| | 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | HELD: |
| 7 | THURSDAY, MAY 31, 2012 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | LOCATION: |
| 12 | Council Chambers |
| 13 | Scranton City Hall |
| 14 | 340 North Washington Avenue |
| 15 | Scranton, Pennsylvania |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | AMELIA NICOL, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER |
| 25 | , |

Г

1 (Pledge of Allegiance.) 2 MR. JOYCE: Please remain 3 standing for a moment of silent prayer 4 reflection as we remember those who 5 have passed in our community this past 6 week, and as we remember the 7 servicemen and women stationed around 8 the globe who continue to defend our 9 freedom and our way of life. 10 I'd ask tonight may you please 11 remember in your prayers Marion Tomko, 12 mother of Councilwoman Evans who is 13 currently gravely ill. If you could 14 remember her in your prayers, I'm sure 15 it will be greatly appreciated. 16 (Moment of silent reflection 17 observed.) 18 MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please. 19 MS. KRAKE: Mr. McGoff. 20 (No response.) MS, KRAKE: Mr. Rogan. 21 MR. ROGAN: Here. 22 23 MS. KRAKE: Mr. Loscombe. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 25 MS. KRAKE: Mr. Joyce.

| 1 | MR. JOYCE: Here. |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans. |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | MR. JOYCE: Dispense with the |
| 5 | reading of the minutes. |
| 6 | MS. KRAKE: 3RD ORDER, 3A. |
| 7 | MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA |
| 8 | HEALTH & WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR |
| 9 | BOARD MEETING HELD APRIL 19, 2012. |
| 10 | MR. JOYCE: Are there any |
| 11 | comments? |
| 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | MR. JOYCE: If not, received and |
| 14 | filed. |
| 15 | MS. KRAKE: 3B. MINUTES OF THE |
| 16 | COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING |
| 17 | HELD APRIL 25, 2012. |
| 18 | MR. JOYCE: Are there any |
| 19 | comments? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: If not, received and |
| 22 | filed. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 3C. MINUTES OF THE |
| 24 | SCRANTON POLICE PENSION |
| 25 | COMMISSION MEETING HELD APRIL 25, |

| 1 | 2012. |
|----|------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. JOYCE: Are there any |
| 3 | comments? |
| 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | MR. JOYCE: If not, received and |
| 6 | filed. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 3D. 2011 CITY OF |
| 8 | SCRANTON AUDIT STATUS UPDATE AS OF |
| 9 | MAY 21, 2012. |
| 10 | MR. JOYCE: Are there any |
| 11 | comments? |
| 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | MR. JOYCE: If not, received and |
| 14 | filed. |
| 15 | MS. KRAKE: 3E. CITY OF SCRANTON |
| 16 | 2012 CASH FLOWS, GENERAL FUND |
| 17 | UPDATE AS OF MAY 17TH 2012. |
| 18 | MR. JOYCE: Are there any |
| 19 | comments? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: If not, received and |
| 22 | filed. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 3F. AGENDA FOR THE |
| 24 | ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO |
| 25 | BE HELD JUNE 13, 2012. |

MR. JOYCE: Are there any 1 2 comments? 3 (No response.) 4 MR. JOYCE; If not, received and filed. 5 6 Are there any clerk's notes? 7 MS. KRAKE: No, Mr. Joyce. 8 MR. JOYCE: Do any council 9 members have announcements? 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have one. 11 Give Jim Lance a second chance. 12 whom it may concern: I'm writing to 13 request your support to give Jim Lance 14 a second chance. This fundraiser has 15 been organized to benefit Jim Lance 16 after a recent tragedy. 17 April 20th, 2012, Jim went for 18 motorcycle ride to clear his mind. 19 With the daughter graduating high 20 school and his wife, the love of his 21 life, fighting terminal cancer to make 22 it to her graduation. He had much on 23 his plate. No one could have 24 predicted the events of that 25 unforgettable evening. Jim was in an

24

25

accident that almost claimed his life. After several lifesaving surgeries, Jim is still with us but he has lost his left leg. Jim and his family are thrilled to know he will recover. will make it to his daughter's graduation and he will be there to support his wife while she is ill. The road to his recovery, however, Jim will be a long and expensive one. will be transferred to a rehabilitation center, he will need to learn how to walk with a prosthetic leg, his home will have to altered to accommodate a wheelchair and he will not be able to return to work for sometime, if at all. In a effort to help ease the financial burden, the community of North Scranton rallied together to support the Lance family in their time of need. Give Jim Lance A Second Chance will occur on July 14th, 2012 from 5 p.m. till 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary on West Market Street, Scranton. It will be an event to

24

25

remember. There are a few other communities with as much love and support for its members like that of North Scranton. We are inviting you to embrace this opportunity to show the community you care about the well being of its member. I hope you will consider a donation to this fundraiser in any form. Donations can be made payable to the Jim Lance Fund. You or your business will be recognized on signage at the event as well as social networking sites and any other advertisements for the event. If you have any questions or would like to make a donation, please feel free to call me at 570-575-6752. Thank you in advance for your support, Nicole Lance, niece Jim Lance. Unfortunately the story gets sadder. Since this accident, Jim's wife, Anita, has passed away. She passed away ten days after this letter was put together. So there's a lot of tragedy in that family, and they do have a daughter,

| 1 | Kayla, who is graduating from high |
|----|--|
| 2 | school. And I'll repeat this. I |
| 3 | won't read the whole letter but I'll |
| 4 | repeat so we can be reminded of the |
| 5 | date of this fundraiser. Again, July |
| 6 | 14th from 5:00 to 10:00 at Holy Rosary |
| 7 | Center on West Market Street. Thank |
| 8 | you for your time. |
| 9 | MR. JOYCE: Mr. Rogan, do you |
| 10 | have any announcements? |
| 11 | MR. ROGAN: Not at this time. |
| 12 | MR. JOYCE: Councilwomen Evans |
| 13 | will not be attendance in tonight's |
| 14 | meeting as she is currently attending |
| 15 | to family issues. Her mother is |
| 16 | gravely will. Councilman McGoff has |
| 17 | left temporarily to attend his |
| 18 | grandson's graduation and should be |
| 19 | back once the graduation is completed. |
| 20 | MS. KRAKE: Fourth Order, |
| 21 | citizens participation. |
| 22 | MR. JOYCE: Our first speaker |
| 23 | tonight is Andy Sbaraglia. |
| 24 | MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, |
| 25 | citizen of Scranton, Fellow |

Scrantonians.

24

25

1

I wish I had a nickel for every time I came before this podium to tell you about the Parking Authority. Ι I've been warning you about the Parking Authority for years now. read an article last year -- no, last meeting from the Times stating that when Courtright was on there he asked Scopelliti to come to address this issue about the Parking Authority's finances. Mr. Scopelliti told the Times reporter there's no problem with the Parking Authority. You're dealing with a defunct authority and you've got to realize that. There's nothing you can do to make that authority better, okay. Now, the question you have before you, do you want to let it go into default or do you want to bail it out. That's the only answer you've got before you and that's the question you have to answer. Now, my solution is if you plan to bail them out, you do with the stipulation that the

24

25

entire board resign. That's the only thing you can do to even make any kind of a dent with the people of Scranton. They sold you out. They've be selling you out and they cared little or nothing. I talked about it years ago I went before them and read their reports. I knew it couldn't be sustained. There's no way. there's no way now they can sustain it. But you cannot just leave them in there. You've go a defunct organization there. You've got a click and that click has to be dissolved. The only way you can dissolve it now is you've got the power, is say you will not back that bond issue without the whole board resigning. They should have already resigned. I guess there isn't anybody there that were a common courtesy to the people of Scranton to sit on that board at all and not feel a shame to even be a citizen of Scranton. There's no question about it. But you

you going to do about it? You either let them go, let them default, and let it go through the legal ramifications that it's going to or you can bail them out this time, leave them out, bail them out nine months from now, bail them out again, again, again and You've got to dissolve that again. Now, if you refuse to give them the money, they may go to court and say you can't do it`. But you can stipulate that that board resign, they should have. And with the dissolving of that board, you get to appoint a new board, a board that will be responsible to the City of Scranton even though they ain't going love what they're getting, just like you don't. You're sitting up there, you've been lamb-basted since you got in a year and a half. You cannot redo the bad years. Mr. McGoff ran away. I don't believe him. I wouldn't even come in here to hang my face what he did to

have a heck of a question. What are

24

25

the city. He gave away our library and people, I don't know, like I always said, you've got to stop voting your name and your party. You've got to look at the individual candidates, no matter what party they come from, what race be it, whatever. They've got to have the city in their heart and without that, we've got nothing. You end up doing the same thing all the other councils did, they put you in a bind where you cannot really back away from your requirements. could have not done all them other The Court ordered this, the ones. Court ordered -- why did the Court order? How about all them deals the mayor did with the parking authorities and the parking garages, transferring them back and forth to the DPW sites. That's what put us where we are now, and now we're at the point where there's very little way to go. I'd like to go like everyone themselves, Chapter 11. It's just I don't want to

21

22

23

24

25

see the employees get nailed. would be the bad thing about it because you don't know what the Court is liable to do. But believe me. the choices we got are very limited. mean, what you're going to do to the citizens of Scranton is like stabbing them in the back because you're going to have to tack on taxes, on taxes, on taxes and this mayor doesn't know the meaning of how to save a dime. You don't even -- even though you said \$10,000 and then they have to come before council, have anybody -- you ask Roseanne to give all the bills that he's making and see what the dollar amounts are on them or how many are redundant maybe if retires from one place for 9,900, retires from another place for another 9,900. You don't know, you've go to check those things. It falls on you because what you got to do to the citizens of Scranton, they don't like. When I'm on the street, they're coming up

before me and they saying they don't like what you did. I don't know if you had much of a choice in it. I'm glad I'm not up there and have to do the same thing because I would probably do some of it the same as you. But damn it, I wouldn't sit there and like it and I certainly wouldn't give that authority ever sit where they are. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident, member of the
Scranton Taxpayers Association, a
member of the Legion of Doom and proud
of it and also a co-sponsor for the
Kids Swim Free for five years up at
Nay Aug Park.

Do you guys up on city council, do you know what the term, Stockholm Syndrome is? Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: I've heard the term used before.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Mr. Joyce? 1 2 MR. JOYCE: I've heard the term 3 used before but I don't know the exact 4 definition. MR. JACKOWITZ: Mr. Loscombe? 5 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: I have the same comment. 7 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. 8 Stockholm 9 Syndrome is when the hostage starts 10 taking sides with the hostage taker. 11 0kay? In this case the authority and 12 the administration are the hostage 13 takers. The hostages are city 14 council, the community of Scranton and 15 the taxpayers. So I'm hoping that 16 Scranton City Council does not adhere 17 to the Stockholm Syndrome and start 18 feeling sympathy for the hostage 19 takers. You have an opportunity 20 tonight when you make your vote. 21 Remember, those guys on the authority, 22 Mr. Scopelliti, who to me proves to me 23 tonight that he is totally unqualified 24 and I repeat that, unqualified to be 25 the Director of the Scranton Parking

25

Authority. He admitted that his figures were wrong tonight that he sent. And how many months, how many years have you been trying to get those figures. He's totally unqualified. So I just hope and pray for the community. Remember, the city is nothing but a land mass. That's all it is, 26, 27 seven square miles. The community is what matters. Community stands for communications and unity, community. We don't have that in Scranton. We have no communications and have no unity. That's the reason the City of Scranton has been distressed for over 20 years and will remain distressed for another 20 years. Okay? Unless something changes. You have an opportunity to make a change tonight. Okay? And I hope you do. I hope you don't subscribe to Stockholm Syndrome and give him in to the hostage taker, I really don't. Because the community will suffer dearly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, as far as you people

continuing to raise taxes. You're not doing the community a favor. You're not doing the citizens -- I think there's like maybe 60 percent of the people, maybe even less of the citizens in this community pay taxes. Okay? The majority of the people, a large percentage of the people do not pay taxes. They don't pay Scranton property taxes, they don't pay school taxes, they don't pay Lackawanna County taxes, they don't pay any taxes. The majority of the people who are paying taxes are between the ages of 60 and probably 85 living on Social Security checks and fixed incomes. Those are the people who you are continuing taxing over and over and over again. It has to stop. It must stop. People are leaving the city in I don't know how many people droves. I've talked to lately, they're ready to go. Why? Because they can't

afford to live here. They just can't

23

24

25

afford to live here. The county hit us with 38 percent, the city has hit us with two taxes so far. I mean. the wage tax is still at 3.4 percent. The mayor told us his first term that he was going to reduce the wage tax one ten percent one year every year that he's in office. He's been in office That would be one ten years now. complete percentage point that the wage tax would have been reduced if he lived up to his promise. But he didn't do that. Again, I'm totally against the secret meetings that Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce have been having. Any closed door meeting to me is unacceptable because there's no records being kept of those meetings and everybody is going to back stab each other when they come out of it and we're never going to find out the truth. The bottom line is the city is broke. We're broke. We have no The Parking Authority has no money. Nobody has any money. money. The

25

community doesn't have any money. It's time to put a stop to this. Do I want to see the city go bankrupt? But you know what if that's the only alternative, then that's the alternative. My opinion, you will be doing the community more justice and doing more for the community by allowing the city to go bankrupt than continue to raise our taxes and to raise people's taxes. People between the age of 60 and 85 make up the majority of citizens and taxpayers in the City of Scranton. And you have to remember that. Because remember, you're the ones that are going to be accountable because you're the one who makes the vote, not the authority. They're not going to be accountable one bit. But you as an elected official will be held accountable. And I just hope and pray that you make the right choice and the right decision.

And one last thing, I wasn't

1 going to mention this but I have to 2 mention this. On Monday I went to the 3 Memorial Day celebration up at Nay Aug 4 Par. According to the Times Tribune 5 the guest speaker was Mayor 6 Christopher A. Doherty. He went up. 7 He made a one minute -- a minute 8 speech on Memorial Day and never even 9 spoke about the veterans. He spoke 10 about one individual and one 11 individual only for a grand total of 12 approximately one minute. As a 13 veteran, as a retired master sergeant 14 in the United States Air Force, I 15 found that very disrespectful from our 16 mayor. And, again, I see our mayor is 17 not here tonight. So, again, I hope you do make the right choice. 18 19 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next 20 speaker is Les Spindler. 21 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 22 council, Les Spindler, city resident, 23 homeowner, taxpayer. 24 MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER:

I, too, want to

25

24

25

speak about the Parking Authority. hope council speaks to their words and says what was printed in the newspaper last week that if every person didn't show up tonight, they were going to vote against this money giving to the Parking Authority. Mayor Doherty was not here as Bill said. I guess he got more important business to attend to than come here and try to bailout the Parking Authority. As Mr. McGowan said, there's 909 and some thousand dollars to give to the authority. I say just let them go into default, use that money to pay the debt down. Just let them go belly up. We can't keep keeping these authorities afloat. Attorney Hughes said, if we bail them out this time, they'll be back here in September and December for more money. We just can't keep doing that. You're raising taxes on the taxpayers here you keep bailing out the authorities. We can't do that. The taxpayers can't put up with that. Now, I want to read

24

25

something out of a newspaper just a few days ago. Mr. McGoff, it was a quote from him. To quote, Mr. McGoff, "You can't let the city go into default and jeopardize this 2012 budget. It hers -- " Mr. McGoff said of Mrs Evans -- "-- who often sets the tone for the majority." Is she going to be the architect of bankruptcy, I don't think. Well, I wish Mr. McGoff was here so he'll hear what I have to say. He tried to put this on Mrs. Evans when Mr. McGoff is one of the persons that is responsible for this, him and Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci and all the past rubber stamp councils, to pass everything that Mayor Doherty sent in front of them. That's why we have the problem we have today. Mrs. Evans has nothing to do with this. I hope Mr. McGoff watches the replay of this and hears that. His rubber stamping has got us into all this trouble.

Oh, one question I wish I heard

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asked tonight. Whatever happened to
the 35 million dollars that the
Parking Authority received a few years
ago. What did they do with that?
That's a lot of money. I think if
they used that money in the right way,
they wouldn't be in trouble they are
now. I would love to know what
happened to that 35 million.

Next thing, the new recovery plan. All I read in the paper the other day, the mayor is talking about a 78 percent tax hike after we just got hit with a 10 percent tax hike for the next ten years, he wants to raise taxes for 78 percent. How does he expect the people of this city to pay that? As Bill said, a lot people are senior citizens. Where are they going to get this money from. I work two jobs myself to make ends meet and there's a lot of people in the same boat. I don't know what the mayor thinks is going on here. And he also wants to raise garbage tax. We

| 1 | already pay a garbage tax, \$178 a |
|----|--|
| 2 | year. They call it a fee but it's a |
| 3 | tax which I've said it a long time |
| 4 | ago, it's illegal anyway. We pay |
| 5 | taxes to have our garbage picked up. |
| 6 | This istaxation without |
| 7 | representation. If somebody had |
| 8 | enough money to take this to Court, |
| 9 | this could be defeated and we wouldn't |
| 10 | have that \$178 garbage fee. But |
| 11 | that's all this mayor knows is to |
| 12 | raise taxes, raise taxes and the |
| 13 | people in this city just can't handle |
| 14 | it anymore. Something has got to be |
| 15 | done. And as I said, I hope you will |
| 16 | let this Parking Authority go into |
| 17 | default. That's all I have tonight. |
| 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next |
| 21 | speaker is Doug Miller. |
| 22 | MR. MILLER: Good evening, |
| 23 | council, Doug Miller, Scranton. |
| 24 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. |
| 25 | MR. JOYCE: Good evening. |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin tonight, obviously in discussing our caucus that we had here tonight, you know, as I sat back here tonight, I can't help but tell you that I basically found the whole thing to be quite comical, a complete waster of time. We came out of here, didn't accomplish a single thing that we didn't already know. We've listened here to an authority that basically came un prepared, had numbers they just didn't jive and now tonight they want us to just hand over nearly a million dollars, once again put it on the taxpayers' shoulders and expect us to bail them out. Well, you know, I'm actually disappointed in Mr. Scopelliti and his posse here tonight left, because I actually did have a little goodie bag here for them and, you know, maybe the next time when he's here in September and when he's back in December looking for another handout, you know, we can just stick

24

25

it right in there for him. You know, we can even leave one for the mayor, too. You know, it's just -- it's beyond frustrating at this point, you know as I listen to arrogance, you know, listen to Paul Kelly here tonight who quite frankly shouldn't have been seated at that table tonight as it's a complete conflict of interest that he's representing that authority as well as the City of Scranton. You know, he made a comment that, oh, my assistant is here. don't care how many assistants you have here. The bottom line you're here and it's a conflict of interest. I don't care. You can have this whole table full with your assistants. That wasn't the point. We take a look at the budget they sent down. numbers just didn't add up. The salaries, you know, Mr. Rogan, you brought up a good question asking Mr. Scopelliti what his actual salary was, \$83,200 for the last two years.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct me if I'm wrong, didn't we cut that salary in the budget?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, that is correct.

MR. MILLER: Yes, we did. Okav. That's what I thought. And he's going to sit here tonight and cry for money, yet he can't abide by council's budgets, he can't abide by revenue enhancements that this council sent The Street Smart Program that we've been talking about for months now that was estimated that could generate millions in the long term. Attorney Hughes, I thought you did a remarkable job tonight with your presentation. It was pretty frustrating that they couldn't answer one of his questions. The fact that he couldn't come up with a legitimate excuse as to why it took seven months to get a response, the Mickey Mouse budget that we like to call it, that we received basically explained absolutely nothing. I do feel they should re-amend that budget and get it

24

25

down to council and let's start talking actual facts here. You know, you want to talk about millions of dollars that you want us just to hand over and yet you can't come up with factual information. It's not the way the way the real world works. Mr. Scopelliti also had a good thing to say about the board, that they're full of experienced people, lawyers, business people. It's my opinion that that board is full of nothing but political parasites appointed by the mayor and the only way we're going to turn this Parking Authority around is by abolishing it all together. I'm of the opinion tonight that I don't believe this council should approve anything involving this authority. wouldn't approve a dime and I'm asking you tonight to vote this down and to look out for the taxpayers. We cannot continue to place these burdens on the residents of this city and, you know, I just would only hope that more

people would come down and demand the accountability and demand that Bob Scopelliti and Paul Kelly, Ryan McGowan hold themselves accountable. I mean, how can they expect a city council approve a loan like this when they can't even give us the proper information. Mr. Loscombe talked about all the numerous requests we've made for the last few months.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Miller, it's actually not a loan. It's a gift.

MR. MILLER: It's a giveaway, it's a bailout, yeah, thank you.

Yeah, it's a bailout. You've got to get another bailout, now it's the Parking Authority, yeah, a bailout, I'm asking you tonight to vote it down because the games, the politics, we let it go on for far too long, and I like I said, sitting back here tonight listening to Bob Scopelliti make me sick to my stomach and I wouldn't hand him over a penny.

Moving on to the recovery plan.

24

25

Well, we were made aware on the front page headlines, a proposed 78 percent tax increase for the next three years. You've got to be kidding me. Where does this man think he's coming with this? Where is he coming with these How does he expect the figures? residents of this city to take that on, but yet again to put it on the taxpayer's shoulders. I don't understand where this man is going to come forward and hold himself accountable. Why wasn't he here tonight? There's no excuse for it. He was invited and he should have been here as well. He's the chief executive officer of this city, and his absence tonight is inexcusable and I would demand that he send a letter to council explaining why he wasn't here because he, himself, personally we can probably go through months of caucus of explaining that Chris Doherty has to do.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Miller --

MR. MILLER: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: The mayor is in Harrisburg. He did contact me. I had been working on a couple different things. He is there on some -- on another important issue that is going to mean a lot to this city right now and I can verify that. So he had asked if it was okay if we would still have the meeting because he had that appointment down there and in time you'll know that it was a valuable meeting so just in his defense.

MR. MILLER: Okay. I appreciate But the bottom line here is we've made numerous requests for him to come forward and whether it's today or last week or the week before, two years ago, the bottom line is he's met us with that usual slap in the face, he has no respect for this council, but most of all he has no respect for the residents of this city and that's what counts and he's proven it time and time again. And all I'm asking

21

22

23

24

25

for tonight is for this council to continue to be the champions of the people and to not allow another free ride, another bailout and I ask you to please vote this down tonight. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

Hello, council. MR. ELLMAN: know, this newspaper last week with that 78 percent increase, I think that's the worst headline I've seen since the Jap's bombed Pearl Harbor. When is it going to stop, you know. The mayor showed his disdain for you people tonight by not being here. showed his disdain for all these people out there. He doesn't care one iota of what the public thinks of him. He just keeps going on his way. Well, I won't say it. It's just terrible the things that the poor homeowner in the city just keep suffering, you know. There was almost

23

24

25

2,000 names in the paper of money owed, millions of dollars last week and the week before. He never made any attempt to collect it. He sent them over to collect from the university. That's like a dog biting He's not the hand that feeds it. going to attempt to get any money from I don't know. The reason supporters. that these people were here tonight to protect their positions. The reason they're here is because Mr. Scopelliti is just a completely, incompetent, unqualified, overpaid family friend that was stuck in that position. doesn't belong there. He has no resume for the post. He's jumped from job to job and got that one. That's why they have lost all of this money. Mr. Hughes did a fine job tonight explaining it in the short time he had the papers, I suppose. I don't know. It's just -- don't throw away anymore good money after bad. Take that million dollars and do something

24

25

around the city that we need with it. You know, like I said, every time I go somewhere somebody is talking about how bad things are and there's just a constant rape of the homeowners by this administration and the government, that's the people's most prized possession. It's just impossible. I can't afford my house much longer. It's already twice what it was 20 years ago. Now, you're talking about another doubling it once Doherty would make a ghost town more. out of this place, you know. people sitting there think, not only your children and your grandchildren, your great grandchildren will be paying for what Doherty has messed right now today and probably beyond that. There's just no way a town of 37,000 taxpayers could pay, you know, millions of billions of dollars. And you have the papers, the editorial said you've got to give them, the authority the money. Maybe if you

25

showed the banks it's time to quit they would have a little more latitude with you instead of just keep giving in and giving in. There's no way the Parking Authority can get out of the mess they're in. It's just like the city. I don't know, you know, what they can do but you're just talking about a totally insufficient organization that's in chaos. got to stop. Somehow it's got to stop. Those men sitting here tonight, I don't know how many of you watch wrestling because I'm a big fan. Two weeks ago one of the wrestlers was fired. He got on his hands and knees and pleaded and cried and begged and all that silly nonsense for his job back. Last week they gave him his job back. You know what he did, immediately just got -- he turned his back on all the public, he turned his back on everybody that supported him and said, "I got a contract now." That's just what those people will do.

1 You give them their money, they're 2 going to turn their back on us, they 3 want more money and more money and 4 more money and it will never end. 5 Now, you've got a chance to let them 6 go, cut them loose. The people want 7 cuts, give them some cuts in the 8 Parking Authority. Thank you. 9 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 11 MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is 12 Gary Lewis. 13 MR. LEWIS: Good evening. 14 MR. Joyce: Good evening. 15 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 16 MR. LEWIS: My name is Gary 17 Lewis. I'm a resident of downtown and 18 I'm also a consultant specializing in 19 distressed status and distressed 20 finance. I'm here to speak to you 21 about Scranton's dire financial condition again. Tonight I'd like to 22 23 cover three points. First, the recent 24 FEMA grant of eight million dollars; 25 second, the city's newly proposed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recovery plan; and third, the Chapter 9 bankruptcy filing.

First, the FEMA grant. This amount which is yet another one-time revenue source is tantamount to a backdoor bailout of this city. All parties involved in local government should be ashamed that this grant was necessary. It places the burden of running this city squarely on the shoulders of U.S. taxpayers. This bailout brings the total one time revenue items in 2012 to 14.2 million dollars. It's the 8.2 million dollars of the FEMA grant and the six million dollar one time revenue item relate to the meter sale. It still leaves the city with a deficit that we plan to cover with unfunded borrowing of approximately nine million dollars. This was not a fix for any of the city's problems. It was yet another way to kick the can a little further down the road.

Second, the city's newly proposed

24

25

recovery plan still leaves a structural deficit for 2013 of approximately seven million dollars. Without another magical one-time revenue source or additional reckless borrowing at astronomical discount rates, the city will face insolvency in 2013. I urge the council members to begin exploring and preparing a Chapter 9 filing as soon as possible. You have seven months left in 2012. In order to be eligible for bankruptcy the city really needs to address two main factors. The first is the city insolvent and the second, has the city engaged in good faith negotiations with their collective bargaining units, and if not, can you prove that it was deemed to be impractical. Unfortunately I believe the question to the insolvency issue will answered for us when the city becomes insolvent in 2013. To address the second factor, I again urge council to begin negotiating with the unions to reduce

21

22

23

24

25

salary and benefits, including an increase in the amount that Union members pay for healthcare. Failure to plan for bankruptcy now will lead to a chaotic disorganized dissent into insolvency and will scar this city for decades. For years council and the administration have addressed the symptoms of this city's crises without ever paying attention to the root cause of -- the root cause being a massive structural deficit driven by excessive Union compensation and astronomically high debt service To address these symptoms the costs. parties have focused on one time revenue items such as the sale of the municipal golf course, the sale and leaseback of the parking meters, the FEMA grant and now the proposed sale of the city's stormwater system. The sale of city assets to various authorities simply forces the authorities to borrow recklessly and allows the city to temporarily avoid

insolvency. It's a short term fix with long term implications and we're just starting to see the fallout of this approach with the Parking Authority. I urge you to not allow this to continue. It's time to focus on the problem. It's time to reduce the debt, restructure the corrective bargaining agreements and stop raising taxes. It's time to prepare for bankruptcy. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. Joyce: Our next speaker is Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, council. Gerard Hetman for the Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. Good evening.

Going into this weekend we would just like to remind everyone of an event that I spoke about previously which is the annual Arts on Fire Festival which will be taking place this weekend at the historic Iron

24

25

Furnaces right down next to the Chamberlain on Cedar Avenue. The events begin tomorrow evening, Friday, June 1st, with a parade on Lackawanna Avenue at 7:45 p.m. The festivities start with the first at the furnace fundraiser from 8 o'clock until 11 o'clock p.m. The fundraiser will cost \$20 at the door. Tickets are \$15 in advance but this will be in support of the Anthracite Heritage Museum and the Iron Furnace Associates, Bluegrass music, drinks will be provided, food and, again, vendors and a nighttime iron pour which is always a colorful and certainly hot event, an interesting event for anyone who hasn't one, especially for the first After that, both on Saturday and Sunday, there will be a number of, again, iron pouring demonstrations, crafts, vendors, food and a post musical entertainment. The shows run from Saturday, 11 a.m. to 7 p.m. and on Sunday, 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. We wish

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

everyone to come out and take a look and have some fun. And, again, the events on Saturday and Saturday both are free. There's no admission charge. It's just Friday evening for the fundraiser. There is a \$20 at the door fee. But both Saturday and Sunday, they are free events for the public to come in and enjoy.

In addition to that, of course, tomorrow night is first Friday This month we actually have downtown. 30 venues on the list and when you combine this with the Arts on Fire Festival and the fundraiser tomorrow evening, it's going to make for an exciting evening downtown. We all want to see fun, exciting things happening downtown, lively things, things that will highlight the best for our city and the best for our city and our county and our area have to This is going to be a night tomorrow night that will certainly do that and we hope to see it grow and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expand and hope a lot of our regulars will come down and all of our citizens will come down and have a very good time in downtown Scranton.

And our last announcement is just a reminder again, the Lackawanna Heritage Valley is presenting the Heritage Explorer Bike Tour Festival on Saturday, June 16th, 2012, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. at Mellow Park in Peckville. And the LHVA is seeking both bike riders. This is a noncompetitive event. It's a family friendly event. Riders of all ages are welcome and there's a variety of different lengths that they can ride form 5,11, 22 and 44 miles routes so it's a broad choice there. it's noncompetitive, friendly for all ages, all riding abilities, and the LHVA is also seeking volunteers for various duties on the trail and with the event. It's a great way for school group whether seeking community service time to get time or people who

1 just want to help out, take them out 2 and have a good time on the trail. 3 Information on this, both for 4 registration, for participate and 5 volunteering can be obtained at 6 www.heritageexplorer -- that's all one word, dot org. And the phone number 7 8 to call for phone information is 9 570-963-6730. Again, that's 10 www.heritageexplorer.org or 11 570-963-6730. Again, we thank you for 12 your time. We hope to see you at the 13 events coming up. 14 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next 15 speaker is David Dobrzyn. 16 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. 17 Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes 18 paid. All right. I wrote myself a 19 little note. 20 On 6D we have a resolution for 21 passing, tax exemptions for blight and 22 I'll combine that with my other 23 previous statements. 24 exemptions only on previous

commitments. Also box zone, all new

25

24

25

expansions, hoagie shops, taxes don't cut it. What I mean by box zoning is we have 35 percent of our city is tax exempt and we can't afford anymore. In light of whatever has to be done, I worked with you people to get into office and I don't have any qualms with anybody up there but we can't go on like this. I know it's going to take years and years to rescue, we might have to vote somebody two or three times again before things get straightened out. They turn it to the courts and overrule us and do whatever they want, and it's just impossible. If they plan or have to raise my taxes which is really 90 percent. If you read the paper, it's not 78 percent, it's more like 90 percent because it parlays. It's so many percent of the percentage of last year's so it actually increases to a point of 90 or 95 percent over three years. really can't afford anymore tax exemptions for anybody and that's all

22

23

24

25

there is to it. And I had -- I'll have to put this in writing next week but final thing have been mentioned many times as far as blight and so forth, and it was my understanding that their original -- originally they were listed as a tax exemption because it's based on some religious order and it's really a profit making business. And it's a shame that I could get saddled with taxes for somebody like that that has literally stuff that looks to be junk laying all over the landscape causing problems for the Now, I here they're in the neighbors. Capital Records building. So is that going to be tax exempt some day, it makes me wonder. And the med school and Scranton U, I wish they had gotten some kind of agreement there, because years ago it was put here and then the carpet was pulled on a lot of funding and once again the states pulled the carpet on us -- out from under our feet.

On the Parking Authority, I think half of their problem is their park fees are too high. At 3.70 a hour was it that I heard because I haven't parked there for a long time. I used to park there for council meetings when it was a dollar an hour and I could give a tip and still have change from my five bucks. It's not worth -- it's really not worth it. What am I going to pay, seven or eight dollars to park over there. That's craziness. I think they raised their fees so high that nobody wants to park there.

And when I hear these comments about the economy, it's not coming back tomorrow or next year or the year after that or possibly ever because we keep outsourcing jobs to other countries, Third World countries with people working on the business end of a AK-47. So as long they're working on the business end of a AK-47, we're not going to see a better economy or jobs that are promised. It just isn't

going to happen.

There's an article in there for a construction in the library. I would support that because they don't have good access and also this has been going on now, the Union settlement for how long and, please, get if you have you get the Union leaders in here to let us know what they think the settlement is going to be because I feel the administrations is keeping us in a dark and just using this as an excuse for a generous spending program.

And one other thing I've been mentioned about lack of recycling.

While I still don't have it and put in a request for how much the tipping fees for recycling as opposed to trash fees, well, I have a national figure and that's 30 billion dollars a year.

So that's what 300 or 30 thousand million or something like that, 30 thousand million, is that the figure?

Well, just guess, do we have -- or

3,000 million. Do we have a potential for a city the size of Scranton to be paying an extra million dollars a year out of the budget just to dump recyclable material? What amazes me is that the people with the most kids and the biggest families, they're the ones, they're the biggest cynics of any type of environmental concerns and they can bury me up on West Mountain and let the -- or East Mountain and let the crows pick at my bones, I don't care, because I never raised a family so I don't have anybody to worry about once I'm gone. But it's really amazing how many recyclable material that I see tossed in the trash and it's all over.

Florida once again finally, the 20 golden parrot, 170,000 people are 21 being kicked off the roads in Florida. 22

Veterans of the Battle of the Bulge being accused of being noncitizens.

It's totally unacceptable. And it's

time for Rick Scott to wise up and

25

23

24

18

19

1 Erik Holder, get off your buns and do 2 something about it, quack, quack. 3 Have a good night. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 5 MR. JOYCE: Thank your. Our next 6 speaker is Lee Morgan. Good evening, 7 MR. MORGAN: council. 8 9 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 11 MR. MORGAN: I'm going to 12 probably move away from the subject 13 that most people spoke about tonight. 14 I'm a city resident who owns rental 15 properties in the city. Now, when Chief Elliot was chief, which he isn't 16 now, of course, Mr. Duffy is chief, we 17 18 had a problem with an officer and 19 intend to try to talk to Chief Duffy tomorrow but I haven't been able to 20 21 get a ahold of this gentleman for the 22 last couple of months and he's --23 maybe he's busy. I'm not going to 24 criticize him. I left messages for 25 him and just haven't had a returned

24

25

call at this point. But when Chief Elliott was the chief, I had a problem with an officer who lives down the street, Kyle Kemp. Well, he's been terrorizing my tenants now for I'd say I don't know at least five years. mean, they move out, he's screaming and yelling and acting in a very unprofessional manner. Today I had a tenant who moved out in the process of moving out this gentlemen came running up the street, screaming, yelling, cursing, seeking confrontation and I think he was going to get confrontation this time. So I don't know how many police officers were there, quite a few. But all this stems over this cell phone here -- I mean, a discussion. I stopped today at council to pick up file of council 37. I was going to speak on that tonight. But last about 8 o'clock I had cell phone off, I kept getting messages, a tenant calling me she was -- just didn't know what to do. She

24

25

was at her wit's ends. Well, two days before that Kyle Kemp had harassed one of her friends that she had staying The lady was a little there. distraught. But yesterday after this situation, you know, the lady was watching her, was really concerned about her well being and this woman committed suicide. I mean -- I mean it's absolutely ridiculous that Scranton has a police officer on the force that runs around threatening people, screaming, yelling, abusing his police powers, and it's been a course of action that's taken a long -- it's been going on a long time. Now, I talked to Chief Elliott about He agreed this officer was out of control. I spoke to the sergeant at the time on night shift and said that there would be absolutely no more problems and this officer would sty away from my properties and my tenants. Well, look at it. After Chief Duffy took over, it was like the

24

25

Wild West all over again. And I just think there needs to be an investigation of this officer and this department because now somebody has killed themselves. This lady killed herself last night at 8 o'clock at night after a confrontation Mr. Kyle Kemp. And, you know, I know we're all sitting here, we're all talking about the city's status. But, you know, officers are supposed to serve and protect, not harass, intimidate. mean I've lost so many tenants over silliness. I'm tired of that guy threatening me, I'm tired of him coming up here. Even when Chief Elliott told him stay away from my properties, he made comments that we'll just have one of his friends at the police force continue to harass my tenants. That's why I'm so troubled when you pass legislation and you give tons of people the authority to move on legislation that have no expertise and enforcement. Now. this officer

25

here was a major problem. I'm hoping the district attorney will look at this and the state police. But you know something, there's a 35-year-old woman who killed herself over this man's harassment. And when I listen to my phone call and then after I stopped and I picked up this legislation, I went to the tenant, I'm thinking, what could have happened? Did their dog get out and bite somebody? So I knocked on this lady's door and she said, "My girlfriend killed herself last night because this guy just won't leave us alone." And the tenant today, 2 o'clock he's moving out. It's near 2 o'clock and this guy is up there all over him. And my point is, is that the kind of people we have working for us that run around with a badge and a gun and intimidate people. And like I said, this is a course of action over five years. Chief Elliott at least took care of it. Mr. Duffy, I don't know

25

what he's thinking. And even Chief Elliott admitted this line was out of line. And the sergeant I talked to on the telephone said that this officer was out of line. And my question is, why is this guy running around with a Scranton patrolman's badge on and a gun. And, you know what, it's not only me. I've had other people live in the neighborhood, they're tired of his harassment, his intimidation tactics. Me, I'm not intimidated by him. He tried to intimidate. I told him, "Go play." Okay? Because I have no respect for a punk, okay, and that's what I think he is. All right. But for a woman to kill herself over a situation like that. You know, the woman said to me, "I was really concerned how shock up she was." Somebody needs to find out why this guy is wearing a badge for the City of Scranton and why he's carrying a gun, and I'm going to see the mayor tomorrow and I'm going to see the

chief and I'm going to see the state police and I'm going to see the district attorney because this guy in my opinion has committed crimes wearing our badge. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there any one else who wishes to address council?

MR. JONES: All right. Bob

Jones, Scranton resident, member of
the taxpayers.

I'd just like to say you all did a great job in the Parking Authority today and, Mr. Hughes, I thought you were going to do a -- and ask him what planet he lived on. But I'm a nice guy. So I'm going to write down this figure and if Mr. Migliorre would help, I'm going to show it because I know Mr. Scopelliti went home but I'm going to write down this figure and I'm going to show it to him and if I can show it to council, too. Probably is out there. That was figure I wrote down. So I'm just going to run that

20

21

22

23

24

25

by you Mr. Scopelliti and I hope you consider it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. MARENGO: Good evening, council. My name is Luis Morengo, resident of South Side, taxpayer. Right now we're at that point where I know the city is in distress but the taxpayers, we cannot afford anymore tax increases. As we are right now, I work a full-time job, my wife works a full-time job, we had to cut the cable, we have no air conditioner, we have to make repairs to the house and simply there's no money for it. If we get another tax increase, I don't know what I am supposed to do. The city has to make sacrifices and the sacrifices cannot be just getting rid of what nobody cares about it. It has to be getting rid of what people actually care about, what is not necessary. We have to go back to the

basics and that's the only way if we will be able to move forward without hitting the taxpayers with another tax increase. Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who wishes to speak to council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening council. Marie Schumacher, city resident and taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SCHUMACHER: I hope to be brief tonight, but I would like to tell you what I would like to hear from you tonight during Fifth Order. It's my understanding that in the event of a default on a bond at least general obligation, I'm not sure it applies to revenue. But when the city puts it's full faith credit and taxing powers behind a bond, then the holders of the bond have a right to compel a tax levy or a legislative appropriation. That would be much like the unfunded debt that the Court

handed down along with coverage for a millage of tax increase, property tax increase to pay for that is 9.85 million. So I would like to know if that is correct. I would also like to know, I read the backup for that 35 million dollar bond back in 2007 when it was before council and I don't remember, was the parking structure used as collateral for that loan or was it just the taxing power of city? And if one of the structures or more was used as collateral, do they have the right -- would they have the right to sell that to liquidate the debt?

And then onto the recovery plan, the revised recovery plan, I'm hoping tonight to hear what council submitted to PEL that was not accepted or rather rejected, and I would also like to hear when there will be a public hearing on the revised recovery plan.

Back in -- last year on the 29th of -- and I'm skipping back to the bond because there's something I don't

24

25

understand but, first, I'll read this. Mr. Joyce, this is what you said roughly on the 29th of November last "Because we are being hit with vear. a 1.6 million dollar expense from PennStar Bank due to the fact that Scranton Redevelopment defaulted on the loan which we are obligated to pay since we financially back authorities and as well as another 1.6 million deficit that's projected from the Scranton Parkin Authority and the Scranton Parking Authority in 2012, we have quite a task on our hands." And I believe Mr. Hughes had stated the week before that the Scranton Redevelopment loan would default on the 15th of December of last year and that we had to move forward because litigation was instituted by PennStar Now, I don't understand what Bank. the difference is between what's going on now with the Scranton Parking Authority and what happened last year with the Redevelopment Authority.

mean, the Redevelopment Authority no budget was asked for from them, they weren't here, and do we have any knowledge of what bonds they may have out or what financial obligations they may come in with again? I would like to know and what the difference is between the situation of last year and the situation this year. And then finally --

I'll be very quick. MR. HUGHES: The Redevelopment Authority, what happened what that, that was the financing of the city's delinquent taxes that were sold, that were to be collected. It was 100 percent financing by the bank to collect delinquent taxes. It was a loan that was going to default from the beginning. The city guaranteed it, they got the money in. When the people that were collecting delinquent taxes, they hardly collected any of the delinquent taxes, the note became due, the city became liable. They had

25

to give the money back. That's why it's in there. It was a very complicated agreement. It never should have been made in the first place. Of if it was made, what should have happened was when they sold delinquent taxes, they were sold and the loan was with recourse which meant that the city was always liable on the note. So as a result, when you a 100 percent financing on delinquent taxes, you know that it's going to default. I can't get into the agreements. I just don't want to. It's an entirely different situation than is with the Parking Authority.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I really would like to know the difference between with recourse and full --

MR. HUGHES: I'm not here to give, you know, to give a lecture but the difference is this. With recourse means that when you sell it, you're still liable. Without recourse, it's up to the buyer to collect. The buyer

doesn't collect it, that's his loss. 1 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank 3 you. 4 MR. HUGHES: In this case with 5 recourse meant that when the city did 6 it, they were still liable. When they 7 sold it to the Redevelopment 8 Authority, the Redevelopment Authority 9 went and got the financing. The bank 10 never should have given the loan in 11 the first place in my opinion. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: No. I 13 understand. It was unrealistic 14 expectations. I just didn't know the difference between recourse and the 15 16 full faith credit and taxing power. 17 Okay. Thank you. 18 MR. HUGHES: They're to two 19 different concepts. I don't want to 20 address it anymore. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry. I 22 didn't mean to impose. Has -- and 23 finally I would like to know if 24 council has ever written to the 25 representatives and the senator that

1 represent the City of Scranton to urge 2 them to support the HB-1776 and 3 SB-1400 on the elimination of the 4 school property taxes? That would 5 certainly help a lot of taxpayers and 6 make the pill that you're going to 7 force us to swallow a lot easier to 8 get down. Thank you. 9 MR. Joyce: Thank you. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: And I do hope I 12 hear the answers. Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else 13 14 that wishes to address council? 15 MS. CHILIPKO: Good evening, 16 council. Excuse me. Mary Chilipko, 17 resident of City of Scranton. 18 I would just like to say my, my, 19 my how people's attitude change when 20 they have to beg. Simply amazing. 21 Mr. Hughes, if I could ask 22 Attorney Hughes. Could you simply 23 refresh my memory. You mentioned a 24 few weeks ago about a certain Mr. 25 Little.

| 1 | MR. HUGHES: I did? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. CHILIPKO: Yeah. I think his |
| 3 | first name was chicken. |
| 4 | MR. HUGHES: Oh. |
| 5 | MS. CHILIPKO: Could you refresh |
| 6 | my memory on that what he said. |
| 7 | MR. HUGHES: I don't remember. |
| 8 | MS. CHILIPKO: Something about |
| 9 | the sky falling. |
| 10 | MR. HUGHES: Oh, okay. Now I |
| 11 | remember. I'm back |
| 12 | MS. CHILIPKO: What happened to |
| 13 | him in the end? |
| 14 | MR. HUGHES: Nobody believed him, |
| 15 | I believe. |
| 16 | MS. CHILIPKO: About the sky |
| 17 | fell? |
| 18 | MR. HUGHES: I believe it's an |
| 19 | nursery rhyme, I forget. |
| 20 | MS. CHILIPKO: I just wanted you |
| 21 | to refresh my memory on that. I |
| 22 | remember you saying the sky is |
| 23 | falling, the sky is falling. |
| 24 | Anyway, the little I heard |
| 25 | tonight from what I understood it, the |
| | |

24

25

caucus, they had to -- the Scranton Parking Authority had to pay someone to bring wrong figures, to get wrong figures, then they had to pay somebody else to get more wrong figures and now they may have to pay to train somebody to possibly get wrong figures. As Mr. Hughes explained from what I understand, we're going to have pay now or pay latter. It really doesn't matter. We're going to pay it at some point, whether it's now, later or not at all and that being us being the taxpayers of the city. Mr. Scopelliti says we're fine, the mayor says we're fine, the Parking Authority says we're fine. I'm not fine. But if it is possible at all to get a million dollars from somebody and having wrong figures, I'm in. Thanks for the bag, Doug. Anytime throw it in there, dudes. Maybe if you let them default, the only possible aspect I could see of that is that they might be looking for jobs sooner rather than later and

say a year and a half or so.

Off topic I would just like to ask if could send Mr. Wallace who is my immediate concern another letter asking him to appear before a caucus of council because this was sure great tonight. I don't know if it will do any good but at least we'll start a paper trail maybe --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I will do that because I had had some questions today from someone else also.

MS. CHILIPKO: Let's see if we can keep doing that and keep reminding the people out there that, you know, people are concerned. And I thank you both, Mr. Loscombe and now Mr. Rogan for coming down into Pine Brook to look at the situation but maybe if we start a paper trail, again, when they -- in the future when some of these people, Mr. Wallace, Mr. Scopelliti may actually have to produce a resume or qualifications to land a job, it might make a difference.

1 And lastly again I bring up 2 shame. I am shocked at how people 3 cannot be shamed whether it's a job, 4 whether it's an authority, how they 5 can't even be -- have no shame. 6 Things fall apart around them, millions of dollars are at stake, no 7 shame. Thank you guys for doing such 8 9 a great job, hard work. Thank you. MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 10 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Is there 11 12 anyone else who wishes to address 13 council? Hey, Chrissy. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: There Chrissy. MR. SLEDNESZSKI: 15 Jackie? MR. LOSCOMBE: What's up, buddy? 16 17 MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Jackie, what's 18 up with the firehouses? When does it 19 open? Which ones? Do you know which 20 ones open yet, Jack? 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Who? 22 MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Which ones 23 open, the firehouses? Which ones? Dο 24 you know yet? 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, not yet,

| 1 | buddy. |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Let me know, |
| 3 | Jack. |
| 4 | MR. LOSCOMBE: They should all be |
| 5 | open pretty soon. |
| 6 | MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Will you let me |
| 7 | know? Let me know, Jack? All right. |
| 8 | Thanks, Jack. |
| 9 | MR. LOSCOMBE: You're still |
| 10 | working, pal. You got it, Chris. |
| 11 | MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else |
| 12 | who cares to address council? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | MS. KRAKE: 5A. MOTIONS. |
| 15 | MR. JOYCE: Mr. Rogan, do you |
| 16 | have any motions or comments? |
| 17 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. |
| 18 | Joyce. I guess I'll start off on the |
| 19 | topic of the night of the Parking |
| 20 | Authority. I think tonight's caucus |
| 21 | was very enlightening to see how |
| 22 | exactly how mismanaged this authority |
| 23 | actually is. Over half a year ago |
| 24 | Attorney Hughes sent a letter to Mr. |
| 25 | Scopelliti asking for facts and |

24

25

Today when being questioned figures. by Attorney Hughes about the figures that were finally produced about seven months later, Mr. Scopelliti's response was that he has to double check the figures because he is not sure if they are correct. Then asked the natural followup to that and I said to Mr. Scopelliti, how could council make an informed decision without the proper documentation? And all he said was, "I understand, Mr. Rogan." Well, Mr. Scopelliti is going to have to understand that I'm not voting for this. I didn't vote for it last week. I'm not voting for it again. The authorities -- it seems to when you talk to them about the current financial status as was mentioned, the sky is falling. then when you ask, well, what's your plan for reform, they say, oh, well, it's going to be great after we get this money. You know, they have their one page Scranton Parking Authority

21

22

23

24

25

plan of action that is going to solve all the problems of the Parking Authority, a one page proposal. And this is the solution to everything. And one of them mentioned on there again si the refinancing of bonds which we all know that is more debt, spreading out the debt over a longer period of time. The Parking Authority was here today because of debt. on their plan of action is included as possibilities three finance bonds, more debt. And many of the other things are listed on here should have been done since day one. They haven't been working. The Parking Authority is much like all the other authorities and the most frustrating part about it is there's no accountability. Scopelliti would not have been here today with the other members if they weren't coming here looking for a million dollars of the taxpayers money. We have been requesting them, Mr. Wallace, many other heads of

25

departments and heads of authorities to come into city council and they only come in when they need money or something from us. We're here to represent the taxpayers. elected by the voters. The member of the authority are appointed by one person and that's Mayor Doherty and they only answer to one person. That's the difference between having a municipal authority and elected officials. Personally I believe that Mr. Scopelliti should resign, Mr. Kelly absolutely should not be the solicitor for the city and the Parking Authority which is absolutely a conflict. The board should be disbanded. The parking garages should be taken inhouse so the city can move forward with a plan to get back on track. If we have to lease garages to make the debt payment for the authority, lease them. If we have to sell them, sell them. But the solution isn't more borrowing and

24

25

spending almost three-quarters of a million dollars on a brick facade for a building. Sure, it looks nice. They're coming here for nearly a million dollars, 600,000 of it if they didn't spend to put a brick facade on the building right down the street, they would have had it. And their answer was, well, it was part of the bond issue. Well, why borrow that much. Every time these authorities -and even the administration. answer is always borrowing and taxing. The people cannot afford it. residents of Scranton are mostly senior citizens and working people, many on a fixed income, many working people working two or three jobs just trying to make ends meet. And Mr. Scopelliti and the board of the Parking Authority want us to giveaway nearly a million dollars of their money that all of you earned, just give it away. And then they'll be back here three months later looking

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for more money. I asked Mr.

Scopelliti if we give you this money, is this the end of the line, wouldn't give an answer. We all know if this is approved tonight, they will be back here in a few months looking for more money. And then if it's approved again, they'll come to city looking for more money. Enough is enough. Somebody has to say no. There's obviously a need for parking in down Scranton but the residents and the business owners and the patrons of the businesses in Scranton can't afford the rates that the Parking Authority is charging. As Attorney Hughes mentioned, the parking rates in a comparable city like Wilkes-Barre is less than half the price. You can park at a meter for cheaper. You can park at a private lot for cheaper. There's an inherent flaw with the business plan of high rates, higher than all your competitors and constant borrowing. Eventually it's going to

come to an end and that end should be tonight. I voted against this last week. I was the only member of council to vote down this -- vote against this proposal last week.

There's only four of us here tonight assuming Mr. McGoff comes back. It only takes two votes to vote it down.

I'm asking for one of my colleagues to join me in voting against this plan.

Onto the recovery plan, the plan that was submitted to council is more of the same from PEL and the administration. It's just tax increases and borrowing and reducing services, essential services. There has to be a plan that works for everyone. There has to be cuts made. But there's areas that can be cut that can be replaced by private entities. The DPW Refuse Division, I think I've mentioned it every week for the last two and a half years since I've been on council that it should privatized. It can been cheaper and more

efficiently. The residents of
Scranton absolutely cannot afford this
type of tax increase. I know Mr.
Joyce, Mrs. Evans and mayor had
negotiations. I'm assuming and I'm
hoping that this wasn't part of those
negotiations that the mayor just went
off on his own and sent off this
proposal because the things that
council has talked about very few of
them are in this plan.

Miss Schumacher, you mentioned what my proposal was. Actually I don't have it in writing with me. I was focused on the Parking Authority issue tonight. I can just e-mail you what I submitted. And I'll talk about that a little bit more next week.

Onto a couple constituent issues.

As was mentioned, I was down in Pine
Brook over this week and there a lot
of issues throughout our neighborhoods
and especially in Pine Brook and one
of the big issue seems to be the
rooming houses down there. They are

25

all owned by one person, Bert Sherman, his name is. Some of you may have heard of him. He's also the owner of the Hotel Sun over in South Scranton, also the owner of the Hotel Luzerne in West Scranton, known problems, known trouble places for crimes and drugs. And now in Pine Brook just looking at the list here, I see eight, nine properties all within a three block radius and it's destroying the neighborhood. So I -- and I know Mr. Loscombe was down there as well. hope we can work together and -- or meet with Chief Duffy about this, get some more patrols down there and see if there's something that can be done legislatively to put a stop to this. You know, there are people in every section of the city and many that I talked to Pine Brook that have lived their whole lives and they love their neighborhood but they don't love what their neighborhood is becoming, and we have to stop that. We have to fight

for the people who are paying their taxes, who are being productive members of society. I've mentioned in the past the vagrancy law. I still fully support the idea of a vagrancy law for the City of Scranton. Blight and crime is the biggest issue facing the city. The financial issues are one thing. But when you have crime and high taxes, that's when you see the mass exodus out of a city which reduces our tax base so everyone that's left has to pay more for the same or even more for less.

Another issue was flooding in Keyser Valley. We had another big rainstorm --

(Councilman McGoff enters and seats himself at bench.)

MR. ROGAN: -- flash flood type rainstorm and once again the same area was flooded. I talked to one of the residents that e-mailed us in and he stated that there have been over 40 letters in the last five years sent

24

25

from city council -- this is even before some of us were on here to former Director Brazil and current Director Dougher at the DPW. It's completely unacceptable that we can't get an appointed official in here to answer to us and to answer to the taxpayers. Nobody should have to be flooded every time it rains. we're asking for is for the department heads and for the heads of authorities to come into council chambers and work with us. It doesn't have to be like it was tonight when it's a battle between the elected officials and the authority. But when you're stonewalled after a year, after a year, you're not getting the information, they're not giving the information to our attorney and we finally get them in, there's a lot of tough questions that we had to ask. Of course, Mr. Scopelliti under Mr. Kelly's advice skirted most of those questions as anyone who watches the

| 1 | tape will see. So there's a lot of |
|----|--|
| 2 | changes that have to be made, both on |
| 3 | financial end and on the neighborhood |
| 4 | end, and as long as I'm on this board, |
| 5 | I'm going to continue to work to try |
| 6 | to solve those problems and I will |
| 7 | save the remainder of my comments for |
| 8 | the votes. Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you |
| 10 | have any motions or comments? |
| 11 | MR. McGOFF: I'll wait until the |
| 12 | vote. |
| 13 | MR. JOYCE: And, Mr. Rogan, I |
| 14 | know that you asked about council's |
| 15 | suggestions and the recovery plan. |
| 16 | Not all of council's recommendations |
| 17 | were included in the recovery plan |
| 18 | and |
| 19 | MR. ROGAN: I assumed that, yeah. |
| 20 | MR. JOYCE: And the 78 percent |
| 21 | tax increase wasn't council's |
| 22 | suggestion. |
| 23 | Mr. Loscombe? |
| 24 | MD LOCCOMPE V |
| | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. |

21

22

23

24

25

this last week and we had voted for And the reason I think I explained last week, the reason we had voted for it was to bring the panel here and hear their explanation, hear a little bit of history, get some answers, and I have to say I was duly impressed with the questions from our panel. I was impressed with our solicitor and less impressed with the responses. You know, this is going to be a hard decision, but I have to agree with -- and when it comes to the authority just about all the comments that Mr. Rogan had made. I mean, we were -- we asked for information since last October, we had some bits and pieces. We still never received a good budget, and I think holes were poked in that this evening. This is the packet we received in our mail today. We didn't have much time to review it but it was all numbers, you know. I think it could have been generalized a little bit better by the

24

25

authority. And right now do I see any authority directors in the audience here? Nobody. This is an important issue for them and they left. left before the vote. This shows how much any of them care about this. We're going to make a decision that is going to impact this city, and I have to tell you the authority has been in denial not this year, for many years as evidenced by Mr. Sparaglia's article from the newspaper several years ago. We can't keep bailing them out because then it gives the other authorities the incentive to do what they're doing and say, Hey, city council is going to bail them out. Yes, we bailed out the Redevelopment Authority last year, but I'll tell you at this point in time with the amount of money and debts and borrowing that this city is facing just to cover our own bills, we cannot cover the authority's malfeasance and that's what it is. They had every

25

opportunity since we've come on in the last two and a half years to implement a lot of those bullet points that they had were suggested by city council and yet they've ignored them. Now, at the 11th hour, the 59th minute they're going to do everything, they're going to do everything to save their soul. I don't think it can be saved. Mr. Hughes brought up a couple questions. This is the first of three more -- or two other payments after this before they year is out and they don't have the sufficient income coming in to cover them. We have to bail our city out. Let the authorities be on notice, they want to be autonomous, they want to be on their own, they're on their own. We're not here to burden the taxpayers. I mean, for us to have guaranteed those loans at that time, it's like me cosigning for a friend of mine that is not even working, you know. I don't know if someone else was in charge of the

25

Parking Authority at that time board wise or whatever but, you know, if a mayor is going to put somebody in charge of something that we guaranteed loans to, he better make sure he picks the right people. They tried to lighten their budget to a small budget compared to the city budget. I'11 tell you what, \$50,000 is a significant amount let alone a couple million. And I believe at this point it's too little too late. We've given them the opportunity, we've given the opportunity time and again requested information. We've been ignored. They've thumbed their nose at us. We've tried to work them but, you know, you just can't keep bailing them out. They'll never learn. Just like your children, tough love. They're going to have to learn the hard way. They're going to have to bail themselves out or they're going to have to be taken over by the bond counsel or whoever and perhaps they'll

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do a little investigation and determine where the money has been going, why it's where it's at and perhaps straighten it out so maybe the city can take it over and operate it professionally. But, you know, I've been back and forth on this whole issue since it came up. The last thing I want to do is let it default. But I didn't hear any good answers here this evening. I didn't hear any good plan going in the future except a few bullet points that I said and you can look back at council meetings we offered some of those suggestions and yet they kept pushing them aside, pushing them aside. We all know the Street Smart debacle. They would have had these bills paid if they into Street Smart. But it wasn't their idea, it wasn't their choice. And it was transparent. I think that's a big factor, transparency. We tried to state that but with a company like Street Smart, not only we would know

24

25

every nickel that went into a meter in live time. Maybe that' something they didn't like. I can't say for sure but, gee, why didn't they take it? They were doing the program for It was no upfront costs. nothing. They're talking about looking out to some companies now where upfront costs are heavy. The reason Street Smart didn't bid the last time was because they were fed with what was going on. They knew they had the right product and I have no ties to Street Smart, believe me. But when I saw something that was going to benefit us and it was going to benefit us with transparency that we greatly need in this city and it was turned down at every angle by Mrs. Novembrino's solicitor and by our own city solicitor and, you know, this is nonsense. At this point in time it looks like I'll be voting no and that's all I have to say. Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Yes. Scranton city

25

council has received notice from Northeast Revenue of their delinquent tax collection from the period of May 19th to May 24th. As one may know Northeast Revenue is collecting all delinquent real estate taxes for the City of Scranton with the exception of 2011 delinquent real estate taxes which are being collected by Single Tax Office. From the period of May 19 to May 24th Northeast Revenue distributed \$961,273.42 to the City of Scranton. This includes all delinquent taxes collected by them with the exception of 2004, '05 and '06 delinquent taxes. As one may or may not know, taxes for those years are remitted to PennStar Bank to pay on a loan taken out by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority for the sale of delinquent taxes for those years which defaulted. The amount of revenue that Northeast Revenue collected from delinquent 2004, '05 and '06 delinquent taxes was

\$41,461.02. This amount was directly distributed to PennStar Bank.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On other matters last week Scranton City Council received an audit status report which I spoke about briefly during last week's meeting. In the audit status report it was indicated that there were several open items that would completed by the end of this month. Items that would be completed and submitted to Rossi and Rossi by the end of the month were, one, the final 2010 calendar year general ledger; two, the final trial balances of December 31st, 2010, and copies of supporting work papers on all balance sheet accounts and; three, our finalized fixed assets schedules and entries necessary to record activity for gas B34 conversion including an infrastructure report.

Mrs. Krake, since it's vital that our audit is completed in a more timely fashion than last year, can you

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

please contact Mr. McGowan and inquire whether the items that have been scheduled to be completed by the end of the month have been completed. In addition, can you please ask for a revised status of all open items and memos sent from Rossi and Rossi.

A little bit about the SPA and the bailout. I have to echo some of the comments of my colleagues after coming here tonight. I voted last week to give them the chance to come and present their case and I have to say I agree with my colleagues 100 percent and will be voting no tonight. It's just appalling that they're submitting paperwork to us and they don't even have correct figures. I just have to say it just appalls me. But, you know, it's interesting how it took so long to get the Parking Authority to come into a council caucus. And honestly I don't think if it were for the fact that they were looking for money that they would even

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be here in the first place.

And with that, I have some citizens' requests. West Scranton residents have informed me that the condition of the 200 block of North Everett Avenue is in poor shape as there are many cracks in the road making travel conditions difficult.

Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. Dougher and inform him of the situation and ask him to handle that accordingly.

West Scranton residents have informed me that the condition of the 500 block of Meadow Court is in poor condition as there are many potholes along the 500 block making conditions difficult for travelers of the court.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the list of items to contact Mr. Dougher about.

West Scranton residents have informed me that the home on the corner of North Fillmore and Pettibone Street on the even side contains

1 overgrown grass and weeds making for 2 an eyesore in the community. 3 Mrs. Krake, if you can please 4 contact Mr. Seitzinger and notify him 5 of the situation and ask him to handle 6 it accordingly. North Scranton residents have 7 8 informed me that the 11 and the 1200 9 block of Wood Street are in poor 10 condition as there are numerous cracks 11 and potholes in the road making 12 driving conditions difficult. 13 Mrs. Krake, please add this to 14 the list of items to contact Mr. 15 Dougher about. North Scranton residents have 16 17 informed that there are many cracks 18 and potholes in the 1400 block of 19 Church Street making travel 20 conditions. Mrs. Krake, if you can please add 21 22 this to the list of items to contact 23 Mr. Dougher about. 24 AND south Scranton residents have 25 informed that there's a large pothole

on the corner of Steven Avenue and 1 2 Front Street an well as large potholes 3 on the block of Front street between 4 Steven Avenue and Pittston Avenue. 5 Mrs. Krake, please this to the 6 list of items to contact Mr. Dougher 7 about. 8 South Scranton residents have 9 informed me that the property on the 10 corner of Prospect Street and East Elm 11 Street which is a boarded up property contains high weeds and grass and is 12 13 becoming an eyesore for the community. 14 Mrs. Krake, please add to the 15 list of items to contact Mr. 16 Seitzinger about and that's all I have 17 for tonight. 5B. 18 MS. KRAKE: 5B. AMENDING FILE OF 19 COUNCIL NO. 56 2011, AN ORDINANCE 20 ENTITLED "THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING 21 BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 22 \$30,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 23 01.020.00000.4201 (PROFESSIONAL 24 SERVICES) TO ACCOUNT NO. 25 01.020.00000.4010 (STANDARD SALARY) TO

| 1 | INCREASE THE SALARY OF THE LEGISLATIVE |
|----|--|
| 2 | LEGAL ADVISOR FOR THE OFFICE OF THE |
| 3 | CITY CLERK/CITY |
| 4 | COUNCIL. |
| 5 | MR. JOYCE: At time I'll |
| 6 | entertain a motion to Item 5B be |
| 7 | placed into it's proper committee. |
| 8 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 9 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. |
| 10 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 11 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. What's the |
| 12 | current salary of the solicitor? |
| 13 | MR. JOYCE: The current salary of |
| 14 | the solicitor is \$40,000. |
| 15 | Mr. MCGOFF: So we're now looking |
| 16 | at a 75 percent increase in his |
| 17 | salary? |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: I believe it's |
| 19 | prorated to the beginning of the year. |
| 20 | This is the first I'm looking at it, |
| 21 | so. |
| 22 | MR. MCGOFF: This is an increase |
| 23 | of \$30,000. |
| 24 | MR. LOSCOMBE: That is correct. |
| 25 | MR. MCGOFF: Over the 40 that is |

1 budgeted. 2 MS. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 3 MR. MCGOFF: That's a 75 percent 4 increase. MR. LOSCOMBE: If that's what you 5 6 say it is, I believe it is. 7 MR. MCGOFF: Well, three over 8 four is 7.75. That would be 9 75 percent. 10 MR. JOYCE: It would be correct. 11 MR. MCGOFF: When we sit here and 12 talk about reducing salaries of 13 everybody in the administration an 14 throughout the city and now we're 15 going to entertain a 75 percent increase? 16 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: What I have to say is at the beginning of year in our 18 19 budget we had added a \$100,000 into a 20 legal fund knowing the issues we were 21 going to be facing this year. And Mr. 22 Hughes had submitted a bill for a 23 little over \$5,000 for much needed 24 work that we needed and Mrs. 25 Novembrino rejected that. So that

1 money had has be -- I think anybody 2 that knows the history of this city 3 council has watched us over the years 4 can see that we have a solicitor 5 that's worth ten times what we're 6 paying him, first of all. We're 7 discussing -- he's making 40,000 and 8 he's discussing issues with the man 9 that is making \$83,000 here. I think 10 there are priorities whether you look 11 at it as 75 percent increase or a 200 12 percent increase, I think when people 13 do their job, they do it right, they 14 do the research, they spend the time, 15 they deserve what they get and I think 16 this is well warranted. I believe 17 that the solicitor's pay was higher 18 previously at one time, too, so. 19 It was also lower at MR. MCGOFF: 20 one time. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, like I said, 22 if you watched the meetings --23 MR. MCGOFF: I was raised in 24 council budget.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

This is not

25

| 1 | coming this is coming out of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | fund that we had set aside so it's not |
| 3 | it's not increasing anything. This |
| 4 | not a Carl Greco type budget where's |
| 5 | hundreds of thousands. This is our |
| 6 | council budget. |
| 7 | MR. MCGOFF: It's not increasing |
| 8 | anything. It's increasing the salary |
| 9 | from \$40,000 to \$70,000. Look it, I |
| 10 | think it's a substantial increase. |
| 11 | MR. LOSCOMBE: It's already |
| 12 | budgeted in our council budget, the |
| 13 | \$100,000 there. |
| 14 | MR. MCGOFF: No. Again, the |
| 15 | budget the line item for solicitor |
| 16 | if \$40,000, not \$70,000. |
| 17 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Are you saying |
| 18 | he's not worth that? Is that what |
| 19 | you're saying? |
| 20 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 21 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. I disagree |
| 22 | with that. |
| 23 | MR. MCGOFF: By your own words |
| 24 | when we talk about raising salaries or |
| 25 | that eveyone's salaries, we talked |

about reducing salaries ten percent 1 2 across the board. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: You never heard me 4 say that. MR. MCGOFF: Well -- and now 5 6 we're looking at increasing one by 705 percent I think is very 7 8 hypercritical of the council to do 9 that. MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, if you had a 10 11 \$200,000 payment and it was increased 12 by 70 percent it would be 13 significantly different. We're 14 talking it was previously \$40,000. 15 MR. ROGAN: I will say this. I 16 will vote to introduce it. It's the 17 first time I'm looking at this 18 legislation. I want to know if it's 19 \$30,000 for this year or it's prorated 20 based on the year is half over towards the end of the year. I'll look into 21 22 it more and have more to say on it 23 next week. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: And I do believe 25 that \$5,200 would have been much

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easier to bit but obviously we have to go this route.

MR. MCGOFF: Why is it obvious?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Because it was rejected. He's done a lot of extra work for -- he's done a lot of legislation that the city should have done just so we can move it along. Now, it's slowing down because he can't touch it. If you want to get this city moving forward, we have to work together. Now, the city solicitor has the ability to hire anybody he wants and give them something in excess of his salary. He's representing -- he has different attorneys throughout this city representing different lawsuits. attorney is hamstrung. That's what I'm staying. He's can only do it himself. That legal fund was to give us the ability to fight on the issues that we needed to fight on, and I'm not going to give up because we're making a difference.

| On the question? (No response.) MR. JOYCE: All those of favor of introduction signify by saying aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING | 1 | MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else |
|---|----|--|
| MR. JOYCE: All those of favor of introduction signify by saying aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING S940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. O1.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 2 | on the question? |
| introduction signify by saying aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. Say, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING SAYONOO.OO FROM ACCOUNT NO. O1.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 3 | (No response.) |
| MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 4 | MR. JOYCE: All those of favor of |
| MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. KEADING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 5 | introduction signify by saying aye. |
| MR. JOYCE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. S4, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 6 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| MR. JOYCE: Opposed? MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 9 \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 7 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| MR. MCGOFF: No. MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 8 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 0 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 9 | MR. JOYCE: Opposed? |
| 12 so moved. 13 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. 14 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 15 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING 16 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 17 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY 18 OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 19 \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 20 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 21 OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO 22 ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 23 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER 24 TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 10 | MR. MCGOFF: No. |
| MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 9 \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 0 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 11 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |
| READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 12 | so moved. |
| 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 13 | MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER, 6A. |
| FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 14 | READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. |
| AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 15 | 34, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING |
| OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 16 | FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 |
| \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 17 | AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY |
| 20 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 21 OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO 22 ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 23 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER 24 TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 18 | OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING |
| OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 19 | \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. |
| ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 20 | 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL |
| 23 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER 24 TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 21 | OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO |
| TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING | 22 | ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 |
| | 23 | (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES- OPER |
| 25 AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING | 24 | TSF DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON PARKING |
| | 25 | AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING |

BY WIRE TRANSFER TO BANK OF 1 2 NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY, N.A., TRUSTEE, 3 FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE SCRANTON 4 PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE JUNE 1, 2012. 5 6 MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading 7 by title of Item 6A. What is your 8 pleasure? 9 MR. ROGAN: I move that it 10 be -- I ask that it be reading by 11 title just so we can discuss even 12 though I will be voting no. 13 MR. MCGOFF: I'll second it. 14 MR. JOYCE: On the question? 15 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Since everyone 16 has spoken on it already. There are a 17 couple of unassailable facts 18 concerning the payment of this debt. 19 No. 1, we are guarantors on the debt, 20 we will have to pay it. If the 21 Parking Authority does not pay it 22 tomorrow, the bond insurers will go to 23 court and they will come to the City 24 of Scranton and say you are guarantors 25 on the debt, pay it and we will under

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court order be looking at legislation have soon to transfer money to pay this debt. Why not pay it now. We're going to have to pay it anyhow. It is ludicrous to let this go and then pay it after it's gone into default. consequences of default are absolutely dire for the city. No. 1, if we default on this, we are not going to get unfunded debt. There is not a bank anywhere or any financial institution that will do anything to fund the unfunded debt that is in the budget. We will immediately -immediately be looking at somewhere around the \$16 million budget deficit. I want to know how we're going to get out of that. Voting no on this legislation is voting to put the City of Scranton into bankruptcy. that simple. If there were any other options, I might agree with -- you know, and I do agree with some of the things that were said. Maybe some of the questions weren't answered by the

1 people here this evening. Maybe the 2 budget of the Parking Authority isn't 3 adequate. It doesn't change the fact 4 that we are guarantors on that bond 5 and that we will have to pay it. So 6 next week when they go to court and 7 force the city to pay this debt, then 8 what are we going to do. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: This issue didn't 10 happen overnight. 11 MR. MCGOFF: I didn't say it 12 happened overnight but I want to know 13 what we're going to do to pay that 14 debt. 15 MR. ROGAN: Force the authority 16 to liquidate. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yep. 18 MR. MCGOFF: And that's going to 19 save 16 million dollars in our budget, 20 I don't think so. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: This company can 22 appoint a receiver to take possession 23 and operate the parking facilities. 24 In the meantime perhaps they'll change 25 the board, change the operation, get

| 1 | it straightened out and maybe by that |
|----|--|
| 2 | time we'll have the money for them. |
| 3 | But to keep giving them candy every |
| 4 | time they stick their hand out, we |
| 5 | can't keep doing it. We have bigger |
| 6 | issues in this city. |
| 7 | MR. MCGOFF: Are we going to pay |
| 8 | this debt at some point in the future? |
| 9 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, if they take |
| 10 | us to court, we may have to. I don't |
| 11 | have the legal answer to that. |
| 12 | MR. MCGOFF: If they take us to |
| 13 | court. They've already said they |
| 14 | would. |
| 15 | MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't have th |
| 16 | legal answer to that. |
| 17 | MR. MCGOFF: You don't need a |
| 18 | legal answer. They've already stated |
| 19 | that they will go to court to receive |
| 20 | this |
| 21 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, court won't |
| 22 | happen tomorrow. |
| 23 | MR. MCGOFF: No. It will next |
| 24 | week. |
| 25 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, that's the |

1 fastest court I've ever seen. 2 MR. MCGOFF: I'm glad that you 3 find this funny that we're putting 4 this city into bankruptcy but --5 MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, we are 6 not putting this city into bankruptcy 7 with this vote. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, we are. 9 MR. ROGAN: Please stop that 10 spin. That is not true. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Why isn't it true? 12 MR. ROGAN: The authority can 13 liquidate assets. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: The sky is 15 falling. 16 MR. ROGAN: The Scranton Parking 17 Authority has assets to sell. They 18 can liquidate assets to pay their debt 19 before coming and begging to the 20 taxpayers that are already 21 overburdened. There is absolutely --22 we have to stop throwing good money 23 after bad. It has to stop now. It's 24 like a gambling addict at the casino, 25 keeps going to the ATM, then they get

a loan and then it's all gone. We cannot keep throwing good money after bad. You saw what their proposal for reform, it was one page and it included more debt. That is not the answer.

MR. MCGOFF: This happened this year.

MR. LOSCOMBE: But it's been a history. Time to stop is now. And I don't think it's funny if we go into bankruptcy or we default on this, I don't. This was a real serious issue and I had some serious thinking about it, but I know what is going to happen. We're going to be here in three months with the same issues and trying to float bonds and come up with more phone. You know, somebody has to step in and take them over to straighten them at this point. That's the way I feel. I'm sorry.

MR. ROGAN: I agree completely and have the authority come in here and layout a comprehensive plan on how

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things will change if they receive this money, I would have considered supporting it. We received a one page document. It was more of the same. There weren't any reforms on there. It was more bonds, refinancing of debt, install pay stations to have all garages open. That should have been done decades ago. Aggressively continue to solicit validations of downtown businesses. Haven't they been doing that already? Why not? Correspond with bond counsel to price competitively in the downtown market. Now, they have a long way to go on that front. Work with the city to investigate the transition of parking meters that accept credit cards. Street Smart Program could have done that but the administration shot that down. Continue to improve the enforcement program to generate increased revenues. Again, the Street Smart Program could have done that but they shot it down and continue working

1 with downtown developers. That's 2 great. Continue to do more of the 3 same. We need solutions. Bailing 4 them out with a million dollars, 5 nearly a million dollars of the 6 taxpayers' money is not going to solve 7 anything. If we give them that 8 million dollars today, they're going 9 to be back for more money in a couple 10 months. They'll be back again and 11 they'll be back again and eventually 12 the city is not going to have any 13 money to give them. We're almost at 14 that point now. They have to reform. 15 Changes have to be made. Simply 16 throwing money at a problem does not solve it. That's all I have. 17 18 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else 19 on the question? 20 (No response.) 21 MR. JOYCE: All those in favor 22 signify by saying aye? 23 MR. MCGOFF: 24 MR. JOYCE: Opposed? 25 MR. ROGAN: No.

| 1 | MR. LOSCOMBE: No. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JOYCE: No. |
| 3 | MR. JOYCE: The no's have it and |
| 4 | Item 6A is defeated. |
| 5 | MS. KRAKE: 6B. READING BY TITLE |
| 6 | - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 35, 2012 - |
| 7 | AN ORDINANCE - CREATING A PROCEDURE |
| 8 | FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PARKING TICKETS BY |
| 9 | THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR |
| 10 | VIOLATIONS OF CODE OF THE CITY OF |
| 11 | SCRANTON CHAPTER 439, ARTICLE II AND |
| 12 | SETTING A VIOLATIONS AND PENALTIES |
| 13 | SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURE FOR VIOLATIONS |
| 14 | OF SAME. |
| 15 | MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading |
| 16 | of title of Item 6B. What is your |
| 17 | pleasure? |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6B |
| 19 | pass reading by title. |
| 20 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | MR. JOYCE: All those in favor |
| 24 | signify by saying aye. |
| 25 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |

| 1 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? |
| 4 | |
| | (No response.) |
| 5 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |
| 6 | so moved. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 6C. |
| 8 | READING BY TITLE FILE OF COUNCIL NO. |
| 9 | 36, 2012 -AN ORDINANCE - ESTABLISHING |
| 10 | A "NO PARKING ZONE" ALONG THE WESTERLY |
| 11 | SIDE OF CEDAR AVENUE (S.R.0011) FROM |
| 12 | THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF RIPPLE |
| 13 | STREET EXTENDED SOUTH 250 FEET TO |
| 14 | ALLOW FOR SAFE SIGHT DISTANCE FOR A |
| 15 | PROPOSED DRIVEWAY BY ROSSI ROOTER FOR |
| 16 | A PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2100 |
| 17 | CEDAR AVENUE. |
| 18 | MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading |
| 19 | by title of Item 6C. What is your |
| 20 | pleasure. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6C |
| 22 | pass reading by title. |
| 23 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. |
| 24 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 25 | (No response.) |
| | |

| 1 | MR. JOYCE: All those in favor |
|----|--|
| 2 | signify by saying aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? |
| 7 | (No response.) |
| 8 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |
| 9 | it is so ordered. |
| 10 | MS. KRAKE: 6D. READING BY TITLE |
| 11 | FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 37, 2012 -AN |
| 12 | ORDINANCE- PROVIDING FOR THE PROPERTY |
| 13 | TAX EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN DETERIORATED |
| 14 | INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL OR OTHER |
| 15 | BUSINESS PROPERTY; |
| 16 | DEFINING ELIGIBLE DETERIORATED AREAS; |
| 17 | SETTING A MAXIMUM EXEMPTION AMOUNT, |
| 18 | AND AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND |
| 19 | PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR SECURING AN |
| 20 | EXEMPTION. |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading |
| 22 | by title of Item 6D. What is your |
| 23 | pleasure? |
| 24 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6D |
| 25 | pass reading by title. |

In the

their land and they're paying for it,

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 2 MR. JOYCE: On the question? 3 (No response.) 4 MR. JOYCE: Just to confirm I 5 voted against this last week and I'll 6 voting against it again. Is there 7 anyone else? 8 MR. ROGAN: I would just -- I 9 guess since we're going to discuss it a little bit. One of the properties 10 11 that would maybe be falling under this 12 program is on Dixon Avenue and anyone 13 who has talked to the residents down 14 there understood everything they have 15 gone through with Daron Northeast. 16 That area needs to be cleaned up. 17 support it for that reason and also I 18 support it because I believe lower 19 taxes spur business. This is not a 20 complete tax exemption. They still 21 have to pay taxes on improvements. 22 want improvements in Scranton. 23 long term if we can attract businesses 24 to come to the city and improve on

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're more likely to stay. The KOZ Program is a complete giveaway. This program they are paying out of pocket. Additionally there will be permits - money and permits that will come into the city as well.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just on the question, just looking at it, I'm not sure -- exemptions are all available hereunder shall be based on the aggregate cost of the improvement as No exemption under 500,000, follows: an aggregate cost of which is not in excess of 500,000, a 100 percent tax exemption for the duration of one year for an improvement to a structure, the cost of which is in excess of \$500,000 but not in excess of one million. Just thing there's some questions on this that I have at this point. I move it along last week but they have tax exemption here also, the highest being ten years for 11.5 million. Now, naturally we want incentives here but, you know, we're facing a lot of

tax increases and also we're facing, you know, we are in some dire straights. Do we need to bring people in? Certainly. Is this going to make them jump? I don't know. I will vote it tonight. This is not 7th order, right?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I will vote for it tonight but I will have some questions answered and make my final decision by next week because I do have some serious questions and, you know, to see if they can do a graduated scale on the higher ones also. It just seems like it's a full --

MR. MCGOFF: The graduated scale is meant to -- the more that you're paying to rehab or to refurbish, the more you're going to be paying in permits and fees and, therefore, you know, the greater the incentive on the tax.

MR. LOSCOMBE: What I meant by the graduated, like years ago they

used to have the seven years and you would pay, you know, a 100 percent, 80 percent each year if you paid -- I mean, it dropped out where you pay 10 percent, 20 percent. It's wasn't a full forgiveness for the full ten years. It wasn't a full forgiveness for the full five years. There was a graduated percentage there. So I just want to check on that.

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, I know you've spoken --

MR. MCGOFF: He's not here.

MR. ROGAN: I can't see with the wall there. I know when we did have the caucus Attorney Hughes did speak in depth about it and hopefully next week he'll be able to provide more information.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And like I said,
I'll try -- it's been a busy week but
I'll try and get some of these
answered for next week but I will vote
yes to move it along.

MR. JOYCE: Anyone else on the

| 1 | question? |
|----|--|
| 2 | (No response.) |
| 3 | MR. JOYCE: All those in favor |
| 4 | signify by saying aye. |
| 5 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. JOYCE: Opposed? |
| 9 | MR. JOYCE: No. |
| 10 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |
| 11 | so moved. |
| 12 | MS. KRAKE: 6E. READING BY TITLE |
| 13 | FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 38, 2012- |
| 14 | AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF |
| 15 | COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, ENTITLED, "AN |
| 16 | ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR |
| 17 | AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE |
| 18 | CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY |
| 19 | ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED |
| 20 | SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING |
| 21 | AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED |
| 22 | UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK |
| 23 | GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT |
| 24 | PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND |
| 25 | EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) |

| 1 | PROGRAM, AS AMENDED BY "EXHIBIT A". |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading |
| 3 | by title of Item 6E. What is your |
| 4 | pleasure? |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6E |
| 6 | pass reading by title. |
| 7 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. |
| 8 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 9 | MR. ROGAN: I would just mention |
| 10 | I did speak to Miss Aebli. We do have |
| 11 | to have a public caucus on this item |
| 12 | and if you want to ask questions or |
| 13 | anything, feel free to give me a call |
| 14 | or an e-mail. |
| 15 | MR. JOYCE: Okay. Anyone else on |
| 16 | the question? |
| 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | MR. JOYCE: All those in favor |
| 19 | signify by saying aye? |
| 20 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. ROGAN: Ayes. |
| 23 | MR. JOYCE: Opposed? |
| 24 | (No response.) |
| 25 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |

| 1 | so moved. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. KRAKE: 7TH ORDER, 7A. FOR |
| 3 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON |
| 4 | PUBLIC SAFETY FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF |
| 5 | COUNCIL NO. 33, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - |
| 6 | ESTABLISHING A NO PARKING ZONE IN THE |
| 7 | 900 BLOCK OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE |
| 8 | (S.R. 3023) ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE |
| 9 | OF SAID STREET PURSUANT TO |
| 10 | THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT |
| 11 | APPLICATION OF THE COMMONWEALTH |
| 12 | MEDICAL COLLEGE FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT |
| 13 | 0090 OFFSET 1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT |
| 14 | 0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR A DISTANCE OF TWO |
| 15 | HUNDRED NINETEEN (219) |
| 16 | FEET. |
| 17 | MR. ROGAN: What is the |
| 18 | recommendation of the chairperson for |
| 19 | the Committee on Public Safety? |
| 20 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As chairperson for |
| 21 | the Committee on Public Safety, I |
| 22 | recommend final passage of Item 7A. |
| 23 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 24 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 25 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the |

question I had mentioned it earlier in our caucus that this deals with the Commonwealth Medical College and I don't -- Mrs Krake, could you correct me if I was wrong I believe there was an ordinance or an agreement at some point where they were offered a number of parking spots at no cost in the parking garage?

MS. KRAKE: There was. I do not know the dates, to and from of that agreement.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. Because I thought it still continued and I was corrected by Mr. Scopelliti, he said that was wrong so I'll have to -- maybe we can pull up the ordinance to just make sure. But I was just hoping that the amount of spaces in their new parking lot will take the spaces and give them back to the public in the parking garages. That's all.

MR. JOYCE: All those in favor signify by saying aye?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

| 1 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROGAN: Ayes. |
| 3 | MR. JOYCE: Opposed? |
| 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and |
| 6 | so moved. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 7B. FOR |
| 8 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON |
| 9 | PUBLIC SAFETY FOR ADOPTION - |
| 10 | RESOLUTION NO. 23, 2012 |
| 11 | AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER |
| 12 | APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO PURCHASE |
| 13 | A 2003 FORD CROWN VICTORIA FITTED WITH |
| 14 | SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT |
| 15 | AND PRESENTLY SERVING THE SCRANTON |
| 16 | POLICE DEPARTMENT'S K-9 UNIT FROM |
| 17 | OFFICER MICHAEL MARINO |
| 18 | FOR THE SUM OF FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS |
| 19 | (\$4,000.00) TO BECOME TITLED TO THE |
| 20 | CITY OF SCRANTON'S POLICE |
| 21 | DEPARTMENT. |
| 22 | MR. JOYCE: What is the |
| 23 | recommendation of the chairperson for |
| 24 | the Committee on Public Safety? |
| 25 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As chairperson for |

| 1 | the Committee on Public Safety, I |
|----|--|
| 2 | recommend final passage of Item 7B. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 4 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. McGoff. |
| 8 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Rogan. |
| 10 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 12 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Joyce. |
| 14 | MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby |
| 15 | declare Item 7B legally and lawfully |
| 16 | adopted. |
| 17 | MS. KRAKE: 7C. FOR CONSIDERATION |
| 18 | BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY |
| 19 | DEVELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION |
| 20 | NO. 24, 2012 ACCEPTING THE |
| 21 | RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL |
| 22 | ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND |
| 23 | APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF |
| 24 | APPROPRIATENESS FOR QUAD THREE |
| 25 | GROUP, INC., ARCHITECTS, 37 NORTH |
| | |

| 1 | WASHINGTON STREET, WILKES-BARRE, |
|----|--|
| 2 | PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE ADDITION |
| 3 | OF THE VESTIBULE AND AN ELEVATOR TOWER |
| 4 | CONSTRUCTED OF STRUCTURAL MASONRY |
| 5 | BLOCK WITH A MESASTONE BLOCK |
| 6 | VENEER TO CLOSELY RESEMBLE EXISTING |
| 7 | LIMESTONE AND FOR THE REMOVAL OF THE |
| 8 | EXISTING HANDICAP RAMP AT |
| 9 | THE REAR OF THE ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL |
| 0 | LIBRARY, 500 VINE STREET, SCRANTON, |
| 11 | PENNSYLVANIA. |
| 2 | MR. JOYCE: What is the |
| 13 | recommendation of the chairperson for |
| 14 | the Committee on Community |
| 15 | Development? |
| 16 | MR. ROGAN: As chairperson for |
| 17 | the Committee on Community |
| 8 | Development, I recommend final passage |
| 19 | of Item 7C. |
| 20 | Is there a second? |
| 21 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. I'm |
| 22 | sorry. |
| 23 | MR. JOYCE: On the question? |
| 24 | (No response.) |
| 25 | MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please. |
| | 1 |

| 1 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. McGoff. |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 3 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Rogan. |
| 4 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 5 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 6 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Joyce. |
| 8 | MR. JOYCE: Yes. |
| 9 | MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby |
| 10 | declare Item 7C legally and lawfully |
| 11 | adopted. |
| 12 | If there's no other business, |
| 13 | I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. |
| 14 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn. |
| 15 | MR. JOYCE: This meeting is |
| 16 | adjourned. |
| 17 | (Proceeding concluded at 9:04 |
| 18 | p.m.) |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

2 <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol, RPR Official Court Reporter