		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, May 24, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	04THENE 0 NARROZZI RED 05510141 00HRT 5550555	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT (Not present.)

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

7 PAT ROGAN

8 JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

10 JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

11 BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not present.)

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. JOYCE: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MR. JOYCE: Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING
16	APRIL 30, 2012.
17	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
20	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
21	DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS REVIEWED AND
22	RETURNED MAY 10TH 2012.
23	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

	4
1	REPORT, HEARING DATE MAY 9, 2012.
2	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AUDIT STATUS FROM
5	ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF MAY 16, 2012.
6	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
9	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD'S REGULAR MEETING
10	HELD APRIL 25, 2012.
11	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. DEPOSITS MADE BY
14	THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE
15	AMOUNTS OF \$246,749.88 AND \$33,345.63 TO
16	FIDELITY BANK FOR THE 2012 TAN DEBT.
17	MR. JOYCE: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed. Are there are
19	any clerk's notes?
20	MS. KRAKE: No, Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Do any council members
22	have announcements?
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: I have none.
24	MR. JOYCE: Do you have any
25	announcements? Councilwoman Evans obviously

will not be in attendance at tonight's meeting as her mother is severely ill.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. JOYCE: Our first speaker tonight is Scott Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: Good evening. Hi, I'm Scott Thomas from the Albright Memorial Library, I'd like to just invite everyone to the third annual Swingin' on Vine block party which is Friday, tomorrow, from 5 p.m. to 8 p.m. and it's in front of the library at 500 Vine Street, and it's a rain or shine event so you don't have to worry about the weather.

It's going to be featuring Paul
Labelle and the exact change and there is
going to be great food and cocktails and
raffles, and the tickets are \$15 in advance
and that's until 2 p.m. tomorrow and you can
get them at the main library on Vine Street,
the Nancy K. Holmes branch in Greenridge,
and the Library Express location at the
Steamtown Mall, and the tickets will be \$20
at the door and proceeds to benefit the

Albright Memorial Library, so we hope to see everyone there. It's going to be a good time, and I also have some brochures which I'll put on the table outside for a new library program called Lackawanna Valley Digital Archives and I'll just put some brochures out there for that, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council. Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association, founder of the
Legion of Doom and also the co-chairperson
of the Kids Swim Free program.

First of all, I would like to make a comparison tonight. Tuesday night I went to Mohegan Sun arena and I watched the WWE, World Wrestling Entertainment, and as I was sitting there watching the wrestlers and the entertainment I started thinking that this reminds me a lot of politics and politicians. There is lot of comparisons

there, like, for example, the ring announcer at the wrestling matches, he is kind of like the campaign chairperson for the people running for office and he or she comes out and they talk about the wrestlers and the entertainment that's about to happen and all of this stuff, so he is kind of like a campaign chairperson for the politicians while they are running for election.

Then you got the referees, but we all know that there are no rules in professional wrestling so why do we need a referee? A referee is kind of like the brother or the sister or the nephew or the aunt who is unable to get a job so they get a political appointment, it's basically the same thing, a patronage job because the referee in wrestling really has no responsibilities because there are no rules. Anything goes.

You know, and then you have the fans, and the fans are like the people that go to the political rallies and support candidates and they yell, they scream, they make donations, their donations start when

they buy their ticket, they pay \$10 for parking, and all the souvenirs that they buy, so they are basically, you know, the supporters of the politicians, okay?

Then you got the wrestlers themselves. Now, everybody should know that wrestling is fake. It's not real. Well, the same thing applies to the politicians during their campaign speeches where they promise they are going to do this, they are going to do that, but nothing ever gets done.

Look at Scranton, for example.

Scranton has been distressed for 7,440 days as of today. 1,062 weeks as of today. 20 years and 133 days as of today. Six leap years which means 29 February -- 29 February 29s. Six years. Leap year only comes around every four years and we have had six of them. 245 months the City of Scranton has been distressed. That's 20.4 years, but yet we are continually told that things are going to get better, that if elected I will not raise your taxes. If elected I will not approve anymore borrowing. The only person

living up to that so far has been Pat Rogan and I hope he continues to live up to that.

We can't borrow no more money.

Tonight taxes are going to get raised again 10 percent. Who is going to pay for it?

People talk about the senior citizens can't afford it, you know, my mother can't afford it, my sister can't afford it, my son can't afford it, but yet we still continue to raise them.

I see Mayor Doherty is here again tonight. Good evening, Mayor. It's nice seeing you and your cabinet here tonight. I hope you have some answers for us tonight. Oh, psyche, people. He is really not here. Why isn't he here? I mean, what's going on? Why can't the legislative and the executive branch work together?

Mr. McGoff talks about it all the time. I see Mr. McGoff is not here either. I think where Mr. McGoff has gotten to the point where he doesn't to hear the speakers talk anymore so he either comes in late or doesn't show up for the meeting. But, again, he is being allowed to do it, I

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guess, because he does it I don't know how many times already. He wasn't here last week, he got here 45 minutes late. I don't know if he is coming tonight or not. does, if he can't tell time then somebody needs to tell him when it is 6:30 because that's what time the meeting starts. didn't schedule the start of the meetings, you people did. You need to hold your own council people accountable for their actions. I don't know where he is at tonight, maybe he has a legitimate excuse. Mrs. Evans, I'm sorry to hear about her mother. I hope her mother gets better. has a reason for not being here. I hope Mr. McGoff has a reason. I never heard his reason for why he wasn't here last week. think someone on council should have asked him. That's what I think. Again, he is not here.

But, again, like I said, 245 months are a lot of months that this city has been distressed and now you are going to raise our taxes 10 percent again tonight or if you don't do it tonight maybe you will table it

9

21

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

because there is only three of you here, I hope you do anyway, you know, but another 10 percent, good luck. I hope people have the extra change in their coffee fund.

MR. JOYCE: The next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'll say a little on this 5-B, this is to transfer \$940,000 to the Parking Authority to pay for I guess a bond issue, but I also would like to read this article that I cut out of the paper quite awhile ago, but it's still relevant today. "SPA chief won't pay council a visit."

This is how long this has been going "The Parking Authority, Mr. Scopelliti on. says, the SPA is a sound ship and all of these problems saying that they are bankrupt are rumors and shouldn't even be anything said about it."

Here it is: "The executive director of the Scranton Parking Authority said Monday he will not appear before the Scranton City Council to answer lawmaker

questions about his agency's finances, okay?

Council invited Bob Scopelliti to a meeting

tonight to address a rumor the Authority was

in danger of defaulting on the loan."

How many times are we going to go through this? Now, obviously, he lied, was lying, and continues to lie about the finances of the Parking Authority. His own words here said that there is no problem with the Parking Authority, but obviously there is a problem with the Parking Authority, and all them rumors that's been going on for years obviously they were true. It's just too bad he refuses to come before you.

Like I said before, there is something in there that he wanted to be appointed to the Parking Authority. You should have sent a letter to them requesting the resignation of all of the board members of the Parking Authority. There is no reason you should put up with this incompetence. The Parking Authority board of directors owns the Parking Authority. Scranton doesn't have anything to darn do

with it except they are stuck in here and that's what you got to realize. They can borrow money on their own whims and you have nothing to do with that, and they are obviously incompetent and unless you come to grips with that we are in trouble with a lot of trouble.

I mean, obviously this money you got for this 5-B is only a drop in the bucket. They are a continual drain on us. I know you can't do much with them other than request they resign, and for all practical purpose you should at least do that, at least be on the books and saying these people have done enough damage to the city, it's time for you to go and we put another board in there.

And you know what, by a weird coincidence, if they are resigned you have to replace them, not that it would matter that much, the problems would still be there, but at least you would get honest answers from the Authority, and there is not much else I can say about it, but I have been harping on them for two or three years

already. Of course, nobody would pay much attention. I guess they weren't interested in the problems that they can cause the city and they are going to cause the city huge problems. I thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd like to begin with 5-B on the agenda here tonight regarding the SPA. As we all know, they originally asked for \$1.4 million and on tonight's agenda we are discussing whether or not we want to transfer \$940,000 from the contingency fund to bail them out so they can meet their debt payment by June 1. Yet again, the SPA and it's illustrious leader Bob Scopelliti are looking for another bailout, another handout, and wants council to come to the rescue and save the day as usual and put this on the taxpayers' shoulders once again.

Well, as I have stated many times from this podium, we should hand, whether it's the mayor or in this case the Scranton

Parking Authority and Bob Scopelliti another dime until they come forward publically. We have made numerous requests for them to come forward publically at a caucus and explain why they need funding, and they just meet us with the usual slap in the face, refuse to answer questions, refuse to cooperate and expect us to come and just hand over money, hand over blank checks.

Well, it doesn't work that way.

Quite frankly, I don't even feel this

legislation should be on tonight's agenda.

Why are we continuing to bailout people who don't want to cooperate, who don't want to come forward and explain themselves? We want to continue to put this on the taxpayers' shoulders. Enough is enough already, okay? We have done this for ten years now. Quite frankly, even longer than that.

You know, Scopelliti reminds me of a little child on Halloween with a little tricker treat goodie bag, you know, give me some treats, give me some treats. Well, the tricker treat time is over. It's time to

come forward and hold yourself accountable, that's what this about. It's about accountability and we have let this slide for far too long.

And the other issue I have here is the lack of cooperation in getting responses to questions. Eight months we have been waiting for a response to a letter that Attorney Hughes sent to Scopelliti. No response.

The budget they sent down, again, if we even want to call it a budget, the high school class president budget that we received from that Authority. You know, I just feel that, you know, Mr. Scopelliti's is not qualified to run that department. I think we could take a good look at his track record and go back to the Park and Recreation days and the fiascos we have dealt with up at Nay Aug and the pool house and everything else. Davis Trail and the way he ran that.

I think he also needs to come forward and explain why he is making \$30,000 more than the mayor. Didn't you cut his

salary in the budget? Has he explained why he didn't take a pay cut? Has he also explained why he didn't remove the secretary position that was removed from that office in the budget? There is lot of explanation here. Does this sound like someone we want to hand over \$1.4 million to? I don't think so.

So I think this council needs to put their foot down and look out for the residents of this city and let Scopelliti know that we are not going to tolerate his games and his politics. He is going to be held accountable whether he likes it or not and I would ask you to vote it down tonight.

Moving on, I'd like to address a few issues from last week. Comments were made by Mr. McGoff regarding responses from departments. We were told that we shouldn't be critical of departments and that when we make requests the answers are the work performed. Well, to be honest with you here, when we make a request it's the responsibility of the department to get back to us one way or another. It's not our job

to go out there and see if they perform the work or it's not our job to ask them if they made any efforts to get an answer or seek any sort of work, it's their responsibility to do that. We make the requests, they get back to us one way or another.

You know, I just think that, again, it goes back to the accountability factor and the lack of accountability that we have and for Mr. McGoff to make those statements I just was baffled by that that he is satisfied with this council not receiving responses. I don't understand how he can be satisfied with that.

But tonight I would ask to send a letter to Mr. Dougher, I have an issue here that I have been very frustrated with and that's with Novembrino and Capouse, the Capouse Avenue pool. I understand we have to keep water in the pool, but what I also don't understand is why we don't have those pools covered. It's a liability. God forbid a child were to go over those fences and someone were to get into that pool. We have a problem and obviously in this

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation we are in right now we can ill afford that right now, I think, so maybe we can send a letter and ask them why the pool isn't covered. It certainly doesn't make any sense to me.

Regarding the SAFER grant, we were obviously made aware today that the city will receive \$8 from the SAFER grant to restore public safety officials within the fire department. This is certainly a benefit for the residents of this city and obviously I'm pleased to have heard this news today. It's ashame that it did come to this point that we even had to apply for this grant that the residents of this city had to go this long with having the public safety jeopardized and this goes back to my point that I just don't feel this administration has taken our public safety serious and I want to certainly thank Senator Casey and his efforts on behalf of us here and, you know, certainly thank council because you did spearhead the efforts to apply for the grant, once again showing your watchfulness on behalf of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

_

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxpayers.

And finally tonight, just a brief comment on the Kids Swim Free Program. to the concerned residents and businesses out there, I would respectfully ask that you consider making a contribution to support the Kids Swim Free Program this summer. I've discussed with the Taxpayers' Association the idea of transporting children from West Side to Pinebrook and other pools in the city. As I have said before, I don't think it's fair that they are deprived the opportunity to swim. know, they shouldn't have to suffer this summer, and if you are interested in donating you can make a checks payable to the Kids Swim Free Program and they can be sent to 160 Master's Street, Scranton, PA, 18510. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Thank you and good evening. Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'
Association. On the agenda 5-E you have a motion there to provide for the property tax

1	exemptions for certain industrial,
2	commercial or other business properties, so
3	on and so on and so on as a tax credit. Is
4	that the LERTA program that you are
5	approving?
6	MR. JOYCE: Is this 5-E?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes, this is LERTA
9	legislation.
10	MR. QUINN: You are passing the
11	LERTA legislation so it will be on board in
12	case anyone wants to come in and come before
13	city council and the other bodies that has a
14	pass through for a tax credit I think, for
15	instance, Dickson Avenue development, is
16	this what you are passing?
17	MR. JOYCE: That's
18	MR. QUINN: That is what you are
19	proposing?
20	MR. JOYCE: That's the legislation.
21	To be honest with you, I intend on voting
22	"no" for it.
23	MR. QUINN: Well, I hope so because
24	I appreciate that because when I look at 5-E
25	and you have a 10 percent tax raise for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property owners I can't see where you are going to give outsiders a tax credit, they won't have to pay any property taxes for how many years? Ten years you are going to have the schedule, I don't know what your schedule is, and yet the property tax, people who are the bread and butter of this city, are going to be taxed as of January 1, you know? So I hope that, you know, this corporate welfare stops, okay?

I want to then go into the fact that you have -- Andy spoke here before about the SPA not showing up here for the caucus last week and now they are asking for a \$1.4 million to bail them out for default. Ιt was only a few years ago that about, somewhere in 2008, when Mrs. Evans started to talk about the Doherty debt and she mentioned about the Parking Authority and the Sewer Authority or the Redevelopment Authority and it was determined that, no, no, the tax counsel at that time said they are separate, they are separate in the They don't belong, they are not part audit. of the taxpayers. They are not responsible.

Well, we argued that they were and it's come to roost that we are responsible, the taxpayers are responsible for that situation.

And the biggest problem was the fact that, you know, this mayor isn't prepared to be a mayor, there is no doubt about it. Ten years of just going downhill, you know, and what are we getting? From the Scranton Times we haven't gotten any investigative reporting in ten years. We heard Andy speak about the fact about Scopelliti saying that in an article that they are to doing fine, okay? We know now that HUD has -- or the Office of Inspector General has an \$11 million audit out there findings through June and we know that the Scranton Times never investigated anything that the Office of Inspector General has found.

He has said that 50 businesses got

loans that were not -- should not have

gotten loans, they didn't have the right

paperwork, the jobs are created and whatnot,

you know? Where is the Scranton Times?

They are supposed to be our community paper.

14

15

13

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

prepared, and the fact is we are winding up now with this here big problem of \$26 million, which is on your backs, and the tax

Mr. Doherty go and go and go, he wasn't

No investigative reporting. They just let

which is going to be on the people's backs

January 1, and what are you going to do

about it, you know? The publishers they

contribute financially to the Doherty's

campaign and they are the only city
newspaper, so are they going to write

anything wrong about what's going on in the city? No.

So what happened? We know what's happened. We know it's come to roost. The Legion of Doom has come to roost. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

The first thing I have is, Mr. Rogan, you

made a statement about rubber stamping, and

know what, I totally agree with you. I have

to say that I think that this council is

just another rubber stamp council. There

12 13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was a real need for leadership here. city is bankrupt, it's been bankrupt for a We can blame the mayor. long time. personally, I have gone way beyond that. Ι don't blame the mayor, okay, I blame Not just this council, but all council. councils. They are the ones that let all this borrowing go on, they are the ones that had the obligation to use their power of subpoenas, which I have never even seen this council use, okay?

Council walked away from the Scranton Abington Planning Association's comprehensive plan, offered no plan of their own, I just think it's ridiculous. I really I just find it to be so demeaning to the residents of this city to have to continually put up with tax increases.

You know, I went to OECD and I got a list of all the homes that were torn down, it's mind boggling and the amount of the condemnations through all of the neighborhoods, but where's all the community development money that could have been spent in the neighborhoods? I mean, you got the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

School, you got the Scranton Lace coming here, you got all of the projects in the downtown that came here, money was never a problem. Here, you want some, all right? But all of the neighborhoods, well, you know what, I agree with what Bill said. like unbelievable. We are lied to from every branch of government from the president right down, all right? And working people get their pay last. government takes our money out of taxes, our houses are held hostage. I own homes in the people. I regret it to be honest with you because they weren't a good investment. They were an error on my part.

people for the North Scranton Junior High

I see no leadership in this city. I just see one rubber stamp council after another with a ton of rhetoric, okay. Just take a good look. The Scranton Times finally hit in the last election I paid and put it in the newspaper myself about the city's pension problems. It's going to hit the fan. I don't hear any conversations here. I'm not interested in what Harrisburg

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is saying, okay? Now they are finally acknowledging that they are going to have the pensions of teachers and all kinds of people currently and in the future, but we are talking about ordinary, every day people, and you know, this grant we are getting, beautiful, but you know something, what are we going to do next year?

I have come to this podium for over 20 years and listened to rhetoric from one, two-bit politician after another, and to tell you truth, there has been no leadership. I have been in the room with the likes of Barletta, Kanjorski, all of these guys. Yeah, they talk a good game, they stuff money in every one of their pockets, all right, they just have no leadership ability at all. Now it's come to pass now where they are acknowledging that the current slowdown in the American economy, believe it or not, goes back to Bill Clinton when he deregulated the banks and made it possible to sell derivatives.

This country is a mess and we just keep getting lied to, and you know as an

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

average working man who goes to work every and would like to retire some day,
Disability 2016, bankrupt; social Security,
2033, bankrupt. This country we need real leadership, all right, we need a plan and what we really need are leaders and we seem to be very short on that because of the people that run for office they sell themselves for money.

Now, me, I could personally speak for myself, I spent \$500 in the state rep race, got 45 percent of the vote. You can't buy me and I won't sell myself, and you know what, the things I believe in I put my own flyer in the newspaper for the council race and paid for that out of my pocket, because you know what? I'm just tired of people owning every politician that we have and it's just the way of a business for America, but there is nothing left. We have seniors that have no money, we are going to raise taxes again, you know I had a business guy tell me today there is nothing left. a guy who lives outside the city that was laughing and said there hasn't been anything

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in Scranton in decades, they stole everything or they sold it.

What assets do we really have? are just spending more debt after more debt after more debt. I can see the Parking Authority being bailed out, but like when Janet said we can't do anything. Yes, we can. We can elect a mayor, appoint more people to those boards under the agreement that when they sit on them boards they will vote to abolish and abolish every one of these authorities, and when this Recovery Plan comes forward it needs to go on the ballot and it has to include the money we owe to the pension plans, it needs to include the money that we lost in Court, it has to be a complete and total Recovery Plan with all of our debts or else it's not a Recovery Plan.

I mean, we have got to stop lying to the people who live here because we are almost out of people. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Gary Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: Good evening. My name

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gary Lewis. I'm a resident of the downtown and also a consultant specializing in distressed debt and distressed finance.

Just like last week, I'm here tonight to talk to you about the state of the city's finances, and again, to encourage you to pursue or at least look into a Chapter 9 bankruptcy filing.

Tonight the majority of my remarks are going to be lifted directly from the press release that I gave to every member of congress -- every member of council, if only it was Congress, and the mayor about three weeks ago and no one has responded. I sent the same press release to a number of industry periodicals and they actually picked up and Bond Fire printed an article citing my analysis, which was then picked up by the Philadelphia Inquirer. articles both ran this week. I think it's a little unusual that council is refusing to at least discuss this while people in industry look to my analysis, tell me how good it is and then write articles about it.

Using the most recent information

available, I completed an analysis of the City of Scranton's finances. My analysis indicates a structural deficit of at least \$10 million in the future periods. This analysis considers the city's actual and projected cash flows from 2010 through 2013.

After adjusting for one-time items used to balance budgets in prior years, this analysis indicates that a deficit in excess of \$9 million existed in 2011. When combined with an \$8 million increase in departmental expenditures, the structural deficit in 2012 will approach \$20 million. In 2012, total revenue received by the city will increase by nearly \$4 million over 2011. The total will be \$57 million. However, expenditures in 2012 will exceed \$76 million.

After accounting for one-time items, specifically expenditures related to unpaid 2011 bills due in 2012, actual expenditures that will recur in 2013 are approximately \$66 million. This amount includes employee compensation of approximately \$47 million.

47 million dollars of employee compensation

in 2012 and future periods includes in excess of \$10 million for health care obligations and in excess of \$10 million for the police department employees alone. The \$8 million in total employee compensation due to the fire department will luckily be covered by this FEMA grant hopefully.

If the city continues with plans to issue an additional \$26 million in debt to cover the 2012 deficit, the increase in debt service along with the standard recurring expenditures that will occur in 2013 will exceed \$67 million. The 2013 deficit will be approximately \$10 million and necessitating a massive tax hike. The \$10 million deficit cannot be covered by doubling real estate taxes. This is a hole we have wound up in.

A Chapter 9 bankruptcy has three primary advantages: One, it pauses all ongoing litigation; two, it voids existing contracts and allows the city to begin renegotiating; and three, it gives the city a chance to refinance, retire or restructure it's outstanding debt.

It seems like every year we come across one-time revenue items that magically pop up to help us balance the budget. If it isn't the sale of the municipal golf course it's the sale of the parking meters for \$6 million or luckily this \$8t million grant that was announced today. This \$8 million grant in combination with the \$6 million one-time revenue item from the sale of the parking meters will bring total one-time revenue items in 2012 to \$14.2 million and still leave us with a budget deficit of approximately \$9 million. The city must pursue a Chapter 9 bankruptcy filing.

To Lee's point earlier, he said he says no leadership in any level of government. I say leadership starts at home and it starts with you. You are the representatives of the City of Scranton residents. It is your obligation to pursue every possible alternative to a tax hike and by refusing to pursue a Chapter 9 bankruptcy you leave the taxpayers on the hook for massive tax hikes that they cannot afford. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer. Tough act to
follow, but I think council should sit down
and speak to Mr. Lewis before going any
further with the tax hike because he has a
lot of good ideas.

First of all, it was great news to hear about the SAFER grant today of \$8.2 million. I would like to thank Senator Casey for helping to push this through. I don't think without his help it ever would have happened so I hope the firefighters are hired almost immediately and I hope all of the firehouses are all reopened, also.

Vice-President Joyce, may I approach council?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: As you can see, I'll talk about pit bulls again. People might be getting sick of hearing me, but I'm getting sick of people dying from being attacked by pit bulls. I have another example, not here

23

24

25

but it was in Ohio, a three-year-old girl died after being attacked by a pit bull mix that was her own dog. I told a story last week, two people in Pottsville were attacked by their own two pit bulls. This is a story where a girl died being attacked by a pit bull mix. Now, I have been coming to council for about six years now asking for a dangerous dog legislation. Nothing has ever happened. These attacks keep happening, sometimes -- eventually somebody in this city is going to be killed by a pit bull. Like I said, my dog was attacked by two of Thank god she was okay and I have been coming here since then. We need legislation. Attorney Minora when he was here he said we can't be breed specific. said, yes, you can. I brought proof last week that Pottsville has a breed specific legislation, so my question is to this council am I wasting my time here or are you ever going to consider a dangerous dog legislation?

MR. ROGAN: I would be open to considering it.

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I would be open.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I would hope you would.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to address it, Les, definitely. I mean, this is something that I think we have all been considering, but with all of this other stuff in the way, and we have been researching it and just to let you know, I mean, insurance companies actually will cancel your policy if you have certain breeds of dogs. I mean, there is probably five or six, Rottweilers, Pit Bulls, Chows, Dobermans, even some German Shepards. If you have an insurance inspection and they find a dog like that on your property they will cancel your insurance, so something like that, I mean, unfortunately, I am a dog lover, as you are, and unfortunately there is a problem with this particular breed.

MR. SPINDLER: I don't like dangerous dogs and pit bulls are dangerous dogs, I don't care what anybody says.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Right, I agree with it's not -- -

MR. SPINDLER: And I keep coming here with proof after proof.

Moving on, I don't want to take all my time with that. The article about the SPA defaulting on a loan, I hope council sticks to their guns and doesn't give them their money, I want to talk about something that Chris Doherty said. Mr. Doherty said, "Council already has most of the information it is seeking, such as non-issuances that were approved by past councils."

The key words there were "Approved by past councils" and that's why we are in the trouble we are now because of Chris

Doherty and all of his rubber stamp councils that have all approved all of the debt we are in now, so let them fall on their face and don't give them any more.

Another story that was in the

Doherty newsletter the other day, potholes

dot road to new Recovery Plan and,

Mr. Loscombe, something you said, you

disagreed with Mr. McGoff and you said you

disagreed saying, "The majority only --" I

need knew glasses. "The majority only wants

what's best for the taxpayers," or something like that. If you are thinking about the taxpayers, Mr. McGoff, with all due respect, why did you vote to raise our taxes for the last two weeks?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Me?

MR. SPINDLER: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You said Mr. McGoff.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm sorry,

Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think I addressed it last week.

MR. SPINDLER: I didn't see it. We can't afford any more tax raises in this city.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We don't have many options in front of us. We have got an option of bankruptcy. What does bankruptcy do? It takes it out of everybody's hands and perhaps your taxes will triple without any say. You won't be able to come here and voice your opinions to anybody because there will be a takeover. We have looked at every option that we possibly could. Had we been here possibly ten years ago maybe things

would have been a little bit better. I mean, in two years we have done as much as we can possibly to tighten the situation up. We don't have all of the answers, I'll guarantee you that, but we do have a great solicitor and a great crew here that have been working diligently. The last thing we wanted to -- taxes affect us, also. They affect my mother who lives home alone. You know, I mean, nobody wants to do it, but the banks aren't going to give us any future loans unless we get our own house in order. Unfortunately, it's come to this.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, you could get loans, that doesn't mean you have to raise the people's taxes 10 percent for ten years.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If we get loans. Is that what you said?

MR. SPINDLER: You said about getting loans, you can get loans without raising taxes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: The banks won't give us a loan unless we have the taxes to back them up. That's the problem we are up against at this point. They want to see

that we have the ability to bring in money to pay those loans off at this point. They have us against the wall. It's not an easy thing to borrow like it was years ago. And, you know, I'm not here, I don't care if you call me or a rubber stamper or anything, I'm here to do a job that I think is in the best benefit of everyone in this city and when I took this job I knew I wasn't going to satisfy everyone.

And, you know, I go from life experiences and nobody knows what I'm going through now financially myself. I'm fighting to save my own home, so I know the pain, I know the struggle, and that's the first it's been out. You will probably read about it in the paper. I did receive a foreclosure notice and I'm going to work hard to do what I can to save my house as hard as I am to save this city, and that's why I'm here.

And you can call me any names you want, whatever you want, but I think in the best interest of everyone, even the taxpayers, we have to take the pain right

4

1

5

7

9

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now and we are going to get out of this. are going to -- we are watching every dime that we are going to be borrowing. watching every dime that's going in taxes Something that wasn't done right now. This stuff isn't just -- we are not before. just approving everything and rubber stamping it if you want to say it. We have researched everything. Trust me. My family doesn't see me on a day-to-day basis. guys are working their tails off, trust me. They are working their tails off to do anything that they can to take the pain out of everyone in this city.

But I will sit here and tell everyone I am doing what I know is best in my heart, you know, I made a great sacrifice to my family to be here to fight for you. I don't how much longer I can do that, but I'm doing is because I love this city and if it's raising taxes and I have to pay those taxes I'm looking down the road at a couple of years that we will be in the good -- a much better position, but we have only had two years with quite a few stumbling blocks

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

in front of us to try and right this ship.

There is a lot of, you know, communication going on with the administration right now, which is beneficial to everyone. You know, all I can say is you can call me, you can call anybody whatever you want to call them, I know where I'm coming from and I know what my future My family lives here, my children are here, my grandchildren and I want them to stay here and I want this city to be what it once was and there is going to be some pain and we are going to be asking our neighbors to pay for some of that pain, we are going to be asking our nonprofits to pay for some of that pain. We don't want to lay the pain on the taxpayers in this city.

And the other thing you have to remember, your services for your taxes in this city you are getting the police, the fire, the garbage. You are paying county taxes, you are paying school taxes. Many people don't have anyone in the schools. What are we getting from the county? You know, some parks and stuff like that, but

your main services that you rely on day-to-day are paid from the city taxes and what's the smallest part of your tax dollar right now? This is something no one understands. And that's all I could say. I mean, you can be mad at me. You can call me what you want, but --

MR. SPINDLER: I'm not calling you anything, I respect you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's exactly what I'm saying.

MR. SPINDLER: As I said last week, you know some people are up for reelection next year and I can't support that is just going to raise my taxes for ten years. Can I have more time, Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, you can have more time.

MR. SPINDLER: I have to talk about the parking garages in the city, my wife and her friend went to the movies last Saturday night, to make a long story short, they came out two hours later, there is no more attendants in the garages, there is machine, for two hours they had to pay \$7 to get out

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the garage. That's totally outrageous and they wonder why nobody wants to come into this city? Well, we are chasing people out of this city instead of having people come in. The movie they saw was the second showing and there were ten people in the theatre. You go up to Cinemark those theatres are packed.

You can't get people to come downtown and they are charging \$7 to park in the garages and the Parking Authority is asking for more money? They should be disband. This is outrageous. To put machines in there is the most stupid idea I ever heard of. As I said, people want to come downtown to shop, if there is no spots on the street they are going to pay \$7 to park. This is ridiculous. It's more examples of incompetence and this whole administration and it's got to stop sometime.

And like I said, all of these authorities are killing us. They should all be disbanded.

Lastly, the Mt. Pleasant Corporate

Center, Mr. McGoff was a big backer of that a few years ago to have them a KOZ and many of us came here talking against the KOZ, we want to the school board meetings, and Mr. McGoff said, "No, no, nobody will move in there if they don't get the KOZ."

Well, somebody is in there now and in 2014 Geisinger from Lake Scranton, the part where the Scranton Carbondale Highway is, that's where I go, they are going to be in there. It's going to be a much bigger building than is on the highway, they are a pediatric center where Dunmore is moving in there.

So, Mr. McGoff, you can get people to come into this city without making everything a KOZ, so you and your past rubber stampers are the reason we are in this problem in the city. You rubber stamped all of the KOZs, you rubber stamped all of the borrowing and spending, you are a big reason why we are in this trouble, and that's all I have a to say.

(While Mr. Spindler was speaking, Mr. McGoff takes the dais and joins the

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting.)

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, I think you people would need a roll of toilet paper to write all of the problems in this city. It's just out of control. The worst problem is the University of Scranton with all of the property that's been withheld from the tax rolls, you know, it's like when any of us get up here and talk for five minutes that time is gone. It's just -- you can't recover it, it will never come back, you know, there is no way to buy it back. That's how this property is. It's gone forever that these people have taken these millions of dollars, almost 200 pieces property and that one school and they probably spend more money eating lunch at the Radisson than half of the people in this city bring home every week.

I see in the paper it says the mean is \$37,000 or \$35,000, that's utter

nonsense. You stand in front of Redner's or Price Rite and talk to people that are coming out of the store those are the heart of our city. It's not the 37, it's not the 27, it's not \$17,000 and these are the people that are paying for all of the schools that don't have children in them anymore and all.

You know, the whole city has just borrowed and mismanaged itself into a hole. I just don't see when I talk to anybody how it could ever recover. We are three-quarters of a million dollars in the hole with the county and the city residents are responsible for it, you know? What are you going to do? I don't even know what you guys voted for the budget, the Democrats haven't had one in three years, I don't know why the city needs one.

Well, about the Parking Authority, how could someone stay on the payroll that is so unqualified as Mr. Scopelliti? He has no business being in that job, he has no business being hired there. It's time to let the Parking Authority go, and I'll tell

you what to do, let them sell their parking lots, get rid of all of the meters down, get a surcharge and each and every resident, a small surcharge, and you will bring people back downtown and you will fill up all these empty stores. People are not coming downtown and putting a quarter in for 15-minutes parking. It's -- you know, it's not going to do it. I was at the library the other day and I had the shovel a bunch of quarters down to run and bring a book across a street.

Well, I don't know, I feel sorry for you all up there, and I had a businessman explain to me the difference between, like Jack just told us, between the city going bankrupt, the tax raise. I understand it, I don't want it, but when you mess with my pocketbook it makes me very irritable, but, I mean, I understand what's going on after it was explained, and I think like you just tried to explain it in laymen's sense maybe some people understand it better and it's just so many people, you know.

How can there be almost 2,000 houses

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the paper in foreclosure with millions of dollars? How can we go years and years and not collect money? Then we they do, they make a deal like CMC, they shouldn't have been giving no deal. That property should have been attached or something done ten years ago. Whoever -- you don't let somebody get a half a million dollars behind in their taxes. I saw some businesses and names in there \$120,000, then there is somebody else in there for \$350 that tried to pay his taxes and still owes \$350 and gets his name in there. If they can make a deal with CMC and do away with all of that money, they ought to be able to make a deal with somebody that owes three, four, five hundred dollars on their house.

I saw in the paper where they got a new \$24 million business coming to Mt.

Pleasant, that means we ought to have a \$24 million building permit issued, doesn't it?

Of course, if Doherty gets involved he will give it away for \$19 like the last one.

I got one -- what happened with the house on Wyoming? I never saw nothing in

1 the -- did they get passed? 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: The building for 3 the--4 MR. ELLMAN: The Women's Resource. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, no, that was turned down. 6 7 MR. ELLMAN: They didn't get it? 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: No. 9 MR. ELLMAN: There is a business 10 that would have paid taxes. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: That was turned down 12 by the zoning. 13 MR. ELLMAN: What sense is there in 14 that? There is a business that wanted to pay taxes taking over a building that's 15 16 completely useless and some people fight it. 17 You know, I just don't understand how you 18 have to -- how you can take things like that 19 and sit up there. You got the colleges and 20 everybody else living off the taxpayers and 21 a business that wants to come in town that 22 would have been a benefit, you know, we got 23 all of these wealth developers with million 24 dollar sidewalks and \$250,000 sidewalks and 25 empty businesses not doing nothing and

something has to be done concrete. The people got to see something concrete done to help them so there is not another 2,000 houses next year in the paper. Thank you, Jack. Thank you, all.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. Our next speaker is Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: First, if I may just follow-up on a comment made by Mr. Loscombe, I know, sir, the context you were referring to some of the services provided by the school districts --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I was going to apologize to you first, I just used a broad example.

MR. HETMAN: That's fine. That why
I say to follow-up on those things, you
actually raise a good points in that many
citizens are unaware of some of the services
provided at the county level and how they
impact residents of the county, so I should
thank you for raising the point because we

should be -- we are all talking about these things and explaining to residents when they have questions about it and just as myself as an example, sometimes services that are provided such as coordinated transportation or Children and Youth Services, things I have been come across personally or my family has come across personally in my life, especially before I took this job, I didn't have a full understanding of the context of those things and how they are provided and what they do for county residents, but there are county residents that rely on those services, sometimes every day.

So we are there to answer those questions and any legislator, any staff member of the city or any local government and, of course, any resident of Lackawanna County we are there to answer those questions and if they approach us and, you know, request of us in a respectful and cooperative manner some information on that to explain what happens with that we will certainly try to explain it or we will get

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the answers and explain to them at the best of our ability and make sure all questions are answered, so thank you actually for discussing that up here.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you for being at our meetings to you know, let us know what's going on in the county.

MR. HETMAN: Our pleasure. Thank With that in mind, I would like to thank everyone who attended the annual Armed Forces parade in downtown Scranton. I saw Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Rogan, and I'm sure also several of our council speakers, I'm sorry, and, Mrs. Krake. If I miss anyone, I apologize, I know there were a lot of people on the route and it's a decent -- it was a decent lane of parade so I'm sorry if I missed anyone that I forgot to acknowledge but it was good to see everyone out. great weather, it was definitely a blessing.

And next year, of course, as I said up here-- as I have said up here before, we have only had a few months since our department started to work on this, but next year I don't like to put everyone on notice

in a friendly fashion, not just city government, not just the school districts, but downtown businesses any resident that would like to work with us, we are here to work with you and we are always looking for new ways to grow the parade never losing sight of the fact that it is to honor our military men and women and, of course, our veterans and I know several people in this room tonight are veterans and that parade is there to honor them and everyone who has served our nation.

But we are looking for ways to grow the event, grow it in a way that benefits out downtown businesses and downtown residents and our city and county as a whole. Let's make it work.

With that said, we have information on two events that will be coming up in the next few months that are coming to downtown Scranton, one of which will be here for the first time in several years and to my knowledge maybe the first time ever, although, some people have told me that it was here many moons ago. The first is the

23

24

25

annual three-on-three basketball tournament presented by Back Door Hoops in conjunction with the Lackawanna County Commissioners and Johnson College and the Lackawanna and Susquehanna Office Drug and Alcohol Programs. The tournament this year takes place August 4 and 5, Saturday and Sunday, it actually opens on Friday evening, August 3, during the First Friday, with celebrities and celebrity games, and I should know if any legislators are looking to put a team together to play the commissioners we are looking for volunteers. So any of us -- my basketball skills are long since gone, but if anybody wants to -- the mayor, there you go, Mr. McGoff, you are our first volunteer.

MR. MCGOFF: Actually, we may be putting -- my sons and I may be putting a team in.

MR. HETMAN: Great. Perfect. The information can be obtained on this by going to BackDoorHoops.com or calling 570-558-3833three. Again, that's BackCourtHoops.com or 570-558-3833, so that's our first one.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Where was that going to be held?

MR. HETMAN: It's going to be held -- thank you for -- sorry I didn't mention that, it will be held on the 100 and 200 blocks of Wyoming Avenue. So this again for the first time in I believe many years, some people told it was here years back.

MR. MCGOFF: The first two.

MR. HETMAN: Okay, perfect. Thank you. But I know for many years it was held at Lackawanna County Stadium and the Yankee fields in the lost up there so this returning to downtown Scranton and it's something that we hope is exciting, gets residents excited and bring them some good activity downtown.

And the second save the date is for the Scrantastic Spectacular which includes the Philharmonic's concert and also the fireworks show coming Thursday, July 3, that's the evening before, obviously Tuesday July 3, the night before Independent Day, at courthouse square. The concert festivities start at 4 p.m. with the fireworks beginning

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at dusk. And again, that's all I have for this evening. Again, thank for everyone who came out for the parade. Sorry if I missed anyone, but it was great to see a lot of people out. Next year we are looking for even more success and looking forward to working with everyone to make that a reality. I'll leave these, if you don't mind, and if you could put these up, I have seen a number of our posters for the Arts on Fire Fest and, of course, for the parade before that out in the halls in the windows here if you could put these up in that same breath that would be great. Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is James Boccardo.

MR. BOCCARDO: Hi. I'm Joe
Boccardo, I have Boccardo Jewelers. Never
been here before, so I apologize, okay? I
don't know any of you and I don't know how
these things work, okay? My first question,
and my first thing is, and I don't need a
microphone, my question, first thing is the
City of Scranton I have three properties. I

live on East Mountain, I own the Boccardo building, okay, on Spruce and Jefferson, I also own 406 Spruce. My taxes are over \$30,000 a year. They are paid, okay? Look it up.

Now, this thing with the Parking

Authority and the parking, I have a small

parking lot, so I have questions. My

question is if I rent the spot to someone am

I allowed to charge that person the tax that

you guys are imposing? That's my question

to you. Is this an end user tax that --

MR. LOSCOMBE: In other words, can you include that tax in your --

MR. BOCCARDO: Yes, sir.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's totally up to you, just like --

MR. BOCCARDO: So I have the right to turn around -- like, I pay a sale's tax or collect sale's tax I have the right to pass that tax along to the end user.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm not an accountant, but I would assume just if you were renting your apartment and you want to include the heat or gas or whatever.

1	MR. BOCCARDO: Now, if I have a
2	renter in my building and I give them a spot
3	in my parking lot do I pay tax on that? I'm
4	not collecting it, he is getting a free
5	spot.
6	MR. ROGAN: I would say this, and I
7	voted against the legislation only because
8	we didn't know the answer to that question.
9	We had
10	MR. BOCCARDO: That's a good
11	question so I want you to figure that one
12	out.
13	MR. ROGAN: We had a business owner
14	come up who posed the same question as you.
15	MR. BOCCARDO: And what's the answer
16	to that? You don't have an answer?
17	MR. ROGAN: I voted against it.
18	MR. BOCCARDO: How could you pass a
19	tax if you don't have an answer? I don't
20	mean to be belligerent here, but
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, no, these are
22	good questions.
23	MR. BOCCARDO: Here's the next
24	question
25	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Boccardo?

.

MR. BOCCARDO: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: It is my belief that if you charge for the parking spot --

MR. BOCCARDO: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: If it's a tenant and you charge for the parking spot in addition then it is taxed.

MR. BOCCARDO: It is taxed, right, and I can pass that onto the end user, so if the spot is vacant and I'm not collecting my money there is no tax.

MR. MCGOFF: Correct.

MR. BOCCARDO: Very good. I'm glad you said that. Here is my question, the county rents 14 spots from me, okay? Is one city government allowed to charge a county government the tax? Can I collect the tax from the county to pay you? You should have this answer. You guys passed this law. You passed this ruling, okay? So the county parks in my lot, can I charge them the 15 percent is my question? Are they going to pay me a tax to another city government?

MR. LOSCOMBE: We are without the benefit of our solicitor here this evening.

MR. BOCCARDO: Well, I'm asking is one government in this country allowed to charge another government tax, can I collect it from them?

MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely.

MR. BOCCARDO: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure because I

- -

MR. BOCCARDO: I'm asking you that question because I don't know. Now, did you guys know that you sent out a letter to Lackawanna County to all of their employees, and I have a copy right here and I'm happy to hand it to you after I read it, I didn't know that you guys sent this out, but it says -- this is, by the way, to all of the county employees, okay?

"Dear County Employees, we are pleased to announce the Scranton Parking Authority," and here's where you come in, "The City of Scranton will provide county employees parking at the SPA and city garages for \$80 a month."

Now, Medallion charges \$112 a month.

By the way, I have to ask that first

questions because here's the zinger, if I park with the Scranton Parking Authority, are you charging me tax?

MR. LOSCOMBE: The Parking Authority is exempt from that.

MR. BOCCARDO: That's right, but you just told me the end user pays the tax. The Parking Authority is only the collector of this tax, okay. So if I'm the end user and I'm parking there you are not charging me the tax, yes or no.

MR. MCGOFF: If you can speak into the microphone, we can't hear you.

MR. BOCCARDO: Again, I really don't need a mic, but in event I understand what you are saying. What I'm trying to say is the Scranton Parking Authority by not charging the end user tax that's unfair trade practices. Now, here's what's happening with me. The Medallion parking lot charges \$112 a month, they made a deal -- oh, never mind, you made the deal, because it says the City of Scranton here, you can look at this, they want to charge \$80 to the employees of the county, and

every employee got this, by the way, okay, they are allowed to park in the Casey Garage, the Electric City Garage, and the Scranton Parking Authority. That's a benefit of \$32 a month per parking spot to a Parking Authority that's in default right now they can't pay their bills.

Did you know how many cars

Lackawanna County pays, by the way? Do you know how many they park?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. BOCCARDO: In excess of 300.

Multiply that by \$32. Also, they are soliciting my tenants. My tenants are leaving. Why wouldn't they? I'm charging \$110 to park, I'm less than the Medallion, they are going to get it for \$80 and they are not paying the tax, okay? My taxes, once again, are over \$30,000 a year, I pay them, I'm happy to pay them. You are double dipping me on these taxes, okay?

You are going to grant them more money to stay in business? Have a set, okay? I'm telling you, because I'm going to pursue this for the crummy 15 spots, and let

me tell you another thing, okay, this was signed by the three new county commissioners, Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Wansack and Mr. O'Malley. They sent someone into my store and told me if I don't drop the price to \$80 that they are pulling all their county cars. My lot has cameras, it's security guarded, and it's insured because I have a jewelry store. It's fully insured. If something happens to the county cars, guess what? I'm responsible.

By the way, you got to park your car on the roof. These county spots include five judge's spots. They wanted to keep the judge's spots. They will not keep the judge's spots. If they pull one car, they pull all of them. I want to know who lamebrain tactics this is. You have never seen me before. I pay my taxes, and I don't complain. I am going to start a lawsuit if this isn't retracted or if you guys don't make up your mind how you are going to charge this tax. But you are letting 300 parking spots drop by \$32 when they can't afford to pay their bill. My bill is paid.

My taxes are paid. Get your act together 1 because I'm not going to let this drop. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Is there a copy of the letter? 4 5 MR. BOCCARDO: Yes, sir, I can give 6 you --7 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I mean a copy of 8 the letter that the Parking Authority 9 supposedly sent to the county. 10 MR. BOCCARDO: This is it, both 11 Lackawanna County, every employee got this 12 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I mean they said 14 the Parking Authority approached all them? MR. BOCCARDO: I'll tell you right 15 16 here it is, "We are pleased to announce the 17 Scranton Parking Authority and the City of 18 Scranton," so you guys didn't even know you 19 gave 300 spots plus \$32 a month less. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with you. 21 mean, we are headed --22 MR. BOCCARDO: You are going to give 23 them money. And, by the way, that to me is 24 restricting trade. You are taking my 25 customer, which I don't blame them, when

they are going to go 30 less and no tax.

And I'm going to tell you another thing, the tax you cannot decide who is going to pay that tax. If I'm paying it and she is parking in my lot she is paying me. I'm only the middle man. You just said that yourself. It's an end user tax. The city wants to make more money, charge the 15 percent to everybody. All of the parking lots. Get 15 percent from everybody, not from me. I'm tired of you putting your hand in my pocket. All I do is pay taxes to this city.

You haven't heard the last of me.

This is the first time I have been up here, it won't be the last, trust me when I tell you that. I will pursue this for the crummy 15 spots that they are taking, and I have a list of people that will go right in. This isn't fair trade tactics. That's the law, fair trade tactics, and that's what you are not doing. Please understand this, revise this immediately or hold up before you start charging this tax, get your ships in a row because you are going to be in trouble with

this because the other gentleman that you talked to last week that had the same questions I'm going to go into a lawsuit with him, okay? You guys can't afford it. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Dobrzyn, before you speak I just want to make a few comments on what was mentioned, this was passed I believe about a month ago, the night of that meeting a business owner came in front of us and asked a question. Not one member of council knew the answer, our solicitor didn't know the answer without having the opportunity to do the research, I made a motion that the legislation be tabled until we find the information.

MR. BOCCARDO: Then retract it.

Then retract it. You guys don't have answers, you guys can't pass something like that.

MR. ROGAN: Unfortunately, the motion died for lack of a second, I then voted "no" to the bill because I didn't know

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

what was in it and I think that's what the problem is to people are going to agree to disagree with what's in it. That's fine after it plays out, but we have to know what we are voting on, and that's why I was upset the night of that vote I voted "no". though I do think a parking tax may be a good for the city, but not knowing all of the facts I don't think we should vote for it and I think some effort has to be made to clarify the legislation or repeal it.

MR. BOCCARDO: Exactly. Yeah, if you are going to charge me tax I'll pay it, but damn sure everybody else better pay it. It's as simple as that because if they don't, again, I have no problem taking you to Court, and I have enough money to do it, I'm going to tell you right now. I'm sick and tired of you putting your hand in my pocket.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I do agree with your case for the inequity there, and we did try to get the Parking Authority, and our solicitor had found case law where we weren't allowed to charge the Parking

Authority, which we don't believe it is fair either, there may be a way we can work something around that, but it wasn't our intention to put anybody out of business or have them pay different. We are not lawyers, we have solicitors that are supposed to look over that stuff.

MR. BOCCARDO: But you are wrong, then you shouldn't have voted it in. Simple as that, okay? I'm a college graduate, you want me to sit up there? I could tell you how to do your job. I run a business. I don't have time, okay? Don't vote something in when you don't know what's going on.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We have 70,000 people here to tell us how to do our job.

MR. BOCCARDO: I'm not telling you how to do your job, what I'm saying is I wouldn't vote for anything unless I knew what's going on first and if you are going to punish me for being a business man, that's not fair. That's not fair and I'll win in a Court of law.

I'm just trying to rebut you because, like I said, I'm happy to pay the

1 tax, make damn sure everybody else does and that's all I'm asking. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: I totally understand that and agree with you. 4 5 MR. BOCCARDO: I don't know if you 6 want a copy of this that you guys gave this 7 big break and these guys are out of 8 business. They are defaulting. If I 9 default, I go out of business. Why don't 10 they? Shut them down. You are giving 300 11 spots \$32 a month cheaper. Figure that out. 12 And they don't have any money and they are 13 trying to solicit my customers. Figure it 14 out. MR. JOYCE: 15 Thank you. Mr. Dobrzyn, 16 I apologize. 17 MR. DOBRZYN: That's okay, I wanted 18 him to actually step up to the microphone so 19 it could be heard. You know, we have had 20 enough of that over the years where it 21 wasn't heard. 22 Okay, curb cuts. A few weeks ago 23 Mr. Tobin was in and he talked about curb 24 cuts. Please go out and look at the curb 25 cuts on the corner and that's a fine

example. I don't have to the pay for gas this year because I picked enough coal out of the hole that they left behind on the curb cut and I'm well supplied for energy for the winter.

Okay, there is a bunch of stuff in the paper on pension stuff, once again I'd like to warn people, Wall Street and Main Street is a small world, and what was it \$30 million were lost out of the firemen and police pensions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't know the exact number.

MR. DOBRZYN: Somewhere around there and it's like I know I get a little too political, but these public employees better start paying attention to who they are voting for because some day they might have to pry their pension out of our cold dead hands and I hope it's worth it. We are just letting people go on Wall Street and they do what they want and lose money left and right on these pension plans and that's how it gets paid for.

I talked once before on profitable

22

23

24

25

nonprofits, final things. Now, we have heard them before up by Linden Street, right, they are on Providence Avenue, Providence Road, and now I read a few days ago that they are in the capital records building, and it was my understanding by talking to somebody a few years ago, and I hope this isn't the truth, that they are listed as a nonprofit because some guy is in some hoopla of a religious order that owns it. Now, I hope if he is getting a free ride down on Providence Avenue he is not getting a free ride of what is that, Ninth Avenue or whatever, Ninth Avenue and then on top of it in Capital Records because that, I mean, I'm not going to holler about 10 percent tax because a lot of people that were here, yeah, okay, they have been here week in and week out, but you see 30 percent of the voters at the poles and when you walk up through Nay Aug Park and the old guys are sitting on a bench and you were picking on this guy and you are picking on that guy, too much, he did a beautiful job here, well, that's great but it has to be paid for.

And that's what I'm wondering about this zoning idea because it's time we box these people in with the nonprofits and no more. That's it. We can't afford to have a whole county full of nonprofits, they are great institutions and I would be the last one in the world to and a hypocrite to bitch about hospitals, excuse the term, because I have been in them often enough, but Daron, again, when they just keep expanding and expanding and taking more and more off of the tax rolls we have to have the money some day.

And last week I heard a little issue about a study with Nay Aug Park, and this is only hearsay, but a few years ago when the people were -- and I don't even think you people were up here at that time, the three of you, the people from the zoo came, the wildlife center, and I said, "Well, gee, didn't the mayor give you guys money?"

And they said, "No."

And he said, "We were promised \$50,000 a year and we went out and did \$175,000 study on a feasibility of a

wildlife center at Nay Aug Park and that bill got paid," and despite the fact that 50 grand was pledged to the operators of the wildlife center they never received a dime. So now that's only hearsay, but it's there for you to check out and it is researchable if you go back throughout records. It's really ashame if that be the case and hopefully that \$50,000 won't be \$49,500 to determine how to spend the last 500 for some study, you know, very studious here in this town for some reason.

I'll just make it a second,

Mr. Savern doesn't want to pay taxes,

denounced his citizenship despite the fact

that he was rescued from Brazil, he was

kidnaped -- potential kidnap victim and he

formed Facebook and he wants to settle in

Indonesia, well, Indonesia is predominantly

a Muslim theocracy, look up East Themore and

find out about the ethnic cleansing, and my

advise to him is go ahead and don't lose

your head.

JP Morgan Chase, don't worry, taxpayers, we don't need a bailout, we got

your 401-Ks. And the tea party freshen, they are up to -- 15 of them are up to a million dollars in campaign donations from Wall Street, so I thought that's what they were all about and to begin with, it's like some people said before, you know, you hear one thing and another thing later.

And finally, birth certificates drop for IDs for voting so that's some good news. Hopefully they should scrap that whole law and start over and it's reasonable to ask somebody for an ID to vote, but it's five years in prison for me to say, "I'm Jack Loscombe," even if he gives me a voter's card and said, "I'm not feeling well," and lists the people he wants me to list for. Even if I faithfully voted for all of these people we could both get five years in prison. Thank you have a good night and don't forget bawk, bawk.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Does anyone else wish to address council?

MR. CHILIPKO: I literally ran down here tonight, here is my belt. I saw the first speaker on council, I decide before

tonight why waste my time, why go down there, blah, blah, blah.

MR. JOYCE: Excuse me, can you state your name for the record.

MS. CHILIPKO: Oh, Mary Chilipko,
Scranton resident, Pine Brook resident, but,
Mr. Rogan, offered -- you support us.
Mr. Rogan is coming down to Pine Brook next
week. Jack, I know how hard you work, I
call you on the phone, you are out there,
you help, and I don't know you, I didn't
know you before you were elected. I'd offer
you a couple of books for your house but you
are too honest, so that's out.

My question is did Mike Wallace, the zoning officer, ever answer your letter to appear before a caucus?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have not seen a response, no.

MR. CHILIPKO: I think I did, a developer in my neighborhood, Mr. DeFreeda, again, who I did not know before he approached us in our neighborhood and did a service by coming here and speaking out against the zoning officer, Mike Wallace.

I went to a few zoning hearings for other neighbors to rehab buildings, they went before zoning, the zoning board with approved building permits were issued and that people are residing in them.

Mr. DeFreeda by sneaky Mr. Mike Wallace was shut down again, and I don't understand, I don't know numbers like some of these people that come in here, they are, you know, very knowledgeable on that, but he was shut down again and I believe Mr. Wallace promotes blight. He has to go back to plans that now I find out are subcontracted because the people in the apartment can't read them, they are not qualified, the inspectors, the zoning officer. I'd like to know, what are his qualifications? Has anybody ever seen that or is that just the administration?

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's an administrative appointment.

MS. CHILIPKO: When you can't shame people into doing their jobs that's bad. If this were me, if somebody was up here talking about me and whatever, they can say what they want, like you Jack, I would be

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mortified. I would be on the phone, I would be hiding until I found out what I could do to rectify the problems. Instead they retaliate and they make it worse.

I'm glad, I'm glad I don't know own anybody any jobs. I'm glad I don't owe anybody any favors. I hope you three gentlemen stay that way. Jack, you have come a long way, dude. (Clapping.)

One last thing, I was approached by one of the drunken bums that lives in one of the dumps by my house last night and he had an unfortunate run-in with my garden hose while it was shooting water, and he told me he is here for the duration. I hope not. hope things change. People like you, people like these people, I should be here. saw the first speaker I threw some clothes on and ran down here, we need to be here and Mr. -- I think -- that owns Boccardos he is going to start a lawsuit. No shame there. Nobody cares. It's just another lawsuit for the city. You are talking about borrowing to get us out of debt, nobody cares.

Another lawsuit, that's all people say. Out

Ι

1 in the hall he said, "But I'll win." Nobody cares. It doesn't matter. 2 3 hope this changes. Thank you. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 5 MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who would like to address council? 6 MR. JONES: Hi. 7 Bob Jones, member 8 of the taxpayers'. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 10 MR. JONES: We are still occupying 11 Scranton and thanks to you guys I don't need a tent, so hands to you, too. I have 12 13 empathy for you guys, it seems every time 14 the mayor sticks his foot in it you guys end up looking bad and taking the heat from a 15 16 lot of people and that's just not fair. 17 ain't right. Real quick with that. 18 Also, I promised Mr. Lewis I would 19 ask, what is the state of the Rossi audit? 20 We'll probably here that post --21 MR. JOYCE: I am going to discuss 22 that in motions. At the present time our 23 business administrator has indicated to us 24 that it should be completed by the second 25 week of July.

MR. JONES: Okay. So it's not good news or bad news, it's so-so, kind of like a NASA launch or something; right? And I'd like to say thanks to Bob Casey for getting the firemen that money, and I was a little bit, how should I say leery, because, you know, let's just hope the mayor doesn't find a way to mismanage it or anything like that. I have been reassured that he can't use that for anything but rehiring firemen, let's hope that comes to fruition and he doesn't use it to like pay off the award granted by the courts or anything like that.

But my question was about 5-C. They are going to have police officer issue parking tickets? I thought the Parking Authority did that? Are they going to have them patrol the meters or --

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, no, the police still issue tickets outside of the meter areas.

MR. JONES: Oh, okay.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Illegal parking and stuff like that.

MR. JONES: So they are not going to

use police just to patrol meters or anything like that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MR. JONES: My neighbor Ron will be glad to hear that because all of the cars are parked on the sidewalk in our neighborhood will probably be getting tickets.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And I believe when I spoke to Chief Duffy regarding one of the things was there was that section was removed from the former tickets to authorize them, you know, like a blank spot for parking on the sidewalks and parking the opposite way, and to be able to put the ordinance number on there, so that's part of what this whole thing is about.

MR. JONES: You hear that, Ron, good news? Okay. And I just wanted to mention that what Les said \$7 an hour to park and most jobs around here pay \$7 an hour so I guess if you have to pay for your own parking working around here you are just losing money and might as well go down and get yourself unemployment or something like

1 that I guess, but, okay. Thanks a lot. 2 Good to see you guys again. MR. LOSCOMBE: 3 Thank you. MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else who 4 wishes to address council? 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. 6 7 Marie Schumacher, a city resident and 8 taxpayer. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 10 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. MS. SCHUMACHER: For weeks I stood 11 at this podium and I asked about the 12 13 police -- the status of the investigation of 14 misconduct by a police officer and repeatedly I said I to not care to know the 15 16 name of the person, I want to know the 17 offense. I think we have that. Yet 18 Mr. Loscombe last week when you responded 19 you kept saying over and over, "I'm sorry, Mrs. Schumacher, I cannot give you the 20 21 person's name." 22 I didn't care. Ironically, the 23 Times-Tribune printed an article about the 24 demotion of a patrol officer, someone down 25 to patrol officer status, and again, he said

nothing about what the offense was, but they managed to publish something.

Now, what I don't understand and I cannot accept that we as residents of this city do not have a right to know what misconduct has been alleged, and I would presume from the Times-Tribune article that you don't get demoted for nothing, so I would assume that now I connect those dots and I would say the individual named there is the person and something was done and I think we have a right to know. I have a right to know.

If I'm stopped by a patrolman I want to know what he has been up to. I don't care. And if I had a daughter, I'll tell you, I would be down here and I would be wanting to know, too, because --

MR. LOSCOMBE: I can't agree with you more. I cannot agree with you more, but I can only do so much in my capacity. I would suggest possibly a Right-to-Know to the police chief and the mayor.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I think you people --

 $\label{eq:mr} \mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \mbox{The newspaper got} \\ \mbox{more information than I did.}$

MS. SCHUMACHER: You people need to take some action, you are the ones that we elected to represent us.

Also, I would like to know what period of time is covered by the SAFER grant, Mr. Loscombe?

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \text{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \text{I believe it's two or} \\ \text{three years, I'm not quite sure.}$

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to know specifically if you could.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Again, week after week these gentleman from the county has been here, and I don't understand, you guys -- you, this council, had a special meeting on blight several years ago, 2010 as a matter of fact, and sometime in the summer. In October of 2010, Senate Bill 900 was passed. It was a neighborhood and city revitalization and reclamation act. It gave much more power to fight slumlords including extraditing people from out-of-state and getting them in here. However, what it

requires is to have a housing court in the county. Has the county had a housing court? Not that I have read about. Have you people asked for the establishment of a housing authority? Not that I have heard. And I think you are remiss. This gentleman comes and I think we desperately need that, we have a lot.

I'm also -- I can't even read my writing on that one, I will come back to it if I have time. Last week the solicitor stated that we do have a Recovery Plan in place and I would like to know where that is. As far as I know, the only Recovery Plan expired in 2006 and we have been waiting for a revised Recovery Plan ever since, so I would like to know how we are tracking to the Recovery Plan that's in place. Maybe you could address that, Mr. Joyce, in motions.

And also I would like to know who is keeper of the city's master plan? Nobody knows, huh? Okay. Maybe next week somebody could let us know who is keeper of the city's master plan.

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would believe the mayor.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to know if the treasurer's sale that has been advertised twice in the papers, where all of the properties that the city owns that they are not using? I believe at last count, and that was this afternoon, there is something like 362 properties that are held by the City of Scranton and I know not all of them are being used and I'm sure some are probably brought as reclamation in flood control districts, but I cannot believe that some of those properties could not be put into this sale and restored to the tax rolls. I think it's ashame. If you are watching every dime there is -- there is a place you can rub more than two together I would think.

And then I'll finish up, since everybody else went over tonight I may as well, too. The boundaries on 5-E says the boundaries are being established and I find it very offensive that when we are talking about deteriorated areas that the boundaries

are the City of Scranton. Now, that's a little bit too ambiguous for me, so anybody can do it. The only exemption is the improvements have to be over \$500,000, that's something, but it also -- the definition says they have to be vacated, condemned or demolished by reason of noncompliance with ordinances or regulations.

Now, that is so -- that might be an out, but that also could be manipulated much. I don't know that we should be giving anybody tax free status right now with the condition that we are in and I think that 5-E needs a lot of work before you pass it. Thank you. I'll bring the rest next week.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Also.

Mrs. Schumacher, I believe our city planner,
Don King, should have the master plan. He
is on the fourth floor.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, no doubt. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would also add on the boundaries, I will read them, they are short, "The boundaries of the City of

1	Scranton are hereby affixed as the
2	boundaries of the deteriorating area and all
3	industrial, commercial or other business
4	properties located therein shall be
5	considered a deteriorated property for the
6	purpose of the work."
7	So it does seem to be city line.
8	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, that's what
9	I'm saying. That's way, way too
10	nonspecific.
11	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie.
12	MR. JOYCE: Hey, Chrissy.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Jackie.
14	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. Jack, I
15	want to tell you, I want to thank Mr. Casey
16	for the firehouses. I want to thank him
17	personally. Jack, he is good man.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll give him a plug.
19	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thank you.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Now you're back to
21	work, Chris.
22	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, I'm back
23	to work because of you, Buddy.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Get your gear
25	cleaned.

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Very briefly. I'd just like to reinstate what I said last week and in terms of moving forward with the Recovery Plan each week that we fail to address the issues that are before us the crisis becomes greater. Each day I'm receiving information of problems concerning the unfunded debt and now I found out that the proposed TAN-B that we are looking at is probably off the table, that the banks that were interested in doing it have decided not to because of comments that were made concerning default.

I think that we, again, need to get positive and work towards settlement of these issues and if we don't we are looking at -- if we can't receive the unfunded debt, right now that represents about 46 percent of the remaining budget, that 16 point whatever million dollars. There is no way that we can survive unless this is accomplished.

And I know that our solicitor said

that there is a Recovery Plan in place and that that satisfies the legislation, it may but it's the banks seekings that I'm concerned with. The banks are the ones that are saying that we don't, you know, they want to look at a new Recovery Plan. They want something into the future, not something that's from 2002, and so we need something. We need to do something quickly and, as I said, each week it gets more dire and hopefully we will move on that and be open and more open to the public in how we are approaching it. And other than that, I don't have anything. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. McGoff, in our mail I did see that we received today a revised Recovery Plan from the mayor at 3:30 this afternoon.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: So I don't know when that will be placed on the agenda, but I'm sure once Mrs. Evans is back and once her mother recovers, which hopefully everything will be all right, I'm sure that she will address that.

MR. ROGAN: My turn?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I would like to start off on a positive note. The Armed Forces parade this year did seem to have a higher turn out than last year, not as high as it should have been. I did run into Mr. Joyce, Mr. Loscombe, representatives from the county and a lot of people in the community. It was good to go out and say hello to a lot of people, and most importantly honor our veterans and our members of the armed services.

I guess I'll start off by addressing the issue of rubber stamping that I brought back into the fold last week. Regardless of whether you support or oppose the borrowing issue that was in front of us last week, my concern was, number one, the mayor and the business administrator didn't even come in to present their legislation. It's their plan. Council was asked to approve their plan. I think at the very least they should be in to explain why they see this as the best solution. Why there are no other

options. I think they owe it to council and to the taxpayers.

Whether you support or oppose it really is another issue, but I think at the very least they owe that to us and we owe that to the taxpayers to demand that they come in before voting on something very important like a tax increase and borrowing.

Secondly, and this goes back to what was mentioned at the podium tonight, regardless of what you think of the health care bill, the famous line when they presented it was, "We have to pass it to find out what's in it," and that's exactly what this council did with the parking tax. The night of the vote, and I voted for it first read, I voted for it second reading, I thought it was a good idea, for the most part. There were some parts I didn't like and I think we all disagreed with the Authority, but Attorney Hughes explained why it couldn't be.

That night of the vote a business owner came to the meeting, asked a question, not one member of the council had an answer

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for him. We asked our solicitor, and we can't expect our solicitor to have an answer off the cuff, he needs to do research, that's what attorneys do. Our attorney didn't know the answer, the members of council didn't know the answer. Personally I don't think we should vote if we don't know the information. That was rubber stamped and it's something I was likely to vote for if I had all of the information in front of me, but how can you vote - and it all came home to roost tonight when another business owner in this city who pays from what he says \$30,000 in taxes, which is what most of us in the city make in a year, comes with these questions, and again, there is no definite answer. I don't know, I couldn't state for sure the answer to those questions, I don't think anyone else up here could. That's the problem. We can't be passing things that we don't know what's in them or without an explanation.

Now, tonight we are going down the road tonight with the Parking Authority.

Attorney Hughes sent out a request six

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months ago, I see there was a reply today, I didn't get a chance to read it yet, regardless of whether -- maybe the information is there, maybe it's not, you know, they just did what they did with the budget, just try to gloss over council, The maybe it is the accurate information. council has requested for months, and actually previous councils even requested that Mr. Scopelliti come in and explain: One, why the Parking Authority needs this money, which I think we all know; and two, why did they need the money? Why are they in that state? How did it get mismanaged for that many years? I stated before it was even on the agenda and I'll state it again tonight, I want Mr. Scopelliti to come in to get in the information. Even if he comes in, I'm not supporting it, it's a bailout, the city taxpayers' money could be put to much better use than bailing out an authority.

There was a article in the paper today about the pension fund being underfunded. I think the nearly \$1 million

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's on the legislation to go to the

Parking Authority, which is a black hole,

would be much better spent on the pension

fund and that's just one of the many places.

Additionally, and I apologize for reading from my phone, I just got the e-mail as I was walking into the building, Mr. McGowan sends out an e-mail to council, we were copied on an e-mail from Blue Cross. The e-mail from Blue Cross asks for another payment and that we have a \$2 million outstanding balance. First line of business, outstanding First Priority life insurance, \$2,341,000.62. Blue Cross of Northeast PA, \$125,521.35. High Mark Blue Shield, \$114,722.45. Major medical, \$56,533.92. Total due, approximately \$2.3 million. Don't you think this million dollars that's going to the Parking Authority would be much better spent to make sure our employees have health care than bailing out the Authority?

And I'm glad Mr. Sbaraglia provided us with this article, it's pretty old, you know, this is back when Mrs. Fanucci and

Gatelli were on council, but just to, you know, I'll talk about this more when the vote comes up, but it says about \$630,000 will be spent to replace the plain concrete exterior with a brick facade. \$630,000 to make the building look nice. Where did you think that money came from? They borrowed it. Now they are coming back to the city and they are not even going to borrow it off of us, they want us to just give it to them, so essentially two-thirds of the money that the administration and the Parking Authority want us to give to them paid for a brick facade on that building.

There is no way I'm going to vote for this. I hope Mr. Scopelliti comes in, I hope he brings the mayor, I hope he brings his solicitor and the city solicitor, since they are the same person, in and answers our questions, but there is no way I'm voting for this bailout.

Next, as Mr. Joyce mentioned, at 3:30 today we did receive the mayor -- it says, Prepared by the mayor's office, revised Recovery Plan." I don't think

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anybody has had a chance to review it yet.

It's rather lengthy and I think we will all
be reviewing this over the next week and we
will commenting on that and what we like and
don't like and see how we can move forward
from there.

Getting back to the Parking Authority, and I forgot to mention this, along with Mr. Scopelliti, I also think we should bring in the former director of the Parking Authority, Mr. Wintermantel from -and none of this is official because he is not here, but from what I hear he understood that this proposed plan, pushed by the mayor and Mr. Scopelliti currently wasn't going to That we wouldn't afford to be spending all this money and eventually it was going to come to an end. He was pushed out of the Authority. He wasn't the director anymore because he didn't agree with that. I would like to see the other opinion on why we are in the state we are in.

Obviously, Mr. Scopelliti is going to do everything he can do defend his

\$80,000 a year job and to try to get council to push through a nearly million dollar bailout for the Parking Authority. So I think that that would be nice to have him in as well, and I don't believe we could subpoena him because he is not a current office holder or part of the board, but I would like to get another opinion because we all know what Mr. Scopelliti is going to be here. He is not going to be here to get the best deal for the taxpayers of the city or the residents or the council, he is looking out for the Parking Authority.

So I think it would be very interesting to see if he does, in fact, come in. I don't think he will if history is any indication, but we will see.

Next, we received a letter from the Governor's Office. It says, "It is my pleasure to enclose a certificate signed Honorable Tom Corbett, Governor of the Commonwealth, issued under the great seal of the Commonwealth proclaiming that the City of Scranton is eligible for city classification law to be reclassified as a

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city of the Third Class. Change in certification can become effective only pursuant to the provisions under the Act of June 25, 1895, as amended."

And I haven't spoke much about reclassification, I think that it's something we have to be very careful about. We have to wight out the negatives and the positives, and I know Senator Blake made some comments in the paper, I understand his district is most -- was all of Lackawanna County so he has to look out for everyone, we have to look out for the residents of Scranton, and we have to look at the positives and the negatives. I know a commuter tax has been discussed, it can't be implemented without changing classification, some say it can, some say it can't, but we all need all of the information before we do something as major and changing city classification.

Next, I received, and it's actually on the agenda from Ms. Aebli, changes to this year's action plan, and it is the first reading. "If any council members have any

changes they would like to see, please contact me, send me an e-mail of what changes you would like to see. Keep in mind that the public service law must be the same, we cannot move money in and out of that account."

You know, it is -- funds are being cut. Funds are being cut everywhere, so the programs when we amended it initially we cut out most of the things that people would find very offensive for taxpayer dollars to be used for. And now the further amendments are very tough decisions and it's something that everyone should have some input, all of us together as a council, and if we are going to amend what Mrs. Aebli sent down I think we should all submit suggestions and discuss it in caucus before any amendments are made.

Next, there are a very few questions that came in and. Mrs. Krake, can we please send them to the DPW, the following potholes need to be filled: Hemlock Street and Stafford Avenue intersection. The 200 block of Meadow Avenue in front of Aramark. The

200 block of Meadow in front of the Clarion Hotel. The 300 block of Meadow in front of Wells Fargo, and also a one-way sign on the corner of the 300 block of North Bromley and Swetland Street has been down for nearly two weeks. Could we please inform DPW of this situation.

And I will have much more to say on the agenda items once it's time to vote, but for now that will be all. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. First of all, I would like to apologize, sometimes I get a little passionate about certain things, but I do take my job here seriously and I try to do the best I can. It's tough sometimes to be everywhere and, you know, to get all of the answers, and as I said before, none of us are perfect. You know we make decisions based upon our best advice and the best reading of it and our best conversations and, you know, some of this legislation as far as the parking ordinance and stuff like that, like I said, there is going to be some tweaks to anything that

comes down the road. I don't think there has been a perfect document presented anywhere from the top lawyers. There are still quirks there that they find in Court.

But, you know, again, to say it's something that was rubber stamped and all of that, say what it is. We are looking to generate revenue in this cash-strapped city and we are trying hard, but we have tons of issues in front of us and that's what happens. We are a part-time body, but I know we all do full-time work here on council business and, you know, that's why I am passionate and I know how my colleagues work, too. We are in constant communication with each other.

And, you know, I would just -Mr. Rogan, if you can, would you be able to
get ahold of our city solicitor to clarify
that parking issue?

MR. ROGAN: No, I voted against it because we didn't have the clarification.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah, but if you wanted to answer the gentleman's question would you be able to --

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Well, you guys were the ones that passed it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, that's all I Next, I am thrilled that wanted to know. the city was able to get the SAFER grant today. I want to thank Senator Casey and I do want to thank the firefighters who put the grant application together on their own I don't have their names, I apologize, but it was a lot of hard work, there was a lot of information to get together and with the push and the help from our Senator Casey we were able to achieve that, and what that means is you are going to live in a safer city and you are going to be able to sleep a little better at night. We will have the manpower and the fire stations open that should be open and hopefully we will be able to get the police ranks up to where they should be. What was working before should never have been broken and, again, I would just hope everyone feels better with that.

Tonight, as it was mentioned several times, we will be introducing legislation on

5-B, the Scranton Parking Authority debt payment of \$940,000. I don't have to tell anyone here my feelings on that Parking Authority debt and I believe we all feel the same way. In fact, it was in the newspaper this week some comments that I had made and that hasn't changed. It is on the legislation tonight for introduction, and after speaking to Mrs. Evans and Mr. Hughes I think I would vote to introduce it this evening only because Solicitor Hughes and Mrs. Evans have been actively researching this debt payment since our last meeting.

If the ordinance is introduced this evening, the following arrangement is to be abided by, the following people will be required to attend a public caucus in city council chambers prior to next week's meeting, May 31, at 5:30 p.m. This is imperative that these people appear: Mayor Doherty, Mr. Scopelliti, Mr. McGowan, Scranton Parking Authority board members, a representative from the bond companies, and a representative from the insurance company that guarantees the bonds. We will be

demanding answers and a plan of action going forward.

It is also our intention to determine whether it is time to place the Parking Authority under new management, that the parking garages be in part or whole sold or leased, and council is looking for an accountability and a concise plan to be enacted.

If any of the participants fail to appear next week, this issue will be over and city council will vote accordingly. And that's all I have this evening. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe. To report, as has been specified in 3-F, our tax collector, Bill Courtright, has submitted a deposit into the 2012 TAN lockbox which is currently being held at Fidelity Bank. The deposit was in the amount of \$280,095.51. As one may or may not know, this year there is a special agreement with the TAN. As part of the special agreement, all real estate tax revenue is to be placed into a lockbox for final repayment by June 30, 2012, or until

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of the balance is paid, whichever comes first.

At this point, there is approximately \$75,000 left to pay on the TAN after this last deposit, so we have about -- so approximately \$75,000 left before the 2012 TAN is fully paid.

Secondly, Scranton City Council has received notice from Northeast Revenue that they are delivering tax collections from the period of May 1 to May 18. As one may know, Northeast Revenue is collecting all delinquent real estate tax for the City of Scranton with the exception of 2011 delinquent taxes which are being collected by the Scranton City Single Tax Office. From the period of May 1 to May 18, Northeast Revenue distributed \$318,652.34 to the City of Scranton. This includes all of the delinquent taxes collected by them with the exception of 2004, 2005 and 2006 delinquent taxes. As one may or may not know, taxes for those years are remitted to Pennstar Bank to pay on a loan taken out by the Scranton Redevelopment authority for the

sale of delinquent taxes for those years which defaulted.

The amount of revenue that Northeast Revenue collected from delinquent real estate taxes from 2004, 2005 and 2006, was \$80,994.17. This amount was distributed directly to Pennstar Bank, so as you can see, Northeast Revenue is doing a very good job at collecting delinquent real estate taxes for us.

an audit status report from our business administrator, Ryan McGowan. In order to complete the audit, there is a list of 31 tasks that must be completed by the business administrator's office. Currently, 19 out of the 31 tasks are completed. Out of all of the outstanding tasks that need to be completed, some of the tasks are scheduled to be completed by the end of the month, and some of the tasks were scheduled to be completed by the end of the next month.

Overall, the current audit status report, as I stated before, the exit conference is currently projected to be held

1 during the second week of July, and the rest 2 of my comments I'll save for the votes 3 tonight, and that is it. MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF 4 5 COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY 6 TRANSFERRING \$940,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 7 8 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 9 OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 10 EXPENSES - OPERATING DEBT SERVICE - SCRANTON 11 12 PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING BY WIRE TRANSFER TO BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST 13 14 COMPANY, N.A., TRUSTEE, FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT 15 16 DUE JUNE 1, 2012. 17 MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 19 introduced into its proper committee. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Second. MR. JOYCE: On the question? 22 23 MR. ROGAN: I guess I'll just 24 reiterate what I stated a little bit more. 25 I would hope that one of my colleagues would

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

join me in voting "no" this week since we are short one member and it will only take two votes to defeat this legislation tonight. Next week with a full body it will take three votes to defeat this legislation.

There is no question that the City of Scranton cannot afford to give the Scranton Parking Authority nearly \$1 That money, which is placed in million. contingency, which the mayor and the administration wrongly say that was in the budget in the past, it was not in the budget in the past, it was a -- there was money sitting in a contingency fund. That money is not earmarked for any specific project, any specific bills, that's why it's called the contingency fund. The administration did earmark money for the Scranton Parking Authority bailout and it was taken out of the budget. That was one of the big reasons why I supported council's amendments, along with the tax -- the reduction in the tax increase.

After seeing the newspaper today about the pension fund being woefully

underfunded, after receiving e-mails week after week and reading articles week after week with Mr. McGowan quoted as we need to pay Blue Cross or employees won't have health insurance, I am hoping that one of my colleagues will join me in voting this down and then next week legislation can be drafted to transfer the identical amount of money out of the contingency fund to pay Blue Cross for our employees' health care.

I respect our attorney's opinion, I know he is not here tonight. He has said in the past let them fail. Let them fail.

Take them back in-house. Let the elected officials be in charge. And I think we all have this right that the authorities where these members are appointed to these boards and then they are not accountable to anyone. They are not elected, they are appointed.

Council can vote down an appointment to the authority and they still get the spot. We went down that road before, also. We have voted down numerous appointments, some because of merit, some because of something as simple as not providing a resume. Again,

we need to know what we are voting for when it comes to the residents for these individuals.

It's very frustrating. The last few weeks have been the most frustrating weeks of my short career on council. I just cannot comprehend why anyone would vote to give away, give away, not a loan, give away almost a million dollars of the taxpayers' money to an authority that has no plan for correction. This is just going to be wire transfer to pay off a debt. This isn't going to solve anything. There is no plan.

Mr. McGoff and the administration mentioned, well, the banks won't deal with us unless we have a Recovery Plan. Well, let's tell the authorities that we are not going to deal with them unless they have a plan. So I will be voting "no".

MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to briefly comment, the one thing that we are neglecting and has been neglected so far is that we are liable for this debt. At one point in time or another, we will need to pay this amount of money. Now, to allow it

to default and to go to Court to have the Courts tell us, "Yes, you need to pay it," all that does is send a message to the banks that we are willing to default on any loan, and if that were not the case maybe this would be something that I could vote against, but the fact that we do need to pay this at some point in time I would rather pay it before it goes to default while we still have some credibility with the banking community, while we still have some opportunity to receive unfunded debt, maybe a possibility of a TAN.

If we default, if we allow this to default, none of that happens, we lose the possibility of unfunded debt, which as I said before, is now over 40 percent of the anticipated revenue for the city. This city goes bankrupt and I do not want to see that happen. If the city goes bankrupt we have talked about, you know, people say, "Let it go bankrupt."

MR. ROGAN: No one said let the city go bankrupt.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, some people have.

MR. ROGAN: Nobody on council.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay. People have suggested that it may be worthwhile. I don't want to see that happen. The only thing that would happen if we allow that would be that, again, you go to Court and you Court says, "Raise taxes."

That's what we are trying to -- we keep saying that's what we are trying to avoid. I think that the logical thing to do -- and, yes, I still believe there are a questions that need to be answered, I think the primary question needs to be answered dealing with this is where do we find \$940,000? It's nice that it's on paper, I'm sure where that's going to come from. I think that's one very serious question we should ask.

And, yes, do I believe we should have people into explain this, yes. I don't know that the laundry list that Mr. Loscombe mentioned is going to be possible, but I do think that we need at least some people in to explain the situation, explain how -- maybe explaining if there is a plan in place

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the dealing with it, but at least get some explanation.

I think it's important that we at least vote to introduce this, get answers to the questions and hopefully provide answers so that we can move forward with this and avoid default on a loan that we are by law guaranteeing. That's all. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: And I wish Attorney Hughes was here, but from what Attorney Hughes mentioned in the past the city didn't That was one of the back this loan. sticking points of TAN-B that they wanted us to back this bad loan. Now. I understand that eventually authority debt will become city debt, but in that process is it also possible to disband the authority and take everything back in-house so the elected officials are in charge, which I think and I think many people out there think the elected officials should be in charge.

The garages have been losing money every year. They are not turning a profit.

Millions have been wasted on improvements.

You know, the \$600,000 that I mentioned for

a brick facade, it's just the tip of the iceberg. The StreetSmart system would have helped the authority as well. Again, another program that council mentioned, and this was Mr. Loscombe's idea that we brought up that was shot down by the administration. It's time and time again.

And I appreciate Mr. Loscombe's comments earlier, and I would like to see them come in as well, but we said the same thing about the unfunded borrowing, that we wouldn't be voting on it unless the mayor came in and the business administrator made a presentation to council. That didn't happen and we still passed it.

MR. JOYCE: I want to -- go ahead.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with you,
Mr. Rogan. I mean, you know how I feel, I
feel the same way you do, all we are doing
by introducing this is if they come next
week, explain the scenario to us, we could
ask them the questions, that's fine. If
they fail to show, I don't believe I'll be
voting for it either, but we have given the
opportunity to come here, explain

themselves, answer our questions and let us know what their plans are.

But, you know, again, I think having them face us if they really need this money, if they really want this money at this point then they will be here. If they don't give a damn, then we'll have the same attitude come the vote next week.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with that,
Mr. Loscombe. The only thing that concerns
me, and everything that was just said I
agree with, if it is voted down tonight, for
instance, if one other person were to vote
with me to vote it down, it can be
reintroduced if they come in if one of the
people voted negative wants to reintroduce.

MR. MCGOFF: It's by majority vote.

MR. ROGAN: By a majority vote. So, again, we can reintroduce it if it is shot down. On the other hand, if it is pushed off until next week then it will take three votes to defeat it, not two.

MR. JOYCE: I want to clarify something, I was speaking to Attorney Hughes and this isn't the Landmark Bank loan, I

don't believe, this is the New York Bank Mellow loan which we do guarantee, and through the Parking Authority agreement of 1969 if we do go into default I know, as Mr. McGoff mentioned, that's something that the banking community would look down upon and right now the bond insurers are threatening to sue the city if we don't -if the Parking Authority doesn't make payments. So I would vote to introduce this to give them the opportunity to come in and specify exactly what they need the money for. And if they fail to show, then I may vote "no" in the future -- or I will vote "no" in the future as well.

Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. JOYCE: The ayes have it and so

moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CREATING A

2122

19

20

~~

23

24

25

1	PROCEDURE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PARKING
2	TICKETS BY THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT
3	FOR VIOLATIONS OF CODE OF THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON CHAPTER 439, ARTICLE II AND SETTING
5	A VIOLATIONS AND
6	PENALTIES SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURE FOR
7	VIOLATIONS OF SAME.
8	MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
13	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. ESTABLISHING A "NO
22	PARKING ZONE" ALONG THE WESTERLY SIDE OF
23	CEDAR AVENUE (S.R.0011) FROM THE SOUTHWEST
24	CORNER OF RIPPLE STREET EXTENDED SOUTH 250
25	FEET TO ALLOW FOR SAFE SIGHT DISTANCE FOR A

1	PROPOSED DRIVEWAY BY ROSSI ROOTER FOR A
2	PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2100 CEDAR AVENUE.
3	MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
5	introduced into its proper committee.
6	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
8	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
9	those in favor of introduction signify by
10	saying aye.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. PROVIDING FOR THE
17	PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN
18	DETERIORATED INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL OR
19	OTHER BUSINESS PROPERTY; DEFINING ELIGIBLE
20	DETERIORATED AREAS; SETTING A MAXIMUM
21	EXEMPTION AMOUNT, AND AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE
22	AND PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR SECURING AN
23	EXEMPTION.
24	MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll
25	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
	Π

2

3

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Once again, I think there is some questions with this that were brought up. Mr. Rogan and I have discussed at various times why when there is legislation that has import such as this that someone from the administration does not come to explain it. I think just as we talked about with the Parking Authority legislation, I think this needs a little bit of explanation as to it's purpose and, you know, it's intent and the effect that it would have on the city and I would appreciate if before we would make a final vote on this that we do get someone from the administration to provide some explanation.

MR. ROGAN: I agree with Mr. McGoff, we spoke about this many times, and I do support the idea of tax breaks for somebody who is going to come in and clean up a blighted neighborhood or a blighted property. I know the residents of Dickson

Avenue specifically have been tormented over the last decade with the previous development that was there and there is still -- the effects are still lingering.

I don't want to see this abused.

You know, we don't want to see properties that are, you know, properties that are inhabitable given a tax break, but if it's implemented properly it can help the city.

It can help eliminate blight and create jobs and over the long run increase the tax base.

So I will be voting "yes" this week as well and I do wants to a little bit more information, but I do support the general concept, it depends on how it's implemented, which is really what I have an interest in.

MR. JOYCE: Well, I will say I don't support this legislation. One, I do think this is vague and I agree that there are some questions that need to be answered, but, one, we are giving tax breaks away while we are asking people to shoulder the burden of a tax increase in 7-A, which is Court ordered, and I don't see -- and I can't sit her in good conscience and say to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the people of Scranton I want to -- or I can't sit here and say to the people of Scranton that I want to give tax breaks away while I'm asking residents to contribute more to the tax burden.

On the other hand, I MR. ROGAN: don't believe that residents should have to pay more to the tax burden and I would like to see tax breaks for real development, not the failed KOZ system that has so many flaws, not the system that it's just ten years of tax free, and when Attorney Hughes-- when we did have a caucus on this matter about three months ago I think, and I may be wrong, but from the way it was explained that night I believe that the tax exemption is on the property, but they still pay taxes on the improvements, and somebody correct me if I'm wrong, so there will still be money coming in, it's not a complete give away, and it's only one year.

I think it's something that needs to be examined. It's not something that, you know, I'm vehemently opposed to, it's not something I'm going to be out there fighting

for that I said I believe in 100 percent, but it's something we should look at, so I hope it moves on and we will, you know, it's a special decision like Daron Northeast which really hurt the neighbors in that community.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll be voting to approve it this evening, but I do, again, have questions and hopefully, I don't know who we would get to come in and explain it a little bit more, but before I do make a final vote on it I would like to have some questions answered.

MR. ROGAN: Maybe the city solicitor.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Whoever -- direct that to whoever -- we'll discuss that with, Mrs. Krake, whoever --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, since you have the best relationship with the administration do you think you would be able to get somebody to come in?

MR. MCGOFF: I will -- I would like to find where the origin of the legislation was and if we can find the originator then

1 we can ask it they will come to council and 2 explain it. I will try, yes. 3 MR. ROGAN: Great. MR. JOYCE: Anyone else on the 4 5 question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MR. JOYCE: Opposed? No. The ayes 11 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AMENDING FILE OF 12 COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, ENTITLED, "AN 13 14 ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 15 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 16 17 IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 18 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 19 20 BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 21 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM, AS AMENDED 22 BY "EXHIBIT A". 23 24 MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be

introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MR. JOYCE: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just like to reiterate at this time under motions, I would like anyone to reach out to me if they have any suggestions, changes they would like to see made, and hopefully we could get all meet before -- I believe before next week's meeting, we'll see what the situation is with Mrs. Evans and Attorney Hughes as well, and get together and discuss it and discuss it with Ms. Aebli as well.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I know Ms. Aebli has worked very hard on the adjustments that were made necessary because of the funding cuts, and I think, again, it becomes imperative that some of this funding -- that some -- that we move forward with this so that the allocations can be made. I know there were numerous allocations in there for paving and demolition and so on that are most appropriately done in the summer, so the allocation of those funds becomes, you

1 know, somewhat expedient and so hopefully we can move forward. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: As I mentioned before, 4 most of the fat, the things that people 5 would be most opposed to were already amended out by council. Now it would be 6 7 decision between whether do you want to pave 8 roads or do you want to tear down blighted 9 properties. You know, tough decisions of that nature and it's a discussion I'm 10 11 willing to have, and I think it's a 12 discussion we have to have. 13 MR. JOYCE: Anybody else on the 14 question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 19 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY 22 OFFICIALS TO PURCHASE A 2003 FORD CROWN 23 24 VICTORIA FITTED WITH SCRANTON POLICE 25 DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT AND PRESENTLY SERVING

1	THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT'S K-9 UNIT
2	FROM OFFICER MICHAEL MARINO FOR THE SUM OF
3	FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$4,000.00) TO
4	BECOME TITLED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S
5	POLICE DEPARTMENT.
6	MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
11	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
18	have it and so moved.
19	MS. KRAKE: 5-H. APPOINTMENT OF
20	FRANK J. TUNIS, JR., 101 KELLY WAY,
21	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AS A MEMBER OF THE
22	BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR
23	AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. TUNIS'
24	CURRENT TERM EXPIRES ON JUNE 1, 2012 AND HIS
25	NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2017.

MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-H be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: There is no second. The motion dies for lack of a second and Item 5-H is defeated.

MR. ROGAN: I just want to -personally I didn't second it, I'm sure my
colleagues will speak for themselves as well
because this is a reappointment to an
authority who is in front of us for a
bailout. Why are we going to reappoint
somebody who the board as a whole hasn't
been doing a good job, and that's why I
didn't second it.

You know, I'm sure he will still get the appointment. That's the way it's worked in the past and that's very frustrating.

Even when council shoots these appointments down they still get on these boards.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's exactly my comments, too, and I didn't see a resume.

MR. ROGAN: Neither did I.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: It's nothing personal 2 I don't think for any of us. MS. KRAKE: 5-I. ACCEPTING THE 3 RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL 4 ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND 5 APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS 6 FOR QUAD THREE GROUP, INC., ARCHITECTS, 37 7 8 NORTH WASHINGTON STREET, WILKES-BARRE, 9 PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE ADDITION OF THE VESTIBULE AND AN ELEVATOR TOWER CONSTRUCTED 10 OF STRUCTURAL MASONRY BLOCK WITH A MESASTONE 11 12 BLOCK VENEER TO CLOSELY RESEMBLE EXISTING LIMESTONE AND FOR THE REMOVAL OF THE 13 14 EXISTING HANDICAP RAMP AT THE REAR OF THE ALBRIGHT MEMORIAL LIBRARY, 500 VINE STREET, 15 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 16 17 MR. JOYCE: At this time I'll 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 19 introduced into its proper committee. 20 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 22 MR. JOYCE: On the question? A11 23 those in favor of introduction signify by 24 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 25

	130
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
6	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 33,
7	2012 - AN ORDINANCE - ESTABLISHING A NO
8	PARKING ZONE IN THE 900 BLOCK OF NORTH
9	WASHINGTON AVENUE (S.R. 3023) ON THE WESTERN
10	MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET PURSUANT TO THE
11	HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT APPLICATION OF THE
12	COMMONWEALTH MEDICAL COLLEGE FROM SR 3023
13	SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT
14	0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR A DISTANCE OF TWO
15	HUNDRED NINETEEN (219) FEET.
16	MR. JOYCE: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
21	MR. JOYCE: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
	II

7 - A .

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 have it and so moved. 3 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 4 FINANCE FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5 32, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 6 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO LEVY A REAL 7 8 ESTATE TAX MILLAGE INCREASE FOR A PERIOD OF 9 (10) YEARS DEDICATED TO RETIRING THE UNFUNDED DEBT INCURRED IN CALENDAR YEAR 2012 10 IN THE AMOUNT OF NINE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED 11 12 FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,850,000.00) AND DIRECTING THE CITY TREASURER TO SEPARATE THE 13 14 PROPER PORTION OF THE REAL ESTATE TAXES RECEIVED FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE DURING 15 16 SUCH TEN (10) YEAR PERIOD AND FORWARD SAME 17 TO A SEPARATE ACCOUNT TO SERVICE AND RETIRE 18 THE UNFUNDED DEBT. 19 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 20 21 passage of Item 7-A. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 23 MR. JOYCE: On the question? 24 MR. ROGAN: I would just say the 25 same thing I said the first two times I

voted against this legislation, it's getting very frustrating being on the losing end of 4-1 and 3-1 votes, but this tax increase is not just a tax increase, as I stated in the past, it is tied into the unfunded debt.

Mr. Joyce mentioned that it was Court ordered. At this point the borrowing is passed, so those who voted for the borrowing are going to vote for the tax increase to back it up.

I didn't vote for the borrowing, I'm not going to vote for the tax increase. The residents of Scranton cannot afford record another tax increase. The county is raising taxes, the school district is raising taxes, the city is raising taxes, the cost of living is increasing. People just can't afford it. Even the senior citizens, although I believe there was a small cost of living for social security this year, much of that is offset by the increase in Medicare premiums.

It's very frustrating to see every local government going to the same solution, and it's not a solution, it's perpetuating

the problem of debt and borrowing, taxing.

I requested to table the borrowing last week until Mayor Doherty and Mr. McGowan came in, unfortunately, nobody -- McGoff did second it so we could discuss it, but it was shot down. I would just like to reiterate my opposition to this piece of legislation.

MR. JOYCE: Is there anyone else on the question? I would just like to say that this is a Court-ordered millage increase. The last thing that I want to do is raise taxes, however, we went to Court for the unfunded debt and the judge ordered that the millage be increased to recover the unfunded debt, therefore, I will be voting "yes" to this legislation. Is there anyone else on the question? Roll call, please.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare

1 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 2 MS. KRAKE: BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 3 4 FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 22, 2012 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 5 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO ON 6 BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON A DEVELOPMENT 7 8 AGREEMENT WITH LWA ASSOCIATES LP. RELATED 9 TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS LAUREL WOODS WITHIN THE CITY. 10 11 MR. JOYCE: What is the 12 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 13 Committee on Community Development? 14 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development, I 15 16 recommend final passage of Item 7-B. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 18 MR. JOYCE: On the question? Ro11 19 call, please? 20 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 22 23 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 24 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce, before we adjourn, this was just passed from one of the residents in the gallery, I would like to request, and I don't know if this can be done, if the guests for next week's caucus, and hopefully they show up, will be sworn in and under oath, so I would just like to get that out. I know we have done it in the I believe Attorney Hughes did swear in somebody, so if they could be sworn in and under oath I think that would be a better way to approach it rather than taking them at their word.

MR. JOYCE: I would absolutely agree if that could be done. If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.

MR. JOYCE: This meeting is

adjourned.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER