		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, June 7, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	04THENE 0 NARROZZI RED 05510141 00HRT 5550555	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not present.)

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
13	MR. JOYCE: Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	DEPOSIT MADE BY THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX
17	OFFICE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$78,893.40 TO
18	FIDELITY BANK FOR THE 2012 TAN DEBT.
19	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
22	REPORT, HEARING DATES ON MAY 16TH AND JUNE
23	20TH OF 2012.
24	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed. Are there any

1 clerk's notes tonight? 2 MS. KRAKE: No, Mr. Joyce. 3 MR. JOYCE: Do any council members have announcements? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: I have one. Just a 5 reminder of an announcement that I read last 6 week, I won't read it in it's entirety, but 7 8 there will be a fundraiser called "Give Jim 9 Lance a second chance." He was a motorcycle 10 accident victim on April 20, and while he 11 has been recuperating his wife has passed 12 away, and he will be having a fundraiser on 13 July 14, 2012, from 5 to 10 p.m. at Holy 14 Rosary Center on West Market Street. And if anyone has any donations or questions feel 15 16 free to call 575-6752 and that will be Nicole Lance. Thank you. 17 18 MR. JOYCE: Do any other council 19 members have announcements? Councilwoman 20 Evans will not be present at tonight's 21 meeting due to her mother's death. 22 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 23 24 MR. JOYCE: Our first speaker is 25 Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I noticed that on the agenda you brought up under 5 -- I guess it's 5-A? No, I think it's under 5-B the money for the Parking Authority again. I thought we went through it last meeting, but I guess we are going to go through it again this meeting. It seems like it went up a little in cost now. Before it was what 900 hundred and some thousand and now it's up to -- I mean, 900 and some and now it's up to \$1,035,948.

Have you -- has the board resigned from the Parking Authority?

MR. JOYCE: No, they have not.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Why are we entertaining this? We know they are going to go bankrupt eventually, there is no way out of it. The figures don't lineup right and that's it. Now, the board -- you have no authority to remove the board, I know that. You have no authority to remove the mayor, I know that, but you should at least request them to resign. That's the least you can do. Anybody that did that much

damage to the city you can't even let them be where they are. The damage is enormous.

The newspapers keep saying the sky is falling. We are going to have lots of layoffs and no paydays, we are going to run out of gas, we are going to do this and do that, I don't believe anything this newspaper prints. I have been coming here for a long, long time, and for years we have been coming before you, not you, per se, but before council warning them of what was going on and they ignored us. They just sat there and ignored us and they cared little or nothing about what was going to happen.

Now they say, "Wash your hands of it, just let us go forward."

We can't go forward. How are we going to go forward? There is no where to go forward until we clear up our backlog, and that's these authorities. I have been telling you a long time ago, an authority is a separate government. You do not own the parking garages. They belong to the authority, and that's their responsibility. The mayor appointed them to oversee Scranton

Parking Authority. The only thing about the Parking Authority of Scranton is that they located in the city, but we do not own the Parking Authority.

And them people before us, the council before us, had no qualms about guaranteeing this, that or whatever. The question is anybody with common sense knew that it couldn't be paid for. When you take out and do anything you look at the costs and you look at the costs and you look at the costs and you factor in the repairs and so forth and so on and everything else that is supposed to go with it. You can't just say, "We are going to build a parking garage," and say that.

See, you don't remember what happened with the Casey, the Hotel Casey. The mayor -- the governor gave us money for the refurbishing of the Hotel Casey and the Medallion -- the Casey Parking garage. We had money. That burned through everything to a hole in the ground. Nobody knew what happened. All of a sudden they had to rip it down and then they had to take the Medallion after we spent thousands of

dollars, probably hundreds of thousands, so we could get in there and that had to be ripped down, and there is 120 space I believe was given to the Hilton free. You forgot about -- you didn't mention when you got up there the free spaces the Hilton got and that was given to them. Why we gave it to them, that was the mayor's deal. And that's the problem. The problem was the Authority and the person who appointed the people to these authorities.

What you are going to do now I don't know, but I would say before I'd let them go down the tubes no matter what the consequences are.

And as far as the salary for the solicitor, I don't know if you remember when you first sat up there one of the first things I told you was double the salary of the solicitor. I think you should remember that that I got up and said it, because I said you are going to need it, and true to my word you do need it. I mean, this city has so many quagmires in it we couldn't even begin to look at them all. I mean, look at

1 that bridge out there, Rockwell Avenue. Well, that was this, that and the 2 everything, now where is the Rockwell Avenue 3 bridge going to be? Going to tear it out. 4 5 Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next 6 7 speaker is Les Spindler. 8 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 9 Council. Les Spindler, city resident and 10 homeowner and taxpayer. 11 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 12 MR. SPINDLER: First off, I'd like 13 to convey my deepest sympathy to Mrs. Evans 14 and her family over the loss of her mother. Next thing, I also want to speak 15 16 about 5-B, as Andy said, this was voted down 17 last week, can someone explain to me why 18 it's back on the agenda this week? MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'll defer to our 19 20 solicitor for an explanation of why this is 21 back on the agenda. 22 MR. HUGHES: You want me to respond 23 now? MR. JOYCE: Yes. 24 25 MR. HUGHES: After last Thursday's

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting I had conversations with both insurance counsel down in Wall Street regarding this. I received a letter while -- it was not a default as of last Tuesday, it is in the event of default both under the trust agreement and also under the lease between the city and the SPA, it's an event of default which can be cured.

One of the rights that the bond holders have, the trustee and also the insurance counsel, tis that and explain this later, but they can file a mandamus action against the city to compel the city to raise taxes to pay the debt. I have been in contact with them all week. There has been several events that have happened, which in accordance with what Mr. Sbaraglia said I'm going to demand that in the interest of the city that the board of the Parking Authority have an immediate session, that they turn the parking facilities over to the insurance to Radian Insurance so they this can appoint a trustee and come in immediately and administer the Parking Authority, get rid of the staff, get rid of the board, the board

will be encapsulated, they will have nothing to do with it. This is their right under the insurance contract, it's their right under the lease, it's their right under the trust agreement.

I will give out later, the trustee has declared the Parking Authority in default of five items under the trust agreement. They are currently -- they have 30 days to comply, today is the first day, they have 29 days. They will not be able to comply with that, so if they don't voluntarily turn over the Parking Authority to Radian to appoint a trustee it's going to happen in 29 days from today. Take my word for it, okay?

MR. SPINDLER: Thank very much.

MR. HUGHES: You don't have take my word, I'm communicating -- it's all in writing, I'll hand out documentation later when this comes up, but the Parking authority, due to this council's action last week, neither the trustee or the insurance company had any idea that the Parking Authority was this mismanaged. They have

had it and the day is here today. The notices went out. The mayor got it, the Parking Authority got it, the chairmen, Mr. Scopelliti, that they are in default of five items under the trust agreement and if they are not complied within 30 days there is no way they can even comply with it in 30 days, so come today or 29 days from now --

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you very much.

MR. HUGHES: -- the insurance company is going to come in, they are going to either going to take possession, appoint a management company or they are going to go to Court and get a receiver appointed.

That's the situation.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, that's been a long time coming. I think you are doing a great job, Attorney Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you have.

MR. SPINDLER: As I said, I hope we can do with this the rest of the authorities because that's a problem we have had in this city, I've come here and said it time after time. These authorities are killing us.

Now moving on, I have lived in this

city all my life and I can almost be sure this city has never been in this bad of shape ever, and it's because on one person and one person only, it's Chris Doherty and his prior rubber stamp councils, which include Mr. McGoff and Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci, and Mr. Pocius and Mr. McTiernan, it's the most incompetent administration and incompetent mayor we have ever had.

And since we have the super majority hopefully things will turn around and it will take a long time, but this is all the fault of Mayor Doherty and his past rubber stamp councils. I hope you are happy, Mr. McGoff, because you are the reason we are in all of this trouble.

Moving on, yesterday a lot of people saw the picture in the paper today, another truck got stuck on the railroad bridge on Main Avenue yesterday again and I have come here a few times and saying that I don't know how safe that bridge actually is.

Every time a truck hits that I would think it would be weakened and, as I said, the

supports on that are all rusted, there is holes in lot of the supports, I know Mrs.

Krake sent a letter, and I did bring a written request here once, I know a second letter was supposed to be have been sent, I don't know if I word ever came back on it.

I don't know what these truck dryers are looking at, there are signs saying how high the bridge is. They should know how high their truck is, but they always seem to hit it.

Another thing, it seems like if I request anything I never hear anything back on it. I'm going to talk about my sidewalks getting flooded every time it rains. I made a request a long time ago, and again,

Mrs. Krake wasn't here, Councilman Hughes -Councilman Joyce, can you request Mrs. Krake to send another letter to Mr. Dougher maybe?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: He hasn't been there since I had this problem so maybe with a new director maybe I'll get some satisfaction.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Like I said, this was

fixed once and two winters ago it just washed away again and it was never fixed again. I guess it wasn't done that well. It didn't last long.

I guess that's all I have tonight.

Thank you for your time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to begin on commenting on agenda item 6-A tonight regarding the \$30,000 increase salary for Solicitor Hughes. You know, sitting here last week listening to some of the comments that were made here, I've come to the conclusion that I think we are trying to make a political issue out of this. I know listening to some of the statements of Councilman McGoff last week, you know, I feel we are trying to put a political spin on this. I objected to the increase and I believe, you know, he went as far as saying

he didn't feel Attorney Hughes was even worth the increase.

Well, I think there seems to be a little lack of comprehension, so to speak in the 2012 budget. I think we need to take a look at some facts and you will see quite well, right in front of your eyes in black and white, that this council majority did, in fact, allocate I believe it was \$167,000 for legal services in the City Clerk's Office, so, in fact, it was part of the budget. These aren't numbers that council pulled out of the sky. These are numbers that were in the budget and think they need to go back and take a look at it and you will see for yourself.

It is my opinion that Attorney
Hughes is quite worthy of this increase due
to the extra workload that he has had to
take on in recent months as we take a look
at on many occasions he has had to perform
the work that, quite frankly, should have
been done by our own city solicitor, Paul
Kelly. And as I have stated many times, you
know, perhaps he is little overwhelmed. We

20

21

22

23

24

25

take a look that he representing the Parking Authority, the city, the Recreation Authority, and God only knows what else he is representing, and so for that reason we recall a bill was submitted, I believe it was in the \$4,800 range, I don't have the exact figure, but it's in that area, however, our city controller did refuse to make payment and so that lead us to where we are today, but I do feel that this is a long time coming, that this is something we should have done quite some time ago and I just want to commend Hughes for all of the work he has done, but at the same time as a citizen apologize for the fact that you have had to do work that, you know, we are paying other people to do. But keep up the good work. The taxpayers appreciate it and certainly this council appreciates it because without your help and support we certainly, you know, would be lost in some cases.

But, you know, I'm just baffled that we want to object to an increase when in the past we have had individuals on council who

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at one time haven't opened their mouth and objected to the millions of dollars we squandered on Carl Greco. I find that kind of amusing.

Moving on tonight to agenda Item 5-B, you know, upon further consideration of this it is my opinion that in the best interest of the city and the taxpayers I do feel council should approve this legislation This allows the Scranton Parking tonight. Authority to make it's bond payment. means is this a move that makes this council majority look as if it's back peddling nor am I back peddling on my stance. This is a wise move by council. We must look at both the short-term and the long-term financial situation in this city. Nobody wants to hear of potential payless paydays, city employees uninsured, and as we learned today overdue fuel bills in the city.

In order for this city to secure financing and to get us through these obstacles we have to act now. The bottom line here is real simple, this bond has to be paid regardless, whether it's today,

tomorrow or six months from now they want their money. I have not changed my thoughts on the Scranton Parking Authority, I still believe that the authority has caused massive chaos. I feel strongly that it's leader, Bob Scopelliti is, in fact, incompetent. We have also learned today that for years he has had an expense account and I think we need to find out tonight what this account was used for and why he had an expense account, an authority that's crying for money and we take a look at what we are squandering on expense accounts and salaries and other things.

I also believe that Paul Kelly representing the Scranton Parking Authority is a complete conflict of interest, as I stated earlier tonight. He represents the city and the Recreation Authority as well. It's my sincere hope that the Scranton Parking Authority will ultimately fail and we can begin the process of selling or leasing or parking garages and any and any long nightmare once and for all.

In recent weeks as council majority

has made some very difficult circumstances, some of which haven't been favorable by certain few, the media and other officials have made some comments, nonsensical comments about this council in it's editorials or in other articles regarding the city and they have done so without offering any relevant suggestions about moving us forward. Now, it's easy to quarterback from the bleachers, but it's another thing to come forward with suggestions.

But at the end of the day the council majority is looking out for the taxpayers and the well-being of this city both short-term and long-term and I applaud you for that. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If I could,

Mr. Chairman, just a reply to what
Mr. Miller said. First of all, the bond
payment was made June 1. One of the items
that I requested from the Scranton Parking
Authority by letter of October 27, that they
never responded to properly, didn't come

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

until a couple of days before the meeting
last week was the balances in all of the
debt service reserve funds and every reserve
fund the Parking Authority has with the
trustees, Bank of New York Mellon. They
never responded to that.

What happened on Friday was that Bank New York Mellon paid the interest and the principle that was due on the bonds and that payment from the debt service reserve fund that they had. However, they looked to the insurance company to pay back, and it's more than we were told last week, it's the amount that's on the agenda tonight. one-million three-four thousand some dollars, not \$994,000, so that what happened was, and this is what's happened during the week with my dealings with these attorneys that represent the insurance company, is that the payment was made from the reserve funds, that now the insurance company is liable. If they have to pay, they are coming after the city, and that's why this legislation that is on tonight. That's the story, I think in the paper this morning

20

21

22

23

24

25

Attorney Kelly said something about the payment -- I don't think he even knows how the payment was made. The insurance company did not make the payment, it was made from the reserve funds. The Parking Authority still has reserve funds in all of these other accounts that are going to be payable in September and December, and what's going to happen is if they don't have the money they will use the reserve and go back to the insurance company and they are going to come back at city, and one of the things they can do in the mandamus action is get a Court order to raise the taxes next year to pay this.

I have been working with them and this is why they are going to come in, and this letter of default went out with the five items in the Parking Authority, and I'll explain all of that letter, and the media and the copy can have a copy of the letter that came in th is afternoon, it was e-mailed to the mayor and to the Parking Authority. It's an event of default of five items that you can say that the executive

25

branch of this city had to comply with and they haven't probably for the last 10 years and the Parking Authority had to comply with and they haven't. The day of reckoning is here and it's going to happen.

MR. MILLER: I appreciate that and look forward from hearing from you later on. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Gary Lewis.

> MR. LEWIS: Good evening.

> MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. LEWIS:

My name is Gary Lewis, I'm a resident of the downtown and consultant specializing in distressed debt. Tonight you're not actually going to hear me say the word "bankruptcy." I would like to talk about three items: One, the PILOT payments that we receive from local nonprofits; two, the city's failure to comply with the different MS RB rules; and the third I would like to touch on is the increase in the solicitor's salary.

I recently completed a review of the all the properties owned by the University

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Scranton within the city. According to my analysis, the University owns 172 properties with an assessed land value of approximately \$2.3 million and an assessed improvement value of approximately \$34.7 million.

The city's portion of the real estate taxes on these properties would be \$951,983.59 if the University were not a tax exempt entity. Currently, I believe the University is paying \$175,000 a year in lieu Given the University's aggressive of taxes. expansion, the large number of nonresident students living on campus and thus consuming city resources and construction tapering off on campus, I urge you to pursue an increased PILOT payment from the University. Failure to increase the University's PILOT payment will essentially be a double whammy for the city.

Not only are we collecting far less in real estate taxes due to the universities expansion, but we are also going to start losing out on the departmental revenue related to all of the construction that's

been going on on campus.

Next, I'd like to talk a little bit about the Scranton Parking Authority's default. The Municipal Securities Rule Making Board, the MSRB has a rule identified as Rule 15-C-2-12. This rule requires issuers to comply with the various SCC requirements. In order to be in compliance with this rule, issuers are required to make disclosures of annual financial information, specifically audited financials, as well as material event disclosures such as notice of default.

entitled, "Continuing disclosure undertaking," which states that the city has agreed to provide within 180 days after the end of the fiscal year a copy of it's audited financial statements. In the case of the SPA bond that's currently -- well, was in default or was apparently paid, the disclosure of audited financials was also required by the Parking Authority and the city. As of today, both the city and the Authority have failed to appropriately

disclose the material event as well as failing to make the appropriate disclosures of required annual financial information.

It appears to me that the city has never complied with these annual disclosure requirements. The only disclosures publically on EMMA, which is the official source for municipal disclosures, are from the city pension funds. There is nothing from the city itself and nothing from the Parking Authority.

Finally, I would like to voice my objection to the increase in Attorney Hughes' salary. To propose a hike of nearly 70 percent couldn't be more poorly timed. The administration is threatening payless paydays and we are nearly doubling the salary of the city solicitor.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Lewis, I'm going to be making a motion table that item tonight. I agree that there is an issue with, you know, what was budgeted and how things transpired regarding Attorney Hughes with the extra work that is he doing, but I do believe that right now is not the time to

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address that issue.

MR. LEWIS: Okay. That's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council. Gerard Hetman, from the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. First, if I can, I just want to follow-up on an issue that I had touched on at a previous meeting that we have had some new developments with which is the idea for a municipal equipment auction that would allow all municipalities in Lackawanna County to auction off equipment that would otherwise have to be individually advertised by each municipality and then auctioned. tentative date for the auction will be Saturday, I believe it's August 18, it's set forth in the County Roads and Bridges building in Jessup, which is adjacent to the 911 Center, just off the Casey highway. There are vehicles that will be entered into the auction by the municipalities. must have the current title available.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that said, the contact person for this will be Dave Pettinato, our deputy director for purchasing. I do have his contact information and after the meeting I can give it to Mrs. Krake or whoever the appropriate officials would be and the appropriate person in the city can then talk to Mr. Pettinato and other appropriate people in the county can more forward if there any items, equipment such as vehicles, maybe DPW equipment, any other city property that would otherwise have to be disposed of by auction by the city you can talk with him to find out the appropriate avenues to go through to enter anything into the auction that the appropriate city departments and officials see fit.

In terms of announcements, some events going on with the county this week and during the summer. The 2012 county summer concert series is now underway and will be taking place throughout the summer. The courthouse square and concert series will run in the month of June specifically, tomorrow, June 8, John Phillips will play at

courthouse square; June 15 is Tru Kelly; and June 22 Tony Vergenetti; and June 29 is John Quinn. And there is also Saturday evening concerts on the courthouse square starting July 7 with Daddy 'O and the Sax Maniacs.

The courthouse square concerts they all begin at noon and play for I believe it's approximately half an hour to an hour. The Saturday evening concerts have different times of start and finish, so we will work with the departments to get that information on our Facebook page and our county website, also, going forward so that it gives a specific time the concerts and the events begin.

Steamtown National Historic Site has their 2012 excursion season underway.

They've got a number of regularly scheduled excursions and they also have a whole list of special excursions going with holidays, historical dates and other themes from now through December. There is way too many to read here. It's a great list of offerings, I can't take up all of the time I'd need maybe 15, 20 minutes detailing everything,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

\_ :

22

23

24

25

but residents can get information on those by calling Steamtown National Historic Site at 570-340-5200. That's 570-340-5200.

Also, Tobyhanna Army Depot will be having an open house on Saturday, June 23, There is a range of scheduled activities throughout the day, including the 5-K run, a number of military parades and ceremonies and also two demonstrations by the US Army's Golden Knights parachute Team, and if you remember back to the days of the air show at the Wilkes-Barre National Airport the Golden Knights were a regular fixture, very exciting, interesting, demonstration team, truly showcases the best of our armed forces to see these demonstrations. In fact, I remember once they jumped into the Steamtown parking lot the day before the first day of the air show I got to see.

It will be a really good event and this is the first open house that Tobyhanna has had since September 11, before September 11, 2001, and the subsequent security situation that followed those events. So

it's something that will be a lot of fun. I have been to their open houses before that in the late 90's and early 2000's. Many city residents and local residents who either work currently or have worked and retired from Tobyhanna Army Depot my grandfather is among them way back when, and it's a great chance to get out and see something that is very important to the regions economy and to your nation's defense industry and defense establishment.

And last, but not least, I know

Mr. McGurl touched on this several times in
recent weeks, but the Lackawanna River

Corridor Association will have their annual
Riverfest celebration Saturday, June 9, it's
actually I believe takes place right down on
Olive Street and there will be a number of
different events that can take place both a

Canoe-A-Thon and also events such as the
regatta, Dunk-A-Thon and a number of other
events that go along with that.

Information on the events can be attained by calling 570-347-6311 or visiting LRCA.org. Again, it's 570-347-6311 or

visiting LRCA.org.

And that's all I have for this evening. Again, I'll follow-up with Mr. Krake after the meeting regarding the auction and make sure you get the appropriate contact details to move forward with whatever you want to enter. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. WALSH: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. WALSH: My name is Edward Walsh, I'm a life-long residents of Scranton, PA, taxpayer and home owner and I have two children in school. I just wanted to talk about I know that we have been talking about recently that the taxes are going to be going up for the next 10 years if everything keeps going the way that it is.

Again, I wanted to talk about the tax situation and the nonprofits which was already mentioned. The University of Scranton, I did some research on my own

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where I actually went out and canvassed the campus by myself. They have approximately 1,613 parking spaces that they charge their students \$200 per year, which comes out to \$322,600 a year that they make in parking fees.

They also charge \$635 for the summer session to live on campus. \$835 for the "G" session and during the summer session, for the whole summer session, they charge their students \$1,112 per student.

They have 196 properties that he found on-line with assessments ranging anywhere from \$5,000 up to \$1,785,000. house is assessed at \$8,500 and I pay \$1,261 That means that the University's in taxes. one property that I looked at, which was \$1,525,000 assessment value is 179 times more than what my house is assessed at, which would mean that property, if it was calculated at my tax rate, would be I think it's ashame that the \$226.283.08. University of Scranton calls themselves a nonprofit when everything I see is they are making money.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing that I noticed that they do is tuition is \$955 per credit. lived here all me life, I worked there all my life, I cannot afford to send my kids to that school. They do nothing for me as a taxpayer. I don't know what they say they do for the rest of the city, but maybe we should offer them up a deal, why don't they come and pave downtown Scranton for us because our infrastructure is a mess. ashamed when my friends from Cleveland and California come in. They won't even drive the cars downtown because of the potholes and stuff, and all I hear is negative comments about how dirty our city is, how it's turning into all but burned out houses, but yet the University is the only one that continues to prosper.

You know, I just wish that we could get them to pay like Connecticut does.

Connecticut's colleges pay taxes, why can't ours? And they are taking over the whole city and they should be able to pay some taxes, especially when I researched this and I see I have a 40 by 90 lot and they own

approximately 35 city blocks and they can't afford to pay taxes, who are they kidding?

And if I sound upset I am because I'm looking at my taxes just went up again I'm struggling to make ends meet with two incomes and I can't afford to send my kid to that school. Shame on them. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Good evening, Council.

Gregory Evans, resident of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. EVANS: I had a quick question with the agenda as I'm reading the agenda item, but Item 7-C I'm looking at for the property tax exemptions for certain deteriorated industrial, commercial and other business properties, could you further explain the purpose of that, how that's going to work?

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, would you be willing to give the explanation on the LERTA that you gave to me at the caucus?

MR. HUGHES: On which one?

MR. EVANS: I apologize, if there

were prior --

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: 7-C.

MR. HUGHES: Okay. This is what's called the LERTA program, and what happens with LERTA is that when there is a property that is deteriorated they could be considered blighted that the school district, the county and the city can get together and they can approve what's -- it's a tax abatement. The real estate on the land itself, the taxes are paid. improvement, for every million dollars in improvement there is a one-year forgiveness of the real estate taxes, so what it does it provides a developer the ability to come in, pay the taxes on the land so that the municipalities and the school district and the county don't lose any of the tax base, and then as the property is developed and the project is put on the property for every \$1 million there is a one-year exemption up to ten years.

I can give you a couple of good examples that I have personally been involved with, one is the mall, it's a \$100

million project, actually what happened is that when the mall was put up that was a LERTA, the actual taxes paid on the land were more than before the mall was built, even though it was \$100 million project it had a 10-year tax abatement for just ten years. However, the city still had collected the wage taxes, there were many more people employed there than previously before the mall, they collected mercantile taxes so all of those taxes were paid.

It was the same thing with the Hilton Hotel. When that was built that was around a \$35 million project, I believe, somewhere in that area, and they paid the tax on all of the land, some of that land was owned by the city, it then became taxable. The alley was vacated, that became taxable, so they paid all the tax on the real estate then after a period of ten years after they will pay their taxes on the taxes on the building.

So with this project what it is this is over on the old plant where Daron Products was, there was a lot of complaints

with the neighbors and the trucks and everything else, it is an industrial zone. This project will be an \$8 million elderly housing project that will pay taxes on the land. Anybody that's in there they will pay wage tax if they are working, so it will increase the neighborhood and the neighbors are for it, but in order to make the economics of the project work they are

requesting a tax abatement on the real

estate tax itself just only on the building.

eight years once that expires then they will

be paying taxes on all of the improvements.

And then if it's an \$8 million project in

MR. EVANS: And a quick follow-up to ask, thank you for that explanation, per million dollars is that required to be private funds or is that also considered public funds? So my question is someone who is using public funds, say \$1 million of public funds, you know, the grant money, and then they are getting a tax abatement for one year, public funds is that okay with the program?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea what the

financing is. I thought it was all private financing. I don't believe there is any public money involved in that project. I believe it's \$8 million of private financing. I don't think that they have any city grants, I don't think the city has any involvement from what I understand. I mean, I was just involved with, you know, with the LERTA, they were here, they gave a presentation that night with the project. They are going to purchase one-half of the lot, if they are successful they would then purchase the other half of the lot and put up another 100 some units.

MR. EVANS: And this ordinance is just for this specific project or is this open -- this ordinance open for a future projects, too?

MR. HUGHES: I believe the way that everything has been drafted with the city that this would comply -- every project has to be approved. I do not believe that this is just site specific for this project.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: You're welcome.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANGAN: Good evening. My name is Dan Langan. I live on South Side, homeowner and all that, all my kids don't go to any schools, they are bigger than me.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. LANGAN: I'm a good accountant, I can't tell you how many billions we could make if we change the laws, but I'm a good enough accountant to know that if the laws that we had on the books were enforced we would have more money than we currently have. It is illegal in Scranton, I have been here before, it is illegal in Scranton to park on the sidewalk. If you go down by Wyoming Avenue, if you go down Greenridge Avenue, if you go up Moosic Street, if you go on Washington Avenue you see cars parked on the sidewalk all the time. It is impossible for a wheelchair to get from point A to point B without going in the It is impossible for the school kids road. to get from point A to school without walking in the road.

I don't understand it. On
Washington Street right in front of the jail

I will be willing to bet dollars to doughnuts right this minute there is somebody parked right in front of the handicapped ramp. If there is not, there will be tomorrow at noon. I guarantee it. That's illegal. I have stopped police, I run once in awhile through town, I stop police probably a dozen times in the last two or three years, they always somehow have to go right off on a call, "Oh, sorry, I'm on a call, I'll check back with it."

All I'm asking is to enforce the laws. If the Parking Authority and the police did their jobs they might not have the revenue problems that they have. That's all I have to say. See you again in a year or so. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Langan, over here over, over at council.

MR. LANGAN: I'm sorry.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I know the speakers it bounces off, I'm sorry. I mean, you are right on money, actually tonight on 7-A we have legislation creating procedure for the issuance of the parking tickets by the

police department. What had happened, the old tickets eliminated a line item there that they could use for these infractions and when I sat down with Chief Duffy when he discussed updating these parking tickets it's basically a lot of it is going to do there is a line item on there that they can fill in the code violation based on parking the wrong way, parking on the sidewalks and handicapped spots so it's actually opened the door for the police officers to --

MR. LANGAN: Well, last time -well, not last time, two or three times ago
was before Chief Duffy was even Chief Duffy,
so, I mean, that's how long -- and I don't
complain a lot, but once every year, once
every 15 months and it's wrong all the time.
And, you know, I stopped police when I run,
you know, I don't, like, jump in front of
them but I say could you do something about
it, and they always go, "Oh, I just got a
call, and I'll stop back and see."

If you go down there to Sheridan's, a little diner on I think it's Washington, from what I understand the owner of

Sheridan's is related to a fireman and 1 2 that's where all the police go -- not all of 3 the police, but half a dozen police go there every day for lunch, every day at lunch time 4 on the weekend for breakfast there is cars 5 parked on the sidewalk every time. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. I just wanted 8 to let you know --9 MR. LANGAN: Because I make that circle when I run. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: A number of the 11 12 police officers brought that issue to the 13 chief and he thought it was a good idea, so 14 he had discussed that with me and that's where we are at this point now, so hopefully 15 16 in the future it will be less of a problem. 17 MR. LANGAN: Well, I do appreciate 18 it. Thank you. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 20 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave 21 Dobrzyn, resident of the Scranton, taxes 22 paid for this year. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 24 MR. DOBRZYN: I had also had a 25 concern with 7-C on property tax exempt.

I'm glad to hear that it was promised out previously and I did support Dickson Avenue and I think we also had an ambulance service that was partially or nonprofit and I can see that, but in the future I don't feel that we have anyway if we are going to bail ourselves out to keep handing out exemptions for the property tax. It's not justifiable to raise somebody else's tax 95 percent over three years and then turn around and tell the next guy that he can't come unless you want to nickname the town Johnny Come Lately, it's not practical as far as I'm concerned.

And I'd also like to see some research, I plan and promise I'll do a little more myself within the next week, but some of these colleges, the "U" and so forth, we can't get back what we gave away, but they need to be block zoned and hopefully it's legal in Pennsylvania and anything they take from here on in they are to pay the full property tax because we can't afford it, we have talk of a commuter tax law, well, it's justifiable as it is and

it's needed. As it is whoever works in Scranton will be paying all of the revenue sharing that people in outlying districts that don't work in Scranton might be voting against with state government and so forth, so we have a 35 percent tax exempts and it's got to stop there. It's just got to stop and it can't go any further.

And I also have some concerns about our pension, I read about two weeks ago that we lost \$30 million in our pension plan for firemen and police, certainly I hope those people aren't still at the helm and if they are they need to be relieved of their duties and with health care and pensions because we really need to start to tighten up, and we can't let some fancy pants from Wall Street lose \$30 million on us and keep his little golden parachutes. I think it's time we cut a few holes in it and you can drop.

There is a lot of difference things,

I mean, revenue sharing, Homeland Security
gave us a quarter of a million dollar road
grant, for what? It's probably collecting
dust and we could use a couple firemen and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police on the street. It's really ashame that we don't have them.

Furthermore, on some of these local universities and colleges I just read in the paper about the medical school, now, it was put here by our lovely legislators and the carpet was pulled on funding of all types. They have had all kinds of problems with administrators leaving and the University doesn't seem to want to get involved with it. Why I don't know. Why not. That's the type of things that do help us as hospitals and doctors and so forth in this town and they are the ones that do things for us, not somebody taking in foreign exchange students from some radicalized religious country that some day they might be tossing bombs around or something.

And last week I made a mention, I'm going to keep mentioning it, I'm trying to some research with the county recycling and so forth, \$30 billion wasted every year on recycling and that's 30,000 million dollars. I backed off and I uncorrected myself.

That's 30,000 million dollars, so it's -- if

we have 30,000 midsize cities in this country it's totally conceivable that we are losing a million or \$2 million a year on not recycling in this town, and I see it every day when I walk doggy up the courts or whatever and I see the pathetic way that trash -- not to mention that these guys have to come behind it if they really want to pick up the trash they have bend over 15 times to pick up the one bag of trash.

Okay, I'll make it quick. The golden parrot, one goes to Rick Scott and our facilitator attorney general who won't enforce voter registration laws and we have a voter purge in Florida, he pleaded -- he is -- Rick Scott is the governor of Florida, he pleaded the Fifth Amendment 77 times before an investigative grand jury on Medicare with Health Care South, which was fined \$1.2 billion. With that record he must be a fraud expert. Thanks a lot and have a good night. Bawk, bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city

Council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky and I'm a member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers. A couple of weeks ago I asked city council not to vote on any financial legislation that was coming down. I said at that time that by council assuming the responsibility you were also assuming the blame. For the last two weeks just about every day in the Scranton Times' newspaper there has been an article condemning council for what they are doing.

Unfortunately, when they asked the mayor something he will give them a one-line answer which they accept. The reporter for the Scranton Times sits here, takes notes, goes back to his office, writes out an article for that morning's paper. If lucky he may get to ask the mayor something via phone that night.

Unfortunately, our mayor has one solution to our problem, that is to raise taxes or raise fees. He never seems to want to go after suggestions that are made to increase revenue, such as the Ice Box with \$600,000 owed to the city. You can tax the

old Chamberlain Plant because that's private industry now, and I don't know how much you could get out of that, but it could be considerable. There has been suggestions about the lechate line and many, many, many others forms of the revenue that wouldn't effect the residents of the City of Scranton.

The Scranton Times goes by the name Scranton Tribune. I wish they would take the name Tribune out of their title because the Tribune, as I remember them, was always fair. Scranton Times has one way of looking at things.

Mr. Joyce, the week you were absent
I asked about our TAN loan, is that paid off
now?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, it is.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Can you tell me how much interest was charged on that loan?

MR. JOYCE: I don't have that figure at the present time in front of me, but I could research that and get that for you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I would appreciate it seeing as they were collecting fees from

1	day one the interest should be comparably
2	cheap. I wish you would find out, and I
3	thank council for their attention.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
5	MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who
6	would like to address council?
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
9	MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.
10	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Jack, what
11	was that's dog's name, Jack? Grizzly,
12	right? Jack, was that his name? Where were
13	you yesterday, I missed you down there
14	yesterday, I missed you.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, yeah.
16	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I went down
17	yesterday. I felt sorry for the doctor.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, the canine dog
19	yesterday.
20	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Yeah, I went down
21	there yesterday, Jack, it was packed. It
22	was packed.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Breakfast on Chrissy
24	tomorrow. Right, Chrissy?
25	MR. ELLMAN: I got news for you

about chicken little, the sky has fallen here at the city has collapsed.

MR. JOYCE: Could you please state your name for the record?

MR. ELLMAN: Pardon?

MR. JOYCE: State your name for the record. We know who you are.

MR. ELLMAN: Our mayor claims that he is so concerned about dollars, what about my needs? I'm concerned about not having any money, too. I tell you, if Chris Doherty thinks that I will pay an extra thousand \$1,500 next year he as absolutely silly as he looks because I won't do it. I'd just as soon lose my house as throw good money after bad because it won't stop. It's impossible to stop. He has created so much death and so much chaos in this city there isn't any fixing it.

I have talked to some knowledgeable people and they told me they don't even want property in Scranton, and we got this contemptible news paper that doesn't do anything but editorial after editorial week after week attack council and attack not one

bit of a solution, not one little minute bid of a solution what to do. They want us to -- this SPA has lost, what, a million and a quarter or something? They want us to just leave those people there that have lost all of that money. Then the newspaper with their blatant lies and distortions they claim we need \$16 million to save everything, it's \$28 million.

Then they say that the SPA needs \$900,000, last week we discovered they need two and a half million dollars and the same fools are running it that ran it into the ground. This doesn't make sense. This isn't a good business, but this is the kind of the business Chris Doherty loves. It's all he knows is mismanagement. Who decides the recourse for the city, council or Mr. Doherty? Who? Guaranteeing that loan?

MR. ELLMAN: I mean, I came here we,

Joyce that was done --

were supposed to be responsible --

MR. JOYCE:

MR. JOYCE: That was not -- -

MR. ELLMAN: I never heard who signed that we are responsible. You know,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again, I say what am I getting for my dollar?

Last Thursday I was going some place on Washington Thursday morning in front of I have been in here for years I Marywood. don't have no sidewalks, no curbs, I don't have no sewers, nothing has been done about it. There is a bunch of the low life vermin running that school, don't pay no taxes, they are getting new sidewalks. I don't know if you know it, Friday before last they bought another \$200,000 house on the 2,500 block Washington. That's the second one this year. 3,200 and some dollars off the tax rolls and they get a sidewalk. I ain't getting no sidewalk. This is the kind of the city we live in with Chris Doherty at the helm, you know.

I'd like to tell you council that I had, I don't know, eight or ten people tell me that they were so proud of council showing its allegiance to the people instead of the SPA last week. It's just an endless fight up here. You know, I think this will be my fanfare. I just get so excited, I

19 20

18

21

22

2324

25

would rather go to on Thursday night to the little auction in Olyphant and not get all excited up here and everything every week. You're just beating your head on a wall standing here week after week, and I don't blame council, I blame this administration, and there is a lot of good people in it. I think the Licensing Bureau does great. Ι was up there to get a dog license a couple of weeks ago. The lady took care of me and everybody is nice and friendly and I have no argument with the sanitation people, they come and go and leave my cans, you know, but the people that are supposed to be running the city are just one gross incompetence They have no feeling whatsoever about the pulse of this city. It's just the city is gone.

You talk to people, everybody is just pessimistic. I was at Boscov's today with Ms. Rosie the mall is deserted. I drove right up to the next place where the elevator is, no cars, there is nothing. I don't want to see that happen. You know, you go out to the JCPenney and you have to

park a half a mile from the place there is so many cars. I parked out by Sears because it's always empty that lot, out front there is no place to park. There's got to be a reason. Part of it is Chris Doherty and the rest of it is a bunch of fools like running the SPA putting meters down there at Chamberlain, people that are working that we need they try to run them off. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Is there anyone else who would like to

address council?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Bill Jackowitz, South
Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers'
Association, founder of the Legion of Doom,
and also a co-chairperson of the Kids Swim
Free Program at Nay Aug Park for the last
five years.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: First of all, I would like to commend the supermajority, the three members last week who voted the way they voted. That took a lot of courage. I would like to criticize the Scranton

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Times-Tribune and Jim Lockwood, who is the reporter who is here at the meetings, because it's the same with Josh Mrozinski, Stacy Brown, and I don't remember Burton's first name, but they attend the same meeting that I attend, but yet when I read the article in the paper the article in the paper does not reflect actually what happened during the meeting.

Now, a city in crisis. That's all we have been hearing about. The sky is falling, payless paydays, now we can't buy gas for the police and fire trucks, I must ask the Scranton Times and Boris and Chris Kelly and Jim here who is responsible for Is it the supermajority who has been in office for four and a half years -- or, I mean, excuse me, two and a half years, or is it the mayor who has been in office for almost 11 years or is it Mr. McGoff, who has voted with the mayor for six -- five years now every single time. The only time he voted against the mayor was when he voted to install the cameras back in the city council when his council and Judy Gatelli and Sherry

Fanucci's council voted to bar the citizens from speaking at Scranton City Council and took the cameras out. I hope people still remember that.

Last week Mr. McGoff criticized Mr. Loscombe for smiling, but yet Mr. McGoff smiles every week. He has smiled at least four times today so --

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not allowed to smile?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, Mr. Loscombe wasn't allowed to smile last week, you criticized him, remember, when you asked him if he thought it was funny. So I am asking you, do you think that voting to raise taxes was funny? Do you think voting to shut down fire stations, firefighters and laying them off was funny.

MR. MCGOFF: Did I laugh when I made that vote?

MR. JACKOWITZ: You are smiling right now, and you are always shrugging your shoulders like you don't understand the question or understand what's going on. You are responsible for this. Can't you accept

that? Man up. Man up.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You voted for all of this, okay? You voted for this.

MR. MCGOFF: For what?

MR. JACKOWITZ: For the distressed city in crisis. You voted for every loan, every borrowing, you voted to close fire stations. You voted to continue --

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, you did, with Judy Gatelli and Sherry Fanucci. Remember the meetings when you were president and you talked about and Sherry Fanucci talked about it's the union's job to fight the city? No, it wasn't the union's job to fight the city, it wasn't the city's job to fight the unions. It was the job of the elected officials and the unions and the firefighters and the police officers to cooperate and work together.

Look at the mess we are in right now. The sky is falling. We can't pay for our gas. We can't pay for our loans. We can't pay our retirees. Is that Bill

23

24

25

Jackowitz's fault? Did I ever vote for Has Mr. McGoff and Chris anything? No. Doherty, Sherry Fanucci, Judy Gatelli, Bob McTiernan, Alex Hazzouri, Tom Gilhooley? List goes on. It's not the taxpayers and the residents and community's fault that the city is in the mess that they are in, it's the people who we elected who ran this city into the ground and now they want everybody to bail them out. We want people from Dunmore, Old Forge, Moosic, to bail out the City of Scranton. Are they responsible for this? No. You know, they are not.

We are responsible for it because we voted for these people and the people who voted against the citizens week after week after week after week are responsible for it. The Scranton Times for not printing the truth. I would love to read tomorrow's paper and see what really -- I hope an explanation that Mr. Hughes' gave tonight and hopefully will expand on that later, appears word for word in tomorrow's Scranton Times, not blaming city council.

City council, I applaud you for

doing what you did with the exception of the Mr. McGoff, and actually I'm surprised to see Mr. McGoff here tonight, this is the first time in four weeks he has been here for citizens' participation. Last three weeks he was no where to be found.

MR. MCGOFF: You could have found me

MR. JACKOWITZ: I wasn't going to look for you, I have no reason to look for you. It's your responsibility to be here at

MR. JACKOWITZ: And you think that's funny, don't you? Don't you because you are Smile. Mr. Loscombe, it's wrong for you to laugh or smile, but Mr. McGoff can laugh and smile any time he wants.

MR. MCGOFF: You could have found me last week, I was at my grandson's

MR. JACKOWITZ: What about the other

MR. MCGOFF: If you really want to know, I was at baseball games, district

playoff games that he was playing in.

MR. JACKOWITZ: A baseball game.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to just comment, since I was mentioned frequently, I never voted to -- just so for the record, I never to close a firehouse. Never any time I have been here was there actually a vote taken on council to close the firehouse, so I couldn't very well vote to do that if there was never a vote taken, and as a matter of fact, while I was president while I was I'll say in the majority, all of the firehouses in the city were open. There were none that were being closed. That's all. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. MARTIN: Bob Martin, 420 Adams

Avenue. I'm just going to keep this short,

I want to applaud Attorney Hughes, Solicitor

Hughes for the job he is doing for city

council, and I know that there has been, you

know, a situation where an increase in

2

4

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

salary is -- some of the people on city council are going to agree with the increase in the salary, but I'll tell you he is there for the people and for city council and, Attorney Hughes, you are doing a great job and I love you the way you present yourself.

Last week the job you did with the Scranton Parking Authority was really -- but it's just getting to the Parking Authority he is absolutely right that the situation with them having nine months and not able to come up with, you know, the things that they should have been doing, and it was just terrible to watch the way they, you know, the presentation absolutely terrible and I hate to see that anything happen with the Parking Authority, but I totally agree that something needed to be done and you can't be giving them all the money anymore. I mean, if they have to go down and somebody else, a private person has to take over so be it.

Did I see in the paper that the banks seized the money or was it like \$116,000 or something like that? I mean, they came in and seized everything? Is that

what they did?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think Mr. Hughes will be able to go over that when he is on that issue.

MR. MARTIN: That's fine, but anyhow, that's basically all I want to say.

MR. HUGHES: That's the same question Bob asked, I don't know how Fidelity Bank grabbed \$166,000. I never knew that the Parking Authority had any indebtedness with Fidelity Bank. I really thought it was Landmark, so I have no idea. I read it in the paper and I went, "How does this happen?"

MR. MARTINA: Open your mouth, ahh.

MR. HUGHES: I'm in much in the dark as you are, Bob.

MR. MARTIN: But I just want to say that you are doing a great job and whatever money you can in there, there's a lot of cases you are there for to help out and your presentation is just -- I mean, considering the short period of time me you had last week to look over things that you did a great job and I applaud you for everything

	64
1	you do. Thank you.
2	MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
3	MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who
4	cares to wishes to address council?
5	MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
6	MOTIONS.
7	MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you have
8	any comments or motions?
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, please. First,
10	just an order of business, I would like to
11	make a motion to appoint Mr. Rogan as the
12	temporary Chairperson on Rules?
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
14	MR. JOYCE: We have a motion on the
15	floor, on the question? All those in favor
16	signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Just a couple of
23	comments about some of the legislation
24	that's on the agenda. I was actually
25	surprised to see the Parking Authority

legislation on the agenda when I received
it. I had no idea that it was going to be
put back on the agenda. I was just
wondering what the genesis of that
legislation is and at whose request was this
legislation prepared and put on the agenda?

MR. ROGAN: I would state my position hasn't changed.

MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not a position, I would just wondering how it came to be put on the agenda this evening? I don't know where it came from.

MR. JOYCE: Solicitor Hughes, I know that you have been in contact with Councilwoman Evans about some of the agenda items, would you care to elaborate a little further?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. It will be explained when this comes up tonight to be introduced in my comments. At the end of last week I had a call from two lawyers from New York City, one that represents National Insurance and the other one represents Radian Insurance, David Dubrow and Sam Cohen.

Talked with them before Thursday's meeting I talked with them on Friday, Ardian is the insurer on the 2006 bonds which went in default on Friday, an event of default, and there is a big difference between an event of default and default, and council has received a letter from Dave Dubrow, he is with Aaron and Fox, a large law firm in New York City. I have been working with him on this. He sent me a draft letter, I immediately discussed it with Mrs. Evans.

It was my opinion that this should be placed back and the agenda tonight, I'll explain it with the letter, council already has a copy of it, to at least be introduced. The ramifications were that, as I stated previously, the solicitor for the Parking Authority was incorrect, the insurance company did not make the payment, the payment came from the reserve funds. However, the trustee is looking to the insurer to make that payment to replenish the funds in accordance with the lease and the trust agreement, and if that happens at they will file a mandamus action in

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lackawanna County Court to have the Court mandamus, the mayor and council, to raise taxes by \$1 million next year to make the bond payment.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Then, and as I'll state later and I stated last week, the Scranton Parking Authority is a train wreck going to If they didn't default last week, they are going to default September 15. There is another \$1 million bond payment coming up, they will be in default. is no way in three and a half months with the budget that they have, with the fallacies that they have in the budget, the inaccuracies in the budget, that there is any way that they can come up with that money and the city is going to have to come up with that money. There will another bond payment due December 1 of \$820,000, that will be two and a half months after the September payment and there is going to be no money.

While I may as well say it now, and I'll say it again later, the board of the

Scranton Parking authority should immediately meet, they should immediately turn the facilities over to the bond insurer, to Radian, then appoint a trustee and take over immediately. They are only delaying the inevitable. It's going to happen in 29 days.

Council doesn't' have it yet, the press doesn't have it yet, but the trustees sent out a letter e-mailed this afternoon to the mayor, to the chairwoman of the Scranton Parking Authority, Mr. Scopelliti itemizing five items of default that have to be corrected in 30 days from today's letter or what's going to happen they will be in default, a trustee will be appointed, and the receiver will be appointed to take the facilities over. They are only delaying the inevitable.

This council has called for the last five or six months, actually more than seven months, to the Scranton Parking Authority to come here, discuss it's situation. They refused, but Council did -- we knew the day of reckoning was coming. They put the money

in the contingency fund. That's why they came last week. They had to come here with hat in hand to beg council for the money, and under my examination Mr. Scopelliti the budget is fictitious. That's one of the conditions that the trustee demands, that the insurance company demands, is that they must have not only a budget prepared by them, I mean, it was laughable what Mr. Scopelliti said, "Well, our board approved it."

That means nothing. In accordance with the lease and the trust agreements that has to be approved by council. This council sent a letter to the Parking Authority October of 2010 and submitted their budget for 2011 which was the first time probably in a decade or maybe ever that it was ever approved.

Mr. Scopelliti's salary was decreased, two positions were eliminated. The Parking Authority just took that budget, did nothing with it, without the amendments is what they did. The day of reckoning is here and as the ad for the magazine Monthly

says, "Sooner or later we are going to get you," and that's what the trustee is doing, that's what the insurance council is doing. If you don't belly up now and turn over the facilities voluntarily to us so we can get a receiver appointed and make arrangements for an orderly transition they are going to do it by force and they are going to win. It's that simple.

And hopefully that when a receiver get appointed and they take over the Authority and they hire -- bring in their own management and you can at least attempt to right the ship that with the cooperation that council has done with the insurance companies, with the bond issuers that hopefully we can work some of this out so the city won't be in default in September. You can't guarantee that, but hopefully that's what will happen.

That's where we are. I have copies of the letter that went out and I'm putting them together tonight for council, for the news media, for the public, to see what the events are, but that's how this was put back

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on. The ramifications are great. Council by not approving that last week they are not in default. There is an event of default and hopefully, you know, we at least get it on, demand Mr. McGowan come here next Thursday night at 6:00, present to council that the money is available so that that payment can be made.

And I would say this, there is a lot of misinformation going around, and I have been through many bonds issues and I have done a lot of litigation on this, that one of the events on the bond payment, on that bond issue of \$16 million there is an opinion council has to be given, and part of that is that there has been no material adverse change in the city's financial condition. Even if last week council approved that \$1 million payment, even if that were paid there would be serious doubts as to whether M & T Bank would go through with it because when the opinion would be written, the legal opinion to support that bond issue, and it would state that there is a material adverse change in the city's

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up with \$1 million to pay the Parking Authority bond issue, it also would have to state that based on the budget they don't have an approved budget. They are in violation of the terms of the trust, they are in violation of the terms of the lease, that there is serious doubt is to whether the Parking Authority would make their next million dollar payment in three and a half months. The city would have to come with up with that money, and there is also serious doubt is to whether the Parking Authority could even make the bond payment of \$800,000 on December 1.

financial condition because it just had come

That would all go into an adverse -that all would go into the legal opinion
regarding an adverse material change in the
city's financial condition. Right now, that
would be a total of exposure for the city of
almost \$3 million in those bond payments.
The \$1 million bond payment in June, the \$1
million bond payment coming up in September,
and the \$820,000 bond payment coming up in
December. That's a substantial financial

burden on the city. That would have to be put in there and M & T Bank could have said right with that legal opinion, "We are not going to underwrite this because of the financial condition of the city."

So it had nothing to do with the vote last week. In my opinion that when that letter would have been written by bond counsel M & T would have looked it and said, "Here is an unfunded potential liability the city has for the Parking Authority of \$1.8 million, there is only \$600,000 left, where is it going to get the other -- "\$600,000 left in the contingency fund, there is another \$1.2 million that the city would have to come up with and pay if it's not in the budget. That's how bad it is.

And, hopefully, I look at it and this is not a Titanic event, you know, we haven't hit the iceberg, I look at it as a Pearl Harbor event that, okay, we have been attacked by the Parking Authority, now it comes that it cannot be salvaged, and I think it can, and I'll put it this way, I don't know how many people know about the

City of Harrisburg and it's in bankruptcy.

The Scranton Parking Authority is the City of Scranton, but the incineration plant in Harrisburg is to the City of Harrisburg.

The incineration plant put the City of Harrisburg in bankruptcy. If the Parking Authority keeps on this road, and maybe it's gone much too long, as to whether it can be

corrected, you know, can put the City of Scranton right now I believe into

bankruptcy.

The total guaranteed debt of the City of Scranton to the Parking Authority is \$99 million. It's \$99.8 million. There is \$51 million of principal that the city has guaranteed. The interest payments are over \$48 million in the life of the bonds. When you add them together, that's almost \$100 million that the city has guaranteed that has to be paid, and you are never going to get it with the incompetent management of the Scranton Parking Authority.

That's why, you know, Mr. Rogan has been pushing that some of the Parking

Authority, take a look at see if you can

sell some of those facilities, if you could reduce the -- if you could pay down the bond, you have \$51 million in debt and they pay down \$20 million you are down to \$31 million but now for the next 30 or 40 years you don't have to pay the interest on at that 20 or 25 million. It substantially reduces the city's exposure. There is nobody looking at that over there at the Authority, there is nobody in the mayor's office looking at it that way, it's business as usual, we'll just write the check.

It's similar to the Greek situation, you know, what's going on there. Greeks can at least print money if they put out the heirloom and create the document, you know, City of Scranton can't do that and something has to be done. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: So the answer to my question was that it was at the request of Mrs. Evans?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, she and I discussed it.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: I told Mrs. Krake to

put it on.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. That's will all I asked.

MR. HUGHES: One other thing that really annoys me is that they had a letter last week that said the exact amount was \$1,031,000 and the amount that we put in the legislation they never communicated that to us. If that legislation passed and you amended it to \$994,000 there wasn't enough money. They never told us it was one million thirty-one thousand some dollars. They had the letter from Bank of New York Mellon.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. I guess it was my understanding that when something was voted down that it would require a majority vote of council to put it back on the agenda, but I'm assuming that this is separate legislation because the amount is different that it would be a different piece of legislation?

MR. HUGHES: That's correct. It's substantially different.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you. And

I was accused last week of putting spin on things, but I think that the results of last week's vote, I will disagree with Attorney Hughes' opinion, I think that it did set in motion a series of events that has -- at least vendors have now come to the city looking for payment. We had a meeting the other day with Blue Cross and Blue Shield, we had a letter from the Dunmore Oil, there are events that are taking place. Whether M & T would are backed out of the agreement or not, you know, that's open to speculation. They were involved and I think they were waiting to see, you know, where we are going with it.

I hope that, you know, by putting this legislation back on I hope that it's not too late. I hope that we can recoup some of our standing in the community. You hope that we can move forward toward at least securing unfunded debt from some source, but, you know, the dominoes have started to fall. I hope that maybe with this legislation we can stop that progression and that we don't get to a dire

situation, although, it may be dire already.

I also think that we need to move toward talking about dealing with the Recovery Plan. I think that above all that becomes sort of the lynch pin for, you know, all of the legislation, all of the -- and for the budget. We have been going back and forth with a lot of items dealing with the Recovery Plan and what people think of it. I think it's time to put an end to the public eye and I think it's time that council starts to deal with it specifically and arrive at a Recovery Plan.

And with that, I would like to make a motion -- I make a motion to place the revised Recovery Plan for the City of Scranton as prepared by the mayor's office of the City of Scranton and presented to the Scranton City Council on May 24, 2012, on the city council agenda for June 14, 2012.

MR. JOYCE: There is a motion on the floor, is there a second?

MR. ROGAN: I second it.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: If I may.

MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: I think I have a completely different reason for seconding -- for voting for this motion Mr. McGoff made than he did, Mr. McGoff may be a supporter of this plan. I strongly oppose the mayor's Recovery Plan, but I do believe it deserves an up or down vote on council as every item that is sent down to us does, and that is why I do believe it should be placed on the agenda, and I believe it should be voted down.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And I do have a couple of comments on that, also. I strongly oppose the mayor's plan to increase taxes to that capacity. There is a lot of issues that weren't put in that we have put on. The Recovery Plan as presented is not good for everybody here, especially in light of what's been going on. We need a Recovery Plan, but what's the big urgency, we haven't had one since 2005. The banks didn't require Recovery Plans for the past six years, seven years. I think it's a devised pressure, and what makes me even more

suspicious, first of all, it was several weeks ago that I sat here and said I would not vote for any Recovery Plan, whether it be city council's or the mayor's, that did not include the final numbers from the negotiations on the Supreme Court award to the police and firefighters. That would be doing an injustice to everyone. How could we have a Recovery Plan if we don't know the whole ball of whacks?

And, you know, I know there has been a lot of communication going on between the unions and the administration and I would hope that they would come to a very good conclusion very shortly. I think they should, and I think it will be a very beneficial solution for everyone here.

But I'm also suspicious with the

letter I received when we had a meeting, as

Mr. McGoff stated on Blue Cross and Blue

Shield in the mayor's office, as we are

leaving he handed us a letter, myself and

Mr. Joyce. It said, "Dear Councilman

Loscombe, on Tuesday, June 5, the secretary

of DCED, Allen Wheeler, asked me to invite

Scranton City Council to appoint two council members to travel to Harrisburg to attend a mediation session with the city administration. The state will pay for the mediation.

It is the hope of DCED that this mediation will allow the administration and council to come to an agreement that is acceptable to a lending institution so that the city will be able to fund the remainder of the 2012 operating budget.

Please contact me if this is an acceptable to council which council members will attend. Thank you in advance for your cooperation."

I cannot agree to going to any meeting until we have an agreement and know where we are going from this point forward. And again, I mean, I don't understand this, why we would have to go to Harrisburg fi they are interested in getting the city back in a better financial condition, but what really alarms me is we are going before the same panel that has put us in this position, DCED. They are the ones that pushed the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor to appeal, appeal, appeal, and haven't offered one penny or one word of advice on how to bail this city out. They want to dole it out but they don't want to take their own blame.

And, you know, I for one cannot agree to something like this. We have to have our own Recovery Plan that's beneficial to everybody. We have to know all the bottom lines. We have to have the agreements of the Supreme Court ruling and, you know, I don't feel comfortable with DCED. PEL, DCED, they put us where we are at, they haven't offered any opportunity to take us out of where we are at, just to mediate something between us and the mayor to jam a Recovery Plan down your throats that's going to effect you for the rest of the time, however, many years. That's why I'm against this motion. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I didn't make the motion with the idea that -- I didn't say anything about supporting the plan or

rejecting the plan. I believe that we need to start discussing the plan. This is something that despite what Mr. Loscombe says, that the lending institutions have placed in front of us before they will move forward with any of our financing. They want to see a Recovery Plan.

We need to start working toward that goal, and I think the first step is to have something in front of us that we can discuss. If we don't like what is in the mayor's proposal, then fine, amend it, change it, do something to come to a conclusion. We have been putting this off too long. We need to act on this, and I think by putting it on the agenda it gives us an opportunity to discuss it and to act upon it, and that is the reason why I am making the motion.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to reiterate that I do agree that it's something that we need to talk about as a group, all five of us on council, the mayor, union leaders may be involved as well, all of the stakeholders. We need to discuss

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this plan and change it. There is no way
I'm going to vote for a plan that raises
taxes 78 percent. It's not going to happen,
but I do think we need to work to amend the
mayor's plan or to at least discuss and
provide an alternative plan. But the
mayor's plan, as far as I'm concerned, is
dead on arrival.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add, you know, we are the elected officials here to do our job for you. The people from DCED are not elected, they have no one to answer to but their paychecks and, you know, I believe you elected us to make the hard decisions for you and, you know, maybe some of you don't believe it, but I know a lot of do because I run into you on the street that we are doing the best we can for you. can't create a miracle overnight, but we have the best interest of the majority of the taxpayers in our hearts, not the bureaucratic big wigs, and that's all I have to say on it.

MR. JOYCE: Attorney Hughes, I did have a question for you if you would please

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

answer, could a Recovery Plan be amended by council?

MR. HUGHES: I don't have an answer to that. I haven't seen the Recovery Plan, but I certainly think that there is certain things that have to be in it. I think one thing that would have be addressed right now is the fact the condition of the Parking Authority. I don't think that's in there. I have stated it before that it seems to be that there is -- you read the editorials of the Times -- I don't read the editorials in the Times, but anyway, the one thing that the city has no option being a Third Class citv. That's the law. It's been law going back to the early 1900's that when the population decreases -- it sets by population. The city can't sit here and say we are going to stay a 2 Class A city. don't know if that's addressed in the plan.

I certainly think one thing that should be addressed in the plan, and it's DCED should do it, that the City of Scranton is a Home Rule Charter city that when it reverts to a Third Class city because of the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

population that's been certified by the governor that one of the things that has to happen is that there has to be a Home Rule Study Commission. It's a distressed city. Where are you going to get the money to have a Home Rule Charter, because we are a Home Rule Charter city and DCED should be in there staying that we should pass legislation and get it through the legislature right now that since the City of Scranton is a Home Rule City that one of the forms of the government the Third Class city can have three different types government, we currently we have a form of government that is authorized for the Third Class city, that we should be able to slip right into a Third Class city, and we get the advantages of the Third Class city.

I have no idea what's in there, but I certainly think that council could amend the plan. It has to be approved by council. It's legislation. So if comes to council and it's proposed that council should have the ability to amend it.

I know there has been various

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

speakers here, you know, tonight, you know, about the tax exemption organizations, and especially the University of Scranton. accordance with the Recovery Plan that's been in effect here for 20 years, at least 10 with Mayor Doherty as mayor, he is responsible to go to the tax exempt, to all of the tax exempts and try to get money from And, you know, I think everybody has a blurred vision as to what -- council is a legislative body, we are not the executive -- I use the term "we" but, you know, council they are to approve legislation. It's up to the mayor to go and to go to the University of Scranton and say, "Hey, ante up, start paying some more."

You know, to go to all of these tax exempt organizations. It's up to the county to go and to assess them. The City of Scranton can't do that. You know, we don't have the power to do any of that. It's up to the Assessor's Office to go and to challenge it and say, "Here, you are running a taxable business in there. You have to pay real estate taxes on that.

1 That's their responsibility. 2 now, and I'm probably as frustrated as many 3 of you are. I mean, I have lived in the City of Scranton all my life. Sometimes I 4 5 feel like I should go up there and speak with you. But that's where it is, I'll take 6 a look at it, but I do not see why council 7 8 could not, you know, why they could not 9 amend the Recovery Plan. I don't think that it's written in stone. I don't think that 10 11 we just have to go yes or no. That's my 12 opinion based on the experience. 13 MR. JOYCE: That's your opinion, so 14 it's yes or no, but it's sort of a gray area? 15 16 MR. HUGHES: Yes. 17 MR. JOYCE: Okay. All those in 18 favor signify by saying aye. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. LOSCOMBE: 22 No. 23 MR. JOYCE: No. By a two to two 24 vote the nos have it and the motion dies.

MR. MCGOFF:

Thank you. With that

25

then I would hope then that since we will not be addressing the mayor's revised Recovery Plan I would hope that council in the near future presents their plan for recovery. It's something that is needed, it's something that we should be doing, and this vote I believe now puts it on, you know, the responsibility on the council to come up with their plan, one that will be acceptable.

I would like also to comment on, since Mr. Loscombe brought up the letter from DCED, I believe that DCED was stepping in to try and help alleviate the situation we are in. This is the state government stepping in to try and help the city. DCED is not some separate entity by itself. This is the secretary of -- you know, appointed by the governor of the state. They are looking to try and help us through a situation that we seem to be having difficult with. I think it was an effort to do something beneficial for the city.

Just turning down an invitation to sit down with the state and talk I just

can't believe that we wouldn't do that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm turning it down personally, you are welcome to go.

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry, I thought you were done.

MR. MCGOFF: Why we would refuse to sit and discuss with anyone our current situation is beyond me. It doesn't hurt to talk with people, and to just adamantly -- or to just out of hand dismiss what's being offered I think is -- I think is irresponsible. We need to do something to get out the situation we are in. As I said before, we keep doing things that exacerbate the situation. We keep knocking these dominoes down and pretty soon they are all going to fall.

And, you know, that I'll be accused again of, you know, putting spin on it, but that I think it's a realistic thing to look at and we need to -- we need to be active and addressing these issues and I feel that over the past month or so that we have been inactive. We have put stumbling blocks in

the way of some solutions and we have yet to provide anything in the way of solutions ourselves and I think that, you know, moving forward a Recovery Plan is the next step and I think we need to do it as expeditiously as possible, and now I'm finished.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Rogan, do you have any questions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Since we are on the topic I guess I'll begin with the Recovery Plan as well. Over the last week there have been approximately I would say two articles a day in the Scranton Times criticizing council for lack of a Recovery Plan and regarding the Scranton Parking Authority.

I do believe the city needs a
Recovery Plan, but we need a Recovery Plan
that's going to work for the residents of
the city. I don't believe that we should
pass the mayor's Recovery Plan that contains
a 78 percent tax increase just to appease
the banks. That is not a long-term
solution, that is short sided, and it's the
tax and spend policies of this mayor that

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has gotten us into this mess and it's actually not just tax and spend, it's tax, borrow spend and taxing more.

This Recovery Plan makes very few adjustments in spending. When you look at a budget it's not always a revenue problem. Often times it's a spending problem and we do know the city has been spending above it's means, as has the Parking Authority. do look forward to talking with my colleagues, with the administration, with any parties regarding my suggestions for a Recovery Plan and I hope that, you know, we can get something together or if we take it piece by piece and do the simple pieces first that everyone agrees on, because I think there are some things even in the mayor's Recovery Plan that everyone supports. Take those, pass those through now because they will be meet with little resistance.

For instance, the market based revenue opportunities I think is the term, advertising on city property. I assume we don't want a billboard on city hall, but

there are some areas where advertising can be done generally, I don't think anybody on this board opposes that. I think it would pass on a 5-0 vote. If somebody disagrees please let me know, but there are some components of the mayor's plan that I think are very common sense and that should be met, but the poison pills of massive tax increases make it unacceptable to the taxpayers and to myself.

Moving on, as I mentioned earlier, on Item 6-A, an increase salary for Attorney Hughes, although I do believe this is an issue that needs to be addressed, I do not believe now it the proper time. That being said, I would like to make a motion to table Item 6-A.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: We have a motion on the floor, we have a second, on the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. As I stated before, right now this is probably the worst time possible to discuss giving a raise, even though I do believe Attorney Hughes is an excellent solicitor and he has done a

great job, now is not the time to look at it. Let's put it on the table for a few weeks, however long it takes to get things straightened out, and then look at it again.

As of tonight, I cannot support this legislation so I would urge my colleagues to vote with tabling it this week so it can be reviewed in the future.

MR. JOYCE: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Next, moving onto the hot topic of the night or for the last month I should say, the Parking Authority. While reading this legislation and listening to whatever was said, my opinion has not changed in the last seven days on bailing out the Parking Authority. We still do not have accurate figures from the Scranton Parking Authority for a budget. Mr. Scopelliti sat her last week and said he

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would provide those to council. We have not received those.

There is still no change in leadership in the Scranton Parking Authority. Now, Mr. Scopelliti salary was cut and a few other members have their salaries reduced, but it's the same people in charge. The board said Mr. Scopelliti, Mr. Caterino and others their salaries were I think they should be, absolutely, they were overpaid and we even learned that Mr. Scopelliti had an expense account. How much was spent out of that is anyone's It wasn't reported how much was in auess. his expense account. Last I checked his office was in downtown Scranton, I don't think managing a Parking Authority in downtown Scranton from downtown Scranton is going to incur too many expenses in travel and things of that nature. I'm interested to see what those figures are.

Mr. Scopelliti last week when asked if he thought the board was doing a good job he replied that he did, but apparently the board doesn't think he is doing a good job

because his salary was slashed. The only salary that Mr. Scopelliti should be receiving is zero. He has been a failure. As Attorney Hughes mentioned, the long-term debt in the Parking Authority is nearly \$100 million. This bailout that is being reconsidered tonight accounts for 1 percent of that. 1 percent of the long-term debt and interest. Obviously, something needs to change.

And, Attorney Hughes, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you mentioned that in 2001 when Mayor Doherty took office the long-term debt and interest of the Parking Authority was \$10 million approximately?

MR. HUGHES: In 2001, this was from the City of Scranton audit, the principal was 800 -- or, I'm sorry, \$8,265,000, and the interest \$6,862.055, the total as of the end of the 2001 was \$15,128,000. The 2010 audit, and I have this, I could pass it out, I mean, it's in one of my handouts tonight, the 2010 audit it increased by almost 500 percent in those nine years up to \$51,931,681 principal, \$47,947,646 interest,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a total of \$99,906,027.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney Huahes. I will stick with round numbers to make it a little bit easier, but going by the numbers from the city's audit the day Mayor Doherty took office, before he controlled the entire Parking Authority board, which he does, the total debt that the taxpayer was on the hook for was \$15 Today, after 11 years of Chris million. Doherty running the Parking Authority, it's \$100 million. For the last week I have read article after article after article in the Scranton Times blaming this on city council.

Myself I've been here two years.

Mr. Joyce, Mr. Loscombe two years. We were the three that voted against it. We neither one of us, any one of us, ever voted for a sent of borrowing for the Parking Authority, but it's our fault according to the editorial board at the Scranton Times. Does that mean any sense to anyone?

It is very frustrating to see, and
I'll use the term spin again, that's being
used in this situation. It is not the fault

of council that we are in this situation.

City Council didn't vote to approve

borrowing for the Parking authority, at

least this city council didn't. The City

council did not vote to waste \$600,000 on a

brick facade on one of the parking garages.

It looks beautiful, no question about it,

but you are all paying for it.

The Scranton Parking Authority needs to make real reforms. They need to sell off assets, pay down the debt. They need to reform and cut the fat starting at the top.

Mr. Scopelliti making over \$80,000 a year plus an expense account and a debt that has ballooned in his years at the Parking Authority, but he continues to get rewarded with a position like this.

You would never see this in the private sector. You would never see this in the private sector. Somebody like Mr.

Scopelliti who came in front of a board for a hearing would have been fired on the spot.

Unfortunately, council does doesn't have the authority to fire him. It is up to Mayor Doherty's Parking Authority, and let me

drive that point home, because the last week all of the blame from the media, the print media, has been placed on city council.

This is Mayor Doherty's board. They are his handpicked appointments. Council had absolutely no say. Not one of those appointments was made by city council. City council votes -- if city council voted "no" to the appointment, they still get on the board, but according to the media it's the fault of Scranton City Council.

Next, another issue I have with comments made in the paper --

MR. HUGHES: If I could just interrupt you for one second, that \$100 million does not include the \$2.9 of the Landmark loan that they received last year.

MR. ROGAN: That the city doesn't back.

MR. HUGHES: That the city doesn't back, and also Mrs. Krake pointed out in the SPA's audit of 2010, and this answers I forget whose question it was, and I didn't know about Fidelity Bank, in 2009 the Authority got a line of credit with Fidelity

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bank of \$750,000. They have drawn down on that line. As of their 2010, the line of credit at the beginning of the year had been \$750,000 and at the end of the year, December 31, 2010, it was \$750,000. would appear that they must have paid that down somewhat during the last year and a half and Fidelity got nervous and they probably went in to the SPA and account today and there was \$166,000 there, we don't know what the balance is on this line of credit of the Parking Authority with Fidelity, and what they must have done because knowing the way banks work they went in and they attached the account to pay down the line. The Parking Authority might be out a lot more money on that line of credit that they owe Fidelity and Fidelity just grabbed the money.

Another that's a real legal issue is to who has the right to that \$166,000 whether it's Fidelity or whether it would be the trustee and the insurance company.

That's why they have to get in here fast and garnish all of the assets of the Parking

Authority so this doesn't happen again. I don't know if the Parking Authority has an account at Landmark Bank, they paid \$71,000s in interest to Landmark so far this year. The amount is still \$2.9 million, and I would think that right now with them being in -- having these events of default that the trustee should be entitled to all of these monies and not the other banks.

Not having seen the agreements, it would probably take a couple of days reviewing these agreements to come to a legal opinion, but I certainly think that a receiver or, you know, the insurance company would be able to do that pretty quickly.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. More issues I have with the Parking
Authority. Attorney Kelly made comments in
the newspaper. I asked Attorney Kelly last
week if he saw a conflict with being the
solicitor of the Parking authority and the
City of Scranton. He is on both ends of the
transaction. He doesn't believe that it's a
conflict. I'm not an attorney, and I know
most of you aren't as well, but being on

both ends of any transaction seems to be a conflict. That's how Enron went down from corrupt deals being on both ends of transaction. I'm not accusing Mr. Kelly of that, but I do believe that he needs to either resign as city solicitor or as solicitor of the Parking Authority to be involved with this matter.

The next item and, Attorney Hughes, I apologize for putting you on the spot again, it was brought up to me by numerous residents, and I know it's been brought up on council, does the Parking Authority receive any funds from CMC for the lot located at Nay Aug Park?

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MR. ROGAN: There wasn't anything in any of the documents we received. I don't know what type of deal has been setup between the Parking Authority and the CMC, I hope that it's not a giveaway, that the Parking Authority isn't allowing free parking for employees at CMC.

MR. HUGHES: I could work this job 24 hours a day if I didn't have to sleep,

you know, I could devote -- that's the amount of time that could be devoted to this to do investigations work and things like that.

I did review some of those documents. I mean, I just haven't had time. It's just, you know, to put this together in dealing with bond counsel, you know, with the insurance company this week and everything it's taken a lot of time. I have no idea. The answer to the question is no. I have no idea.

MR. ROGAN: And this is something I wanted to bring up last week, but there was so many things going on with the vote for that night it slipped my mind, but the Parking Authority or the mayor, somebody has allowed the employees of CMC to park in the park. That lot is exclusively for employees of CMC. Now, whether they are paying to the city, to the Parking Authority, I don't know. I don't think anyone knows, but I think it's an answer we have to find out and if the Parking Authority is giving away free spots while crying poverty to us that just

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

further shows the incompetence of this authority.

Next, it was reported on the news today, in the newspaper and on the news, that the city doesn't have the money on hand to pay bills such as fuel for police vehicles, fire trucks, things of that nature. The bill is \$200,000. When Mr. McGowan was asked last week if we had the cash at hand to pay for the Parking Authority bailout, which was nearly a million dollars he said we did. \$200,000 of that to make it right with Dunmore Oil so our police cars can stay on the street, so our fire trucks can continue to respond to emergencies, so garbage can still be picked up. I think we need to prioritize.

Obviously the city is strapped for cash, but your public safety is always our number one priority. If we are on the verge of being cut off from having anymore fuel the bill has to be paid. I think that's much more pressing issue than bailing out the Parking Authority, which it appears they

are going to go into default whether this is passed or not in September or December.

Finally, a couple of weeks ago when Mr. McGowan was here for another issue, the unfunded borrowing, I had asked him the question, why is the city going the route of a bond issue instead of traditional borrowing from a bank? Mr. McGowan's response was, "The banking community is not interested in dealing with the City of Scranton."

This was prior to this issue of the Parking Authority even being on council's agenda, but now that council stood up and put the hammer down to this authority and said, "We are not going to bail you out," it's council's fault that the administration can't get funding, can't get a letter for the unfunded debt. It's came out of the business administrator's mouth in this chamber that the banking community did not want to deal with the City of Scranton and it's not because of this body, it's not because of city council, it's because of the mayor and his administration and the past

rubber stamp councils who had let excessive spending, borrowing and tax increases go through unchecked. That's why we are in the situation we are now. This did not happen between last Thursday and this Thursday.

If you read the newspaper over the last week, and I very rarely take issue with the newspaper, I know many people here do, I try to stay above this, but this week was completely out of line the biased reporting by the Scranton Times. Every single headline, every single editorial has put the blame on the shoulders of city council. I have been in this seat a little over two years and I didn't vote for any of the borrowing that we are paying back now, but yet it's my fault according to the paper and according to the mayor.

I think anyone with any common sense can realize this is problem that started a long time ago. This didn't start because of one vote to bail out an authority.

Additionally, all of us get many e-mails, phone calls and people on the street stop us to give us their opinions, I

only had two people that thought we should have bailed them out to nearly 50 who believed, including the speakers at council, had believed that it's time to stop this excessive spending. If this money is given to the Parking Authority again without any real reforms in place, they are going to be coming back to us again and they can't keep coming back to the city.

I understand that if it is not paid the insurer can petition the Court to make the city raise taxes by a million dollars. If the million dollars is not spent that we have in contingency fund, we won't have to raise taxes we would have it on-hand when we got to that point, but the last thing I wants to do is to leave a back door open for Mr. Scopelliti and the current leadership at the Authority to continue to run. They have been a failure. There is no other word for it. They have been a failure.

I am not going to vote to give them a dime until there is real change in leadership and they come with a concrete in moving forward, and that is all I have

tonight. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a few.

Thank you. First, we received a letter from Representative Kevin Murphy regarding House Bills 89 and 90. What it is, it's a package that seeks to put the City of Scranton on equal footing with the rest of the state on behalf of the brave men and women in the Electric City of Scranton's police and fire departments who protect and serve the City and it's residents.

Specifically, House Bills 89 and 90 will allow municipal public safety employees to purchase military time toward retirement, a luxury that is afforded the rest of the Commonwealth, except Scranton, the only Class 2A city. A letter of support was delivered from Mayor Chris Doherty, so I'm asking if we would support a letter in support of House Bills 89 and 90 to be sent to Majority Chair Chris Ross.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE; I'll pass this onto

you, Mrs. Krake.

Next, just to reiterate some of the things we had mentioned before, you know, DCED, again, just in my two and a half years I have lost faith in their ability, I don't know why the mayor hasn't because they were the drivers behind the wheel to keep pursuing appeal after appeal along with PEL to the tune of a Supreme Court ruling of over \$30 million.

Now, things could have been accomplished a lot easier, but they kept pushing and they kept trying and now when it comes time to pay the piper we requested help from them and I believe the mayor even did, where are they? They haven't offered one iota of help and now they want to help us with a forced Recovery Plan on our constituents. That's where I have my distrust in this system. They are not elected individuals, they can't be voted out of office.

And, I mean, any two council people are welcome to go to Harrisburg, and I know I'm not going to be one of them, but we have

four other council people and, you know, it's up to them if they would like to go, but I just think it would be fruitless at this point especially in light of not having, you know, any kind of an agreement so we can look at a Recovery Plan going forward.

But some other comments, the comments that, you know, the banks are shutting their doors on us because of our vote last week. You know, maybe I have a different philosophy, maybe I have a trust in human nature, but I would think if I was a smart banker I would feel much more secure dealing with the city now knowing somebody has grabbed the bull by the horns and is watching out for your dollars. That's the take I would get on it.

Now, the newspaper and the administration had a different take. It was all gloom and doom all week, I'm not going to repeat everything that was said, but we all see that. And you know what, we have big shoulders here because we are used to it. In two and a half years I don't think

we have had one favorable comment made about any of us, so it's no surprise.

You know, the ones that have the advantage are the public. They can sit here and watch us and hear every word we say and see what we do. You know, there is barrels of ink, but TV doesn't lie.

We had just a couple of issues on the Parking Authority. Our high paid attorney here, you know, the one that's doing a lot of work, back two years ago he was the one that discovered that the Parking authority had to have their budgets approved by city council, no one prior to him, but our council solicitor here, and two years ago we amended their budget. Did they abide by it? Not at all. Not last year, not two years ago.

And what ironically I read in the paper today that the board cut his salary, cut everybody's salary, cut some positions, these are some of the same things we recommended two years ago and put in the budget. You know, as I said last week it's too little too late.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And what really took the icing on

the cake, I specifically asked Mr.

Scopelliti about free parking for

Commonwealth medical students and he assured

me that there was none. I knew I had

reviewed a document prior to -- or after my

coming on board here and I happened to get a

copy of this document, and it's a parking

agreement. The 29th day of January 2008, is

when the agreement was made, and I'm not

going to read the whole thing, but I'm going

to show you: Granting of parking spaces.

During the term as defined in Section 2

below, the Authority shall provide to

Commonwealth the following parking spaces

located in the parking facility: August 1,

2009, 80 spaces. August 1, 2010, 140

spaces. August 1, 2011, 260 spaces. August

1 of this year, jumps to 300 parking spaces

for the Commonwealth medical students, but

you have to pay three something an hour to

park there.

You know, these are money making

spots that are being given away, and you

know what the price they pay? Number three,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

goodwill. It is hereby expressly agreed upon that the Authority is providing the parking spaces as shown above as goodwill consideration for the efforts of the Commonwealth locating the medical school in the City of Scranton.

I mean that's a nice gesture, but, you know, and what really got me was the fact that I asked that specific question and I was lied to. Now, someone in his position should have known there is 300 spaces going to one entity and, you know, I don't know if my colleagues said it, but I think it's time for Mr. Scopelliti to put the keys in the door and walk away or hand the keys over to the bank, let somebody else operate the business and, you know, unfortunately, I think the board of directors should excuse themselves too. You know, I don't exactly know what they do as a board, but they haven't done too well, and let's see, that's basically what I have to say on that part.

But another thing that was brought up were PILOTS, and anybody that knows my history here that's one of my pet peeves,

also. We all know that two years ago we took it up upon ourselves to go to the University and try to solicit some extra money for the city and, unfortunately, we squeezed a little bit more from the University, but very little and we were ridiculed in the newspaper we were blackmailing them and shaking them down and the whole deal, and that's why I have no faith in a Recovery Plan either because that was specifically stated in the current Recovery Plan that we have that the mayor would specifically go out and get PILOTS.

And what really -- you know, what really got me going was in the same meeting yesterday when the mayor and Mr. McGowan handed Frank and myself this bill from Dunmore Oil for \$200,000 I said, "Mayor, don't you think it's about time we go after some of these PILOTS? Don't you think we go after some of these nonprofits to help us out in our real time of need right now if that's the case?"

He didn't think it was a good idea.

I mean, I think a nice donation from one of

those would pay this fuel bill now so your fire trucks and police cars and your garbage trucks can respond to your homes, but that's not significant. Public safety falls backwards.

Let's see here, and again, I don't like to pick on a specific entity, but when I see the University purchasing a property for \$550,000 that the owner purchased two years previously and didn't put a penny into it for \$27,000 what does that tell you, you know? You have to have the right place at the right time, but, you know, to hell with the city. It just doesn't sit well with me. They know, they read the paper, they know the condition we are in and they all come out with the, oh, we do this in lieu of that, we help the city this and that, you know, right now the city needs money more than anything.

And, like I said, the money that they would donate to the city would help every citizen. There was some gentlemen here before, they don't receive any benefit from their -- you know, what they say they

do in lieu of paying taxes, but I think it's time we start getting serious with a lot of these issues. If this was done ten years ago we wouldn't be in this position now. As Mr. Rogan said, we are here two and a half years. You know, this has been going on for over ten years maybe longer. There has been a long of free wheeling and free spending and no overlooking and now all of a sudden it's coming to light because we have tightened the purse strings and we have asked for openness and accountability and we will continue to do that.

And I think by the Parking Authority decision last week should shake everybody up, every authority until we get control, financial and fiscal control back so you don't have to be paying for their sins, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. To report, our tax collector, Bill Courtright, has submitted a deposit into the 2012 TAN lockbox which is being held at Fidelity Bank. The amount of the deposit was \$78,893.40. As one may or may

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not know, this year there is a special agreement with the tax anticipation note, commonly referred to as the TAN. As part of a special agreement, all real estate tax revenues required by our TAN lender to be placed in the lockbox for final repayment by June 30, 2012, or until all of the balance of this is paid, whichever comes first.

At this point, with the latest disbursement by our tax collector, the tax anticipation note for this year has been paid. With this being said, remaining real estate tax revenue, which is collected by the tax office, should be available for use by the city.

And with that, I will mention we received in our mail a number of checks from the tax office all totalling up to \$626,589. 83 and, Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a request over to the tax office to breakdown what tax each one of those checks were for?

> MS. KRAKE: Sure.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, I don't mean

to interrupt you, but I just want to say this because you were mentioning it, I would just like to commend Mr. Courtright and the job he is doing at the tax office. He is the one official that actually is willing to work with council and we have been able to get answers from. If we had that level of cooperation from the other department heads we would be able to get a lot more done, so a big thank you to him.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will agree with Mr. Rogan that Mr. Courtright is doing a very commendable job over at the tax office, and also his staff is also very prompt at getting us information when we ask.

Scranton City Council has received notice from Northeast Revenue of their delinquent tax collections from the period of May 31 to June 1. As one may know, Northeast Revenue is collecting all delinquent taxes, real estate taxes, for the City of Scranton with the exception of the 2011 delinquent real estate taxes, which are being collected by the Ss ingle Tax Office. From the period of may 31 to June 4,

Northeast Revenue distributed \$236,578.85 to the City of Scranton, this includes all delinquent taxes collected by them with the exception of 2004, '5 and '6 delinquent taxes. As one way may or may not, taxes for those years are remitted to Pennstar Bank to pay on a loan taken out by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority for the sale of the delinquent taxes for those years which defaulted.

The amount that Northeast Revenue collected in delinquent taxes for 2004, '5 and '6 was \$82,498.37. This amount was distributed directly to Pennstar Bank.

I do also have a few citizens' requests tonight, one is concerning Greenbush and Reese Street. Residents of North Scranton inform me that the conditions of both Greenbush and Reese street are subpar. There are many cracks in the road as well as potholes.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind can you please inform Director Dougher of the situation and ask him to handle it accordingly. Residents of street have been

informed me both of these streets have not been paved in over 25 years and they would like to see these streets paved as soon as possible iff feasible.

Residents of West Scranton inform me that the 1100 block of Austin Street is in poor shape as there are many cracks in the road as well as potholes that are making travel conditions difficult.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the items to contact Director Dougher about, and did we receive an update on the audit status report?

MS. KRAKE: We did receive a report from Rossi, which you should have in your mail, but not in answer to your question, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. If you could remind Mr. McGowan of that request for next week, and that is all for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, can you please also add 1938 North Washington for the list of potholes, I forget to mention that earlier. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I forgot to mention

in my comments I just want to thank Colleen Lucas, she handed me her check for her rental registration. You know, she agrees with the program and what we are doing and what we are trying to do, so I want to thank her very much. It's for four units and I'll make sure it gets to the proper authorities. Thank you.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012"
BY TRANSFERRING \$1,035,948.50 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES OPERATING TRANSFER OF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING BY WIRE TRANSFER TO BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY, N.A., TRUSTEE, FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE JUNE 1, 2012.

MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. JOYCE: All those in favor --

MR. ROGAN: I would just reiterate what I said during motions. I strongly oppose the idea of bailing out an authority that is not going to change their ways. The taxpayers are ultimately on the hook for nearly a \$100 million. We can't keep appeasing them. I stand by my vote the last three weeks when I opposed this measure. If I have to vote against it three more times that's fine. I am not changing my position on this issue unless there is serious changes made in the Authority.

MR. MCGOFF: Did Attorney Hughes have comments to make on this?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Attorney Hughes,
I'll allow you to comment on the question.

(Whereupon Mr. Hughes passes out papers to the public and council.)

MR. HUGHES: You can share them, I
don't think these are the letters from
Attorney Dubrow, but anyway, the first page
is a matrix that I put together, which was
pretty much after last week's examination of

Mr. Scopelliti, and these are the figures that we had on the SPA at that 2001 audit, this was the city audit that was done back in 2001 and, of course, the 2010 audit was done by Rossi. I don't know who did the City's 2001 audit, but these were the figures that were in the audit.

The city guaranteed principal of the Parking Authority in 2001 of \$8,265,000.

The interest at maturity would be \$6,863,055. The total amount that was guaranteed was \$15,128,000.

The 2010 audit that was done by

Rossi, the City of Scranton now has

guaranteed the principal amount of the SPA

debt of \$51,931, 681. The interest on that

to maturity that the city has guaranteed is

\$47,974,646 for a total of \$99,906.27. This

does not include the Landmark debt of 2011,

that the city has not guaranteed, of \$2.9.

As I said before, the line of credit of

\$750,000 to fidelity bank.

The next column are the bond payments that were due. I did not know there was a bond payment made on March 15,

of 2012, at the last meeting I didn't know that. What I did, I went back into the Parking Authority's budget that they gave us. I found out what the total bond payments were for the year, they were \$3.6 million. I backed out the actuals for what the bond payments were for June 1. The actual bond payment that was due, the March 15 payment, I believe, should have been \$728,047.50. The payment that was due on June 1 was \$1,191,343.75. The SPA only paid \$155,395.75 of that, leaving the amount due of \$1,035,948.50.

As I previously stated, that came out of the debt service reserve fund, however, the insurance company is liable to pay that to the New York Bank -- or Bank of New York Mellon. The payment that's due in September is \$959,227.50 and the payment due December 1 is \$813,481.25.

The next is taken from the SPA budget, the 2012 budget, they have estimated revenues of \$3,600,872. Expenses were estimate at \$1,078,430. They estimated a surplus of \$1,928,442. They had

nonoperating revenue that they booked in as income of \$142,738. Their total income was \$2,071,180. Their debt service actual was \$3,692,100. The deficit is \$1,620,920, that's from the budget.

Just to show you how bad this authority is and why they should immediately turn it over to the insurance company, to either the trustee, their debt payment actual this year is \$3,692,100. There estimated revenue is \$3,600,000. So their actual payments without even operating expenses is more than the revenue. This is what you are looking at and this is right from their figures, from their budget that they supplied us, remember, I was reading it with a magnifying glass.

When you looked at their actual figures, their revenues in the first quarter were down, were \$120,000 less than projected. Their expenses were \$88,355 more than they were estimated, and we knew that mostly came from the salaries. They were estimating salaries of \$31,000 a month, they were actually \$51,000 in January, \$62,000 in

February, and \$52,000 in March.

If you take the net revenue that they -- the lower revenue and the higher expenses come to \$208,471. If you multiply that by four, because there are four quarters, you come up with their budget could be off by \$833,000, almost \$850,000 of less revenue and higher expenses. So when you take what their projected deficit was with what their budget was of \$1,620,920, add in the \$833,884 you are looking right now that by the end of the year they could have a deficit of \$2,454,000. That's the summary of the examination last week on that.

The next is a letter from the Bank of New York Mellon dated December 31. It was sent electronically by registered to the Scranton Parking Authority, chairman Kathleen Stella, executive director Bob Scopelliti, and it was sent to the mayor. Council did not have this last week, and this set forth what the exact amount that was due, it sets forth all of the legal requirements and that the figure that they

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said was due, that was not communicated to us last Thursday night, is the \$1,035,948.50.

Next is a letter from Attorney David Dubrow, who represents Radian Asset Assurance, Inc., which is the insurer of the 2007 bonds. I talked to him last week. Ι talked to him about every day this week, probably a couple of times per day this week. What he is saying here, and this is why I wanted to get this back on at least o be introduced for discussion purposes and figure out where we could go, there was an event of default when the Parking Authority did not have the money to make the payment and the city did not make the payment according to the lease. An event of default can be cured. There is a 15-day cure period, and what Attorney Dubrow states in here is that we have 15 days to cure the default or what they are going to do is they would go into Court with a mandamus action and compel the city to raise taxes to pay that amount.

He also states on page two, and he

uses the term city in here because there is many agreements but there is a basic agreement between the city and the Parking Authority, there is a lease agreement and there has been many amendments to it, and this is where the city has guaranteed the payments to the trustee.

However, there is certain requirements that the city has to do, and these are events of default that have to be cured within 30 days. The city's failure to deliver to the authority before each fiscal year of operation a balanced budget approved by a consulting engineer satisfactory to the authority and the trustee providing for the operation of the parking facilities during the ensuing year. Now, that's in Section 12-A of the lease.

It wasn't until two years ago that we required the Parking Authority to submit the budget. The trustee never required it from them, they should have. The administration never required it, but the only way that the city, which would be the administration, which would be the executive

of the city who prepared the budget for the authority to be submitted to council to be approved, would be based on the sewer -- not the sewer -- I'm getting the sewer and the parking mixed up, they could be used interchangeably, but anyway, that they would have to submit all of the figures to us in order to adopt this budget, plus there would have to be a consulting engineer that's knowledgeable with the parking facility to prepare that budget, and it must be balanced.

They have been operating without an approved budget, they have been in violation in default of the trust agreement and of supplying this to the trustee and furnishing this information to the city. That's the first event of default.

The second one is the city's failure to keep records of revenue and expense of the parking facility separate from all other accounts of the city and deliver to the authority an annual report there of certified by the controller. So it has to happen now and it's never been done because

the city controller, Mrs. Novembrino, has to audit, she has to prepare an audit of the Parking Authority. Never been done. That's an event of default.

The next is that, this is the city's responsibility under the lease, but it really comes back to the Parking Authority, is the city's failure to deliver to the authority an annual report of the consulting engineers showing the physical and operating conditions of the parking facility and stating what, if any, repairs, renewals, replacements or improvements are recommended and the approximate cost thereof.

The insurance -- Attorney Dubrow has given the city notice, of course, this came to me, was addressed to me. I told him he should address it to the mayor, he should, no, we are addressing it to you, so the mayor will get a copy of this tomorrow morning, but he has already received worse news tonight. What this is is that within 30 days all of this must be done. The Parking Authority must get a consulting engineer and come up with a balanced budget.

It's impossible.

If any of this is not done within 30 days, what will happen is they will move to get a receiver appointed to take over the Parking Authority and run the Parking Authority. That's the right they have.

That this is serious, discussing it I had a draft of it before I got the final one, I discussed it with Mrs. Evans, that's how it got on here, I said we should get it on here and see what the city is going to do if we are going to cure the default. If not, they are going to be in Court.

The fourth letter was issued this afternoon by Bank of New York Mellon. It's a default letter to the Scranton Parking Authority, it was sent electronically by registered mail, again to Chairman Stella, executive director Scopelliti and to Mayor Doherty. We were not copied on it, but Attorney Dubrow did send me a copy of it, it went out late this afternoon by Bank of New York Mellon.

It has, put on notice that they are in default under the trust agreement, and

there are four elements of the default that they have listed in here, the three that I previously mentioned plus the fact that if there is an event of default by the authority to deliver to the trustee an audit report prepared and certified by an independent public accountant for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, on or before March 1, 2012, as required under Sections 9.12 and 9.13 of the indenture, that's the trust indenture.

So there is four conditions of default that the Authority is under that they must cure within 30 days, one of which is, of course, an audit by Mrs. Novembrino, the other one is that they have to an independent audit by a CPA that should have been done and submitted on March 1, 2012, here we are it's now past June 7, should have been done three months ago. They haven't done that. They are going to have to hire an auditor, an independent certified public accountant, to audit their books for the last year and submit an audit report.

Based on my experience  ${\bf I}$  do not see

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how it could be possible for the Parking
Authority to comply with all four of the
events of default within -- well, they have
29 days because the letter went out today so
today is the first day, so they have 29
days, they have until July 7 in order to
comply and meet all of these conditions or
the trustee is going to go in and appoint a
receiver to run it.

I think at this point the Parking Authority is just spinning their wheels. They can buy themselves another 29 days. As I stated before, I think that what the Parking Authority should do is have a special meeting of it's board of directors, they have these letters, they know exactly what the condition is, that the four defaults that they have that must be cured and instead of going through that I think you can could save everybody a lot of grief and time if they would get in touch with the trustee and with Attorney Dubrow and make arrangements to have them get a receiver appointed and take over the operation of the Parking Authority.

. .

One of the things that could be looked at, and there is actually a lot of financial issues involved in that, would be the sale of some of the facilities. If they sold some of those and they were privatized that that could pay down the bond issue and, of course, if they pay down the bond issue a lot of that interest would go away, which would be reduce the city's guaranteed, and I think if it got shrunk back, you know, to some core holdings, and this has to be done by the receiver and then hiring someone, you know, that knows parking facilities as a consultant to get this done.

So that's why I said before, I don't believe that this is a Titanic event, it's close to it. I think it's more of a Pearl Harbor event. I think it can be cured, but the Parking Authority would due the city and every resident of the City of Scranton and the community a huge service if they just recognize this fact and turned over the facilities, contact Attorney Dubrow tomorrow and turn over -- and make arrangements to turn over those facilities to the insurance

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

company to have them run it and then we could see where we are and get this thing straightened out.

It's going to happen, it's going to happen within 29 days, and I think it will be the best thing that has happened, you know, to at least get the city's house in order, you know, with the Parking Authority, alleviate some of the problems and most of the staff would be gone, the board of directors they would still be there, but they would be encapsulated, they wouldn't have any authority. The trustee would get all of the money, so you wouldn't have this issue like you did with Fidelity and being able to offset it. They might be able to go back and look to recapture the \$71,000 that was paid to Landmark, the \$166,000 that Fidelity grabbed as to whether that's proper under the trust agreements or as to whether the trustee has the right to all of the I don't know that, but that's up to monies. them to look at.

If any Councilman has any questions,
I'll be glad to answer them.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think you covered it pretty well.

MR. HUGHES: All we are doing is introducing it tonight, I recommend that Mr. McGowan come here next Thursday, that he come here at 6:00 before council to see if the funds are available and in the meantime during next week we can look at it and determine, you know, what should be done. Maybe the city doesn't have the money, so they are going to do the mandamus. they do that, they are going to come in and try to get a receiver appointed, so it's going to be a question of whether the receiver is going to be appointed I think within 30 days, 29 days, or whether it could be appointed within the next -- you know, after two weeks.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: I'd just like to reiterate what I said about this before, I still think that it's a situation of pay me now or pay me later and like Attorney Hughes said I think let's introduce it and I

believe that they we are eventually going to 1 2 have to make this payment in some way and I 3 would rather see us do it before a Court 4 mandates that we pay it and also mandates 5 the means by which we will provide the funding for it. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 11 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? 12 MR. ROGAN: No. 13 MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so 14 moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-A. TABLED. 15 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 16 17 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 18 SAFETY FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 35, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - CREATING A 19 PROCEDURE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PARKING 20 21 TICKETS BY THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR VIOLATIONS OF CODE OF THE CITY OF 22 23 SCRANTON CHAPTER 439, ARTICLE II AND SETTING A VIOLATIONS AND PENALTIES SCHEDULE AND 24 PROCEDURE FOR VIOLATIONS OF SAME. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOYCE: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question, I think I mentioned it earlier, it gives the police -- it adds another line on the ticket that was removed years ago giving them the opportunity to write up additional violations and it also -- one of the enhancements with this here ordinance it allows you to pay your police tickets at the police headquarters 24 hours a day. I don't think you can pay them in the Treasurer's Office anymore, strictly going to be in the police office, I will have to verify that, but not your parking tickets from the Scranton Parking Authority just police issued tickets will be accepted 24 hours a day at police headquarters with this ordinance.

1	MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else on
2	the question? Roll call, please.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
12	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
13	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR
14	ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 36, 2012 -
15	ESTABLISHING A "NO PARKING ZONE" ALONG THE
16	WESTERLY SIDE OF CEDAR AVENUE (S.R.0011)
17	FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF RIPPLE STREET
18	EXTENDED SOUTH 250 FEET TO ALLOW FOR SAFE
19	SIGHT DISTANCE FOR A PROPOSED DRIVEWAY BY
20	ROSSI ROOTER FOR A PROPERTY LOCATED AT
21	2100 CEDAR AVENUE.
22	MR. JOYCE: What is the
23	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
24	Committee on Public Safety?
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for

	140
1	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
2	final passage of Item 7-B.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MR. JOYCE: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
13	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
16	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
17	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 37, 2012 - PROVIDING
18	FOR THE PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN
19	DETERIORATED INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL OR OTHER
20	BUSINESS PROPERTY; DEFINING ELIGIBLE
21	DETERIORATED AREAS; SETTING A MAXIMUM
22	EXEMPTION AMOUNT, AND AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE
23	AND PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR SECURING AN
24	EXEMPTION.
25	MR. JOYCE: What is the

recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Rules?

MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just like to reiterate my support for this measure, specifically related to former Daron Northeast site. I think that as obviously has been an issue at council and for the city for a long period of time. I do want to see that cleaned up. Unlike past tax abatements this is not a 100 percent giveaway. Taxes are paid on the land, as Attorney Hughes explained earlier, it's just the improvements that can be abated and we do want to see blighted properties fixed up.

As I said, it's not a tax free situation, there is still going to be money coming into the city and I would rather see a vacant property be fixed up and the taxes be paid on the property than a vacant property sit there and be up for tax sale

ten years down the line.

MR. MCGOFF: Also, the improvements that will be made, the revenue from the permits and fees would somewhat offset what's lost in real estate revenue, so I think, again, it's an incentive for people to rehab places and benefits to the community.

MR. ROGAN: I think we could all agree that blight is a major problem in Scranton and many properties that are sitting there vacant taxes aren't being paid on them and they get to the point where nobody wants to purchase them because they are so dilapidated and then the city winds up coming in, paying to tear them down.

The Daron Northeast situation that property was a little bit different, there were piles -- it was a cinder block plant and it was located in a residential neighborhood. This project that is being proposed that we had a caucus about the neighbors sent in a petition, they are in full support of the project. It will get the eyesore out of there and the problem

that was causing a health problems for people as well the dust in the air and it's definitely a good project to move forward.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have a question, I don't know if the solicitor could answer, but it was a valid question that was brought up by Mr. Evans in our audience before, suppose we have someone come up for this here and they are also receiving a government grant of several million dollars, are these done on a case by case or can that language --

MR. HUGHES: No, they have to come in -- everybody was to come in that wants to use the LERTA program, they have to go before the school district, the county and the city. There is three bodies that have to approve it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They have to approve each project that comes before us?

MR. HUGHES: Yes, they have to put an application in. They have start with an application for, you know, in order to --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I did see that. I didn't know if they had to bring it before

each individual body or just someone in those departments would approve the application.

MR. HUGHES: No, I believe the county commissioners have to approve it, the governing body, the city council and the mayor has to approve it, and the school district has to approve it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I mean, everybody is familiar with the KOZs and that's sort of, you know --

MR. ROGAN: Well, this is it lot different than the KOZ; correct, Attorney Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: Entirely different.

The KOZ gives exemption for all real estate tax, every tax that's in the KOZ, you know, is exempt for the period of time of the KOZ, Z which is ten years, mostly ten years, but this you pay the tax on the land and all other taxes, you just don't pay the tax on improvement. The KOZ everything is tax exempt, all taxes.

MR. ROGAN: I would add, improvement is what we want.

ı

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, it's definitely what we want, but, you know, I just wanted to make sure that someone is not getting these tax abatements plus they are getting \$10 million in RACP funds and stuff like that. I mean, we now --

MR. HUGHES: I don't think one has to do anything with the other, Jack. I mean, the fact is that if they come up with the program and they are getting grants, low interest loans, whatever it to make the project, you know, work and it qualifies for LERTA they are just not paying the tax on the improvement. So it has nothing to do with how it's financed.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't know, the way I'm looking at it they are getting a lot of money that way. I mean, if they get RACP or something like that they should pay full tax. No abatement. I don't know.

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Maybe I'm looking at it the wrong way.

MR. ROGAN: That's why you have the

opportunity to vote it down. 1 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's why I was 3 asking. I would hope that it does go -- in this individual case I do agree, you know, 4 5 but, you know, I don't want to see a 6 scenario down the road because, you know, 7 Lord knows there is ways to maneuver out 8 there and I don't want to see that happen, 9 but in light of the information I just 10 received I will be voting in favor of it. 11 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else on 12 the question? Roll call, please? 13 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 17 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 19 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 20 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare 21 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 22 MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 23 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 24 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 38, 25 2012 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53,

1	2011, ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING
2	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF
3	THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY
4	ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED
5	SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND
6	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE
7	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
8	PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME)
9	PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG)
10	PROGRAM, AS AMENDED BY "EXHIBIT A".
11	MR. JOYCE: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chair for the
13	Committee on Community Development?
14	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Community Development, I
16	recommend final passage of Item 7-D.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
18	MR. JOYCE: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

	148
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
4	If there is no further business,
5	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
7	MR. JOYCE: This meeting is
8	adjourned.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER