		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, March 22, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD GEELOLAL COURT DESCRITE	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	AGENDA FOR THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
17	MEETING HELD MARCH 21, 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CITY OF SCRANTON
21	2012 CASH FLOWS RECEIVED MARCH 13, 2012 AS
22	PROVIDED BY PENNSYLVANIA ECONOMY LEAGUE.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. APPLICATIONS ALONG

WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, MARCH 14, 2012.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes this evening?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Just one last comment on the Holy Cross boys basketball team.
Unfortunately lost last evening in the state semi-finals to the defending state champions, lost by two points, had every opportunity to win, played a great game, but congratulations to them and to all the members of the Holy Cross team. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: We are all very proud.

MR. ROGAN: Just one tonight, West Scranton High School will be presenting Grease March 30 and 31st at 7:30 p.m. and April 1 at 2 p.m. Tickets are \$8 for adults and \$5 for students. They may be available at the door, but hopefully they will sell out. West Scranton always does a good job

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with other plays in the school and West Scranton High School can be called at 348-3626. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes. The PLCTA, Parents Loving Children Through Autism will be holding their Annual Autism Awareness Walk on Saturday, April 21, at Nay Aug Park in Scranton's Hill Section. The walk begins at 11 a.m. and if you would like more information about the walk please contact the PLCTA at 324-3388, and we also have some good news from Scranton High School. Dafne Paramo was awarded a Monsignor Andrew J. McGowan Hispanic Scholarship to King's Dafne is one of two students to College. receive this honor out of 15,000 applicants. This scholarship will cover full tuition as well as room and board for four years at King's College.

With this being said, I would just like to congratulate Dafne Paramo for her scholastic efforts and her hard work and dedication.

And finally, the Leadership

Lackawanna County start group has an opportunity for artists to participate in a project runway type of event. They are currently seeking artists to create signs for vacant spaces downtown as part of the live event and then place in the store front windows. Leadership Lackawanna will cover the supply costs for artists, so artists of all levels may apply by submitting samples of their work and a \$10 applicant application fee. The application process end March 27, and anyone interested can contact Maureen McWiggan at 963-6590, and that is all.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe, do you have any announcements?

 $\mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \mbox{I have none this} \\ \mbox{evening.} \quad \mbox{Thank you.} \\$

MS. EVANS: Saints Peter and Paul Roman Catholic Church, 109 West Locust Street in Scranton will hold a pierogi and clam chowder sale every Saturday before and after the 4 p.m. mass and Sunday before and after the 11 a.m. mass during the Lenten season. Pierogi at \$6 per dozen and clam

chowder is \$6 per quart. Be sure to pick up these delicious homemade Lenten treats.

Attention Scranton residents
concerned by the future of North Scranton
Junior High School, please e-mail
BHudson@PHFA.org or write to Pennsylvania
Housing Finance Agency, PHFA, Brian Hudson,
211 Front Street, Harrisburg, PA, 17105, by
April 14, 2010 with the following message:
Provide the funding to save the school and
the North Scranton community. We must all
do our part to ensure that the North
Scranton Junior High project is funded by
the Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, and
can begin in the near future.

The Fraternal Order of Eagles, 493
Meridian Avenue, in Scranton, will hold a
pre-purple day Epilepsy benefit on March 4,
2012, from 5 p.m. to 11 p.m. Admission is
\$5 and includes food, live entertainment,
from 8 to 11 p.m. and basket raffles
featuring autographed books by Cake Boss,
Buddy Valastro. All proceeds benefit the
Anita Kauffman Foundation for the awareness
of the Epilepsy and World Purpose Day. For

1 more information call the Eagle's Club at 2 961-5495. 3 And finally, on behalf of Scranton 4 City Council, I'd like to wish Ms. Carrera, 5 our assistant city clerk, a very happy birthday, and a happy belated birthday to 6 7 Councilman Rogan, he celebrated yesterday. 8 MR. ROGAN: Thank you much. 9 MS. EVANS: And many more. Can you disclose how old? 10 11 MR. ROGAN: One-quarter of a 12 century. There you go. 13 MS. EVANS: 14 One-quarter of a century. MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll sell you half. 15 16 MS. EVANS: I'll throw in 25 on top 17 of that. Mrs. Krake. 18 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker 21 tonight is Andy Sbaraglia. 22 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 23 citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. 24 It's nice to hear of all of the people that 25 are making a buck off the University. It's

nice to hear that. They have all kinds of ways to make a buck, but what are we making? Everything is trickled down to us. afford drops of water now. We need a fountain flowing. You got to look at some way to get at the University. Maybe a residence tax. Every one over 18 has to pay "X" amount of dollars. Everybody that lives on the University over 30 days would be called a resident and they would have to come up with money. We got to get money directly. I mean, you can't put a gun to that Chancellor's head, it doesn't work. You can't tell him this way or that way. The mafia could do it, but we can't.

Another thing we got to look at, anything that can get money drained in, you notice the Sewer Authority gets money from the University. How did they do it? They supply service. I think it's time for us to look at the possibility of having the police service charge and the firemen service charge. Otherwise, you going to get hit with so much tax you are going to destroy the city. You might as well blow it up or

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

follow what I always said. Get me a good grant, I'll build a damn from the east to west mountain and I think we can do that and really flood the city and that would be the best way to go, because we are drowning now so what difference if we drown near a damn or from financial ruin?

You also passed legislation awhile saying anybody that performed a service in the city is required to pay a tax. How many lawyers and doctors are performing services in the city and how much are we getting from I mean, you got to get after every penny that we can get because I looked at what your recommendation were, you might as well put every taxpayer in chains, because that's what you are doing. You are putting us all in chains. You got to get after the University and you got to figure out ways to get after them, because asking them to give any kind of a contribution voluntary forget I mean, you just ain't going to do it. Voluntary contributions is only miniscule that they give, but you know how other people are getting the money.

They got housing projects going for them, somebody is selling them all kinds of material and so forth and so on there is a lot of waste, private sector can get money from the University, but the public sector, unfortunately, is forced now to do the same. I hate to do it, but we haven't gotten much choice. We are so far into debt and unless you want to go bankrupt that's the only way out is you get the nonprofits to come up with their fair share.

Now, I know the hospitals now are nonprofit. I don't know how much we are getting from them, I don't know if you checked to see how much they are getting quarterly from the nonprofit hospitals or whatever nonprofit there may be. That housing project for the elderly, they claim it's nonprofit. I don't know of many elderly persons, well, you could come up with \$800 if you don't have to pay the utilities, but I don't know what that project called for, I didn't look at the specs on it to see if every individual in this project has to pay their own utilities.

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If so, then that is not a bargain. The old people cannot afford that. I would rather see them do the -- I am in favor of up there at that school. It's just too bad it sat idle for so long. It's ashame that it sat there.

One of the projects was to have some kind of a workup in the top for the handicap. That fell through. The other once was to make it a medical center, that fell through. I guess we are down now to housing for the elderly. That may float because it sounds good, but whether it is practical I don't know them. Those are odd rooms up there, but I guess they can do something, block up the windows, but as it is now it is a mess and falling apart and now that we got -- it seems like we somebody setting fires around the city, man, that's a prime, prime place to burn and we definitely don't want that. Can you imagine what that would be if that thing went up in smoke?

But, like I said, you got to get after the nonprofits anyway you can.

MS. EVANS: Actually, Mr. Sbaraglia,

I have mentioned at a recent council meeting that I wanted to bring together the three taxing bodies to discuss properties belonging to nonprofits, tax exempt properties that can be taxed and so a meeting has been scheduled for the first week in April and members of council will be meeting with the county commissioners, with Mr. King from the Scranton school district, and we will be discussing a joint effort toward taxing certain vacant property and for profit properties owned by the University of the Scranton and others.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, that sounds very good, but you got to realize that our whole tax base on residential properties is 13 million. What do you get for a few other -- even if you get 20 properties that's a drop in the pocket, you got to go even greater than that.

MS. EVANS: Yes, but it's a start.

Thank you. Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers' Association.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. QUINN: I'd like to pick up on the program, the LERTA program, that's applied to the housing project proposed for the 1600 block of the Dickson Avenue. Last week we had some discussion, myself and Solicitor Hughes, in regards to the LERTA. I yield to the fact that the schedule because of the fact that in 1974 they passed a LERTA program, and I think it's called Act 76 when they started to put it into But anyway, a lot of companies existence. in the City of Scranton really received help from the LERTA program. However, I'm aware of the fact that at that time it was always a decreasing sliding scale that they used and it started at 100 percent abatement, next year it was 90 percent paid down to last year it was 10 and then you paid the full everything, okay?

And somewhere along the line when I
was on the Redevelopment Authority I always
thought that Mr. Boscov was going to do that
same thing, but when I left from the
Redevelopment Authority and it was finally
opened up after Mayor Connors was in there

and I was surprised when I realized that he got 100 percent for all of that, you know what I mean, for each of the 10 years. A lot of communities, if you research a lot of communities don't use that ten years, but I don't want to use it all up on that, okay?

I am concerned very much on the fact that, and I don't believe that they are using the right zoning there. I was on the City Planning Commission, I was chairman, and I used to review with city planner, Don King, all of the programs and one of the things we'd go over is the zoning and whatnot, you know, and I participated in adoption of the zoning ordinance of the City of Scranton and whatnot, okay? And I know that if you go to light industry, there isn't anything -- any light industry or heavy industry that gives you the right to have a variance for housing, whether it be single family housing or rental housing.

The only way -- the only way that you could have housing is to change the 1,500 block of Dickson Avenue to a residential area, okay? You can change it

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to probably another zone, but you probably have to get a -- maybe there would be a variance within that, but the best way to do is would be to change it to residential.

And I, myself, as you know, Mrs. Evans, would like to see that change because, you know, lo and behold I saw Mr. Doherty say in the paper, I remember the dumps and everything down there, but I also remember Mr. Doherty putting Daron down there and, you know, I remember going down there and meeting with the people and trying to get the Department of the Health when they came in and DEP and whatnot and people suffered very much, so it's not that we are against anything down there, but the fact is I think it's a wrong zoning, it should go back maybe to the zoning board, but, that's a few people, but the fact is I think that if it was residential or if it was changed residential and stayed that way until we knew where we are going, I think the people would if it was a green area until we knew where we were going they would be all right.

Actually on the master plan, this is

an industrial zone, okay? I checked with that, too, okay? So that's what I wanted to talk about there, okay?

But the second thing I want to talk about, I want to really give thanks to the firefighters, the police, the DPW route men that do the refuse hauling, they work very hard and whether it's a -- whatever kind of weather it is they are out there, okay?

If you ever -- I know when I was about 13 years old our house burnt down, our family home, and if you ever witnessed how brave a fireman is, okay, and nowadays if you ever witness since then up to now so much training has gone now into firefighting. Now, people say, "Union. The union firefighters. The union this."

I think actually these firefighters by being in the union it gives them a little more camaraderie, a little more union, okay, because when they go into a burning building if you were down on Langstaff Street or anything and you seen what happened you would understand that, okay?

And lastly, I to want to thank the

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city council who hardly ever gets any approval. You guys a got a tough job and have an awful lot of hard work so, thank you.

I want to say one thing, if he may, okay? There is on the agenda tonight on 5-C you have Rockwell Avenue, they have a cost overrun, cost overruns. I have always ever since the back in the 60's with the SRA, okay, they cost \$1 million to do the Rockwell Avenue and the engineering is asking for \$74,000 cost overrun, okay? they put a lot of other gobbledegook in there, okay, I don't know what for, but you know the fact that should have been in there beforehand, but I think you should really look at any future contacts to see just where -- what percentage and what really happens with these cost overruns because of the fact that sometimes the low bidder, okay, actually might be the one who should get that or the low bidder gets it, but he actually is the high bidder after he gets this cost overrun, okay? So I appreciate that very much, and I appreciate coming up

here tonight.

And one other thing I want to ask, okay, I had looked in last week's paper and the fire and I saw where they took \$100,000 from legal from city council and I saw where \$95,000 from federal funds that were not designated and I scratched my head. I don't know what that would be from. Now, if that money was --

MS. EVANS: I'm actually going to address that under motions as well as very briefly the issue on Dickson Avenue.

MR. QUINN: Okay. Why go for a bond issue if we have that money laying around here, okay? I mean, I'm glad the firemen are back to work, I think they all should be back to work. Thank God for that, but there must money laying around. Where this guy has it hidden I don't know. I mean, the mayor has. Thank you. Sorry about that.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Quinn, I'll answer the question that you posed to me during motions.

MR. QUINN: I appreciate that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, council.

My name is Gerard Hetman, and I'm here tonight from the Lackawanna County
Department of Community Relations. This is my first time speaking in Scranton City
Council and it's our departments first week of going out to municipal meetings in
Lackawanna County. So I'm here to introduce you tonight to our Department of Community
Relations, explaining a little bit about it and also share some of the news and events that are happening in Lackawanna County with this first meeting.

Again, my name Gerard Hetman. I have been assigned to Scranton City government, both school board and Scranton City Council, Scranton municipal government. Our job with our department is to foster and mold and build relationships and make progress between the Lackawanna County commissioners and Lackawanna County government, and the Lackawanna County taxpayers, Scranton taxpayers, of course, and local governments whether it be Borough

Councils, city councils, Board of
Supervisors, school boards and other local
government organizations and community
organizations as they see fit.

We have a staff of four that are assigned to different parts of Lackawanna County, all of our municipalities have been covered, and our goal is to, again, build and promote positive progress in local government through the county commissioners in conjunction with local governing bodies, such as yourself. I should note we are not here to get in the way of any existing relationships.

I heard you mention a meeting before, Mrs. Evans. We are not here to obstruct that or cause any extra headaches or red tape, but many governments sometimes don't always want to each out to the county or maybe in the past sometimes the county hasn't reached out to them. We are here to change that and we are here for taxpayers, for local residents and we are here to build good progress between Lackawanna County government and the constituents of

Lackawanna County through municipal governments.

So at the moment, what will happen is I'll be here or one of our community relations coordinators will be here for every meeting, we will be a regular presence here, and sometimes we will have remarks from the commissioners or we will have brief presentations or talking points from them about ongoing events they way want to share with you.

Particularly this time we have some ongoing events without the county such as the Lackawanna County Conservation District is having their annual seedling sale, which is going on to April 15 -- or April 13, excuse me.

Our department actually is trying to take the lead for the county in establishing a committee to increase annual attendance at the annual Armed Forces Day parade in May.

Our Veteran's Office, Veteran's Affairs has a very strong presence in the parade actually and participation from marching and participation. This committee is trying to

be aimed in getting more attendance, I don't know if we'll get St. Patrick's Day, but we are going to aim for that definitely.

And there is one or two other events going on such as the television show

American Pickers from the History Channel,
will be coming to Lackawanna County in the
near future, soit's a great chance for local
residents to clean out whatever they have
and get it on TV and see what they can get
for it.

There is number other programs here, also, such as one from Penn State extension listing some of their master gardening series events, and if I could, I'd just like to approach and share these with you and you can distribute them as you wish.

MS. EVANS: Yes, please.

MR. HETMAN: And last, but not
least, the Lackawanna County Planning Office
we are giving these to all of the
municipalities, we are presenting you with a
map, a street map, of Scranton, the City of
Scranton, so you can use this as you see
fit, and I'm actually going to give this to

ı

you, if you don't mind.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. This is most useful. Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: One thing I should note is we will always be here for the whole meeting. Some of the meetings that we have gone to they actually let our coordinator speak first thinking that they want to leave. We will be here for the whole meeting, every meeting, and we will be around afterwards in case you or citizens want to talk us. Is there anything I can answer now though while we are here or any remarks that you have that I can address or anything? We're good?

MS. EVANS: I don't think we have anything at this time.

MR. HETMAN: That's fine. I have business cards for everybody I'd like to give to afterward, and again, you will see me here or you will see myself or one of the other staffers here for every meeting.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I appreciate the initiative and we are happy to see you here and, you know, this is great opening the

lines of communications and working together an, you know, again, if we get the school board on board --

MR. HETMAN: Absolutely.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Because we all represent the same constituents here and I think we can accomplish something.

MR. HETMAN: That's what we are here for.

MR. LOSCOMBE: The lines of communication are critical.

MR. HETMAN: That's exactly what we are here for. Again, not to get in the way of anything existing, but to promote new things and strengthen existing links sometimes. We are your link to Lackawanna County, to the county commissioners and to county services and county government. We are here to reach out to you, and I'm also a city resident, a life-long city resident. I heard you mention Leadership Lackawanna before, Mr. Joyce, I'm in the current class, also, not that project, but in that class so we are here to do good things. Our office is here to do good things and we are here to

work for you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I really appreciate your time and commitment and also your dedication to boosting attendance at the Armed Forces Parade. I think that's a very important parade. You know, so many members of the Armed Forces sacrifice and -- they sacrifice so much fighting overseas and it's really something that we all need to do. We all need to honor them during that parade. We have so many other parades in the city and we get such large attendance at some of the those other parades it would be nice to see the Armed Forces parade draw that same attendance as well.

MR. HETMAN: Absolutely. And we have great participation, it's just a matter of getting more spectators, more people out to see it and say thank you. A lot of people come out already, but we are trying to get more and more to say thank you more and more to our veterans.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add coincidentally, I'm an alumni of leadership

1 Lackawanna. This is going back quite a 2 number of years. 3 MR. HETMAN: That's all right. 30th 4 anniversary coming up. MS. EVANS: But I do know that each 5 class and each group, well, at least in my 6 day, the class was divided into several 7 8 groups and each group developed a project 9 that benefited the community in some way, and so I do admire the efforts of Leadership 10 11 Lackawanna to better this community and the 12 surrounding areas. 13 MR. HETMAN: Thank you. That's 14 great to here. MS. EVANS: 15 Thank you. 16 MR. HETMAN: Thank you, ladies and 17 gentlemen. 18 MS. EVANS: Les Spindler. 19 MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, 20 Council. Les Spindler, city resident, 21 homeowner and taxpayer. 22 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 23 MR. SPINDLER: My first comments are 24 aimed towards Mayor Chris Doherty, his

previous rubber stamp councils and all of

25

his supporters. I hate to say we told you so, but we told you so. Myself, other members of the Legion of Doom, members of the fire department, Dave Gervasi, we have been coming here year after year after year saying laying off firefighters is going to cause a dangerous situation. We were called names, things were written about us in the paper. They said it was scare tactics.

Well, guess what, we were right. Scranton is burning down one or two houses at a time, every week now.

About two weeks ago, Mayor Doherty and Fire Chief Useless said we were broke, we can't hire firefighters. Now the city is burning down all of a sudden they came up with money to rehire 12 firefighters.

That's terrific, but where was this money before? We were broke two weeks ago now all of a sudden we have money. And why isn't he using the \$600,000 that council allocated in the budget to rehire more? He is going to Washington to beg President Obama for more money, and that's all well and good, but we have money here and these people never

should have been laid off in the first place.

Like I said, we said this for years and years and years, we were laughed at, it wasn't a scare tactic, it was a truth, and the proof is in the newspaper every day.

And as far as this latest fire, the response time was three minutes, I'm going to say again, if Engine 9 was on Main Avenue, Engine 9 probably could have been there maybe a minute or less because all they have to do is roll out right down the hill there and they would have been almost there, and I think Mr. Loscombe could attest to that, too, being an ex-firefighter. I know where that fire was, I know where Engine 9 used to be.

And speaking of Engine 9, where they used to be housed, the last two Sundays that was closed. I went by there the last two Sundays a big sign, "This firehouse closed."

It was really depressing. I mean, it's nice that the firehouse on East

Mountain is open, but we need them all open again or the city is going to continue to

burn down.

Okay, moving on. In the paper the other day it was about PEL's options to fix the debt in the city. A few things I totally disagree with. The first thing mentioned was a \$22 garbage fee. We already pay \$178. We can't take anymore taxes or fees. This is ridiculous. We cannot have anymore fees.

One more thing I disagree with, selling city assets. I don't agree with that. Look what happened with the municipal golf course and the South Side complex. How did that work out? The golf course was a money maker, that was sold. PEL has been doing this for 20 years now. They don't want to see us out of distressed status because then they wouldn't be getting their money every year.

Okay, moving on. This development that they want to do where Daron Northeast is, I agree with development in the city and we need a lot of it, but I said this in the past, when are we going to stop giving tax breaks to millionaires? They have enough

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money, they don't need tax breaks. Let's give it to the little guy. I work two jobs myself to make ends meet. I don't get a tax break. I'd love to not pay my taxes for 10 years. It would be great to develop that, but they're millionaires. They don't need tax breaks. Let's take care of the little guy.

Last thing, a story in the paper the other day about the neighbors where Good Ole Things is on Lackawanna Avenue and 9th they are complaining it's an eyesore. It is. That's been an eyesore ever since it's been there, and something should be done. And one thing the warehouse managers -- oh, he denies any material is outside of the fence. Well, that's a lie. I just went by there the other day, there is tons of material outside of fence right along the Lackawanna Avenue bridge there. There is these huge concrete things. Lumber, there is old lumber there, all over, it's just a fire waiting to happen there.

MR. ROGAN: I drive by there every day and I know where you are talking about.

MR. SPINDLER: With a fire bug running around and all that old lumber there, that would go up in a heartbeat. There is a lot of that stuff outside of the fence and it's not supposed to be, so maybe we could send Licensing and Inspection up there and cite these people because it is an eyesore, and I think it's a safety hazard and if I lived there I would be complaining, as they people are. I think they have every right to complain. And that's all for this week. Thank you for your time and go orange.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: I'd like to say a couple of words about this 121 apartments that they want to build by Daron Industry's property. It seems that all of these -- I don't want to get you people mad at me, but it seems like you always favor corporate America over the small taxpayers of this city, you know. Everybody is getting these loans and grants and KOZs at our expense,

what we don't need is 121 senior citizens' apartments that cost \$700 because they are not going to be rented that fast. The next thing you know they will need more money just to have apartments open for the public. It's just a ploy to get in there with the scene or citizens.

Let's just assume the 121 apartments will be rented to the senior citizens, I'm just assuming this, that are already in apartments through the city, okay? That's going to leave us 121 vacancies in apartments in the city that we don't, you know, there is already thousands of empty apartments in the city. You get my point there?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. ELLMAN: We got apartments -what you need to do is like Ozzie Quinn has
said so much is give them some tax breaks to
the people that got property now and let
them update it so they could compete on the
mark, you know? I guess most houses in this
city are 50 to 100 years old. I got a map
from 1912 of my neighborhood and it shows

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the houses around me. Some of them -- you know, most of them are still there. Just keep building apartments with grants and these buildings aren't contributing nothing to our tax base, it's just senseless.

And I talked to so many people that feel that council just favors all of these builders like Jefferson, Rinaldi and Joyce. Everybody -- they have all of these tax bases, you know, they are not helping us. It's the little guy that's doing everything. I just told Jack before the meeting, council ought to stand in front of one of the grocery stores like Price Rite or something for 15, 20 minutes and talk to the people on the street and see what's going on. city is just one step away from being like Hazelton. We are. We are just going downhill. We haven't had any leadership for ten years. People feel that Mayor Doherty has just brought the city to it's knees. You know, it's --

I just enjoy listening to the young man up here. I wish we could get more young people in here, they are the future of this

city and the future is where we all got to live.

But, you know, I get dyslexic and get all excited and everything gets turned out around in my head. I was going to say something about the school board, too. I didn't see anyone jump up here and punch me in the nose or tell me they are going to sue me or something when I said they take bribes, and that's exactly what happened. There is not a person on that school board that can apologize enough or word it that they didn't know. It was their job to know what entailed being on the school board and they just took a bribe. That's it. I have talked to a lot of people that feel the same way it's time for that school board to go.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I got to say one thing, I know everybody gets peeved at me. Every day I take my 88 pound basset hound down to the river and I turn him loose and I walk a half a mile or so and he smells all along the water, Tuesday he fell in and basset hounds can't swim. He had no idea in the

world what to do. He was terrified and it was really funny, you know, because the water was like a foot deep there, six inches deep, and he was all over the place and it was something to see. I tell you, seeing an 88 pound basset hound afraid he was going to drown. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to quickly comment on a topic that was brought up earlier regarding the Armed Forces Day parade. I can't agree with you any more. I do feel that they need to improve the attendance down there and I certainly would support any measure to try and increase the attendance. We see what we get from the St. Patrick's Day parade and it truly upsets me when you go down there and you don't see the support that they should have because we need to remember these are the people that gave us the rights we have today and the reason we are in this room tonight

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conducting the business we are conducting.

Regarding the fire situation in the city in recent weeks, we had to deal with fires in West Side. East Mountain and most recently over near Weston Field, obviously response times have been an issue with each of these fires and we have discussed whether or not, you know, we need to consider reopening fire stations and adding more firefighters to the staff. I think, you know, the fact that we had to deal with a ten-minute response to the East Mountain fire I think truly should be something that we should be appalled over when, in fact, the next closest engine company was Engine 10 right on the mountain where response times would been a minute or less, and those are things that we really need to sit back and look at and, thankfully, you know, tonight we can say that that engine company is finally reopened and I'm thankful that the mayor finally did wake up and realize this.

I will admit it is frustrating though that it did take an incident like

this for him to finally wake up and realize this that, you know, we've had to deal fire after fire, this is something he should have known from day one, but as I have said many times and I will state it until he leaves office, I personally don't think he takes public safety serious and I will continue to have that belief because he has proven it time and time again.

I'm also pleased that we did decide to restore 12 firemen. Any time you have the opportunity to do so and improve our public safety we obviously need to take advantage of it, and I'm glad we were able to come up with the funding, I believe it was about \$400,000 that we needed to do this, I'm glad the mayor and the unions were able to reach an agreement.

Obviously, we always hope we can add more, this is a start, but hopefully, you know, later on down the road we will be able to continue to add more to the staff and improve the safety of the city. This is a big city that we need to cover and, you know, any solutions and any suggestions that

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we get from people as to how we can add more, obviously, we always listen to them.

Regarding 7-D on the agenda with the rental registration, I made a few comments on in this in the past and just briefly tonight I want to thank you for your commitment to this and all of the hard work you put into it. I know Councilman McGoff, Councilman Loscombe worked hard on this with the appropriate officials to come up with a plan that suits the city best. Any time you have the opportunity to tackle blight and try to alleviate some of that and improve the neighborhoods that's something that you want to undertake and you did that with this and I'm hopeful it will be successful and I don't see why it won't.

Regarding the nonprofits, listening to Mr. Sbaraglia before I do agree with him 100 percent on the assessment that he made that we need to consider a public safety fee on nonprofits and, again, you know, we bring up the University of Scranton all the time and it's not to pick on them, but they are the best example you can use. This is an

institution that, you know, we provide the police and fire protection to them and everyone else in the city pays for it. I think we really need to consider some sort of fee on them so they are paying their fair share. I don't feel it's fair that taxpayers of the this city, who obviously are struggling right now with everything else they have to deal with, are paying for a service that others get for nothing, and I just believe it's a free ride and it needs to come to an end.

But I do want to commend you for reaching out to the county and the school board in hopes to come together and reach some sort of a resolution to gain revenue from the nonprofits. Obviously, we know there is millions out there and we have been missing out on it for years and if we have the opportunity to start bringing that it's certainly going to help the city long-term with the financial issues we have, so I appreciate your commitment to that and I'm hopeful in the end we will be successful with it and we'll be able to come up with a

plan that's beneficial to the city.

And finally tonight regarding a few of PEL's recommendations to the Revised Recovery Plan, two of them were already discussed earlier and they were the first two that jumped out at me. One is a pet peeve of mine. That's the proposed \$22 increase in the garbage fee. As we all know, it's already \$173. As I have stated many times before in the past, I just feel it's taxation without representation.

That's why we pay our taxes in the city. I never supported it, I never will.

And the second was a selling the city assets. Haven't we given enough? Haven't we given up enough in recent years and when is that going to stop? We have continued to come out on the losing end of that consistently and I just don't see what the benefit of that is. It's just a one-time revenue source and I don't see how we reap any benefits out of that. I think we need to come up with some realistic solutions. It's not a criticism of council, you've been doing that, I'm just saying in

general we need to come up with some realistic solutions and I don't think any of PEL's up to this point have been realistic. We need to do what's best not only the city, but the residents as well, and I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. LAMANDRE: Good evening, council. Peter Lamandre, Greater Scranton Board of Realtors. I'll being very, very brief. I just want to thank council for taking some our suggestions into consideration drafting the rental registration ordinance and we look forward to working with council on additional issues in the future and just, again, we want to if there is anything we can do we just want to say that we are here to help and I'll eave it at that and what's been said over the last couple of weeks. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. JONES: I'll say something.

Thank you. After you.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STULGIS: My name is Ann Marie Stulgis. I'm here tonight because I have just had it with the misinformation that's being fed to the public on the state of the city and the state of public safety within the city, and I think it's important that people are reminded that while now we suddenly can afford a full compliment of police and firefighters, we are broke.

Well, over the last ten years we have borrowed literally millions of dollars and the first eight of those years public safety was on a pay freeze, and yet that's when we went millions of dollars in debt. Where did the money go? Evidently it didn't go to public safety because their budget is the same and now here we are ten years into this leadership, if that's what you want to call it, and our debt service is more than what it would cost to not only call all our firefighters and all our police officers back but to increase them, which we all know, at least those of us with common sense, that that's really the most important thing, the main reason the people in this

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city pay taxes, is because they want to be safe; and secondly, they want their garbage picked up. Those are the three reasons.

Hum, I think probably the fourth they would love to see some of these roads get paved, but we all know that hasn't been done in years.

And it's really sad that the local media seems to have a problem with anything the mayor says becomes gospel and there is no research done into what they print. The fact that recently we heard about the exorbitant salaries that police and firefighters are getting, except, they are getting those exorbitant salaries, and then we hear about the, oh, \$40,000 it cost for each public safety employee for health insurance. No, it doesn't. I'm on the Health Care Committee. No, it doesn't. doesn't cost anywhere near that. None of it is true, yet, people in this city really think that.

And they want the public safety to give concessions. Let me tell you something, up until the date that the

Supreme Court ruled on those contracts both public safety unions were willing to meet and did meet with this mayor and did give concession after concession after concession. They actually were at the University for 10, 12 hours at a time for two straight days and they made deals and they cut down -- it would have cost the city no where near what the Supreme Court gave and he agreed.

MS. EVANS: Please, if the audience members would remain quiet because it's very distracting for those in the audience and city council to -- you know, we would like to give our full attention to the speaker.

MS. STULGIS: Thank you. The mayor agreed that time at the University of Scranton, agreed with the cuts. The cuts were enormous. It was an amazing what both public safety unions are willing to give up, and days later he changed -- by the way, he shook hands on that deal, so you know how good his word is. A couple of days later he decided, no. Of course, he blamed PEL, but the truth is that wasn't true. It was he

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who decided that he wasn't going to go along with that, that he could do better.

Obviously, he didn't and in the mean time he spent millions and millions of dollars and why? And as for previous city councils they are just as guilty. latest ruling by the Courts now on the SIT, which by the way, has dragged on since February 14th of 2003, which is the first date that was filed, and it's been in the Courts that long so you can imagine how much money it's cost the taxpayers, only to lose, and the thing is it would have cost nothing.

I went to him and I told him at the time, "It won't cost a thing, just stop doing what you are doing, please."

I went to city council meeting after meeting after meeting, not you people, and begged, "Please, just do something. Please. It's going to cost millions of dollars."

Which, by the way, I was right, it's millions, "Please just stop doing what you are doing."

Nobody listened. As a matter fact, Mr. Pocius found it necessary to berate me

quite royally, and here we are. And again, the mayor keeps doing it and the local media keeps I don't want to say lying, but that is sure what it feels like when I read the paper and know what they are printing as fact.

And I realize your hands are tied, but I think it's important that we remind people it's not the public safety unions involved, it's this mayor, this mayor and spend, spend, spend, hiring cronies who are the top bidders and he has done it for ten years and he has thrown away taxpayer dollar after taxpayer dollar after taxpayer dollar, and we have nothing to show for it but a lack of public safety and rotten roads. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. JONES: Hi, council. I'd like to apologize for speaking too loud back there I, that was my bad. I was talking. The first thing I would like to say is I would like this administration to know the

difference between a variance and rezoning.

Daron Industries is not zoning for a senior citizens housing or any kind of apartment housing or any kind of thousand dollar a month housing or whatever the hell they are going to have. I'm sorry, I said hell.

Could I say hell on TV?

But, all right. What I'm saying is just this administration should have at least some kind of difference between variance and rezoning. They are two different words.

Also, there has been two different fires in this town lately, and I don't think Mayor Doherty has done enough even hiring back 12 firefighters, they should hire them back all of them. He should hire back all of them. They all deserve their jobs back.

And what the Taxpayers' Association is doing is we are putting up a fund for the victims of Swetland Street fire on March 16 and if anybody would like to donate just send a check to Taxpayers' Association, Scranton Taxpayers' Association, 2423 Main avenue, and that's in the city, and the zip

1	code is 18508, and I'll make sure that they
2	get to all the residents.
3	MS. EVANS: To whom can checks be
4	made payable?
5	MR. JONES: Scranton Taxpayers'.
6	Just make it out to Scranton Taxpayers' and
7	put in the memo it's for the fire victims.
8	That way we will be able to separate it out.
9	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
10	MR. JONES: I thank you very much.
11	Sorry I'm so nervous, I'm not usually
12	nervous.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
14	MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.
15	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
16	cares to address council?
17	MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, City
18	Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
19	resident member of the Tayneyers'
10	resident, member of the Taxpayers'
20	Association.
20	Association.
20 21	Association. MR. JOYCE: Good evening.
20 21 22	Association. MR. JOYCE: Good evening. MR. JACKOWITZ: I, too, would like

would like to thank all of the people who were responsible for getting Engine 10 opened back up in East Mountain, and also all of the people who are responsible for getting the 12 firefighters returned back to duty. Hopefully, we can continue to have progress and cooperation and continue to work in this manner.

And also, I have had several Scranton citizens approach me, especially at work, who were not so much in favor of the Scranton firefighters. You know what, but they witnessed them Tuesday night at the that fire and they were impressed. There was nothing but outstanding compliments and, like I said, a lot of these people were anti-firefighters, but they were very, very impressed with the way these firefighters responded and the way they acted and the professionalism in which they did their job that evening. Naturally, my answer to them was, "I have known that all along."

So hopefully some citizens in this city are beginning to really understand, you know, and hopefully the mayor will wake up

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one of these days and we need to get all of those firehouses open and hopefully that will happen.

As far as the senior citizens' apartments and things like that, you know, we have an awful lot of apartments in the city, and just remember, if we get senior citizens moving out of their houses there goes taxes because they are going to quit paying their property taxes and move into these apartments and we have apartments being built in Minooka, we have apartments being built all over the city, and I just hope that that is taken into consideration when the vote comes up. Not that I'm against it, I'm in favor of it, but I'll tell you what, I'm more in favor of the taxpayers. I really am.

A citizen sent me this in the mail the other day and some of you old timers, young guys like Pat and Frank probably won't remember this, but do you guys remember this from 2001 when they hung it on everybody's door? And what it is, I'm going to read it to you. "Read the so-called Recovery Plan

18 19

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

for yourself. It will cut 29 police officers, beat cops, bike cops, school cops. It will close fire companies. It will cut your services and keep your money. Tax cuts will be prohibited. There are no savings. Vote "no" on Question 10. Paid for by "The Citizens for a Safer Scranton."

This is from 2001. 11 years ago. On the flip side in red, "Scranton needs a discovery plan that builds on our pulses, our positives, our potential and our power. Scranton does not need more gloom and doom," that's where the Legion of Doom came from. "We are not in such dire straights. ended 2001 in the black. Now we have 109 pages full of worst case scenarios and scary statistics. It calls our challenges insurmountable. Reject the flawed plan. Vote "no" November 5. Paid for by, "The Citizens For a Safer Scranton."

This was 2001. Here we are in 2012. What has changed?

> MS. EVANS: We have grown worse.

MR. JACKOWITZ: We were in the black; right?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: We had a million or 2 million, which isn't much, now we are \$300 million plus in the red. That changed. We have firefighters laid off. That changed. Taxes have gone up twice. That changed. We had firehouses closed. That changed.

The point I'm trying to make is we have a new Recovery Plan that's going to be coming forward. This Recovery Plan was approved by 70 some percent of the citizens, and I'm going to say out of those 70 some percent, 69 percent of them didn't have any idea what they were voting on, and that came to be true.

Like I said, Frank and Pat probably don't remember this, but I think the older folks, I hope, will remember this, but the point I'm trying to make is let's be very careful with what we do with this Recovery Plan. The citizens deserve it, the taxpayers deserve it, they really do, and I don't want to see a repeat of what happened 11 years ago.

And, you know, it's just ashame, but

maybe we are on the right track, we have got Firehouse No. 10 open and we got 12 more firefighters on the job and they did -- I wasn't there, but from what I am being told and, like I said, there was a lot of naysayers, and they were there and they were nothing but very pleased and satisfied with the response of the Scranton firefighters, and we need more of that. We need people to get involved, come out, get involved because it's their money, and let's hope we do the right thing for a change.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton, my taxes are paid. Okay, the Galucci's, former Galucci's on Wyoming Avenue, it's now the Scranton Music Works and they do offer some exceptional repairs of musical instruments so it's something to keep in mind. It's, like I said, you don't get that up at Montage, Guitar World or wherever, you don't get that kind of service, so we need

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to keep our local people in business.

And that gets right at this LERTA and rents. I'm wondering how somebody that if you say you made \$30,000 a year you might get a \$15,000 a year social security payment, about \$3,000 of that are going to be required for your medical coverage, you don't have a choice with Blue Cross, so unless you have any -- or not Blue Cross, Medicare, so if you don't have any assets you don't have to buy the supplemental insurance, but you surely do if you have a wife and you have assets or you want to leave anything to your family because when Medicaid gets involved it disappears pretty fast.

And that's my concern. You are kind of between a rock and a hard place here because I'm sure those neighbors would just love to see that not turned into another grease pit or whatever like Daron was run down there, but the tax free does concern me a little bit. I purchased a lot about eight years ago and it's gone from \$330 a year to \$410, so it keeps going up, and it was a

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nonbuildable tax delinquent lot, and it's just increased so much every year that about every eight years, seven or eight years now I'm paying the price of the lot over and over again. I don't plan on developing it, I just plan on using it for lawn space. - there is nobody in school from it, there is no sewer, no anything, no trash or whatever.

And last week I pulled a boner, I said I paid \$100 a month for fire insurance, it was actually a little more than \$100 a year. Now, what I'd like to see in some of these rental regulations is maybe even the landlord could take that up and try to charge \$5 a month more or \$10 a month more for rent and ensure that the occupants belongings -- that was \$15,000 I got from that claim and I lived there 12 years, so it was no more than \$1,300 -- or \$15,000 I got in a settlement. The insurance company didn't argue with me. I had to document a lot of things and do a lot of research, like, I want to K-Mart and figured out what a VCR tape was. Well, I had hundreds of them and they got all wet, so it was like

for every VCR tape I got on that list it was \$2.98 I priced it at K-Mart at that time, and various other things, like, working man's furniture set because I was never a magnet of any degree or whatever.

But the point is that if you carry fire insurance or renter's insurance, I mean, and you do have a fire it's going to pay off ten to one, and I didn't start the fire I was in work, by the way, but it's really important that people have something to recover with, and two days after the fire I had a check in the mail for \$3,000 of that money. So, you know, it's just unbelievable how easy it was as compared to having to start all over, and at that time I was sleeping out in my car with my dog because she wouldn't stop barking, so she was on the back seat I was in the front seat in the bucket seat.

Now, this Recovery Plan I see a lot of privatizing there and it concerns me because the question I would ask is say trash removal. We can argue about 22 bucks a month -- or a year more. For some reason

I have that month business fixed in my head, but will privatized garbage go from \$178 a year to \$478 a year. It might force some people to start to do what they should have with their trash because it's, like I said, I see ton after ton not being recycled.

Lazy, lazy, lazy people. It's ashame.

And don't forget 105.7 WFTE, the un-Rush station, we don't make nasty remarks about college girls on that station, and Alex -- on the golden parrot just quick, 190 American Legislative Exchange Counsel, 196 Americans in this country are making 80 percent of the campaign finance donations. Shame, shame, shame. The golden parrot is going to fly over. Bawk, bawk, and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and taxpayer. I would like to begin tonight
by thanking the mayor and the firefighters
for the outcome in their negotiations that
brought fire protection into East Mountain
and backup support for the rest of the city.

It's very nice to be able to sleep better at night.

On the agenda items on 7-D, I am still strongly in favor of the registration portion of this should be subcontracted out to a city vendor that's familiar with a direct mail and data basis. They could accomplish in days what it would take somebody starting from scratch to do in weeks or maybe even months, so I believe that should be a portion of it. That really is a win-win situation because it will get the best product and the fastest and it also will provide support to a city business, which is always helpful.

Next, I do have a question for Mr. Joyce with respect to I believe it's Northeast that's doing the delinquent tax collection? Do they get 15 percent only on what they -- awhile back you reported they had reported \$130,453.93 revenue, and it was about the same time that the judicial sale was, so do they only get what they collect or do they get a portion of any delinquent tax including if it was somebody who paid up

to get their name off the judicial --

MR. JOYCE: I'm not exactly sure how it goes with the judicial sales, but I will research into that and I will definitely find out the answer. The number that I reported in previous council meetings as far as revenue that came in, that was revenue after they did take their fee out.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and that was that they had actually collected, not a third party or a fourth party had collected?

MR. JOYCE: Correct. So they actually collected a little bit more than the revenue I reported, yeah.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

And then a question for, Mr. Loscombe,
regarding the alleged offense and employment
status of the alleged defendant in the
Pennsylvania State Police investigation.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I haven't had an opportunity since last meeting yet to sit down with the chief. You know, I was working on some other issues this week, mainly on the fire.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's really

important. You don't even know whether or
not this person is suspended or the
nature -- nothing?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I certainly hope we have that information next week. I think that's really urgent and, as I say, for those of us who have people out there that we care about that we might have a renegade firemen -- or, excuse me, not firemen, they are all good guys, but if we have a policeman out there who is some kind of renegade and they are in a car and can stop somebody we definitely need to know that.

And then does anyone know the status of the proper handicap access to this building? I did come in that way tonight and I noticed it's still not functioning.

There is no push button, the door --

MR. ROGAN: I haven't heard anything.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I spoke with Barry
Francis it had to be probably the later part
of last week, and according to him

everything was there, the part. There was a part that had to be ordered that wasn't local and he said it would take probably another ten days to two weeks and it would be here and they are ready to take care of it, so I will follow-up with him on that, also, but he did approach me himself in the hallway and let me know that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

As you know, last week I wasn't really sure if I was going to come back. As a matter of fact, I was rather convinced that I was just going to throw in the towel, but then I slept on it and I woke up thinking, yeah, Faraday was right, but still try for who knows what is possible. I hope it's possible to get this city out of the position we are in, but I do have my doubts.

And last week I was also very
frustrated, quite frankly, after the two
caucuses. What came across to me as you all
fawning over people who are spending an
exorbitant money, number one, of our tax
dollars to create apartments, and when there
are people out there who are doing it

privately and spending their own money and doing it, and when you spend your own money you do it as economically as you can, not as, yeah, it's taxpayer money, we just keep going back to that well, it doesn't matter if they go into debt as long I don't have to go into debt or my organization doesn't have to go into debt.

And the other was, of course, the one with the LERTA. I believe that the solicitor, who I totally believe is a very capable municipal attorney, but I was very disappointed because I felt he was out and out lobbying -- I'll finish this thought and then leave the podium, was out and out lobbying for and virtually assuring Affordable Senior Housing Opportunities of New York that they would be approved for a LERTA.

Now, as I said, I like Mr. Hughes and I believe him to be an extremely well-qualified municipal law attorney, but I further believe he is a member of the public as we are and should withhold such comments until the required public hearing or shared

in private with the council members.

I wonder where the September 2011 school district vote is even legal and why a caucus was held with an entity that doesn't even yet own the property. The LERTA law, as it was revised in 1988, states, "Application is to be made at the time he secures the building permit."

Putting the cart before the horse in my mind's eye is giving an unfair advantage to Affordable Senior housing and holding the taxpayers, yes, Virginia, some taxpayers actually do pay taxes, hostage by basing their projection on whether or not they obtain the LERTA. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much. Is there anyone else who would like to address council? Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Very briefly. Last week Mr. Loscombe and I had a little exchange over the idea of overtime for the firemen. I spoke with Mr. Judge on the phone, president of the union, and in 2011 when offered overtime by the administration the union declined. When the union declined

then in 2012 the administration said, "Well, we are not going to offer overtime for absence, we are only going to offer overtime for fire."

And that has become what has become the policy now, that in case of, you know, where there are additional firemen needed they will be called to a fire and they have, in fact, been called to fires and there has been some money taken from the budget, although it hasn't been a substantial amount since there have not been that many fires in which overtime was needed. I didn't find the exact amounts, but I was told that, yes, there has been some spent.

So my apologies if I provided misinformation, but it seems to be the policy now that, yes, there is overtime provided for fire, but not for absence.

The other thing that, again, I will speak more on it when we get to the legislation, the rental registration ordinance that is on the agenda or that will be on the agenda this evening there are a number of amendments that will be made to

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the ordinance. These are an incorporation of ideas that we have heard over the past couple of weeks from various sources. Mr. Rogan and I met with and talked about some things. The amendments that there is -- that he and I sort of agreed upon were then sent to other members of the council. It seems to be that there was an acceptance by everyone so that we will be putting these into -- or these will be proposed this evening. Are there omissions? Probably. There are some things that may be have been left out. Hopefully if there is anything that needs to be addressed we can do that in

I know the one that was mentioned and that we really -- I couldn't find a way in which to incorporate it into the ordinance was the problem of closing a rental property for a third misconduct report or the problem was that if someone was actually -- if the landlord was involved in the process of eviction should he -- should that person be held responsible and should that property be closed if they have

the future through further amendments.

already been taking action. And I just couldn't -- I didn't know how to word it or put it in the legislation, so that may be the first omission that we want to take a look at hopefully after it's implemented.

And then the last thing I just wanted to mention, I think if hopefully if this passes this evening we will then look at perhaps the hiring of a coordinator for the program. There is already someone that is ready to move on this in the LIPS

Department, but I think it also requires a second person, a coordinator of the program, to make this work properly and hopefully we can get to that as well.

And the last thing, I know we had talked about it before, is it 6-A that is the repeal of the prior --

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: -- ordinance are we going to look to move that to Seventh Order this evening so that if one --

MS. EVANS: We can do that certainly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: -- if the new is --

MS. EVANS: Would you like to make that motion?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I will, and we hopefully move it to Seventh Order. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Thank you. guess I'll stick with the rental registration since we are talking about it. Mr. McGoff, and I thank you, you were very helpful when we met for about an hour on Monday and we worked out much -- some of the problems I had, and the big one was the inspection, defining it as a safety inspection, determining that each rental unit shall include appropriate means of smoke detection, hot and cold running water, heat and electricity. It sounds very simple. There may be homes out there, rental properties, that do not have these, especially smoke detectors, which is the big Everyone should have a smoke detector one.

and check their batteries, so I think that's a good first step.

The eviction exception is something we are going to have to work on. I do agree what many have said, if the landlord is already in the process of evicting a tenant they shouldn't be held responsible for what they are doing -- or they are trying to kick them out.

But I think it's a good first step.

There is definitely more work that needs to be done, but it is a good first step and hopefully it will cut down on some of the blight in our neighborhoods.

Next, the Recovery Plan was brought up tonight, the 2001 version by

Mr. Jackowitz, and although I wasn't old enough to vote at the time I do remember going to my neighbor's house, who happens to be a firefighter, to get one of those signs, the vote "no" for the Recovery Plan. And going back I remember the propaganda that the mayor and his political action committee was pushing was if this doesn't pass we are going to raise taxes, we are going to be

forced to raise taxes. The Recovery plan passed. In 2007, taxes still went up. So, know, the mayor sold it as an economically conservative plan when min fact, he went and spent more money under the plan than he did before the plan was enacted.

As far as taxes go, again, you know, I would like to see them as low as possible, but everyone has to pay taxes and I think everyone agrees there is some things everyone agrees that their tax dollars should go for, police protection, fire protection and roads. Outside of those three areas anything else could be done by private industry. A private company could pick up your garbage. Private companies can do inspections. Private companies can do many things. They can't provide public safety, they can't provide police on the streets or a firefighter.

I would be happy if in the budget there were three items, police protection, fire protection and road paving. Outside of that, what do you really need out of your city government? They are the three most

important things and that's where the bulk of the tax dollars should go.

Unfortunately, the shape we are in, not because of this majorities' fault, because of the sins of the past, a big chunk of our budget has gone for debt repayment, and as anyone knows who has a credit card, a mortgage, any type of debt at all, when you make those minimum payments you are in debt to the bank for a very long time, and that's basically what the city is doing. We are adding onto the debt and paying the minimum. It's like running up a thousand dollar credit card bill and sending \$10 a month. You would paying to the day you die because the interest is just keeps racking up.

So something drastic has to happen in the budget, we have to make cuts. And we received, especially over the last week with all the fires, and we have seen over the last few years with all the crime that the area that we could not cut is public safety, and I am very happy that the firehouse on East Mountain was reopened. I was pleased to be there with Councilwoman Evans and

Councilman Loscombe for the grand reopening. It was great to see that fire truck roll in. It really was. I hope it's something that we see throughout the city as time goes on. It's a good first step and, although, I'm not from East Mountain myself, every time I go up there before I leave in West Side to go to East Mountain I think, "Oh, what's the best way to get there."

It's a tricky part of the city.

It's kind of off on it's on, and a fire truck to get to that part of the city from another part is very difficult, just as it for a bus to drive from West Side to East Mountain.

Next, the nonprofits were once again brought up and I think the distinction that many people have said is it has to be made on the nonprofits, and I went to the University of Scranton. I'm not a University of Scranton hater by any means, I got my education there, but if you take a look, for instance, the St. Thomas building, you go in there, it's all classrooms, it should be nonprofit. You go into another

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building, the upper floors are classrooms, but the bottom floor they have hoagies, sandwiches, things of that nature. Somebody is making money off those purchases, usually it's contacted out, Aramark is usually the company that it's contacted out to. a for profit on the bottom floor of a building that's nontaxable, listed as The book store in the DeNaple's nonprofit. Center, as somebody who has bought books for many years from the University of Scranton, there is a high markup on books. It's not a nonprofit. So I think things -- areas where they are making money should be taxed.

The dormitories, I am willing to bet my last dollar that the amount of money the school takes in from students for room and board is more than the actual cost of the room and board. It's not a nonprofit if you make a profit, but on the other hand, like I said, the educational part, the buildings used strictly teaching, I have no problem with being nonprofit. I don't think anyone does, but it's the profit that's being made by the nonprofit. I know it sounds a little

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bit confusing, but the profit is being made to the University of Scranton. There is no question about it.

Also, the parking lots. You know, they keep expanding every year, and I know it's been mentioned before, we like the University to build up instead of out, which is something I think most people agree.

Finally, a few requests. The first one, Mrs. Krake, if we could send this over to the Parking Authority I have been informed by a resident that the parking -or not the parking, the sidewalks on the Linden and Washington, these were just put in a year ago, and they are being torn up again, they are going to be torn up. question is to the Parking Authority is why they are going to be torn up. They are brand new sidewalks, I hope that it's stopped -- or I don't understand what's going on. Whether there is some other project or something underneath the sidewalks that needs to get done, these were just put in. It seems like a complete waste of taxpayer dollars for -- to rip them out

and put new ones in.

Next, since spring is coming around again we all know the Washburn Street

Cemetery has been in deplorable condition.

Could we also send a letter to Chief Duffy requesting that the Washburn Street Cemetery is included in the blight clean up that he spoke about in the newspaper.

These two letters would be to LIPS:

One, asking about if somebody could check into Ole' Good Things to make everything is in compliance, and Mr. Spindler brought this up and I drive by there at least two to three times a day, I have seen it firsthand.

Also, a resident called the office asking council to check whether there is a permit issued for work being done on South Sumner Avenue, I won't list the exact address in case they are in compliance.

And few requests to DPW: One resident called stated Rockwell Park is a mess, that there is diapers and litter thrown all around the park, and on the side of the road there is litter going all the way up Rockwell Avenue and it continues all

the way down Charles Street. So I would hope the DPW could get that taken care of.

And the following are potholes that need to be filled in? Hemlock Street at the intersection of Crown Avenue, the 100 block of Meadow Avenue by the CVS and River Street in front of the Salvation Army building.

And also if we could also include

Pike Street once again. I did see the

letter went out last time, there still

hasn't been any progress that I have seen up

on Pike Street, and that is it for tonight.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. I just want to touch on a couple of issues that were brought up this evening, and I'll start with what Mr. Rogan just discussed about tearing up sidewalks and stuff like that. It came across my mind the new blacktop that's being put down in certain areas and being torn up not long after it is, Moosic Street and Harrison Avenue there,

21

22

23

24

25

there is a hole that's been filled in for quite awhile now, but I'll tell you, it's a lousy job and, you know, we've had fantastic weather for them to repair that and I don't know if that's a Sewer Authority's hole or not, but if we could find out from our inspector, I guess it's our paving inspector or whomever, who is responsible for that and have them repaired.

But also to extend on that, I would hope that OECD in their bids with the CDBG funding for the blacktop in certain areas, and I'm pretty sure they do reach out to the utilities beforehand to do any repairs or find out if any of those streets are to be torn up to put new lines in or anything because I know there is some projects going on through the city, so it would be a waste of our taxpayer dollars to lay new blacktop and have them torn up or whenever, perhaps there could be some kind of a stricter penalty if the utility comes in afterwards, after they have been notified, and it was an existing situation. So that was something Mr. Rogan got in my mind there.

Also, again, I would like to thank Lackawanna County, Mr. Hetman, is that how you say it?

MR. HETMAN: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ LOSCOMBE: For coming to this meeting, and I look forward to us working with you.

MR. HETMAN: Likewise, sir. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Dobrzyn discussed renter'S insurance, that the landlords may charge an extra rent or something. The way insurance works basically is you have to have a interest -- an insurable interest in something. The landlords own their buildings so they insure their buildings. They don't own any of the contents that the renters own, so the renters are liable for their own renter's insurance, and like you said, it's really reasonable. For \$10 a month of \$120 a year most rental policies will make you whole.

But it actually brought me to a bigger issue, tonight we have legislation to approve the insurance contracts for the city

and apparently I guess only one company ended up bidding on it, I think maybe it was because of the massive amount of work there, next year or we should put out the request for bids before the end of the year for April 1 of next year to give brokers time to do their research and do their due diligence.

But my question is, and maybe

Mr. Hughes can look into this, insurable
interest, I noticed on page seven of the
scheduled properties that we have two
library buildings listed here, the Albright
Library on Vine Street and the Greenridge
Street library, which are insured for well
over \$4 million just the buildings
themselves, not including contents.

Since the deeds are in the hands of the Library Authority, that has taken the insurable interest away from the city since we do not have the deeds. I was just wondering if we could check with them, if the Library Authority can be billed for that part of the insurance policy, that was one of the reasons they wanted to form an

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authority so they could properly insure their buildings, but it just doesn't seem right when all of the other authorities handled their own insurance and the city is insuring buildings that don't belong to them right now. So I was wondering if we could look into that and maybe at the direction of our solicitor, Mrs. Krake can send a letter to the proper personnel on that?

MR. HUGHES: I think you are on the right track. First of all, I think we said this last year, when this came up to bid, and I believe you raised it, Jack, that when the deeds were recorded after council set forth to terminate or to liquidate the -actually terminate the Library Authority, they then recorded the deeds. At that point in time the City of Scranton was not the owner, it does not have an insurable interest in my opinion that it cannot insure the building. If we had a mortgage on the property, which we don't, the City of Scranton received no money for that, it would be up to the owner of the property, which would be the Authority, to have the

buildings insured with a mortgagee payable clause payable to the city. That doesn't happen or that hasn't happened so I think that -- I do not know who the solicitor for the Library Authority is, but I certainly think that a letter should be directed to the executive director of the present Library Association requesting that information as to those two buildings may have been insured when they are insured for.

The City of Scranton does not have any right and the insurance company in the event, God forbid, there is a fire and the building would be destroyed, if the City of Scranton would put a claim in they would say, "Your insurance company pay only whom has an insurable interest in it," and they can deny paying it, even though we are paying the premium for it.

I also raised a second issue and it's my understanding that Knowel's Associates acts as a broker and gets a fee of \$10,000 for being a broker and approves the policies to themselves then they get the commission from the insurance company for

22

23

24

25

placing the insurance, and what we tried to do this year, and it was my understanding that even though they said you couldn't do it and have premiums paid quarterly, that it would be bid for the remaining nine months of the year. I'm looking into that as to whether, and I believe the insurance companies can put this on a fiscal year instead of a calendar year, since they have already accepted the premiums, it's the same insurance companies. They are just continuing it now so instead of a 12-month premium from January 1 is to December 31 -or insurable year, it's now 15 months without -- when we bid it, and them being the only bidder and I think, as you stated, the time was too short, I do know at least -- I don't know how many other bidders came in to select or to pick up a bid package, but they could not put the bid together in that period of time in order to do their due diligence.

I think in your comment, and I don't know, I'll take a look at this legislation to see if we should put an amendment on that

next year this has to put out to bid by the end the year, and the contract would be awarded no sooner than March 1, so that someone would at least will have well over two months. I'm investigating that now to see exactly how much time an insurance agent would need to place this insurance.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MR. HUGHES: And, as I said, I don't know how many other -- if there were two, three, four or five other bidders that picked up the bid package and they couldn't do it because Knowel's had the inside track. They just didn't have the time to put it together and to take it to a company and in this situation for a bidder to come in and say, "Wait a minute, you don't have a insurable interest in this, you shouldn't really be paying a premium to ensure \$4 million of buildings that you don't own that you don't have an insurable interest in," that it would be a lot of work.

I am looking into that to see how much time an insurance agent would need from the time he picks up the bid specs in order

to develop a bid properly to submit a bid next year. I do believe that the way that it was, the bid spec did say it was for one year, so we are going to be on a fiscal year basis that we just have to take a look at the bid and properly award it as it was bid in accordance with the specs instead for nine months. Think that would be too much intermingling in the bidding process.

But I think your comment is good and that Mrs. Krake should write a letter to the Library association, actually to the Library Authority. They had it insured somewhere along the line, they should be the -- we should have a legal opinion from the legal department that we do have an insurable interest. In my opinion, I don't think we do.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you,

Mr. Hughes. Next, rental registration you have heard some discussion here. I do want to thank Mr. McGoff and Mr. Rogan this week sat down and finalized some of the amendments to the ordinance.

Again, it's not going to be a

perfect ordinance, there is going to be some tweaks down the road, but it's at a point now where, you know, it's time to get going on it, get it in operation, and we could work out the wrinkles as we go. And also, the input from the various building owners that have called us with ideas and the Greater Scranton Board of Realtors, Pete Lamandre, who provided us with some information and approached the podium several times to discuss this issue. So, you know, hopefully after this evening we are on the right path to tightening up some of that situation.

We discussed PILOTS tonight or nonprofits, going after the nonprofits.

Anyone that watches these meetings knows the history of how we feel on receiving money from the nonprofits. Mrs. Evans and I had approached the University, other members here have approached different colleges and nonprofits, and again, it's easy to come to the podium and say, you know, assess this fee or that fee or whatever, but it's not as cut and dry as that, and I'm sure our

solicitor can verify that. We have done a lot of research, all of us, on our own here have done a lot of research throughout the state because that's -- even outside of the state, but we have to go with the state's specific laws, and something that we are going to be able to enact that's going to stand up to the legal system without enforcing legislation that we may end up in Court with and end up losing your taxpayer money fighting it.

So, trust me, this has been on our hotplate probably from day one the last few years here and it's just not something that's as cut and dry as it may seem.

Otherwise, if would have been a done deal all over the state already. They have tried different things in Pittsburgh, they have tried different things in Harrisburg, some of the other localities, and I believe Mrs. Evans mentioned was it Rhode Island.

MS. EVANS: Yes, Providence, Rhode Island.

MR. LOSCOMBE: So all of this is great information and we are putting it

together, but it has to go through, you know the legal process to make sure we are going to get the money without spending your money in the long run fighting to go get it back.

So, I mean, that's where we are at on the nonprofits and the PILOTS.

And as far as some of these projects that have being presented to us in caucuses and stuff like that, a lot of the projects that we have been involved in basically, I think before most of us were on this panel, had already received -- those are lengthy processes several years they have already received their grants or their funding at that point. It became incumbent upon us to follow-up.

As you know, Mrs. Evans started having public caucuses here with many of the developers that we have to review, and again, most of them have already been approved so it was money that was designated prior to us being here by the state or the federal government or whoever. They came here and discussed their projects with us and what they planned to do. Have they all

been up to par? Well, we just had two weeks ago one that has fallen apart, but I'm hopeful that, you know, someone will come in and pick up the boat on that, but for the most part we have looked over all of these projects. The Daron Northeast project, they are looking for a LERTA. From what I understand this gentleman is putting his own money in, he is not looking for any federal grants, local grants, anything like that, he is putting his own money in. He is building it in a vacant parking lot right now, which would still be paying taxes on it, the LERTA would only be on the building he puts up.

Daron Northeast parcel, with the building and the rest of it, is still a taxable entity. It doesn't belong to them, so we would be gaining -- we wouldn't be losing anything really because there is tax on that property right now on the lot and it's an empty lot. We have to look at these things for their own merits. Again, I'm not 100 percent sold on it. You know, I think it's a good project for this area, it's a good project for that neighborhood, but

there is still some things that I have concerns about as far as, you know, using local workers, local employees, local labor and just making sure everything is in order with the permits and stuff like that.

But we don't take it lightly, we know it's your money that they we will be abating the taxes on it and in this particular case though it's not a tax -- it's not a property that has a building on it that's paying taxes, and it's a major personal investment. That's what we like.

If you remember, I believe it was last year when a developer was getting millions and he wanted us to forgive his taxes, we stood up to him. We told him you are getting money in this hand from the government and the taxpayers, at least put this hand in your pocket and pay the taxes. And then we were told it was going to be sold to nonprofits so we wouldn't get taxes or anything anyway. We persevered. We met with the developer and in time he ended up paying his taxes. We are here working for you. We understand. Trust me. Every tax

dollar is important, but you know, sometimes we are going to make decisions that other people don't understand or don't see that the long-term fruitfulness of it.

You know, will they all succeed? I would hope so, but if we don't at least give them a chance we are not going to get out of this hole we are in. It sounds like a lot of money, but we have look at each project on their own merits and I believe that's what we are doing here and each developer is coming in here and explaining their projects for all of our constituents, and if anyone else allowed, and like I said, we listen to you all when you come up to podium here with your objections or questions and, you know, we have a heavy weight on us. We are looking at it as you would. Trust me.

So I would just hope you would give us the benefit of to the doubt when we do make decisions on situations like that.

And just lastly, I want to discuss the fire situation. You know, people were telling me it was almost prophetic, my statements last week, on the shorted

stuff like that, and I was basing that on the fire in West Side earlier in the week and, unfortunately, the day after the meeting we had that fire up in East Mountain and, you know, it's been awhile since there were two major fires like that right in a row, and it shook a lot of people up because a ten-minute response is crazy. I stated it last week a five -- four minute response is You know, we were noted for three crazy. minute responses in this city and we were able to save a lot of property. And I'm glad to see that the unions

and the mayor actually had discussion and worked it out and it got some of the manpower back, but it's still not fixed.

It's easy to put a few guys in and say the problem is there. You know, prior to any cuts on the police and fire departments things were working like a well-oiled gear.

Now we are finding problems here and there, and it's only going to continue until things are done with the proper way. Everybody, every neighborhood deserves their stations

firefighters and the time responses and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

open, deserves their protection.

You know, Ann Marie Stulgis was at the podium tonight, she explained the scenario over ten years where the budget has gone and what the police and fire have cost. Zero, where they were with the grievance. Bill Jackowitz, he presented the Recovery Plan information back then, and I remember that very well. I am one of the older guys.

But, you know, it was very serious and there was a lot of -- you know, they were settling. 70 percent of people voted for it, but only 30 percent of the voters came out and, you know, I think the big selling point there was if you don't vote it in your taxes are going up. You know, now people are paying more taxes, they are going to paying more on their fire insurance because as more and more properties are damaged the ISO ratings are going to change. We have to have the capacity to respond the way we did before, and I know we are not done with 12, we are going to continue to fight to bring the ranks up to where they should be. That's what you deserve.

You don't know how -- I was at every one of those fire scenes and how many people came up to me and said, "You know, what are we going to do? We can't sleep at night. We are a nervous wreck," other people surrounding them. This is no way for them to live, and it shouldn't be. I want to correct a statement that was made in the paper regarding the fire on Langstaff Place, I believe it was today's paper, that stated Engine 9 was not opened, but Engine 8 was working out of Engine 9's station. That's an incorrect statement.

The building at Engine 9 was totally closed and Truck 4 and Engine 9 were not operating out of there. Engine 8 operated out of their own station on Market Street, Engine 7 operated out of their own station on Luzerne Street. The fortunate thing on response time was both of those companies happened to be within three minutes there because they were returning from other incidents. Otherwise, it would have taken a bit longer. Perhaps Engine 8 would have gone, and Engine 7 would have taken a little

bit longer.

No neighborhood deserves not to have the protection they had. It worked before, it should never have been changed. I think the stations as they are stand are strategically located for the response times, and that's a big factor. You need the response times and you need the adequate manpower on scene, and that's a fact.

When they call overtime in they are calling men in from home, but the time they get there it's a half hour, 45 minutes after the fire has started.

I want to commend our firefighters for the job they have done in the past couple of weeks. And for those of you, as Bill said, had seen them in action at that scene it was something and the police officers who responded and kept crowd control because there was quite a bit of the problem at the one by Weston Field.

I want to say I'm sorry to all the victims of these fires. You know, it's going to take a long time for them to pick up the pieces and, you know, it's something

it's too late. For those of you who have had that situation you realize that. And again, you know, insurance for renters is cheap. At least get that to help you recoup. You will never recoup your memories or your valuables, but at least it will help you pick up part of your life.

And also I failed to mention I want

everybody is in denial. Nobody things a

fire will ever strike them. When it does,

And also I failed to mention I want to thank the firefighters from Dunmore who responded to every one of these fires in Scranton, and thank God we have a department in Dunmore like that. And to the surrounding areas who manned the various stations in case another incident came in, I also commend them, but the work is not done. We still have a long way to go to provide the adequate protection and I just hope the line of the communication continue between the mayor and the unions, and hopefully we will have the police back on the beat that should be there.

Right now we are paying for six police officers because of the Supreme Court

ruling, we are paying for six to have six.

I have stated this before, had he hired two previously we'd have 15 on the street, 13 being paid by COM-D and two being paid by the city extra. 15 police officers for the price of two. Now we have six officers for the price of six, you know?

This is no game. We can't play with our public safety and I would hope that better minds prevail and, unfortunately, we have had these incidents in the past week. I would hope they don't continue, but from what I understand the one down by Weston Field is a case of arson, and I know our arson investigators along with the state police investigators are working diligently on some leads on that situation.

But, you know, until we are where we were, and this goes back, people say, well the city doesn't have the population that it had before, the city is the same square miles, and if you think about from when we had the biggest population Scranton is actually expanded. Montage Mountain, the upper west mountain, the east mountain up

towards Viewmont Mall that way. The city actually is a lot larger than it was when we had a bigger population. There is a lot more aging structures, and it's farther stretches for companies to respond to, so to use population as an answer isn't it.

It's not like a factory, when production -- we could slow down productions when orders closed you don't know when all hell is going to break loose with the fire or a police situation, a riot, and the fire and police are also your Homeland Security. They have a lot more functions that they perform today.

But, you know, I'll feeling a little bit better that there been a little bit of movement, for your sake out there has to continue to be some more discussion and some more movement and the right stories have to put out there, and I just hope that nothing happens now and God bless everyone and, you know, to those again who suffered the tragedies of the fires after last week we pray for you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. And as one may know, Engine 10 on East Mountain was reopened following a very serious fire in the East Mountain area, as Mr. Loscombe just explained. This is something that was sorely needed as response times in this area were abysmal, to say the least, due to the lack of manpower on the Scranton Fire Department. I'm very grateful and glad that firefighters were hired back which, of course, were budgeted for in the 2012 amendment operating budget.

This firehouse should have been opened all along and it was sorely needed. I'm very thankful that the residents of East Mountain can feel safe again. I only hope this leads to the reinstatement of more firefighters throughout the city for the SAFER grant.

In speaking with Mayor Doherty, he projects that we should receive the SAFER grant around midsummer as an estimate.

In addition, with the major fires

that occurred over the last nine days in West Scranton, East Mountain and most recently the possible premeditated arson in North Scranton I think we owe all of our firefighters a very special thank you for doing what they do. The firefighters in the City of Scranton have shown special courage in their efforts to fight these fires that they have with the resources that they have and I think that we all owe them our thanks.

Getting onto other business, the 2012 TAN. To report, Tax Collector Bill Courtright has submitted \$1,910,172.47 to the 2012 TAN lock box. As one may or may not know, this year there is a special agreement with the tax anticipation note, commonly referred to as the TAN. As part of the special agreement, all real estate tax revenue is required by the TAN lender to be placed in a lockbox for final repayment by June 30, 2012, or until all of the balance is paid, whichever come comes first.

After a brief discussion with Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, so far we have paid roughly \$6.3 million on the

2012 TAN.

In regard to the RE-RE account, both Ms. Aebli and Mrs. Novembrino had promptly responded to Scranton City Council's inquiry as to how much money is currently in the UDAG repayment checking account commonly referred it as the RE-RE account, which generates revenue from loans that were acquired from OECD which are repaid back to OECD as agreed. The amount of money left in this account is as of March 19, 2012, is --well, was, \$95,698.28.

Pursuant to requests from city council and citizens regarding 519 Grace Street I just wanted to announce that Scranton City Council through our city clerk reached out to the law department and we received a response from Attorney Kelly that there are no plans for a parking lot at 519 Grace Street and, furthermore, that no request for permits for a parking lot have been made.

Also, in the event that anyone does try to request a permit to obtain for a parking lot, it has been advised that they

would be denied due to the fact that the city owns the lot.

Two weeks ago, Mrs. Quinn, one of our speakers, asked a question about the 2010 audit on page 21, and it's specific to OECD, and I spoke with Rossi & Rossi regarding some of Mr. Quinn's questions in regard to OECD, and just to begin on page 21 of the audit, I have it right here in front of me, which is entitled, "City of Scranton Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balances for the Year 12-31-2010," Mr. Quinn I remembered stated that it appears as if Rossi & Rossi was bringing up revenues by using OECD money when it actually wasn't part of the budget.

To elaborate a little bit further, by GASB standards, Mr. Rossi from Rossi & Rossi explained that OECD is to be reported alone, along with nonmajor funds which is determined by a formula, and major funds which are listed as general. Everything listed as general is pretty much the operating budget itself. Some of what is listed as nongeneral is also part of the

operating budget such as debt service that's listed on a nonmajor fund by those standards.

On the next page of the audit, which is page 22, it lists other finance sources and uses. This is where the TAN is listed and any operating transfers in and out. For instance, transfers from the Workers' Compensation Fund and transfers back to the Workers' Compensation Fund to pay it back.

As far as OECD is concerned, Mr.

Grassi from OECD explained that the total revenue generated from CDBG, HOME, ESG and other grants that were received was \$11,333,680. Along with this they did have \$5,191 in investment income and \$1,322,910 in programming which added up to \$12,661,781.

OECD also added \$12,681,235 in expenses, which was slightly above the amount of income that they had generated throughout the Year 2010.

So in short, this money is not a part of the operating budget, but it is reported by Rossi & Rossi, but not as part

of any budgetary money.

Also, I did ask Mr. Grassi about all of the findings related to OECD and the whole issue of citations and Mr. Grassi from Rossi & Rossi explained that they do not issue citations and, furthermore, that they just report what they find. That's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman
Joyce. Good evening. Like so many of you,
Scranton City Council was very pleased by
the reinstatement of 12 firefighters, the
reopening of Engine 10 fire station on East
Mountain, and the demonstrated cooperation
among the mayor, the IAFF union and city
council. I spoke with Mayor Doherty several
times last Friday regarding this urgent
matter and the funding to make it happen
immediately. For the record, the mayor
reinstates these positions and city council
provides and approves the funding.

Further, neither could reopen the fire stations without the agreement of the union to decrease the number of personnel per apparatus from four to three in order to

provide increased fire protection for Scranton residents. Legislation to provide funding for the 12 positions is being drafted and will be submitted to city council for it's approval when completed.

The mayor and council identified the following funding sources for inclusion in the legislation: UDAG RE-RE funds, unemployment, salt and snow removal, overtime and contingency monies, and the \$600,000 from the prescription health care savings grant will then be used to reimburse budgetary accounts.

On Tuesday night, I saw firsthand the devastating fire that ripped through four homes on Langstaff Place. I watched as numerous fire trucks and engines arrived and firefighters simultaneously battled the smoke and blazes from the ground, atop truck ladders, inside of the structure and on roof tops. The response time was very good, a significant improvement over the two fires that occurred in West Scranton and East Mountain last week.

Scranton City Council thanks the

Scranton and Dunmore Fire Department, the Scranton Police Department, paramedics and the American Red Cross under the direction of Brian Ritsen for their extraordinary work throughout the last ten days.

However, it is clear that additional firefighters are needed to better provide for the health, safety and welfare of all Scranton residents. We await the announcement of funding from the federal SAFER grant hopefully during the summer months which will enable as many of the remaining firefighters who were cut in the mayor's budget to return to their positions and make fire station brownouts an exception rather than the rule.

Also, Councilman Joyce and I met with Mayor Doherty and Business
Administration Ryan McGowan this week to review and discuss the Revised Recovery
Plan. The meeting was productive, agreement was reached on several issues, and the first steps were taken. Communication and cooperation will continue and joint initiatives and recommendations will be

submitted to the Pennsylvania Economy League and the people of Scranton tentatively in mid-April.

Next, it has been come to council's attention that a zoning change may be necessary on Dickson Avenue, therefore, council directs Attorney Hughes to contact city planner, Don King, to determine if the various grants to senior housing opportunities of New York Incorporated was the correct application of zoning law.

Next, I received a prompt response from Ms. Aebli --

MR. HUGHES: Madam Chairman, I'll do that, but I believe that that variance was granted more than 30 days ago. Council has no right to interfere with anything that --council would file an appeal to the Court of Common Pleas on it's own, however, it had 30 days after the variance was granted. If there is -- the only ones that would have any standing o challenge the decision of the zoning board would be protestants that appeared at the hearing, signed in, and presented testimony against whatever was

requested.

the zoning.

MS. EVANS: At the zoning hearing?

MR. HUGHES: At the zoning hearing. The only people that can take an appeal to any action of the zoning hearing board, which is an independent agency of the city, it has its own solicitor, the only one that can take appeal would be an aggrieved person who is a protestant at the hearing who is a neighbor in th at area and appeared at the hearing and gave testimony and then could appeal an adverse decision to their interest, be it a variance, a zoning change, if it were a zoning change that would have be initiated by the Planning Commission and covered by the Zoning Board to come here

I don't know that much about the case, I know very little about the case, but other than the fact that what Attorney Hane said was that they already had their hearing before the zoning hearing board and that a variance was granted. Any appeal from that variance would have to have been taken

before council and have a hearing to change

within 30 days of the issuance of that decision. I'll check it on and I believe I saw in the paper that at the Planning Commission meeting last night the Planning Commission did approve the subdivision of that parcel into two separate parcels.

MS. EVANS: Do we know who owns the property?

MR. HUGHES: Right now it's the partnership of Daron Products, whoever was the grantee is the owner of the property.

MS. EVANS: Okay, so they own the entire property.

MR. HUGHES: They own the entire property, the parcels there. Again, from what Attorney Higgen testified there is two parcels, one parcel has the building that was rehabilitated and reconstructed for the offices of Daron, then there was the vacant parcel right next to it. And last night -- or at the Planning Commission meeting, I believe it was last night, that they approved the subdivision that 11-acre parcel, two parcels approximately 5.6 acres each.

MS. EVANS: So this company has not yet purchased the land?

MR. HUGHES: No.

MS. EVANS: I guess my last question, and this is you can even consider it a hypothetical, for example --

MR. HUGHES: You sound like a lawyer.

MS. EVANS: If a board renders an incorrect decision which it later discovers to be incorrect it allows the decision to stand.

MR. HUGHES: I haven't investigated it to that extent, but I believe that the Zoning Board would have said, based on my experience and practicing before zoning boards in Northeastern Pennsylvania, that if the application were incorrect to grant a variance they would say, "We cannot the grant the variance. We are limited by law as to the type of variances that we can grant."

I don't know what type of variance they requested even if they did request a variance, but I would be -- let me put it

way. It would be my opinion that the solicitor for the Scranton Zoning Board would have said that we cannot entertain a variance because it's not the correct procedure, and this is what you have to do. Just based on my experience of 40 years of practicing law that that would be what would have happen, and I can't believe that the Scranton Zoning Board or their solicitor, who is experienced, would have said, "Yeah, we'll approve a variance even though we can't approve a variance."

I'll check on it, but there is really -- and I believe the only ones that could have really objected would have been the neighbors and what you see is that the neighbors are in favor of the project, so -- but I'll check on it for the next meeting.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Next, it has come to -- or, excuse me, I received a prompt response from Ms. Aebli, OECD director, regarding the paving of Hamm Court, which I would like to read at this time quickly. "On March 6, 2012, Tom Preambo of my staff and I personally

contacted a resident living in the 300 block of Hamm Court regarding the paving of this court. This office as placed this court on our list for a potential court to be paved. When this office begins to generate our next paving list, Hamm Court will being inspected in order for this office to prioritize the streets based on need to be paved.

Please be advised, however, that the 500 and 600 block of Hamm Court is not in a low to moderate income and will not qualify for our paving program under federal guidelines."

In addition, council received a timely response from Fire Chief Davis regarding the Rockwell Avenue Bridge, which I will read. "In reference to your letter dated March 6, the following is submitted for your information. Please be advised since the Rockwell Avenue Bridge has been closed to fire trucks, we have been going off West Market Street to Neary Place. This detour has not changed the response time."

Finally, council received a notice of high importance regarding Senate Bill 405

which could significantly affect the levy of the business privilege tax. Mrs. Krake, please send a memo to Mr. McGowan and the mayor regarding this legislation and attach the notice from PLCM and PSATC. The BP tax ordinance must be reviewed immediately. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH
KNOWLES ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. FOR INSURANCE
BROKERAGE SERVICES FOR INSURANCE WITH
HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT INSURANCE EXCHANGE
(H.A.R.I.E.) INDIAN HARBOR INSURANCE
COMPANY/XL INSURANCE, PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY
INSURANCE COMPANY, SAFETY NATIONAL INSURANCE
COMPANY AND SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR
CITY INSURANCE COVERAGES FOR THE PERIOD
APRIL 1, 2012, THOUGH MARCH 31, 2013.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All

1 those in favor of introduction signify by 2 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 3 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 6 The ayes have it and so moved. 7 8 (Mr. Loscombe not present for the vote.) 9 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 10 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL 11 12 ENGINEERING AGREEMENT NUMBER 041746-C WITH BUCHARTHORN, INC. TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT TO 13 14 INCLUDE THE REVISED OFFSET PROVISION; TO INCLUDE THE REVISED CONTRACTOR 15 16 RESPONSIBILITY PROVISIONS; TO REVISE THE 17 NONDISCRIMINATION/SEXUAL HARASSMENT CLAUSE; 18 TO INCLUDE THE REVISED CONTRACTOR INTEGRITY CLAUSE; TO INCLUDE THE CONTRACT 19 20 PROVISION-RIGHT-TO-KNOW LAW 8-K-1532; TO 21 PROVIDE FOR, UNDER PART II, ADDITIONAL HOURS FOR UPDATING AND RESUBMISSION OF 22 23 PERMIT APPLICATIONS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS 24 AND COST ESTIMATES AND FOR AN INCREASE IN 25 COST UNDER PART II OF THE AGREEMENT OF

1 \$71,004.03 FOR THE ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE 2 PROJECT. 3 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 4 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 5 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 6 MR. JOYCE: Second. 7 8 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 9 those in favor of introduction signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 13 14 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 16 (Mr. Loscombe was not present for 17 the vote.) 18 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 26, 19 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF 20 21 COUNCIL NO. 05, 2007 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A REGISTRATION 22 23 PROGRAM FOR RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES: 24 REQUIRING ALL OWNERS OF RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES TO DESIGNATE AN AGENT FOR SERVICE 25

	11:
1	OF PROCESS; AND PRESCRIBING DUTIES OF
2	OWNERS, AGENTS AND OCCUPANTS; DIRECTING THE
3	DESIGNATION OF AGENTS; ESTABLISHING FEES FOR
4	THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REGISTRATION
5	OF RENTAL PROPERTY; AND PRESCRIBING
6	PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS".
7	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
8	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
10	pass reading by title.
11	MR. JOYCE: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Madam President, I
21	would like to make a motion to move 6-A to
22	7-E for final vote.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
25	table to suspend the rules and move Item 6-A

1 into Seventh Order this evening as well as a 2 second, is there anything on the question? 3 All those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: 4 Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -10 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 27, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE 11 12 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF 13 14 ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT 15 PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO. 17A0101 FOR THE RECEIPT 16 17 AND DISBURSEMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 18 BLOCK GRANT COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN FUND (CDBG C/I RFL FUNDS) RECEIVED FROM 19 THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN 20 21 DEVELOPMENT ("HUD"). 22 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 23 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 25 pass reading by title.

	11/
1	MR. JOYCE: Second.
2	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
3	those in favor signify by saying aye.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
11	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
12	SAFETY FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 24,
13	2012 - AUTHORIZING AND ESTABLISHING A
14	PROCEDURE FOR THE DISPOSAL OF CITY-OWNED
15	POLICE VEHICLES.
16	MS. EVANS: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chair for the
18	Committee on Public Safety?
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
20	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
21	final passage of Item 7-A.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question?
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question,
25	last week we sent out two letters regarding

this legislation, one was in regards to the memo dated March 12 informing that they were awarding a bid for the sale of 28 vehicles and miscellaneous parts to DeNaple's, who was the highest bidder. We sent a letter to the mayor and Mr. Dougher asking for a response on or before March 22, 2012, and nothing was received.

An additional went to Mayor Doherty and Chief Duffy. "Thank you for your prompt response to our letter asking for clarification on the bidding. Council would like you to provide our office with a list of the police vehicles that are going to be sold to the highest bidding scrap yard. Please provide our office a response on or about March 22, 2012."

Again, no response. So we don't even know how -- which vehicles are listed to be sold. Additionally, we don't know if they already were sold because 28 of the city vehicles were sold to DeNaples without any backup of what vehicles were sold.

And finally, before voting on this I would like everyone on council to think of

one question, do you honestly believe the best bang for our buck is by selling them to a scrap yard or by selling by another bid, an auction or something of that nature?

So because of these three reasons, I will be voting "no" on the legislation.

MS. EVANS: I might suggest that the motion be tabled until council receives responses that were requested. Is there anyone else who would like to table, make a motion to table?

MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a motion to table.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: I'll vote to table so we can get the information. I still don't like the general idea of scrapping it instead of auctioning it off, but I definitely think we need this information before a final vote is cast.

MR. JOYCE: I agree.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Due to circumstances this week with the fires and that I haven't had a chance to sit down with the chief

1	because I have several issues, so I don't
2	know if I will get there tomorrow, but
3	Monday I will definitely get there.
4	MR. ROGAN: Sounds good.
5	MR. JOYCE: I agree to table. I
6	think that it's very important that council
7	engages in doing it's due diligence to
8	making sure that we vote accordingly.
9	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
10	question? All those in favor signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved and Item 7-A is tabled.
18	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
20	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 25, 2012 -
21	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT FOR
22	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC
23	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") TITLED
24	ENTERPRISE ZONE ("EZ") PROGRAM ACCOUNT NO.
25	18A0101 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF
	II

	121
1	ENTERPRISE ZONE ("EZ") PROGRAM GRANT FUNDS
2	RECEIVED FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF
3	PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND
4	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED").
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-B.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
2	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 12, 2012 -
3	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2010, AN
4	ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING
5	BUDGET 2011 " BY TRANSFERRING \$10,317.99
6	FROM ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.13090.4299
7	(NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES -
8	CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.
9	01.040.00040.4190 (BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
10	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE) TO PROVIDE FUNDING
11	FOR THE 4TH QUARTER 2011 UNEMPLOYMENT
12	INSURANCE.
13	MS. EVANS: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chair for the
15	Committee on Finance?
16	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-C.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	123
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Madam President, I make
9	a motion to take File of Council No. 17,
10	2012, from the table, and place it into
11	Seventh Order for final consideration.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
14	those in favor signify by saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
19	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
20	have it and so moved.
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-D, PREVIOUSLY TABLED,
22	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
23	- FILED OF COUNCIL NO. 17, 2012, FOR
24	ADOPTION - ESTABLISHING A REGISTRATION
25	PROGRAM FOR RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES;

REQUIRING ALL OWNERS OF RESIDENTIAL RENTAL
PROPERTIES TO DESIGNATE AN AGENT FOR SERVICE
OF PROCESS; AND PRESCRIBING DUTIES OF
OWNERS, AGENTS AND OCCUPANTS; DIRECTING THE
DESIGNATION OF AGENTS; ESTABLISHING FEES FOR
THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REGISTRATION
OF RENTAL PROPERTY; AND PRESCRIBING
PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: I make a motion to amend Item 7-D as follows:

Section 1-F, line two, delete "Is so loud" and insert "Violates Scranton noise ordinance and that is so."

Section 1-H, after "level" add "by common hallways and stairs or by separate stairs."

Section 1-T. In the first line delete the word "agent".

Section 1-W, after dormitory delete
"room" and insert "facility owned and
operated by a recognized institute of higher
learning whose rooms are."

Section 1, insert safety inspection as the letter "X" and change tenant to letter "Y".

Section 1-X, insert the definition of safety inspection as follows: "Will include, but is not limited to, a determination that each rental unit shall include an appropriate means of egress, smoke detection, hot and cold running water, heat and electricity."

Section 1-Y, in the first line after the word "who" insert "legally".

Section 5-A. In the second line after the word "with" insert "And have a safety inspection conducted by."

Section 5-H, line one, delete "90" and insert "120."

Section 6-A-8, line one, delete
"Annually prior to March 31," and insert "By
June 30, 2012, and thereafter annually prior
to March 31."

Section 6, first paragraph after number eight, line one, delete "person" and insert "rental unit".

Section 7-A, in the first line after the word "owner" delete the word "or" and insert "and".

Section 8, delete "letting property

for occupancy" and insert "who let for occupancy premises".

Section 12, delete A entirely.

Letter B becomes A, letter C becomes B,

letter D becomes C, and letter E becomes D.

Section 12, letter C, formerly D, delete "hotels or dormitories" and insert "Any property covered by File of Council 185, 1994."

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I would like to thank Councilman McGoff for meeting with me this week and also the Greater Scranton Board of Realtors for their great suggestions that they offer to us.

MS. EVANS: And I would like to say in addition, this is a collaborative effort of the entire council, so I do thank

Mrs. McGoff for meeting with Mr. Rogan and then adding to the amendments that had been already developed by Councilman Joyce,

Councilman Loscombe and myself. So what we have before us tonight is really the blending of everyone's amendments. Anyone

1 2 signify by saying aye. 3 MR. ROGAN: 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 last. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: 13 MS. EVANS: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 MS. EVANS: 25 MR. ROGAN: Just to elaborate off

else on the question? All those in favor MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D, as amended, at long Second. On the question? MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to comment that, again, the idea of the safety inspection was included so that it would not hinder the property owners and enforce unnecessary inspections for properties that were up to code and operating with the safety and the tenants in mind. The safety inspections are going to be done just to ensure that each rental property is a safe, living environment for its tenants. Thank you.

23

24

25

20 21 22

that, one thing that was mentioned at council was about inspectors going to people's homes, looking for anything and, you know, in talking with my colleagues and Mr. McGoff, I think everyone agreed we just want to see that the basic safety is met. Smoke alarms, running water, things of that nature that every property should have. Many people in the city don't know that if you don't have these amenities in your rental property that you don't have to pay the rent, put it into escrow, but many people don't know that. Hopefully there aren't any properties throughout the city that don't have running water, smoke detectors, things of that nature, but, you know, it's certainly something that should be checked when the inspections are done.

- MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
- MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
- MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
- MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
- MR. ROGAN: Yes.
- MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
- MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 2 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 4 5 Item 7-D, as amended, legally and lawfully 6 adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-E - FORMERLY 6-A - FOR 7 8 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -9 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 26, 2012 - REPEALING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 05, 2007 (AS 10 AMENDED) ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING 11 12 A REGISTRATION PROGRAM FOR RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES; REQUIRING ALL OWNERS OF 13 14 RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES TO DESIGNATE AN AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS; AND 15 16 PRESCRIBING DUTIES OF OWNERS, AGENTS AND 17 OCCUPANTS; DIRECTING THE DESIGNATION OF 18 AGENTS; ESTABLISHING FEES FOR THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REGISTRATION OF RENTAL 19 20 PROPERTY; AND PRESCRIBING PENALTIES FOR 21 VIOLATIONS". 22 MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 23 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 24 passage of Item 7-E. 25 MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? 1 Ro11 2 call, please? 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 MS. CARRERA: 7 Mr. Loscombe. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 13 Item 7-E legally and lawfully repealed. 14 Before I call for adjournment, I would like to direct our council solicitor, 15 16 I know that we have discussed this 17 throughout the last week, to develop the 18 amendments to the insurance legislation or 19 next week's meeting. It's, as we discussed, 20 a resolution, and so the amendments have to 21 occur next week. And if there is nothing further, 22 23 I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. 24 MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. 25 MS. EVANS: This meeting is

		131
1	adjourned.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. .

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER