_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, December 13, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs. 10 11 Evans. 12 MR. ROGAN: For the record, 13 Mr. Joyce I believe is speaking to somebody 14 in the hallway and Mrs. Evans will be here later. Please dispense with the reading of 15 16 the minutes. 17 MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. NO 18 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. We have no clerk's 19 notes this evening either, Mr. Rogan. 20 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Do any 21 council members have announcements to make? 22 Reverend Simmons, since you were first on 23 the other list we'll allow you to come up 24 first. 25 MS. SIMMONS: Good evening, Council.

My name is Reverend Kathryn Simmons, and I'm a life-long Scranton resident. Before I begin saying what it is I came here to say tonight, let me tell you that no one knows better than the AME shelter board of directors what it is to run a system with no money or very little and to keep yourself together and not lash out, so I give compliment to the council for you being so kind tonight.

And I must tell you that I'm overwhelmed with the people who came here tonight who have spoken already because there was no unkind personal remarks made. There were remarks made about finances, there were remarks made about administrative duties, but there was nothing personal that was said. And I'm going to tell you something, as I work across the city these past 25 years that's one of the reasons people don't come to council meetings because they don't want to hear the hatred and the belligerence that comes when people get up and here and talk about things that are necessary and leave the other things

25

alone.

Now, I came here this evening to talk to you again about those in need. We are still in need and still accepting all donations, and for those who want to donate you can call 570-342-4117, and I will tell you where our drop-off site is.

Someone called me this past week to state how upset they were that I had described Scranton as heaven. They told me that there is no such place here, but you and I know better. People who have lived here a lifetime know that there, indeed, is a heaven found here. You see, to all those listening I again repeat Scranton is heaven for it is a place where all spirits, humanistic or otherwise, are waiting to help those in need. No matter what turmoil there is the answer is always found through love and hope given to us by the citizens of this fair city. Even when cries of distrust and apathy are screaming out, some human spirit will step forth to come and keep us focused on our faith and ourselves and our fellow residents.

25

1

2

3

I'd like to share a short story with you and maybe then you will understand what it is that I'm saying to you. Several years ago at the AME shelter a young gentleman came in, he had to be talked into coming. It was a night where the city was considered code blue. There was a bad storm in midst and we had to go out and talk this gentleman into coming into the shelter. He is a homeless person who desires to live outside.

When he finally did come in he sat with me and I tried to talk to him about why he found it a great necessity to sleep out on the street, live down by the river, live in a camp, and he told me that he was a Vietnam veteran and he needed to be outside where the spirits of those he had killed or witnessed being killed could hear him from his words of sorrow ask for forgiveness. The walls of buildings block out those messages he said, but the streets carry the words right to them.

I walked around my desk and gave him a hug and, yes, he was dirty, he smelled, his skin was rough and his clothes were not

I asked why Scranton? Why did you come here? And he said, "Well, when I came back from Vietnam I went home to Austin, Texas, where I was born but I couldn't find what I was looking there so I started to travel on and I have traveled and traveled from one place to another searching, searching."

And I said, "And what is it exactly it is you are searching for?"

And he said, "An earthly heaven."

And he said, "I've found it finally,
it's called Scranton, Pennsylvania."

If this young man could begin a journey some 35 years ago and find his solace here in the streets of Scranton, why can't we come together and find solace amongst ourselves. We need to learn to work together. Some of us hide because we are afraid of those who might lash out at us. Others, we don't have all of the answers, and there is nothing wrong with that, nothing wrong with that at all, but we must be comforting to each other. I thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Reverend

Simmons.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

I usually don't make MR. SBARAGLIA: a lot of comments about people who speak, but I can tell you this, anybody that has a love for this city gets emotional about the city, there is no doubt. I in turn get emotional, too. I have been here for all my life except when I was deployed in service. Other than that, I never wanted to go I loved this city. I love the anvwhere. people in this city and I believe in holding people who got elected to office to be accountable for their voting record. believe in that. But I don't believe you should act like a mad dog or something like that, but you should bring it up and you should bring it up. It may sound derogative, I'm not saying it won't, but whatever the reason, if you love this city you got to do it. There is no question of

24

25

1

it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You heard me say I don't particularly think your budget is going to I really don't, but that's my personal opinion because I don't believe you got all of the facts, so I believe you are going to 7 have problems, but there is no question, but my love for the city says I have to say it. You must say what you believe in and you must fight for what you believe in. Like I said before, I always fought for open, honest and efficient government. I didn't ask nothing less because if I was elected they would get that from me, and I demand that from everyone else that gets elected. 16 You got to have it. If you don't have it you don't really love the city. I mean, I have been here a long

I seen a lot of changes. I seen the coal mines close, I seen -- well, the factories closed, a lot of stuff we really didn't have a say in the matter. It was done either economically or by the federal government. I don't approve of everything that was done to the city, but I do know one

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing, you got to have common sense when you draw up a budget. I applaud the unions. They came before you and gave their viewpoint. Why didn't the administration to the same? That's the sad part. Really the sad part. If you believe that you can run this city with 100 firemen then you should come before you and explain exactly how you are going to do it or like the DPW says there are certain drivers that have a certain qualification, a license and special license for the street cleaning and for the So be it. That has to be taken plowing. into account, either if you are going to lay off them people then you got to have some way of replacing them with qualified drivers. It's just got to be done.

Now, I ain't saying anything about supervisors. I thought we had one move there at the auxiliary that used to be up there at the parks. I thought he was moved to the DPW to be some type of a whatever, but the important thing is a safety issue was brought up. If you have plow drivers this late in the season we can't really be

changing them plow drivers. I don't know how many of them are qualified. I do believe that you are going to ask. You must ask regardless of what, you must ask how many of them people are going to be available to plow0 the streets or how many people are available to drive the fire engines or so forth and so on. I mean, their qualifications have to be addressed.

I'm just sorry the administration didn't come before you and give all of them things to you and explained in detail what persons can be let go or what type of people have to be retained.

I'm just sorry. I'm sorry you got to make all of them decisions in the blind. I really am. It's sorry people don't have the common sense. I wish Brian Reap was still around. He did have common sense and tried to explain everything even though they didn't listen to him, but at least he tried to explain and for some reason or other he got information. Yous people are blind. I guess only one person who sits up there is actually privy to all of the information

that's made available. Well, I give you my good luck. I give you God's blessing and whatever you got to do and I hope it works out for the best. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.
Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. ROGAN: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I meant to come to a lot of meetings, I have been driving over 60 miles a day to work each way so my work schedule is really changed, it's really difficult to get here, but, you know, I have been paying a lot of attention, and I just come here tonight to ask the council, okay, to really seriously consider what it's actually talking about. I'm not for the mayor, I'm not against him, I'm not for the council, I'm not against them either. I just hope today that clearer minds would prevail.

It's my understanding, and council would have a lot more of this information than I would, that the mayor's offering an

really honest with you they are basically turning their back on us.

Some things that should have been put on the agenda didn't make it, and maybe they should have, but I just think that if the mayor has agreed to bring back firemen, because we do need them, I don't agree with the cuts in the public works either. I'm not going to be really honest with you, I think this city is running bare bone. I

really think that. I think that's what

incentive for the top 20 people to retire and he is going to bring back all of the firemen he has laid off. He has applied for grants to fund the fire department. I just think that when you look at what's going on here, you know, when you look at the mayor's 29 percent tax increase, all right, well, we have see serious problems, we can't pay our We are on very serious financial straights that council -- I'm not picking on council, the council cut taxes by a serious amount the last budget, I don't think we have recovered from it. We are trying to go out and get loans from banks and to be

_ .

happened is we have allowed an awful lot of borrowing to take place, not just by this mayor but other administrations, and look at, the American Anglican deal started on Mr. Connors' watch, and I'm not blaming Mr. Connors either, but look at all of the debt he probably took responsibility for when he took office.

Look it, we can all sit here and blame everybody, we are in a really tough situation, but I think we need to look and be concerned about the elderly in case there is fire and they can't get out.

everybody. I mean, I'm pretty much at my limit and I'm only 53 years old basically and I sometimes wonder how much more I can take, but I just can't turn my back on the elderly, and all of the residents in this city don't forget we lost two children to a fire, and I know allegedly it was arson, but I just think that if the mayor is going to agree to reinstate the laid off firemen, the younger firemen, and allow older ones to retire with an incentive I think we should

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seriously consider it.

I agree with some of the things that Andy said here in regards to the council's I think it's time to step back and budaet. really look at this budget and, Mr. Rogan, you have talked about, you know, a willingness to work with the mayor and I'm just hoping, Mr. Loscombe, you know, I spoke to you at the debate about the necessity to maintain an adequately manned fire department and that we had a lot of serious problems in front of the city, my downfall was that I didn't have time to campaign. campaigned for 16 hours in the last election, eight hours in West Scranton and eight hours in Minooka because I'm like everybody else I'm struggling through a change of employment to meet my obligations, but the last thing I want to do is I want to walk away from public safety.

We need our DPW, as Andy has talked about, the people who run the plows. I think we have degraded public works that most of the residents think they only pick of garbage, and to be truthfully honest with

you, we need to expand the role and we need to find answers, and maybe over the course of another year maybe council can sit down, you have got a year to sit down and try to come up with some concrete plans, reach to the state who I think pushed the war between the city's unions and the mayor, and I think that the state holds a lot of responsibility.

But I would like to see council if
the mayor has a signed agreement, which I
have been told he does, to bring back the
laid off firemen and he has applied for
these grants I think that the council has an
obligation to public safety, and I agree
that a lot of people are really stretched
thin.

We have to start a new chapter here.

We need a council that is willing to work

with anybody and we need a mayor that's

willing to work. So maybe you know what,

maybe this is not an olive branch, maybe

this is the council reaching out to the

mayor and saying, "Well, do you really have

this plan on the table and if you do you

know what we are going to give it a shot."

And then start communicating with this guy because what other hope do we have, and it's not about, you know, being for the mayor or against the mayor or being for the council or bringing down against the council, it's about the council being for the people and public safety and the best interest of the residents is really hard to make happen sometimes, but hopefully you will hear what I have said thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Morgan, just quickly, have you seen a signed agreement from the mayor.

MR. MORGAN: From all indications you know what I think, Mr. Loscombe? I think that maybe, with all due respect to you, I think it's time to reach out to the mayor and ask him if such an agreement exists and I have been told it does exist. And I am told that the union knows it exists. Look it, I have been here a long time, I've talked to a lot of people and I generally know what's going on, and I have didn't come here to berate anybody or

. .

mistreat anybody, all I'm saying to that counsel if that exists, if there is an agreement that exists like that where we are going to bring back all these laid off fireman, all right, that are younger and give the older firemen an opportunity to -- like yourself, Mr. Loscombe, who may be working injured for all of these years, and they are going to get a chance to retire and give us a chance we are going to have to make some changes to the fire department, there is no doubt about, but you know what, in opinion this is a work in progress.

And I just think that with all due respect to you, Mr. Loscombe, I think you are a firemen, I think you out of all of the councilmen, I'm not singling you out, I think you understand what it means to get to somebody who maybe an invalid trapped in their house, the need for an immediate response to fire, the very importance of public safety I think you, sir, with all due respect to you, are the one person on this council that knows how important it is to have an adequately operating fire

23

24

25

department. Thank you, sir.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. I'll} \\ \mbox{address that in my comments.}$

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council. Doug Miller, Scranton. I just once again want to reiterate my statements from last nigh and, you know, commend the majority of this council for all of your hard work and effort in this budget making process. Certainly, you know, Finance Chair Frank Joyce, did an exceptional job with the Power Point last night. Very informative presentation to once again show council's willingness to put forth the openness and transparency that you did pledge and that we certainly haven't seen in this city for quite a long time. And again, I want to commend you for that.

You know, unfortunately, you know, we can't say the same about the administration. You know, their lack of cooperation has been apparent for ten years and their unwillingness to cooperate and

provide answers to your questions just goes to show where they are really at and how they truly feel about not only this city, but more importantly, the residents of this city, and I just think it's time for them to get their act together as we move forward in the new year, and I would just hope as we like to talk about the spirt of cooperation let's hope that it can fully come true and let's make that our New Year's resolution.

A few issues I have from last week, we talked about the Parking Authority and their budget that was on the agenda, if we even want to call it a budget. I think a kindergarten class could probably put something better together than this authority did and I just, quite frankly, find it to be appalling and just again shows a lock of the cooperation not only for members of the administration but the authorities, and we have had to deal with this for years. The arrogance of these authorities, Bob Scopelliti and his arrogance, and I just feel that these authorities need to start cooperating and

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they need you to provide you with the documentation that you desire because it's all beneficial to the public. You are up here trying to provide the information and inform the public of what's going on with these authorities and they just refuse to cooperate and I just think it's truly uncalled for.

I also want to respond and, you know, I typically don't like to, you know, respond to statements made by council members that I don't agree with this but in this case I feel I have to. You know, Mr. McGoff made a few statements last week defending Chief Davis and DPW Director Jeff Brazil during motions as I sat here in the audience and listened to a few of the statements here. First, Mr. McGoff tried to justify Chief Davis' failure to obtain a permits to install windows in his home. You know, you said that you looked into the matter and that Chief Davis in your estimation acted accordingly by obtaining the permit after he had already installed the windows.

Well, I think we need to get our facts straight and took a look at the proper procedure with permits. The purpose of the permit is to obtain it before you do the work not after, so, therefore, Chief Davis did violate the ordinances of the city and the LIPS ordinances and he should know -- he should know better as he is, as you did say, Mr. McGoff, and you did clarify and I appreciate it, that he is a licensed contractor in the city. Therefore, he should know the procedures on permits.

Regarding Jeff Brazil, you stated that you hoped the next director is as competent as Mr. Brazil was. You know, as I sat back and listened to that, again, as I said last night, a lot of statements I hear in these chambers especially by Mr. McGoff I sometimes have to ask myself, am I really hearing this? You know, when we talk about his actions and we talk about his failure to act on the non-city employees using our vehicles for personal driver's test. Not one, not twice, but three times. We want to just sweep that under the rug. That's the

mindset we've had in this town and that's why we are in the position we are in today because we have allowed politics and egos and games to interfere with what should be done.

You know, I guess in Mr. McGoff's interpretation he finds that be competent and I guess, you know, that's your prerogative to feel that way, but I feel that that lack of action calls for a termination, but unfortunately, he was rewarded with a nice hefty pay increase and a nice little hefty job over at the Scranton school district because that's what we do in this town, we get rewarded, we get hefty jobs with enormous salaries. While the rest of the residents of this city struggle, we reward people who violate laws and everything else in the town.

And finally, again, I don't like to respond to, you know, insignificant people but this in case I feel I must. Last night after our public hearing on the 2012 budget I was out here in the hallway with four other individuals, who I have as witnesses,

and unfortunately, I was unprofessionally approached by our Honorable Councilman Bob McGoff who approached me and made a statement that I need to put kids through college and I need to raise a family and then I can come up and criticize him and others. He also made the statement that I should try doing something successful and maybe I'll gain a little bit of credibility.

Well, I do agree with you,

Mr. McGoff, we are in tough times and there are many families in this city struggling to meet their obligations and, yes, there are parents who, yes, do, in fact, struggle to put their kids through college and I respect the fact that you did that and you went through those tough times and you still go through the tough times because I see it. I see it on the streets. I see it in the people I talk to. I ran for office, I see it. I may not have been successful in my

elections, I don't know if that's what you

were trying to hint, but that's not

important.

The fact of the matter is that you

25

want to talk about me being successful and having credibility, I have been involved in this city for ten years. I stepped up to this podium for the first time when I was 12 years old. I have been involved in this city for a heck of a lot longer than you have, and I certainly, you want to talk about credibility, I do now and always will have more credibility than you will ever have and I resent you making that statement and I put my community and service record up against yours any day of the week because when I come to podium I represent the regular hardworking residents of this city, not the elite few, and I have gained their respect and I appreciate it and I'm proud to come up here and call myself a Scrantonian, but when you make comments that I need to raise a family and put kids through college, well, maybe if you and the mayor and everybody else didn't raise taxes 26 percent, didn't allow this mayor to borrow and spend out of control and rubber stamp and allow him to just run this city recklessly, we wouldn't be in this position

today and we wouldn't have to make these statements and maybe, yeah, a young individual would be able to raise a family, but unfortunately because of you and a lot of other arrogant people we are in the position we are in.

And all I just have to say to conclude is I have been involved for ten years, as I said, and I have come across a lot of I guess you could say so-called politicians, but I just have to say, Mr. McGoff, that you are by far one of the most unprofessional, immature and classless individuals I have ever come across and I thank you for your time.

(Whereupon while Mr. Miller was speaking Ms. Evans takes the dais and joins the meeting.)

MS. EVANS: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council,
Bob Bolus, Scranton. I guess third time at
the podium. Last night and tonight, I mean,
there is not much more we can say that we
haven't already said. You can look at DPW,
and we didn't really address a lot of that,

but when you really take a look a what the DPW does it provides the city the men that go out when everybody is home in bed sleeping, keep in mind they are out plowing and cindering, and when you get up in the morning your roads are clean as best as they could do. So, you know, they are the unsung heroes that you don't see and you never get to meet, but they do do their job, and I for one who operates an emergency business and knows what it is when people have to get up at one, two o'clock in the morning and respond to something they are to be commended.

I think cutting people without paying attention to who is being cut I think falls squarely on the shoulders of the mayor. Maybe he needed to go around in a truck at night and see what they do or maybe went out and picked up some garbage once in awhile and see what the physical labor is.

Take a look at our fire department,

I know where they come from, I know what
their responsibilities are. As I have said
in the past, I'm a volunteer firefighter in

Throop, I drive an engine up there, but nobody pays attention to what goes on, the volunteers or with the fire department, they just expect them to do their job. The same as our police department, yet this mayor has refused to look at the employees that he basically employs. He is responsible for it.

We look at the mayor and say, excuse me, but you are supposed to do your job and that's to run this city efficiently, effectively and not run us into debt. The debt we're in was brought on by prior councils patronizing this mayor, giving him free reign to squander the money. How many grants have we gone out and looked at? There is free money out there could have preserved the police, the fire, the DPW. That's what we pay taxes for. Yet I see somebody wanting to say, well, let's raise the garbage fee again.

Did you raise the fee on every nonprofit and KOZ in the city? No. Have you done anything to the nonprofits and KOZs in the city? Absolutely not? Do they have

a free ride? Absolutely. Do they have to deal with the real estate transfer tax?

Absolutely not.

These are the things that have been ignored time and time again. If we are talking next year then today's the day we have to take the bull by the horns because whatever you are going to do with this budget you are going to do one way or the other. We have all talked about it, we have all beat everybody up on it. The bottom line is what's our end result going to be.

I asked Mr. McGoff the other night would he champion going after KOZs and nonprofits and put a fee on them in the city, whether it's a public service fee or something like that that they pay their fair shares. I'm not singling out any one person or any one business. They are all totally and equally responsible because they get a free ride on our tax dollars.

You know, as I said, our boat is sinking, we are in life boats and if you don't use the can in the life boat to bail the water the boat is going to sink. If you

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't use your assets here in this city, the city is going to sink and you have assets you just have to go utilize them. They are there for you to utilize.

There is a \$50,000 mention in here that if we had \$50,000 we could have did this with the \$50,000 that reserve something the other night. Well, I brought up about the \$50,000 bid that I put on the property here in the city, yet nobody has challenged Paul Kelly to come forward and produce a deed that he claims the city doesn't own this property. Nobody. Nobody in here has done that, yet there is 50,000. Whether there is a house built on it or not, when somebody ignores the rules and regulations and thinks they can take the people in this city for a free ride and get away with it, that's bad. That's just as nasty as it gets, but it's up to this council to do the job the administration doesn't.

So there is \$50,000 I put on a bid on the Hartman property, yet it's been ignored. How many times have I spoken about it? Nobody has brought anybody to task, and

that's only one little tip of the iceberg.

All your vacant land, all of the nonprofit land, all the things that can be sold in this city, putting aside the tax sale that just is an undue stress on other people.

These are the things you need that start looking at. The gas line, leachate lines, you have so many things out here to do, you just need to go ahead and do it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: And I probably won't be here next week, but have a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You, too. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And Mike Vanorden.

MR. VANORDEN: Good evening. Mike Vanorden. I'm not a life-long resident of Scranton, I have been here for about eight years now. I come from the major city in New Jersey, Elizabeth, outside of Newark where the crime rate out there exceeds this by far, but they are coming. The gangs are coming, and they are coming in force and in numbers, and these people actually really need to consider not only keeping fireman

on, force the mayor's hand, do what you got to do, and keep these firemen on and keep these police on. You need more fire power out there because they are outgunned, they are out manned. I have seen it. I come from violence. I left the violence, I know what it's like.

And, you know, I stand up for these DPW workers and firemen and the message to Mayor Doherty, Occupy Scranton, which I'm a member of, we are not going away. We are going to be a proverbial thorn in his side come hell or high water. We are not going to give up. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. Mike, I'm glad to see you are dressed tonight.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and taxpayer.
On this tax business, try and keep things
open. If we can return people and get rock
solid insurances from the mayor that these
people won't be let go two months after you
give him more money we can maybe afford to
pay a little more money, some people can't,

I'm only talking as a personal private citizen.

And on this audit business, if at all possible an ordinance passed by four individuals up there that would terminate anybody later than 30 days. Terminate them. If they can't hand in an audit by say June 30 or so it's time for them to go. This is ridiculous. This is what the problem is. You don't know how the money is even being spent, so how do you say, okay, more, when it's so tight.

My wife lost a job last year, like I said before, we are making six grand a year less for a 40-hour week, that's about 25 percent of her salary is down the tubes and last Tuesday night I took the car, it's night shift, and I got a call and had to run back up to work to pick her up because she was furloughed for the evening. Mighty white of them.

On this cable mention, in order to get that job, I might add, we had to have cable service. You don't get a job anymore unless you have cable service for internet.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you can go to Verizon, they told me \$16 a month, it turned out that that and my phone bill turned into 85 a month and it was junk, so when I spend money on cable TV, and keep in mind that every year the city has an incentive to sell me down the river as a Comcast customer because the more I pay the more they get paid.

On these colleges and so forth, I think it's time for nonprofits, let PEL go over to them and talk to them. Why should we have good people being slandered and lied about and I felt that that was a blatant and outright lie last year and now there is other institutions that aren't paying like hospitals, well, guess what, I owe CMC my life. Dr. Juan, Dr. Baldassari, Dr. Cipriano, Dr. Batzel, Dr. Stall, Dr. Stivala, right on down the line, I owe these people my life because five years ago I was as good as a dead man. I wouldn't be alive today if that was so why should I complain about CMC not paying their fair They already gave their donation at the office.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, the golden parrot goes

And on the unions, I said it before now, I mentioned my wife's situation, jobs are being shipped out of the country. Ιn Ohio, and unfortunately the fire and policemen's unions voted for John Caser by 70 percent, he is busting the unions right now and they are right behind all of the jobs being outsourced and people not making any money and take away, so if the public doesn't have it, they can't pay it, eventually they wind up in owing back taxes and so forth and their houses, so it's something to consider. You better get on board with anybody that cares about somebody. The same is happening this Michigan and the same is happening in Wisconsin and the same is happening in Pennsylvania with all of the taxes that were passed up with the gas industry and so forth, so it's really getting to a point, I would have to be taken away in handcuffs if somebody polluted by water at my house on a house that costs hundreds of thousands of dollars.

for Congress and their tax breaks for jobs and so forth. Last year I heard

Mr. McConnell state that he would extend unemployment for trade agreements, well, bawk, bawk, Mr. McConnell. I had a little thought last week on Mr. Herman and

Mr. Edwards, Mr. Edwards took campaign money and used it to pay for his mistress, I wonder if Uncle Herman and took money and paid for his mistress and wined, dined and 999'd her. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. VITRIS: Sam Vitris, Scranton.

President of the Department of Public Works union. I just wanted to reiterate tonight some of the things we talked about prior to this meeting, the public hearing, and it was the deletion of the positions, mainly the skilled positions in the Department of Public Works, who are commercial driver's license workers, who drive snow trucks, who are very -- every position is important, but these are vitally important to the safety of the citizens when you take snow drivers out

of the budget. Not only snow drivers, the drivers for everything, and there is only so many snow drivers, so many commercial driver's licenses that we have down in Public Works and to eliminate them is irresponsible in my opinion.

You didn't lay ten of us off.

Janet. The stuff that's being said I can't believe that's coming from up here, it's unbelievable. The lack of -- the appearance of the city comment that I made, you left out the best part. I did say for the better -- and we'll do the best we can, but you left that part out. The reason I said the appearance of the city is because I lived this in 1991. I had the conversation with you, respectfully, and I respect you, I really do just like I do all of city council, but I lived this in 1991 and I don't want to relive it again.

The retirement incentive, the buyout comment that Sam Vitris said that, you know, about the ten people we are going to lose, well, it was put to me like this, "You are going to lose ten positions. How could we

do it?"

And I said, "Well, I'm a union guy,"
I says, "Well, I'd like to try it through a
retirement incentive if we can."

I have watched other bargaining units do it, we never did, so I said I'd like to try it and the newspaper reporter said to me, "Well, will that affect services? Whether it's -- what's the difference, you lose ten this way through a layoff and you lose ten through a retirement incentive, no difference you lost ten positions."

I says -- he said to me, "Will it affect your service delivery?"

And I says, "It could."

But that was wasn't in the paper.

So I'm up here, comments are being thrown from up there and only half of it is being said of what I said. Only half of my comments are being said, which is unfair.

Anybody can do that to somebody who can't speak or isn't there in attendance. Anybody could, and they were two of the comments that I could recall, and you can cut it

anyway you want, it's not ten people you are laying off. It's not ten. You laid five off in January, you are laying -- they were casuals. You are laying seven off more casuals this January, you are laying nine union positions off, you are laying -- you're not even counting the injured people we have, which is 11, that can't do daily services.

You can talk all you want about the garbage men that they don't work a full day, they work their guts out for these taxpayers and I don't get half of what Mr. Rogan says from the people of this city. I hear good things about them. I hear they work their butts off for this city, that's what I hear.

So if Mr. Rogan is getting -- is going to use that as a way to punish this union and punish the services of the people, right, and I always said right from the beginning that if you are a policy maker and you willing to do it then take the blame for it because we are going to get our paychecks, every one of the DPW workers are going to get their paychecks every two

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

weeks, but if the decisions that you make, and you make alone are going to be the reason whether we succeed as a service or we fail as a service and if we learned anything from the past, from 1991, and I can take you back day by day if you want, if you learned anything from it at all, and I assume this Mrs. Evans could recall it quite clearly, the layoffs that were in the Public Works Department in 1991 did absolutely nothing but punish the people of this city. Nothing. The people came back to work. There was grievances filed, three-quarters of a million dollars worth of grievances were filed because of bad decisions.

And you can talk about the casuals all you want, and I used an example before in my teacher -- or I'm sorry, and my daughter is a teacher, so I have great respect for teachers, I always did, they work hard, they are good union people, but when a teacher takes off what do they do, Mrs. Evans? Just, please, what did they do?

MS. EVANS: There is a substitute.

MR. VITRIS: Right. Okay.

2

MR. VITRIS: That's all I'm same.

But in addition to that

MS. EVANS:

MS. EVANS: In addition to that, there are many schools in which there is only one administrator and there are times when that administrator is not in that building due to circumstances that you enumerated, deaths in the family, conferences that have to be attended, and the work goes on quite smoothly. Why? Because each teacher knows exactly what his and her duties and responsibilities are each day. They have been doing the job for a long time, they are able to do it without having an administrator in the building because this job is ongoing daily just as your job is.

MR. VITRIS: Regardless, it's not here and it never was and it shouldn't be. The substitutes that we call them, casuals, whatever you want to call them, they are there to replace injured workers. It's no longer ten, Mrs. Evans. No matter which way you cut it it's not nine union positions,

3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	it's 31 positions that we are going to be
2	without. I want you to say that, 31
3	positions. I know because I do the mat.
4	MS. EVANS: And what was the math
5	for the mayor's number?
6	MR. VITRIS: Excuse me? Ten.
7	MS. EVANS: What was the math for
8	the mayor's number?
9	MR. VITRIS: For the mayor's, ten.
10	MS. EVANS: No, that would be 21.
11	MR. VITRIS: No, ma'am. I disagree.
12	MS. EVANS: If you are going to add
13	the
14	MR. VITRIS: I have to okay, Mrs.
15	Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: If you are going to add
17	the workers' comp cases onto
18	MR. VITRIS: I haven't
19	MS. EVANS: and I agree then add
20	it onto city council's numbers you must also
21	add those into the mayor's.
22	MR. VITRIS: I will add them to the
23	mayor's if that makes you happy.
24	MS. EVANS: No, it's a matter of
25	fact.

MR. VITRIS: If that makes you happy I will be a -- let's be happy and let's add them to the mayor's 21, council's 31.
Right?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. VITRIS: Okay, now we are all happy. The mayor got his blame, you got your blame, all right? But it's 31 no matter which way you cut it. It's 31 less jobs, and I'm saying this with all due respect. Don't do this to the people. If you want to negotiate privatization, negotiate with this union when the contract is up.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Vitris.

MR. VITRIS: Excuse me.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. VITRIS: Okay. Thank you, too.

MS. EVANS: And the next speaker.

MR. JOYCE: I just want to make a comment before the next speaker comes up.

I'm not sure he is aware of this and who is not, it's a response from Ryan McGowan to me, the mayor is copied on it as well as Mr. McGoff, Mr. Loscombe, and Mr. Rogan and

Mrs. Evans. In regards to buyouts they said there is ten individuals with 30 years of experience, there is 10 individuals with 20 or 25 years of experience that may take the buyout as well.

Then, furthermore, that they are hoping to complete negotiations with DPW, so obviously it's -- from what I'm reading here they are indicating, okay, there is ten with 30 plus years experience, there is another ten with 20 to 25 that they want to take this buyout, and then they said -- and then Mr. McGoff said that if the individuals didn't take the incentives there would be layoffs in the department early next year.

So under the mayor's situation he didn't state exactly how many would be laid off, but obviously they wanted to buyout 20 not just ten, and that's an e-mail that I have this in writing from Ryan McGowan.

MR. VITRIS: Frank --

MS. EVANS: No, excuse me, you are not allowed to speak once you have been to the podium.

MR. VITRIS: Yeah, but you're saying

MR. ROGAN:

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

I would also add that I

know I have been highly critical of the DPW, especially the refuse division in the past, and I'm not going to say differently because the union represent is here tonight. One thing I have advocated for in the past, and maybe the union would agree to this when the contract comes up, is an efficiency study where somebody would ride along with the department and determine what kind of staffing is necessary, and I think if that's done by an outside source, numbers can be

agreed upon between the union and the city.

MR. DOCKLEY: Good evening, Council.

stuff that's not true.

MS. EVANS: The audience is not allowed to speak. Mr. Joyce, though, I just have one -- yes, you can provide it copy of that to Mr. Vitris, that would be fine, but in addition to that I just wanted to ask within the 2012 budget what is the amount of the money budgeted by the administration for DPW buyouts?

MR. JOYCE: \$100,000.

My name is Sam Dock ley, retired captain of the Scranton Fire Department and I'm here on behalf of the firefighters. First of all, I would like to wish everybody a very Merry Christmas in the City of Scranton and here in the room here.

Second, I'm here to defend the firefighters being laid off. I want everybody to know one of those firefighters could be a man to save a life in a home. I don't know how long they're going to be laid off, the mayor has been lax in getting this grant, could have kept their jobs plus the police department. I seen it happen. We need manpower at a fire and a firemen needs the back up of firemen. Two men have to go in the fire. One guy goes down, he has no backup he could be dead, seriously hurt. I seen it happen in my 32 years on the fire department.

Manpower is important. Response
time is important. I have here a list of
companies that have been closed from
December 7 and, Mayor, I hope you are
watching and Chief Davis. On December 7 of

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this -- go to Friday, Engine 10, 15, Truck 4 and rescue was out. Four companies out of ten. The 8th, Engine 15, Engine 10, Truck 4 and Rescue 4, four companies out of ten. Engine 9, Truck 4 and Engine 15. The 10th of December, Engine 7, Engine 10, Engine 15, Truck 4 and the rescue. Five out of ten were out of service. And today Engine 15 and Truck 4 was out. I didn't get the last two days.

I just wanted to explain to the people of this town here, I want them to call the chief's office when they see a company out of service and ask him why, Chief Davis. Chief Davis I'll defend is a good firefighter, but he is not defending This man, the mayor we call, has his men. no respect for anybody of life and homes. What's more important for him is getting his budget through, but money cannot replace a life and we are going to have a disaster in this town. He has a big problem now, he is going to have a bigger problem, him and Chief Davis.

These boys and the firefighters I

have worked in the past I'll put up against any fire department, not in the United States in the world, and I'll put our boys here today against anybody, any company in the country. They are good men. They'd give their life if they have to. We have many of them that died in the past. My dad for one, for instance. I believe he gave his life for the city, two heart attacks on the job, plus others. A lot of them are injured.

Yeah, we get paid, we have turnout year around, but we still get seriously hurt and you can get killed, but you need backup. We don't start the fires, people start the fires. I'm going to talk like a fire prevention officer, I want the people of city to watch their cigarettes. Their black chimneys, they're cooking in the kitchen with grease fires, this last week they had two kitchen fires, grease fires, a mother and children had to go to the hospital. We have had many of them in my time. Mattress fires, we have many of them, and also heaters this time of year. Electric heaters

keep them away from your couch and your chairs, face them away three to four feet.

Not facing the furniture, they will start the fire in the furniture. We seen it happen many times.

Also, like I says, we have an incompetent mayor, and I'm not afraid to say it, I think everybody in the City of Scranton knows it, you know it. You are doing a terrific job with what you have to work with. I don't know what can be done. I hope these men get back to the job. What a Christmas they're going to have. I'm sure Doherty is going to have a nice Christmas, that what are these boys going to have? Possible losing their homes, can't pay their rent or buy the food for the kids. That's nice.

If you give me another minute or two I'm going to tell you an incident. We had a fire at rear of 1300 Wyoming Avenue some years ago behind the old ice cream parlor there, it was a row of homes, brick units. I was working, our shift was working, alarm comes in for the rear of 1300, Lowden Hill

18

19

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

was their ice cream place if anybody is old enough to remember that, behind the ice cream was a unit of four, about three or four units. On the end was a family, but anyway we get there and a neighbor had spotted smoke coming out of window and we arrived on the scene and he is hollering his kids are in the fire. We had a compliment of men at that time, I mean a compliment of men, so myself and another firefighter broke in the door and found a couch on fire. proceeded putting that out, full of smoke and heat, the neighborhood had reported a fire, tried to get a ladder up to the window, he couldn't get in, it was too hot and smoky. Although we had gear on we had a hard time.

Our firefighter men were getting ground ladders up to the window and trying to get in and parts of the stair casing going upstairs burned down and myself and the two firefighters had to keep close to the wall going up. Well, guess what, they were bringing out five children that were dead from the smoke.

The oldest boy, Bob, was rushed to Burn's hospital with severe burns, he died two days later. The mother, myself and another firefighter found in the bathroom. Skin just peeling off her, we tried to get here downstairs. We finally got her downstairs, she was dead. Here is a man who lost his whole family. Why? Because of a discarded cigarette that was determined later.

This is what we have to go through.

We are not supermen, we are hardworking

firefighters that do our job and we do it

well.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dockley.

MR. DOCKLEY: Thank you. I want to wish everybody one more thing, I said it before, God Bless Scranton, the county, the state, and the United States of America.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association, also a retired
master sergeant in the United States Air
Force, and you know what, the military has

no union, okay? The military personnel have been firefighters. Military personnel have been police officers. Military personnel have plowed roads and DPW, just like DPW workers do and pick up garbage. Military personnel do it away from home, away from their families and sometimes in hostile environments while they are being attacked and being shot at.

So, you know, I'll go back to what I said earlier, where are the leaders of the DPW, the police department and the fire department? Where is the leader of the city, the mayor? We are getting union representatives coming here talking about union and union and union, okay? We need to talk about the citizens. We need to talk about the residents, and we need the leaders of the city here. The union leaders are not the leaders of this city, the elected officials are the leaders of this city.

The mayor is the number one, he is the CEO of the City of Scranton. He should be here. His business administrator, he appointed that business administrator, the

business administrator should be here. He appointed the director of the DPW, that DPW director should be here. He appointed the police chief, he should be here. He appointed the fire chief, he should be here.

around and around like we have for the last 20 years and guess what, the bottom line is the City of Scranton is still distressed, the residents and taxpayers of this city who go to work and pay their taxes are threatened by streets and the city being dirty, by late responses to fire and possible fatalities, by crime on an upbeat, and we are not having enough of police officers to respond.

You know, we talk about backups, the police officers need backups. If they respond to a hostile situation, a man with a gun, a knife, a robbery, you need more than one police officer. You need backup. Same thing goes for the fire department, one fire truck or one or two firefighters cannot put out a fire. They need backup. Just like the DPW, they need backup, also.

But you know what, the mayor is responsible for all of this. Nobody else. Why aren't they protesting the mayor's house? Why aren't they in front of Chief Davis' house? Why weren't they in front of Chief Duffy's house? No, everybody wants to come to Scranton City Council. Like the supermajority is super and they can fly off tall buildings and stop speeding bullets. It doesn't work that way.

The people who are responsible for this city, believe it or not, are your elected officials and, city council, you are part of it because you are all elected officials, but guess what, the mayor is the number one man. Why aren't they protesting the mayor? Why aren't they protesting his appointed DPW, Jeff Brazil? Why aren't they protesting his appointed police chief, Dan Duffy? Why aren't they protesting his appointed fire chief, Tom Davis? They are not. They are the ones that need to be here. They are the ones who make the decisions and the choices, you guys make recommendations and pass legislation.

Ιt

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employees? They are not.

And I tell you what, this really

with you. Where are our leaders?

upsets me because I'm tired of hearing from union leaders, I really am. They do not control or run this city, the elected officials do and the appointed officials who are appointed by the mayor run this city.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HARTMAN: Good evening, Council.

Todd Hartman.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

don't have hirer and fire power. The mayor does. Remember, we are a mayor controlled city and he has made that perfectly clear.

So the union leader should be

protesting the mayor, not city council.

doesn't make sense to me. Again, I'm a

retired military man, we didn't have a

union, and I don't think the military will

ever have a union. You know what, but our

leaders, our captains, our commanders, our

their people. They would be in the foxhole

squadron leaders they were always behind

aren't they in the foxhole with our city

MR. HARTMAN: Taxpayer and resident of the City of Scranton properties, pay the wage tax me and my wife. It's the third time I have been here, and you guys know why I am here, I just happened to catch Mr. Bolus on the TV complaining about me again and the easement that runs through my property and Mr. Musti's property, which is my neighbor.

Years back this was fought in Court by at the time I believe their name was Price that lived next door to him. It was lost in court, appealed and lost. Now, what I understand of this, Mr. Bolus is trying to get someone to act on this, another attorney, I welcome that, because it was fought, lost and appealed and lost. If he was so umped into it he should get himself an attorney and fight that.

And, Mr. Loscombe, those signs that I heard came in in a bag they were on the neighbor's property not Mr. Bolus' and it was not in the right of way, they were removed by the neighbor and placed back on Mr. Bolus' property.

Now, I don't like coming down here, I don't want to waste your time. All this is in litigation with Mr. Bolus. He continues to come down here and harass you guys about it. I understand that, he gets his privilege to do that. I paid my taxes, I paid my permits, I did what was necessary of me to build a home in this community. I'm being harassed by him constantly, okay? I don't have the time to come down here every week and sit here and go out and back and forth with him, and I'm not going to do that, I'm not going to waste your time and I'm not that kind of person.

Mr. Miller stated a week ago or two weeks ago about Chief Davis putting windows in his house without a permit. Well, if you would like go up and see the windows that Mr. Bolus put in his house without permits which he says he lives in. He does not live there. I live there, I know he doesn't live there, and ask Mr. Bolus where he pays his wage tax, ask him that question. Everybody would like to know. He comes here every week and complains about Todd Hartman and

it. Put his money where his mouth is, let's keep it in Court and keep it out of council chambers. He has to tell everybody what our business is. I get the Times coming up over a broken water service supposedly that I broke on his property. That's Times' worthy. Evidently it must be. You know, I'm putting a fence up next year I'll grab my permit, maybe the Times will come up next year when I put the fence up and do a little thing in the paper.

his family. I'm getting a little tired of

But sorry to burden you, I just have to come down once in awhile and keep Mr. Bolus straight with the community and the citizens of the City of Scranton and I just want to be left alone by the man, but he continues to nitpick, but he doesn't live here, he lives had Clarks Summit, and maybe he should go to city council up in Clarks Summit and do his little thing up there maybe, or Throop or some place else like that. Thank you. You guys have a Merry Christmas.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. You have a

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Merry Christmas as well.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher. I have to start again with my concern over the fire department. People do dumb things. We are getting into that time of year where people's houses get cold, they try to save on whatever the main source of fuel is and they do dumb things. I'm one of those Last Friday morning forgetting that people. I had a dedicated line for a heater when my mom was alive to where I knew it would cause a problem or blow a fuse I was in one end of the house, the baby was asleep in the far end, I had plugged a heater in that had too much wattage for the outlet and the baby monitor shows a picture of the baby but it doesn't show anything else that's going on in the room and I all of a sudden I smell the acrid smell of insulation melting and, of course, I tracked it down to the heater, I shut the heater off, I pulled the plug and the wall was warm.

I called my electrician, he was on a

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

job and it was noisy, he wasn't able to talk to me, so I did what I felt I had to it, I called Engine 10 and Engine 10 said, "We'll be right now down."

They came down, they checked what needed to be checked and they got a heat sensing device to make sure there was nothing in the wall. They reassured me that I was safe until the electrician got there and there was nothing else going on, but this is the time of the year we are getting into where people do things. They don't realize that, you know, to look at the wattage of a heater and see if it could cause a problem. They use alternate methods of hearing and the calls are bound to increase and with this not knowing when these funds are going to come in from this grant, that's just scary. I mean, who knows, it could be November and we'll be down at less than 100 firefighters for 26 square miles. It's just -- it's unacceptable and, I'm sorry, whatever we have to do we have to get that up to where we are safe. And so again, I want to thank

1 Engine 10 and the assistant chief who was there and I feel for them. 2 3 And now to another item is the transfer tax for the sale of the Moses 4 5 Taylor Hospital, which has been approved, in the budget? 6 MS. EVANS: 7 Yes. 8 MR. JOYCE: I would assume so. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Mrs. Evans said 10 SHE is shaking her head yes. Is their ves. 11 funding in the budget for ECTV? 12 MR. JOYCE: Yes. Actually, we did 13 place some extra money into the contingency 14 to assist ECTV with paying their rent. MS. SCHUMACHER: 15 Thank you. 16 MR. JOYCE: You are welcome. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Now, I'm having 18 trouble again with the audit. For months 19 now we have been told that we couldn't get 20 borrowing without two things at least, well, 21 there were more than two things, but without 22 the audit, which we still don't have, and 23 without a realistic budget. 24 MR. JOYCE: Correct. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: And I hate even

saying this, but I'm not sure this budget is realistic with not knowing whether or not we are going to get these grants and we're relying on them, I just don't know, but if we can get the money and get through the year I guess, but we really, really have to start.

And I would like a pledge from the council tonight that now that Mr. Hughes or Attorney Hughes mentioned the other day that there are -- that the University of Scranton is to be in an institutional zone and you all approved them moving out of their boundaries when you approved the two dorms on whatever side that is, north, east, I don't know, that side of Mulberry Street that I would hope that in the future you will commit to building -- the University of Scranton going up instead of out and keep the rest on the tax rolls. That would be helpful.

And I have some things, I'm concerned about the Parking Authority of what happens to them. I know they took out a \$2.9 million loan that was to be paid for

with the monies from the loan they were getting to get when they bought the parking meters, which isn't going to happen, so do you know will they be able to pay the \$2.9 million loan and if they don't want happens and what the obligation of the city taxpayers will be?

MR. JOYCE: In regard to the Parking Authority?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah.

MR. JOYCE: Well, I think that's part of the reason why they are projecting at \$1.6 million deficit that we are actually on the hook for it in the operating budget itself. That money was added to the contingency fund. From speaking with our council solicitor, he believes that there is insurance that should pay for this type of default if they can't make the payment, however, in speaking with the business administrator he relayed his thoughts that the insurance company made then come after the city for the monies, so that money is actually in the operating budget and, unfortunately, the taxpayers of Scranton are

the ones that are on the hook for it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So that 1.6 will cover the 2.9?

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You believe, okay, and then I'll just finish with next week I would ask Mr. Rogan to report, I noticed Rejuvenescence Wellness Spa has a \$12,000 federal tax lien in today's paper. I'd like to know since they have OECD money how that's doing and also since Outrageous moved out of the city if they repaid the loan that they have from OECD as well.

MR. ROGAN: We'll do.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I can just make two quick comments. Number one, council had nothing to do with the University of Scranton getting the variance to move out of the institutional district into an R-2 zone. That was the Scranton Zoning Board. They went before the Scranton Zoning Board for a variance. The actual institutional district ends at Mulberry

Street. When they went across the other side of Mulberry Street they went and applied to the zoning board. Council had nothing to do with that, so that was not council, that's a matter of the zoning board.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I thought council negotiated that and that's how we got --

MR. HUGHES: No, no, no. We didn't negotiate it. When we negotiated it we went in and we went before Father Pilarz and they wanted the air rights over the alley. They wanted the city to give the air rights over the alley because they had it designed as one building. The condition was that we would sell the air rights over the alley so they could have one building. We would sell it at I believe for a quarter of a million dollars which would have been \$50,000, a quarter of a million dollars to sell the air rights.

Father Pilarz said, "We'll build two separate buildings so we don't have to give the City of Scranton any money for the air

rights, and that's why there is two separate buildings. It cost them more money to build two separate buildings than if they just went with the one, with the one building, but that was a result of the Scranton Zoning Board permitting that there and then they wanted to buy the air rights off of the City of Scranton which council had to approve. They said, fine, come up with more money because you have taken the tax base.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So the zoning actually came first then.

MR. HUGHES: Yes. Secondly, as to the \$2.9 million with the Parking Authority, that was never approved by Scranton City Council, that loan is not guaranteed by the city.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand that.

MR. HUGHES: It's my opinion that the City of Scranton taxpayers have no responsibility for that loan. That's strictly a Scranton Parking Authority loan, it's not guaranteed by the City of Scranton or the taxpayers of the City of Scranton. The Scranton Parking Authority has to sink

or swim with that loan on it's own, and that's Boyd Hughes' opinion.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand that, but, I mean, something will -- I mean, it's our Parking Authority so -- more next year.

MR. JOYCE: And just one quick comment that I wanted make about grants and whatnot, all of the numbers that were used in the budget as far as grants and savings from refinancing early and whatnot, these were all numbers that were confirmed by business administrator Ryan McGowan. These were double checked with the PEL. The mayor actually was the one that mentioned the \$1.4 million by refinancing early on the meeting with the banks, so these are numbers that a number of the parties have confirmed, so I'm -- we're trusting those numbers.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I understand, they may come in in 2012, but it could be December of 2012 on that grant and not January 2012 and that's why I'm concerned.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Correct, but our

intentions is for the firefighters to be reinstated on January 1, the ones that were laid off, not when the grant money comes in for the health care savings grant.

Now, the SAFER grant Mr. McGowan already stated to me that he wouldn't be adding positions back in for the SAFER grant, which is a whole separate grant, until the city actually received money for the positions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But he did commit to the 13 immediately?

MR. JOYCE: Well, he didn't -that's a whole other thing. Obviously, the
mayor is now the one who has the discretion
to put those individuals, those hardworking
firefighters back into the budget and he has
the right to hire and fire as he sees fit,
so that is his decision. It's our wish that
he does and that was the intent of my motion
last night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Please begin.

MR. MURRAY: Thank you. Good evening, Council. My name is Brian Murray,

21

22

23

24

25

1

I'm a city resident and taxpayer I am also a firefighter. I am the first of the 29 to be laid off. I understand that you have found funding for 13 of our guys, I will not be one of the gentlemen reinstated. I can't speak on behalf of our union, I am not an executive board member, but I am the organizer of the peaceful protest that we had outside last week. I did bring roughly 100 taxpayers to council to show their support for public safety, and on behalf of myself and the supporters that did come, I'd like to say thank you for at least attempting to provide some semblance of the safety, although, I feel woefully inadequate, but at least attempting to provide some type of safety for my family and the citizens of this city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. FRANUS: That's what I like to hear, a firemen that appreciates the work that you have done, and it's very important

because the citizens owe all of you a great deal of gratitude for how you have looked out for them in your budget process and, Frank Joyce, I can't even begin to tell you how much we appreciate your knowledge. You pushed all of this through with hours and hours and days and days and weeks of work with Mrs. Evans' and Pat Rogan's help as well, but you have been the main one. Let me tell you, the people really appreciate all you have done.

You came about last night with a proposal for the funding for the 13 firemen and also made a motion for that SAFER grant.

Now, I just want to clarify, because I read in the paper this morning, that Mr. Judge thinks that council should do more. I mean, the truth council can't do anymore. You have stated that, but they don't seem to get it some of them. You have done everything you can do legally. You provided the wherewithal for Mayor Doherty to help put these firemen back and you looked out for the people's safety. Now, it's all in Mr. Doherty's hands, only his, but we want

to thank you for trying and lowering this tax increase for the citizens who may possibly or more than likely will be able to stay in their homes thanks to your hard work. Where as Mayor Doherty's budget, many would have lost their homes, so I hope tonight's vote you vote for the people like you always have and since you have been elected. And, Mr. McGoff, I hope you vote with them as well. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. JOYCE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We missed you.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: I'm in a fog, Jack, you know that, Jack. You know how life is. You know something, Jack, these firemen downstairs are doing a good job down there. Save their jobs, will you for me. Save their jobs for me. Every one of them downstairs, save their jobs. Save them. We need them around here.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We are doing all we

can.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer,
recording secretary of the FOP, First

Amendment Rights.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: At least I believe we have free speech and I'm voicing my opinion. Tonight, council, you are going to vet on your amended budget for 2012. You have a huge task. I wouldn't want to be in your shoes. No matter how you amend the budget you are going to have people happy with you or mad at you. Dammed if you do, dammed if you don't.

Last Thursday in Harrisburg I attended the Senate and House joint hearings on Act 47. I got to hear our mayor testify. He testified that in 2000 the city was sanctioned by the state for violating the city Recovery Plan. In 2000 Connors was the mayor. What I didn't hear him testify to was that the sanctioning came at his request when he was Finance Chair for council.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plan was passed when he became mayor in 2002, and a referendum to be put on the ballot was requested by the fire and police unions and that 72 percent of the people voted for the plan. What he didn't say was that it was 72 percent of the people who voted during that election who voted in favor of the plan. We know how people in this area come out to vote. They don't. Most are apathetic, sad to say. People think you can't fight city hall. Things will never change. They are wrong. Look at how many people turned out for the council meeting last week. That is the way it should be every week for every meeting.

He testified that a new Recovery

Did you ever, except in recent history, see amended budgets and people wanting to know how their tax dollars are being spent? People don't want their tax dollars thrown into the proverbial black hole, never to be seen again. Prime example of that is when the mayor was asked how much the city spent fighting the unions over the last ten years. His answer was over \$4

million. Over \$4 million fighting the police and fire unions over labor issues because he wanted to bring us to our knees and break our unions. If he bargained in good faith over the years we would not be looking at the possibility of the city facing a \$30 million payment to the police and fire unions because of stubbornness and broken contracts.

Over past years police and fire traditionally made concessions during bargaining and negotiating sessions when the city cried poverty. We always made concessions to help the city, but you never see any of that in the slimes.

Back to testimony, the mayor said, "In 2011, the City of Scranton, which is self-insured, was spending \$15 million on health care."

How do we know the figure is \$15 million? During our bargaining sessions that were shot down by the mayor and even after we had agreed by shaking hands and still shot down by the mayor, the city would not tell us what health care costs were.

Why not? That's still the \$64,000 question. Don't forget in 2002 the mayor forced out many of the approximately 100 employees that left city employment while keeping their health care benefits for life, he also paid the new employees taking their places full health care and benefits. There is so much more to say but no time to say it in.

Anyway, Mrs. Krake's testimony was excellent, as was Mrs. Evans and FOP
President Martin's written testimony. So all you people in TV land Tuesday night council meetings are my night out. Make it your night out. You are what the city needs to make a difference. You can fight city hall and make changes, just don't be lazy or apathetic and think you can't make a difference. You can.

And as for the spirit of the cooperation, we all know how contracts are honored under this city. Remember, American Anglican contract when the administration didn't Honor it. Taxpayers paid Scranton Sewer Authority a 56 percent rate increase. Police union contracts, is that why the city

has to pay upwards \$30 million? If the city honored contracts, we would not have arbitrations that cost us 30 million.

Enough said. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year's.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And to you, also. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Thank you. I guess I'll address the budget at this point in time so that there's opportunity for response. A couple of the things that I looked at in the budget I'm pleased with, there are a couple of things that I had questions about, and a couple of things that I am not pleased with.

First, I'd like to address the tax revenue. When I look at a 4.8 percent increase in millage that does not reach \$13.9 million. It comes up almost a million dollars short. If we are going to increase the millage, it would appear that you need

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an increase of about 10 or 11 percent to reach \$13.9 million in tax revenue, so that's the first thing that I had questions about. At first glance it looks like we may be -- close to \$1 million short.

The second thing, in the additional revenues that were presented we talked about or Mr. Joyce talked about \$6.3 million, \$3 million being in current monies in the bank and another \$3.3 million from the Single Tax Office. In speaking with Mr. McGowan, he told me that the number is closer to \$4.2 million as opposed to \$6.3 million, and while we budgeted for 6.3 million, there is only 4.2 million available, approximately 2.6 in the bank and the 3.3 that was supposed to be coming from the tax office, half of that has already been received and is included in the amount that is on hand in the general fund. So we are, again, looking at a shortfall there of somewhere around, you know, a little over \$2 million, and when we take a look at -- we couple that with the 6.7 in unfunded debt, it doesn't appear as though there is enough money to pay the

bills that we need to pay, the unpaid bills, the TANS, SRA payment, it doesn't appear as though there is sufficient funding and it looks as though we are edging onto maybe \$3 million in a shortfall, at least from some estimates.

And as far as the Scranton Parking

Authority, we are putting \$1.6 million into
the contingency, I believe the entire amount
in the contingency is \$1.7 million.

MR. JOYCE: Correct.

MR. MCGOFF: And so if, in fact, we have to pay that 1.6 to the Scranton Parking Authority, you are looking at very little left in the contingency for any emergency situations. I don't know if that is -- if that's going to work.

And as far as another thing that I am concerned with is the -- are the cuts in DPW. Yes, I supported some of the cuts that were made, I did not support the cuts in all of the management positions that were made. I think it's impossible to operate something as extensive as DPW and the number of different facets there are to DPW without

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adequate supervision and management. I think that, you know, with the cuts that have been made we are looking at very diminished services. I think it's inevitable any time that you cut personnel you are going to have diminished service.

And the other thing that worries me is with the cut in this number of people of working, in case of an emergency how are we going to justify bringing these people in, an evening snow storm after these people have worked a full day and now bringing them back out for an emergency situation and a situation which they do not have to work. They can't be forced to work the overtime hours. I'm sure that many of them, you know, welcome some of the overtime, but you can't force that and so you are looking at the same situation that we had with the fire department that we -- you know, the complaints that, you know, we're sending people out there after working a full day and then asking them to put in another shift and then asking them to go back to work the next day, you know, in their normal jobs.

just don't see how that is going to work and I'm told that, okay, maybe we can declare an emergency and hire, you know, private snow removal, but I'm told that according to the contract that once workers are laid off that by contract you can't call in private removal and so we are looking at, you know the possibility of the snow removal just not happening.

And as far as the casuals are concerned, I know we put in, you know, reduced the amount except for lifeguards, I would much rather see people working in refuse and other parts of DPW rather than funding lifeguards. Personally, if a pool didn't open this summer and we were able to put more people into providing the services that's needed, I would be happy. I don't know how we are going to fund for lifeguards when we are not willing to fund for people that are essential to the services that the city needs.

The last thing, the payroll cuts that were made, 10 percent cuts, I believe were absolutely unnecessary. Six positions

were cut which amounted to a total of somewhere around \$28,000.

MR. ROGAN: \$51,000.

MR. MCGOFF: \$51,000? I must have missed some. I just don't think that that's warranted. These people have had their salaries reduced in the last budget, there was no need to reduce them again. In many cases you have, you know, people in management positions not working or making less than the subordinates and I just don't think that that's necessary.

And the other thing is that the discrepancy that exists between the fire and the police chiefs. \$13,000 discrepancy, I believe is just to me it seems a bit vindictive, and again, unwarranted, and I think with all of these things that exist, questions I have for the budget, I just think there are too many questions that exist and I think it needs to be revisited. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I'll start by explaining some of the questions, and I know that there

is probably other council members who spearheaded certain things like pay decreases. I know Mr. Rogan was an advocate, I think he might be able to explain as well. The real estate tax cut, if you look at Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow report it's indicated in current real estate tax that he projects we will bring in \$13.15 million this year. PEL they are on record stating that the former Mercy Hospital, which is now owned by a for profit company is going to bring in an extra \$200,000, so that's \$13,350,000. The amount in the budget for the real estate tax revenue is right up in the area of 14 million, it's 13.9 something and change. There is a difference of about 600 and some thousand dollars and that 600 and some thousand dollars divided by the amount that we would be expected to receive last year following Mr. McGowan's cash flow report and the \$200,000 that PEL projects we'll see from the hospital, is 4.8 percent.

And on other matters, the additional revenues --

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

_

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Is that 4.8 percent in millage?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. That \$600,000 divided by the 13.35 million is a 4.8 percent increase.

As far as the additional revenues, I spoke to Mr. McGowan on Friday. He told me that we have roughly \$3 million in the bank. He said that we -- and I spoke to Bill Courtright about this as well, that no money was sent from the tax office as of Friday and actually that Ryan McGowan had called Mr. Courtright looking to have him issue money to the city, however, their system was down and that he would need more time. Mr. McGowan stated that we had \$3 million in the bank at that time on Friday and that the only other revenue, according to Gerry Cross, from PEL, that would come in would be from the tax office.

The \$3 million that was current on Friday included the liquid fuels transfer which is about \$1.5 million, which is half of about \$3 million. However, in the numbers that I used the \$3.3 million that

was coming from the tax office wasn't there on Friday and that's, you know, in regard to that matter, that's where that information came from. So I wouldn't know if Mr. McGowan was telling me one story and telling you another story, but I'm going by what he told me as well as tax collector Bill Courtright.

The contingency concerns, I know that the \$1.7 million is there. We have \$100,000 in contingency this year and we haven't used that \$100,000 up from the contingency fund this year, so I know, I realize your concerns, but going by what we know this year we haven't used the contingency fund as of yet. Well, we have legislation taking some money of it tonight, but it certainly isn't up to the \$100,000 and I'll let some other council members speak on some of your other concerns.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: First a few general comments and then I'll address some of Mr. McGoff's concerns. Mr. Vitris is here

today from the DPW union and Mr. Vitris used the word union multiple times and we have had many union reps from all of the other departments at these meetings and Mr. Vitris is here to represent his men. He does a great job representing the DPW. There is no questioning that, but at the end of the day city council has to represent all of the people of Scranton and cuts have to be made in every department. It's just the state of affairs that the city is in right now.

And some of the concerns that were raised by speakers and by Mr. McGoff, the one manager under the DPW, and I know somebody else mentioned this and Mr. Jackowitz said about the military, when people go to work they know what their job is. It shouldn't matter where the manager is watching them or whether they are not they should still do their job. Chief Duffy is the only management position in the police department and he does a fine job.

Next, Mr. McGoff mentioned snow removal, if refuse workers work a full day, DPW workers, and then have to remove snow,

now, I don't know if it was Director
Brazil's idea last year or the mayor's, but
I know at the end of the snow season last
year they were removing garbage a day and
doing all of the snow and I think by using
that system there was -- they did a much
better job of cleaning all of the streets
and getting caught up on the garbage
collection the next day, which I definitely
think could be accomplished.

Mr. McGoff also mentioned he would rather have garbage collectors over lifeguards and if it was a choice of not collecting garbage I would agree with you, personally I believe the DPW refuse division is overstaffed.

You also mentioned that the 10 percent cuts were unnecessary. I take full credit for these cuts. It was 10 percent across the board for all administrators, and I don't think they are vindicative at all. It was across the board, we even cut our own clerk's salary. It wasn't singling anyone out, it was a straight 10 percent, and I think when people are making larger salaries

people at the top also need to feel some -have some of the burden and not just the
people on the bottom who have been cut from
DPW, fire, and the police department.

One example when you mentioned the police chief making \$13,000 more than the fire chief, the police chief salary is based on or I believe the --

MR. JOYCE: Police officers work a 40 hour work week.

MR. ROGAN: 40-hour work week. The firefighters work 48 so there is a discrepancy there as well when you bring it down to a per hour basis and it is a little bit different, but in general I think that Mr. Joyce and council as a whole did a very good job of representing what the people who contacted us wanted in this budget. It's not perfect, there is no perfect budget, I said it last night I'll say it next time, but the most of the important thing was that we were able to reduce the tax increase.

Mayor Doherty wanted to increase property taxes 29 percent, this budget only increases it 4.8 percent, and assuming this

passes and becomes law your taxes will still be lower now than the day we took office. And that is all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Rogan. Councilman Loscombe, do you have comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. This city council started in 2011 finding out that we are over \$5 million in the hole due to the administration using the TANS to pay off money taken from Workers' Compensation Trust Fund. We have two major loans in default, one near default and one in default both for \$1.6 million each through the Redevelopment Authority and the Parking Authority. The mayor's own budget modifications last year added to the deficit. He added personnel and raises.

And one concern that's been mentioned several times here, and again, just in this discussion between Mr. McGoff and Mr. Joyce, without an audit it's hard to tell what Mr. Mr. McGowan has, you know, in the Business Administrator's Office and we are asked how can we do a budget without an

audit? Well, I give a lot of credit to Mr. Joyce because he has been doing a heck of a job with the numbers he has been getting, but those numbers are the numbers he is being provided and if they are wrong they are wrong because they were provided to him because we have no audit, but if we didn't formulate a budget we would have to abide by the mayor's budget if that was so, if we thought that budget was correct, but it's incumbent upon us to look at the numbers, listen to the people and do what he we have to do.

The mayor left numerous revenue sources untouched last year and then the mayor's constant appeals has cost us a Supreme Court award of 20 to 30 million dollars. That's his appeals, not the union's. Nobody -- all of these I just read have been brought to us this year thanks to the administration, so you see we have a mess dumped on us and, you know, I anguished for the past couple of weeks myself how do we come up with a budget that is going to satisfy everybody. No budget is ideal.

There is winners and losers on both sides.

But, you know, I stated previously that I wouldn't consider any budget that did not address our public safety shortfall.

With that said, my colleagues have seen fit to do their best with some additional funding that has been discovered to the tune of \$600,000 through the prescription plan grant, something that has been available to us for the past couple of years, but ignored by this administration.

The SAFER grants have also been available to increase public safety and again ignored by this administration. There is whole list of things here that have added up to this problem. Council has added to the problem, we have tried to alleviate it for the past two years. If we pass council's amended budget tonight will the mayor abide about it? I can't say for sure based on past history. I'm sure he will find ways to circumvent it. If council tonight agrees to go with the mayor's budget, that's a hard sell, too. I mean, we are losing a significant amount of public

safety plus the higher taxes, so I know how tired I am after the last two weeks, I can just imagine. I mean, and I know all of us, Mr. Rogan, Mr. McGoff, but Mrs. Evans and Mr. Joyce have been, I'll tell you, doing a phenomenal job working on the numbers and stuff like that, and based on my experience I have to put my faith in the numbers that have been provided here. Again, with no audit nobody can be blamed if we get another surprise like we did last year with the TANS, but I think they have tried to take into consideration maybe some surprises or whatever.

But I just feel that, you know, nobody or anybody that knows me, and I am the Chairman of the Committee on Public Safety, knows how I feel about it. I'm concerned about the public safety of our citizens, I'm concerned about the public safety -- or the safety of our police officers and firefighters, but we in this budget, the amended budget, have provided some tools to start to address some of the these problems, something that isn't in the

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor's budget. DPW, I do have some concerns regarding the drivers and that I would like to, you know, we'll further discuss those with my colleagues here.

The people from Occupy Scranton I want to thank for working hard on the issues that they believe in, but I want to allude to something Mr. Morgan stated from this podium earlier, he stated that there was an agreement that the mayor had made to fund the additional 29 firefighters, and I tell you from a personal level I have been on the front of this for the last couple of weeks, I have been in constant contact with the Mr. Gervasi and as of late yesterday afternoon he had no signed agreement from the mayor and actually I went around to fire stations, I discussed this with some of the firefighters, and I have to say, and you saw them tonight, they thanked us for doing what we are doing. I received a very -- they told us, and this is right from the firefighters' mouths that I spoke to, and I'll quot a couple of them, that we represent 70,000 taxpayers here and we have

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do what's best for the taxpayers, so there is no selfishness on their part.

They have also told me that based on the history of this administration over the last ten years that if the mayor signed that agreement right in front of them they wouldn't trust it. So, you know, I have to take all of that into consideration, too. We have seen the history. And, you know, these are gentlemen that are affected. spoke to gentlemen that were able to retire and, you know, it was a pretty good talk. had retirees I have spoken to, I have spoken to other political -- or public officials, and when it comes down to it at this point I believe that city council's amended budget is in the best interest of everyone.

We are going to continue, do I believe that we still have a public safety concern? I definitely do. I am scared to death, but at least we are starting to address the problem. I wish we could find another \$2 million. Do we need the DPW workers? We need them all. Trust me, I have a family member that works there and I

know how hard they work. Police officers, we are short on them, but the problem is we have been trying to work budgets to modify these problems for the last two years unsuccessfully. We didn't create these problems. And last of all, we didn't decimate your public safety, the mayor did, and I believe it was Mr. Jackowitz that said, you know, bring your problems -- if I'm mistaken, bring your problems to the mayor's office that's where it all begins.

And, Mrs. Schumacher, trust me, I'm as concerned as you are, I mentioned it last week, I have an elderly mother that lives alone, you know, this is all close to my heart, but we have to make some hard decisions here and try and spread what we have to benefit 70,000 taxpayers, and I have to base my decision on what I think is best for everyone, and at this point I believe that the amended budget is the budget that best covers everybody in this city. It's not ideal, but I believe it's a lot better than the one that was presented to us by the administration and hopefully we can go from

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe, Mr. Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. First of all, I want to say I don't want to see anybody lose their jobs in the city, whether it be in the administration, DPW, fire department, police department, I think everyone that works for the city is doing the best that they could at their jobs and, you know, it's ashame that people have to lose their jobs, but the city with the current state of the City of Scranton the cuts that were made are necessary and tonight I wanted to clear up some budget questions that some may have. I know I just explained this, but I want to reiterate again.

The first one I want to clarify is the reduction of the tax increase from 29.1 to 4.8 and how this number was obtained, and as I said before, by reading Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow report it's evident that

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city will receive approximately 13.15 million in current real estate taxes at the current tax rate for this year.

Subsequently, PEL, Pennsylvania Economy League, stated that the city should expect to receive an additional \$200,000 in real estate taxes due to the former Mercy Hospital not paying real estate taxes since they were purchased by a for profit company, With this in mind, this is approximately 13.35 million that the city would realize at the current tax rate. amount budgeted for current real estate tax in council's amendment is approximately \$13.970.000. If one were to divide the difference between the current projected rate -- by the current projected rate-between the current projected rate by the projected rate the result is 4.8 percent.

I did actually speak to Gerry Cross about this from the Pennsylvania Economy League and I did inform him where I received this or where -- how I came up with this figure.

The second matter that I wanted to

comment on tonight is the SRA loan being considered a prior year obligation.

Officially, I consult with our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, regarding this matter as to whether the SRA loan default can be considered a prior year obligation. He instructed me that he didn't know for sure.

My second step in the process was to reach out to Gerry Cross at PEL who instructed me that Matt Domines from DCED would be the best person to address this. I reached out to Matt Domines from DCED and in speaking with him he did not say that the default of the SRA loan could be considered a prior year expense, and further instructed to consult with our council solicitor to determine if the SRA loan default would be, in fact, considered a prior year expense.

With this in mind, I contacted our council solicitor, Boyd Hughes, who informed me that this could be considered a prior year expense. In fact, the SRA loan default was an unexpected expense from the letter sent to us by Rhoades and Sinon, the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

~ -

25

attorneys representing Pennstar Bank, who we owe the \$1.4 million to. They had informed us of the default on May 19 of 2011. The default of the SRA loan is not a matter that is a 2012 expenditure as it is a matter that occurred in a prior year and was unexpected, a prior year to 2012 meaning.

The third matter that I'll comment on tonight are the DPW cuts. In today's Scranton Times' article, I know Mr. Vitris, who does a very great job at the DPW in defending his union, he did state that the union -- or that the cuts to the DPW will decimate the department. Over the past two weeks, Mr. Brazil, the current director of the DPW, also expressed some of his concerns which were broadcast on Channel 16 as well as WBRE Channel No. 28. As Mrs. Evans stated yesterday, when there was buyout incentive offers to eliminate ten employees there were no concerns that were reported to the news or the newspaper, that were published at least, regarding the Department of Public Works' functions, yet somehow there are now claims that the elimination of

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a few more positions in management, in particular, will now decimate the department.

Now, first let me begin my saying that the mayor may have chose to layoff more DPW workers than we originally decided to in the 2012 budget amendments, and I'll quote the e-mail that I received from Ryan McGowan, our business administrator. "As of right now we are in current negotiations with the DPW. The only specifics that I can add is that there are ten individuals with 30 years of service and ten individuals with 20 to 25 years of service that may take the buyout. We are hoping to complete negotiations of all jobs that were budgeted for next year with the understanding that if no individual took the incentive there would be layoffs within the department next year."

Interesting enough, from

Mr. McGowan's correspondence, it's evident
that the administration could have very well
planned to buyout of 20 DPW employees. When
a buyout was mentioned, everything was fine.
However, when positions were cut instead of

being bought out all of a sudden, you know, there is concerns of this decimating the department, which doesn't seem to make a lot of sense.

In addition, the nine union positions that the DPW -- that were eliminated in the DPW were suggested by Councilman McGoff who serves as the DPW chair. The four nonunion positions that were cut were suggested by other council members, and personally in my opinion thought buyouts are nice incentives for people to retire they often include a cash benefit or a health insurance benefit such as health insurance for life.

Let me tell you something, this is very costly for the city. As I stated in a previous meeting, the city currently funds the health insurance costs of 638 retirees. This amounts to a cost between 7.5 and 8 million dollars to the city taxpayers each year. The City of Scranton cannot afford such expenditures no matter what union we are talking about, whether it be the DPW, police, fire. Because think about it, if we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had that \$8 million back or \$7.5 million back or even half of it, we wouldn't even have to cut employees.

In amending the 2012 operating budget, there were many conversations that were conducted between our BA, Ryan McGowan, and I as well as Gerry Cross and I, and I also had a conversation with Matt Domines from DCED, as I stated before. I'd like to thank our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, for providing me with the information that I asked for when asked, though I realize that it is part of his job to provide information to council when asked, I'm hoping it's a step in the right direction in order to establish a collaborative effort to improve the City of Scranton between the administration and council and I hope the administration continues to communicate with council in a more effective manner in the future.

I'd also like to thank Gerry Cross from PEL, though PEL has been the subject of criticism, PEL has been helpful in providing the information regarding some of the

amendments that were made last night. I had various conversations with Mr. Cross throughout the whole budget process.

Hopefully, PEL can be of more assistance to us in the future and providing alternative sources of the revenue that could be pursued in order to shield Scranton taxpayers from increases in taxes in future years.

As you know, I stated that there are many measures that have to be done on a state level in order to generate more revenue such as instituting a payroll expense tax or something to the order of the commuter tax or Mr. Doherty's idea of implementing a countywide sales' tax that with be split up amongst municipalities and counties.

Now, finally tonight though, I wanted to thank my colleagues for their input into the budget amendments. The budget process this year was a process that involved many individuals. I'd like to begin by thanking Councilman McGoff for suggestions and also his dedication to the future success of the rental registration

program. Though Councilman McGoff and I do not agree on every issue, he put forth a good effort on providing amendments and I tried to incorporate as much his amendments as I could.

I'd also like to thank Councilman
Rogan for his examination of the budget and recommendations of the cost saving measures along with his fiscally conservative views and his persistent to save the taxpayers of Scranton as much as can be saved.

I'd also like to thank Councilman

Loscombe for his dedication to public safety

and doing as much as he can to ensure that

the residents of Scranton remain safe in the

midst of Scranton's financial situation.

And finally, I'd like to thank

Councilwoman Evans for her recommendations.

Councilwoman Evans throughout the whole

budget process met with our tax collector to

discuss matters in his office, and also

corresponded with John Rogers from Northeast

Revenue Services. She provided many

amendments that were enacted and spent a

great deal of time on the budget while still

managing to keep up with all of the additional obligations of being our council president, and there are a lot of obligations of being a council president that many people don't realize as opposed to regular council members. Her dedication to the residents of Scranton was evident in her efforts.

Throughout the budget amendment process, Councilwoman Evans and I had various conversations and it's apparent that she truly cares about the residents of Scranton and should be commended for her efforts. Overall, I just want to thank all of my colleagues for their active role in the budget process, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Good evening. I wish to begin by thanking
City Council's Finance Chair, Frank Joyce,
for his diligent meticulous work in the
development of council's amendments to the
mayor's 2012 proposed budget and his
outstanding public presentations delivered
on December 3 and December 12, 2011.
Throughout the budget process, Councilman

Joyce remained in constant contact with the business administrator, the Pennsylvania Economy League, the State Department of Community and Economic Development, and the banking community, among others. Thus, the amendments to the mayor's proposed budget have been advised and/or confirmed by the aforementioned parties.

Mayor Doherty handed this council a devastating budget that contained a 29 percent tax increase, the sale or lease of the parking meters to the SPA, the elimination of the 29 firefighters, as well as a failure to address all 2011 unpaid bills. Through his diligent work,

Councilman Joyce successfully addressed all of the issues placed before this council and he deserves our recognition and gratitude, not only on behalf of Scranton City Council, but on behalf of all of the residents and taxpayers of this city.

In addition, these council amendments represent the input of all five council members. I thank my honorable colleagues for submitting their amendments

and by so doing it was evident that on many issues such as the cuts to the DPW, the lowering of the mayor's tax increase and the funding of fire department positions, that there were at least three council members and most often more in agreement.

Although, these amendments and the 2012 budget are not perfect and no participant in their development received 100 percent of his or her proposals, they are a successful collaboration among the administration, city council, the Pennsylvania Economy League and others.

Mayor Doherty handed this council a ravaging budget for city taxpayers and his four major financial messes. In response, city council's amendments lowered the mayor's tax increase of 29.1 percent to 4.8 percent for the taxpayers of Scranton, eliminate the sale or lease of the city-owned parking meters to the SPA by including 6.7 million in borrowing for 2011 unfunded debt, and includes \$600,000 from a prescription health care savings grant for which Mayor Doherty intends to apply in

order to fund the reinstatement of 13 firefighters.

Equally important, city council has cleaned up each of the four Doherty messes by agreeing to borrow \$6.7 for 2011 unfunded debt, including \$120,000 in the budget to repay OECD's debt to HUD, hire Northeast Revenue Service to collect tax delinquencies and retain 1.6 million in the 2012 budget for the SRA's debt, and placing \$1.6 million into the contingency account for the Scranton Parking Authority for use only if indisputably necessary and with council's approval.

Further, 2011 unpaid bills such as those for the \$500,000 of services for MEM are funded in council's amendments.

In today's Scranton's Times,
business administrator McGowan questions
where the SRA loan can be included in the
back bills, however, as Mr. Joyce stated in
his comments, the loan payment was due in
full in December 2011 and both the
administration and city council retained
copies of the numerous letters sent

throughout 2011 by Rhoades and Sinon, the firm representing Pennstar Bank, indicating that the funds were due and it would declare the city in default.

In addition, payments from Northeast Revenue Services began to be provided to Pennstar Bank in the Fall of 2011. Thus, it seems quite evident that the SRA loan is, indeed, a 2011 debt.

Additionally, city council did not alter overtime projections for any city department. Interestingly, when DPW retirement incentives were on the mayor's table, there was no talk by the DPW that work would be affected. However, since city council removed \$100,000 in buyouts from the budget, the DPW union president says it can't do it's work.

Now, just to return briefly, I know we discussed substitutes, and it also occurred to me that probably in every school -- well, we'll use in city district, in every school each day there are never enough substitutes. In fact, at the beginning of the year teachers are asked to

sign a list to serve as the substitutes, and that is very often what happens. When a colleague is off sick it is that person's colleagues who come in and take over each one of those classes all day long, so it is a matter of workers covering for other worker's.

City council and I particularly have great confidence in the ability of DPW employees to get the job done for city taxpayers just as the police, fire, and clerical employees have done as their numbers were cut. Our city is in financial crisis, and as the mayor said, we must do more with less.

Further, council's amendments include a new parking tax which was recommended by the Pennsylvania Economy League. The tax will be levied on parking garages throughout the City of Scranton, thereby providing that nonprofits will contribute to their host city in some way.

Just as city council proposed new revenue generators for our city in both the 2011 and 2012 budgets, it intends to pursue

other new revenues in the coming year to hold the line on Scranton taxes while the city struggles to pay the significantly increasing debt service due to the tens of millions that must be borrowed to pay police and fire in fulfillment of the Supreme Court decision.

With the input of the PEL and the administration, city council will work toward implementing a payroll tax, commuter tax and amusement tax for the 2013 budget.

In the immediate future, however, the administration should implement a parking program such as StreetSmart in order to increase on-street parking and ticket revenue if it is going to continue to pay the Scranton Parking Authority debt.

Otherwise, the city will be unable to save the Scranton Parking Authority from financial collapse because it has it's own mounting significant financial obligations.

Finally, I took an oath to serve and represent all Scrantonians and I have done so fairly and honestly without favoritism or malice. Our city is in a financial crisis

that will extend into the next several years. It would be irresponsible to unrealistically inflate financial figures, reinstate all city employees, and include wish lists into a budget that unquestionably requires the most solid figures that are currently available and cuts. Scranton city council is the financially conservative responsible branch of city government.

I have done what I know to be the right thing for our city and it's good people whom I care for, respect, and serve, and on behalf of council I would like to wish everyone a very blessed and Merry Christmas, Happy Hanukah, Joyful Kwanza and a healthy and happy new year. That's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE

- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 60, 2011 - AN

ORDINANCE- AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52,

2010, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY

OPERATING BUDGET 2011" BY TRANSFERRING

\$14,300.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.

01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL

1	OPERATING EXPENSES - CONTINGENCY) \$4.000.00
2	TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.011.00071.4140 (POLICE 10%
3	EARLY RETIREMENT) AND \$10,300.00 TO ACCOUNT
4	NO. 01.011.00078.4140 (FIRE 10% EARLY
5	RETIREMENT) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO COVER THE
6	REMAINING COST FOR POLICE AND FIRE EARLY
7	RETIREMENT PAYMENTS THROUGH THE PERIOD
8	ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011.
9	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. JOYCE: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
23	suspend the rules to move 6-A to Seventh
24	Order for final passage.
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

	113
1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor?
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
6	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
7	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.FOR
10	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR
11	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 59, 2011-
12	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993 (AS
13	AMENDED), ENTITLED THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR
14	THE CITY OF SCRANTON, BY AMENDING SECTION
15	306 TABLE OF PERMITTED USES BY DISTRICT;
16	SECTION 307 B.4. TABLE OF LOT AND SETBACK
17	REQUIREMENTS BY DISTRICT; 601.A.5.
18	MIXED-USED ADAPTIVE REUSE; TABLE 6.1
19	OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS; SECTION
20	602.E. LOCATION OF PARKING.
21	MR. ROGAN: I would make a motion to
22	table Item 7-A.
23	MR. JOYCE: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question?
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I did have a

conversation with Mrs. Evans yesterday about this and there was problem with -- well, number one involved the advertising requirements, and secondly, there have been questions raised by members of the neighborhood and I would like to get all of that sorted out to make everything runs smoothly and hopefully this project will go forward.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, and I would also like to say that I have been contacted by some neighbors of the lower Greenridge area and they don have some concerns about the project, and I think it would be in our best interest to table this piece of legislation as well and I will be voting to table it.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, just a question, will this have to be reintroduced in 2012 or will it come back in Seventh Order.

MS. EVANS: I believe it will come back in Seventh Order. I spoke with Attorney Jones by phone about all of this and he has been through these types of situations before and so it is with his

1 agreements that this is going to be postponed until January 10 at which time 2 3 there will be a public hearing conducted at 4 6:00 and the item will be returned to the 5 table. I believe that once an item is placed on the table that is tabled it can be 6 7 remain therein indefinitely until such time as council would put it back on and take a 8 9 final vote. 10 MR. MCGOFF: I just didn't know if 11 there was an end of the year problem. 12 MS. EVANS: I don't believe so, no. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 13 14 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by 15 16 saying aye. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 20 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 22 have it and so moved. The ice have and so 23 moved. Item 7-A is now tabled. 24 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 25 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR

1	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 55, 2011- ACCEPTING
2	A ONE HUNDRED (100.00) DOLLAR DONATION FROM
3	NEI AMBULATORY SURGERY, INC. PRESENTED TO
4	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
5	MS. EVANS: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-B.
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
21	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
23	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. KRAKE: 7-C, FORMERLY 6-A, FOR

1	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
2	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 60, 2011
3	- AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2010, AN
4	ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING
5	BUDGET 2011" BY TRANSFERRING \$14,300.00 FROM
6	ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.13090.4299
7	(NON-DEPARTMENTAL OPERATING EXPENSES -
8	CONTINGENCY) \$4.000.00 TO ACCOUNT NO.
9	01.011.00071.4140 (POLICE 10% EARLY
10	RETIREMENT) AND \$10,300.00 TO ACCOUNT NO.
11	01.011.00078.4140 (FIRE 10% EARLY
12	RETIREMENT) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO COVER THE
13	REMAINING COST FOR POLICE AND FIRE EARLY
14	RETIREMENT PAYMENTS THROUGH THE PERIOD
15	ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011.
16	MS. EVANS: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chair for the
18	Committee on Finance?
19	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-C.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please?
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
6	MR. JACKOWITZ: Are we going to
7	speak to it?
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. The
13	vote was already underway, I'm sorry, I
14	forgot that. I apologize.
15	MR. JACKOWITZ: Can we speak now?
16	MS. SCHUMACHER: It's too late, they
17	already voted.
18	MS. EVANS: Would you like to speak
19	now, Mr. Jackowitz?
20	MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah. Yeah. Bill
21	Jackowitz. I guess I'm really disappointed
22	that the citizens were not allowed to speak
23	on this. I mean, I'm not even a council
24	member and I knew that, so I know you are
25	not going to change your vote and I just

hope that each one of you when you made your vote you made your vote in fairness and in fairness to the taxpayers, not the unions, not the firefighters, not the police officers, not the DPW people, but the taxpayers and ones who pay their salaries and I really hope each one of you did do that and made a fair vote for the taxpayers and the citizens. I still don't see how you did it without having an audit because we have no idea what the audit is going to.

MR. MCGOFF: That wasn't the budget.

MR. JOYCE: No, that wasn't the budget.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Jackowitz, yes, if you could just confine your thoughts to that one particular piece of legislation which was --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Oh, I am. I'm talking about the budget you just voted for and you didn't --

MS. EVANS: But this is not the vote for the budget. This is the current year budget, it's transferring money from the contingency into --

MR. JOYCE: Into two separate 1 accounts for --2 3 MS. EVANS: -- into two separate accounts for --4 5 MR. JACKOWITZ: But how do you know the money is even there to be transferred if 6 7 you don't have an audit? 8 MS. EVANS: -- through the period 9 ending December 31, 2011. MR. JACKOWITZ: But without an audit 10 11 how do we even know the money is there to be 12 transferred? 13 MS. EVANS: Well, the legislation 14 was sent down by the administration who is overseeing the funding. 15 16 MR. JACKOWITZ: I agree, but they 17 have sent down things in the past that 18 haven't been kosher, so to speak. 19 again, I will state again I don't see how 20 you could do this without having an audit in 21 front of you because we don't know if the 22 money is there. We might get surprises 23 coming January 2012 that we -- well, since 24 it's a surprise we don't know it's coming, 25 But, again, I hope you did it in do we?

fairness. 1 2 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 3 MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much for 4 your input. MS. EVANS: 5 Is there anyone else? MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a 6 motion to take File of Council No. 56, 2011, 7 8 as amended, from the table. 9 MR. ROGAN: Second. 10 MS. EVANS: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 17 have it and so moved. 18 MS. KRAKE: 7-D, FORMERLY TABLED, FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 19 FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 20 21 56 -2011, AS AMENDED - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR 22 THE PERIOD COMMENCING THE FIRST DAY OF 23 24 JANUARY 2012, TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 25 2012, BY ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY

1	OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2012.
2	MS. EVANS: What is the
3	recommendation of the Chair for the
4	Committee on Finance?
5	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
7	passage of Item 7-D, as amended.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
10	call, please?
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
18	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-D, as amended, legally and lawfully
22	adopted.
23	In the event of the mayor's veto of
24	the budget, council will meet once more in
25	December for the override vote. If there is

_	
	123
1	no further business, I'll entertain a motion
2	to adjourn.
3	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
4	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
5	adjourned.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER