| _ | | |
|----|--|---|
| | | 1 |
| 1 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | HELD: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Thursday, January 12, 2012 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | LOCATION: | |
| 10 | Council Chambers | |
| 11 | Scranton City Hall | |
| 12 | 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| 13 | Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not Present.)

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE (Not Present.)

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not Present.)

| 1 | (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection |
|----|--|
| 2 | observed.) |
| 3 | MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. |
| 4 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |
| 5 | MR. MCGOFF: Here. |
| 6 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. Mr. |
| 7 | Loscombe. |
| 8 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. |
| 9 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs. |
| 10 | Evans. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the |
| 12 | reading of the minutes. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX |
| 14 | ASSESSOR'S REPORT, HEARING DATES FOR |
| 15 | NOVEMBER 16, 2011 AND DECEMBER 7, 2011. |
| 16 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 17 | If not, received and filed. |
| 18 | 3-B. MINUTES OF THE FIREMEN'S |
| 19 | PENSION COMMISSION MEETING |
| 20 | HELD OCTOBER 26, AND NOVEMBER 16 OF 2011. |
| 21 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 22 | If not, received and filed. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE |
| 24 | NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION MEETING HELD |
| 25 | NOVEMBER 16, 2011. |

| | <u> </u> |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 2 | If not, received and filed. |
| 3 | MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE |
| 4 | SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING |
| 5 | HELD NOVEMBER 16, 2011. |
| 6 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 7 | If not, received and filed. |
| 8 | MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE |
| 9 | COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD |
| 10 | NOVEMBER 16, 2011. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 12 | If not, received and filed. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: 3-F. CONTROLLER'S REPORT |
| 14 | FOR THE MONTH ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 2011. |
| 15 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 16 | If not, received and filed. Do we have any |
| 17 | clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Krake? |
| 18 | MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans. |
| 19 | MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any |
| 20 | council members have announcements at this |
| 21 | time? Mr. Joyce and Mr. Rogan are unable to |
| 22 | attend tonight's meeting. Mr. Joyce is ill |
| 23 | and Mr. Rogan is out of town. |
| 24 | The Scranton/Lackawanna County |
| 25 | Taxpayers' Association will hold a very |
| | 11 |

important meeting to elect officers for 2012 next Tuesday, January 17, at 6:00 p.m. in Scranton City Hall. Anyone interested is urged to attend. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Before we begin our citizens' participation this evening, I would ask please that audience members turn off all cell phones and remain quiet during this portion of the meeting in that council will be able to hear the speakers and that those in the audience as well are able to hear the speaker. And our first speaker this evening is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, a few days ago there was big headlines in the paper City losses 200 -- I think \$250,000. I don't know. I don't know who to blame, but I assume they are blaming council for it. They blamed council for everything in the newspaper but nobody said anything about the 30 million we have to borrow to pay off the borrowing that was caused by the mayor

himself. That 30 million we have to pay was caused by the mayor's policies, no one else's. You have always, council has always -- I guess the first two weeks before you really went through all of the taxes was normal in the procedure that you are to follow, but it's -- what are you going to do today? I mean, if the newspaper is going to cry about the 200,000 or 230,000 and forget about the 30 million, it's pretty stupid, but whoever said they were smart at the newspaper.

But here we stand starting the new year with a heck of a lot of old problems. It's ashame. Too bad you couldn't sit up there and be clear and say, hey, you got to look forward to something. We don't have much to look forward to because the past is terrible. I read in the paper another attack on Chamberlain again. If I'm not -- I think everyone in Chamberlain paid \$52 bucks I think. They do get that fee, right? The \$52 few that everybody has to pay that works in the city.

MS. EVANS: Oh, the LST tax.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And parking was always allowed there. Why somebody got it in their right mind to put meters there is beyond me. I would be happy to have a plant in the city like Chamberlains and do what I can to keep the people in the city and hoping they would move into the city, but I know with the tax situation is they are making a good buck, them taxes are murder and there is nothing you can do about it, you know?

Like I say, in the very beginning years and years ago no one minded to pay them high wage tax because your grandmother and mother had low taxes on their homes and we are able to live fairly comfortable on their benefits, but not today. They hammered them people like crazy. Now I am up in them ranks and I guess they will be hammering me soon if I live long enough.

But the point is the city really is in financial trouble, deep, deep financial trouble, and I don't see a window that we can look out to say how are we going to get out of this problem. It's getting deeper

24

25

and deeper, you and I know that and you can't just keep taxing the people. already taxed. This is a bad time to even think about taxes. Even the county all of sudden looks at something and says, hey, maybe that's not a good idea. I guess they should -- we already went through with the Republicans when they got in with that huge tax increase, now that Democrats got in there and want another huge tax increase, so what are we getting for our money? little. We lost the county -- you know, you can't do nothing with the county, so I won't mention it, what they had to sell off, but the city maybe we can do a little at least to soften the slide or hold the slide, I guess. I don't think you can stop it, but you might get them to hold it up a little.

People keep attacking this council as supermajority, and believe me if we had a supermajority ten years ago we wouldn't be where we are today. You cannot do what -- anybody with common sense would say, you can't just do it all at once. You want to make changes, you want improvements, there

is no question about that. The old DPW site was pretty bad, there is no question about it, so there was nothing wrong with a new DPW site, but when you need everything new at the same time this is what the problem is.

I mean, you just can't keep borrowing thinking that there is going to be people to keep paying. Scranton is losing population little by little and I guess what the Hispanics it sort of stabilized I think at \$67,000, but that's not enough to take care of the debt. And when you see a building going there or new building there or that building or whatever we need maximum taxes we can get on that building no matter what because you already got maximum taxes on the houses. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Thank you. Good evening. Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers'
Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Under "A" motions, first of all, I want to vindicate myself in regard

to that Scranton Lace and the neighborhood associations. You know, I spoke to Mr. Hubbard after and the fact is that the Scranton Lace, you know, you look at it and they are not covering the whole area. There is Von Storch Avenue, there is the 600 block of Marian Street, there is Dickson Avenue, there is a whole area in there that people are not aware of what's going on, so I just want to let you know. I lived on Sanderson Avenue just over about three blocks so I know that neighborhood, so I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

Also, under "A", motions, I want to commend council for giving ECTV \$20,000 to continue televising. I understand that they are going to start streaming the program and it's going to be on several different channels, okay, and \$20,000 isn't much, but, you know, you are commended because of the fact that people can know what's going on directly here in the city, all right?

And on "K" I see where there is an ordinance where the authorizing the mayor for half a million dollars -- to transfer

23

24

25

half a million dollars from different projects, and one I was concerned about was the Hill Neighborhood association. member of the Hill Neighborhood association and I wrote the application back in the Connors' administration for this program. It was approved by the city council and it was approved by the mayor and then when the Doherty administration come in here it went right down the tubes. If you are going to -- if you are going to do anything with it I know the streets are bad and everything, but I would ask you to meet with the Hill Neighborhood Association officers in a caucus here or something or whatever or send them a letter to come in or something to discuss this because this has been hanging on for a long time, this application, and if has to go I would like to see it go, I know the roads are bad, but I would like to still see it go to ECTV where if they are going to streamline it's going to go to different channels and truly open up the doors \$20,000, but they are going a lot of volunteer work, okay?

Now, also I want to talk about SAPA.

You know, Scranton Area Planning

Association. You know, recently the Luzerne

County and Lackawanna County Regional

Planning Commissions combined to do a master

plan, a comprehensive plan, and they are

hoping that all municipalities in the both

counties go along with the various parts of

a plan, okay, and it has to do with sprawl,

zoning and everything, okay?

And I want to commend the council because they turned down the SAPA because of the fact that if you did join the SAPA you probably would have been paid money -- you probably would have been committed, okay, you would have lost your identity for being able to do stuff, okay? Whereby under the Regional Planning Commission plan you haven't lost it, okay? You still have a right to, you know, to turndown what you want to do, but if you are under SAPA and you join that I think you were obliged to go with whatever they wanted to do, so I wanted to commend you for doing that.

And I would advise you again for

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asking the city planning or the Scranton
City Planning Commission to meet with the
Lackawanna County Regional Planning
Commission to discuss this here master plan,
okay?

Public safety, you know, I'm very much concerned, Ash Street covers all where I live, it covers Petersburg, I live down by the -- people don't know where it's at anymore, the little England section, Bunker Hill, whatnot. That's a big area, okay? And then you get to East Mountain. know, East Mountain, a lot of people think of East Mountain they think of East Mountain Road, they never realize, okay, not talking about the other side, okay, to the left where we go up on the right, all that area is about a mile and a quarter of houses all the way back there, all right? And I know that that is the highest realty tax producing area in the city, okay? And to take a fire station away from those people and, you know, there is homes up there and it's just unbelievable, you know, that if something ever happens on River Street, the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bridge, and they have to go up 307 forget about it, okay?

The last one I want to ask you quickly, if I could, the Scranton Times on Tuesday, January 10, "City to tackle blight. \$1.1 million available."

They are going to raze buildings ten to 15,000. Now, how many times have I come up here through the years seven years asking for a rehabilitation program to eliminate this blight, okay? Here you have a situation where you have contractor specialists out there. You could, if you have any know how, you could sell these buildings to contractors to fix them up, I bet you nobody in OECD ever goes okay? around the various communities to find out what's going on and I just -- I don't like the idea and I just want to say one thing here, okay, and I will finish as quickly a I can.

Mayor Chris Doherty said that the
City Department of Public Works' employees
maintain some of the properties and, excuse
me, and that you just can't keep cutting

parks and DPW. The 2012 \$85.3 million budget cut 20 DPW workers. DPW workers are hard workers, there is no doubt about it, but the fact is what he is doing with this and what they are talking about blight, eliminating blight, okay, that's throwing perfume on the pig. That's what it amounts to, okay? Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Quinn, if you would like to take a look at this and then you can return it to Ms. Carrera, there is an explanation for the Hill Neighborhood Association Funding as well as all of the categories of funding from which the money is going to be transferred toward, handicapped curb cuts and the heating program, so if you would like to read through that and then at your leisure just return it to Ms. Carrera.

MR. QUINN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Carl Kupchunas.

MS. KUPCHUNAS: Mrs. Evans, actually Liz Hubbard was on top of the list and I was switched places with Liz so actually she

should be ahead of me and then I'll go after Liz.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. HUBBARD: Good evening, Council.

Liz Hubbard, Scranton resident and taxpayer.

I have a couple of issues and maybe a
question, but I want to preface my question
with a statement that I think recycling is a
great thing and I've recycled for years and
years before I even moved back to the city.

I pay for the recycling in the city. Now, I
know of three bars that put out 20, 10, more
than that, cans of recycling and the DPW -and they are the blue city recycling cans
and the DPW is picking them up.

Now, my question is are they paying for that because I have to pay for it?

Could you look into that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. HUBBARD: And my second issue, this wonderful project that they want to do at the Lace Company, there are two buildings in the 1500 block of Mylert Avenue that should have been condemned and demolished years ago. They haven't had a roof on those

working, apparently I think a couple of years ago part of the roof fell and injured someone that was working in there. Now, if the city is so interested in cleaning up blight those two buildings would be a good place to start because that would enhance the area of the Lace Company and anybody coming to look at the Lace Company, but

those buildings are really truly an eyesore

and I feel they are a hazard.

buildings in 20 years. They are just shells

of buildings and there is people in there

And, I mean, the city is busy ripping houses down for people around town, get rid of those ugly things that are dangerous and all of the junk that's parked out in front of them and across the street and it's just a mess. Anyway, so if you could look into that I'd like maybe an answer to those questions.

But the recycling, I mean, I'm all for recycling, pick it up for the bars, but they should have to pay, too.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I agree.

MS. HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Kupchunas.

MR. KUPCHUNAS: Good evening. My name is Carl Kupchunas. I'm a lifelong resident of lower Greenridge. The reason I'm here today is to express support for the Scranton Lace Company project with the stipulations that the developers had indicated to our neighbors at a meeting at the Lace Company property this past Sunday.

After suffering through three floods, finally something good is happening to our lower Greenridge neighborhood. The project is also good for the city in general. With many businesses leaving the city under the leadership of Mayor Doherty, most recently the LT Verrastro Company had left the city, it's not nice to know that some new businesses will be making Scranton their home.

However, I did have some concerns about the project before the meeting on Sunday. At the meeting with the developers, they had assured us that the college dormitories were for graduate students of the Commonwealth Medical College and not

dormitories for the Lackawanna County Junior College. Another concern was about the tavern on the legislation. The developers assured us that there would be no tavern, the requesting liquor license was for a restaurant that would be in the complex.

The developer further agreed that zoning in the 1400 of Albright and Gardner Avenues would be changed to R-2 residential which will protect the residents of that area, which is where my home is currently located. I am pleased with the developer's proposal and that they are willing to go on public record with the changes that the lower Greenridge residents have concerns about.

Prior to the meeting on Sunday I was concerned that this might be another backdoor deal by Doherty like the recent Daron Industries debacle on Dickson Avenue where Doherty painted a rosy picture for the neighbors and it ended up being a nightmare for the neighbor. However, after speaking to the developers, I'm sure that the Scranton Lace Company project will be a

benefit not only to our neighborhood, it will be a benefit to the entire City of Scranton and I'm excited about what the future is going to bring to our neighborhood and the Scranton Lace Company project.

In other matters, I'm very concerned about the cuts in fire protection caused by Mayor Doherty. Many years ago before the Doherty administration there was a small fire at my home after lightening hit the antenna on my house. The fire company was there in less than two minutes and damage was minimal.

Now, last month there were times there were only four firehouses open for the entire 27 square miles of the City of Scranton. This is frightening especially if there is more than one call at a time. I recommend the residents of the Scranton go to www.Scrantonfire.com which has a daily listing of the closed fire houses then call the mayor to let him know that you are not pleased with dangerous cuts in public safety. There were already three people in Scranton that lost their life due to

20

21

22

23

24

25

Doherty's cutting public safety. That's not acceptable. Let's hope and pray that no more people lose their life because of cuts in public safety.

Finally I have a question for council, who gets the money from the LST tax which, was formerly called the Right to Work Tax? What that is is a \$1 per week taken from the paycheck of anyone who works in Scranton it's a total of \$52 for the year, what government entity gets the money from that tax and what is it used for? Every time -- I ask several people, nobody seem to know where it goes. To me that would be a very sizable amount of income and that would be good for the city. I really don't know where it goes. And thank you very much for your time. If you could get me that information I would appreciate it.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

Marie Schumacher. MS. EVANS:

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher again.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have a few brief

comments hopefully because the real reason

I'm here tonight deals with the fire

protection, but I do have comments, on 5-J I

can't understand which the their equipment

located in a pump station located beneath

Mattes Avenue applies to. If it applies to

Scranton equipment or is it going to

ammunition plant company equipment, so I

would like that clarified.

On "K", 5-K, I would like to know what the percent split is going to be between the road reconstruction and the handicapped curb cuts and I would like to see a list of the streets that are to be reconstructed, identified before passage.

And then again on 7-A, I think it's fine that the lower Greenridge Association approves of this project, but what about other areas in the city? Once you pass this as general as it is, all neighborhoods could make it a tavern, so in this particular instance with the Lace Works it's going to be a restaurant, part of a restaurant and the neighbors approve of that, but once you put tavern in there you have to stick with

it and other neighborhoods may not want to have a tavern in their neighborhood, and that's why I think you need specific incentive areas. I'm in favor of the Lace Company project. It's been an eyesore for years with overgrown grass and things, but I do think the specific areas have to be identified and I do think that every project should have to come in before council because it's right that all neighborhoods get to comment on projects in their neighborhoods not just the first project under the new ordinance.

And now to my reason, again, I'd like to provide you maps. I sincerely wish that each of you could have been with me last Friday. The weather was balmy and I took my little guy that I babysit and we went for a walk and we went up to see the fire truck at Engine 10, and when we got there surprise of surprises there was no fire engine in there, there was a police vehicle, and it was then despite having been told that Engine 10 was still operating and was only under brownout conditions that was

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not the case. I called the fire chief, I called the police chief and learned the sad news that, in fact, it's closed.

Now, if you look at the map that I gave you, I just can't tell you what that feeling was. I have actually had nightmares this week where I dreamed that there is a house on fire and people come from across the street with a garden hose and I wake up. I mean, this is very scary. If you look at that map and now, again, I apologize for the analogy that I'm going to draw here because I know that Crisp Avenue Bridge was a real issue, if that, again, if that street had been properly maintained we never would have had that problem, but it wasn't, it wasn't dredged and that's part of the bigger issue, but look at all of the time that you all spent talking about that, how inconvenient that was to the residents in that area. Look at the small area that was affected.

Look at the map below. I have circled or sort of drawn a ballpoint line around what is East Mountain and how you access East Mountain. Those are the pink

lines. You come up River Street, Linwood avenue I know a truck from downtown couldn't and I don't think any truck could make it up Linwood Avenue. You have Seymour Avenue and Snook and Cleveland. And see where they are? See where the majority of the houses are? I mean, I'm not too bad, but you go--and this isn't just flatland like you have in other sections of the city, these are hills. These are city streets, they are narrow streets, and you go up the top -- say something if you are up the top of Fig Street, I mean, I can't believe that everybody from those places aren't here.

And I took a look at the budget because I'm very upset that the council did not do more for our protection in the budget and I looked at -- if I may finish this -- my --

MS. EVANS: Quickly, please.

MS. SCHUMACHER: My dollars and cents here. You -- or council said we would have \$600,000 as a figure from a grant that is expected to come in this year to be applied. I have heard somebody, and I don't

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know if it's accurate, but the figure of a million dollars to maintain the 29 firefighters that were dismissed as of the first of January. If you take the unemployment compensation figures from the 2012 budget, which is \$1,208,00usand and change, and subtract what was in for the past year 2011, you still have \$1,094,329 increase in unemployment compensation. you divide that by 51, I know it's just for an average, that equates to \$21,451 per person that was let go at the beginning of If you multiply that times 29 this year. you come up with \$622,079. You add that to the other \$600,000 and you have well over the million dollars that is needed to keep those people.

I cannot understand a council that would rather vote for \$1 million of unemployment compensation when that could be applied toward a salary and we could have our fire protection. I think that East Mountain is extremely, extremely vulnerable. On a lot of sides up there there is forest, there is apt to be forest fires, it's forest

area if it gets dry. There is some older homes in there. It's not a very good -- it's not a very good feeling and I'm very disappointed and I hope that's corrected very, very soon. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I just want to begin real briefly tonight, I just wanted to respond to a comment that Mrs. Schumacher just made and, you know, with all due respect to Mrs. Schumacher, you know, I respect her for coming up to the podium and appreciate her suggestions and everything, but I just had to respond to a statement she made regarding council's lack of action on public safety. I just have to say I don't think it's fair to necessarily criticize this council, I believe when you take a look at the position you were in and what you did allocate funding to reinstate 13 firemen so I don't think it's fair to really come up

here and quite point the finger at this council when, in fact, this is all the mayor's hands down as it has been for the last ten years.

What I think we should be doing is coming up here commending this council for what they did and we all know you were in a very tough situation with this and, of course, we wish we could have kept all 29. In the situation we were in, you were able to find some funding, I believe a \$600,000 retiree grant if -- maybe if somebody wants to -- -

 ${\tt MR.\ LOSCOMBE:\ Prescription.}$

MR. MILLER: Prescription, that's right, that's what it was, and now it's in the mayor's hand and we will see what he does with it.

But moving on here, I would like to begin tonight on agenda Item 5-G regarding the garbage fee, and I just have to say as I have stated many times before this is a fee that I never believed in. I believe it's a taxation without representation and I believe this is why we pay our taxes. This

18

16

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

is a prime example. We pay taxes for services such as garbage collection and I don't believe that we should be placing any more burdens on the residents of this city, including those living on fixed incomes such as senior citizens and others out there who are struggling to meet their obligations, and so tonight I would just request of council, I'm not sure what your positions are on this matter, but I would ask you as a concerned resident looking out for those who are struggling to consider voting this down because as we have looked at some of the recommendations PEL made recently I believe, and yet again you can correct me if I'm wrong, but they actually recommended that we increase it to over \$200.

Again, we get another unrealistic expectation that PEL had of us and just yet again another reason why we should continue -- we should again consider distancing ourselves from PEL. So, as I said, I would just ask that you consider voting this down. The residents can't take anymore of this.

Regarding 5-I, legislation dealing

with ECTV, I'm very supportive of this legislation. I want to commend council for placing it on the agenda and feeling that it's necessary to provide the \$20,000 in funding for ECTV for the next coming year. I believe it just goes to show, you know, our willingness to continue the transparency and accountability not only in our city government, but through other educational programming that is shown on that station and I just want to thank ECTV for the services they provided and, obviously, we look forward to continuing that for the next year.

The next issue I have tonight is going back to a Right-to-Know request that I submitted I'd say probably going back three weeks now regarding the Nay Aug Park light show, which I requested a copy of the expense report operating the 2010 light show, and for those of you who aren't familiar with this topic, obviously we had some issues with the 2011 light show where lights were left on at all hours of the day, certainly adding unnecessary expenses, and

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm still at this point waiting for a response from Mr. McGowan on this matter and I hope to get one shortly. I don't believe it should take more than a month to get a response, but at the same time it's probably been happening, you know, here in the city for quite some time so it's really not surprising to me, but hopefully we will hear something soon.

The next issue is dealing with the Parking Authority and their decision to remove the parking meters from the Chamberlain location and also installing "No Parking" signs. If we go back I believe to 2009, if we can all remember, the installation of the meters had to come through council and I believe it was one of the last pieces of legislation that the mayor's rubber stamp majority voted on and approved. So my question tonight is why wouldn't this council majority have been sent legislation to approve not only the removal of the meters, but also the installation of the "No Parking" signs? think this is yet again another example of

1

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the administration picking and choosing when they want to follow the proper procedure and, you know, I think tonight perhaps we can get some clarification on this as to why council didn't have any say in this matter because I just think, you know, these authorities they think they are going to dictate what goes on and they are very misinformed. They weren't elected to represent the residents of this city and when you have people like Bob Scopelliti and other people running these authorities who, quite frankly, aren't even qualified to run the town and make decisions I don't believe it's appropriate.

And finally tonight, if I can just real brief, as we begin a new year and a new chapter in the city it's my sincere hope that we can begin to create or establish a working relationship between the council and the mayor, and as I have stated numerous times from this podium, this council majority has reached out to the administration on several occasions, on budget issues and a wide variety of other

issues, but unfortunately, that attempt to create a relationship was ignored by my way or the highway attitude and I just feel that as we begin a new year in order for us to begin the revitalization of the city and build a bright future for the next generation we need the cooperation, but it starts with the man downstairs. The mayor needs to put his ego and politics aside and he needs to work with this council majority.

Mess, and I have said many times, I couldn't tell you how sorry I feel for you, but at the end of the day the only ones that suffer from all the chaos and all the bickering back and forth are the residents of this city, and I know that council -- or I know that the residents of this city have four watchdogs that are looking out for them and I know you will continue to do so for the next few years to come, and I appreciate your time tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' association. Can someone please give me the update and the statutes report on 2010 audit?

MS. EVANS: I'm going to address that briefly under my motions tonight.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, because I haven't read anything in the paper about it being released and I haven't heard anything and I'm just hoping that has been released and you have copies of it.

Okay, also I would like to just possibly could be tomorrow's headline maybe, maybe not, but I understand a local bank found \$3 million in parking meter money in the Parking Authority as late as today. If that is true, I hope the Times and WNEP and everybody else do a thorough investigation into it because I'm being told that money, that \$3 million has been in the Parking Authority for quite sometime and the mayor and other people have known about it. So if this is true I hope an investigation is done with it and I hope it's the headlines in

tomorrow's paper, "Local bank finds \$3 million hidden parking revenue money."

We have no fire, we have police protections being cut and everything else, we don't have no new vehicles for our emergency people, but yet we have \$3 million that could possibly be hidden and tucked away in an account that the mayor and other people in the administration knew about, including the director of the Parking Authority because it was found in his banking accounts.

Okay, Mr. Loscombe, if I may please, has the firefighters and police officers been laid off according to the new 2012 budget?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, they have.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Mr. McGoff, have all DPW workers been laid off according to the 2012 budget or are some of them still working?

MR. MCGOFF: It was my understanding that letters were sent to them, termination letters were sent.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Can you find out for

me, please, and the citizens as to whether or not they are still working and being paid or not, that's my question. I'm not talking about letters. A letter doesn't mean anything.

MR. MCGOFF: All I can -- the only answer I can give you at this time is that at a meeting the other day the Human Resources Director said that letters were sent to all employees that were terminated.

MR. JACKOWITZ: If you would, please, could you please find out next week for sure whether or not they all have been laid off and they are not working? Because the firefighters and police officers have been, am I correct, Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct.

MR. JACKOWITZ: So what's fair for the firefighters and police officers should also be fair for the DPW. That's my opinion and my opinion only. So, Mr. McGoff, if you can please find that out for me I would greatly appreciate it.

I see where there is an issue on today's agenda about the insurance. Is the

city fully insured as of January 12, 2012? 1 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: We are. MR. JACKOWITZ: We are insured? 4 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll be doing some 6 addressing to that issue, too, in my comments. 7 8 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, does that have 9 to come to council for approval? MS. EVANS: Yes. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 11 MR. JACKOWITZ: Just as long as it 12 13 comes through council and it's going through 14 proper channels because there has been too much in the city that seems to go away and 15 16 not followed proper procedures. 17 As far as the parking meters go in 18 the Chamberlain Corporation, again, Scranton 19 has become the laughing stock of the entire 20 area because everywhere you go people just 21 laugh and say, "What, Scranton can't make up 22 their mind? Do they want the parking meters 23 or do they want the parking meters there, 24 where are they going to be next week?" 25 And then as far as "No Parking"

signs go, again, I always thought that that had to be approved by council. He put "No Parking" signs in front of the Lackawanna County jail, the signs are still there, but people are parking there because it's illegal. These signs are illegal, they should be removed. Again, contact Mr. Dougher and have Mr. Dougher remove those signs from the front of the county jail.

Same thing goes with down here at the Chamberlain Corporation, we have -General Dynamics is one of the biggest industries we have in the City of Scranton.
What are you tying to do, run these people out of here? First we are going to put parking meters in there for their employees to park then we are going to pull the parking meters out and then we are going to put "No Parking" signs there?

Come on, people, let's be for real.

I mean, no wonder why the City of Scranton has nothing. No one wants to come here.

They pay high taxes and high fees, except for the fire chief, he doesn't pay fees until after he gets caught, but everybody

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else pays for their fees, and then you want to know why people are leaving the city and they don't want to stay here.

Now we find out there is a possibility of \$3 million being hidden in an account at the Parking Authority for parking revenue, for parking tickets revenue. this is true I hope it's blasted all over the news media and I hope they do a thorough investigation into it because that's \$3 million that has been sitting there from what I'm being told for a few years and being hidden, lack of a better word, being hidden, and if this is true we need -- the citizens of this city of the taxpayers and a residents of this city need to know what's going on and it's the news media's responsibility to do that and that includes the Times-Tribune. We have a reporter here at every meeting every week so let's get it It's 2012 the new year. on.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Just to comment very briefly on some of what Mr. Jackowitz stated, I was informed when I arrived at the council's office this evening

that, indeed, \$3 million has been found in bank accounts and I believe it is an account connected with the Scranton Parking
Authority. Other than that, we have no further information. I don't know who found the money or how the money was discovered.

I do not know what the use of the money will be in the future, but I do know that that is an issue that has to be discussed with the administration and certainly the use of those funds, those newly discovered funds, should be decided by council and the mayor and beyond that I have no further information for anyone.

MR. MCGOFF: May I just -- I, too,
was made aware of it via phone call
approximately 5:00, quarter to five. It was
my understanding from the phone call that
the account in question was not a Parking
Authority account, but it was a city account
into which monies from the Parking Authority
and from fees, meters whatever had been
deposited, and so that it was not a Parking
Authority -- I understanding it's not a
parking authority account, but a city

account.

MS. EVANS: Right, it's a city account, but I think the procedure is that the Scranton Parking Authority makes those deposits --

MR. MCGOFF: Right.

MS. EVANS: -- into that account from the city's parking meters and from the parking tickets.

MR. MCGOFF: And then it's supposed to be transferred to --

MS. EVANS: To the general fund.

MR. MCGOFF: To the general fund.

MS. EVANS: Yes, and for some reason that did not occur. I don't know for how many years, for example, this has been occurring in order that, you know, \$3 million has been accumulated, but certainly council will be looking into this and, as I said, I believe that the uses of this money must be decided upon jointly.

MR. VANORDEN: It could be used to hire the firemen back.

MS. EVANS: Well, I normally don't allow anyone from the audience to --

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \mbox{MR. VANORDEN:} \quad \mbox{I'm very sorry, I'm} \\ \mbox{sorry.}$

MS. EVANS: But I have to say that I certainly do agree with that. Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You can call me Ronnie.

My friends call me Ronnie.

MS. EVANS: All right, Ronnie.

MR. ELLMAN: Before I made my impassioned speech before, which is probably the shortest in my young career, these people sitting there are business people and I worked long enough for a business person to know they would do everything, they will find every loophole humanly possible to find to save money on taxes and so forth. Those KOZ things, they will do everything. All they did was blow a bunch of smoke in some people's ear what they plan to do, just like the Goodwill place. Enough said.

And in all seriousness, I would like to suggest that council have Mr. Hughes look into the possibility of putting a zone around these universities and colleges as quick as they can. You can take that for what it's worth. I know something you

don't.

You know, I realize Mr. Doherty has a lot of supporters because I run into them. A lady stopped me a couple of days ago at the Dollar Store and was talking to me and she is solely supports them and tells me about his visions and so forth. To me, Mr. Doherty it's like he picked up a brick and could have built a beautiful house but instead he took that brick and smashed a window. Is that a metaphor? Do you understand what I'm trying to say.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, I'm not against the man like people say, it's not a personal thing, I just think he has just done such a poor job. He ought to stand in front of Redner's or Price Rite and just talk to some people and see -- get the feeling of the city. He is just so aloof from -- so out of tune with the people in the city, you know.

I sure wish council could get the budget. I phoned Debbie in the tax bureau to see what my taxes would be before I spend

the money at the Taurus Club or somewhere, she told me it would be a couple of months. Well, this month, you know, next month. They have no idea how to compute it. And now today I saw Mr. O'Brien and I was hoping they would do something. I could pay my taxes this year, but I have talked to so many people that just -- they just can't. They just don't have nothing else to give up.

I was thinking about buying a later model car, you know, I was going to let it go. I just spent \$300 to get my car through inspection instead of trading it in and somebody lost some money along the way because instead of buying a new car it goes towards taxes. That's not helping nobody. Well, it is. I don't mean it that way, but it's not helping the economy.

But, I don't know, I just have all of these people tell me their problems and things and it just breaks my heart. It really does. I mean this sincerely. I'm not just saying that. I hear these sad stories and they just don't have no where

else to go for money anymore for their property. And this grant money could be used for police and to fix up houses and for firemen and I think that would be just a lot more important than fixing up an old building. It won't end up being a tax giveaway like everybody is talking about. It's not there. I know what I'm talking about. You damn well believe me I know that building is not going to be like they stood here and bragged about it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city homeowner and
taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Welcome back. Happy
New Year.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. You, also.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm thrilled that these meetings are switched to Thursday nights. I recently started a second job in the evenings and couldn't come to the meetings on Tuesday nights anymore, so I want to thank council for moving the

meetings to Thursday night just for me.

In all seriousness, I have been coming here for ten years fighting for what is right for this city and I am glad I can continue doing it, and off the bat I want to talk about this headline in the Sunday Doherty newsletter, is there a cause for alarm with a question mark? Scranton Fire Department facing huge changes in 2012. It shouldn't be a question mark on here, it should be about five exclamation marks because there is cause for alarm, which I have been coming here for months and years saying this.

We had one deadly fire last year, and I have said it before, with these cuts there is going to be more deadly fires. And I told Mrs. Schumacher I agree with Doug and I disagree with her. Chris Doherty said he is not going to use the \$600,000 to bring back the firefighters. Lord knows I've been fighting for years here to keep firefighters on and police officers. Council could have found \$5 million, he wasn't going to keep the firefighters on. He would use it for

something else, so I don't think council deserved that criticism.

I, too, want to talk about these meters. I spoke out against them for originally being put there, now they are taken out without council knowing about it, and I'm sorry that Attorney Hughes isn't here tonight I was going to ask could that legally be done? Could he circumvent council like this? So, Mrs. Evans, if you can ask this question for next week?

MS. EVANS: Yes. You can certainly pose that question to our attorney. I can say though that we were informed of it. We received a letter, I don't recall the date, from Attorney Kelly, city solicitor, indicating that the meters would be removed from Mattes Avenue and they were going to be placed on the polls in the area surrounding CMC Hospital. And, of course, part of the justification, which we were all well aware of, was that the meters on Mattes Avenue were not generating revenue, whereas the meters that had operated in the area of the CMC were always lucrative.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, in addition to that nothing, however, was said about the installation of "No Parking" signs. We were simply informed

to occur and chiefly because the agreement

that, you know, this arrangement was going

between the City of Scranton and CMC

Hospital for permit parking for the area

along CMC expired on December 31,2011, and

that agreement was actually settled upon

because one of the CMC's garages had been

under repair for about two years and so the

CMC was actually paying -- well, this is

another can of worms. The CMC was paying

for their parking, put it this way, the

money it seems went to the Scranton Parking

Authority when the money should go to the

City of Scranton and we passed legislation

to that effect, and to this day I still

haven't determined whether the CMC funds

were kept by the Scranton Parking Authority

or then given to the city from the Scranton

Parking Authority because the CMC did pay

the money to the Parking Authority rather

than the city.

So anyway, long story short, the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

garage is up and running, there is no need for permit parking around CMC any longer and so the meters are now in that area where they will generate revenue.

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah, but I think according to when I read the Doherty newsletter shouldn't that legislation come before council to remove those meters and put signs up?

I would tend to believe MS. EVANS: so in that whenever, for example, when the meters were to be placed on Mattes Avenue that came before council. When the meters had been removed, for example, in the Hill Section in the area of the University of Scranton council had to approve that legislation. So, yes, it would seem that that legislation should have come before us, but I did want to clarify that we were notified by letter and, you know, I have to add that if it had come before us in legislation I would have approved it because, obviously, those meters were absolutely futile.

MR. SPINDLER: It was a waste.

MS. EVANS: On Mattes Avenue.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, someone asked me a question recently about the lights on the West Lackawanna new bridge, I explained to them what was wrong, the wire cable or whatever is crimped.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's right.

MR. SPINDLER; is there any update on that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, now that we have a new director of Public Works I plan on getting together with him and see if he is going to do anything. As Mr. Brazil stated on the news, Channel 16 and the newspaper that he was going to be there within that week, but that was back in July, and there are several issues with that bridge and they have to be addressed and by state recommendations as of last year they have until the end of this year, the end of 2012, I believe it's November, to address the numerous issues that the state had pointed out to them. Perhaps they are going to wait until the last minute as usual, that

I don't know.

But they did promise on the news and everything they were going to take care of the sidewalks and try to get something up with the lighting. It bugs me every time I go over that bridge.

MR. SPINDLER: You bet me to it. I was going to suggest since we have a new director now maybe you could talk to him and maybe he would be better than Jeff Brazil was.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I'm hoping. We'll see.

MR. SPINDLER: Hopefully. It can't be any worse. Something else about that Lackawanna Avenue bridge, I brought this up, but I didn't put it in writing, almost a year ago maybe about coming down into the city on the bridge trying to make a left turn there.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That was also presented to them and --

MR. SPINDLER: I asked for a left turn arrow, has anything been said?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Nope.

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: Because it's almost impossible to make a left turn there when it's busy. As I said, the first time I came I said I waited for four light changes once to get through there.

Next thing, I agree with Doug again and I have stated this year after year, I don't think the garbage fees are fair. We pay taxes for this service, we pay taxes for all our services. Like he said, it is taxation without representation. The people have enough burden in this city to pay paying all their taxes and paying their bills, we don't need a garbage fee, and I hope council considers not voting for that. And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

Thank you. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Councilwoman Evans, is it possible to get on the list?

Edwin Tobin.

MS. EVANS: Pardon?

MS. EVANS:

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Is it possible to still sign up on the list?

MS. EVANS: Oh, when the list is finished then I'll ask if any other others wish to address council and you are

1 certainly welcome to. 2 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you. 3 MR. TOBIN: Good evening, council. 4 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. MR. TOBIN: I'm to the point that 6 7 I'm beginning to wonder why we even bother 8 having you people. I have nothing against 9 you. I think you are doing a wonderful job 10 the way you are doing -- of what you can do, 11 but it seems that our mayor has complete 12 control over what goes on in this city. 13 And, Madam President, may I ask Councilman 14 McGraw a question? MS. EVANS: Yes. 15 16 MR. TOBIN: Mr. McGraw. 17 MR. MCGOFF: McGoff. 18 MR. TOBIN: McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 19 20 MR. TOBIN: I'm sorry. 21 MR. MCGOFF: That's all right. 22 MR. TOBIN: You were sworn in last 23 week, weren't you? 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I was. 25 MR. TOBIN: You raised your hand,

| | J4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | put one hand on the Bible? |
| 2 | MR. MCGOFF: I did so. |
| 3 | MR. TOBIN: You swore to that you |
| 4 | would uphold the constitution of the United |
| 5 | States |
| 6 | MR. MCGOFF: And the Commonwealth. |
| 7 | MR. TOBIN: and the state of |
| 8 | Pennsylvania, the Commonwealth. |
| 9 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 10 | MR. TOBIN: And all it's laws; |
| 11 | right? |
| 12 | MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely. |
| 13 | MR. TOBIN: Do you know of any |
| 14 | federal law that the city or the city is |
| 15 | breaking? |
| 16 | MR. MCGOFF: Of any federal law? |
| 17 | MR. TOBIN: Federal law? |
| 18 | MR. MCGOFF: I do not. |
| 19 | MR. TOBIN: What about your handicap |
| 20 | door downstairs? |
| 21 | MR. MCGOFF: I |
| 22 | MR. TOBIN: I have a letter here. |
| 23 | It's a copy from city council. May I read |
| 24 | it? |
| 25 | MS. EVANS: Yes. |
| | |

MR. TOBIN: February 25, 2010. Mark Seitzinger. "Dear Mr. Seitzinger, as a follow-up to a resident's request brought to the attention of Scranton City Council your assistance is requested in resolving a problem with the handicap entrance to city hall located off of Dickson Court. When approaching the entrance, the blue lit decal on the door says, "Easy open. Pull the door." Underneath it it's a big sign "Automatic"," and I will repeat that word, "automatic door. It doesn't work.

This is a fact was true when the police department was located in the basement of city hall and when alerted by the pushing the button could automatically open the door. Since the police department has moved and the air phone is probably no longer operational, could it be removed from outside the entrance to city hall?

Also, make any public facilities have buttons installed inside on and outside entrance that could be pushed to activate an automatic door for persons with a disability who need to conduct business at city hall.

What would be the possibility of having these automatic door operation buttons installed at the handicap entrance to city hall.

Please provide council with a written response of corrective action taken for this above-reference concern on or before March 9, 2010."

It is now 2012. I came into this building last Monday to get this letter. On the way out, a gentleman hollered at me, "Wait a minute, I'll open that door for you."

I thanked him, I thought that was very nice of him, and I said to him, "Aren't those great signs on the door? Automatic door, pull to open."

His answer to me was, "Oh, the motor burnt out and we have been trying to get the man to come here and fix it."

I looked at him and I said, "Two years?"

He says, "It hasn't been two years."

I says, "Would you mind telling me your name?"

His name was Mark Seitzinger. I says, "I have a letter right here that was sent to you two years ago about this door. He looked at it and he saw the top part it says, "Copy, City Council Scranton."

"Oh, from them."

And he walked away from me. You take your conclusions from that. What do people in wheelchairs -- I defy and I'll stay here tonight and anyone that wants to try it, take this wheelchair, go downstairs, go outside and see the fun you have trying to get in here.

As a matter of fact, when Mrs. Krake wrote this letter for me, and I think if she will she will correct me vouch for it, she told me she understood it was broken way before that, been broken at least two years before that.

Cooperation? Where is the cooperation here, Mr. McGoff? You keep hollering if council cooperates with the administration maybe more will get done. How about them cooperating with the council and the citizens of the City of Scranton?

Here's another law on your books, sidewalk law. What does it mean? Can you tell me? It means it should be fixed; right?

MR. MCGOFF: They should be accessible, yes.

MR. TOBIN: I turned Mr. Wolfe up here, the plumber, I don't know whether he is still there or not but that's the sign on the building, three years ago when Mr. Hayes was the safety director here, I took him up and showed him that sidewalk. Last week I come down there and I became an airplane. I hit that sidewalk and I went flying. Thank God I didn't break an arm or a wrist or a leg or a hip or a back, I just bruised myself badly, but at 82 years of age how many times have I got to bruise myself on the sidewalks of the City of Scranton before somebody does something?

Mr. Rogan is in the administration of our councilmen, Mr. Barletta. Couldn't he take this down to say -- to Mr. Barletta and say, "What can we do about this?"

I mean, everybody says, "We can't do

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything. The mayor has complete authority."

He has complete authority over federal laws? What have you done, Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe? I wouldn't pick out just you, you all swore to the same thing last week. Even you, Mrs. Evans.

> MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. TOBIN: What have you done to correct federal laws that you know is being broken in this city. Are we all afraid of the mayor? I have gone to Senator Casey's Office to find out how I can possibly who I can get ahold of in the ADA Office and in the FBI office to get this investigated because it seems to me that's the only way anything is going to get done. Excuse me for going over my time, but I think it's about time you people were lambasted, all of you. You are not doing your job. You swore in front of a priest, a judge, your parents that you would uphold the law and you are not doing it. What do we have to do to get you to do it?

I know if I get ahold of the right

people and they say, "Will you press charges?" I'll be the first one on the list because I'm getting tired of being hurt.

I lost a very, very close friend and classmate in Korea who was over there fighting for our very same laws and rights and we are laughing at him now. Think about it, please. Do something about, please, before somebody gets killed or gets seriously injured.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Tobin.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I have here today is I just listened to this last gentleman speak right now, I think his solution is to call the Pennsylvania Bar Association here locally and ask an attorney to take his case pro bono and proceed into the Court and get an order of the Court to correct any deficiencies that he wants to bring to the Court's attention because that's the only way in my opinion the laws

are going to function here and sometimes is comes to the individual to move mountains because it's obvious to me that government will never move a mountain because it's too busy doing things maybe that it shouldn't be doing.

Another thing I would like to say is I would like to, you know, I read the Scranton Times newspaper that the Scranton School District is considering implementing a program for an evening -- not a school lunch program, but a supper program for the residents in this city and I really think that it's a necessary program and if they would consider implementing that I think it would be a great benefit to the community considering the statistics on the amount of people in America living below the poverty level.

Another thing is I think we need to take a good look at where the cuts in government are going. LIHEAP was cut, food stamps were cut, local programs are cut. I mean, the bedrock of everything that ordinary people need to survive is being cut

away.

22

23

24

25

1

In my own opinion I know that for weeks prior to the election, you know, we kept putting the big boy chair up there for the mayor and I really think that people need to realize that is the mayor playing politics and is he the only one playing politics? Does the council play politics? Do other elected officials play politics? And I just think is it time for us in this country, not just here but everywhere, to put politics aside and start really implementing programs and ideas that benefit people separate of politics because the founder of our nation thought that politics and political parties were the worse thing we could do to our nation? And I have to say that after 200 years he was right then and if he was here and he voiced the same opinion he would be right now.

I don't know, you know, we had -- we had the debate here, I guess you could call it a debate about the Scranton Lace building. Well, in my opinion, you know, I never said that we were moving money away

from fire and police protection, I merely said that we couldn't afford to fund it.

You know, we are talking about cutbacks to DPW, but we have a tipping fee for the -- well, you could call it the refuse tax or be in the city, that's turned a surplus for a long time, and that fee wasn't supposed to generate revenue, and the last time I checked it was and my question is if that fee was generating revenue why wasn't that money used to keep the DPW employees on the job?

In regards to the millions of dollars that was recently found, you know, it's not political. Every branch of government needs to use the power it's given not to play politics but to protect it's residents. Some speakers have gotten up here and spoke about how people outside of the area have opinions of the city. I hear it all the time. I mean, in places 50 miles away they just can't believe what's happened to this city. They can't believe we are tearing down all our neighborhoods. They can't believe the blight we have created,

important to implement legislation that would create an atmosphere here to create jobs in development. We have always, I have heard it for a very long time, how we blame the Chamber of Commerce for all the bad things in the Scranton Times. And I really have to say if you are resident in this city and you don't vote you need to grow up. You need to sit in that big boy or big girl chair and get involved. All of these things that have happened here have happened because people didn't participate. I'd like to come to this council meeting some day and find an outrageous number of people here that want

and to be honest with you I think most of

the residents can't believe we have done

January to realize that, you know, it's nice

to say that the mayor is playing politics,

but is he the only one? And is time maybe

necessary to always one up your opponent at

to just move away from politics and make

the public's expense or would it be more

decisions that benefit people, and is

that and I just think it's time for in

24

25

22

23

24

25

to participate. A thousand would be a good start of. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

 $\mbox{MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave} \label{eq:dobrzyn.}$

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton and taxpayer and so forth. Okay, first of all, Happy New Year to everybody.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Now, I'd like to start with something that Pat said a couple of weeks ago about the efficiency studies on the DPW. It's the management's option to do an efficiency study, so if he wants to study it, but I think the lynchpin on any efficiency study with those people is that we have to standardized disposal methods because everybody just goes out and throws their garbage at the end of the lot and doesn't recycle -- or a lot of people, and it just makes a big mess and so I can understand it would be more appropriate if we gave them all a shovel by the time they get around for picking up the trash which is

every week, but the animals get into it and it gets blown around and thrown around and I'm sure it's costing us a lot of money and the people that dispose of it properly and pay their bills on time and so forth, well, we are the ones that are supporting people doing that.

I'm also concerned, I know Pat is not here this week, he is in charge of the police? Are you, John?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. DOBRZYN: This business with the-- over the Christmas holidays with the fights down the school, are there any increases in patrols there that could prevent those kids because, you know, sometimes -- a weaker kid could get chased around by a bully and if you fight back the appearance is sometimes the weaker kid could -- I wound up in the high school principal's office for that. I had kids in school in the 8th grade chasing me around because I'm so big, you know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They have resource officers at the school that have a radio

that can contact additional, but I guess right after that they did have them going through a metal detector or whatever.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, it's hard to cover all of the schools because it starts even in grade school that kind of behavior, but it gets a little more serious when it goes up towards high school, but anyway.

One thing that you might want to keep in mind there, I walk the river trail and I see some kids going through there, so if they are having problems with being bullied maybe the teachers should tell them to stay out of that section and try to walk in a normal route where they wouldn't get jumped by a bully, you know --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah.

MR. DOBRZYN: -- and worked over.

And this parking at General

Dynamics, I know somebody that is supervisor at General Dynamics and it's interesting -- it might be interesting to mention that the employees of General Dynamics are on a strike against purchasing things in the Scranton borders. They are actually denying

1

5

4

7

8

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our merchants and local businesses business because of this parking situation. refuse -- if they have to buy gas they try to buy it up in Peckville or down in Old Forge where they are traveling from as opposed to -- just because we have those parking meters down there and now we have a "No Parking" zone which the only time I have ever seen that parking down in that area is maybe for the St. Patty's Day parade, you know, so they should go and take them down, please, and just let those -- and maybe we can smooth things over and get a little business for people, you know, because they are not stopping in Scranton, that's my understanding, for anything that they need because of that parking situation.

It cost them about \$8 a day right now or more in quarters just to park at those meters with the current rates and now we have turned around and banned parking there altogether.

And a I know a few weeks ago I mentioned Moosic Street and I was told that it's a state responsibility, but now

in-between the eight and nine hundred block -- seven and eight hundred block of Moosic Street we have several holes that I have seen in the paper that it was the Sewer Authority at fault. They were -- they had to excavate there and the patches they are doing are terrible. It's a brand new street. I don't know care if they ever fix the street, fix the Sewer Authority first, you know, and the water company and gas company.

Okay, well, I'll try to make it quick. Mr. Chenis was -- he is a KT in economics, he came up with the theory of government spending money to improve the economy and jump starting a new economy and it no longer works as well as what it did because if we hire a bunch of public employees and give them money they go out and by foreign projects or foreign products and there is no ripple effect anymore, so it used to work a lot better after the great depression. So the golden parrot of 2011 goes to both the Republicans and the

North Korea where they are employing slave labor and paying the government of -- South Korea is paying North Korea for the slaves. Thank you very much both the Republicans and the Democrats and bawk, bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. TORDO: My name is Alex Tordo.

I am the campus and community organizer for the Energy Justice Network and my goals and responsibilities in Northeast Pennsylvania,

I live out in Pike County and I have worked for the last six months up in rural townships, and this is the first time I have come to you, because I have been helping township residents, small town residents work in their townships regarding gas drilling, fracking, the Marcellus shale issue.

There are a few issues that I would like to urge your -- and I can correspond later this week with you or next week before the next meeting about opportunities for Scranton to address contamination of the

Lackawanna river that is sent downstream to you from Susquehanna County where the headwaters are, the western part of Wayne County, which is -- there are many permits in Susquehanna County that -- especially with the recent news of Lenox Township, L-E-N-O-X, the aquifer there was found to be contaminated by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection this week, in addition to the town of the Dimock and surrounding towns that have reported impacts in their aquifer which is part of the headwaters of the Lackawanna river.

Scranton shouldn't have to take toxic trespass of decisions made upstream from you. Those toxic chemicals that are used in drilling can be spilled, can be dumped illegally, can be contaminating the aquifer and should not be sent down stream to you.

In addition, in term of economic development in Lackawanna County there have been three permitted wells in Lackawanna County, one of them was in North Abington Township, which we recently found expired

this past month in December, so I think that one is in North Abington Township is behind Roba's Tree Farm near Waverly. It's a very sensitive place to put a ten acre well pad having the industrial site with homes adjacent to the property. It's also by the Lackawanna County State Park.

Property values in Scranton I
believe being from Pike County and the
Poconos region, we all know the Poconos,
that that's a huge asset that the rural
esthetic value of our heritage in this area
that has become comprised by prior issues
like the coal industry, right, that have
been -- those are assets that I think are
valuable to living in Northeast
Pennsylvania, the beauty, the fishing, the
hunting. I'm a hunter and that's one of the
core reasons why I'm offended by some of the
decisions the gas industry has made to dump
in game land in Bradford County and things
like that.

I think Scranton should look into helping or assisting in whatever way possible, Lackawanna County developing a

lease map for the county to identify what parcels and lots have been leased to gas drillers. I believe that, for example, if you want to start a bed and breakfast that might derive money for the region in the area and there are leased parcels and lots in the city you may think twice if there is it a possibility that in the future the property may be developed for natural gas drilling and may ruin the visual esthetic of what you are trying to draw people towards with recreation, which is the heart of the Poconos economy.

So as small town citizen I come to the City of Scranton asking you to consider the lease map issue, the Lackawanna County river quality and impacts on that and it might just be a quarterly water tests, things like that, and also to identify the permits that are adjacent to the river or within the water shed.

In addition, I attended the Susquehanna river basin commission meeting with members of the community that live along or were effected by the flooding and

things like that. There is -- the reservoirs upstream on the Susquehanna river were not drained adequately before the hurricane because of -- partially, and this isn't the only reason, but they were concerned about over withdrawals from the river because of the gas drillers who require 1 to 9 million gallons of water per frack and they do up to ten fracks per well, so if you don't understand that a question of the gas, the Susquehanna river residents want answers.

They presented their argument in Wilkes-Barre that last meeting to the federal commission for the river that where the reservoir is not drained because of the gas drilling and did that enhance or cause the flooding and are we paying the price in our property values and devastation in our communities because of this industry and some of the disrespectful ways that they are behaving and whether you support drilling or not having a map of the leases and things are valuable assets for economic development and should be considered and it's a great

22

23

24

25

tool for all of us to consider the future of our region and the long-term industrial choices we want to make and what we allow and bring in here because we have some great assets. We have the largest wind capacity out of any Appalachian sate. We have sunlight, we have geothermal capacity, so I'd like to see more great industry and technology and manufacturing and things like that in place of guys like me getting hurt on these jobs, too, I couldn't tell you all of the stories I've heard with young men being injured and getting hired to the most dangerous parts of the drilling operation. I'd like to see that Scranton take a bold step and take on the biggest companies in the world, Exxon Mobile and Chevron and I know you are a small and you're underfunded, but you guys can do it and please look into that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. VANORDEN: Good evening. The first thing I want to address is the issue with Mr. Tobin I think --

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, before you go on any further can you give your name?

MR. VANORDEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Michael Vanorden, Occupy Scranton. Hello. On the issue of Mr. Tobin and the handicap access area, I thought a little over two years is little bit much. I think it should be fixed immediately. I think two weeks to fix that would be an ample amount of time and I'm going to put pressure on the mayor to make sure that it is done and I'm going to give him a two-week deadline and if it's not fixed I am going to seek legal counsel for Mr. Tobin to go after the city for violation of his federal rights under the American Disabilities Act, and it's not going to look good.

The second issue I have is very simple, you know, like I said, I'm not originally from Scranton, I have been here about years, I'm from Elizabeth, New Jersey, this area is hurting for business and the sales in the malls and, you know, it's collapsing. One of the things my town initiated several years ago was they lowered

the taxes in a particular shopping district to 3 percent and the economics in that city is booming. You can look it up on Google, talk to the mayor of home town, Chris Bolage, and it does -- brings people from New York City, from Stanton Island because of the sales tax on certain items is 3 percent, and there's a lot of revenue coming in because of that. It's something you should think about.

Another thing, a more serious thing, is the homeless issue. Last Thursday or Friday evening I was down by St. Anthony's Haven, there is a limited number of beds in there for women. To be exact, there is two bunk beds for a total of four, and there are two cots that are listed for a total of six women, and that particular night four women were turned away because they reached their capacity. That's the only shelter in the city.

Because of my knowledge with the first organization I was able to get them shelter at the Red Carpet Inn. You know, three of those people had shelter, the other

one found some place to go.

The city has plenty of vacant buildings here. I cannot see why the mayor can't take it upon himself in these times of years to say, okay, I can open this building for a safe haven for the people in this type of climate, this time of year, even it's ten people, that's ten less people off the street who may die out there. You know, it's winter, it's cold, and it's going to get colder. People are going to get sick and die.

And I've been talking with a particular person right now, I'm not going to mention his or her name, but that individual I'm working directly with that person and we are hoping that we can get the building that that person has looked at and I believe that person is just waiting for a response from the mayor himself. If that falls through, there is nothing else except St. Anthony's. I mean, granted a lot of people are out there because they choose to be out there, but a lot of them are also out there because they got psychological and

mental needs and they don't know how to take care of themselves.

So people have to reach out in the community and the City of Scranton. It's not about the dollar, it's about their life, you know, it's about the human life, it's about a human life and something really, really has to be done about this and it's a serious issue and it's only going to get worse. People are losing their jobs and losing their homes, where are they going? Tents, railroad tracks, under bridges, it's going to get worse, so we have to try to figure out a solution.

You know, this virtually is a small crisis brewing within this city and the country and we really, really have to do something about this now before it's too late. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Vanorden, I apologize, I did receive your call, I haven't had a chance to respond. Tomorrow I'm catching up on my calls, so if you are available tomorrow you will hear back from

me.

MR. VANORDEN: Actually, I won't be, but early next week would be fine. I got a little thing I'm doing in Philadelphia tomorrow, but I do appreciate your remembering. I was going to mention that to you, but okay.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I apologize, but we got a little backed up so0.

MR. VANORDEN: Understand. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer.

Recording secretary of the FOP, First

Amendment Rights, voicing my opinion. Well,

here we are in 2012, ten full years since

the current administration took office. The

question is are we better off today than we

were on January 1, 2002 before the

coronation? I say, no.

In January of 2002 the mayor and his administration started out with a \$3 million surplus. Fast forward to now and we are

borrowing 9 million plus for unpaid bills for 2011. Is that better off now than on January 1, 2002, when we had a surplus from Connors? That is only one example.

In 2002, we had 165 police officers, this includes some federally funded officers and our budget was \$57,500,000. In 2012 we have a 139 police officers, no federally funded officers, and our budget is \$85 million.

In 2002, the tax rate was 29.8 percent less than it is now in 2012.

2002 we had 150 firefighters and our budget was \$57,500,000. In 2012 we have 101 firefighters and our budget is \$85 million.

Are we better off or safer now than on January 1, 2002? In 2002 we had 571 employees and our budget was \$57,500,000.

Now we have approximately 470 employees and the budget is \$85.

We are paying for taxes for less.

We are service oriented, not a business.

Are we better off now than we were on

January 2, 2002, with less services for our

29.8 percent increase in taxes? Our

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

long-term debt is now over 300 million.

By totaling the city's ten budgets from 2002 through 2011, and if the loans for those ten years were added onto the budget total the city would have taken in over \$861 That's a lots of money. What do we have to show for it in infrastructure? Look at the streets, for example. Street from Pittston Avenue to Stafford Avenue is deplorable. Okay, there is a couple of cemeteries there and possibly they don't pay taxes, but there are homes and businesses there. I'm sure they pay intoxicants taxes. We can't forget city taxpayers also use that street and they deserve better. Oh, I forgot, it's not about public safety driving on streets that are deplorable and can be dangerous, it's about money.

20 to 30 million owed to the police officers and firefighters because of broken contracts and endless arbitration and court battles, payments to attorneys and court costs that have cost the city over \$4 million over the years, and this is

according to the mayor. The raises that the union workers will be getting will not be anywhere near what they are if the city sat down, but they did sit down but the negotiating wasn't done in good faith by the city. At one point both sides shook hands and the city threw the kibosh into it and everything was off. The mayor wanted to bring the unions to our knees, but what was accomplished that the taxpayers are being brought to their knees, and they may not even have any knee pads.

I keep saying it, it did not have to be this way. Just remember what the mayor said, it's not about public safety, it's about money. How about that? That's a quote from our mayor in the slimes on Sunday, January 8, 2011 -- I'm sorry, 2012. The story is titled, "Cause for alarm? Scranton Fire Department facing huge changes in 2012." By Josh Mrozinski, staff writer. What did you think about that? Is that what is thought of about Scranton residents, it is not about public safety? What is it about? There are 70,000 plus residents in

this city and it's not about their safety?

How much is safety worth? We have 29

firefighters less at the beginning of this

year plus the previous layoffs and vacancies

through attrition. So far we have been very

lucky. I hope we continue to be lucky

because even one person getting a minor

injury is not acceptable.

Again, how much is the public and the firefighters and our own safety worth?

The answer is "no" we are not better off.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank. Is there anyone else?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: A few. First, just to deal with the idea of people on council doing their duty and not being engaged in politics and so on, I firmly believe that everyone on council acts in what they feel to be the best interest of the city. We disagree on a lot of items and we may disagree on what we feel to be the best

interest of the city, but I think all of us, you know, act in that way and at times maybe we don't deal with some issues that exist simply because we may not be aware of those issues and I know that, you know, members that come here, there are people that come here to speak try to make us aware of certain issues and I thank Mr. Tobin, you know, for being here and making people The only way in which you can get things done is to be made aware of what's happening so that you can take some action and hopefully we can do something to alleviate some of the issues that were addressed.

Second, the \$3 million that was for want of a better word found, lacking really proper information about it I don't want to comment too much. Certainly over the next few days we will hopefully get some resolution where that came from, and I agree that I think they -- the questioning of getting \$3 million may seem somewhat ironic, but I think we do have to ask some questions and I think the big questions that we have

to really ask is how that \$3 million will be used, whether it's for prior bills from 2011 or whether it can be used to reduce the unfunded debt or can it be used for, you know, 2012 issues such as reinstating positions in public safety, you know, wherever, DPW or wherever we may. I think that's -- and again, as you said, something that needs to be done jointly, and again, in what we all agree would be the best interest of the city.

As far as the Lace Works, which has been discussed this evening, I don't live in that area, I drive -- the only -- I drive through that area every once in awhile and I can understand the frustration that people in this area must have with living around that eyesore and I think that any project that offers hope to restoring some semblance of the order and esthetics to that area is worth our consideration.

From what I see, the project is one that is certainly meaningful and it was brought up about job construction and -- or about job creation and I sit here and just

the construction jobs alone that would be created in that project would be a benefit to the city. I don't care if they were --you know, what the term would be. It's putting people to work and then, you know, afterwards we are left with something that is on the tax rolls and a benefit to the community, so I think it's -- to me it's a no-brainer in supporting that type of project.

And I know that there are some people -- you know, concerns about grants and all, as far as I know most of the grants that we be received are pretty much specific to that type of project and it's not money that could be used elsewhere, you know, and again, in infusing the economy with that type of money is I think beneficial.

And the zoning concerns that we may have for the future, I think that those are problems that we deal with in the future and I'm very supportive of this program and hopefully we can get resolution to it this evening.

There was a meeting I believe

yesterday with the Parking Authority and the Landmark Bank and the city administration I was unable to attend the meeting, I originally said I would. I know Mrs. Krake attended on behalf of council and I hope that there is some -- or I believe that there is, you know, some efforts to make these parking authorities more responsive to council, to everyone.

I know that we received a letter from Landmark Bank and there are a number of caveats that they have for the funding. Some of the things that we actually mentioned, one of the them being a more comprehensive budget and the bank's approval of their budget. I know which we talked about getting something, you know, more substantial from them and I know the other thing that was mentioned was a parking study, which the Parking Authority said that -- I believe said that they were perhaps not able to fund completely and perhaps the city would assist in the funding of that study, maybe that would be something that would be worth looking at and I know briefly

talking with Mrs. Krake she did mention, and correct me if I'm wrong, that the bank was also encouraging or that the Parking Authority look to implement more efficient method for the collection of meter fees and/or meter and all which goes back to what Mr. Loscombe had originally proposed under the StreetSmart-type program. So hopefully there is some movement there, and again, I'm sorry that I did miss the meeting and I would have liked to have reported more, I'm sure Mrs. Krake has information that she can share with us.

The meetings with PEL that have been held over the -- that I have attended over the last couple of weeks while we've been away at least for a short while talked about a number of issues, one of the things that I was going to talk about was the unfunded borrowing, but with this \$3 million found I'm sure that the application for unfunded borrowing is now going to come under different scrutiny as to the amount that would be needed to, you know, that we would need to borrow and so on and so I was going

to report that they were awaiting a date with the court, that everything had been filed and we were awaiting going to court.

I don't know if that is going to change.

The other thing that was mentioned was the parking tax that -- or the parking that we had talked about for the parking garage tax or --

MS. EVANS: Oh, yes.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I'm probably misstating what it was, but one of the things they talk about was whether it would be more appropriate to rescind current legislation or to amend the current legislation so maybe it's something that we can look at so we can move forward with that proposal as well.

And one other item that was
mentioned during that, during the meetings
with PEL, came up about fire equipment and
the condition of the fire equipment and it
was mentioned that I had always thought that
the fire department had a separate
maintenance component and I was told that a
number of years ago that was removed and

that right now maintenance of the fire equipment is being done by one mechanic from DPW who, as was said, does a great job of trying to deal with it, but is not trained in specifically dealing fire equipment, and Mr. Loscombe will probably know more about that than I, and the request was that perhaps we could look into funding somehow a training, you know, for people who will be working on these -- on the equipment so that they are capable of dealing with some of problems that exist with the fire equipment, and there were a number of other things done at those meetings, but another day.

And lastly, and the last thing, I was going to wait until the legislation, but I do want to just briefly mention about the real estate millage. When it was presented or as it has been presented it is, as I said, when you do the math if you take -- I don't want to go through all of the numbers, from the assessor's office the value of the city land is somewhere a little over 92 million. When you multiply that by the millage as we are proposing and then times

21

22

23

24

25

the collection rate of 87 percent, which is pretty, you know, kind of the normal, you get almost \$9 million -- or, excuse me. get 8 million -- or if you multiply the millage times the assessment 92 million -you get \$8 million, almost \$9 million. you do improvements, which are somewhere around 292 million times the millage you get a little over \$6 million, and then if you add those together and then multiply them by the collection rate, I'm terrible with math, it comes out to \$13.1 million, and that's what I was saying, and I don't mean to be critical, I'm not trying to be critical of Mr. Joyce or, you know, with the budget, I just feel that we are looking at the possibility of a shortfall due to -- you know, due to this situation, that we budgeted for 13.9 and the numbers, if they hold true, will produce only 13.1.

Now, obviously, one or two thing or both things could occur over, you know, 2012. The assessed value of land would increase, which would increase the amount that we are collecting. I don't know that

that's going to occur. I know it was mentioned that, as was mentioned here and was mentioned at the PEL meeting, by Mrs. Krake I believe, about defending going them, going to the appeals, assessment appeals and defending on those appeals, and I'm told that the school district does that not on a regular basis but when there are large appeals, large businesses or something appealing it, would that increase the assessed value enough to makeup the \$800,000 that we are seeing, I don't know. Perhaps.

The other thing that we can look at is an increased collection rate. I know Mr. Courtright is doing his best at the Single Tax Office. I don't know that we can ask him to do more. I guess we can ask. If the collection rate, you know, goes to 90, 92, 93, then, yes, we will meet the 13.9 million, but it is just something that concerns me.

I will vote in favor of the millage.

It's been, you know, it's part of the budget, it's been already passed through as part of the budget, again, it's just a

concern that I have and hopefully over the next, you know, then it will be resolved over the next year and that's all.

MS. EVANS: If I could just for a second?

MR. MCGOFF: Sure.

MS. EVANS: I don't want to speak
for Mr. Joyce, but I'm aware of that
recently, I don't know whether it was this
week or late last week, Mr. Joyce did speak
with Gerry Cross from PEL and they discussed
the matter that you brought forth and
Mr. Cross after having spoken with Mr. Joyce
felt comfortable with what -- you know, with
Mr. Joyce's formula.

And I think, you know, to maybe address the potential shortage that you are concerned about, for example, well, let's begin with the figures provided from Mr. Courtright. I had spoken with him several times and I know Mr. Joyce did as well. Originally we were plugging \$300,000 in, which was very conservative, for 888 monies. Mr. Courtright after additional conversation felt that he could go with \$500,000 and that

was on basically we were discussing the \$500,000 mark because of the recommendation of Ryan McGowan who wanted to set it at \$500,000 rather than Council's \$300,000 and so having spoken with Mr. Courtright I think everyone felt comfortable then that we would go along with Mr. McGowan's suggestion.

What was also discussed at the time was that I think it's Burkhart will be collecting the taxes as well.

MR. MCGOFF: Burkheimer.

MS. EVANS: Burkheimer, correct, will be collecting taxes as well and at that time Mr. McGowan had wanted council to actually place a figure of \$1 million into the 888 monies rather than the \$500,000 that was I don't want to say guaranteed by Mr. Courtright because you can't guarantee anything, but Mr. Courtright felt comfortable with, but Mr. Courtright did add he couldn't speak for Burkheimer, he has never had any dealings with them. But Mr. McGowan that the city would be getting \$500,000 in addition to Mr. Courtright's collection of \$500,000. However, we felt

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that that was quite a risk to take with the budget, a jump of \$500,000 there. So again, that's apparently quite a possibility.

In addition to that, I know that

having had no experience with Burkheimer

council, for example, allocated \$120,000 -well, for OECD for the repayment to HUD of the ineligible activities and since we received legislation from Ms. Aebli concerning transfers of funding, I noticed that in reading the backup on that that the mayor wanted OECD or Ms. Aebli to request of HUD that our CDBG funding be cut in order to cover the ineligible activities. So there again, we have possibly another \$120,000 that's going to become available. We have, as you know, we set aside the \$600,000 that we all wanted used towards the reinstatement of the 13 firefighters. The mayor has said on more than one occasion he will not use the money in that way and he will use it for financial purposes, and so even though I don't agree with that decision there he has that money available to him as well.

MR. MCGOFF: And all I wanted, I

have a concern, hopefully all of the things that, you know, we are looking at occur and then this doesn't become a concern and maybe, again, if we are aware of the situation perhaps we can look at doing some things to make sure that or to try and get it closer to that 13.9 than, so thank you.

MS. EVANS: You are welcome. And, Mr. Loscombe, I'm sorry, do you have comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a few and I'll try to be as brief as possible. I just want to address a couple of issues that were brought up tonight. Mr. Morgan mentioned that, you know, we may be playing politics or whatever and that's his opinion, but I just wanted to follow that up with the fact that city council if you accused of us playing politics the one thing we don't do we don't play politics with other people's lives, contrary to others who play politics.

Mr. Tobin, I would like to address very valid concerns, I mean, it's ashame it's gone this far. He has the definite right to be as upset as he is, and I just

want top finish that with the fact that when we submit a request to a department head we expect it to be followed up and completed and responded to, unfortunately, that hasn't happened too frequently, and us being a part-time body, we are not in the office every day to follow-up or get the responses.

A lot of times when someone has a concern if they don't hear anything in a couple of weeks they will call us and re-alert us and we will try to follow-up on it, and I assure you now that I'm aware of this that I will follow-up on it for you and do what I can at this point, but I think we all even though we are a part-time body and there is full-time employees in this building that are supposed to be doing this stuff, that any one of our families will say we are full-time employees because we are dealing with issues around the clock and we try to do as much as we can.

We get probably dozens of issues on a daily basis brought into Mrs. Krake and, you know, we try to -- again, first option is to let the appropriate department handle

| 1 | it and, unfortunately, that hasn't been |
|----|--|
| 2 | happening. |
| 3 | MR. TOBIN: Can I answer your |
| 4 | question or statement, please? How long are |
| 5 | these issues supposed to be not taken care |
| 6 | of? |
| 7 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, as I said |
| 8 | MR. TOBIN: Here the situation right |
| 9 | here with this automatic door we know of it |
| 10 | two years that we have been breaking the |
| 11 | federal law? |
| 12 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Exactly. |
| 13 | MR. TOBIN: And possibly for six |
| 14 | years. |
| 15 | MS. EVANS: Yes, thank you, |
| 16 | Mr. Tobin. |
| 17 | MR. TOBIN: How long is the |
| 18 | sidewalks? |
| 19 | MR. LOSCOMBE: What I'm trying to |
| 20 | state is that when it was initially brought |
| 21 | their attention they should have acted on it |
| 22 | right away, we should have received a |
| 23 | response. I have only been here two years. |
| 24 | The employees that are responsible for that |
| 25 | should have taken care of it. They should |

have responded to us, but we can't -- we 1 2 don't have the ability to have go to the 3 stack every day and see if we have responses, we rely if you do have a problem 4 5 to contact us again and say, "Listen, it's been three weeks and nothing has been done." 6 MR. TOBIN: 7 I'm questioning your 8 responsibilities, the mayor --9 MR. LOSCOMBE: I understand. 10 MR. TOBIN: -- is the boss. 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, it's beyond --12 MR. TOBIN: Why wasn't it --13 MR. LOSCOMBE: It exceeded what it 14 should have been. MR. TOBIN: And, I mean, the way I 15 16 was answered Monday about this handicapped 17 door, evidently if I take Mr. Seitzinger's 18 answer, he was just told about it. 19 MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, Mr. Tobin. 20 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: He doesn't read his 22 mail, but I assure you I will be giving you 23 a call. 24 MR. TOBIN: I mean, this is what's 25 aggravating.

MS. EVANS: Yes, but I --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOBIN: And every time you talk to somebody, "That's not my job" or "I can't do anything about it."

MS. EVANS: Well, and I know exactly what you are saying, I have been here a long time and I have dealt with a great deal of frustration for many years, but the fact of the matter is that the mayor hires and the mayor fires and that city council as a legislative body has no authority over these departments heads. Mr. Seitzinger, for example, is the head of licensing, inspections and permits.

We can make requests on your behalf and we do this regularly for all of the citizens of Scranton who contact us, we can make a request, however, we cannot force any department, it's not within our scope of authority to force any department to do anything. The mayor -- they, in other words, take their orders from the mayor and they are responsible to the mayor not to city council.

Now, for example, if we want to talk

23

24

25

1

with even department heads for any request we make we must send a copy to the mayor of the city and if he says, you know, he agrees that can be done then it might happen. Ιf he says, I couldn't be bothered and puts it into the trash, that's the way it goes because, in other words, these department heads won't even come into a council meeting to discuss these issues with council unless they receive the permission of the mayor to do so, which is why we have never had any of them here. Well, I could be wrong, if anyone else recalls having had them here in the last two years. I know we have wanted Mr. Seitzinger, we have wanted Mr. Brazil, and that has not happened.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I think we may have had Mr. Brazil on an issue here, but that was it.

MR. TOBIN: Mrs. Evans, may I speak to you after the meeting?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you. And I'm so sorry. Mr. Loscombe.

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \mbox{Oh}, \ \mbox{that's okay} \,.$

MR. TOBIN: I would like to speak to

both of you after the meeting.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And just a couple of other things, the meters down at Chamberlain were discussed. I don't know if we can officially ask Mrs. Krake to send a letter to Scranton Parking Authority and the law department to get the status on that and why it wasn't presented to city council, and if in the meantime they could please remove the "No Parking" signs until it's resolved, at least give the public that opportunity.

I noticed in the newspaper the mention of funding for a fire truck that the city is purchasing. I just wanted to remind the public that the money put in for the fire truck was put in the CDBG funding by this city council in our amended budget after they tried to lease a fire truck and it was put on hold because I believe they were waiting for the audit at that time, the leasing company, and it just fell apart, so this city council took it upon themselves to fund for a fire truck. That was not mentioned in the newspaper article.

Also, blight. There was an issue on

money for blight. Again, this city council in it's amended budget has taken on the attack on blight, we are the ones that have put extra money in there to fight blight, and I just wanted to get that on the record, too, because that's not something you read in the Scranton Times.

A couple of other issues, the recycling with DPW I had also had some questions on at that, also, and I believe we sent a request back a year or so ago regarding a bar in West Scranton and I don't know if he ever received a valid response, but if we could send a letter to DPW requesting their policies for recycling at businesses, particularly taverns and bars. If we can find out what the story is on that.

Let's see here, I'm going to elaborate on this a little more next week, but I do have to address our public safety with the fire department, the cutbacks.

It's incredible. I mean, we are playing Russian roulette on a daily basis and what appalled me was to see the Sunday Times

article in the paper where the mayor states,
"We pay for what we can afford, Mayor Chris
Doherty said, adding the public will remain
safe with the changes."

Unbelievable. This is not about public safety, this is about money. He has put a price on your lives, people. Where is the outrage? Are we going to wait until we have fatality before the public is outraged over this? It's crazy and it's going to happen. We are playing Russian roulette on a daily basis. We are going to have a catastrophe, and it's an embarrassment for us with surrounding communities when they see what we have here.

But I would just hope, and I have been in some discussions, and I would hope we are able to work something out on this and I will elaborate on that a little bit further. But, again, we have brought this up week after week and it's certainly, especially for new business coming into town to see how their protection has been decimated, and it's you know what it's going to do to their business, their insurance

- -

rates are going to triple. It's going to be significant while this man sits down there and makes comments like that, and I believe in another day in the newspaper he is more concerned about cutting grass. I don't understand it. I don't understand where the outrage is. I just can't figure it out.

And then I happen to pick up a copy of the Citizens' Voice I saw in on the newsstand, when I saw the cover I had to grab it because here's Mayor Layton in Wilkes-Barre in front of city council, which I guess he does on a regular basis, and he is under the gun on a lot of stuff down there, but he is man enough to get up in the public where he should be and face the public and answer the questions.

We are talking about getting together and working things out. Come right here, Mr. Mayor. I won't put the chair there, but this is the place to work it out. We work for the public, you work for the public. No more playing with their lives.

And just finally, the Lace Works project, I think it's a very great thing for

the city. I mean, a building that's been sitting vacant I don't think anybody else would pick it up. I like the ideas for the I think they are putting a major buildina. investment in that area themselves, and what really impressed me was the fact that they were willing to hold back and discuss the situations with the neighbors who were concerned, and that happened on more than one occasion and we could have -- they could have had this approval already, but they were willing to let us have another public hearing, which they all came and answered questions and I believe the neighbors -- the neighborhood associations had all of their questions answered and in listening to their speaking tonight I believe it's a benefit to the neighborhood and to the city and I just wish a lot of luck, go on with the project, and hopefully you will tackle more. you very much.

MS. EVANS: And, thank you,
Mr. Loscombe. Mr. McGoff, did you want to
add anything?

MR. MCGOFF: It was just with the

22

23

19

20

21

24

25

1 recycling, didn't we the last time this came 2 up wasn't the -- we collected at some, like, 3 private establishments because it increased the volume and we got rebates, that we 4 5 got some type of recycling rebate for the added collection? 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: You may be correct 7 8 there. 9 MR. MCGOFF: I'll look into it. 10 I'm pretty sure that that was why recycling 11 was collected at some places where regular refuse wasn't collected. 12 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Right, commercial 14 establishments. But, however, if they are going to do it at one or two or three they 15 16 are going to have to do it at 100. I mean, 17 you can't pick and chose which --18 MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure how it works, Mr. Loscombe. Like I said, I will 19 20 see if I can --21 MR. LOSCOMBE: But that definitely 22 benefits us on the recycling. 23 MR. MCGOFF: I'm pretty sure that's 24 the reason why it was done. 25 MS. EVANS: Thank you, gentlemen.

Good evening. Scranton City Council opens this 2012 session with hope for a continued cooperation of the Doherty administration and the Pennsylvania Economy League. Firm goals for the forthcoming year that include the implementation of payroll, commuter and amusement taxes for 2013 and valid financial and public safety concerns that require our steadfast vigilance.

I wish to begin tonight by bringing the public up-to-date and clarifying recent city issues. First, a 2010 audit draft will be provided by Rossi & Company in order that the city can submit it to the banking community in compliance with their requirements for the issuance of a 2012 TAN. When the 2010 audit is formally completed it will be submitted to city council and it's contents may then discussed publically.

Second, in cooperation with the administration city council will introduce tonight six pieces of legislation that will set the tax rates for calendar year 2012.

Council could not place this legislation on it's agenda until it was submitted by the

administration. In a letter dated January 3, 2012, to Ryan McGowan, Attorney Hughes and Nancy Krake, City Solicitor Kelly asked to be forwarded the millage rates in order that he could draft legislation to be included on the January 12 council agenda.

On January 4, Councilman Joyce,
Finance Chair, forwarded the millage rate to
city council's office which then provided
the figures to the appropriate parties on
January 5. The six pieces of tax
legislation were not submitted to the Office
of City Council until Monday, January 9.

When reviewing previous year's time lines, it can be determined that tax legislation was not approved in the beginning of the January. For example, city council's first regularly scheduled meeting of 2011 was held on January 4 because no Sine Die or reorganization was required. However, the tax legislation was not submitted in time to place on council's January 4 meeting agenda, thus, it was first introduced during the January 11 meeting and passed by suspending the rules during the

January 18 meeting.

In 2012, which required a reorganization meeting in compliance with the Home Rule Charter, council followed the timeline and pattern of the prior years. At no time was a council meeting delayed. However, no problem regarding the setting of the millage occurred until now and whether it is wise or unwise, the mayor appears to have decided against pursuing the \$250,000 that has been lost in realty transfer tax for the sale of the Moses Taylor Hospital to Community Health Systems and city council must respect his decision.

Third, it was recently reported that the city will have \$1.1e million for blight removal in 2012, and I know that my colleague, Mr. Loscombe, addressed this himself just a few minutes ago. In addition, Scranton will have \$1.5 million available for paving program and handicap curb cuts in low to moderate income areas in 2012. These significant financial increases in both programs are primarily due to the increased dollar amounts allocated by this

council.

Further, the mayor stated in the

January 10 edition of the Times, city DPW

workers maintain some of these blighted

properties and that's why you just can't

keep cutting parks and DPW. However, LIPS

director, Mark Seitzinger, remarked that

it's still the responsibility of the

landowner to maintain his property after a

structure has been razed by the city.

The newspaper article also stated that the 2012 budget cut 20 DPW workers, and that is true, but I have since learned that a number of those workers have been reinstated, including two of the four foremen who were previously reinstated by the mayor into the 2011 budget as well, three who will take the positions of the three retirees, and still others who will fill the positions of those who are out on workers' comp in 2012. Therefore, it doesn't appear that the DPW cuts amount to the 20 contained in the 2012 budget.

Thus, with my colleagues agreement,

I ask Mrs. Krake to send a letter on behalf

of Scranton City Council to Mrs. Novembrino, our city controller, to request the following information: The total number and names of DPW employees who have been reinstated into any and all DPW Parks and Rec and flood control positions in 2012, and the account number and line item in the 2012 operating budget from which each employee will be paid.

Recently I have spoken with

Mrs. Novembrino several times and she has
been most helpful during our discussions.

Because she is such a trusted, respected
official, I believe she can provide this
information and will help city council to
keep a watchful eye on city finances.

Next, included in tonight's agenda is legislation to enter into an easement agreement for the Scranton Army Ammunition Plant, formerly known as Chamberlain, to maintain their equipment located in a pump station beneath Mattes Avenue. One has to wonder if the placement of "No Parking" signs on Mattes Avenue might be connected in some way to this legislation, particularly

when examining the maps that were attached within the backup to the legislation. Now, I know these maps were drawn in 2001 and 2002 which, of course, makes them ten years antiquated at this point, however, in looking at them it appears that there is going to be, as a project is underway, there has to be traffic control in the area and so it may be tied into the placement of these signs, but however, I'm taking a leap on that observation.

And so, Mrs. Krake, if you could would please send a letter to the mayor and DPW director on behalf of city council asking who authorized the placement of "No Parking" signs on Mattes Avenue or in General Dynamics and for what purpose were these signs installed?

Finally, tonight's agenda also includes an emergency certificate for the immediate passage of a resolution to enter into a contract for insurance services.

According to the legislation, the broker of record remains the same as it has been for the last ten years and the insurance

companies remain the same as in 2011.

Although the backup provided by the administration contains an insurance proposal prepared on December 9, 2011, by Robert J. Knowels, Jr., this proposal includes the same problems with which council took issue in January of 2011.

For example, according to this recent proposal the city still insures the Albright and Greenridge libraries, which the city hasn't owned since 2010 when the deeds were given to the Library Authority and the South Side Sports Complex, which purportedly the city hasn't owned since 2003.

Equally important, page 25 of the proposal states: "Premium quotation, insured, the City of Scranton, policy term 1-1-11 to 1-1-12, the dates of last year's contract renewal.

In addition, questionable quotes such as for the clock at Providence Square remain untouched. For example, the clock is insured for \$30,000 while the deductible is \$25,000. As you may recall, city council approved the city insurances last January

for a six-month period and urged the mayor to place the broker of record, and thereby city insurances, out to bid in the Spring of the 2011. The mayor ignored council, paid the full premium in early 2011, and stated in the Scranton Times that he would be put the city insurances out to bid later in 2011. It seems that the mayor did not keep his promise and that the proposals submitted on December 9 of 2012 is bogus.

Perhaps, the administration forgets that city council passed an ordinance which puts all professional services out for bid with very few exceptions and the city's insurances and the broker of the record do not meet these exceptions. Therefore, I can't approve this legislation as it stands.

Lastly, I have a few citizens' requests from East Mountain residents and South Side residents that I will provide to our office tomorrow for follow-up with the appropriate city departments, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE
(AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL

| 1 | REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL |
|----|---|
| 2 | PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF |
| 3 | SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE |
| 4 | METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH |
| 5 | TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES |
| 6 | UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING |
| 7 | PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE |
| 8 | REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND EIGHT TENTHS |
| 9 | PERCENT (2.8%) FOR CALENDER YEAR 2012. |
| 10 | (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll |
| 12 | entertain a motion that Item 5-B be |
| 13 | introduced into it's proper committee. |
| 14 | MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. |
| 15 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 16 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 17 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 18 | saying aye. |
| 19 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 21 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 22 | have it and so moved. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AMENDING FILE OF |
| 24 | COUNCIL NO.100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN |
| 25 | ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND |

SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY 1 SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2 3 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 4 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 5 introduced into it's proper committee. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 9 MS. EVANS: On the question? 10 MR. MCGOFF: Just once again, I 11 would like to express my concerns and put it 12 on the record. Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Anyone else? 14 All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. The ayes have it and so moved. 19 MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AMENDING FILE OF 20 21 COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 22 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A 23 TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE 24 AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME 25 AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS,

ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE 1 RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR 2 3 NONRESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR 4 WORK DONE. SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, 5 REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS 6 7 SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO 8 COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING 9 FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING 10 PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING 11 12 THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT 13 (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2012 14 FOR RESIDENTS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 15 16 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 17 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 18 introduced into it's proper committee. MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 21 MS. EVANS: On the question? 22 those in favor of introduction signify by 23 saying aye. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

Aye.

25

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 have it and so moved. 3 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AMENDING FILE OF 4 COUNCIL NO.7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE 5 (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND 6 ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN 7 8 CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; 9 PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; 10 CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES 11 12 UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF 13 SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY 14 IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT SEVEN-EIGHTHS OF A MILL (.000875) FOR 15 16 CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE 17 ATTACHED) 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 19 20 introduced into it's proper committee. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 22 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 those in favor signify by saying aye. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-F. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM: REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF SEVEN-EIGHTHS OF A MILL (.000875) FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-F be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 2 MS. EVANS: On the question? 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 8 have it and so moved. 9 MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN 10 11 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 12 ENACT' A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' 13 14 FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS 15 INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE 16 DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE 17 18 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$178.00 FOR 19 CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE 20 ATTACHED) 21 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 23 introduced into it's proper committee. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

25

| | 120 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 2 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 3 | saying aye. |
| 4 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 6 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 7 | have it and so moved. |
| 8 | MS. KRAKE: 5-H. AUTHORIZING THE |
| 9 | SINGLE TAX OFFICE TO COLLECT THE 2011 |
| 10 | DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES FOR THE CITY OF |
| 11 | SCRANTON. |
| 12 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll |
| 13 | entertain a motion that Item 5-H be |
| 14 | introduced into it's proper committee. |
| 15 | MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. |
| 16 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 18 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 19 | saying aye. |
| 20 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 22 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 23 | have it and so moved. |
| 24 | MS. KRAKE: 5-I. AMENDING FILE OF |
| 25 | COUNCIL NO.56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED |
| | |

"GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY 1 2 TRANSFERRING \$20,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 3 01.401.13090.4299 (CONTINGENCY - OPERATING EXPENSES) TO ACCOUNT NO.01.020.00000.4201 4 5 (PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO ECTV FOR OPERATING EXPENSES DURING THE 6 YEAR 2012. 7 8 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 9 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be introduced into it's proper committee. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? A11 14 those in favor of introduction signify by 15 saying aye. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 19 MS. KRAKE: 5-J. AUTHORIZING THE 20 21 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT 22 AGREEMENT FOR THE SCRANTON ARMY AMMUNITION 23 24 PLANT TO MAINTAIN THEIR EQUIPMENT LOCATED IN 25 A PUMP STATION LOCATED BENEATH MATTES

ı

2

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

AVENUE.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-J be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

I know MS. EVANS: On the question? that one of our audience members asked earlier to whom the equipment belongs, it belongs to the Scranton Army Ammunition Plant. They will be entirely responsible for it's maintenance and replacement should anything occur and it will never be designated back to the city ordained to the city in any way. At all times will the Scranton -- I don't what they are calling themselves here, Scranton Army Ammunition Plant be entirely responsible for the costs for their own equipment and that pump station and the underground equipment as well. Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-K. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, AS AMENDED ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING A TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$500,000.00 FROM PROJECTS 05-15 EMERGENCY FLOOD RELIEF, 07-225 SLIBCO, 05-155 HILL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, 05-172 LACKAWANNA COLLEGE (SCRANTON LACE), 10-199 SCRANTON CULTURAL CENTER, 10-237.2 CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING, 10-175 TELESPOND SENIOR SERVICES, INC. TO PROJECT 11-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB CUTS.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-K be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 1 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? I know that, again, that someone asked for a list 4 5 of the streets that will be paved using this I believe that list is developed by 6 money. OECD and probably with some direction from 7 8 the DPW director as well. Council does not 9 determine the streets that are be to paved 10 or the handicapped curb cuts that are to be 11 addressed in any area of the city, but 12 please keep in mind, once again, this is money that has to be put toward low to 13 14 moderate income areas of the city. All those in favor of introduction 15 16 signify by saying aye. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 20 21 MS. KRAKE: 5-L. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 22 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR 23 24 INSURANCE WITH HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT 25 INSURANCE EXCHANGE (H.A.R.I.E.), INDIAN

HARBOR INSURANCE COMPANY/XL INSURANCE,
PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY,
ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY AND SCOTTSDALE
INSURANCE COMPANY FOR THE CITY INSURANCE
COVERAGES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012
THROUGH JANUARY 1, 2013. (EMERGENCY
CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make a motion to amend Item 5-L as follows:

Number one, in the summary title inserting,

"After January 8, 2013, with the premium to be paid for the first quarter only, ending

March 31, 2012."

Number 2. In the fourth whereas clause after "interest" inserting "To be paid for the first quarter only ending March 31, 2012."

And No. 3, inserting the fifth whereas clause as follows: "Whereas, by Ordinance File of Council No. 41, 2010, which amended the City's Administrative Code, the City of Scranton must bid professional services over \$10,000 as required by Ordinance File of Council No. 14, 2010, which the city did not do so for

hiring Knowel's Associates, LLC, as the city's insurance broker to provide insurance coverage for the city."

And No. 4, inserting the six whereas clause as follows: "Whereas, to comply with Ordinances File of Council Nos. 41, 2010 and 14, 2010, the city must develop a bid proposal for the insurance brokers to submit bid for the city's insurance for property, equipment, and equipment breakdown, general liability, crime, employee dishonesty, EDM with commercial automobile coverage to be bid by February --" it's 30 days from today I believe.

MS. EVANS: Today is the 12th.

MR. LOSCOMBE: "February 12, and awarded by March 8, with the legislation submitted to council for the March 15, 2012 meeting."

Number 5, in the now, therefore, clause in the tenth line after "Scottsdale Insurance Company," inserting, "With premiums to be paid for the first quarter ending March 31, 2012, only."

Number 6, also in the now,

21

22

23

24

25

therefore, clause in the 15th line, after "Insurance contract", inserting, "With the requirements that the city comply with Ordinances File of Council No. 41, 2010, and 14, 2010, by developing a bid proposal for insurance brokers to submit bids for the city's insurance coverage for property, equipment, equipment breakdown, general liability, crime, employee dishonesty in money and commercial automobile coverage to be bid by February 12, 2012, and awarded by March 8, 2012, with legislation submitted to council for the March 15, 2012, meeting awarding the bid to the lowest most responsible bidder."

MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans I would just like to point out that our office has made a clerical error. In the first part it should say, "After January 1," not "January 8."

MS. EVANS: In number one?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Let the motion stand as for number one in the summary title inserting, "After January 1, 2013, with the premium to be paid for the

first quarter only ending March 31, 2012."

We have a measures on the floor, is there a second?

MR. MCGOFF: I'll second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just on the question, I believe Mrs. Evans alluded to it in her comments, that it is beneficial to any municipality to have their insurances bid every few years, usually three to five years, and that would be the same with your own personal insurances.

We have replacement cost coverages, in looking at these coverages, as Mrs. Evans has stated, I believe some of the coverages are too low, some may be too high, and with the deductibles there is things in there we shouldn't even cover under the policy.

Again, as Mrs. Evans has stated, the libraries, we still have to clarify that, and the South Side Complex, among many things, but it's due diligence for any insurance broker to go out and appraise each structure and come up with a valid replacement cost, and that's something I

don't believe has been done in over ten years. I believe it's time to do it now and, you know, it may save us money, it may increase the premium, because we may be underinsured on the structures, but the problem is if you insure your house for \$100,000 and the replacement cost value is \$200,000 and you have a fire and the fire does \$50,000 worth of the damage the insurance adjustor is going give you \$25,000 because you have only insured it 50 percent of the value, and I believe our attorney can agree with that.

But that's part of the problem and when we do have a problem like that it's going to be too late. But, you know, there is many companies out there that could bid on this, and maybe Mr. Knowels and his company will the successful bidder, he has had it for ten years, he knows the territory here, but I do think rather than just carbon copying every year that we have to look at everything we have and insure it properly.

And we tried to do this last year, and I mentioned I think two weeks ago it's

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

déjà vu all over when they found the \$5 million hole again, it's last year repeating itself again. Hiring people back in the DPW after our budget was passed, not putting out the contract to bid, but we cannot -- we received this on January 8, the coverage is from January 1, so for us to do our due diligence we can't deny this tonight and say, no, we are going to vote against it, we would have no coverage. We have to keep the city covered for liability purposes and property purposes, everything, so we can't risk that situation, so what we are doing is allowing Knowel's Associates to continue this policy for three months, basically they will get an annual policy, but all they have do is make a first quarter payment and by that time it should be bid out and the successful bidders will be announced at that time, but I'm just hoping it's a great benefit for everybody.

And I would also say if this passes that we must notify Mrs. Novembrino along with this not to pay an annual premium only to pay the first quarter as per our

3

5

4

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

_

24

25

ordinance. And that's all I have on the question.

MS. EVANS: And I would add to that that I do have a copy that was submitted to us today by Mrs. Novembrino of the voucher for the insurance in the amount of \$410,318. I also have before me a copy of the check which is dated 1-6-12, in the aforementioned amount, however, it's my understanding that that check has not been sent. It was awaiting tonight's emergency certificate, the approval of that, and so I think what Mr. Loscombe brought up is that much more important that the controller be notified first thing in the morning of the result of tonight's vote and in the event that this amendment is successful that check cannot be sent.

Is there anyone else on the question. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. At this time I'll

entertain a motion that item 5-L, as 1 2 amended, be introduced into it's proper 3 committee. MR. LOSCOMBE: So moved. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to a 13 14 make to suspend the rules to move Items 5-B, 5-C, 5-D, 5-E, 5-F, 5-G to Sixth and Seventh 15 Order and 5-L to Seventh Order to be 16 17 considered for final passage based on the 18 attached emergency certifies. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 19 A11 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 25 have it and so moved.

A11

1 MS. KRAKE: We have supplied to 2 council two supplemental addendum agendas 3 that you should have in front of you? MS. EVANS: Yes. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. KRAKE: 6-A, FORMERLY, 5-B. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 1, 6 2012, AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 7 8 COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE 9 (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL 10 PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF 11 12 SCRANTON: PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH 13 14 TAX: CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING 15 16 PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE 17 REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND EIGHT TENTHS 18 PERCENT (2.8%) FOR CALENDER YEAR 2012. 19 (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 20 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 21 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move 23 that Item 6-A pass reading by title. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: On the question?

| 1 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 4 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 5 | have it and so moved. |
| 6 | MS. KRAKE: 6-B, FORMERLY 5-C, |
| 7 | READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 2, |
| 8 | 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF |
| 9 | COUNCIL NO.100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN |
| 10 | ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND |
| 11 | SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY |
| 12 | SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2012. |
| 13 | (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 14 | MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by |
| 15 | title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? |
| 16 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move |
| 17 | that Item 6-B pass reading by title. |
| 18 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 19 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 20 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
| 21 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 23 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 24 | have it and so moved. |
| 25 | MS. KRAKE: 6-C, FORMERLY 5-D, |
| | |

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 3, 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NONRESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE. SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE: PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2012 FOR RESIDENTS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move

1 that Item 6-C pass reading by title. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 4 those in favor signify by saying aye. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 8 have it and so moved. 9 MS. KRAKE: 6-D, FORMERLY 5-E, READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 4, 10 2012 - AN ORDINANCE -AMENDING FILE OF 11 12 COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE 13 (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE 14 LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN 15 CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; 16 17 PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND 18 FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES 19 UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF 20 21 SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT 22 23 SEVEN-EIGHTHS OF A MILL (.000875) FOR 24 CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE 25 ATTACHED)

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 1 title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure? 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move that Item 6-D pass reading by title. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 11 12 MS. KRAKE: 6-E, FORMERLY 5-F, 13 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5, 14 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 15 16 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE 17 GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE 18 RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY 19 OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS 20 RECEIPTS THEREFROM; REQUIRING REGISTRATION 21 AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE 22 23 CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR 24 THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; 25 PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS

AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES 1 2 UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING 3 PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF SEVEN-EIGHTHS 4 5 OF A MILL (.000875) FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 6 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 7 8 title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure? 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move 10 that Item 6-E pass reading by title. MR. MCGOFF: 11 Second. 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 13 those in favor signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 17 have it and so moved. 18 MS. KRAKE: 6-F, FORMERLY 5-G, 19 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6, 20 2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 21 COUNCIL NO.17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN 22 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 23 24 ENACT' A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' 25 FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER

THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS 1 INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE 2 DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE 3 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$178.00 FOR 4 CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE 5 ATTACHED) 6 7 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 8 title of Item 6-F, what is your pleasure? 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Chairman, I move 10 that Item 6-F pass reading by title. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 11 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 13 those in favor signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 17 have it and so moved. 18 Is there anyone who wishes to 19 address council on Item 7-B, 7-C, 7-D, 7-E, 20 7-F, 7-G and 7-H, as amended, the emergency 21 certificate legislation, that have been moved to Seventh Order? 22 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. Marie 24 Schumacher. I guess what is now what was 25 5-C, put it that way, in past years we have

| | 143 |
|----|--|
| 1 | always had great specifying of all the |
| 2 | millage rates except for the real estate, |
| 3 | that's always been done in the past, and I'm |
| 4 | assuming that's the general, but I would |
| 5 | like to know what the millage is for these |
| 6 | general and special taxes as a taxpayer? |
| 7 | MS. EVANS: I don't know that's in |
| 8 | the backup. |
| 9 | MS. SCHUMACHER: Mrs. Krake has it, |
| 10 | I believe. |
| 11 | MS. KRAKE: 5-C? Was it 5-C? |
| 12 | MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: I do have the original |
| 14 | file. |
| 15 | MS. EVANS: Okay. |
| 16 | MS. KRAKE: Would you like me to |
| 17 | read it? |
| 18 | MS. EVANS: Yes, please. |
| 19 | MS. KRAKE: Land .096701. |
| 20 | Improvements .0210 |
| 21 | MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry, would |
| 22 | you start with improvements again? |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: Yes. Land .096701. |
| 24 | Improvements .021030. |
| 25 | MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And are |

| | 144 |
|----|--|
| 1 | there any other taxes being levied? It said |
| 2 | general and special. Is there a special |
| 3 | tax? |
| 4 | MS. KRAKE: I think that description |
| 5 | goes back to 1976. |
| 6 | MS. SCHUMACHER: To 1976, okay. |
| 7 | Thank you very much. |
| 8 | MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? |
| 9 | MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a |
| 10 | motion to take File of Council No. 59, 2011, |
| 11 | from the table. |
| 12 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. |
| 13 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 14 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
| 15 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 16 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 18 | have it and so moved. |
| 19 | MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A, FOR |
| 20 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR |
| 21 | ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 59, 2011 |
| 22 | (FORMERLY TABLED) - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL |
| 23 | NO. 74, 1993 (AS AMENDED), ENTITLED THE |
| 24 | ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON, |
| 25 | BY AMENDING SECTION 306 TABLE OF PERMITTED |

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

USES BY DISTRICT; SECTION 307 B.4. TABLE

OF LOT AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS BY DISTRICT;

601.A.5. MIXED-USE ADAPTIVE REUSE; TABLE

6.1 OFF STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS; SECTION

602.E. LOCATION OF PARKING.

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? think what might have been missed this evening, and I'll get through this quickly, is that an RACP grant was approved by this council for this Scranton Lace Project and I believe that would have happened about a year ago. This is I feel a very good project, it will better lower Greenridge, it will better the City of Scranton, and I am very, very pleased that those who reside in the immediate vicinity are so supportive of the project as well and I thank these gentlemen for taking the extra step and reaching out to the community and being a very good neighbor. You certainly set the bar for other projects citywide because, as

was indicated earlier, this certainly does change the zoning citywide, so we will have to, as Mr. McGoff said, take it situation by situation and just make sure that everyone is as amenable and compliant as Mr. Cordaro and Mr. Constantine and Attorney Jones have been. Anyone else on the question? Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. Much

luck to all.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B, FORMERLY 6-A - FOR
CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 1, 2012 AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976
ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING
A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE
TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE
CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING
THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH

| | 14 |
|----|---|
| 1 | TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES |
| 2 | UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING |
| 3 | PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE |
| 4 | REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND EIGHT TENTHS |
| 5 | PERCENT (2.8%) FOR CALENDER YEAR 2012. |
| 6 | (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 7 | MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to make a |
| 8 | motion to appoint Mr. Loscombe as temporary |
| 9 | Chair on Finance. |
| 10 | MS. EVANS: I second the motion. On |
| 11 | the question? All those in favor signify by |
| 12 | saying aye. |
| 13 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye |
| 14 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 15 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 16 | have it and so moved. What is the |
| 17 | recommendation of the Chair for the |
| 18 | Committee on Finance? |
| 19 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary |
| 20 | Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I |
| 21 | recommend final passage of Item 7-B. |
| 22 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 23 | MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll |
| 24 | call, please? |
| 25 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |

| 1 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. |
| 5 | MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare |
| 6 | Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 7-C, FORMERLY 6-B - FOR |
| 8 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - |
| 9 | FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 2, 2012 |
| 10 | - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.100, 1976, |
| 11 | ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING |
| 12 | GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL |
| 13 | YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE |
| 14 | YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 15 | MS. EVANS: What is the |
| 16 | recommendation of the Chair for the |
| 17 | Committee on Finance? |
| 18 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary |
| 19 | Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I |
| 20 | recommend final passage of Item 7-C. |
| 21 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 22 | MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll |
| 23 | call, please? |
| 24 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |
| 25 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| | |

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 2 3 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 4 5 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-D, FORMERLY 6-C, FOR 6 7 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -8 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 3, 2012 -9 AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, 10 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING. IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE 11 PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) 12 13 ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS. ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO 14 ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. OR 15 16 NONRESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR 17 WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS 18 CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS 19 20 SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING 21 22 FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING 23 24 PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY IMPOSING 25 THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

1

| | 150 |
|----|--|
| 1 | (2.4%) ON EARNED INCOME FOR THE YEAR 2012 |
| 2 | FOR RESIDENTS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | ATTACHED). |
| 4 | MS. EVANS: What is the |
| 5 | recommendation of the Chair for the |
| 6 | Committee on Finance? |
| 7 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary |
| 8 | Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I |
| 9 | recommend final passage of Item 7-D. |
| 10 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll |
| 12 | call, please? |
| 13 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |
| 14 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 16 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. |
| 18 | MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare |
| 19 | Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. |
| 20 | MS. KRAKE: 7-E, FORMERLY 6-D - FOR |
| 21 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - |
| 22 | FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 4, 2012 - |
| 23 | AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976, |
| 24 | ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING |
| 25 | A MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE |
| | |

YEAR 1976 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON 1 PERSONS ENGAGING IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND 2 3 BUSINESSES THEREIN: PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY 4 AND COLLECTION AND FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND IMPOSING 5 POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF 6 7 THE CITY OF SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING 8 PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE 9 LICENSE TAX AT SEVEN EIGHTHS OF A MILL (.000875) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY 10 11 CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 12 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 13 14 Committee on Finance? MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary 15 16 Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I 17 recommend final passage of Item 7-E. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 19 MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll 20 call, please? 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 24 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

25

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FORMERLY 6-E - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 5, 2012 -AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM: REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS: PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF SEVEN-EIGHTHS OF A MILL (.000875) FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2012. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the

Committee on Finance? 1 2 MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary 3 Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-F. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 5 MS. EVANS: On the question? 6 7 call, please? 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 10 11 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 13 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 14 Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted. MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FORMERLY 6-F - FOR 15 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -16 17 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6, 2012 18 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) 19 AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY 20 21 OF SCRANTON TO ENACT' A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING 22 REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND 23 24 COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF 25 SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY

| | 154 |
|----|--|
| 1 | IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE |
| 2 | OF \$178.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2012. |
| 3 | (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 4 | MS. EVANS: What is the |
| 5 | recommendation of the Chair for the |
| 6 | Committee on Finance? |
| 7 | MR. LOSCOMBE: As temporary |
| 8 | Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I |
| 9 | recommend final passage of Item 7-G. |
| 10 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll |
| 12 | call, please? |
| 13 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |
| 14 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 16 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. |
| 18 | MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare |
| 19 | Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted. |
| 20 | MS. KRAKE: 7-H, AS AMENDED, WHICH |
| 21 | WAS FORMERLY 5-L - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE |
| 22 | COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - |
| 23 | RESOLUTION NO. 20, 2012 - AUTHORIZING THE |
| 24 | MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS |
| 25 | TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR |
| | II |

| 1 | INSURANCE WITH HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT |
|----|--|
| 2 | INSURANCE EXCHANGE (H.A.R.I.E.), INDIAN |
| 3 | HARBOR INSURANCE COMPANY/XL INSURANCE, |
| 4 | PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, |
| 5 | ARCH INSURANCE COMPANY AND SCOTTSDALE |
| 6 | INSURANCE COMPANY FOR THE CITY INSURANCE |
| 7 | COVERAGES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 |
| 8 | THROUGH JANUARY 1, 2013. (EMERGENCY |
| 9 | CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 10 | MS. EVANS: As Chairperson for the |
| 11 | Committee on Rules, I recommend final |
| 12 | passage of Item 7-H, as amended. |
| 13 | MR. MCGOFF: Second. |
| 14 | MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll |
| 15 | call, please? |
| 16 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. |
| 17 | MR. MCGOFF: Yes. |
| 18 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 19 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. |
| 20 | MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. |
| 21 | MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare |
| 22 | Item 7-H, as amended, legally and lawfully |
| 23 | adopted. |
| 24 | If there is no further business, |
| 25 | I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. |

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER