		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, March 29, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	<u> </u>	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

, II

•

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	DEPOSIT MADE BY THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX
17	OFFICE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$13,211.63 TO
18	FIDELITY BANK FOR THE 2012 TAN DEBT.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. DEPOSIT MADE BY THE
22	SCRANTON SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE AMOUNT OF
23	\$1,910,172.47 TO FIDELITY BANK FOR THE 2012
24	TAN DEBT.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. 1 MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S 2 3 REPORT, HEARING DATE, APRIL 4, 2012. MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. 5 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA 6 HEALTH & WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD 7 8 MEETING OF JANUARY 19, 2012. 9 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 10 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE 11 12 COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD 13 FEBRUARY 22. 2012. 14 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council 15 16 members have announcements at this time? 17 MR. MCGOFF: I have one. Once again 18 I'd like to invite everyone to the Easter 19 Eggstravaganza held this Saturday, March 31, 20 at Harmon Field in South Scranton. 21 Meadow Avenue. It's from 11:30 to 3:30 22 there is an Easter Eggstravaganza for 23 children. There is no charge for the Easter 24 egg hunt and I'm hold told that the Easter 25 bunny has prepared something like \$25,000

22

23

24

25

eggs for distribution to the children.

There are children's activities, basket raffles and refreshments and all of this is conducted by the Newell family in memory of Matthew Newell and the proceeds go to the --go to Matthew's Mission, which aids children with disabilities, so that's this Saturday at Harmon Field from 11:30 to 2:30.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? MR. ROGAN: Yes, I have just one. The Lackawanna County Conservation District will be having it's 2012 seedling sale. Some of the trees and shrubs that could be bought are blue spruce, pear trees, peach trees, apple trees, blueberry bushes, red raspberry plants, miniature rhododendron and strawberry plants. They could be ordered at the Lackawanna County Conservation District at 1300 Old Plank Road in Mayfield, Pennsylvania, and the location of this building is about four and half miles north of Eynon on Route 6 or you can call by phone at 570-281-9495.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake, do you have any clerk's notes?

1	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
2	MR. JOYCE: I have one.
3	MS. EVANS: Oh, I'm sorry.
4	MR. JOYCE: That's okay. PLCTA,
5	Parents Loving Children Through Autism
6	annual walk is an awareness walk. It will
7	be held Saturday, April 21, at Nay Aug Park
8	in the Scranton's hill section. The walk
9	begins at 11 a.m. and if you would like more
10	information about the walk please contact
11	the PLCTA at 3413388.
12	And the Greater Scranton Jaycees
13	will hold their Easter egg scramble this
14	Sunday at noon at Nay Aug Park, and if you
15	are interested in attending this event and
16	would like more information you can visit
17	their website at www.ScrantonJaycees.org.
18	And that's all.
19	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake,
20	any notes?
21	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
22	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
23	MS. KRAKE: Citizens' participation.
24	MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this
25	evening is Giovanni Piccolino.

MR. PICCOLINO: Good evening, city council, just be real brief. Two things, number one, the bridge on South Washington Avenue, we have seen at least one a month where a tractor trailer gets wedged underneath it. I don't know if the height is not measured right or whatever, but it's at least like once a month anymore where I don't know if it's maybe when they are going down it's a little of slope that the back of their truck -- but it's over and over again. It just says 11 feet, so I don't know if it can be remeasured or something like that.

And the second thing, Easter Sunday from 5:00 to 8:00, Buona Pizza will be opening up it's doors to the community so come one come all for Easter dinner, candy and whatnot, so whoever wants to come, and that's it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much for that very generous offer. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council. Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the

Taxpayers' Association. I am still waiting for the night when I look over to left and I see Mayor Doherty and his cabinet sitting in those empty chairs. Although, today the Honorable Mayor Doherty gave his state of the city address at the Chamber of Commerce outlining his accomplishments. That took 20 seconds. I wonder what he spoke about for the next 19 minutes and 40 second.

"We appreciate Senator Casey's help.

We are looking for all the help we can get."

Honorable Mayor Chris Doherty.

"We would like to bring our department back up to where it was before the layoffs." Fire Chief Tom Davis.

Why are the grant writers laid off firefighters. I would think that the Honorable Mayor Chris Doherty and the fire chief would be the ones writing the grants since they are the ones making the decisions that have been jeopardizing the safety of the residents of Scranton.

I spoke about the RIT, Rapid

Intervention Team at a previous meeting. It
was nice to hear that Dunmore and Scranton

will be working together on this serious matter. Again, why is it the firefighters, not the fire chief and the mayor, working on these procedures. The Honorable mayor and Chief Tom Davis were the experts when it came to layoffs and closures.

Senator Bob Casey citing firehouse closings and brownouts. Senator Casey urged FEMA to award the funds. "Scranton has a critical need for more firefighters and receiving the grant money will make that need a reality," Senator Casey said.

"This is an about making sure
Scranton residents are safe and can have
security in knowing that there are a enough
firefighters on the job."

I must ask where Senator Bob Casey
has been for the past five years. Has he
not seen the red and white yard signs urging
the Honorable Mayor Doherty not to close
fire stations? Has he not read the
Honorable Mayor Doherty's quotes in the
Times-Tribune and heard the Honorable Mayor
on the local news casts where he has proudly
stated that firefighters must do more with

less, that response times would not be jeopardized by closure and brownouts? Did the senator not read the Honorable Mayor Doherty's quote recently in the Times-Tribune that stated if council wanted more firefighters why they did not increase the firefighters in the 2012 budget or budget any money for additional firefighters.

Also, Senator Casey, where were you when the Honorable Mayor Doherty took city council to Court and the Honorable Judge Mazzoni ruled against city council? Also, when the laid off firefighters took the city to Court, and the Honorable Judge Harold Thomson ruled against the firefighters and in favor of the Honorable Mayor Doherty.

I must realize that the past five years have not been election years and 2012 is. Again, I must say politics as usual.

Money votes, money power.

I wonder why State Representatives

Murphy and Smith have not weighed in yet?

They also have been quiet for the past few years about the safety of Scranton

residents, business owners and visitors to the City of Scranton.

The new 2012 Recovery Plan. I will again remind city council and the residents what happened with the 2001 Recovery Plan.

Just a remainder, it did not work and the Honorable Mayor Doherty violated the Recovery Plan at every opportunity that benefited the Honorable Mayor Doherty and PEL and DCED did nothing to stop them.

By the way, I feel that the Home
Rule Charter has been violated by the
Honorable Mayor Doherty on several
occasions, also. Just a reminder, pay
attention and by all means put the taxpayers
first for once. Make the right choice and
in 30 years Scranton will be back on its
feet. Make the wrong choice and Scranton
will remain distressed for another 30 years.

In closing my state of the city
address, the city remains distressed, taxes
are too high for the services that are
provided, the city's debt of over \$300
million long term is too high, far too many
vacant lots and buildings in the city, roads

are terrible, projects promised, but they are not completed, low paying jobs, high unemployment, authorities are out of control without adult leadership. Summing up the city, the City of Scranton is a mess, and that's my state of the city address.

Citizens are asking me, they want to know about the garbage fees, some people are concerned that the garbage fees are going to be going up. My understanding is none of this will happen until after the new Recovery Plan is approved or disapproved. That will be part of the new Recovery Plan. If one of the council members would please address that and relieve the anticipation of some citizens because I'm getting asked this question by many people, I don't know why they ask me, but they do.

And Hawthorne race track, the other day I was watching the races, the horse races, and there was a horse who came in dead last and the horse's name was Arrested by Duffy.

MS. EVANS: I can state for the record at this time that the garbage fees,

the 2012 garbage fees in the City of
Scranton will remain the same. I believe
that amount is \$178. There will be no
change in 2012. Though the Pennsylvania
Economy League is proposing increases,
council and the mayor are working together
to produce our own imitatives for a Revised
Recovery Plan, and certainly we are -- I can
at least speak for council, we are not in
agreement with the increases proposed by the
Pennsylvania Economy League.

Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie
Quinn, Taxpayers' Association.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: First, I want to thank
Mr. Joyce for the motions -- for giving me
that reply on the requests, okay. You know,
that audit, okay, it's really difficult for
a laymen to try to determine what the heck
is going on. When we spoke about the \$3
million that the mayor found we didn't know
where it was, Mr. Hughes said it's in there
someplace and, you know, I can't find it,
but it must be in there, and then where that

\$11 that has up there as revenue for OECD and then he started quoting some kind of a law, that's beyond my intelligence, okay?

I'm not a CPA. I appreciate it though.

The mayor gave the state of the city address I guess over at the Chamber of Commerce and I don't know how he could give a state of the city address. Gee whiz, just look at the audit. It shows \$313 million debt, and this isn't a negative, it's -- I'm trying to positive about being negative, more or less an oxymoron because this is unbelievable.

You know, if you look at his website he goes on and tells all he is doing and it's just ridiculous. He has spent over \$4 million per se in parks. We don't have one baseball diamond in the city, one softball diamond. No leagues. You have to pay to swim. I mean, even in a city like this, Mr. McGoff will appreciate it, but I wouldn't, they should have a summer basketball league for the high school and college kids to hone their, you know, their ball playing during the summer, you know? There's just

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something wrong. And this Bureau of Rec, that's without the salaries. That's what he spent, you know, he spent it on trees and whatnot, you know.

Okay, Mr. Rogan, you mentioned about the possibility, you said that PEL had proposed in the Recovery plan privatization of the DPW and you thought that might be a good idea, well, I just want to give you a little history, okay? In 1966 or '70 retired Judge Walsh, Jim Walsh, was the Mayor of the City of Scranton and in '68, the Fall of '68, he privatized DPW, okay, and he said the budget wasn't going to work and, you know, what happened was there was violence in the city. They were cutting the tires, they were shooting at each other, okay, and it got to be a mess. It really got to be a mess. So I don't think that's going to be, you know, help at all because I can see these poor people if anybody gets laid off work just to privatization to save some money, I can see problems down the line.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Quinn, I'll just

make one point. I understand that privatization from what I looked into in other municipalities there is a right way to do it and there is a wrong way to do it, and if you do it the wrong way that's what's going to happen. I would prefer to see the DPW reformed before privatized.

MR. QUINN: Maybe privatization is the wrong word because when it comes from the state it's easy to say something when you live in Harrisburg and you have people living like Mr. Doherty or something that works for the city, you know, it's pretty tough.

I do want to say that these nonprofits that you people are trying to address and that LERTA which, you know, these are special interests and we don't need these things, there is no doubt about it. You know, every time there is a fire or a police call to some of these places now nonprofits or somebody gets a LERTA, taxpayers are paying double. There is no doubt about it, you know. There is no -- we can't win. We got to get these state

programs the heck out of here, you know?

It's just not working.

Now, along the lines, okay, of what we -- hopefully if the administration would start looking a Scopelliti, okay, he has three empty commercial buildings in the parking garages so right away he chooses one of the cronies. Nice guy, but one of the cronies of Dohertys, okay? And I see on the last -- the final reading tonight, financial contributor of Doherty's, nice guy, but he wants \$71,000 as a cost overrun. I mean, these are the things that kill the city.

Why doesn't he put out an RFP to for find out which would be the best cost factor in getting -- who could we get for grants to whatnot, you know, it just don't work that way around here, and it's got to start to change.

I just want to say one other thing, okay, I was at the Scranton county commissioners meeting yesterday and it's -- I thank the city council for holding their meetings at night because I was up on the sixth floor and parking cost me \$5.10, and I

asked about it and they said they have maybe three meetings they might look at, all right, in the future in the year. And, you know, a lot of people, you know, that 38 percent tax they didn't have a right -- an opportunity to get in and talk to them.

And hopefully, you know, I left there and when I picked up the paper today and I saw all of those raises and stuff like that there, I left and the meeting adjourned. I don't know where that came from. I don't know where it came from. Maybe he can explain it here, the coordinator here, but maybe he can coordinate something that -- start having some night meetings because they are getting away with murder there, really. I mean, not to be a wise guy or anything, but, okay thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, Fellow Scrantonians.

Does anybody know how much we actually paid down that TAN that we got for the 9.5?

MS. EVANS: The 11.5? 1 MR. JOYCE: The 11.5 from this year? 2 3 MR. SBARAGLIA: The TAN we borrowed. MS. EVANS: Yes, that was 11.5. 4 5 MR. SBARAGLIA: 11.5, okay, you're 6 right. 7 MS. EVANS: About 6.2 or 6.3 million 8 that has been paid. 9 MR. SBARAGLIA: So we still got a 10 away to go. Yeah, that's right, 11.5. Usually it was 12, 12.5. 11 12 MR. JOYCE: Right. I'll address it 13 more during motions as well. 14 MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, there was a good -- a good discussion about this earned 15 16 income tax. It was very informative, but we 17 got holes and you don't even have to guess 18 you got holes. First of all, that money was 19 coming in every month, now it's coming in 20 every quarter, plus when he says he got only 21 30 days they mentioned they got to disburse 22 and they don't get the money October -- I 23 mean, April 30, and then they got 30 days to 24 disburse it, that's quite a bit. You know 25 we are going to be in -- going down the

19 20

21

22

23

2425

drain, there is no question about it. money is the life blood of the city at this point and they don't see any reason to hurry it along, but I blame Harrisburg down there, the legislation. Nobody ever even looked at it, plus you are losing what is it 1.5 percent? Because I don't think our tax office took a commission out just to pay their employees, of course, I don't know how many employees were into that, and I guess they are going to be laid off. I guess pretty quick, and the ones that were assigned for the '11 tax, so I don't know how many employees they said they were going to lose of the tax office, I don't even remember the exact amount, but they said they are going to lay off some employees so that's coming up.

And I don't know anything about this company. Of course, you weren't -- nobody knew about it too much, what actually is the way he did business, where he did business and so forth and so on. All they said he was a tax collector, just like Darren I guess, that was a tax collector, too, I

don't know if that was -- they were in that group or whatever, but I see we got holes and the question is what happened to Scranton individually? I don't care about the other municipalities, all I know is you're due I guess for a big jump.

Well, you can add up from what

Courtright told you from every -- from every

month and then you can assume at that point

we should get that money, so we must be

talking about what is about, 12 or 15

million we are due to get sometime in I

guess May 1, by May 1 or somewhere in May?

MS. EVANS: Late April, early May, but I don't know that it would be that much, but it is a sizable amount.

MR. SBARAGLIA: It should be close.

All those things you were reading off they added up pretty good. Let's hope we get it. That's the only way you can figure what's happening. You just take that and say from Courtright and see what we actually get and you can see how bad we are, plus we are going to lose 1.45, but I don't know if the employees ate up that, the ones that are

laid off, but I can see Scranton is in bad shape at this point. You are right. We are in bad shape. I don't now much longer we get a hang on there. I guess we are getting to be desperate. There is no question about that.

It's just ashame that we have allowed this to happen, and who are going to blame? Our leadership. You have to blame our leadership for the city and for the county for where we are today. No wonder why we need all these huge tax increases. We have great incompetence in our city government and our government for the county, too. How people ever elected them people I'll never know. I wish I can really understand how people vote, I just don't, because they certainly don't vote with their head.

So whatever they did we're all in the same boat and that's the bad part about it. Like I told you before, I should have put in a grant for that dam across from east to west. We can drown in water or drown in red ink, but the main thing is we are going

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to drown. Like I told you before, I don't relish where you are. I wish you God's speed for whatever you have to do.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia. Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council. Gerard Hetman, here from Lackawanna County Department of Community Relations. First. we would like to, I believe I would like to speak on behalf of the department thanking you for the warm reception last week. This is our second, we are coming to the end of the second week in going out and engaging in local municipal government bodies such as yourselves and we have had a great deal of cooperation throughout the county, from Vanderling and Carbondale down to the tri-borough and, of course, here in the City of Scranton, so thank for the reception, all of you.

Second, we just have some announcements that the commissioners and other county departments and agencies would like to share with you and the Scranton residents. First, the Wilkes-Barre

International Airport will be hosting their annual Aviation Exploration Day, and that will be on April 14, I believe it's a Saturday, from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. That will include static aircraft displays, from a variety of vintage aircraft owners, military police, other civil agencies will have aircraft on display and I believe the Marywood Aviators who are sponsoring that will offer airplane rides for \$20.

I know that's a part of it, I'm not sure if that's their operation, but airplane rides will be available to the general public with all proceeds going to the Angel Flight of America organization which I believe does runs for organ transplants for needy patients, so that's one.

The County Parks and Recreation

Department has a number of ongoing programs at McDade Park, the first one is which the 20th annual fishing derby, that will take place on Saturday, May 5, open to children ages four to 12 years old. Registration starts at 10 a.m. and the cost is \$5 per child.

Also, the County Parks and
Recreation Department is hosting it's annual
women's basketball league at McDade Park.
That begins on May 10 and game starts at
6:15 or 7:15 p.m. for women ages 21 and up
and the cost of registration is \$35 for the
season.

Lastly, from the County Parks and
Recreation Department is their outdoor
basketball clinic series for boys and girls
ages seven to ten for one league and 11 to
14 years old for the other league. That
would run starting June 18 and it runs
during the month of June and July at various
times and various age groups.

Information on all of these programs can be obtained by calling 570-963-6763.

Again, that's 570--963-67643.

Also, the Lackawanna River Corridor
Association is gearing up for it's 25th
annual riverfest -- or I believe LRCA is
celebrating 25 years, but the annual
riverfest 2012 will be taking place on
Saturday, June 9. We will have more
information as that event draws near.

And lastly, the South Side Business
Alliance will be working in conjunction with
the Scranton Police Department, providing
neighborhoods concerning any business crime,
prevention training session, that will held
on Tuesday, April 10th at 9:00 a.m. in the
United Neighborhood Center Conference room
at 4:25 Alder Street in Scranton,
Pennsylvania. Information can be obtained
by contacting Gavin Martinez at
570-346-0759.

That's all of our announcements. Is there anything that council would like to request or comment on at this time?

MS. EVANS: I have nothing at this time. Gentlemen?

MR. HETMAN: Last, but not least, I will speak to Mr. Quinn, I will talk to you definitely after the meeting, I don't want to cause a sidebar during the session, but we will get him an answer for his question regarding the commissioner's meetings, which we're talking about that, and we will make sure he is satisfied with his answer to that inquiry. That's all I have. Anything else?

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: Thank you, Council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Doug Miller.

MR. JOYCE: Very briefly before

Mr. Miller comes up to speak, Mr. Sbaraglia,

I did quickly just calculate what 1.45

percent of 22 or so million dollars would

be, which is a little bit more that what we

collected in earned income tax, and you are

looking at slightly over \$300,000 collected,

for them to collect the EIT.

MS. EVANS: But I think if I could just add to that, my concern in addition is that the fact that they have so few employees in their office. I know they said they are interviewing and perhaps they will have one, two, three more, but certainly with the workload that they should be encountering with the collection of EIT throughout Lackawanna County that demand is going to require more than three employees.

MR. ROGAN: I would also add, you know, if something is not broken don't fix it. With Tax Collector Courtright in office

we haven't had any problems, now, previous to that the tax office was obviously a mess, but I'm usually a big fan of private industry taking over but this is one situation where it seems like the public sector does a much better job. You know, the questions I outlined before is they are making interest off our money where they say they are or they're not.

MS. EVANS: Well, they are.

MR. JOYCE: Plus their fee.

MR. ROGAN: Plus their fee. Well, the fee was part of the contract, but if they are holding money they are not just letting it sit there in cash, they are making interest on it.

MR. JOYCE: Fee plus interest.

MR. ROGAN: That's why if you take the power ball for the Mega Millions, it's now 500 and some million over annuity because they are collecting interest on what they're not paying or you can only get half of it in cash. It's the same things. Those are the kinds of amounts of money we are dealing with when we deal with the whole

county, every municipality.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: It's an astronomical amount.

MS. EVANS: And I would think it's a definite concern to all of the municipalities, townships, boroughs within the county that they don't have their money available to them to meet their daily operating needs, and I know Scranton is in a particularly unique situation because our real estate taxes are tied up, but, you know, I think if we asked anyone else countywide, you know, if they are enjoying having zero revenue at this point, I doubt that many would agree.

MR. ROGAN: I don't think there is not one person on the panel or in the audience that if somebody said, everyone here is going to give you the money, hang onto it a few months, invest it how you wish in a CD or bond or however and then just have you give it back quarterly, you are going to make some money.

MS. EVANS: I'm just hoping that --

I think what might likely happen, and then I'm cutting myself off, with the tax collection committee there was great dissatisfaction throughout the county based on the previous tax collector's record and the announcement of the discovery of \$12.5 million and all of the -- well, the problems that were encountered thereafter, and as a result they lost faith in the office and were willing to take a risk with someone else, and now it seems that, you know, you really have to wonder is this risk paying off because at least for the last few years, since 2010, Scranton has done very, very well through it's tax collection, revenues, disbursements when they are handled by the Scranton Single Tax Office, and right now we are in-between a rock and a hard place because we no longer have them working for us.

MR. ROGAN: One last comment before

I cut myself off as well, the good part

about an elected official collecting it is

at the end of the day it's the people that

have the final say on whether they are doing

21

19

20

22

2324

25

a good job or not. Obviously, you know, the people had it wrong before by electing an incompetent tax collector, but they got it right. They realized there was a mistake made and they got it right. That office is running as smooth as anything right now, so sorry, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: That's okay.

MR. EVANS: Our apologies.

MR. MILLER: That's all right. Good evening, Council. Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to once again begin here by raising an issue from the past, it's ashame that I have to go back to this, but it's dealing with the Right-to-Know that once back I had submitted to council dealing with the Nay Aug Park light show. As you are aware, I did respectfully request from the Recreation Authority a copy of electric bills relating to the light show. As you all know, we had some issues with the show this past year, lights were on at all hours of the day and, obviously cause for concern when you are

talking about a waste of electricity and everything that deals with that.

To this date, they have failed to respond in a timely fashion. They have failed to meet the requirements of the open records where they had five days to respond. Obviously, they didn't do that. I did speak with the Open Records Office today in Harrisburg and they did encourage me to once again file another request and follow the appropriate measures from that point forward.

And as I did state, according to the Office, the Rec Authority does have five business days to respond to the following, and this is what I once again am respectfully requesting: Any and all documentation relating to the Nay Aug Park light show, this includes electric bills from the following months: November 2011, December 2011, and January 2012. And I will once again submit this to council after the meeting and you can pass it along to the City's Open Records Office and hopefully we will get a timely response.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If the Rec Authority does fail to once again respond to my second request I will take action with the Authority and will get in touch with the state and I will pursue a penalty on the Recreation Authority. I think a simple request that I made should not take over two months. have had to deal with this Authority for quite sometime now with their arrogance and their politics. Obviously we all know that they are all individuals appointed by the mayor, but I think this Authority needs to remember one thing, they are not going to dictate what goes on in the city, they are not going to dictate what goes on at Nay Aug. When we make requests of them it's their obligation to adhere to them. dealing with tax dollars here and if we are going to go and squander it on light shows I think they need to be held accountable and they need to provide documentation to breakdown what we are spending money on. Ιf we can leave lights on at all hours of the day it's just completely absurd. You know, you do that in your home, you know, you can

imagine what your bill is going to be at the end of the month.

This is an issue of concern for the taxpayers. I brought it up and I have had people approach me with the same concern and we want to it resolved. You know, we take a look the money we spent, the excess of \$1,000 on these lights and yet we can't open up swimming pools in summer. I mean, it's ridiculous. Actually, it's quite comical that we can't even operate swimming pools in this city, that each summer we have to deal with this issue.

And that leads me to the next issue tonight is the swimming pools. You know, we are starting to get into that time of year now where we are going to be bringing this up. You know, we take a look at Nay Aug Park and the donations from the light show, where does that money go? Where are we putting that into? You know, we like to focus on Nay Aug Park, you know, you look at the pool fees, what is it, \$5 to swim now? \$3, \$5, whatever it is. It's unrealistic to expect parents in this community to afford

that with their children, to that them there each day in the summer.

You know, we need to look at the neighborhood pools. What are we doing with Novembrino this summer? You know, last summer the big thing was, oh, we're putting a splash park in. Another joke. Sat there all summer, that pool sat empty depriving the kids the opportunity to swim. What are we doing with Novembrino? I'd like to hear what the mayor has to say on that. What are we doing with Capouse Avenue, is this going to be what, the fourth summer now that that pool is going to be shut down depriving those children the opportunity to swim?

I mean, where are our priorities here? These are things that the mayor needs to address. It's not all about Nay Aug Park. I understand obviously he never had a playpen or a sandbox when he was a child and that's what Nay Aug is to him today, but we have residents in this city who live in the neighborhoods and they want their pool open, too. It's not about taking care of the elite, let's take care of the residents of

this city for a change.

And finally tonight regarding the state of the city address. This is something that annually we discuss here. The mayor gave his address today at 12:00 down at the Chamber building, and as we have all come up here stating for several years now, we believe this state of the city address should be held right here in chambers, not in a room full of businessmen. This should be conducted publically before the taxpayers of this city and council. I think that the mayor need to hold himself accountable to the public.

You know, you take a look at the president when he gives his State of the Union it's before a joint session of Congress. Take a look at governors, they give it before the house. Take a look at other mayors throughout the area or across the country. They give theirs before councils and taxpayers. You know, we could go right down to our neighbor down in Wilkes-Barre and Mayor Layton, gives his in front of a joint session of council and the

taxpayers, he holds himself accountable.

That's what this mayor needs to do. I don't know what he's afraid of, I don't know why he wants to hide, but it's time to come forward. So much for being the sixth council member. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton, taxes paid. By the way, my wife's company did not collect taxes in the past and I stopped by the tax office and paid them in January what she owed, which wasn't much because she only worked part of the year thanks to corporate thieves that she used to work for.

I'd like to discuss the fire

business again. I'd like to point out a

year or two ago there was a big fir on

Monroe Avenue, John should remember that,

one house started to burn, two other houses

burned, a fourth one scorched and the reason

being we didn't want to pay overtime to the fire company, so the point being that I would support bringing back all of the firemen we can, but if they are not called out then they are not to do any good anyway. It was just ashame. I would have -- I would have been so mad I probably would have walked off the street and tried to citizens arrest Ray Hayes for being irresponsible. I would have been just that enraged about it.

Okay, and also the fire insurance statement that I made last week, what I was implying was that maybe landlords could help people out with the money. I mean, they know how to ask for the money, they ask you for all this money upfront to move in and everything, you know, five or ten bucks a month extra and use some of that security money to help these people get insurance and it certainly would have to be in my name or your name or whoever the rent -- the lessee would be not the lessor, but it's just ashame what happens to these people when they get hit by a fire.

And, I mean, I had a couple of

thousand dollars in my checking account while the house was burning so it wasn't a tragedy, but it was still a real pain in the neck and I think my blood pressure problems started right about then.

Okay, the parking down at General

Dynamics, they don't pay any taxes; right?

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. DOBRZYN: Correct. Okay, why can't we have Mr. Mayor or PEL go to them and try and get a truce on their parking spaces and give those poor people that work there a permit parking for those hours and take those signs down, please. It's just -- it reeks of facetiousness, so it's just shameful. Get a resolution to that.

MR. ROGAN: Those aren't --

MR. DOBRZYN: And maybe a couple of extra bucks for the city.

MR. ROGAN: Those "No Parking" signs aren't even legal. They weren't even approved by council.

MR. DOBRZYN: Not only that, a couple of extra bucks for the city and maybe they would like -- the company would like to

kick in. I mean, it's not like defense contractors haven't been making money over the last ten or 12 years now.

Now, up on Alder Street, 900 block, there is some phone company or something going on there and they are starting to chip away at the roads, so that's what I have been saying all along, I like what I heard last week about these potholes and stuff because that's how they get started. That road is -- other than a moving van parked on a heavy hill right on a hot day and there is two little ruts in the road from where they parked, but it's been, you know, five or six years since it was paved and it's still pretty nice, but it may not be once they get done.

This tax business, I might also remind everybody that when the government decides to collect taxes even though your employer collected them off of you and doesn't pay them you owe those taxes. You still owe those taxes. I asked those people on the way out, you are still liable for all of the wage taxes that they collect -- your

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employer collects and fails to turn over to Berkheimer, so that's -- once again, the small guy always gets it. He always gets it. And that's our governor. Now we have to wait five months for the same money.

Now, that money that I gave in January was probably turned over right away to the city by Mr. Courtright, so.

I'm taking the voter registration in my spare time, so if anybody out there sees me around or around a neighborhood I will sign them up and familiarize them with a few On 105.7 this week they had the thinas. Supreme Court and one of the attorneys arguing was beating the desk in front of the Supreme Court like this (pounding). And I just thought I could hear right through the radio and I just thought, man, you plan on winning this case or what? If I was one of the judges I probably would have got down there and tossed him out the door to begin with because he was actually arguing in the name of couple that had never paid insurance and they had a problem with the husband and they run up huge bills had three states and

declared bankruptcy, so each one of you sitting up there if you have a plan you are paying \$500 a year for that person, people like that. So thanks a lot --

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: -- Mr. Brown and Mrs. Brown, bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I'd just like to make a brief comment about the last speaker made a reference to the Supreme Court and that is a very important issue before the Court and Mr. Scalia and Mr. Kennedy, the judges on that Court, seem not to want to read the 2700 pages involved in this I'll call it litigation, review, whatever, and I just think that in my opinion they are obligated to read that and if they to toss that law without knowledge, that's a crime against the people in this country because that's

why they were placed there to put politics aside and rule based upon fact, and the legislators may not understand legislation they passed, but when this goes before the highest Court in this land those judges need to really pay a lot of attention to something that is as important as this legislation is whether you support it or in the.

Now, I'd like to say that there seems to be some kind of agreement or working together between the mayor and council, and I have my own opinions on that. I think that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is not out in front talking to residents but to council and the mayor and the government itself, I think they are threatening to take the city over. I think they are tired of the 20 plus years of mismanagement through PEL and all of the mismanagement that took place before that. And that's not a shot at the council, that's just my opinion. That's why I believe.

Now, in regards to any Recovery Plan that comes out of the cooperation between

the council and the mayor, that needs to put on the ballot and that needs to give the residents of this city an opportunity to determine if that's the route they want it take. I think they made a mistake with the first Recovery Plan. Maybe it will get the second one right and maybe it will vote, no. That's my opinion and I haven't even seen the document yet. We have been in recovery for so among it's absolutely ridiculous and we haven't done anything except fall further in debt.

Now, I came here tonight to talk about the fire department. You know, I don't know what -- Mr. Loscombe was a fireman, and look it, what happened here in the cuts I really think council should have did a lot more. I would been a lot happier if, you know, Mr. Loscombe had spoke a lot more about the what requirement are and what was necessary. We listened to too much about talk and let somebody go talk to the mayor and a lot of absolute silliness in my opinion.

Now, we are going to bring back 12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

firemen, I don't know what these 12 firemen are going to do because when the firemen we have now go on vacation that's all they are going to basically do. We don't have enough We need more than a 150 firemen in this city. And we keep talking about privatization, but, you know, the truth of the matter is that the city has mismanaged it's finances so long we have decimated DPW, we have a lack of adequate police protection in this city, we have no infrastructure in my opinion going on, our bridges are falling apart, our streets are a mess. You know, people are wondering why they don't even have basic services.

And we keep having people come here week after week, talking about the projects they are going to develop, whether it's the Lace Works or whether it's North Scranton, or whatever it's going to be and the truth of the matter is that maybe, you know, we need to really consider that these 12 firemen aren't really going to do much of anything. What they are going to do is relieve people on vacation. All right? And

what good is it to send fire trucks to the scene of a fire without adequate staffing?

In my opinion, you know, maybe the fire department played politics for too long, okay, and supported the wrong candidates, but the truth of the matter should be told that the residents have a right to adequate fire and police protection, adequate DPW services, adequate repair and infrastructure upgrades in the city, and it's just not happening and it hasn't happened for a long time.

And as we continue to blame the mayor for everything that's happened here, I have to say that all the borrowing had to come through a council. The mayor didn't do this on his own, the council had the right to stop this city from doing -- not this council, previous councils, all councils, had an obligation to serve the public and it didn't happen. That's not a criticism of this council, that's just the way it is.

All right?

We have massive debts to the fire and police unions, the municipal unions, we

are not talking about the shortfalls in this those pension plans and to be bluntly honest with you, we need to come up with a plan that's going to bring at least the fire department to 150 men. Pretty simple.

Now, I remember a councilman making a comment that Dunmore isn't going to be able to rely on us anymore when we made our cuts, but we are relying on them and they only have one fire company. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BENNER:

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Marty Benner.

Good evening. Marty

Benner, I'm a resident of Scranton. I haven't been at a council meeting in about 15 years, I'm not as good as speaker as Lee is, and I forgot my glasses in my truck so I can't even really -- a few things they have been on my mind, right, this 125 percent tax increase that PEL is talking about, they should be fired. They shouldn't even be allowed in the town. They are the ones that told Mayor Doherty not to negotiate with police and firemen. They are costing us all

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the money, right?

I don't know if anybody -- I haven't seen anything in the paper which, you know, I get to rid the Times and everything is gospel that's in there, I think you will all agree, but about two or three weeks ago on a Sunday right on the front page of the Sunday Times was a picture of Marty O'Malley back by his bar back on Birney Avenue back in Minooka and it's -- there was a good story about it how a lot of his friends and his clients tell and everything moved out of Scranton, moved to Minoosic -- moved to Moosic, Taylor and stuff because of the wage tax, the property taxes and everything, and nobody seems to want to try to correct any of that. That's what we have to do. not just so much like everybody that gets elected for office wants to raise revenue, yeah, that's nice, but, I mean, how much could you get out of your paycheck, you know? It only goes so far. You go out and get a part-time job and you work a little overtime.

But, I mean, they have to draw a

24

25

1

line someplace and say, okay, we have to tighten our belt, just like Joe Blow the taxpayer does and we have to try to work -you know, come to some type of solution. This is -- it's just totally ridiculous. They take more out of my paycheck for city taxes than they do for the state taxes, I mean, and I got to drive 30 miles to go to That's shame on me, but, I mean, we definitely need some straightening out here and it's not going to happen overnight, and I'm sure I'm not the first one to complain about it, it's been going on for years and years, but unless something happens it's going to be more or less like the Marty O'Malley story on the front page of the Times. Everybody is going to be going. I'd love to sell my house and move two blocks away. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. BENNER: See you in about another ten years.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: A couple of council questions first, some I don't know to whom they should be addressed, but I guess this one would be, the first one would be to Pat Rogan regarding some CDBG loans. While driving down Wheeler Avenue one day last week I noticed that the building that housed the Castle Deli is available for rent. Back in December of 2009, council approved a not to exceed \$25,000 loan to Castle Deli. I would like to know whether Castle Deli received the entire \$25,000 and has this loan been repaid in full.

MR. ROGAN: I'll certainly send that over to Ms. Aebli and also, for the record, I was not on council in 2009.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I didn't say you were.

MR. ROGAN: Oh, no, I'm just saying that I didn't approve the loan, but I will--

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. I have

1	another one, as a matter of fact, there were
2	three CDBG loans approved that same night in
3	December of 2009, another of those loans was
4	a not to exceed \$48,000 loan to Michael
5	Sushi doing business as Michelangelo's
6	Restaurant at the Scranton Club. I
7	understand Posh of Scranton Club is the only
8	restaurant in the Scranton Club, so I would
9	like to know what happened to Michelangelo's
10	and was the loan ever executed and if so in
11	what amount and how much has been used and
12	repaid.
13	MR. HUGHES: I can answer that in
14	ten words. None.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
16	MR. HUGHES: The loan never went
17	through.
18	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
19	MR. HUGHES: Mr. Sushi couldn't come
20	up you with the matching funds.
21	MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney
22	Hughes.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: The sixth
24	councilman.

9

10

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

11 12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

a councilman.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Are any of you -that's why he says "we" because he is the sixth councilman. Are any of you able to shed any light on why the City paid the Department of Justice \$51,206.64 in February to settle an EPA issue? What was the issue and how has the city changed its policies and procedures to prohibit a reoccurrence?

I haven't -- did that MR. ROGAN: involve the Sewer Authority?

MS. EVANS: I think not.

MR. ROGAN: I know they were -- the Sewer Authority was cited and I think the amount was very similar.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They had to plant trees or something.

MR. ROGAN: They had to plant trees which is what -- and it was about that amount of money, if I recall. It may have I don't know found. been that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But I just don't understand why the city would paying -- they are on their own now, I don't understand why the City would be paying a bill, but if you

could run that down and see. If the amounts are the same I guess that answers that.

On the rental registration, will there be an on-line listing of registered rental properties such that neighbors will be able to check if they have a problem to see if it's registered or if they know it's operating as apartments?

MR. MCGOFF: As of now that was not considered, but it's a good idea.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So I'll expect to see an amendment to the ordinance next week, yes. Thank you.

Last week Mrs. Evans mentioned an agreement has been made on several Revised Recovery Plan issues, I would ask that you would share those with us during motions this evening what has been agreed to.

And then another subject related to what somebody else talked about tonight, because the last ordinance dealing with parking meters was File of Council 100 of 2009, it clearly stipulates the 400-500 blocks of Lackawanna Avenue are to be two upper limit, \$1 per hour. However, these

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two blocks have recently had signs posted limiting parking to 30 minutes in the 500 block and 15 minutes in the 400 block instead of paid parking. I request you either make this action official by ordinance or have the metered parking restored. Personally, I don't know what makes these two blocks of the city so special.

And then I don't know if Mr. Loscombe will be sharing the nature of the indiscretion or misconduct of the police officer during Fifth Order, but this is personal to me. I had the misfortune when I was living in California and was, um, years younger of driving to LA airport to pick up a friend and I was stopped by a highway patrolman and I was given a choice, I could take a ticket or I could go out on a date with him. Highly inappropriate, but again, it would have been he said/she said. Ι never did anything about it, but those kinds of things shouldn't happen and, therefore, again, I don't care who the officer is I want to know if the officer is still

employed by the city, is on suspension, if it's suspension is it paid or unpaid and what was the nature of the misconduct because if it's something like that I definitely think some kind of action has to be taken.

If I could have just more because I do having something for council tonight, I know you're planning to meet with the commissioners, school board and city council regarding the taxable properties, and I would like to suggest that you can get the first half a million dollars worth of additional revenue possibly from the government-owned contractor operated facility between Cedar and North Washington, the ammunition plant. I have here a Ninth Circuit Court document that held that counties may impose real and personal property taxes on GOCOS, so that would be a good place to start.

I have some other materials I may
leave tonight and I suggest you all download
Act 55 of 19997 and become familiar with
that before you meet with them and I hope

1 those will be public. Thank you. 2 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 4 MS. EVANS: Hi. Chris. 5 MR. ROGAN: Hey, Chrissy. MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Frankie. Pat, 6 7 can I ask you one question, just for you, 8 Pat. 9 MR. ROGAN: All right. 10 MR. SLEDENZSKI: We want Engine 9 11 back open and 15 back open. Serious, Pat. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. ROGAN: I know, Chrissy. Thank 14 you. MR. LOSCOMBE: Nice shirt, Chrissy. 15 16 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Thanks, Jackie. 17 MR. MARTIN: Good evening, Bob Martin. I haven't been to a council meeting 18 19 in awhile. The reason why I haven't come 20 here is because I haven't been living in the 21 city, and I know Mrs. Evans long and she is 22 doing a good job as president. 23 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 24 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Rogan. 25 MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Joyce, and I will talk to you about Facebook, and I know Jack a long time and, Mr. McGoff.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Also known as Fireball Martin in his pitching days.

MR. MARTIN: Right. Actually announced down there like 35 years. I haven't been council meeting in a long time. I originally was one of the original Scranton Today camera operators, what I want to -- just thought about this, I don't know, is there anything going on with the railroad station? Remember the old railroad station and Mr. Donahue was going to take over? Is there anything going on? I just thought about that, because you got that new bridge in there, you know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't believe anything is going on with the building, but as a matter of fact I see that this new contractor who is doing the electrical street lighting and all that is occupying the fenced in area, so I don't know if it's being rented by Mr. Donahue or how that works, but -- I did notice there is a sign

there for this new electrical place and they have their equipment there.

MR. MARTIN: Another I think I want to bring up, we have always been talking about the -- what was that place that you had problems that down in Delaware Street and Dickson Avenue, Daron?

MS. EVANS: Daron Northeast.

MR. MARTIN: Daron Northeast, it's good to hear about they are putting up the housing there and I was listening to the meeting here and it sounds like that's going to go through and it's going to be great. You know, there is lot of good things happening in the city. You know, people are down on the City of Scranton saying there is nothing going on, but I'll tell you right now, I'm very glad to be living in downtown Scranton now and, as they say, Scranton is the friendly city and I believe it's great. There's a lot of things going on and I just love the City of Scranton.

And, like I said, when I usually come to the city council meeting if I have nothing good to say I won't say it, but, I

mean, you are -- it's a tough job up there, 1 but you guys are doing a great job and I 2 3 appreciate everything you are doing and all you guys are from West Side on the council, 4 5 I'm not taking away from Mr. McGoff, I know Mr. McGoff a long time when the University 6 7 when he was coaching up there and 8 everything, and congratulating his son on --9 sorry to Holy Cross and they want pretty --MR. MCGOFF: Grandson. 10 11 MR. MARTIN: -- good, and his son I 12 remember him when he was very small years 13 ago, but I appreciate and hoping to get back 14 and Chrissy is one of my friends. He is a great guy, okay? 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Welcome back, Bob. 17 MR. MARTIN: Oh, thank you very 18 much. 19 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 20 cares to address council? 21 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. 22 MOTIONS. 23 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have 24 any comments or motions? 25 Just very quickly. MR. MCGOFF:

was going to jump in before on Berkheimer, but I figured we'd move along with the citizens' participation. I do say rather than speculating about interest and bank fees and whether they balance, can I assume that these are public records since they are under contract to the city?

MS. EVANS: I don't know.

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know either.

MS. EVANS: Shall we ask our attorney?

MS. KRAKE: To the best of my -- and obviously Mr. Hughes can add to it that that is not public record. The Scranton Times had a lawsuit about it at one time even and I imagine the amounts they sent to us we could find, but we can't find out the specifics.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess -- well, I would pursue the idea that even if they are not public record perhaps in the -- that Berkheimer would be acquiesce to providing that information to substantiate what they did say here this evening and perhaps in the

future as funds are disbursed to the city and money is being collected by Burkheimer perhaps we can request that from them and determine, you know, whether or not this is a feasible program economically for the city and for the other taxing bodies or whether, as perhaps Councilman Rogan is suspect, perhaps they are somehow making money from the collected taxes which, whether it's legal or not, I don't know, but at least we could check into the ethics of it as we go along.

The second thing, I know we have received a number of -- a lot of information here about the proposed TAN-B and our bank, will there be an explanation of this this evening by anyone?

MS. EVANS: No, because this is still -- this is an ongoing process. It's not been decided.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess my concern or question has to do with one of the things was stated and the information we received was that as council president you could not sign a commitment letter guaranteeing the

SPA loan, I guess, but yet we seem to be negotiating and there seems to be conditions that are being attached to these negotiations, yet, I don't remember council discussing these conditions and whether all of council agrees to it.

MS. EVANS: Well, I believe they are compilations of what's been discussed by council members during city council meetings throughout the last several months to over the last period of a year or more.

MR. MCGOFF: I don't -- well, I don't remember voting to okay these. If council is going to -- if you are not authorized to sign a commitment letter on your own then how are we -- how is someone authorized to provide conditions to a negotiation without consent of council as well. And, as I said, I just don't remember voting on any of these or discussing these as conditions for these negotiations.

MS. EVANS: Well, as I said, no, we did not vote on it, but they were publically discussed I'm sure, oh, I couldn't even recall how many times nor would I want to

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

try. We have discussed a StreetSmart

Technology Parking Program or a reasonable facsimile.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, we have discussed them, but we have never --

MS. EVANS: Well, that was --

MR. MCGOFF: -- done them as conditions for a proposal.

Well, I think we have MS. EVANS: also discussed the fact that the Scranton Parking Authority is in a precarious financial state and has provided absolutely no accountability to Scranton City Council, I believe every council member bemoaned the fact that the annual budgets submitted to city council are unacceptable and These are the conditions inappropriate. that have been provided, and in order for the city, I believe, or city council to take a step forward and say, "We are going to guarantee the debt of the Scranton Parking Authority to the tune of \$2.9 million," I believe the Scranton Parking Authority must straighten out it's act in order to receive that type of an agreement because as it

stands today I can't -- I can't say that I, as council president, would ever approve guaranteeing more of their debt.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not arguing that at all. What my concern is that we are -- we are negotiating on behalf of council without the approval of all of council.

MS. EVANS: Well, we can ask for that now. I gave everyone a copy, and is there anyone on council who does not approve?

MR. HUGHES: Mrs. Evans, do you want me to reply to this?

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MR. HUGHES: Unfortunately, council has been interjected into this whole morass of the Parking Authority, and when Landmark Bank made an offer to the city to fund the -- well, I'll call it TAN-B for \$2.75 million they lent their unfunded debt of the Parking Authority that will probably be in default to that TAN.

The communication was carried on directly with me by Mr. Balzoni, sent that to me. I discussed it with you, I said that

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Scopelliti in five months has never answered my three-page letter that council authorized me to write before the budget last year when they requested \$1.6 million be put into the budget to fund any defalcation by the Parking Authority on it's debt.

When I got the letter I discussed it with Mrs. Evans, I said I would outline what I think should be done with the Parking Authority if the City was going to guarantee \$2.95 million of Landmark debt to the Parking Authority. Something had to be done to get them in compliance or at least to get them to cooperate with council and to answer the questions that council asked. To this day, it has not been answered, you have no idea what the financial condition of the Parking Authority is, yet Landmark Bank wants council to approve -- or to approve the TAN to also require council to pass an ordinance that the unsecured loan of Landmark Bank will now be secured by the city's full, faith and credit and taxing power.

I looked it over, I came up with a lot of those recommendations, I furnished them, I discussed them with Mrs. Evans and I refined them and I said before council -- in my opinion, before council would set forth it's full, faith and credit and taxing power to guarantee another \$2.9 million of Parking Authority debt, which is like throwing money in a dark hole, something has to done to bring them in compliance to cooperate not only with council but with the city.

I came up with that, I discussed it with Mrs. Evans. The meeting that she had with the mayor that was given to them, they submitted it to Mr. Balzoni, Ryan McGowan submitted to Mr. Balzoni. He made a reply and I formalized it for council so council would know exactly what's going on. I don't even know how many council members knew that Landmark Bank was linking their loan to the Parking Authority of \$2.95 million to the TAN-B and the TAN-B would not be approved by Landmark Bank unless the city guaranteed the Parking Authority's debt.

1

MS. EVANS: So Council was going to be held hostage.

MR. HUGHES: Well, the city was.

MS. EVANS: Well, council is the one that would have to agree to that.

MR. HUGHES: When you set up negotiation and what they were doing was linking their unsecured debt to the TAN-B. "You guys want the money, you need the money, you want another tax anticipation note? We'll give it to you, but now we want something else and we are going to link the Scranton Parking Authority," which has no business to be involved with the city's tax anticipation note "B" for \$2.95 million, they are linking in here and saying, it's a carrot and a stick. You want it, if you don't do this, you are not going to get the money.

MS. EVANS: The bank made the mistake and gave a bad loan.

MR. HUGHES: They gave a bad loan.

They should have came to council first

before they lent that \$2.95 million because

they are what I call naked out there. They

have no security. They are behind all the bond holders. If council has to come up with any portion of that \$1.6 million on the guarantee of the Parking Authority debt, you know, Landmark Bank won't get paid.

Landmark Bank has demanded as one of their lending conditions that the Parking Authority submit a budget to them for review and approval. They haven't done that for Landmark Bank.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. HUGHES: I said to Balzoni, "Why are you calling me? Why don't you call the city solicitor?"

He is said, "Because, I can talk to you."

But anyway, that's more than enough.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and --

MR. MCGOFF: Two responses. May I?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. MCGOFF: Two responses. If these were conditions and Attorney Hughes' opinion that needed to be met, then I think they should have been presented to council, discussed by council before being presented

back to Landmark.

Second, it would appear from the response that if these conditions were met, it says, "The SPA must commit to the following for the City to guarantee the Landmark loan, that we would have followed through on the guarantee if these conditions were met despite what, you know, all of the negatives that were just stated.

MS. EVANS: I can respond to that. I informed the mayor that, of course, this would have to come -- a TAN and these agreements would have to come to City Council in the form of legislation for its approval, and all five council members will have to make a decision on this, but that I would not say as a council president that I will sign my name and guarantee the taxing power of the City of Scranton to back another bad loan to the Scranton Parking Authority.

MR. MCGOFF: I agree with that. I agree with that.

MS. EVANS: And in addition in that though, all of the conditions that were to

be given to the bank, and the mayor agreed to do so as can the business administrator, all of those conditions, once again, were all items that this council has publically discussed time and time and time again, and these are all conditions that have been accumulating and that we have been fighting unsuccessfully to obtain accountability and transparency from the Parking Authority a period of years. So if you find that objectionable that is certainly your prerogative, but I would ask are there other council members who object to these conditions?

MR. ROGAN: I'll make a comment, not really an objection. I think the first condition should be that Mr. Scopelliti should be replaced. He ran that Parking Authority into the ground.

Secondly, I'm deeply troubled just by the concept of putting the city's full faith and credit to a \$2.9 million loan, which everyone knows is bad, to receive \$2.75 million that has to be paid back. So I'm troubled by the concept of it.

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, if any council member wants to work out an agreement with any agency, any bank, they're more than willing to do it, as long as it comes up for a full vote at the end and if you don't like it vote it down.

You know, I have reviewed everything and it's unprecedented. In the history of the city I don't think anything like this has ever happened before, and it's going to continue with all of these authorities, it's not just the Parking Authority, who is one of the main defaulters right now. there are unelected people running -appointed by the mayor, appointed by one person and half of them, since we have been on the majority have been rejected by council because they won't come to us and tell us what their positions are, so they are appointed by one man and the mayor gets to sit back and say, "Oh, that's the Authority, I had nothing to do with it," but when he appoints every member. He has been the mayor for ten years. He controls every vote on every one of those boards except for

the Sewer Authority, I think Dunmore has one vote.

The mayor uses the authorities as his scapegoat, "This is the Authority doing this," but what everyone has to realize is Mayor Doherty appointed, and I don't think I'm going out of the loop by saying, he has been the mayor for 10 years and the terms are all less than ten years, Mayor Doherty has appointed every member of the authority.

everyone has to think long and hard about whether we want to bankroll a \$2.5 million loan, which is really a debt, because it's already gone bad to receive \$2.75 now.

Maybe they are the only bank that wants to lend it to us because the mayor has run the city so poorly over the last ten years and they are trying to save themselves from a bad loan they made. So everyone has to think about it long and hard.

MS. EVANS: If I could go interject, it goes back to tonight's caucus, if we were receiving --

MR. ROGAN: We wouldn't have to

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

borrow --

MS. EVANS: -- our tax revenues we wouldn't being looking for something like this --

MS. HUGHES: Madam Chairwoman, just for the record --

MS. EVANS: -- and it's the administration that's seeking this TAN.

MR. HUGHES: I'm sorry. But just for the record, for a timeline, that meeting that was held last week between you, Mr. Joyce, and the mayor and Mr. McGowan, I think a day or two before I had got -- I received what Landmark's demands were for the city -- to the city on the Parking Authority debt, and I put that together, I gave it to you, it then went to Attorney Kelly, he responded. That was last Wednesday, he responded to me on Friday. put everything together to give it to council tonight so all council would be That's a very short timeline in informed. one week to put up of all of that together.

I told Attorney Kelly I put
StreetSmart in there because I thought that

if this won't through where would the city get a new source of funding where it wouldn't be able to -- a new source of the funding would not be available, could pay that debt and to pay the TAN.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: And that's why I recommended a sinking fund, I don't have any contact -- I put StreetSmart in there because I only knew StreetSmart. I don't care who it is. There is somebody else out there, I don't know if it's IBF or IDF or whoever it is that also bid. I said, "Bid it again publically."

Today I got from McGowan the bid specs that they could send out to bid. I don't care who it is, if it's going to increase the revenue, you know, and if it is whatever the "X" number, 100,00, 200,000, 300,000, somehow get a formula, put that into a sinking fund so as that comes due there is money there to pay it so if the Parking Authority defaults that's extra money you don't have, but it's in council's budget.

That's what -- I don't have any -- I don't even know who StreetSmart is, I remember the guy being here awhile ago, but, you know, I put that in there, I don't care who it is, but if you implement it, and obviously you put that in the budget last year with an anticipated revenue of \$300,000 and here it is 15 months later, and you are no farther ahead. If this is an opportunity to do it, do it. I just want everybody to be informed, and in one week I think it came a far way. This is my ideas, let everybody else figure out what to put in there, but it's a very serious situation.

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, I have one question, and I certainly appreciate all of the information in advance, was there any negotiations on the interest rate of the loan?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know anything about the interest rate.

MS. EVANS: We haven't had any information about the interest rate.

MR. HUGHES: Pat, all I know is I received from Balzoni, Mr. Balzoni, Dave

the city is going to pay. And that's up to you guys to figure out. I just put it out, you might want to add ten more things to it, I don't care. MS. EVANS: And on the same --MR. HUGHES: I'm just trying to give a little bit of guidance.

Balzoni of Landmark Bank what the proposal

as the alchemy back in medial times would

say, into a piece of gold. He has a note

he will give you this money on a TAN, but

sweating on and now they will say, yes, they

our commitment is this, you got to make this

so that if ever there is a default on this

for \$2.95 million that he is probably

was and the linkage to turn a piece of lead,

MS. EVANS: That gentleman I believe had a conversation with our solicitor, was very agreeable to what was discussed, and then later in the day shot off an e-mail, after these conditions were presented to the mayor and the BA, later in the day shot off an e-mail saying -- well, it was a complete reversal. Saying that we were very fortunate that Landmark decided to bailout

24

25

the Parking Authority last year because if they hadn't the city would have been responsible for that, and we should also be very grateful that they participated in TAN-A and I believe it was something to the effect if we intend to inject some practicality into the situation they might consider not declining the TAN.

So there is a much afoot behind the scenes that changes not just daily, but from morning to afternoon with the players involved in this, but the bottom line is simply this, Scranton City Council is trying to protect the people of this city from monumental tax increases and debts, and we are trying to provide accountability from entities that basically have thumbed their nose at the people and this council for years and anyone who would not be agreeable to that, I don't know, I'd really have to question the motives because I'm very much--

MR. MCGOFF: Are you speaking of me?

MS. EVANS: Yes, because I would be very grateful to anybody --

MR. MCGOFF: I'm not -- excuse me --

1	MR. EVANS: that would be working
2	very diligently
3	MR. MCGOFF: I never questioned
4	MS. EVANS: You questioned
5	MR. MCGOFF: the stipulation.
6	MS. EVANS: on what authority I
7	would refuse to sign a letter
8	MR. MCGOFF: No, I questioned the
9	process.
10	MS. EVANS: where I would commit
11	all of their money
12	MR. MCGOFF: No, I didn't.
13	MS. EVANS: to the Parking
14	Authority
15	MR. MCGOFF: No, I did not.
16	MS. EVANS: but where did I come
17	up
18	MR. MCGOFF: No I did not.
19	MS. EVANS: Where did I come up with
20	the ability to attach conditions? Those
21	have been council's conditions for
22	MR. MCGOFF: They were never
23	MS. EVANS: over two years.
24	MR. MCGOFF: They were never talked
25	about as being contingencies for any

proposal. Yes, they were discussed. All I am saying, and didn't question your authority to -- as a matter of fact, I agreed with the idea -- with you, that you should not have signed that letter, what I am questioning and what I'm concerned with is that the negotiations were conducted on behalf of council, things were discussed on behalf of council and yet council never discussed them themselves, and as part of a proposal and contingencies for that proposal.

If we are going to -- if we are going to be open and transparent, as has been stated by council, then these are things that should be done at council. They should be discussed openly by everyone, and that was my contention, and that is all.

MS. EVANS: And did I provide that information to you last week?

MR. MCGOFF: After the fact, yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: This is not a done deal; right?

MS. EVANS: No. No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: So there is no after

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the fact.

MS. EVANS: Nothing has been --

MR. LOSCOMBE: These are just some of the conditions. The conditions are in front of us since last week, we are going to have the ultimate vote, and this is something that should have been done years ago to get these places under control, and like Mrs. Rogan stated, you know, let this be a wake-up call for the other authorities that we are watching everything, and this is just the first step. And to me there should be even more like Mr. Rogan said, but for anybody to question this, we have negotiators here. You don't take the whole team into negotiate, you negotiate, you come back, if it's acceptable to everybody that's the way it works. That's the way contracts work, that's the way business is.

But I think these are super. I couldn't have thought of anything better myself, and as Mrs. Evans stated, this is something that's been discussed here, even tonight's meeting. First of all, we are discussing the four or five hundred block of

Lackawanna Avenue, this Authority puts their own time signs up. Look what they have done on Mattes Avenue. We have ordinances that they are thumbing their noses at. If something like this is allowed to continue, you know, we are just going to get deeper. We have to get things under control and if this is the first step I'm the first one to stand up for it. I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: Is there anything else, Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: No, that's all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. I guess I'll speak little bit about the discussion we have been having. When I received the proposal last week, as everyone did, I read it over. I wish that all five council members could negotiate as well. The Sunshine Law prohibits that, even though the spirit of the law isn't, you know, to have -- sometimes the spirit of the law and

the letter of the law are different.

Everything should be done out in the open, I think we all agree that things need to be done out in the open.

In reading it over, I have been mulling this over since I received this, since I read it for the last week, the question is for me and the conditions that council has presented I don't know have a problem with any of them. I agree, I want StreetSmart just as much as anyone else up here. I would like to see Mr. Scopelliti replaced as one of conditions initially, but I agree with a lot of conditions from council.

The stumbling block for me is, and I think what's the stumbling block for everyone is the backing of authority debt.

The authorities are going to crumble no matter what. The Parking Authority is broke, let's not kid ourselves. I personally think the best course of the action for the city with the Parking Authority is let it fail, it's going to, take it back in-house, have city employees

run it, have the elected officials run it.

The parking meters with StreetSmart, I believe from when Mr. Miskell said when he was in here, from what other communities said that StreetSmart could raise a very sizable amounts of revenue from the parking, from the asset we already have, but, unfortunately, PEL and the Recovery Plan and the mayor want to sell to the Parking Authority.

Now, here is two bailouts for the Parking Authority. One, they are trying to sell the parking meters, I don't think there is one person up here that wants to do that. Basically it's our cash cow that not going to go away. You know, the cost of maintaining them is very low for what we get compared to other sources of revenue.

The second way they are trying to bail them out is by having the city back their debt. It's not our debt.

MS. EVANS: It's the only part of their debt that's not our debt because there is about 40 million plus --

MR. ROGAN: This part is not our

debt.

MS. EVANS: -- that is our debt thanks to previous council that approved all of that. I never did.

MR. ROGAN: And not one person, nobody on the majority I don't think knows when this loan was exactly approved, but I know I haven't voted for the city to back this, the city is not backing it. I don't think we should, but it comes down to at the end of the day it's a tough decision. If it's for the city facing payless paydays which, I don't know how they are making payroll this month, but they are hopefully, I hope my check cashes.

MS. EVANS: You might be safe for tomorrow.

MR. ROGAN: I'm hoping the check cashes, but if it comes down to the prospect of payless paydays, it's a tough decision to weigh.

Getting back to the problems with Berkheimer, you know, and people have mentioned I know the health care law is a big debate, with Berkheimer taking over

. .

that's a state mandate. It wasn't something that we did. It was forced down our throats. People don't like that. We don't like it. It's hurting the city, it's hurting the city's finances.

You, know, for me the big difference when it comes to the handling of the cash when you have a tax collector he collects, he gets a salary that's set by elected officials. The tax collector is an elected official. He is not looking to make money other than his salary. He does the job, he collects the taxes, he sends it out to the municipalities as soon as he could, so we can get the money to make payroll to pave streets, salt the roads, whatever we have to do.

When you have a for profit company holding money, they are going to hold it for as long as they can to make every cent of interest you could and, you know, we all have -- many people have savings accounts and you see the very tiny amounts of interest you make, like, a few thousand dollars, but when you have millions of

dollars in an account or tens of millions of dollars in an account even if it's floating week to week they are making a very impressive amount of income. If somebody told me you could hold a million dollars for a year for me and put it into a bank account you are making a nice chunk of money without doing anything.

I don't object to the -- I believe there was one point -- approximately 1.4 fee that they charge. It's unfortunate that we have to pay the fee, but they have to pay their costs. They have to pay their employees, you know, it's not funded from the budget the way the Single Tax Office is. There has to be, you know, some way that they deal with these tests, but when they are holding onto the money and not releasing it, it's not only Scranton, I mean, the smaller municipalities as well. When they are holding onto the money they are making interest off your money and the city is unable to pay it's bills.

One more comment about the Parking

Authority, and this is -- I don't know if

1

6 7

5

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone knows the answer to this question, it was brought up to me by a resident who is using one of the garages, with the new automated systems that were put in I understand the time you clock in and you put your money in and you go to your car and drive out. The question that was raised to me by the resident was two-fold. One. how long of a grace period do you have from the time you stamp your stamp your ticket and make the payment to the time you leave? think ten minutes, 15 minutes is a reasonable amount of time to go to your car and get your things together and pull out. But if there is no end period you could park your car for 15 minutes, go down and pay, and then you your ticket is good, essentially ripping off the Authority.

And the second question is if I went to -- I parked in the garage, out to leave and paid and then decided, oh, I met a friend I'm going to go have a drink with him or grab dinner, could you put that ticket back in to receive the amount you paid off on the full amount? I don't know if anyone

knows. It was just brought up to me,

Mrs. Krake, I'll send it to you in writing
tomorrow.

MS. KRAKE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Finally, and this has been something since I have been on council that I have rallied against, the mayor gave the state of the city address today at 12:00. Most of the people in the city were working. Almost every one of us was working at 12:00 today. Maybe we have an hour for lunch but when you work half an hour away, an hour away, you can't make it.

Why can't Mayor Doherty come in front of council, and he could even have the podium here, we'll sit down there, just like the president does, and speak to council and the residents on the TV. You know, I think it was Mr. Miller that mentioned, you know, being like the president when you are comparing it to the president. Mayor Doherty gave the state of the city address at 12:00 when nobody could attend, I wasn't invited, I don't know how many council members were invited, in front of a

handpicked group of his supporters, a friendly audience. There is no dissent in that room. I would gander to say that most of them were donors to the mayor's campaign.

That would be like President Obama giving the speech at noon, not televising it, and only giving the speech to members of his party. That would be insane. The people would be rioting in the streets, but Mayor Doherty does it for all these years and it's been okay.

I would be delighted to attend to hear what the mayor has to say if he would come here. Even though I disagree with many of his policies, I would still like to hear what he says, and I think that's our responsibility as council members and I think the public would like to know what the mayor's plans are for the city for the next year for the city for the next is the state of the city.

Unfortunately, I wasn't able to attend, I don't know if any of my colleagues were, I know we all have busy days. If it was scheduled before our council meeting or

we could even push the time of the council meeting back to accommodate the mayor, but I hope that in the future it is at night when people are done working, televised in front of the council and in front of the public audience. And that's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. Just briefly, just a couple of things that were mentioned tonight, Mr. Morgan came to the podium and his -- I have to agree with him 1,000 percent that we do need more police and firefighters, however, he comes to the podium and he makes it seem like we could wave a magic wand, this city council, and make the changes. We have already gone through the legal processes. We have already done what we can do at this point to bring on more manpower in both the police and fire department.

He doesn't blame the mayor, it was the mayor who cut 29 in his budget, not us. We weren't able to add into our budget

manpower this year because that's still under litigation and that's been reminded -- that's been brought up several times here already, so this year we put some funding in or utilized some funding from a grant that we had and still at that point he had refused to do it, but, you know, there is nobody that believes more than myself that, you know, we still are inadequate with our protection and we still have to beef it up to where everyone is safe in this city, safe from crime, safe from fires, safe from any accidents.

But, you know, Mr. Morgan and comes here week after week and he makes it sound like there was more than we can done. If he does have the answer I wish he would share it with us, but honestly we have done everything we legally and possibly can do at this point because I think all of us here if they told us tomorrow if we vote here tonight they could put 50 on tomorrow based on our vote there is no doubt we would do it, but it doesn't work that way, and I just, you know, hope Mr. Morgan sees it. He

2

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sees things a little differently, and he usually leaves after his comments, so I hope he hears the response.

And the other issue, I had met yesterday, in fact, with Chief Duffy, we had numerous issues to discuss, some of the ordinances that we have before us based on scrapping the police vehicles, we went over that to clarify some of the language and some of the things on that.

There will be -- we discussed an ordinance that will be coming before us on the police officers, the white tickets. They are going to -- right now I guess they come into the Treasurer's Office and pay those in addition to the Parking Authority What the police department is tickets. intending on doing since their building is open 24 hours a day is to pay the fines for the police issued tickets at the police headquarters where there is parking and you can come in 24 hours a day. There is still some work to be done on this and it's still in the process, but it's a way to keep things a little more organized. I was told

by Chief Duffy that sometimes they don't receive the notice that a ticket was paid and they end up sending police officers to Court and it's costing money to send a officer to Court when the thing was already paid so it's supposed to be a little bit easier to maintain. But again, there is still work to be done on that, but he did, you know, go over his ideas with me on that and, of course, Attorney Hughes will be looking at it also and working on it, any changes or ideas.

There was a number of issues that I had discussed with him based on citizens' concerns, citizens' complaints. He was aware of some of them already, some of them were new and I had brought them to his attention and he had taken those notes.

And lastly, I mean, I have been asked here the last several weeks about an incident involving a police officer. Well, it's a very fine line right now because the District Attorney's Office and the state police have determined that there is no criminal charges to be filed against this

1

5

4

7

6

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police officer. So what Chief Duffy had told me he is doing his internal investigation now that it's handed back from the District Attorney's Office and that he will be determining what violations of department policy have transpired, and he assures me that, you know, this is not going to be brushed under the door, he will be looking at it in totality, and there will be However, since it's still in repercussions. the personnel department it's a personnel issue and there are no criminal charges I am not at liberty to release the findings or the issue at this time. It could entail some legal issues.

So I apologize, but we had a lengthy discussion, Chief Duffy assured me that he would let me know what the outcome will be and he would be expediting it, and I think, you know, through the grapevine most people know who, know what, but publically it cannot be broadcast until the disposition is completed and perhaps it's made public at this time with the news media and stuff, but we are under a legal obligation as a

personnel matter right now that we can't go any further. We have to wait for Chief Duffy's disposition on this.

And I think that's all I have at this point.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
To report, as was specified in 3-A 3-B, our
Tax Collector, Bill Courtright, has
submitted to two separate deposits into the
2012 TAN lockbox which is being held at
Fidelity Bank. These deposits were for
\$13,211.63 and \$1,910,472.47.

As one may or may not know, this year there is a special agreement with the tax anticipation note, commonly referred to as the TAN. As part of the special agreement all real estate tax revenue was required by our TAN lender to be placed in the lockbox for final repayment by June 30, 2012, or until all the balance is paid, whichever comes first.

I have been informed by Fidelity

Bank that currently the balance on the 2012

tax participation note is \$10,185,217.80 and
there is currently \$4,858,954.13 in the

lockbox to pay it back at the present time.

With this being said, there is approximately \$5,326,263.67 left to pay on the tax anticipation note.

As per business administrator Ryan McGowan, Mr. Courtright excepts to submit another deposit to the lockbox to pay back the 2012 tax anticipation note within the next two weeks, so we are expecting another payment from our tax collector very soon, and Mrs. Courtright has been doing a very great job as submitting these payments on a timely basis to this escrow account, that I commonly refer to as the lockbox.

Secondly tonight, Scranton City
Council has been notified by State
Representative Kevin Murphy that
applications are now being accepted by the
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental
Protection for recycling program development
implementation grants. Recycling program
development and implementation grants
reimburse municipalities for 90 percent of
eligible recycling program development and
implementation expenses. Municipalities

that are considered distressed from DCED, which Scranton is, recycling program development and implementation grants are eligible for 100 percent of approved costs.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Director Dougher and inquire whether he is considering applying for this grant to enhance Scranton's recycling program.

Business Administrator Ryan McGowan has also submitted an audit status report to Scranton City Council. As one knows, it is of utmost important that we complete our audit in a more timely manner this year as exit conferences for the 2010 and 2009 audit were held in 2012 and 2011, respectively, which is far past the dates specified in the Home Rule Charter.

According to Mr. McGowan's audit status update report, there is a list of 32 items that need to be completed in order to complete the audit. Some of those items have been completed and many of those items are projected to be completed sometime in the next month and at the current moment,

all of those items are projected to be completed by the end of the June this year. There is a laundry list of the items, I won't read them off one by one, they all have to do with financial matters, of course. He also projects that an exit conference should be held during the first week of the July this year, which is far better off than we have seen in the past.

And I think there is more of a press for the audit this year as opposed to years in the past because this is something that the banks want to see in order to provide tax anticipation notes as it was required it was a requirement of the 2012 tax anticipation notes.

And finally, I do have a few citizens' requests. First, residents of North Scranton have informed me that the condition of both Greenbush and Reese Streets are subpar as there are many cracks in the roads as well as potholes.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, can you please inform Director Dougher of this situation and ask him to handle this

accordingly. Residents of this street have informed me that both streets have not been paved in over 25 years and they would like to see the streets paved if possible. These are the entrances and exits to the Career Technology Center in north Scranton.

Also, residents of the Keyser Valley section have informed me that the condition of the 400 and 500 block of the Dexter Avenue are subpar as there are many cracks and subsidences in the road making travel conditions difficult.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the matters to contact Director Dougher about.

Residents in the 200 block of North Everett Avenue have informed me that their block is in poor condition as cracks and potholes are making travel conditions very, very difficult.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the matters to address with the Director Dougher.

And finally, Hill Section residents have informed Scranton City Council about a continuous problems at 738 and 740 Harrison

Avenue, which is a double unit. Hill Section residents have notified us that there is a constant loud noise, fighting and foul odors emitting from the home.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr. Seitzinger as well as Chief Duffy, notify them of this situation and ask them to rectify the problem in the best way that they see fit. Perhaps the home may not be up to code from the description of the odors emitting from the home and also some of the activity that has been taking place. And that is all I have for tonight.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add something, Mrs. Evans, I actually drove by there and I took some photographs I'll give you that will apply with this here, actually a lot of the siding was blowing off the other day in that windstorm, so I actually have pictures of that coming off and the fascia hanging so there are some issues with the structure there, too, and we could add that the photo with the issue.

But there was one item I forgot to

mention, in my meeting with Chief Duffy he asked me if I could announce this: The next Citizen Police Academy is scheduled to begin on Tuesday, May 8, 2012. A 14-week class to be held on Tuesday evenings from 6 to 8 p.m. at the new North Scranton satellite office, which is at the bottom of the Morgan Highway and Keyser Avenue in the plaza.

Applications right now they are available now and they can be picked up at the police headquarters or the Geico Insurance Office on Keyser Avenue, and the graduation for this class will occur during our annual National "Night Out" Celebration which is scheduled for Tuesday, August 7, 2012. And they are going to be holding the annual "Night Out" celebration this year at Scranton High School.

And this is a free class and also part of the class you learn first aid and CPR, which is also included. So if anyone is interested they can pick up the applications, again, at the police headquarters or the Geico Insurance Office on Keyser Avenue. And thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I do this every week, I have one more thing I forgot to mention. Last week I mentioned the sidewalks being torn up again at the corner or Linden and Washington Avenue, the new sidewalks that were put in, the Parking Authority property, and we surprisingly receive a response from Mr. Scopelliti, and I thank him for the response, and I'll read a summation of it.

What it is, it's the Scranton signal project and they are saying weather permitting they will start working on March 5 to -- it's a signalization of traffic signals, things of that nature, cut curb ramp sidewalks, pavement markings, traffic control and miscellaneous construction. It's not being done by the Parking Authority, it sounds like it's still being done by taxpayer dollars. I do wish there was a coordination. I think it would have been a lot cheaper to do everything at once than to put in the sidewalks and rip them out, but, you know, that is the answer and I

thank them for getting back to us.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And I apologize again, but I think we are going to see a lot more of that because I saw them marking intersections today, the brand new sidewalks down by Penn Furniture are painted up with markings.

MR. ROGAN: I saw that as well and it's unfortunate, as we mention with the roads all the time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Coordinating the roads. They do with that with the blacktop.

MR. ROGAN: You know, if they are going to replace the road or replace a pipe under the road they don't want to pave it the year before.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. Included on tonight's agenda in Seventh Order is a resolution to enter into a contract with Knowel's Associates, LLC, for insurance brokerage services for insurance with several companies for coverages for the period April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013.

Since 2010, Scranton City Council urged that this contract be put out to bid since it was never bid under the current administration. When the administration failed to bid the newest 2012 contract again in 2011, city council amended legislation in January 2010 to require that this contract must be bid and that premiums for city insurance coverages would be paid only for the first quarter of 2012.

As a result, the administration advertised for RFPs on February 14, 2012 with the submission deadline of March 1, 2010, less than three weeks later. Within such a brief time frame it was very difficult to impossible for insurance companies to submit an appropriate bid. Thus, Knowel's Associates was the low bidder and receives the contract for the 11th consecutive year.

Throughout the past two years, city council members have noted that properties which are insured by the city such as the Albright Memorial Library, for example, are not owned by the city. In addition, council

Solicitor Hughes informed me recently that
the vacant lot in the 500 block of
Lackawanna Avenue is not owned by the city,
yet is included among city properties which
the city pays to insure.

As a result, I directed Attorney
Hughes to research and amend the current
resolution in order to include much needed
corrections and changes with the goal of the
saving taxpayers money and opening the
bidding process in a more appropriate and
fair manner to all bidders.

In summary, the amendments include the following: (1). No fee will be paid to the broker of the record and if a fee were paid for the first quarter of 2012 it must be recovered by the city.

- (2). The next contract must be bid by December 1, 2012 with a bid opening of March 1, 2013, thereby, providing bidders with a 90-day period in which to fully prepare their bids.
- (3). The business administrator will review Schedule A, determine if the city is paying premiums on property it

doesn't own and/or in which it has no insurable interest and delete such properties from the contract and the coverages.

Attorney Hughes, do you have any comments you wish to add?

MR. HUGHES: No, not really, I think you summarized it very well. I would state that I did get a call last Friday after last week's meeting from the insurance man who watched the program and stated that he is interested in submitting a bid next year, stated that I was correct that if the city does not own any of those buildings it does not have an insurable interest in it, and it is just throwing the premium away.

The property at the corner of the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, 500 of Lackawanna Avenue is and never was owned by the City of Scranton. It was a parking lot owned by M & S. It was condemned by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority. I represented the owners, I filed preliminary objections, those preliminary objections were ultimately settled. The Scranton

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Redevelopment Authority purchased 500 of Lackawanna Avenue, it's approximately 35 feet wide and about 100 feet long down on South Washington Avenue, and Scranton Redevelopment Authority immediately conveyed it to the developer, and it says in the specifications that there is a building on it, so I have no idea what is being paid and what the premium being paid on that is.

And that's why, you know, in looking everything over here, you know, the city could be paying tens of thousands of dollars on insurance on properties it doesn't own, and I'm not just talking from a real estate standpoint, but also on other property, and I think that that should be looked into. And I do know one insurance broker that did pick up the bid specs, but he could not put a bid in because of the fact that they needed at least -- up to 90 days to really review the bid specs and to submit a bid, so I think that with this and the bidding it next year there is a possibility that there is a substantial amount of money should be saved by the city.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. By amending this legislation
tonight, city council hopes to correct the
insurance coverages, save money and offer a
fair bidding process to all insurance
companies later this year.

Next, progress is made daily as the mayor and council officers continual to meet and discuss significant financial and public safety matters as well as initiatives for the Revised Recovery Plan. After the joint plan is drafted and reviewed by the mayor, BA, Council Finance Chair and myself, copies will be distributed to city council members for their review, additions and deletions.

Thereafter, the joint plan developed by the administration and all of city council will be submitted to the Pennsylvania Economy League and the people of Scranton for review and input.

Next, Mayor Doherty, as has been discussed several times previously tonight, delivered his state of the city address earlier today at the Greater Scranton Chamber of Commerce. Although I received my

first invitation to his presentation, I did not attend. I continue to believe, as I have since 2004, my first year in this office, that a mayor of any municipality should offer his address not only to the business community but also to all interested city residents and all city council members.

It's my understanding as well that

Mr. Rogan, Mr. Joyce, and Mr. Loscombe did

not receive invitations. I didn't speak

with Mr. McGoff about it, so I can't comment

but --

MR. MCGOFF: I did, and I attended.

MS. EVANS: I feel that all of council, as I said, should have been invited and the address should have been offered in front of the taxpayers and residents of the City of Scranton, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, ENTITLED, "AN
ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO
IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM, BY AMENDING THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN AND THE 2011 ACTION PLAN TO ACCEPT, RECEIVE AND DISBURSE \$84,412.00 ADDITIONAL FUNDING UNDER A NEW PROGRAM UNDER THE HOMELESS EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE AND RAPID TRANSITION TO HOUSING ACT OF 2009 (HEARTH ACT), ENACTED INTO LAW ON MAY 20, 2009, CONSOLIDATING THREE OF THE SEPARATE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) UNDER THE MC KINNEY-VENTO HOMELESS ASSISTANCE ACT INTO A SINGLE GRANT PROGRAM AND REVISES THE EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT PROGRAM AND RENAME IT THE EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

1 MS. EVANS: On the question? 2 those in favor of introduction signify by 3 saying aye. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-C. ESTABLISHING A NO 10 PARKING ZONE IN THE 700 BLOCK OF CEDAR 11 12 AVENUE (S.R. 3023) ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET PURSUANT TO THE HIGHWAY 13 14 OCCUPANCY PERMIT APPLICATION OF CITIZENS SAVINGS BANK FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT 0050 15 OFFSET 0397 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT 0050 OFFSET 16 17 0532 FOR A DISTANCE OF ONE HUNDRED THIRTY FIVE (135) FEET. 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 19 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. JOYCE: Second. 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? 25 those in favor of introduction signify by

1 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 7 have it and so moved. 8 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE 9 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A 10 LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE 11 COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN 12 PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.33 IN AN AMOUNT NOT 13 14 TO EXCEED \$150,000.00 TO 520 MADISON AVENUE ASSOCIATES, LLC TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE 15 PROJECT. 16 17 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 19 introduced into its proper committee. 20 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have a 24 question on the security of this loan, it 25 says, "The loan shall be secured and the

repayment guaranteed by the following: (A), the note and (B) an absolute unconditional and irrevocable personal guarantee of the loan by 520 Madison Avenue Associates, LLC, and it's principals in a form satisfactory to the city."

What's the form satisfactory to the city? Perhaps -- shouldn't it be more specific?

MR. HUGHES: Is this --

MR. LOSCOMBE: This is on page four.

MR. HUGHES: This the first reading right?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: Ordinarily an LLC, not ordinarily, legally an LLC is like a corporation, it has limited -- the owners have limited liability. What would happen is that the principals, I don't even know who the principals are, the way that I always did them when I was with the Redevelopment Authority is that either a personal guarantee of every corporate stockholder, and that would be every member

of the LLC, would have their unconditional personal guarantee of the loan. And a forum satisfactory, I mean, the city would draft it, so it would have be satisfactory to them. I don't even know who is doing this legal work, I think it might be Jim Mulligan, but that would be that every member of the LLC would have the personal guarantee. Now, whether they include spouses, that should also be included on any type of guarantee.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm just looking at this just making sure that, you know --

MS. EVANS: Would you like to request that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Request what the guarantee is to determine -- sure. Perhaps through Nancy.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Mrs. Krake, can you send a letter to OECD to the attention of Ms. Aebli, the director, as well as Attorney Mulligan, the solicitor, for a response?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Very good. You covered that for me.

1	MS. EVANS: And I think in addition,
2	I'll vote to introduce it tonight, but we
3	are conducting further research on this
4	particular matter, and I believe the final
5	vote would be taken
6	MR. ROGAN: Two weeks.
7	MS. EVANS: In two weeks. It's an
8	ordinance or resolution?
9	MR. ROGAN: I believe it's two
10	weeks.
11	MS. EVANS: Well, either way we have
12	time. Mrs. Krake, did you have any idea?
13	MS. KRAKE: Yes, I have to see the
14	front for one second. It's a resolution.
15	MS. EVANS: It's a resolution so
16	next week will be the final. Is there
17	anyone else on the question? All those in
18	favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
22	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
23	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
24	have it and so moved.
25	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO

7 - A .

BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 1 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 2 3 FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 27, 2012 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW 4 ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF 5 ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") 6 TITLED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT 7 PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 17A0101 FOR THE RECEIPT 8 9 AND DISBURSEMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT-COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING 10 11 LOAN FUND (CDBG C/I RFL FUNDS) RECEIVED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN 12 DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"). 13 14 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 15 16 Committee on Finance? 17 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 19 passage of Item 7-A. 20 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 22 MR. ROGAN: Yes. Why was this 23 placed into the Committee on Finance and not 24 the Committee on Community Development since it is CDBG funds? 25

	117
1	MS. EVANS: I don't know.
2	MR. JOYCE: I don't know either.
3	MR. ROGAN: I don't have a problem
4	with that I don't know if it was a
5	mistake that was
6	MS. EVANS: Probably just an error.
7	MR. JOYCE: I would think just
8	because it was establishing an account maybe
9	or
10	MS. KRAKE: Yes, establishing
11	accounts we normally put into finance.
12	MR. ROGAN: Okay.
13	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Roll call,
14	please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
22	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTIONRESOLUTION NO. 15, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH
KNOWLES ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., FOR INSURANCE
BROKERAGE SERVICES FOR INSURANCE WITH
HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT INSURANCE EXCHANGE
(H.A.R.I.E.), INDIAN HARBOR INSURANCE
COMPANY/XL INSURANCE, PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY
INSURANCE COMPANY, SAFETY NATIONAL INSURANCE
COMPANY AND SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR
CITY INSURANCE COVERAGES FOR THE PERIOD
APRIL 1, 2012 THOUGH MARCH 31, 2013.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would like to make a motion to amend Item 7-B by the following:

1. In the summary title, inserting after March 31, 2014 "PRECLUDING THE PAYMENT OF ANY FEES TO KNOWLES ASSOCIATES, LLC. AS BROKER OF RECORD, REQUIRING THE PUBLIC BIDDING OF THE CITY'S INSURANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR APRIL 1, 2013 TO MARCH 31, 2014 AND REQUIRING THE BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR TO REVIEW SCHEDULE "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND

4 5

6

8

7

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DELETE ANY PROPERTY IN WHICH THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE AN INSURABLE INTEREST AND RECOVER PREMIUMS PAID.

2. Inserting a fifth WHEREAS clause as follows:

"WHEREAS, Knowles Associates, LLC ("Knowles") was the City of Scranton's broker of record for the City's insurance policies which were placed with Housing and Redevelopment Insurance Exchange (H.A.R.I.E.), Indian Harbor Insurance Company/XL Insurance, Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Company, Safety National Insurance Company and Scottsdale Insurance Company ("Insurance Companies") for Insurance coverage for the calendar year period from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, which was approved the Council of the City of Scranton by Resolution 2, 2012, as amended, and executed by the Mayor on January 23, 2012; and"

3. Inserting a sixth "WHEREAS" clause as follows:

WHEREAS, Council amended Resolution 2, 2012, to authorize the payment of

. `

premiums to the Insurance Companies for the first quarter only from January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012 and required that the City publically bid the City's insurance coverages; and"

4. Inserting a seventh WHEREAS clause as follows:

"Knowles, as the City's Broker of Record, submitted the only bid to provide insurance coverages by the Insurance Companies for the City for the fiscal year, April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013 (the "fiscal year"); and"

5. Inserting an eighth WHEREAS clause as follows:

"WHEREAS, since Knowles is either an agent for or broker for the Insurance Companies and is also the Broker of Record for the City and receives a fee from the City as the Broker of Record and Knowles was the only bidder to provide insurance coverage by the Insurance Companies to the City, Knowles shall not be entitled to be paid a fee as broker of Record for the first quarter insurance policies as authorized by

Resolution 2, 2012 or for any Broker of Record fees for the Fiscal Year coverage authorized by this Resolution; and"

6. Inserting a ninth "WHEREAS" clause as follows:

"WHEREAS, the City of Scranton to comply with Ordinance, File of Council Nos. 14, 2010 and 41, 2010 for the fiscal year April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014, must develop a bid proposal for insurance agents and brokers to submit bids for the City's insurance for Property, Equipment and Equipment Breakdown, General Liability, Crime (Employee Dishonesty and Money), and Commercial Automobile Coverage to be bid by December 1, 2012 which bids are to be received and opened on March 1, 2013;"

7. Inserting a tenth WHEREAS clause as follows:

"WHEREAS, upon review of Schedule
"A" to this Resolution, it has been
discovered that the City has insured real
estate to which it does not own or have an
insurable interest, including but not
limited to page 2, Premises 10, 500 Vine

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Street, Premises 11, 1032 Green Ridge
Street, and Premises 32, 500 Lackawanna
Avenue, the Business Administrator shall
review Schedule "A" and delete from coverage
any property, real or personal, which is not
owned by the City in which the City does not
have an insurable interest and obtain a
rebate of all premiums paid.

Also, in the NOW THEREFORE CLAUSE, in the thirteenth line after thereto, inserting: "Recover from Knowles, any fees paid as Broker of Record, for insurance placed during the first quarter of January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012 and not pay any fee to Knowles as Broker of Record for the first quarter, January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012 or the fiscal year from April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013 and comply with File of Council Nos. 14 and 41, 2010 by developing a bid proposal for insurance brokers to submit bids for the City's insurance coverage for Property, Equipment and Equipment Breakdown, General Liability, Crime (Employee Dishonesty and Money), and Commercial Automobile Coverages to be bid

December 1, 2012 and to be received and opened on March 1, 2013 and the Business administrator shall review Schedule "A" and delete coverage on any property, real or persona, which is not owned by the City and obtain a rebate of all premiums paid during the First Quarter or the Fiscal Year.

MS. EVANS: There is a motion to amend Item 7-B, is there a second?

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Could I ask

Mr. Loscombe, why we are precluding the

payment of the fees to Knowel's Associates?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Accordingly, I believe the original ordinance pays a fee plus commissions. An insurance broker would be receiving commissions on these here, so it's not necessary to pay a fee on top of that.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add, there is a lot there, that's a mouthful, but from reading it over and in the conversations

I've had the bottom line is the amendment saves the city money, so I'll be voting for

it.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd also -- we are once again receiving in Seventh Order receiving three pages worth of amendments and being asked to vote on something with only one kind of look at it. Again, it would be -- I think it would be -- we would be better served if these things were discussed beforehand so that we could get a better understanding of what the amendments are rather than seeing them for the first time in Seventh Order.

And also the third thing I have, there are a number of things in here that I agree with, there is a number of things that I don't agree with it. It's a difficult to vote on eight amendments as a package.

Again, I know it takes time to do it, but if these were presented as separate amendments then you could vote on them, ones that you agree with and ones that you may disagree with it.

MS. EVANS: I did ask our solicitor during last week's public meeting to review the legislation and to draft amendments to

it.

22

23

24

25

In addition, I might look back on the rental registration legislation and I received my copy the day of the vote. granted, this was ongoing for a few weeks so I know that I submitted recommendations, Mr. Joyce submitted them, there were private meetings with Attorney Kelly, and I think Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe and another meeting between Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan, however, it was my belief that after this program had been allowed to languish for at least three years, possibly more, and it had been put into a budget for increasing amounts of money starting back in I think 2008 or 2009, I wanted to see it done.

And though I got the amendments the day of the final vote and though there were certainly more than one amendment, I didn't find it objectionable because I felt it was the right thing to do, it was three years overdue, and I wanted to get it moving along.

MR. ROGAN: But if I could just add,
I do agree with what Mr. McGoff is saying.

It frustrates me more than anything getting something the day of the vote, and luckily I was here to discuss this with Mrs. Evans I would like to see everything voted week. on separately as far as amendments go, I would love -- and we'd have to start the meetings at 3:00, but for the budget I would love to vote line by line instead of voting as a package, I think it would, you know, give each council -- or it would show a little bit more of what each council person supports as opposed instead of when you get a package -- you know, when you get a giant package you are never going to support everything. You have to weigh the good with the bad.

As far as this specifically goes, I do think it will save the city money that's why I support it, but I do agree with, you know, the idea of having amendments separately instead of as a package.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add right now, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to clarify this

24

23

25

in a nutshell, a lot of this is repetitious legal language which has to be done for the ordinance. In a nutshell what we are doing is asking for this be bid out, putting the bid packets out next December and the bids completed for March, and I'm just -- if I'm off on the dates, but that's -- in March so it gives at least a 90-day window for other insurance companies around here the opportunity to do their due diligence and get the correct values and stuff like that.

As far as the question on the fees, every insurance agent receives a commission. That's their pay, that's their fees. To pay anything in addition to that, like 10,000 or whatever you the amount was, especially for someone who has continued to let properties on here that are insurable it should be a final rather than a payment, but, you know, when you are paid that kind of money you should be doing your homework and you should be looking at these things, especially for the amount of money that these policies cost.

A good broker would be worth his

salt getting his payment if he saved the city money by producing this every year and say, "Hey, this isn't one of our properties or that isn't."

That's just one example, but basically that's what we are doing. We are cleaning the slate, anybody could bid, and again, it may the same broker next year that carries it, but at least we are putting a level playing field out for everyone to get an opportunity to do it. That wasn't done in the original intention on this year on our insurance coverages.

And if we start watching everything like we are doing between, you know, the Parking Authority and stuff like this, maybe we could get the city back to fiscal responsibility. Something has been lacking for years, but it takes little steps like this. It's taken ten years to get us in the hole, we have to take these little steps to step our way back out.

But basically there might be eight pieces on here, but the whole thing in a nutshell is we are going to bid it out and

no fees and for the business administrator to review the schedule of the properties and delete anything that's not insurable that doesn't belong to the city. I don't know how much more cut and dry I could say it.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that the legislation was submitted to council's office, it was placed on the agenda last week in a timely manner. Today I believe is March 29, the contract expires March 31, so if you had a problem with tonight's amendments you would, in fact, either be leaving the city uninsured or you would be voting without these amendments to just provide another give away to Knowel's Associates for the 11th year in a row.

I guess the final thing I want to say is I'm just I guess a bit surprised over the reaction to amendments to -- which are corrections to that are much needed and long overdue, yet a week ago tonight we had no objections to "X" amount of amendments being presented in a package with rental registration of the.

MR. MCGOFF: If you remember last

week, since you brought it up, I asked 1 before the meeting --2 3 MS. EVANS: Yes, as we were walking in. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: I asked you before the meeting in the office --6 7 MS. EVANS: Does anyone have an 8 objection. 9 MR. MCGOFF: If anybody has any 10 objections or should we do these separately and all members said, "No, fine. We will 11 12 vote on them as a package." 13 MS. EVANS: No, I think --14 MR. MCGOFF: That they were acceptable. Yes, that's exactly what 15 16 happened. 17 MS. EVANS: Well, what I recall is 18 that you asked if anyone had any objections because if we did you would change them now 19 20 before we went out on the floor. But that 21 is neither here nor there, and if there is nothing further all those in favor signify 22 23 by saying aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

	131
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
3	MR. MCGOFF: No.
4	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
5	moved. What is the recommendation of the
6	Chair for the Committee on Finance?
7	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-B.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: As amended.
12	MR. JOYCE: As amended, sorry.
13	MR. ROGAN: Still second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: No.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_

25

Item 7-B, as amended, legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR RESOLUTION NO. 16, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL ENGINEERING AGREEMENT NUMBER 041746-C WITH BUCHARTHORN, INC. TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT TO INCLUDE THE REVISED OFFSET PROVISION: TO INCLUDE THE REVISED CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY PROVISIONS; TO REVISE THE NONDISCRIMINATION/SEXUAL HARASSMENT CLAUSE: TO INCLUDE THE REVISED CONTRACTOR INTEGRITY CLAUSE: TO INCLUDE THE CONTRACT PROVISIONS-RIGHT-TO-KNOW LAW 8-K-1532; TO PROVIDE FOR, UNDER PART II, ADDITIONAL HOURS FOR UPDATING AND RESUBMISSION OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND COST ESTIMATES AND FOR AN INCREASE IN COST UNDER PART II OF THE AGREEMENT OF \$71,004.03 FOR THE ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the

	133
1	Committee on Finance?
2	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-C.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
7	call, please?
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
18	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. I'll
19	entertain a motion to adjourn.
20	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
21	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
22	adjourned.
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

•

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER