		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, January 19, 2012	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	04THENE 0 NABBO771 BBD 05510111 00HBT 5550555	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF (Not present.)

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
5	Rogan .
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
13	reading of the minutes.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
15	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, HEARING RESULTS FOR
16	DECEMBER 21, 2011.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
20	REPORT, APPEAL HEARINGS HELD ON JANUARY 11,
21	2012.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3- C. AGENDA FOR THE
23	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
24	DECEMBER 21, 2011.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not received and filed. 1 MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE CITY 2 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 3 4 18. 2012. 5 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not received and filed. 6 7 MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE 8 ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD ON 9 DECEMBER 14, 2011. 10 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not received and filed. 11 MS. KRAKE: 3-F. APPLICATIONS ALONG 12 WITH DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING 13 14 HEARING BOARD ON DECEMBER 14, 2011. MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 15 If not received and filed. 16 17 3-G. MINUTES OF THE 18 SCRANTON/LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE AUTHORITY MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 17, 19 20 2011. 21 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not received and filed. 22 MS. KRAKE: 3-H. RECEIPT OF A CHECK 23 24 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000.00 FROM COVENANT PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH FOR PAYMENT IN LIEU OF 25

1	TAXES.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-I. AN AUDIT STATUS
5	REPORT FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY DATED
6	DECEMBER 13, 2011 REGARDING THE 2010 AUDIT.
7	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
8	If not received and filed.
9	MS. KRAKE: 3-J. DRAFT GENERAL FUND
10	STATEMENTS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY DATED
11	DECEMBER 16, 2011.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not received and filed.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-K. NOTIFICATION FROM
15	FEMA DATED DECEMBER 21, 2011 REGARDING
16	CHANGES IN THE COMMENT AND APPEALS PROCESS
17	FOR THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP AND THE
18	FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR THE CITY OF
19	SCRANTON.
20	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
21	If not received and filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-L. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
23	PLANNING COMMISSION SUBDIVISION AND LAND
24	DEVELOPMENT EVALUATIONS DATED NOVEMBER 28,
25	2011, DECEMBER 1, 2011, DECEMBER 2, 2011 AND

1 JANUARY 3, 2012. 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not received and filed. Mrs. Krake. please report the dates on which city 4 5 council adopted the annual tax legislation. MS. KRAKE: Starting in 2002, 6 7 February 4, 2002, signed by the mayor 8 February 6. 9 2003, passed by council January 27, 10 2003. Signed by the mayor January 29. 11 Passed by council January 9, 2004, 12 approved by the mayor February 2, 2004. 13 2005, passed by council January 20, 14 2005. Approved by the mayor January 28, 2005. 15 16 2006, passed by council January 26, 17 2006. Also approved by the mayor two days 18 after that. 2007, passed by council January 18. 19 20 Approved by the mayor January 19, 2007. 21 2008, passed by council January 29. 22 Approved by the mayor January 30. 23 2009, passed by council January 20. 24 Approved by the mayor January 22. 25 2010, passed by council January 26.

meeting during the first week of January, which clearly is not true.

Also in the same article,

Mrs. McNulty said this is the first time she
can remember during her 12 years as Recorder
of Deeds the tax rate was changed, and that
it was not a month after the start of the
year. When, in fact, the real estate
transfer tax was changed and adopted by
council on January 18, 2007.

Therefore, with the agreement of my colleagues, city council wishes to send a letter to Mrs. McNulty, County Recorder of Deeds, asking if Mrs. McNulty charged 2006 real estate transfer tax rates in January 2007 up to the date she received the 2007 tax ordinance signed by Mayor Doherty sometime after January 18, 2007, the date on which the ordinance was legally and lawfully adopted by Scranton City Council.

Council also respectfully requests copies of the documents from January 2007 which demonstrate that 2006 real estate transfer taxes were charged prior to receiving the signed ordinance. Mrs.

McNulty is a highly respected public official and she may likely be correct in her determination of a date on which increase tax rates become effective.

However, it is very important to clear up for the public record the false statements printed in January news articles and the January 15 editorial by the Scranton Times which lays the blame for the loss of \$250,000 in real estate transfer revenue squarely on the shoulders of this council. That could not be farther from the truth.

City council did not fail to set the tax rates on time, rather, council set the tax rates on the earliest date in January within the last ten years. In addition, city council does not draft tax legislation. It cannot adopt tax rates until it receives the legislation from the administration. Although, the deeds were filed on January 4 for the \$152 million sale of the Moses Taylor Hospital, the legislation was not sent to city council until Monday, January 9, five days later. It was posted 24 hours in advance of the next regularly scheduled

1 meeting and placed on the January 12 agenda. 2 Since the Scranton Times tried to 3 assign blame to city council, it should 4 correct it's false statements to better 5 inform and respect it's readers. Is there anything else, Mrs. Krake? 6 MS. KRAKE: 7 No, that's it. 8 MS. EVANS: Thank you. We can go 9 right into Fourth Order. MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 10 PARTICIPATION. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is 13 Bill Jackowitz. 14 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. South Scranton resident and member of the 15 16 Taxpayers' Association. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: There were these two 19 people, two retires that were standing on 20 the Florida beach and one retiree says to 21 the other, "How do you like retiring in Florida?" 22 23 And the secondary retiree says, 24 "Well, you know what, I'm thinking about 25 moving back to Scranton, Pennsylvania."

1 And the first retiree says, "Well, what for?" 2 And he says, "Well, because the 3 4 citizens in Scranton are the last to find 5 out about anything." Has the 2010 audit been turned in 6 yet? 7 MR. JOYCE: We have a draft, but 8 9 it's still subject to change. MR. JACKOWITZ: So we still don't 10 11 have an official 2010 audit as of today, 12 January 19. MR. JOYCE: It's not official at 13 14 this point. We have a draft audit. MR. JACKOWITZ: Do you know if the 15 16 administration has started has started on 17 the 2011 audit, because it's due in the end 18 of May? 19 MR. JOYCE: I have not received any 20 updates from Rossi & Rossi. I know that in 21 speaking to them, I did call Rossi & Rossi 22 and they did say that they wanted to get 23 this audit completed as soon as possible. 24 MR. JACKOWITZ: The 2010; right? MR. JOYCE: Yes, so they could get 25

working on the 2011 audit.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I was just curious because it's January 19, 2012. We are still waiting for a 2010 audit.

In regards to the \$3 million that mysteriously was found on January 12, do we have any answers to that yet? Do we know who opened the account, who made the deposits, who is getting the statements on a monthly basis, so on and so forth?

MS. EVANS: Mr. Jackowitz, I'm going to address the \$3 million under my motions and I'll asking be asking our solicitor to report on his findings within the last week at this time.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, because it seems like, again, no one seems to know how the money got there and why it was there.

If I'm wrong, I'm sure Mrs. Krake will correct me, my understanding is that the revenue or the monies from the parking meters, the Parking Authority would turn that money over to the city treasurer and then the city treasurer would be the one to make the deposits into the account. Am I

right or wrong on that? Can anybody help me 1 2 out with that? 3 MS. KRAKE: Well, Mrs. Evans would 4 you like me to answer? MR. JOYCE: Yes. 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. 6 MS. KRAKE: To the best of my 7 knowledge the money is -- was to be 8 9 deposited by the Parking Authority employees into the Fidelity Bank. Every so often an 10 11 employee from the Treasurer's Office would 12 if to the Fidelity Bank and pick up so 13 called a pink slip showing the amount 14 deposited on that day. MR. JACKOWITZ: So the city 15 16 treasurer should know about that account 17 then; correct? 18 MS. KRAKE: Yes. 19 MR. JACKOWITZ: Because my point is 20 that Ryan McGowan was city treasurer prior 21 to becoming business administrator. 22 MS. EVANS: Yes. 23 MR. JACKOWITZ: So he has actually 24 had two opportunities to know about this 25 account and --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And now we are finding out that supposedly he didn't -- although, he did admit that it was his mistake, but the mistake has cost the taxpayers money because in my belief taxes have gone up, because if we would have known that that \$3 million or \$2 million or \$1 million was there possibly we wouldn't have got the 26 percent tax increase and then the 4 percent this past year. That's just my belief. So, okay, you are going to be able to address some of these answers because I know the taxpayers would like to have some answers?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And I also find it just mysterious that it seems like every time we have a lost account it happens to be at Fidelity Bank. Is there any particular reason why it's always the Fidelity Bank? You know, this is like the third time I believe where we found money and it's been at the Fidelity Bank, so I just find that unusual and some other people have pointed

1 that out to me, also, the fact that the money is always found in Fidelity Bank. 2 3 I guess there is going to be a hearing on the 26th of January for the 4 5 unfunded borrowing? Is that true? MS. EVANS: Yes. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 8 MR. JACKOWITZ: Will city council be 9 attending that, anyone from city council? MS. EVANS: I'm not certain at this 10 11 point. We'll discuss it. 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: Do we know how much 13 we are going to try to get? Are we going to 14 ask for 11 million, 7 million, 8 million, 6 million? 15 16 MS. EVANS: Do you want to respond, 17 Mr. Joyce? 18 MR. JOYCE: Yes, I will. maximum is 9.85 that was set in the 19 20 ordinance. 21 MR. JACKOWITZ: Right. 22 MR. JOYCE: I'll be addressing that 23 during motions because I'd like a breakdown 24 and I'm sure it would have to be prepared 25 for the Courts, too, a breakdown of

everything that we are going to need to borrow money for.

MS. EVANS: In addition, we received a letter from PEL recommending that the amount of unfunded borrowing be reduced by the \$3 million in parking meter revenue that was recently discovered. They feel that in so doing it would lower the debt service for the unfunded borrowing and they said it may also lower a tax increase that could be levied for the unfunded borrowing.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. Yeah, because what's the city controller's responsibility in all of this? I mean, it seems to me like the city controller should be on top of just about all fund and money transfers and deposits and withdrawals on just about everything that's happening within the city that, you know, someone should have been aware of this bank account and this money, I mean.

And did I -- was that a misprint in the paper, the interest was 2000 and some dollars on the \$3 million? Was that a misprint?

1 MR. ROGAN: I hope so. 2 MR. HUGHES: No, it's not. MR. JACKOWITZ: 3 No, it was not a misprint? 4 5 MR. HUGHES: I'll explain everything later, Bill. 6 7 MR. JACKOWITZ: Because that sounds 8 awful --9 MR. HUGHES: I've done a complete analysis of it, okay? I'll explain 10 11 everything. 12 Okay, thanks, Boyd. MR. JACKOWITZ: 13 I appreciate that because -- well, anyway, I 14 hope to get some answers. I know the citizens -- I know the ones that I'm talking 15 16 to and the ones that are calling me are have 17 interested in this. And again, Scranton 18 manages to again be right at the top of being the laughing stock of the area because 19 20 we can't seem to find our money. 21 Thank you. Ozzie Quinn. MS. EVANS: 22 Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'. MR. QUINN: MR. LOSCOMBE: 23 Good evening. 24 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 25 MR. QUINN: First of all, one of the

4

3

6

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

persons who is at every one of the meetings here, speaker Andy Sbaraglia, is not here tonight and as everyone noticed. He is having an operation procedure in Philadelphia, so if you want to, you know, find out, I'd appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MR. QUINN: The other thing I want to mention is the fact that I was elected president of the Taxpayers' Association for 2012 and I want to thank the city council for the use of these chambers and, subsequently, we use ECTV to get it out to the public. We have a format that we follow at our meetings, we have a speaker to speak on some of the relevant things that's going on in the city or the county and the second part is the business. We meet the third Tuesday of each month and our next meeting would be February 21st at 6:00 p.m. in these chambers. Thank you very much for that.

I also want to mention the fact that when all the dust clears regard this \$3 million, Pennsylvania Tax Act in regards to

property owners unequivocally states that whoever does the independent audit finds that there is malfeasance or incompetency by elected officials that firm, that auditor can surcharge the officials, and I think after the dust clears and we find out what's really going on I would ask you to consider that to Mr. Rossi because this is -- this is ashame. Everybody in the city is talking about it.

The next thing is that in February there is going to be 332 people or homeowners uprooted by the county for tax sale properties, and it's my belief that a lot of these property owners cannot pay their taxes because of the fact of the school tax, school taxes. In Scranton I pay 48 percent of my taxes are for school taxes.

Right now, next week when the state legislature meets in Harrisburg there is going to be a House Bill 1776 to do away with school property taxes and to replace funding of the school districts with an increase in sales tax from 6 to 7 percent. It will also eliminate all of the nuisance

taxes that go to the school, mercantile tax, the IT and so on, okay? And I ask you to look at it, look into that, and I think that would help a lot of senior citizens, which we have a lot of homeowners in the City of Scranton and Lackawanna County, and it's being backed by the Pennsylvania Coalition of Taxpayers, 66 taxpayers groups, and I really hope that we can do something about that because of the fact that -- and Marie will speak on it later, but the fact is that the money was not coming from gambling, it's coming from sales tax.

In 1953 when we passed the sales tax it was for education, but somehow the politicians moved them around and it never got to education, okay? As we all know right now all of the school districts are saying they need money, okay, and this is supposed to be able to equal whatever they need to keep on going, okay? And also put reserve in for sale bonds and so on, okay?

And especially it's important because of last night's meeting they are looking at different options and I know that

Mayor Doherty is looking and Bob Lesh is looking at that Army Reserve Center up there on Colfax Avenue, hopefully they will sell that to the school district, the city will get the deed and sell it for a dollar and put a \$17 million school there some time down the line, okay, even though that was the one of the options it worries me and if we can pass HV-1776, we won't have to worry about paying for another school.

You know, a lot of parents like to have new schools, three, four or five years, but when their children leave then they are left with that bill for 10, 15, 20 years, you know what I mean? And this is the problem, and they got to realize that, you know? It's nice now, but they are going to pay later. So I appreciate if you look into 1776.

I just want to also say that one more thing here in regard to motions, "A", No. B, okay, that's the last thing I'll move quickly, they are going to transfer \$50,000 from project purchase of neighborhood police patrol vehicles and project United States

Neighborhood Centers of Northeastern,

Pennsylvania - Condemnation Programs. The city just come out last week saying they are going to use \$1.1 million of blight clearance. What are we doing here, duplication here or what, you know? And I would like you to look into that. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: I'll address that now,
Mr. Quinn, I spoke to Linda Aebli about that

MR. QUINN: Who?

MR. ROGAN: I spoke to Linda Aebli at OECD regarding that plan, if wen the city condemns a house if there is family in the home the city has to put them up, so by not funding the United Neighborhoods Centers to do it the city is going to have to take that in-house and the taxpayers of Scranton will have to pay. Either way, we are paying the bill, whether it's with federal dollars or whether it's with city dollars.

MR. QUINN: Yeah, I understand. So actually they will get a fee for that?

MR. ROGAN: Who will?

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: I'd just like to briefly touch on the \$3 million that we discovered last week. I was made aware of the issue before the meeting last week, however, I didn't want to get into the subject without, you know, better educating myself on the matter, but obviously the first thing that came to my mind was obviously how baffled I was how we could go this long without knowing that we had \$3 million accumulated over three years in a bank account without any knowledge of it. Just truly mind boggling, but, you know, I guess I'd probably say I was lying if I was surprised knowing the city's history with finances.

But this is yet again another
example of the fiscal mismanagement we have
had to deal with in this town for the last
ten years, and what I find really appalling
about this situation is the responses that
we had from the mayor and business
administrator McGowan who made statements
such as, "It was a simple mistake," and "We
forgot about it," I mean, you got to be

kidding me. I mean, how do we misplace \$3 million?

Like I said, I'm really puzzled by this. And, you know, I think this just goes to show you you are either lying about the situation or you are incompetent, and knowing the city's history I would have to say it's a little bit of both. You know, I feel that this is another situation that the mayor just wants to say, okay, he took care of it, we found out about it, now let's move on.

No, that's got to stop. We have let that go on for ten years and that's why today we are over \$300 million in long-term debt because we had that attitude, well, let's forget about it and sweep it under the rug. We are wonder why we are where we today.

But I think what we have to ask ourselves tonight is what else is being hidden from us? What are we doing to find out tomorrow or the day after that or a month from now or a year from now? When is it going to stop? I mean, every day

something new comes out and it's never good news, and it continues to place a burden on this council where time and time again you are left with a mess and you have to come up with a solution, and I just don't think it's fair to you.

You know, we've had challenges with the budget and other issues and now this, I mean, when is it going to stop? When are we going to finally hold this man accountable? We have talked about it for a long time, but now is the time to do it. I think this has to really open our eyes, and I'm once again going to call for an investigation into the finances of this city, the mismanagement and the corruption that's taken place in this city government since Chris Doherty has been mayor.

The mayor was also quick to say how he intended on spending the money, but I have to agree with Mrs. Evans' statement that this needs to be a joint decision between the council and the mayor as to how we are going to spend this money. This isn't going to be a blank \$3 million check

for the mayor, he is going to determine how he is going to spend the money, that's not going to happen. The rubber stamping and the blank check days are over. We put an end to that a long time ago.

But just thinking about this situation I think we could really sit back and think of how we could have budgeted this money had we known about it prior to the start of this new year. Think of how we could have restored, possibly restored all 29 firemen and kept them on the streets to provided the essential services that the residents of this city deserves, that they pay for, and now because of the mayor's arrogance and his lack of concern for the people's safety we now have to live in fear and wonder what's going to happen next.

But, wait, it's going to happen.

There will be a tragedy in this city and

Chris Doherty will be held accountable for

it. He has continued to play a game with

the people's lives and the only ones

fighting for our safety is this council, and

I think you were unfairly criticized in the

past by, you know, not reinstating all 29.

As I have said, I felt it was a tough spot that you were in, but you did what you had to do and you did what you could because you were limited with resources.

But basically would I ask that council to do tonight is to hold Chris Doherty accountable and not allow this to be swept under the rug, because as I've said, those days are over, and I would hope that we would continue to investigation this and, as I said, find out what else is being hidden. You have been doing quite a job on exposing the corruption and I know you will continue to do so. Our tax dollars have continued to been mismanaged and squandered and it's got to stop now, and I appreciate it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The next two names are very difficult to read. I'm going to say Phyllis.

MS. HUMPHRIES: Yepper, pepper. I have four other people, but I'm going to speaking for them, is that okay with you?

MS. EVANS: Oh, yes, you can

certainly speak on behalf of them. 1 MS. HUMPHRIES: When you name the 2 3 names then I will do the same. MS. EVANS: Phyllis, can I ask, did 4 anyone who is with you this evening sign 5 this sheet --6 7 MS HUMPHRIES: Yes, they did. 8 MS. EVANS: -- after you? 9 MS. HUMPHRIES: They have some 10 difficulty, they challenged. 11 MS. EVANS: Okay, so --12 MS. HUMPHRIES: Patricia -- we are 13 just going to go by their first names, okay? 14 MS. EVANS: Yes. MS. HUMPHRIES: Patricia and 15 16 Patricia, St. Patrick's on it's way. 17 know, I use visual arts so I'm going to do 18 it this way as fast as possible. This is the UN Mission's book, United Nations. 19 20 is given to me a long time ago by my dearly 21 beloved police department when they were transferred over to another place. 22 23 We've got, of course, you know, the 24 bible and a book on abuse. I hope I can get 25 this up here for a reason. We thought we

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could always make another one because this is Scranton, Pennsylvania. My name is Phyllis Bernadette Fatima Yasmine. I go by another name I will not disclose to you. I do hold this place in our country in my heart. I am a political victim since a very young age when the constitutional rights were taken away from me.

I have came here, I have been forced out of the City of Scranton, they falsified documents and to every place where I went. Now, I really found out how government works and the constitution of the United States and with the deal with Paterno he gave his message and people shut their ears, and that's what's happened here in the City of Scranton when everybody sees my medical records on the city council and Mayor Doherty. When you people do your job and then it's thrown someplace else and the police and the fire, I love them, they do their job, but then when it's hauled into the Courts it's up to the District Attorney's, but before the District Attorney it's up to the higher judges and the

commissioners.

I'm holding the commissioners of the City of Scranton for the last 30 years of my life and the high up judges in the City of Scranton for what they have done to me.

They character assassinated me. This, if you familiar, is a blue code in the hospitals. On September 22 I was held against my will for ten hours when I went into get medical records without no food, and nothing.

On buses they harass me, they stepped on brakes, the Colts, they took me out of the areas and left me walk. I fell out of the bus a few weeks ago, I was left a dog to the corner. When I called the ambulances they kind of locked hands with the corruption. They told me I was using it for a taxi, and Dickson City within three weeks the voting, I was blocked from voting, which I was allowed to see what voting was about when Judge Munley was running to find out they were pulling down the voting machines at 7:00.

People from Mulberry Towers had

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relatives at the police department. People that asked me to help them knew my weak spot, I'm a victim of abuse. I have been raped by people that are in high places. I have been -- my home has been stolen in Moscow by another evil lawyer, and I don't hold you accountable for this part.

I am dealing with the United Nations, and I take that very serious. With a \$54 a month phone because my phone has been ripped out wherever I went to, my houses were broken into to make me look crazy, and most of them is on the Democratic Committee. People are being poisoned. There is fungus and mold that was not addressed, numerous people raped and attacked me to make me look like -- an old lady said, "Trollop, prostitute," whatever you want to call it. They make me run for buses, they drop me off in wrong places. Some places when I walked out there was guys waiting for me, they were pimps and evidently they were pictures from far away.

People are being murdered all over the world. Children are being piled up and

killed all over the world, and I don't blame just the situation, the United States Roe vs. Wade passed the worst thing in our country, the killing of the unborn. A scientist would tell you what a ovum and a sperm is and where it goes from every day and how is works.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: This poison in Dickson City that got embedded underneath my skin when I found something else and this is the high rate of the pollution and the people dying throughout this area. I went to environmentalists in the City of Scranton, they wouldn't let me in the office. One followed me around to no avail every place I went, so you have the mayor and you have the commissioners and then the commissioner signs the treasurer's and that's how the money is disseminated.

I studied the constitution of the United States as an 8th grade student, and you know, what the taxes where in the war they are only supposed to hike up the taxes for two years and no more.

1 MS. EVANS: Phyllis --2 MS. HUMPHRIES: I'm the one that 3 called our president to get our soldiers 4 home. 5 MS. EVANS: That's very good, Phyllis. 6 7 MS. HUMPHRIES: I got five minutes 8 for her, right? 9 MS. EVANS: Well, your five minutes 10 were up. 11 MS. HUMPHRIES: Okay, now we are 12 going to the next one and I'm speaking --13 MS. EVANS: Oh, no, no, no. You 14 can't --15 MS. HUMPHRIES: Oh, I have to speak 16 for her because this is about the --MS. EVANS: Well, you can certainly 17 18 speak for her, but you can't speak at any time more than five minutes. 19 20 MS. HUMPHRIES: Well, that's why she 21 is giving me the five minutes and I asked 22 you if could I try to --23 MS. EVANS: Oh, no, no, no. That I 24 misunderstood. 25 MS. HUMPHRIES: Okay, she going to

stand next to me because she has a problem, that's with her voice. Patricia, please come up. I'm her voice, this is going to be her five minutes. I'm going to ask her questions first. Patricia, would you allow me to be your voice at this meeting?

MS. PATRICIA: Yeah.

MS. HUMPHRIES: Patricia came here from Brooklyn two years ago. She was clueless about where to go and what to do and what to happen. She is in a place where there is a pregnant woman with two children, lead poisoning documented with the children. We are getting that straightened out. Oh, where did she go?

MS. EVANS: Behind you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: She is a lovely woman, her children live far away. She is challenged because she is a victim of abuse and intimidation and I would like to the City of Scranton, because I trust you guys, to help her to get to the proper place. I went to the police department, I had a question to you ask you, Mrs. Evans. Mrs. Evans, is there a sheriff in the City of

Scranton?

MS. EVANS: That falls under Lackawanna County.

MS. HUMPHRIES: Could you please see this a letter is sent to the Peckville police chief and tell him Lackawanna County does have a sheriff, that's number one.

Number two, I want to see that she gets in a safe place with her son and in a healthy, nice place for her son, which I'm sure you people will help her. I do have a lot of trust in this new city council, but I also ask that there is lot that the people are doing what they are doing and I have been on the phone tons of times, the secretary is a wonderful person, she is expecting a baby, but I feel right now and he could ignore it.

I'm asking and pleading to Mayor

Doherty to step down as the mayor and I ask
that Mr. Miller, if it's allowed, to take
over. I think he would be an asset to the
City of Scranton and council, that's the
next thing.

Now, the other person, Patricia,

23

24

25

will you allow me to speak? Patricia lives in in Scranton. She doesn't know how -- she would love to have a new apartment, and I know the situation with apartments and all. Would you see to it to the best of your ability to help this person? I will be -- I spoke to the Saudi Ambassadors in Washington and everybody knows what I do, but a lot of people don't. We have a marine that they sentenced him to death and because of the name I carry Phyllis Bernadette Fatima Yasmine and Mary, I'm going to be interceding for them to release him from prisons and set him free. I want you to pray for me.

MS. EVANS: We will. Thank you, Phyllis.

MS. HUMPHRIES: And please see, they blocked the newspaper, and it's about time the newspaper is opened up.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you.

MS. HUMPHRIES: There is one more thing, they did something to my car, PennDOT has been charging me a lot of money, and it's corruption all the way to PennDOT and

1 we are going to get with Mr. Miller. 2 MS. EVANS: Yes, we won't forget 3 about Mr. Miller. Thank you, Phyllis. MS. HUMPHRIES: And support life. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And our next speaker is Ron Ellman. 6 MS. HUMPHRIES: God bless you. 7 Ι 8 love yas. 9 MR. JOYCE: God bless you. 10 MS. HUMPHRIES: Sometimes you got to 11 suffer and you become a better person for 12 everybody. 13 MR. ELLMAN: That's enough to drive 14 you to drink. Ms. Janet, I have to apologize for leaving last week while you 15 16 were talking but Bob Jones phoned me for a 17 quart of beer and I decided to go ahead, for 18 medicinal purposes he said. MS. EVANS: I understand. 19 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: As long as he was 21 buying. 22 MR. ELLMAN: I went to the school 23 board meeting last night and this is just a 24 runaway out-of-control school board. 25 just finished one school, what did it cost

114 or 17 or something, now they have plans and drawings for a new one. It took two or three months to have that, so this isn't just something that they thought of last night, you know. I don't know. I think Scranton deserves better than a bunch of mediocre school board people like this, and they talk about the children, the children in 30, 40 years are the ones that are going to be paying for this. It's impossible. Well, that's enough.

You know, they don't think that there is 500 homes coming up in that foreclosure sale. A thousand more have been torn down, you know. How many will be here next year, businesses and homes? They are not thinking of the future of this city. I tell you I know someone on Jefferson Avenue aren't going to be on our tax roll next year if you don't do something immediately. It's getting --

Last year Paul Mansour bought a house on Jefferson Avenue for \$350,000, right? You following me. Now, he turned around and sold it a couple of weeks ago to

Mr. Naysmith that's on the board of the college for \$250,000. Now, Mr. Naysmith he has a company, this is getting real fishy and phony and it ought to be looked into by Mr. Jarbola because it's just not making good sense, it stinks, and where did the money come from, probably from Blue Cross. I don't know, that's what somebody else told me.

But these are the kind of things that just keeping going on in the city, you know, it's like politics as usual. This is it just crooked politics. It's just couldn't be any worse than what's going on right now under everybody's nose. There will be a million dollars worth of property off the tax rolls forever just like the University has done to us.

You know, I was talking to a young man at Price Rite today, Arthur, and he just summed it all up, he said, "It's temporary."

You know, I said I was telling him all of this is bothering me and this and that. He said, "It's temporary."

He said, "It's not like the sun

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comes up today and the sun sets the next day you know it's going to be there for the last million years, this is just temporary."

Maybe he got the right attitude, I don't know.

I just want to say something real quick about Mr. Scopelliti, I don't see how he stays on the payroll in this city. has lost nothing but money. He has is not the least bit qualified. You know, you could find somebody in one of these high rises more qualified and knows more about what's going on than he does, and then all of a sudden we have this money, this new found wealth, he got one product to keep up with, money. It's not like Al Boscov must have a million products to keep up with, there is one product he has to keep up with, that's money, and he can't do it. ought to just fire him. He is not qualified for the position. He has no resume to be in that position. It's nothing but friendship with the mayor. This is the kind of crap why the city is in such bad shape.

Well, I'd like to say something, I'm

not going to be up here, after Phyllis I know I'm not very interesting. When I left the Price Rite today, I'm going to go join Bob in a few minutes, when I left the Price Rite I saw a little kitty get hit by a car right there on South Main and it was upside down twitching and it just made me feel so bad that someone can't even slow down a little bit, so I went up to the Walgreen's and I turned around and came back and the cat was gone, and I'd like to thank somebody for getting it out of the street. I guess it wasn't hurt, but you know, it's wintertime and animals don't move as fast.

I got a squirrel, one the squirrels

I feed got run over a couple of weeks ago.

It's just like family. It made me feel so
bad a poor animal has such a short time to
live, and the car that hit it they didn't
make an attempt to slow down or nothing, you
know, it's not right. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. City resident and homeowner and
taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I guess this is the subject of the night but I, too, want to speak about the \$3 million. I don't know if anybody else saw it, but the next day on the news Chris Doherty said something which blew my mind. They asked him about the 3 million and he says, "We forgot about it."

We have been distressed since 1992, there is \$3 million in an account, and they forgot about it. That's the most unbelievable thing I have ever heard. I said before this is the most corrupt and incompetent administration we have ever had and I'm sure there is more money somewhere else that they also forgot about it. How convenient that after he lays off firefighters and police officers then they find \$3 million, just not even two weeks later. It seems pretty convenient.

It's just unbelievable what this administration is doing. They are just playing with people's lives. Find \$3 million and they say they forgot about it.

The man should resign. The people should be

1 calling for his resignation. This is just 2 unbelievable, and I guess we have two more 3 years to put up with it. I hope the people 4 wise up in two years. 5 While I'm on that subject, I think it was the 2010 budget, Mrs. Evans, you can 6 7 correct me if I'm wrong, where the mayor 8 plugged in \$5 million from the \$12 million 9 from the tax office, was it the 2010 budget? MS. EVANS: I can't --10 I think it '09 or 11 MR. SPINDLER: 12 '10. 13 MS. EVANS: I remember that \$5 14 million was plugged in, you are correct on that. 15 16 MR. SPINDLER: And we only received 17 about \$3 million. 18 MR. EVANS: I'm not sure which year 19 it was, it could have been 2010. 20 MR. SPINDLER: And I think we only 21 received 3 1/2 million you were saying? 22 MS. EVANS: Yes. 23 MR. SPINDLER: To this day I'm 24 wonder what did he plug in that 1 1/2 25 million with that we didn't get? Just

answers that go -- questions that go unanswered, just unbelievable.

Attorney Hughes, last week you weren't here I wanted to ask you a question, but the parking meters on Mattes Avenue they were removed and "No Parking" signs were there, could the city do that without city council passing legislation?

MR. HUGHES: Am I supposed to respond to that, to the public or --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: The only way that -- we have had this issue before with the Lackawanna County Jail when they put up "No Parking" signs there without it being approved by council. It's my opinion that the only way that those "No Parking" signs can be established is that it has to be declared a "No Parking" zone by Scranton City Council by an ordinance.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: So, in other words, these signs are illegal; am I correct?

MR. HUGHES: If there is not proper authorization, who put them there? I have

no idea. This is the first time I've had -I don't know anything about it.

MS. EVANS: We did send a letter asking who authorized the installation of these signs and we have not yet received a response.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you, because I think everybody at General Dynamics should park on Mattes Avenue and if they get a ticket I would fight it because I think those signs are illegal. I hope the message gets across to people and they all park there.

My next question, I know last year that you put the StreetSmart program into the budget, and I know all about that, is that system a dead issue, like, is that -- is that ever going to happen or is that dead now?

MS. EVANS: As far as I'm concerned it's not a dead issue. I think that it's something that the city should be implementing as soon as possible, particularly in light of the myriad of severe financial problems it's experiencing.

And further, I think if the Parking Authority thinks it's going to be coming with it's handout to the City of Scranton every year that it can't balance it's budget, if it thinks that's going to continue then the mayor better be very sure that he gets StreetSmart in here or a similar competitor and start bringing in sizable revenue from the on-street parking program.

MR. ROGAN: I would also add that that even if we brought in the same amount of money, just enough to cover the expense of StreetSmart, StreetSmart accounts for every penny. Right now we are dealing in straight cash with no receipts. You know, we don't know how or where the money goes, whether it's actually getting from the meter to the bank, and this \$3 million that was in the bank from the meter revenue I want to know what's happening with it, the rest goes out the door. Nobody knows because it's all quarters. It's all money.

MR. SPINDLER: It's just all politics, as you might remember I spoke

about this last year. Chris Doherty
sabotaged this. It was a revenue source and
he just --

MS. EVANS: Exactly. It was a revenue - a new revenue generator, but as Mr. Rogan alluded to, it would also provide increased accountability for every penny that would come through the Parking Authority to the City of Scranton.

MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, and if I could add, I think we definitely need some sort of traceability as to what's being put in the meters since, obviously, there have been issues now with the \$3 million and not being transferred back to the general fund.

MR. SPINDLER: I agree with that.

There has got to be accountability. I'm almost sure as I'm standing here there is money going to people's pockets that should be going to the city coffers.

Lastly, it was awhile back, I forget, I spoke about the railroad bridge on Main Avenue where it meets Euchlid about the rusted supports, I put it in writing, has

1 there been any response on that? 2 MS. EVANS: No, there has not. 3 MR. SPINDLER: Because, as I said, there are holes in it. rusted holes in these 4 5 supports. To me, that seems like a real 6 danger to me. I go under that bridge every 7 day, it just doesn't seem safe. Was a 8 letter sent to the railroad, Mrs. Evans? 9 MS. EVANS: I don't know that the 10 letter was sent to the railroad. Do you recall, Mrs. Krake? 11 12 MS. KRAKE: I believe we sent it to 13 the city engineer, also, would be the one to 14 do that, and we will do that again. We have since opened up a little bit more 15 16 communication with the city engineer, so if 17 you could like us to resend those. 18 MS. EVANS: Yes, please. 19 MR. SPINDLER: Because wouldn't that 20 be a railroad issue? Don't they -- is that 21 their bridge. 22 Well, I think if the MS. EVANS: 23 city engineer determines exactly who is 24 responsible then we can make an effort 25 toward the responsible party to take care of

1 this, so we'll depend on them to inform us. MR. SPINDLER: Okay. 2 That's all I 3 have. Thank you. 4 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Dave 5 Dobrzyn. MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. 6 Dave 7 Dobrzyn. 8 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 9 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 10 MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton, 11 taxpayer. Last week I apologize, I had to 12 leave early, but my wife's job was 13 unpredictable, but could we announce the 14 times for ECTV to replay this session because we changed our schedule and now 15 16 naturally it's not going to be on Wednesday 17 morning and so forth so that would be a big 18 help. 19 On this audit business, if any kind 20 of resolution or ordinance could be adopted 21 if these people don't hand over their audit 22 information within a month or two after it's 23 due, put their job up for a bid already. 24 On 5-D, I presume that's over that 25 little known arbitration situation with the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clerical office, council's clerical office workers? How did we fair on that? Maybe you can mention that later where the union went because two people weren't unionized or something. Is that what's that over?

MS. EVANS: And you think that's connected to something on tonight's agenda?

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, 5-B.

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. DOBRZYN: No. Okay. listen in when you are discussing it. on the DPW again with time studies and so forth, it is the management's right to analyze everything that an employee does, so you don't need their permission or the union's, it's mainly between you people and the mayor and that's why I wanted to mention that to Pat. They can't impede a time study, and I think if there is a time sturdy we will find that a great deal that our citizens of this town could do to make the DPW job more efficient. A lot because from what I see they need a couple of shovels instead of the ability to pick up the trash can or something. It's just ridiculous.

And I was watching the zoning meeting on ECTV and a thought occurred to me, we have a lot of huge old houses that at times they go back to being a single dwelling and so forth, and in some cases it might be counterproductive. I would like to see that looked into some day with ordinances and stuff because, you know, we have a lot of little old ladies living in four-bedroom houses and we have people that can't even afford people to keep a roof over their head, so maybe we could look at that some day.

Last night I wasn't at the school meeting, and I heard on the news a report earlier in the week Chester -- upland Chester High School is bankrupt because they had to take an \$89 million budget and pay out \$39 million to charter schools, and what I'd like to say on that is this. I think parents are entitled to a good public education and not a buffet-style education for whatever they don't want their kids to know or be exposed to or whatever, they should get involved with their schools and

it should be kept as cheaply as possible, but that is unconscionable. Teachers were actually showing up teaching school at no pay because they had to shell out \$39 million upfront to the charter schools and the regular public school had to make due with what was left, the scraps, so that's unconscionable and that's Pennsylvania State law. It's Upland Chester school district down near Philly and it's a poor district and they had all kind of cuts and so forth.

And finally on public schools, I'd like to if you people could try to influence them to fix the Audubon instead of going into a \$17 million fiasco, and the bigger the school the further these kids are going to have to walk. Pat and I just talked about that, they are talking about a kid having to walk a mile and a half that's maybe six years old, so that's crazy. It's impossible. Too many risks.

Okay, the golden parrot, I'll just take a minute, goes to the pundits. On Tuesday the AP published an article on Ron Paul that he is charging up all kinds of

plane tickets and so forth and when, in fact, he is buying the most reasonable plane ticket he could and he was using his points, the frequent flyer miles, to upgrade for a better coach which he could have used for an European vacation and the government would have never known, so the way they define candidates is just -- and this is the Associated Press. I mean, shame on you. You know, shame on them. They are looking for a story, why don't they bring up how he is supposed to be a libertarian and he signed off on a bill to ban -- that gives states the ability to ban birth control.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Have a good night. Bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First thing, with respect to the unfunded borrowing will the taxpayers be allowed to comment in Court,

1 assuming there is a court date next Thursday. 2 3 MS. EVANS: It's Thursday at 10 a.m., I believe. Is that correct, Mrs. 4 Krake? 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: 6 Is there any 7 paperwork that's required to be filed 8 beforehand if you want to speak? 9 I don't know. MS. EVANS: 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: How do you find out? 11 I believe it's at 10 12 MS. KRAKE: 13 a.m., but you can always contact the Court 14 to verify it or call our office tomorrow. don't know of any of the other particulars. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: Yes, and if I can add, 17 what I'm going to do is request a breakdown, 18 itemized breakdown, of all of the expenses 19 that the city will request the money for and 20 I'll ask our BA to do that as soon as 21 possible and place that in our office so 22 that people could review it, if necessary. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 24 MR. HUGHES: If I could, I was 25 confused by this entire process. I received

-

something, a fax in the office from the solicitor's office, which was the order that was signed by Judge Minora. Ordinarily, there is various ways, one of the ways to do it is a Rule to Show Cause. It says, "The Rule to Show Cause is returnable," and then it was stricken out from the clerk's -- from the Clerk of Courts and said, "To city council," and there was no rule.

I would think that what you should do if you intend to go to the hearing, the only way I can interpret that is that you would have to go to city council chambers, submit your name, and there is nothing that -- there was no rule there, so I can't quite fathom what they did. To protect yourself, I would say that just sign in the clerk's office, because it said in the city clerk's office.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, city clerk, not Lackawanna --

MR. HUGHES: So I have no idea why
it was scratched out from the Clerk of
Courts to the city clerk. Now, ordinarily
what you would have to do when there is rule

returnable you have to file an answer.

There was -- this was no rule and it was just a petition, so I would -- I don't know how to really interpret it, so I would think that what you would should do is just go to Mrs. Krake, anyone who wants to go to that hearing, and just put your name down.

Now, again, I was dumbfounded by the whole -- by what I received because there was no rule, there was nothing to say an answer is filed. Ordinarily an answer would be filed then a hearing, if necessary, on the rule. So I would just so that you could protect yourself just go and sign and then on the day of the hearing, here's everybody's name, and I think Frank you should also sign or any other council person that wants to do is, just sign it so that here's the witnesses that are going to testify.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, because --

MR. HUGHES: That's the only advice I can give because I'm totally --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, because is something due on the 23rd. I talked to

Mr. Mackay, who referred me to Jeff, I can't remember Jeff's last name.

MR. HUGHES: Jeff McLane.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But he said some things are due on the 23rd, and I think there was even a statement that lead me to believe that after those are -- after those things are received, and I don't know if those are done --

MR. HUGHES: I don't have the order with me, I'll take a look at it tomorrow.

I'm call Mrs. Krake and tell her what it is, at least what I think should be done.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay.

MR. HUGHES: And then you can call Mrs. Krake or anybody else, but it was a procedure that -- to me it's like the first time I have ever seen anything like this.

MR. SCHUMACHER: And I would like to request that the council then, I mean, I like that if council is going to be speaking is that the \$3 million come off with one exception, because at least from what I have read in the newspaper, everything the mayor was going to spend that on were things that

were already covered by the unfunded borrowing, so that would be duplication and then there would be a pot of money left.

However, I do believe that \$600,000 should be allowed in addition as an upfront money for the grant that's expected. I mean, I know the grant is not even open yet from what I have been told, so it's going to be awhile, but that combined with, as I said last week, if they were allowed to use \$600,000, assuming they get the grant and then combined with the unemployment compensation they wouldn't have to pay -- we could afford to get our firefighters back, and that would be my hope.

And I would like, Mrs. Evans, if you could talk more about a tax increase to be levied for unfunded borrowing. That was a little ominous. I assume, I mean -- - you know, in motions I would like to hear more about that.

And let's see, I've got a bunch here. And then we are in severe financial distress, as has been stated, as we are all aware and, therefore, I wonder why we had to

bother filing what was almost turned out to be a whole column length of legal notices stating that the things were introduced last week, all of this taxation issues and the borrowing, when it was already passed. I mean, why -- well, you know, usually I think the legal notices is when something is introduced so people can come if they want to talk, but since they were ramrodded through two additional motions last week then they are already passed and they are law, so why even bother putting them in the paper and spending that money. Those pennies add up.

And then could we get a date certain, Mr. Joyce, next week for when the audit report will be available to the public?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I'll check into

MR. SCHUMACHER: And then on the \$3 million, the thing that concerns me, number one, procedurally I don't think what Mr. Rogan said is true. It is my understanding that the containers into which

the boxes from the meters themselves go fit into those containers in a special way and have to be turned and then the money goes in there and it can't be taken out. Those containers are taken to Fidelity Bank and Fidelity opens them and uses their coin counters to count the money and then the amounts are sent to the Parking Authority and to the city, so, I mean --

MR. ROGAN: It just bothers me that there is no receipts throughout the whole process.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, the receipts come from the amount that was deposited from that.

MR. ROGAN: One master receipt.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, on a daily

basis. That's what I was told.

MR. ROGAN: From each meter?

MS. SCHUMACHER: No. No, no, no, what was in each container, whatever was emptied that day. Darn, I've got lots more, but anyway. If they -- I find it disingenuous that the city states that they can't -- they didn't -- they overlooked this

money because the Parking Authority got their cut, they got their 10 percent according to their audit reports, which are current, up through 2010, so somebody obviously knew it was there or the amount of it or they couldn't have cut the 10 percent check, so I'll be back with the rest next week, I guess, good Lord willing. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Well, that 1 percent comes out of the general fund of the city, that appears in the budget. But, in addition, I'll just quickly read for you the paragraph I alluded to within the letter that was sent to me and to Councilman Joyce from Gerald Cross, executive director of PEL. "The possible reduction in the amount of the unfunded borrowing can reduce the total and annual debt service the city will budget to pay for the unfunded borrowing.

The reduction in the unfunded borrowing may also lessen the dedicated debt service real estate tax millage that may be necessary to pay for the unfunded borrowing."

22

23

24

25

1

Now, having never been a participant in unfunded borrowing before, I don't know if the Court might order that a tax increase is required in order to satisfy him that the debt service payments will be made each year on time considering the condition the city is in, that's a possibility. I don't know that that's a certainty. So I think we are probably in the same position as you are right now in that we have to see what is going to occur, but I don't believe that that was the case with the county. I know the county just went through petitioning the Court for unfunded borrowing and I don't recall having read that, but then again, I don't read everything in the newspaper, that the county had been instructed to raise taxes for the singular purpose of repaying that unfunded debt.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hi, Janet.

MS. EVANS: Hi, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. Hi, Jack,

I got a question for you, only for you,

Jack. Up there on Rock Street, who owns

that stuff, I nearly tripped over it. The wood blew over it that night?

MR. LOSCOMBE: You'll have to show it to me.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: All right, later on. Thanks, Jack.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chrissy.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,

Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, First Amendment Rights, voicing my opinion. I know I'm going to sound repetitious, but that's what it is when you go last.

\$3 million, 12.2 million. 3 million is found money, the 12.2 million is found money. I have a question how much more? How much more and it's a good question, but don't worry, it won't happen again, and if you believe that we know there is a bridge in Brooklyn waiting to be sold.

Was that three million hidden? Was the 12.2 million found in the tax office hidden? What does it make me think, what does it make you think, and what does it

make the TV audience think? It makes me think there are more, and that's in my opinion, accounts out there in never-never land and only Kool aid drinkers would think no. How could a \$3 million account go undetected, avoided or whatever for three years and all of a sudden have a miraculous discovery in time to pay bills?

If I was as cash strapped as the city or the Parking Authority I would certainly know what accounts I had and how much I had. We might have been born at night, but it wasn't last night. How did you miss a \$3 million account unless you aren't supposed to know it's there?

14 police officers, 37 firefighters got laid off because of money. Remember what the mayor said, and I quote, "It's not about public safety, it's about money."

Those 37 firefighters and eight police officers, since six were put back, plus DPW clerical workers could have been put back to work if that money was located, found before the budget was amended. I said it before and I'll say it again, what's the

price of safety? How much is a life worth?

How much is an injured person worth, 3

million? If that was located before the budget there would not have to be any layoffs. What is the price of safety?

And I asked last week, are we better off today than we were in 2002. We are paying more taxes and getting less services. Everyone can answer for themselves, but my answer is, no. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake.

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. First I would like to apologize for missing last week's meeting, I was out of town. I guess I'll start off with the hot topic of the day the \$3 million that was found.

In the newspaper, Mayor Doherty said that this is a learning experience. Now, if Mayor Doherty was elected last year it may be an acceptable excuse. The mayor has been in office for ten years. You know, most

people don't even work for the same company for ten years these days, so I think it's time for the voters to learn that we have a mayor that's not doing his job.

As much as I would like to blame all of this on the mayor, we can't. As much as I would like to say this is done on purpose, we don't know. Could it have been done to tuck money aside to falsely create a deficit so the mayor could raise taxes that we all know he wants to, it's possible. The only people that know whether this was done intentionally or not are likely the mayor, the business administrator and God. None of us here can answer that question.

There are many steps in the accounting process where this should have been caught. First off is Ryan McGowan.

Mr. McGowan was the past city treasurer. By being treasurer, he should have had a little more knowledge of this procedure than another -- you know, somebody who became business administrator outside of the city. Unfortunately, it slipped through the cracks once again.

Now, I voted against Ryan McGowan's appointment because, if you recall, when he was going to be appointed he tried blaming, I think it was again a million plus dollar mistake, on a union worker at the tax office when it was a mistake, in fact, made by Mr. McGowan.

The second fault, Rossi & Rossi accounting auditing. This is three year's worth of money. How is our auditor not finding this if there is \$3 million tucked away in a slush fund somewhere? There is no logical defense for the incompetence of Mayor Doherty, Ryan McGowan and Rossi & Rossi.

I would hope the mayor would reconsider his appointment of Ryan McGowan. I believe he should be fired. I believe Rossi & Rossi should be fired. They were the highest cost, they were the highest proposal when it was given to us to put for a vote for an auditing firm and the results aren't there. Obviously, the audit is delayed not only because of Rossi & Rossi, but because the administration likes to play

games with it. Obviously something isn't working here.

We need a new business administrator and we need a new auditing firm, we need a new mayor.

The mayor stated in the paper he wants to use the \$3 million to pay past bills and things of that nature, and I'm fine with that as long as the unfunded borrowing also goes down by \$3 million. I know Attorney Hughes said earlier he was going to address the interest issue on the money, and I'll hold my comments to later on that because the dollar amount to me also seemed very low.

Somebody else mentioned the parking meters by General Dynamics. I agree with what was said. The employees at General Dynamics should feel free to park there. Council hasn't passed any legislation to either remove the meters, which I do support because I think putting them in there initially was a mistake, it's a mistake to put them in an area where you are just going take a dollar off -- a dollar an hour off

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these employees' wages, number one.

Number two, council never voted to put the signs up there. They were once again just put up. We have this played out maybe two months ago at the prison where the signs were put up and then the legislation was sent to council. It was voted down. Ιn this case the legislation wasn't even sent to council, so it seems to me that it's just, you know, retaliation at the employees at this plant for not parking at the meters because before the meters were there that street was lined with cars with the employees, and it didn't cause a problem. It's right along the river, there is nothing going the other way. Now, I can understand "No Parking" right at the top where people want to make a right turn, but there is a good 20, 30 spots from, you know, the top of the street to the bottom where these guys could park for free. And if they are parking there they wouldn't being parking in the neighborhoods and walking down, which would free up parking for the residents in the area of South Side.

So I would hope that whoever put the signs up, whether it be the Parking Authority, the mayor or whoever it may be, takes them down or sends legislation to council so we can vote it down and let the employees park there again.

StreetSmart once again was mentioned and I've said it and I think we have all said it a million times, I fully support StreetSmart not only because of the increased revenue, which I believe will bring in, but because of the accountability.

Now, maybe the system now works, I don't have faith in it. I don't have faith that, you know, millions of dollars a year are going into these meters and there is no receipt until the money gets to the bank. You know, with the StreetSmart system we would have an account, you know, a quarter by quarter breakdown of what's going into each meter, and also by getting that breakdown we could determine, well, these meters over here they are really not bringing in much money let's move them to another area of town where they'll bring in

a little more revenue, not an area like General Dynamics, obviously.

But that is all I have for now. I'm sure the \$3 million, missing \$3 million, will be a hot topic for a long time and I fear that it's not the last, you know, not the last thing we are going to find. Hopefully, you know the mayor's and Ryan McGowan's and Rossi & Rossi's future mistakes, hopefully we have money put away not we owe money, so I'm glad that the money is out there, but I'm very disappointed that it was sitting there for three years without anyone knowing. And that's all I have for now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just briefly. I would have to agree with Mr. Rogan on just about everything he just commented on. I'm not sure again about the auditor situation if he had access to this \$3 million or if it was kept from him somehow. You know, I mean they have a slight of hand in the first

floor here, but, you know, I would hope that that's not the case that they didn't miss it, but that's not my -- you know, my area of expertise, so hopefully we will get that resolved down the road.

MS. EVANS: I do know, if I could just interject for a minute because maybe you would be interested in doing so and Mr. Rogan as well, that the auditors have said if council had any problem understanding how this was missed by their firm they are willing to talk to you. And actually, I think they did ask for Councilman Rogan and to give them a call and they were, you know, anxious to discuss it with you. So I would say, you know, anyone on council would like to do so please feel free and perhaps that will clear it up for you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. I do have some phone calls and some issues I have been working on this past week, I have unable to accomplish that. I just want to let these people know I will be back in touch this week with them and catch up, and as

Mr. Ellman does when he goes shopping I do the same thing. I happen to be -- I was at Price Rite today, I don't know how I missed you, but today was shopping day, but I was also at Price Chopper and Gerrity's and met many fine ladies while I was out there today. I let Sue go and do her thing shopping and I talked, she would get jealous otherwise, I'm only kidding, but that's where I, just like Mr. Ellman said, a lot of people come to me with their concerns, their questions and that's how we find out what's going on on the street and in the neighborhoods and stuff like that and I always welcome that.

But today on several occasions, it was a great day, I ran into several women who complimented myself and our council majority and the job we are doing and asked us to keep fighting for them, and I want to thank them for that confidence. That's all I have to say tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And,
Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments
or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I have a few.

First, I just would like to begin by having a brief moment of silent prayer once again for Andy Sbaraglia who is undergoing a procedure in Philadelphia. Andy is a great citizen of this city, he cares a great deal about the city, and he is one of our regular speakers at meetings, so if we could just bow our heads for a brief moment I think it would be much appreciated.

(Moment of silence observed.)

Secondly, I want to apologize for my absence at last week's meeting as I was ill. As one knows, last week all of the taxes were passed, however, legislation for the parking tax, which was included in the 2012 budget as well as PEL's Recovery Plan was not sent down by the administration. As one may know, the amount of revenue that PEL projects that the city will realize from this tax is \$655,000. In the 2012 operating budget the amount that was budgeted for this revenue item was \$500,000.

With this in mind, it's vital that this tax is passed as it is a component of

the budget and the Recovery Plan. And just to provide the public with some background on the parking tax, a 15 percent tax would be levied on parking operations, both public and private, including nonprofit operations. The tax is not a tax on residents, it's a tax on the revenue that's received from parking operations throughout the city.

With my colleagues agreement,

Mrs. Krake, please contact the law

department and ask them to draft legislation

for the parking tax.

Also, in addition, please send a letter expressing to Mr. Courtright that it is our desire that this tax is collected by his office. In some prior conversations that I had with PEL, PEL expressed that this tax is usually collected by the individuals who collect the business privilege and mercantile taxes.

Tonight, also on the agenda the contract for the clerical union is present. I want to express I'll be voting "yes" to introduce this contract. Along with my affirmative vote, since PEL is in the

process of drafting a revised Recovery Plan, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a copy of the contract to PEL and ask PEL and DCED for their evaluation? Please ask them to evaluate whether or not this is a fair and fiscally responsible contract. As long as the contract is very fiscally responsible, I will be voting in favor of it. Please ask PEL and DCED to respond by next Friday so council can have time to evaluation their findings prior to final passage.

At last week's meeting in my absence it was brought up that \$3 million was found from parking meter revenue over the past couple of years. Obviously, that's become a hot button issue. In an article published by the Scranton Times it was stated by city administrators that this would effect the amount of money sought in unfunded borrowing.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, since the hearing is coming up, please send Mr.

McGowan a letter to ask him to provide council a breakdown of how much money the city will be seeking to borrow in light of

the finding of \$3 million in parking meter revenue, and if you could ask him to provide this by early next week so it can be in council's office if any council member or residents throughout the city would like to see what the proposal will be for the unfunded borrowing.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests. I have been contacted by residents in the North Scranton area and also by employees of the Career Technology Center regarding conditions of Greenbush and Reese Street which serve as the entrance/ exit to the CTC. Residents report that the roads are in very poor condition again and contain potholes and cracks which are making for rough driving conditions.

Mrs. Krake, can you please contact
Mr. Dougher and inform him about this
situation and ask him to handle that
appropriately. I know this is a recurring
issue that seems to come up a couple of
times a year and I know that the DPW does go
up and patch some of the cracks and potholes
on the street, however, maybe it's time we

can look into having this -- these two streets paved if it's feasible.

Also, various residents in

Greenridge contacted me about the completion
of the Meadowbrook Creek project. In case
one was not does not, Meadowbrook Creek is
an underground waterway that stretches
through the back yards of several Scranton
residents in the upper Greenridge area.

This is results in sink holes forming in the
residents back yards, which they have
expressed is resulting in a decrease in
property value.

Mrs. Krake, please contact
Mr. Dougher and inquire what the price would
be to complete the remaining portion of the
Meadowbrook Creek project. It's my
understanding from hearing residents'
concerns that part of the project was
completed, however, not the whole project
was completed and that there is also some
grant funding available throughout the state
and possibly federal government sectors.
Please ask Mr. Dougher if this is something
that could be looked into and whether it's

feasible or not.

Residents on the 100 and 200 block of North Everett Avenue in Scranton's West Side have contacted me to inform that there are various cracks in the road along with many potholes. Mrs. Krake, if you could please add that to the issues to contact Director Brazil -- or Dougher, sorry, of.

And the residents of the Keyser

Valley area have informed me that Dexter

Avenue is in a poor condition as there are

many potholes and cracks that are making

travel conditions difficult. Last year I

remember former Director Brazil stating that

this road was going to be added to the

paving list for 2011, however, it doesn't

appear as if the road was ever paved.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please bring this to Mr. Dougher's attention and ask him if this road can be paved in 2012. And that's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. I wish to discuss the \$3 million in parking meter revenue found recently in the Fidelity Bank account. My purpose is to

offer facts and observations, pose questions and present the people's recommendations. I have received countless e-mails and calls regarding this discovery. Wherever I have traveled in the last week, I have been stopped to discuss this discovery and I have listened to the recommendations of all of those who have spoken to me or contacted me.

Now, this occurrence reminds us of the 2008 shocking discovery of \$12.2 million in the Single Tax Office bank account for undistributed tax revenues that had accumulated over several years, much of which belonged to the City of Scranton.

Then throughout 2009, '10 and '11, parking meter revenue was deposited into a Fidelity Bank account but seems never to have been transferred into a PNC bank account earmarked as the city's general fund.

while the city's computer system showed entries of meter revenue in the general fund, it seems the monies were not withdrawn from the Fidelity Bank and deposited into the general fund account at PNC Bank. Because the money appears on

paper that is within the reports of the business administrator, within the mayor's proposed budgets, within the city's computer system, the auditor wouldn't know funds were not transferred.

Meanwhile, in December 2010 city council conducted a public caucus with a representative of StreetSmart Technology, a company whose innovative on-street parking program would generate significant new revenue for Scranton and provide a careful and accurate counting of all meter revenues.

Council then placed conservative revenue figures into the 2011 budget for implementation of the program, held a second public caucus to again inform and educate the administration and, thereafter, a sole source declaration was provided by StreetSmart to begin a free pilot program. The administration however, declined the sole source declaration and steadfastly blocked implementing the program, generating new revenue and increasing financial accountability throughout 2011.

In light of this history, we are

told that these remarkable financial discoveries are due to errors, learning curves and administrative procedures that fell through the cracks. I had a gentleman tell me that a friend of his said, "What is that crack, the size of the Grand Canyon?"

If we suspend our disbelief, we may be able to accept such explanations for the first time millions were discovered in 2008 and even for the first year the meter revenue sat in the Fidelity Bank account, but we are asked to believe that this happened for not one, not two, but three consecutive years much like the undistributed tax money sat year after year in an unknown bank account.

At this time, I would like to defer to Solicitor Hughes will present factual information he gathered very recently.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President. Members of council and the

public, what I did with Fidelity Bank, I

requested the statements of account

originally of this account from the periods

-- a three-year period which would have been

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2009, '10 and '11. I have a complete statement of the account on a monthly basis for that time period, and on reviewing it there was no money withdrawn during that time period.

As to the questions regarding the interest rate, the interest rate during that period starting in January 1st of 2009, was we could say three-quarters of 1 percent. Interest was payable monthly. Actually, in January 1, 2009, it was .76 percent. All the way through up until it was a decreasing amount, I won't go through what it decreased to all the time, but in September of 2010 there was no interest paid. From October 1, 2010, to December 31, 2010, the interest was at the rate of .08 percent, which would be 8/10ths of 1 percent on the money that was in the account on a monthly basis. month the amount of interest on the \$3 million was \$214.

Of course, you all know that this is a checking account. Money rates are extremely low on savings accounts and CD's and also on checking accounts if you have a

2

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personal checking account. However, this money sat there and languished actually for three years and three months without being taken out.

If you go back to this account, this account was opened October 30, 2002 at Fidelity Bank. The way that I read the statements, and I have a copy of the statements here for council, which would probably be looked at in the solicitor's office -- or in council's office, it appears that on a daily basis money is deposited into this account from the collections from the parking meters. Every day the money is accounted for, I do not if it's counted by the Parking Authority, I do not if it's delivered in bulk or if it's counted by Fidelity. There is a receipt -- or not a receipt, but there is a deposit for every day that a deposit is made.

However, when you look at this account and you go back to the two years before that which would be in 2007 and 2008, in those years there were a total of five checks written and signed by the mayor. The

checks were issued to PNC Account No.

90096974558. The first check that was
issued in 2007 was Check No. 111, dated May
31, 2007, in the amount of \$490,000 made
payable to PNC Bank, written on the check it
says, "Meter revenues to 5-31-07."

The next check that was written on that account was Check No. 112. That was written on August 8, 2007, again the PNC Bank, the same account number, for \$183,000, and that was for meter revenue from 6-1-07 to 8-20-07. Again, it was signed by the mayor and Douglas Hein. There is two signatures required on the check.

The next check that was written was check No. 113, and that was on November 14, 2007 to PNC Bank to that account number \$175,000, and that was meter revenue from August 29, 2007, to November 14, 2007, again, signed by Mayor Doherty, Christopher A. Doherty, and Douglas Hein.

The next check was issued on that account was Check No. 114 dated April 28, 2008, PNC Bank, the account number stated before, \$360,000, meter revenue 11-14-07 to

4-28-08, signed by Mayor Doherty and Mr. Hein.

And the next check that was issued was Check No. 115 dated June 12, 2008, PNC Bank to the account number, \$100,000. Meter revenue 4-28-08 to 6-12-08 signed by Christopher A. Doherty and Douglas Hein.

That was the last check that was issued on this account. It's a total of \$1,308,000 in those five checks taken out during that time period.

It would appear that prior to this from the years when the account was opened in October 30th of 2002 until Check No. 111 was written on May 31st of 2007, that in this period there were a series of ten checks written. I have requested from Fidelity all of the accounts statements that were issued to the City of Scranton during that time period along with copies of the checks. I can only presume that the procedure was the same on the first ten checks issued from that account.

During the time period of 2009, '10 and '11, what is ironic since they only

issued 15 checks, on February 24, 2009, the account was debited \$78.50 for deluxe checks, and again on January 4, 2011, the account -- there was a deduction from the account in the amount of \$90 again for the printing of delux checks. Who authorized the checks to be printed I don't know. Why they were printing checks when obviously, they must have had a lot of checks, but here is an amount of -- comes to a total of \$168.50 for the two printings of deluxe checks during the years that there were no withdrawals from the accounts. Again, that was in February 24th of 2009 and on January 4, 2011.

Based on this, it's my conclusion that the mayor had knowledge of this account, he not only had knowledge of it, knew the account, he signed five checks on it during a period of the year and a half. Who knows the other ten checks prior to that probably contained his signatures, by the next meeting hopefully we will have that information, but for some reason the statement of this account was sent to the

City of Scranton on the monthly basis, it was received by the city, and where it went and who received it in the city I do not know, but Fidelity certainly sent the statements, the mayor had knowledge of the accounts, had written checks from the account from 2008, and based on the budget and each of the budgets for every year there is a line item as to anticipated revenue from the parking meters, so every year that's plugged in the budget. It seems to me that the first thing he would have asked if there is a cash shortage is how much money is in this parking meter account, and that's up to him to answer.

But I do have copies of each of the Fidelity monthly statements issued to the City of Scranton commencing on January 4, 2007, through the December 31, 2011, and copies of the checks that contained the mayor's signature for those time periods, which I'll give to Mrs. Evans, and I have a copy of the checks for Josh. Also for Rich from the Times Leader.

Any other information complete

accounting of the monthly statements from Fidelity, I gave copies to Mrs. Evans and they will be in the clerk's office for anybody who would like to review them. If you have any questions, feel free to ask them.

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, I just have one.

MR. HUGHES: Just one?

MR. ROGAN: And I think I heard you right, and I'm going to make a copy of these once the meeting is over, you are saying that they sent statements to the city each month from 2008 to 2011?

MR. HUGHES: It says, "City of Scranton, 340 North Washington Avenue, Scranton, PA."

The statement of the account. It's a two-page statement, shows account activity, each day what the deposit is and what the credit is and what the balance is in the account. It's on the front and the back, and you will see where it says, "Debit" there is no debit that is on this which is for the month of January 1, 2009.

All of the deposits show a cumulative running of the balance, there is no debits for January of 2009. And then it also states what the interest is and the interest earned during that monthly period and the interest paid year-to-date.

MR. ROGAN: So Mayor Doherty was sitting on \$3 million while he was threatening to payless paydays for our employees.

MR. HUGHES: There was \$3 million in the account of which he is a signator to sign the check, which he had previously done in 2007 and '8 with five checks totaling \$1,308,000, so he knew -- I don't know who kept the checks. I don't know if the checks are kept by the mayor or by the business administrator. I have no idea.

MS. EVANS: Or possibly the treasurer. I think --

MR. HUGHES: Or the treasurer.

MS. EVANS: I think Mr. Hein may have been, perhaps Mrs. Krake will remember, was Mr. Hein the treasurer?

MS. KRAKE: Yes, he was the

treasurer at the time.

- |

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Where the checks were kept I have no idea.

MS. EVANS: But we have these statements and we are being asked to believe that nobody ever read these statements.

Now, the statements were made, they were mailed, they were delivered, but no one read these statements? And should we believe that the administration forgot about this account after June 2008, the last withdrawal?

Now, if this is the case it appears to be gross incompetence on the part of the city administration. If not, it appears to be an intentional act of gross malfeasance and neither is acceptable to the people of Scranton who will be paying higher taxes for less public safety services this year. It's also very troubling that police and fire were laid off in August 2011 by Mayor Doherty, who stated in his layoff letters that quote, "The City of Scranton has experienced dire economic circumstances due

to increased expenditures and decreased revenues."

And that was months before the Supreme Court decision was announced. The loss of public safety personnel should have been considered as a last resort and before laying off these 21 employees the mayor and business administrator had the obligation and the moral responsibility to review all city accounts to determine revenues. Those 21 police officers and firefighters should not have been laid off since the city had very close to an additional \$3 million of available above and beyond it's projected revenues in August 2011.

Together with the mayor's refusal to reinstate 13 firefighters in the 2012 budget, these unnecessary layoffs have jeopardized the health, safety and welfare of city residents, particularly those in the East and West Mountain areas who have e-mailed me time and time again over the last several weeks of their serious concerns.

Furthermore, taxes were increased on

_

real estate, business and real estate
transfer in 2012, some of which may not have
been necessary if parking meter revenues had
been timely deposited into the general fund.

Therefore, at the request of Scranton taxpayers who have contacted me and other members of council, city council urges Mayor Doherty to use the \$3 million to reinstate all remaining police officers and firefighters who were wrongly laid off in August 2011 and to amend the 2012 operating budget to include the \$3 million in revenue and rescind or decrease tax hikes with the remainder of the funds. Remember, these are not 2012 funds, these are nine, ten and 11 funds and actually it appears half of 2008 now as well.

Council also urges the mayor to implement immediately an off-street parking program whether street -- or on-street, I'm sorry, parking program, whether StreetSmart or a comparable company in order to provide increased accountability of meter revenue and generate new revenue for the city in 2012 to aid in meeting the increased costs

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of debt service.

Now, I recognize, as I stated earlier, that PEL has made it's recommendations and certainly that is a consideration that the unfunded debt can be lowered by \$3 million, but the fact still remains, ladies and gentlemen, that 21 people loss their jobs and those losses started a cycle of jeopardizing public safety in the City of Scranton. Those 21 people lost their jobs wrongfully and taxes were just increased wrongfully? You decide because no one on this city council was ever aware that the \$3 million that appeared in the mayor's proposed budget each year in line items for meter revenue had never been transferred, but it appears some people knew. Some people knew because I don't believe you can write out checks and make those transfers right through June of 2008 and then suddenly forget all about it, and that's it.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, I would state this, that these accounts show that as of July 29, 2011,, the balance in

1 that account was \$2,759,867.17, and that was 2 just before the police and the firemen were 3 laid off because the budgetary was -- there was no money and the city was going to have 4 5 payless paydays and you were going to have to layoff the police and the firemen in 6 7 order to save some money so that you could 8 at least make another payroll by the end of 9 the year and there wasn't enough money to 10 make payroll. 11 MS. EVANS: Now. I would think even our Finance Chair might attest to the fact 12 13 that the amount of money you just stated was 14 available at the time these layoffs occurred? 15 16 MR. HUGHES: Could have written a 17 check for \$2 million. 18 MS. EVANS: Exceeds the amount 19 needed for those 21 individuals. MR. JOYCE: 20 Correct. MR. HUGHES: I think that was 21 22 \$600,000. I think when they are laid off to 23 the end of the year to save \$600,000. 24 MS. EVANS: Correct.

25

MR. HUGHES: And there was almost

2

3

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

five times that amount in the Fidelity account from the parking meter revenue.

MS. EVANS: But, I think what we have to add --

MR. HUGHES: Four and a half times to be exact.

MR. EVANS: -- is that you had 13 police officers working in 2011, and the mayor was counting on those 13 salaries being paid through CDBG monies for neighborhood police patrols, but then OECD was informed by HUD that you cannot take regular police officers and move them over into the neighborhood police patrols and expect to use HUD money it pay for that, so that pulled the rubbing out financially and the salaries for those 13 police officers had to come from the city's operating budget for the previous -- August would be eight month, for the previous eight months. mayor thought he would get reimbursement from HUD for that but it didn't happen because he was trying to go against the regulations of HUD, and it appears slide something through and when that was caught

and they knew there was going to be no reimbursement that left a hole, but certainly the hole is not as big as what was sitting over in this bank account.

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, I have one more question, all this came to light with, you know, checks being ordered when money is not being taken out of account what would be the proces of an investigation into this by either the FBI or the Attorney General's Office or who would be the body to contact regarding this investigation because --

MS. EVANS: Actually I asked our solicitor that very question this afternoon by phone and he -- I'm speaking for you now, he didn't know immediately, and I can understand why, who would before has faced this type of situation, but I did ask him if, you know, in the next week or so that he might be able to look into that and see whether this type of action should be reported to a government agency or an Ethics Commission or, you know, what is the proper procedure in this event.

MR. ROGAN: Because I would certainly say on the face of it, you know, before the information that Attorney Hughes found, and you did a great job on this, Boyd, bringing all this to light, before that information, you know, there was some doubt that maybe it was a mistake, but if the mayor was drawing off this account in the past and then they ordered checks for an account they are not drawing off of, something doesn't seem right and I definitely think there needs to be an investigation and I think the people deserve it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And that's exactly what I was going to ask, Mr. Rogan, of our solicitor is there is any investigating body that can look into this for the taxpayers and for city council to check if there were any irregularities and --

MS. EVANS: In other words, I think we are looking for -- we are not looking for a particularly nearly an auditor --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct.

MS. EVANS: We understand why the

auditors couldn't detect this, we are looking for --

MR. HUGHES: I haven't seen the audit, but I think the auditors would look at it and say, "Here is the bank account, there is this much in it."

I mean, that's all the auditor would $\mbox{do.}$

MS. EVANS: Exactly.

MR. HUGHES: I mean, it's not -it's up to the person that has the right to
withdraw the money from the account to
withdraw the money from the account and
that's the mayor. Why he would order checks
it would have to be the owner of account
that would order checks. You have a stub,
you send the stub in do you want checks if
you want checks to be replace the checks
that you have.

But up until that point there were only 15 checks issued. I'm assuming it started with Check No. 101, because all that I gave you and I gave the public, you know, the press was checks No. 111 to 115, so there is five checks that were issued. We

should have, you know, Checks No. 101 to
110, hopefully for next week's meeting, and
when they were written and what the amounts
were.

But as has been stated, every year there is a revenue line item for parking meter revenue that's put in the budget.

MS. EVANS: Yes, there is.

MR. HUGHES: And it's been taken out of the budget to go into the general fund at PNC up until -- obviously, it was going on from the time the account was opened in October 30th of 2002, up until the last Check No. 115, I think it was August 30th of 2007, and there was check written. I mean, that would be the normal course. How much do you have in the account? We need money in the operating account to pay bills and to make payroll. How much is in that account?

Somebody had the checkbook here. I don't know if it was the mayor, I don't know if the treasurer. I have no idea, but somebody has to have that checkbook today. Where is it? Somebody here has it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Somebody has to be

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accountable for why this account has languished for these three years, but even furthermore in light of past history are there other accounts out there that have money in them that we have no knowledge of it.

MS. EVANS: And I think that's a concern of everyone on council --

MR. HUGHES: And the issues -- there is one further issue the city has is that with the agreement with the Parking Authority that we have to find out what the procedures are. You know, maybe this should be a letter to Mr. Scopelitti, if he will answer it, he will probably say it's a Right-to-Know request, you know, as he always does, but what's the procedure when the money is collected from the parking meters? Where does it go? I mean, somebody said it goes to the Fidelity Bank, do they take -- you know, the little buggie out there, whatever it is, unload it there and then Fidelity counts it and rolls it and gives them a receipt or does it go to the Parking Authority and do they take wrapped

coins over to Fidelity to make a deposit? What's the procedure?

Because in accordance with the agreement between the city and the Parking Authority, the Parking Authority gets their 10 percent vig on everything that's collected.

Now, obviously, the 10 percent vig that the city is paying to the Parking Authority in order to -- you know, for the parking meter collections that are made and for the parking meters that wasn't coming out of this account. So if they are getting 10 percent and the city is paying it from another account somebody has to be aware that, here, this is the money that is coming in addition to these accounts from Fidelity.

MS. EVANS: We have been paying them more than 10 percent really.

MR. HUGHES: Well, then somebody has to give the city a bill and that has to be approved somewhere based on the revenue.

Obviously, it's not coming from this account. Why should that come from the city's general funds when here's the account

1 that it should be coming from, the parking 2 meter account. 3 MS. EVANS: Exactly. It could have been coming from tax collections that are 4 coming over from Mr. Courtright, liquid 5 fuels accounts, one never knows, but it did 6 come out of our general fund. 7 8 Well, I think, you know, again, if 9 you can perhaps look into for us if what the 10 next step is. 11 MR. ROGAN: I think we certainly all 12 agree there needs to be some form of an 13 investigation outside --14 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MR. ROGAN: -- city government. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Yes. We don't want --17 we have had -- I think some of the 18 speakers --MR. HUGHES: I think the only 19 20 investigation might be for gross 21 incompetence and that might be up at Clarks Summit State Hospital. That's about the 22 23 only place it could occur. 24 MS EVANS: I know that --25 MR. HUGHES: I don't think there is

_

MR. ROGAN: Whoever the investigating body would be. You know, not an internal investigation.

MS. EVANS: Right, and that's what I was getting to earlier. There are some of you in the audience who have been coming here for years and you can recall, for example, many years ago it was called Hollow Gate, and a great deal of work was done purportedly on private property on Hollow Avenue by the Scranton DPW, and an investigation was conducted, but the investigation was conducted by the individual who was to be investigated, so that the DPW director who was to be investigated himself and found himself innocent.

And so that is not the type of investigation council I think is seeking in this situation. We have not looking for an internal investigation.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. LOSCOMBE: An outside look.

MR. JOYCE: And I thought I would receive a letter from PEL, but, you know, I

will add my two cents on this. You know, I tend to wonder what DCED would think right now because we went through a whole process to ask them to take out \$5.5 million from the Workers' Comp Trust Fund when, in fact, this \$3 million was available, so I would assume that they would question why wasn't this money being used to pay the TAN, why weren't we taking less out of the Workers' Comp Trust Fund.

MS. EVANS: And that, of course, goes back to taking a TAN out and using it to pay the prior year's TAN which, I believe Solicitor Hughes says, violates the Unit Debt Act, but yet there is no consequences for that either.

MR. ROGAN: I would just make one final point. Things like this when they come up are the reasons why I believe the Home Rule Charter needs to revised and there needs to be some form of recall, whether it be a 60 percent threshold or whatever it may be, because when you are stuck with an administration, and everyone in the city is stuck with Mayor Doherty, and us especially,

and I know myself when we got elected, you no, thought we were going to clean everything up and it's fighting against a brick wall and, you know, there is -- we are working on a budget and there is \$3 million out there you didn't know about it.

You know, I know speaking from all of us if we knew that money was there our budget would have been different.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: There wouldn't have been a tax increase, there would be public safety positions.

MS. EVANS: And maybe back to the prior year when we were not told that they were going to take \$5 million and use it to pay off the prior year, so there was an immediate \$5 million hole in the 2011 budget that the administration was well aware of, but didn't reflect it in it's proposed budget and never told city council about it.

MR. ROGAN: And, unfortunately, you know, we are all stuck with Mayor Doherty for another two years until his term expires. There is no provision for recall

.

in the Home Rule Charter.

MS. EVANS: No, there is not. I think there is maybe something on a state level.

MR. ROGAN: There is nothing in the Home Rule Charter. So maybe that's something, you know, further down the line we could look at.

MS. EVANS: Well, we charge you in looking for a solution for us if one is, indeed, available, Solicitor Hughes.

And I think we can then proceed with Fifth Order.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE
CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE
FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM, BY TRANSFERRING
\$50,000.00 FROM PROJECT NUMBER 11-96.2

PURCHASE OF NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL 1 VEHICLES TO PROJECT NUMBER 11-229.1 UNITED 2 3 NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF NORTHEASTERN PA -4 CONDEMNATION PROGRAM. MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 5 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 6 7 introduced into it's proper committee. 8 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 9 MR. JOYCE: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? 11 Mrs. Krake, could we send a letter to Ms. 12 Aebli, please, city council would like to 13 know if the police department and OECD 14 intend to apply for CDBG funds for both neighborhood police patrols and police 15 16 vehicles in August 2012 for 2013 funds and 17 we request a written response on or before 18 January 31, 2012. MR. JOYCE: I think we should 19 20 probably add Chief Duffy to that as well, 21 too. 22 MS. EVANS: Yes. 23 MR. JOYCE: Since I think on the 24 application he is the one that requests the 25 funds so --

MS. EVANS: My concern is that we are depleting these funds and that the city has no intention of reinstating police patrols or applying for police vehicles when it is once again eligible to do so under HUD regulations and, you know, we need assurances on that because otherwise I think it may be possible to just allow the money to sit in the account.

MR. ROGAN: We could, and if they -I would hope Chief -- I think Chief Duffy
would apply because it's good that we are
covering our basis. If he would apply we
could let it sit in the account and then use
it when we can use the funds again.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. ROGAN: Hopefully the way it plays out we can transfer now to use it and then both reapply.

MS. EVANS: Right. Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. ACCEPTING A
4	DONATION OF TWO HUNDRED FIFTY (\$250.00)
5	DOLLARS FROM MAGNA FLOW ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
6	AND A SEVENTY FIVE (\$75.00) DOLLAR DONATION
7	FROM ANTHRACITE HERITAGE MUSEUM PRESENTED TO
8	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
9	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
11	introduced into it's proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor of introduction signify by
16	saying aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I
23	could, I don't mean to interrupt you, if you
24	would direct me to write a letter to
25	Mr. Scopelliti regarding the procedures on

the collection of the parking meter revenue from the time it's picked up at the parking meter until the deposits are made at Fidelity Bank, the entire procedure from start to finish and how they bill the city for their 10 percent on their contract I'll do that. I don't know if I can do it tomorrow or Monday, but I'll get it done by the next meeting.

MS. EVANS: Certainly, with the agreement of my colleagues.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. HUGHES: At least that will be a start to get to the bottom of how this is done.

MS. EVANS: I'd also direct the letter to Mr. Scopelliti, yes.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I had always been told though that there was an employee who was a meter collector at the Scranton Parking Authority whose job it was, well, part of whose job it was to empty the meters each day and --

1 MR. HUGHES: And then what happens 2 with the money? Is it taken to Fidelity and 3 then --4 MS. EVANS: I believe it's taken to 5 Now, I'm not sure --Fidelity, yes. MR. HUGHES: Well, let's find out. 6 MS. EVANS: -- if the meter 7 8 collectors --9 MR. HUGHES: We can talk all night, but let's find out. 10 11 MS. EVANS: Okay. 12 MR. HUGHES: It will probably get --13 this probably will have to be a request to 14 know instead of coming from me, you know. I'm going to take appeal to the -- if he 15 16 tells me this is a Right-to-Know request and 17 it's denied I'll then take an appeal to the 18 Attorney General's Office to have it enforced. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Very good. 21 MR. HUGHES: Let them know what's 22 coming. 23 MS. EVANS: And I know that some 24 members of the banking community are very 25 anxious to see the Parking Authority begin

to cooperate with city council and produce the documents you have requested and they have remarked that the Scranton Parking Authority budget is also most inappropriate and should, in fact, be more detailed and that that budget should also be submitted to a bank for it's approval prior to the board of the Parking Authority voting to approve it, so I think it would probably be in the Parking Authority's best interest to cooperate with city government and provide the requested information at this time and if not I think, in addition, Mrs. Krake, we could also want to notify the bank that cooperation once again has been withheld.

MR. HUGHES: I don't want to beat a dead horse, I want to go home and watch Persons of Interest, but anyway, the thing is that the Parking Authority right now does not have an audit that's been approved by council, which is a condition not only of Landmark -- a condition of the trust agreements that were adopted with each public issuing of the parking bonds, it's a requirement that's in event of default

because they have not submitted the budget.

The letter that I wrote to

Mr. Scopelliti in October I think it was

28th, which is probably about 15, 16 weeks

ago, remains unanswered, and one of the

requirements in that was that they were to

develop a budget, and I put it in there line

item by line item with a comparison and

everything we wanted and he gave us a Mickey

Mouse budget. I mean, so they're in default

in the requirements under the bond issue in

the prospectus that was issued for the

public offers of the bond issues by not

having a budget. Right now there is no

budget.

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. HUGHES: That's it.

MS. EVANS: And, thank you,

Solicitor Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Sorry I interrupted.

MS. EVANS: That's okay. I think we are on 5-C.

MS. KRAKE: Would you like me to read that again, Mrs. Evans? That was about the donation?

1 MS. EVANS: Yes, okay, and now I 2 think we can -- I'll say one more time, on 3 the question or do we actually get to move that, so moved by a council member or did --4 I can't recall if our Solicitor --5 MR. HUGHES: I thought that was 6 7 passed that's when --8 MS. EVANS: Intervened before we --9 MR. HUGHES: No, I thought it was 10 passed. 11 MS. EVANS: No, we hadn't voted on 12 that yet. 13 MS. MARCIANO: 5-C you are talking 14 about? MS. EVANS: Pardon. 15 16 MS. MARCIANO: I have five ayes. 17 MS. EVANS: I know Mrs. Krake read 18 it. I think just for belts and suspenders I'm going to back to, at this time I'll 19 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 20 21 introduced into it's proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. JOYCE: Second. 24 MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by 25

1 saying aye. 2 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 4 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING THE 7 8 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE 9 CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH THE 10 INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND 11 12 AEROSPACE WORKERS LOCAL NO. 2462 (CLERICAL 13 UNION). 14 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 15 16 introduced into it's proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 21 agree with Mr. Joyce, I do want to see what 22 PEL's report says regarding this contract to 23 see if it is a fair deal for the taxpayers. 24 I think it was reported in the Scranton 25 Times that health care costs were only going

up by a dollar for employees.

MS. EVANS: That would have been -I looked for that.

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: I couldn't find it in there.} \label{eq:MR.ROGAN: I couldn't find it in there.}$

MS. EVANS: There is no increase in health care copays in 2012 and '13. The increase will take effect for 2014 and '15 and it is a \$26 annual increase, so I believe there should be say 26 pay periods, so maybe it was a dollar per pay.

MR. ROGAN: I will vote to move it to Sixth Order, but I do have a big reservation with the health care costs just to increase it by, you know -- it's no secret that health care costs are going up and, you know, everyone that does have it knows it's going up, so we'll see what PEL reports says and take it from will.

MS. EVANS: In addition though, one of the concerns I had in reading through the contract in Article I, Section I, there are a number of errors in terms of the jobs or the positions that are included as being union jobs under this agreement and those

positions are -- some of those positions are within Scranton City Council's Office, and that is not true. These are not union positions. According to the Home Rule Charter, Scranton City Council is granted the ability to hire and fire it's staff and so I would think before I could approve this the contract itself should be amended to delete those positions.

And in addition, it also lists a confidential secretary to the Director of Public Safety and, of course, that was deleted what, two years ago? The position doesn't exist. There is no public safety director, thus, there is no confidential secretary.

MR. ROGAN: I agree, and I also -you know, I have to read it over a little
more thoroughly, that's why I will vote to
push it along this evening.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. ROGAN: Regarding disciplinary actions, it lists, A through K, you know, prohibited actions by the employee, some of them being theft, falsifying documents,

Is there

Thank you.

The ayes

threatening employees and things like that, 1 and it goes on for Section IV, the number of 2 3 steps in the clerical union discipline system shall be as follows. The first 4 offense verbal warning, so I hope that 5 doesn't mean if you steal city property you 6 get a verbal warning your first time, so I 7 8 will look for clarification on that as well. 9 MS. EVANS: Very good. 10 anyone else on the question? 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: I just wanted to 12 clarify that Mr. Dobrzyn questioned this 13 earlier in his comments, basically I think 14 you understand now this deals with the new clerical union contract. 15 Okay. 16 MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 17 introduction signify by saying aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 20 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 22 have it and so moved. 23 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. APPOINTMENT OF 24 MARK DOUGHER, 1105 CORNELL STREET, SCRANTON, 25 PENNSYLVANIA 18504, TO THE POSITION OF

DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND PUBLIC
WORKS EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2012. MR.
DOUGHER WILL BE REPLACING JEFFREY BRAZIL,
WHO RESIGNED.
MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
introduced into it's proper committee.
MR. ROGAN: So moved.
MR. JOYCE: Second.
MS. EVANS: On the question?
MR. ROGAN: Yes. Mrs. Krake, could
we please send a letter to Mr. Dougher
asking him for a resume?
MS. EVANS: Oh, there is a resume
provided already.
MR. ROGAN: Oh, it's already
provided.
MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it's in the
backup, Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: He is a step ahead of
the game and, you know, he has a lot of work
to do cleaning up the DPW so
MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
question? All those in favor of
introduction signify by saying aye.

	123
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
7	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 7,
8	2012 - AN ORDINANCE – AUTHORIZING THE SINGLE
9	TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2011 DELINQUENT
10	REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
11	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
14	pass reading by title.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
24	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 8, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE -
25	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011, AN

ORDINANCE ENTITLED "THE GENERAL CITY

OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING

\$20,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.

01.401.13090.4299 (CONTINGENCY
OPERATING EXPENSES) TO ACCOUNT

NO.01.020.00000.4201 (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES)

TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO ECTV FOR OPERATING

EXPENSES DURING THE YEAR 2012.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?

MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-B

pass reading by title.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, I was -- when this initially came up I was planning on voting for it. I talked to a lot of constituents in the city over the last week or so about this and, you know, they feel that they are not getting the same quality service from ECTV and not only just with council meetings the quality is very poor, the volume is awful, the meetings aren't run as frequently as they used to be and other meetings aren't as well, so I will

be voting "no" on this \$20,000. I believe our past provider could do a better job for less money as they have in the past.

MS. EVANS: The only thing I want to add is that there is a new board of directors of ECTV and some whom I think have a professional background in broadcasting. I'm hoping that they will be more successful than the prior board members, particularly in the avenue of fundraising, but I would say for the \$20,000 I don't think it would be unreasonable for council to ask for an accounting of that money from ECTV and it's board of directors. In other words, we would like to know on what items the \$20,000 is spent.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I would agree.

MS. EVANS: And that way we could provide that accounting to the public. Is there anyone else on the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to add, I understand Mr. Rogan because I have been a constant critic myself, you know, because a lot of constituents have complained and that, but I do feel that the new board of

1 directors, which I happened to read somewhere recently, again, with their 2 3 expertise and everything and the fact that, you know, in spite of not having funding 4 5 over this past year ECTV has continued to broadcast our meetings to the public, so I 6 7 have to give them that. 8 But at this point, you know, I'd 9 like to see them continue on. I'm hoping 10 things will gets better with this new board 11 of directors and I wish them luck and 12 hopefully we can move on from there. 13 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the 14 question? All those in favor signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? MR. ROGAN: 19 No. 20 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 21 moved. 22 MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -23 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 9, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE -24 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 25 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN

	127
1	EASEMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE SCRANTON ARMY
2	AMMUNITION PLANT TO MAINTAIN THEIR EQUIPMENT
3	LOCATED IN A PUMP STATION LOCATED BENEATH
4	MATTES AVENUE.
5	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
6	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved.
17	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
18	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 10, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE
19	- AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, AS
20	AMENDED ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING
21	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF
22	THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY
23	ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED
24	SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND
25	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME)
PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG)
PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING A TOTAL AMOUNT OF
\$500,000.00 FROM PROJECTS 05-15 EMERGENCY
FLOOD RELIEF, 07-225 SLIBCO, 05-155 HILL
NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, 05-172 LACKAWANNA
COLLEGE (SCRANTON LACE), 10-199 SCRANTON
CULTURAL CENTER, 10-237.2 CENTER FOR
INDEPENDENT LIVING, 10-175 TELESPOND SENIOR
SERVICES, INC. TO PROJECT 11-04.
RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB
CUTS.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I spoke to Linda

Aebli about these transfers and essentially
what it does it transfers money from
projects that aren't going to happen like
the Scranton Lace Lackawanna College project
and ineligible projects to paving for low to

	129
1	moderate income areas, which we desperately
2	need, and handicap curb cuts, also.
3	MS. EVANS: Anyone else? All those
4	in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
11	suspend the rules to move Item 6-A to
12	Seventh Order for final passage.
13	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that council suspend
15	their rules and place Item 6-A into Seventh
16	Order for final consideration.
17	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: All those in favor
20	signify by saying aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

1	MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would
2	like to make at that motion to take File of
3	Council No. 3, 2011, from the table and
4	place it into Seventh Order for final
5	consideration.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
8	those in favor signify by saying aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
11	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
13	have it and so moved.
14	MR. ROGAN: Before we vote on these
15	two items are we going to allow the public a
16	chance to speak?
17	MS. EVANS: Actually, I was going to
18	do so on item if you look at the bottom.
19	MR. ROGAN: Okay, great.
20	MS. EVANS: Okay. Mrs. Krake?
21	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. Before I read it we
22	do have a clerical error we needed to we
23	actually put the amended version here. We
24	needed to have it say 1,110 ten square feet,
25	which is why it required the amendment, and

also for it to say "formerly tabled" at the 1 2 end of the description. MS. EVANS: Okay. 3 MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION 4 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-FILE 5 OF COUNCIL NO. 33, 2011 - AUTHORIZING AND 6 APPROVING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION OF A 7 8 PORTION OF THE CITY OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED 9 AT THE INTERSECTION OF KEYSER AVENUE AND JACKSON STREET (910 SQUARE FEET) IN THE CITY 10 OF SCRANTON MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS A PART OF 11 PIN NUMBER 14412-030-016 TO THE COMMONWEALTH 12 OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 13 14 TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") IN ORDER TO WIDEN THE WIDEN THE INTERSECTION FOR BETTER 15 TRAFFIC FLOW AT THIS INTERSECTION. 16 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make 18 a motion to amend File of Council No. 33, 19 2011, by: Number one, in the summary title 20 deleting "1,110," and inserting 910. 21 And number two, in the now, 22 therefore, clause deleting "1,110 and 23 inserting "910." 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: On the question, I have a letter I'd like to read into the record by the Keyser Valley Citizens'
Association.

"Scranton City Council, care of Nancy Krake, city clerk, 340 North Washington Avenue, Scranton, PA, 18503.

Dear Ms. Krake and city council,
please be advised Keyser Valley Citizens'
Association and it's Board of Trustees is in
agreement with PennDOT's 7-2-11 revised
Keyser Avenue road project, land of parcel
No. 79, as attached.

In addition, as the Keyser Valley
Citizens' Association is very concerned
about flooding that has been experienced by
some Keyser Valley residents, the Board of
Trustees wishes to put PennDOT on notice
that should flooding problems worsen in
Keyser Valley as result of the Keyser Avenue
Road project, the Keyser Valley Citizens'
Association excepts PennDOT to help those
residents by working to correct the flooding
hazards in a timely fashion.

We want to thank the city council

	133
1	and city clerk for tabling this matter until
2	the Keyser Valley Citizens' Association
3	could work with PennDOT to make the agreed
4	upon revisions to the project which benefit
5	the residents of Keyser Valley.
6	Sincerely, Gary DiBileo, president,
7	Keyser Valley Citizens' Association."
8	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
9	the question? All those in favor of the
10	motion to amend Item 7-A signify by saying
11	aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
14	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
15	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
16	have it and so moved. As Chair for the
17	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-A, as amended.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
23	Rogan .
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. 2 3 MR. JOYCE: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A, as amended, legally and lawfully 6 7 adopted. 8 Is there anyone else who wishes to 9 address council on Item 7-B, formerly 6-A, File of Council No. 7-2012, that has been 10 11 moved to Seventh Order? 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: What's the rush? 13 MS. EVANS: We would like the 14 ability of Mr. Courtright's office to take effect as soon as possible. We feel that 15 16 people could be coming in looking to pay 17 delinquent taxes, so the sooner he is 18 authorized to do so the sooner he will be 19 able to actually collect the delinquencies. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 21 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 22 would like to address council? Mrs. Krake? 23 MS. KRAKE: 7-B, FORMERLY 6-A - FOR 24 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -25 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 7, 2012 -

	133
1	AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE SINGLE TAX
2	OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2011 DELINQUENT REAL
3	ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
4	MS. EVANS: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chair for the
6	Committee on Finance?
7	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-D.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I would just agree
14	with what you just said by speeding this up.
15	It we will give Mr. Courtright a little more
16	time to get up and running and he has been
17	doing a great job with the tax office so I'm
18	sure he will do great job on this as well.
19	MR. JOYCE: I agree.
20	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. Mr.
22	Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

_	
	136
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
6	If there is no further business,
7	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
8	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
9	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
10	adjourned.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER