_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, December 20, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	ı
24	CATHERE S. NARDUZZI, REK - OFFICIAL COURT REPURTER	
25		

2 ||

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

JOID HOURES, SULICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO
16	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
17	MS. EVANS: Do we have any clerk's
18	notes, Ms. Krake?
19	MS. KRAKE: No, we do not, Mrs.
20	Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
22	council members have announcements at this
23	time?
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: None.
25	MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to

say at the end of last week's meeting I asked if we were going to meet this evening and the answer I received was not -- no, unless there was a veto from the mayor on the budget and in the meeting with PEL yesterday we discussed the announcement of the \$5 million deficit and with that in mind knowing that I got assurances from the mayor that, in fact, there would be no veto of the budget today or by today and I attempted to setup a meeting with the administration, with PEL and with DCED and council so that we could discuss -- so that the parties that were necessary could discuss how to resolve that problem.

I spoke to Mr. Joyce on Monday afternoon, I spoke with Mr. Rogan, I attempted to get in touch with Mr. Loscombe and everybody seemed to be in agreement that that would be a good idea, that canceling the meeting since there was no agenda and meeting to discuss that situation would be prudent at this time, and then today I find out that, in fact, we are having a meeting and I was surprised and just wondering why

we would give up the opportunity to meet with PEL, meet with DCED and the administration and instead have a meeting that has no agenda.

MS. EVANS: First of all, the agenda was posted yesterday and, Mr. McGoff, you never contacted me. However --

MR. MCGOFF: I attempted to contact you today.

MS. EVANS: Well, I didn't receive your call.

MR. MCGOFF: Twice.

MS. EVANS: Because the mayor's veto has not yet been submitted to city council there are no items on tonight's agenda. However, the information that council members will present to the public is very important. Consequently, council will suspend it's rules and change the order of its meeting to first allow council members motions and comments in order that speakers will have the opportunity to comment and pose questions if they choose during citizen's participation.

I ask that all council speakers

would adhere to the five-minute time limit. When the bell rings, please finish your sentence and be seated. I also ask that audience members turn off all cell phones and remain quiet throughout the meeting in order that all may be heard. Personal conversations should be conducted outside council chambers.

I also apologize for my attire this evening, but I have just recently left a doctor's appointment.

MR. JOYCE: If I could add, I have no opposition whatsoever in meeting with PEL, the mayor or DCED, but I actually just got off the phone with Gerry Cross with PEL not too long ago and he is headed to a meeting in Wyoming County, so I'm not sure if such a meeting could have been setup on such short notice.

MS. EVANS: And thank you, Mr. Joyce.

MR. MCGOFF: Just all parties had agreed to meet.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. EVANS: Not all parties had

24

25

agreed to meet, Mr. McGoff. Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to --I think that we missed an opportunity to resolve a situation that is important to the city. I think that -- and, in fact, the administration, the mayor and the business administrator had agreed to meet. The membership of -- the members from PEL and DCED had agreed to the meeting, and while I did not talk to you specifically, Mrs. Evans, I had asked Mr. Joyce or Mr. Rogan to please convey the message since when I did -- when I do call I get a voicemail and I did call twice today and left messages both times and did not receive a response.

I just think that having a meeting with really -- without an agenda which was -- which we knew there would not be a veto of the mayor's budget or of the budget this evening, I just think that we passed up

on an opportunity and I'm very disappointed that we did so.

MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I'm going to comment, I know that the majority of the comments tonight will be about the \$5 million, and I'll let my colleagues speak to that. I did receive a -- I was e-mailed a letter today from HUD regarding CDBG funding, and I'll read some of the highlights.

Under the subject, the opening paragraph, it says, "I have reviewed the information regarding the Scranton Fiscal Year 2011 Action Plan amendments. My office is unable to opine on the local and state laws that would determine what version of the action plan is valid. Accordingly, HUD should not allow grants funds to be released under either version of the action plan until Mayor Doherty and Scranton City Council resolve the dispute.

Further, I suggest that your office clearly explain all relevant CDBG/HOME

requirements to the Council and Mayor

Doherty to ensure compliance once a final action plan is enacted."

And apparently the mayor is saying that council's plan that was passed isn't legal. It says here, "In correspondence from the City Council, Mayor Doherty indicated that the mayor believes council utilized the proper procedures when it submitted the amended action plan to the mayor for his signature. The mayor believing council's amendments to be procedurally and proper, signed one version of the action plan without council's amendments and now wishes to spend grant funds under that version.

As mentioned above, HUD's Office of Council does not issue opinions on local or state law."

So, what they are saying is the mayor is saying that council's amendments weren't legally passed. He signed I guess the city's OECD's proposed plan without council amendments that were amended, I believe it was 4 to 0 and then I think it

was 5-0 in the override.

It goes onto say that Mayor Doherty believes that council amendments do not comply with federal law. Again, at that time their office would not analyze council's amendments for compliance with federal law.

So I did receive an e-mail from Ms.

Aebli earlier this morning, I didn't get a chance to talk to her, but I will be speaking to her on this issue tomorrow, and from what it seems, it seems again the mayor is, you know, doing his own thing and not listening to what council did once again, and I will get a little more information on this tomorrow, but if that's the case it's deeply disturbing if he is going to push his own plan against council wishes.

And in the letter it mentions it was passed 4-0 by council, but, that is all for today. I will have more to say on that at the next meeting. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Mrs. President, if I could, I will be very brief, but the mayor is absolutely wrong. His actions are

17 18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

improper, they are illegal, that every ordinance and resolution passed by council is presumed to comply with law unless it's challenged. As such, what Mr. Rogan said was that the ordinance that was passed amending the CDBG funding is presumed valid, that's the one that must be enforced. The mayor's idea is total folly because if he correct there is no reason to come to The solicitor's office all it has council. to do is draft legislation and just send it to the mayor and totally ignore council and that's a violation of law, it's a violation of the Home Rule Charter, and his actions are totally illegal and unenforceable in my opinion.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney Hughes, I agree completely with your opinion.

MS. EVANS: And if I can just add that looking at the correspondence received by Councilman Rogan it states, "Similarly, I can't opine of the legality of the council's override of the mayor's veto, however, Scranton's Home Rule Charter lends

Section 504 of Scranton's Home Rule Charter states that no ordinance or resolution shall be become effective until has been signed by the mayor or passed by an extraordinary majority of the council over the mayor's

credibility to the council's claims.

veto. The council seems to have followed

this veto procedure."

MR. ROGAN: What it seems like the mayor is doing is saying he doesn't care about the vote of council and he is saying he wants to go with his plan anyways, that's the one he signed, and how we get into something beyond that is me, but we'll -- I'll talk to Linda tomorrow and we'll see how this plays out over the next few weeks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Rogan. And, Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you, just briefly. I apologize for my voice, I have been under the weather and I'll let my able colleagues give some more details, but, you know, just as Yogi Bera stated, "It's déjà vu all over again. Last year we go

surprised with a \$5 million hole in the budget and it appears this year the same thing. Now they want to meet with us at the 11th hour, actually the 12th hour, our budget was passed. Our budget was -- you know, where were they, and again, I'll let my colleagues, Mr. Joyce, who I know, and Mrs. Evans, but Mr. Joyce has been constantly on the phone with PEL, DCED, Ryan McGowan's Office, and he forwarded e-mails continuously and it looks like they are playing games again, another budget sabotage. You know, we can't afford this.

This administration, I don't understand. They are risking your public safety and they just don't seem to give a damn on where the money is going. There is no accountability. Perhaps if we had that audit we would have seen this already. That's why there has to be something criminal about this, and I'm going to ask our solicitor if he could research it. I mean, there is concealment, there is malfeasance, there is -- there is a whole slew of things going on here that shouldn't

be at the cost of every taxpayer in this city. This is no way to run an operation.

And I know how hard my colleagues worked on this budget and got every number they could possibly get, but we weren't provided and we still do not have a complete audit, and again, you know, we found out -- I found out about this in the newspaper. This is no way to run a big city like this and I think those people that are in the position that have done this should be held accountable and I would ask to seek any legal means possible to hold them accountable.

And I'm going to leave the rest up to Mr. Joyce and Mrs. Evans because they are much more deeply familiar with the process that this involved. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. First of all, tonight I'm going to comment on some of the allegations made against council's budget amendments by both BA Ryan McGowan and Mayor

Doherty in the Scranton Times. Last
Thursday, the Scranton Times published an
article entitled "Scranton City Council
budget may be short \$3 million."

First, one of the comments made in this article was that law makers added \$600,000 from a grant that would reimburse the city for retiree prescriptions. Mayor Doherty stated to the Times that the city would get the grant, but questioned how much it would be. And I know Mr. McGoff likes to stress the importance of communication, however, by Mr. Doherty making this statement he obviously must not communicate effectively with his own business administrator since it was Ryan McGowan, the city's BA, who informed me that the grant would likely be \$600,000. Go figure.

Mayor Doherty also stated that he did not know whether he would use the grant money towards the reinstatement of the firefighters, however, I am sure he fully knew well that he intended to go against council's wishes, as I have been informed that no firefighters will be reinstated.

Mayor Doherty has never showed an interest in restoring fire protection.

Ryan McGowan stated that there was no guarantee that the city would receive \$3.3 million in revenue from the tax office through the end of the year, thus, criticizing me. What he failed to say is that he himself told me that, "Just look at the cash flow report to determine what the city would receive in December from the tax office," and guess what that number was? It was \$3.3 million.

Actually, the \$3.3 million dollar figure, which was used for my projection of what the city would receive in December, is rather conservative when compared to last year. After speaking with tax collector Bill Courtright, he informed me that in December of 2010 the city received \$4.7 million, which is nearly \$1.4 million more than Mr. McGowan was projecting for this year. The statement made by the business administrator, Mr. McGowan, in this case is hypocritical since he is questioning his own

projections that were used in forming council's amendments.

Third, Mr. McGowan criticized council's tax increase reduction and stated that the tax should actually be 11 percent. Though he criticizes this method for increasing the real estate tax, this was the same method used to decrease the real estate tax in 2010 by 10.5 percent and actually have the latest cash flow projection Mr. McGowan actually projects that we are going to hit the 12.998 number right on the button almost, and this was the same method that was used to increase taxes by 26 percent in the past in reviewing past budgets during last year.

Finally, in the same article one of my colleagues, Mr. McGoff, stated that the numbers don't add up. However, in actuality, the numbers that Mr. McGoff provided me didn't add up either in his suggestions. In all the suggestions

Mr. McGoff provided me for the budget and all of the cuts that he suggested which involved cutting nine DPW union workers as

well as casual workers, an assistant city solicitor as well as adding the 12 firefighters he didn't allocate costs correctly besides their salaries as he failed to allocate costs for uniform allowances or appropriate health insurance expenditures where appropriate to the DPW and also the fire department as well as the liability and casualty insurance.

And also Mr. McGoff cut one of the accounts that is being used to repair the elevator in city hall this order to ensure that the building is ADA compliant.

Thus, if any numbers didn't add up, it wasn't the numbers that I crunched together during this budget. I worked too hard on this budget to put up with such blind criticism.

Secondly, I'm just going to comment on the negative editorial in the Times regarding overestimating revenue. Let me make this absolutely clear, besides the real estate tax decrease from the mayor's budget, all other tax revenue additions were confirmed by tax collector Bill Courtright

and business administrator Ryan McGowan which consists of the addition of \$400,000 from the nonresident tax projection. All other revenue additions such as the additions dealing with parking meters were extracted from the 2010 operating budget and thus are a product of the administration as well. The editorial written by the Scranton Times should actually be criticizing Ryan McGowan and Mayor Doherty, not city council, since the numbers used in the budget were the numbers that they provided to us.

On other cost savings measures, it was the recommendation of the business administrator that it would save \$600,000 in health care costs by applying for the retiree prescription savings grant, and it was Mayor Doherty that stated that we would save \$1.4 million by refinancing debt early in January. Therefore, the Scranton Times is criticizing council for overestimating revenues and under estimating expenditures when we are following the suggestions that were given to us by the administration as well as PEL and, you know, this is just

ludicrous.

Finally tonight, I'm going to comment on today's article in the Scranton Times regarding the borrowing of \$5 million from the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund to pay back TAN-B from 2011. The desire of the mayor to borrow \$5 million from the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund was never communicated to city council until December 15. In fact, I was not informed about the wish of the city to borrow \$5 million by the administration, it was actually PEL who informed me. I received an e-mail from Gerry Cross.

On December 7 of this year, while council was working and budget amendments, there was a meeting that with a held with Fidelity Bank, a number of bankers from various area banks regarding TAN-B. The mayor was there, Mr. McGowan was there, Mr. McGoff and I attended as two council people and our solicitor, Boyd Hughes, was also there. At this meeting Mayor Doherty clearly stated that we would be paying TAN-B this year and when asked by our council

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

solicitor whether we would be borrowing to pay this money, Mayor Doherty simply said, "No, we will be paying TAN-B back with cash."

Therefore, with this being said,

Mayor Doherty lied to Fidelity Bank and a

whole entire room full of the bankers from
the banking community.

Now, I knew that Scranton had a deficit, that's no surprise, this is a direct result of the deficit that was carried over 2010 that Mayor Doherty failed to reveal at the time. You would have to live in a box not to know that Scranton has a deficit. In the past, Mayor Doherty has been on record as stating that he would divert bills from 2011 into 2012 and pay payroll on TAN-B this year. While he stated this to the newspaper, this is also another When I was speaking to Mr. McGowan yesterday he stated that he would be paying bills with excess money that was distributed from the tax office in 2011.

So in conclusion, I would like to reiterate that throughout the whole budget

~ -

process I have had various conversations with business administrator Ryan McGowan, Gerry Cross from PEL, as well as DCED, however, in none of these conversations was there any mentioning of borrowing \$5 million from the Workers' Compensation Trust Fund. It's unfortunate that when you extend yourself to work with other parties that oversee city finances, one cannot obtain truthful and honest and straight forward answers.

In regard to the restoration of funds to pay back the \$5 million in borrowing from the Workers' Compensation Fund and, of course, we need to pay this back, though this is not the decision or fault of council I myself developed a plan to restore funding back to the Workers' Compensation Fund without an additional tax hike on the residents of this city, and I'll tell you what, this is what a leader does. You react and you handle the situation accordingly.

I'm going to provide each council member with a copy of this plan and

hopefully we could all work together on making this plan work and it can be provided to DCED as well as PEL and the administration so that we can all come to together. It's time for the mayor to start working with council here. Though the Scranton Times likes to blame the lack of cooperation between council and the mayor on city council, I would challenging the editorial board of the Scranton Times-Tribune to name one situation where Mayor Chris Doherty has attempted to work with every member of council. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: And thank you,
Councilman Joyce. One other thing though I
just want to piggyback on before I give
might have comments, we received a letter
today from the mayor telling us -- well,
basically the letter is a feckless excuse
for more of his financial machinations and
this letter, as I said, was sent today while
Mr. Joyce has been in contact with PEL
yesterday and today. I know he attempted to
contact Mr. McGowan, and I'm not sure if he

got through to him, but one of the mayor's suggestions for filling that \$5 million hole is to increase the unfunded borrowing up to --

MR. JOYCE: 11.7 I think.

MS. EVANS: Yes, from 6.7 million to 11.7 million, and that is to address the repayment of the \$5 million from the Workers' Compensation Reserve Account.

Now, I'm also aware that when PEL at the -- after the deadline informed city council that the mayor was borrowing from Workers' Comp and had to obtain state permission to do that, and they admitted basically that council was never told about any of this, and I believe it was Mr. Joyce who said, "We could increase," thinking similarly to the mayor, "we could increase the unfunded borrowing," and PEL's answer was what, Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Actually at the time they suggested that it shouldn't be increased past a certain point that they had specified earlier which was \$8.2 million.

However, I'm not sure if that's now changed

somehow.

MS. EVANS: Well, it's either changed so that PEL lied to you or the mayor doesn't know what he is talking about and the mayor hasn't been in contact with PEL. It's one or the other. Pick your story, folks.

MR. JOYCE: Before you begin, I just also -- I was a little heated before, but I just wanted to wish everyone a joyous holiday season and a Merry Christmas and Happy New Year as well. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman
Joyce. Good evening. This council meeting
was particularly convened to expose another
financial mess created by the administration
and PEL and to report all occurrences and
lies to people of Scranton. As my
colleague, Councilman Joyce, has already
explained in detail the latest Doherty mess
was not reported to Scranton City Council
until after the 2012 budget as amended was
adopted and the final deadline for adoption
passed on December 15, 2011. All other
financial messes and debts that were brought

to our attention prior to December 13, were addressed successfully in the council amendments. Had the administration or the Pennsylvania Economy League brought this problem to council as it did the multitude of other problems it, too, would have been addressed in council's amendments.

Further, Council Solicitor Hughes questioned Mayor Doherty on December 7 during a meeting among the banking community, the administration, city council members and the Pennsylvania Economy League. After Mayor Doherty stated to the banks that the 2011 tax anticipation note would be paid by year end, Solicitor Hughes asked the mayor how he intended to pay for it and would he borrow to do so, meaning, would money be used that has to be repaid? The mayor responded that, no, he was not borrowing and would pay off the TAN in cash.

And at this time, I ask Solicitor

Hughes for his recollection of the December

7 meeting at Fidelity Bank and any of his

comments.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

President. The meeting took place at 7:30 on December 7 on the appropriate day at Fidelity Bank. There were approximately I would say 20 bankers in the room along with PEL, representatives of DCED they were there, Mr. McGoff, Mr. Joyce, myself, the mayor, Mr. McGowan and I'd say about 20, 25 bankers. Mr. O'Brien from Fidelity Bank headed the meeting, he was chairman, asked Mr. Joyce for comments, asked the mayor for comments, and then he gave the terms and conditions for the city to receive a TAN for 2012, the two most relevant were, number one, the tax anticipation note that was outstanding at that time in the amount of I believe it was about \$6.25 million had to be repaid by December 31; and second, that the city would have to have it's audit for 2010 completed before they would entertain financing of the TAN, and that's both the 9.5 and the \$5 million TAN.

As a result of that, and I know that Mr. Joyce was having extreme difficulty in obtaining accurate figures as to what the amount of this year's deficit was going to

be and whether the city would pay their obligations to the vendors and then borrow the money for the payment of the TAN and also for the payment of the \$1.7 million to Pennstar Bank. Ironically, when you add up the \$5 million in the TAN and \$1.7 million in the amount due to Pennstar Bank it comes to \$6.7 million, which is the legislation submitted by the solicitor's office to council for the unfunded debt. I reported on that before on several occasions.

As a result of that, I specifically asked the mayor, I said -- he stated that the TAN would be paid by the end of the year, that a half a million dollars would be paid to Fidelity by the end of that week, another half a million would be paid to Fidelity by the end of the second week, and the balance of that, which would be about 5.25 million, would be paid to Fidelity by the end of the year.

Now, Fidelity is the lead bank of a consortium of banks. All the banks were there, they all have a percentage of the loan, but the Fidelity is the lead bank so

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the payment comes to Fidelity. I specifically asked the mayor, I said, "Is this going to be paid in cash or will you have to obtain a loan in order to make the payment?"

It was specific, the answer without any equivocation, "It will be paid in cash."

This was extremely relevant from the standpoint that the borrowing resolution that had come down, Mr. Joyce needed information as to what made up the payment of the \$6.7 million assuming the Court approved it, so we knew that that was off the table because it was going to be done in I took the mayor at his word. mean, it wasn't a cross-examination, he wasn't under oath, but I figured he is there before 20 witnesses, bankers who are going to loan us the money, if he says it's going to be paid in cash I don't know the source of the funds, I don't know where the city is going to get the money from, I'm not in that position.

As a result, what's happened is that there has only been one payment of a half a

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

million dollars. People were there from PEL and from DCED, they were there, they heard it and as a result today we find out that they want to borrow five point -- the balance due on the TAN today is \$5,750,000 and in order to do this they now want to borrow from the Workers' Compensation Fund and then that money would be then paid back.

I don't know what happened. know where this \$5 million that the mayor said was available to pay it off by the end of the year where that's gone. The only thing I could assume would be that he used it to pay current bills so, therefore, why do you have to increase the amount of the \$6.7 million up to \$11.7 million? I don't know, I'm not an accountant to figure that out, but there is something drastically I mean, something has happened as Zero Mostel said, "A funny happened on the way to the forum," I think a funny thing happened from the mayor's office up to city council tonight as to where is the \$5 million.

As was aptly put before, this \$5

23

24

25

million last year was a \$5 million deficit that was concealed from council and the public. Last years tax anticipation note had a balance as of December 31 of \$5 million. It was not paid. What happened was the mayor kited this year's TAN. took \$5 million from this year's TAN after it came in which legally can only be used to pay 2011 bills under the Unit Debt Act. cannot use this year's tax anticipation note funding to pay off last year's TANS. It can only be used for this year's bills.

The ordinance that was passed by council approving the TANS specifically stated that those funds can only be used prospectively for 2011 bills. What happened was after the money came in for the 2011 TANS \$5 million was taken by the mayor was used to pay off last year's TAN, which is a violation of the Unit Debt Act, a violation of the city ordinance, and is contrary to law, and as a result he plugged up last year's \$5 million deficit with this year's monies which were used to pay this year's expenses.

That's how he ended up in a \$5 million hole this year. Compounded on that is the Pennstar loan which he knew matured on December 15 council was totally unaware of that, it was not put in this year's budget, it wasn't until Attorney Winfield called me in June and informed me of the seriousness of it and that the city was going to be declared in default and that the city had liability. I reported on that many times.

So as a result, there is \$6.7 million of a hole of this year's budget, \$5 million of which was created last year, \$1.7 million which the mayor knew about this year but never plugged in this year's budget to be paid or setup a reserve to pay it and as a result that's where we are. I think PEL knows it, DCED knows it, and the letters that we received today totally ignore it as does the mayor's letter to council totally ignores all of the facts and the finances. To this day, I look at this whole thing that the mayor has done it's like playing three card monty. It's either that or it's the

23

24

25

pea in the shell game and he just keeps slipping everything around and I don't believe that Mr. Joyce, who is very able and has developed this budget, that has a better handle on it today as to if the city does borrow \$6.7 million or whether it borrows, you know, 11.7 million, the source of the fund are known, but how the distribution of those funds and to whom they are going to be paid is still unknown. It's a very in orbit It changes every day, nobody knows what it is, at least not that I am there every day, I don't know I only know from talking to Mr. Joyce, but I don't think that Mr. Joyce has any idea if council approved that tonight where those funds would be distributed and to whom and in what amount.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If anybody has any questions I would be glad to answer them.

MR. ROGAN: I have one question,
Attorney Hughes, could the mayor or anyone
in the administration be prosecuted for any
of these violations?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know if there

25

is any violations other than, you know, the Indians used to say that "Him speaketh with fork tongue." I mean, there is really no way that you can -- that council can get a handle on how this is going to be paid and how this deficit, you know, first of all, what the deficit it. I mean, obviously we knew that December 7 that the tax anticipation notes were not going to be part of the deficit because it was going to be We all relied on that. Now all of a paid. sudden today magically, oh, now we don't have the money to pay it. Well, where is the money that was supposed to there, the cash, the \$5.7 million, what happened to it? Where did it go? I mean, he lied, if that's the truth he did not tell the truth, he lied in front of 20 bankers in front of me, in front of Mr. Joyce, in front of Mr. McGoff, in front of PEL that he was concealing information to make it look good that, here, we had the cash, we are going to pay it. Obviously, it wasn't truthful. I wish I had a tape recording of that meeting, you know, but we don't.

MR. ROGAN: Well, I was referring to the Unit Debt Act where basically if the mayor is using one year's TAN to pay off previous years it seems like a pyramid scheme to me where he is borrowing to pay off borrowing, eventually we are going to wind up in the situation we are in if you are doing that.

MR. HUGHES: The fact that the Unit Debt Act says that those funds should not be used to pay off last year's bills does not make it a criminal act. I mean, I don't have time -- I haven't had time to even take a look at that issue, but the thing is that we know that there is provisions even in council's ordinance itself that he signed that states that that was not to be used to pay off last year because it's taken money out of this year's funds, and that was a deficit last year that should have been financed, he knew it when he submitted his budget.

But council thought, I believe, that last year the budget was balanced in 2010 and it would have been paid and there wasn't

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a \$5 million hole, but there was. Whether that amounts to taking this funds to pay off last year's, whether that -- what type -- I mean, I haven't thought about looking at that from a standpoint of what the law is that those funds never should have been used for that purpose.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Attorney Hughes, it seems that the collusion among the administration, the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED is very easily tracked and information. Vital information. withheld from a branch of the city government. Actions not just once, but I believe three times, this time is by far probably the most devastating, they were well aware of the situation, they are addressing the situation without informing city council and so I guess my question to you is what steps then can be taken to either -- well, to report this. Obviously it can't be reported to DCED because they are involved in it, but there has to be an authority to which all of this can be

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reported because I think this shines quite a light on 20 years of a distressed status.

And, you know, this type of collusion is hurting the city, it's not curing it's ills and we need either a new oversight manager or perhaps none at all and how do we -- how do we proceed along that avenue?

MR. HUGHES: I feel like Bugs Bunny in the spotlight here, you know, like Looney Tunes. You know, the one thing that I really think that there is something, and this is only my opinion, drastically wrong that the city can be permitted to a stay in a distressed status for 18 or 19 years. certainly think that if council would look at it that, you know, with the Pennsylvania Attorney General have -- you know, would they take a look at this and see what's wrong. I mean, there is something here as to why the city has remained in this status and I think many legislators are, you know, consciously aware that there is something wrong with Act 47 that the city, not only Scranton, other cities can stay in it indefinitely. That's not the intent of the

act. The intent was to have Act 47 so that cities would not file for bankruptcy under it's Chapter 9 of the federal bankruptcy law which more municipalities are currently doing. For a long time there very few filed, but there is more action right now in federal bankruptcy with various municipalities, you know, filing and it's my understanding what Act 47 was to do was to afford the cities, afford municipalities, you know, a method to avoid that and certainly there is something wrong here.

I certainly think that -- and I have never been to a PEL meeting, I have never been to any of them, but whether, you know, the attorney general would take a good look at this and figure out if there is anything wrong. That would be the only thing I could come up with being put on the spot, you know, at that fast --

MS. EVANS: I think a great part of what's wrong here is that PEL and DCED is bed with the Doherty administration and they are concealing much of what has gone on just like the newspaper has concealed it for days

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

until they could come up with excuses and apologies for the administration, so this has to end.

MR. HUGHES: So the one thing I stated, it was back in November and when I received the legislation for the unfunded debt, I was out of my office, I was on vacation, I didn't get back to Tuesday back for council meeting that night, I had received it, it was a resolution. Under the Home Rule Charter you cannot borrow money, it came from the solicitor's office, you cannot borrow money by resolution, it has to be an ordinance, and I redid it as an ordinance and I also put a clause in there that, hey, it's fine to go out and borrow the money, but, you know, you are not going to have -- be like a drunken sailor and have unlimited funds and just go out and be able to write checks without any overseeing by council, and that's why I put in there new Article II that stated that it could only be used to pay for 2011 bills and they would have to submit the bills to us -- I mean, to council as to what was going to be paid. Do

you really -- it said, "Borrow up to \$6.7 million."

Up to. So depending on what the bills are going to be at the end of the year, give us the bills. Now, some bills you might put in there that could be paid, other bills would be added, but at least you knew what they were. To this day almost two months later or at least I'd say, you know, six weeks later we don't have any idea as if that borrowing went forward today and council took it off the table and approved it, and if the judge approved the borrowing of \$6.7 million to whom are they going to be paid and what amounts.

MS. EVANS: Exactly.

MR. HUGHES: I mean, certainly I think when you go to Court, in fact, not when I think, I know when you go to Court and you are asking permission to borrow money in that amount the first question is going to be, where is the list of the bills you are going to pay? And as I said the first time, they are never going to get a loan or get a Court approval to get a loan

24

25

1

without the audit, and we still don't have the audit because they haven't cooperated with Mr. Rossi and there is still information that he needs to complete the audit. And as a result, you know, it's fine to write letters, but somebody has got to -as the old saying goes put their money where their mouth is and somebody has to produce and they have to produce and state exactly right now what the \$6.7 million is because you know as of today, and I believe that all of us are going under the assumption after the mayor stated that the TAN was going to be paid for in cash by the end of the year, that that was not included in the \$6.7 Well, now you got the \$6.7 million million. and he's adding another five million to it.

What happened from December 7 to today I don't know. The \$5 million just evaporated.

MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to comment --

MS. EVANS: Well, actually -- no,

I'm sorry --

MR. MCGOFF: -- to the statements concerning PEL.

MS. EVANS: And I haven't finished 1 2 my--MR. MCGOFF: These are in response 3 4 MS. EVANS: -- motions and when I 5 finish my motions --6 7 MR. MCGOFF: (Untranscribable.) 8 MS. EVANS: When I finish --9 MR. MCGOFF: We have correspondence dating back to March from DCED and from PEL 10 11 talking about cash flow problems and about a 12 deficit. In August, September, October, 13 November, letters from PEL recommending 14 methods to take care of this. We have had 15 legislation sent from the mayor's office to 16 deal with it, so to say that we had no 17 knowledge of this is untrue. 18 MS. EVANS: Then, Mr. McGoff, why 19 didn't the mayor include this in his 20 proposed budget on November 15? 21 MR. MCGOFF: I don't know. I'm just 22 saying that --23 MS. EVANS: I think that's -- that's 24 everything. 25 MR. MCGOFF: -- things are

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hidden isn't right.

MS. EVANS: Anyway, the mayor lied to the banks and to city council. He was fully aware that he would take the money from the Workers' Comp account because he and PEL had to obtain the permission of the state to do so. Mayor Doherty was also fully aware that those monies had to be repaid to the Workers' Comp account in January 2012 and that the millions from unfunded borrowing would not be realized until late January or February, according to Ryan McGowan, yet neither he, the business administrator nor PEL ever informed city council of this plan until after the 2012 budget was adopted. This crucial financial information regarding an \$8.5 million budget seems to have been orchestrated in an attempt to conceal the true finances of this city from the banking community and to force city council to raise taxes by 26 to 29 percent to cover this purposely concealed mess.

Mr. Reddig of DCED has made a feeble and baseless attempt to blame Scranton City

Council for cash flow problems in 2011, how very interesting particularly considering his failure in 2010 to become involved in the 2011 \$5 million deficit and the raiding of the Workers' Comp Fund. There was not one word from Mr. Reddig or DCED. Either Mr. Redding was either -- was never aware of the actions of Mayor Doherty or he chooses to ignore them.

If the city even has a cash flow problem, it is because Mayor Doherty ignored and sabotaged the 2011 budget, as amended, by reinstating positions which included wages, overtime, and health care benefits, refusing to implement a new revenue generator, StreetSmart Technologies Parking Program, and allowing excessive DPW overtime among other actions.

Scranton City Council made it unequivocally and continuously clear during it's September, October and November public council meetings that none of it's five members would approve the sale of parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority.

Yet, as an aside, that's another suggestion

offered by Mayor Doherty today, turn the parking meters over to the Scranton Parking Authority for \$6 million.

Further, because Mayor Doherty has an established record of ignoring or substituting legally adopted legislation and crossing out his signature on legislation months after his original signature, he could not be trusted to abide by the vote of council declining the sale of parking meters. Hence, the legislation was not considered by council, rather an alternative was proposed and offered by city council to Fidelity Bank and Mayor Doherty. The bank would not proceed with this plan without the agreement of the mayor and the submission of the 2012 budget and 2010 independent audit.

Thereafter, when the administration submitted legislation to borrow \$6.7 million for unfunded debt to city council in November 2011, the legislation was placed on council's agenda where it was tabled in Seventh Order on December 6, 2011, until such time as the administration would provide it's intended uses of such borrowing

in writing. To date, the administration has failed to respond and I believe that is what our council solicitor was discussing with you, the audience, earlier.

Also, I remind Mr. Reddig that in compliance with the Home Rule Charter Scranton City Council amended the mayor's proposed budget, conducted two public hearings, and adopted the 2012 operating budget, as amended, on December 13, 2011, two days prior to the charter's deadline. City council no longer has the authority to open or further amend the 2012 budget which is why PEL and DCED had the clear obligation to inform Scranton City Council of their private plans prior to December 15 not afterwards. Only Mayor Doherty now possesses the authority to open the budget.

Further, in the event of a deficit, the Home Rule Charter states that the mayor must make recommendations to address it, not city council. Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to Mr. Reddig from Scranton City Council and include the aforementioned information in order that Mr. Reddig can

correct his inaccuracies and misinformation and become better acquainted with the Home Rule Charter and the current events. His attempt to shift the blame is feckless.

This type of collusion and naked deceit among Mayor Doherty, DCED and Pennsylvania Economy League is precisely why our city has remained distressed for 20 years and is mired in historical Doherty debt.

Among their newfound solutions presented to council on Friday, December 16, the Pennsylvania Economy League and DCED recommended raising taxes be 21 percent beyond the 4.8 percent adopted by Scranton City Council and increasing the unfunded debt borrowing from \$6.7 million to it's originally recommend \$8.2 million. I will not agree to raise the taxes any further and I won't be a party to the mayor's lies and DCED's and PEL's slight of hand.

Because Mayor Doherty, business administrator Ryan McGowan, PEL and DCED appear to have acted jointly to conceal vital financial information from Scranton City Council and the local banking

community, and because Mayor Doherty has lied to Scranton taxpayers, it is up to these individuals to clean up the mess they created.

City Council suggests the implementation of the StreetSmart parking program, the sale or lease of the parking garages, the privatization of the Sewer Authority, and the implementation of an amusement tax if the mayor needs more funds for whatever his agenda might be. Whatever solution Mayor Doherty selects, he should act on it immediately.

Finally, council produced a balanced budget which cleaned up all Doherty messes which were presented to it. Once again, in 2011 the Doherty administration and PEL had engaged in financial shell games and slight of hand machinations just as they did in 2010 and in 2009. The sole difference is that PEL informed council after the fact this time while last year we had to learn of these tricks from the auditor.

Scranton City Council will not be a party to these financial machinations and

the mayor and his good friends at DCED and PEL must solve this problem that they concealed from those who worked diligently to balance a budget and start the new year with a clean financial slate.

I will not hide their disgraceful actions, I will not vote to increase taxes to cover their mess, and I will not deal with liars and apologists any longer.

That's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Before you begin, Mrs.

Franus, if I could have one additional minute. I apologize, I'm going to ask for your indulgence. I'm going to be leaving now I am not feeling well and I hope that we will see everyone next week. Thank you, Mrs. Franus.

(Whereupon Mrs. Evans and Mr. McGoff leave the dais and are not present for the rest of the meeting.)

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Mr. McGoff, who I though I'd like to ask him
a couple of questions but after hearing all

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your news I know why Mr. McGoff didn't want this meeting tonight, he wanted every bit of what you said covered up, just like the Scranton Times covered it up.

Last week I happened to watch WNEP and Scott Schaffer reported that he received a letter from PEL to council received and he said how this was after the budget was approved December 15 saying that the city was in a five point or \$5 million deficit because of the TANS, so this is released, like you all said, after the budget was approved, so they knew it, the mayor knew it, OECD knew it -- or DCED knew it and Mr. McGoff even said something in the paper today about officials did know, so is he part of this conspiracy, Mr. McGoff? Did he now this and never said a word to the rest of his council members when asked about his input to the budget? One has to wonder.

I just wish there was a transcript of that bank meeting where the mayor was right in writing -- put it right in writing that he lied. Once a liar always a liar.

Once you don't trust somebody you can never

trust them. Mrs. Evans --

MR. HUGHES: If I could interrupt, the next time we do have a meeting I will bring my tape recorder and I'll have it transcribed. Either that or we will have a court reporter there, one or the other.

MS. FRANUS: And I hope you ask that very question from him before.

MR. HUGHES: I was naive when I went there at that meeting, I never anticipated what would have happened.

MS. FRANUS: Who would have thought that he would have lied to 25 bankers and expect them to believe him ever again. I hope they are watching this meeting.

When you did your budget, you put money in for the firemen, \$13,000 you put in for firemen. Not 13, sorry, \$600,000 for 13 firemen, so that money, Mr. Doherty, Mayor Doherty, knew that he was never going to put those firemen in. He is probably going to take that money to put towards the five million and all of the other money you put in for -- to cover of the Parking Authority loans and the OECD loans, and you didn't

even have to do that but yet you did. So all of that money here would probably take that money and spend that as well. How do you know how he is going to spend the money you put in that budget? Do you know?

MR. ROGAN: We don't.

MS. FRANUS: There is no tracking any of this. Could he just take any money you put in the money and do what he wants with it?

MR. ROGAN: That's what he has been doing.

MS. FRANUS: Isn't that illegal?

Mr. Hughes, you asked for accountability,

like you said about the \$6.7 million, you

want a copy of the bills, there is no way to

track down the money you put in the budget

how he uses it? Why do a budget then?

And how the Scranton Times cannot put a word of this in the paper until today is disgraceful because they are part of the cover up. They covered this up with Mayor Doherty since Scott Schaffer said on WNEP about PEL and the \$5 million and how council never knew a word about this \$5 million

before the budget, and the Scranton Times deliberately didn't put it in the paper and then they put it in the paper and it's all lies. All lies. Just to cover Mayor Doherty's rear and to take care of him, just like Mr. McGoff was trying to do, the water boy. Well, thank you and thank you for getting the truth out.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: It's wonderful that you did and I hope everybody listens to this.

He should be arrested for malfeasance or something or taking out of office. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia.
Citizens of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SBARAGLIA: For years I have been coming before this council and telling you about the flimflam policies of the Doherty administration. This is nothing new. This has been going on for the last -- since he got in office. As you know, our

credit rating, some of our credit rating is low, but when he borrowed the seven -- I think it was 72 million, he took out a guarantee on that money, so that was a triple A so you often hear them saying that Scranton has a triple A rating. Well, on the portion of the debt it does have a triple A rating, but all of this other debt there is no rating. When they say junk bond, some people say they are not junk, they are slightly above junk, but I think they are garbage and what you got before you tells you that.

This goes way back, way back. We have been \$5 million in debt for quite a few years. I mean, that's where that DPW site came in where they kept selling it to this authority and that authority, okay? That's where you have to pay that \$1.6 million back, that was from another flimflam deal where we sold -- they get our \$5 million we sold our delinquent accounts, so that's where that came. That came back to bite us. We got a lot of trouble -- well, as you said HUD is starting to come into the -- pretty

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good into the picture when we are talking about some funds for different projects, they are not going to get funded unless some kind of an arrangement is made.

The mayor does not like to sit and negotiate, he dictates, and you should have known that. You should know that, all that. I knew he was going to dictate it for the last ten years that he has been there. doesn't negotiate and he is very vindictive. Them are two points that tells you you will never, never come to an agreement with him unless it's forced upon him in some legal manner, and if there is no legal manner you can sit there until you're blue in the face and say this, that or whatever. It's just not going to register. It never did for the last ten years and it ain't going to probably register. I think what he got, another two years left? Somewhere close to two years left, it's not going to do any difference.

He was talking about borrowing that money, the \$20 million or 20 plus million or whatever on top of what you are talking

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about, I'm not even talking about the six point or eight oint, that's nothing. just a drop in the bucket. We are talking been 25 million somewhere in that neighborhood, probably with all the bonds and whatever else that goes in with this thing. You just don't get money when you float a bond issue. You got a lot of costs associated with that bond issue. talks about borrowing and all of the interest for that first year, okay, so that's into it, too. Now, when this comes through, when if he decides not to run it's going to fall upon the next administration and the next administrator, the next mayor or whatever. Somewhere along the line it has to be made up.

When that comes before you, I suggest you borrow for the interest of the next 20 years or 30 years we can all be dead before that is to be paid and that makes sense, then you get rid of all of the problems with us now being he is going to worry about it in this budget he is going to worry about the final budget. Believe me,

it doesn't add up. No matter what you do, one and two makes three, not ten, and that's where their figures come from. Everything they do seems to add up more.

For years we have been coming before you, not only me but a lot of different people came before council, many different councils and explained that this was going to happen. Eventually it had to happen because there was no way out. You can't just spend what you don't have and you can't borrow to replace what you want to spend. It doesn't work that way. You have to keep borrowing within a certain range, okay? There is some improvements that you have to borrow for, there is no question about it. Unfortunately, we borrow a lot more for improvements than we could ever afford to pay.

I'm sorry you are stuck with it. I told you this, I have been telling you that. I don't lie to you. I have been telling you that. I have been telling you -- last week I mentioned it to you. I don't think the figures add up because the mayor doesn't

want to put out the audit, he really doesn't want to release that audit. Well, I won't get into anymore. You are going to have another earful.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Taxpayers' Association member, good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: This morning in the Scranton Times-Tribune the headline, "City looks to tap into Comp Fund."

Subheading actually should have been the headline, "The state approves Scranton will borrow \$5 million to repay previous borrowing."

That's been going on since 2003 as Mr. Loscombe says, déjà vu, you know. And you know, being as humble as I can, you know, I get around, okay, to various sport event, social events, I go to malls and whatnot and people come up to me and ask me what the hell is that Doherty doing and they put a lot of the blame, and I'm telling you, on the Scranton Times for this problem

because of the fact that they put a lot of spin and protect Doherty.

Case in point was that three days before you saw that story in the Tribune today, and as Mr. Joyce said about the editorials and so on, but a lot of people are talking about the Times and what they are doing and the public should realize that. You know, I know one of them pretty good and, you know, I can't understand what he is doing.

Mr. Rogan, you and Mrs. Evans were talking about some kind of charge or some kind of a penalty on Mr. Doherty, you might want to research it through your solicitor, but the taxpayers' law, okay, and that's a law, if on the single independent audit, okay, if they can see that there is mismanagement of the funds they can surcharge the mayor, okay? It's allowable to surcharge the mayor. I don't know if you want that cite, I can get it for you some time.

Mr. Rogan, you were speaking about the fact about HUD and I followed -- I was

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2010 before the audit was released OECD sent a letter to HUD trying to resolve their findings, HUD in turn replied that their comments and, you know, they didn't agree with them, okay, and that's on-line under the OIG, so I did contact under the Freedom of Information Act, Federal Freedom of Information Act how they are repaying who was seeking the information from HUD from the Office of the Inspector General in Washington D.C., she is in Washington D.C. to develop a management plan for OECD to abide by, so you might want to check with Beverly Pane, she is with the Freedom of Information Act in Washington D C. I also want to point out that, you

following up on the November of last year

the 2010 audit, okay, and actually it was

they had their exit audit and in October of

I also want to point out that, you know, OECD is federal funds and upon my review of various campaign funding reports I found that many of the employees over at OECD, and even the solicitor and at since our solicitor at SRA is one in the same I think, are contributing to Mr. Doherty's

campaign and that's a no-no under the

Hatchet Act, all right? You might want to

look into it that, all right?

I'm sorry Mr. McGoff isn't here because I was going to -- I was going to say, him and Mr. McGowan's legs must be very, very sore because the mayor is telling them to jump and they said how high so many times and I don't know. I don't know how they continue to did it, so I thank you and commend you for having this meeting tonight. It was very informative and find out where we are and we knew we are in sad shape. We are talking about this money right now, but don't forget, we also have \$20 million in public safety money, also. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Quinn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I just want to briefly begin tonight, I know he is not here, but I just want to say that Mr. McGoff's actions a few moments ago were truly uncalled for. To shout and interrupt

over Mrs. Evans during her motions, I think there McGoff needs to understand the concept of motions. When you are done with your motions you are done. Mrs. Evans had the floor and what he did shouting over her was truly disturbing and, you know, sitting here tonight all he has done up there tonight is shake his head, roll his eyes and he's like a living bobble head doll all night tonight and, you know, his arrogance just spews each week and I've about had it with his arrogance.

But obviously the reason we are all here tonight is we have been handed a nice little early Christmas present by the honorable one once again, one of his little surprises, and now once again we are expected to clean this mess up. You know, we were obviously made aware of this \$5 million TAN that the mayor, the BA and PEL had pledged to the bank that they were going to pay and, you know, listening to Attorney Hughes tonight it's truly disturbing to hear some of the statements that were made at that meeting, that the mayor made a verbal

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commitment and pledged that this was going to be paid \$5 million cash and went back on his word, and it just goes to show what his word actually means. You know, we have had to deal with this for ten years and it's truly, truly appalling, but what I really find odd about this situation, as I just said, where did the mayor, BA Ryan McGowan and PEL think this money was coming from? mean, where did they think they were going to pull this out of? As I said a few weeks ago, does the mayor have a magic hat downstairs that he pulls money out of it? mean. I don't understand where he intended on getting this money, and now we find out tonight that once again he wants to take another \$5 million out of the Workers' Comp Trust Fund.

But, you know, I just -- I'm getting to the point where I'm speechless because each week it's something new and it's never good. But I think most of all what I'm really disturbed by is the fact that obviously the mayor and PEL and Ryan McGowan knew about this for months and yet they let

you go, Councilman Joyce, through the budget process and putting your amendments and all of the hard work you went through, and as you stated, you had several conversations with PEL, conversations with Ryan McGowan through e-mails, and not one time did they ever inform you of this, and yet now after you pass your budget they want to say, oh, they want to surprise you and say, oh, hey, we got to pay a \$5 million TAN and now they expect you to come up with a plan for this and once again want to throw this in your lap unfairly.

This isn't your problem. I think it's time to stop cleaning this guy's mess. I think we ought to stop sitting back and finding solutions to clean his mess, and I know -- I'm not saying that we want to sit back and let this slide, but I think it's time to hold him accountable and let him face this mistakes and let him clean this one up because we've done enough cleaning up and the only thing that happens afterwards is another mess, and I think he needs to come forward right here right now, as I have

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, him, the BA and the PEL and explain themselves publically.

You know. Mr. McGoff wants to talk about an alleged meeting that was going to take place at the 12th hour when, in fact, earlier this evening Mr. Joyce stated that officials from PEL were going to be out of town tonight, so I don't know where Mr. McGoff thought these individuals were coming from but, you know, if Mr. McGoff was truly concerned, since we all know he has a close relationship to the mayor, why didn't he ever reach out? He likes to talk about the spirit of cooperation, if I hear that one more time, as I said, I'm going to be I mean, how many times are we are going to sit here and listen to this guy up here, and I have to single him out because he has been on council the longest, besides Mrs. Evans, okay? He has a been part of rubber stamp councils. He has let all of this go by as president of council and he did nothing about it and he wants to sit up here and criticize you and shake his head all night and then show his arrogance by

leaving and show how much he really cares about what's going on. I mean, his actions speak for itself walking out and then he is going to be critical that you had a meeting tonight. Well, you are darn right we are going to have a meeting tonight because we have business to discuss. If he wants to talk about no agenda, no, there is an agenda. There has been an agenda for ten years, and the agenda has been trying to straighten up a mess that you and your counterparts have created.

And, you know, I'm just disgusted, I really am. I come up here each week and I only get more frustrated, but tonight I think it's time that we call for an investigation by the federal and state attorney general, the secretary service, the governor and any other authority to hold Chris Doherty, PEL and Ryan McGowan accountable for their actions. We have sat back, we have let this go on for far too long, okay? Council has been pinned in a corner far too many times, you have been expected to clean these messes up, and every

time you do make an attempt to move forward and to take two steps forward we take three steps back because we are thrown something else, we are thrown another surprise. Now it's the TAN, last year it was the same thing. I mean, what's it going to be tomorrow when we wake up?

But I think it's time to hold him accountable, I think it's time to do an investigation if it's possible and I hope, as you said, you know, you were going to ask Attorney Hughes to look into it, I just hope it can be done because I think that's the only way we are going to hold this man accountable once and for all.

We've put up with his shenanigans for far too long. This information should have been sent down to you before you passed your budget and we probably wouldn't be in this dilemma right now and you would have been able to come up with a plan and hopefully alleviated some of this. But I just think that it's time to forego our relationship with PEL, they have caused nothing but headaches and grief and

regarding the mayor, as I said, he needs to 1 2 be held accountable legally. 3 For two years this council majority has made attempts to revive this city, and 4 as I have said, we have only gone back 5 because we have been hit with one surprise 6 after another. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Miller. 9 MR. MILLER: And I appreciate your 10 time. Thank you, and I would like to wish 11 everyone a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: Merry Christmas to you 14 as well. MR. JOYCE: 15 Thank you and Merry 16 Christmas. 17 MR. ROGAN: Marie Schumacher. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher. MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 19 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. 21 Before I get to this other confusing mess on 22 the budget, can you verify whether the 23 closure of Engine 10 and 15 as of 1 January 24 2012 is official? MR. LOSCOMBE: I can't confirm that 25

because I haven't seen anything in writing, but I could probably guarantee that probably maybe two to three stations in existence after January 1 with the cutbacks.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand there might be a release on that. Could you check with -- has Mr. McGoff left for the evening or just --

MR. ROGAN: It appears so.

thought maybe -- yeah, maybe somebody could check with Chief Davis and find out and then Boyd Hughes is leaving because that was -- my next question is his dissertation left me a tad confused because I thought he said that TAN-B of 2010, which I had understood was paid from the Workers' Comp surplus, that's what I thought to be case, but then you start talking about it was paid from the 2011 TAN-A. Now, which was it?

MR. JOYCE: It's my understanding that the 2010 TAN was --

 $\label{eq:ms.schumacher: "B". No, wait,} % \end{substitute} % \end{s$

MR. JOYCE: TAN-B.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Let's use letters.

MR. JOYCE: TAN-B was paid off in the following the year, in 2011 in January, once we received money from TAN A and B.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So it wasn't from the Workers' Comp surplus?

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It can't be both.

MR. JOYCE: Correct. There was money transferred, I'd have to go back and look at all my notes, I think the transfer at the time I believe it was \$2.9 million was transferred over from Workers' Comp to pay other bills. However, I know that the 2010 TAN payment was made in January of 2011.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, maybe Mr. Hughes could answer directly. Is he coming back, Mrs. Krake, do you know? I guess nobody really cares about public comment, just letting us vent, so I'm still confused on that.

And I know on the 6th of December council decided not to vote on the unfunded debt funding until the first meeting of the

new year. That same evening Mr. Joyce stated that it was his understanding from Mr. McGowan that even if funding the unfunded debt was approved that evening on the 6th of December the dollars would not come in until late January or early February at best.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You know, that's true, and Mr. Joyce also announced that there was to be a meeting tomorrow morning about the loans and TANS and the various things and then Mr. Hughes announced that he had received the legislation, as he stated tonight, in the form of a resolution on the 11th of November and the money from that, even it had had been acted upon that evening -- that same time they could not have -- the monies could not have come in on this in this year both because of the advertising and because the resolution was not the legal medium, it was to be an ordinance.

So on the 15th of December the mayor is quoted in the paper as saying he has no

intention of putting this city in a hole at the start of 2012. How can he have not known that the budget would be short without the unfunded borrowing at this point? I don't understand this at all.

And how does the city proceed from here? I mean, you can't -- Mrs. Evans just said you all can't do anything because it's past the 15th, so is the only option left to wait until the first meeting of the year and increase the unfunded borrowing?

MR. JOYCE: There is two options -well, there is some -- there is various
options. One is to increase the unfunded
borrowing. Two involves the sale of the
parking meters, which everybody on council
was against. I drafted a plan myself, I
could read the whole thing, it would take
quite awhile. If you would like I could
read it for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, maybe at the conclusion if anybody else doesn't object and you don't mind staying I would like to hear it.

MR. JOYCE: Okay, I could give you a

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

copy actually.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, okay, that would be fine, but the second item is not possible because the sale of the parking -well, at least not this year because the sale of the parking meters would also have to -- I mean, even if you sold them the Parking Authority doesn't have any money to buy them so they would have to go out and float a loan, those parking meters could be replaced. We've got what, 1200 parking meters? They cost less than \$1,000 new. How would anybody take that as collateral when it has no value?

But I guess I'll remain confused until the next meeting, which I assume will be next week?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. Assuming the mayor vetoes.

> MS. SCHUMACHER: Say what?

MR. ROGAN: Assuming the mayor vetoes the budget.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Otherwise -- is there going to be a motion tonight so it's official that there will be no meeting next

1 week unless the --2 MR. ROGAN: I would vote for a 3 meeting next week regardless so --4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okav. Thank you. 5 MR. JOYCE: If you could approach I could give you a copy of this plan I gave to 6 all of the other council members about the 7 8 \$5 million and we will try to attempt to 9 contact Chief Davis and obtain a list of the 10 engine companies and truck companies opened 11 and closed as of January 1. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And, night, 13 Ruthie. 14 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bob Bolus. 15 MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. 16 Bob Bolus, Scranton. 17 MR. ROGAN: Good evening. 18 MR. BOLUS: It's kind of 19 disappointing to have to come here before 20 Christmas and see what's going on, the 21 deception, the lies, the smoke and mirrors. 22 Right back where we started from a long time 23 ago before this council and the cameras 24 started putting everything public. I think

we all take a personal offense to what the

25

mayor just pulled. True to form, this council and other councils have been here publically. Everything is exposed, everything is upfront. There are no secrets, everybody hears and sees what's going on here, yet Chris Doherty is old school politics. He likes the two-step shuffle, now you see it, now you don't since he has \$5 million in cash in his piggy bank.

Taxpayers, we are just his piggy bank, but guess what, Mayor, not this time. Not with this council, you are not going to get away with it. You played the game, you squandered three million plus of the golf course money that was to go to playgrounds so kids could play and have a future just based on the interest. You have no concept of money any way somebody else does.

Bob McGoff stood here tonight and I think he insulted every one of us. He was a council president here and he allowed this mayor, who I think he believes walks on water, well, I want to tell him he doesn't and he is not going to do it this time hopefully. The mayor has been playing smoke

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and mirrors, McGoff sits here and then tonight he runs out because he can't face us because it's a conscience you have to have to sit here and he doesn't have one. He knew or should have known what this mayor was pulling, the deception, the games, you can't hide it. That's what the cameras tell us. Well, now he is scared because his idol is coming down.

Hopefully there will be an investigation by the proper authorities and we are going get to the bottom what's going on here once and for all and we need them to find out how to get from Wilkes-Barre to Scranton. When they get here maybe they will do the job that's necessary because it's long overdue. Deception can only last so long and this administration has played the game much too long at the expense of the people of this city. Taxpayers that suffer day in and day out, can't pay their bills, don't know where tomorrow is coming from without the cash in their hand yet McGoff sits there and smirks and laughs at people and thinks he can just trump his chest and

run out the door. Well, King Kong tried it and he fell off the building, too, remember that. And it's coming down and I think you gentlemen are going to bring him down. You came to the fight, you have stayed the course.

It was sad to see Council President
Janet Evans tonight in the pain that she is
suffering, but she is a true champion. She
came here tonight and she kicked his butt
and he needed it kicked, and that's what
this council is about. It's dedication to
the people here and the people out there.
That's the difference with this council,
whether talk show hosts want to criticize it
and say it isn't going anywhere, they should
come here and stand in your shoes because
you are doing your job. You did it on time,
you followed the rules, and that's the key
to the game.

Follow the rules, Chris Doherty.

That's what we put you here for is to follow the rules. You are done breaking them. You broke this city, you have embarrassed us,

DCED, PEL and the mayor should be lumped

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into one cell because that's they are. They have robbed us, they have mislead us and they denied us what we need. They got to go. We've paid them enough and we paid them far too long and I know you'll do the right thing. You have been doing the right thing and we believe in what you are doing.

Last week after I left here Mr. Hartman showed up down here like a babbling brook about the land under his house. He has built that on city-owned property, whether he likes it or not that's what he has done. He can criticize me any way he wants. I'm up there 20 some years, he moved into the neighborhood, he is not a good neighbor. He has created a problem. The lawsuit he talked about is the suit we filed against him for destruction and damage he did to my home with his negligence. have to take him to Court yet on the 16 1/2 foot under his house. He babbled about a deed he has. I showed the deeds here that the city owns that property and Paul Kelly has not produced the deed and neither has Tom Hartman. Let him come down, bring the

deed that shows the city transferred that property to the -- or the prior land owners and all this goes away. He hasn't done that and he is good at running off at the mouth.

He came in here, and one important reason I came here tonight is you start talking about wage tax, wether I pay or whatever, as we all know wage tax information is confidential, and I'm asking for an investigation into who or how Todd Hartman got any wage tax information on me or any other citizen in this city. He is good at breaking the rules and then he sits up there crying and blames everybody else. Now he is going to be held accountable.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: And finally I'll say goodnight. As you know, our dinner, the Bob Bolus dinner is Sunday, Christmas Day at St. Lucy's Church, it's an all day buffet.

Anyone who doesn't want to stay home just bring your Christmas spirit and your appetite and the comradery and meet a lot of new friends and you will enjoy it. And to you gentlemen and Janet, she is not here,

Merry Christmas. And to Bob McGoff, I hope you get coal in your stocking. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
Well, once again I have to say that I
disagree with the majority of the speakers I
have heard tonight, okay? I personally
think that it's impossible to mislead this
council. Absolutely impossible. Why?
Because council has the power of subpoena
and any information this council needed they
could have relatively got in a very easy
fashion by bringing the Court into this
process. Last year we faced the same
problem.

You know, if anybody got a kick in the teeth it's been the residents of this city because they're seriously overtaxed and we keep listening to the same responses that we didn't have information. I think that the council could even have went and forced the administration to produce the audit, and I just have to say that as we continue to blame other people for what's occurring in

this city we do nothing but keep hurting ourselves. We can blame the Scranton Times for the way they report, but I have to be very honest and tell you that I know firsthand that they don't have an investigative reporter because I have talked to their editor about it.

I think we have a lot of problems, and honestly, I don't see how we can ever put a budget, although Mr. Joyce I agree probably worked very hard on the budget, and I appreciate your struggles with it as I appreciate everything this council does.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: And I'm not trying to browbeat the council, but how can you make decisions of a relevant fashion that has to do with the city's finances without the correct information? And until the council is prepared to issue subpoenas and find out facts then no budget will ever be true, and to say that we didn't know that TANS weren't paid, by the same token it's just not possible. I mean, we can keep blaming everybody for what's going on in this city,

but I really believe that so many people --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, in a functional government one branch of the government should be able to trust what the other branch sends down without --

MR. MORGAN: You're right, but you do have to realize that even the federal government uses subpoenas to get information and I think that if we are going to talk about a government that has not cooperated with council for over ten years is that that's the statements that have been made did something change? Absolutely not. This is the same problem we had last time.

MR. ROGAN: The majority of the last ten years council rubber stamped whatever the mayor pushed through.

MR. MORGAN: I'm not disagreeing with that, but I'm saying that the debt has continued to grow and we have continued to use one-time revenue fixes to solve the city's problems. We've had nothing but problems with PEL, and to be honest with you, you know, I wish we had a council like Harrisburg has because now they have done an

20

21

22

23

24

25

petition for bankruptcy, and I think that in the end they are going to win because it's only a fact that the residents are leaving the city because they can't pay anymore, and if we just keep borrowing, let's say next year after January 1 we get forced into selling the parking meters, is that a solution to anything? I don't think it is, personally I don't think it is. solution never having your audit on time? Does that help any of residents in this Does it give any of the residents of the city hope that they should stay here and invest and try to do the right thing and fight it out? Because I have talked to so many who just gave us. And I just think that when we are

appeal to the federal government over their

And I just think that when we are trying to put a document together as complex as a budget with not real figures or a question of whether they are real what's next year's shortfall going to be? That's the question we should be asking ourselves right now, because from what I sat through the meeting and heard so far is that you not

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure of the numbers, so how much of it is real? What's been paid, what hasn't been paid, where are we going, what facts are3 real, what facts aren't real, why isn't the audit produced and given to council?

These are all questions that had to be addressed prior to the creation of a budget and I really think that if the mayor didn't veto the budget it's touche. played a game of chest and I think he has That's only my opinion. And it's not won. a shot at any of the council members because you worked with facts and I think that next year it's time to issue subpoenas, use your solicitor, go to Court, compel the mayor to produce the audit, use the Home Rule Charter as it was written. I just think in all of the years I have sat here people have always talked about the Home Rule Charter, but they have never used it, and it's here to benefit the residents.

And, you know, we are not even a medium city anymore, we are becoming a small city with a very large debt and maybe, you know, it would be a beautiful thing to have

1 the council petition the Courts for 2 permission to file and proceed through 3 bankruptcy because let the federal government decide, they are trying to 4 5 protect the bonds, that's what's going on. Even in Harrisburg they have put a bond 6 7 lawyer in the head of their government. 8 Well, that's their plan. Thank you. 9 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. 10 Dave Dobrzyn. 11 MR. JOYCE: And I will check with 12 our counsel solicitor about subpoena powers 13 and what we could possibly do in the future. 14 MR. MORGAN: Merry Christmas. 15 you. 16 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. 17 Dare Dobrzyn. I'd like give a little a card 18 for your office. Thank you. 19 MR. ROGAN: 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you very much. 21 MR. DOBRZYN: Hopefully I got everybody's name on it. I would also like 22 23 to comment, first of all, it's not illegal 24 to lie if you are not in Court, but if you 25 are an investigative grand jury there's a

mayor, I don't know where he is from, I think it was Detroit or maybe somewhere in Illinois, the name was Fitzgerald, and he was carrying on an affair and they asked him in an investigative grand jury about the nature of this affair and he lied. The poor guy wound up in jail over an affair. You know, so that's the secret. If you lie in Court then you are liable.

And a few days ago I was rereading an article written by GO Lackawanna, and I'll just read a brief account of it, "The city of --" this is Mayor Doherty's testimony before Harrisburg, "The City of Scranton has been distressed status for 19 years," no fooling, "But the mayor believes that the city should only be under Act 47 for 18 months. If the municipality is unable to make the necessary decisions to leave Act 47 after this period he said the state should take over and make those decisions for the city."

Now, I think I aforementioned about the law in Michigan where when a state comes in they could sell or nullify contracts, do

whatever they basically want. They are selling prime property, beach front property to Lake Michigan out from under these poor people that was donated by a mayor in the 1920's and they are selling it the Whirlpool Corporation, which pulled out of town and that's why the town is broke to begin with, and they went with Nashville, and now from here on in it will be a golf course and it will be \$500 a year per family for admission. So it just goes to show you, I mean, if he wants to throw us to the sharks he can dictate whatever way it's to be done and how many is to be spent and whatever.

This is really egregious. I wish the newspaper would stop facilitating him because it's really ridiculous. It's one thing if he are lied to, it's another thing when it gets on the editorial page because that's up to them and I constantly see, and I am a Times customer, so I'd like to see something a little different in the editorial page, I have seriously criticized, and they could send a reporter and he jots down whatever he hears and like a little

the editor the whole story can be changed around, so it's really shameful and I hope some day we can some way get to the bottom of this and I'd like to encourage you people to stay on track and just keep trying to smoke this out and hopefully some day we will get there that we can start a new year on an even keel instead of with a bunch of surprises and wast all this time.

Now, okay, we'll get to the golden parrot, and I'll make it brief tonight. Kim Jung-il died, boo-hoo-hoo, and there is like right now there is between half a million people a year or a quarter of a million people a year die in (inaudible) and I have been accused of picking on the right wing too much, well, I don't like right wing or left wing totalitarianism in any forum and, you know, I've never been a really religious man and a lot of my hard shell religious friends tell me, "You might die and go to hell some day."

Well, I have a plan and that plan is this, if I do wind up in hell and I could

join forces with the devil and harass people like Kim Jung-il for eternity and then I could declare a paradise. Bawk, bawk, bawk.

And, oh, by the way, finally, the

Dems have 150 percent more approval than the
Republicans in Congress because the Dems are
3 percent approval and the Republicans are 2
percent approval so that deserves a double
bawk bawk. Have a good night. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And before the next speaker comes up I just wanted to say one thing, I know Mr. Dobrzyn mentioned Act 47 and the state, I want to mention this is one area that I do agree with the Scranton Times on, is that the state needs to assist the City of Scranton as well as other municipalities throughout the Commonwealth with the ability -- by granting us the ability to make changes to our tax structure.

For instance, one thing that I'm in favor of is a payroll expense tax on businesses and the eventual fading out of the business privilege and mercantile tax.

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is something that they did in Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh had to lobby the state legislature and state senate for quite sometime in order to get this done, and with the rising cost of expenses that we have in the city, this is something that I am planning on doing throughout 2012, so hopefully we could get something in 2013.

I know that Mayor Doherty was proposing a 1 percent sales tax, however, I think that the state needs to allow municipalities to have more flexibility, especially with nonprofits is a great example, and also the ability to levy certain taxes and gradually phase out others because there is certain organizations such as manufacturing that don't pay a business privilege or mercantile tax and banks are another example. While your mom and pop grocery store that may have a sole proprietor that maybe brings in 30 or 40 thousand dollars a year are subject to this tax, so that's all. I just want happened to add my peace on that matter.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Jackowitz.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers' Association. You know, first of all, I'd like to start off on a good note. I'm really impressed with the Christmas decorations that people are putting out on their houses and on their properties. mean, there is actually neighborhoods where there is two or three, four houses together that I'm assuming got into together and they've really done a real good job decorating. They're giving Beansy Culkin a run for his money on Moosic Street, they really are, but I really am. I mean, drive around the city, you really would be impressed.

And since I'm talking about
Christmas tree lights, the lights at Nay Aug
Park are still on because every morning at
6:00 and I'm getting ready to go work the
park is all lit up at 6 a.m., and when I get
back at 5:15 it's all lit up, so I'm
assuming that they are probably on all day
long.

Okay, the audit. You know, we know

2
 3
 4

the audit hasn't been done, it's supposed to have been done in May. I mean, something has to be done about this, it really does.

Now, I don't know if a subpoena is the answer, if it is we need to do it, but we need to do something. These people who have not provided the information to complete the audit should be severely reprimanded at the very least, and it needs to be made public.

I'm asking the Scranton Times to make it public the department heads who have not complied with the audit. I'm asking Channel 16 and Channel 28 and ECTV to make it public, okay?

This is one of the big problems we have is the audit has not been completed. How can you balance or how can you make a budget without an audit? Again, I'm asking the news media, make it public. Names, titles as to why the information has not been made public.

Okay, Mr. Rogan, Mr. Joyce,
Mr. Loscombe, Mrs. Krake, did Mr. McGoff
inform anybody any of you that he was going
to leave the meeting before public --

MR. JOYCE: No.

2

MR. ROGAN: No.

3

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

unanimous no from all four people.

4

MS. KRAKE: No.

5

MR. JACKOWITZ: No, so that's a

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, that's a shame that he just gets up

and leaves without even telling anybody that he is going to leave. Again, I'm asking the

Scranton Times, print that. Print that

Doherty lied to the banks and that

Mr. McGoff got up and left the meeting prior

to public speakers. Print that if you have

the courage. You are a newspaper. You are

supposed to be reporting the news. That is

news, okay? A mayor lied to a bunch of the

bankers and a councilman gets up and leaves

without informing anybody that he was

leaving. That is a news. Report it if you

have the courage.

Okay, clerk notes. I noticed now we

no longer have clerk notes. Is that because

the cabinet members and heads are not

reporting any and not answering any of our

letters. Can I ask Mrs. Krake that?

being cut, any of these people responsible for not having their audit -- their portion of the audit completed?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes? Well, good.

Let's cut more from them. If they can't provide the information for the audit let's cut more from their salaries. And again, let's make their names public and their titles public. It's now December the 20th.

Okay? Let's make it public. Let's go public, okay?

As far as the mayor and PEL and business administrator supposedly could have maybe had a meeting today, the table is empty. The mayor could be sitting here, the business administrator could be sitting here, PEL could be here, they all could be here at this meeting if they were really serious about coming, and Mr. McGoff could be the chairman of the meeting. I would recommend that he could be the chairman, okay? He could be the facilitator for the meeting. Robert McGoff, the councilman who

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

left the meeting prior to public citizen's participation. He could be the facilitator. Maybe we can get a meeting setup for next week and make Mr. McGoff can facilitate it, okay? And we can have Mr. McGowan as the note taker because we definitely need notes because nobody seems to take any notes around here.

MR. ROGAN: No objection from me on that, Mr. Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And as far as the \$5 million, this is just a battle that's been going on and on and on. I applaud you guys. I feel sorry for you. I don't know if you are ever going to make any progress, but by all means don't stop. Continue to put the pressure on this mayor, on the BA, on everybody who is involved in this because the citizens are suffering. I mean, we are getting lied to, bankers are being lied to I mean, I hope to see something in the now. newspaper, I really do, I probably won't, but I really hope that the headlines tomorrow read, "Mayor lies to bankers, McGoff leaves meeting. Ashame to face

citizens." Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Council, I had no intention to speaking tonight, I guess I'm just a ham like Paul Servino, you know, I can't sit there, and what Bill said about the Christmas lights, I think we should remember the 600 families that won't have a home this Christmas that's coming up foreclosures, that's very sad to all of us.

You know, I have probably attacked everything wearing pants in the city and the county and the state year after year and no one has -- out of all of these people there is lots of them, not a one has ever come to confront me and sue me and poke me in the head or something and the reason I think is because I have spoke facts. I have spoken the truth about them that they can't confront that, and I feel if I don't speak the truth it will die. Do you like that? That's how I feel. If I don't speak the truth it will die and tonight listening to

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what's going on with this administration I say if, council, if you don't tell the people of this city what's going on who will?

You know, Mr. Doherty has tried to shut this TV off so the people will be in the dark, and I don't think anybody on council has any selfish motives or agenda like we know he certainly has, and I have been -- a lot of times I have disagreed with council or come on too strong maybe in opposing something, but I want you guys to know that you got my support always. think you are doing the best job you can with what you have got, and I would like to wish everybody a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year and to all of these people that talk to me all of the time I wish everyone of them have a better year coming.

It's going to be hard with the taxes almost being doubled and so forth, but like I said, I live here by choice and I just love the city and I wish -- I just wish it could, you know -- things could be better and I appreciate, again, you people allowing

1	me to come up here week after week and let
2	off steam. Thank you.
3	MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
4	Merry Christmas to you.
5	MR. JOYCE: Merry Christmas to you
6	as well.
7	MR. ROGAN: Come on up, Chrissy.
8	MR. SLEDENZSKI: I'm coming, Pat.
9	MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.
10	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
12	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Who is that handsome
14	guy?
15	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, I know that
16	handsome devil is. Guys, Merry Christmas
17	and Happy New Year from me. Merry
18	Christmas, Guys.
19	MR. ROGAN: Merry Christmas. Is
20	there anyone else who would like to address
21	council? Mrs. Krake, Sixth order?
22	MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT
23	THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT
24	THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER.
25	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake, did we

1 receive the legislation for the 2010 File of 2 Council No. 56, 2011, as amended, from the 3 mayor? 4 MS. KRAKE: No, we did not. Councilman Rogan, I would just like to say 5 that it is the 2012 budget. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: I apologize. I'm stuck 8 in 2011, I guess. Therefore, there will be 9 no business in Seventh Order. And that 10 being said, I would like to wish everyone a 11 Merry Christmas and to the Jewish folk in 12 Scranton I want to wish them a Happy 13 Hanukah. 14 MR. JOYCE: Yes, I would like to 15 wish a happy Hanukah as well. I will entertain a 16 MR. ROGAN: 17 motion to adjourn. Motion to adjourn. MR. JOYCE: 18 Meeting adjourned. 19 MR. ROGAN: 20 21 22 23 24 25

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER