	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	HELD:
4	
5	Tuesday, November 15, 2011
6	
7	
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	AMELIA NICOL DDD - OEEICIAL COUDT DEDODTED
24	AMELIA NICOL, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

		2
1		
2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:	
3		
4	JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT	
5		
6	PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT	
7	ROBERT MCGOFF	
8		
9	FRANK JOYCE	
10	JOHN LOSCOMBE	
11		
12	NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK	
13	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
14	DOVE HIGHES COLLECTION	
15	BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR	
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS, CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER, 3A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL HEARING
17	RESULTS FROM OCTOBER 19, 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
21	REPORT, APPEAL RESULTS FROM
22	OCTOBER 26, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any
24	comments? If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3C. AUDIT STATUS FROM

1	ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF
2	NOVEMBER 8, 2011.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any
4	comments? If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3D. AGENDA FOR THE
6	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
7	TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 16, 2011.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any
9	comments? If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3E. MINUTES OF THE
11	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING
12	HELD OCTOBER 26, 2011.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any
14	comments? If not, received and filed.
15	Do we have any clerk's notes this
16	evening?
17	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
18	MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs.
19	Krake. Do any council members have
20	announcements at this time?
21	(No response.)
22	MS. EVANS: The Fraternal Order
23	of Eagles located at 493 Meridian
24	Avenue in Scranton will hold a Fall
25	and Christmas Craft Fair this

Saturday, November 19th from 12 to 5

p.m. Crafts, foods and bake goods

will be available for purchase and

proceeds benefit autism research.

Admissions is free.

An area of mail processing study was conducted to evaluate the mail processing operations from the Scranton Processing and Distribution Facility to the Lehigh Valley Processing and Distribution Facility. The initial results of the studies support the business case for consolidation but it is subject to change. A public meeting will be held to share results of the study and allow members of the community to ask questions and offer feedback. The public meeting will be conducted next Tuesday, November 22nd, 2011, at 6:30 p.m. at Scranton High School, 63 Mike Munchak Way in Scranton. If you are concerned by the loss of jobs at our Stafford Avenue Processing and Distribution Center and by possible

24

25

1 delays in mail service and delivery 2 caused by the rerouting of Scranton 3 services to Lehigh Valley, please, 4 please attend this very important 5 public meeting. 6 And finally I wish to congratulate Councilman Jack Loscombe 7 8 and Bob McGoff for their victories in 9 the November 8th general election. 10 In addition, Scranton City 11 Council extends its congratulations 12 and best wishes to all city and county 13 candidates who were elected by the 14 voters to serve your best interest. 15 And that's it. 16 MS. KRAKE: Fourth Order, citizens participation. 17 18 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker 19 tonight is Kevin Gallagher. 20 MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you, 21 council, for letting me address you. 22 My name is Kevin Gallagher. I represent the American Postal Workers 23 24 Union for Scranton and also for all of 25 Northeastern Pennsylvania. You read a

25

letter from the postal service that they want to move these mail processing facility from Stafford Avenue to Lehigh Valley. I wish to speak on that and then make a request of council. The case that they are raising is built on a house of cards. The reality of the situation is that there will be serious economic service and cost impacts for everybody in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Scranton mail processing facility processes over 1.6 million pieces of mail per day, every 24 hours and they have virtually no place whatsoever with less than three percent overtime. Lehigh Valley plant where they want to move the Scranton facility to cannot accept all of the mail processing machinery from the Scranton plant. Therefore, they're looking at possibly Lehigh Valley, Lancaster, splitting Scranton's mail. That's going to be a logistical nightmare and cause severe The Lehigh Valley service impacts.

24

25

plant processes about 2.5 million pieces of mail a day. That's one-third more than Scranton. Thev run eight percent delays on a daily That's more than 200,000 average. first class and standard, letters and flats a day are delayed. And they're running 12 and a half percent over time. Adding another 66 percent volume to what they currently have with exponentially increase delays and will wreck service for Northeastern Pennsylvania. The cost involved in it is if the plant leaves, you're going to have citizens in Scranton and all through Northeastern Pennsylvania who depend on checks in the mail, their services are going to be delayed, they're going to wait longer for their checks, longer for their medicine in the mail. Businesses are going to have an impact and the accounts receivables and also in paying bills and everybody is going to have to pay their bills earlier because of delayed

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

service is left, lower and lower service is going to happen.

A study was done by Springfield, Illinois. In that situation the postal service wanted to move a plant with 300 jobs from Springfield to St. Louis. They commissioned the university to do a study. The university did it and found that the total economic impact for Springfield, Illinois, of 300 postal jobs would be over \$42 million dollars. In our case they're talking more than 300 jobs. So it would be severe impact. One of the things -- one of the aspects of the study is that it would result in a loss of over 1.7 million dollars in state and local taxes and over \$100,000 in local sales taxes additionally and the wage taxes aren't even included in what would be hidden. What I would ask is at the meeting next week, anybody who is interested, I ask them to go, to question. postal service is claiming it will

22

23

24

25

save five million dollars a year; they're claiming three million dollars in employee savings. That's a fallacy. It's not going to happen. They're claiming one million dollars roughly in transportation savings. They're going to double the logistics in transportation costs. They're not going to decrease it. I am a transportation clerk at the Scranton Post Office, I handle the extra service runs and so on. They will get swamped with it. The service will be bad, the cost will be go up, customers who count on the bulk business mail entry unit to get postage discounts for presorting their mail will lose those discounts. So what I'm asking is would council consider having one of your members go to the public meeting, address the postal service. I will provide you with all the facts and documentation and the data that I get it from and that is my request if council would consider that.

1 MS. EVANS: Yes, we will 2 certainly do that. 3 MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. I have 4 information on this. I don't know how 5 to present it. Could I give it to 6 Clerk Nancy? 7 MS. EVANS: Yo can give it Miss 8 Carrera and she will give it to us. 9 MR. GALLAGHER: All right. 10 you very much. 11 MS. EVANS: Thank you. The 12 Reverend Kathryn Simmons. 13 MS. SIMMONS: Good evening, 14 My name is Reverend council members. Kathryn Simmons from Scranton. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 17 MS. SIMMONS: I come to you this 18 evening with a heavy heart. I had 19 hoped that what I'm about to say to 20 you could be mediated and settled 21 outside of this -- these chambers but 22 apparently that's not going to happen. 23 So let begin by saying to you that I 24 know that you are well aware that a 25 homeless shelter has been operating at

21

22

23

24

25

Bethel AME Church for the last 19 years. Council was good enough several years ago to okay a CDBG grant to us, the board members of the homeless shelter to redo the downstairs of the shelter with the agreement that the shelter would stay open for a ten-year period. That has not been done. They've closed us down. The reasoning behind it they said because the shelter was overly white populated and that was disrespectful to a black-based church. So what we're asking you to do tonight is to help the board members get back the \$171,000 that was given to us by the CDBG grant. If we can get back through a certified check which we have requested from the bishop, Bishop Henney in Cincinnati, Ohio, then a lien be placed against the church property until the money is returned. The total downstairs was revamped with this money given to us. And for them to just close us down, not because

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're underpopulated, not because we don't give to the city, the services that are needed, but we because we were overly white populated I think is a disgrace to the AME Church and for us to just sit back and let the church progress on the money given to them from homeless people, we're not going to stand for it. We have also submitted letters to the following people, to the State Attorney General's Office to begin an investigation of money received this past year, donations and such from businesses throughout the Scranton None of this money has been area. recorded and/or accounted for. board of directors was told, no, we would have no access to any accounting whatsoever. We also have sent a letter to the IRS asking them to do the same thing. We've also sent a letter to the Lackawanna County District Attorney's Office requesting that Mr. Jarbola begin an

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation, that the possibility of an employee of OECD removed files and destroyed them and that's why we can't find the files from the OECD Office that we need. We need your support in doing all of these things. No one, no one should be able to come in, get money from the city and just walk away with a smile. Our understanding is, some of the gossip that we received that the church is going to be a purchased sometime soon from the medical school. If that's done, we want to make sure that this lien is against the property so this money is paid back. So that's what we're here for tonight. We're here to ask for your support in doing this and anything else that you can do to help us will be -- we are out looking for property right now. When I do come back next week, I hope that I have a property to tell you that the homeless shelter will move on. The most important issue right now is that our

1 homeless are in the streets sleeping 2 in empty buildings, in camps. We need 3 to get them off the street. And I 4 thank you for your time this evening. 5 MS. EVANS: Reverend Simmons --6 MS. SIMMONS: Yes. 7 MS. EVANS: Just a quick 8 question. 9 MS. SIMMONS: Sure. 10 MS. EVANS: Have you discussed 11 this situation with the mayor? MS. SIMMONS: Yes. And we had a 12 13 private meeting between the board, the 14 mayor and OECD. We were waiting to 15 hear from the bishop but we have not 16 heard from him. We faxed him a letter 17 three days ago and told him that we 18 were coming here tonight and he had 19 until noon today to give us some kind 20 of an answer about what they were 21 going to do. We received nothing. 22 From the bishop? MS. EVANS: 23 MS. SIMMONS: From the bishop. 24 We've tried over this past year to get 25 in touch with the church. The church

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

locked the door and gave no access to the board whatsoever. When we as the board of directors went in August to meet with the presiding elder who was at the church, they called the police.

MS. EVANS: And what has been though with the direction or the advice given you by Miss Aebli or/and the mayor?

MS. SIMMONS: Well, they're just what I'm telling you tonight. That a lien be placed against the property. But we also would like know the board of directors, we've been out here so long on our own trying to fight this, that we need to know that we have support of city council, not just the mayor and Linda Aebli but you also because you were the people -- maybe not you seated there exactly but it was city council who was kind enough to give us the CDBG grant so that we could pull the shelter up to code and just don't think that it's fair that this money now be just lost.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Well, I believe it would be the city's legal department that would file the lien.

MS. SIMMONS: Yes, it is, yes.

MS. EVANS: And the city solicitor I would think with the direction or agreement of the mayor to do so can proceed with that.

MS. SIMMONS: Yes. But we were also told that it would be good for us as the board of directors to come to council and just get your support, know what we're doing is legitimate and legal. You know, we're not picking on a turf because, you know, I'm a member of Bethel for 43 years so this is a very difficult time for me but I want to do what is right. You know, this money was given in good faith that the shelter would remain open and they shut us down for that purpose. If someone had come to me and said, you know, you don't have enough people coming in, you know, I mean, I can understand that. But to

come to me and say that we have too many white people in a shelter and, you know, it's detriment to the black church, you know, no, no. We're going to move forward and that money will be returned to OECD whether we have to do it though the court system or whether they'll do it by themselves because, you know, I don't think they thought we would go this far. So I thank you --

MR. ROGAN: Reverend Simmons, before you leave, I also have two questions. Who made the decision at the church?

MS. SIMMONS: To close it down?

MR. ROGAN: To close it down.

MS. SIMMONS: Reverend Dr.

Darlene Jenkins. She was appointed there last October -- or last September, I'm sorry. Last September she came to Scranton, her and I had a meeting and she told me outright at the meeting that she was sent here for two purposes, one was to get rid of

24

25

the white minister. That has nothing to do with you. That's church business. And she closed down the shelter because the shelter was over populated with white homeless persons and this was not good for a church that is historically black-based. So, you know, sorry to say, you know, I have to be of my church for the first time but we'll answer to that. just want to know that we have your support in what we're trying to do and also let you know what you're going to be reading soon about in various investigations. So I thank you for your time.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, Fellow
Scrantonians. I glanced over our dear budget put out by the mayor. I didn't study it in detail but I did study certain aspects of it. And to tell you the truth, I got more laughs out

24

25

of that budget than I did out of the comic strip because it's a joke. have to borrow 30 million dollars. That's what we're going to stuck with next year. All the reports said 30 million. Some of them figures in that budget has been inflated so high up, it should be on the sky instead on your desk. It just don't make sense. But we've been saying this for a lot of years. I'm sorry that people don't pay more attention, but I think they're going to have to pay attention The banks already said they want to see the audit. Well, to tell you the truth, the people of Scranton want to see the audit, too. We're all interested in the audit but it's not forthcoming. The banks have refused to bid on the TANS. We know that, too. Are we going to get some bank to give us 30 million is beyond me, too. And now what interest rates would be. You know, we're lower than junk bond They can scrape us off I get for now.

25

garbage bonds. I guess that's the best way to put it. No longer junk, garbage. It should be thrown in the trash heap. And that's what they're looking at. That's what our bond rating is and will continue to degrade and there's nothing you can do about it because as it is now I think we have sunk below the level of being able to stand above it. We sunk that low. Our authorities are bankrupt. We all know that. We've been saying this for a long time and there's nothing you can do about that. They're all independent except call for an investigation for incompetence. That you -- a board can be removed for incompetence but nobody ever tried to get to the state -- well, I think they did try to get to get to the state to look at some of these authorities to see if they're competent or not. that isn't point. The point is what's going to happen to the citizens of Scranton. That's the important thing.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're all stuck with these decisions.

I've been saying that a long time ago.

It's too bad we can't put people on

trial for what they did but we can

can't because Harrisburg is afraid

there will be no more politicians

left. They would all be in jail. But

that's where we are now. And like I

said before, our public services is

going to -- they're going cut them.

We know that. Our taxes are going to

be raised. We know that. But we're

not getting what we're paying for. We

haven't gotten what we paid for the

last ten years. And the trouble is

we're going to pay more for less and

it's going to continue that way. Some

of them figures up there, I don't know

who came up with them. How you can

earn one million last year and then

all this seven million in the

following year is beyond me but

they're in there. Like I said, I'm

going to study them in more detail and

I'll give a report on them. The

2

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people of Scranton have got to know what is happening. They really have. I mean, when you sit out there and vote, you can't just vote for a party. You have to vote for an individual no matter what party that man is in. We're in this trouble because people kept voting Democrat time after time after time. And the city has sunk lower and lower and lower. don't blame the Democratic party for say I'm a member but I do believe the people of Scranton for not looking better at who is in that Democratic party and how they got to be on the ballot and unless you do that, it might even be too late now to do it. It should have been done ten years ago but unfortunately we didn't. Where we are now, I don't know. I'm looking at -- I'm thinking that Morgan was right when he talked about bankruptcy so much. It's getting to the point now where I think we're going to be almost to that low. I hate to see it.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, I don't like bankruptcy. I don't even like the word. But I don't know where the people of Scranton is going to come up with the money to fund our debts and the authority's debts. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, council. Doug Miller, Scranton. would just like to begin this evening by congratulating Councilman Jack Loscombe and Mr. McGoff on their victories last Tuesday. Most of my comments tonight are going to revolve around the mayor's proposed 2012 budget. I had a chance to briefly look at a lot of the major highlights this afternoon before I came down and I'll certainly refrain from getting into other details of budget because I haven't had a chance to fully review it completely. But a few of the proposals by the mayor that jumped out to me and certainly quite frankly

24

25

annoyed me were his decision to cut another 29 firemen which will result in only on occasions two to three engines covering 27 squares miles of this city. And as the mayor has been quite famously for saying, none of this will affect response times according to him. And, of course, he's completely wrong in that assessment. Response times will be affected dramatically and in the case of any emergency, we're not talking about minutes, we're talking about But evidently he doesn't seconds. comprehend that and he's consistently shown his lack of respect for not only public safety personnel but for the residents of this city by the decisions he has made in shutting down engine companies and continuing to remove firemen from our streets jeopardizing the health, safety and wellbeing of our residents. would just tonight encourage all the residents of this city to flood the

25

mayor's office and demand that he keep police, firemen and our firehouses open because he's continuing to play a game with our lives, and it's going to take a major catastrophe for him to get the message once and for all and he's going to be held accountable. It's going to be all on him, not you. The residents of this city were warned that this day was going to come. talked about the Supreme Court ruling, we've heard figures 20, 30 million. This all could have been avoided years ago had this mayor been willing to sit down with the unions and everyone else involved to work out a fair deal for everyone. But he chose to go another direction, play politics and just basically slam the door on our face. The unions have already offered their invitation to sit down with the mayor and I understand they had a brief meeting last week and I'm not sure what came out it but I'm hopeful that they will continue that dialogue

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because it's something that, as I said, we could have accomplished a long time ago but unfortunately the mayor chose to play politics, let his ego get in the way and now we're in the position we are today.

Also, he again goes back to a tax increase, this time 29 percent. And we saw what the 26 percent tax increase did in 2007, absolutely nothing. Today as we stand here tonight we have to ask ourselves what do we get in return from that 26 percent tax increase besides less police and firemen, less engine companies, we've increased our debt and we can go on and on. We got nothing out of it. And now once again he wants to place another burden of the residents of the city this time 29 percent. I ask you again when is it going to stop. When is he going to finally look in the mirror, take responsibility, realize that the residents of this city can't afford

24

25

anymore of this, they can't afford it. You know, council proposed, not only proposed but passed a balanced budget that was in our best interest, you cut taxes, you relieved the burden but at the same time you supplied the revenue enhancements that we needed by using your creativity. But unfortunately the mayor chose to once again to go in a different direction, sabotage your budget right from day one and now we're in the position we are today and now it's unfortunately your job to clean up a mess that was handed to You had nothing to do with this. vou. You supplied a budget that held the line on spending, we put an end to the blank checks that were given out that we've seen through past councils, rubber stamp councils that just allowed this mayor to borrow and spend out of control and it's put us over 300 million dollars in long term debt. I would just ask -- excuse me. I'm just getting my voice back here.

1

2

would just encourage council to hold this mayor accountable, encourage the residents of this city to demand accountability, again flood his office, demand that he maintains our public safety level, keeps the firehouses open and I would hope and I look forward to seeing council's proposal because unfortunately all your hard work went nowhere. And, again, as we get into the new year as I've talk about before, we need to go and look at the nonprofits, the University of Scranton and everybody Everybody needs to start paying else. their fair share, and I would hope we would look into that for the new year and I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening,
council. Bob Bolus, Scranton. I'd
like to start off congratulating Mr.
Loscombe, Mr. McGoff on their

25

Hopefully now we can steer victories. the ship in the direction it needs to I had came before council during ao. the election and I made statements and I was told my statements were erroneous, not by council but by people about the signs that were being ripped down that Mr. Loscombe had given me to put up. I took possession of them and we did put them up. what I have here are photographs of the individuals, Dr. Michael Oakes and Dr. Carol Slaughterback, professors at the University of Scranton. And I will council and I will present these to council. These are photographs right here is Mr. Oakes -- I should say Dr. Oakes -- Professor Oakes throwing the signs into the woods on my property coming down the right-of-way that's been in a dispute and most people read, I'm sure, this week about the issue going on and walked down the easement, the right-of-way onto my property. This

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a photograph of his wife, Dr. Slaughterback, Carol, throwing the other signs away. They were down numerous times. What I'd like to do tonight, if I may, these are the signs Jack had given us, there's two. were thrown away. If I may I would like to present these to Mr. Loscombe, maybe part of his victory and I'm going to explain more about the I would like council to pictures. review them. There they are. They're There you go, Jack. Start with here. that, that's Dr. Oakes and his wife, Carol Slaughterback discarding the signs that were placed on city owned property. In the front there was a question of the easement that we had out there. It's been a big issue over the easement, the right-of-way, and everything that's been in a land dispute right now. Additionally, the signs were placed on a 16-foot right-of-way that's owned by the City of Scranton that Mr. Hartman built his

22

23

24

25

house on, that Mr. Kelly to this day has refused or has not shown a copy of the deed. I went and did a title deed research, showed the city still owns the property. Paul Kelly said the city doesn't own it but he hasn't produced a single document yet. And I think it's up to this council now to take a firm stand. The reason I brought them tonight is we're in a budget crises, we're on the verge of a bankruptcy if we're not already there. The University of Scranton as I drove into the city tonight and see these magnificent buildings all lit up, all along the expressway knowing that people that come here from the university wanting to give or take -let me more specific -- take from the people and continue to take, want our legislators to give and give and give and this is the kind of people that take from us. These are the people that have no respect for the people in the city, more importantly our

23

24

25

legislators that they come to want a free ride from. These are the nonprofits that employ people who have no regard for what's right and what's These are educators, they're These are learned not children. people and this is what they've done and it's upsetting. We may have a neighborhood dispute, but to take it out on a legislator that they've to come here and stand here and beg for this and tell us not to put them on, where they got to pay a fee or do other things to make them pay, maybe two or three million dollars a year that the U should pay and other nonprofits and KOZs. I've been harping about this for years. But what you've got in front of you tonight is hardcore proof they don't deserve another darn thing from the people of this city. They've taken enough and when they take our self respect and toss it in the woods, they don't deserve a damn thing and it's

25

sad that I have to come here and do this tonight. But these signs were posted on the city right-of-way on city-owned property and the reason the city is in a bankruptcy mode, they want to layoff our health and welfare people, the people that protect us. The mayor has not turned a blind eye to the cronyism in the city, he's turned a blind eye to punish the employees and make them suffer for his miscalculations and misjudgment and more importantly running the ship aground called the City of Scranton and that's where we need to take a stand firm now. It's time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
council, Les Spindler, City resident,
homeowner, taxpayer. I, too, want to
talk about the mayor's budget a little
bit. Wants to layoff 29 more
firefighters. Back in the summer he

25

laid off eight and I said before that if we have to lose anymore firefighters, we're going to have terrible consequences and I'm sad to say I was right and we already had one deadly fire with 29 more firefighters laid off, God knows what's going to have a lot more debt on his hands. I'm sorry to say that but facts are We cannot lose 37 firefighter total. It's just not a good choice. This man probably lost his mind, I And then I think he wants to borrow 20 million dollars. Borrowing was why we're in the problem we have today. The mayor borrowed and spent the previous eight years with his rubber stamp councils and that's why we're in this predicament. He just wants his own way all the time. I hope this council does the right thing and just votes down his budget. sure you'll make a very fine budget of your own as you did last year. And that's all I want to speak of on that.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the revenue source, I think I have a great revenue source which I think people have spoken before. If there were cameras in every intersection with traffic lights, I think the city can make a Now, I'll tell you an fortune. example, a couple incidents I had last week. I was coming over the Linden Street bridge, I was at the right-hand lane which is the straight lane. car in the left-hand turning lane went straight in front of me. That was one violation. I followed that car to the corner of Linden and Wyoming. car made a left-hand turn from the straight lane. There's two violations right there with one vehicle within like a three block area. As I drove around a little more, I went down Spruce Street. I was at the corner of Spruce Street and Franklin in the straight line, to my right was a car in the right-hand turning lane. The light turned green, the guy in the

25

right lane cut in front of me and instead of turning right, almost caused an accident. Thank God I was on the ball. There's three incidents right there of violations. Cameras, they might be an expense in the beginning but they would pay for themselves probably a hundred times over. I see violations like this all the time and I'm sure everybody else knows it. People just don't know how to drive, and I think it's a great way we can make money is to put cameras on every intersection. That's all I have for right now. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi.

I'm the president of the Firefighters
Union. I come here flabbergasted.

What the mayor is proposing in his budget is so over the top it defies

24

25

any logic. We're studying this outrageous proposal today and we just couldn't believe it. We have know me here tonight to let you know what it all means. You've already heard the lies and mistakes said by the mayor in the press already. He is saying that there's going to be four stations that are going to remain open. There will not be four stations open. can't be anymore than two open. There's not going to be -- when he said four stations, he should have been saying four companies out of ten. Right now your fire department consists of eight stations with ten pieces of apparatus running. This is going to bring it down to two stations with most likely at most times during next year three companies out in operation. That's it. Maybe in the beginning of the year when it's not high vacation time when people take their vacations, you might have four or five companies open out of ten.

24

25

best he is going to close half of your fire department. Most of the time 70 percent of your fire department will be closed, 70 percent. I don't know -- I mean, if you don't like firefighters and you're mad about arbitration awards that the mayor, you know, dragged on for ten years, even if you don't like us, do you think 70 percent is a little bit over the top to any rational person? That's the way it's going to be. He also is laying off our entire inspection staff, two inspectors and that's going to leave us according to the budget a fire prevention officer. That's it. There used to be five people in that office in 1992 with the first recovery plan, we lost inspectors. There's going to be no inspectors. That means when someone builds a building, who is going to inspect the building to make sure it's safe and it's proper under codes? Who is going to do arson investigations? But anyway that's

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what he did. This is not 29 cuts.

It's 37 positions in the fire

department. It is going to equate

into 28 human beings being laid off

because they already laid off seven

and there was already vacancies, there

was already a 13 position vacancy

before he laid off the seven in

August. It's 37 positions in the fire

department. With all that said, the

fire chief is going to get a

\$17,778.09 raise. Let me repeat that,

\$17,778 raise. He's also going to cut

ten police officers positions. We

tried to work this out. Everyone

knows that we had a meeting scheduled

for today with the mayor, and we were

supposed to work these things out to

try to, you know, mitigate the impact

of the arbitration awards that were

not our fault. All they are is cost

of living raises and he wanted to give

us nothing for 13 years. Well, it's

ten years later, we got a cost of

living raise and now it's coming all

25

at once. We want to mitigate that. The other day they cancelled the meeting. I have a -- I think it's another political game is what I think it is because I have an e-mail from the city solicitor stating that the city was going to be very busy today putting out -- I'm sorry -delivering, quote, and providing the budget. And when I asked at the PEL meeting with Mr. McGoff and Mr. Loscombe with them on Monday, when I asked the business administrator who is the administration, you know, are they doing press conferences, are they going to busy because generally they just send a secretary to deliver budgets. He says, Oh, no, the city solicitor can't make it. I said, "Well, that, you know, conflicts with the city solicitor saying that you can't make it." So my whole thing is I think ploy was to layoff, you know, 28 human beings and then want to negotiate with us. So much for

negotiating good faith again. The mayor is making statements already that the average Scrantonian makes \$24,000 a year. That's not correct. He's way off. And that we are going to be making an entry level firefighter is going to made \$71,000. That is way off. I wish that was true. It's not. So don't believe anything he says.

One other thing in closing and I don't know -- how much is enough? I mean, we're 350 million dollars, maybe when it's four or 500 million dollars in debt the people will rise up and ask for the removal of this mayor or maybe when 90 percent of the fire department is closed that might be enough to ask for the removal of this mayor. I was asked by a reporter today if he thought this was -- he was being -- the mayor was being vindictive because we won this long drawn out case. May I suggest that the last person getting laid off under

23

24

25

his plan is our secretary, John Judge, who comes here and speaks just, you know, usually every other month or so and he's potentially going to be our next president in January when I will no longer be running for president. kind of found that curious. One of the positions in the police department that is getting laid off happens to be our juvenile detective, Nelson Ancherani is one of the positions being laid off and I found that kind of curious. They're also going to lay off probably our second vice president and our treasurer. He's going to take out three-fifths of the entire union board in the fire department. The one thing I found really curious was there was many cuts in raises and things given but there was only two in the people in entire budget that had their salaries reduced. One would be your solicitor, Boyd Hughes, taking \$5,000 off him and the other happens to be the city clerk, Nancy Krake, and he's

24

25

cutting her \$7,000. Vindictive? You be the judge. Thank you.

> MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council. Congratulations, Mr. Loscombe and Mr. McGoff in your election victory. You know, I'd just like to come here tonight. I do think that we've got a lot of problems in front of us. I personally think the city is bankrupt. I know the city is over 500 million dollars in debt.

But, you know, tonight I wanted to come here and talk about something else because we can always talk about the city's problems. We talk about them all the time. And I'm not going to blame the mayor and I'm not going to blame the council because I think that's counter productive. We need But I'd like to talk solutions. tonight about what I read in the paper this morning about the Court of Common Pleas of Lackawanna County. probably some of the council members

24

25

who have been her awhile know I've asked that Judge Harhut and Judge Corbett resign because it was my opinion that they were misusing their authorities as judges is the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County, now we found out in the paper this morning that two of the staff members in Judge Harhut's courtroom and court, in his office were terminated and then Judge Munley signed an order restating them. You know, it's a very troubling thing what's been happening in Lackawanna County Court for a very long time in Family Court. I think the newspaper and the media needs to pickup on the situation that it's all being basically laid at the feet of the guardian ad litem, Danielle Ross, and it's my opinion because I can't say it's fact because the FBI hasn't determined that yet and I know they're investigating but this problem goes up to Judge Harhut over an extended period of time in Family Court moving

24

25

to special interest, and I would like to ask the FBI if they're watching tonight to change the focus of their investigation from George Seig who is a master in support and the Guardian Ad Litem Ross because these are only individuals who work the mechanisms of the Court and were appointed by the president judge. Now, the county laid off George Seig and Judge Harhut reinstated him. That was a year-plus ago. And I'm just curious why Judge Munley would enter into this situation and I'm just wondering if Judge Munley is trying to shield the Court because of the immunity that Judge Harhut's staff has. I think that the scope of this investigation by the FBI needs to become much greater. We know the problems we had in Luzerne County and I think to be quite honest with you when this FBI investigation is over, I'm of the opinion that the whole Family Court is going to be wiped clean, and I'm just hoping that Judge

24

25

Munley is on the wrong side of this thing at this point. But what I would like to see, I'd like to see why the court administrator came forward and dismissed these two people. hasn't come forward yet. And I just really believe that it's important to find out what the problems are in Family Court to address them and to clean house there. And like I said, I can always come back here another time and talk about other city issues but I think when you step into a court of law, I think the president judge should be responsible for the people he appoints and the way they function in their offices that he appoints them to and I think that Judge Harhut did an extremely poor job over an extremely long period of time and him and the judges in Family Court have caused a lot of pain in this community, and it was unnecessary and it was completely bias and I appreciate your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

2

MR. JACKOWITZ:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident, member of the Taxpayers Association. First of all, I would like to congratulate Councilman McGoff and Councilman Loscombe on their victory in the past election and also Mr. Morgan for running a campaign and throwing his hat into the ring.

You know, I've said this a long, long time ago. There's two five letter words, power and money. Okay. If you have power, you have money. If you have money, you have power. It's as simple as that. That's exactly what happened in all the scandals. You can research every scandal that has ever happened in this area and the United States of America and I guarantee you you will trace the power and the money. Okay? I don't care if it's a political scandal, I don't care if it's a school scandal, I don't care if it's any sports scandal, it all

boils down to power and money. That's the reason why I'm a firm believer, well, here's the political side, terminis because if you're in one place for too long, you're going to get caught up with it. I don't care who you are. I don't care if you're a bishop, I don't care if you're a football coach, I don't care if you're a councilman, I don't care if you're the president of the United States. If you're there too long, you're going to get caught up in it because, again, we go back to those two words, power and money; money and power.

Now, as far as the election goes that we just had last week I found it to be a total disgrace and a total sham. No one came out to vote. The turn out rate was horrible. I had -- I bet you I had over a 100 phone calls last Monday and Tuesday, people calling me asking me who to vote for because they didn't know anything.

They didn't even know who was running

25

for council, they didn't know who was running for county commissioner, they didn't know anything. They didn't know who was running for city controller. That's disgraceful. That's the reason why the elections in Northeastern Pennsylvania are a joke, they really are, because no one pays attention, no one knows the voting records of incumbents, nobody knows what the candidates stand for because they don't care and I blame the citizens of Scranton. I blame the citizens of Lackawanna County for this because they don't want to make -- to take the time or make the effort. Now, everybody is throwing their hands up in the air. People are coming, asking me, how can this happen, how can this city be so far in debt. My answer to them, where the hell have you been the last ten years. We have been talking about this year after year after year, right here from this Where have you been? podium.

24

25

Watching Dancing with the Stars and all this other junk. Now, all of sudden you find out that you can't pay The county just raised your taxes. your taxes 37.5 percent the day after the election. Wasn't that very nice of our new commissioners? I think it was. You know, now the mayor, he's getting ready to raise the taxes now, I think, 29 percent or whatever it is. It doesn't matter. The majority of people in the City of Scranton and Lackawanna County cannot afford to pay these taxes. We had a 26 percent tax increase three years ago. I asked, what was the benefit to that tax increase. I still haven't got an answer. I'll ask that question again. Hopefully tonight someone on council will answer it. What was the benefit of the 26 percent tax increase that we got three years ago? We lost police officers, we lost firefighters, our services overall in the city have depleted. They're no longer as good

23

24

25

as they once were. Why did we have to pay 26 percent more in taxes. Now, it looks like we're going to have to pay 29 percent more, plus another 38 percent for the county and guess what, the school board hasn't even gotten their tax in yet. So how much are they going to raise it? For what? What do the citizens of Scranton get for it? You know, in my opinion I don't see anything. The police cars are falling apart. I don't think we've gotten any new fire apparatus in years, you know. The roads, the curbs, everything, it's falling apart. We're borrowing more money, another 20 million dollars supposedly. What do we get for our money? Again, Mayor Doherty, if you're listening, I asked you this 11 years ago, I'll ask you again. Where has the money gone? I don't know. I don't think he knows either.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address

Ιt

1 council? 2 MR. DOBZYN: Good evening, 3 council. Dave Dobzyn. Resident of 4 Scranton and taxpayer. Congratulations to the candidates that 5 6 one. Once again Bill expressed it 7 pretty good. Sham on everybody that didn't turn out. I mean, if you're in 8 9 the hospital lying in pieces that's 10 one thing but that was sad, that was sad. Every citizen in this town 11 12 should owe it to themselves to 13 familiarize themselves with the issues 14 and see who is running and get out and 15 vote. It only takes 15 minutes. 16 Believe me, the lines weren't long. 17 Okay. 18 Once again, we don't have our 19 audit together --20 MS. EVANS: That's correct. 21 MR. DOBZYN: -- mainly because of 22 stalling and I seen this year in and 23 year out the audit never gets done and 24 they're supposed to somehow come out 25 with a comprehensible budget.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't be done. Sham on the administration and their lack of cooperation in that area. They're five months late as of today.

Now, on this Sunshine Law could we possibly explain why you people can't meet with the mayor in private because Austin Burke suggested that and obviously, you know, down the line you'll probably be criticized for it if you did it so let's get that out if you can. And fire and police lay offs, this is just crazy. It doesn't make sense. The only thing -- we don't have any fire apparatus left and we have a few policemen on the streets and it's a sham. I would suggest to the public if this holds true, make sure your alarms are beefed up and have plenty of good batteries in them and maybe Mayor Doherty as far as the police are concerned would like to have a standard ground ordinance instead of the typical you're arrested if you chase somebody out of your

house using a marshal's firearm or something. This is ridiculous. There was just an invasion up in the Hill Section last night. Their chances of being caught judging by what I read are just about nil.

So, once again, we really need that audit and I sympathize with you people, it's not your fault, but it's just totally disgusting how late that audit is and I don't know how you can plan on anything or get anything together as far as a comprehensive plan.

And once again I'd like to appeal to the citizens of the country to get on-line, go to getthemoneyout.com in small case and sign the petition to get a constitutional amendment against big money in politics because that's what our problem is. Too many people are bought in this country. The average congressman has to raise about \$50,000 a week to run for office and the man with the most money wins 94

percent of the time. So there you have it.

23

24

25

Now, I read an article recently and I'd also like to appeal on trade packs, especially public employees. They're the last unions that exist. I haven't belonged to a union for 25 or 30 years mainly because the company that was unionized went belly up and it certainly wasn't the fault of the union, but with these trade packs, there's 40,000 people in Seoul, South Korea, demonstrating against the trade pack that we signed which brings 400,000 in from the North to work their jobs, and the wages will be paid to the government of North Korea and all of this jobless and homelessness translates -- that's what it translates into. I mean, people -the second wave of people that got foreclosed on, they were people that got caught up from the Wall Street gamut.

Now, finally the golden parrot

25

aware, Jim DeMint said that veterans in the United States don't need any special treatment. Shame on him. He's a congressman or senator from, I think, it's South Carolina. Brewer from Arizona fired her anti Jerry Mandarin -- head of anti Gerry Mandarin council and the night 2006 post office congress -- 2006 Congress created a lot of these problems with the post office by requiring a 75 year funding of retirement. Some of these people haven't been born yet and they have to have their retirement paid up in full. And once again my dog is watching TV. Hi, Jinx. Have a good night. Quack, quack to all these friendly people at the bottom of the list.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. SLEDNESZSKI: Welcome back,

Jackie. I told you would get elected,

didn't I? Jack, congratulations.

Congratulations, Mr. McGoff, too, both

of you. Thank you.

2

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chris.

3

MR. GAFFNEY: Good Evening,

4

council. My name is Jack Gaffney,

5

city homeowner, vice president for

6

Local 60 Firefighters Union.

7

I apologize beforehand if I'm

little scatterbrain. I obviously

8

9 wasn't planning on speaking but I have

10

a few thoughts I want to say. There's

11

a couple people, things I would just

12

like to ask questions to starting with

13

the Scranton Times. Newsworthy.

1415

truth out, getting out information

Newsworthy to me means getting the

16

that is vital to its residents as a

17

newspaper of a town. Vital to its

18

residents is the fact that on three of

19

the last four days three fire stations

20

were opened. Going back last year,

21

the fire chief says, and I can't

22

exactly remember the number but it was

23

over 40. There will be more than 40

24

people working on any single day.

25

This will be better. At most there

22

23

24

25

was 20. One day I believe there was 18, not quite 40-plus. That's newsworthy. Newsworthy to me is last Tuesday due to scheduled time off, vacation time, holiday time which is personal time, scheduled time off for a certain number of guys each shift, we had an enough guys to cover every fire station in the city, including the first one that usually gets browned out up in the Hill Station, Engine 15. The reason why Engine 15 was closed that day was because we didn't have any engines available to That's newsworthy. us. We have enough guys to man the firehouse but the city can't maintain a fleet of engines. Every spare engine was accounted for, whether it was in for routine maintenance, whether it had broken down or it was being used elsewhere. We had to take those guys and put them at a different fire house for the 24 hours. That should be in the paper.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Response times, obviously anyone

who is even a firefighter could see

that with two or three firehouses,

your response time is going to go up.

That's obvious. I don't think we're

going to see that in the paper which

gets me to who I would like to think

is like the Wizard of Oz, the fire

chief. Get out from behind the

curtain. You're saying these layoffs

are bothering you he made 27 phone

calls last December and told every

single one of those guys, "This is

killing me. This bothers me." He

told the eight guys back in August,

"This bothers me." He made the

comment today, "This is killing me.

This is bothering me. This isn't

right." Is he going to say it in the

paper, is he going to make -- is he

going to have a press conference? I

doubt it. That's newsworthy. Stick

up for your men. You are one of us.

You were one of us. You're going to

benefit off of these outrageous raises

1 that we're getting that you're 2 constantly criticizing. You're going 3 to benefit from them. 4 Mr. McGoff, I've known you since 5 I'm a kid. You have made the comment, 6 vindictive behavior, personal 7 animosity, there's no way for decision 8 making in the city. I agree, 9 100 percent I agree. What I don't 10 agree with was you said that as far as 11 council. That should be the city as a 12 whole. 13 MR. MCGOFF: I believe I just 14 said it in general. 15 MR. GAVIN: No, you didn't. 16 you want, I can show it to you after 17 the meeting on my cell phone because 18 you put it out on the internet. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Okay. 20 MR. GAVIN: I agree with you, 21 council, the city, the mayor, whoever, 22 those things should not be in the 23 decision making, I agree 24 wholeheartedly. As I said before, I 25 just -- this is bothering me as being

one of the 28 guys that's on the chopping block. Someone said to me just on the way here, "Oh, does this affect you?" As I said before here and as other people have said, it affects everybody. It's not just me, it's not just the guy ahead of me, the guy behind me, the guy at the end, it's everyone. I would just wish that the truth would get out and that people could make a conscious decision and see what has been happening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

council. Marie Schumacher, city

taxpayer. First, a special

request, I would like to ask that

beginning next week you begin

including property owners in your

opening prayer. What nobody seems to

care about in this area is the ability

of the people to pay. Politicians

have the power to do whatever they

want, tax however they want, and if

you don't like it, you can move or they can take your home and your lifetime investment away from you and sell it for peanuts and what's the skin off their knuckles, it's none.

I would also like a personal note to ask Mr. McGoff to find out if the city is going to remove leaves this year. I know they've been slow in falling but for those of us with allergies, the leaf mold, it would very nice if we could get schedule out and get rid of some of the leaves that are sitting around rotting.

And then I would like to know two weeks ago you all sent a letter to find the operating cost of the new Keyser Avenue police substation that you authorized two weeks ago. Can you tell me what the operating cost of that are going to be on an annual basis?

MR. JOYCE: We still didn't receive a response on that but we'll followup with that for you.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: I still think that was shortsighted. That's what we needed another location, another central location to maintain while we're -- meanwhile we're cutting. Excuse me. And then I'm really disappointed that apparently the city would rather -- at least the administration of this city would rather pay unemployment compensation than provide fire protection. And I suppose on top of -- if this budget of the mayor's is passed, that in addition to the county's 38 percent and the city's 29 percent and the school district's 1.7 percent increases, we'll probably also get property insurance increases because our firehouse -- I know my personal carrier says five miles and I seriously doubt whether there will be a five mile radius, there will be any protection for my property or my neighbor's properties. I think this is immoral. I think it's

unconscionable.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And now just to lighten the mood just a little bit. Andy earlier said that the budget was laughable so maybe I can share a few of the jokes in the budget with him. I'm going to give you three figures. One is the 2011 budget, actual operating budget, how much has been received in revenue for the first three quarters of the year and then how much is in the budget for Building permits, I'm really 2012? sure there is going to be a lot of that going on. The budget for this year was 1.2 million for the first three-quarters of the year. They collected 305.5 thousand dollars and so what do you forecast for next year, 1.3 million. Dog and kennel licenses, \$14,500 in the 2011 budget; collected, \$8,074. And so what do we do for next year, we up it to \$59,940. Non class of licensing and permits, budgeted at \$65,000 this year; collected, \$250; budget for next year, \$5,390; child

1 day care budgeted for this year, 2 \$4,000; collected so far, zip; in the 3 budget for next year, another \$4,000; 4 personal boarding care, \$7,000 in this 5 year's budget; collected, \$980; and 6 the budget recommended for 2012, 7 another \$7,000; and the budget is full 8 of those, lots of room for work. 9 I look forward to the budget hearing. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Schumacher, I 12 just wanted to comment very briefly. 13 You spoke about the dog licenses and 14 how it increased by a great amount. 15 The primary reason why it increased by 16 a great amount is in the budget 17 proposal, the mayor wants to charge 18 \$20 for a dog license rather than \$9, 19 not that there will be an influx of 20 dogs into the City of Scranton by any 21 means. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: It still doesn't 23 compute. 24 MR. JOYCE: Yes, I still know 25 what you mean.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 ${\tt MS. ROSKI:} \quad {\tt Good evening},\\$

council. Mary Ann Roski, Scranton taxpayer, homeowner. And congratulations to Mr. McGoff and Councilman Loscombe. And I'm going to start with what I heard on the news tonight when I saw the mayor once again blaming police and firemen on why he has to increase taxes. Again, again and again he put the blame on the police and firemen, our priority of safety. Then he's going to 33 percent for business and mercantile We have hardly any businesses taxes. in the city to begin with so he's going to put a 33 percent tax hike on them. Where are they going to go? They're not going to be around long. And how are we -- where are our taxpayers here tonight? Where are they? I don't read the newspaper because it's like our local Enquire. It's a big lie. It's a joke. only thing you can count on the them is the obituaries. Everything else is

24

25

false. So subscribe to everybody, they can do what they want to do but it's all false. I will not give a dime to those people. They tell lies with all -- with everything, okay. Now, the mayor, I don't know what he thinks he's going to accomplish by raising our taxes. Ten years police and firemen have not had raises. he gave them raises every two years, we wouldn't be in this position. doesn't this man look in mirror for five minutes, look within himself and say, okay, who do I blame? Look at what's happening to this city in the past so many years compared to where it was and look at himself and say, I'm to blame. You know, there was a song, Michael Jackson had a saying, The man in the mirror. If anybody listens to those words, if you want to change the world, look at the man in the mirror. You want to change the city, look at yourself in the mirror and do something about it, Mayor

Doherty, not sink us. We're sinking. We're in quick sand. We're up to Next year this time we're going to be up to our eyeballs. We're not even going to breathe, we're going to suffocate. Is it fair? Is it fair? And 29 firemen to go. My God, what is he trying to do to us. Where is our safety? We've been paying taxes. had 25 percent increase since 2007 or whatever it was with previous council members. Wasn't that enough? Haven't we been paying for safety all this Where else is our money going? He's giving raises to everybody, all his higher ups, everybody is getting a raise, everybody is getting a raise except the taxpayers and the firemen are being let go, the policemen because they are going to get their raises that they were supposed to get, we get a 29 percent increase in taxes. We shouldn't have to pay that. us in this position. He's the one that is sinking us, nobody us, no

2425

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

20

21

22

23

24

25

policemen, no firemen. If it wasn't for them, we would have no city. I would say the only profession that can go on strike are the police and firemen and I wish they would and they I wish they would and they could. could. And if they all called in sick one day and decided, you know what, we have the flu today, we all got it from each other, we are not going in. would happen to our city? Could the mayor volunteer himself to go in a fire? Would he go to a firehouse and get in the truck and go to a fire and get his hose and use it? What would he do? What would he do? So it's about time, mayor, you've got to listen to the people. Granted, most of them are not here because you know what, talking to the mayor gets us nowhere. Everybody gets disgusted. And that's where I have been for That's where I've been for vears. Now, I come here and I speak vears. and I feel and the taxpayers of this

23

24

25

city if you want a change in the right direction before we suffocate and we are in quick sand up to our eyeballs, come here, comer here, taxpayers. Don't be afraid, nobody is going to hurt you. Council members are fantastic, the people here are fantastic. Nobody is going to bite you. Just come and speak your mind. That's all you have to do. Please, we do not need less firemen or less police officers. We do not need 33 percent increase in our business and mercantile tax, we do not need 29 percent of taxpayers for the city. can't talk about county here. You are not the county. But I'll tell you something, I watched the news tonight. Waverly had more people fighting with the county commissioners than we have here tonight fighting for our rights in the City of Scranton. Now, mayor, we have been paying taxes. I don't know what we're paying for and you're going to increase it again, for why?

Because you're going to blame the police and firemen that you have the money now and ten years you couldn't give them what they wanted and they come begging to you for it. It's a shame. It's a shame.

Now, I do have a question. On our garbage fee, \$178 a year. Can I finish that question?

MR. JOYCE: Absolutely, yes.

MS. ROSKI: Where -- I know DPW gets paid, everybody gets paid -- the higher ups get the most and the lower ones get nothing. Where does the \$189 go? Like why are we paying -- they're getting from the city but we're paying \$189 for the homeowners.

MR. ROGAN: Well, the purpose of the fee is to pay for garbage collection. The fee does not -- it's not high enough to pay for garbage collection. The tax dollars are also being used towards that.

MS. ROSKI: Right. But we pay the additional 189, right? Like

1 yearly we pay \$189 on our garbage? 2 MR. JOYCE: Yes. The fee. it 3 goes towards the salaries and all 4 refuse collectors --5 MS. ROSKI: Well, our taxes do, 6 too? 7 MR. JOYCE: Correct. Both of 8 them, it all goes into the general 9 funds and those funds are used to pay 10 employees as well as other expenses. 11 MS. ROSKI: Are they all used or 12 do we have any funds available with 13 that? 14 MR. JOYCE: No. 15 MR. ROGAN: No. Excuse me. The 16 garbage fee in my opinion is officially low. If the garbage fee 17 18 was set at a dollar amount where it 19 cost for the service to the resident, 20 people would realize they're paying a 21 lot more for the garbage collection 22 than a little less than \$200 a year. 23 MS. ROSKI: Okay. You know, I 24 was just wondering where that money 25 now how it plays into with taxes and

tl

this and that. Okay. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, council. I was totally disgusted with things. I was going to stay home and watch that new program tonight about those guys that buy the -- storage stalkers and all that.

MR. ROGAN: It's a favorite of mine.

MR. ELLMAN: Everything was good until I saw our esteemed mayor on TV talking about the new tax increase and it just exploded in my head. You know, if he thinks that I'm going to get a second job to support this, he's wrong. I'm not going to borrow no money to support it, I'm not going to sell anything I have to pay taxes. Μy solution is I'm going to put up my house up for sale and I'm just going to leave Dodge. That's it. I've had enough. I have never seen such gross incompetence from a mayor, from a governor, and I'm not mad at the two commissioners. I didn't vote for them

and at least they made an attempt. But Chris Doherty, if you guys allow his picture to go up there with these honorable men, there's something wrong. He is an absolute disgrace to this city if there ever was one. a shame. You know, I'm one of the ten or 15,000 senior citizens on a fixed income and I don't have a house to go and I can't afford to have my taxes double, I just can't. You put a pencil to it, it's not \$100 extra. I'm looking at \$400 and \$300. That's without all the other expenses. know -- you know, I've told you several times I've talked to little old ladies right across the street. They've lost a house because they couldn't afford all the expenses involved, a house that their husband left them. You know, now there's 400 houses coming up in January in foreclosures. I guess Doherty wants to make it a 1,000 or something next year. He is such a complete failure

23 24 25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trying to run a city and govern the city -- it's just unimaginable. know, you can't conceive somebody being so damn stupid like that. It just doesn't end with him. Lawsuits. He wants to double my taxes, I don't have even have sidewalks and curbs. Do you know where the money? The block money, he took it and tore down the three-story apartment building next to the Taurus Club in that empty lot. You know what's there now? A dumpster for the restaurant and it kills two parking places. There never was a lien put on that for tearing it I looked a couple years ago, he didn't put a lien on it. See, that's what couple of spaghetti dinners will get you. You know, you want to double something, double the rents at Valley This bum who told me I was a View. disgrace. He lives up there with a girl for nothing. You know, I pay taxes. I contribute something to society. You know, starting with the

24

25

governor, there's 700 million dollars of that walk around money he won't touch it to help homeowners. know, really, you go to that parking lot because I've been in it. It's full of Escalades, Lincolns, limousines, all the taxpayers are paying for it and he wants -- and everybody talks -- I keep listening to, they talk about the children, the future for the children. There's no future around here. How the world does somebody -- let's just take Doug Miller, how is he going to grow up and raise a family in this city with rents and house ownership. My insurance used to be \$292 a year five, six ago. Now it's over \$1,300. You know, my income hasn't gone up. A couple weeks ago I go up and have coffee with Mr. DeNaples in the morning. I was coming back at seven, 7:30, you know what I saw on Washington? The street cleaner. You know, in 20 years I've seen it three or four times go by my

23

24

25

house. Down there it's, you know, it's an everyday occurrence. people, they've got curbs and sidewalks. I can't walk down what's left of the sidewalk because cars park on them because there's no curb to keep them off. And this is the city that this man wants me to double my taxes and, you know, it's just a shame. You know, being a Southerner, it's instilled, when you grow up, it's instilled in you to own a piece of land. Almost everybody I grew up with when they were small, they talked about having a home or a house or a ranch or a farm or something. In this city all the people they dreamed of owning a home, it's turned into a nightmare. I've talked to these people this week. It's a living -just a terrible nightmare having a house in this city now with the lack of leadership that this state and the county and the city has. Like I said, at least the two commissioners tried

to do something. And if you people don't do something about the vermin that runs that University of Scranton buying everything in sight, you won't have to worry about a budget. It's just over with for this city. You're just looking at the handwriting on the wall.

MS. EVANS: Well, thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, like I said,
I'll be out of your hair. You won't
have to worry about me pretty soon
because I'm just leaving. I've had
enough. It's just a shame. When I
sold property down South to have a
piece up here, it's a shame for anyone
to be subjected to stuff like this.
And I'm not mad at you people. It's
just this government has a lot of -American people have let the
government get away from them, I
guess. People have no say so no more.
Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HARTMAN: Good evening, council. Frank Hartman, Tripp Park
Neighborhood Watch. Can I come up to the -- so I can show you these?

MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you.

MR. HARTMAN: First of all, I just want to say nobody invited me here tonight to speak. I'm the kind of person that gives credit where credit is due.

Last week Saturday at around 4:30 I work down in Exeter, I got a phone call saying that my family was in a real bad car accident on Main Avenue, my daughter, my niece, my nephew who was seven and my daughter is 11, my mom and my sister, and I tell you one thing, if we didn't have Rescue 1, they wouldn't be here today. My sister was trapped in the car for over 40 minutes. I want to personally thank firefighter Jack Hewlett. I know Jack from up at Tripp Park with the Missy League. He was there with my sister. He never left her side. I

would also give out a special thanks
to Officer to Paul Stafursky who is my
next door neighbor. My dad is 80
percent loss of hearing in each ear.
I called Paul, I asked him, I told I'm
down in Exeter that he can go to my
parents' house and let my dad know
what happened. And he says, "Well if
I can't, I will definitely have
somebody do it." Well, he went up
there, he told my dad and they brought
him back down to the accident scene.

Also I would like to thank Taylor Rescue 95, the Old Forge Police
Department, the Taylor Police
Department and our police department.
Everybody gives the negativity for the firemen and the cops. Half of my friends are firemen, half of my friends are cops. I'm glad I have them here. They -- I don't know.
They're the best, they're trained, they're the best people that we have around. And I saw on the news today that he wants to cut 29 firemen. By

10

8

9

13

12

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those pictures there, if he cuts anybody, there's no reason for that. My sister wouldn't be here today, you know, and thank God we have them and we need them. There's times on fires the first people in the building are the firemen. Everybody is watching around, just watching them. And you can't tell -- anybody can't come up here and say those firemen don't do their job. They do their job. cops, as I said I'm the chairperson of the Tripp Park Neighborhood Watch. went out and I got a scanner last year. I want anybody to go out and buy a scanner and listen to those calls that those police go on. Every 911, anyone of those calls, the police responded; domestic, the police respond. I don't know how people could say that public safety is ruining the city. We need them and we My sister wanted to come need more. tonight but she's not up to it. Μy mom and dad is at the house so I

1 brought my daughter down with me just 2 to say thank you. And to everybody 3 that was involved in that accident if they're watching, for myself and my 4 5 family we just want to say thank you 6 and we appreciate all your help. 7 MS. EVANS: Thank you very much. 8 Is there anyone else who cares to 9 address council? 10 (No response.) 11 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake. 12 MS. KRAKE: Fifth Order, 5A. 13 MOTIONS. 14 MS. EVANS: Before I call on 15 Councilman McGoff this evening, I'd 16 like to take a minute on behalf of 17 Scranton City Councilman to wish Rose 18 Talerico a very, very happy birthday. 19 She will celebrate and I don't know 20 that I should say the number. Should 21 I, Mr. McGoff? MR. MCGOFF: Absolutely. 22 23 MS. EVANS: Yes, okay. I was 24 always told that a lady are gentleman 25 never reveals a lady's age but in this

case then I'm sure we should. And
Rose will be 90-years-old on
November 19th and she is a very
faithful viewer of Scranton City
Council meetings. She never misses
one. In fact, she won't even accept a
phone call during a city council
meeting. So, Rose, thank you so much.
We love you here at City Council and
have a very happy birthday and many
more. God bless.

And, Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First, obviously the topic of conversation is the budget that was presented today in the mayor's budget. Certainly there are a lot of questions with the budget. Any number of things that can be looked at and certainly I hope that we will do that over the course of the next few days, few weeks. Obviously I don't think there's anyone who doesn't think that there will be changes made. I don't think that this will be the

budget that we, you know, vote on or have the final vote on. Obviously there will be amendments and hopefully changes that are more palpable to all.

The one thing I would like to ask and I don't know is since we only received it today, is there a timeline for dealing with the budget? I know there are certain time constraints. I was just wondering if we had established anything --

MS. EVANS: As you are aware, the budget must legally be passed by December 15th. It will be introduced at next week's council meeting.

Thereafter, there will be the second and third readings. Council will present its amendments to the budget for a vote.

Mrs. Krake, I believe we would have a public hearing on the mayor's budget as well. So that will be scheduled prior to either next week or the following week's meeting.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. I think

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, again, you know, the timing of this is, you know, important for the public and for us. Hopefully we can involve everyone in the process of working on this budget. And my last thing is that hopefully there is some type the cooperative effort between the administration and council in framing this budget. I certainly would hope to avoid the problems that occurred in 2011 with the budget. Whose ever fault it was, you know, I don't want to place blame anywhere but hopefully by the cooperative effort, we can frame a budget that is acceptable and is one that is workable for both the administration and council.

Second thing that I did want to mention is the deficit that we're facing for 2011. I know we've asked in the past. It's been mentioned in the past. There have been proposals sent to the council by the mayor.

They have not be placed on the agenda.

I think it's at a point where it's becoming critical. It's beyond critical, I guess I should say, to deal with this problem. Again, I would ask that either we place these -- the mayor's proposals on the agenda or that we arrive or someone arrives at some alternative for dealing with the 2011 deficit, and I guess at this point I was going to ask, are there any alternative proposals that will be made in the near future for dealing with the 2011 deficit?

MS. EVANS: We've already made our proposal to the banks and I was going to the address that tonight under motions. The banks are awaiting the audit. The banks have not turned city council down. But the administration has withheld the audit, the information required for the audit, I should say, as well as East Scranton Redevelopment Authority and a number of attorneys and I'm sure that

if the mayor intends to even proceed to the courts to borrow for unfunded debt even a visiting judge is going to require that audit. So I would advise that the administration gets moving on it.

MR. MCGOFF: And that is all. Thanks.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, Mr. McGoff, could I have the photos, please? I'd like to return them to this gentleman. I know he had wanted them before he left tonight.

And, Mr. Rogan, do you have any motions or comments this evening?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I would like to start off by congratulating Councilman Loscombe and Councilman McGoff on their wins on last week's election. I agree with many of what the residents stated here tonight and the turn out was very low and that's unfortunate. Maybe more people would register to vote in the next election.

I guess I'll start up off with

25

the budget. Obviously I don't think any of us had the time to read over the entire document, but I will make some general comments and my feelings on the budget. You know, it seems to me that Mayor Doherty took presidential candidate Herman Cain's 9-9-9 Plan into a blender and it came out as 29, 29 and 33 where you have 29 firefighters being laid off; 37, depends on which way you work the numbers, a 29 percent tax increase on properties and 33 percent tax increase on business owners. This is not the solution to the problems we are facing in this city. The taxpayers cannot afford to spend another dime in taxes. People are already on the verge of losing their homes. We just had a county commissioners institute a back door tax increase two days after an election which besides that, that's nothing to do with council but the people in Scranton will also be paying that tax increase. We have the mayor

24

25

who wants to increase taxes nearly 30 percent on the residents. We have the school board that very likely might raise taxes and we have a president who wants to raise taxes. Where are the people going to get the money from. The people are already overtaxed. We can't afford it. And not to mention the taxes are going up but services are going down. said in my campaigns for council and here time and time again, the most important job of government is to provide public safety. If that's government does, we've done our job. Everything else is a bonus. through section by section, obviously what the mayor is trying to do to the fire department, I agree with Mr. Gervasi, it's political, it's completely political cutting 29 guys who are out there every day keeping us I don't want to know which firehouses are going to be closing because I know West Side to West Side

24

25

is a big section of the city. South Side, you know, when you look at the size of the city and we're going to have to all these stations closing. You, know what if there are two fires or a fire and a car accident at the same time. Where are we going to get the guys from? The police, the paper is already trying to spin and the mayor is already trying to spin it that he's increasing the police officers. That's not the case. Some positions are going back that were eliminated by the mayor just a few months ago. It's not a net increase from last year's budget. The Single Tax Office, the mayor is cutting, I believe, 15 positions from the Tax Office and this is the office that brings our revenue into the city. Now, I understand that some cuts should be made in that office because the Tax Office won't be collecting the wage tax anymore. I wonder if the mayor has consulted with the tax

24

25

collector and how many positions he believes were used for wage tax collection that could be eliminated because there should be some cuts in that office but not 15 people. again, it always boils down to my favorite department, the DPW. When the mayor is gutting public safety year after year, cutting cops, cutting firemen, he offers a buyout for the If we need to cut there which I DPW. believe we absolutely do, why not layoff DPW workers the same way the police officers or firemen are laid off. Why do they get to the golden parachute and no one else does. That's all I'm going to say on this for now. Looking through, there's so many problems with this budget from, you know, increased taxes to cuts in public safety, the increases in fees which some of them aren't crippling, you know, dumpster permits, secondhand dealers, parking spaces, dog licenses, it's a lot more than just a tax

increase and there's a lot more to it than that. It doesn't affect everyone, the permit fees but it affects a lot of people within the city. We can't keep going down this path. You know, Chris Doherty has been a tax and spend mayor from day one but he won't spend in the areas that he needs to. It's all been parks, it's been this and that, everything but the parts of the city that matter, keeping the people safe.

And finally with my colleague's agreement, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to the county commissioners asking because of their tax increase if they plan to move forward with the reassessment. I Know -- I think we all agree that we do need a reassessment and it's one of the few areas where I think council and PEL agree that we need to see where people's properties are worth to determine if they're paying the right amount of taxes or not. And it's not

1 something council could do. It's 2 something we need the county 3 commissioners to do and I'm hoping 4 that now that they did raise taxes, 5 they will be bringing in more revenue 6 that they could add that as, you know, as part of their platform moving 7 8 forward. And obviously I'll have more 9 about the budget once I read the whole 10 thing but that's all tonight. 11 Thank you. And, MS. EVANS: 12 Councilman Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments tonight? 13 14 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. I have a few comments. First, I'd like to start 15 16 off as we wish Mrs. Talerico a happy 17 birthday, I would like wish my mother, 18 Mary, a happy birthday. She'll turn 19 80 on the 18th. I hope she's not 20 upset I've mentioned that. MS. EVANS: And she's a grand 21 22 lady. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: And she can run 24 circles around me, trust me. 25 Secondly, I would like to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

congratulate Mr. McGoff and Mr. Morgan has left here but for a very good campaign and a lot of issues were brought out, and I'm happy to be working with Mr. McGoff again here.

Next, what Mr. Bolus brought up before, you know, I think it's appalling that someone with that professional stature has to go and take people's signs out of a yard. don't even think they know me, I wouldn't know them, but if they have a problem with the neighbor or whatever, that's not the way to go about it. mean, going out on the campaign trail with all of these gentlemen, all of the other candidates, no one ever moved another candidate's sign. respected each other. First of all, we realized the value of them. not a rich candidate and these things aren't cheap and, you know, maybe professors make a lot of money but, you know, it just puts a bad light on their place of employment, the

24

25

University of Scranton, and I'm just -- it's just upsetting. You know, I can see if it was a couple kids doing it but, you know, not to belabor that And unfortunately I anymore. haven't had a chance to look at the budget at all. Actually when it was handed to me and ironically when they were handed out today, there happened to be a big fire on Olive Street, and as soon I was given this, I was told there was a fire on Olive Street and I went over to see what was going on, and this was a situation where, you know, we had, you know, we didn't have all the companies closed that were closed the past few days, however, we did still did not enough companies to respond to a second alarm which they needed at that. They did ultimately end up with, I believe, calling in some overtime and that's right now. That's before 37 more cuts. I can't understand what is going to happen at that point. You know, I'm the

23

24

25

chairman of the committee on public safety and I get accused of being a union man. You know, I'm not talking about the unions. I'm talking about your life, your safety. I can't believe someone can sit behind a desk with a pen and paper and cross off 37 names without any regards for your life. Am I upset? You damn right I'm upset. I don't have an adjective to say how I feel. I live in an area of town where there was no coverage the other day for a couple days either. don't know how they plan on doing But the way I feel right now this. and I would like to know from our solicitor if it's possible if we can conduct a public hearing with the mayor, Mr. McGowan and our fire chief to face the public and explain to them their plan to cover the people in this city with the amount of manpower they plan on utilizing in this budget. We're putting public safety at extreme risk, and I think the public should be

24

25

able to ask them how they plan on doing it and how they came about with this plan. There is no plan. just vindictiveness is even an easy word to use. Again, there's not an adjective to describe it. Is he vindictive towards me because I'm super majority and I was a firefighter, is he vindictive to the public safety because they won arbitration awards? They've had ten years, ten years to put aside money every year just in case this happened, and they didn't. they're laying it on you. But they blame the unions, they blame the council and, again, I've heard it several times before, they never look in the mirror. But he's the head of this city, he's the mayor. He has to face the music if he's putting out a budget like this here because as Mr. McGoff said we can make amendments to this budget what we did last year. Was it followed? No. So you can get

bet your butt he's going to use his budget no matter what amendments we do. And that's appalling. And if one person is injured because of this lack of manpower, I'm going to hold all three of those people responsible. And I want them to answer to the public at a public hearing and let them explain how they plan on protecting this city. That's all I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr.

Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you
have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: I do. Tonight I would like to congratulate both of my colleagues, Mr. Jack Loscombe and Mr. Bob McGoff on their successful bids to retain their seats on city council. They both ran very respective campaigns as did their opponent, Mr. Morgan who was a frequent about many issues at the podium on just about every Tuesday. Okay.

As you may know, earlier today

Mayor Doherty issued a 2012 operating budget to Scranton City Council that called for a 29 percent real estate tax increase, a real estate transfer tax increase, an increase in business privilege mercantile tax as well as an increase in various fees. In the mayor's budget there were also staffing reductions primarily to the fire department and the Tax Office. great portion of this tax increase was caused by a loan that the SRA defaulted on which is going to cost us 1.6 million dollars in 2012 as well as other measures such as the recent arbitration awards which could have been settled a long time ago if Mayor Doherty negotiated a contract with the fire and police unions and, you know, I've read a lot about this in the newspaper but simply blaming Act 47 is not an excuse for Mayor Doherty's failed labor negotiations. The budget presented by the mayor is a huge blow to the taxpayers of Scranton.

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we all received the budget today, I'm sure that with everyone's work schedules no one has really had the opportunity to review the budget document thoroughly. As finance chair of city council, I'm asking each member to do so. Mr. McGoff stated in the Scranton Times that he sees the future of city council acting in a business as usual fashion. With this in mind I'd like to change the way that the budget process is handled. Tonight I ask all of my colleagues to submit by next week their recommendations on how the mayor's budget should be amended. When doing so, if any of my colleagues suggests that positions are eliminated or the restatement of positions is followed through with, I also ask to also recommend where the decreases or increases in revenue should come from with your suggestions. Simply stating I don't want to reinstate X amount of employees doesn't cut it. As you

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have -- you have to a have a plan from where the revenue will come from to reinstate those employees if you state that you want to retain them. So if you have the idea that you want to reinstate firefighters, come up with a plan where the revenue is coming from. If you want to state that you want to cut so many people in say another department, come up with a plan, come up with a budget. Provide the suggestions. Simply saying no to the mayor's budget is not a viable solution if you don't have a plan. City council is faced with a really stuff task here and, you know, if any of my colleagues state that they want to lower taxes and reinstate all the workers that were cut, it's simply rhetoric and grandstanding if you don't have a plan on how to do it. We're looking at a situation with a number of firefighters cut and the tax revenue that would be realized with the tax increase, we're looking at

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about probably six or seven million dollars. So it's sad but it can't go both ways.

On other matters we still don't have a completed audit. In our last council meeting I requested from Mr. McGowan, our business administrator, to provide a status update on the open items that Rossi and Rossi had issued written correspondence to us about it. this day this request had not been fulfilled. As you know, city council has presented a plan and to have a meeting with representatives from Fidelity Bank to pitch our plan for the 2011 deficit. In order to make a decision on our plan for the president and vice president of Fidelity want to see the 2012 operating budget which was just sent down to council today as well as the audit. The lack of a completed audit can also be a large part of the reason why the city is not attracting many bidders for the 2012

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

tax anticipation notes. And just in case anyone listening does not know what that is, these are short term loans to pay for pay for operating expenses while the city awaits wage tax revenue for a given fiscal year. Point in mind, we need to get the audit completed.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr. McGowan on my behalf and ask him for an update on the status of all open items that have not been submitted to us from Rossi and Rossi, also ask him by date rather than simply state I am working on it. At our last meeting there an apparent issue also with Mr. Brazil, the director of DPW allowing a worker to let his son use the city DPW vehicle for personal use to take an ACL exam. While this is certainly not in the best interest of the city, I have other concerns about this matter. Ιn council's mailbox city council received an update from the

12

13

14

15

16

17

Services in regard to the purchase of federal surplus property. exploring the items that the Department of General Services offers on their website, one of the items that cities can purchase at discounted prices are surplus trucks and vehicles which are a common use for DPW operations. When purchasing federal surplus property there are strict guidelines that must be adhered to. One of those guidelines which was in bold print on a letter we received in our office about the program is that no personal use of federal surplus property is permitted.

Pennsylvania Department of General

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With this mind, Mrs. Krake, please contact Mr. Brazil to inquire whether the truck went out to the DPW worker was a truck purchased through the federal surplus program. If the truck that Mr. Brazil allowed an employee to use for his son was purchased through this program which

I'm not saying that it was, it would be a violation of federal regulations and this is a matter that seriously needs to be explored.

Since the mayor's 2012 budget seems to be the largest issue tonight, you know, just to conclude, I just want to say that I recognize that 2012 budget submitted by the mayor places a large blow on the residents of Scranton. I can assure you that I will working diligently to help soften that blow. We will do the best that we could. We will represent the best interest and we will fight for you. And that's all.

MS. EVANS: Good evening. To date Scranton City Council has not received the 2010 independent audit of the City of Scranton despite Mayor Doherty's public statement that the audit would be completed by the first week of November. Rather, city council received on November 9th the most recent audit status report

provided by Robert Rossi and Company which includes a list of over 12 open items that were to be completed on/or before March 15th, 2011; April 15th, 2011; June 14th; August 30th; September 2nd; October 3rd and October 24th, 2011, by the city administration, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and various attorneys. The local banking community has expressed clearly its need to receive the 2010 audit and the mayor's 2012 proposed budget in order to reach decisions on city borrowing and the issuance of 2012 tax anticipation notes or TANS. Further, the banks want to see what the city owes for all outstanding invoices, where the city stands financially and how the city will pay for borrowing outstanding bills including court In other words, the lenders want financial documents, not visions and promises. Thus, it would seem that a judge and no doubt it would be

25

a very visiting judge rather than a locally elected judge would also require the 2010 audit in order for the Court to approve borrowing for 2011 unfunded debt. In the Sundays Times Mr. McGoff stated that council failed to find a bank willing to buy into its plan. Perhaps Mr. McGoff knows something that neither I, nor Solicitor Hughes knows. The banks are awaiting the 2010 audit and the mayor's 2012 proposed budget. addition, during the first week of November I was informed by Attorney Hughes that he had discussed borrowing options with Attorney Brian Koscelansky of Stevens and Lee at request of officers of Fidelity Bank. Attorney Koscelansky suggested an additional plan on behalf of the Scranton Parking Authority and the mayor which would allow the Scranton Parking Authority to borrow 14 million manage and operate the parking meters for 15 years and receive a 100 percent

1 of parking meter and ticket revenue 2 for those 15 years. Thus, Attorney 3 Koscelansky's plan was a convoluted 4 version of the mayor's original plan 5 to sell the parking meters to the SPA 6 and focus on restoring the authority's financial health rather than the 7 8 fiscal health of our city. 9 response, Attorney Hughes then 10 suggested that the authority could 11 borrow the six million needed to the 12 fill the city's 2011 deficit and the 13 city would make the annual payments 14 using its own parking meter and ticket 15 revenues. Attorney Hughes suggested 16 the use of the SPA to borrow because 17 the process would be made more rapid 18 than the one followed by a 19 municipality. Regardless, the banking 20 community requires the city's 21 financials and the Doherty 22 administration refused to provide 23 them. Council did not fail, Mr. 24 McGoff. Let's try to be more 25 Council continues to pursue accurate.

22

23

24

25

its proposal which is more financially sound and beneficial than the mayor's plan because it doesn't allow for the sale of parking meters. Rather, the city would retain its meters and collect its own revenues which would be turned over to the bank to meet annual debt service for a ten-year period. City council's plan would successfully address this year's six million dollar deficit caused by the mayor's use of a 2001 TAN to pay a 2010 TAN and other prior years' bills. Equally important, the newspaper article stated that council has since asked the mayor to provide legislation to authorize borrowing for unfunded debt. That statement is completely false. I did not make this request. Councilman Loscombe, did you request the mayor to send us legislation for his borrowing?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Not at all.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan, did you make that request?

MR. ROGAN: Not formally. I would like us to vote on some proposal, though.

MS. EVANS: I understand what you are saying but according to the newspaper council has asked the mayor to send down new legislation for unfunded borrowing.

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. EVANS: No. Mr. McGoff, did you make that request?

MR. MCGOFF: I did not.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you.
Obviously then except for asking
Councilman Joyce who is out of the
room at the moment, council didn't ask
the mayor to provide this legislation
and no legislation was received by
council's office or city council
members. Throughout October finance
chair Frank Joyce and I submitted
numerous revenue generators and cost
cutting measures to Mayor Doherty for
inclusion in his 2012 proposed budget
and to the Pennsylvania Economy League

25

1

for inclusion in the revised recovery plan. Our suggestions are documented in the black and white and council received a response from the Pennsylvania Economy League on November 7th but to date we have received no answer or comments from Mayor Doherty. Nevertheless, the mayor submitted his 2012 proposed budget to City Council's Office today, the final day or deadline dictated by the Home Rule Charter. At no time did the mayor contact me to discuss either his proposed budget or my suggestions for cutting costs and generating new revenue. Was any council member contacted by the mayor to provide input into his proposed budget?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: Well, that response speaks volumes regarding the mayor's leadership philosophy, may way or the highway.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also the accuracy of the mayor's proposed budget must be brought into serious question since it was developed without the use of the 2010 independent audit of the City of Scranton which the Doherty administration has purposely and continuously stonewalled. Beyond the administration's intentional failure to produce a required audit, I will reserve comment on the mayor's proposed budget until such time as I have closely reviewed it. Council is not interested in assessing blame. Everyone knows who is to blame. Council is only interested in solutions and will examine the budget line by line in order to soften the blow to Scranton taxpayers. Also as Mr. Joyce has already done, I ask that every council member submit their ideas for budget amendments to the finance chair Mr. Joyce or to me. sure suggestions include reciprocal alternatives in revenues and expenses

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in order to maintain a balanced budget. Do not make additions to the expenditures without making additions to revenue and do not make cuts without reflecting accurate changes in related and required area such as health care, unemployment, pension, et cetera. Councilman Joyce and I think most of the public isn't aware of this but Councilman Joyce is close to completing his master's degree in business administration. He maintains a grade point average of 4.0 which to those who have been in academics throughout our career recognize is perfection. He's been inducted this Spring into an international business honor's society and will be inducted into another business honor society. And although Mr. Joyce is highly qualified to serve as the chairman of finances and, in fact, would make an excellent business administrator, each council member should pull his weight in this process by providing not only

23

24

25

suggestions but also coordinating financial figures to either Councilman Joyce or to myself. Now, since today's meeting with municipal union heads was cancelled by this administration, members of city council will remain available to discuss mitigation of the Supreme Court award, if necessary, and will present those results to the mayor, the media and the public for everyone's review. In the interim the mayor should visit the local nonprofits to urge pilot payments to the city as soon as possible. He is well acquainted with the board and officers of these organizations since some of his family members are seated on these boards of directors. he put forth an initial but feeble effort in 2010, many of his former administrators were obtaining employment at these institutions. the mayor is asking the taxpayers to pay nearly 30 percent more for far

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

less at the same time he absolutely has the responsibility to urge the local nonprofits to contribute their fair share in revenue, not in kind contributions.

And finally I have just a few citizens' request for the week. Residents of 100 block of North Garfield Avenue request the installation of a 'do not enter' sign. They report that countless drive up to street rather than traveling south and that many street signs are faded. Area homeowners request the installation of a streetlight in the middle of the 500-block of Manly Place. Several months ago a show down occurred between two criminals and police officers. Criminals were able to hide in this location because it is entirely dark. Also a cement slab was thrown through a homeowner's kitchen window. Intoxicated individuals have been found sleeping outdoors in the evening and dog owners walk their pets

regularly without cleaning up after them. So these homeowners employ the city administration to help them. And that's it.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Evans, can I just one thing? I forgot.

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Several months ago we sent a letter requesting signage on Mulberry Street, street signs, and there's still none there. I've heard several complaints, people looking for the hospital. They can't find Quincy Avenue, almost rear end accidents from people out of town slowing down trying to figure what street they're on and I don't know what it's going to take but we did issue a letter last year. There was no response. If we can just

reissue another letter to Mr. Brazil
to see that this could be done or if
the university can put temporary
signs. I mean, I don't know who is
responsible there because they're
still putting their poles up, too.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: And I recognize there are physical constraints certainly but I was discussing with Councilman Loscombe tonight the fact there are new 'no parking' signs that have been installed very recently in front of the Lackawanna County Prison, and the legislation for the installation of those signs hasn't even come before city council for approval yet. fact, I received that legislation only this afternoon for inclusion on next week's agenda. So evidently the city has these signs and has the money and the ability to install them so I think we should be doing something more useful.

MR. JOYCE: Just as a general question since you're done speaking. I wanted to insure that all council members received this memo from PEL, the Act 47 Coordinator's Financial Review Projections. I just picked this up. Just looking through here there's some differences in numbers

1	that I think especially in relation to
2	Workers' Compensation. So I would
3	encourage everyone to review the
4	budget document as well as review
5	PEL's projections to make sure that
6	everything evens out and that
7	everything aligns with each other so
8	that PEL and the administration
9	aren't, you know, a million or two
10	dollars apart on a certain item.
11	MS. EVANS: And, thank you,
12	Councilman Joyce.
13	MS. KRAKE: 5B. ACCEPTING A ONE
14	THOUSAND (\$1,000.00) DOLLAR
15	CONTRIBUTION FROM SANOFI PASTEUR
16	PRESENTED TO THE
17	CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
18	MS. EVANS: At this time I will
19	entertain a motion that Item 5B be
20	introduced into it's proper committee.
21	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
24	those in favor of introduction signify
25	by saying aye.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: Sixth Order, no
8	business at this time. Seventh Order,
9	no business at this time.
10	MS. EVANS: If there is no
11	further business, I'll enter a motion
12	to adjourn.
13	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
14	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
15	adjourned.
16	(Proceedings concluded at
17	8:48 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol, RPR Official Court Reporter