_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, November 1, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

(Not present.)

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. Mr. 4 5 Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 MS. CARRERA: 7 Mr. Loscombe. 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 10 MR. JOYCE: Here. 11 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. 12 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Dispense 13 with the reading of the minutes. Actually, 14 we will do the proclamation first. this month is for Pancreatic Cancer 15 16 Awareness, we have former Mayor Connors and 17 Mrs. Connors here today. We have a 18 proclamation and then they are going to say a few words. 19 20 "WHEREAS, in 2011, an estimated 21 44,030 people will be diagnosed with 22 pancreatic cancer in the United States and 23 37, 660 will die from the disease. 24 Approximately 2,070 of those deaths will 25 occur in Pennsylvania; and

WHEREAS, pancreatic cancer is one of the deadlist cancers and is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States; and

WHEREAS, when symptoms of pancreatic cancer present themselves, it is usually too late for an optimistic prognosis, and 74 percent of pancreatic cancer patients die within the first year of their diagnosis while 94 percent of pancreatic cancer patients die within the first five years; and

WHEREAS, of all the racial/ethnic groups in the United States, African

Americans have the highest incidence rate of pancreatic cancer, between 34 percent and 70 percent higher than the other groups; and

WHEREAS, there is no cure for pancreatic cancer and there have ben no significant improvements in the survival rates in the last 40 years; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Government invests significantly less money in pancreatic cancer research than it does in any of the leading cancer killers; and

pancreatic cancer research constitutes only approximately 2 percent of the National Cancer Institute's federal research funding, a figure far too low given the severity of the disease, its mortality rate, and how little is known about how to arrest it; and

WHEREAS, the Pancreatic Cancer
Action Network is the first and only
national patient advocacy organization that
serves the pancreatic cancer community in
Scranton and nationwide by focusing its
efforts on public policy, research funding,
patient services, and public awareness and
education related to developing effective
treatments and a cure for pancreatic cancer;
and

WHEREAS, the Pancreatic Cancer

Action Network and its affiliates in

Scranton support those patients currently

battling pancreatic cancer, as well as those
who have lost their lives to this disease,
and are committed to nothing less than a

cure; and

WHEREAS, the good health and well-being of the residents of Scranton are

enhanced as a direct result of increased awareness about pancreatic cancer and research into early detection, causes, and effective treatments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Tuesday, November 1, 2011, Scranton City council wishes to designate the month of November 2011 as "PANCREATIC CANCER AWARENESS MONTH" in Scranton.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this

Proclamation be made a permanent part of the

Minutes of City Council.

MS. CONNORS: Members of city council, guests and Pancreatic Action Network volunteers, on behalf of the Scranton-Wilkes-Barre affiliate the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network, I would like to thank you for this opportunity to address your meeting. The Pancreatic Cancer Action Network is the only national organization hope in a comprehensive way through research, patient support, community outreach and advocacy for a cure.

We are here today because 17 years ago in 1994 Jim's sister, Tina Connors, died

of pancreatic cancer just ten days after her 43rd birthday. It isn't only our lives and the lives of our family members who have been touched by this disease, this is a problem that can effect our entire community. In fact, estimates predict that the incidents of the pancreatic cancer cases is expected to increase 55 percent over the next two decades.

Moreover, it is estimated that nationwide 37,660 individuals will lose their battle to pancreatic cancer this year, 2,070 of whom are Pennsylvanians.

Because there are currently no early detection tools or effective treatments, just 6 percent of those diagnosed will survive more than five years. By declaring November 2011 to be Pancreatic Cancer Awareness month in the City of Scranton, your are helping us do the critical work of making the public aware of this disease and it's truly lethal nature.

We hope that by working together we will be able to continue to raise awareness, support patients and their families and

1	raise funds to find a cure through both
2	individual contributions and federally
3	funding and legislation. Thank you again
4	for supporting our cause and for helping us
5	raise awareness of about pancreatic cancer.
6	To make progress against this disease, it is
7	imperative to know it and fight it, so that
8	one day we can end it. Thank you again.
9	MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.
10	MR. CONNORS: Thank you.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.
12	MR. ROGAN: I'm sure that was very
13	informative for everyone in the city and to
14	increase awareness.
15	MR. CONNORS: And we are very much
16	involved with Jay Saunders family, also.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. ROGAN: Thank you.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you for
20	everything.
21	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Krake.
22	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
23	MINUTES OF THE FIREMEN'S PENSION COMMISSION
24	MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER 28, 2011.
25	MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

1	If not, received and filed.
2	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
3	POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETINGS HELD JULY
4	27, AUGUST 24, AND SEPTEMBER 28 OF 2011.
5	MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
6	If not, received and filed.
7	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE
8	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD ON
9	NOVEMBER 9, 2011.
10	MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
11	If not, received and filed.
12	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
13	REPORT, APPEAL HEARING RESULTS FROM OCTOBER
14	5, OCTOBER 12, AND NOVEMBER 16 OF 2011.
15	MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
18	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY AS OF OCTOBER
19	25, 2011.
20	MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. REPORT FROM C.C.
23	JOHNSON & MALHOTRA, PC DATED OCTOBER 26,
24	2011 REGARDING "BRIDGE" AND "WEIGHT LIMIT"
25	SIGNS MISSING FROM THE ELM STREET BRIDGE

OVER LACKAWANNA AVENUE.

MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-G. REPORT FROM C.C.

JOHNSON & MALHOTRA, PC DATED OCTOBER 26,

2011 REGARDING "BRIDGE" AND "WEIGHT LIMIT"

SIGNS EITHER MISSING OR DAMAGED FROM THE

HOLLOW AVENUE BRIDGE OVER LEGGETTS CREEK.

MS. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Are there any clerk's notes this week, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: None tonight, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. Well, actually, do any council members have announcements tonight?

MR. JOYCE: I have two. The St.

Patrick's Church Food Pantry is in need of replenishment as recent floods and the closure of their Angle Ministries increased the need of their food pantry services.

They are currently looking for individuals to donate nonperishable food items.

Donations can be made at the rear door of the rectory at 1403 Jackson Street. If

anyone listening tonight can make a donation, it will be greatly appreciated.

In addition to this, the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network will hold their Cheers to Hope event on November 5, 2011, from 6 to 10 p.m. at the Clarion Hotel located at 300 Meadow Avenue. Tickets for the event are \$25 and can be purchased at the door on event day or in advance. Pancreatic Cancer survivors can attend free of charge.

At this event there will be live music, a silent auction, light fare as well as beer, wine and soft drinks. There will be also a cash bar for other beverages and a signature cocktail with a portion of the proceeds benefiting the organization. For more information please e-mail Paul Savage at PSAVAGE@PANCANVOLUNTEER.ORG or call 498-9488, and that's all.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Loscombe, you have anything?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, I don't have any.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I wish somebody would tell us how much we actually owe the policemen and firemen. The figures that the paper put now is anywhere from 6 million to 30 million, so I guess it's going to be somewhere in-between, but I don't know if anybody really has the breakdown because you got lawyer's fees somewhere around there, you got Court costs somewhere around there, and all of those things have to be paid. I hope when they are talking about what we owe it's the total amount and not really -- how would you put it, pieces. Pieces of this and pieces of that just like it was in the beginning. was 10 million and then all of a sudden that was 1 million. Then they had it up to 20 million and that was another unit and the union people themselves say it could be up to 30 million.

The question is how are we going to pay it? That's the quirks. What we owe we owe, I can't do nothing about it because then the police and firemen were denied raises for a lot of darn years and how many

22

23

24

25

times they came before us and told us that, and then with this litigation you know it bounced back to every Court in Pennsylvania before it finally ended up with the Supreme Court? So somewhere along the line we are in deep trouble. We were in deep trouble even before. They were talking been 6 million, 7 million, 11 million in the hole. Now, what are we 40 million in the hole, 50 million in the hole? There is no wonder. How big is that hole we are talking about? It's sad. It's really sad. The elderly people in this city really are having a tough me on a fixed income. Obviously, we are going to end up with a tax raise. I don't see how we can avoid it, and I don't even know if we can borrow all the money we owe because our bond rating is down to almost negligible. They are saying that you got as much chance of being paid as a dollar -- or a coin thrown in the air and not falling to the ground. That's how they said it.

We are in bad shape financially.

Who is to blame for it? I always say the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

captain of the ship. The captain of the ship is to blame for everything, just like in the military, like the president, the buck stops here, and that's where it should have stopped, but unfortunately it didn't. It was allowed to continue and continue and continue until we are where we are now.

Now, I got a little notation there from the tax office people are going -well, we knew this before, they are going down to have their property reassessed. This one I just got because it really stuck me, it's called Class of 550 Condominium Association who had a reduction in their taxes for \$18,514. Who is going down to represent the city at these tax assessments? Do we have somebody down there? I doubt it. They care little or nothing. This is a huge reduction. That's a lot of money coming out of your taxes. I think it's only like 13 million or 14 million, all the real estate tax, and this guy got a reduction of There is lot of other reductions \$18.514. in it, too. That's destroying your tax base, all of these reductions because nobody

is going down there and fighting. It's nothing new though, it's been going on for quite awhile. I thought we were going to get a representative to represent the city down there at these tax hearings.

The worst part about it, there is no record of the hearing. At least if you could say there was a record of the hearing you can read it, you can see why they got the reduction. No. Does that smell? You better believe it does. There is a stink over that tax office you wouldn't believe for something like that. For a public -- any reduction in the taxes there should be paperwork on it. There should be something that you can read to find out why. Down there, there is nothing.

I told you before, the whole mall was valued at \$400,000 on the tax rolls.

Now, look at that mall, block after block after block 400,000. Do I believe something was wrong? You better believe I believe something is wrong. You are talking about a lot of the problems in the city from the authorities all the way. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Sbaraglia, I just 1 want to -- I do recall Mrs. Evans 2 3 specifically through council sending a letter to the mayor and the city solicitor 4 5 requesting someone be present at those hearings, but I don't believe we ever 6 7 received a response. 8 MR. JOYCE: That is correct. Ι 9 believe that was done roughly three to four 10 weeks ago, and regarding the fees or costs 11 that the Supreme Court hearing arbitration 12 award is going to cost the city, we have not 13 heard any figures that are set in stone yet 14 and once we do we will report that to you. MR. ROGAN: 15 Thank you. 16 Spindler. 17 MR. SPINDLER: I'm going to wait, 18 Pat. MR. ROGAN: 19 Okay. Bob Bolus. 20 MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. 21 Bob Bolus, Scranton. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening. 23 MR. BOLUS: I definitely think we 24 should support the pancreatic fund and the 25 drive that they are doing and support Jimmy

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thought it was kind of comical actually seeing a real live mayor come here. In all the years he was here he never forgot how to find council chambers, good, bad or indifferent. I thought maybe we should have put Doug's chair up there for mayor and Jimmy could have sat in and shown him how it's really done. But, you know, maybe Chris Doherty will get the hint now. You know, it's not a fearful place, it's not a haunted house, it's just where people want answers, and I think if he sat down in the past and spoke with the firemen, the unions, the councils. I don't think we would be in the place we are in right now.

and Susie for coming here tonight.

And it's not just him that put us here, we put ourselves here because we allowed candidates to come and take office, we allowed them to do what they did, and they put us here. It's time to bite the bullet. The games are over, the charades are over, the finger pointing is over, this is serious business now. It's not about politics, it's not about personalities, it's

about getting the job done and doing it right and putting your issues to the side and I think the mayor has to know that.

I have gone down and spoken with him on several occasions over the condemnation of my home to resolve the issue and as usual, just like he didn't do anything with the police and fire departments or anything else, he has ignored that, also. Now it's going to take the city into litigation and spend money and for what because you can't have a dialogue, you can't put your people in check? You know what they did is wrong and that's what's wrong here. You can't have a mayor put the blame and punish the people for his miscalculations and his misjudgment.

Remember, he sat on this council just like Kevin Murphy sat on this council, Kevin Murphy came up with a solution, a suggestion, good, bad or indifferent. You follow through on every source out there to pull the city out of the debt it's in. Get rid of PEL, straighten it ou t, go after all of those that gave us the bad advice and

come on, we are big boys and girls here.

It's time to do the job we are paid to do and now that somebody else is doing it and taking advantage of the city. We can pull the city out, but we all got to pull it together. You can't have a team of horses and one going in the opposite direction.

Some of the things that have gone on that's really annoying me, and last week I brought up about signs, Jack, that you had that were taken that you had given me to put up, they were taken from my residence. Now we are in a property dispute up there. There is a neighbor next door who decided he was going to take the signs down and I told you we had video of him. The police department went over last week, last Tuesday after I left the meeting, and they confronted him and he game out of his garage with five of the six signs.

Now, we have a dispute on the land, there is an easement that we have a right to use that it's in my deed. There is another part of the land that's an adverse possession and it hasn't been adjudicated as

24

25

to whether he owns it or I own it and signs are on part of that, but the interesting part is, and I brought it up, I put a \$50,000 offer on it, it's a 16 1/2 foot deeded waterway that runs through the land, through the easements and everything else, it comes from the East Mountain dam and it comes right across the properties. the signs were on that property because we had it surveyed, I know where they were. That's city-owned property until Paul Kelly could prove by deed, not by his opinion, the city does not own that property, this was city-owned property that this individual, and I filed a report on it so it's public knowledge, he is he professor, Michael Oakes. He has got a doctorate in psychology and he is employed by the University of Scranton. Now, this is one heck of a way for an employee at the University to treat our legislative body that's protected that University from paying taxes or paying a They have had a free ride on the taxpayers of this city a long, long time and now their people, he is a coward, come out

22

23

24

25

and take campaign signs off of property he doesn't own. It's owned by the City of Scranton and that's why I filed the police report and it's going to come up and we are going to do with it and Paul Kelly is going to get his opportunity to prove whether the city owns it or not, but to take these signs, he is a transplant from another state, I wonder if they did business like this in his home town where he came from and Michael Oakes has a doctorate, he teaches psychology, is this how we teach, lead by example? Do by example? Do unto others? Ι don't think so. This is Scranton and I think he and others like him that have taken political signs, because I have been a candidate in the past and have them taken, are cowards. Stand up and be gentlemen, but don't take something that doesn't belong to you and take it off property you don't own.

And, Mr. Loscombe, I'm going to pursue him to the end. I think we have to reconsider how we deal with the University from here on in because if they employ people like this we don't want them in our

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

town. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

I'll be somewhat Doug Miller, Scranton. brief here tonight. I just had a few issues that I wanted to address here, a lot of them are repetitive as to what we've been dealing with here the last few weeks regarding public safety unions and the budget and other issues, but I just want to start tonight by just saying that it was an honor and a pleasure to have the former Mayor Connors here in attendance with his wife Mrs. Susie Connors, to reflect on the pancreatic cancer, I thought it was a very nice think for council to do to honor them with a proclamation and I just wanted to commend them for their efforts.

I want to start here tonight with an issue that came out recently in the GO Lackawanna newspaper, I believe it was on Sunday, regarding city equipment being used for personal use. We have had issues with

21

22

23

24

25

this in the past with the Sewer Authority, and now once again out of DPW. This time an individual took a DPW vehicle for his son to take CDL driver's license test at the Dunmore Driver's License Center, and I just have to say that learning this I'm certainly appalled. I don't feel that anyone should be using city vehicles on personal time. Ιf you are not employed by the city then you should not be doing that and I just feel that there should be some consequences paid here, I don't think we should just slide this under the rug like past councils would do. I think the mayor needs to stand up and hold Mr. Brazil accountable because Mr. Brazil allowed this to take place. believe he made a comment, well, it's right here, that he authorized it because the father who is the employee is one of those guys who when in the middle snowstorm and everyone is going home he is guy who asks, "Do you need me for a few more hours?"

Well, first of all, it's his job to go out there and plow snow so I don't think we should be rewarding people, well, you go

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out and plow the city streets, I'll allow to use one of you vehicles for your son to take a test. That's not the way we do business here and I just don't feel it should be tolerated and I perhaps think we should really seriously consider the termination of this employee because I just don't understand how we can continue to allow individuals in this city to take advantage of the taxpayers, using vehicle on their own We have had problems with people in time. the Sewer Authority who are allowed to take Sewer Authority vehicles home with them when they are off the clock and I feel that that should not be tolerated, and these are just things that I would ask council to look into. I'm quite confident you will, and we need to start holding people accountable here because this has been going on for far too long and we had way too many taxpayers taken advantage of it.

Moving onto the Supreme Court ruling, you addressed a lot of this last week, but I just want to kind of repeat a lot of what I said last week. As I stated,

I feel a lot of this could have been avoided a long time ago had the mayor been willing to sit down with both sides and work out a fair deal for both the unions and the taxpayers. Unfortunately, because he failed to do that, we are left in the quite a predicament here and a situation where council is going to have some tough decisions to make as well as the mayor because he allowed this to happen.

And, unfortunately, yet again his solutions is -- the three famous solutions are more layoffs, more jeopardizing the health, safety and well-being of the residents of this city, he wants to shut down more engine companies effecting response times even though in his mind he feels it doesn't, I'm still curious to see where his expertise came from and his degree in that area because I don't feel he is qualified to make those decisions.

Number two is tax increases, we have heard a rumor of 100 percent, as I stated last week, when are we going to stop placing these burdens on the residents of his city.

1

9

10

11

7

8

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

They work hard for their money. We saw the front page of the paper, the unemployment rate. I mean, there aren't any jobs out there. People are struggling right now and to expect taxpayers who struggle to get by each day, to expect them to take on a tax increase in excess of 100 percent is just not realistic.

And the third solution is borrowing. Well, we've let that go on for ten years. Council -- rubber stamped council's let it go by, they approved borrowing, they approved tax increases and Mr. McGoff was quoted in the paper that he would consider another tax increase. I mean, he has no problem doing that, he rubber stamped one in 2007, 26 percent, so he has no -- he has no sympathy for the residents of this city and he has shown that time and time again, but the borrowing has to stop, and I'm confident that this council won't let that happen and we have given out way too many blank checks. We are well over 300 million in long-term debt, that's not even including the authorities, so the games and the nonsense

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has to stop. The taxpayers work too hard to allow a mayor to come in and hammer them with all of these taxes and fees and it's just got to stop.

We need to find real solutions.

Unfortunately, we had them in the 2011

budget, this city council, but that was all ignored.

And regarding the University, lastly tonight the University of the Scranton, I do believe we need to go over after them more aggressively, and that's not a criticism of this council because last summer Councilman Loscombe, Councilwoman Evans had several meetings with the University to try and work out a fair deal for the city, but the 175,000 they give us each year I just find to be a complete joke, and I believe they should be contributing a lot more, you know, we are talking about public safety, services that our hardworking taxpayers pay for that this University doesn't contribute a thing to and they are effected and the residents are effected, but I would just ask that we continue to pursue a payment, an impact fee

that we have talked about because they need to be paying their fair share, the free ride has got to stop and that's something that we hopefully for 2012 can pursue a lot more vigorously and get that accomplished.

And just lastly tonight, obviously next Tuesday is election day, I would just encourage everyone to exercise their civic duty, obviously there is a lot at stake here. We can ill afford to go back to the old days of rubber stamp councils when you continue moving full speed ahead where we are today and I would just ask everyone that the best thing that we as Scrantonians can do is go out on and vote next Tuesday. And that's all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. JOYCE: Before the next speaker comes up, I just wanted to address what you stated about the DPW worker and explain the whole situation. What happened was city council's office received an anonymous tip from someone that a DPW worker was lending the vehicle, a city vehicle, to his son to take a CDL license exam, and we had our city

controller Roseann Novembrino investigate
this issue and from what you said she did
confirm that Mr. Brazil gave him the
permission to do so, which I think is very
inappropriate for a number of reasons:

One, the city is struggling for cash so any extra use of a city vehicle is going to incur extra fuel charges and if God forbid if something ever happens to the vehicle there is extra maintenance charges.

Now, the other issue that I see with this is the insurance liability aspect of it because, you know, God forbid if something ever happened and that person were to get into an accident I highly doubt that the insurer for the city would be very pleased to know than a nonworker was driving the city vehicle, and I think this could -- that would have a huge impact on future premiums and also our coverage requirements.

MR. ROGAN: If I could also add to what Mr. Joyce said, I think you are exactly right and I have been very critical of the DPW, I think in this case you can't blame the employee because he went to his

supervisor and asked for permission and in my opinion Jeff Brazil should be fired.

MR. MILLER: I agree with you.

MR. ROGAN: He should be fired or he should resign. There is absolutely no excuse for letting someone who is not a city employee bring a city vehicle to take a driver's license test when the person doesn't even have a driver's license to begin with. So thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: I appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I agree with you,
Mr. Rogan, it's not the fault of the
employee, because at least the employee went
to ask, but the director of the department
should have said, "No, we are sorry, we
can't permit you to use that vehicle, you
will have to find another vehicle that you
could take or that you can have your son
take the exam with."

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And it goes further than just this issue. I mean, apparently Mr. Brazil is the head of the department and

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they are actually tearing down buildings for private individuals, too, on or dime, something we are looking into at this point. I mean, that's your tax dollars, but if you had to tear your building down you would have to pay for the necessary permits and hire a contractor. We have evidence that there is some buildings that are being torn down for private individuals at the Department of Public Works. At the same time we have the West Lackawanna bridge, who Mr. Brazil was on TV in the newspaper stating he would close those sidewalks because there was a safety issue and nothing has been done up there to this point. mean, I don't know what to say, you know. Ι would have to concur with my colleagues here.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Locsombe.
Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Hello.

MR. ELLMAN: I had my usual bunch of nonsense to talk about tonight and I went over to the Giant this morning to get some

strawberry milk and this young fellow, I guess he is the housing project Romeo, he jumped all over me for my statement last week about unwed mothers having all of these kids. Well, I stand by my statement, I had six, eight, ten people mention it.

You know, people are tired of seeing is someone at the grocery store by \$300 worth of groceries and having an Access card. They are tired of hearing about people getting free rent and utilities and, you know, it's just -- it just got to stop and that's all. I'm not going to get into no more controversy about un wed mother, but anybody -- there is not many people naive enough to think if they have to chose between milk and cigarettes what they are going to take.

He called me a disgrace to the city and I guess he seen some of these meetings. You want to know what a disgrace to the city is that man downstairs. There is a disgrace to the city. This city is in the worst shape it has been in in 150 years. It just can't be in no worse shape than it is.

The school board, there is a disgrace to the people in this city. Just finished a \$28 million school and talking about another one. They never confronted one KOZ years ago when they infested the city with KOZ's, didn't contest a one, then they wonder why there is no money for anything.

The University of Scranton is my favorite deplorable disgrace. There just couldn't be -- they have taken these billions of dollars off our tax rolls and they still going. They will never have enough. It's like I said years ago, they are like a big octopus going around this hill section. Lackawanna College, they told you guys to go to hell when you asked for a donation. That's not a big enough disgrace for the city to suffer when they take over property and buildings and have an attitude like that?

What about this Goodwill? They got 2 1/2 million dollars of tax money they don't want to account for, they have tied up that building for ten years, they are

probably -- that building should have been sold to somebody eight, ten years ago to make apartments and been on the tax rolls.

CMC is a disgrace. For years they haven't paid the taxes on the garage. Why doesn't the city attach the garage? You are not going to get no where as long as Doherty is in office because these are all his financial backing to run for office. You know, this city just reeks from the smell of stench and graph and corruption and cronyism and nepotism and it just doesn't stop. I imagine that you guys have heard people complain like I'm doing right now.

And the one thing that everybody has in common they say we are talking about the future of our children, the future of this city. There is no future like this. You got houses that people are losing. I was told by a real estate person that probably an eighth of the houses in this city are for sale, a third of the city is KOZ and nonprofit and tax exempt. Where is the income going to be? How are going to keep young people here, you know?

It's like I have said several times, my two boys don't even want my house. They tell me to sell it any time I want. They don't want to live here. This isn't a town for young people the way things are going.

You know, last week you were talking about this Parking Authority, that boy wonder at a \$100,000 a year job has driven the Parking Authority into the toilet. Look at the money they owe and they want to borrow more and buy more? Just like CMC, they haven't paid for a taxes they want free parking meters, and that school, you can't go on. You just can't go on and keep thinking like everybody says in the papers we'll just go to the taxpayers, we got to raise taxes.

There is just nothing left in the well when you go anymore in this city, you know. You're just going to have more abandoned property, more foreclosed houses and it's just not fair to do this to the people anymore.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Ellman.

1 MR. ELLMAN: We just have to have 2 some leadership in this city. We have to 3 have somebody come and govern this city that knows what they are doing. It's just ashame 4 5 to listen to people cry their hearts out and, you know, there is people from that 6 7 University go over there in the morning for 8 lunch at the Radisson and spend more money 9 than most of the people that are paying 10 taxes make a day, you know, that have to pay 11 taxes on them. 12 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman --13 MR. ELLMAN: You know, they have to 14 pay taxes on them. MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, your time is 15 16 up. 17 MR. ELLMAN: People know this, they 18 say it to me. It's just got to end 19 somewhere. You know, it's not my fault 20 there is no future for the children --21 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman --22 (Mr. Rogan bangs the gavel.) 23 MR. ELLMAN: It's not council's 24 fault. 25 Mr. Ellman, your time is MR. ROGAN:

1 up. 2 MR. ELLMAN: It's just time 3 something was done for the people of this 4 city and worrying about this --5 (Mr. Rogan bangs the gavel.) MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, your time is 6 7 up. 8 MR. ELLMAN: I'm sorry. 9 It's okay. We'll be MR. ROGAN: 10 here next week. Actually, we won't be here 11 next week. 12 MR. ELLMAN: Well, I'm on my soap 13 box now. Thank you very much. 14 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bill 15 Jackowitz and then Mr. Spindler if you would 16 like to go, you wanted to go later. 17 (While Mr. Ellman was speaking Mr. 18 McGoff took the dais and joined the 19 meeting.) 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz, 21 South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. Today is the fist 22 23 of November and the audit still has not been 24 presented to the Scranton City Council. The

Home Rule Charter, the law, states that all

25

information must be provided by May of every year. How can the mayor and Scranton City Council possibly come up with a truthful and balanced budget if the audit is not completed on time?

Again, I must hold the Honorable
Mayor Doherty and his appointed business
administrator, Mr. Ryan McGowan, responsible
for intentionally delaying the audit. This
is my opinion and my opinion only. I cannot
think of any valid reason why this
information would be six months late.

GO Lackawanna newspaper, Sunday 23
October, 2011, the Honorable Mayor Doherty
is quoted as saying, "Hopefully by the end
of next week we will have it all taken care
of. We understand everybody's concern and
we have been very forceful. In the past, we
have always had it done earlier."

Maybe earlier, but never on time, 30 May. Come on, Honorable Mayor Doherty, you can do better than that.

Mr. Chris Kelly, Times-Tribune staff writer wrote an article 30 October 2011, it was nice to see that the Times-Tribune

finally put some of the burden for

Scranton's financial situation and poor

decisions on the shoulders of the Honorable

Mayor Doherty. In my opinion he is the one

who chose to listen to Harrisburg and

challenge the public safety unions along

with his rubber stampers who included

Mr. McGoff, Mrs. Gatelli, Ms. Fanucci,

Mr. Pocius and Mr. McTiernan, Mr. Gilhooley,

Mr. Murphy, Mr. DiBileo and Mr. Hazzouri.

They are all should be held responsible for

the financial disaster of Scranton.

My hope now is that the Scranton
Times-Tribune will rally around the
residents/taxpayers of Scranton and write
articles urging the Honorable Mayor Doherty
to sit down with city council and public
safety unions in public and discuss the
distressed status of Scranton. Maybe the
Times-Tribune can hold a public town hall
meeting and invite the Honorable Mayor
Doherty and all the other elected and
appointed officials of Scranton to attend.

The time has come for everyone, including the newspaper, to come together

and help with resolving the problems that the residents/taxpayers are faced with. The fighting must stop now and cooperation must start now. Time has run out for the residents/taxpayers of Scranton.

I'm going to wait for the sirens to go. I hope my time is stopped. There goes the bravest men in Scranton right there, the Scranton firefighters.

The residents/taxpayers cannot afford to pay the salaries that have been awarded, but then again, the residents/taxpayers and the firefighters and police officers are not the ones responsible for these salary awards. The Honorable Mayor Doherty and his advisors are responsible so they must be willing to sit down and work out a compromise for the well-being of the taxpayers. Personalities must be put aside.

The residents have suffered far too much and we cannot afford higher taxes nor cuts in public safety and reduction of the services. It was not the fault of the residents and the taxpayers. The blame falls squarely on the shoulders of the

Honorable Mayor, rubber stamp city council members, PEL and other state organizations including elected governors, state legislators and state senators. The time has come to help the residents/taxpayers of Scranton out of this mess. Cooperation is the word of the day and for the future of Scranton.

In my opinion, the Honorable Mayor

Doherty will not attend the meeting in city

council chambers, so Times-Tribune, schedule

the news coverage somewhere else, possibly

one of the high schools or maybe the

University of Scranton. ECTV can still

broadcast along with the news stations.

"We have to deal with it." No,
Honorable Mayor Doherty, you have to deal
with it. You are the cause, not the
residents/taxpayers, you and your rubber
stampers.

Does state Senator John Blake live in Scranton? No. Remember, the Honorable Mayor Doherty wanted this seat. Does Representative Smith live in Scranton? No. Does he pay his taxes? No. Does

Representative Murphy live in Scranton?

Yes. Remember, he was president of city

council and supported the Honorable Mayor

Doherty and the expired Recovery Plan. On

the other hand, Mr. Reap did not.

Now I understand that the city DPW vehicles are used for private citizens driver's licenses exams. Mr. McGoff, I hope you look into this matter. Did he at least pay for the fuel that was used for his driver's license exam? We need to find that out. If he didn't, then he needs to be billed for it along with Mr. Brazil.

DPW director Jeff Brazil estimated the city spent \$3,000 on Saturday alone, but costs are secondary to clear roadways and safety. "Safety first. We are going to do what we need to do."

Mr. Brazil, that is why Scranton taxpayers pay you. \$100 of overtime on Saturday. That equates to 12 people working eight hours a day on overtime plus four hours extra to pick up tree branches from the City of Scranton? I think that's a little overkill, Mr. McGoff. You are in

charge -- you are the guy for DPW, I hope you look into that matter because I think we overpaid. \$100 of overtime in one day to pick up tree branches? Come on, we are in a distressed city and we are in a budget crises.

Unemployment drops to 9.7 percent.

Reason for the drop, school bus drivers return back to work. Still the highest unemployment in the state for the 18th consecutive month and we are paying \$100 in overtime for one day to pick up tree branches for one storm?

Quote. That's comes right out of today's newspaper, and I hope the Scranton Times' writes an article about the guy using the flatbed truck to take his private driver's license test. I didn't see anything in the paper. If I missed it, I apologize to the Times-Tribune, but, Josh, I hope you write an article on that.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you,
Mr. Jackowitz. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. It's

no secret, it's been said here many times, have been a distressed city for 19 years now since 1992, and all the while PEL has done nothing but collect millions of dollars from the city. As I have said in the past, they don't want us to get out of distressed status because their gravy train would be over. They wouldn't be stuffing their pockets with money like they did all of these years.

Last week Mrs. Evans asked her colleagues to send a letter to the mayor -- to the governor, I'm sorry, the governor of Pennsylvania to replace PEL and Mr. McGoff is the only one that said no. Mr. McGoff, why was that?

MR. MCGOFF: I didn't think it was appropriate.

MR. SPINDLER: Why?

MR. MCGOFF: Because I didn't think it was appropriate.

MR. SPINDLER: PEL is supposed to be trying to turn our city around for 19 years and we are in worse shape now than we were in 1992 and you don't think it's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate? I don't think it's appropriate that you are sitting up there on city council because you don't give a darn about the taxpayers of this city if you can make a comment like that. That's ridiculous.

And also, Mr. McGoff, while I'm talking to you, last week you said why would you ever negotiate because arbitrators most of the time side with the unions. Well, you are wrong again, Mr. McGoff, because our unions were more than willing to sit down with this mayor for ten years and he never once sat down with them. A few years ago there was a handshake agreement between the unions and the city and your mayor, Chris Doherty, reneged on it, so it's not the arbitrators, Mr. McGoff, it's your mayor and it's people like you and Mrs. Gatelli and Mrs. Fanucci and Mr. Pocius, and so on and so forth, all of the rubber stampers in the past years. You are the problem and I hope the people remember next Tuesday on election day who the problem really is.

Moving on about the Supreme Court decision again, the mayor loses the decision

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and instead of taking offers from the union to sit down and try to work out a fair agreement, which the unions want to do and they offered the mayor to sit down and even forgive some of award, and the mayor in his own egotistical way says, no. He would rather raise taxes, layoff more police officers and firefighters, put the city -- the people of this city in danger.

You know, it's time for the people of this city to speak up. You know, when people speak up their voices are heard and it's just proved on the news tonight that I think everyone knows about the outrageous fees the Bank of America wanted to charge their customers, well, it was on the news tonight, all of the people spoke up and said they are going to take the money out of the bank now Bank of America said they are not going to raise those fees. They are not going to charge all of these fees. So, see, it's pays to speak of. Maybe if more people besides us few that come here every week came here and talked to the mayor and said, you know, we are not going to put up with

it, maybe things will change, but if people sit home and just let this man do what he wants to do nothing is going to change and it's a darn shame because it's the taxpayers that are suffering.

That's all I have for now. Once again, I want to say next Tuesday is election day, I hope everyone gets out there and votes. It's supposed to be a pretty nice day, I think, and get out there and do your civic duty. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Spindler. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, I came here and missed a couple of meetings, I thought I would take a little break, listened to comments tonight and, you know, maybe it's that I just generally have just different ways of looking at things. I think there is more than enough blame to go around here and we can blame everybody for where the city is, and I think I have said it before, the residents voted for every individual who sat

in the council seat or in the mayor's office. Now, you know, we can agree or disagree about many things, but we can't disagree about that.

You know what, it really amazes me that, you know, we have people actually sacrificing their lives for our right to vote and so many people just have just a bad taste in their mouth as far as voting is concerned and I just think you can't change anything at all unless you vote.

Now, next Tuesday is election day.

You know, I'd like to read in the Scranton

Times and GO Lackawanna that more Scranton

residents came out and voted for whatever

candidate they care to vote for than ever

before because if there is going to be a

mandate given on the direction of this city

the people for once have to stand up and

make it quite clear what we they want to go

in. I think that they voted for the

Recovery Plan, I think they voted for a lot

of things. Why they did it, I can't really

say that because I'm only one individual.

And in regards to the settlement or

the court case with the fire and police, I think it's a lot more complex issue than what we presented here tonight. I think the legislature was trying to find out what the parameters of Act 47 were. And, look it, I don't work down in the mayor's office and I have no idea who called him from the state or what happened, but I think the Scranton Times did report that a lot of cities besides Scranton were locked in labor negotiations and trying to figure out what Act 47 would allow them to do, and I think the City of Scranton moved forward as a test case, and I think now we found out what's happened.

The only problem is, you know, we are where we are and we can all talk about what somebody else should have did because there were prior councils that sat there and said they were going to try and negotiate and work out a deal. I mean, I was in possession of a contract that was allegedly brought to the fire and police and there might have been some movement on it.

I just think we are blaming the

25

wrong people here. I think we have to blame the residents for not voting or for voting for the wrong people and I just in my own opinion, I don't know how they determine what course they want to take or who they want to vote for, but, I think they need to really reexamine what their place is in the elective process, and like I said before, I don't think elections are about Democrats and Republicans, I think they are about people, all right, and I just hope that they get back to the basics, and next election day, even though Mr. Rogan you wanted to have a meeting, we are not going to be able to do that, they need to really come out and, look it, it doesn't matter who they vote for. It's not only a council race or a controller's race it's everything. really, it's time for people to stand up. The right to vote didn't come cheap, don't forget we through the British out of this country and it's been an ongoing process as we have made it possible for more and more Americans to vote, and I just hope that they do it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident
and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First I would like before you take your vote on 7-B tonight for someone to explain why we need a new substation when it's not even been I believe five years since we built our fire or police station. I thought that was supposed to be comprehensive and take care of everything and everybody and now we have one in West Side and now we are going to have one, I believe that property is on Keyser Avenue, and I would like a justification and I would like to know how much it is going to cost to run that substation per annum before you vote, obviously.

And I know I'm going to sound like a broken record, but I don't understand why it is so difficult for this council to tell me what happens to minutes. Minutes are received, and it was my understanding you

operate with Robert's Rules of Order, which
I believe requires you to amend errors and
to pass -- actually approve the minutes. Do
you ever approve minutes?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You need to check with your solicitor on that then.

Next, Andy Sbaraglia talked about all of the appeals for real estate. I don't blame those people. The property assessments in this town are so out of whack and we had two county commissioners who stepped on the third rail and actually went forward with a -- with a countywide reassessment and so we flushed it there in the county, it was several millions of dollars, somewhere between four and nine millions of dollars down the tubes on what had been done for the county reassessment.

Now, you had PEL in here last fall telling you that part of your problem is the lack of a reassessment. You are having all of these individuals reassessments or appeals, I think that you really need to write a letter to the county and ask them to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get off the dime and do their assessment and from what I have read in the media their problem -- or they state their problem in addition to no money, but then what's new, they seem to be able to find money for stadiums and for all kinds of other things, I think this is probably more important, but if they are looking for a statewide solution then I think you all need to contact the county and get together with them and perhaps with the school board and get letters out to all of our elected state reps and tell them what you think needs to be done so we get this off ground zero. This is something that is just abhorrent. Ι don't know how it can go on this long and a lot of people are suffering because of it.

And then the audit, I, too, was told that pee were going to have the audit within a week and that was longer than a week ago, so I hope tonight we are going to get a firm date and I hope that we are going to see it before any action is taken this year that it's not just privy to the city council members prior to voting for a budget.

__

On the police and firefighters' settlement, I understand you may not know all of what the total amount is going to be because of one time charges such as lawyers' fees, but it certainly seems to me you should know what the recurring costs are going to be as far as police and firefighters' salaries and I would certainly hope you have that. If you don't have it tonight, I'm shocked, but if you don't I certainly hope you have that in two weeks when you return to a council meeting. I think we need to know that very soon.

I also would like to know if that is -- if that court case cleared up all of the open items for the police and firefighters or are there still things hanging out there, how many, what's the dollar value on those.

And then finally, when will we -when we will get to see the new Recovery
Plan from PEL? Do we have a date scheduled
for them to make a presentation?

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so.

MR. JOYCE: I don't believe so, and

actually in my absence Mrs. Krake attends
the PEL meetings and I get an update on them
and from what I am understanding PEL is not
going to present a Recovery Plan this year;
is that correct, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: At first PEL remarked that there would not be a Recovery Plan forthcoming, and then the representative from DCED said the state had decided they there would be a moratorium on the Recovery Plan until they reviewed the ramifications of the Supreme Court decision.

MR. JOYCE: I believe in lieu of this, Mrs. Krake, did they not say that they are going to submit a document, a longer document, regarding the upcoming budget and their beliefs and ideas on what we should be doing?

MS. KRAKE: Yes. Gerry Cross said he would being doing a 20-page letter to the administration and council in light of the fact that this is the 2012 budget season. It will include parts of the Recovery Plan and they will make recommendations as to how PEL feels the city should move forward with

1 the 2012 budget. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. So we will 2 3 not have a multi year-budget, be able to see a multi-year budget at all this year then. 4 5 Well, I certainly think that's unfortunate, but I'll stop there for tonight. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Before you leave, I have 8 answer on 7-B, it's \$1 per year. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Say what? 10 MR. ROGAN: 7-B, the cost is \$1 per 11 year. That was the question. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: The cost is \$1? 13 MR. ROGAN: \$1 per year. It's 14 basically a donation. MR. LOSCOMBE: Airline Petroleum 15 16 basically donated the unit for just a 17 dollar. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: But there is not 19 going to be any maintenance? There is 20 nothing -- there is not going to be any --21 MR. JOYCE: I think that's --22 I mean, I can see MS. SCHUMACHER: 23 it might be a lease -- the lease may be \$1 a 24 year, but you have got to put in equipment, 25 you have got to maintain it and 00.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, you were referring to electrical and maintenance charges and so on and so forth.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, what it takes to operate. You get a bare building, yeah, that's fine, or I don't even know if it's a bare building or if it's just land, but it's not going to be a dollar a year if you have people there, I'll tell you that.

MR. ROGAN: And I'll also comment, I agree with you completely what you are saying about the reassessment from what Mr. Sbaraglia said, and just to clear it up, I know you can correct me if not, it's not for tax collector's office. Mr. Courtright gets the taxes, it's the assessor's office which is in --

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's the county.

MR. ROGAN: -- through the county and the problem is if you believe your taxes are assessed -- your property is valued too high you are going to go and get it reduced. If you believe your property is valued too low, you are not going to say anything and you are going to keep paying the lower rate and

that's why we need a reassessment.

Mr. Munchack and Mr. Cordaro were pursuing it and then when the new majority came in they put a squash on it. It's the one thing I agree with PEL we do need a reassessment in the city and the county.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And, as I say, they are blaming the state and everybody is pointing fingers, but let's get some letters out and urge somebody to take some action. Personally, I think they are looking for a handout, but, you know, it's still all tax dollars so we just have to bite the bullet at some point. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I agree with what they stated at least in the past, I believe they said that the total cost countywide reassessment would be somewhere in the \$8 million range from what I understand.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, it would be very expensive, but in the long time it has to be done eventually because property values do change over the decade.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Somebody is going

to get sued one of these days.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:ms.schumacher:} \textbf{MS. SCHUMACHER:} \quad \textbf{That will be} \\ \text{expensive, too.} \\$

MR. ROGAN: Adam Gault.

MR. GAULT: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. GUALT: My name is Adam Gault, and I am the student government president at the University of Scranton for this year. I just wanted to come before you tonight to remind you that recently the University and it's student body has reached out to you to attend the upcoming event on our campus starting next week, election day, and to the following Tuesday the 15th.

We are sponsoring a political engagement week encouraging and attempting to encourage students that would be registered to vote to vote next Tuesday, to come to one of our meetings, a series of films, and then more importantly the think global, act local panel discussion political engagement on November 14, Monday, where we would have local elected officials and

student leaders discuss why and how public service as well as the role of the University students in the City of Scranton.

The panel discussion is taking place at 7:00 p.m. in the new Loyola Science Center, Room 133, which was different from the original invitation, so again, 7:00 p.m. in the new Loyola Science Center, Room 133.

Just to kind of elaborate on the purpose of this panel discussion, it is not so much about the University and the city relations, but more of why you, as elected officials, got involved in politics or public service and the hope is that through a conversation between students and local elected leaders we can spread greater political engagement.

Some of the questions that we will be planning to ask is: Why did you get involved in politics and public service?

What did you do in your role? And what do you see as the potential for engagement by University students in the City of Scranton?

So we hope you can make it, consider it, and we appreciate that, appreciate the

1	time, and I have some contact information
2	and thank you.
3	MR. GAULT: Thank you.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Adam, what was the
5	name of that where the
6	MR. GAULT: Loyola Science Center.
7	The new Loyola Science Center, Room 133.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Science Center?
9	MR. GUALT: Yeah, the Loyola Science
10	Center, yes. Room 133.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. I got your
12	memo and the paperwork here. I was going to
13	respond today and I got a little tied up.
14	MR. GAULT: No problem. Thank you,
15	that's why I came and reminded you.
16	MR. ROGAN: Thank you. We
17	appreciate it.
18	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
19	MR. ROGAN: Dave Dobrzyn. If you
20	have a flyer as well you can put that up in
21	our office if you would like, if you would
22	like to leave that as well.
23	MR. GAULT: Thank you.
24	MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.
25	Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and

taxpayer. I'm also interested in an accounting on that arbitration award.

That's the very first of what we have to do and I'm sure you people know that and hopefully the administration cooperates.

I'm also disturbed every week by grants that are available and are not being applied for. I have heard of two instances now where extra firemen could be hired with grants or jobs maintained and also this health insurance issue, which is a big issue, and more your work force and people get laid off according to seniority, the more expensive your health insurance gets.

For instance, up in Clarks Summit a few years back there was a company, nobody was under 50 years old, well, their health insurance went up 30 percent, so that's a big consideration.

And I have a -- I did that research a few weeks ago and Pat didn't hear this, I wanted to repeat it for him. The Wilkes-Barre trash removal is \$160 a year, but it's \$9 for five bags and if you recycling the stuff it's no further charge.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, you have to have a bag, so it is egregious that it's hitting people in the pocketbook, it may be an issue that could be possibly explored to force people to recycle as opposed to taking and driving up our tipping fees up at the landfill.

Now, I have a little message for the public employees, trade packs devastate the working class and their taxpaying abilities. Please, tell all of Congress to enforce a freeze on all trade agreements. Last week I mentioned 400,000 workers are coming down from North Korea and their wages are being paid to the government in North Korea who, of course, don't give a damn whether they starve to death or not, obviously, and they have lots of time and money to play with nuclear devices and try to build rockets and that make delivery possible and so forth, please contact your congressman because if we don't have jobs to pay the taxes then something is going to break. No matter how much we are on your side, no matter how much we are sympathetic to your ideals, the average person I just have a personal thing

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_ .

25

at home where my wife lost her job, now she is starting back with the same 40 hour a week \$6,000 a year less. That's less money coming into you people in wage taxes and so forth and unless ability to pay property taxes and such, and we are just glad that she got the job.

Also, on one of the news pundits I seen movement with Jimmy Williams, a former lobbyist, his in Washington D.C., you go on-line and Getmoneyout.com, and it's proposing a constitutional amendment to stop all of these shadow groups and organizations from being able to buy candidates for their own interest. That's where a lot of our trade packs come from. We just seen where the unemployed were held hostage to trade packs allegedly, so if we don't solve this problem of unemployment, and I would predict that it's never going to be solved under the current situation, so if we don't solve that it's going to be just keep getting worse and worse for everybody.

So, please, if you could, contact your trade -- local representative in

Congress and Senators and tell them, please, no more trade packs until we get back on your feet, and I think we will back off our feet once somebody starts working once again at the end of a machine gun.

And one quick thing, the school board, please contact them and ask them to skip the new schools. Fix something for five or six million dollars, but don't build another one for 30 or 40.

And the golden parrot goes to
Whirlpool Corporation, they bought out
Maytag and they are laying off hundreds and
thousands of American workers in Iowa and
Arkansas and shipping the jobs out, they
bought Maytag, who used to be a dream
company to work for so, thank you, Whirlpool
and I won't be buying your products if
possible. Bawk. Bawk. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anything who wants to address council?

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. I wish to thank Mr. Rogan for sitting down and talking to the mayor. It's been four weeks since

you have done that and so far nothing has come of it. Perhaps during motions you could tell us just what transpired and what we can expect from the mayor.

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Thank you. Several months ago, city council took over control of the checkbook for the RE-RE fund.

Perhaps it was, Mr. Joyce, you can tell us finance the mayor has been writing out any other checks and what they are for?

MR. LOSCOMBE: He just went to the men's room.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Do you have any information on that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: He just stepped out,
Mr. Ungvarsky. He should be right back.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Thank you. Last
January, city council asked the mayor to get
bids for the insurance for the city.
Three-quarters of a year has passed by and
so far there is nothing on it. Another year
will go and we'll still have the same
insurance broker. Does the City of Scranton
have an insurance advisor working for them?

1 Does anyone know? MR. LOSCOMBE: Not that I'm aware 2 3 of. 4 MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, the county 5 does, perhaps you can talk to him and see what can be done about getting some broker 6 in to give the City of Scranton a 7 8 competitive bid on their insurances. Ιt 9 seems like we can always find money or find 10 ways to spend money, but when it comes down 11 to saving a dollar for the taxpayers we seem 12 to have a hard time doing things like that. 13 Thank you, Council, and I wait for your 14 answers, Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: 15 Thank you. Is there 16 anyone else who cares to address council? 17 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Quick one, Pat, 18 last one. 19 MR. ROGAN: Hey, Chrissy. 20 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Last one. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 22 MR. SLEDENZSKI: You know something, 23 Bring Jack back forever. Thank you. 24 MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chrissy. 25 there anyone else who would like address

1 council? 2 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 3 MOTIONS. MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, do you have 4 motions or comments? 5 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I do. First, 6 7 procedural, I would like to make a motion to 8 appoint Mr. Rogan as the Temporary Chair on 9 Rules. MR. LOSCOMBE: 10 Second. 11 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 12 those in favor, signify by saying aye. 13 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. So ordered. 15 16 (Mr. Joyce not present for vote.) 17 MR. MCGOFF: Next, just a couple of 18 responses because I was asked why I voted 19 against sending something to PEL or asking 20 for PEL to be removed. I guess I've 21 criticized at times what PEL has done, I 22 have also attended a number of meetings with 23 PEL, with the representatives from PEL. 24 They are a state appointed group under the 25 Recovery Plan, the Recovery Plan that is

approved by the citizens of Scranton. We are still in that distressed status and I think that, you know, PEL has made attempts to deal with recovery. I think the problem has been that in some cases both the mayor and the council have refused to listen to suggestions from PEL.

Last year when PEL came before council and presented some numbers to us, in hindsight what they presented was probably the most accurate statistics on the finances of the city, so maybe they should have, you know, been listened to, again, by both the mayor and council.

And if you really want to spin on, you know, what PEL has done, you know, basically by adhering to whatever adherence to the Recovery Plan put forth by PEL, basically if we look at whatever the award is going to be from as result of the Supreme Court decision, that's money that was saved under the Recovery Plan. That's money that we did not pay during that period of time. I'm just saying, you can put a very positive spin to what they have done over the past

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year, so I just believe that we need someone, advice from places and I believe that the representatives from PEL are well intentioned and what I can see over the past number of weeks, you know, work hard to try and provide information to the city.

Secondly, I would like to thank Adam Gault for coming to council and I had met Adam before at a meeting that was held at the University of Scranton when we were planning this political awareness week, political engagement week, I think this is an important step for both the University of Scranton and for the City of Scranton. students at the University play a significant part in our city. There are from September through May or June 4,000 some students that matriculate at the University of Scranton, and I think it's important that there is better understanding between the role and relationship that students have with the city and that the city has with the University, and I think something like this program that they have put together will go a long way towards

bringing about a better understanding and hopefully it's successful and hopefully it's followed up by future programs that further enhance the relationship between the school and the city.

And maybe I was going to mention this, maybe I'm overstepping here, it is my understanding that the mayor and representatives from the public safety unions are meeting this Thursday to discuss the settlement or the Supreme Court decision. I don't know if that's a result of Mr. Rogan meeting with the mayor, I don't know if it's a result of --

MR. ROGAN: If you want me to take the credit I will.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm just saying that I think that, you know, any steps, certainly any steps that were taken towards trying to get a better understanding between council and the administration was a step toward -- a step in the right direction and I think that, you know, Thursday hopefully there will be some --something fruitful from that meeting. It was something that was asked

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for and it is being accomplished.

And the last thing that I did want to mention, we seem to have -- I think we have two major problems before us, and I think that we have pushed one aside in order to deal with the one, but I think dealing with the deficit that existed for 2011 is a greater importance and greater urgency than dealing with the Supreme Court decision and the settlements there. We need to take care of the deficit for 2011 first. That has to be settled. We need to do something. need to come up with a plan for dealing with that, whether it's the one put forth by council, whether it's something put forth by the mayor, and/or some combination thereof, but we need to do something quickly.

And it's for that reason that it's been asked in the past I believe that we need to put on the agenda what was sent to us from the mayor's office and vote on it. Whether we agree with it or disagree with it, we need to start dealing with that issue and until we do it just creates a greater emergency. We are in danger of, you know,

getting to a point in time where bills are not being paid, perhaps payroll is not being met, and certainly the one thing that is very critical is that unless there is some settlement to this quickly the possibility of receiving the TANS for the next year is extremely limited, and without that then certainly we have some problems to look at in 2012 that we don't want to face.

And so I would urge council that we get to work on dealing with this first and certainly the other financial issue that is going to confront us we will learn more about as the numbers are varying from person to person and who you talk to, certainly we will have to deal with that in the future and it may be an important thing to deal with before the end of the year and looking into the budget year. But again, I stress the importance of dealing with the 2011 deficit and then attacking the other problem.

And again, as people have said from the podium, we will not meet next Tuesday, it is election day, and I urge everyone to

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

go out and perform their civic duty and it's a duty and a responsibility of all citizens. It may be the greatest right that we have, the right to vote, and I urge everyone to go to the polls on November 8 and do that duty. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. McGoff. I guess I will start off with the meetings that I had with the mayor. At the time, this is -- the time we met was before we knew of the court award that came down, so obviously we didn't talk of anything of that nature, but when you talk about the deficit, you know, we spoke about a few different options that we had in front of us, the first one and the one that's been in the newspaper the most is the selling of the parking meters, and I told the mayor, just like I said to all of you here, I completely oppose that idea. The parking meters are a money making machine, you put money in them.

Although, I would vote that legislation down, I do agree with Mr. McGoff that we should vote on the legislation. I believe that all legislation deserves an up

or down vote. It's our job to vote. It shouldn't be approved, but I think it should be shot down through the process of voting instead of just leaving it off the agenda.

The mayor and I did talk about, you know, just different things that we each believe in, you know, I stressed my commitment to being fiscally conservative and keep taxes relatively low. You know, we are looking for alternatives moving forward in the future.

I hope to continue to talk to the mayor and talk to all my colleagues, talk it other elected officials. We do have a huge burden ahead of us and we have to work together to try and get it solved. I don't agree that in tax increases or massive layoffs in public safety are the answer. I have said that probably a thousand times until I'm blue in the face up here, but we do need to look at some creative ideas. We need to get out of the hole.

As much as I oppose borrowing, if we have to borrow if there is some way it could be legislated that the city can never borrow

again until the long-term debt is paid off or something of that nature. I'm open to suggestions from the public and from my colleagues as well.

Moving on, I was saddened to learn of the death of Hilary Clinton's father, but it did bring --

MR. JOYCE: Mother.

MR. ROGAN: Mother. Mother. But id did bring an issue to light that has been brought up at council over and over and it's the Washburn Street Cemetery.

Mrs. Clinton's father is buried in the Washburn Street Cemetery, so I would assume that now that her mother passed away she would as well.

Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger saying that the owner of that cemetery asked acts very swiftly to have that area cleaned up, and not just the area in the front, the entire cemetery, so that everyone is respected. This is an issue that all of us on council have talked about through the years and that the previous council has dealt with. You

_ .

know, it seems to be a never ending problem that keeps bringing being brought back up.

It was reminded me of it, you know. I don't know of any funeral plans or anything, but I would assume it would be in the area and just for the city's sake and for the sake of the Clintons as well.

Moving on to city equipment once again being used by private individuals, I did read the article in GO Lackawanna, it was a great piece of reporting, good investigative reporting. That's how I learned of the issue in the newspaper, and with my colleagues' approval I will ask for a poll, I would ask that we send a letter to the mayor urging a full investigation as to whether Director Brazil did authorize an employee to use public property for personal use, and if so he should be fired if that is determined to be the case, so I would just ask for an informal vote by my colleagues on that letter. All in favor? Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Opposed?

MR. MCGOFF: No. And I would say I would agree with the first part, you know, let's investigate. The firing part I think is something that's separate and dealt with at, you know, that's the role of the mayor to discipline workers, not the role of council and I think that is something that is separate and should be dealt with by the administration.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you for your comments. Mrs. Krake, can you please send that letter? I apologize, I didn't write anything up. It was, you know, since it was brought up by so many people it got me thinking.

Finally, as many speakers and I'm sure all my colleagues agree that next Tuesday is election day, everybody should get out and vote. Many people have fought and died for that right for us and it's very disappointing to see the returns come in and see 30, 40, 50 percent voter turnout when it should be at 95 percent or even 100 percent, so we urge everyone to go out and vote for the candidates they believe will be the best

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to lead the city and the county government and the state judicial level as well, and that is all I have for tonight.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, very briefly. Ι really wasn't going to say anything, but, you know, we agree and disagree on a lot of things, but when it comes to PEL I would have to disagree with Mr. McGoff on their actions. For 19 years, PEL has been here and I believe they are been a dismal failure at this point and they made recommendations that were done throughout the years under Mayor Connors I believe he was sanctioned because he negotiated a contract, then they eliminated a public safety director, under this current mayor he appointed a public safety director, it just seemed that, you know, they haven't stayed on focus to do it. They are here 19 years. If we were in this position after 19 years you think any of us would be sitting here? We would have voted out a long time ago, but we don't have the ability to vote them out, all we have done

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is asked to have them replaced, and I think that's a fair thing. Let someone else in there that may be a little bit more -- you know, yes, they are nice gentlemen and such, but it hasn't benefited us at all.

I think we are a lot deeper now, and I don't think I know we are a lot deeper now than we were 19 years ago and their recommendations all along, again, look for yourself. Are we a success or a failure? mean, they make the recommendations to the mayor and, you know, he picks and chooses. He is the mayor. We don't have the ability to, you know, go against anything that way other than what we have to vote on, but we had a plan that was put out there, that was supposed to be followed and, you know, just little items are chosen out of it, but I think it was bad advice, especially in the last ten years, to hold off any negotiations or not hold them off but just ignore negotiations and reach a fair settlement that would been a lot better for us today, probably half of what's going to be paid out, so I don't think we should continue

that or pay for that kind of incompetence, and that's my belief.

I just feel anybody that's been here 19 years and has put us in this position shouldn't be here anymore, and that's all I have to say on that.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.
Mr. Joyce?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, excuse me, I'm sorry, I did forget to mention one thing. We had a request to look into permit parking in the 700 block of Olive Street and we did receive a response. "Dear Chief Duffy, per your request for me to look into the permit parking petition for the 700 block of Olive Street from Moir Court west to Jefferson Avenue, this petition does not meet the residential permit requirements due to the fact that this area is not residential.

The area in question is a business area. The area in the 700 block of the Olive Street from Moir Court east to Madison Avenue is residential and this area already has permit parking signs in place. I went to this location, 700 block of Olive Street

on this date at 10:03 hours. Any questions, please feel free to contact me. Richard Backman."

And we'll have a letter on file here if anybody has any questions on that.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Mr. Joyce, before you go, one comment I

forget to make, something Mr. McGoff said, I

am pleased to hear that the unions and the

mayor are going to be meeting to discuss

hopefully some type of an agreement other

than just paying all the money out in one

lump sum.

Again, that wasn't something that was discussed when I met with the mayor, so take it --

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, it didn't happen yet.

MR. ROGAN: But, yeah, it is encouraging to hear and I hope that some type of agreement could be made that will, you know, not only benefit the public safety employees, but benefit the taxpayers as well. And, Mr. Joyce, do you have any motions or comments?

encouraged Mayor Doherty to engage in a meeting with union representatives in order

to mitigate the fire and police award that

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Last week I

was confirmed in the recent Supreme Court

ruling in the best interest of the people.

I still encourage the mayor to do so and I understand that is now happening and hopefully that will be some sort of

agreement that could be arranged in

conclusion to that meeting.

However, should Mayor Doherty fail to mitigate this award, city council members will sit down at the table with representatives of the IAFF and FOP as well as their attorney in order to discuss potential resolutions to the multi-million dollar Supreme Court decision which was a direct result of Court battles pursued by the mayor, PEL and DCED. Council will then take this plan directly to the mayor and thereafter be released to the public in the meeting.

Tonight, I would also like to commend the Department of Public Works for

17

18

16

14

15

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

their recent action -- for the recent action in regard to this past week's storm. driving on Saturday, it was evident that roads were salted, which prevented snow from sticking to the ground. Also, throughout the course of the year, I recognize that we have encountered many adverse weather events which are not typical of Scranton. example, a large wind storm that took out many trees throughout the city. While I do not agree -- or while I don't always agree that the DPW acts in the most efficient manner, which is a result of the management, I will say that throughout the year our DPW workers have handled all adverse weather events appropriately and, therefore, have done a good job.

Last week Scranton city Council
received a letter from the President of
Madison Government Affairs informing us of
recent House and Senate actions in regard to
our CDBG funds. From the letter received,
Paul Birch, president of the organization,
has informed that the House of
Representatives funded the CDBG program at

\$3.5 million, which is approximately \$200,000 million over what was funded last year. The Senate funded the CDBG program at \$2.85 million, which is nearly a \$500,000 million decrease from 2011.

As one knows, Scranton took a large hit in the amount of CDBG funding received in 2011. CDBG funds are very important to our community as many of those funds are allocated to fund programs such as paving issues in low to moderate income neighborhoods, blight issues, as well as provided assistance programs that benefit senior citizens, children and the poor.

With this in mind, with the agreement of my colleagues, please send letters to Senators Casey and Toomey as well as Congressman Barletta and Marino encouraging them not to cut federal CDBG allocations any further. Since \$600 million was cut from the CDBG program in 2011 on a national level, the impact of an additional \$500 million cut in 2012, which would be a 38 percent reduction in CDBG funding over a two-year span, would have a profound impact

on Scranton and OECD's ability to fund necessary community programs.

Scranton cannot afford to lose this necessary funding and I would like to encourage our local congressmen as well as our state senators to fight for us.

As one knows, since I have brought this up numerous times at previous council meetings, the annual audit for the previous year is to be completed by May 31 the following year as directed by the Home Rule Charter. It's now November 1 and we still don't have a completed audit. On January 26 of this year, Rossi & Rossi sent a timeline that the business administrator's office needed to follow in order to have a completed audit by May 31.

To this date, there are number of outstanding items that are holding up the process. Two weeks ago I asked our city clerk, Mrs. Krake, to send a request to Mr. McGowan asking him to provide us a status update on all open items. This request was unanswered.

To this date, there are nine

memorandums that were sent by Rossi & Rossi that the business administrator's office has failed to respond upon. In addition to this, responses have not been received from the necessary attorney letters to complete the audit.

I see this as a very problematic issue. An audit needs to be completed by the necessary due date every year. Being able to provide an audit to announce at lending agencies such as a bank who will issue tax anticipation notes to us it's vital and provides an overall view of the fiscal status of the city.

An audit is also important because it ensures that the city is following legal requirements as well as following generally accepted accounting practices.

In addition, an audit identifies problems and a thorough review of the cities financial control procedures and makes recommendations that the city can use to improve it's operation.

Finally, an audit provides valuable information to community residents as it

assures residents that public funds are being well managed by the municipal government, and it alerts them to problems such as poor bookkeeping or mismanagement of funds that need to be fixed.

With all of this being said, Mrs.

Krake, please request a status report on all outstanding items from Mr. McGowan and ask that he provide council with the status update prior to next Tuesday.

MR. MCGOFF: Could I interrupt one second?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: I believe that question was asked of Mr. McGowan at the PEL meeting the other day and did he provide a response?

MS. KRAKE: He said they are working on it. This has been the same response to every request.

MR. JOYCE: Well, simply saying we are working on it, I don't think that is really a comprehensive status update, and I think that the public as well as the rest of the council needs to know concrete dates that are set in stone as when we can expect

information to be delivered to Rossi & Rossi so we could accurately project a date that we might be able to have an audit for the public. And also --

MR. MCGOFF: I would just for clarification, I believe that it was also indicated at this meeting that the city was waiting on information from NCC and when that was received that they have been waiting on that and that was all that was needed on behalf of the city.

MR. JOYCE: I did read the report from Rossi & Rossi and while I understand that they are waiting on information from NCC, that's one of the topic areas, there are also many outstanding issues that still have not been resolved to this date as evident by Rossi & Rossi's most recent status report.

Also, while we are on the subject of PEL and the figures, I just want to say in response to comments made by Mr. McGoff about PEL's figures being more accurate, I'll point to some of the figures that council amended in the 12011 operating

budget and what we are expected to receive as per Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow report.

For instance, real estate tax revenue, we are supposed to receive 205,000 more than what we originally projected.

Current wage tax revenue with 888 funds, we're expected to receive about 100,000 less than what we projected. PEL's figure they presented was actually higher than ours, therefore, it wasn't more accurate.

Local service tax, we're projected to receive 50,000 more than what we actually projected it as.

Business privilege tax, we are expected to receive \$49,000 less than what we projected at.

And also the mercantile tax, we're projected to receive \$27,194 more in revenue than what we projected as per Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow report.

Perhaps, the numbers or the revenue figures that PEL may have projected better than -- that was actually in the budget were

some of the revenue figures that the administration provided. For instance, for business licenses and permits, which we didn't alter, the administration projected that we receive \$2,526,714. So far up until the month of October, we received \$994,278 worth of revenue from this, and for the end of the year we are projected to receive \$1,533,732, which is roughly a million dollars under what the administration actually projected.

In addition to this, penalties and interest, the administration projected \$366,100. We have received \$33,815. At the end of the year we are projected to receive \$61,309. This is \$304,000 off.

Reimbursement from OECD, \$200,000 is what the administration projected. We are projecting now at the end of the year to receive \$7,410, almost -- so that is \$192,000 off.

And cable TV revenue, the administration projected we receive \$975,00. Right now it's projected by the administration at the end of the year we

will see \$827,000 for a deficit of \$147,747.

So when you look at the revenue figures that we altered and some of the numbers that I have read, for instance, real estate tax, LST, business privilege and mercantile, we are very close. We are very close to what we projected. We are either, you know, maybe 40 or 50,000 dollars higher or lower than what the administration actually says we are going to bring in, so I think some of the projections on the revenue side from the administration are what's falling short, not city council's projections.

So perhaps in PELS's presentation, I don't have it in front of me, perhaps they were more accurate than the administration's figures, but for the revenue figures that we adjusted, we are pretty much on point at this point of the year, and that's all.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.
Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT

THIS TIME. 1 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 2 F0R CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR 3 4 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 55, 2011-AUTHORIZING THE EXTINGUISHMENT OF THE 5 6 PERMANENT EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS AT 7 THE CORNER OF MARION STREET AND NEW ROSS 8 AVENUE AND TO ANNEX SAID LANDS TO BE 9 CONVEYED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO RONALD 10 F. KITLAS AND MARY ANN KITLAS. 11 MR. ROGAN: As Temporary Chairperson 12 for the Committee on Rules, I recommend 13 final passage of Item 7-A. 14 MR. JOYCE: Second. 15 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Ro11 16 call, please? 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 19 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 24 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 25 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item

7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B.FOR CONSIDERATION BY
THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR ADOPTIONRESOLUTION NO. 50, 2011 - AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND
ENTER INTO A LEASE WITH AIRLINE PETROLEUM
COMPANY OF DUNMORE TO PROVIDE SPACE FOR THE
SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO OPERATE A
SUBSTATION.

MR. ROGAN: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. ROGAN: On the question? I would just like to mention that although there isn't a cost, a fixed cost mentioned for the operation of the substation, being a resident of West Side I know this is sorely needed in our community. Just in the last few years the amounts of the crime that has occurred in West Side and just driving down

the streets you can really see the 1 difference, and I definitely think the lease 2 3 rate of \$1 per year is a steal and we need to do everything we could to crack down on 4 5 crime and especially since it's for West Side I absolutely support this. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: And I would also like to 8 say actually the cost of operation most of 9 them I'm sure would be variable costs, but, 10 Mrs. Krake, could you please send a letter 11 to Chief Duffy and also a copy to Ryan 12 McGowan's just for our knowledge and 13 somebody report back to the public what the 14 cost will be to operate the station. MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please? 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 19 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 23 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 24 MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item

7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

25

MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 2 3 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 51, 2011 4 - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 5 ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF 6 APPROPRIATENESS FOR HEMMLER + CAMAYD 7 8 ARCHITECTS, 409 LACKAWANNA AVENUE, SCRANTON, 9 PENNSYLVANIA FOR REMOVAL OF DETERIORATED, SINGLE PANE WOOD WINDOWS; REPLACEMENT WITH 10 NEW, ENERGY EFFICIENT, ALUMINUM CLAD, 11 12 MAINTENANCE-FREE WOOD WINDOWS; REMOVAL OF 13 OVERHEAD DOORS AND REPLACEMENT WITH NEW, 14 ENERGY-EFFICIENT OVERHEAD DOORS AT THE CENTRAL FIRE STATION, 518 MULBERRY STREET, 15 16 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 17 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Community Development, I 19 recommend final passage of Item 7-C. 20 MR. JOYCE: Second. 21 MS. ROGAN: On the question? Roll 22 call, please? 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 25

ſ		
		97
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.	
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.	
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.	
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
6	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item	
7	7-C legally and lawfully adopted.	
8	If there is no further business,	
9	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
11	MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ability.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER