	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, May 10, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE & NADDOZZI DDD OFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. Mr. Joyce.
10	Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes, please.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
15	EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT PROGRAM (ESG)
16	SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE 2011
17	CONSOLIDATED PLAN ANNUAL ACTION PLAN
18	SUBMITTED MAY 1, 2012.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	In not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. DEPOSITS MADE BY
22	THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE
23	AMOUNTS OF \$21,897.54 AND \$615,299.76 TO
24	FIDELITY BANK FOR THE 2012 TAN DEBT.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

The only thing I would like to add to that is currently that would leave approximately \$500,000 that remains owed to Fidelity Bank for TAN-A. Any other comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORTS, HEARING DATES APRIL 25 AND MAY 16TH, OF 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? In not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AUDIT STATUS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED APRIL 26, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? In not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes this evening?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements at this time? Councilman Joyce is unable to attend tonight's meeting due to illness.

The eight annual Scranton/Lackawanna County Armed Forces Veteran's parade will be held on Armed Forces Day, May 19, 2012, at 11 a.m. in downtown Scranton. It will begin at the Gino Merli Veteran's Center on the

corner of Mulberry Street and Penn Avenue, travel south on Penn Avenue, east on Lackawanna Avenue, and north on North Washington Avenue to courthouse square. Please bring your family and friends to this enjoyable event and honor those both living and deceased who have sacrificed and served our country and all of us so well.

Members of the Leadership Lackawanna
County class will sponsor a family Zumba
fundraiser Sunday, May 20, from 2 to 4 p.m.
at the Scranton Civic Ballet Company, 234
Mifflin Avenue in Scranton. Donations of
\$10 will be collected at the door. All
proceeds benefit NEPA Kids Fit for Life.

And finally, on behalf of Scranton City Council, I would like to wish all the mothers in our community a very blessed and Happy Mother's Day. I particularly wish my own dear mother, who is my best friend, my first teacher and my role model, a very happy Mother's Day. I love you, mom. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZEN'S PARTICIPATION. Our first speaker this

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evening is Bernie McGurl.

MR. MCGURL: Good evening, Council. Bernie McGurl, 525 Taylor Avenue, and I'm here tonight as the executive director of the Lackawanna County River Corridor Association to talk about disconnecting our downspouts, File of Council No. 19 of 2006 instructs all of the residents of Scranton to disconnect their downspouts that take their rain litters from their rain gutters In our older homes they are often connected to cast iron drains around the perimeter of their house. Those are connected to our sanitary sewer system so every time it rains all of that excess storm water from our roofs is going into the sewers and it adds to the sewer overflows that adversely affects the river.

So we are working with the Sewer

Authority and we have published a Lackawanna

County River Clean booklet and I have copies

for council, and there is also some

additional information I'm going to talk

about real fast and --

MS. EVANS: May I take the extra

copy for Councilman Joyce? Thank you.

MR. MCGURL: And we are actually conducting a downspout disconnection workshop to show how simple it might be for someone with a moderate level of skills to disconnect their own rain gutters and discharge them out into their garden and we are having a downspout disconnection workshop at 2416 North Main Avenue this Saturday morning at 10:00, from 10 until noon, and the coffee is on us, well, for a limited number of people, but we will have a jug of coffee, and we will show you how to disconnect your litters.

We have several other booklets in this series. We have only published the downspout disconnection booklet, but we also have booklets on our website that anyone can download related to building a rain garden in your yard, using and installing a rain barrel or developing a soakage trench for somewhere in your yard to dissipate that rain water and get it to soak into the ground on your property.

They are available on the LRCA

website at www.LRCA.org and they are also available on the Scranton Sewer Authority website at www.ScrantonSewer.org, and we would be available, our phone numbers are listed on our website, and if anyone has any questions about the downspout disconnection program they can give us a call and we will try to provide whatever technical advice we can.

We will be conducting a couple of other workshops later in the summer. We are looking to do one over in the Hill Section, one over in South Side and one in the Hyde Park as well.

this year, and it's normally the Saturday before Mother's Day so we would be hectic preparing for it, so we moved it into June, so it's going to be held on Saturday, June 9, and there is details about that on our website as well and I have provided council with a copy of our newsletter and registration information in there. People can register by going to www.LRCA.org. If they would like to participate in the canoe

race you need to rent a canoe or you can bring your own, but that's an athletic event and we actually launch it, there is two launch points in Archbald and Blakely and then the path comes down to Scranton. That goes on in the morning. The finish line is setup on the flood control levy at Olive Street and that activity goes pretty much from about 11:00 in the morning until 5:30, 6:00 in the evening. We will have the showmobile there. There will be several bands. We have a duck race in the afternoon.

And this year we are bringing back the regatta and I want to encourage everyone the regatta is free. You can come down and register for it that day and you can tell us you are going to have an entry in the regatta. We want people to get their canoes. Make something that floats. Get a boat, anything that's not motorized. We are going to be launching the regatta a little bit upstream just off Popular Street and get it decorated, put a costume on, we will be giving prices that will be hopefully some

cash prizes and also some gift certificates to local businesses. And that's the favorite part of the race for me because we have already pulled out the canoeist and canoes who have crashed through the white water in the Up Valley and we can relax at the end of the day and have a little bit of fun, so I want to invite everyone to that and we look forward to having a safe and fun Riverfest this year.

Thank you, and I have some booklets for any members of the audience tonight who would like them as well.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. MCGURL: Thank you, Council

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm here on your agenda items here, your 5-B, the surcharge on the taxes. Exactly why do we have a surcharge on our taxes under 5-B and then similar legislation in 6-A to pay off that shortfall?

MS. EVANS: Actually, the

legislation, the order, is for the tax increase that was Court ordered to pay for the unfunded debt borrowing for the Year 2011, so that particular piece of legislation is going to be put into place, that tax increase, which I believe won't be levied though until 2013.

And our council solicitor is actually the person who developed the formula on which the tax increase will be based, however, we won't know what the final tax increase will be for the unfunded debt borrowing until all of the items in 6-A have been accomplished, that being, you know, well, actually, I believe it's M & T Bank who will be purchasing -- I'll let the attorney explain -- or Solicitor Hughes, if you want to explain to Mr. Sbaraglia how that will work, that M & T Bank will actually purchase the bonds and then it's a private purchase.

MR. HUGHES: Well, it's really M & T
Securities is the placement agent. What
happens is this is a private offering
pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act,

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

actually 20144, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1933. This will go to qualified investors so that there will not have to be a registration of the public offering pursuant to the Securities Act of 193. will happen is the placing agent has a list of eligible qualified buyers that have to meet certain financial capabilities or certain financial requirements, most are institutions. They will place them, they will actually price them on the closing date and then it will be a private place that they will then place it with qualified investors on that date if they are brought. All the bonds will be sold.

As I read it in the placement agreement, there is no required minimum so they could, if they don't sell them all on the closing date, they would have an inventory that they could sell afterwards or at the could say that, "We are not going to sell this series."

I think probably what they would do would to be sell all of the series, Series

A, Series 2012-A, that's for the unfunded

debt, that's the 9.75 million and there is the bond ordinance that once that's priced and we know what it is then next year there will be a dedicated ordinance or millage to pay for that bond issue each year and that money will not go into the general fund, it will have to be segregated.

An example would be that suppose that the -- I don't know what the millage is this year, but whatever the millage is that next year if cuts are made and the mandatory increase for the 2012-A Series let's say 10 mills, but if the budget comes in this next year and there is cuts made and it would be the same millage next year as this year then that millage would go into a lockbox strictly to pay for those bonds, so it's conceivable that there might not be any tax increase.

If it comes in that the budget year that's adopted for the operating budget without this bond would be the same millage as this year and it's going to increase -- it's going to require ten mills, we'll say, and I'm just speaking hypothetically, then

the total, you know, amount of millage would be 110 and 10 percent of that when the tax collector gets it he will have to in accordance with the formula put that money into a separate lockbox to pay for the bonds.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay. Are we referring to the "A" 6-A bonds?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SBARAGLIA: When you pass the 6-A that's going to be for 26 point some million?

MR. HUGHES: No, that's the maximum amount.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I realize that, but if you know anything about -- well, you should know that. Whatever you borrow it's double what we have to pay back.

MR. HUGHES: It depends on the interest rate. It depends on the interest rate.

MR. SBARAGLIA: But it doesn't work out any different. If you look at anything we ever borrowed the rule of them thumb just double it and that's close to what we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be paying, so 26 million will probably cost us, whatever it is, will cost us close to 40 million or 40 some million more borrowing that we have to pay back.

And that's not counting the 30 million or 30 plus or 30, 40 or whatever we are going to borrow for the police and fire.

Can the city afford to do all of this borrowing is the basic question? we afford it or can we not afford it? are talking about a tax increase, there is no question about it. If you couldn't pay back the 203 bonds, we didn't have the money to pay them back, how are we going to pay these other bonds? It's not realistic to expect the people of Scranton to pay for all this borrowing. I know we deserve what we get, after all we put these people in office. I don't blame anybody but ourselves. I didn't vote for a lot of them, but as a whole we have to accept it. People, I keep saying, why didn't you use your brain instead of your name or your party when you voted? But they can't get it through their head, of course, now we are

going to pound it into their head, maybe they will finally wake up when they find the tax man knocking on the door saying you got to pay 20 percent increase or whatever, eventually it's going to be 100 percent, there is no way we can pay off all that debt without a huge, huge increase in taxes because you still have to run the city no matter what.

Ten years out we are going to still be borrowing to run the city and on top of all of this other borrowing it's going to be a burden that's going to crush the taxpayers of this city and to go out and ask somebody that lives in Dickson City or anywhere else to help pay for our incompetence that's ridiculous. We deserve what we get. There is no question about it. We voted for these people, we deserve it, but don't ask the people of Dickson City and Taylor or Dunmore to join in our fiasco. We did it, no one else. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I want to talk what Andy talked about, 5-B. I'm total against I can't believe it's even on the agenda. I thought city council was for the people of this city, but to levy a tax for ten years on this city is ridiculous. people of this city can't afford anymore taxes. We are broke. There is people like myself, many people like myself, that have to work two jobs to try and make ends meet. We can't pay anymore taxes. It's ten and a half years of mismanagement by Chris Doherty and we got to pay for it? This is wrong. hope city council votes this legislation down.

Moving on, I have to agree with one of the speakers from last week, I think this rental registration ordinance is flawed. I don't think single dwellings should be included in this rental registration. I know people that -- young people get married and they might by a little starter house and

after awhile save money and rent out that smaller house. I don't think they should be included in this rental registration. I think they should be for apartments of three or more. You don't see absentee landlords with single dwellings, it's big apartment buildings, and I think this legislation or ordinance should be updated because I don't think it's right.

Sunday in the Doherty newsletter I saw a story about the six city pools, they have to install lifts for disabled people now before they open. I could see no pools opening in the city this summer. We are broke. Where are we going to get money to put handicapped lifts in all of the pools for these people? I think the Nay Aug gorge is going to be a busy place this summer because I don't know -- like I said, we are broke. How could we put handicapped lifts in these pools?

May I approach, Mrs. Evans?

MS. EVANS: Yes. As I have stated in the past, I think pit bulls are very dangerous dogs and I have documentation to

back this up. Last weekend on MSNBC's website there was a story, "Maryland Court finds pit bulls are inherently dangerous.

The Maryland Court of Appeals declares pit bulls as a breed that are inherently dangerous and the owner of a pit bull or crossbred pit bull that attacks is strictly liable for damages as is any landlord who rent to a pit bull or rents to a pit bull owner."

And this story stems from a situation where a young boy was attacked by a pit bull and suffered life-threatening injuries and it goes onto say different things, but in Prince George County, Maryland, pit bulls are banned. Now, they are breed specific there, why can't we be breed specific in this city and county? They say we can't be breed specific, they are in Maryland and I think it's a huge Court decision and I think city council should look into this. I have been asking this for years and here is a precedent that was set and I think we should go along with this and see what happens.

Lastly, I have a question about this proposed commuter tax, I have a coworker that will be effected by this. She works with me full-time and has another part-time job in the city, will she have to pay taxes on both jobs?

MS. EVANS: I would believe so, but perhaps we could ask Solicitor Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: I didn't hear the question.

MR. SPINDLER: I have a coworker that works full-time with me and part-time in the city, but doesn't live in the city, will she have to pay that commuter tax on both jobs?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ HUGHES: If she works full-time outside of the city, no.

MR. SPINDLER: No, I'm sorry, in the city. She lives outside of the city and works two different jobs in the city, will she be taxed on both jobs?

MR. HUGHES: We are talking about an ordinance that hasn't been adopted, but based on the fact that she is working in the city at two different jobs she would have to

pay that as a commuter tax.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: That's my opinion as solicitor council, not solicitor for the City of Scranton. That's up to the solicitor's office.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I, too, would like to start on the agenda this evening with 5-B, I do believe Mr. Sbaraglia said it best when he said that the residents of this city can't afford to carry any more of this debt. We have left this mayor run the city rampant for the last ten years, the fiscal mismanagement and even the bailouts. You know, we go onto 6-A, 26 million or whatever it is going to be, the bottom line is it's not good whatever it is. It's only going to add to the over \$313 million in long-term debt that we are in and it is just another financial burden that we can't afford to

place on the residents of this city.

And, you know, I know we have four watchdogs on this council and you have been looking out for the taxpayers of this city and I would just beg you tonight to continue to do that. You know, we have a room full of people here tonight who just can't afford to take this on. We have people viewing this meeting tonight, they can't take it. It's adding on to my generation and, quite frankly, at this point I wouldn't even expect to stick around, I'm probably the only foolish one that will, but I'm not going to give up. I am going to stay here and continue to fight.

Moving onto another issue that I have been addressing here in recent weeks, well, pretty much months now, is my Right-to-Know request that I made regarding the Nay Aug Park light show, requested an electric bill to know the cost of the light show, we had issues with lights being on at all hours of the day and it just frustrates me that in a city that's basically bankrupt, that doesn't have two nickles rub together,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and yet we can leave lights on all hours of the day and as I said, if we did that in our homes and left lights on all day what would our bills be at the end of the month. It just doesn't make any sense.

Well, my first request was met with no response so at that point I did contact the open records office in Harrisburg in when they advised me to submit a second request and at that point in time I did do that, last month. The Recreation Authority and the business administration, Ryan McGowan, who acts the open records officer for the city failed to meet the time frame and respond so now at this point what I'm going to do is get in touch with the open records office, I was in touch with them today, and I'm now in the process of appealing it. They will be held accountable and they will answer my question once and for all.

They are not going to hide, they are not going to hide documentation, it's just a simple request I made, you know, we had a real difficult time seeking answers around

here and they need to be held accountable and perhaps we should consider a mandamus action and bring the Recreation Authority forward and let them come forward publically and answer questions. You know, the games in the city have to stop. People need to be held accountable and they will, I will make sure of, and I will get a response and we are not going to let this be swept under the rug like things are typically around here.

Secondly tonight, I would like to talk about the city pools. This has been something that has been a concern for me for quite sometime now. As you are all aware, I did make a request that we contact Director Dougher seeking a response as to what pools would be in operation this summer. We never did receive that response as usual, yet again, another, you know, lack of communication and just plain ignorance --

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry.

MR. MILLER: That's okay, but we were made aware in the newspaper article Sunday regarding the pools and last time I was here I know Mr. Rogan had talked about

the ADA requirements and that those would have to be put in place this summer, but I just do want to add as a side note,

Mr. Spindler talked about it, it's my understanding that as long as we do have purchase orders in place by a certain deadline we can open the pools that we plan on opening this summer, which I believe are Nay Aug Park, Connell Park, Weston Park and Weston field.

But, you know, we again found out that the Novembrino and Capouse Avenue pools will be closed yet again this summer just, you know, totally frustrates me and infuriates me that now once again we are depriving the children in west side and down in Pinebrook the opportunity to swim. You know, I can't tell you how many summers this has to be for the kids in Pinebrook. This is the second summer now at Novembrino. Last year the response was, oh, we are putting a splash park in which I have stated many times I don't believe that was ever the case. I think it was just a ploy to keep people quiet over there and let them think

something was going on.

I do have concerns with Novembrino at this time, Mr. Loscombe brought up a very good point, there is still water in one of the pools and so there is a liability.

There is a risk. God forbid one of the children --

MR. MCGOFF: May I answer you on that?

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: They need to keep water in it like you would on a home pool. If they drain the pool completely, the ground will create cracks in the foundation of the pool and so they need the water so that it stabilizes and prevents it from cracking.

MR. MILLER: Well, one thing I would suggest then is we do when we shut our pools down at a home you leave water in, cover it. You put a cover on it and that's what we should do. It shouldn't be left open like that. It's still a liability whether you got to leave water in or not then it should be covered, but the bottom line is the pool should be open.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, if we hadn't squandered millions of the dollars throughout the last ten years on legal fees and pet projects and let KOZs and nonprofits get free rides we would have the money and we would be able to open these pools up and we wouldn't deprive the children the opportunity to swim this summer.

I was in contact with Mr. Quinn today and the tax group and the Kids Swim Free Program will continue this summer, but I did discuss with him the proposal to take a step further this year and provide transportation for children in West Side and Pinebrook and transport them to a pool in the city so that they can swim and they shouldn't sit throughout the summer in the heat and, you know, just suffer this way. It's not fair to them, they deserve it, and we will to our best to make sure that these kids do swim. If we want to make sure that, you know, we have a new tomorrow for the people in this city, we have to start that tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Miller, just to touch on a couple of things, after my request at last week's meeting city council had sent out a formal letter to Director Dougher and Recreation Authority asking them to appear at a public caucus here because we do have many questions, some of which you mentioned and we have some other issues we would like to discuss with them, I don't believe we received a response yet, but I hope we do receive a timely response or, you know, we'll have to take the next step.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'

Association, Legion of Doomer and self proclaimed soothsayer. You know, 2010 I said we are 313 million in debt. 2010. Unbelievable. We are still looking at 30 million for public safety awards that our mayor thought that he could beat and we are

still looking at the audit, the OECD

Community Development Block Grant audit of
\$11 million, okay?

It's a sad day in the City of
Scranton and historically it's the worst
period in the history of the Scranton.

Mrs. Evans, you have never approved
borrowing. As a matter of fact,

Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Rogan hasn't either,
you always opposed it because you did not
approve of annual borrowing to feed the
mayor's visions and unbridled spending. You
believe that borrowing and refinancing must
be reserved for emergency situations.

Unfortunately, that time has arrived thanks to the mayor, PEL, previous rubber stamp city councils and the Scranton Times, all of whom appear to have engaged in financial mismanagement of our city, cannot hope to meet its bond payments and final obligations. I know you do not wish to see the city collapse and undergo a state takeover because it will lead to massive tax increases and severe cuts in services, particularly public safety.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The state undoubtedly will not allow Scranton to file for Chapter 9 and it will step in as an it did in Harrisburg. Council is hoping that somehow or someway you will be able to hand over a lot -- get a handle on the city's debts. It is hope, it is not There is a big difference between faith. hope and faith. There is -- if I may, you have faith in God you believe there is a You hope God, you hope there is a God, okay, that's the difference. There is a big difference and it means you can truly believe it can happen if you have faith. know that you have much doubt thus you are hoping to address our financial problem that Doherty has put on our laps. You are hoping. And that's a lot of hope for a city of our size that's dwindling like it is. It's a sad day.

You know, I'm a Legion of Doomer because years ago the Scranton Times coined that Legion of Doom for people who come up here and spoke against the Doherty regime, okay? And I say I'm a soothsayer because now the Legion of Doomers said it was going

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to come true and it came true, so the fact is now I spoke to the Society of Professional Journalism spokesmen, and when I spoke to them -- and that's a society most journalists are in and they go in there for awards and have a Code of Ethics and whatnot, okay? They felt that one newspaper in town of ours is bad, it is really bad, and they also told me that when the newspaper publishers give financial contributions to the mayor that's really bad. It's bordering on if it's ethical or not, okay, because you know they are going to sway the stories towards the mayor and try to get all of that information they can, okay?

So that's why they twist and that's why they put cartoons in the paper, in the newspaper, to make people look like a bunch of fools and that the Doherty regime is right. Well, I think it's time that the Scranton Times stop turning it's back on our community and look at our community and forget about the aristocratic Mr. Doherty, all right? We got to look at the people in

the City of Scranton that are providing the taxes here. We are looking at the low income, high poverty rate. We are looking at high senior citizen, we are looking at a dwindling tax base. We cannot continue to tax and tax and tax, and I know and I realize the situation you are in and I have to, and I don't care what happens, if it weren't for that Scranton Times twisting and turning and doing everything for Doherty for the last ten years we would not be in this position. They approve and bless every refinancing and borrowing that he did along with the rubber stamp council. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Hello.

MR. ELLMAN: I hope you all saw this Tuesday's paper about the tax exemptions, 10 or 12 paragraphs, but they sure carry a lot of information and importance.

MS. EVANS: I'm actually going to address that during motions tonight.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, it just shows this little token, minute payment that we

get from them and they think they are doing such a big favor, the University of Scranton. Here this one, Brown University, is giving \$4 million and going to going to give them another 3 million a year. It said over here in Boston that all of the colleges and universities give \$34 million. All we got here is bunch of parasites living off the taxpayers, these nonprofits. It's a bad thing you know.

I listen to people during the week here and there, we are talking about the 26 million and the school board keeps talking about the future of our children that they won't have any future with the tax base, you know, it's impossible. You know, it's no secret that if 600 houses went up for foreclosures and they are burned out and the neighborhoods are going to pot and you saw that yard near me, they just won't do nothing. I got houses don't cut the grass, they got cars parked in the lot. Five years ago it was a nice neighborhood, you know, and I am supposed to pay more in taxes.

Like I said, I got less police, less

firemen. I went by the station on North
Main the other day they had that big sign,
"Closed today." That's just heartbreaking
for people. It's not right when we are
paying more and getting so much less. Maybe
we can learn a lesson from the way they do
it in Wyoming County and some of these other
cities that are just getting state help
about forcing the colleges and universities
and nonprofits to do their fair share.

I don't mean to take on Andy

Jarbola, but I have had 10, 12, 15 people

over the past couple of weeks in

conversation wondering why there is no

action been taking against the school board

by this office. They are guilty of the same

crimes that went on Luzerne County and

nothing being said or done about them, like,

they tried to sweep it under the rug. I'm

not silly enough to take on Andy Jarbola,

but he should act upon this school board

taking the bribes like they have.

You know, I have people all the time tell me I'm too cynical and all when I get up here and I have a dear friend that said

that kind words are never forgotten, but when you mess with someone's pocketbook it makes you irritable, and that's what's happening to me. I'm being attacked on all sides with expenses that I can no longer afford in relation to my house. And I told you all I got a reverse mortgage. My house only costs me like a 125 a month, 130. It's everything else that it's, you know, like the tax base and all it's just gotten so much out of hand. I don't know.

You know, I got a little note here about -- for you all. I don't know always agree with council, of course, but I want to give you my unquestionable respect and support, you know, even though I don't agree with you I might say things that sound adverse, but I'm sure I'm not adverse to the council. I think you are our last hope, I don't know.

Jack, I was wondering if you heard anything about the zoning board if they gave them the building at 600 Wyoming.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I heard later today I believe it didn't go through.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLMAN: I just looked at the paper today and I didn't see nothing. It seems like we finally got somebody who wants to pay taxes and the people are fighting it. That's the kind of government we got. When people don't vote we get a government like this. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Gerard Hetman.

MR. MCGOFF: Might I just -- I would like to respond to one comment that was I'm not here to defend the Scranton made. School Board by any means, but there was no one on that board ever accused of accepting bribes. The article that was in the paper had to do with campaign contributions, which may have been a violation of their own Code of Ethics, but it was not an illegal activity, and to call it a bribe I think is doing a disservice to the Scranton School Board. Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. Just two
announcements this evening for council and

the city residents. First, on Friday, May 25, the Albright Memorial library will host their annual "Swinging on the Vine" block party event. The event takes place on the 500 block of Vine Street just outside the Albright Memorial Library from 5 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Tickets are \$15 in advance, and they are available in advance up until 2:00 the afternoon of the event.

\$20 admission at the door. event will include cocktails, food, and live music, which will be Paul LaBelle and the exact change. Advance tickets are available from the Albright Memorial Library, the Nancy Kay Holmes branch on Greenrdige Street, Wyoming Avenue, and also Library Express at the Mall at Steamtown, and also patrons must be 21 years of age or older to enter that event. I have been at "Swinging on the Vine" the last several years. very active, funny event, especially when there is good weather, even when there is not it's a great way to kick off the Memorial Day weekend and it's a very active event that brings out a lot of people to

downtown Scranton.

And I can say that the commissioners and many other county government branches and many people we work with are very interested in involving people and bringing people in and keeping people in downtown Scranton to revitalize not just Scranton, but Lackawanna County and that divergent core in Scranton benefits all of Lackawanna County. This is one event that does that.

And the other announcement that I have is regarding another event which this is I believe the third year for the annual Arts on Fire Festival which takes place at the Scranton Iron Furnaces. This will take place the weekend of June 1, June 2, and June 3. Friday, June 1, from 8:00 p.m. to 11 p.m.; June 2, Saturday, from 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.; and finally June 3 from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. there is a \$15 charge on Friday night, June 1, \$15 in advance or \$20 at the door, and then Saturday and Sunday there is no entry fee. This event that features food and artists and venders, live music, entertainment for all ages, and

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again, venders, arts and crafts like that.

I have yet to attend this event, but I can say from what I understand from knowing many people that attended is that is' an event that provides a number of activities at the event for visitors, for all of our local residents in Scranton and Lackawanna County. I think what makes it really unique and very exciting is that it's not only a new event, but it brings out local artists, local venders from Scranton and Lackawanna County, but it also brings in artists and venders from not just this region but around the state and around the Mid-Atlantic area and across the country. Last year at the event after the Friday night event I actually met a artist from Wisconsin. She came here to this event not because she had a relatives here or not because she wasn't going to school here but because she came for the event to Scranton.

So we see not only local businessmen and local participants, but, also people come to see the best of Scranton and Northeastern Pennsylvania and Lackawanna

County. So two events. We have some posters for you that we would like to leave with you. I saw the Armed Forces Day posters out there and, of course, we heard your announcement, Mrs. Evans. We thank you for that, and again, we look forward to a very active and growing presence with the Armed Forces Day parade next Saturday. So if I may, I would like to leave these with you. Can I just leave them here or do you want me to bring them up?

MS. EVANS: You can give them to Mrs. Marciano.

MR. HETMAN: That's all I have. As always, I'll be available after the meeting to answer any questions or issues related to county government or council for any of our citizens or residents. Thank you and have a good evening.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Richard Yost. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes paid.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Dog license bought.

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She hasn't bit anybody lately. I always tease the guy at the Dollar Store when he runs out of chews I'm going to call the cops because there are disappearing door to door Evangelicals and they're constantly asking so he has to get my chews.

Okay, on the tax increase and all the borrowing, you know, we had an awful lot of wage taxes lost and an awful lot of property taxes that aren't being paid, mainly because people fell on hard times and, you know, I have to -- it's all politics are local, but it's a small world after all and if people keep losing jobs and losing the better jobs and going back to work for 25 percent less then we only have ourselves to blame in this country for what we have allowed and it gets into voting because I have seen even, you know, public employees, they -- I've sympathized with the unions many times, but I have heard so many and seen so many times where somebody is wearing -- I think I complained to the school board about a retired teacher wearing a Herman K, but I mean what would that man

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have ever done to straighten out the financial situation of this country? I mean, give me a job making pizza? I don't think so. I'll pass on that. That's okay if you are part-time and for something or whatever, but I had a job packing pickles once. That wasn't too much fun either. I did.

Once again, I asked last week in writing the percent of the tip fee per trash as opposed to recycling and I'd like to be able to show the people of Scranton that they could save a lot of money by recycling and hopefully post-inspection of street excavations, water, sewer, gas and communication because I think that's a lot of our street paving problems and when my friend Bernie was up he mentioned about downspouts, well, we collect our rain water and we use trash barrels and to keep the mosquitoes down we get about 2 ounces of vegetable oil and dump it on the top. wife was running our water bill crazy the one summer on her garden, and on top of it you are also paying to flush that water if

it goes through your meter because that's what your sewer bill is based on, so you could save a lot of money by doing that and you it do garden, you know, you are actually helping out the Sewer Authority and the city and everybody else.

On voting, I'm still seeing a lot of people I talked to a 93-year-old lady
Tuesday night and she didn't drive and she was having to have a lot of trouble so I have been trying to counsel them on what to do and I give out phone numbers when I get them on the phone, but this voting law is basically, as far as I'm concerned if it's -- if the Supreme Court doesn't declare it unconstitutional some day they should have their degrees revoked in law.

Don't forget, WFTE 105.7 and 90.3, the unRush station, and the golden parrot/OT goes to Comcast had week. Their CEO makes \$26 million a year plus. Their Internet and phone and television services without the premium channels is \$147 a month. Don't count on it, getting a job anymore if you are unemployed without internet services

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because everybody wants to it on-line.

And I seen this week also on the news about manning political nonprofits. Now, a nonprofit is not supposed to be involved in politics and apparently these people are listing their superpacks as nonprofits and maybe the companies that are donating to them are getting huge tax breaks and declaring this on their taxes. be careful of anybody that told me that I could donate political money for a nonprofit because the last thing in the world I would want to be under the microscope or the IRS, although, when they get an office they keep laying off IRS workers so they probably don't have to worry about being investigated anyway, so your Comcast and political nonprofits, bawk, bawk, bawk. Burn the money before the poor break in and get it. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. CHILIPKO: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHILIPKO: Mary Chilipko, resident of the Pinebrook Section of the Scranton. Since I was here and made an appearance last week I have had a load of phone calls, so I can only handle a few issues a night now that I seem to be a regular here or I will be a regular here.

I actually -- I'm sorry I'm off on some other issues tonight, I have a few thank yous to pass around. Thank you again to Jack Loscombe. Our small neighborhood group had an excellent meeting Tuesday night where down the field some of the bleachers have been removed that we complained about junking at the Pinebrook field. There has been progress. The grass has been cut. Magistrate Ware has offered two community service groups to work with the neighborhood association on two consecutive Saturdays to help clean up down there. And then people in the neighborhood and the softball league can get involved with -- they've done some of the painting of the backboards and some new nets in the field.

Also, we had some police matters

1516

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that were brought up at the meeting, and I can read from our liaison, Sergeant Jeffery Vaughn. "A detail was directed in the Pinebrook area following the meeting last Myself and Officer Mark Koslo were evening. assigned to the detail and made the following arrests: Seven open container arrests, one possession of a controlled substance, one possession of a small amount of marijuana, possession of a drug paraphernalia, one public drunkenness, two verbal warnings for trespass, one abandoned vehicle tag, and four homeless camps adjusted."

And right now the Scranton Police

Department is one of the best resources that

we in Pinebrook have so I really want to say

thank you for that, and that's in an area

that has really become a problem to our

neighborhood, and we have all the agencies

that are located near us and I don't want to

sound unkind or without compassion, but some

of the volunteers that come into the area

from other areas that volunteer for the

services should stick around for a few hours

after their buildings close, after the social agencies close down there. It's not a pretty sight.

Also, with me tonight actually is a gentleman that we also spoke on an issue last week of Mike Wallace and zoning and permits. The gentleman was finally after a year and thousands of dollars allowed to open those rental units that I brought the pictures of last week. I do believe you also sent a letter for Mike Wallace to appear before a caucus of council and he has until May 21 to respond?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. CHILIPKO: What do we do if he doesn't? Like, they just don't? They don't respond, they don't come here? They just do what they want?

MS. EVANS: Well, council can't take any corrective action in that we don't hire and we have no authority to fire. In fact, anyone in the employ of the city has to, like it or not, receive the mayor's permission to come before city council and discuss problems with us.

MS. CHILIPKO: Okay. Thank you for clarifying that so we'll wait and see, I'll wait on it. I'll work on it. Last, as far as pit bulls, I have a beagle and a basset that have to go. I understand the city raised the amount of the dog license fee from \$9 to \$20 per dog, so I'm not sure yet if my dogs are worth \$40. They will have to prove it to me in the next week or so. How do those fees get raised? Do they just someone just decides in the office to raise the fee?

MS. EVANS: They probably came from the business administrator and would have been included in the 2012 budget.

MS. CHILIPKO: Okay. They better work hard at it. This is what I don't understand, why does it come to literal fighting and arguing to get people in the city to do their jobs. The citizens that do pay taxes that are involved in all of this borrowing they have to fight and scream and get lawyers and pay for lawyers to get people to their jobs. That's where you know something is wrong. And this Legion of

Doom, they are actually the optimists. They really are. I was never a part of any of this until it became time to come here.

That's about all I have to say.

And, Mr. McGurl, thank you. I don't know you, but I live down near the river and I know your dedication for years and it's very more than admirable what you have done. The river is coming back. There is much activity down there. I see the change, I see the change in the water, it's become a pleasure to travel along the Lackawanna river, so I want to thank Mr. Bernie McGurl. Thank you, Council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chilipko, just you had asked the question if they don't show or whatever, and again, as Mrs. Evans stated, they have to get approval from the mayor who I think should give every administration person to appear before the public that's paying their wages and they represent, so if that doesn't happen it's an insult to every taxpayer here, basically thumbing their nose at you, so I would hope

that, you know --

MS. CHILIPKO: Should, can and won't. That's what it amounts to. So we have to start, the citizens here and more and more citizens that I hope will start coming to meetings, like me, I didn't before, and have got to put pressure and enforce these issues. We are not dummies. We are not -- we choose to live here. I'm not leaving it.

Oh, and I wanted to respond to Doug Miller as well. We will certainly help in any way to transport if they get a program running with the poor kids. We are a low to moderate area, I think 60 percent. We would be glad to help with any transportation or however we can get the kids to the swimming pools because the mayor told me himself that the Capouse Avenue pool will be filled in so it won't be an option. So if we can help in any way.

I think what I have heard tonight in the past few weeks I admire a lot of these people. Thank you. And council. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. I'm a member of the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' group. I see where city council has assumed the job of explaining the notes that the city wants to borrow. I hope city council realizes that they are also assuming the blame. Since you and the mayor are co-equal partners in the city, I think no vote should be taken on any borrowing until the mayor comes before city council and the people here and explains how we got into debt and what he plans on getting us out of debt.

Mrs. Evans, may I ask you a question --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: -- seeing as

Mr. Joyce isn't here. Our TAN loan is

almost paid off, we only owe a half a

million dollars on it.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Can you tell me if that is a flat rate or is it a direct reduction loan?

 $\label{eq:ms.evans:} {\tt MS. EVANS:} \quad {\tt I don't know because I} \\ {\tt don't have the backup with me.} \\$

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. If it's a flat loan would we have to pay the interest on it for a full year seeing as we only have the money for a half a year, and if it's a direct reduction loan we have been paying that loan off since January and we have never really had the full \$9 million that we borrowed. Because of the payments that we have been making, we really only had half of that loan, \$5 million worth. If they are charging us the full amount for the year and it's not a direct reduction loan, boy, the banks sure made out well on this.

But I believe that with the mayor as co-equal or partners in the city, you should not vote any, any loans until the mayor appears here. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher, resident and taxpayer. I
especially look forward to Fifth Order this
evening to learn the status of the

Rejuvenescence and other OECD loans from Mr. Rogan, the outcome of the investigation of the presumed rogue police officer from Mr. Loscombe, and the suggestions of council input to PEL for the revised Recovery Plan from I guess Mrs. Evans as Mr. Joyce isn't here tonight.

And I question, 6-B is totally different from what was published and voted on and introduced last week, so why isn't this piece of legislation 5-D instead of 6-A? This is not what was voted on last week. Is it not different?

MS. KRAKE: Did you say 6-B because I don't see --

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, 6-A.

MS. KRAKE: And it's different as far as?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, it seems all of it. I can't find -- I mean, we didn't talk last week, as a matter of fact, this talk about arbitrage, and that was specifically asked in the caucus, and they said, no. It wasn't a private sale I don't believe last week, was it?

MR. HUGHES: Of course it was. 1 It's 2 always been a private sale. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: It's always been a private sale. 4 5 MR. HUGHES: It's the cheapest form 6 you can do. MS. SCHUMACHER: Where is the part 7 8 about the bonds, the capital projects that 9 the bonds or the remaining life of the 10 capital projects? Is that --11 MR. HUGHES: If you put that all in there you might as well read the whole 12 13 ordinance, the whole 29 pages. This is just 14 a summary of the most -- of the areas of the bond that is being introduced. All of those 15 16 other areas that you are mentioning are part of these subtitles. You don't have to put 17 18 everything that's in there, you would have to read the whole ordinance. 19 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. 21 MR. HUGHES: That's a caption of the 22 summary. What it entitled -- you know what 23 it entitles the city to borrow. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 25 MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Schumacher, I

actually have the agenda from this week and last week in front of me, it is the same.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It is the same?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

Moving onto the issue of our structural dealt, a short time ago I inquired of Mr. Joyce the anticipated structural debt for 2012 and he replied, "Four million," which I noted surprised me as I thought it would much higher. I then set about to determine the cause of our structural debt.

The first item at I looked at was the hole created by the tax exempts.

Representative Freeman's Bill offered back in the 2007-2008 session of the state legislature, which would have compensated municipalities whose laws to tax exempt properties exceeded the 15 percent, so I used that as a typical average.

As Scranton's tax exempt properties are around 33 percent I then used the 18 percent. Now, if this 18 percent hole was filled we would gain \$3 million, but that's not enough to fill the bucket, so I next

refinanced to save money as homeowners do to reduce their mortgage, and the Borough of Dunmore recently did to save money, but it was then I found the expenditures for long-term debt service for the City of Scranton for 2012 had been reduced by \$5,566,000 from 2011, so the debt refinancing in 6-A is really just a smoothing out of the payments over time that will cost us more, as has previously been noted.

moved to the debt service. Usually debt is

Without in debt refinancing our structural deficit this year would be closer to \$10 million, which is depressing. Not yet known is how much further we will be going into debt to pay our police and firefighters for last year's Supreme Court decision.

The majority of young adults must be planning to move out of the city or else they would be here in droves to complain about the increasing debt. Either that or they presume they will making big money so that they will be able to pay their own

property taxes and perhaps help mom and dad with their property taxes.

If our debt service wasn't so costly, we could reduce or wage taxes and become competitive with neighboring communities. The reality appears to be I will not live long enough to see this city fully recover and is probably why a friend of mine suggested recently that the "Welcome to Scranton" sign as you enter the city from the McDade expressway should be amended to add Dante's quote, "All hope abandon Yee who enter here."

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Hey, Chrissy, what's up? No hat tonight?

MR. SLEDENZSKI: How is that, Jack?

Back farther or far enough up this way up.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Right about there.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Right about here.

Look at my shirt tonight, Janet. Maroni's

Pizza, hey, Carmen, you are good cook. Keep

it up back there, will you?

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Free pizza for Chris.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Two trays, Jack.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. First of all, I was reminded of two announcements as the different speakers came to the podium. First, the Scranton Celtic Festival is going to be held at Snow Cove on Saturday, May 19, and Sunday, May 20. It's a rather ambitious effort on the part of the Irish Cultural Society to put this on. They are going to have a number of -- I think there is over close to 50 different vendors that will be there. Entertainment of the Celtic variety. There will be, you know, a number of different events taking place. Please check the times. I did not have the time for the beginning and end of the festival on each day, but it sounds as though it's a -- like I said, it's an ambitious effort and hopefully will be successful and attract a

large number of people to the community.

And second, as I was reminded, the groundbreaking for the newest addition into the Lackawanna Valley Heritage trail it will be held -- it will be the section from Scranton to Taylor. I know that -- I think the first year of the current council we voted to, you know, offer assistance to --

MS. EVANS: Pay our portion of it.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes and --

MS. EVANS: Of the trial.

MR. MCGOFF: So that the newest part of the trail will now be opened. The ground breaking is Monday, May 14, at is 11 a.m.

There is free parking. It will start at the Elm Street side of the part of the trail.

There is free parking available in the parking of the Weiss Market parking lot and it's located on South Washington Avenue for -- sorry about that, for all of those who are trail enthusiasts.

You know, another part of the trail

I know that it's been open now, runners and
hikers have taken advantage of it, we now
have a new section that will be available

and please come out to the ground breaking.

They are my two announcements.

And a couple of responses to things that were mentioned last week and this week. First, last week there was a lot said about—a number of things said about condemnations, demolitions and rehabilitation of homes in the Scranton area and some people critical of it. From my own knowledge of the rehabilitations that have taken place, I believe that, you know, through Lackawanna Neighbors we do have a healthy rehab program. I know in South Side I can find, you know, a number of, you know, half a dozen homes that have been rehabbed and are being put on the tax rolls.

Rehabilitation of properties is not an easy thing to do, it can be very costly and I think that we are attempting to rehab those properties that are available for rehab and as far as the demolition part of it is concerned, we have talked about this before, many of the properties that are being demolished are not able to be rehabbed, whether it's because of the

condition of the property that they are beyond repair or too costly to bring up to code so that they could be rehabbed. People are not willing to do that.

When we were doing the rental registration I talked to a number of people about that that to bring condemned buildings back to code it's just too costly and so many of these properties that are being demolished are being done because of that.

The other thing that we are finding of demolished homes, many of them are on properties that are too small to -- the properties that are there are too small and can't be rehabbed and they are too small, the lots are too small to rebuild on and so taking that vacant property or that vacant building away is really a benefit to the neighborhood rather than having something that becomes a haven for whatever. It is turned into -- and granted, I'm not looking to inundate Scranton with vacant lots, but in some cases it's a better solution than leaving the building intact.

Also, last week a mention was made

of the DPW and the leadership of the DPW was brought into question. I'd like to remind people that in the 2012 budget we reduced or we took out four supervisors from DPW along with, you know, other positions. When you reduce personnel it's probable that you are going to have a reduction in services. I don't think that there is any way around that.

Right now the DPW operates -- the responsibilities of the DPW are enormous. Their primary responsibility is to, you know, collect refuse. Most of the staff of DPW is devoted to that at this point in time and I believe, I know it's contrary to the belief of some of the other -- my other council colleagues, I believe that they do a good job of collecting the refuse in the I don't want to go to some type of a privatization. I believe that our DPW does a good job and goes above and beyond what many other municipalities do in terms of what they will pick up and what they will do to help improve and keep our neighborhoods clean.

We complain about the fact that they are not responding to streets and potholes and etcetera, you know, paving of the potholes. I know that some of this has been impeded not only by personnel, but also by the cash crisis that we are in. We have been unable in some cases to get materials, to purchase the materials necessary to do the repairs on the streets, and many of the people in the past that have been working in that department are now out collecting refuse.

The Parks and Rec, we complain about, you know, we look at the parks and the pools, many of the people that were devoted to that in the past, again, now are devoted to the primary responsibility of the DPW, again, collecting refuse. There is nothing in the budget for, you know, the casual summer help that in the past was used to help maintain the parks and the pools. We are looking at a reduction in services.

And the last thing DPW is responsible for vehicle maintenance for virtually every vehicle that the city owns

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and so we want to complain about what they do and yet the services that they provide are many and I think in the long run we are getting -- they are doing are very good job of providing the services that we need in this city.

Again, I realize that I have disagreement with that, but I felt it was necessary to bring some of that forward.

The other thing that I would like to talk about last week it was mentioned about the classification change with the city classification change, and it was mentioned that, and also the newspaper article also mentioned that it was necessary to change classification in order to implement a commuter tax. That's not entirely correct. The change -- as a 2-A city we can implement a commuter tax as long as it's under an approved Recovery Plan. The threshold for implementing that is what would change. There are different criteria for implementing it as a 2-A city under a Recovery Plan or implementing with a tax under a 3-A city, but it is possible to

implement the commuter tax without a change
in classification.

And the other revenue enhancements that were mentioned we have the authority to do those now. We don't have to change classification in order to implement or to take action on the things that have been -- the other revenue enhancements that have been suggested. The only thing that the classification changes is the threshold for the commuter tax.

I'm not saying that I'm opposed to the change in the classification. I think it's something that needs to be looked at. I think there are other variables that are involved in the change of classification, certainly the people that have I talked to, some state legislators, former and current, feel that the unique status of Scranton as a 2-A city allows them to do things without the consideration of other municipalities whereas a 3-A city we would then be bound by what would take place in other 3-A cities throughout the Commonwealth.

There are some things that wouldn't

change. One of the things that was mentioned was the possible -- it's probable that you would have the elimination of the Single Tax Office with the change in classification, you know, are we looking to do that? I think we need to explore what the advantages and disadvantages of the change may be before we tie it as an absolute to what we want to do.

And, also, I don't know what the process is for change of classification, but certainly I don't think it's something that just the council alone should do. I believe that the change of classification should be something that's up to the entire electorate of the City of Scranton. And again, I'm not sure whether that's included in the process or not. I haven't really investigated it that that closely.

And the last things, the Recovery
Plan, we are getting to -- I think we are
past crucial. The Recovery Plan is tied -it's tied to the budget, to the 2012 budget
in such a way as we are almost obligated to
get to that now. The biggest thing right

20

21

22

23

24

25

now is that the revenue, the current revenue stream for the city is tied to our unfunded debt, and it would seem that right now the unfunded debt is tied to the Recovery Plan and if we are to the going to receive, you know, the unfunded debt in the near future we are looking at a pretty -- we are looking at a very serious deficit shortly, one that would necessitate, you know, some major kind of revisions in this situation. I just believe that our focus for the next -- as we move forward we need to expedite our treatment of the Recovery Plan and to get that in place as soon as possible and so that we can move forward with the unfunded debt, with the refinancing, and with other things that are included that would be included in the Recovery Plan and that we have included in the 2012 budget.

And lastly, I, too, would like to -I would be remiss if I didn't wish happy
Mother's Day to everyone, to the mothers out
there, and also this Mother's Day I would
like to kind of a public congratulations to
my older sister who will be receiving her BA

degree from Marywood University on this Sunday. Congratulations, and that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you and,
Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. First off, I'll comment on a few of the things
Mr. McGoff said and a few of what the speakers mentioned.

Regarding the Recovery Plan, there are many ideas that have been brought up my members of council, by the mayor, by PEL regarding the Recovery Plan. What the final document is going to be, don't know yet. What does concern me is if a document comes down that has a lot of good in it, but if it has one or two poison pills, for instance, it could place a tax increase on the people it's going to be very difficult to vote for that as an elected official.

It's unfortunate that we can't take it piece by piece approach. When we do it all at once, an up or down vote on every item in the Recovery Plan plan whether it be

you know, a tax increase, whether it be privatization of the different services, that each item would have a specific vote instead of voting as a package. Just a thought, you know. I have submitted my suggestions and everyone else has, I'm hopeful that it will b put together in a way that can be agreeable to everyone. Judging by the past history of PEL I don't think that's going to be the case, but hopefully we will get something together.

Moving on, the DPW was brought up and I apologize for missing last week, I don't know what the comments that were made last week were, but I have been highly critical of the DPW refuse division specifically. I do believe it is overstaffed. We made cuts, I believe it is still overstaffed. I firmly believe the city needs a efficiency study to see how long it takes us to collect garbage and how long it should take us to collect garbage and how many men are needed to do that job.

Currently I still believe that many members of the DPW are not working a full

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once the route is complete, which I day. never complained about the routes not being complete, they always get the work done, there is not a question about that, the work gets done, the question is is it being done efficiency. If you are 100 men to a do job that only takes 50, they are not the actual numbers, but if you have a 100 men to do a job that takes 50 and people say, "Oh, well, our garbage gets picked up, everything is great," but you are not looking at the actual cost of could be. It could cost twice as much as it could through a private company or through the preferred option of reforming our DPW.

It was mentioned once again about the department heads not coming to council, not responding to council. Since I have been elected to council the most frustrating part of this job has been the lack of the cooperation from not only the department heads, but most of the department heads. When it takes five, six letters to even get a reply, sometimes we don't even get a reply. Council sends out a stack this thick

(indicating) every week of requests to different department heads what people mentioned at council meetings, what people say to us on the streets, e-mails that come in, phone calls, and I would say more than half, probably 75 percent of those letters go unanswered, and council -- what Mrs. Evans said is exactly right, the department heads think they are accountable to the mayor, when in fact they are accountable to the people of this city.

The one recourse that council does have is we control the salaries and the budget, and I don't have any problems with reducing a department head's salary if they are not working on behalf of the people. If we have to pay a director of the department \$25,000 a year or less and cut their salary in half if they are not working for you, why are we paying them 30, 40, 50, 60 thousand dollars?

I have been very critical of the salaries of the department heads, and we have made progress. We have been cutting the salaries of department heads. I believe

it was 10 percent across the board last year, which I think is a good first step. I find it very upsetting that you have a mayor, who is the CEO of the city, who has people under him making more money than the mayor, which you would never see -- you would never see this anywhere in the real world outside of government. It would never happen.

It was also mentioned about the change for nonprofits tax status, and I mentioned this on council a few times before and I know many other people have as well, and I don't think anyone has a problem with a true nonprofit being tax exempt if they are providing a service to the community.

And I even said as far as the universities go the buildings where -- the buildings that solely for learning where you have teachers and students and nothing else, I believe that's a nonprofit, but when you have a building that has a bookstore in it, that as a student who is still paying off student loans, I know they are not nonprofit. When you have a Subway or a

Quiznos or private businesses in the nonprofit these entities should be taxable, and I think this ruling is a good first step in that direction, and I'll just read a couple of brief points from here.

It said, the Court ruled that although the camp, which was in question, is owned by a religious organization most of the 61 acre spread that they own is tax exempt because it did not meet all of the criteria previously established for charities in Pennsylvania and as a result it did not qualify as a purely public charity.

So that would be to me what would seem like an example I used where you have a building of a nonprofit but there is an entity in it that is making a profit. It goes onto say: This is a game changer. The dean for the professor at Duquesne University Law School, an expert in charity law, "I think in these hard times with every municipality and school stretched for resources this open the doors to challenges."

I definitely think this is a good

step for Scranton. I don't think we should be chasing down true nonprofits for money, you know, for instance, the American Red Cross, great group. Nonprofit. They do a great service to the community. On the other hand, you have the University of the Scranton which sometimes gets beaten up on a little bit too much, but they are elements in the nonprofit that make a profit, if you understand what I'm saying, so hopefully we will some progress on that.

Next, I received a reply from Ms.

Aebli and, Ms. Schumacher, I apologize, I do have two more responses for you, she was on vacation last week and I was out last week so I will be getting in touch with you with those, this one is regarding the 1700 block of Dickson Avenue and Lavelle Court, for the paving.

MS. EVANS: Those were the requests that I made last week and I had asked if they would qualify for CDBG to add them to the list and if they don't then the city would add them to their list.

MR. ROGAN: Ms. Aebli just replied

today and I had spoke to her earlier this morning, she e-mailed it to me it was also sent to everyone else. An OECD staff member did visit both sites on the 1700 of Dickson Avenue. That is a low to moderate income area, according to the 2000 census. The street will be placed on a potential paving list, however, a licensed engineer will need to review the street before a final decision can be made it there are unforeseen problems that could prevent this office to move forward with the paving.

And Lavelle Court and the 500 block of East Elm Street is also a low to moderate income area, so both areas would be eligible, and again, there is more that needs to be looked into with Lavelle Court regarding storm water controls, without doing the paving without the storm water controls would be, you know, kind of a waste, it would be washed out.

Next, I spoke to a few police officers and members of the community about this over the week, and I'm sure residents have seen it in the newspaper, over the past

few years there have been many break-ins into vacant homes to steal copper and they are bringing them to the junk yards and selling it. Currently in the State of Pennsylvania and in Scranton if you go to a junk yard with \$99 worth of copper you do not have to show ID. The only exception is the Veteran's gave markers, they are not allowed to accept them, which is one good part of the law. If you have -- if you go and you have a truckload of copper and you bring up \$99 worth of copper ten days in a row you are never required to show an ID.

So I will be reaching out to Chief
Duffy, hopefully the mayor, and if anyone
else on council has any objection I do
believe we should require ID to be shown for
\$25 or more. The \$100 threshold I believe
that's quite a bit, you know, and I don't
think it's too much of a burden for the junk
yard, the scrap yard to make somebody show
an ID when they are bringing scrap metal in.
I know in Luzerne County, and it's not just
copper it's all scrap metals, in Luzerne
County they had a problem where they were

stealing sewer lids and bringing them into the scrap yards, and when they bring them to the scrap yard they only get a few dollars, maybe \$10 a piece, but the cost to replace them is over \$100 for the municipality or the county.

With the vacant homes, as Mr. McGoff was mentioning, you know, a lot of people rehab, if you got the copper piping on a house it's going to be to easy to raid that house. I think this would be a good deterrent to stop the theft of scrap metal in this city. If the criminals don't have a place to go and sell the scrap metal, they are going to stop stealing it. So hopeful that's something that we can work on, and it doesn't have to be \$25, it could be lower, it could be a little higher, but some sort of ID law regarding that I think would be a good deterrent to stop this theft of different scrap metals throughout the city.

Next, the West Scranton Hyde Park

Neighborhood Association received of the

Award of Excellence from the National

Sheriff's Association. I received this news

from the Fosters, who have been with the group, they founded it and are doing a good job, a great job, and they just sent me the press release that came out right before the meeting so, unfortunately, I didn't have time to print it out to read it, but I do hope that we can have them in to give them a proclamation for the good work they have been doing.

MS. EVANS: Actually, I think

Mrs. Foster sent that out to all of council

so I had already directed our office and Ms.

Carrera to prepare a proclamation for a May presentation.

MR. ROGAN: Okay, great, because I know Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Joyce and myself they sent us a message on a Facebook actually and we were speaking with them last week and we sent it in as well, so that's great. I'm sure they will be happy and it will be good for everyone in the community.

I do have comments to make on agenda items, but I'll hold them for the time of the vote. And finally, I would like to wish all our mothers, especially my mother and

Thank you. 2 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any motions or 4 5 comments tonight? MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just a few 6 7 comments, thank you. First of all, my buddy 8 Chris forgot to mention this at the podium, 9 but he asked me to speak up for him, the 10 postal service, he reminds to remind 11 everybody the postal service is having their 12 food collection this Saturday, the 12th of 13 May. So I believe it's canned goods and dry 14 goods. MR. SLEDENZSKI: Yeah, everything, 15 16 Jack, everything. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: All right, help the 18 food pantries. Right, Chris? 19 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thanks, Jackie. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Schumacher, I do 21 have to apologize, I wasn't able to meet 22 with the chief this past week due to some 23 family matters, but I'm going to try to get 24 ahold of him for Monday or Tuesday. 25 Mr. Quinn spoke earlier on the taxes

grandmother a very happy Mother's Day.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and loans and stuff like that, he speaks like 90 percent of the people I speak to on the street. Nobody wants to see any tax increases. He said the Legion of Doom, he said it right, I mean, these people have been coming here and prophesying what's going to happen for the past ten, 12 years and here we are.

The last thing I want to do is pass a tax increase. The last thing I want to do is borrow money, borrow any more money. The problem is, sometimes you get your back against the wall and you have to look at the whole picture. I'm a taxpayer here, but I believe things are turning around. believe a lot of this is happening because Mr. Joyce and Mrs. Evans have become involved in conversation with the administration. In the past two years the purse strings have been tightened up, a lot of this stuff has to be agreed upon. Part of the big problem why we are here is over things have just went uncaught over the last several years. Audits two years later than they are supposed to be, which was a

big factor. I mean, even during our budgets with this past year's audit. When you don't know everything that's there it's tough to create the budget and then we find out, as we do two years in a row, get surprised. I don't know how they have been able to hide this deficit all this time. We knew we were in a deficit but it just seems to keep accumulating.

And for ten years there were no pay increases for police and fire department, yet, the budgets have gone up, our debt has increased tremendously. Now with the Supreme Court ruling, we have to pay those back wages to the police and firefighters. I imagine the administration is going to play it up again and blame the police and firefighters, naturally, why your taxes are going up and everything, but where did all that money go for those 10 years that was borrowed? I don't know.

I'm not a financial expert like

Mr. Joyce and I know they have been working

very hard. I mean, this has been a tough

project to get to the bottom of where we are

going here. But, you know, I pray very hard at mass to give me the direction on, you know, where we can go with this, but it is going to be a tough decision, our backs are against the wall, and hopefully with all of this legislation with what we are looking at the things that Mr. Joyce and Mrs. Evans are doing with the administration we will be able to start from square one. We can see the future clear then.

Maybe down the road with some revenue items -- this is a problem, too.

For the past ten, 11 years, you know, well, even longer than that, we have got nothing for the tax exempts, or very little. This was in the first Recovery Plan plan to go after the tax exempts. Nothing has been done. There has been numerous revenue initiatives put in by city council over the years to try and stall this problem, nothing has been done about it and here we are.

If we don't get action on tax
exempts and revenue initiatives that we
have, it is going to get worse. We have to
have them, at least some of this, in a

Recovery Plan. The Recovery Plan has to address the back wages and it has to address the revenue initiatives. We have to start seeking money from other sources other than raising your taxes, raising your fees, and many ideas have been presented, but it's just been overlooked for years and years and now we are in this position and, you know, if this stuff isn't done there is nobody to blame but ourselves, too. We have to get this done, but that's part of were we are in this position of borrowing like this and it's sickens me just like it sickens everyone else.

But I just hope through this and through the Recovery Plan that these initiatives are put in there and that's a fresh start. I honestly do not feel comfortable dealing with a Recovery Plan that's involved with PEL because they haven't done anything in all the years they have been here. I wish there was another company that was involved at this point because they have allowed the administration to overlook many things in past Recovery

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plans, so will the Recovery Plan be abided by if it's approved by us? I can't say for sure. I don't know what the answer is. I really don't, but I think we should have a complete audit of what's been going on here for the last two years and have a better idea, but that's not for me to say and I would just hope that investigations down the road would show us the light.

As far as the DPW, as Mr. Rogan wasn't here last week, I probably agree with both of you to a certain degree and disagree with certain degree, but, you know, I know many of the employees and I do say that they do work hard with what they have, but I definitely agree that there has to be a study done. Last week I said I don't want to privatize the service, I'd like to maximize the service. Just like every city department and by maximization what I mentioned last week there are certain departments that only cut trees. There are certain departments that only fill potholes. There is certain departments that do the garbage. Certain departments that cut the

grass and whatever and, as you know, when we laid off the beginning of the year we had complaints, "Oh, we only have a couple of guys that are CDL, we are going to have to hire more guys to do plowing."

that comes on the job from this point on in the new contract should be CDL certified or have it within a certain period of time.

Issues like that. They all should be cross-trained so they can all do each others jobs rather than pulling a mechanic out of the garage and having a guy that cuts trees sitting in the kitchen there while a mechanic is now finishing a transmission.

You know, there is an attitude problem, and it probably hits all departments, but I had a complaint this week from one of the people that were picking up garbage, and maybe he was from another department and was a little dissatisfied that day, but I had a personal call, this young gentleman picked up the garbage picked up the garbage in front of a home in West Side and he threw the garbage can over the

hood of a car, hit their plastic fence, and broke some tulips there. Not only did he do that, he was ready to throw the second one when the owners came out of the house and they started -- they confronted him, you know, "What are you doing?"

He said, "Well, these cars are all parked here, what am I supposed to do?"

They said, "Well, put them back where you got them."

I mean, these are tight neighborhoods. You know, I remember the days when the DPW guys used to bring your ash tubs from the back of the house to the front and your garbage, but to be on a taxpayers' dime and to give a taxpayer that kind of attitude isn't right. And he continued to argue all the way down the street about the way that, you know, the cars are parked and stuff like that. We don't need this kind of, you know, people working for you when they should be doing their job and complaining.

Now, the other two people on the vehicle, you know, they had no problem, they

23

24

25

didn't complain, they didn't do anything, but for a young gentleman like that to get in a verbal confrontation with a taxpayer. It's not right. It puts a bad light on the good workers on that department and there are many of them, but I think it's comes down to the leadership. When the leadership doesn't answer to us, how do we know what kind of repercussions employees like this get from the leadership? You know, I know of a couple of things right now that's why I would like to see our director here, there has been many instance that people don't even know about that have been buried and hidden that shouldn't be. And I don't know where the newspaper is on some of them, but I guess it's favored departments, I'm going to say that. It's who the administration tells them to report on or not to report on.

You know, I get complaints like, you know, gee, the broom trucks are out seven days a week, rain, snow, sleet or shine, you know?

MR. ROGAN: With two people in them?

MR. LOSCOMBE: What's that?

MR. ROGAN: With two people in it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah. I mean, and they are complaining about no drivers for the trucks, not enough garbage men and stuff like that, and I do believe that they put a couple supervisors back on after we took them off so they did alter our budget anyway. But, you know, this is no way to treat the people that are paying your bills, and I'm sorry about rambling on.

And finally, I would like to wish everyone out there, every mother out there, a happy Mother's Day. Thank you and God bless.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I'm sorry to interrupt, but, Mr. Loscombe, you brought up a great point when you mentioned, I apologize for missing last week, I didn't hear the story about the member of the DPW arguing with the resident, but the one difference between the DPW and all of the other departments is if that was a police officer they would have a name badge and I believe the fire department as well, they would have it up on the helmet or gear; is

that correct?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Some of them wear a name badge, some have it on their coats, but they do have the authority to ask the chief right after the bat whose on that shift, whoever it is.

MR. ROGAN: With the DPW, and I just think in my own neighborhood --

MR. LOSCOMBE: They're anonymous.

MR. ROGAN: And they where their orange vests a lot of times for safety reasons, but there is no name, so it could be any one of them. It's one person giving a bad name to --

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's exactly it.

MR. ROGAN: I mean, instead of having a name and call that person out and say, "It was, you know, Tom Smith," I hope we don't have a Tom Smith on the department, but I think maybe when the contract comes up next year that's something that should be placed in the contract they have to wear something to identify them, whether it be a name or a number.

And I also agree with -- you know, I

have mentioned privatization, but I think that's more of a last resort.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Maximization.

MR. ROGAN: Maximization or reforms. I have always stated that I personally believe we can collect garbage in the city in four days and have a fifth day for pothole repairs, just various different projects throughout the city, but it would also start with an efficiency study, but I just wanted to comment on that. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. I would like to begin by addressing Sixth Order legislation included on tonight's agenda for the 2011 unfunded debt borrowing and refinancing of 2003 Bond Series A, B, C and D.

Following last week's council meeting, a very kind, older gentleman asked that the mayor appear in council chambers to shoulder the blame and accept responsibility for the dire financial straights he has caused in our city before city council would

approve the unfunded debt borrowing and refinancing that the city desperately needs. In a much as I empathized with this man and wished that I could comply with his request, I told him that council couldn't allow our city to financially collapse and our people to be hurt by the loss of services and exorbitant tax increases in an effort to make one man accept his responsibility.

It's true that Mayor Doherty's visions for the city can no longer be paid for. The annual borrowing from 2003 through 2008, unbridled spending and historic debt of the past ten years have come home to roost and the city cannot make it's annual bond payments.

In addition, the \$36 million PEL,

DCED and Doherty debt from ten years of

Court battles culminating in the October

2011 Supreme Court decision to police and

fire cannot be paid at this time.

It's also true that I have never before approved borrowing, rather, I have fought hard for financial accountability and responsibility and government cuts and

battled continuously against wasteful spending, unaffordable projects, political job creations and management raises, costly Court battles and unnecessary borrowing by the administration and city authorities. I did so to protect the taxpayers of Scranton. I also did so because I knew borrowing should be withheld for necessary emergency situations only.

Nevertheless, the mayor exhausted all financial resources, refused to generate new revenue, ignored negotiations with police and fire and cut public safety services. The consequences of his actions had to be addressed and city council faced whether to allow the city to collapse or help it survive. We agreed to work with the administration to avoid a state takeover or Chapter 9.

Consequently, at the recommendation of the business administrator and the mayor, council placed the figures given to us by Ryan McGowan for unfunded debt borrowing and refinancing into the 2012 operating budget.

Ladies and gentlemen, the bottom

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

line is quite simple, the mayor had an agenda since 2002, PEL and DCED enabled it, and previous council's rubber stamped it.

In order to prevent a financial collapse of the city and further loss of public services in this year 2012 and to provide stability to the operating budget, city council must vote in favor of the Court-approved 2011 unfunded debt borrowing and refinancing.

Next, I have a brief update on the revised Recovery Plan. Agreement between the mayor and council on several significant issues has not yet been reached. However. if it were to be placed on the agenda at this time I firmly believe it would be voted down, and I can assure you that I would be the first to vote it down. When a final document is drafted, city council and PEL will receive it. Thereafter, PEL should release the document to the public and schedule a public hearing. However, it is Scranton City Council, not the Pennsylvania Economy League and not DCED, that will ultimately decide whether or not to approve the plan.

Next, I would like to respond to comments provided by State Senator Blake to the Scranton Times regarding Scranton's proposed change to a Third Class city.

Mr. Brake said that he uncomfortable with the idea of a Home Rule City in distress status changing it's status, and that there is no precedent for that in the Commonwealth. However, the law governing the classification was designed and adopted by the state, and as Council Solicitor Hughes has advised me, the law is the law. State law provides that only cities with population of 80,000 and above can be classified as 2-A.

Since the two prior census results demonstrate that Scranton population has fallen below the requirement, the city of Scranton wishes to abide by state law.

Further, Mr. Blake appears to opine that the unique 2-A classification, which enables state legislators to tailor laws that applies only to the City of Scranton or Lackawanna County, should be retained. One example is the law enacted in 1996. I

believe it was written or sponsored by former State Representative Frank Serafini which included restrictions specifically designed to make it more difficult for Scranton than any other Pennsylvania municipality to impose a commuter tax. Clearly, this unique law was developed to favor Lackawanna County and penalize Scranton.

Another example is a current state law that allows a county with a Second Class-A city, and again, Lackawanna County is the only one in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to levy a hotel occupancy tax up to 4 percent. A pending bill in the general assembly would authorize the county to increase the tax to 7 percent as long as Scranton remains a Class 2-A city. Please note, however, that Scranton cannot levy a hotel tax since the county does so.

As Senator Blake stated, "Scranton is, indeed, a distressed city and one of a number that has remained so for 20 years or longer."

Under such critical circumstances,

_ :

should the city retain a classification that benefits Lackawanna County to it's own detriment? Is the county hotel tax increase more essentially in the senator's judgment than the city's proposed commuter tax? I ask him to remember that Scranton taxpayers, like their countywide neighbors, pay an additional 38 percent in county taxes in 2012, while they pay the soaring costs for all city services enjoyed by everyone who works within its boundaries.

Further, Scranton taxpayers question why Third Class cities that qualify for a 2-A status change fail to alter their classifications for the benefit of their host counties. If one follows such logic, perhaps Scranton should remain distressed under Act 47 as well in order that Lackawanna County can impose a countywide sales tax of which it will receive 50 percent.

As the largest municipality in

Lackawanna County and home to the tax exempt

county seat, Scranton and it's financial

survival are keys to the successful future

of Lackawanna County. The City of Scranton, which provides multiple public services such as police and fire protection, street maintenance and snow removal, among numerous others, should not be expected or advised to sacrifice itself and its declining base of taxpayers to benefit the county.

The failure to support our distressed city at this crucial crossroad -- I'm sorry, in this crucial crossroad may ultimately lead to financial defaults, a sharp decline in city services, and mass exodus of residents and businesses. Rather, it is Lackawanna County that should help the beleaguered City of Scranton and it's people, as should our elected state senator John Blake.

During last month's council meeting meetings I discussed the efforts of Providence Rhode Island Angel Tavaris to obtain increased payments in lieu of taxes from large nonprofits such a colleges and universities. Since then, city officials and state lawmakers applied some pressure to Brown University and, as was noted by I

believe Mr. Ellman during citizens'
participation, last week Brown agreed to
contribute 31.5 million to Providence over
the next 11 years, and that is according to
Associated Press reporter David Kleper.
That money comes on top of nearly \$4 million
that Brown already voluntarily gives the
city each and every year.

Additional good news announced in this article is that more and more cities are succeeding in getting nonprofits to pay up. Baltimore, Maryland, officials threatened to tax hospital and University dorm beds before John Hopkins University and other tax exempt institutions agreed to make payments.

Another encouraging development is a ruling by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court that may permit Pennsylvania cities to challenge the tax exempt status of nonprofit organizations. The new ruling effectively restores a narrower criteria for charity status. In the wake of this decision, for instance, Philadelphia officials say they plan to review the tax exempt status of some

of the city's largest nonprofits. In light of these recent developments our three local taxing bodies, the city, county and the school district, should discuss pursuing a review of the tax exempt status of our large local nonprofits at its next scheduled meeting.

Surprisingly, the Pennsylvania

Economy League has included in it's revised

Recovery Plan a mere increase of \$25,000 per

year in PILOT payments from the City of

Scranton, yet, a whopping 126 percent tax

increase for the people of Scranton as its

solution to Scranton's dismal financial

projections. However, I believe that all

stakeholders must work together and

contribute if we want to see Scranton grow

and succeed.

As such, a committee of the local nonprofits should be formed for the purpose of discussing a contribution agreement to the City of Scranton. Therefore, I ask Mrs. Krake to send a letter on behalf of Scranton City Council to the presidents of the University of the Scranton, Lackawanna

College, Marywood University, Johnson
College, the Commonwealth Medical School,
the CEO of Geisinger Community Medical
Center, and the president of General
Dynamics respectfully requesting that they
form a committee of Scranton tax exempt
organizations for the purpose of discussing
a contribution agreement with the City of
Scranton.

Tax exempts may opt to designate contributions for specific purposes, such as public safety, park maintenance or road maintenance, among others.

Council also respectfully requests that the Reverend Kevin B. Quinn, SJ, JD, PhD, would serve as chairman of this committee.

Earlier today council's office
received emergency legislation from the
administration to transfer \$1.4 million from
the city's contingency fund to the Scranton
Parking Authority for its anticipated
financial obligations. I did not place this
legislation on tonight's agenda because I
would first ask Council Solicitor Hughes to

review it.

In addition, I have questions and concerns that I would like to be addressed. Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send letters to Mr. Scopelliti, executive director of the SPA, and business administrator Ryan McGowan, requesting their attendance at a public caucus on May 17, 2012, at 5:45 p.m. in council chambers to discuss the proposed legislation.

Further, council requests the attendance of only these two individuals and until that caucus is conducted the legislation will not be placed on the agenda.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: A letter to LIPS: Neighbors report that the property located at Rear of 1435 Academy Street is so overgrown it's become a dumping ground for rugs and pieces of old furniture. Please address immediately.

Now, for the sake of the time, I'll submit the remainder of the requests to our office following tonight's meeting, and

1	that's it.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Excuse me,
3	Mrs. Evans, did we ever receive the budget
4	from the Parking Authority that we
5	requested?
6	MS. EVANS: We voted down the budget
7	of the Scranton Parking Authority.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: We asked for a more
9	complete budget, I believe.
10	MR. ROGAN: I think it was only
11	three pages?
12	MS. EVANS: Yes, if that. It was a
13	very elementary, cursory review of their
14	finances.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Perhaps we could ask
16	if they provide us with an updated more
17	factual budget.
18	MS. EVANS: Well, I don't know that
19	they have provided the budget, but I believe
20	they have provided perhaps their cash flow
21	report?
22	MS. KRAKE: Yes.
23	MS. EVANS: And I would ask that
24	that is also given to Attorney Hughes.
25	MR HUGHES: Madam President I

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would like to say that you should demand that Mr. Scopelliti, before they come before council to discuss this legislation, fully respond and answer my letter of October I believe it was 13th of 2011. It's been six months, they have never responded even with yes, no, I don't know, could be, maybe, to any of the issues that I raised. I believe it was a five-page letter which included the form for the budget that the Parking Authority was to submit to council for approval. The budget they submitted I would say -- I think it be would derogatory to Mickey Mouse to say it was a Mickey Mouse I think my grandson, who is 13, budaet. could have drafted a much better budget, and I believe that having them come in without duly filing an answer and producing the documentation that was requested more than six months ago, it would be folly for this council, and I don't believe that a meeting should be held with the Parking Authority until they fully answer to my satisfaction the letter I sent to Mr. Scopelliti and produce all documentation.

1 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree with that. 3 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, if you could note in the letter that council demands the 4 5 information requested by Attorney Hughes six 6 months ago --7 MR. LOSCOMBE: Eight months. 8 MS. EVANS: -- I'm sure he has the 9 exact dates --10 MR. LOSCOMBE: October. MR. HUGHES: I believe it was close 11 12 to eight months ago. MS. EVANS: And before --13 14 MR. HUGHES: And if they go in default the bonds are insured so the 15 16 insurance company has to pay, so we can face 17 that issue when they come to us. If they 18 want to ignore council -- they can ignore 19 me, that's one thing, but, you know, when I 20 write for council and they ignore me they 21 ignore you, and if they default they 22 default. Let the insurance company pay. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Truth be said, you 24 have mentioned that many, many times in the 25 past eight months and then they wait and

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throw emergency legislation at us, like usual.

MR. HUGHES: It's like Chicken

Little, you know, "The roof is falling, the roof is falling." Well, let it fall.

MS. EVANS: Well, I'm also wondering what, if any bond, payments are due currently. I know that council placed one point -- or allocated, there was no actual money, but allocated \$1.6 million into the contingency fund for possible payment for the financial obligations of the Scranton Parking Authority, but I would ask everyone to note that if that were written in stone, if that had been a done deal, it would have been placed in the operating budget within a specific line item designated to the Scranton Parking Authority. Council purposely elected to place that funding into a contingency fund over which only city council has control.

Now, I am finding it a bit questionable, ladies and gentlemen, that when I am told the city as of this past Monday has only approximately \$1.1 million,

and remember, we still owe Fidelity Bank \$500,000, we are now ready to give the Scranton Parking Authority as quickly as tonight because that legislation, as I said, was handed to our office today to be placed as an emergency on the agenda tonight. We are going to turn over, I believe the legislation asks for \$1.4 million to the SPA and the city is crying for money, so much so that we are handling these financial issues and the city is supposedly seeking an additional TAN, but yet the administration is willing to turn contingency funds over to the Scranton Parking Authority, and if you only have 1.1 how did you get to 1.4.

So, Mrs. Krake, if we can follow our solicitor's advice we would like all of the information that has been requested and ignored prior to the scheduling of a public caucus, the caucus will be held with only those two individuals at such time as the information is delivered to either council's office or the Law Offices of Hughes, Nichols and O'Hara.

And after my concerns and the

concerns of my colleagues have been answered, council will then consider a placement of this legislation on its agenda.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add in addition, whenever council requests any information from any of the authorities we always get the same answer, "Well, you know, we are on our own. The council doesn't oversee us."

But then when they need money they come to us for the bailout, and Mr. Scopelliti can come here and next week and beg, be on his knees begging, I'm not voting to bail them out. It's what Attorney Hughes said is exactly right. You know, "The sky is falling, the sky is falling, if we don't do this, we don't do this, if we don't this," and we keep giving and giving and giving. Not meaning us, meaning in the past, and it keeps happening year after year after year. Let the Parking Authority fail. Let the city take it back inhouse.

MS. EVANS: Well, the Parking

Authority doesn't have to fail, I agree with

you. We can take our city-owned parking

meters back inhouse.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

Authority should certainly be looking at the sale or lease of its garages, as the City of

But the Parking

Wilkes-Barre is currently doing.

MS. EVANS:

MR. ROGAN: It's been brought up every week here for probably the last two years.

MS. EVANS: For years, um-hum, for years, but they would rather hand you the bag of bills and try to paint this council into a corner to provide that bag for them. But it's not going to happen. I didn't approve the borrowing for the Scranton Parking Authority in the past, they wouldn't have these issues if anyone had listened at the time. Now, of course, they, too, are upside down, but I don't think at this point it behooves the city to step in and take care of them when the city can't even take care of its own financial obligations currently.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They have been some of the factors in some of our revenue

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sources, too, again, a refusal to look at them.

MS. EVANS: But, again, the sale of these meters is in that Recovery Plan plan.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It's amazing.

MS. EVANS: Yes, it is, and it almost looks as if certain parties are counting on the fact that there will be a change over on city council after next year so that the items included in that Recovery Plan can come to fruition because they know that the only thing standing right now between the taxpayers of this city and the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED, who played a paramount role in getting us into this financial mess, the only thing standing between this and you is right here. (Indicating). And if that takes six more months to settle, so be it, because I will not approve what's been presented to me. There will be changes or there will be no

MR. ROGAN: It seems like for the last almost year Mayor Doherty stopped being the Mayor of Scranton has been head of the

Recovery Plan.

Parking Authority. He wanted to sell the parking meters to the Authority to bail them out I believe eight months ago, and the city would have lost all of that revenue for -- from this year forever. Now he wants the city to give \$1.4 million, that we don't even have, we have two agenda items on the -- right in front us today, one to raise taxes over a ten-year period and one to borrow with a cost of up to \$26.6 million and the mayor is concerned about the Parking authority, so we'll see who comes next week.

MS. EVANS: Well, first we need the information requested by solicitor.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: Because I feel it's imperative that he is able to review all of the financials of that authority. It's obviously -- well, shall I say arguably questionable what's been going on with the Scranton Parking Authority for a number of years and particularly when they ignored presenting their budget to Scranton City Council until it was council's solicitor who found that information in trust agreements

and pointed out to them you have no budget unless it's approved by Scranton City Council. That was the first time in the history they had given over a budget, and it was only then that we discovered the budget was a sham.

And sadly, previous council members and the mayor guaranteed -- well, the last issue it may be the only one I was here for \$35 million for them, and I was the only one voting "no". Someone's trying to tell me something from the audience, but I have old eyes, I'm sorry.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could, and I did say to Mrs. Krake that the letter to Mr. Scopelliti tea must demand that they submit a budget in accordance with the proposal and in accordance with my letter before the meeting.

MS. EVANS: Very good.

MR. HUGHES: That would be mean a budget that shows the previous year's figures with this year's figures with anticipation and a line item budget, not just everything grouped together, so that

has to be in the letter. We should have that budget, which would be a comparison budget for what they propose for this year, 2012, with the actual 2011 and do it by line item by line item, by employees and break everything out so that it is a good operating budget.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: And the budget that the SPA has to produce and submit to council each year for approval, that's not only in the operating agreement between the SPA and the City of Scranton, but it's also required in their bond issues and by not doing that they are in technical default of the bond issue already.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And I think finally all I care to say is that before this council would ask the taxpayers of the Scranton for a tax increase, for example, for this unfunded debt borrowing, and that is incidentally Court ordered, it is my intention, and this is what I have been working toward with the mayor, that we leave no stone unturned in terms of revenue

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

generation. We should not approach the taxpayers unless we can say that we have done everything else that is possible, examined every other type of taxation, look at every type of revenue that can be brought into this city before we should turn to you and ask you for a penny more, and basically that's what's happening with this Recovery Plan, so I can assure if these things aren't in and these things aren't happening there won't be a Recovery Plan because I will not sell out the people of this city.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could, I don't want to interject again, I think one point that Senator Blake and many other people miss on the city reverting or becoming -- reverting to a Third Class city is the fact that it's the law, there is no option. There isn't a Chinese menu where the city can sit here and say, oh, well, Column A is the city in Second Class-A, and Column B is a Third Class and I'm going to opt out and stay a Second Class-A city. There is no option. It's the law. The law goes back to classifications of the city in

Pennsylvania first started before the 1900's and they were classified and, you know, it goes right into what the current law is and what the population requirements are for a city of a Second Class-A.

And as you aptly stated, there is probably four cities in Pennsylvania right now that could become -- that are Third Class that could become Second Class-A because they are over 80,000 in population that aren't, but the city doesn't have a choice. It's the law and it has to be revert to a Third Class city because that's what the law is. There is no choice. There is not option. It's not a Chinese menu. I have nothing further. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I would just hope that our state legislators would set politics aside, set future election aspirations aside and do the right thing and help this city because if this city goes down, I don't know, you know, what else in the county can really replace the City of Scranton. We need the help. We were the guinea pig for the state to the tune of

nearly \$37 million. No one else in the State of Pennsylvania has to pay for that, just those of us who live here, and I think it's time for our state legislators to step in and help us and help the City of Scranton.

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO LEVY A REAL ESTATE TAX MILLAGE INCREASE
FOR A PERIOD OF (10) YEARS DEDICATED TO
RETIRING THE UNFUNDED DEBT INCURRED IN
CALENDAR YEAR 2012 IN THE AMOUNT OF NINE
MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS
(\$9,850,000.00) AND DIRECTING THE CITY
TREASURER TO SEPARATE THE PROPER PORTION OF
THE REAL ESTATE TAXES RECEIVED FROM THE
SINGLE TAX OFFICE DURING SUCH TEN (10)
PERIOD AND FORWARD SAME TO A SEPARATE
ACCOUNT TO SERVICE AND RETIRE THE UNFUNDED
DEBT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. MCGOFF: So moved.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 2 MS. EVANS: On the question? 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I oppose this legislation because it's tied to Item 6-A 4 5 and I will comment on Item 6-A. MS. EVANS: Well, the only thing I 6 can add to that is the unfunded debt, as I 7 8 mentioned during my comments tonight, and 9 the refinancing were placed in the 2012 operating budget. If this does not occur 10 11 the budget will fail, the city will default. 12 So as much as like Mr. Loscombe said, this 13 has to be one of the most difficult issues 14 for council and certainly the most difficult issue I have faced since I first took this 15 16 seat in 2004, I do want the city to survive. 17 All those in favor of introduction 18 signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 19 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 22 MR. ROGAN: No. 23 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 24 moved. 25 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE

BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR TO ACT AS AGENT FOR
THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR EMERGENCY AND
DISASTER RELIEF PURSUANT TO THE ROBERT T.
STAFFORD DISASTER RELIEF AND EMERGENCY
ASSISTANCE ACT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? I understand that we require a replacement for this position, which was previously held by former DPW Director Jeff Brazil. However, I feel that the business administrator is not the best choice as replacement. First of all, from all that I hear from the administration and even bankers, the business administrator is overwhelmed with work. For example now, we had some college interns that were offering their services in his office when they went on spring break, we were missing a cash flow report.

In addition, we need to get an audit completed. For the last two independent

2

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

audits of the City of Scranton they haven't been produced until nearly a year after their due date. They are coming in the year after the year in which they are due and that is one of the primary responsibilities of the business administrator.

I think that probably I could offer some suggestions as to whom might be able to I think, for example, fill this position. Councilman Loscombe, who is the public safety chair of council, perhaps he could handle this position. If not, Chief Duffy. Chief Davis or a member of the Scranton Fire Department. They are very well-versed in FEMA management, FEMA grants, etcetera. was the fire department, I believe, that did all of the work in the application process for the SAFER grant that should be -- the results of which should be announced any day now, and I hear that they are going to be positive, so I will be voting "no".

MR. ROGAN: I agree wholeheartedly with the comments. It's a public safety issue, I don't know why the business administrator was appointed. And

additionally, Mr. McGowan's track record with other issues, especially working with council in the past, is definitely not what we are looking for, so hopefully it can be somebody who will work with us a little bit better as well.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. The position requires somebody that's going to seek financial assistance under the Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act. I think that is in the province of the business administrator and it doesn't -- it's not public safety and it's dealing with finances.

MS. EVANS: Then why did the DPW director hold the position before?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know why it was in the past, we are talking about the qualifications of, you know, Mr. McGowan --

MS. EVANS: But these things occur in the event of emergencies and natural disasters and I think public safety is what handles those best and certainly I think we would be able to trust our police chief or a

member of the Scranton Fire Department or Councilman Loscombe in conjunction with perhaps those departments to handle these issues. I don't see that additional duties will serve Mr. McGowan or the City of Scranton very well right now.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add, I don't believe that Mr. McGowan is competent for the position he is in. I voted against his appointment. He was partially responsible for losing millions of dollars over a period of years. I don't think he should be getting additional responsibilities when he can't do the job he already has.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would just have to

agree with Mrs. Evans and Mr. Rogan at this point. I mean, it seems to me it's a position for someone that's involved in disasters. I think Mr. Brazil at that time because of the flooding issues and stuff that might have, you know, lead me to vote for him at that point, but as you stated, we are having problems with audits, we are

having problems with a lot of stuff here and, you know, I think he is overfilled right now, I don't think this would be in his expertise either.

And again, like you have stated, I mean, it was the firefighters themselves have put together a grant application for SAFER, as they have done for several years with different grants, so I think it could be any one of those entities, but I do have to agree based on those comments.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: No. The nos have it and the motion dies.

MR. HUGHES: SIXTH ORDER.

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCES. 6-A. READING

BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 31, 2012
AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA,

SETTING FORTH ITS INTENT TO ISSUE ONE OR

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MORE SERIES OF FEDERALLY TAXABLE AND/OR TAX EXEMPT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR NOTES OF THE CITY IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY-SIX MILLION SIX HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$26,600,000) (COLLECTIVELY, THE "BONDS") PURSUANT TO THE ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PA.C.S. 53, CHAPTERS 80-82, AS AMENDED, KNOWN AS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT (THE "ACT"); FINDING THAT A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION IS IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE CITY: DETERMINING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL EVIDENCE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE CITY: SPECIFYING THAT SUCH INDEBTEDNESS TO BE INCURRED TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR A CERTAIN PROJECT OF THE CITY CONSISTING OF ALL OR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) FUNDING UNFUNDED DEBT OF THE CITY; (2) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES A OF 2003; (3) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; (4) REFUNDING A

PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY

TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003; (5) REFUNDING A PORTION OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. SERIES D OF 2003: AND (6) FUNDING NECESSARY RESERVES AND PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE REASONABLE ESTIMATED USEFUL LIVES OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE FINANCED AND REFINANCED BY THE BONDS; ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR THE PURCHASE OR ARRANGEMENT OF THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF SUCH BONDS AT PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION TO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL BUYERS AND/OR ACCREDITED INVESTORS: PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL CONSTITUTE A GENERAL OBLIGATION OF THE CITY; FIXING THE DENOMINATIONS, SERIES DESIGNATIONS, DATED DATE, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, MATURITY DATES, INTEREST RATES, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, OPTIONAL AND MANDATORY REDEMPTION PROVISIONS (IF APPLICABLE) AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS: AUTHORIZING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO CONTRACT WITH THE PAYING AGENT FOR ITS

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM OF THE BONDS EVIDENCING THE DEBT: AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND ATTESTATION OF SUCH BONDS: PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO DEBT SERVICE APPLICABLE TO SUCH BONDS TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE CITY IN SUPPORT THEREOF: CREATING A SINKING FUND FOR EACH SERIES OF BONDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH SERIES OF BONDS, TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT: DESIGNATING THE PAYING AGENT TO BE THE SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY; PROVIDING A COVENANT TO INSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT FOR SUCH BONDS WHEN DUE: SETTING FORTH REGISTRATION AND TRANSFER PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATIONS OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROJECT AND THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DO, TO

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED, REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS TO EFFECT THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION. THE PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE, AND THE FILING OF SPECIFIED DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ALL AS REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DECLARING THAT THE DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY SUCH BONDS, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY, WILL NOT BE IN EXCESS OF ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY THE ACT: AUTHORIZING PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DELIVER THE BONDS UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; IF APPLICABLE, SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS PRECLUDING THE CITY FROM TAKING ACTIONS WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE BONDS TO BECOME "ARBITRAGE BONDS" OR "PRIVATE ACTIVITY BONDS, " AS THOSE TERMS ARE USED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

CERTIFICATE AND COVENANTING TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, IF APPLICABLE; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENT NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE PRIOR BONDS; APPROVING THE FORM OF AND RATIFYING THE PREPARATION, USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM AND A PLACEMENT MEMORANDUM BY THE PURCHASER OR PLACEMENT AGENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE MARKETING OF THE BONDS: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED ACTION: PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME SHALL BE INCONSISTENT HEREWITH.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairmen, I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

25

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I guess I'll explain the first vote as well. opposition to 6-A isn't so much with the fact that we are borrowing money, which I don't like the fact that we are borrowing money, I understand that it has to be done. My opposition is the uncertainty with this bond issue. The legislation states \$26.6 million. There is no firm interest rate. We don't know how much it's going to cost the city in the long run. We don't now much it's going to cost to issue the bond, it's based on the market. It's also possible that if the bank doesn't want -- if the bank is trying to, you know, sell the bonds off, if that doesn't happen. There are a lot of uncertainties with it seems to me.

Additionally, going back to the ten-year tax increase, which was Court mandated based on the unfunded debt, borrowing through a bond issue we don't even know what the -- and I understand there is a formula, but we don't know the firm number of what's it's going to cost to borrow this

money, so the tax increase will be for a period of ten years. We don't know how much. The borrowing we don't know how much it's going to cost. We don't know how much we need.

Now, if we were going out to a bank, and from what Mr. McGowan says, there aren't any banks that will lend the city money.

That's a failure on his part and the administration's part. They should be out there trying to find a bank that's going to lend the city money.

You know, for instance, the way that the TAN was taken care of I think would be a good model for the unfunded debt. If we are going to borrow, say for even numbers, \$10 million from a bank if there is a court-ordered tax increase attached to it that money can be placed in a lockbox the same the money -- the revenues we were receiving in to pay the TAN back was. That way that money cannot be touched. I mean, and they would have the assurance through the taxing power of the city that they will be paid back.

By going through a bank you get a firm - you get an interest rate, a fixed rate, you know how much it's going to cost you to borrow money and how much the taxpayer is going to have to pay for you to borrow that money. By going through this bond issue we don't know, so being that, I will be voting "no" on Item 6-A.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to

comment on one thing that was said. At the

time of the TAN all of the banks that were

involved in the TAN-A were asked if they

would be interested in the unfunded debt.

To date, there has been one bank that has

responded. The statement that Mr. McGowan

and the mayor or the administration had not

pursued other sources is blatantly untrue,

they have, and there have been no takers.

If there are banks out there that Mr. Rogan is aware of that would be willing to do this, then I believe that we should pursue that, but so far there aren't any.

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe I said that they didn't pursue it, I said that

Mr. McGowan stated that there were no banks 1 2 willing to lend us the money. 3 MR. MCGOFF: And you said it was their fault that they did not pursue it. 4 It's the business 5 MR. ROGAN: administrator's job to pursue other options. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: All I said is he did 8 pursue it. 9 MR. ROGAN: But they should continue 10 to pursue it. You said there was one taker, 11 why didn't we pursue that option? 12 MR. MCGOFF: We are. 13 MR. ROGAN: I thought you said one 14 bank. MR. MCGOFF: Well, M & T. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Regarding traditional 17 borrowing, not a bond issue. 18 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry. 19 MR. ROGAN: But I think with all of 20 the banks in the country and with the city's taxing power behind it with a court-ordered 21 22 tax increase, there would be a bank out 23 there that would be willing to lend the city 24 Whether it's because of money. 25 mismanagement of the city under Mayor

Doherty and Mr. McGowan in the past ten years maybe that's the reason why we are having problems finding a lender, or maybe Mr. McGowan hasn't tried worked hard enough to try and find a bank to lend us the money instead of going through a bond issue which is a very complex.

We sat here for maybe almost two others hours, and Attorney Hughes did a great job of explaining it to council and to the public, but it's very confusing. It's not cut and dry like a traditional borrowing through a bank where you go to the bank, "We need this amount of money, this is the interest rate, and this is how much it's going to cost for ten, 20, 30 years. There is no certainty with this. We don't know what's it's going to cost, so I will be voting "no".

MS. EVANS: I agree with what you are saying and certainly the optimal result here would be what you have described, a bank would at least enter into an agreement with the city regarding unfunded debt since there is a tax increase a court-ordered tax

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

increase accordingly. However, there is credence to the fact that the banks won't touch the city. For example, whether the administration tried to secure TAN-B, oh, I don't know a month, two months ago, one bank finally bit, but look what they attached to it. Guarantee. We'll give you the TAN money, which is short-term borrowing, it has to be repaid within the same year in which the money is taken out from the bank, they attached the debt of the Scranton Parking Authority to that. The Parking Authority borrowed 2.9 million in 2011, I believe, it's an unsecured loan. For once they didn't come to city council and ask, "Will you guarantee it for us," and wisely so because I'm sure they were aware that they would not been approved.

So the bank involved in that questionable transaction, well, let's not say questionable, but unwise transaction, was willing then to give the city I don't know around \$3 million on the condition that we would then guarantee the debt, that 2.9 million of the Parking Authority. So these

are the types of conditions that the banks are attaching if they will even sit and speak with us.

So it's a very unfortunate set of circumstances. It's something that, you know, I talked about for eight or nine years to no avail and here we are and we have got to handle it. Otherwise, you know, what I think we are going to see is that the state will come in and they don't care about you, they don't live here, so if they have to levy 130 or 150 percent tax increase they will do it.

So these are the types of the things I'm trying to avoid for you.

MR. ROGAN: I would also add this isn't the end of the line. As bad as this borrowing is, and it's terrible.

MS. EVANS: It is.

MR. ROGAN: If this was the end of line, if we borrow this money and then from here on out we have a debt obligation and we pay what we have to pay, this is no where near the end of the line.

MS. EVANS: Right. You still have

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the police and fire.

MR. ROGAN: You still have the police and fire awards, which from -- and nobody -- and I don't understand why it takes so long for the administration to calculate a number, but we still don't have a figure on how much that's going to cost. Now, the numbers I have heard ranged from \$6 million, which it's not, to \$30 million. don't know. So next year, next month, Mayor Doherty and Mr. McGowan are going to send down additional legislation, if they ever get it squared away, the dollar among, to borrow again and how are we going to address I mean, it's just one thing after another. I would vote for it if this was the end of the line and then we can say, "All right, this is how we are going to play it forward," but this is no where near the end of the line and every time the administration has come to council over the years, and you were on the council and I wasn't, you voted against it rightly all those years, every time they are crying, "if this doesn't happen, we are going bankrupt.

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The city is going to go to hell in a hand basket if this doesn't happen."

A couple of years later it's more borrowing. "If this doesn't go through, we are not going to be able to pay our employees. We are going to go bankrupt."

A couple of years later, the same thing. If you look at the Parking Authority, which we were speaking about earlier, they need us to bail them out to pay their debt. When you keep using debt to pay debt, eventually the string is going to snap you and you are not going to be able to do it anymore, so it's a terrible situation to be in for the city and the most frustrating part is regardless of whether this legislation is approved or whether this legislation is shot down we are no where near the end of the line of our fiscal problems in this city, and that's all I have to say for this week.

MS. EVANS: And that's an accurate statement. There has been a great deal of damage done and it's going to take a very, very long time to undo that damage. Anyone

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else on the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just quickly, I agree with Mr. Rogan's statements and yourself, but again, like I said, I love this to be the end, also. That's what I was looking for, but I think we are against the wall right now. This is more of a stopgap to get to that point, believe it or not, and we could do more damage if we don't have this in the middle. That's listening to our finance chair, our president and that's, you know, what I believe. But I would definitely, as Mr. Rogan said, wish this would be it, this was the bottom line, and that's my big fear. That's why I asked to have the mayor at a caucus here. You are jumping through hoops to get the financing for this, what's going to happen when, you know, with the awards.

MR. ROGAN: Exactly. When I met with the mayor a few months back regarding -- this is when he wanted to sell the parking meters and we were all opposed to that, and I said I would be willing to go along with the unfunded debt if this was the

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

end of the line, the last time and then we get on track and get the ship running, but this is no where near the end of the line.

MS. EVANS: The unfunded debt pays for bills from 2011. It doesn't address the expenditures of 2012, and the city has had very difficult circumstances when its real estate taxes were basically confiscated and placed in a lockbox to repay a TAN in a five or six-month period and at the same time the city has had virtually no earned income tax coming in because of the switch to Berkheimer. So, you know, it is an immediate, an immediate hole, an immediate problem, so, I mean, we can skip over this one, you know, I don't know where you go after that because it's not like you can set this one aside and then say, "But, I'll try to approve some other borrowing to fulfill this Supreme Court decision."

MR. ROGAN: I just think there has to be a long-term plan. Obviously, PEL has not in the past had the solution. You know, every time it's the same thing with the Parking Authority, "The sky is falling, the

.

sky is falling."

In the case of the city, we have to fix it, unlike the Parking Authority, but it's one thing after another after another for decades and, you know, I'm not a supporter of the mayor by any means, it's not just his fault. It goes back before Mayor Doherty took office, but much of the damage has taken place over the last ten years when he was mayor.

MS. EVANS: Anyone? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION
-RESOLUTION NO. 21, 2012 - AUTHORIZING
THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LEASE
AGREEMENT WITH HORSEPOWER HARLEY DAVIDSON,
INC. TO LEASE FIVE (5) POLICE PACKAGE

1	MOTORCYCLES.
2	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
3	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-A.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
7	call, please?
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
15	Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
18	If there is no further business,
19	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
21	MS. EVANS: Happy Mother's Day to
22	all of our ladies and, mom, I love you more.
23	This meeting is adjourned.
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER