| _ | | |
|----|---|---|
| | | 1 |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | HELD: | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Tuesday, October 4, 2011 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | LOCATION: | |
| 11 | Council Chambers | |
| 12 | Scranton City Hall | |
| 13 | 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| 14 | Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| 25 | on rother were never the second religions and the second religions are second religions. | |

3 <u>CI</u>

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

| 1 | (Pledge of Allegiance recited and |
|----|--|
| 2 | moment of reflection observed.) |
| 3 | MS. EVANS: Roll call, please. |
| 4 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. McGoff. |
| 5 | MR. MCGOFF: Here. |
| 6 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Rogan. |
| 7 | MR. ROGAN: Here. |
| 8 | MS. KRAKE: Mr. Loscombe. |
| 9 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Here. |
| 10 | MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the |
| 12 | reading of the minutes. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX |
| 14 | ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL RESULTS OF |
| 15 | SEPTEMBER 7, 2011 APPEALS. |
| 16 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 17 | If not, received and filed. |
| 18 | MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AGENDA OF THE |
| 19 | ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD ON |
| 20 | WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2011. |
| 21 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 22 | If not, received and filed. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CONTROLLER'S REPORT |
| 24 | FOR THE MONTH ENDING AUGUST 31, 2011. |
| 25 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |

| 1 | If not, received and filed. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE |
| 3 | SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE |
| 4 | AUTHORITY BOARD MEETING HELD ON AUGUST |
| 5 | 18, 2011. |
| 6 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 7 | If not, received and filed. |
| 8 | MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS FROM |
| 9 | ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY AS OF SEPTEMBER 26, |
| 10 | 2011. |
| 11 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 12 | If not, received and filed. |
| 13 | MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE |
| 14 | MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND MEETING HELD ON |
| 15 | SEPTEMBER 28, 2011. |
| 16 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 17 | If not, received and filed. |
| 18 | MS. KRAKE: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE |
| 19 | COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON |
| 20 | AUGUST 24, 2011. |
| 21 | MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? |
| 22 | If not, received and filed. |
| 23 | MS. KRAKE: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE |
| 24 | SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION |
| 25 | MEETING HELD ON AUGUST 24, 2011. |
| | |

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes tonight?

MS. KRAKE: No, we don't, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake.

Do any council members have announcements?

MR. MCGOFF: A couple. First, The Wall That Heals returns to Scranton on October 6 to 9. The Wall That Heals is a replica of a -- a capsized replica of the Vietnam memorial. It comes with an information center, a traveling museum, for educational opportunities for children to learn about the wall. It is open 24 hours during that four-day period. So, please, if you have an opportunity it's a -- if you are not able to get to the Vietnam memorial in Washington this is certainly a nice experience, a good experience to see this.

Secondly, the Little Sisters of the Poor are sponsoring a roast beef dinner Sunday, October 23, from 1 to 5 p.m. at the Holy Family Residence. They used to do this and I guess they stopped doing it and now

they are reviving their roast beef dinner.

It goes to helping the Little Sisters of the Poor in dealing with their -- with the members of their community.

The West Side Senior Center big
bingo fundraiser on October 16 from 1:30 to
4:30 at the senior center, cost to attend is
\$5 and it benefits the West Side Senior
Center, usually a nice event to attend.

And last, on Sunday, October 16, the seventh annual Chocoholic Frolic sponsored by SCOLA from 5 to 8 p.m. at the Scranton Cultural Center. Again, it's a nice event, especially if you like to eat a lot of chocolate in a variety of different ways.

And I shouldn't have said lastly, the last thing, this Sunday, this coming Sunday, be aware that the Steamtown Marathon will be held. City streets will be blocked for a period of time and probably from about 10 a.m. until about 2 p.m. waiting for the first finishers should be coming through the city somewhere around 10 a.m. through Greenridge towards central city and then the rest of us will be straggling along

thereafter, but a nice event to come see, a lot of people there, I think they are expecting over 2,000 runners and there is support groups, so please come out, but also be aware that there could be some traffic situations on Sunday. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, I have one question, since next Monday is Columbus Day will DPW be conducting it's regular trash collections or are we pushing that back a day?

MR. MCGOFF: That I don't know, but I think in the past they have pushed it, Columbus Day was a day off.

MS. EVANS: So it will be moved from Tuesday through Saturday next week for garbage collection. Thank you. Is there anyone else who has any announcements?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have one here, I received an e-mail. Arts on Fire will be conducting a Celtic Harvest Festival and a bond fire at Scranton Iron Furnaces on Friday, October 31, from 8 to 11 p.m.

Tickets are \$15 at the door or \$10 in advance and they could be picked up in

3

4 5

7 8

6

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advance at the Anthracite Heritage Museum r by calling 963-4804. The event features a bonfire, mural painting and apple bobbing, food and beverages and music will be by the Tom Petty appreciation band. That's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The Dunmore Cemetery Tour presented by the Dearly Departed players under the artistic direction of Ms. Julie Esty will be held at the Dunmore Cemetery, 400 Church Street, on Sunday, October 7 and again on Sunday, October 9, 2011, beginning at 2 p.m. Admission is free and no reservations are required. Take a walk through time and meet famous and not so famous departed individuals who've had a lasting effect on our local and national history. Actors provide a live portrayal of highlighted individuals and dress in style of the day.

In addition, Ms. Esty has partnered with Ms. Gaus artistic director of the Scranton Civic ballet, to add a touch of dance to what promises to be the best presentation yet. It's a perfect way for family to spend a highly entertaining and an

educational afternoon. Hope to see you there, and that's it.

(Mr. Joyce takes the dais and joins the meeting.)

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is Kathleen Hawley.

MS. HAWLEY: Good evening, councilmen and women.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. HAWLEY: My name is Kathleen
Hawley. I'm a board member for Sweet Bark
Pit Bull Rescue, and I was a brief employee
of Griffen Pond Animal Shelter. I first
presented this idea back in December. What
I would like to see happen is a spay and
neuter ordinance passed. Working in animal
rescue, I see firsthand the repercussions of
pet overpopulation, and it is a serious
problem. Right now there are no laws in
Scranton regarding spaying and neutering,
Los Angeles passed this ordinance and they
had a 65 percent reduction in their animals
brought into their local shelter.

Right now in America an animal is euthanized every eight seconds and the more that are born the more are dying, and the only way to make a change is to start locally.

To have a spay and neuter ordinance it would prevent unwanted, unhealthy and also accidental litters. Most people who breed are considered backyard breeders, it's what we call them in the rescue world. Most of them are in it for the money and they pump out genetically polluted and unhealthy bloodlines. They have been known to mate brothers and sisters so then they could put the term purebred on it, which leads to serious health issues.

Lets see here. What I would like to see happen is to have the spay and neuter ordinance passed which would require any and all pet owners to have their pets fixed by six to eight months of age. Right now also currently in Scranton there is no law regarding cat licenses. We do have to have our dogs licensed, which along with that I think should require a spay and neuter, and

I also think it's very important to have a cat license as well which would also go along with the spay and neuter.

In every part of the city, West Side, the Hill Section, South Side there are many stray cats. Stray cats are a serious problem in our city, and if you were able to pass this ordinance it would stop accidental litters because I personally believe that a large percentage -- well, specifically cats, are simply repopulating due to lack of education. There is not enough outreach programs or education out there regarding spaying and neutering, so people just remain uneducated and the problem just keeps getting worse.

There is a trap, neuter and release program. It's run by Nancy Reese. She runs NEPA Animal Adoption Network, however, she receives no funding. She basically spays and neuters these cats out of her own pocket. It would be great if she could receive some help with the stray cat problem because, like I said, she goes out there on her own, she traps them, brings them to a

low cost clinic and does it all on our own and I couldn't give her more credit, I really can't.

One unspayed female dog can produce 67,000 puppies within seven years. Three unspayed female cats can produce 1 million kittens within six years, and that's only from one dog 67,000 puppies. When you know the fact that every litter born is a litter euthanized because of the overpopulation issue in America, that means that 67,000 lives lost in a shelter because of one dog.

The other issue is most of the people, like I said, we call backyard breeders are uneducated, not experienced, they don't know what they are doing and, like I said, they just pump out these unhealthy litters which lead to more strays, more, you know, animal control needs to take in more animals because irresponsible people end up with them and when they realize they can't handle them they open the door and let them out.

So basically I just would really like to receive something done about pet

overpopulation. It's a really serious problem and, like I said, the only way to start is locally, and I think it would be a really great thing for the city. What would happen is if someone was caught with an unaltered pet they would receive a fine. You know, if they were caught again perhaps double the fine. Something along those lines. I'm not exactly sure how that would go, however, I could do a lot of research and figure out what exactly we could do with that. But, as I said, Los Angeles had passed it so perhaps we can look into how they went about doing it.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. HAWLEY: So I guess that's really all I had.

MS. EVANS: Do we have a contact number for you.

MS. HAWLEY: I left one for you this morning, yes, actually.

MS. EVANS: Very good. And I think we will try to schedule a brief public caucus to discuss the issues and any other information that you can compile would be

1 much appreciated. 2 MS. HAWLEY: Absolutely. 3 MS. EVANS: And we will hold a 4 discussion at that time and hopefully this information can all be submitted to our 5 solicitor and each member of council and 6 7 then we hope we will be able to move forward 8 to something that would be very, very 9 helpful for our animal population. 10 MS. HAWLEY: For our furry friends. 11 MS. EVANS: Yes. Yes 12 MS. HAWLEY: Great. Well, thank you 13 so much for your time, I really appreciate 14 it. MS. EVANS: Thank you very much. 15 16 MR. JOYCE: And, by the way, are you 17 aware of any other cities besides Los 18 Angeles that have this ordinance? MS. HAWLEY: I know there are a few. 19 20 I am not sure offhand though, that's 21 something I can definitely research and, 22 like Mrs. Evans said, submit to you guys. 23 MR. JOYCE: That would be great. 24 MS. HAWLEY: Yes. Absolutely. I 25 would be happy to. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. It was an honor to
see the Doom featured in a Times-Tribune
cartoon on Sunday 2 October 2011 with the
supermajority. The Times-Tribune and the
editorial staff writer are finally starting
to show some class, although they still have
no professionalism.

I must remind the Times-Tribune that they are the ones that gave us the name "Legion of Doom." It came from Chris Kelly and they for some reason they seem to forget that, that they are the -- they are actually the original founders of the Legion of Doom, all I did was make it a reality.

Okay, we now find the City of

Scranton in a position where the mayor and
business administrator are saying that the
administration will not be able to pay the
bills and salaries of the city employees. I

must ask why. Taxes were raised 26 percent
by the Doherty three, Fanucci, Gatelli and

McGoff three years ago. Mayor Doherty vetoed Council's 2011 budget and Councilman McGoff agreed with the mayor's veto and cast his "no" vote on 28 December 2010. He voted not to override the mayor's veto.

With his "no" vote, Mr. McGoff also supported the mayor's budget which called for layoffs and reduction in manning for the police department and fire department. Mr. McGoff stated, "It was outside of the realm of council's authority to introduce minimum manning for the police and firefighters."

A clear statement and vote to reduce public safety employees, close fire stations, increase response times and remove fire apparatus from the streets of Scranton.

Now Mr. McGoff stated that council supermajority members do not appear to have solutions, "Other than the couple of vague references with the Sewer Authority."

Mr. McGoff, your solution to raise taxes by 26 percent, has it worked? Your solution to layoff police officers and firefighters, has that worked? Your solution to add on 26 fines and penalties

for delinquent taxpayers, did that work?

Your solution to block StreetSmart, has it worked?

Mr. McGoff, you were city council president for two years. When you had the majority, can you tell the citizens of Scranton what solutions the Doherty three have you voted for every -- what solution did the Doherty three have? You voted for every one of the Honorable Mayor Doherty's programs and legislation, that is why the City of Scranton is distressed and has been distressed for 20 years.

Talking about the City of Scranton remains distressed you also voted to approve the Honorable Mayor Doherty's past budgets, although, if you had done your research like Mrs. Evans and Mr. Courtright and citizen speakers you would have realized that the budget were not balanced and borrowed money was being used to pay the bills. But then again, you were too busy ruling speakers out of order.

The solution is not to borrow more money, it is to spend less money and

generate more revenue. I still have not seen the mayor at a council meeting or in public, the last time I seen him he was on 81 south heading out of town. Maybe he was going to Harrisburg to find a job.

Mr. McGoff, if you have no solutions yourself do not criticize your colleagues.

Remember, Mr. McGoff, on 14 December 2010, you voted in favor of the city council's amended budget, two weeks later you changed your mind. Was that your solution?

Badmouthing your colleagues will not solve Scranton's problems. Listening to speakers and taking suggestions would be a much better approach. Mr. McGoff questioned whether Mrs. Krake was acting on her own accord or on the authority of council, noting there should be repercussions if Mrs. Krake's actions caused city harm such as costing the city money. Mr. McGoff, may I remind you that you are a current member of city council?

Furthermore, does your statement

also reflect your opinion on the

administration cabinet members and past city

council members who have cost the city taxpayers' money. "These are budgeted items and these are items that have been approved."

"It's already gone through the approval process, and the city clerk is not a part of the approval process."

Mr. McGoff, the Honorable Mayor and business administrator have already stated publically that the city will not be able to pay city employees because the city is broke, so what is the big issue, the contracts are worthless if you have no money to pay the contractors.

Mr. McGoff, have your friends in the administration, including the Honorable Mayor Doherty, furnished all of the information to Rossi & Rossi so that the audit can be completed ASAP?

Lastly, Mr. McGoff, have you been acting on your own accord or the direction of the Honorable Mayor Doherty? In my opinion your voting record reflects the direction of the Honorable Mayor Doherty.

Unemployment, 9.8 percent, highest in the

state again. City audit status being stalled again. Repercussions must be administered according to Mr. McGoff. So, Mr. McGoff, I hope you initiate repercussions tonight on all of your friends in Doherty's cabinet for holding up the audit and sending down unbalanced budgets which you and your friends, Gatelli and Fanucci, voted for four years in a row.

Roseann Novembrino should suffer repercussions and also be invited to attend a city council meeting to explain many things.

Congratulations to Mrs. Krake for standing her ground. You are were correct, you do in the attest to another person's signature unless you are present when that person signs it.

And as far as the Wall That heals that's going to be in Scranton and up at Nay Aug Park, if you have any -- citizens, if you have any information or if you would like -- I correct that. If you want any information all you have to do is give them a town, like, let's say Dickson City,

Greenridge -- or not Greenridge, Greenwood or whatever, and they will pull up any soldiers who were killed in Vietnam from that town and it will also give you the dates that they were killed and everything, so it's valuable information to know. Like, if you want to know something about Olyphant or anywhere it will give you an exact and immediate answer as to what soldiers were killed during the Vietnam War or conflict, whichever you prefer to call it, and this is good information to know and I hope people go up to the Wall and see it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians --

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I come before you at a bad time. The city is in deep trouble, we all know that, but we have been saying that for quite a few years. It's not that this is something new. When the mayor would sell the DPW site to one of the other authorities

saying they needed that \$5 million deficit that went way back and now it has grown from 5 million to was it 8.2 or something like that million, and the solution is to sell assets. That's not really a great solution because that's very narrow.

We probably will have to borrow. I don't see how we can get out of it. I hate to do it, everybody in Scranton should hate to do it because when you borrow if you borrow the 8.2 million or whatever we're short, we don't pay back 8.2 million, we usually pay back double, which would be about 16 million or maybe up to 20 million today when it's finally paid off and we don't have the money for that either, so we're in a quagmire.

I don't like to see anybody thinking about bankruptcy, I don't like even thinking about it myself because it's really not a solution. The state would come in, probably take over the administration of the city or so forth or appoint somebody to do it, and we would still be in the same boat. We are not going to get out of it and we are the

not going to get out of taking the money
from the people in the city saying we are
going to have payless paydays and what good
does that do?

You realize that if we do that the mercantile tax will fall, okay? Everyone else will fall because that money generates other money and if the accountants can sit there and say \$1 generates \$5 and it goes around in a circle. How much do we stand to lose if we have payless paydays?

But that's not the real, real reason for fighting the payless paydays, it's what it does to the people, to people that have to pay their mortgage, to people who have to buy food, people who is doing something with their children, all of that it effects.

That's a narrow way to look at anything.

I would have been down here before you, but the problem with the city is the mayor gets his information from the newspaper, instead of turning the TV on and looking at what's happening at council.

Look at what the people are saying. We have been saying these things not this year or

last year or the year before or the year before, we have been saying them for close to 20 years now. You cannot do what you are doing.

I told you the Parking Authority is actually bankrupt, the SRA is bankrupt, I don't know what our library commission is doing, and that's a joke. That should have never happened in the last days of the administration. How quick they pushed that through. That was a great injustice to the city. It just too bad people didn't have enough brains to look at he did these things and what how it would effect the city, but they don't do that.

People vote with their name instead of their brain. They vote with their party instead of their brain. That's why we are where we are. You got to look at the candidate personally, see what he has done and look at his record and try to correct when you vote because that's the only way you are going to do anything. The voting process in the City of Scranton is very narrow minded, as you know, if you are not a

Democrat you probably wouldn't sit up anywhere. Okay, we know that, but the majority of people in the Scranton are Democrats but they should look at the candidate no matter what party is running into. No matter what he runs as, independent, republican, democrat or whatever. You should look at that man and try to get as much information as you can out of them on this man or what we see now is going to continue right down the pike even if we are forced to borrow it's not a solution, it's temporary, and that's all it will ever be.

We got to find -- even generating more money -- taxes are oppressive. Whether you believe it or not they are oppressive. We all know they are oppressive and try to alleviate the depression you have to do to the people. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I would like to begin tonight by continuing the ongoing discussion we have been having here regarding the city's finances, and just to recap it for anyone who hasn't been paying attention, if that's possible, we know that the city faces an alleged \$8.5 million deficit at the end of the year and, unfortunately, at this time the mayor has failed to take responsibility for any of our current financial problems.

He now wants the residents to believe this is now council's fault. The mayor, and his business administrator, Ryan McGowan, blame council's amended 2011 budget, and just as a side note, those amendments made up roughly say 3 percent of the budget. The mayor has continued to blame council for not providing revenue enhancements and I find that to be something else because I can recall quite a few.

He and Mr. McGowan have also made a claim that council reduced taxes by 3 million. Once again, the mayor and his business administrator have mislead the public. If they were to check their facts,

they would find that council reduced taxes by only 1.7 million, and in a September 22 Scranton Times editorial, the editors ridiculously accused Mrs. Evans and the council majority for being incompetent.

Well, individuals like our honorable mayor and Mr. McGowan, who can't gather the correct facts and mislead people, certainly couldn't be anymore incompetent.

One other example of the incompetence in city hall is Mr. McGowan's claim that we will lose \$1 million in wage tax collection because council reduced the wage tax. Well, what makes this comment so nonsensical is the fact that council never touched the wage tax. I don't know when or even if Mr. McGowan does his homework, but I find this to be quite appalling and a desperate move by the administration that at this point is just grasping at straws and that seems to be what desperate people tend to do.

Due to the mayor's failure to follow through on a budget that would have kept us above water, we are now put in a very tough

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spot and so we ask ourselves what do we do? What's the solution? Well, I know what council's solution was, it was in the 2011 budget, but unfortunately, it was ignored.

Now we turn and we ask ourselves what's the mayor's solution? Well, he wants to borrow more money again, this time \$4 million, and anyone with even a little common sense knows that you can't borrow your way out of debt, especially when you are buried in it. He also yet again wants to sell off city assets, now it's our parking meters. He wants to sell them off to the Scranton Parking Authority. The plan calls for the Parking Authority to borrow roughly 12 to 14 million using \$6 million to purchase the meters and in addition \$2.9 million to pay off a loan to Landmark Bank. But what we can't seem to figure out around here is what the Parking Authority plans on using the remaining 12 to 14 million. keep in mind, this is an authority that's already currently over \$43 million in debt.

We still haven't received a response from the Parking Authority and council

requested that information as to what they plan on doing with the remaining money and you know, Mrs. Evans, I believe you summed it up quite perfectly last week when saying that we can no longer continue giving these authorities as well the mayor blank checks.

The Parking Authority must be held accountable and I am hopeful that they will adhere to council's request and provide you with the breakdown that you requested. You know, I just truly believe that the games around here have to stop and we need more cooperation. If everyone truly is concerned about solving our financial problems then they would be willing to adhere to your request.

And in regards to the 2010 audit, I share Council's feeling on this issues. It truly doesn't make any sense to me how we could possibly make any decisions going forward without having a firm understanding of where we truly are financially, and I don't expect -- I don't understand how the administration expects council to move ahead without that information and I think this

goes back yet again to holding the mayor accountable and demanding that this audit be completed.

And finally tonight, I wanted to commend Mrs. Evans for requesting that the mayor attends a council meeting here to lay out his proposal publically, not behind closed door. And after all, let's not forget that nine years ago this is the same man that pledged to be the sixth councilman and to this day he has yet to live up to his word.

In a recent TV interview, the mayor talked about living in the big boy world.

Well, if I may, I want to help the mayor become a big boy and play with the big boys and girls here. Mr. Mayor, you promised to come to the big boy and girl meetings and now it's time.

If I may, Mrs. Evans? (Mr. Miller takes a chair and moves it up by the dais.)

Your chair is here and I'm sure the big boys and girls here will have no problem showing you how to big a sixth councilman in the big boy world. I have now given you the

opportunity, Mr. Mayor, to come and live up to your word. Let's see how true you are to your word and I hope to see you next week.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening, Ozzie

Quinn, a disgruntled taxpayer. First, I

want to say kudos, Mrs. Krake, for what you

did, you know, no matter what they say about

it's been going on for years that doesn't

make it right and you are to be commended

fort that, okay, for doing what is right

within the legal sense of the word.

I do want to say that the audit, the 2009 audit that you are working on now, you don't have the 2010 audit, it showed a long-term debt, now, get this, \$303,469,587. Can you believe that? Pat, I think you were in grade school when the mayor started that.

MR. ROGAN: I actually was.

MR. QUINN: You were. Yes, the
Parking Authority, you know, they extend out
to 2039 and not knowing what they did in
2010 I think they went up to like 23
million, but the fact is that they were

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$103,161,013 in long-term debt. Now, you just can't keep on going and going and going and borrowing, we all know that. I don't know how you people are going to do a budget for 2012, I don't know how you did it for 2011, when you didn't have the 2010 budget and the fact is that he wants to sell these parking meters, you know, for \$6 million, and I don't know where they got that figure from, he said they were appraised at that or whatever, but when Mr. Loscombe brought in here creative financing, creative revenue come into the city with StreetSmart, he would not go ahead with that, he said we got to bid, we bid it out, and what he did he did what they were doing for years, they got his solicitor to write an opinion, and that's the right thing to do, okay? StreetSmart went out the window.

Now, what if they did bid and what if a private firm came around and says that we will give you \$7 million for it, you know, and this could happen, so I don't think you should even consider that unless it's put out to bid. That is like a

service. It's like everything else. You want to put StreetSmart out and he wants to sell these meters to the Parking Authority, ladies and gentlemen, that's taking the taxpayers' money in this hand, putting it in this pocket, take it back out, and putting it in this hand and putting it in this pocket because the taxpayers are responsible through the good faith of the city for any debt that the Parking Authority may squander or the Scranton Redevelopment may squander, and you saw last year with the Redevelopment Authority when the loan was called in for \$1.9 million?

MS. EVANS: 1.5.

MR. QUINN: You know, whatever it was, you know, but they just cannot do it and it's just -- as someone said to me, it's ludicrous. It sure is ludicrous. The only way out of this here, you can't continue to borrow, you know, and I feel sorry for the employees, and that always comes down to it that intimidation, you are going lose, you're going to not get paid and all this stuff, okay?

Today I read in the paper the guy found \$1.4. Wow. I mean, they are working up there and all of sudden they find \$1.4 million, now it's not an \$8.2 million deficit it's \$6.8 million deficit. I don't think they know what's going on up there, I really don't, and I think the 2010 audit will show that.

And did you ever get a count on the citizens that live in the City of Scranton now, population count?

MS. EVANS: We did receive from a state office a copy of the 2010 census, I believe that's what you are referring to?

MR. QUINN: Yeah.

MS. EVANS: However, we have requested from the governor of Pennsylvania official certification of that count.

MR. QUINN: Good. Because I believe that as another citizen in Pennsylvania, the only way to go is with a commuter tax, and these people that are coming into Scranton, and I'm sure, I have talked to many who have paid their fair share, to come in here to have the fire protection, if I was going up

from the first floor to the second floor I would want to make sure there is fire protection here, and the only way they are going to have it is by having the commuter taxes and that. We have to go to a third class city.

Now, the police the same thing, they come in, they go to work in the winter, the roads are plowed. What happens if they have to go to a hospital and if they have to go to -- you know where they'll wind up, going up the stair. Now, if they realize what it is, and the City of Scranton being the county seat with the hundreds of people going in there every day and doing business, you know, I can't see no other way that we can really do raise revenue without a commuter tax.

Borrowing is not the answer, okay.

You are right on point when you are saying that the taxation coming outside of the city. You know, other cities have done it, they stood up and Pennsylvania and all through the county have done it, okay? They blew off Mr. Loscombe's creative idea of

financing and now they want to sell the meters. Now, I can't figure that out, you know what that's like the old Abbot and Costello, who is on first you know? Every day you pick up the paper you don't know what the heck this is guy is doing. Maybe next thing he wants to privatize is Nay Aug Park, I don't know, that could be, you know.

But I really think that we have to do do a commuter tax. We definitely have to do it, don't let nobody stop you, you know, get out there and I'm sure that the people out there will appreciate what they are getting from the fire department, the police department, from the hospitals, from the DPW and what have you and they will pay the fair share. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.

MR. HUGHES: If I could just correct Mr. Quinn on one thing. Oz, the loan that the Scranton Parking Authority received for \$2.9 million from Landmark Bank was a general obligation of that authority, it was not -- it has not been approved by council so there is no guarantee of the city's

taxing ability or power on that note from
the Parking Authority to Landmark Bank.
What they are trying to do in this
legislation is to make that part of the \$14
million so it will be guaranteed --

MR. QUINN: I see.

MR. HUGHES: -- by the City of
Scranton and Landmark Bank will be paid
back. That was -- in my opinion that was a
bridge loan by the Parking Authority to be
paid off on this bond issue which would then
be guaranteed by the City of Scranton, but
that loan right now is not guaranteed by the
City of Scranton.

MR. QUINN: That's an end run.

MR. HUGHES: An end run, a bridge loan, it was done in anticipation of this financing which would then turn an unsecured obligation of the Parking Authority into a secured obligation guaranteed by the full faith and taxing power of the City of Scranton on the new bond issue.

MR. QUINN: Now, that's -- the authorities, the parking and the redevelopment, is different from the Sewer

Authority.

MR. HUGHES: All three independent authorities; correct.

MR. QUINN: All three independent, but when the SRA were closed out when I was the chairman, all of the remaining funds and everything had to be paid off, all the debts had to be paid off by the city we had, but all of the money then was returned to the city coffers to close it out, and it was closed out and then Mr. Doherty when he come in he put it back in so he could use them as a front for other businesses and like put the lights up at Nay Aug and stuff like that, you know?

MS. EVANS: Thank you. James McGurl.

MR. MCGURL: Good evening. I'm kind of here to reiterate some of the statements that Kathleen made earlier about the animal overpopulation in our area. We live to rescue, as she said. She is a board member of Sweet Park Pit Bull Rescue, I am a volunteer, I suppose you'd call it, and I see firsthand every single day literally, I

mean, I could say I probably find a stray dog every day in Scranton, especially in the Hill Section where I'm living right now.

You know, it's getting pretty bad.

I mean animals, dogs especially, you know,
they are a gift, you know what I mean? They
are very comforting and if you've ever owned
one they're beautiful creatures and they
have been with humans for thousands of
years, now since we have domesticated them I
it's like 15,000 some years ago, so we kind
of owe it to them to save them, you know
what I mean?

At this point there is so many dying, and there is so many starving and there is so many lost. I mean, you know, we have the statistics, but there are still some out there that haven't been found, you know, there are still some out there that starve, eyes haven't even touched them.

And, you know, what she was saying earlier about the spay and neuter ordinance it would supremely help the city in a lot of ways. One, for example, is that a lot of the backyard breeding that happens in low

income areas like the projects, and nothing against that at all, but, you know, I noticed that a lot of the backyard breeders also happen to be drug dealers. They do it for money, and Pit Bulls have become the claimed dog for, you know, for backyard breeding almost and, you know, that needs to stop, and if we pass that ordinance, you know, that, you know, let's say landlords if somebody moves in and they allow pets and they say, "Well, is your animal fixed, if not it needs to be fixed, you know, according to the ordinance."

And this would be -- you know, we would be able to clean the streets up with not just the animals, but you would also see what kind of people are out there in the city doing what they are doing with these animals. It's just not over population it's abuse, it's cruelty, it's just lack of education. People don't understand and people don't respect enough, you know, not saying you guys, but it's just -- it's not a thought. You know, if you have a dog at home and you have a good relationship with

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your dog then that's what you think of a dog, but you know, if you don't go passed that Sara McLaughlin commercial or if you don't go past the commercials that you see on TV and if you don't research it yourself you will never know and your eyes will never be opened to it and it's a very sad thing.

So, basically, you know, Kathleen and I we are going to do our best to compile as much information as possible as she said, you know, earlier there is many other cities who have passed that ordinance and it's profitable also, you know, for the city from fines and all of that, but it's also profitable for the animals that we hold very dear to our hearts and, you know, like I said earlier, we have been connected to these animals since ancient times and, you know, we owe it to them to save them and, you know, like she said, we need to start locally in order for it to make an impact nationally at all. So, you know, that's all I have to say. Like I said, I just want to reiterate and make sure you guys understand that it's very serious problem that we deal

with on a 24 -- you though, 24 hour basis and we really appreciate some, you know, steps and some action made in that direction, so thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: You ever button your shirt wrong, and I buttoned my shirt wrong tonight and I saw that button down there and I thought it was a spare one until Ms. Rosie said, "You have your shirt buttoned wrong," and I said, "No, I don't." She didn't say no more. Finally, I looked in the mirror and it did look sort of funny.

Mr. McGoff, I read your letter in the paper, very appropriate, very good letter. I guess it's a step forward. I'm not sure if it was the same day I saw a letter by some fellow named Chris Tigue, I think, attacking council and all of these people that talk. I suggest he come down here like a man and talk like everyone else instead of some little wimp hiding behind

the newspaper.

Mr. Rogan, I read you finally got
the mayor to acknowledge there is a council.
That must have been an achievement.

MR. ROGAN: For the second time -- second or third time I spoke to the mayor since being on council.

MR. ELLMAN: You know, watching council and reading about it every week is like watching and reading about the Jews and the Arabs fighting, of course, that fight has been going on longer, but they are dealing with an entity that has no honor or discipline, they keep making concessions and getting no where. I'm asking council not to give Doherty any concessions whatsoever. His popularity in town is probably worse than Obama's. I think the worst thing that he did was choose the clerical part of the city over the fire and police because people are just unforgiving about that.

And I would like to say something about the prison board, not the board, the prison. I stood right here a couple of times and I told you that place is just so

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

misrun it's inconceivable what goes on down there if you talk to people that are in there like, I have. Now we have got another failure running the place, \$85,000 salary, I think they went up a dozen, \$14,000 and this He cannot blame the is what we end up with. guards, he is to blame. You talk to the people in there the guards are doing the best job they can. You talk to an inmate like I have, my son was in there for this traffic ticket, this man he cannot investigate himself, you know, there is no true introspection. I can look in the mirror and say, "Boy, you look good today," but that's -- there is -- he cannot investigate himself.

You know, they need an outside source to come in here and clean up that place. They cannot even keep up with a pair of shoes. It's know wonder that a murderer got out. That prison -- not long ago I saw in the paper where they were taking bids or something for the kitchen to bring in food. They got a kitchen there, why are we still having food brought in from outside? That

doesn't make a bit of sense. Of course, you think of all of the kickbacks everybody gets along the way like the coal and everything else that's involved with this place.

I bet you wonder sometimes how I don't get punched in the nose when I leave here, don't you? You know, years ago everybody was pleasant to me, now I just got all kind of enemies that fight with me like that lady stuck that notes all over me. You know, I'm not mad at the mayor, the commissioners or the warden, especially the commissioners, it's like superstar said a couple of weeks ago, anybody give you all that beer for nothing got my vote, so I just wish they would do a better job. I just don't think lot of people are up to their potential in this city.

And I got one thing and I'll go sit down. The parking on the sidewalks where I walk Slugo at night, it's gotten worse instead of better. I just -- it just everybody is parking on the sidewalk in my neighborhood. There is people all over North Main Avenue that got driveways and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

garages and they park on the sidewalk. It doesn't make sense, on the 2,500 block you can park in the street and there is old houses full of people they are on the sidewalk, all four wheels on the sidewalk where you have to walk in the street.

But, like I have said, in the morning at the corner of Main there is a school bus stopped for the preschoolers and these little kids are walking on North Main Avenue because of illegal cars morning after mornina. I wish that, you know, there is a good law on the book you can't park in the sidewalk. I wish you would have somebody enforce it or put some signs up or It's something -- one of these something. kids are going to get hit sooner or later because they are all -- they are too small to have, you know, good sense about walking in the street around cars, I have watched them sometimes. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker, whose name appears on the list, I'm having difficulty deciphering the handwriting.

doctor. Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and taxpayer. Last week I spoke about the Scranton Times and the articles, I have several issues here, so that proves that I am not only critiquing the Times, I'm a reader and I pay for it, and I would invite anybody in here to come up with one passage, there is probably a half after dozen in here, maybe seven, of one passage where it states that this budget deficit has compiled since the end of 2008, which is the honest truth, and it constantly in one article a very famous mayor said that he'll help council straighten out their problems, like it's nice that he wants to be so helpful.

MR. DOBRZYN: I should have been a

Now, on this borrowing \$14 million by the Parking Authority, where does somebody think that I'm going to be fooled in -- we are liable for the debt anyway.

I'd just as soon somebody I trust. If you really had to I'd just as soon have you people borrow it and baloney on the Parking Authority and their spending habits. Why that? Another -- that's only adding 14 or

another \$8 million, 7 or 8 million to the -to the 6 million that they are going to be
in debt for with the meters, so I'm strictly
against that.

And I had an idea on this, it seems like people I talk to are afraid that if the mayor comes here is going to come with a lynch mob and I think our Sunday cartoon indicated that, we were all ready with the dunk pond, and what I propose, and it's only food for thought, I'm not elected by anybody, is a private taped meeting with council and the mayor attended by the press reporting word for word of ECTV tapes and transcripts of all statements on the entire conference ensuring no "he said/they said."

They would have to -- the press would have to agree to print word for word the tapes off of ECTV so whatever you said at that meeting would be what they would have to print, so I don't know if you could bind them to that. It's a possible solution. Maybe it's silly -- a silly idea, I don't know. It's up to you, the people.

And on this pets thing, I also would

support that. The only penalty you have for an unneutered pet in this town is one dollar extra on the license fee because I buy a license for my pooch every year and I pay a dollar less because he is neutered.

And one other interesting thing, I learned this week one of my neighbors went on a vacation and apparently the Hilton is running a car rental out of the Parking Authority that we built for them, giving them like I think Andy stated three-quarters of a -- or \$275,000 a year in free parking space and you can go down there and rent a car out of that garage, so that's up to you to investigate.

And let's see what else I have. On privatize, I would say be careful. Once again I'll cite the Indiana Turnpike. Now, Indiana sold their turnpike to a bunch of Arab sheiks and if they build anything to compete with the turnpike, any innovative say, like, high speed rail, light rail, they cannot or if they have to compensate the sheiks for their money. If there is a flood and part of the turnpike is destroyed they

have to compensate the money that's lost due to the alternative route and so forth, so there is all types of -- down in South America they privatize the water company, I believe it's in Chili, and my sources the corporation's DVD is available at the library, even the water dumped from the sky. Well, eventually the water bill was 25 percent of the average income so you are creating a monopoly there and just be careful what you wish for.

And finally, the golden parrot goes once again to the Coke brothers, Charles and David Coke, for selling Iran equipment even though -- through a farm subsidiary which, I will add, is not illegal, but Iran is under a boycott and sanctions because of their nuclear experiments and it's okay if they are foreign subsidiaries that does it and they finance a lot of less than democratic institutions in our country that are non-related democracies. So, thank you, David and Charles Coke. Bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: You, too. Anyone else?

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, city council. My name is Dave Gervasi --

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: -- firefighter in the City of Scranton, a few issues and a couple of observations. What I'd like to put to rest tonight for everyone watching in tonight is the lie, bold face lie that council is to blame for the budget deficit. I'd like to ask some questions to prove that what I'm saying is true and, Mr. McGoff first. Mr. McGoff, were you aware when the mayor put down his initial budget for this year that there was nearly \$11 million of unpaid bills from the prior year?

MR. MCGOFF: I was not.

MR. GERVASI: You were not. Mrs. Evans, were you aware that there were unpaid bills from the prior year?

MS. EVANS: No, I was not. The budget that was presented to us on November 15 was presented simply as a balanced budget, deceptively so.

MR. GERVASI: So he lied and he said his budget was balanced and you guys made a

2

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mistake cutting taxes by 1 percent of the budget or whatever it was.

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. GERVASI: So how is the newspaper every week, usually every other day in editorials, continuing to say that the mayor is right and council is the reason for the deficit this year? It's false. It's completely false, so I think everyone needs to put this rest and maybe if the newspaper took ten minutes to read the actual sheets that say the mayor never said anything that he put on his budget, never told council that you were amending his budget that all of those millions of dollars unpaid for the year before then I think any reasonable, rationale, sensible person would come to the conclusion that the mayor lied and he is the reason why there is a deficit this year. So please let's put that to rest.

The other thing that the newspaper and some people, like, I mean, honest to God good people are starting to believe is because the newspaper and the mayor and the

administration are starting to frame this issue that there is gridlock, there is conflict, that council -- nothing is happening in the city because council is blocking efforts by the mayor to keep the city moving forward, may I suggest that prior councils before this council, prior councils, where the mayor held the majority and where Mr. McGoff was the president they walked in lockstep with the mayor as he was burying us to date to \$3 million in debt. They are walking in lockstep and allowing it to happen year after year, never questioning a thing.

Now, we have conflict and gridlock and what this council is doing is they are either stopping or at least slowing down our race to the bottom, our race to bankruptcy, so may I suggest to the people that this conflict, this framing that the newspaper is doing is good conflict, it's really good conflict. Maybe we are slowing down the pace of going to bankruptcy.

I had an opportunity this week to go to my second PEL meeting on Monday, actually

22

23

24

25

it was yesterday, and I sat in on one before. I want everyone to know, and I said this right to the executive director of PEL, that talking to him, listening to him trying to answer a question that we have is like drowning. You can't even breathe when you listen to these people. It's unbelievable. I made a few points at the meeting and I asked the question, how can you possibly craft a new Recovery Plan using financial numbers that the city gives, that are generally always false and deceptive? How could the mayor and the business administrator craft a new budget this year when the independent audit isn't done and it's been done for year after year after year in my opinion deliberately because any appropriate way to craft a budget before you move on somewhere it's nice to know where you have been when you know what you actually spent you have a better idea of what you should budget for next year, knowing what exactly you spent.

So year after year against the Home Rule Charter, against the law, because after

May 31, the mayor is violating the law not having a Home Rule Charter -- I'm sorry, having the independent audit out in time. His people are deliberately blocking our auditors from giving that up before you guys get to craft a budget or he gets to craft a budget. And at the meeting I said, "Is there anything PEL could do to stop the mayor from doing this?"

And after not be able to breathe for five minutes during the answer because they just keep talking around in circles, the answer was, no, there is nothing they could do.

Then I said, "We hear PEL complaining that health care costs are going up."

And then my question is, "How can you complain that health care costs are going up when you know full well the city is deliberately not cooperating with the Health Care Cost Containment Committee that was to reduce the amount of health care?"

And they talk in circles again, and I asked them straight up, "Can you do

anything to force the city to cooperate with us?"

And they talked for ten more minutes and never -- you can never get an answer and you are trying to breathe, but in end it's, no, they can't, because PEL is worthless.

They are a paper title. They shouldn't even be listened to anymore.

I'm sorry, Mr. McGoff, when I was explaining one point of the health care I said four months ago we are supposed to did an RFP to get bidders to come in and replace our provider or even get our current provider to come in and give us a lower bid just so we could save money on our prescription program. The city has been sitting on it for four months. Four months.

Now, I called our consultant, that's a Court mandated consultant that we must have because the city apparently doesn't talk to her, so I called her today and asked, "How long does it take to produce an RFP?"

And she told me that in one day if she had access to our current provider she

would be able to gather that information in one day and within 10 minutes show would be able to put an RFP together that she could hand to the city, the city could advertise it, do a ten-day return back and by December 1 we could have a new provider and potentially save money.

And I said, okay. I threw out some numbers, like, \$200,000 maybe we could save, I don't know. I have no idea. I'm a firefighter, I don't have the degrees like everybody in the room, and Mr. McGoff started arguing with me. He started saying, "How could you say this to PEL when you can't come up with a number that you can save?"

Is that correct, Mr. McGoff? Am Ilying?

MR. MCGOFF: I did argue with you, yes.

MR. GERVASI: Yes, and then I explained to you, I don't know. I told you honestly I don't know what the savings would be, I said, but it wouldn't be appropriate that if we did the RFP and the bidders came

back and let's say it's \$10,000, let's say it's \$100,000 we saved, at that point we would now know much more concise what the number you should plug in the budget or what number that PEL should plug into the new Recovery Plan, and they just laugh at you. They look at you like you are an idiot.

But this is what happens when you go to these meetings, and you have somebody apologizing or at least supporting the administration when I'm asking simple questions like why is the city not doing their job when they are court mandated to do it. It's potential savings and we are not even looking at it.

Just like StreetSmart, potential savings, didn't even look at it. The newspaper I know as a fact went out of their way calling other communities just to tell and lie to the people of the city that it's not a good deal when, in fact, it was a good deal, it was a great deal in the other cities.

So what I'm saying is you have the apologist for the administration, one that

24

25

sits up there, you have the newspaper, who just will bury half the story and not tell everyone the whole story, and what I am saying is and what I'm predicting is if you guys don't keep doing what you are doing and stopping this fall into the financial abyss that we are having in two years everybody keeps reading the paper and saying everything is wonderful in Scranton, the mayor is progressive and doing all these great things for the city, in about two years I feel, maybe even earlier, we are going to wake up some day and everybody is going to go what happened? What happened? And it's going to be real sad for people like you four who have been saying this over and over and people like me and other speakers that come up here every week and say it's not good what's going on right now.

The newspaper is not telling you the truth or at least not telling you the whole truth and one of these days we are going to wake up and everybody is going to say what happened? In the meantime, the mayor is already gone and is back to his

1

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

multi-million business or off to some other whatever he is running for and we are in the throws of bankruptcy, and who is going to suffer there and your taxes are going to go up drastically and your services are going to be cut even more than the mayor wants to cut them.

Somebody has got to get a handle on this and I think it's time to get drastic. I think people need to start calling for the mayor's resignation before there is no time That's what needs to happen at this There is a rumor, and I'm done right now, I'm so sorry I'm going over, I know that's not proper, there is a rumor right now that if you don't do this meter thing, which should never do, you should never do, and we can't borrow the money and they are talking about a possibility of 60 more layoffs before the end of the year. Sixty. I don't know how many firefighters or how many stations that means or how many additional police officers that means, this is really bad what's going on and I think, please don't listen to the newspaper, that

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with that council is doing is gridlock or stopping progress, they are stopping the bleeding is what they are doing. It's a good thing. This is good gridlock. This is good conflict. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I have here is in regards to the city's finances, not this council, but every council has had the oversight authority over every fiscal decision this city has made with the power of the subpoena if necessary and to start blaming other people for what's going on here I have to be very honest with you, I have been -- like I said to you before, I have been walking through a lot of this town, the residents here are just absolutely disgusted. Some like council, some absolutely can't believe they voted for this Some like the mayor, some can't believe they voted for him, but they all know one thing, the city is bankrupt.

You know the only plan I saw that we

had in the recent past that could have turned this city around and council voted "no" was SAPA, okay. And now think about that for one minute. How many communities outside of the City of Scranton are condemning homes and tearing them down all over their borough or their community? They are not. How many people -- how many communities outside of the City of Scranton have the financial problems we have? They don't.

When you are talking to people and they are telling you they are moving to Taylor because they have had it here, that there is nothing here, I just -- look it, I sit here year after year and watch, and to be very honest with you, I don't think we should be blaming the newspaper, I mean, they may not say things that people like to hear and I think that at times they may bash people, I'm not going to say they don't, they've worked me over a couple of times, and you know what, I don't take it personal, I just let it go because you know something? How relevant really is it because nothing is

hidden.

The public has a pretty good grasp on what's happening here in the city. They are absolutely disgusted. They are not very happy that they are losing public safety, fire and police, but by the same token, and a couple of people had have said this, that they think that certain council members play only to the city's unions and there is just a lot of disgusted people in this city who would like to see things change and I think that SAPA offered a real chance, because to be very honest with you Dunmore is saying the same thing, they have a lot of empty industrial space just like Scranton does.

And all of the people that live outside of the city would have to come here to work if they needed a job. We would build an economic engine, start raising revenue, stop borrowing hopefully. You know, it's easy to determine -- you know, the residents really want to know one thing here and many of have asked me, aren't they -- aren't the residents in the city worth making an investment in in regard to

SAPA? They can't have curbs, they can't have sidewalks, they can't have adequate police protection some people think, they can't have adequate fire protection. They are tired of listening to the administration and the council fight and bicker, and you know something, they honestly do believe that that's what's going on.

But you know something, the voters themselves are responsible for where we are. They voted for a Recover Plan that wasn't real, okay? They sat home and went out on election day and voted because somebody told them who they should vote for or who the best candidates were and they have no real grasp in most instances even who the candidates were, so here is where we were. And that's not a shot at this council, it's just reality. This city didn't become distressed like it is, PEL needs to go.

You know, saying that we are going to tax commuters who come into our community, I don't really know what that's going to do for us to be honest, you may raise some revenue, but everybody is moving

outside of the city on development.

Wal-Mart is not inside of the city, there is other things that have left of the city and they are just not coming here.

So, I mean, it's time to change the way we are going to look at things and do things. So, I mean, that's what I think.

Now, if I anybody wants to say anything, I mean, I'm still standing here, so I'll give you the opportunity instead of me walking away from the podium and then finding myself in the back of the room when, you know, people want to respond to what I said. So I'd just like to give you a second to say anything you want to say. No? Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I actually have one question, and I know I have been on the fence about SAPA and I know that you support it and you support it whole-heartedly. Now, you just spoke about Wal-Mart and some of the other businesses that have left the city or just don't exist in the city, in the SAPA plan say, for instance, West Abington or Clarks Summit or one of the other host communities or Dunmore, for instance, say

they don't want a business to use up a certain part of the land, does that force that business to move into Scranton just because we have the available space?

MR. MORGAN: No. I think what SAPA does is that it tries to get industry to move into the city and utilize our zoned industrial zones instead of creating some for themselves, and I think that's a win-win situation considering that we could never compete with them. We have got a 3.4 percent wage tax, our property tax is going to skyrocket, it's already high, and to be truthfully honest with you, what company would want to move their business into a place that's economically just for lack of a better term bankrupt?

Because who is going to put their assets in a place, I mean, we had a discussion here a few weeks ago about a company leaving. They all want to leave because they see what's coming out of here, they have got accountants, they know what the numbers are, and I just think that it was really important a long time ago, not

this council, a long time ago, other councils, to take a good hard look and use their power of subpoenas and stop what was going on and you know what, it just didn't happen so now we are where we are.

I mean, imagine a city with almost no firemen and no policemen making only bond payments, imagine that. It's stark, but it's there, because evidently they have to be paid. And, you know, try to compete with communities that don't have load of debt, do you really think you can do that? Can you compete with communities that aren't tearing homes down and that are building. I mean, you know, I'm amazed at the amount of empty homes in the city when you walk through the city, it's just mind boggling that people have just abandoned their property and left. Who'd leave a house that had any value?

I have been to drug houses knocking on doors. I mean, you know, and you talk about a war on drugs, this city is infested with a lot of problems and how did it get here? It got here by poverty and all we are doing is pushing more poverty. Somebody

1 talked about the parking meters. You know, 2 about, you know -- I just think it's 3 ridiculous. We have got to bring industry here and jobs here. We don't need to find 4 5 new ways to tax people in a new way to raise revenue because that hasn't worked. 6 7 MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add 8 something quickly, I mean, I think you 9 contradicted yourself a little bit. 10 Basically SAPA, if we adopted SAPA it does 11 give us no guarantees, just like you said. MR. MORGAN: No, I didn't said it 12 13 didn't give you guarantees, I said that you 14 can't compete with them because we're so distressed. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: That's exactly 17 correct. MR. MORGAN: Yes. And you know 18 19 something --20 MR. LOSCOMBE: You can't compete 21 with our tax structure or anything like 22 that. 23 MR. MORGAN: That's happened over a 24 long period of time, but you know something? 25 The truth of the matter is that if they

zoned industrial and do all of the things that they can do to compete with us, we can't compete with them, so what that is that's a gift to us because they are not going to zone and they are not going to try to take industry there, because to be quite blunt with you, look what's happening to Scranton Lace? Do you seen anybody who wants to build a business there?

MR. LOSCOMBE: But the truth is that's Abington. We have Taylor, we have the Mid Valley, they have the zones. When they see our tax structure here they are not coming here regardless of whether SAPA is here or not.

MR. MORGAN: I agree with you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Also, low income is another thing they don't want in those areas and that's what they are pushing here, also. The two -- industrial and low income. I'd love to see all of the industrial we can possibly have here, but it's not just working at this present structure.

MR. MORGAN: I think low income is here because there is no jobs here and I

think there is no upward mobility here, and I think that's happened here for a long time even going back to the 50's and that's why these housing projects -- you know, you have to empower the people to help them to move up.

(Ms. Evans bangs the gavel.)

MS. EVANS: If can interrupt,
please, I think we have developed into a
SAPA debate --

MR. MORGAN: I don't think that's happened.

MS. EVANS: -- which is inappropriate at this point in time.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sorry, Mr. Evans.

MS. EVANS: No, I think that -- yes, I think an inordinate amount of extra time has been devoted to this, which is really not fair to anyone else. And, Councilman Loscombe, if you want to continue on anything, you know, you can certainly do that in your motions if you want to.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: If you have any further statements about SAPA, but I would really

1 prefer that speakers not take advantage of the podium to debate council members 2 3 particularly at election time. Mrs. Franus. 4 MR. JOYCE: Before Mrs. Franus 5 speaks I want to say one thing as far as 6 7 Scranton Lace Works, I believe it was last 8 January that we did approve the grant for 9 the development of some new business as well 10 as apartments in that area; correct? 11 MS. EVANS: Scranton Lace Building? 12 Yes. Yes. 13 MR. JOYCE: Hopefully that does get 14 underway sooner than later. MS. EVANS: Actually, we had an 15 16 update on that that I can show you. 17 Franus. 18 MR. JOYCE: Okay, we can talk about 19 it later. 20 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. 21 I'm a life-long citizen and a taxpayer and I 22 expect the mayor of the city to come in 23 front of the council to answer questions as 24 far as what he is doing. It doesn't help 25 when we have City Councilman Pat Rogan

meeting with the mayor privately and people still have not heard from the mayor. We haven't heard from him. We have that right. Mr. Rogan took that right away. Why? I don't know. I'd like to know what did we learn from that private meeting? We are no further ahead now than we were last week. We didn't come any closer to any solutions.

MR. ROGAN: Would you like me to respond now or during motions?

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MS. FRANUS: -- because nothing is going to change my mind as far as what you can. Don't you understand that the mayor used you? You are not even smart enough to realize in. He used you because he knows that nobody else would even dare meet with him behind closed doors because Mrs. Evans suggested that he come here so that the people will see what's going on in this city. He loved that you fell for that.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Franus, every member of council has met with him --

me alone, but you used Frank Joyce to get 1 elected. You used him, and Mrs. Evans. 2 3 friends with them, vote for me, and you know what they did because of your connection, 4 5 but we are finding out that you can't be 6 trusted. 7 MR. ROGAN: Well, we would disagree 8 with on that if I can --9 MS. FRANUS: Well, I'm sure you 10 would disagree because you are the traitor. 11 If meeting with the --MR. ROGAN: 12 MS. FRANUS: You know what you did 13 single-handedly? 14 MR. ROGAN: If meeting with the mayor to try to negotiate something other 15 16 than that the sale of the parking meters and 17 cuts in public safety makes me a traitor, 18 call me a traitor all you want. MS. FRANUS: He could have come in 19 20 front of this council. 21 MR. ROGAN: And I also said I still 22 would like the mayor to come to council. MS. FRANUS: You said that back 100 23 24 years, did you notice he said he was not 25 going to? After you met with him he said

| | ' |
|----|--|
| 1 | this. |
| 2 | MR. ROGAN: And everyone here knows |
| 3 | the mayor is not going to come to council. |
| 4 | MS. FRANUS: You don't know that. |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: How many times did |
| 6 | MS. FRANUS: You did not know that. |
| 7 | MR. ROGAN: We have requested |
| 8 | every one of us |
| 9 | MS. FRANUS: It's that amazing how |
| 10 | last week after Mrs. Evans asked for him to |
| 11 | come here, required him to come here, that |
| 12 | you hopped on the bandwagon. |
| 13 | MR. ROGAN: I didn't hop on the |
| 14 | bandwagon. |
| 15 | MR. FRANUS: Oh, Pat. |
| 16 | MR. ROGAN: They mayor called me and |
| 17 | asked to meet with me |
| 18 | MS. FRANUS: When I read that |
| 19 | article in the paper I nearly died. |
| 20 | MR. ROGAN: Ms. Franus, I will meet |
| 21 | with any public official or any citizen that |
| 22 | requests a meeting. |
| 23 | MS. FRANUS: Sure, anything to get |
| 24 | your name in the paper. |
| 25 | MR. ROGAN: It has nothing to do |

1 with the paper. 2 MS. FRANUS: I can't get over you 3 going to talk about finances. You know nothing about finances. That's like Frank 4 5 Joyce going to Linda Aebli's Office and discussing OECD. That's not his department. 6 How would you like it if Frank just went and 7 8 picked up and went to Linda Aebli? 9 MR. ROGAN: That would be perfectly 10 fine. We all vote on everything. 11 MS. FRANUS: Well, it would be nice 12 if he did because you certainly never did. 13 MR. ROGAN: I spoke to Linda today. 14 MS. FRANUS: Yeah, how about all the weeks before, about the 11 point some 15 16 million that's unaccountable. Where were 17 you then? 18 MR. ROGAN: I wasn't provided a copy 19 of the report by the administration. 20 MS. FRANUS: You could go to her 21 office and get the information. 22 Well, I would know to MR. ROGAN: 23 request it. 24 MS. FRANUS: Well, there is no 25 excuse for you what did, Pat.

| 1 | MR. ROGAN: Okay. We disagree on |
|----|---|
| 2 | that. |
| 3 | MS. FRANUS: You can't be trusted. |
| 4 | You can't be trusted one second. What you |
| 5 | do is disgraceful. You are not helping the |
| 6 | city, you are helping yourself. You set |
| 7 | this town back so far by that private |
| 8 | meeting just to get your name in the paper, |
| 9 | it worked. You got your name in the paper. |
| 10 | Wow. |
| 11 | MR. ROGAN: I'm not trying to get my |
| 12 | name in the paper. |
| 13 | MS. FRANUS: What you trying to do, |
| 14 | Frank Pat? |
| 15 | MR. ROGAN: I'm trying to work out a |
| 16 | compromise where the city doesn't layoff |
| 17 | MS. FRANUS: You are. |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: layoff 60 firefighters |
| 19 | and doesn't sell the parking meters. |
| 20 | MS. FRANUS: Where did you get with |
| 21 | that? |
| 22 | MR. ROGAN: We are actually I'm |
| 23 | going to talk about it during motions, but |
| 24 | there is going to be |
| 25 | MS. FRANUS: Did you accomplish |

anything? What you did accomplish is having 1 2 him not come in front of the people to 3 answer questions that we all need to hear. You think we're going to --4 5 MR. ROGAN: The mayor should come here, I said that. 6 MS. FRANUS: Oh, yeah, and he 7 8 listened to you, didn't he? 9 No. He is not going to MR. ROGAN: 10 listen to any one of us. 11 MS. FRANUS: You don't know that. 12 MR. ROGAN: You think the mayor 13 would have came here if I didn't meet with 14 him? MS. FRANUS: Well, maybe before you 15 16 went there he might have, but you made it 17 easy for him now to put the blame on council 18 for everything that goes wrong. 19 MR. ROGAN: I think I would be 20 neglecting my duties as a council person if 21 the mayor or any other elected official in 22 the city, the city controller, asked me to a 23 meeting and I turned them down. 24 MS. FRANUS: Don't you understand 25 why he did that? Are you that naive?

| 1 | MR. ROGAN: I don't care about the |
|----|--|
| 2 | political games. |
| 3 | MR. FRANUS: Well, you were used and |
| 4 | you are fell right into the trap. |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: And that's fine. If |
| 6 | that's what the mayor wants, that's fine. |
| 7 | MS. FRANUS: Oh, you don't mind |
| 8 | being used? You're supposed be to part of |
| 9 | the supermajority for the people. |
| 10 | MR. ROGAN: I'm here to stick to my |
| 11 | principles. |
| 12 | MS. FRANUS: And you stabbed them in |
| 13 | the back. |
| 14 | MR. ROGAN: I didn't stab anyone in |
| 15 | the back. |
| 16 | MS. FRANUS: You stabbed every |
| 17 | person in this city in the back. |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: How did I do that, by |
| 19 | what vote? |
| 20 | MS. FRANUS: My grandstanding. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: I grandstanded? |
| 22 | MS. FRANUS: Pat Rogan, me |
| 23 | grandstand? Oh, no, not you. Every time I |
| 24 | read the paper, Mrs. Evans doesn't want to |
| 25 | reply to Josh Mrozinski because he lies. |

1 Frank Joyce doesn't, Jack doesn't. There it is, Pat Rogan replies in every article. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: You are right I do. When the reporter calls me --4 5 MS. FRANUS: You can't get enough of yourself. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: When the reporter calls 8 me, even though I strongly disagree with the 9 editorial staff of the Scranton Times --MS. FRANUS: You can't miss the 10 11 opportunity to talk to the paper. 12 MR. ROGAN: I look at it as an 13 opportunity to my viewpoint across. 14 MS. FRANUS: You might get your name Your point. You don't have a clue 15 in it. 16 what you are doing. You don't have a clue. 17 MR. ROGAN: And that's fine that you 18 think that. You are entitled to your 19 opinion. 20 MS. FRANUS: And I'm going to keep 21 on saying it, too, because you are the Judy 22 Gatelli in my life right now. You have a 23 target right on your back. Because I know 24 you, Pat. You didn't want Jack to win in 25 the primary, you wanted --

| 1 | MR. ROGAN: Yes, I did. I put up |
|----|---|
| 2 | signs for Loscombe. |
| 3 | MS. FRANUS: Yeah, because you had |
| 4 | to. Did you or did you not want Giovanni |
| 5 | Picollino to win? |
| 6 | MR. ROGAN: I wanted Giovanni |
| 7 | Picollino and Jack Loscombe to win. |
| 8 | MS. FRANUS: You know why, you |
| 9 | wanted Giovanni to win so you could be |
| 10 | president of city council. |
| 11 | MR. ROGAN: I wouldn't take council |
| 12 | president if it was given to me on a |
| 13 | platter. |
| 14 | MS. FRANUS: There was your deal. |
| 15 | MS. ROGAN: I wouldn't take council |
| 16 | president if was offered to me. |
| 17 | MS. FRANUS: Sure you would if |
| 18 | that's what you wanted. That's why you |
| 19 | didn't want Jack to win. |
| 20 | MR. ROGAN: No, it's not at all what |
| 21 | I wanted. |
| 22 | MS. FRANUS: I know what you wanted. |
| 23 | You see, I know you, many others don't. |
| 24 | MR. ROGAN: You know me from the |
| 25 | what 20 times we have conversed maybe for a |
| | II |

few minutes.

MS. FRANUS: Oh, I know you, Pat.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MS. FRANUS: Trust me, I know you.

MR. ROGAN: We could do this every week if you want to come to --

MS. FRANUS: Can I just say one more thing, please? Is it okay if I just say one more item?

MS. EVANS: Quickly, please.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, it's about the ordinance they were asking you to pass about neutering dogs, please don't do that. Do you realize how many people take in dogs and cats because they don't have homes, but these same people don't have enough money to get these dogs neutered or spayed and if they were forced to they would have to let these dogs go and cats.

My dog is neutered, but that's just my choice, but I don't think a person should be forced to do that to a dog if they don't want to, that's their own private business. Please don't pass that ordinance. You are going to be putting many animals out in the

street if you do, and you know how I feel about animals, but that's a person's decision, nobody should govern. Please don't do that.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I just want to say one thing in defense of Mr. Rogan, I will state that the mayor did not contact me or did not invite me to meet with him, in fact, I couldn't because of the time of the day when the meeting occurred, however, when Mr. Rogan did give me a phone call and update me on what was said during that meeting, which I'm sure he will update the public on.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce. I did call all four of my colleagues following the meeting as well.

MR. NEARHOOD: Good evening, members of council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. NEARHOOD: My name is Ray

Nearhood, and I live at 409 Cherry Street in

Scranton. Unfortunately this weekend I had

to go out of town to work, but before I left

I picked up the newspaper and I noticed that the county was seeking to borrow \$21 million to cover unfunded liabilities. I also saw that Moody's downgraded it by five notches to the point where it's really speculative bonds. The important part of what I saw there though was something that relates to a city. Why did Moody's downgrade them, what did they say?

According to the newspaper and also according to what I read elsewhere, the rating service said that is considering withdrawing the grading, completing withdrawing the grading from the county because of the county's failure to produce audits on time and inaccurate estimates of an 2009 financial picture that severely conflicted with the 2009 audit.

City of Scranton, where is our audit from last year? The information -- has the information been provided to the auditors yet?

MR. JOYCE: No.

MR. NEARHOOD: My question also goes to the city controller. Have you provided a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial picture for budgeting this year? Has the current city controller provided you with any financial picture throughout the year in which to make decisions with regard to financial information? Every time I come here and everyone asks a question the question is made to the business administrator or to somebody, community development or elsewhere, when really the chief financial officer of this city, the chief elected financial officer of this city, is the city controller. The city controller is to maintain the accounting cyst tell, and therefore, should be able to provide a good financial picture so you know where you are.

Now, I heard somebody at one of the last meetings saying about what are we going to do with this CDBG money, we got to ask so and so and so and get information.

Well, ask the controller. She should have that information.

She should also be here in front of you answering the questions. Everyone is asking for the mayor to be here, I would be

asking for the city controller to be here to explain the financial situation to the entire city in detail, but that isn't happening. Why isn't that happening? Why has she obligated her responsibilities? Why has she paid people who are not on the budget? She has done that; correct?

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. JOYCE: That's correct.

MR. NEARHOOD: Has she paid bills in excess of the line items in the budget? I believe that's also happened from what I understand. These are things that should never been happening.

You know, people talk about what happened in the county a couple of ways, you know, a few years ago we had a county controller who failed to do what he was supposed to do, Mr. Mellow, and we saw where that got us. I don't know how the county controller is doing now, but I do know that the county isn't doing well. You know, great headlines, \$21 million. The City of Scranton is looking for -- how much are you looking for \$8.4 million, something like

that?

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: It's changing.

MR. NEARHOOD: Yeah, it keeps changing because you don't have a good financial picture and you have one elected official, one full-time elected official, who is supposed to -- that is supposed to be her duties. She is not doing it. It needs to be done. There needs to be someone who is not with the administration, not with council, somebody who is independent who is reviewing the financial situation at all times and when the financial situation gets out of whack someone who can pull the plug on it and say, "Stop. I will not sign those checks, I will not sign those purchase orders. You will fix this now and then we will talk about additional contracts or additional extensions on particular line items."

That's not happening and I don't think it's happened for many, many, many years. I go around this city people say, "Oh, you are running for city controller, what's the city controller?"

That shouldn't be a question people are asking. They don't ask what's a council member, they don't ask what the mayor does.

They don't know what the city controller does?

This financial situation, yes, it is partially the responsibility of the administration because they have done what they do and it may be somewhat of council, but I can't determine that because we don't have good financial information, but the city controller should. You know, and people tell me I sometimes repeat myself up here, I think it needs to be understood, I still haven't seen anyone ask the city controller to come and sit here and explain to you what has happened and why it's happening and why she has failed to do her duty.

So I want to thank you I don't remember giving me the opportunity to speak as always. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. JOYCE: I will say -- just to state it as a matter of fact that we do

receive from the city controller. We do
receive a monthly report and a monthly
purchase order report, we do receive -- did
you have something else to add?

MR. NEARHOOD: I just -- Mr. Joyce, does that give you a basis of where the finances are of the city?

MR. JOYCE: No, I just wanted to say that this is what the city controller does submit to us and she also does submit a statement of the usage of liquid fuel funds and she did offer -- she did write a letter to Mayor Doherty and Scranton City Council to offer any assistance in solving Scranton's financial situation at the present time, and that is what we have received from the city controller.

Hey, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie. Well,
Jack, this is good game this week, Buddy.
What do you think? We'll beat Scranton or
not? Well, go all the way, Frankie. Good
luck West. Thank you.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Get your pads ready 2 and suit up. 3 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, I'm going to suit up for you this week. 4 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: Shine that helmet up. MS. SLEDENZSKI: And the shoes. 6 MR. ANCHERANI: 7 Good evening. 8 Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, 9 recording secretary of the FOP First 10 Amendment Rights. 11 I'm going to read the article from 12 the Scranton slimes published September 22, 13 I'll be quoting what they are saying 14 and then I'm going to add my opinion. titled. "She Can't Handle the Truth. 15 16 is not defensive, council president's 17 friend. Published September 22, 2011, by a 18 nameless coward. 19 "For a long time now Scranton City 20 Council President, Janet Evans, has refused 21 to talk to reporters from this newspaper." 22 Purveyors of yellow journalism. 23 When you start to print the truth, 24 we'll talk Mrs. Evans huffed after Tuesday 25 night's council meeting when reporter Josh

Mrozinski dared to ask about her reaction to the administration's plan to cover a looming \$8.1 million deficit that the council did not cause.

So here with drum roll, please, truth. From that tabloid? Yeah.

Mrs. Evans and her bonded super majority are clueless. No, they are not. But there goes the slimes starting with name calling. Name calling over the last decade that this slimes is good at.

For nearly two years Mrs. Evans and her anti-administration colleagues have had the opportunity to use their veto-proof majority to ride the city's ship. They have taken on more water. Almost two years to right the wrongs of almost ten years of uncontrolled spending, a \$310 million plus long-term debt and many, many more issues that can't be covered in five minutes.

The supermajority rejected the austerity budget. What austerity budget? Are we living in a dream world? Proposed for this year by Mayor Chris Doherty and in the face of an existing deficit masked by

using Workmens' Comp Fund and tax
anticipation notes to pay off prior year's
deficit, and how long was that practice
going on before council found out it out?
And it rejected hiring. See above.

Reduced taxes and penciled in revenue using figures supplied by the city from a program that still doesn't exist.

Word by Mr. Doherty they he would have no choice but to cover the inevitable budget shortfall with layoffs effecting council's key constituency, public safety employees council scoffed. Slimes got it wrong. The city employees, the residents and the taxpayers are council's key constituency.

In doing so the supermajority failed even to protect the constituency it vowed to protect, public safety employees. When did they vow to protect unionized public safety employees, 21 fewer of whom are now on the city payroll. The slimes forgot that council reinstated the public safety officers in their amended budget for the safety of the residents and taxpayers. Council did not create the deficit.

Two, Mr. Evans is an ineffective leader. Who is making that assumption? A bunch of nameless cowards who won't sign their name to the editorials. Leadership has many dimensions, it requires an agenda far broader than simply opposing whatever the mayor proposes.

You mean like stopping reckless spending? Mrs. Evans has been an obstructionist on any number of issues favored by Mr. Doherty. That would be fine if she had something better to offer, but her opposition is for her own -- it's own safety. Prove it. Her leadership is into a blind, dead end alley. That's right. There is no money, but council did not create the \$8.2 million deficit the city faces at the end of the year.

Recently, for example, she stood four-square against the development of a small community park on Perry Avenue in North Scranton, the point that her supermajority rejected a \$50,000 state grant to build it. You mean, like having a pool complex closed for the summer to construct a

splash pool and then not following through on construction of that pool? Easy, tabloid, we can't maintain that we have now.

As public policy that is irrational, but it's not effective public policy. If Mr. Doherty was against developing public parks, Mrs. Evans would demand one on every block.

Three, Mrs. Evans and her supermajority are incompetent.

There the tabloid goes in calling names, but then again it takes one to know one. By design Scranton has a strong mayor form of government. All of the city's administrative machinery, it's day-to-day operations and it's planning are executive branch functions. Council comprises part-time members and they are all elected by the electorate with limited powers, even as the supermajority as the recent layoffs demonstrated.

Just remember, council reinstated
those positions in their amended budget,
Mrs. Evans and her colleagues have attempted
to fork the administration based on their

own animosity toward the mayor rather than on factual information. You mean the information that council requested from the various departments that still has not been supplied to the auditor even after repeated requests to do so? That should underline policy. Back when she was council's finance chairwoman, Mrs. Evans rallied at length about the city's projected debt payments after misreading the financial statement. Today council attempts to correct financial policy. Can I finish this?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ANCHERANI: Today council attempts to craft financial policy without consulting the administration or anyone else. Again, you mean the information that council requested from the various departments that still has not been supplied the auditor? Even after repeated requests to do so.

The overall truth is that Scranton government has serious problems that need to be resolved by a single government with it's components rowing in the same direction

after settling on the course. Yeah, that's in a perfect world. Council's weekly political period. They must mean council speakers, we, the Legion of Doomers, as we have been called, along with over 20 other names by politicians, professional people, slimes editorialists, council members, we have First Amendment Rights to be here every week just as they have First Amendment Rights to print garbage ever day and to call us names.

With it's recourse, I'm not Greek and I don't know if anyone -- any of the other speakers are Greek, not there is anything wrong with that. Condemning the administration on cue is no substitute. Look at the pot that's calling the kettle black. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. I guess the topic of conversation is and should be the

21

22

23

24

25

financial situation that we are placed in at this time. When one of the speakers said when the budgets were presented to us last year 2010, I did vote against the mayor's budget, then I did vote with council's budget, and then changed the vote somewhat and did not vote to override it for the reason that I felt that neither budget was acceptable. I felt that at that time that there was -- at that time I felt that there was a compromise that was needed. I still believe that the way in which we solve our problems is through compromise, through discussion, and for one reason or another we are -- a lot of reasons, I guess, some of which I agree with my council members, some I may not agree with, we are looking at a financial situation that needs resolution.

Some I agree with, some I don't. I agree with Mr. Rogan. I don't think that the sale of the parking meters is a good idea. I think it's giving away a revenue source that can be productive for the city and I think that we should keep the parking meters and

implement an efficient collection system. I have never been opposed to anything like StreetSmart, the only thing I ever said about StreetSmart was that I thought it should have intimally been put out to bid. I think that we need something of that nature in order to produce greater revenue.

The one thing that I do -- that I have looked at is the unfunded borrowing. PEL at the meeting that Mr. Gervasi talked about estimated that we would be looking at perhaps a borrowing of somewhere around \$6.5 million dollars. In the absence of any other suggestion, I am prone to believe that that might be the best possibility. I am willing to discuss that, I'm willing to listen to other suggestions, but we do need to have suggestions. We need to have some solution. Not addressing the problem is not a solution.

The alternatives are absolutely unacceptable. Payless paydays, layoffs, possibility of not receiving the TANS for the 2012 year, that's not going to work. If all we do is maintain the status quo, we are

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to end up with the status quo and nothing will be resolved and nobody seems to be content with the status quo. I think we need to move forward. We need to do something.

Mr. Joyce read figures last week that he believes would indicate that the mayor is perhaps bluffing when he states that there may be payless paydays and layoffs. I'm not willing to play Texas hold 'emp with people's livelihoods. I think a meeting with Mr. McGowan and discussing this situation, as Mr. McGowan has suggested, would be a much better way to deal with the situation. And I'm sorry that asking council to work with the administration on these issues is being an apologist for the mayor. We can continue to argue that, but I don't think it's going to help. I think my goals are the same as some of the speakers including, Mr. Gervasi.

I just think that the bickering and name calling on both sides, as I publically stated, is counterproductive and is getting us no where, and it's ludicrous tonight that

one of the members of council is criticized for doing his job in trying to resolve that deficit issue that we have. We are duty bound to deal with the problems facing the city. How we approach that may be open to question, but we are bound to do it and I think we should seek every avenue possible in order to resolve the situation.

I think that we all have the same goals in mind. We want stability of the city, we want to see that there is no more layoffs, we want to ensure for public safety and all of the services that are provided by the city. I just think that we need to do something and I think the word drastic was used by one of the speakers, we need to take drastic action, we need to take some type of action in order to resolve these issues and we need to do it now, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, briefly a few comments about some of the back and forth I had with one of our constituents. I guess

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll start off with meeting with the mayor. I met with the mayor on Monday for about 30 minutes. We did talk about the different options that were on the table. The meeting started off by I told him under no circumstances would I vote to sell the parking meters. I think that that's the worst option between if it was -- between that and borrowing. The meters even on the low end provide a million dollars a year, if we get a system like StreetSmart in we could be bringing in maybe even double that, if the options were to sell an asset that brings in a million dollars year and borrow, which would be paid off by that asset we kept, in essence, I think it's an easier decision to make even though borrowing any

I did discuss with the mayor today, he did call me today. We are going to have a follow-up discussion next week. I'm hoping that an agreement will be met. I told the mayor that some of the stipulations for myself would be that (a) we are not

amount of money is always a tough pill to

swallow.

selling the parking meters, like I mentioned; (b), this has to be end of the line. There cannot be any more long-term borrowing in the city. We have to stop digging the hole we're in before we get out, and three, assurances that no more public safety personnel will be laid off.

We have lost far too many firefighters and far too many police officers in this city. As Mr. Gervasi mentioned, if no agreement is reached there is a possibility that 60 guys would be let go next year, and we all know from Mayor Doherty's track record where those people are coming from, it's going to be cops and firemen and it's going to be your public safety.

Getting to some of the criticisms

that were levied on me tonight about the

meeting, every one of us up here has met

with the mayor outside of council privately,

I think it's parts of the job, and I don't

agree with the vast majority of what the

mayor is doing, but again, I'm willing to

meet with any elected official at any time.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, the charge that I'm grandstanding by speaking to the newspaper, when I'm asked a question and I respond I like to get my viewpoint out there. I'm not one to keep quiet, everyone knows that, and the one place where I do agree with Mr. Franus is she said that I'm looking to broker a deal to save the city and that's absolutely true. I would love council and the mayor to reach an agreement, I would love even more if the mayor come to council, as I said in the newspaper, as I said at council repeatedly. We all know the mayor is not going to do that, and I wouldn't feel like I'm doing my job sitting idly by and just let the mayor call all of the shots without any input.

Any borrowing agreement would obviously be a very short-term solution. It would be hopefully enough to fill the gap for this year and move forward next year and cutting the budget in other places other than public safety. I have spoken repeatedly about the waste and abuse in the DPW and the Sewer Authority. I have also

when the mayor brought up the idea of selling the parking meters we don't know how much they are worth. There hasn't been a study conducted to say they are worth \$6 million or worth \$60 million. We don't know how much they are worth. The same thing with the Sewer Authority, which I support the sale of. I also support cuts in the DPW. How far to go, I'm not sure.

So I do have a few motions tonight in writing, which I will pass out to my colleagues, and the first is I make a motion to prompt Mayor Doherty to put out a request for proposal to determine the market value of the following city assets: (1) the Scranton Sewer Authority. (2) City- owned parking meters; and (3) Scranton Parking Authority parking garages.

MS. EVANS: We have motion on the floor, do we have a second?

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. I make this motion, as I said, because if the idea of selling these assets is ever going to be

entertained we need to know what they are valued at. The mayor's proposal to sell the parking meters without knowing the true value I think is worth a risk. I would like to sell the Sewer Authority. I think it's a good idea. We need to find out what it's worth before we sell it, and our parking garages as well, the leasing or sale of them. We don't know what their actual value was as well, so that's why I'm making this motion?

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? I only have one quick question, because I do agree that the market value should be determined for each one of these, it certainly would be helpful for moving ahead, sale or lease deal or even privatization agreement, but how is the mayor compelled?

MR. ROGAN: By council, by the motion from council.

MS. EVANS: Yes, but what I'm saying is beyond -- we can pass the motion and we all know that a good deal of our legislation has been ignored.

1 MR. ROGAN: I agree. 2 MS. EVANS: It's worth the effort, 3 I'm going to approve it, I'm just wondering if there was a perhaps another avenue that 4 5 would compel him. MR. ROGAN: Believe me, I wish there 6 7 was a way to compel the mayor to do it, but 8 I'm hoping that a vote of council, a 9 unanimous vote, that the mayor will consider 10 it. 11 MS. EVANS: I hope so, too. Anyone 12 else on the question? All those in favor 13 signify by saying aye. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 15 Aye. 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 18 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 19 Secondly, I make a 20 MR. ROGAN: 21 motion compelling Mayor Doherty to put out a 22 request for a proposal for an efficiency 23 study for Scranton's Department of Public 24 Works Refuse Division. 25 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the

floor, do we have a second?

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Again, I have stated in the past that I support the efficiency study of the DPW and I may even lean towards privatization, but again, without having all of the facts I think we should -- the city should conduct an efficiency study, see if we can collect garbage on four days, I believe we can. I believe we can collect garbage in four days with fewer men and then have a fifth day to repair roads and do other things to improve the city for the people and --

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Rogan -- oh, I'm sorry.

MR. ROGAN: Go ahead, Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would just expand that to the whole -- I mean, whole public works, they have parks and recreation, they have highway, because they all intermingle and, you know, they are all able to bid within those positions. I think we need -- you know, I would love/HRAOF to see that

1 traffic safety division back, the highway safety. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yeah, I would be fine with that. 4 5 MR. LOSCOMBE: But I would say the whole Bureau of Public Works or however it's 6 7 stated. 8 MR JOYCE: Parks and Public Works. 9 MR. MCGOFF: If we are going to talk 10 about any type of privatization or anything else, the only thing that would be probably 11 12 possible to privatize would be the refuse 13 part of it, so I don't know that we would --14 I don't know if we would get an efficiency study for how Parks and Rec operates, I 15 16 don't know if that's of any value. 17 MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, at this point 18 I'm not --19 MR. MCGOFF: I'm not saying they 20 would do it, I'm just --21 MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm looking at it 22 differently, I'm not looking at it for the 23 aspect of selling, I'm actually looking at 24 it for the aspect of efficiency for the 25 whole department.

MR. ROGAN: We could go either way with that that's why if we get the study then we could determine which way would be the best way to go from there. I would prefer not to privatize it, I would prefer to cut and get it to the point where we have enough guys to do the job, but not too many guys that we have routes being done at 11:00 instead of 3:00, which is quitting time, and if we could get to a four day garbage pickup and have a fifth day to do the repairs I think that would be great for everyone in the city.

MR. MCGOFF: Any idea on the cost of doing this?

MR. JOYCE: That's what I was going to bring up. I was going to bring up one issue and, Boyd, perhaps if you can intervene with this, if the mayor does choose to perform an efficiency study, would a vote on the efficiency study have to come before council, because we don't know whether this is going to be cost more than it would save? And I'm not saying that it would, but would this have to come before

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council for a vote?

MR. HUGHES: It would be my opinion that there would have to be an RFP submitted to be bid in accordance with the city's Home Rule Charter, and that would have to be put out, bids would have to be submitted, and the contract would then have to -- I'll put it this way, would have to be reviewed, submitted to council as to who the bidder was to be selected by the administration, and council could approve the contract.

> MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Those opposed? The ayes have it and so ordered. anyone care to amend the motion though to include the department -- the entire department of Public Works.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I would like to

make that.

MR. ROGAN: I'll second it.

MS. EVANS: On the question? All those in favor of amending the previous motion to include the entire department of Public Works, signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Those opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And hopefully the administration will listen to council and direct these studies and we could look where to go from there.

Just a few other quick points, I did talk to Linda Aebli th is morning about the Crisp Avenue bridge, it is being delayed only a week right now because of the bad weather, I believe it was October 11, it's now the date for the locks to be installed. I hope the day comes when I'm on council when I don't have to mention the Crisp Avenue bridge project, it's direly needed

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

25

and hopefully it gets completed.

Regarding the spay and neuter ordinance, I would definitely agree with what my colleagues said and with what some of the speakers said that it's something we should entertain. I don't know all the facts, it's something I would definitely have to sit down and talk about. I do see the problem with stray animals in the city, it's not fair to the residents of the city to have strays in the neighborhood, it's not fair to the animals themselves to be out in the cold in the winter, so I definitely think something should be done on that front and if we could all sit down and discuss how to go about it, I'm not an expert in animal issues by any reasons, but some of the people here today definitely were, I think it would be good to have them in here.

And that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Evans, I forgot to mention one thing.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:metwith} \mbox{MR. MCGOFF:} \quad \mbox{I met with Attorney}$

Kelly concerning the rental registration ordinance and he would like to meet again. He is going to draft a proposal, but he would like to meet again and he opened an invitation to any other members of council, we could set at time. I know work schedules prevent some of us from being there, but if. Mrs. Evans or Mr. Loscombe. If you would like to attend a meeting and, you know, go over that prior to bringing it to council the invitation is open.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: And I should say what we would -- what I would like to do is bring a proposal to council so that we can discuss it, amend it as we see needed, send it back to the law department to be rewritten, and then brought to council so that it would, you know, passed for the 2012.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: No, thank you.

MR. JOYCE: One comment, excuse me,
I'm talking out of turn here, but one
comment that I wanted to add about the whole

21

22

23

24

25

rental registration issue is recently, I'm not sure if many people have received their sewer bills recently, but the Sewer Authority is asking folks to report if they live in an apartment or if it's a residential property that they own or if it's commercial due to their changing of rates structures, so I think it could be a good possibility that we could partner with the Sewer Authority, though many people like to criticize them, and possibly obtain more information of where the rental properties actually are from the folks who are filling in affidavits or surveys that are stating that their place of residence is, indeed, an apartment.

MR. ROGAN: I think it would be great to get that list before we sell them away.

MS. EVANS: Okay. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I have a few.

Thanks. Mr. Rogan, mentioned Crisp Avenue,

I happen to stop by there today and it was

delayed because of the heavy rains we had, but they do have a pad poured and they are just waiting for the unit itself to come in so it is pretty close to getting there, so it's moving along.

MR. ROGAN: Can't wait until it's done.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Maybe we'll be able to drive across it before the end of the year, who knows.

I would like to give a hats off to our Scranton Police Department in their apprehension of the escapee from the Lackawanna Prison. They did a professional job, they were right on top of it, they got there pretty quickly and averted any problems so I would like to commend our police for their quick action on the apprehension of Mr. Simonson.

And I was given a letter that was written to the newspaper, and I'd also like to read this, if I could, it's not too long:

"On September 22 at about 7:30 a.m.

I responded to a knock on my front door to

find three department of Public Works

workers. One was holding my neighbor's personal belongings. They explained that when they stopped in front of my house they found something lying on the ground and picked it up. They determined it was my neighbor's driver's license, credit cards, photos, and many other important papers. They were tightly wrapped in a rubber band. The DPW workers also found money with the other items, they tried unsuccessfully to contact my neighbor.

I commend these men for returning every piece of the property that they found, especially the money. All Scranton residents should be proud of this act of honesty shown by our DPW workers. It shows that good people will still do the right thing even in today's economic situation. Hats off to Larry Winn, Brian Lynady and Jeff Pope, and thanks for your honestly and acts of kindness. You made my neighbor's day. Dave Hart, Scranton."

And I received an e-mail maybe,

Mrs. Krake, we can past these questions onto
the proper department: Will we ever see any

progress made on the New Jersey Central building? Was the developer given any money through grants or loans to develop this property? If it was provided, is there a time limit and, you know, they would like to know if it was grant or loans. And also, is this building -- does this building contain utilities, are they active, because many properties are closed in the city and condemned if there are no active utilities. So if we could just - and I'll pass these questions onto you. That's the New Jersey Central Railroad building, if I failed to mention it.

Okay. And let's see, and finally I just, I was absent two weeks ago when the conversation came up about the meters, selling the meters to the Parking Authority for \$6 million. I just want to go on the record and say that I'm adamantly opposed to the sale of any city asset that generates revenues, such as our meters. I'm not interested in bailing out mismanagement. Council's approval of the Parking Authority budget for 2011 recommended position

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eliminations and salary reductions. They were not followed.

A ticket processor's job was eliminated in deference to some higher paid salaries staying there. The ticket enforcement officers are pulled in on a daily basis to work on the ticket processing, removing them from the streets where they could be generating revenue from writing tickets, so we may see less income this year from the Parking Authority. Ιt may be purposeful, it may be not. But, you know, they have been giving every opportunity. There is missing and broken meters throughout the system and they have given hundreds of parking spaces away. of them mentioned about a car rental business and with the Commonwealth Medical College I believe they are up to 300 and some spots that were given to them so they are in tough water and they keep mismanaging it.

A street meter program, which was discussed several times, that would have provided the much needed capital yet it has

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been stalled repeatedly. It was dragged out until they determined it should be bid out, they bid it the first time they didn't like the bids they said there was something wrong, they bid it out again, there has been no action and StreetSmart didn't throw an independent bid in the last time. I mean, they are just discouraged with the goings on here.

But, you know, I can't see the Parking Authority taking any more debt on when they are incapable of handling their current debt at this point. And again, it's an asset that can generate us revenue for years to come. It would be ludicrous to blow three years of revenue right off the bat and, you know, then for the rest of eternity it's not ours. I do think -- I wish we had the opportunity to do a study or an assessment of that authority and whatever, but our hands are tied with the authorities, but we are going to continue to do what we can do, but again, they had a number of recommendations that they didn't follow. So how many times can we keep

coming to the well for them, too, until they get their act together. That's all I have to say on that, and that's it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Joyce?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. I arrived a little late tonight so I have a few announcements to start with. First, it's dealing with the St. Patrick's Church Food Pantry. The St. Patrick's Food Pantry is in need of replenishment as the recent floods and the closure of Angel Ministries have increased the need for their food pantry services. They are currently looking for individuals to donate nonperishable food items, and donations can be made at the rear door of the rectory on 1403 Jackson Street. If anyone listening to tonight can make a donation, it would be greatly appreciated.

Secondly, Pancreatic Cancer Action

Network will hold their Cheers to Hope event
on November 5, 2011, from 6 to 10 p.m. at
the Clarion Hotel located at 300 Meadow

Avenue in the South Side section of

Scranton. Tickets for the event are \$25 and
can be purchased at the door on the event

day or in advance. Pancreatic cancer survivors can attend for free.

At the event that will be live music, a silent auction, light fare, as well as beer, wine and soft drinks. There will also be a cash bar for other beverages and a signature cocktail with a portion of the proceeds benefiting the organization. For more information please call or e-Pail Saul savage. He could be e-mailed at PSAVAGE@PANCANVOLUNTEER.org or you could reach him by phone at 498-9488.

For some time now, Councilwoman

Evans, my colleague, has been referring to the deficit that exists as the Doherty deficit. As you know, Mayor Doherty places the blame on Scranton's financial problems solely on Scranton City Council. I'd like to put in issue to bed already. Tonight what I'll offer is factual evidence that the problems we are currently facing are not a product of Scranton City Council and, in fact, the deficit can be justifiably called the Doherty deficit.

Last week it was established that at

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the end of last year we had \$6,456,282 in expenses that we could not pay because we did not have the money. Actually, we had 11 point some million worth of bills and four point eight some -- four point eight million in change in what we had in the general fund which comes out to that number, 6,456,282.

At the same time when the 2011 budget was being amended, this was not made aware by the administration to council. the end of 2010, the 2011 operating budget, as amended, was adopted. In this budget, council adjusted some items, however, after reviewing the items that council did not adjust, and the one item that council adjusted with information from the administration, along with unbudgeted workers that the administration rehired, including four DPW foremen and possibly seven additional causal workers, as per an anonymous tip that was sent to our office, I'm not sure if the mayor actually hired back seven casual workers, but this is what the person had advised our office and they chose to remain anonymous and perhaps they

were a city employee or something to that nature.

Anyhow, the administration has created a \$6,852,481 hole as per business administrator McGowan's most recent cash flow report. When you add last year's hole with this year's hole created by the administration and subtract the \$5 million that the administration removed from the Workers' Comp Fund Trust, it comes close to a grand total of \$8.3 million. Ironically, that's very close to PEL'S deficit projection of \$8.2 million.

Here are some of the items that the administration is off on, that council did not touch: Delinquent landfill, \$379,175.

These are all revenue items, by the way.

Penalty and interest, \$229,428. Business license and permit \$646,885. Fines and forfeits, \$255. Police fines, \$20,760.

Delinquent wage tax, \$329,175. Interest and fiscal activities, \$12,362. Rents and concessions, \$700. OECD reimbursement, \$192,590. Delinquent real estate taxes, their projection was off by \$795,823.

Zoning board, \$15,500. Pave cuts, \$71,460. Police and fire report copies, \$7,000. Users fees, \$89. Other revenue not classified, \$20,800. Cable TV revenue, \$147,748 for a grand total of \$2,869,750 of revenue projections that council did not even alter.

Couple that with health insurance information, health insurance line items were adjusted in accordance with information provided by former business administrator Stu Renda, that came -- and so I deem this as a product of the administration. That figure is an expenditure that is \$3,732,371 underestimated.

Add that to additional hiring or use of unbudgeted items such as four nonbudgeted DPW foremen, \$180,000, nonbudgeted DPW casual workers, \$64,360, and that's just as of right now. And, of course, the raise for the mayor's secretary of \$6,000. When you add you will of those together that's \$6,852,481 of a hole that the administration has created.

So the mayor can't have it both ways

by creating these holes, hiring back workers that were unbudgeted and then still blaming Scranton City Council for the deficit. It just doesn't work that way. It doesn't make sense. Now, of course, you won't read that in the Scranton Times, and I have a copy of all of these projections, which I'll leave for both reporters. You may read it about it in GO Lackawanna, but as far as the Scranton Times I doubt that you will see anything written about it, but that's just how it is.

Concerning other matters,

Mr. McGowan stated in the Scranton Times

last week that he is concerned that I do not

fully understand the financial situation

that Scranton is facing and that I wanted to

see PEL's projections for 2012 before

meeting with him to discuss city finances.

Now, this is a little misleading.

First of all, the request to meet with Mr. McGowan was to discuss the 2012 budget, not the city's current financial situation for this year, and the three pieces of legislation that were passed down.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And secondly, PEL was revising the projections for 2012 at the time, therefore, before discussing 2012 I believe it's imperative that we have PEL's projections for 2012 before we engage in such a discussion.

On other matters, I firmly understand the financial situation that the city is facing. We are facing a sizable deficit for the reasons I pointed out. firmly understand this. I'm nearly two-thirds of the way in completing a master's degree in business administration and I currently have a 4.0 GPA. I have not received less than an "A" in any course at this point, though, if I work a little bit more on city council work maybe that will cause me to go down in the next course, on the set courses I'm taking, but though I provided evidence that the administration's projections were far off on several line items, the mayor will probably continue to blame council for Scranton's financial problems, so let's stop talking about this, and that's one part where I do agree with

Mr. McGoff, you know, let's look at the solution here. So let's look at the solution that was proposed.

It's come to council's knowledge that the city will be receiving an extra \$1.7 million in state pension aid this year as a one-time revenue source. PEL indicated that in the letter to us. In a recent letter by PEL, this will reduce the size of the deficit from \$8.2 million to \$6.5 million. According to Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow report his projection for the end of the year deficit was \$7.3 million.

Therefore, if his projections are correct the deficit would be reduced from 7.3 to 5.6.

With this in mind, PEL in recent correspondence has recommended that the city should not exceed the amount of the deficit, which in their calculations is \$6.5 million in unfunded borrowing. Obviously, PEL's number and Mr. McGowan's number differ by about \$900,000 according to the last report.

Furthermore, they project that this will be an expense of about \$700,000 to the

city for ten years as far as the unfunded borrowing.

Subsequently, PEL also performed an analysis on the impact of the sale of the parking meters for \$6 million to the SPA.

According to PEL, the city would lose \$702,000 from the sale of the parking meters on an annual basis if sold to the Scranton Parking Authority. This would not be for ten years as the unfunded borrowing expense, Pennsylvania, this would be forever.

In addition, if the parking meters were sold, PEL also recommends that we borrow an additional \$500,000, meaning that the city would also be required to pay an extra \$54,000 in debt service payments for ten years, so what the unfunded borrowing that PEL has recommended or that they -- that's one of the possible suggestions it's going to cost us \$700,000 for ten years. We sell the parking meters and borrow \$500,000 it's going to cost up \$756,000 for ten years, however, it's also going to cost us in lost revenue after those ten years from the sale of the parking meters as where the

borrowing portion would be paid off after ten years.

So also, one thing that's important to remember in this is that if the parking meters were sold it gives the sole discretion of the Scranton Parking Authority to raise parking meter rates at will without the consent of Scranton City Council and, of course, I know that people traveling downtown do not want to see the parking meter rates rise any more since we just had a recent increase.

So let's compare everything here. By borrowing \$6.5 million, the city would have to pay \$700,000 each year for ten years. If the city were to sell the parking meters, as I said before, you are looking at \$756,000 in lost revenue for ten years and \$702,000 for every year after that for an infinite amount of years, so let's look at the 20-year comparison. If the city were to engage in borrowing \$6.5 million, the city would pay back \$7 million. If the city were to sell the parking meters and borrow, the city would lose \$14.6 million. It's obvious

that selling the parking meters doesn't look like a good idea.

And also, this \$14.6 million is a conservative measure. In previous budgets before the 2011 operating budget, it's been estimated that the total of the meter collections and fines is about \$1.7 million. When you subtract that from the \$600,000 that the SPA has paid to operate the program for the city, it's possible that you are looking at 1 to 1.1 million dollars being lost per year from the sale of the parking meters, thus, making the impact in the 20-year period jump to a loss of \$20.6 million as opposed to \$7 million if the money was borrowed.

Now, the sale of the parking meters, the way I see it it's more of a bailout for the Scranton Parking Authority than it is for the city. As we know, the Scranton Parking Authority is in financial trouble and what this deal would do is put the expense of the Scranton Parking Authority on the backs of the Scranton taxpayers.

In the City of Chicago were 800,000

parking meters were leased for a term of 75 years, over that 75 year term the City of Chicago realize about 54 percent of the estimated revenue collection, and if revenue collection exceeded that estimate there was to be a 50/50 split between the city and the private firm that they leased the meters out to. I have ready countless articles on how this would a bad deal for the City of Chicago.

Now let's look at the same scenario for Scranton over a 75 year term. According to PEL's projections, which are conservative, the city would realize 11 percent of the projected meter collection over the same term. Now, if Chicago is to realize 54 percent of the estimated revenue over 75 years from their parking meter deal and that was considered a bad deal, what would realizing only 11 percent of the estimated revenue in that same period be considered?

It's evident that the sale of the parking meters is not a good move for the city and I think that pretty much all of

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city council is in agreement with that right now.

This is the reason why Mayor Doherty needs to come to city council and discuss the plan with Scranton City Council as a Now, I know that there have been group. some private conversations and whatnot, but coming to Scranton City Council is the most effective forum to achieve an actual agreement, and we know that this has to be done fast. As Mr. McGoff said, we don't want to see layoffs, payless paydays, etcetera. It's an effective forum since all council members are present, the public gets to see it, and personally speaking to one council member, yeah, it's a good start, however, Councilman Rogan does not represent Mr. McGoff. Councilman Rogan does not represent Mrs. Evans. Councilman Rogan does not represent Mr. Loscombe, and Councilman Rogan does not represent me. He represents Councilman Rogan, as we all represent vourselves.

In addition, some of the members of council have full-time jobs, myself

included. Thus, it makes a difficult to meet with the mayor during normal business hours. As the newspaper said many times, we are part-time legislators, so because we are part-time legislators many of us have full-time employment if we are not retired, so that's why it's imperative for the mayor to come to council to discuss these measures.

Now, some may believe that the mayor will never come to council for what reasons I don't know, but the mayor has been to council to discuss borrowing money in the past from what -- at least from that I have been informed of. One time didn't the mayor appear before council to discuss borrowing I believe it was \$44 million here?

MS. EVANS: Yeah, I am going to address that under my motions.

MR. JOYCE: Well, with that being said, I have some citizens' requests.

First, Mrs. Krake, this is a request from me. If you could send correspondence to Mr. McGowan regarding the Rossi & Rossi reports that were passed to our office, and

I understand that we are very behind on providing information to Rossi & Rossi and this is something that needs to be addressed as soon as possible.

Secondly, various Keyser Valley residents informed me that there is a large pothole in the middle of the intersection of Lafayette Street and North Cameron Avenue. Residents would like to see this problem taking care of as soon as possible because it's creating difficult travel conditions. Residents also have informed that there is a large pothole in the 100 block of North Cameron Avenue.

Mrs. Krake, please contact

Mr. Brazil and ask him to address this situation.

Various West Scranton residents have informed me that there is a large pothole at the intersection of South Dewey and Washburn Street. Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil.

Various residents living on

Lafayette Street in West Scranton have

informed me that the Lafayette Street sign

at the intersection of Lafayette Street and Keyser is faded and almost illegible.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil.

Also, I have been informed by various South Scranton residents that the property located at 436 Birch Street contains overgrown grass and weeds still. The area in the back of the property is also in very poor condition. Mrs. Krake, please contact Mrs. Seitzinger and ask him to investigate the situation and contact the property owner and have that situation rectified.

A resident has informed our office actually, that there are three large potholes in front of 1421 Church Avenue, that's over in North Scranton. Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil. And Hill Section residents have advised that the "Slow" sign on the 700 block of Harrison Avenue is illegible and cannot be read by travelers. Residents have requested that the sign be replaced.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to

address with Mr. Brazil.

Several residents have contacted me in regards to the condition of the Petersburg Cemetery on Paul Avenue.

Neighboring residents have informed me that weeds have grown so high that people have trouble recognizing that it's even a cemetery anymore. So, Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Seitzinger and have him contact the owner of the cemetery.

Several West Scranton residents have informed that there are a number of properties located in the 1200 block of South Main Avenue that are boarded up and are an eyesore to the neighborhood.

Neighbors have informed that the gras is overgrown and piles of garbage can be found in the backyard of these properties.

Mrs. Krake, please add this the issues to address with Mr. Seitzinger.

Hill Section residents have informed me that there is vacant lot on the 500 block of Harrison Avenue that needs serious attention, that weeds and grass are

overgrown and they are now extending onto the sidewalk. Since this is a vacant lot please contact Mr. Seitzinger to see if this lot is owned by the city. If it is, please contact Mr. Brazil then and ask him if he could rectify this situation. If it's not owned by the city, please instruct Mr. Seitzinger to contact the owner of that the lot.

And also, the alley behind the 100 block of West Parker Street, a request came in to our office to please fill the potholes behind this block of West Parker Street, they have stated that they have called the mayor six times and they haven't had a response. So, Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address for Mr. Brazil, and that's it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Joyce.

Good evening, I wish to begin my
thanking Councilman McGoff for his letter to
the editor of the Scranton Times. I
appreciate his effort, but I have never made
decisions relating to government finances

based on the newspaper's editorials and cartoons, rather, my decisions are rooted consistently in facts and service to the taxpayers.

Since the day I was first sworn into office, I have turned up the heat, asked too many questions, found too many answers, and exposed wrongdoings. That makes some people very nervous and others very angry, thus, the newspaper attacks are nothing more than the latest in their never ending series which began in 2004.

Also, I'd like to respond to Mr. McGoff's statement contained in the September 30 edition of the Scranton Times in which he said that the council supermajority members do not appear to have solutions other than a couple of vague references with the Sewer Authority.

Perhaps my colleague wasn't
listening or has forgotten, but the minutes
of the past council meetings will verify
that I have offered multiple financial
solutions. September 20, 2011, discussion
of buyouts and retirements, implementation

of StreetSmart Technologies parking program, enforcement of the rental registration program, payroll and commuter tax, and opposition of tax assessment appeals by Scranton businesses.

September 13, 2011, discussion of payroll and commuter taxes and request Governor Corbett to certify the census results in order to pursue changing Scranton's classification and implementing new taxes as a long-term financial solution. Received delinquent taxes by Charles Jefferson, which this council majority spearheaded.

And September 6, 2011, discussion of parking meter and permit payments owed to the City of Scranton by the CMC Hospital.
\$600,000 owed to the City for the Providence Road property on which the former DPW garage was stood and StreetSmart Technology Parking program.

August 4, 2011, at a public meeting, and, Mr. McGoff, you get a pass on this one because I know you weren't able to be here, pursued both workers' comp and buyouts and

retirement buyouts, enforce the rental registration program, implement StreetSmart parking program, bill the CMC Hospital for parking meter and permit revenue owed to the city, issue RFP's for the leasing or the sale of city parking garages, cut unnecessary positions across the board and modify work hours for the city hall.

July 26, 2011, potential tax assessment reduction by the new owner of the Moses Taylor Hospital, CMC parking meter and permit fees not received by the city.

Parking program, a new revenue generator has not been rebid.

And July 12, I stated that I did not intend to approve the sale of parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority. The mayor, administrators and council should attend public meetings to address the deficit as follow-up to our private meeting, and final approval of the city council majority contract with Northeast Revenue Services to collect delinquent real estate tax for the years 2004 through 2010, and pay down the \$1.5 million in debt owed to

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pennstar Bank.

Now, of these 15 solutions, only two were realized. The contract for delinquent tax collections with Northeast Revenue Service and the payment of the delinquent taxes by Mr. Jefferson. It is notable that either occurred because the mayor agreed or compromised, rather, the partners of Northeast Revenue Service refused to sign the mayor's substitute contract in favor of the council contract. And Mr. Kolajeski of the county tax claims office stood firmly with city council to enforce the sale of the Mulberry Lofts if delinquent taxes were not paid while the city pursued payment of it's own delinquent taxes.

All other solutions were nakedly refused, ignored or excused away by Christopher Doherty.

At the same time, the mayor offered three solutions: Cut police and fire, sell the parking meters while guaranteeing \$14 million in new Parking Authority debt, and borrow with Court approval.

Perhaps it's easier for people to

remember the three solutions, two of which are utterly irresponsible, than it is to recall 15 solutions that address both short and long-term financial stability.

Next, Scranton City Council asked
Mayor Chris Doherty last week to attend a
special meeting of council or a regularly
scheduled meeting to discuss his deficit
plan as soon as possible. Christopher
Doherty has not appeared in these council
chambers in five years. In fact, the last
occasion was November 2, 2006, when he
briefly attended a council meeting solely to
cast the deciding vote to appoint Mr. McGoff
to Scranton City Council.

Prior to that, Mayor Doherty came before this council majority to request and explain a proposed \$44 million borrowing in October 2006. Although the dollar amount was decreased, the mayor's borrowing was later approved.

Since 2006, rather than speaking face-to-face to his constituents and his fellow elected official, Mayor Doherty speaks through the Scranton Times or behind

closed doors. Christopher Doherty should come before the people to report city revenues and expenditures and explain the need and uses for the \$10 million he is seeking. He should provide city council with specific budgetary uses for every dime of that \$10 million. This is his responsibility because he handed this council a fantasy budget that contained over a \$6 million hole last November and he deceptively presented it as a balanced budget.

His absence yet again from these chambers speaks of person who refuses to accept responsibility for his actions, to face questions and to fulfill his obligations to the people of Scranton.

Rather, he feels entitled to govern single-handedly from his private office and that is not the type of leader needed on any level of government.

Can you imagine if the President of the United States only spoke to the people of this nation during election time debates and through the Associated Press? Can you

imagine if the President never presented a State of the Union address to the Senate, Congress and the American people, but instead chose to present it on Wall Street to the CEOs of banks and corporations. We, as Americans, and the national media, would never tolerate such actions in Washington, yet, here in Scranton a mayor is more entitled than any US President.

Scrantonians are expected not only to accept this unique behavior, but we also have a newspaper that condones it.

Mr. Rogan reported on his meeting with the mayor and the business administrator earlier, but I have a few questions regarding your meeting that I neglected to ask you when we had our phone conversation yesterday. Where was the meeting held?

MR. ROGAN: It was at a coffee shop in Wilkes-Barre.

MS. EVANS: So the mayor drove to
Wilkes-Barre for a meeting with a council
member and it can't walk up a flight of
stairs to come to council chambers and talk

1 to city council and the people of the City 2 of Scranton. Did you ask the mayor why he 3 didn't come to city council, Mr. Rogan? MR. ROGAN: No. I don't know why 4 5 the mayor won't come but, unfortunately, he 6 is not going to. MS. EVANS: 7 Well, I believe though 8 you said that you despite your meeting still 9 believe that --10 MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. MS. EVANS: -- he should do so. 11 MR. ROGAN: 12 Absolutely. 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you. And did you 14 ask Christopher Doherty for the specific breakdown of the accounts and line items to 15 16 be amended from the proceeds of the meters? 17 MR. ROGAN: I have -- he did give 18 me, actually, Ryan did give me a bunch the 19 information of the current financial status, 20 but I didn't ask for the breakdowns of --21 because there is no deal, so we didn't get 22 to that point yet. 23 MS. EVANS: Well, okay. That was 24 something I know that council had requested 25 last week and he and BA have not provided

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that information to council.

While city council doesn't support the sale of parking meters and additional borrowing for the Parking Authority, city borrowing has always been on the table and both the mayor and council have been well aware of this for weeks and city council never received the information requested as we just discussed, in compliance with Section 909 of the Home Rule Charter, so what progress really was made or, you know, what new information was received I think, like you stated before, which is very true, Councilman Loscombe, Councilman Joyce and I, have met with the mayor and administrators on prior occasions with just about the same The mayor ignored our input, results. proceeded with his own agenda, you know, just in the same way that he ignores our legislation.

So let's come back down to reality here. Although, we understand Councilman Rogan's effort to abide by statements that he will meet with anyone at any time, city council, Mr. Rogan included, still believes

the mayor should come to council as he did in 2006 rather than demanding city council approve his legislation on blind faith and placing the proceeds of the parking meters sale and borrowing into a black hole.

The city's deficit is changing each week. For example, from September 9 to October 3 the Pennsylvania Economy League has lowered the deficit from 8.2 million to 6.5 million since the state has agreed to make \$1.7 million in 2011 pension payments for the city. There are other financial factors which PEL must consider in it's final calculations.

As a result, and with the advice of our council solicitor, I have not placed the mayor's legislation for the sale of the city-owned parking meters, the 14 million in Scranton Parking Authority borrowing, which is to be guaranteed by the city, and the \$4 million in Court approved city borrowing on tonight's agenda for introduction.

Attorney Hughes and I agree that the \$10 million the mayor is seeking is significantly greater than what is actually

required to address the current deficit. We also agree that an accurate deficit figure must be obtained and the mayor and his business administrator must submit the previously requested documents to city council in compliance with the Home Rule Charter.

Unless the mayor can prove otherwise, it now appears that the sale of parking meters is unnecessary. Equally important, I continue to believe that the City of Scranton needs to get out of the parking garage business. I'd like to see the parking garages leased or sold in 2011 and the Parking Authority put out of operation. I will not lengthen it's lifespan by selling parking meters and saddling Scranton Taxpayers with additional Parking Authority debt.

Finally, city council's working with the Pennsylvania Economy League to alter the city's tax structure in order to help Scranton taxpayers. At the same time, council is trying to determine the actual dollar amount of the deficit and working on

an alternate plan to address is which will be reported at a future meeting.

I do have four citizens' requests for the week regarding potholes in North Scranton and reports of questionable practices by the city and DPW to submit this evening, and our office will contact the appropriate departments this week.

And finally, we received a message from Mr. Vitrus of the DPW who stated there will be no garbage pickup on Monday, Columbus Day, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A
LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN FROM THE
COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN
PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.32 IN AN AMOUNT NOT
TO EXCEED \$75,000.00 TO FRECKLES AND FRILLS,
INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: On the question? This is a loan, I believe, for \$75,000 for the Office of Economic and Community Development and before council would determine the final approval for this loan, I would ask that the owners of Freckles and Frills would attend a public caucus of Scranton City Council hopefully next Tuesday, that would be the 11th, October 11, at 6:00. I think council would like to hear, as it has in other instances when the loans are being considered, would like to hear from the owners concerning the project and uses of the loan that is being sought. If Freckles and Frills, however, is unable to -- because I know we are kind of jumping in here without contacting them, if they are unable to attend next Tuesday if you would please see, Mrs. Krake, if the following Tuesday then would be available and then in that event council I think could consider tabling the legislation next week until we are able to meet with them. Thank you.

Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by

1 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. MR. JOYCE: Aye. 5 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 6 7 have it and so moved. 8 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING, 9 EMPOWERING AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPOINT 10 A LIAISON BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND 11 12 BERKHEIMER. THE DULY APPOINTED COLLECTOR OF EARNED INCOME TAX FOR THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY 13 "TAX COLLECTION DISTRICT", FOR THE EXPRESS 14 PURPOSE OF SHARING CONFIDENTIAL TAX 15 INFORMATION WITH THE DISTRICT FOR OFFICIAL 16 17 PURPOSES. 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 19 20 introduced into it's proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

| | 152 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 2 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 5 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 6 | have it and so moved. |
| 7 | MS. KRAKE: 5-D. AUTHORIZING AND |
| 8 | EMPOWERING THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY TAX |
| 9 | COLLECTION COMMITTEE TAX COLLECTOR, |
| 10 | BERKHEIMER, TO IMPOSE AND RETAIN COSTS OF |
| 11 | COLLECTION ON DELINQUENT TAXES. |
| 12 | MS. EVANS: At this time I'll |
| 13 | entertain a motion that Item 5-D be |
| 14 | introduced into it's proper committee. |
| 15 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 16 | MR. JOYCE: Second. |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: On the question? All |
| 18 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 19 | saying aye. |
| 20 | MR. MCGOFF: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. JOYCE: Aye. |
| 24 | MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes |
| 25 | have it and so moved. |
| | |

| | 153 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. NO |
| 2 | BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. NO |
| 3 | BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. |
| 4 | MS. EVANS: If there is no further |
| 5 | business, I'll entertain a motion to |
| 6 | adjourn. |
| 7 | MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn. |
| 8 | MS. EVANS: This meeting is |
| 9 | adjourned. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER