responsible.

Four, the sale of the parking meters, which can only can be done by the city's guarantee of Parking Authority borrowing, and the city will not do such since it would increase the city's guarantee of Parking Authority debt from \$100 million to over \$106 million.

Five, the failure to include the police and firemen's arbitration awards which exceed \$30 million, and I would say the failure to include any negotiations or settlements that may have been reached between the city and it's unions.

Now, it's important to remember that under the Recovery Act DCED and PEL can amend the proposed Recovery Plan before adoption since the mayor has refused the bulk of council's recommendations. It behooves PEL and DCED to amend these significant flaws in the mayor's plan.

Next, in June 2012 Fidelity Bank

seized approximately \$166,000 from the Scranton Parking Authority for repayment of apparently a line of credit. Now, I had a few questions regarding this money, therefore on behalf of Scranton City Council please send letters to Mr. Ryan McGowan and Mr. Robert Scopelliti requesting responses on or before July 2, 2012, to the following questions:

From what budgetary account and bank account was the \$166,000 Fidelity Bank attachment taken? Were any of these funds taken from money belonging to the City of Scranton, that is, parking meter revenue? Why wasn't the \$166,000 used to pay the trustee BNY Mellon in order to pay down the SPA bond?

Also, Mrs. Krake, please send another letter to Ryan McGowan requesting a reply to the following question on or before June 26, 2012: On Wednesday, June 20, 2012, you forwarded an e-mail to city council members stating that the cash balance on June 20, 2012, was \$652,587.67. From what accounts, funds and/or revenue sources were

you able to provide the necessary remaining dollar amount to make the June 22, 2012, payroll and continue to carry a balance of \$150,000?

On a very positive note, Mr. Thomas Hasham, local businessman and owner of Good Fella's Pizza, has generously offered to donate mulch to the playground in Pine Brook, and I would ask our office to please contact Mary Chilipko so that we might be able to coordinate a delivery date and a time and Scranton City Council thanks Mrs. Hasham for his generosity to the Pine Brook neighborhood.

I think I'll just add a bit more to clarify some of what Mr. Rogan alluded to in his motions tonight. Last Friday, June 15, I was contacted by Alan Walker, secretary of Economic and Community Development, and asked to participate in a mediation session regarding the revised Recovery Plan.

Although I told Secretary Walker
that I felt the state and DCED had turned
their backs on Scranton taxpayers, that DCED
was responsible for the historic Supreme

Court awards to Scranton police and fire, that DCED and PEL provided their blessings for the last 11 years to the unbridled borrowing, spending, and financial mismanagement of the Doherty administration and that DCED had never responded to city council's letter of request for the appointment of a new Act 47 overseer to replace the Pennsylvania Economy League, I agreed to contact council members to ask for their participation and a Harrisburg mediation session and provide a reply to them on Monday, June 18.

In addition, Secretary Walker suggested that PEL might be replaced if council were to go to mediation, that DCED would be sure to speak with the banks to secure money for this city if we pass the revised Recovery Plan, and that if we failed to do so this council will be blamed for the loss of police, fire and garbage removal services in our city.

Based on the responses I received from my council colleagues, I issued an invitation to the DCED secretary by phone

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and at the request of Mr. Champ Holeman, I placed that invitation in written form, and council's office forwarded it to DCED, the Pennsylvania Economy League, the mayor, and the Scranton Times on June 19.

At this time, I would like to read my correspondence to you. "On behalf of the Scranton City Council, I would like to invite C. Alan Walker, the secretary of Economic and Community Development, Gerald Cross, Pennsylvania Economy League, and Mayor Christopher doherty to meet with the members of council to discuss and negotiate a plan to help alleviate the current economic problems facing Scranton. We are hopeful that we can find solutions which meet the core requirements of all stakeholders, including potential lenders, and which would significantly lower the tax increases contained in recent proposals.

While we appreciate the offers of the mediators or arbitrators, we feel that is critical that Scranton's key elected officials resolve the issues that impact Scranton citizens so profoundly.

Please contact Mrs. Nancy Krake, city clerk, with her phone number, to coordinate a schedule for these meetings."

Because all council members
expressed an interest in a meeting that
included both majority and minority members,
I was hopeful that Secretary Walker, Gerald
Cross and Mayor Doherty would agree to
convene in city council chambers publically
to negotiate a resolution that would return
the city to sound financial footing without
crucifying the taxpayers.

We need shared sacrifice to cure
Scranton's financial illness, not an
albatross around the necks of those at the
bottom end of the spectrum such as the
elderly, the poor, and blue collar working
families. We have got 20 years worth of the
Recovery plans, none of which have been
successful. We need an actual business plan
that the administration abides by and one
that has teeth to make that happen.

On June 19 and 20, Mrs. Krake verified that the invitation was received and late Wednesday afternoon I received a

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

following reply from Secretary Walker and Mr. Mathew Domines, local government policy specialist, and DCED representative to the City of Scranton for the last several years, and I'd like to read that reply to you now, ladies and gentlemen.

"Dear Councilwoman Evans, first of all, we thank city council for its willingness to engage in negotiations with Mayor Doherty and the coordinator of the Pennsylvania Economy League to the census on the Recovery Plan amendments that will immediately address Scranton's dire fiscal circumstances. We appreciate the offer to reach a consensus, however, the negotiations of the terms of the any amended Recovery Plan are exclusively the responsibility of city council and the mayor subject to PEL's judgment as to the feasibility of any proposed amendments, and we do not feel that the Department of Community and Economic Development is a proper party to those direct negotiations.

That having been said, we do believe that it may be helpful for us to meet with

members of city council without the mayor present to understand city council's position on the issues and to provide input. Accordingly, DCED, that would be Matt Domines, and PEL representatives, Gerry Cross, are prepared to meet with you at a date and time convenient for you.

Please contact Matt Domines at, and the phone number, at your earliest convenience to schedule a time to meet."

Although, Secretary Walker states that the terms of the Recovery Plan are exclusively the responsibility of the city council and the mayor, and that he doesn't feel that DCED is a proper party to those negotiations, DCED had no issue with propriety or responsibility when using Scranton as its test case and assigned its own attorney, Clifford Lavine, to represent the Doherty administration and present it's case against Act 111 before the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

Further, Mr. Walker believes council should meet with local DCED representatives and PEL the organization, by the way, whose

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

replacement was part of his initial offer to me.

While excusing Mayor Doherty from the meeting, since Secretary Walker states that the terms of the Recovery Plan are exclusively the responsibility of the mayor and council, why then does he excuse the mayor from the meeting? Does he expect council to negotiate with itself? However, any meetings or negotiations are now moot since Mayor Doherty went to Court this afternoon asking a judge to either force the outright implementation of the his Recovery Plan or to have council forced to vote on To date, the proposed revised Recovery it. Plan has never been placed on council's agenda and before such action may even be considered the Act 47 process must be followed of the. To date, that has not occurred. Nonetheless, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it's clear that the mayor's revised Recovery Plan will not be approved by this council. His proposed tax increases will crucify the taxpayers and destroy our city neighborhoods.

Now, it behooves the Pennsylvania

Economy League and DCED to at the very least make corrections to the significant loss contained in the mayor's Recovery Plan even in the face of the mayor's blatant refusal to consider any sources of additional revenue into the city that would prevent a 78 percent plus tax increase to the people of this city, and that is it.

MR. HUGHES: If I can make a comment, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Certainly, Solicitor Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Mrs. Krake received a copy of the Scranton Parking Authority audit done by McGrail, Merkle and Quinn for the year ending December 31, 2010. Their audit is dated July 15, 2011. There is something here that I think council has to address with the Parking Authority, and it would probably be by a letter from Mrs. Krake and I'll assist her with it, but I direct your attention to page 17, and this is very disturbing to the city and it's financial condition that just came up with a \$1

million. Audits have various notes that are put in the audit for everybody's attention to read, not everyone to read, but at least they are there. Note three, "Deposits and Investments."

The Authority has a few investments and chooses to disclose it's investments by specifically identifying each. The Authority has not adopted a formal investment policy, but has hired PNC advisors to make investment decisions. The authority basis the value of government securities on quoted market prices. The authority has chosen to value the investment contract at cost due to the uncertainty in the valuations of associated calculations.

As of December 31, 2010, the Authority's investments were: Interest earning investment contract at cost maturing \$916,223. \$1,173,528. US Security Bonds at fair value, maturing \$515,237. \$2,058,617. Total: \$3,232, 145.

Now, if that Authority has over \$3 million in investments that it could liquidate they should have liquidated these

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investments to pay the bond issue or they should have come to council and said, "We have this -- we have problems making this bond payment, we are going to have payments, we are going to have problems making our next bond payments that's due in September and December, let's see how we can handle this since you have \$1.6 million budgeted, how can we use these reserves to help alleviate the city in coming up with the money?

I think this is very disturbing. Ι mean, this is what they had as of December 31. 2010. They were responsible to have a budget done, this is one of the events of default that has been raised by the trustee and by the insurance company that they have not completed by March 31 of this year the audit for 2011. If it were completed we would have known this or at least we have should known it, that they have investments of over \$3 million that could have been liquidated to make the bond payment, and I think a letter should be directed to Mr. Scopelliti specifically referring to this

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

audit, note number three on page 17, that do they still have this and liquidated any of this, what the current value of it is, and this note does not state that these are restrictive. This seems to be money that they have that's totally unattached that it can be used for purposes the Parking Authority wants to use them. These are not specified reserve funds, these are investments that are unrestricted. could have been liquidated. They could have liquidated a million dollars of that and made the bond payment, just like the \$166,000 that was attached a couple of days after council approved the \$1 million so they wouldn't be in default. Why wasn't that paid. If that was paid even \$150,000 of it council wouldn't have had to -- the city only would have had to come up with \$900,000, but here they are sitting on \$3 million. Why are they sitting on this money and not using it?

And I think a letter should be directed to Mr. Scopelliti referring to the audit and what the current value of these

investments are and since they are unrestricted why didn't they use them to make the bond payment?

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, on behalf of city council please send a letter to
Mr. Robert Scopelliti, executive director of the SPA, requesting the information that has been enumerated by our solicitor and a response to his question. Of course,
Solicitor Hughes, for all we now, again, that's a 2010 audit and because of the total lack of transparency with the Scranton
Parking Authority, for all we know that \$3 million is investments might already be gone.

MR. HUGHES: And if it's gone, where did it go?

MS. EVANS: Where it went, no one knows.

MR. HUGHES: I mean, when you have that, there is \$3 million, they borrowed \$2.9 million from Landmark Bank that is not secured by the city so that, you know, right now they are indebted well over \$100 million.

1 MS. EVANS: Yes. 2 MR. HUGHES: And that includes the 3 interest, but still those funds, we have to 4 know where those funds are and why they weren't used. 5 MR. ROGAN: So it's possible the 6 7 city gave them \$1 million when they had \$3 8 million on hand. 9 MR. HUGHES: That's correct. Ιt 10 would be a scam. MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE 11 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 12 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND 13 14 DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ("FEMA") AND THE 15 16 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ("DHS"). 17 (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 19 20 introduced into its proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

	102
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE
8	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
9	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF
10	UNDERSTANDING WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
11	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
12	BUREAU OF RIDE AND MEASUREMENT STANDARDS
13	REGARDING THE SEALING OF WEIGHTS AND
14	MEASURES AND ALSO RESPONSIBILITY WITH
15	RESPECT TO JEWELERS SCALES IN THE CITY OF
16	SCRANTON.
17	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
19	introduced into its proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
23	those in favor of introduction signify by
24	saying aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

	103
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make
7	a motion to suspend the rules and move Item
8	5-B to Sixth and Seventh Order to be
9	considered for final passage based on the
10	attached emergency certificate.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, if
21	council
22	MS. EVANS: I think maybe prior to
23	moving this legislation forward into Sixth
24	and Seventh Order this evening for final
25	approval, I would ask if there is anyone in

the audience this evening who would like to address council regarding Item 5-B, which will now become Item 6-A and thereafter 7-A.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I have no problem it into Sixth, but I think that there should be one last hurray effort and allow the citizens to call the mayor's office and to urge him to work with the fire union to ascertain how many retirements there will be and hopefully save part or perhaps even all of the money. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: My only comment is it's finally time the Homeland Security Department, which is where Scranton is originating from, went from \$30 million to \$60 million over a few years and it's about time they stopped passing out dust collectors like the roadblock we got for a quarter of a million dollars and it's probably collecting dust somewhere in the police department and start to people and actually create a Homeland Security. Thank you and a have a good might.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A,

FORMERLY 5-B, READING BY TITLE - FILE OF

COUNCIL NO. 41, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE 
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO

ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ("FEMA")

AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

("DHS"). (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? Just to respond to Mrs. Schumacher, it's my understanding that heroic efforts and negotiations were made by the Scranton Fire Department to increase the numbers and funding provided by the Office of the Homeland Security and FEMA. It's also clear, I have seen the documents, the letters have been sent to those departments asking for a reduction in the grant and

1

specifying justification for such, and I have also seen a copy of the response to that letter indicating that the reduction has been accepted by those departments, so sadly, ladies and gentlemen, this is a done deal and it happened basically without the approval or the input of Scranton City Council. I'm sure that council would have notified these departments that it felt strongly that we should be accepting the greatest number and the greatest dollar amount possible.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add that I agree, normally I wouldn't be opposed to waiting an extra week to vote on something, but by pushing this legislation through that is two weeks quicker that we get the guys back, which is the most important part.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

> MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

22

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A,
4	FORMERLY, 6-A, FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
5	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION -
6	FILED OF COUNCIL NO. 41, 2012 - AUTHORIZING
7	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
8	OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT
9	AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE FEDERAL
10	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY ("FEMA") AND THE
11	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ("DHS").
12	(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
13	MS. EVANS: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chair for the
15	Committee on Public Safety?
16	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
18	final passage of Item 7-A.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	108
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted, and
8	on behalf of Scranton City Council we thank
9	Senator Robert Casey for his work and his
10	efforts in providing increased public safety
11	to the people of this city.
12	If there is no further business,
13	I'll entertain a motion it adjourn.
14	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, before we
15	adjourn, I would just like to apologize in
16	absence for my absence next week. I will be
17	out of town so I would like to just
18	apologize to everyone in advance for my
19	absence.
20	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Motion to
21	adjourn?
22	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
23	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
24	adjourned.
25	

## 

## 

ability.

## $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER