		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, October 11, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.70505 0 0.005077	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
10	Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
12	reading of the minutes.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
14	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL RESULTS FROM
15	SEPTEMBER 14, 2011 APPEAL HEARINGS.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed. Do we have any
18	Clerk's notes this evening?
19	MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
21	council members have announcements at this
22	time?
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have one. I
24	would like to announce a pasta dinner for
25	Sunday. October 16. to benefit the Infant

Care Program. It will be held at Covenant Presbyterian Church at 550 Madison Avenue in the Sotell auditorium. Sit down dinner will be served from 3 to 6 p.m. and takeouts are from noon to 6:00 p.m. Tickets are \$10 for adults, \$6 per children, and under three years old are free, and there will also be a raffle with various baskets and gift cards, too. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Just a brief congratulations to the Steamtown Marathon Committee. Bill Kane and Jack Marks, Steve Brown and John McGovern and Jim Cummings and all of the other members of the committee and also the somewhere around 3,000 volunteers that came out on the course and, you know, worked for nothing to make sure that it was a great race. It was a great day and a special congratulations to my daughter Megan who completed her first marathon.

MS. EVANS: And a special congratulations to Mr. McGoff who completed the marathon as well, and this his first.

MR. MCGOFF: Tenth.

MS. EVANS: Tenth.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I will second that.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Councilman Rogan will be absent from tonight's council meeting due to illness.

Reverend Stan Ferretti and the parishioners of St. Peter and Paul Roman Catholic Church, 1309 West Locust Street in Scranton, invite everyone to their annual fall festival and bazaar this Sunday, October 16, from 11:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in the church hall. Enjoy homemade Polish food including pierogies, halushki, piggies, potato pancakes, backed goods and more. Numerous stands over themed baskets and children's items. Takeouts are available from 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. Bring the entire family to this wonderful annual fall festival.

A fundraiser to benefit Dennis

Owens, Jr., will be held on Saturday,

October 22, from 6 to 10 p.m. at the

Greenwood Hose Company, 3727 Birney Avenue

Moosic, PA. Mr. Owens, a firefighter for Taylor Fire and Rescue, is a 38 year old father of two children who was recently diagnosed with a very serious medical condition. Tickets are \$15 and can be purchased at the door or by contacting Taylor Fire and Rescue. There will be food, beverages, and entertainment, as well as a 50/50, wheelbarrow of cheer, and basket raffles. Please help Dennis Owens and support this very worthy event, and that's it.

MR. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
resident and member of the Taxpayers'
Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: The Legion of Doom it seems was correct about many issues that have been discussed at Scranton City Council meetings. That's really too bad. I would

have preferred that the Doomers were wrong. For years speakers and citizens of Scranton have come forward and asked for help and relief from the Doherty reign of terror only to be laughed at, ignored, called name, ruled out of order, searched, denied entry into city council chambers, arrested, only to find out that City of Scranton is broke and cannot pay the employees of Scranton, but now we understand that we are going to pay the employees of the Scranton.

The Honorable Mayor Doherty solution involves not paying about \$7 million in bills. That's what you call leadership. We just won't pay our bills. Mr. McGoff, your leader came up with a solution, I must congratulate him on his solution. When I first read the statement I laughed because I knew it had to be a joke, then I saw started to reflect on who made the statement and remembering what the Honorable Mayor Doherty has stated 14 September 2010 during his sworn deposition. That is when I realized that he probably is serious.

I must ask why. Taxes were raised

26 percent by the Doherty Three, Fanucci, Gatelli and McGoff three years ago. According to the Doherty Three, all of the budgets that were approved and passed were balanced and the bills were being paid including the TANS. I can remember sitting with many concerns from the Doherty Three that were meant to convince me that everything was all well and good and that Scranton was being managed professionally by the mayor and his cabinet members. Boy, was the wool being pulled over the eyes of the citizens/taxpayers. Now we find out that the budgets were not balanced, the bills were not being paid, including the TANS, loans, and bonds.

According to the Honorable mayor and the Times-Tribune, the supermajority is responsible for the financial difficulties the City of Scranton faces. I must remind everybody that the supermajority assumed office January of 2010 -- or correction, 2009, eight years after the Honorable Mayor Doherty became the Mayor of Scranton.

Remember, the mayor had nine budgets passed

24 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

by his rubber stampers before the supermajority assumed office.

Furthermore, we had four years that were totally wasted with the Gatelli and McGoff's city councils where everything that the mayor asked for was rubber stamped, including four budgets. The citizens of Scranton are finally starting to wise up and realize who really is at fault here and why the city is still distressed.

The upcoming election will not change anything because the candidates are the same. Three incumbents for city council and controller, they will be reelected.

The solution is not to borrow more money, it is to spend less money and generate more revenue. Every revenue generator program that has been suggested has been blocked by the Honorable Mayor Doherty and his band of merry men and women, including the Doherty One. Other than a couple of vague references with the Sewer Authority quote/unquote. Last week council president highlighted 15 solutions that have been proposed by the supermajority in the

1 past five months or less. 2 Mr. McGoff, can you highlight any 3 solutions that were proposed by the Doherty Three during the two years of the Gatelli 4 5 council and two years of the McGoff council? Mr. McGoff, can you highlight any? 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Oh, you are asking me 8 and want me to answer? 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes. 10 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I probably couldn't come up with a list, but --11 MR. JACKOWITZ: Can you come up with 12 13 one? 14 MR. MCGOFF: Yeah. There were a lot of things that we did. 15 16 MR. JACKOWITZ: Name one. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Amusement tax. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. MR. MCGOFF: You said name one. 19 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: Do you have another 21 one? 22 MR. MCGOFF: You said name one. 23 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, you did name 24 one, the amusement tax. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

1 MR. JACKOWITZ: How about the 26 2 percent tax increase, did that help benefit 3 the City of Scranton? MR. MCGOFF: I'm not going to get 4 5 into a dialogue with here with you, Mr. Jackowitz. 6 MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm not asking you 7 8 for a dialogue --9 MR. MCGOFF: You asked me --MR. JACKOWITZ: -- Mr. McGoff, I'm 10 asking you as a citizen and taxpayer I'm 11 12 asking my elected official if the 26 percent 13 tax increase helped the residents of the 14 City of Scranton? MR. MCGOFF: This is not a dialogue 15 16 or question and answer. Well, that's what a 17 dialogue is, Mr. Jackowitz. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that, Mr. McGoff. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Well, apparently you 20 21 don't because --22 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, I do. I also 23 understand how you changed your vote to 24 layoff police and firemen, too. You are 25 trying to make your back way out of it

1 because you have no answers. Why don't you just admit it? Why don't you just admit 2 3 that council has been a failure? Why don't you just admit it? I guess you are not 4 5 going to admit it. Unemployment 9.8 percent, highest in 6 the state again. I see that the city audit 7 8 status is being stalled again. 9 Repercussions must be administered according to Mr. McGoff. Mr. McGoff, are you in favor 10 11 of repercussions or is that only -- or are 12 you only in favor of repercussions for Mrs. 13 Krake? I guess you are not going to answer 14 that one either. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Jackowitz, if you 16 have something to say to me --17 MR. JACKOWITZ: You had your choice 18 to answer and you refuse to answer so don't 19 be rude and interrupt me now. Roseann 20 Novembrino --MR. MCGOFF: You asked me --21 22 MR. JACKOWITZ: -- should suffer 23 repercussions --24 MR. MCGOFF: I was talking. 25 MR. JACKOWITZ: I asked for an

	13
1	answer and you sat there holding your head
2	up, holding your chin up, and you would not
3	give me an answer.
4	MR. MCGOFF: You want me to answer
5	them or not?
6	MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, go ahead.
7	Answer.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Which question would
9	you like then?
10	MR. JACKOWITZ: Let's talk about the
11	26 percent tax increase. Did that help the
12	citizens of the Scranton?
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MR. JACKOWITZ: Then why did we have
15	to layoff police officers and firemen?
16	MR. MCGOFF: That's
17	MR. JACKOWITZ: Why are the police
18	cars falling apart? Have you looked at the
19	police cars lately?
20	MR. MCGOFF: You are asking like
21	three questions at a time.
22	MR. JACKOWITZ: No, they are all
23	related to the same question?
24	MR. MCGOFF: Which one would you
25	like now?

1	MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. Why were no
2	police cars not purchased and repaired with
3	your 26 percent tax increase?
4	MR. MCGOFF: I don't know. I'm not
5	in charge of purchasing police cars.
6	MR. JACKOWITZ: I know that, but you
7	were in charge of increasing the taxes.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I was.
9	MR. JACKOWITZ: And you're proud of
10	that, too.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Proud of it? I
12	wouldn't say proud, I think it served a
13	purpose.
14	MR. JACKOWITZ: Are you proud of the
15	fact that 21 police officers and firemen
16	were laid off because of your vote?
17	MR. MCGOFF: They weren't.
18	MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, they were.
19	MR. MCGOFF: While
20	MR. JACKOWITZ: Are you trying to
21	tell me that 21 police officers were not
22	laid off?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are you going to let me
24	answer?
25	MR. JACKOWITZ: Go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: You asked for an 1 2 answer, now let me answer. 3 MR. JACKOWITZ: Go ahead. 4 MR. MCGOFF: While I was president 5 of council there were no people laid off and following the 25 percent increase in taxes 6 7 we had a full compliment of firemen and 8 policemen. Not one fire -- fire stations 9 weren't being closed. How's that for an 10 answer? 11 MR. JACKOWITZ: That's a runabout 12 answer. 13 MR. MCGOFF: That's only happened in 14 the last couple of weeks. MR. JACKOWITZ: That's a spin. 15 16 That's a spin because after you became a 17 minority councilman that's when all of this 18 happened. MR. MCGOFF: That's correct. 19 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: With your vote and 21 it was your vote. 22 MR. MCGOFF: No, it wasn't. 23 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, you are 24 denying your vote? 25 MR. MCGOFF: See, you don't like the

answer.

MR. JACKOWITZ: No, I like the answer because it's proven that I'm right and you are wrong again.

MR. MCGOFF: All right.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Roseann Novembrino should suffer repercussions and also invited to attend a city council meeting and explain many things. Mrs. Evans, are you going to invite Roseann Novembrino to a council meeting or not?

MS. EVANS: Could you repeat that, please? I was writing something.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm asking you if you are going to invite Roseann Novembrino to a city council meeting as an elected official to answer the questions of why she has approved everything that the mayor has signed, put in front of her, and she signed off and everything, I would like answers and explanations as to why the controller has not done her job. You know, are you going to invite her to a city council meeting or not?

MS. EVANS: We can invite her, yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Thank you.

Mr. Rogan, even though you are not here, you did what was required of an elected official. All elected officials should be willing to talk with residents and other elected officials when need be.

As far as the supermajority and my supermajority council members are free thinkers who work for the residents at all times and vote accordingly, not because they support the supermajority or the mayor but because they support the residents, that's the key word right there, residents, not the mayor. Otherwise, you become a Doherty Three council member or a Doherty real person and then I lose respect for you, especially for people who are unable to think on their own feet and they follow the lead of a lamed up loser mayor.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Mr. McGoff, the reason there were no public safety layoffs when you were president of council is because you rubber stamped everything else for the mayor and he was happy with that, that's why there were no layoffs.

MR. MCGOFF: That must be a good one.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm a firm believer of free speech. Any citizen of this city has the right to come to this podium and speak, with one exception. This should not and never has been a forum for a political candidate to come here and bash their opponent to further the political agenda.

Last week Mr. Nearhood, who is a candidate for city controller, came here and did nothing but bash the current controller. He stated things she didn't do and things she did do, he said in his opinion she wasn't doing a good job, said she should come to these meetings. It was nothing more than a political speech.

I have been attending these meetings

for ten years fighting for this city. Not once have I seen Mr. Nearhood at these meetings. Now that he is running for public office he shows up to bash his opponents.

If Mr. Nearhood is so concerned about this city where has he been the last ten years?

And with you'll due respect,

Mrs. Evans, I don't think you should have
allowed him to do what he did last week and
I hope he is not allowed to do it in the
future if he comes back in here.

Moving on, in the Doherty newsletter all I read is how the supermajority of council is to blame for the problems we are having financially in this city, but what you don't see, what they don't print in those papers is the truth. Actually, it's Mayor Doherty sabotaging council's budget. If Mayor Doherty just followed council's budget from day one this city would be in great shape, there would be no public safety people laid off, our finances would be in order, but you don't see this printed in the Doherty newsletter. All they print is what they want.

Over the weekend I was watching
Channel 16 news, people in Wilkes-Barre were
protesting asking Mayor Layton to resign. I
have been asking people in this city to come
here and protest, but I hope they wake up
and see the light. They should be down in
front of city hall asking Mayor Doherty to
resign. He is driving this city into the
ground, putting people's lives in danger,
laying off police officers and firefighters
and nobody cares about us who come here week
after week and try to help keep this city
safe and get it back on it's feet.

Lastly, I think it was last week a woman came here and said that council should pass an ordinance requiring people to neuter and spay their pets. I totally disagree with that. I have a dog and I have a few cats, I'm an animal lover for all my life, I don't think anybody should be forced to neuter or spay their pets. What if you want to breed them? I don't think anybody should be made to do anything like that. I just think it's wrong. I hope council does not do that. And that's all I have tonight.

Thank you for your time.

(While Mr. Spindler was speaking Mr. Joyce took the dais and joined the meeting.)

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I just wanted to quickly respond to something that was said earlier about the state of police and firemen in the city now versus during Mr. McGoff's presidency. The 2010 budget was not touched by this city council, that's number one, and then in 2011, specifically November 2011, it was the mayor who cut nearly 40 police officers and firefighters in his budget and it was, in fact, this council that reinstated every one of them back into the budget.

The problem arose when council
learned in January of or February of 2011
that the mayor didn't pay bills in 2010 and
the mayor hadn't paid a TAN in 2010. He
carried it over into this year and that's
where you get the deficit from and his
answer, his partial answer to this deficit,
has been the layoffs of police and fire, so
I think it is very inaccurate to state that

police and fire were laid off under this council and not under a previous council.

I think we all understand it was the mayor who did that, tried to do it the first time, obviously has gotten away with it as of August 29, his second attempt at it, but it was this council that preserved those jobs in this budget. Okay, our next speaker is Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: Once again I am going to continue the ongoing discussion that we have been having here regarding the city's finances and meeting with the mayor in public.

Now, last week we had some discussions take place here regarding Mr. Rogan's private meeting with the mayor and there were some concerns as to whether or not we should be having private meetings with the mayor, and I also share those concerns as far as meeting privately with

the city for the last ten years knows full well that our mayor has a history of conducting his business behind closed doors and keeping us in the dark, and I feel very strongly that we should not be meeting with the mayor behind closed doors. I believe we put an end to all of that smoke and mirrors when this council majority took office and I, as well as any other Scrantonian, will not tolerate the back doors and the smoke and mirrors that this mayor and cronies like to play.

the mayor. Anyone who has been involved in

But what truly disgusted me last
week was learning that the mayor drove all
the way down to Wilkes-Barre to meet with
Mr. Rogan at a doughnut shop yet he can't
walk up a flight of stairs on a Tuesday
night? You know, if the stairs are too
stressful for him, I believe he can take the
elevator if that makes his life easier, but
I find his actions to a be total disgrace
and a complete slap in our face. And, you
know, if the mayor does have such a
fascination with doughnut shops then I'm

sure we can make arrangements to have coffee and doughnuts for him or tea, hot chocolate, milk, whatever he wants if it makes him happy. We'll make him feel real comfortable here. But this is where we conduct business, here in this chamber, not in doughnut shops this Wilkes-Barre and he needs to get that through his head. We are not going to put up with his nonsense. His games are over.

If the mayor, Mr. Rogan or
Mr. McGoff insist on private meetings, then
I would request this a Court approved
stenographer be present to get the full
context of any meeting that goes on behind
the scenes involving city business.

Another issue I have is that the mayor's proposed borrowing plan and I know Mr. Rogan is not here today and I don't like to, you know, speak to individuals that aren't present, but it is on my agenda here tonight and he talked about his willingness to borrow up to \$4 million if the mayor promised to never borrow again. Well, number one, we all know that you can't

borrow your way out of debt, as we have learned through the last ten years; and number two, how many promises has this mayor made in the ten years? Quite a few, including his pledge to be the sixth councilman coming here working with council. Well, have we seen him here yet? No, we haven't. And now all of a sudden we are going to take the mayor's word like he is the Messiah? He hasn't lived up to anything for ten years.

I just, you know, have we all been sleeping under a rock, I think it's time to come out of the fog here and see it for it with it really is. The mayor's word means absolutely nothing. And, you know, once again, I'm going to give our honorable mayor the opportunity to come forward like a big boy, like he says he is, and I'm going to once again push up his big boy chair for him to come forward and be the sixth councilman here and hold himself accountable to the people.

Mrs. Evans, you respectfully made a request of him to come forward and present

21

22

23

24

25

his plan publically and here we are October 11 and he has yet to come forward, yet he wants you to get that on the agenda and rubber stamp it through. Well, those days are over, okay? We are beyond that. time to come forward, be a big boy, and if I may, I'm going to keep doing this until he shows up because he needs to be held accountable, he needs to live up to his word, he said he would be the sixth councilman, he has failed to do that, he said he lives in the big boy world, well, now it's time to come and play with big boys and girls. So again, I'm going to give the big boy his chair. (Whereupon Mr. Miller moves the chair in front of the dais.) So it's waiting for you, Mayor. Whenever you are ready come on down and let's play with the big boys and girls.

And finally tonight, I took issue with a statement at that Mr. McGoff made in the Times a few weeks ago, I can't recall what date it was, regarding final solutions to solving the city's problems and Mr. McGoff I believe you stated something in

1

25

the lines of that this council majority has offered only a few vague solutions. quite assaulted by this statement because this council majority has offered an awful lot, but unfortunately, it's been ignored and they have offered a lot to help clean up a mess that you, your master, and all of the other Doherty cronies are responsible for. And, you know, I'm just curious tonight, Mr. McGoff, what are your personal solutions to solving the city's problems, since you want to criticize your colleagues, I'd like to know tonight from you, and this is a question, Mr. McGoff, what are your solutions to solving the city's mess? And I'm all ears.

MR. MCGOFF: I answered that last week.

MR. MILLER: Okay.

MR. MCGOFF: Apparently you weren't here or you weren't listening.

MR. MILLER: Would you mind repeating that for me then?

MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, I would.

MR. MILLER: I didn't get a chance

1 to see it. MR. MCGOFF: I answered that last 2 3 week. MR. MILLER: Well, I'd like to know 4 5 at this time what your solutions are and I think everyone would like to know as well. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: As I said, I did it 8 last week so --9 MR. MILLER: Well, I'm asking you as 10 a citizen, as my elected official, to please 11 repeat your answer for me. 12 MR. MCGOFF: No. 13 MR. MILLER: And why is that? 14 MR. MCGOFF: Because I answered it last week. 15 16 MR. MILLER: And tonight I'm asking 17 you --MR. MCGOFF: If you want to maybe 18 19 you should go back and look at it and you should have been --20 21 MR. MILLER: Mr. McGoff, you are an 22 elected official and I'm asking you a 23 question tonight, I'm wasn't privy to being 24 here to listen to it, and I'm just asking 25 you respectfully if you would please repeat

1	your plan for me here, if you have such a
2	magical plan I'm sure you won't have a
3	problem expressing it.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, you're
5	condescending.
6	MR. MILLER: Nobody is being
7	condescending.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, you are. Yo have
9	been condescending throughout the entire
10	time you have been at the podium.
11	MR. MILLER: Oh, really?
12	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, you have.
13	MR. MILLER: Okay.
14	MR. MCGOFF: To just about everyone
15	you speak to.
16	MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, I guess
17	the truth hurts, Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: No, it doesn't hurt.
19	MR. MILLER: Unfortunately, and the
20	problem around here is we have been people
21	like you and the arrogance around here
22	MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me, people like
23	me?
24	MR. MILLER: that don't answer
25	people's questions. You had Mr. Spindler up

1 here, Mr. Jackowitz --MR. MCGOFF: People like me. Aren't 2 3 I allowed to --MR. MILLER: Mr. McGoff, I deal with 4 5 professionalism, okay? You have a complete lack of it, you have had it since day one, 6 okay, you have no respect for the people of 7 8 this forum. You are showing your arrogance 9 once again. You are refusing to answer a 10 question. Your lame excuse is, "Oh, well, I answered it last week," and I'm asking you 11 12 tonight to answer it again, and if you have 13 such a marvelous magic here -- -14 MR. MCGOFF: How about this, Mr. Miller, I'll answer it. 15 16 MR. MILLER: -- I'm asking you to answer the question. 17 18 MR. MCGOFF: I'll answer it. MR. MILLER: Thank you. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: If he is going to go 21 through this tirade and you let him go past 22 his time I will answer it. 23 MR. MILLER: No, it's not a tirade, 24 I'm asking my elected official to answer a 25 question.

	31
1	MR. MCGOFF: No, it's a tirade.
2	MR. MILLER: No, it's not a tirade.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Lack of
4	professionalism, who is the person on
5	council that defended you when you were on
6	the junior council when you were being
7	attacked?
8	MR. MILLER: Mr. McGoff
9	MR. MCGOFF: Who was it?
10	MR. MILLER: I'm not talking about
11	junior council.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Who was it?
13	MR. MILLER: I'm asking you a
14	question.
15	MR. MCGOFF: I'm just asking you,
16	who was it?
17	MR. MILLER: We're getting off track
18	here.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Now we're
20	MR. MILLER: We're getting off track
21	here. I'm asking you a question. What's
22	your solution to some of the city's
23	problems.
24	MR. MCGOFF: I was professional
25	enough

1 MR. MILLER: We're not talking about 2 junior council right now. 3 MR. MCGOFF: I was professional enough to support you, to defend you when 4 5 you were being attacked. I was professional enough to actually support you when you ran 6 7 a campaign and when you ran in an election. 8 MR. MILLER: Again, we are getting 9 off the point. 10 MR. MCGOFF: No, we are not. 11 (Ms. Evans bangs the gavel.) 12 MR. MILLER: You didn't answer my 13 question. What's your solutions? 14 MS. EVANS: Gentlemen --15 MR. MILLER: You are talking about 16 junior council and elections --17 MS. EVANS: Gentlemen, please. Ιf 18 Mr. McGoff wants to respond to your question 19 I would ask him then to do so under motions, 20 and if we can please move along now to the 21 next speaker because I really don't want to 22 continue debating. 23 MR. MILLER: Mrs. Evans, I 24 apologize, I'm not trying to disrupt the 25 meeting here, I'm asking an elected official

a simple question. He wants to get into rhetoric and politics and games as usual and I look forward to it.

MR. MCGOFF: You are not allowed to continue.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: I was pleased with the proposed study of the trash removal and so forth last week, but I have been talking about two for months now. The way people throw away trash I think it could possibly cut it down to three days a week. If you walk through any court, and some are better than others, but I see bags out on Monday that the trash collection day is Friday, what's going to happen to those bags? The skunky doodle is just smacking his lips right now, you know, getting ready to you know bail somebody.

Now, done in Wilkes-Barre, I talked

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to somebody from Wilkes-Barre, their fee is \$160 a year, but they actually have to pay \$9 for bags, so it is a little higher and the thing about it is you don't put recycling in bags, so if you spend money on the bags and throw recycling in you're taking money out of your own pockets so it's an incentive to actually recycle.

And I have harped quite a bit on privatizing, and I'm not a big fan of privatizing anything mainly because once you get the political cronies out of the system you have the corporate cronies and crony capitalist back in the system, so I would suggest that you are careful of startup sweetheart deals and so forth, I know only one councilman discussed privatizing, but what are we going to get charged down the road, and I want everybody to have a promising career in politics so, you know, just think about it before it goes too far because people will have a bad taste in their mouth if they wind up going from three or four hundred dollars a year for sewer and wind up paying \$1,000. They are going to

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say, "Well, what did I listen to him for?"

And I keep seeing in the paper where a certain administration wants to do what he wants and if he wants to keep doing that maybe we should change the sign instead of City of Scranton to dictatorship of Scranton, we will give that a bawk.

And on the final with the city business, hopefully this will be the last time before the election, but I would trust you people to borrow more so than to privatize. The numbers, you yourself pointed out, it doesn't sound like a logical position. If we are in a position where we have to borrow to pay our bills that's the way it is, but no more before the next election time, please, and I fully realize that a lot of these bills have been just built up by spending on things that the administration should not have done or brought it before council at least before, but as far as privatizing, that's -- well, that's what the meetings were for a sweetheart deal for the Parking Authority and just a sweetheart deal that get a few

2

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bucks for it and then down the line we are losing our shirts.

And, okay, I won't hold it up too much longer here, before national congress we have a bill to repair gas supply lines for houses and energy and Grant Paulo, I think it's of Tennessee or Kentucky, he is against that on whatever carrier you have, so he is about the only congressman that is really against it. He is filibustering in the Senate against now these gas lines down in Allentown five people were killed a year or two going ago by a huge fire and explosion and many were killed out in the west coast and whole neighborhoods have been burnt down by faulty gas lines going to city gas, so he gets a super bawk, bawk.

And last knit we had the Summit and Ron Paul, the libertarian wanted the leadership to announce their own poll, so bawk, bawk to them, too. Thank you, and we don't need their values, I have enough of my own. Have a good night.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Dobrzyn, before you leave tonight I wanted to ask you one

1 question, I know you had explained Wilkes-Barre's garbage fee, did you state 2 3 that they are paying \$9 per bag of garbage? MR. DOBRZYN: Per five bags of 4 5 garbage. MR. JOYCE: Per five bags of 6 7 garbage. 8 MR. DOBRZYN: Yes. Yes. 9 MR. JOYCE: Okay. 10 MR. DOBRYZN: Now, in my case that 11 would probably be 50 bags a year or so. 12 MS. EVANS: And that's in addition 13 to the \$160 --14 In addition, right. MR. DOBRZYN: MS. EVANS: -- annual fee. 15 16 MR. DOBRZYN: But if they do 17 recycle, now, the families with the big 18 piles of garbage that I see, I mean, some 19 people I see out there with one house would 20 be like five of bags when I'm riding -- I 21 ride bicycle for exercise and these are not 22 to get run over in the courts, so until I 23 get out of town on a dirt trail or 24 something, but the recycling after that is 25 there is no additional charge.

Thank you.

So what I do see in a lot of these 1 2 bags, and I can tell what's in them, is a 3 lot of stuff that could have really been recycled, also, but that would be driving 4 5 cost of the DPW up along with faulty disposal of garbage out on -- at the end of 6 7 the property and the next thing you know an 8 animal drug it all over and you have a messy 9 town and a crew that can't get their job 10 done correctly. 11 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 12 MR. DOBRZYN: All right. 13 MS. EVANS: That concludes our 14 speaker's list, is there anyone else who cares to address council? 15 16 MR. NEARHOOD: Good evening, 17 President Evans, members of city council. 18 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 19 MR. NEARHOOD: My name is Ray 20 Nearhood and I have been before you a few 21 times before. I want to make some comments. 22 Last week, Councilman Joyce, you indicated 23 your qualifications. 24 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 25 MR. NEARHOOD: Because they were

questioning part of the people as to who people are that are standing here or sitting there as to what's going on with the city, and I commend you for taking a master's degree in business administration and for you what you are doing.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MR. NEARHOOD: I just wanted to point out some of my own qualifications, and I have a master's degree in public administration from Penn State University where I did my major portion was in city management, and I got that many years ago I have been 25 years of municipal management experience. I have served as a city manager, I have served as city business administrator, I have served as a city administrator, also, a township manager and I have served as a consultant to various towns in Pennsylvania.

Two of the municipalities that I headed as a manager won the Governor's award for local government excellence. One was under on the brink of distress, we won -- after a year of work we won the governor's

award for excellence and fiscal management and best management practices, so I do have a little bit of experience in municipal government.

And I had some experience with the City of Scranton back in the early 1990's. Before the city went into distress, I was one of the people sent here by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I was at that time working for the Pennsylvania Economy League to try to help the city not go into distress. We dealt with some of the city officials here at the time, one of whom right now I'm running against and we could --

MS. EVANS: Mr. Nearhood, if we could please refrain from any statements involving your candidacy.

MR. NEARHOOD: Okay. I just want to indicate that at that time we found that it wasn't possibly because the elected officials here were not willing to take the actions that were necessary to try to conclude going into distress, therefore, I was also involved in the writing of the

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

initial distress plan for the City of Scranton, writing many sections of it for the Pennsylvania Economy League. Shortly after being implemented I left the Economy League and took another position, so I do have -- I do have quite a bit of experience.

As I said, I have worked with a number of municipalities, you know, I think I remember telling you all that I worked for the City of Lebanon at one time. They, like the City of Scranton, were selling assets in order to pay for operating expenses. personally don't believe that at any time should municipalities be selling capital assets to pay for operating expenses. you sell a capital asset, if you put it toward paying off debt service or toward another capital asset that makes sense, but the City of Lebanon, for instance, had gotten so desperate that it actually sold the third floor to city hall when they asked us to come in, okay? They have changed. They changed the form of the government and moved forward.

You know, currently the controller

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has been in office for well over 20 years, for all of the years in which the city has been distressed. I am wondering what solutions she has brought to the floor in the 18 years the city has been distressed or tried to get us out of distress as a city? I don't believe there have been many. know that in 1993 the Home Rule Charter was changed, three items were taken out of the Home Rule Charter, things that the city controller was supposed to be doing. were they removed from the charter? Simply because the Controller's office was not doing what was supposed to be done, okay?

You know, we continue to see going on, Mrs. Evans, you've pointed out people being paid that shouldn't that aren't in the budget. As you are aware, Section 912 of the city's Home Rule Charter states, "The payment of city funds shall not be made unless specifically provided for in the budget and unless specifically authorized by the controller."

So the budget is not providing for those expenditures, how is the controller

authorizing those expenditures to be made?

There has been also discussion about the seriousness of the distress of the city, that we may be looking at payless paydays, we may be looking at police and fire and others not being paid. The City of Harrisburg is facing serious financial difficulties at this time. May I?

MS. EVANS: If you can finish briefly, please.

MR. NEARHOOD: Well, the Senate Bill 1151 is right now in front of the state senate, which will amend Act 47, which is the Distressed Municipalities Act. It is specifically for the third class cities, unfortunately, but it is has gotten so serious, for instance, for Harrisburg and may get their for Scranton, which will not be covered by this bill as it stands, that the governor -- the legislator and the governor can actually come in and take over the city.

The elected officials will no longer be in charge for the most part, they will put a receiver in to operate the city. Is

there where Scranton is headed? Why don't we have -- why isn't the mayor in here and why isn't the controller in here sitting with you trying to find solutions to the critical problems that this city is facing today?

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. NEARHOOD: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MS. WARDELL: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. WARDELL: My name is Mary Ann Wardell. Please excuse my appearance. I was watching this on TV, something I saw caught my eye and I had to run down here.

Last week and this week again I'm hearing council being bashed because they have the nerve to meet with the mayor, and I had to come down here and tell you that as elected officials and people that are trying to do the best for this city any time you can meet with the mayor to work out any kind of compromise or any kind of deal or any kind of solution I would say it is not only right, but it is your responsibility as

elected officials. You are here to represent us. We all can't meet with the mayor, but if you can meet with the mayor and you can explain the point of view of the residents of the city, I encourage every one of you to do it.

And I think certain people forget that along since you have taken office you have all met with the mayor. I would hope given the opportunity to solve some of these financial problems you would do so. The only way we are going to get anywhere is for-- and I don't care if you meet with him personally, I don't care if you met with him in a coffee shop, I don't care where you meet with him, the fact remains that we are right now in a grid lock. We are not getting anything done and we need solutions and if it takes meeting him, so be it.

Would I like to see him here?

Absolutely. He made promises years ago that he would be here. I was here when he was Finance Chair and I'll tell you what, he is a completely different man now than he was then. I voted for him once, I would never

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vote for him again because he lied and he told half-truths and he put this city so far in debt that outside of borrowing I don't see how we are going to get out of it.

And borrowing, I believe, means probably means raising, taxes along with the school district probably will raise our taxes and the county, and then they wonder why nobody wants to live in Scranton.

You people have done a phenomenal job since you were here. You have done it because you are all free thinkers. think alike? Yes, you do think alike, but you are not rubber stamps. You are not like former councils were. You are able to think for yourselves and you have independent ideas, and you know what, that's good. You all don't have to agree with one another all the time and you all don't have to get along That's why we put you there. We on issues. want -- the more people that can put their heads together with different ideas the better off we are, because the more solutions you come up with.

I just don't think it was fair that

Pat Rogan was bashed last week and he was bashed this week because he met with the I think that was something that should not have been done and it shouldn't be done. I will also say that I have been here before the cameras were here and this podium should not be used for anyone's political speeches. I was a candidate several times, I came to every meeting, however, I didn't spout my philosophies and my experience and what I knew and what I didn't know when I was at this podium, I talked about city business, and I believe it's a very unfair to candidates that do not come here that we would allow anyone to come up here and say, "Hey, I'm a candidate and this is what I think."

Again, I apologize, I didn't really expect to be here, I just had to come down here because I was very upset with what was said and the theatrics that are going on here. I mean, would the mayor -- would the mayor sit in that chair if he came here? mean, let's face it, I don't think so. he came here he would probably sit there

1 (Indicating.) And that's all I have to say. 2 Thank you. 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Good evening. MR. MORGAN: 6 I agree with a lot of 7 Mrs. Wardell said today, but I'd like to go 8 back to the discussion I had last week with 9 council, and Mrs. Evans your statement was 10 that this is a political year and I 11 shouldn't debate the councilmen, and I don't 12 know what that had to do with any of the 13 statements I made here. Can you kind of 14 enlighten me into that? MS. EVANS: You are a candidate for 15 office. 16 17 MR. MORGAN: Nobody else knows that 18 and I didn't announce my candidacy from this 19 podium, I didn't speak about politics. 20 have been coming here for over 20 years and 21 I have never brought my candidacies forward 22 ever, not once. 23 MS. EVANS: I am quite certain that 24 every one here seated is aware that you are 25 a candidate.

23

24

25

MR. MORGAN: Well, don't you think --

MS. EVANS: As are we. Mr. Morgan

MR. MORGAN: Go ahead.

MS. EVANS: And I would prefer that you debate your opponents in a proper venue and not during city council meetings.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Now, I didn't engage in debate here, okay, I merely said from the podium does anybody have anything to say before I leave the podium, because it just seems that when I leave the podium, Mr. Evans, you have a comment to make and then I can't respond, and I think it's very disrespectful to do that to a speaker at a council meeting because it shows a lack of respect for the person that's at the podium, and I think that whenever a speaker speaks at the podium if a councilman either agrees or disagrees and wants to engage in conversation then the conversation should flow freely and unimpeded, and those are only my opinions.

Now, in regards to the city's

financial mess, look at, we have had discussions here about the inability to maintain city parks. Well, the golf course was allegedly sold to raise money to maintain city parks, but that money was I guess plugged into the budget, even though allegedly it was going to be put in trust and it never happened.

You just take a look at what's occurred in this city over an extended period of time, and I'll be real honest with you, I don't know how a council member can't know what's going on inside of this building in regards to the city's finances. The Home Rule Charter gives you that ability, and like I said before, it's not like I'm not saying that other councils have done that --have found out what was going on, but you know, our financial situation just seems to continually deteriorate and I can't see how privatizing DPW is going to help anything.

I agree with statements that people have said to me that they are just leaving the city, they have had it, you know, I mean the mall is in trouble, everything is in

trouble. I just think that people come here and say things about the mayor or the council and my opinion is that the people who voted the council and the mayor into office are the residents. If they didn't want the council members to be on the council, they wouldn't have elected them. If they didn't want the mayor to be mayor, they wouldn't have elected him. voters don't know what the people they are electing are doing, then they need to do more research before they vote, because this problem has gone on here for a very long time and I just think that maybe it's really time to file bankruptcy and get it over with or come up with some real solutions.

And I do understand that this council doesn't care much for SAPA, but I really think it's one of the only options for the city and whether you agree with me or not no town outside of Scranton has the debt we have. Well, Dunmore is in trouble, but I don't know how you are going to compete with the places that aren't carrying the debt load we're carrying, and I don't

know how you are going to compete with other communities that aren't losing their population base like we are, and we have got a ton or problems.

And my last question here is since the city has no money to pay bills, how are we going to maintain these fleet of vehicles and do maintenance on them without money? And I would like to know if council has a plan to make money available to maintain the DPW fleet and the Scranton police cars and the Scranton fire trucks and I would just like to ask that question, so maybe you can answer that later or at your leisure. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I'm just going to comment on the golf course money. Just for the record, the remaining proceeds from the sale of the golf course, which was \$1.8 million that we had left before 2010, that was used in the 2010 budget as a revenue source. That's all.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city taxpayer.

levels.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have some concerns and some disappointments. For some time I was asking how many neighborhood police patrol officers have been deployed in 2011, and Mr. Loscombe provided me a response before the meeting last week which stated there were 13 neighborhood police patrol officers deployed with community development funding until their layoff when the administration realized that this program was not eligible as long as the regular ranks fell below 2010 manning

However, because I was growing inpatient I sent my own Right-to-Know to the city and I got a completely different answer which states: "As of August 5, 2011, no funding has been expended from the Community Development Block Grant in 2011 for the neighborhood police patrol."

So I would like to ask if Mr. Joyce could contact Mrs. Novembrino and see whether they are paid, whether they have

been -- with the pay for last year and this year with the adjustments that have been -- or the increases are the same or if they were an unseparate account, because I found find that troubling.

And quick question for Mr. McGoff, at the East Mountain Residence Association you took on a task, volunteered to contact the mayor regarding signage.

MR. MCGOFF: I did so the next day.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And do you know what the outcome? Has he decided that's okay?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe the response is in whether there were signs on the fire houses or not, is that what you were referring to?

MS. SCHUMACHER: The sign, yeah. So he don't know whether they were allowed to put close on the doors if they are browned out?

MR. MCGOFF: I was told that the immediately after that that there were no signs and then somebody told me within the past week or two that that they had seen

signs on the door, but I did not receive a direct response to this.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:} \text{MR. MCGOFF:} \quad \text{To answer your}$  question.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And I wanted to ask Mr. Rogan about 7-A, but I think I'll hold it because I believe you all have agreed to table it until after a caucus' is that true?

MR. JOYCE: I'm in favor of tabling it and I believe the majority of the colleagues are as well.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And I wonder if anyone is watching the tax assessor's appeal. I'm glad to see that you are getting the listings, both of those who are up for appeal hearings and for the final outcome, but is anybody watching for those two -- University's two recently procured residential properties at 408 and 412, I believe it was, Madison, I didn't write that down, to ensure that they remain on the tax rolls as the properties that own in Roaring Brook? Is anyone anybody reading over those

for that purposes?

I know the Crisp Avenue Bridge failed because the stream had filled with rocks and sediment from years of not being dredged, and I understand that cleaning the stream bed was also a prerequisite for the bridge replacement, and rightfully so, we don't want it to fail a second time, but my question is now can we check maybe, Mr. McGoff, with Mr. Brazil to see if the city is doing a -- preparing a list of streams that are in need of the hazard mitigation?

MR. MCGOFF: I will ask.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And,
Mr. Loscombe, could you find out on the
Labor Day weekend there were two people
rescued from the Nay Aug gorge, could you
find out how much they were fined for that?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I know it took a lot of effort. Also, I'll put my two cents in on the pet neutering. It's not cheap. I have an appointment to have a cat neutered next week and it's roughly \$300, and there

are -- it's a female cat, so it's probably less for a male, but and nonetheless, I mean, pets provide a lot of company to a lot of elderly folks and disabled folks who cannot afford to have that kind of expense, you know, I just bought cat food tonight 60 cents a can for cat food, so it is a big expense for seniors to have that company and I would hate to further burden them or possibly even deny them having that companionship by requiring the pet neutering.

Also, I want to put my oar in the water on the commuter tax, I personally think it's immoral to tax people without representation and I even wonder how we get away with the 880 funding, but it is what it is, but I would certainly be against any commuter tax.

And I have some stuff on the -- did some research on the parking meters that if I have the time I'll get to, but I, too, want to share my two disappointments. Last week my friend, Mrs. Franus, attacked Pat Rogan because he had the audacity to meet

with the mayor and discuss finances even though he was not Finance Chair. I did not, however, see Mrs. Franus come here and attack Mrs. Evans when she provided the amendments to the 2011 CDBG budget to Mr. Joyce and not Mr. Rogan, nor was the monitoring report that was provided to Mrs. Evans by Ozzie Quinn provided to Mr. Rogan, but was for at least a week, maybe he still doesn't have it, I don't know.

And also, if I may just finish with the final disappointment was, Mrs. Evans, your inconsistent gavel yielding last week and even tonight. You allowed one discourse to go on and the other you gaveled, you gaveled out of order, and I think it's unfortunate. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I just want to answer one of your questions about the officers actually, because I know the answer and the story behind the 13 officers that were laid off. Essentially what happened was when the 2011 operating budget was sent down by the

administration and it's also in the amended budget as well, and I'm not sure if you have a copy but I know there is one in my office and I don't have it on me right now, but you will see under regular patrolmen there is an a note there. There is a letter and underneath it states that 13 officers of regular patrolmen will be funded using the CDBG money.

Later on in the year it was discovered that that CDBG money could not be used to fund those 13 officers, so for the portion of when the 13 officers were serving the City of Scranton before any type of layoff occurred they were being funded out of the city general fund not any OECD money. So, therefore, we should have roughly about 700 and some thousand dollars in the neighborhood police patrol program.

However, I think possibly what Mr.

Loscombe was trying to say that since officers were laid off that money cannot be used to hire back any neighborhood patrols until I believe it's one year as to my knowledge from that date, meaning that --

MR. LOSCOMBE: A year later.

MR. JOYCE: So at least right now when you look that we could start that program up and running again until 2013 per HUD regulations, but those 13 officers were being paid with general fund money rather than CDBG money as it was originally intended to be in the budget that was passed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, but I read it, it they said they were funded with Community Development Block Grant or funding until the end of August.

MR. LOSCOMBE: It should have been the anticipation that the Community Development Grant funding would be utilized. The city vouchers that -- and I do have copies of the voucher, but the fact that they didn't qualify it could come out of the general budget, so basically I think we're, five, six hundred thousand dollars over on police in the general budget that we had anticipated because it can't come out of the Community Development Block Grants. I should have put anticipated in there.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. That explains it.

MR. JOYCE: I think there is possibly a little bit of misunderstanding.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to make one quick response regarding Mr. Rogan and the report that I received from Mr. Quinn. Mr. Rogan was contacted a number of times and he didn't return my calls, so that is why and that was within that one-week period from the time in which I received the report and read it, and so that is why he did not have a copy from me. On the other hand, I would have thought the federal government, HUD, would have provided council with a copy and certainly if not Ms. Aebli should have done so, neither of which occurred.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. FRANUS: Hi. I can't say too much against Marie Schumacher because he loves my dog. He is my boy so whatever she said is fine. As far attacking Pat Rogan, I

don't consider it an attack, I consider it saying how I feel. I just feel this way. Some people may think I'm a Democrat and he's a Republican, I'm a Republican, but I have sat here, sat home and watched his actions for far too long and didn't say anything. I'm just -- I'm just fed up with him. I don't feel like I trust him anymore and I think I have a right to come here and say so.

I'm sorry some people think it's attack. I will continue to say how I feel. If it were Frank Joyce or Jack Loscombe if I felt they were doing something I didn't like I certainly would come and say the same thing about them. I'm not going to -- even you, Mrs. Evans. I mean, and people don't know this because they just don't know it, but many times when we were together you have chastised me about many things I have said here, but nobody knows this, but people probably think, oh, you know, we agree on everything. No, we don't. We have had many arguments on many things, but --

So my point with Pat Rogan is I

24

25

definitely feel he jeopardize the chances of the mayor coming in here. Maybe he wasn't going to come, the mayor, but my point is this, what Pat Rogan did with the mayor didn't help anybody because the mayor knew exactly what he was doing. He went to Wilkes-Barre to meet with Pat Rogan. Come on. It would be wonderful if Pat Rogan and the mayor met here, I mean, just so the people would know what was being said, if questions could be asked and answered of the mayor, that's all I want. Whether Pat meets with the mayor, it's fine, but do it in That's my only gripe. Do it in public because the public has not heard from him in six years or longer, and this is what he wants. He has it made meeting with Pat because this way it makes you guys look bad because Pat is willing to reach out to him -- or the mayor reached out to him and Pat accepted where as the three of you, Mr. Joyce, Mr. Loscombe and Mrs. Evans, would say, no, because they want the public to hear what's going on. That's why you were elected for transparency and openness

in government. The mayor it so dead set against that that he will never come here because he is scared to death of your questions because how he is going to answer them? He would be stuttering because he knows the questions and he knows he can't answer them to the public because he would be ruined. He would be run out of town, that's the bottom line.

So all I could say is this, I'm not going to keep quiet anymore about Pat Rogan, I have done it too long, and if he continues to do things I don't agree with I'm not going to say so because it's freedom of speech. And, Mrs. Evans, if you feel I'm saying something wrong I would hope you would bang me out of order. I may not like it, but I hope you do it if you feel you should. Fine. So that's all. Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. Franus, I just wanted to -- I was writing some notes when you were speaking last week, but one thing that you said about Pat not supporting me, he did support me. He put signs out for me,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he was at several of my functions, we spoke several times on -- many times on the phone about different things and I know he supported myself and Mr. Piccolino, I believe, but he did support me wholly.

MS. FRANUS: Okay. Thanks for clearing that up. And another thing, I just wants to reinforce what I said last week, please, I don't know what you are going to do about that dog ordinance, but try to understand what you should do is go after the breeders that just breed dogs and breed dogs just to make money. I know my boy was stuck in a closet. I mean, they don't care about them, they just want the money, so think about that. Maybe make a law to go after the animal -- the breeders. People can't afford to get their dog neutered, some people can't, so what they should do is go after the vets, the veterinarians to offer free neutering, that's what you should put the pressure on. I mean, they certainly could do it if they choose to. I would start there, and some doctors do it, many don't, so maybe they all should, they

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certainly should. If they care about animals, which they are supposed to, they would want to do this, so let's see who cares. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: And, Ms. Franus, just to make a quick comment on what you stated before about Mr. Rogan, whether or not Mr. Rogan went to meet with the mayor I don't have anything against that all being the Finance Chair, I am happy the mayor did reach out to somebody, but anyhow, I think there is an underlying message and I think what Mr. Miller said made the most sense -well, a statement that Mr. Miller said made the most sense, if the mayor is willing to drive down to a coffee shop in Wilkes-Barre I don't see any reason he shouldn't be willing to walk up the steps or as Mr. Miller pointed out take the elevator up the steps if he doesn't want to walk. could bring coffee and doughnuts if he would like and perhaps Mr. McGoff and I could supply some Vitamin water, but I think it's imperative that the mayor meets with council

1 because this is the most effective forum 2 where you can actually speak to all five 3 members of council because, like I stated last week, Mr. Rogan doesn't represent 4 5 Mr. McGoff, he doesn't represent Mrs. Evans and he doesn't represent me, and he doesn't 6 7 represent Mr. Loscombe, and that's all. 8 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 9 cares to address council? 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chris, I thought you would be here with the bell this week. 11 12 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, we got 13 robbed. I don't know. Stepped all over. 14 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. MOTIONS. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any comments 17 or motions tonight? 18 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, thank you. 19 don't usually respond to a lot of the things 20 that were necessarily said to me by speakers, but tonight seems to be a little 21 22 bit different so perhaps I will. 23 Mr. Jackowitz did ask me if I was 24 happy with voting for a 25 percent tax 25 increase and, no, I wasn't. I pay taxes. Ι

17

18

12

13

14

15

16

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

own property in the City of Scranton and that affected me as well as anyone else. Μy belief at the time was, and it did hold true, that that tax increase was necessary for maintaining the services that we had in the city and I would say that over the two years or the years that tax increase was in place that we did have a full compliment of policemen and firemen. I'm sorry I made a glib comment about the layoffs coming afterwards, that was probably unnecessary and I apologize. I was just merely pointing out that I wanted to point out that the reason I voted for the tax increase was to try and maintain the services that we had and I believe it was a necessity at the time.

As far as the debate or whatever you want to call it with Mr. Miller, I do believe that he was very condescending in things that he said both about myself and about the mayor. I don't think that that's necessary in someone coming to the podium. I don't believe that demeaning other people is the way in which you solve things. I

think the professional thing to do is to speak about what you think is the proper way to do things and not necessarily criticize others for what they have done.

Like it or not, Mayor Chris Doherty
has been elected three times. Apparently
there are some people that believe in what
he is doing and by insulting and being
condescending the mayor I think you are
being condescending to the people that voted
for him and I don't think that's a
necessity.

And as far as in answer to his question what my proposals were, yes, last week I did say that I believe that the way in which we solve problems is through cooperative effort. No, I don't have any panacea for dealing with the problems, I am willing to listen to anybody who has ideas. As I said last week, that as a now that perhaps the most acceptable solution that I had heard was to take a look at unfunded debt, unfunded borrowing. But, again, I'm willing to listen to any suggestions, but I think the solution is not in any type of

unilateral decision nor one person making that decision. The solution to our problem, as I have said for most of this year, is in working together to resolve the problems that we have.

And next I would like to thank

Mrs. Wardell for coming to council chambers
tonight. Ms. Wardell and I have not always
agreed on things in the past, but I do
appreciate her appearing here and I have
always respected the things that she has
said, although I may I have disagreed with
many of -- or I should say some of the
things that she has said.

MS. WARDELL: A lot of them.

MR. MCGOFF: And one of the things that I really did agree with is that this podium is not a bully pulpit for political campaigns. It's just not the thing to do. If it's allowed for one then it's going be allowed for everyone and that's not the purpose of what this meeting is for and I'm a little disappointed in what has taken place over the last couple of weeks from some speakers.

And maybe I'm stealing something from Mrs. Evans, but I did when Mr. Morgan criticized the, you know, the fact that people had -- that members of council had comments after he had left the podium I did say that, you know, that's kind of a privilege of the office. You know, we do have the last word. Whether you like that or not, that's the way that the system works and --

MS. EVANS: And, Mr. McGoff, I think if I could just add something --

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MS. EVANS: -- quickly. Very often that word is pronounced because a speaker may have provided or very likely has provided incorrect information publically, so it becomes necessary for a council member to clarify the statements that were made and provide the viewers with correct information.

So it has certainly never been the intention of any member of this council to do anything other than show our utmost respect to the members of the audience and

the speakers who take the time to come here each week and express their opinions and to exercise their free speech. It is certainly not a matter of disrespect, it's a matter of providing accurate information, and as Mr. McGoff says, there is a privilege as well that comes with elected office, and I am very glad in these instances we have that ability to correct misstatements, misinformation, etcetera. I'm sorry, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: That's quite all right. And just last on this whole response, I don't think that this is a place where debate between speakers and council members is appropriate. I am willing to answer anyone's questions, I am willing to defend anything that I have done in the five years that I have been here. Please, if you have questions of me let me -- you know, let me provide you with an answer. I may not have the answer on hand, but if you ask me something I would be more than happy to answer, I always have been.

And then just one last thing that

22

23

24

25

1

has nothing to do with that, I received a copy of the proposed rental registration ordinance that was prepared by Attorney Kelly, however, when I ran it it -- he sent it as an e-mail to me and when I ran it on the computer it -- I have a copy, but it's somehow either the way it was written up or something but the bottom part of it didn't copy, so we are missing some lines on it. What I will do is tomorrow I'll come over and see if I can get the original copy and I'll provide copies to members of council, and also what Attorney Kelly and what I had proposed last week was another meeting with Attorney Kelly, and I asked Mr. Loscombe if would be willing to attend that as well, if the timing is all right with you I'm going to see if perhaps this coming Monday 11:00

MR. LOSCOMBE: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: That we could meet with Attorney Kelly and again look over the changes in the ordinance and then we'll come to council and everyone should have a copy by then and we can discuss what changes we

would like to make. I know the one thing that was mentioned is that some of the changes -- the changes that were being made were substantial and one of the questions that was brought up was whether it would be better to be rescind the old ordinance and then pass this, whatever we do as a new ordinance rather than presenting it as an amended ordinance just because there were so many changes to it. So that's, again, something that think about it and that we can discuss, and I will try and have that for Mr. Loscombe, and that's all I have. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

Mr. Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions tonight?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just briefly. I have some good news tonight. First, I want to thank my grandson Sam for taking me to lunch today, but -- I'm pointing at the camera, he is watching me on camera, two and a half and he doesn't miss a show.

But the good news is that Crisp

Avenue is progressing. They laid all of the

box culverts in today, which was a major step after they did do the dredging in the river and upstream and they had to bypass it. Fortunately, the weather has held out, they poured their pad about two weeks ago, I believe it was ten trucks up there they had trailers carrying each section of the box culvert and they have the wing walls on. They are anticipating the end of the month or the first week of November for completion, barring any additional weather problems, so that's a good sign for the people on West Mountain. And that's all I have this evening.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman, Loscombe. And, Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. First, let me start with some good news. City council has received notice from Mr. Courtright's office that he has issued the city a check for an additional \$100,000 in 888 funds, and I know one of our speakers, Mrs. Schumacher, commented about 888 funds and her opposition to a commuter tax, so just in case for those

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

who don't know, 888 funds are a tax, it's a tax levied by the city on residents who work in the city that live in municipalities that does not impose any type of wage tax, that's the simple version of it. So we received an extra \$100,000 from the tax office in such funds.

Recently in a GO Lackawanna article, Mayor Doherty still insisted that city council cut taxes by \$3 million. I just wanted to point out that his quotation is extremely inaccurate. By reducing property taxes by 10 1/2 percent and the business privilege and mercantile taxes by 25 percent, the total amount of the cut is roughly 1.7, 1.8 million dollars, therefore, Mayor Doherty's allegation that council cut taxes by \$3 million is absolutely false.

Mayor Doherty does also continue to blame council's tax cuts for the deficit, however, this is false. For the taxes that council cut, what I'm going to do is read to you what council projected, and what we were expected to realize as per business administrator Ryan McGowan's recent cash

flow report.

First is the current real estate tax. With the cut, council projected that we would realize \$12,998,293. Mr. McGowan projects that we are actually going to realize \$13,454,854, giving us a surplus of revenue of \$456,561 for this line item.

Mercantile tax. Council projected that we would realize \$881,250. Mr. McGowan projects that we will actually realize \$930,455, giving the city a surplus of \$49,205 from this line item.

Business privilege tax. Council projected that we would realize \$562,500 after the cut. Mr. McGowan projects that we are actually going to bring in \$506,980, giving the city an under projection of revenue of 55,520 from this line item.

So when you look at the total amount of the revenue that council projected from the taxes that were actually cut, which Mayor Doherty is blaming the deficit on, being real estate tax, mercantile and business privilege tax, the total amount of revenue that was projected to be collected

by council was \$14,442,043. The actual amount that Mr. McGowan projects that we will realize from these taxes that council cut is \$14,892,289.

With this in mind, Mr. McGowan actually projects that we will collect more than \$450,000 more than what council originally owe projected after the cuts were initiated.

Secondly, I wanted to point out that Mayor Doherty refuses to take any blame for the current deficit that the city is facing and for anything in the 2011 operating budget. He states, "It's their budget," though, in fact, last week I reported \$6.8 million in over projections of revenue and under projections of expenditures that the administration provided.

Also, it is factual that the mayor hired back workers that weren't budgeted for and gave a raise to one of his employees that wasn't budgeted for. As I said last week, Mayor Doherty simply cannot follow -- or can disregard following the budget that was presented to him and then blame it on

council if there is a deficit, especially when there is \$6.8 million worth of under projections that were a result of the administration's numbers or facts or figures that they provided us.

What I stated last week, it's factual evidence. What I stated this week is also factual evidence. What Mayor Doherty stated in GO Lackawanna and other newspapers were generalizations without any factual basis as evident by the statement that council cut taxes by \$3 million, which is completely false.

While we are on the topic of deficits, Mayor Doherty stated that he would not institute payless paydays and they would be pay TAN-B this year and rather that he would simply not pay \$7 million or so in other bills. Not paying bills from the budget year and diverting them to the following years is known practice for the administration. In fact, in 2011, the administration diverted \$11,322,625 in expenses from 2010 into 2011 that were paid in January, as indicated in Mr. McGowan's

cash flow report. These expenses were diverted because we only had \$4,856,944 left at the end of 2010 to pay them. Thus, we nearly --we were nearly \$6.5 million short as far as what we are able to pay versus our expenses coming into the year and this wasn't reported to council until January.

In 2010, the administration diverted \$4,420,525 in expenses from 2009 into 2010. Of course, council would like to see this procedure stopped and in light of this some changes to the deficit created by the administration, council is working on an alternative plan to bring us back to square one and we will provide some more details on that once that's finalized.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please send a letter to business administrator McGowan asking him for a list of all revenue and supplemental revenue that has come in in 2011, and ask that he provide this as soon as he could as we would like to have this information to accurately determine the current deficit.

Well, enough about 2011, because the

situation remains what it is right now, so let's look forward. Looking forward into 2012, it's evident that we need more revenue into the city. Health care costs are rising, wages in certain unions are rising incrementally due to various collective bargaining agreements and costs of various materials are rising as well. I think that's just a fact.

As you know, or as you the may or may not know, Mrs. Krake has been given instruction to PEL to include a commuter tax, payroll expense tax and amusement tax into the revised Recovery Plan. These initiatives have the possibility to bring in 8 to 9 million dollars into the city, and I think everybody knows what a commuter tax and amusement tax is, however, some may not know what a payroll expense tax is.

A payroll expense tax is a tax

levied on all businesses, excluding

nonprofits. In this tax, certain millage or

percentage is levied on businesses based on

the amount of the business' payroll. As a

practical example, the City of Pittsburgh

3

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

levied a .055 percent tax on payroll expenses. This tax is levied on businesses with the stipulation that it doesn't effect the employees' wages, therefore, the employee -- in Pittsburgh's legislation when the tax is levied the employer can't turn around and say, "Well, we are going to lower the employees' wages because this tax was levied."

The typical plan when this tax is levied is to phase out business privilege and mercantile tax, and I know that's very burdensome to some small businesses in the This makes money because though restaurants and shops pay mercantile tax on gross receipts, there are many industries that do not such as banks, newspapers such as the Scranton Times, and manufacturing facilities. The intent of such a tax is that the larger industry start contributing more to the city revenue base while small businesses actually end up paying a bit less, therefore, having a dual effect of enhancing economic development for small businesses and increasing revenue for the

city as a whole.

Regarding other ideas that can increase revenue for the city next year, Mrs. Krake, I would like you to please send official letters with the agreement of my colleagues to PEL, the mayor, and Business Administrator McGowan with the following imitatives for implementation into the Recovery Plan in the 2012 budget, and I'll read some of them off:

Number one. Restructure health insurance. One, increase employee contributions for health insurance copayments for administrative employees and copays for all union and nonunion employees across the board to a higher, but reasonable level.

Unfortunately, health insurance costs are rising and the city cannot afford to provide Cadillac health insurance to city employees whether it be union or nonunion.

Also, some administration employees are contributing \$11 out of their paychecks for health insurance. When this is compared to the private sector and other unions

throughout the city, this is simply just too low. It's just a fact of reality and it's what the world is facing today.

Number two. Sell or lease parking garages, if feasible, as this has been done in other cities, and implement StreetSmart or another parking meter revenue enhancement program.

Number three. Implement a program to allow city businesses to advertise on city refuse collection trucks and city street sweepers. That was actually done in New York City and they realized nearly \$10 million in revenue. Of course, Scranton is a much smaller city and we wouldn't realize this, however, it would create a very good win-win situation as it could create revenue for the city and also allow businesses that needs to advertise throughout the neighborhood rather than simply taking out an advertisement on a billboard.

I know you see a lot of the Colt buses downtown or driving around neighborhoods with various advertisements on them, so I think this could be a worthwhile

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

revenue generator that could also enhance business throughout the city.

Number four. Petition the Court to allow the city pauper status on filing fees for civil actions that are still in the magisterial or district courts. To explain, pauper status would mean that the city would not have to pay for filing fees that are an expense to the city when there is matter that goes to Court. The City of Reading actually did this and petitioned the Courts to allow the status until they were out of the Act 47, meaning that the city is distressed. This could potentially save the city in lien fees and Court costs associated with delinquent taxes and refuse fees not being paid by some out-of-town landlord as well as other civil matters.

And, Mrs. Krake, I would actually like you to copy Attorney Kelly on this as well.

Number five. Implement a bonus incentive program for department heads who don't go over budget on overtime. As you know, overtime has been an issue in the city

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

for years. It's not just this year, even though there have been articles in the newspaper about it. Residents have sent us various pieces and bits of information stating that they see workers maybe doddeling and whatnot, and really there is no incentive for department heads as it is to limit overtime, so perhaps if there was a bonus incentive provided to them to minimize overtime to a more reasonable level and to use it more efficiently, perhaps it would create a scenarios where department heads would focus greatly on what employees are doing on their overtime because eventually they could receive a bonus for themselves if they are able to minimize it.

Implementing a stronger rental registration program, and I know that Mrs. McGoff is meeting with Attorney Kelly to talk with about this and the implementation of a stronger rental registration program can not only decrease blight in the neighborhoods but provided revenue for the cities.

Also, it could identify -- also now,

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have a situation where the Sewer

Authority is asking residents on their sewer

bills to state if they live in a rental

property because it's going to effect their

rights on their rate tier system in the

upcoming future, so right now this could be

a golden opportunity to get that database

moving.

And number seven, implement a city surcharge on nonparking violations such as summary offenses and criminal activities, and this was one of my ideas actually. Ιf the reason that we need police on the streets is due to crime, I say let the criminals take a greater part in paying for their expenses. Why can't we put a city fee on it? Now, this is something that would have to be determined by the attorneys that be if it would be legal to do that, however, if fines are higher perhaps it could be used as a force to drive criminals out of the city and if there is a city surcharge on fines such as DUI's or drug possessions and whatnot, perhaps it could create a situation where, hey, well, the police are getting

raises and their health insurance is costing more money, so instead of putting the burden on taxpayers why not put the burden on the people who were actually causing the crimes in the first place, thus, the need for police patrol.

And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. Last week following city council's legal override of his veto, Mayor Christopher Doherty ignored the law by signing and implementing his own legislation for 2011 CDBG allocations, and his action is in direct violation of the Home Rule Charter.

As a result, both Steven Stein and Nadab Bynam were contacted and the 2011 CDBG allocations and amendments were discussed with them at length. Both officials recommended that city council send a letter to Mr. Bynam requesting a review by HUD attorneys of the legislation.

Therefore, with the agreement of my colleagues, I direct Mrs. Krake to send a letter on behalf of Scranton City Council to

Mr. Nadab Bynam stating that the 2011 CDBG legislation submitted by the Scranton Office of Economic and Community Development was not legally and lawfully adopted and differs from the 2011 CDBG allocations legislation passed by Scranton City Council. Please include a copy of the Scranton City Council 2011 CDBG allocations legislation and copies of additional pertinent documents which demonstrate the accuracy of council's amendments, the inaccuracies of the mayor's veto message and the appropriate sections of the Home Rule Charter code which the mayor has violated.

Also, council asks Solicitor Hughes to advise Mrs. Krake further as to the specific contents of the letters.

In addition, with the agreement of my colleagues, I ask Mrs. Krake to send a letter to Congressman Lou Barletta on behalf of Scranton City Council asking him to contact the US Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and call for a federal review of the City of Scranton's handling of the CDBG monies, federally funded loans,

failure to monitor such loans and inclusion in the 2011 operating budget of the City of Scranton of payment from CDBG funds for 13 regular duty Scranton police officers.

Also, Scranton City Council requests that the Congressman Barletta contact the appropriate federal and/or state agencies to call for a review of the City of Scranton's use of the current year tax anticipation note to pay off prior year tax anticipation notes in violation of the Unit Debt Act and the ordinances which enacted the issuance of the tax anticipation notes.

In came to my attention that

Congressman Dent when contacted by a

Bethlehem city official called for a federal review of the City of Bethlehem's handling of CDBG money. Funds were used to pay the city's operating expenses and then repaid by the city. Under the direction of Mayor Christopher Doherty, the City of Scranton amassed 11 findings against it's CDBG programs and has played financial shell games with Tax Anticipation Notes, Workers'

Comp excess funds, and CDBG funds.

Although these practices have been exposed by this city council, federal and state assistance is needed to stop the maneuvering of taxpayers' dollars by Mayor Doherty throughout 2012 and 2013, his final years in the Office of Mayor.

Next, Christopher Doherty recently announced a reversal of his prior edict proclaiming payless paydays for city employees. The mayor's change of direction came as no surprise to many council members since Councilman Joyce reported the actual funds available to the city through December 2011. Now the mayor announces that he will not pay current bills in order to pay in full the 2011 Series B TAN and make payroll through year end.

Once again, Christopher Doherty's announcement is no surprise to anyone other than the Scranton Times apparently, since this is precisely what Mayor Doherty did in 2010 and 2009. The only difference is that the newspaper never reported in 2010 and 2009 that the mayor wasn't paying city bills and wasn't paying the tax anticipation note

2

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when it came due, but the newspaper didn't know, just as council didn't know, about the mayor's shenanigans.

Ladies and gentlemen, we have a deficit now because the mayor carried over last years bills into this year. This is how Mayor Doherty operates and you weren't aware of these games until a few members of this city council exposed them. I wonder how many of you, ladies and gentlemen, would love to stop paying your bills for the remainder of the year? I know I would. Ιs it even an option? If you ever followed Christopher Doherty's example and stopped paying your household bills, would the bill collectors let you slide? Would your credit rating reflect your nonpayment?

Scranton City Council will not allow the mayor to continue his deceptive and disgraceful financial practices this year.

The City of Scranton will survive despite Mayor Christopher Doherty's best efforts to bury it because this council is working hard to resolve the Doherty deficit and when we have solid information, as was indicated

several moments ago by my colleague and Finances Chair, Mr. Joyce, we will report it to you directly.

Since Mayor Doherty has established a pattern of ignoring legislation legally and lawfully adopted by Scranton City
Council and violating the law, the legislation for the sale of the parking meters, the \$14 million of additional Scranton Parking Authority borrowing, and the \$4 million in Court approved city borrowing will not be placed on the Scranton City Council's agenda. It is clear the mayor is unable to accept the word "no" and has no intention of abiding by the Home Rule Charter and the Administrative Code.

Therefore, Mayor Doherty has caused city council to prevent any consideration of this legislation since based on his track record he would only proceed with it regardless of council's vote. Therefore, council will entertain legislation to solve the Doherty deficit when it determines the true dollar figure and the best course of action for our city and our taxpayers.

Unfortunately, Mayor Doherty continues to hide from the people of Scranton and public council meetings believing he is entitled to govern single-handedly and excusing himself from a public meeting by conversing with individual council members who have no authority to act singularly by virtue of the Home Rule Charter.

In fact, I think it's rather telling that the mayor would drive all the way to Wilkes-Barre in an effort to provide himself with a reason and excuse, if you will, not to come to a city council meeting.

Before the mayor announced that he plans to delay payments of the bills until next year, he should have mentioned that this is his annual policy. Thus, it appears that the mayor can purchase rock salt this year in the same manner in which he did last year at this time.

Also, had he not restated four DPW supervisors whose current year earnings are greater than in 2010, and other DPW casual workers cut in the budget, and if he had

laid off DPW employees in August, as he did to the police and fire departments,

Mr. Brazil wouldn't need to talk to the newspaper about rock salt.

Next, I have a brief update regarding the collection of delinquent taxes, over 2000 invalid parcels were identified in the data given to Northeast Revenue Service. Consequently, Northeast Revenue Service employees were working for the past week at the tax assessor's office to research these parcels.

Also, a Northeast Revenue Service staff member should be working out of the Scranton City Treasurer's Office this week.

And just one, I have one comment or response for Mr. Morgan before I read just two citizens' requests for the week.

Mr. Morgan, perhaps you might be better served by asking the mayor how he intends to find these DPW costs, particularly since he reinstated DPW supervisors with full benefits, DPW casual workers, all of whom were cut in the budget, and he chose to make no cuts to DPW while he sliced public safety

by 21 positions. He is the one who determines the day-to-day operations and I think your question was much better posed downstairs in his office.

And the citizens' requests for the week are: A city resident reports that when she visited Connell Park on Friday, October 7, more garbage had accumulated than during her prior visit several weeks ago. Garbage litters the playground and the woods next to the path. Although -- or excuse me, also, the American flag is torn and shred. Please notify Mr. Dougher of these complaints and request a replacement flag.

Residents of Pennwood Park report
that water lines were replaced when the
streets were milled in preparation for the
paving. Because storm waters continue to
flow onto residential properties, Pennwood
residents request that the pitch of the
streets be changed to reroute storm water
onto the streets rather than in their
backyards. The affected areas are the 2800
block of South Webster Avenue, Monterey Road
and Pennwood Drive. Please notify the

appropriate parties prior to paving. And that's it.

MR. JOYCE: If I could just now make a few brief announcements before we move on since I was running a little late to the meeting. I just wanted to make the announcements that the West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch will be hosting a meet your neighbor night at Haggerty's Pub and Eatery located at 421 North Main Avenue on October 22, from 7 to 10 p.m. The cost is \$10 and there will be a 50/50 raffle and penny drafts. The kitchen will be open for any food purchases and entertainment will be provided, and that's all.

MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT
THIS TIME. SIXTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT
THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 46,
2011- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND
MAKE A LOAN FROM THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL

REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.32 1 2 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$75,000.00 TO 3 FRECKLES AND FRILLS, INC. TO ASSIST AN 4 ELIGIBLE PROJECT. MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I'd like 5 to make a motion to table Item 7-A. 6 MR. JOYCE: Second. 7 8 MS. EVANS: There is a motion on the 9 floor to table Item 7-A and a second. 0n10 the question? 11 MR. MCGOFF: Is this being tabled until the owners appear? Is that 12 13 the purpose? 14 MS. EVANS: Yes. MR. LOSCOMBE: They are gathering 15 16 some additional information and then at the 17 point when they have all of that together we 18 will setup a caucus and we can bring it off the table at that time. 19 MS. EVANS: Yes, and Mrs. Krake I 20 21 know has been in --22 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. MS. EVANS: -- contact with I believe 23 24 it's Attorney Valvano, who represents them, 25 and when they are -- when they have their

1 information together and are able to make an 2 appearance he is going to let Mrs. Krake know so that we could schedule it 3 4 immediately. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. MS. EVANS: You're welcome. All 6 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 9 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 10 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. Item 7-A is tabled. MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 13 14 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 47, 2011- AUTHORIZING, 15 EMPOWERING AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 16 17 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPOINT A LIAISON BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND 18 BERKHEIMER, THE DULY APPOINTED COLLECTOR OF 19 20 EARNED INCOME TAX FOR THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY 21 "TAX COLLECTION DISTRICT", FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF SHARING CONFIDENTIAL TAX 22 INFORMATION WITH THE DISTRICT FOR OFFICIAL 23 24 PURPOSES. 25 MS. EVANS: What is the

	10
1	recommendation of the Chair for the
2	Committee on Finance?
3	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-B.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
20	RESOLUTION NO. 48, 2011- AUTHORIZING
21	AND EMPOWERING THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY TAX
22	COLLECTION COMMITTEE TAX COLLECTOR,
23	BERKHEIMER, TO IMPOSE AND RETAIN COSTS OF
24	COLLECTION ON DELINQUENT TAXES.
25	MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a

motion to amend Item 7-C by the following:

(1). Amending the last line in the title by deleting the word "taxes" and inserting, "Earned income taxes for the year 2012 and thereafter."

Amending the third whereas clause in the first line by deleting, "Said taxes" and inserting "Earned income taxes for the year 2012 and thereafter."

Amending the third whereas clause in the third line after including by deleting "taxes" and inserting "Earned income taxes for the year 2012 and thereafter."

Amending the fourth whereas clause in the third line by deleting "taxes" and inserting "Earned income taxes for the year 2012 and thereafter."

Amending Section I in the third line before "taxes" and inserting the words "Earned income."

And amending Section II, second line after "delinquent" and inserting "Earned income."

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

\_

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Do you want me to go first?

MR. MCGOFF: Go ahead.

MR. JOYCE: The reason I made this motion is because Berkheimer is collecting our earned income taxes not every single tax, not the business privilege or mercantile tax, etc., and this is just to clarify what Berkheimer is actually collecting in the ordinance as per our legal advisor.

MR. MCGOFF: Berkheimer won't be collecting any other taxes besides the earned income tax?

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. JOYCE: At this point.

MS. EVANS: That was the city
mandate regarding -- well, regarding the
collection of wage taxes. Tax collectors
were downsized, Berkheimer was appointed by
the committee to collect the wage taxes for
Scranton and the surrounding municipalities
and townships, but this applies only to wage
tax. The real estate tax, the business
taxes continue to be collected by the

1	Scranton Single Tax Office. And the
2	delinquencies, of course, are being pursued
3	by Northeast Revenue Service. Is there
4	anyone else on the question? Roll call,
5	please.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I think we did
14	that rather than saying aye, but I think
15	it's approves it on an individual basis,
16	which is fine.
17	Now, what is the recommendation of
18	the Chair for the Committee on Finance?
19	MR. JOYCE: As chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
21	passage of 7-C, as amended.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
	II

	104	
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.	
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.	
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.	
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.	
5	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
6	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.	
7	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare	
8	Item 7-c, as amended, legally and lawfully	
9	adopted.	
10	If there is no further business,	
11	I'll entertain a motion it adjourn.	
12	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
13	MS. EVANS: This meeting is	
14	adjourned.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER