_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, November 29, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

## 2 ||

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

JOID HOURES, SULICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH &
17	WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD MEETING
18	HELD SEPTEMBER 15, 2011.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
22	REPORT, APPEAL RESULTS FROM NOVEMBER 2,
23	2011.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

1	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AUDIT STATUS FROM
2	ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF NOVEMBER 21, 2011.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
6	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD
7	DECEMBER 14, 2011.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
11	FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 2011.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. CHECK RECEIVED FROM
15	101 PITTSTON AVENUE REALTY CORP., IN THE
16	AMOUNT OF \$250.00, WHICH IS A DONATION FOR
17	THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE
21	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
22	HELD OCTOBER 26, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed. Do we have any
25	clerk's notes this evening?

sorry.

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time? In recognition of World Aids -MR. MCGOFF: Just one --oh, I'm

MS. EVANS: Thank you. In recognition of World Aids Day, December 1, the Northeast Regional Planning Coalition will sponsor a display of the Aids quilt at the Camp Center Building at Luzerne County Community College on December 1 and 2, from 11 a.m. to 8 p.m., and December 3 from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. Please visit this very moving memorial that honors so many who lost their lives to soon to aids.

Under the direction of Helen Gaus, the Scranton Civic Ballet Company will present the Stroyka anniversary celebration of the performance of the holiday classic the Nutcracker at the Scranton Cultural Center on Friday, December 9, at 7:30 p.m., and again, Sunday, December 11, at 2 p.m. Tickets are available at the Scranton Cultural Center box office or Ticketmaster

1

6

7

5

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

\_ :

2223

24

25

and cost \$17 and \$14. By three and get one free. Celebrate the holidays with your family and friends at the elegant, entertaining Nutcracker ballet. It is one of the best and most affordable gifts you can give.

State Representative Ken Smith is conducting a blanket drive to assist families and the elderly who are struggling to make ends meets this winter. New or gently used blankets can be dropped off until December 19 at either of his constituents service offices located at 1414 Monroe Avenue in Dunmore from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday or at 123 Van Brunt Street in Moscow from 9 a.m. to noon on Mondays and Wednesdays. Blankets will be donated to St. Francis of Assisi Kitchen in Scranton. For more information please call 570 - 342 - 2710. Councilman McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry for interrupting you. Mathew's Mission is holding a breakfast with Santa on December 10 at Scranton High School. It says there will be two sittings, one at 9:00 and one at

11 a.m. catering by Stirna's, a craft area, face painting, magician, balloon animals, carolers and a basket raffle. If you remember, Matthew's Mission is a charitable organization that was created in memory of Matthew Newell, and last year they conducting a very successful Easter egg hunt in South Scranton and if this is done as well as the Easter egg hunt it will be a great success. That's breakfast with Santa as Scranton High School December 10, sittings at 9 and 11 a.m.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaragalia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I was going to give a little background as to how we ended up where we are today. Well, the first thing, of course, was the mines. They did employ a lot of people and that helped the area out then we had the garment industry which the federal government

killed. That hurt the area. We are talking about a lot of money. Then we started in with the expansion of all our tax exempt properties and they put more of a burden. Another burden is our population. We have an aging population in Scranton. Of course, they are not getting any older and probably dying off, but anyway, we do have that population. And most of them are retired so hence we are losing the income there, too. When they worked it was fine, when they retired, of course, we don't get nothing. That was some of it.

Then we had our great KOZ's that were there. What they did, not very much. The only good thing about it were all them people up there that had built them homes now they got to pay taxes on the homes. Now let's go pack to Cordaro and Munchak when they came in and changed the way the Tax Assessment Office worked. We lost millions of dollars there in tax assessments, so every Scrantonian has to pay more in taxes for people who don't pay that much in tax or has a reduction. That was some of the

problems.

Other ones is, of course, mercantile and business privilege tax, that creates some of the problems, too. Businesses, of course, go out of the area. Then we have the wage tax and school tax that in turn puts a burden on the people who work within the city, so a lot of them didn't move out, especially if they had good paying jobs, so little by little by little we keep losing our tax base and the money flowing into your City Treasurer's, so at that point somebody should have realized that it was unsustainable to spend a lot of money because it wasn't going to come in anymore, but it wasn't.

All of a sudden we had a rebuilding project going on in Scranton. A lot of buildings put in for different things that was there, but that started putting the debt, the debt started to climb with that little deal and it's been climbing ever since.

We also had the fiasco with the retirees. We gave all of the retirees, the

policemen, firemen who retired when the mayor was there, when he first came in, we got them medical benefits for life, so, hence, they are free and, of course, the medical costs goes skyrocketing now so that's part of the problem there.

And then you also have the inflation problem that continues all the time. I mean, as the cost of living goes up, of course, you got to pay people who work within the city more money or work for the city. You have to keep up abreast with what's happening within the city, so all of these things started piling up.

And then we have the sale of the golf course, it was income to the city, but it's no longer an income to the city. Now he wants -- you have to look at the South Side Complex, I don't know what we are going to do if the federal government, I guess, I think it's still in litigation. I don't think -- because every time I looked at what properties were paying taxes, I mean, liability on that's one of them and we are still liability, of course, on the old DPW

site, so I don't know how much that really adds into it, but it's there. It's added. We have a lot of other things that are added so now we are into the point of regressing where we didn't have to. I wish you were around when Brian Reap got up there and said, "Don't do what you are doing."

If them people want to work their Medicare comes, in let it go. That would save a lot, but the mayor wouldn't listen, he was so gung-ho about replacing a lot of people. I don't know what he thought he was going to build a political base or not, but them people later on really turned against him, so this is some of the problems that the city faces now. Now you are stuck with it. Well, I won't get back into anymore. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I want to talk about is something I touched on at the last meeting that had to do with the Court of Common Pleas of Lackawanna County.

There seems to be an ongoing investigation, you know, and I just think it's important for everybody in the community to know, as I stated before, that the president judge sets the mechanism of the Court. I went and I tried to talk to Judge Munley in motions court about the termination of the two employees from Judge Harhut's office, I really didn't get any answers to that, and I went to the Court Administrator and I tried to get an answer from the Court Administrator and I didn't get that either.

I have been paying a lot of attention to the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County for probably over ten years besides coming to these council meetings, and I just think it's important for people to know that there is more than one guardian ad litem, attorney ad litem, and I'd just like to say that there is Marjorie DeSanto Barlow, Donald Frederickson, Brenda Kobal, Theresa Pezak and Kim Giombetti in addition to Danielle Ross.

And I would just like to say that I  $\,$ 

hope that the FBI probe here is extremely wide, and I don't want to defame anybody or badmouth anybody from the podium, but it's my opinion that we have had problems in this court for a long time even prior to you, Mrs. Evans, being on this council. There were people who talked about having problems with the family court and Mrs. Ross at that time was not associated with the Court.

And I would just like to put that out there and I'd just also like to say that if people have had problems with the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County I think we need to call the Federal Bureau of Investigation, their number is in the Scranton phone book under the federal government, and I think that any information they may share with the FBI may be of a great benefit to all the residents of this city.

The other thing I have is, you know, talking about the budget it's my hope at this time that the council and the mayor will work together to find a solution for the problems we have in this city.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mrs. Evans, as you said prior to the election, you know, that some of these issues belonged in the debate and whether I agree or disagree I then did bring the Scranton Abington Plan and Association's comprehensive plan with me. I would appreciate it if the council would consider looking at that document again, and maybe coming to the conclusion, as Mr. Joyce stated about the Scranton Lace, you know, I hope that you would take a look at where that money is being spent and how it's being spent and maybe the council should ask itself if it is possible that what we really need in this community are jobs and what we need is, in my opinion, is a council and a mayor willing to work with the Chamber of I just think that a lot of people are under the impression that the Chamber of Commerce works for the residents of this city and it's my opinion that they represent business and that this council and this mayor work for the residents of this city.

And it's just my opinion that we need a partnership and we need to start

creating an engine which will be able to create funds for this city through taxation of created industries. I think that that's been our problem for a very long time and can I say that I disagree with some of the things that the council and the mayor have done, yes, I can say that, but I don't think everything that council and the mayor has done has been wrong, but I think it's time to realize that we have massive unemployment across this country and Scranton isn't the only community faced with the problems we are faced with.

I don't know how many people remember years ago when the first American Anglican deal came through. I believe that citizens concerned about taxes were given money out of that agreement so that they wouldn't file an appeal to the Court on that document because I remember reading that document when Mayor Connors was mayor, and I just think that maybe the council should go take a look at the initial document, look at the Scranton Times archives and find out if that was actually true or not.

\_ -

Now, in regards to the Municipal Golf Course, I don't know if the people in this community know that this city never paid ten cents for that golf course. That that money -- that was paid with private funds. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller. Good evening, Council. Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd like to begin tonight by addressing an issue that I was made aware of yesterday regarding the Nay Aug Park light show. According to the information I was given, these lights are on many hours during the day. For example, on Saturday and Sunday these lights were supposedly on all day. Yesterday they were on at 5 a.m., 6 a.m., 12 p.m. and 3 p.m. and I was notified this morning that they were on at 5 a.m.

Now, according to the city's website, which I don't usually go on but in this case I went on because I know they have information on the light show, and according to the website this show is supposed to run

from 5 p.m. to 9 p.m. and then lights out
Monday through Sunday, but I guess my
question tonight would be why are these
lights on almost 24/7. My understanding is
a phone call was made to the mayor's office
in which his secretary responded by saying,
"Don't worry about it, the bill is paid
through donations."

Well, we go back to a Scranton
Times' story, I believe it was stated in
their article that this year all of the
donations will be going to towards the
Cancer Society, will benefit the Cancer
Society. Now, I think the secretary needs
to get her facts straight, but that's
besides the point regarding the donations
with the Cancer Society. The bottom line is
we have lights on at all hours of the day,
we know we are a financially strapped stir,
why aren't these lights being turned off at
9 p.m. like they are supposed to be?

I mean, do we have timers on these lights? Does DPW know what a timer is, do they know how to operate one? These are simple questions that, you know, we need to

ask ourselves. I mean, if we are going to take out a light show we need to know this stuff. I do have pictures of this that I will send and I'm going to send submit those to council for your review. I find this to be unacceptable. We pay DPW employees overtime to put these lights up with an added expense that we can certainly live without and now we have worry about an electric bill that will certainly be through the roof.

Tonight I would like to, if I may, submit a Right-to-Know request regarding this issue. I'd like to know tonight, I'd like a copy of the electric bill from last year, the 2010 light show, I'd like to know the expenses and everything else it cost the city to put this light show on, and I'd also like to know at the end of the year what it's going to cost us, and if I can and I'll leave this with Ms. Carerra to possibly forward to Mr. McGowan, and I'd appreciate that.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Miller, I think that should also be sent probably to the Scranton

Recreation Authority as well so that in the event they handle those issues they can provide the information to Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: I certainly agree with you, Mrs. Evans on that. I think the Recreation Authority has a lot of explaining to do through the years with all of the things they have done and I'd like to hear what they have to say about that since they operate that park, which I personally don't feel they should be. I believe that authority should be abolished because they have caused nothing but headaches and problems for us since they have been in inception.

But yet again, it's just more
wasteful spending in the city. What truly
infuriates me is that we can waste money on
these lights and we can't keep firemen on
the streets, we can't keep engine companies
open. It's truly appalling and I'm truly
disheartened by that we can go and waste
money on lights that are on all hours of the
day and it just doesn't phase us whatsoever.
You know, we deal with arrogance, we deal

with responses, oh, well, don't worry about it. Oh, that's nice. Let's not worry about it. That's the philosophy we have had in this town for ten years and look where we are today. And we are just going to continue that philosophy and continue to just drive us deeper in debt because people in this town don't care. I mean, it's just the arrogance. It's unbelievable. It truly is.

But I want to move on and go onto the budget. Unfortunately, we didn't see the honorable one here tonight or the BA or the fire chief, he wouldn't accept your invitation here to come before the public and explain themselves and how they intend to cover the city with the budget they put forth, and I just think tonight they truly, once again, have shown how they really feel about not only this council but most of all the residents of this city.

They showed their arrogance by again just that slap across the face, not coming forward. The table is here waiting for them. It's been waiting for ten years, and

19 20

21

22

2324

25

I believe what has to happen here, and this goes back to what I have talked about many times, I commend everybody that comes forward every week. We have had a lot of the same residents come forward for many years now, but what has to happen now is we need news faces, and I don't mean that in a critical way to the people that come here, as I said, I commend them for coming forward, but we need more residents to come forward and demand accountability and demand that this mayor and the BA and his fire chief explain how they intend on covering the city with 29 less firemen and less engine companies and, once again, we had more engine companies close today, but nobody is explaining. Nobody is explaining themselves.

You know, the mayor has played the blame game for ten years and has failed to look in the mirror. I think a worthy investment might be a nice little Christmas present for the mayor would be a mirror and every time he wants to come and blame you and blame everybody else he can find he can

look in the mirror and he will get his answer right there.

And finally, if I may lastly, I just wanted to comment on we all know President Obama will be in the area tomorrow, and I'm sure the mayor will have a lot to brag about, you know, where we live in a city where we can tear down buildings at our people on our expense, we allow individuals to use DPW vehicles for personnel use to take driver's tests not once, twice but three times, and rather than terminating the director of DPW what do we do? We seem him get a promotion.

We do construction on our homes without permits, we go and we don't put house numbers on our homes violating ordinances, our fire chief who in the ordinance I cited last week is one of the ones that should be enforcing it. We have DPW employees who solicit prostitutes while on the clock. We have Sewer Authority members who take vehicles home with them. You know, we certainly have a lot to proud of in this town and I'm sure President Obama

will look forward to hearing the mayor's advice on how we should run the country since he has done such an exceptional job at running the city. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident. First of all, I'd
like to get some things straight because I
don't believe in rumors. Is there any truth
to the story that two DPW workers were
arrested on Cedar Avenue while on duty for
the City of Scranton?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, there is truth to that? Were they in a city-owned vehicle?

MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure of that. I spoke to a few members of the police department to confirm the story. I don't have all the details, I am going to request a copy of the police report for all council members.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, and has Chief Davis paid the fine for doing work on his house without the proper permits? If not,

can we find out why he has not paid to the fines like everyone else would be required to do?

Also, I understand is there any truth to the fact that Stu Renda did a lot of work on his house up to about \$40,000 worth of work on his house and never paid for any permits to do that work? Again, I want to stop all of these rumors. Is this true, is this not true? We need to find out because if Stu Renda did not pay for his permits to do work on his house then no one in this city should have to pay to do work on their house.

Also, I would like to know what administrative punishment was done to Mr. Brazil as a citizen and taxpayer. The mayor's word doesn't mean anything to me. Mr. Brazil's word doesn't mean anything to me. I would like to know what administrative action is taken against Mr. Brazil for allowing civilians to take city-owned vehicles to PennDOT up to the state police barracks to have driver's licenses done, an explanation like we were

1 given last week means absolutely nothing. That is hearsay. That's all it is. 2 3 proof, okay? And remember, we are in the age of 4 5 transparency so want city government to be transparent and we want all of this out. 6 7 it's true that two DPW employees were 8 arrested for soliciting prostitutes while on 9 duty, that is very, very shameful. like we have a big problem with DPW. 10 MS. EVANS: Yes 11 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: And I think we need 13 to look at the top, Jeff Brazil and Mark 14 Dougher. They are the two people in charge. They are responsible for this. Also, I'd 15 16 like to know this new position that Jeff 17 Brazil got. Is this a paid position with 18 the school board? 19 MS. EVANS: Absolutely. MR. JOYCE: 20 Yes. 21 MR. JACKOWITZ: Is this a 40-hour a 22 week job, full-time job? 23 MS. EVANS: Yes. it is. 24 MR. JACKOWITZ: Is he resigning as 25 the DPW director?

MS. EVANS: He will have to do so in order to accept that position that he was selected for.

MR. JACKOWITZ: It's really amazing how people with certain names can get two political appointees back to back, political appointee jobs, especially behind closed doors like the school board did. That's regretful and sinful to say the very least.

Again, it goes back to power and money. You got power, you got money. You got money, you got power, and we have a lot of that going on within the city.

Like I said earlier, we have 11,000 people in poverty within Lackawanna County and within the City of Scranton and you need to tell me -- and we have the highest unemployment rate in the state and no one else was qualified for the job with the school board except Jeff Brazil who can't even manage the DPW and now he is going to get a promotion? This is unreal. I mean, this is political politics at it's best.

Name, recognition, power and money. Money and power.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, President Obama is going to be here tomorrow and he is going to be speaking his new jobs proposal, his new jobs program that he has, the reason why he is coming to Scranton, he is going to be picking Mayor Doherty's brain because he is going to want to now how Mayor Doherty created 9,000 new jobs in the city of Scranton that nobody can find, so he is going to be here asking Mayor Doherty how he did it, so really, I would like to be a fly on the wall so that I can listen to what Mayor Doherty has to say, especially when he can't produce one job. We have the highest unemployment, Mayor, remember that. Highest 18 months in a row, but, President Obama, pay attention, Mayor Doherty will put you on the right street and the country you will be prospering because of Mayor Christopher A. Doherty's advice that he will give President Obama.

Okay, a rental's agreement, I'm in favor of the rental's agreement, but again, guess who is getting punished? The people who can least afford it, the renters. The

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

majority of these -- we have a lot of renters and I have talked to a lot of landlords lately and they have a lot of tenants who can't even pay the rent month to month, and the local landlords are pretty good, they actually kind of give people breaks and give them a little bit of leeway if they are little bit late, but the out-of-town landlords they want their rent on time, and again, the only people who are going to get punished are the people who can least afford it, the renters, because that rental agreement will be passed onto the rent -- to the renters and it won't be just like a one-month increase, it will be a 12-month increase and in the long-run renters will be getting the shaft again.

But, again, I would like to know about these DPW workers and if this is true I hope it's not swept under the rug and I hope it's become -- made public. John, I take it deserves an article in the paper, I think it needs to be publicized. The citizens of Scranton need to know what's going on within their city, especially the

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxpayers.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Reverend Kathryn Simmons.

MS. SIMMONS: Good evening, city council. My name is Reverend Kathryn Simmons and I am a citizen of Scranton, Pennsylvania, and have been for 59 years. Ι come here tonight to clarify some comments made last week against the taxpayers of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the board of directors of the Bethel AME shelter. not going to get into personality issues, that has nothing do with any of this. Bethel Board of Directors of the emergency shelter wanted support from you, council, in collecting a debt owed to the taxpayers of this wonderful and blessed Commonwealth.

Again, I say, a CDBG grant in the amount of \$175,000 was allotted to Bethel's shelter in the Year 2007. This grant was given with the understanding that the board of directors of the shelter would be allowed the site in the basement of Bethel AME Church to be used by this directory for a homeless shelter for the length of ten

years. This agreement was presented to the church conference for approval and was voted for approval in 2007. The grant was then signed by Reverend Kenneth Burnett in the presence of myself, Mr. Michael Ray and Mr. Ozzie Brent.

Work began and a year's worth of construction and refurbishing was done. No one, no church member ever approached anyone and asked where was the money coming from and who authorized the construction to be done. If you don't know, if you never voted on something, if you don't know where these people came from, I'm sure you are going to approach someone and ask them what are these people doing here, where did the money come from?

The board has not asked for money for ourselves, we simply ask that no profit be made from the backs of the homeless. If they are no longer welcome at Bethel, if the site is not to be returned to this board allowing us to finish out our agreement, then all funds should be returned to the taxpayers to be used for another project in

1 ||

the future.

As I close out, let me say that a woman's name was mentioned, her name was Mrs. Julia Moody. She was described as someone who picked me up and dusted me off. No, you see, she walked with me through years of dust such as this for she was a friend, hard to find these days.

My ministry was also questioned. I show you my certificate signed by my Bishop and if and when it is removed for the work that I do and the advocacy I do for the homeless and the poor, you will read about me across the street in Federal Court filing lawsuits.

This money belongs to the City of Scranton. It does not belong to a church. The church took it because they could not redo the basement that was going to be cut off by the insurance company. They told us to go ahead. We did it with the understanding that the shelter would be allowed to stay open. The minute the work was done, they closed the doors. It almost seems to somewhat like a criminal

conspiracy, but we'll save that for another day.

We are just asking you for your support because I was here the night that Reverend Andrew K. Newberry came forth to apply for the CDBG grant to the council. There is no question about whether this grant was given or what it was given for, so I hope that you support us as we seek out that no profit by anyone be made from the backs of homeless people.

You know, we talk tonight much about poor people, people who are out there struggling to get their taxes paid, I am standing here talking to you about people who don't pay taxes because they have no where to live and they are not welcome in neighborhoods in the City of Scranton. That is why we cannot find another site to open up a homeless shelter because we are not welcome in your neighborhood.

We are going to keep on the struggle and the fight and we are going to make sure that our homeless people, whether it be tents along the river or wherever, have a

place to lay their head. I thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Justine Simonetti.

MR. SIMONETTI: How you doing? I'm Justin Simonetti from Occupy Scranton and I'm here to talk to you about a public safety concern I have, and that is literally cutting the fire department. I mean, the population of the city over the years has dwindled down, we are down to about 70 thousand, give or take. At one time we were over 100,000, but the size of the city has not shrunk, it has actually grown. We have more buildings now than we did back then so that means we have more need for our firemen.

Minooka in 1950 was barely a spec on the map. Now, it's a thriving section in Scranton. Those houses in Minooka are protected by the South Side firehouse. What happens if you shut it down? What's the closest one, the one on Main Street? Is that the one that gets shut down? The one, you know, the headquarters right around the block, is that getting shut down? Which

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ones are going to get shut down? How are you going to protect the citizens of Minooka?

Look at East Mountain? Mv son used to go to the Friendship House, God forbid anything happened at the Friendship House? You ever drive up to the Friendship House? It will take you about 20 minutes with all of the bends in a car. Drive it in a fire truck, take them bends on a cold day with ice on the roads with the fire truck. down the South Side fire department, you know, now you are going to have to use the fire trucks out of the headquarters here and you might even need to call the ones from West Side just to rescue the fire truck because God forbid that ever slipped, and I'm not saying anything will happen. forbid anything does happen. What if. if something happens? What about them kids? It seems to me Mayor Doherty is putting a price on human life. I hate to say that, but that's what it seems to me.

I read over the budget, you know, me and a bunch of the people over at Occupy

19 20

21

22

2324

25

read over the budget. I really couldn't tell you what it is, but there has to be a way in this budget that we can protect our citizens, we can protect the children, the nursing homes up in, you know, East Mountain, and I'm not saying anywhere else just those two areas, because they seem to be the furthest away from where any fire department would be. I could be mistaken, and especially in East Mountain where the roads are all windy and to get anywhere it's going to be a pain you know where on a sunny day. Put it in a winter day where the roads are icy, it's impossible to drive. Now you get a fire truck, it's just as bad because you got ten times the size of an average car.

What's the call time going to be like? You know, what's the response time going to be like? If they are coming from--literally if they are coming from right down town it's about a 15, 20 minute drive up there. It's about a 15, 20 minute drive to Minooka. What's the response time going to be? Why are we putting a price on human

life? That's basically all I have to say.
Thank you.

MR. HUSVAR: How do you do. My name is Jamie Husvar, and I'm with Occupy Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HUSVAR: As my friend Justin said, I'm here to talk about the public safety issue of the firefighters. The idea that the budget is focusing on cutting people that save our lives on a daily basis is scaring me to my core.

As a teenager, I personally was in a fire at my home where I was doing laundry and the dryer caught on fire. To remove the people that protect us, to remove people that we rely so heavily on it makes absolutely no sense. We are already in a situation where we don't have enough as it is to cover such a large area of land. Yes, we are smaller city population wise, but as Justin said, if anything the buildings are growing and there is lot of buildings that are uninhabitable, so if a fire occurs from electrical or even arson in a building

24

25

that's empty by the time it gets reported and then you add on the response time of an understaffed fire company, how many people are going to be hurt? How many homes are going to be lost? How many lives are going to be directly and violently affected by that? It just boggles my mind to no end. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Hall.

MR. HALL: Bob Hall from Occupy Scranton. I talked a little bit last week about what I thought of the budget and what I thought of cutting firefighters, obviously, I'm here with my friends to talk about how it's unacceptable. When I went back home after that meeting and tried to look with some other people from the occupation and what is actually in that budget, I couldn't figure it out, and I'm not an accountant. I have never dealt with anything like this a city budget before, but when we went through it we found all of these strange things in there that we couldn't explain. If any of you can explain to me it would be really helpful for at

least me and I think other people who read it and were confused by it.

There were a bunch of things like, for example, I believe it was called a TAN-B that was budgeted for \$10 million, 1 1/2 of that was spent by September. By the end of the year, let's call it -- we can blow it up we'll say 2 1/2 will be spent by the end of the year. What is that? What is TAN-B budgeted for?

MR. JOYCE: I can answer this.

TAN-A and TAN-B are tax anticipation notes.

Every year the city, and Scranton isn't excluded from this, just about all municipalities engage in taking out tax anticipation notes. What this is, it's a short-term loan. It's a one-year loan that the city will receive and they will generally get this money at the end of the year, and I know we have TAN-A and TAN-B and together they add up to let's say it's 5.5 and 9.5 so --

MS. EVANS: 14.5.

MR. JOYCE: \$14.5 million what this does is it allows the city to meet it's

payroll obligations and pay it's bills in
the first few months of the year before the
tax office starts sending revenue to the
city which is collected from real estate
taxes, wage taxes and business privilege and
mercantile, etcetera. That's what those two
expenditures are. So it comes in it's -you will see it on the revenue side of the
budget and also in the expenditure side.
You will see the repayment of it as well, so
it's kind of like something that evens
itself out.

There is an interest rate on that
which varies depending on your year and our

which varies depending on your year and our credit status and our credit rating. The interest on these short-term loans for the city it's generally around 500 and some thousand dollars, and I hope that gives you a little bit more of a background on what these are.

MR. HALL: That does explain that one somewhat.

MR. JOYCE: All right. Okay.

MR. HALL: The other thing that we were looking at that we are trying to figure

171819202122

23

24

25

16

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out, and I don't know if has to do with KOZ or what, but under Act 511 there is a subact 24 where businesses in the city are taxed but corporations for some reason are not.

Can you explain why that is?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. There is some -with the Act 511 taxes there is a business privilege and a mercantile tax and these are levied on certain types of businesses, such as restaurants, eateries, bars, shops, etcetera. There are certain entities that do not have to pay a mercantile tax such as banks, manufacturing, newspapers, etcetera. Actually, that's why I'm in favor of a payroll tax and the eventual elimination of the business privilege and mercantile tax in the future. This is something that needs state approval and it's something that the City of Pittsburgh adopted.

Essentially what Pittsburgh does is they levy a tax of .055 percent on every business in the city -- well, on the payroll of every business in the city rather than taxing their gross receipts. For instance, this would help small businesses, say if you

have a restaurant and you are paying the workers say \$50,000 a year in total to work at the restaurant, you would pay .055 percent on that \$50,000 that are you paying the employees. And say, for instance, the restaurant is bringing in \$120,000, you would be paying the tax on the \$50,000 payroll expense rather than the larger gross receipt expense, so this is something that would actually help out small businesses while allowing manufacturers and other large businesses to pay their fair share, and I'm hoping that is something in the future that would can accomplish.

MR. HALL: Well, in response to that, wouldn't that encourage small businesses to (a) pay their employees less, and (b) hire less employees?

MR. JOYCE: Well, there is a stipulation with that that they can't -- well, actually with small businesses they would end up benefiting from it because they wouldn't have to pay the higher business privilege and mercantile tax anymore and instead the small businesses would pay the

14

15

21 22

20

23

24

25

payroll tax. So say they would be paying say, for instance, on say that restaurant that makes \$150,000 a year and they have a \$50,000 payroll, they may be paying say, for instance, \$7,000 now, but under -- but by changing the tax structure they may only be paying \$3,000 so they would actually be bringing in more revenue.

And one of the stipulations in the tax is that it's not levied against the employees and can't be used to lower their salaries, per se.

MR. HALL: That's fair enough. guess I just wanted to close with that I am still, to repeat myself from last week, I really don't think this city can afford to lose the people that's it's going to lose when we raise the property taxes 29 percent if this budget passes, and I don't think we can afford to lose the 29 firefighters that keep the city safe. Thank you.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Sierra Ravine.

MS. RAVINE: My name is Sierra and I'm from Occupy Scranton. I, like many

others, am here due to the current budget proposal. You do not have to be an economist to know that there are many items proposed in this budget that are ludicrous.

I am here as a concerned citizen tonight. I am concerned that we are cutting the jobs of the very people who risk their lives day in and day out for this community. I am concerned that we have already started rolling blackouts and fire stations. As previously said, are we putting a price on a human life? Will it take the tragic death of someone's mother, father, child, brother or sister to realize this is not the best course of action? What if that loved one was your own? What if it was you?

There are many sections of this budget that do not even use 1/3 of their allotted spending by September 30th of 2011 yet are still budgeted the same amount in 2012?

Why are the first people on the chopping block our fire department or other public safety departments? I know I'm not the only person with these questions and

concerns and I hope more will begin to voice their own thoughts and opinions here as well. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just wanted to make two comments before we proceed. First of all, with regard to what Sierra had stated, as you noted, the budget will present actual figures as of September of a given year, but what we must also remember is you have the remaining months of that year in which those funds will then be used often times an ordinate amount of bills will come in during that final financial quarter.

But I think more importantly, council hears the cries of the people of Scranton. We do understand which is why last year we were the first council to lower taxes in my memory, maybe ever, for businesses, for homeowners. Right now we are working very hard to lower these tax increases.

However, the decision on the firefighters, and I should inject at this time that I think everyone on city council

opposes the layoffs of the firefighters, we
-- most of us anyway, adamantly opposed it
in August when the first round occurred.

In addition, in last year's budget the mayor had cut I believe 27 perhaps firefighters and I forget how many police officers and this council reinstated all of those positions into the budget, however, the mayor proceeded to lay people off regardless and went to Court and the Court decided on the side of the mayor that he has the ability to lay off public safety personnel.

So what I'm suggesting to you is this, since council is very understanding and supportive of your message and your needs that the message needs to be taken to the mayor of the City of Scranton because he is the individual who still has the time and the opportunity to change his proposed budget to sit down with firefighters, to work out some type of plan where perhaps those who are able to retire can do so now without losing medical benefits until they reach an age where they are able to obtain

Medicare. Where perhaps others might be willing to take an early retirement in order to make room or to make positions available for at least a portion of those 29 that the mayor wants to cut.

But, again, as I'm saying the message has to be delivered I believe clearly and in unison by the people of Scranton to the mayor that this is what they want and this is what the mayor needs to do. He needs to change his proposed budget. He needs to sit down with the fire department and negotiate how he can put back in as many people as possible, and maybe the fire department needs to negotiate what costs they can come down onto make this happen.

City council, unfortunately, is not authorized by the Home Rule Charter and the Administrative Code to negotiate. It is not within our legal scope of authority, that power belongs solely to the mayor of the City of Scranton which is why he needs to invite all of these individuals to have a seat at the table. He needs to work this out. He is the only one who can make these

changes within the fire department and save these individuals, and the clock is ticking because according to the Home Rule Charter City Council must adopt a budget by December 15. If we fail to do so, the mayor's proposed budget automatically takes effect, and you will see not only the loss of 29 firefighters, but a 29 percent tax increase as well to homeowners, and a 25 percent tax increase to business owners.

And so I guess to make this very long message short, and I do apologize to all speakers and audience members, take the message solidly to the mayor of the City of Scranton. That is probably the best advice that we can give you. And council assures you in the meantime we are doing our due diligence and going through that budget as carefully as possible and driving down the tax increase for all the people who live in the City of Scranton.

And our next speaker is Les Spindler.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Maybe we can occupy the first floor.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: We've thought about it.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: You know, I have spoken about this many times. I, too, am against the tax hike, but I am more against the layoff of the firefighters and, Mrs. Evans, you stole some of my thunder, but I have said in the past it does no good to come here because you cannot do anything. People have to call the mayor's office. I have spoken about this time after time, the people of this city have to get off their rear ends and call the mayor and tell him that they are not going to stand for this.

People can't keep coming here and speaking week after week because he doesn't hear us, so people have to call his office, which I have done in the past, and he doesn't just listen to me, but maybe if he gets enough phone calls he will listen to all of these people. We have got to call

the mayor and tell him we won't stand for it.

As I have said in the past, we have one deadly fire already, which I solely blame him for, and if he lays off 29 firefighters we are going to have more deadly fires I'm sorry to say it. Because I have proof to council, I have said in the past, that that deadly fire the response time could have been better if Engine 9 was still in service.

So come on, people, let's call the mayor and get your friends, relatives, everybody call the mayor's office.

Next thing I want to talk about
Chief Davis. Mrs. Evans, I don't know if
you were aware last week, you weren't here
yet, about Chief Davis having work done on
his house without permits and I hope council
can try to do something about that.

And I also have it on good authority that Chief Davis uses his fire chief car to go to people's houses to give them estimates for his construction company. That, too, I think is -- I don't know if it's illegal,

but it's not right. He shouldn't be using a city vehicle to do business for his own construction company, so maybe we can look into that. And maybe you can invite Chief Davis here next week again because I hear he is busy out hunting today. I guess that's more important than taking care of the city.

Oh, I also have it on good authority, which Mr. Jackowitz mentioned, I also heard that Stu Renda did \$40,000 -- he had put a \$40,000 addition on his house without paying for a permit, so it's politics at it's best and this stuff has to stop. As Bill said if these people get away with it, myself, everybody on council, everybody here we shouldn't have to get permits either. It's either what's good for the goose is good for the gander.

Oh, Mrs. Evans, last week you weren't here when I spoke about this, two weeks ago I said about cameras at all of the intersections, and I heard this story on the radio that a city in Ohio put in cameras in intersections, in the first 20 days of use they gave out \$10,000 tickets, so I think is

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a worthwhile thing and I hope the city can do that.

Next thing, the railroad bridge on Main Avenue where it meets Euchlid, Mr. Loscombe, you are probably familiar with that, recently in the last month or so it got hit by two 18 wheelers, they got stuck under there. I'm wondering this happens often, does the railroad inspect those bridges when that happens? And I have a request in writing here, and also I go under that bridge every single day and the supports that hold that bridge up, you look on the bottom there, those are rusted and some of them to the effect where there is part of it rusted away. To me it seems like that -- that doesn't seem safe and those supports are rusting away.

Now, whether the railroad is aware of that or not, but, I'm going to ask council to send a letter to the railroad because that does not seem safe to me when parts of the supports is rusting away.

Lastly, Mr. Loscombe, you are going to get sick of me asking you about this,

what's the status of the Linden Street bridge, have you heard anything lately?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just what I have heard is they are still waiting for the approval from the railroad, the permits on that end of it. The design was all set and everything.

MR. SPINDLER: Because that's a safety hazard because if there is a fire, an emergency up on Linden Street there, the emergency vehicles have to go all around up to Main Avenue and come down. That's another thing that's putting people's lives in danger so I don't know why PennDOT is dragging their feet on this. They said they were going to work on it in September, now we are almost to December.

MR. LOSCOMBE: To be honest with you, they did request expedited approval.

You know, I believe it's the railroad system right of way that's tying it up now.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, I hope something is done about that because, you know, I think it is a safety hazard. Thank you for your time, Council.

1 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie Schumacher. 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie Schumacher. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Good evening. MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: First, agenda 8 items. 5-B establishes a new use category 9 called a mixed use adapted for use. 10 motions maybe somebody could explain what a 11 mixed use adapted reuse is. 12 MS. EVANS: Yes, I'll take a second 13 to explain that during my motions this 14 evening, but in addition we are going to be asking some individuals to attend a public 15 16 caucus next week prior to our regularly 17 scheduled meeting so that the changes can be 18 explained, all of the ramifications of those 19 changes, etcetera, to the entire public. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. I do 21 feel that's necessary. It's not very clear 22 when you even read the backup. 23 On "B" can you tell me who sets the 24 consideration amount on these properties?

MR. LOSCOMBE:

There is an appraisal

25

done on the properties. Appraisals that -I believe Nasser appraisal does the
appraisals on each property.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then are they not -- is not part of that process advertising in a newspaper so that if anybody wants to bid over them that --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, the property purchaser is responsible for the advertising also and any appraisals.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have been reading -- I have seen a lot of these, I have yet to see one in the legal notices. I have done Right-to-Knows and asked and after the budget is over I'll come back to this item because there is a lot that's wrong with it and if properties are not -- the money that is in here is not being turned over to the treasurer, so I will again get back to that probably next year.

6-C, I don't know last week there was talk about trying to move that to Seventh Order tonight.

MS. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I don't know

1 why the rush. 2 MS. EVANS: And I'll address that under my motions. That will not -- the 3 Rules will not be suspended this evening to 4 5 do so. MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm happy to hear 6 7 that. More unsecured debt I just can't even 8 begin to imagine what the interest would be 9 on that. 10 And also, maybe you could give us a 11 status then update on using the parking 12 meters as collateral for a loan for a period 13 of ten years at that same time. Thank you. 14 And then over to just a few more things, do we yet have the annual operating 15 16 cost of a Keyser Avenue satellite police 17 station that you all approved several --18 about a month ago? 19 MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I haven't seen 20 anything come back yet on that. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Could you possibly 22 follow-up on that this week? 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Especially with the

budget as tight as it is now another

25

satellite seems -- I will talk more on the budget next week, but for tonight just two more quick items. And, one, I'd like to say that I think that the PEL budget would be a better baseline to start from and vary from than the mayor's budget. I think it appears to be fairer across the board, doesn't destroy our public safety, and I would like to suggest rather than waiting until next year you try to use that as the baseline from which you negotiate.

I did notice this week, too, that the Apple seller has moved to the Viewmont and we have lost another business.

And then the Christmas decorations, two people that I know of that have gone to Nay Aug say that they are -- about half the lights are out already and it's just opened and that's a bit of a concern. People are -- granted, two is only a small percentage of what's going to go through there, but I just think it's a bad way to start the season and bad word to get out, but I also have a question on whether or not these are all incandescent bulbs that are

going to be obsolete and we won't be able to replace that bulbs, is that whole light show going to obsolete when the LED bulbs and CFR bulbs come in? I would like to know that for looking ahead to next year when we can no longer --

MR. ROGAN: Ms. Schumacher, are you talking about the federal mandate for the light bulbs? I don't think that's become law yet, has it?

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. ROGAN: No, I thought it was --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, it begins.

That was passed. That was passed.

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: I know there has been} \mbox{ work on repealing that.}$ 

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, if doesn't happen we have got a pretty big investment out there and I guess all I'm saying is then we should really set aside been money to buy ahead based on the hours of usage and maybe that is something to do with why they don't shut them off. I know shutting a bulb off and on causes wear and tear on the bulb and if they don't have replacements and they

can't get anymore we are in a world of hurt.

And then in town have we decided, and I don't have an objection if this is the decision, I know there is a few lights up here at city hall, although, only a few were eliminated tonight, but the standard utility poll, the red poinsettias that were bought a number of years ago are not up, are we foregoing those to save money this year?

MS. EVANS: I don't know.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I had heard that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like if you could find that out, too, for next week.

Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Schumacher, before you leave I just want to make two quick comments. One is far as the whole unfunded borrowing issue, we did receive notification from PEL that engaging in unfunded borrowing of \$6.5 million would increase our debt service payment by \$700,000 per year. Just doing a simple ratio of proportion it comes out to about \$722,000 more a year in debt service.

As far as other things, I will say there are parts of the PEL budget or Recovery Plan that I do agree with. Some revenue imitatives that they have and it's not the 40 percent tax increase, by the way, just to clarify that, and I have been in discussions with Mr. Cross from PEL about the implementation of some of those so that's something that I would like to see.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I hope they will be brought in sooner rather than waiting until 2012. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and
taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Once again we should scream it from the rooftops, where is the audit? And this I'll jump ahead on my list here a little bit, with these editorials that seem to appear every Sunday in the Scranton paper, the Times-Tribune about cooperation. How could you even call that

cooperation when you can't even show up with the audit on a timely basis or even a monthly. I don't know where people think the sense of logic is, but that's basically an insult to my intelligence.

On this Bethel issue, I felt he pointed it out last week but that person needed to have it pointed out a little stronger that anybody can come here and speak if they want to, so I would suggest that if somebody has an opposing view to Reverend Simmons then come and talk about it, but it's not acceptable to accept money and not fulfill your mission. If you need more, you could always apply for more. Shutting down that homeless shelter, we have a terrible homeless problem and we do need people taken care of and helped out.

Now, on the property taxes, I seen Wayne Evans here, a local real estate man, and I have to agree with him, you know, when I bought my house it was a miserable \$45,000 and I had to come up with \$8,700 in closing costs. That's ridiculous. You can't keep jacking up these closing costs and expect

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people to want to move here. Only a small portion of that, maybe 30 percent, was a down payment, so it's just getting excessive. I don't know how we expect to be able to sell a house some day with those type of costs involved.

And I'd also like to point out on wage taxes and joblessness that every time a person losses his job or her job to a trade pack or whatever, it's less money being paid into social security, less money being paid into city, less money being paid into the state, and last week while we were here at the meeting in South Korea I have been mentioning that trade pack with 400,000 people from the north coming down and their wages being paid to the government of North Korea, well, the minority speaker of the Korean house tossed a tear gas grenade at the speaker of the house in the South Korean senate, so -- or house of representatives, so that's how strongly they feel about it. So, obviously, the only people that are benefiting from this are a couple of international bankers who will probably want

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us to defend them with our military someday by the paltry way they treat people.

And one other thing on the fire department and overtime, if any kind of job sharing and police department could be initiated where when somebody reaches 40 hours, call somebody else back, it must be terrible to sit on a weekend on your day off and wonder whether you are going to get that call to go into work. You can't even sit down and have a beer during a football game. These people have lives of their own, too, and when you are working with somebody that's overstressed they can make the wrong decisions and cost themselves an injury or their own life or somebody else's or they are just so down and dead tired that they can't get the job done the way it should be done, so I would see job sharing as a big plus and you are not paying off time and a half then.

So I would recommend getting on-line and Getmoneyout.com and sign the petition for an amendment to the constitution to ban big money for politics and everybody get in

1 touch with your Congressman or whatever or 2 senator and take your trade packs and shove 3 it. And the golden parrot, well, I had 4 5 an idea, why don't you write in Mrs. Cain if you are a Republican. That's what I would 6 7 do with mine. And, also, Newt Gingrich, you know, God forgave him for his marital 8 9 indiscretions, but I wonder when his wife 10 have to say about that. Bawk, bawk, Newt. 11 Have a good night. 12 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn. 13 Is there anyone else who would like to 14 address council? MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. 15 MR. JOYCE: Chrissy. 16 17 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie. 18 Jack, Engine No. 7, Jack, let's keep it 19 open, will you? And help them keep their 20 jobs. Thank you. 21 MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chrissy. 22 Hello, Council. MR. ELLMAN: 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 24 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 25 MR. ELLMAN: I guess as most of you

know I'm a man of few words, I won't be here too long. I certainly like to see all of these fresh young faces out here. They are the future of this city and I think we need to quit worrying about the past and do something about the future, and I'd like to tell council and everybody how well off we are to have Attorney Hughes representing the -- I guess us and the council. His expertise in law just I think it's worth every penny he gets paid. I know last week they were talking about his salary, but whatever it is you are doing a good job.

MR. HUGHES: Well, thank you. I appreciate it.

MR. ELLMAN: I don't say too much good about anybody, you know that. I had a fellow that asked me again when in the world is somebody going to do something about that old high school that Goodwill is just sitting on there? You know, forget the 2 1/2 million dollars in state money let them worry about that, that building should be put up or back on the market and somebody do something with it. They beat out of ten

. .

years. It's time something was done with it. I have to agree with the gentleman.

I bet you all wish you had some of that KOZ money that we have lost now, don't you? Just think of the millions of dollars down the drain for nothing. There isn't -- nobody can just talk about anything that came out of KOZ except some business, you know, people have some businesses.

Avenue and look at that 150 houses and all of those kids and we had to build a school for it's just heartbreaking, when I talk to 10, 12, 15 people during the week that just they told me they just don't have nothing else to do. They can't afford no more -- their taxes being doubled. I mean, I talked to one guy who sold his car and people give up the newspaper, the cable, they are sacrificing. You know, these people are being punished because they have a house. There is no other way to look at it.

Why I think of, an insurance adjuster told me, Jack, I know you probably know, he said that my insurance rates are

one of the values in there is how long it takes from the fire station to the house and --

MR. LOSCOMBE: There are several factors that come into play.

MR. ELLMAN: Now that they closed the fire station on Market it's probably, you know, two, three, four minutes more. He didn't say they were raising my rates, but he told me that's one of the -- you know, the vital statistics involved in the price.

MR. LOSCOMBE: He is correct.

MR. ELLMAN: It just hard to believe one city could be so mismanaged. It's just -- you know, I know the newspaper don't think Mr. Doherty has done bad. I got a question for you, what do you think if someone closes a business here and moves to Maryland to save tax money and has two locations down there? Somebody people told me it's good business, you know. I just call him a louse. I'm talking about Mr. Doherty. Closed his business, moved to Maryland. That's really an example.

It's like Austin Burke, if you could

drag him out of the 19th hole once in awhile at the Country Club maybe he would have that right home that's out therein Archbald there they are making it larger, building on it.

I guess I shouldn't talk about that. I'm sacrificing some things, but not the Taurus Club. That's asking too much.

You know, I asked Marie to tell me something about all of these cameras when it was in the news, you know what those 12 cameras cost the city? \$220,000. They are not being used. Nobody seems to know what to do with them. You know, if Mr. Doherty had gone out to Harbor Freight he could have bought some of those dummy \$10 a piece and he would have had all of that tax money.

And again, I want to thank

Mr. Hughes because I watched the -- the

library I borrowed the tape and I listened

to you the second time and your expertise

and all just, you know, just overwhelmed me.

I didn't know you were that good. Thank

you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Is there anyone else who would like to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address council?

MR. EVANS: How you doing? I'm

Brian Evans and I'm with Occupy Scranton and
I just wanted to take a minute to address
everybody here and address everybody in the
crowd.

Earlier you had mentioned, you know, that most of the council is on our side and that you respect our position and the fact that we take the time to be here. process is new to most of us and, you know, even those of us that heavily follow politics and heavily be involved in the local community is something that's new to all of us, but as an organization and a movement of people that care about our future and about this community, I just wanted to reach out to everybody that is here because I think everyone is in the same mindset. You know, even if you are not involved or, you know, supportive of the Occupy Movement, you are aware of what's going on in your community, you are interested in it and you are here to make a difference about it and to be heard and

that's all we are doing. We are doing the same thing.

And we will take your advice and we will bring it up at our next meeting about going to the governor and we will organize something that will be substantial and will be recognized and I think everybody here, you know, it would be in your benefit and be in your interest to come and support us or, you know, keep an eye on the internet, on the Facebook pages or on our webpage and just keep an eye on our minutes and see what's going on and see where you can get involved because we do a lot of things, especially recently, trying to affect our community and make things better where we live, not just nationally.

It's really important and this is your chance to do something about it and, you know, I just wanted to take the opportunity to please invite you, take the time, come out and speak with us and keep an eye on what's going and be part of what's going on because this is our chance to change things. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi. I am a firefighter and homeowner and taxpayer in the City of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: I have too many numerous things to say in five minutes, so I'm going to try to be brief. The current situation we are under right now, if these 29 layoffs happen, and I want to make this perfectly clear to everybody, there is going to be generally normally, depends on the day, depends on the month, there is going to be two firehouses open in the City of Scranton out of eight. There is going to be three trucks running out of ten. That is completely and totally unacceptable.

You need to understand, and I don't know how to say this, there is a reason for what's happening right now, and I think the mayor gave you a big pile of garbage when he handed it to you and he wants you to fix it and I think the pile of garbage he gave you is to the point where it's going to be

extremely hard to fix, not like last year.

Last year you put in revenue items in your budget, last year you cut some expenses, you did what you had to do, but you upheld the what is at this time a minimal amount of public safety in the police and fire departments, and it may be a little tougher job to do this year.

What I'm asking council to do is don't feel defeated. Our experience with Mayor Doherty is you take him to arbitration you win just about every time, he talks you to the local courts and you lose just about every time and you get to the higher courts and you win every time.

This little defeat everyone just had whether council had the right or not to determine how much public safety this city should have, I wouldn't feel you should have a defeatist attitude. We are going to win on appeal. The firemen had to do this because obviously council does not have the budget or the wherewithal to take the mayor to Court every day. We didn't either, but we did it anyway and we win all the time

because we are right and he is wrong.

What I'm suggesting to council is something needs to be done, something needs to be fixed until we can get the power to council to do what's right and to have proper and adequate fire protection and police protection. I think that you would put yourself in a precarious situation if the mayor got his way. I think you need to protect yourselves and do what's right and that if the mayor sabotages like he did to your budget last year then it's all on him and the people can take it's course and things -- bad things happen, the Court and whatever else, so that's all I have to say on that.

Another issue, you know, usually we don't react to what happens in the Scranton Times because you never can find the truth and they are not going to tell you why the city is in situation they are in, they are not going to tell you that the mayor blew the sewer deal and it cost \$5 million and he had to borrow money to fix that, and they are not going to tell you that he filled up

all the authorities with patronis jobs and we have to subsidize all of them, he is not going to tell you any of that. He is going to tell you the firemen are bad, the police are bad, they have to be cut even though other departments work overtime all the time and there is money for Christmas tree lights to be on 24 hours a day and things like that, so it's never been about money, it's never been about the city's broke, it's all been a fabrication. The reason why you don't have an independent audit right now is because it will prove that this is all a fabrication.

The Scranton Times what I'm hearing now is the reason why the fire department companies are closing is because guys are calling in sick. I want to make something perfectly clear right now in public on tape in front of everyone. This union has never, will never and doesn't condone sick leave abuse. We were on the record in Court cases, we are on the record in arbitrations, that if anybody calls in sick and anybody is abusing their sick time that the city has

the power to, has the management rights to and will not be defended by this union to do whatever they got to do to correct that, and I'm telling you again right now in the last ten years, because this has been said for ten years under the Doherty administration, there is sick leave abuse. I'm telling you right now to my knowledge, even though I have been the president of the union, I would be defending the person who allegedly was abusing sick time, there hasn't been one person in this department, in the fire department disciplined for sick leave abuse. Not one.

So if anyone perceives that there is sick leave abuse going on and that's what's causing companies to close it's simply not true. It's not true. And if there is anyone in the future who abuses sick leave the chief, the mayor and everyone else in this city as long as it's not done discriminatorily or as long as it's done amicably and evenly with all departments and they are not making a gigantic example out of one person and one person gets a

promotion because they made a mistake, as long as things like that don't happen this union will not defend anyone that abuses their sick time or even leave time.

So when you hear this story that's about to become -- I'm expecting a phone call tomorrow by the Scranton Times, because they are not going to tell you that we don't have enough manpower. They are not going to tell you that we need to work overtime because there is not enough manpower because the mayor already got rid of how many positions, 13 layoffs and 13 vacant positions, you are not going to hear any of that, you are going to hear that the firefighters aren't working overtime and that's why things are closed.

You are not going to hear that the mayor forced us on a 24-hour shift that it's not safe any longer to work overtime. It's not safe any longer to work overtime. And you are not going to hear that there is not enough manpower and that's why the company is closing. You are going to hear this rhetoric that somehow that sick leave is the

reason why things aren't opening, and I'm telling you again please listen to me, whichever camera is on, no one has ever been disciplined for sick leave abuse in the ten years that I know of and this union does not condone any sick leave abuse and they will not be defended if there is a sick leave abuse.

So when you hear this article come out, which I'm assuming now is not going to happen because the truth is now out, don't believe it, it's a lie, it's rhetoric.

MS. ROSKY: Good evening, council.

My name is Mary Ann Rosky, taxpayer and homeowner and I guess I'd like to start with the fire department and 29 firemen being let go, and I can't come here enough to say how, I don't know, I don't even know the word, it's almost like demented that you are letting our safety go. The mayor is just saying, that's it.

You raised the taxes of the taxpayers, and you know what, I'm glad all of these people are here tonight, I applaud them because there are new faces here, there

are new faces here, but they are not all of the taxpayers of this city. I mean, I would expect them to be lined up down the hall, down the steps and around the corner.

You know, President Obama is coming tomorrow, you know where the mayor is going to be? As close as he can get to the president and he is going to be on television tomorrow. Where was he tonight? How many invitations does this person need?

And you know what, I'm not going to-- with all due respect, but you know what, I don't feel that anybody should have a title of anything unless they earn it, so they get put in, they get their trial period, they get a first term if they don't earn it by then oh, well. Oh, well. So to me, I can't call him Mayor Doherty. In my opinion, I don't think he has earned it. There are other names he has earned, but not mayor.

Now, Mr. Loscombe, I have to commend you, last week you apologized for ten years of what's happened to this city. You are a man. You are a gentleman. You are quite

the man and you have only be been here for so long, the mayor has been here for, oh, how many years, Mayor, do you remember?

It's like he doesn't -- he has no clue as to what's going on, but has he once, first of all, he has never once appeared here in ten years or longer that I have been watching, he has never appeared here to face council, to face the camera, wherever they are, to face WBRE, WNEP and the taxpayers, okay?

So do I expect him to come -- does anybody expect him to come forward and in my opinion how many, whatever, 100 errors, 100 bad judgment calls, as an example, letting police and firemen go. Did he ever once, once stand up to council and say, "I'm sorry, I made a bad judgment call. I am sorry, I made an error. Council, I will work with you to make this city better for our people."

Not the lights at Nay Aug. You know, when he built the trike at Nay Aug there and the tree house and all of that, I think in his mind he was trying to build like one of the wonders of the world in

18

19

17

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton, Pennsylvania. Mayor, it doesn't It doesn't work. You have to look at us taxpayers who are paying. We are paying 29 percent increase and we got 29 firemen that are gone. One percent hike for every fireman that goes. Does that make -- it doesn't make sense.

Now, this is the season, of course, you get more fires in the winter and I do because I focus on the people that do not have homes and they are out in the cold and have to be put up somewhere and that hurts It's just -- my heart. Now, does he think that where are these people going to go if God forbid lives are loss, children's lives are lost, a parent, but you know what, is that what he needs to do something different? No, because he won't care about that either. He has never cared about anything in this city. That would not move him. He would still wouldn't bring back the 29 firemen that he let go.

Council, I commend you. appreciate you guys being up there because you are the people for us. We could ask for

\_\_

no better council members than you guys right there.

Now, Mr. McGoff, I just -- I'm going to get down on you, but I know you have a little pull with the mayor, and that's not a negative thing, but you couldn't get him here tonight either or did you try? And I don't even know, I mean, who was he invited by? Did all council members make a phone call to him and say, "Come to the meeting tonight?"

MR. MCGOFF: I don't think he will listen to any of us.

MS. ROSKY: Well, I applaud you,
Mr. McGoff. No, really, you spoke the
truth. You really did. You spoke the truth
and I commend you because prior to this
council -- this council here, you know, it
all of seemed like you were for the mayor no
matter what. He could give 1,001 percent
right and you would vote no matter what he
did, so I commend you for that. I really
commend your honesty on that.

MR. MCGOFF: It was easy.

MS. ROSKY: There were quite a few

things I was going to focus on and, as I said, Mr. Loscombe, you are a gentleman. I don't know -- you know, it takes a man to get up and say, "I'm sorry, I made a bad judgment call, I made an error," and I guess the man downstairs, upstairs, whatever he is at the moment next door, across the street, wherever he is I guess he is not in my opinion a man. Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Excuse me, just to answer your question, he received a written invitation right from city council.

MS. ROSKY: He did?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Each person, the fire chief, the business administrator and the mayor.

MS. ROSKY: Well, the chief is hunting so, I mean, that a priority, you know. He has got to get his buck or whatever is in now to hang on his wall.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Ancherani.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening,

Council. Nelson Ancherani, resident and
taxpayer, recording secretary of the FOP,

First Amendment Rights. Anyway, I think we

23

24

25

20 21 22

have First Amendment Rights. I really came unprepared so I just through this together. I know council will do what's right and I know you're studying the budget and that's going to be a big job, but stay strong. tempted to tell you to let the budget go as it is and when it does implode it won't be put on your shoulders. Let the blame fall where it does. Put the firemen and police back in the budget. If the administration doesn't put them back or hire them back if anybody gets hurt it won't be on you. Ιt won't be on you. But I know you will do what you have to do and come up with a budget that is fair to the residents and taxpayers. Don't be tempted not to come up with your own budget. Don't give into this administration. Stay strong. Don't give up, the taxpayers and residents really need I know I won't give up, don't you.

I was going through the budget, I really didn't go through it like I usually do, but I just went through it fast and the ones that I read off are all the inflated from two years or from last year.

Department of Public Safety Bureau of Police, \$3 million more less police.

Department of Business

Administration Bureau administration

\$2,900,000 than last year. That's 2,900,000 in 2012.

Department of Business

Administration Bureau of Info Technology

there is at least \$35,000 there, but they, I

believe, need it anyway.

Department of License, Inspections, Permits, they are up 5,000 which isn't that much. Department of licenses, Inspections and Permits, Bureau of Buildings, they are up about \$400,000.

Department of Public Works Bureau of Engineering, they are up at least \$150,000 and it seems like every one of the Public Works Bureaus they are all up. Every one of their budgets are up.

Health insurance for the police unions, it's up almost 800,000. There is less police. Health insurance, fire union is \$1.5 million, and these are approximate and budgeted 37 less firemen and up 1.5

1	million. Health insurance clerical at least
2	\$300,000. Health insurance nonunion up
3	\$540,000. For nonunion health insurance DPW
4	union, well, that's up \$100,000
5	approximately. Health insurance Single Tax
6	Office, that's up \$270,00 and he is going to
7	layoff 15 people there.
8	Unemployment insurance 900,000 more.
9	Keep laying people off it will go higher.
10	Social security 175,000.
11	Professional services, 280,000 more
12	and there is some others, but I'll finish
13	now I know there lot of and I'll let you go.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who
16	cares to address council?
17	MS. RAVINE: Can I go twice?
18	MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, not that's
19	against the Rules of council.
20	MS. RAVINE: Is it really?
21	MS. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.
23	MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have
24	any comments or motions?
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, thank you. I'll

1 wait. 2 MS. EVANS: If you would like to 3 come and speak we'll wait. 4 MR. PRINGLES: Hello, My name is 5 Michael and just a quick question, is there any process for a recall for Mayor Doherty? 6 MR. JOYCE: 7 No. 8 MS. EVANS: I think that's an issue 9 that would have to come before the state 10 government, the state legislature and 11 governor of Pennsylvania for the removal or recall of the mayor. 12 MR. ROGAN: It would need a new Home 13 14 Rule Charter, also. MS. EVANS: Yes, if we -- but the 15 16 development of a new Home Rule Charter is a 17 multi-year task. 18 MR. ROGAN: The mayor's term would 19 be over before that could happen. 20 MS. EVANS: Thank you. If I could 21 before you leave, we need your last name as well. 22 23 MS. PRINGLES: Pringles. 24 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman McGoff. 25

MR. MCGOFF: The question came up last week about a statement that I had made concerning the mercantile tax and that last year that if it were reduced it could not be raised. I brought it up at the PEL meeting on Monday and asked if I had made an erroneous statement, apparently somewhere in the translation I did get misinformation and I misspoke. What I was told is that there was legislation, and Mrs. Krake was there as well, we are not sure what year the state legislation took place --

MS. EVANS: I think it was 1992.

MR. JOYCE: '92. I found out from --

MR. MCGOFF: That that cannot be raised above the level it was at that date, and I was told that it was unclear that if can you be raised once it is lowered there was nothing in the legislation stating that, but I'm sorry if I provided misinformation, and hopefully if you have found that, you know, there is some more clarity to it than certainly I provided.

As far as the budget is concerned, just two things that I would like to ask and

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know it was contained, Mr. Rogan has also mentioned it, I would hope that we could have some type of work session prior to the presentation of the amendments, a work session of council so that we can discuss the amendments that will be presented.

And the second thing would be hopefully the amendments can be presented at the December 6 meeting so that it allows time for evaluation and discussion prior to a final vote.

And a couple of other items just very quickly, as far the light show at Nay Aug is concerned, I would encourage people to attend. It is a great attraction. my granddaughters there the night that it They enjoyed it immensely. opened. there are problems with the hours that they are being lit, we will find out why that's occurring and rectify the situation. please, you know, don't forego attending the light show because, you know, there may be some issues about them being on during the It's a great attraction and one that I think that, you know, families and the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

entire area can enjoy and it is free. All that's -- they have someone there for donations at the end of the light show, it's not required, it is, in fact, a donation.

And the other thing that I forget to mention earlier is just a little announcement, the Lackawanna Heritage Valley is sponsoring Christmas in a small town program and as part of that program the Santa train will be arriving in Scranton on Saturday, December 3, at 2:45 p.m. at the state office building parking lot. People are, you know, anyone wishing to see Santa's arrival via train, please, there is a schedule that it's going through the entire valley from Carbondale all the way to Scranton, the arrival should be, as I said, at 2:45 at the state office building in -or the state office building parking lot on Lackawanna Avenue, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I will be somewhat brief tonight. First, a few comments on the budget. I did put together some preliminary

suggestions that I turned into Councilman

Joyce, our Finance Chair, and he ran off

copies for everyone so everyone can take a

look at them.

When going through, I didn't -everyone knows I'm the most fiscally
conservative person on this board, it's not
much of a secret. I will mention that I
went through and I didn't go as far with
some of the cuts that I would like to
initially since I figured, you know, these
cuts here would be agreeable to pretty much
everyone on the board.

There were a lot of areas, you know, small amounts, but there is symbolic and they do add. Dues and subscriptions, things of that nature. You know, money basically being thrown away. A lot of money in professional services was added to the budget this year, to the mayor's budget.

There was a lot of money in the DPW for uniform allowance. Now, I don't know if that's in the contract, I don't believe that DPW employees should have the uniforms paid for by the city. It's basically a t-shirt

and a vest. Now, remember, the fire department and police department on the other hand, obviously, we shouldn't be forcing them to pay for, you know, fire retardant gear or bullet proof vests. I think for DPW employees I don't think it's too much of a burden for them to buy their own T-shirt and their vest.

There was some other budgetary projections that I wasn't quite clear on, and I'll talk to Mr. Joyce on that. I'm not an expert in that area by any means.

Also, I believe that all overtime should be eliminated for the DPW department refuse. That department has used and abused overtime worse than any other department.

We all know that many employees at the DPW are not working full days and they are getting paid for full days, and I would also like to see cuts made to the staffing at DPW.

Some few additions that I did put in where I did speak to Tax Collector

Courtright about the positions in his office and I know there was -- I believe it came

from Mr. Courtright in our mail last week -- MR. JOYCE: Yeah.

MR. ROGAN: -- about positions being restored and, you know, when talking to him it seemed to make sense what he was asking that most of the staff or all of the staff would stay on for at least the beginning of next year, because the beginning of next they'll still be collecting the tax from this year, so we still need the full staff and once he losses some of the taxes he won't be collecting I believe the wage tax.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, Burkheimer will be collecting that.

MR. ROGAN: So, obviously, he will have to lose some employees, and when I talked to the tax collector, you know, he believed that he could run efficiently by losing seven employees, which I think is a major concession, which we don't see that happening with the department heads within the city, especially the DPW.

Moving onto the DPW, my favorite topic as always, a few speakers did mention that two DPW employees were arrested for

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

solicitation of prostitution in the last Mrs. Krake, can we please send a letter to Chief Duffy requesting a copy of those police reports to be provided for all council members and also provide assurances that they will not be released to the public. If this turns outs to be true, if this was done on city time, they should absolutely be fired the second someone finds that out. There is no excuse for city employees to be soliciting prostitutes. Ι can't believe I'm sitting at a council meeting saying this, that we have a problem with city employees soliciting prostitutes while on the job. They should absolutely be fired.

And it just goes back to lack of the management at the Department of Public

Works. Mr. Brazil got his golden parachute, he is over at or is going to be working for the school district. Who the mayor will want to take his place, hopefully, whoever it is will clean up shop down there, make sure the guys are all working an eight-hour day. If the garbage routes are done in four

hours, five hours, have them go out and fill potholes, pick up garbage off the side of the road, something to make the city nicer, and stuff like this absolutely cannot continue in this city.

And I'll make a quick comment about the Bethel AME church, I know it's been back and forth at the meetings for the last three weeks. I will say that if it pans out that it is the case that federal funds were used to do these repairs for the homeless shelter and then, you know, they is a breach of contract, those funds absolutely should be returned to the city.

I hope, I know Reverend Simmons mentioned about the homeless tents down by the river, I hope we don't have to go through this again. I know Chief Duffy did a very good job with the railroad police getting that cleaned up down there and we hope that, you know, a more amicable situation could be reached for everyone, and that is all, and I look forward to talking to all my colleagues about the budget, and I agree with Mr. McGoff that, you know, it

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be good if were all able to meet before a meeting to discuss the proposed amendments, and that's all I have for now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you. I'm a little bit under the weather, so I'll try and be brief. I really wasn't going to say anything tonight, but it's no secret, you know, how I feel about the budget, especially the cutbacks in public safety. If anybody has witnessed these meetings in the past few weeks my frustration level exceeded where it usually goes. I think to eliminate the police and firefighters like they have been doing or plan on doing under the budget is criminal, and I'm the first to agree to that, and I stated if something drastic happens because of these cutbacks I'll be the first one to go to court for it. That's how strongly I feel.

There has been no consideration, no plan, it's just a dart board effort, you

know, let's see, what station will we close today. That's basically what it is. I mean, I cannot believe that we have an administrator running this city like that.

And many people spoke here, and I appreciate the knew speakers, Occupy Scranton, and the other speakers. We need all the help we can get in this city, all of the fresh ideas. I'm disappointed we didn't have more speakers here tonight especially with the way the budget is turning out.

I'm most disappointed that the three people that we invited to find out how they plan on protecting the people in this city were unavailable, the mayor, the business administrator and the fire chief, and now we do have a response from the fire chief which I found out after, but, you know, this is serious. This is everybody's lives.

And there was some comments in the paper, and I don't have them with me, but it was a slap in the face to every taxpayer in this city some of the comments that the mayor made regarding the cutbacks using, I think, Noxen and Dickson City as examples.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's an insult to those areas, too, and I'm not going to go into that.

I am frustrated over it and I assure you, you know, I get accused of being friendly to the fire department because I am a retired firefighter, but I'm also the Chairman of the Public Safety Committee, and it's in that respect that I look at things. I live in an area of Scranton that's been under protected, there is no hydrants in certain areas, it's going to be devastating, and just because a fire station closes in your neighborhood or another neighborhood doesn't mean it's not going to effect you, trust me. It's going to take a catastrophe before everyone gets shook up, and I don't want to see it come to that point.

And I'm working diligently with my colleagues here, I know Mr. Joyce here he is crunching numbers and all of that, I mean, we have been left a pretty daunting task here with this budget. He spiraled this city into the ground the last few years and we had opportunities to raise revenues in the last year. We went to Court two years

ago to cut \$700,000, and again I reiterate this, the judge ruled in favor of the administration saying that the cuts to the administrative salaries in a couple of positions would jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of the public. I don't know what is jeopardizing the health, safety and welfare of the public more than losing public safety employees to the extent that we are losing them. It just doesn't make sense, and I would hope to go before that same judge for the same ruling on this case and see how he responds to that. There is no justification for it.

You know, I worked on the fire department at a time when we had 150 men. I worked there when we had 200, and we agreed under the original part of this plan to reduce it by 50 men. That was supposed to be the salvation. Where has all of that money that we saved gone? It didn't go into raises or anything, 10 years the public safety unions didn't receive a penny, but yet they have been to blame, the blame for the way this city is right now.

This city is in deeper now than it was ten years ago and I don't how they could blame public safety when there was concessions made for the police and the fire, but there was rampant spending in other areas that they didn't give a damn about. Now it's coming down to a life and death situation for you and it appears they don't give a damn that way ear, but I'm totally disgusted with that situation.

And again, I have been accused of being friendly with them because I was a firefighter, but I do have the experience I was there. I don't know how they work on a day-to-day basis now with the morale the way it is, and the scheduling the way it is.

This schedule is actually costing the city more than the prior schedule, and it's fatiguing, more fatiguing. Like Mr. Gervasi said, you can't -- after a 24-hour shift you can't work overtime, you are already maxed out. It doesn't make sense.

But, you know, coming here it's nice, a certain amount of people watch this on TV, the majority of us agree with you

1,000 percent, the message has to be sent strongly downstairs to the first floor. If you catch the mayor or wherever, you know, that's where the message has to be brought to and, you know, maybe you can see him huddled up with Mr. Obama tomorrow, I don't know, but that might be a good place to start, but I think another good place to start is to occupy the first floor here until we get some answers, I'm totally disappointed.

But I just wanted to comment, too, because this guy sent a letter to the editor in the newspaper Sunday, and I'm only going to read the one paragraph here. It said, "Councilman Loscombe should exclude himself from voting on any budget that affects the fire department. He retired from the department and his close ties to the membership are a conflict of interest."

Ladies and gentlemen, when I was appointed here I said I would not vote on anything that personally benefited me.

Voting on -- we have nothing to do with the contracts with the police and fire. As far

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as the budget, we are protecting your public safety. That doesn't personally affect me, it affects everybody. It doesn't give me an extra penny or anything like that. It's to protect you.

If I were to use this same gentleman's logic that wrote this letter, I have been a taxpayer most of my life, our budget deals with taxes, I guess I can't vote on that either. It doesn't make sense. But I feel I have the experience and the knowledge to be the public safety chairmen and I'm going to fight for your public safety until the day I'm out of here, and if this gentleman would like to come to city council I'll meet him for coffee. call the city council office at 348-4113, I'd be happy to sit down man-to-man and discuss with him where the accountability is that he states in the letter and who should take part of the blame. I would like to show him in black and white exactly why we are in a position we are in today and how it's going to affect his life down the road.

So, you know, the gentlemen knows

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who it is, feel free to call me. You know, no argument or anything I'll be happy to buy your coffee and donut and listen to your side of the story and I'll explain mine, and anybody is welcome to do the same thing.

And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: I do. A few quick comments tonight. One, I'm really encouraged to see some of the members of Occupy Scranton here. It's really great to see, you know, well, first of all, it's probably no secret that Councilman Rogan and myself were probably two of the younger council members around in this entire area and it's very nice to see people in my age bracket being involved and getting themselves involved in the process of government. Often too many times you hear people say, well, no one pays attention to the 20 years old and the 30 year olds because they don't care as much, and it's nice to see that trend changing not only here in my home city, but also throughout

the country as well.

Second, I just had a quick request from Mrs. Krake, and that was to go back into the 1992 budget and determine what the business privilege and the mercantile privilege was back then just so we make sure that we are not above that in the 2007 operating budget.

On other matters tonight, in both public hearing and during the regular meeting the majority of comments have been directed towards the budget. I think that's pretty clear. There is no doubt that city council realizes the concerns of Scranton residents. Almost all the residents are frustrated with the proposed tax increases and public safety cuts.

As many of you know, council's hands are tied with reinstating public safety positions because of the previous court ruling where the mayor was granted the power to layoff fire personnel and police officers. I asked all members of council to provide proposed amendments that they would like to see. Councilwoman Evans and

Councilman Loscombe provided me with amendments last week. Councilman Rogan and McGoff provided me with their amendments that they would like to see tonight. I also have my own amendments that I would like to see, and I would like to thank my colleagues for doing so. I think it's important that we are all working in the budget process and that we are all involved in this decision.

Over the past two weeks I have reached out to our business administrator with questions as well as the department heads. I have copied our mayor on all of those questions. I have also reached out to our tax collector, Bill Courtright, as well as representatives from PEL, Pennsylvania Economy League.

Because we are being hit with a \$1.6 million expense from Pennstar Bank due to the fact that the Scranton Redevelopment defaulted on the loan which we are obligated to pay since we financially back authorities, and as well as another \$1.6 million deficit that's projected from the SPA and the Scranton Parking Authority in

2012, we have quite a task on our hands. This is a much more difficult budget than the last year, but be rest assured though the budget that was passed down will be amended and there will be a reduction to the tax increase. The blow of the Doherty tax increase will be softened by this council, and you can count on that. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you, and I forgot to mention this under motions initially, and Mr. Joyce when he mentioned the authorities it jogged my memory.

Earlier did you mention the \$400,000 payment to cover the SPA shortfall if the meters are leased and \$1.6 million if they are not?

MR. JOYCE: It's a \$1.6 million dollar payment if we pursue borrowing. If we sell the meters to the SPA then their projected deficit goes down to \$400,000, however --

MR. ROGAN: The city losses the revenue.

MR. JOYCE: The city losses the revenue from the meters and the ticket collections in exchange for that one-time revenue source, so really it's kind of like a lose-lose situation and it's picking out what's the best of two bad things.

MR. ROGAN: What I wanted to mention about the Parking Authority is, you know, I firmly believe that the Parking Authority is going to default no matter what we do and selling the meters, I know that I don't think there is one person on this board that supports that or leasing or giving them away, that's obviously not a solution.

Unfortunately, to me it seems like
the best solution to deal with the Parking
Authority is just letting them default and
picking up the pieces within the city. Let
them default, sell off their assets, sell
the parking garages, and whatever debt is
left we are going to have to assume the debt
at that point, we can bring the meters back
in-house, where meter collections and
everything can be run through the city
through council and the mayor not through an

authority of people that are appointed by
the mayor that aren't responsible to the
voters as we are as elected officials, and
that's all I wanted to mention.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I agree with your statements, if I may just have another minute before Mrs. Evans speaks, I do agree with your statements about the authority. I do believe that they should at least put out an RFP to see what others are willing to pay for parking garages.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. JOYCE: And another thing about the default, as far as their inability to pay debt service, debt service loan payments, I think they should take a good long look into the insurance that they have on bonds that they have because, you know, though we are obligated to pay it in the budget I did speak to our solicitor, and perhaps if you want to make a quick comment on this, and there may be a possibility that they may have insurance that would pick up that cost rather than it being something that the city would have to pick up, and

correct me if I'm wrong, Solicitor Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: If I could, I believe it was October 19 after council received a letter from Mr. Scopelliti saying that they demanded that council place in the budget \$1.6 million for the Parking Authority to pay it's loan obligations in the Year 2012, I wrote, it was a two-half page letter to Mr. Scopelliti making various requests from him, I didn't say request, I demanded information be furnished to me for the benefit of council on the bond issues, on various demands as to what the balances are in various surplus accounts and loan accounts.

Also, as to whether notice had been given to the insurance company that provides the insurance for any default on the loans which means that in the event the Parking Authority is going to default on the payment of any loan obligation they will have to notify -- there is two different insurance companies on the three outstanding bonds, would have to notify them, those insurance companies would make the payments so the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

loans would not be in default.

I demanded information on all of that. It's almost five, it's going on six weeks now, there has been no response other than the fact that they want \$1.6 million included in this budget for an alleged default that may never occur, and I would think that the authority if it is so mismanaged, as I stated last week, I parked -- I had a trial in Wilkes-Barre, I parked in Wilkes-Barre parking authority garage, I was in there for three hours, the rate was \$3. The rate in this garage over here I believe is \$2.65 to \$3 for the first hour, it's over \$5 for two hours. I parked in Wilkes-Barre for three hours for \$3 in the parking authority garage. There is something drastically wrong.

I believe that in my opinion and to backup what Mr. Rogan said, this information should have been furnished long before the budget was put together by the mayor and submitted to council and council should have had this information from the Parking Authority. I do not believe council has to

go through a Right-to-Know with the authority. They have certainly ignored the letter and to provide any financial information and documentation regarding any of the reserve accounts, regarding any notices to the bonding company, to the insurance companies for any default, and until that information is received by council I don't see how council could include \$1.6 million in the budget for the Parking Authority and just have the Parking Authority say, "Give us the money."

It's goes to fall into a deep hole, a deep black hole in space, and I certainly believe with what Mr. Rogan said, if they are in that financial obligation let them file bankruptcy and let it work out that way. That's my opinion.

MS. EVANS: The Parking Authority submitted it's budget to us, and as you are well aware because you are the gentleman who discovered the information, that budget has to be approved by city council, and so I am asking you tonight to consider the situation and advise council as to whether or not we

proceed by placing legislation for their budget on our agenda, I know that I would not approve their budget because it is so poorly fashioned. It's about -- it's a cursory review that might be two pages in length as compared to quite a hefty city document, and I've really never witnessed such a lack of transparency in any entity as I have with the Scranton Parking Authority.

So if you could please determine whether we should put it on or whether we should hold it until the Parking Authority provides us with the financial documents we requested.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, in that letter, I believe it was October 19, I wish I had a copy, I had a copy of it last week, I had the file with me last week, I don't have the file tonight. There was a whole paragraph to Mr. Scopelliti demanding that the budget that is to be submitted to council pursuant to the loan documents for council's approval be put in the format of a line item budget and that it be also have a comparison between the 2011 budget and the

proposed 2012 budget. What was submitted to council was very salient and it's really not a budget that I think that council could approve or should approve, and until the Parking Authority complies with -- I mean, it's easy for them to request and say, "Hey, give us \$1.6 million," the city doesn't have the money. In the event the Parking Authority defaults there is insurance to pay it to make sure that the bondholders are paid. Then what happens is that insurance company steps into the position of those bondholders and they get paid then back their interest from the first funds and the principal that they paid.

And in the event that the bonding company can come against the city, that would be a 2013 problem. That's a budget year from now, not this budget, so they are going to have to wait. And I certainly think that for anyone to come -- for an authority to come and say, "You must include us in here for \$1.6 million," without any backup, without a budget that you can look at and say line item by line item, "Hey,

\_

there is ten employees that should be axed or here is where you should cut expenses or here is what you should do," you know, shouldn't be allowed, and I certainly think that it's reprehensible that the Parking Authority can ignore a letter from me on behalf of council to furnish us with this information so you can make a knowledgeable decision. To me it's malfeasance, misfeasance and nonfeasance of the entire board.

And I'll bring a letter next week, but I don't believe, I mean, that they have responded to anything. Even if they sent me back a blank piece of paper and said, "Here Boyd, that's what it is," at least it would have been a response, but we have nothing.

MS. EVANS: I'm not sure if you recall, but if we go back in time to maybe the Year 2000 when the Scranton Sewer Authority was privatized. It was my understanding that that deal was worked out by city council.

MR. HUGHES: I have no idea.

MS. EVANS: Well, that is my

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understanding that it was, that the city was in a very dire financial situation at the time which is why, you know, there were those upfront payments made by American Anglican to the city and to the Borough of Dunmore, but the only point of that discussion is so that we might be able to determine what steps council took at that time because if, in fact, council issued the RFP's Council negotiated then with American Anglican and brought them in then it would seem to me that the same procedure can apply in this case in that Scranton City Council then should be able to get appraisals of the parking garage, issue RFP's for the sale or lease of the garages, etcetera.

Now, I understand that the ultimate, the ultimate agreement has to be approved by the mayor and that, in fact, Mayor Connors provided his agreement to the privatization of the Scranton Sewer Authority, but I think if we wait for this administration to get the ball rolling on that process, particularly in light of the fact that the Parking Authority has demonstrated -- has a

record of demonstrating no transparency to other government bodies and to the taxpayers of Scranton, you know.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with that.

MS. EVANS: It might behoove city council to have take those actions if, in fact, it has the ability to do so, and I believe if that has been done in the past, if that's what occurred in 2000, I see no reason why it can't occur in 2011 or 2012.

MR. ROGAN: I agree completely. We could also get the ball rolling of privatizing the Sewer Authority and the DPW as well.

MS. EVANS: Yes. Yes. The Sewer
Authority never should have been turned back
over to the city, but that's another
discussion for another time and place.

Good evening. First, on behalf of Scranton City Council I wish to thank 101 Pittston Avenue Realty Corporation for it's donation of \$250 to the City of Scranton. This city business not only pays taxes, but also took the lead to support our struggling city. It's certainly behooves our large

Scranton nonprofits to step up to the plate and pay their fair share to help their host city survive and to assist their neighbors, the Scranton taxpayers, who cannot and should not shoulder the financial burdens alone.

Next, the 2010 independent audit of the city remains incomplete and the city's ability to secure tax anticipation notes and borrowing remains in jeopardize.

Further, the absence of this audit makes the production of a proposed city budget and subsequent amendments increasingly more difficult. As were are all aware, the 2009 audit did not arrive until 2011, more than a month after the adoption of the 2011 budget. As a result, city council was never aware of the large hole in the mayor's budget caused by the use of this year's TAN to pay last year's TAN or the raiding of the Workers' Comp Excess Fund until it was able to review the audit.

Council may once again be forced to amend and adopt the mayor's proposed budget without the benefit of reviewing the most

recent audit.

Since 2002, the city audit has never been submitted to city council by the required deadline set forth in the Home Rule Charter, yet, the annual budgets have always been submitted and adopted by their deadline. This practice must stop in order that accurate budgets can be developed and legally adopted. The audit is an integral document which is necessary to borrowing, to securing tax anticipation notes, and to producing annual budgets. The Doherty administration must abide by the language of the charter and insist that city authorities also comply in the new year.

In addition, a meeting among local banking institutions, the mayor, the business administrator, city council members, Council Solicitor Hughes, and the city clerk to discuss city finances and the 2012 tax anticipation note will be held in December.

Included in tonight's agenda for introduction is an amendment to File of Council No. 74, 1993, the city's zoning

ordinance to add a mixed use, adaptive reuse category. This new category would allow a mix of any of the uses that are permitted in the IL or light industrial zone, plus the use proposed as permitted for mixed use adaptive reuse. For example, conversion of an existing nonresidential building into apartment dwelling units. Commercial, retail stores, indoor theatre, dormitories and public and private schools, among others.

Further, it will amend the table of lot and setback requirements by district and off-street parking requirements. Added to the existing table of the off-street parking requirements will being a 1.5 off-street parking spaces if the development contains less than 100 apartments and 1.25 off-street parking spaces if the development contains 100 or more apartments. Also, one off-street parking space per 400 square feet of much habitable floor area would be permitted for institutional, commercial and public and semi-public uses.

This zoning change was approved by

the Scranton Planning Commission on November 16, 2011, and was submitted to the Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission on November 17, 2011, for it's approval. In order to better inform the public regarding this proposed zoning change, I would like to hold a public caucus with city planner Don King and representatives of the Scranton Lace Project.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, please send a letter to these parties on behalf of Scranton City Council asking them to attend a public caucus on December 6 at 6:00 p.m. in city council chambers. Thereafter, a public hearing on the proposed zoning amendment will be held conducted in December.

Next, the information requested by city council during it's last council meeting was submitted by business administrator McGowan the following day.

Council members should have had a copy, if you don't, we will make sure you do, of the proposed uses of the 2011 borrowing to

address 2011 unfunded debt. And,

Mrs. Krake, if you could make sure everyone has a copy of that report that was supplied by Mr. McGowan.

Overall, 2.6 million is needed for payroll through yearend, and 6.95 million is owed on the 2011 TAN Series B for a total of 9.55 million.

In addition the city owes approximately 1.6 million to date in unpaid bills for 2011 and that number, of course, will increase as the bills arrive for late November and December.

As of October 31, 2011, the city had 5 million in reconciled funds, plus all tax revenues will be submitted by the Single Tax Office for the months of November and December 2011.

Advertisements must be placed in the newspaper under the direction of City
Solicitor Paul Kelly in order to properly adhere to the required process. Council's office is awaiting Attorney Kelly's direction. Therefore, council will not suspend it's rules to place the legislation

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into Seventh Order tonight.

Also, with the agreement of my colleagues, Scranton City Council, Mr. Joyce, if you would listen to this, Scranton City Council wishes to direct Solicitor Hughes to send letters and copies of the appeal regarding our council office employees to city solicitor Paul Kelly and Human Resource's director Gina McAndrew notifying the city administration of the stay of action resulting from the filing.

> MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Do I have your

agreement?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And finally, just a few citizens' requests for the week. The 2300 block of Watress Avenue located after James Avenue before Luke is in need of paving as soon as possible. It hasn't been paved since 1975 and taxi cabs are reticent to pick up customers with medical conditions that require weekly treatments because the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

road is so deteriorated.

To the DPW director, a number of issues: We would like to seeing the Christmas light display turned off by 10 p.m. nightly. Is the city foregoing downtown Christmas decorations in 2011. also most importantly, have police cars been repaired, and with regard to the police cars, Mrs. Krake, I would like to the letters to go both to the DPW director and to Chief Duffy. I understand that it's the intention of the city to buy a new van, I think it might also be known as in colloquial terms as the city's paddy wagon. Certainly we need that equipment, but we are looking I think for a \$1,000 down payment this year so that the purchase can be made in January.

My concern is meanwhile that I am receiving e-mails from members of the police department that vehicles are not on the road. They are desperately in need of repair. They were sitting for quite a while down at the DPW garages, and I feel it is most important that before a purchase of any

new vehicle occurs, meaning this van that
the chief has in mind, the fleet we have in
our possession right now needs to be
repaired immediately, and those cars need to
go back out on the street with those patrol
officers.

Also, we have some questions tonight posed by audience members and this would probably go to LIPS, was the fire chief fined for doing work on his home without a permit, although, I understand he did obtain a permit after his property was visited by an inspector.

In addition, were permits taken out by, I think the audience members mentioned the Rendas, for home improvement and, you know, if so, what the date of that was because, in fact, if we have another situation of after the fact I think what the people are saying to council tonight is right on target. If it were you, any one of you in the audience, if it were me,

Mr. Joyce, we would be fined, we would be in the newspaper, but because of certain people arrangements are made, and I feel very

strongly as a council person what's good for one person is good for all the people.

There should not be special treatment for selected individuals. The law either applies to all of us or none of us and the it needs to be applied uniformly and fairly, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993 (AS AMENDED), ENTITLED
THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON, BY AMENDING SECTION 306 TABLE OF
PERMITTED USES BY DISTRICT; SECTION 307 B.4.
TABLE OF LOT AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS BY
DISTRICT; 601.A.5. MIXED-USED ADAPTIVE
REUSE; TABLE 6.1 OFFSTREET PARKING
REQUIREMENTS; SECTION 602.E. LOCATION OF
PARKING.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 1 MR. ROGAN: 2 Aye. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: 4 Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 7 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 8 9 2011 - AN ORDINANCE- APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE 10 PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF 11 12 JANUARY, 2012 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2012 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY 13 14 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2012. MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 15 16 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure. 17 MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-A 18 pass reading by title. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 believe that council will entertain the 22 budget and it's amendments in Seventh Order 23 at next week's meeting. Therefore, 24 Mrs. Krake, I think council might look 25 towards scheduling a special meeting perhaps

1 on Monday or maybe even Saturday so that it can present it's amendments publically so 2 3 that the final vote can be taken on Tuesday evening. Is there anyone else on the 4 5 question? MR. ROGAN: I would just add, that 6 7 would be great if we could, you know, Saturday -- Monday or Saturday works for me, 8 9 but just for the public I think a Saturday 10 because working people will be able to come. 11 MR. JOYCE: I was thinking the same 12 thing, too. 13 MS. EVANS: And then we, of course, 14 will have to advertise that meeting this week, and it will be a special meeting of 15 16 council just to present the council 17 amendments to the mayor's proposed budgets. 18 Anyone else? All those in favor. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 21 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 22 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 23 MR. ROGAN: No. 24 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 25 moved.

1	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
2	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 57, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE-
3	SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
4	COMMONLY KNOWN AS NORTH CAMERON AVENUE, TAX
5	MAP NO. 14408-060-040, SCRANTON,
6	PENNSYLVANIA, TO ROBERT J. GAHWILER AND
7	ELOISE A. GAHWILER, HIS WIFE, 526 NORTH
8	CAMERON AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
9	18504, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$5,000.00.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
20	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
21	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
24	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 58, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE-
25	PROVIDING FOR THE PROPER OFFICIALS OF THE

CITY OF SCRANTON TO PETITION THE COURT OF 1 2 COMMON PLEAS OF LACKAWANNA COUNTY, 3 PENNSYLVANIA, FOR PERMISSION TO FUND UNFUNDED DEBT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED SIX 4 MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND 5 (\$6,700,000.00) DOLLARS; AUTHORIZING 6 INCIDENTAL ACTION; AND REPEALING 7 8 INCONSISTENT RESOLUTIONS. 9 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 10 11 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 12 pass reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 13 14 MS. EVANS: On the question? MR. MCGOFF: Yes. You said that we 15 16 received a list from business administrator 17 of the items that would be paid, is it 18 possible to add that as an addendum to the 19 ordinance so that it's on record as to what 20 it would -- what would be paid by the 21 borrowing? 22 MS. EVANS: I don't know if we want 23 to use that exact document, I think we would 24 need to write something else up, but I'm 25 certain that council can amend it to include

that list if it's okay.

MR. MCGOFF: I just think that it's

-- if we are asking for how it's going to be
or what's going to be paid through this
funding that it be placed in the record
somehow.

MS. EVANS: Well, if so --

MR. MCGOFF: So that can be -- so that there is a record of how that money will be spent.

MS. EVANS: Right. But we did amend it I believe last year last week to -- or rather our solicitor included within the legislation because he had to rewrite the legislation, it was presented, remember, as a resolution when it should have been an ordinance and so he rewrote it and included I think paragraph or section two, which states that the moneys, the proceeds from this borrowing, can only be used toward the TAN and 2011 operating expenses and that any other use or any off those funds would require council approval.

MR. MCGOFF: Understood. I still think it would be worthwhile to include

somehow the specific purposes since we asked for them.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum. All those in favor?

MR. HUGHES: I would just comment that I haven't seen the list, but I would make sure that all of the items in this list comply with Article II of the ordinance so that the only bills that are being paid is what is in this year's budget because of the shortfall and that there is nothing else in there that would be outside of the budget, so by having that amendment in there that would authorize it to pay those bills. If they are not in this year's deficit.

MR. JOYCE: Right.

MS. EVANS: There are, however, I think there are a amount, and Mrs. Krake can attest to this, might be a foot high, meaning a stack this high of unpaid bills that have been totaled at \$1.6 million. Now that's besides the TAN and the payroll.

MR. HUGHES: Well, that wouldn't be attached as an exhibit, but I certainly think that that could be referenced. I

could look at that and could probably draft something that would be included, even if we number them by page, I think we requested that they furnish the name of the vendor or who is going to be paid and what the amount is going to be, so that I certainly think that if it's a document of bills that are 120 pages and there is a cover letter with it, it would be those bills that would received on that date and pages one through 100, you know, and the backup documentation would have be attached and the documentation would be incorporated into the amendment.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess that's what I was asking, the attachment would be --

MS. EVANS: What I'm saying is we can pass this ordinance, but what assurances do we have that that is, in fact, occurring because as we all know we have passed other pieces of legislation throughout 2010 and 2011 that were completely ignored by the administration or usurped by the administration.

So I guess my next question to you would be in the event that occurs after all

of these precautionary measures have been taken, if necessary council can go to court over this then?

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

MS. EVANS: That's all I need to know. Thank you. Okay.

MR. JOYCE: Just to clarify before we vote on it, I think many, if not all of those expenses, are carried over into the 2012 budget, expenditures such the SRA loan, and I believe there is a three point "X" amount or -- you know, three or \$3.4 million in prior year bills as well as some other expenses.

MS. EVANS: I don't think that's been considered in the 2011 or the figure would have reflected that \$1.5 or \$1.6 that's owed to the Pennstar Bank.

MR. HUGHES: I think as council is aware, the repayment to Pennstar is not in this year's budget.

MS. EVANS: Correct.

MR. HUGHES: We have no knowledge of that until I was contacted by Attorney Winfield from Rhoades and Sinon in

Harrisburg back in June or July about the 1 default of that loan, which we knew nothing 2 3 about, and it was discovered that was -even though that loan was maturing December 4 5 15 that it was not put in this year's budget so that would -- that could not be paid out 6 7 of the unfunded borrowing. 8 MS. EVANS: Right, because it was 9 not part of --10 MR. HUGHES: The way it was done it 11 was only for items that were budgeted, so 12 that that could not be paid. They can't 13 double dip and say, okay, here we are going 14 to pay that off this year in next year's budget. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Right. Anyone else on 17 the question? All those in favor signify by 18 saying aye. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 24 have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE:

SEVENTH ORDER.

7-A. FOR

25

	10
1	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 53, 2011-
3	ACCEPTING A ONE HUNDRED FIFTY (\$150.00)
4	DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION FROM THE NEPA MINERS
5	FOOTBALL ORGANIZATION PRESENTED TO THE CITY
6	OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Finance?
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-A.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question?
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes. I'd just like to
16	thank the NEPA Miners for their contribution
17	to the City of Scranton, that's greatly
18	appreciated.
19	MS. EVANS: The Fire Department of
20	the City of Scranton.
21	MR. JOYCE: Oh, sorry, Fire
22	Department of the City of Scranton, sorry.
23	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
24	question? Roll call, please.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

	13
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
11	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
12	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
13	RESOLUTION NO. 54, 2011- APPOINTMENT OF
14	GINA E. MCANDREW, ESQUIRE, 1144 AMHERST
15	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS
16	HUMAN RESOURCE DIRECTOR EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER
17	1, 2011. MRS. MCANDREW WILL BE REPLACING
18	LISA MORAN, WHO RESIGNED.
19	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
20	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-B.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question?
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. EVANS: Did we receive the

1	resume?
2	MR. ROGAN: No, I don't believe we
3	did.
4	MS. EVANS: I don't believe we did.
5	Mrs. Krake, did we receive a resume from Ms.
6	McAndrew?
7	MS. KRAKE: No.
8	MS. EVANS: No, we did not.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Well, I think you are
10	looking at this is not a new appointment,
11	Attorney McAndrew has already been working
12	as an employee of this city and is merely
13	being moved to another position. It's not a
14	new appointment, that would be as relevant.
15	MR. ROGAN: I would still say as
16	matter of courtesy to us who have to vote on
17	it we should have been provided it.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: She did receive a
19	letter request from us, correct?
20	MS. EVANS: Yes.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Krake, did she
22	receive a letter from us?
23	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please?
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

		136
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
2	MR. ROGAN: No.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.	
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.	
6	MR. JOYCE: No.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.	
8	MS. EVANS: No. I hereby declare	
9	that Item 7-B is not legally and lawfully	
10	adopted. If there is no further business,	
11	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
12	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
13	MS. EVANS: This meeting is	
14	adjourned.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## 

## 

## CERTIFICATE.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER