	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Tuesday, September 27, 2011
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

2 ||

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

JOID HOURES, SULICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, HEARING DATES FOR AUGUST
17	31, AND OCTOBER 27, 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AGENDA OF THE CITY
21	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER
22	21, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORT, COMMERCIAL APPEALS HEARING TO BE HELD OCTOBER 26, 2011.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any
Clerk's notes?

MS. KRAKE: No, we don't have any this evening, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time? It's that time of year again, the Dunmore Cemetery Tour presented by the Dearly Departed players under the artistic direction of Ms. Julie Esty will be held at the Dunmore Cemetery, 400 Church Street, on Sunday, October 7 and again on Sunday, October 9, 2011, beginning at 2 p.m. Admission is free and no reservations are required. Take a walk through time and meet famous and not so famous departed individuals who've had a lasting effect on our local and national history. Actors provide a live portrayal of highlighted individuals and dress in style of the day.

In addition, Ms. Esty has partnered with Ms. Gaus artistic director of the

Scranton Civic ballet, to add a touch of dance to what promises to be the best presentation yet. It's a perfect way for family to spend a highly entertaining and an educational afternoon. Hope to see you there.

And this evening, I have asked cooperation of council that we might alter the order of the meeting. I am not feeling well, and result we are going to begin tonight's meeting with motions and comments of the council followed by the voting portion, and prior to each vote we will provide an opportunity for speakers to voice their concerns and thereafter citizens' participation will be conducted in full.

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: In deference to your petition to leave earlier, I'll pass this evening.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: I would do the same. I had a few things prepared, but they can hold

off until next week.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have a couple of comments. I had a couple of things passed to me before the meeting, some questions I will be asking here: How difficult will it be for the fire engines to respond to East Mountain Road in the wintertime during icy conditions and snow covered roads?

And the other question is on the tree house, during thunderstorms and lightning the pool is emptied, but yet visitors are still allowed on the tree house. Why don't the park employees remove them to safety from the tree house at that time. That's just something we can pass onto Parks and Recreation to look at.

And as far as the fire trucks, it's a lot easier going downhill than uphill naturally, but I'll get you some more information on that, also.

Quickly, it just seemed -- I
apologize for not being here last week. I
see Mrs. Evans is under the influence of

what I felt since last week, so I know what you are going through. I'll still not over it myself, but it's going around. But, you know, I didn't have an opportunity to see the meetings since I don't have the cable channel, but, you know, I have been apprised of articles in the paper this past week, it seems like another week of bashing city council, especially the women on city council, our city clerk and our president here. It must have been a slow news week.

And I don't know why they can't go after the true stories, like Mrs. Evans said, the truth, and I do not comment to the newspaper anymore because they are not telling the truth. They have to tell the whole story to tell the truth, and apparently that's not happening, and I vented my frustration previously on this. But, you know, when I read in the paper like today and, you know, again the comments are twisted and distorted and there was a comment by Mr. McGoff today and I hope, you know, it's not the full text of what he stated or whatever, but it said,

"Mr. McGoff, the lone minority member, said that Mrs. Krake has no legal rights to hold up the contracts. It's not a decision for her to sign, he said, it's an obligation that comes from the job. Mr. McGoff questioned whether Mrs. Krake is acting on her on accord or on the authority of council noting that there should be repercussions if Mrs. Krake's actions caused the city harm such as costing the city money."

And I would like to ask if the repercussions go the other way, also. I mean, we have an administration, should they suffer the repercussions for their charter violations, and for not following the budget with our revenue initiatives in it? I would hope that that would be the full gist of your comment, Mr. McGoff because it's only fair, but I don't think I would sign anything without knowing the facts behind it, and I know I had requested information and part of what Mrs. Krake is holding up is information -- is contracts because of information that I requested that we have not been able to get. We have not been

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provided with.

And if I could just read a letter we received from the OECD solicitor, "As per our recent conversations." this was to Attorney Boyd Hughes, "As per our recent conversations, enclosed is provided correspondence OECD has received from the city clerk attaching the bid proposals. While we no objections to sharing such information -- as much as information as possible, Mrs. Krake, we are a very busy office and simply don't have the time and/or resources to copy the clerk with bid specks, More importantly, each and every etcetera. contract which is sent to city council has a bid form attached.

In addition, the solicitor's office generally sends backup information along with the legislation. At any rate, if the clerk or any member of the council would like to review any of the OECD files they are more than welcome to come to the office and go through the same."

Now, I don't know how much more effort it is, but when people come in to

place a bid they have to have bid packets ready. They don't know whether one person is going to show up or ten people, so they should have a at least a handful of bid proposals ready. What we receive are the people who have bid on it. We don't receive -- what we requested, we don't want a 100-page document, we just wanted -- we requested the scope of the work that was bid.

In other words, if you are putting sidewalks in, how many lineal feet of sidewalks, how much gravel, the whole deal what you're bidding on. We don't receive any of that, and that's uncalled for.

And then additionally in the letter,
"In addition, I recently received a call
from Attorney Rocco Valvano in connection
with the Freckles and Frills loan.
Apparently, the City Clerk's office has
requested the principals of Freckle's and
Fills to appear at a public meeting and
discuss the particulars of their loan.
Attorney Valvano is reluctant to allow his
clients to discuss their finances and other

confidential information publically, and quite frankly, I don't blame them. I would suggest that the principals of Freckle's and Frills meet with council privately in the caucus before the meeting."

Well, if any of you who have witnessed our caucuses at this meeting, whenever somebody has applied for a grant or is given a grant or a low interest loan, all we request is for them to come here and explain to the public what they plan on doing with that. We are not asking for financial records to be exposed, we are not asking for anything private to be exposed. If they can't come here and explain what they are doing with the money that you, the taxpayers, are providing them, then there is something wrong.

All we are doing is opening it up so that the public can see what's going on in this city, so I don't understand what the problem is here. I don't know these people, I don't know where Freckle's and Frills is, but this is a first time I have actually seen any complaint about someone -- we have

had many people come here and tell their project to us and make their presentation, and I think it's great for the public to see where their tax dollars are going.

So I don't understand their mindset on this here. If they are too afraid to come here there is something that they're hiding. We are not asking riveting questions about their background or their money or whatever, we are asking what they are doing with our money and what they plan on doing with the building and that's it. I don't think we are being that invasive. It's for you, the public, to know where your tax dollars are going.

And then lastly, "OECD is charged with the responsibility of determining the eligibility of the potential borrowers and the strength of the deal. All of that due diligence required by federal, state, local government is done long before these matters get to council. Council's attempt to micro manage these projects slows the process and causes problems for borrowers and other lenders in the deal.

In addition, public appearances could have a chilling effect on potential borrowers. At any rate, council is more than welcome to review any and all of the loan files which should come to OECD to do so. In addition, micro management of this nature is well beyond the duties and responsibilities of the City Clerk's Office, Section 406 of the Home Rule Charter."

And lastly, is attached a list of contracts being held up.

Well, let me say one thing, they are charged with -- OECD is charged with the responsibility of determining eligibility of borrowers and the strength of the deal and they are accusing us of micromanaging. It never hurts to have extra eyes on a projects. I have already looked at a few documents and I have questions on it, that's why I requested more information. I think if we did micromanage a little better over the past few years we wouldn't have this audit result of over \$11 million in unallowed expenses from OECD, so I think more eyes are better than none, and on top

of that, I believe there is over \$600,000 in their budget from their OECD funding for administrative purposes. That should give them a sufficient amount to provide us with one copy of each of these specs, and I'm not looking at 100 pages, I'm looking at the scope of the work.

What are we trying to hide? All we want to know is was the work done properly, because there is quite a few change orders I see in a lot of these projects, too, and this is the stuff that comes back and haunts us when we do get these HUD audits, and I would hope that they could resolve this. This here is one of the main basis as far as OECD projects that are being held up that Mrs. Krake is being accused of, but I specifically asked her to hold some so the documentation -- some information until we receive the documentation and they seem in no hurry to provide it to us.

And other things that are being held up, I mean, they are saying we have no money in the budget, well, how can we put out contracts if there is no money to cover it?

You know, you can't go out and by a new car if you can't pay your mortgage. So, you know, the newspaper can twist it and distort it any way they want, the truth is here, the facts are here, and I just -- those are just some of my thoughts here.

Let me see if I had anything. I guess that's it. But, you know, again, don't believe everything you read in the paper, and if Mrs. Krake is going to be held accountable I would hope that everybody is held accountable. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes, I do. Recently, there have been a few articles in the Scranton Times, and I do realize in the Scranton Times in two of those articles, one dealing with Mrs. Krake, and another dealing with the mayor's veto of the CDBG amendments, they printed that I declined to comment. The reason why I declined to comment, was due to the fact that I did not

-- I had not yet received the mayor's veto letter, and that I have -- that I had not received the letters sent from Attorney Kelly to Boyd Hughes at that time.

It wasn't simply I said, "No, I don't want to talk about it," the reason was I didn't have the documentation to actually comment.

On other matters, the first thing I wanted to talk about tonight is about casual help. As you know, it's quite clear that the mayor and the Scranton Times are trying to pin the deficit on the council that exists in renege 2011. In all of the arguments that they use, they forget to mention that we pay various expenses, including the \$9.5 million TAN-B payment as well as about \$2 million in other expenses in 2011 that were from 2010.

If we were to -- if we were to try to pay these expenses with the remaining money that we had in 2010, we would have not been able to do it as we would have been between 6.5 and 7 million dollars short.

As of Mr. McGowan's latest cash flow

report, he projects that we will have a \$7.3 million deficit at the end of the year.

Interesting enough, the city's figures and the figures produced by PEL differ by nearly a \$1 million. It is clear that we have a hole last year. It was carefully hidden from council and everything was made to look okay by the administration.

Anyhow, taking into account the facts that I just mentioned, there are other issues. The administration still fails to follow the parameters of the 2011 budget as amended by Scranton City Council. As you know, earlier in the year, and frequently I had mentioned that Mayor Doherty chose to hire back four workers in the DPW that were not budgeted for and provided them with health benefits as well.

On September 22, as per a report issued by Roseann Novembrino, city controller, it is evident that Mayor Doherty may have hired back more workers than the four DPW foremen that I previously mentioned. The reason I say this may be in addition to the four DPW workers is due to

the fact that on the January 4 into July 7 article in the Scranton Times it is stated that the city's budget contains \$245,000 to fund lifeguards and four DPW foremen in the other salary account in the Parks and Recreation Department, which is a subdivision of the Department of Public Works.

In Mrs. Novembrino's report, it is reported that an additional \$64,360 has spent on DPW casual workers or casual help in the Bureau of Highways, though, this line item was not funded in the 2011 operating budget. Therefore, the mayor chose to spend money on unbudgeted items.

Though Mayor Doherty will find an excuse to defend the unbudgeted DPW casual workers in the Highways Bureau, just as he has had an excuse for hiring back the four DPW foremen that were not budgeted for, not following through on revenue generators and providing his secretary a raise that was not budgeted for, he will still continue to blame Scranton City Council for Scranton's problems.

I think the answer is clear though, Mayor Doherty's excuses are running short. Though Mayor Doherty will possibly continue to lay off public safety workers, he still continues to employ unbudgeted workers, and that is very troubling.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you send a Right-to-Know request on my behalf listing -- requesting the names and amounts paid to the all of the workers in the DPW highway other salary account that was not funded in which 64,000 thousand plus dollars was drawn out of it.

Secondly, regarding the amendments that I made to CDBG monies, I wanted to address some issues in Mayor Doherty's veto now since I did not have it when speaking to the Scranton Times. The first point I wanted to make is that Mayor Doherty stated that only 15 percent of the CDBG monies can be used towards public service purposes.

According to Ms. Aebli's worksheet, the total amount of the funding to be allocated was \$3,498,750. Out of this amount, the amendment that was unanimously passed by

council allocated \$524,800 towards public service purposes. \$524,800 is 14.9996 percent of \$3,498,750. So, therefore, where that 17 percent number came from I am not 100 percent sure. Perhaps there was a miscalculation somewhere on the administration's behalf or perhaps they were counting in our public service total fire engine, which was deemed to be a nonpublic service measure.

The second point that I wanted to make is that the mayor stated that the use of \$141,000 to fund the purchase of new police cars to be used in low to moderate income areas and stated that the MVP neighborhood police patrol has been eliminated and cannot be funded until 2013. He states that this money cannot be used to fund vehicles to be used in a program that does not exist.

Contrary to this, though the program does not currently exist, and I'll provide the reason for that shortly, the administration did not zero out any of the funding for neighborhood police patrols and

the administration requested funding for neighborhood police patrols and vehicles in 2012 for this program that cannot exist until 2013, as stated in the mayor's veto letter.

The reason why the neighborhood police patrol program does not exist is due to the administration's attempt to use \$666,870 in funding to fund 13 regular patrolmen in the 2011 operating budget. This is actually what is violative of regulations governing the use of CDBG monies. Since we are not able to use the \$666,870 to fund officer's salaries, as the administration originally directed, which is indicated on page 75 of the 2011 operating budget document for anyone who wants to research it, we had to pay the officers with other money.

Ironically enough, Mayor Doherty chose to layoff 13 officers. This could very well be due to his costly error. Also, since officers were eliminated from the police force due to Mayor Doherty's public safety layoffs, we cannot hire neighborhood

police patrols back until one year after such layoffs according to HUD regulations. So because of Mayor Doherty's actions we cannot have a neighborhood police program until 2013 because of these layoffs.

However, though he states funding cannot be allocated for if it is not current, the administration has asked for funding for the program in 2012, a year in which the program will still not be current, so that the statement in the mayor's veto as opposed to what the department at least sent to us and what was requested is contradictory.

The third point I wanted to make is that Mayor Doherty mentioned that the United Neighborhood Centers Condemnation Assistance Program had its funding cut by \$9,200, in the veto letter he states 20 percent and, of course, using 20 percent instead of \$9,200 makes it look a lot more dramatic than it really is, and he also stated that this could be a financial burden for the city.

Though \$9,200 was cut in the amendment, it was mentioned that there would

be -- that there may be money left over last year, according to the CAPER, as presented by one of the council speakers at last week's meeting, not to mention that I would choose the city spending \$9,200, if needed, as a trade off to allocate over \$170,000 extra to demolishing blighted structures any day.

Also, I must ask, since when has the mayor been concerned about \$9,200? He was not so concerned about the financial burden when hiring DPW casual help or casual foremen which have caused the city much greater expenses in the Year 2011. For the reasons that I mentioned, I'll be voting to override the mayor's veto tonight, and I encourage my colleagues to do so as well.

Finally, I did have some citizens' requests. West Scranton residents have reported to me that the stop sign at the intersection of South Rebecca Avenue and Jackson Street is eligible and the word "STOP" can barely be read on the sign.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please contact Mr. Brazil and inform him of this,

and ask him to have the matter rectified as soon as he could.

North Scranton residents have informed me that drivers are rarely stopping at the stop signs at the intersection of Kelly Avenue and Mary Street. The residents that have informed me of this matter have also informed me that the stop sign on Mary Street section is almost faded to a plain white color, and the words "STOP" is eligible, maybe that's the reason why they're running the stop sign. Anyhow, these residents are afraid due to the fact that many of them have children and are afraid of potential accidents occurring because of the cars running these since.

Mrs. Krake, can you please add this to the issues to contract Mr. Brazil about and contact Chief Duffy to inform him that the officer -- to inform of this matter and ask him to let the officer patrolling this area know that this problem exists and perhaps they could have keep an eye on it and perhaps pull people over if they do frequently speed through those stop signs.

Also, several North Scranton residents have been informed me that the "Do Not Enter" sign at the intersection of Kelly Avenue and Gilbert Street is covered by trees causing drivers who have not familiar with the area to travel the wrong way down a one-way street.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to discuss with Mr. Brazil and ask him to address this matter in the best way that he sees fit.

A Scranton resident has also informed me of very large potholes about six inches deep on the 800 block of Powderly Court, which is directly behind North Main Avenue. The resident has informed me that they contacted the pothole hotline several times, however, action has not yet been taken. These poor traveling conditions are making travel difficult and they would like to see this issue addressed as soon as possible.

So, Mrs. Krake, if you can please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil.

And, also, various Minooka residents have informed that many of the travelers are speeding on Birney Avenue, and this has lead to some of the mirrors on resident's cars being sideswiped and clipped off. If you could notify Chief Duffy of this,

Mrs. Krake, and ask him to notify the patrolman and in this area.

Also, I did want to make mention that a Right-to-Know request was filed on behalf of the city council to obtain information on police staffing throughout the neighborhood and on specific days, and I know that one of our regular council speakers that normally addresses council at the meetings, Mrs. Schumacher, did ask this on various counts, and I just wanted to report that we did receive a response to the Right-to-Know request and it was denied.

The Right-to-Know request was denied because, in short, I won't read the whole letter that Chief Duffy sent, but in short I think his intent is not to let the criminals know when there is going to be a low amount of police officers on the streets, so

perhaps anyone wishing to know the number of police officers on the street on a certain day at a certain time or on a certain shift could contact the police department for further discussion and perhaps they would release that information privately, however, I'm not sure if they will, but in the denial letter to the Right-to-Know request it was stated that that information it's not desired to be given out publically, and that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just add to that quickly.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

that, but Mr. Joyce is correct and,
Mrs. Schumacher, I will be e-mailing you a
copy of that plus the other answers to your
questions which I just completed this
afternoon, but rather than -- because I have
them scattered around here, I will e-mail it
to you first thing tomorrow morning the
answers to your questions, but Mr. Duffy
also requested that I have a meeting with
him and he could go over that information

with me, so I'd like to -- I will be setting up a meeting with Mr. Duffy seeing how he we can accomplish that. I can understand his concerns to a certain point, but, you know, we have to see what works for everybody.

MR. JOYCE: Right, and I can understand his concerns as well. Obviously, since there were police layoffs there is less police on the street and I'm sure that the chief does not want to alert the criminals when the city is going to be shorter on police patrols as it may provoke them to engage in extra criminal activity that's all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman
Joyce. Good evening. Following last week's
Scranton City Council meeting, Mayor Doherty
announced to the local media that he intend
to sell city-owned parking meters to the
Scranton Parking Authority for \$6 million
and for this purpose the Parking Authority
would borrow between \$12.4 and \$14 million.
He insisted these measures would occur
because council lowered taxes by \$3 million
and city council's budget was to blame.

However, city council lowered property and business taxes by \$1.7 million and the mayor did not follow council's budget amendments.

Christopher Doherty desperately
tried to mislead the public and sway public
opinion in support of his plan. Here are
the facts:

Christopher Doherty started 2011 with a minimum \$5 million hole because he used 2011 monies to pay off 2010 bills.

Christopher Doherty defaulted on \$1.5 million in uncollected delinquent taxes from 2004, 2005, and 2006 he had to Pennstar Bank.

Christopher Doherty underestimated health care in the 2011 budget by \$3 million, license and permit revenue by approximately \$900,000, fines and violations by \$556,000, and penalties and interest by \$316,000 for a total of \$4,772,000 in a budget hole from just those four items, set aside the original 5 million.

At t he same time, Mayor Christopher

Doherty refused to implement and enforce

solid revenue generators with a concrete history of financial success in other Pennsylvania cities like Wilkes-Barre and Easton. Were in not for the unexpected windfall of realty transfer taxes received from the sale of the Mercy Hospital and newly discovered revenue at the Scranton Tax Office, the mayor's self-inflicted deficit would have been \$2.5 million higher.

It seems clear that the mayor devised his plan many months ago. When Scranton City Council restored police officers and firefighters in the 2011 budget, the mayor sabotaged revenue sources that would be used to fund public safety salaries.

Also, Christopher Doherty seemed anxious to keep his Scranton Parking
Authority alive at any cost. For each of the last three years, the Parking Authority borrowed millions to balance it's annual budget. The most recent deal involved a note from Landmark Bank for \$2.9 million to meet it's 2011 debt obligation, and it seems that the same \$2.9 million will be paid off

through the newest deal to borrow 12.4 to a maximum of 14 million.

The independent audit of the City of Scranton, which coincidentally, the Doherty administration has held up purposely in 2011 and 2010, isn't necessary for the Parking Authority to Borough the 14 million. However, if the city wishes to directly borrow, float a bond, and secure a 2012 TAN, the banks will request the missing audit.

Thus, let us off to Court the city goes to borrow \$4 million where a judge won't insist upon seeing the 2010 audit. It certainly makes the borrowing process move more quickly and conveniently for Christopher Doherty.

The mayor's end props will be more than sufficient money to fill his deficit, the survival of his Parking Authority for the next few years, and a Court mandated tax increase for the taxpayers of Scranton.

Only Scranton City Council who must vote "yes" or "no" stands in his way, and here enters the final piece of the plan.

Make the taxpayers believe that this mess is

city council's fault and that payless
paydays will arrive on October 19,
conveniently about two weeks before the
election, almost as convenient as stalling a
new parking meter program for nine months in
order to sell the parking meters.

Ladies and gentlemen, it all stops now. Mayor Doherty wants this council to give him a blank check. His legislation demonstrates only the revenue sources, there is not one word as to the use of these funds and the Home Rule Charter includes mandatory words of direct obligation in the event of a deficit.

In addition, the Parking Authority wants a blank check. It will use \$6 million to purchase the parking meters and \$2.9 million to pay it's Landmark Bank note or bridge loan it recently took out, whatever you care to call it, for what purpose will it use the remaining millions?

Therefore, Scranton City Council
will not place the mayor's legislation to
sell parking meters, guarantee the \$14
million in new debt of the Scranton Parking

Authority, and petition the Court for \$4 million in borrowing on it's agenda until the following occurs:

Number one, Mayor Doherty will send his complete recommendations for the reduction of the 2011 deficit, and for the specific uses of all new revenue obtained from the sale of the parking meters and the petition to the Court of Common Pleas to Scranton City Council, including the budgetary accounts and the line items to be funded by such revenue and copies of any and all payments made in 2011 to Fidelity Bank for the 2011 TAN Series B.

Second, the Scranton Parking

Authority will send a complete breakdown of
the uses of the \$12 to 14 million dollars it
asks the City of Scranton to guarantee with
it's taxing powers.

Third, Mayor Christopher Doherty will appear at a Scranton City Council meeting to justify his recommendations as soon as possible. Scranton City Council will not convene for an appearance by any represent ive of the mayor and/or any

cabinet members and solicitors.

Because the mayor has attached a fast approaching deadline to this legislation, he must respond immediately. As president of council, I will call a special meeting of Scranton City Council as soon as the mayor provides the required information and sets the date for his appearance before council. If necessary, I will then call a second special meeting to place the legislation on council's agenda for a vote.

Mrs. Krake, please send this letter from Scranton City Council to the mayor tomorrow in compliance with the appropriate sections of the Home Rule Charter.

The mayor liked to talk about the big boy world during his past TV news interviews and now let's see if he is a big boy and will present his plan to city council and the people.

Since 2004, I have been a constant target of the Scranton Times newspaper, and I have learned to expect it's never ending series of attacks, however, I think I hit an

exposed nerve or an abscess when following last week's council meeting. I politely responded to Josh Mrozinski, "Josh, when you start to write the truth, we'll talk."

I did not say, "When you start to print the truth," because one must write the news before one sends it to the press to be printed.

Interestingly, in his rush to misquote me, Josh Mrozinski didn't include his own terse screaming response to me, "And what version of the truth would that be?"

Mr. Mrozinski, the truth has no versions. There is only one truth. Facts are stubborn and twisting or admitting them doesn't change them. And if you can bear with me for a minute, I am going to provide Mr. Mrozinski with just one example of the many errors he has made.

(Ms. Evans leaves the dais and approaches Mr. Mrozinski in the audience.)

MS. EVANS: You can read through this. Mr. McGowan said, "The fund is now back at the \$20.5 million level required by the state," and I have here a letter from

Mr. McGowan in which he states, "The City of Scranton's funding level is currently at \$16,397,275. Based upon the balance that is currently in these accounts, the City of Scranton is funded at the 75 percent threshold and cannot fall below this level."

That's nearly a \$5 million mistake, and there is others.

(Ms. Evans returns to the dais.)

MS. EVANS: Now, if you did your homework, you would also know that Christopher Doherty borrows TAN-A in the amount of \$5 million annually to slide that money back into the Workers' Comp Fund. Try to investigate that financial gain. Either you choose not to write the facts or you simply write what the administration tells you without questioning and without verification of accuracy. That's neither good journalism nor balanced reporting.

Mr. Mrozinski, it seems that either the newspaper editors feed off what you write or your writing feeds off the editor's opinions. Either way, the Scranton Times is doing a great disservice to its readers each

and every time you fail to write the truth and the management fails to print the truth.

And as for me, I'll endure being painted as a grinch, a pirate, a superhero or even a clown by the Scranton Times as long as I keep fighting for the people and to stop the fiscal mismanagement of our city.

On a related topic, the city
administration continues to stall the 2010
audit of the City of Scranton which is
needed by local banks to determine decisions
on TANS and borrowing. This is a vital
issue that the newspaper ignores.
Meanwhile, Mr. Mrozinski was provided with a
copy of the Attorney Kelly's letter to
Attorney Hughes by someone other than
Attorney Hughes, city council and council's
office.

The letter concerns Mrs. Krake's choice to withhold her signature from several OECD and city budget contracts.

Mrs. Krake, a city official, is asked to sign all contracts and attest to the mayor's signature. When Mrs. Krake receives the

contracts, the mayor's signature has already been provided, whether or not he has signed it himself.

One week ago, Mayor Doherty himself announced that the city has no money and that payless paydays will begin effective October 19. How can he then enter into any new contract if the city really has no money? The business administrator has the obligation to forestall any contracts in the event of a cash deficit and the city controller certainly must be aware that the budget accounts are bare, according to Mayor Doherty.

If city hall employees, elected officials, police and fire departments, DPW and OECD workers will not be paid after October 19, how can the mayor approve any new contract? To quote the mayor, "You can't pull money out of the air."

Further, the Office of Economic and Community Development is under close federal government monitoring. It has not given the Office of City Council requested specs for contracts funded by the HUD moneys in order

to that council may determine that funds are properly spent in light of the numerous findings against the city OECD.

As Mr. Loscombe said, we are trying to safeguard spending by closely reviewing all projects before approval.

Mrs. Krake appears to be the only city official who is performing the duties and responsibilities of her job properly, particularly in light of this financial crisis. She should not be threatened or bullied by Attorney Kelly into providing her signature on any document about which she has serious questions and concerns.

Unfortunately, this has become the infamous MO of the Doherty administration, my way or the highway. Do as I say or I'll take you to Court. When the city finances are settled and Ms. Aebli provides requested documents for HUD funded projects and contracts, I have no doubt that Mrs. Krake will be comfortable in providing her signature when appropriate.

The newspaper should be more concerned with holding the administration's

feet to the fire to produce the documents

for the audit that the banks are seeking now
than sniping at the city clerk for doing the
right thing.

If Scranton had more elected officials who did their jobs the right way and had a backbone for the last ten years instead of blindly following the mayor's orders or waffling on fiscal issues, our city would not be in its current financial disaster.

Now, finally, I want to report a bit of good news. Mr. Shinnany of Northeast Revenue Services, the firm council consulted with and hired, worked with Mr. Kolajeski of the County Tax Claim Bureau and Mr. Courtright of the Single Tax Office in preparation for the September 26 county tax claim sale to gain delinquent real estate monies that are owed to the city.

As a result, 27 city properties were sold and \$21,048.51 in delinquent taxes owed on these properties was recovered by the city.

Now, much to our city's detriment,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if Christopher Doherty chooses to shut down city hall on October 19, he will also hurt the collection of delinquent real estate taxes by Northeast Revenue Service, whose local office is soon to be located downstairs in the city hall in the Treasurer's Office. And that's it.

MR. JOYCE: And Mrs. Evans, I heard you mention a few things in your comments tonight about coming into the year with a deficit, and I have Mr. McGowan's cash flow report in front of me, so I took the time to look at what we paid out in January that was due or that were claims from 2010. We have some -- we have the TAN, which is the biggest payment, that's \$5 million, and we have some other items and altogether it totals to \$11,313,226. We came into the year with \$4,856,994 with \$11,313,226 to pay, so the difference of that is \$6,456,282, so that's the obligations that we had to pay coming into the year according to Mr. McGowan's cash flow report, and I believe the report for January was submitted to council in I believe it was late January

or February, so this is when this information was received by us.

On another note, I know that Mayor Doherty has made some threats of payless paydays starting on October 19, and I believe that was -- that he may have made that comment on Channel 16, I'm not sure. Here is some facts about October 19.

One, I wanted to mentioned that

October 19 isn't even a payday, it's on a

Wednesday. City hall employees are paid

every other Friday. October 19 isn't even a

day in which a pay period occurs.

Also, according to Mr. McGowan's cash flow report and projections here, at the end of the September the ending general cash -- or the ending fund balance -- or the ending general fund cash balance is projected to be 7.99 million dollars. Due to some payments including a large pension payment that must be made in October, at the end of the October that will go down to \$2,961,993. In November that will go down to \$1,489,318. That's what we'd start December with. Of course, we would have

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some revenue and expenditures and one of those expenditures, of course, is the TAN payment, which is the largest expenditure, and that's when the city would be 7.347 million dollars in the hole.

So saying that the city has no money, according to this report right here from the BA's Office at the end of the September we are going to have nearly \$8 million in the general fund. At the end of October, we are going to have nearly \$3 million in the general fund. At the end of November, we are going to have nearly \$1.5 million in the general fund, so it seems to me that threatening payless paydays after October 19, which I don't know the significance of that date, perhaps it's after a council meeting, I'm not 100 percent sure, and that's when Mayor Doherty expects us to just pass the legislation that he sent before us, and, of course, there is many questions about that as well.

The fact is that it looks to be from this report that we are, in fact, going to have money in the general fund according toe

Mr. McGowan's submission to the state, and it looks like we are not supposed to run out of money until December according to this report.

Now, another thing this report -- is this report doesn't take into account the police and fire layoffs, I believe, which it may or may not, but I don't believe that it does, so there may actually be a little bit more money in there than was originally projected on these sheets, and that's all I have to add.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. That was very informative.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS
TIME. SIXTH ORDER. NO BUSINESS AT THIS
TIME. And turning to Seventh Order, Mrs.
Evans, did you want to call the speakers up
before we read them?

MS. EVANS: Yes. If there is anyone who would like to comment on item 7-A, please come up to the podium and address city council at this time.

MR. JOYCE: And just to be clear,
7-A is establishing a special city account

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the department, "Be Part of the Solution."

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I'll be brief, and I apologize for the misunderstanding last week that on the legal notice, but we are establishing a lot of the special accounts, and I wonder if it wouldn't be wiser to just setup an escrow account until such time as sufficient donations have been -- have been received to establish a new line item to at least pay off what it costs to publish the legal notice for establishing that account number. I know it's only pennies, but mom raised me that if you watch the pennies the dollars will take care of themselves, and unless we have people standing in the door wanting to contribute to this fund, I think an escrow account would make more sense. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTIONFILE OF COUNCIL NO. 54, 2011- CREATING AND
ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.

02.229601 ENTITLED "BE PART OF THE SOLUTION" 1 FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF 2 DONATIONS RECEIVED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT 3 AS PART OF THEIR CRIME PREVENTION CAMPAIGN. 4 MS. EVANS: What is the 5 recommendation of the Chair for the 6 7 Committee on Finance? 8 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 9 Committee on Finance, I do recommend final 10 passage of Item 7-A. MR. ROGAN: Second. 11 12 MS. EVANS: On the question? 13 MR. JOYCE: I just wanted to say, 14 creating an escrow account, that's very noteworthy idea and that is something that 15 16 perhaps we could look into for the future, 17 however, I do want to approve this account 18 creation, "Be a Part of the Solution" so 19 they we can start receiving their donations 20 and these donations can be properly 21 advocated. 22 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 23 Roll call, please? 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 6 MS. CARRERA: 7 Mrs. Evans. 8 MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 9 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 10 Prior to reading and voting on Item 7-B, which is the override of the mayor's veto of 11 12 the 2011 CDBG amendments, is there anyone 13 who cares to address council? Mrs. Krake? 14 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 15 16 FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2011 17 (AS AMENDED) (OVERRIDE MAYOR'S VETO) -18 AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 19 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 20 21 OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 22 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS 23 24 TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 25 BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT

PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM, AS AMENDED
BY EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO."

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development, I recommend we override the mayor's veto of Item 7-B, as amended.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

I'd just like to note, Mr. Joyce pretty much summed it up. Council and I have spoke to my colleagues about next year's as well.

You know, it's all about prioritizing the resources. There is a limited amount of funds for CDBG for the city's budget, and when you are going through it you have to look at what areas are going to help the most people, and I think when we all look at the budget and the spending money and the community, that's the way we have to look at it. It's your tax dollars, and as I

mentioned last week, just because this is federal money doesn't mean it's free money. It's still your tax dollars that are going into the kitty, and I believe that council has done that and council will continue to do that in the future, so I will be voting to override the mayor's veto.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Section 1 of the ordinance halfway down says, "The city reserves the right to amend this ordinance or any portion thereof from time to as it shall deem advisable and in the best interest," and it continues on. Does that mean that that ordinance if, in fact, that 17 percent exists, that it's over the 15 percent amount, does that mean that we could amend this?

MS. EVANS: Let's ask our solicitor.

MR. MCGOFF: Can this be amended, you know, to meet the specifications?

MR. HUGHES: Any ordinance can be amended. I don't know exactly what the -- I think I know what the question is, but we are here tonight to vote on the overriding of the veto.

MR. MCGOFF: Right.

MR. HUGHES: You are talking about trying to amend this before it's overridden?

MR. MCGOFF: No, no, I'm sorry. I guess what I'm saying is that if we override it and, in fact, there is problem with let's say public services is 17 percent instead of 15, we can amend that to make it the correct --

MR. ROGAN: If it was 17 it would be less than anyway, so we would still be okay. It just can't be over.

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MR. ROGAN: When I talked to Linda last time, and nobody would want to do this, but if we wanted to we could eliminate any public service and vote for something else.

MR. MCGOFF: I guess I was just asking, you know, if there were, in fact, some problems with this if we could amend it anyhow. That's all.

MR. JOYCE: I spoke about this for a little bit and, you know, I'm looking through the worksheet from Linda Aebli and it's \$3,498,750 and our amendments it was

somewhere in the 530 some thousand dollar 1 2 range, and I know even if anyone wants to 3 take out a calculator and divide by 130 some thousand by 3.4987 million it's going to 4 5 come out 14.99996 percent, so I would assume in the case that the city doesn't receive 6 7 the amount of money that 3.498 million 8 dollars that's indicated on Ms. Aebli's 9 worksheet, perhaps they would make the 10 decision not to fund certain public service 11 items, perhaps, they would fund police cars, 12 for instance. 13 MS. EVANS: Well, they can't fund 14 the police. Is there anyone else on the question? Roll call, please. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 19 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 23 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS:

Yes. I hereby declare

25

the mayor's veto of Item 7-B, File of Council No. 49, 2011, as amended, legally and lawfully overridden.

And I forgot to thank, please forgive me, I forgot to thank our very special friend for her thoughtful gift of lovely flowers and kind words of the support she delivered to our council office on Monday. Apparently, she is very supportive of all of the wonderful work that's done in our council office by Mrs. Krake and Ms. Carrera and Mrs. Marciano and she is very support I have of council's work on behalf of the people, so I didn't get her name, but I wanted to make sure that she knew that the flowers are received and we're so grateful.

And at this point I believe our vice-president, Councilman Rogan, will take over the meeting.

(Ms. Evans and Mr. McGoff leave the meeting.)

MR. ROGAN: Our first speaker is a Liz from Scranton Reads.

MS. DAVIS: Good evening. My name is Elizabeth Davis, and I'm here on behalf

the Scranton Reads. Scranton Reads is the annual community event organized by the City of Scranton and the Albright Memorial Library. Each year during the month of October citizens of Scranton get together and read a great work of literature. They participate in book discussions and special events related to the chosen work.

Scranton Reads has two main goals, the first goal is to encourage reading among the people of all ages, and the second goal is to bring the community together through sharing with the common experience.

Scranton Reads, one city, one book, enhances the feeling of community by bringing people from all different backgrounds and uniting them through the local literacy, art and culture.

This year is our tenth year and we have selected Ray Bradbury's Farenheit 451, and the kickoff is on Friday, September 30, at 4 p.m., at the Albright Memorial Library, and we hope everyone can join us to celebrate our tenth year and discuss the importance of reading. And if we may, we

1 have copies of the book for council. MR. ROGAN: 2 Thank you. 3 MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much. 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 5 MR. ROGAN: Our next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia. 6 MR. JACKOWITZ: Andy left. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Bill Jackowitz. 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz. 10 South Scranton resident and member of the 11 Taxpayers' Association. Four April 2009, 12 Mayor Doherty sends out a campaign letter 13 stating that he has no intention of laying 14 off any firefighters or closing firehouses. "Great things are happening in the 15 16 city every day and one of my top priorities is public safety." 17 18 Question, did the Honorable Mayor 19 Doherty lie? 20 I have lived under the concept and 21 worked under the conditions that the person at the top is responsible for the decisions 22 23 that they have made and the outcome of those 24 decisions. They must and should accept the 25 responsibility and accountability for the

good and bad choices and decisions. You can't pick and choose accountability. The honorable Mayor Doherty been mayor of Scranton going on ten years, 117 months. During these 117 months, the city has been distressed, city-owned property has been sold, golf course, South Side complex, DPW site, and now the parking meters. Swimming fees at Nay Aug Park increased to \$4 a day, police officers and firefighters were laid off, taxes increased by 26 percent, all because of the mayor and his rubber stampers for giving the orders and having their way.

Now we find the City of Scranton is in a position where they are unable to pay the bills and salaries. I must ask, who is really responsible for this serious position that the residents of Scranton Taxpayers find themselves in?

Now, let's look at this situation in the proper perspective. Mayor Doherty, 117 months as mayor, supermajority council 21 months as the supermajority. The mayor has written a proposed ten budgets, supermajority one budget which, by the way,

Mayor Doherty vetoed and Councilman McGoff, I'm sorry he is not here, agreed with the mayor's veto and cast his "no" vote on 28th December 2010. He voted not to override the mayor's veto. With this "no" vote, Mr. McGoff also supported the mayor's budget which called for layoffs and reductions in management for the police department and fire department.

Mr. McGoff stated, "It was outside the realm of council's authority to introduced a minimum manning for police and firefighters."

A clear statement and vote to reduce the public safety employees, close fire stations, increase response times, and remove fire apparatus from the streets of Scranton. That is the way I comprehend, Mr. McGoff, your "no" vote.

Now, the mayor has proposed to sell the parking meters to the Parking Authority for \$6 million which, by the way, the Parking Authority does not have and will have to borrow the money plus additional, millions of dollars. The Parking Authority

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

. `

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

currently has \$44 million in debt, not including interest payments on current debt. The citizens of Scranton taxpayers are responsible for repaying this debt, not the Parking Authority or the administration. I must ask, after the 2000 bills are paid, how Scranton will pay the 2011 bills? We know longer have any assets to sell. Mayor Doherty has sold all of them.

The propose revenue generating projects have been stalled by the administration along with Mr. McGoff, and again, I'm sorry he is not present. Who am I cannot create money, his words on a recent TV news broadcast. Although, I can can't create 9,000 new jobs in Scranton, his words while running for mayor, governor, lieutenant governor and state senator. I am capable of keeping the City of Scranton distressed for 117 months. I can layoff police officers and firefighters, close fire stations and remove fire apparatus from the streets, and I only need two judges, one council member, and a daily newspaper to do SO.

I can sell every asset the citizens of Scranton own, I can run for several state offices and lose, I can authorize the authorities to borrow more money, although, I know they did not have the means to repay the loans and bonds, we will count on the taxpayers to pay it back.

I do not have to accept responsibility for my bad decisions and choices, I will just have the newspaper print stories blaming the city council supermajority. I am not required to know the qualifications and experience levels of my appointed cabinet members, just refer to my sworn deposition 14 September 2010.

Furthermore, I am not required to know that I am being sued along with the City of Scranton. You must remember, I am only the chief executive of the City of Scranton. I will give you a hint, it is not Janet Evans or any member of the supermajority city council. Should this person suffer repercussions? Again, Mr. McGoff is not here, for destroying the City of Scranton, who is he? Mr. McGoff

questioned whether Mrs. Krake is acting on her own accord or on the authority of the council noting there should be repercussions if Mrs. Krake's actions caused the city harm such as costing the city money.

Mr. McGoff, again, I'm sorry you are not here, may I remind that you are a current member of the city council.

Furthermore, does your statement today also reflect your opinion on the administration cabinet members and past city council members who have cost the City of Scranton money.

Mr. McGoff, again, I'm sorry you are not here, have your friends in the administration submit information to Rossi & Rossi so that the audit which is a required to be completed in May can be completed in October? Should your friends in the administration suffer repercussions for delaying a legal audit.

I hope you can provide answers to the citizens so they can a better understanding of where you stand on repercussions. Do they only apply to

Mrs. Krake or everybody?

Please can somebody relay to

Mr. McGoff since he is not here and, you

know, I'll leave a copy of this so maybe

someone can -- maybe he can answer some of

my questions for me.

Unemployment 9.8 percent, highest in the state again, city audit status being stalled again, repercussions must be administered according to Mr. McGoff. We must do something with these people who are delaying these audit reports, repercussions. That's the name game, that's the word. Repercussions.

Roseann Novembrino should suffer repercussions and also be invited to attend a city council meeting. I really hope city council will invite her here to answer questions.

And what is the status and the bridge that is closed on Williams Street?

Is that bridge going to be open soon? Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: I just wanted to say

something before the next speaker, and it has to do, you know, I did get my hands on copy of the letter sent from Mr. Kelly to Attorney Hughes after I spoke to the newspaper and declined to comment on it because I had not yet received it, but in regard to the word attest, Attorney Hughes, when you are attesting to something, does that have anything to do with the person that you are attesting to or the signature that you are arresting to? Is there any requirement in that word for that person to be present while they are signing the document and you to actually see it in order to attest to it?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. It's that simple.

That's what an attestation is. You are personally there when a person signs it.

The city clerk has a responsibility to attest to the mayor's signature, that means she has to be present when it's signed because how does she know it's the mayor's signature and somebody else didn't sign it?

I'll say no more.

MR. JOYCE: Because I know when I

sign documents after the meeting, and they are ordinances, as Chair of the Committee on Finance, I am not -- I actually sign the document in front of Mrs. Krake, who is present while I sign that document, so I'm wondering, you know, these contracts that they are saying that Mrs. Krake is holding up, I want to ask you, has the mayor been present at any point with any of these contracts and signed his name on a piece of paper in front of you, meaning, you would be attesting to his signature?

MS. KRAKE: Never.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. That's all I would ask.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I'm sure everybody knows in last Thursday's Doherty newsletter editorial it says, "Our opinion," well, their opinion sucks. If she can't handle the truth, the editor of the Times-Tribune

wouldn't know the truth if it hit him in the face. I just have to read one example for you. This is all lies in their editorial and they don't tell the whole truth.

"The supermajority rejected the austerity budget proposed for this year by Mayor Chris Doherty, and in the face of an existing deficit and a projected higher one, reduced taxes of penciled revenue from a program that's still doesn't exist."

Write this down, Josh, the reason
the program doesn't exist is because of
Chris Doherty and Mr. McGoff. They wanted
it put it out to bid, which everybody knows
is a just a stall tactic, StreetSmart is
working in Easton, it's working in
Wilkes-Barre, and it would have worked here,
so Times-Tribune, print the truth.

I don't like to talk about selling the parking meters, I think it's a huge mistake, as Councilman Joyce and Councilman Rogan said last week, it's a one-time revenue source. We are going to lose \$2 million a year, it's just a waste of time, and the Parking Authority has a reputation

of defaulting on loans, and the 14.6 million I think it is that they want to borrow, who is going to pay for it? The taxpayers. So I hope this never gets passed and I applaud council for not putting it on the agenda, and with all due respect to Mrs. Evans, Chris Doherty will never show up in these chambers.

And about the \$4 million he wants to borrow, hey, Mayor, that's why we are in the trouble we are in now because of all of the borrowing you did with your past rubber stamp councils, with Mr. McGoff included. I guess he knew everyone was going to talk about him tonight, that's why he left.

Last week Ann Marie Stulgis talked about her daughter-in-law walking her dog and being attacked by two dangerous dogs, and she called the police and they were told that they don't respond to dog attacks.

That's the most ridiculous thing I ever heard. I mean, my dog was attacked five years ago by two pit bulls, I called the police and they responded promptly, and Mrs. Evans would remember the story, she was

on council then and thank God my daughter was okay. Is this part of the solution now where police don't respond to an animal attack, viscous animal attacks? This is ridiculous.

And just one thing about that about Chief Duffy, you know, since he caught Bin Laden he has really let it go to his head.

Something else Mrs. Stulgis said

last week about police officers getting

written up when they put sunglasses on their

head, is this a priority? This is

ridiculous. Let's work on solving crimes

not worrying about where police officers

wear their sun glasses. Come on, Chief,

let's get with the program.

Last week Carl Greco went before

Judge Mazzoni to have Judge O'Brien's

decision of accepting a higher bid reversed

from the Scranton Sewer Authority, Carl

Greco left the courtroom with his tail

between his legs after Judge Mazzoni told

him he couldn't reverse or modify the order

issued by another judge. Carl Greco should

be embarrassed. He can't even win a case

against a carpenter.

What I have to say is about

Mr. McGoff, maybe he'll watch the meeting

I'll say it anyhow. Last week Mr. McGoff

sent in two citizens' request from South

Side and said he would call DPW. That's

interesting, since earlier this year I came

before council with a problem with my

sidewalks being flooded, which DPW fixed

last year and it just fell apart, he didn't

volunteer to call DPW. I guess maybe you

have to be in South Side for Mr. McGoff to

work for you.

And one last comment about the article about Mrs. Krake, the one comment that people spoke about already. Mr. McGoff questioned whether Mrs. Krake is acting on her own accord or on the authority of council, noting there should be repercussions if Mrs. Krake's actions cause the city harm such as costing the city money.

Well, again, Mr. McGoff isn't here, but the reason -- this is not costing the city money, it's funny Mr. McGoff would say

that since he is the reason StreetSmart isn't implemented yet and that's costing the city money. Mr. McGoff is a hypocrite.

Thank you for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Spindler, just for your information and the public's information, when the last bids were taken for the street meter program StreetSmart declined to submit an individual bid. We have bids received from IPS and Park It at this time.

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin this evening by responding to the Times editorial from September 22 entitled, "She can't handle the truth." I find this editorial to be completely unproductive, and once again, an example of the disgusting yellow journalism by our wonderful newspaper.

This editorial was written by Mr.

Pat McKenna. who wrote a lot of untruths and

made a lot of personal attacks towards

Mrs. Evans and the majority of council.

You know, Mr. McKenna wants to talk about his facts and his truths in this editorial, and he talks about Mrs.

Evans and the majority being clueless. He talks about Mrs. Evans being an ineffective leader, and he talks about Mrs. Evans and the majority being incompetent.

Well, Mr. McKenna also goes onto state that council penciled in revenue that doesn't exist. He also says that they failed to fight for public safety. He also says that council attempts to craft financial policy without consulting the administration or anyone else and he also goes onto mention that Mrs. Evans' leadership is blind and she is leading us into a deadened alley.

Well, he is partially right, but he has got the wrong leader. The only blind leader in this town is the mayor and he is not leading us into a dead end alley, he is leading us off a cliff.

Now, after having read and heard all

1

3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mayor. Council didn't shut down engine

companies affecting response times

of that, all of this garbage, which is full of untruths, I felt that it was important for me tonight to talk facts and to talk So. Mr. McKenna let's talk facts truth. tonight. Council did not take \$5 million from the Workers' Comp Fund to pay 2011 TANS. Council did, in fact, pencil in revenue enhancements that, yes, Mr. McKenna, you are correct they don't exist at this time because the mayor failed to implement them.

Council has always attempted to consult the administration before making any financial decisions, but unfortunately the door gets slammed in their face by the mayor. Council didn't cut 21 public safety members, that was the mayor's decision. fact, council showed it's support for our public safety by adopting the minimum staffing requirements, but unfortunately, a judge felt it was more important to harm the residents of this city and to go along with

the risk. That was our illustrious mayor.

Council did not reinstate positions into the budget that were not properly funded, the mayor chose to do that. Council has not borrowed millions and millions of dollars over the last ten years, that would be the mayor and his cronies on the rubber stamp councils from the past.

This council did not raise taxes, that was the decision of our mayor and his former rubber stamp council lead my
Mr. McGoff. Council did not award no bid contracts to cronies, that was the mayor and his rubber stampers. Council did not spend millions on attorney fees to cronies like Carl Greco, that was the mayor and his rubber stampers, and council did not create our estimated \$313 million long-term debt. This is the result of the mayor and his cronies and their fiscal mismanagement over the last ten years.

I would certainly continue on, but I believe we all get the idea here. The critics need to open their eyes and you will

see that council did not cause our current financial problems and that, yes, they did, in fact, offer several solutions, but unfortunately, they have fallen on the deaf ears of the administration.

So, Mr. McKenna, when you want to talk about the truth please have all your facts straight. I find you to be a disgrace to the community and I would ask that you refrain from such editorials in the future. I would advise anyone to disregard pretty much everything you read in this paper because the Times just doesn't tell what the truth is about, and this editorial and this newspaper is really only good for one thing, I would recommend to anyone to just toss it in the garbage can because the nonsense and the garbage isn't worth our time.

I'd also like to comment on the recent stories by Scranton Times regarding Mrs. Krake and the six contracts that our city solicitor is bullying her into signing. Once again, the Times and the administration feel the need to create another political issue. This time they want to throw Mrs.

Krake into the mix. It just goes to show how desperate they really are that they can't look in the mirror and take responsibility for their actions, so let's throw somebody else in the mix that has absolutely nothing to do with these actions here.

I would also -- as Mrs. Krake stance is be very cautious, and I wouldn't sign off on anything, and we heard from Mr. Loscombe tonight we are still waiting for answers regarding these contracts. We have had questions that remain unanswered here and yet the solicitor is going to bully Mrs. Krake into signing contracts. Are you kidding me? But that's the way we do things around here.

For weeks now we have heard the mayor crying about potential payless paydays and that we can't pay people with air, how does he plan on coming up with over half a million dollars for these contracts? Are we going to pull that money out of the air. Placing blame on council or Mrs. Krake is a cowardly move and a lack of accountability.

Mr. Mayor, you have caused these problems yourself and you have consistently failed to take responsibility. Stop the finger pointing and be a leader for once.

And regarding Mr. McGoff's comment in the paper that Mrs. Krake should face repercussions for not signing it, you know, well then what do we call the administration and his actions since he has been on council? What did we call the fiscal mismanagement? I think the mayor and Mr. McGoff and the other cronies should face repercussions.

Mrs. Krake, I want to thank you for looking out for the taxpayers because, you know, you have enough sense to realize that we can't afford these contracts. We are a city that's broke and I know you wouldn't put that on the taxpayers' shoulders and appreciate that. And that's all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Jack, Jack, my friend.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: Your absence last week was noted.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You missed me, but you didn't tell them --

MR. ELLMAN: And you kind of resolved trying to keep up with superstar, was it, the fundraiser, especially when O'Brien was paying for the beer. You are too old for that. Keep running the city instead.

I know I'm going to be off the subject tonight when all of the important issues that everybody is talking about, but I got a little article that's a great sadness for me and it's important, it shows what kind of community we have with people in it. I guess about a month ago I told you about my neighbor across the street that wanders around town, well, he died. There is his obituary. That's how good Scranton Counseling was watching him and taking care of him. This is just such a needless situation.

Everybody let him down. Mr. Jarbola

didn't do anything. I have phoned everybody trying to get him some help, you know, his sister didn't even care. He had a sister that lived in the Poconos someplace. What are you going to do? I phoned Pat McKenna, who was receptive to my phone call, and he had me talk to a reporter, so the reporter listened and he said, "I want to verify this."

So he phoned Scranton Counseling and I don't know 15, 20 minutes later he phoned me back and he said, "I talked to Scranton Counseling, they talked to Marcus, and he is of sound mind and body, he is rational and he knows what he is doing, if he wants to sell or give anything away it's his business."

See then you have the argument if he is of sound mind and body why has the state supported him for 61 years? Why is Scranton Counseling taking his social security disability and giving him \$50 a month to live on, because he told me that? This poor sole told me he eats a hotdog and a Pepsi was his daily ration because he

tried to save money for cigarettes. He used to walk all the way up to the convenient store, I'd see him pretty often, and sometimes he would stand there and go home, so one night I asked if he wanted a hoagie and he said, "No," he told me he has a hotdog and a Pepsi. For one day that was what he ate and he looked terrible. I mean, this guy really looked terrible, just nobody was taking care of him, so I bought him a pack of those cheap cigarettes that night for him, but I don't know why he didn't want to eat a hoagie.

Anyway, here all of these organizations they are not doing nothing. This man's mother died two years ago and he didn't take his medicine. Nobody from Scranton Counseling they never investigated that, the pharmacist told me because I use the same pharmacist. He hadn't been in there in two years, so I kept -- last Monday, well, two Mondays ago, I phoned Scranton Counseling and they put me on hold and nobody picked up. I phoned them back, they put me on hold and wouldn't pick up. I

was trying to find out if they put him in a home because I hadn't seen them for two weeks and I see him half a dozen times a day because he just walks up and back all day long. Didn't see him. I told Rosie, I said, "I hope he is not dead in there."

So Thursday I had this in my notes to say something to you all, but I ran out of time, Thursday here comes the firemen and everybody climb up in the house and he had been in there two weeks dead. See, these people next door that stole this man blind they didn't report him missing. It didn't mean nothing to them, you know, that they didn't see him for two weeks. Just nobody from Scranton Counseling looked for him for two weeks. Just society let this poor guy down. Nobody's family should be treated like that.

I'm almost through, really. He walked -- you know, they said this man was rationale, he used to sit on that park bench for the bus and stare at his shoes four or five hours. He wouldn't even know people around him and they said he is rationale and

can do what he wants. It's not right for society to treat -- everybody let this man down, including his sister who didn't visit him after the mother died, but I don't know, you know.

Scranton is such a good town and it seems like it doesn't have leadership. This Scranton Counseling it's just no reason for them to allow this to happen. He'd walk the street for months and months with big rubber boots that come up to his knees and one of them was split from the ground up so he would have to shuffle and walk real little.

These people next door that stole everything the man has looked like they would have bought him a pair or shoes, you know. Looked like Scranton Counseling would have sent to Sister Adrian's or somebody for Everybody just let this poor guy go. shoes.

> MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Pardon?

MR. ROGAN: I said thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: I don't know. I don't mean to carry on about this, but this is too

25

1 good of city and nobody's family should be treated like this. Nobody. And Scranton 2 3 Counseling is responsible for what happened They were supposed to overlook and 4 to him. 5 know everything he did and they told a blatant lie to the newspaper. Not to me, to 6 7 the newspaper they lied that they talked to 8 when I see him walking up and back and up 9 and back. 10 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 11 MR. ELLMAN: I got one other thing 12 to say, somebody asked me this afternoon if 13 there had been any other Ronnie sightings, 14 there hasn't been. Goodness. I'd like to say that. No more impersonations. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Well, that's good. 17 MR. ELLMAN: Ms. Nancy ought to be 18 careful that Scranton Counseling don't blame 19 her like for anything. Thank you. 20 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mike 21 Passero. 22 MR. PASSSERO: Good evening, Council, Mike Passero. 23 24 MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. PASSERO:

Life-long West

25

Scranton resident, city taxpayer. I meant to before Mr. McGoff left, I made some comments last week concerning a letter that Mr. Loscombe got and Mr. McGoff's reaction to it, and I wish he was here because I wanted to offer him an apology for me calling him a spoiled child. I didn't mean to do that. It effects my credibility when I come up to the podium and that's never going to happen with me.

What I'm actually here for tonight,
I have a very short little thing that I just
wanted to talk about, the crime in the city
as a whole and anyone who has a police
scanner it's public knowledge that since
January 1 the calls as of this afternoon
were 42,650 in there, it's somewhere in the
670's, it's probably well over that now.
Those calls are traffic accidents, domestic,
bar fights, thefts, barking dogs, all -- you
know, things like that. The majority of the
phone calls involve youth problems, juvenile
problems and a disproportionate number of
the calls involve West Scranton, and it
should just be anyone who has driven down

South Main Avenue after dark from the vicinity of Fellows Park straight through past Walgreen's, DiBileo Insurance, A-Plus, up to say that pink building on Main Avenue, has seen roaming gangs of juveniles just up to no good or just loitering and hanging out doing a lot of nothing, you know, basically up to no good all hours of the day and night.

We don't leave our homes at night anymore. I used to take my dog for a walk, that doesn't happen anymore. I don't leave my house after dusk, which it's sad.

The answer though the mayor has is less police. What we need is obviously more police and more detectives to take care of the problem with the gang activity.

Detectives are important, an important asset to us. My once peaceful neighborhood has been systematically taken over by gangs, bad juveniles, just people of that are up to no good. The mayor when he cut the 13 police officers basically rolled out a red carpet for anybody -- his rolling out the red carpet is going to be disastrous to the

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entire city, specifically to my West

Scranton, my beloved West Scranton that it's going to create a trend.

People, I'm sure everybody -- and Chief Duffy's response to the right to information concerning the police staffing it's common knowledge, you know, what goes on in Scranton goes all over the country. I have a friend in Baltimore who mails me every once in awhile, "This appeared in the Baltimore -- " I'm not even sure what the name of the paper is, things that went on in Scranton, and all are criminal, or anybody of dubious activity has to do is just keep their ear to the ground and Scranton is ill-staffed, you know, there is no police. There is no fire departments. Just go there and we can just take over the city.

They're opportunistic to begin with, they're opportunistic parasites and they get wind of a lesser police department and they are going to act on it to their gain.

Mr. Spindler said last week something to the effect that go ahead and build a park, but fire -- layoff police and

fire, close fire barns, layoff police and the city will be burned to the ground and overrun with crimes and gangs and there won't be a city to put a park in.

The bottom line is simple. The police layoffs have to stop. We kind of got wind of that, more layoffs are going to possibly happen in the future to balance a budget. Not fair. It's a detriment to all of the neighborhoods in the city.

Also, last week when I passed out some pictures of Fellows Park in particular, one of the pictures showed some pretty graphic graffiti that was on the pavilion.

Now, one week has passed, I haven't been by there since I have been sitting in this room, but you would actually think that the mayor watching this either live last week or the many rebroadcasts would have picked up the phone and sent somebody from DPW to run over with a paint roller and just zip, take care of the graffiti. Didn't happen.

Same thing with the sidewalks that are a detriment at the park, at Fellows Park that they are not being backfilled, I just

happened to be passing by and it was just very coincidental that a lady with a double stroller, a mother with a double stroller and another lady with a single stroller were passing on that sidewalk. The sidewalk is kind of large. There was one wheel of the double stroller off the sidewalk. Very nice, very safe. Thank you, Council.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Passero.

Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter
to Chief Duffy, I know he doesn't want the
numbers of the police officers public, I
have heard from a few residents and officers
that are currently only two drug cops and
one supervisor. Can we ask him if that is,
indeed true, and give our assurances that it
will not be made public?

Mr. Passero, I live in West Side myself and when you mentioned the A-Plus store on Main Avenue, you know, I won't even go in there because that's all it is is drugs outside and people go inside to buy the cigars for drugs, and just a few years ago that's where I got my gas every day.

Now, I won't get my gas there because of

that element, so we certainly need to crack down on the drugs in this city.

The next speaker is Josephine, and I apologize but I'll butcher the last name.

MS. PREBISH: Okay, this my first appearance at council. My name is Josephine Prebish, I live in West Scranton. The reason I'm here is I have been lived in city for over 35 years in the same house. I feel that I cannot no longer live there because of the crime. My house has been broken into, and this is the truth because you could look at the police records.

Fortunately, for the last two times
I was broken into I was not in -- well, I
was in the house just last Saturday when it
was broken into at quarter after 12 I was
fast asleep. If I didn't have my alarm
system I could have been dead. I was in my
house by myself. 35 years ago I would have
went to bed with my door open. I can't even
go outside once it gets dark with the trash
that are walking the streets, and this is
during the day, too. They just took over
this city.

And maybe I'm also here because of this talk about a park across my street.

Well, you know what, Mayor, you could put that park next door to yourself because I will stand over on that park in front of any bulldozer that comes to knock that building down. He wants to buy that building for a dollar? How much is it going to cost him to tear it down? And then how much is it going to cost to put another park that we do not need in this town? They can't maintain what they got.

And believe me, you will know my name real good if Mayor Doherty ever comes across the street, that's where I live, right across the street, and what I see over there I cannot even say. Why isn't he here tonight to listen to the people? He said he is not going to do anything until he talks to the people? We have not -- this has been around for quite awhile about that park, none of us, Mike lives near me, and he can verify what I'm saying, not one of us has ever been approached by anybody to ask if it was a good idea.

What is he going to sign something again without you, Mrs. Krake? What I can't I understand is how could he sign something without you verifying his signature, and why do you sign anything you didn't see him put his signature on something? No wonder this town is the way it is.

I've had it. I will practically give my house up for nothing because I'm getting out of this town, I'm telling you that, but before I go Mayor Doherty is going to know who I am and he better not put that park over there because I will camp over in that school yard. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: David Dobrzyn. And I would like to assure you that nobody on council supports the park. It's only Mayor Doherty that is supporting putting a park in that neighborhood.

MS. PREBISH: Hmm?

MR. ROGAN: It's only the mayor that supports the park in our neighborhood.0.

MS. PREBISH: I can't hear you, Pat.

MR. ROGAN: It's only the mayor that

supports the park going into our neighborhood, it's not going to pass council. I guarantee that.

MS. PREBISH: Thank you. That's good to know, and you tell him he is going to contend with me if he does try.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton and
taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Last week I became a little disturbed over some of the articles also in the Times, and I tried to write a letter to the editor and apparently they wanted to install tracking cookies or something in my computer, well, I don't know if they really need tracking cookies in my computer, so I'll read it here.

"Dear Editor, I am very disturbed over your coverage of Scranton City Council.

Your editorials and cartoon depictions are less than appropriate for an elected official. Our council president declines to comment because Janet has already commented

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on ECTV and cannot be misquoted later."

I know your reporter Josh, and I'm trying to cut the guy a break, but he has to go by an editor, and what the editor does of his reporting could be another story.

Tell the truth and run according to George Stulkis, a famous newspaper man.

Now, in the past I have read accounts vastly different than what myself had witnessed and feel misquotes and admissions are common. I also did not believe Scranton University's official account off the tax exempt donation issue. That's what happened when you approach people on the sly or on the side where it's not documented and there is no -- no other Many times I have read different witnesses. accounts almost weekly. I attend the whole meetings almost weekly. We have a vast or array of issues in our town not present in our communities, to wit, house fires in old housing, gangs, home invasions, poverty, homelessness flocking to gain help, predatory utilities destroying our infrastructure with poor repairs. Tax

exempt 30 percent plus and no respect for a council majority elected to mitigate obscure spending and poorly conceived projects that enrich contractors of little value to the taxpayers.

When will you criticize the late audits often six months later than legal. On Wednesday I read an article on the Parking Authority, \$14 million scheme to buy meters and noted legislation to put the taxpayers on the hook for the bill. I would propose no further sale of city assets and a comprehensive account in all of the liabilities and note frequently not reported to council until they are a major issue.

I want to see all of these authorities' assets put out in the public and then we can decide what they want -- or what they should do. Personally, I feel that council should dissolve and borrow at this time to solve the problems as they were rightfully elected and legally obliged to do.

And note, if any illegal problems result for the administration or authority

let the ball fall where it may. Also, PEL is often the facilitator instead of the mitigator and needs review.

Now, on last week I spoke about potholes, what I feel is that these potholes are not inspected after the repairs and a lot of the times the ground sinks in and the majority of our bad roads are being caused by utility work that later just falls apart. It's crummy repairs and done cheaply and personally I think that our newspaper editors that seem to think they are like the coal barons, well, at least the coal barons would often have a job to offer with their opinion and, you know, you could go down in the mines and get killed and then the troubles are over.

And finally these layoffs, once again, are intolerable and personally I think that it's time for the mayor to cut the nonsense and payless paydays stuff, and finally the only thing I would like to say on privatizing, I'd like to say something on privatizing, be careful what you wish for.

Do we want millions upon millions in the

coffers for this guy to do what he wants with it? That's what happens. He is doing what he wants and it's going to take a long time for council to reign it in, and I respect that, but just be careful because if we have big windfall, look at the \$12 million windfall from tax office, where is it? That could have covered the deficit? And then on top of all of that it's gone.

And finally the golden parrot, I'll make it quick, goes to the American Legislative Exchange Council financed by the Coke brothers, Kraft Foods, Coca-Cola, which I'm no longer consuming anymore, and they are submitting a lot of legislation to various states to deny people the right to vote unless they have good photo ID and all kind of documentation. So thank you, American Legislative Exchange Council, stay out of my democracy and bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. JOYCE: Just to make a quick comment before the next speak, I know

Mr. Dobrzyn mentioned something in regard to

20

21

22

23

24

25

the \$12 million from the Single Tax office. Now, I wasn't on council at the time, but I know this from looking over previous budgets, I believe, I'm not sure if it was it 2008 or 2009, it was one of the those years between I would say '07, '08 or '09, the city actually -- there was a line item in the budget for \$5 million, I believe, in expected revenue that we are supposed to receive from that, however, I believe that we only really received and I know, Mrs. Krake, you were around at this time, so I think it was somewhere about 2.6 or 2.7 million out of the 5 million that was projected at the time that we would receive; is that accurate?

MS. KRAKE: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Gallagher.

MR. GALLAGHER: My name is Kevin
Gallagher, I live on Strom Street in West
Scranton. I represent the American Postal
Worker's Union, Scranton, and all of
Northeastern Pennsylvania. On behalf of my
members, I want to come and express their
thanks and my thanks for city council taking

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the position at last week's meeting in opposition to the possibility of the US Postal Service moving the mail processing operation on Davis Street to Lehigh Valley.

If that happens, you are facing an economic hit of a loss of a minimum of 300 These are 300 jobs that provide jobs. families a way to stay in the middle class, raise their children, and provide a future. The economic impact would be an immediate hit of approximately \$15 million to our city. The exponential hit, all the services and the businesses that rely on them could be as much as \$25 million. This economic hit would start in the middle of the next summer and continue every year and that would just be on a continual basis, these jobs will never come back.

The related impacts that will happen to the citizens are the first of the month, third of the month, middle of the month checks, retirement checks, disability checks, social security checks will not be there on time. The postal service wants to relax delivery standards and those checks

will be days and days later in arriving.

That will cause a severe hurt on a lot of people who depend on those checks to be there on time.

In addition, you have a lot of senior citizens who depend on prescriptions through the mail, that's going to be delayed and that could have very serious medical effects on them.

The postal service also announced this morning that they want to close 8,500 post offices nationwide. That breaks down to an average of 170 post offices per state, and I can tell you if they did 170 post offices in Pennsylvania, the City of Scranton with five post offices would be down to two or one.

That would mean somebody from North Scranton would have to travel all the way to Davis Street possibly in order to purchase postal products and services, in order to get postal stamps. A lot of senior citizens don't have that kind of transportation capability, many regular citizens don't.

The bus system, Colts, doesn't even go to

Davis Street to Stafford Avenue to the post office, so it would be a real hardship and that would hit the City of Scranton and all of Northeastern Pennsylvania.

I'm requesting of city council if you would consider writing -- well, let me explain a little bit. I spent today visiting with our US Congressmen and some of my legislators explaining the situation, asking them to involve themselves in our situation. Their staffs were very interested. What I'm asking city council is would you write to our US Congressmen and our US Senator and ask them to investigate the situation to protect the interest of all Americans, especially the citizens that they represent.

And I would love to be able to sit down with them, and I think I'm going to have some meetings with them where I can show them the documentation showing that these moves are not necessary, they are not justified, and they should be done away with.

The postal service is trying to say

into the federal retirement service, the civil service first. They determined that the postal service, especially in the last four years, has overpaid into those plans about a 55 to 75 billion dollars. There is legislation pending in Congress to force an independent audit of those finances, and if the postal service has overpaid they will get back that money that will allow them to meet all obligations right now. The postal service is scheduled to loss \$8 billion this year, but \$6 billion is a mandatory payment into the retirement system that is unnecessary. They would be losing \$2 billion, but if they don't have that mandatory payment into the retirement system then they have cash reserves with which to meet all obligations, weather the

current recession, make gradual changes and

that because they are losing money they have

to do a massive restriction of the postal

system, mail processing, etcetera, and the

reality is the Office of Inspector General

investigation of the postal service payments

of the United States has done an

build their business model for the future without impacting Americans, workers, middle class, as they are looking to do.

writing a letter to our US Congressmen and our US Senator and ask them to investigate the situation I would be very appreciative, my members would be very appreciative, and I look forward to sitting down with Senator Casey, Congressman Barletta, and discussing this and showing them the documentation and having them investigate it. So my request is, one, I want to thank you, and two, would you consider writing the letter. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: It was a pleasure meeting with you earlier as well.

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes, and I want to go on record Pat Rogan, when we went down to meet Congressman Barletta Pat was there, we discussed it with him and he is very interested and I'm very grateful to him for being interested, we gave him some information there, we are gong to have more meetings and I appreciate that very much.

If you would write a letter to

Senator Casey and ask him to involve himself I think we have a chance to make this work, stop the immediate impact of losing the mail processing center on Davis Street, keeping 300 families within the middle class in the City of Scranton, keeping Northeastern Pennsylvania having good mail service, solid mail service, and keeping jobs throughout our area. So thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. And I agree the loss of that many jobs is devastating, and the large amount of elderly and due to the economy that first and third month checks you hit it right on the head there also with 300 employees or plus losing their jobs.

But, Mrs. Krake, am I correct did we just send out letters? I saw them in our mailbox? We have sent those letters out.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I did see copies in our mailbox, but we will continue to pursue it, also.

 $\label{eq:MR.GALLAGHER:} \text{Me appreciate it.}$ Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. GERVASI: Good evening, City Council. My name is Dave Gervasi, firefighter in the city of Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. GERVASI: I have a couple of observations and comments on what took place here tonight. First I'd like to commend Mr. Gallagher. This is just another example of another of the systematic dismantling of the middle class in this country. You know, I think until the people get rid of the mentality of, "I don't have it, why should you," instead of trying to strive to get what other people have. Because pretty soon we are all going to be working for \$10 an hour if we have a job at all, and the rich are going to get even richer. Let's not get into that.

A couple of observations tonight. I was kind of curious if Mrs. Krake, I'm really sorry you are being attacked and welcome to the club. One question I have, I

wish Mr. McGoff was here tonight because I don't want to talk about Mr. McGoff when he not here, I'd rather talk to him face-to-face, but after he beat you up in the paper today saying there should be ramifications for your lack of signing the contracts, did he ever call you and ask you why you didn't sign the contract if there was a legitimate reason?

MS. KRAKE: No.

MR. GERVASI: So he just -- typical. He usually gets angry with me when I call him the runner stamper for the mayor, notice he didn't -- I guess he voted against that park in West Side, so I guess it's one vote against the mayor so he is no longer a rubber stamper, I can say now just say he is in lockstep with the mayor, slightly under 100 percent of the time.

I had the occasion last week, a happy occasion for us for the East Mountain Neighborhood Association meeting where they invited me up, the officials from the fire and police department to talk about the lack of manpower in the police department and the

closure of Engine 10. It was very nice for the first time in a long time to have residents grilling the city. We do feel little vindicated because we said this was going to happen and we were called liars and scare tactics and things of that sort, but a couple of observations.

I believe Mr. McGoff, I think he did do it, he was supposed to go down to the mayor because the people of up there at least at minimum they don't want it closed, but they at least want the firehouses to have signs to say the firehouse is closed for safety reasons.

Many people if they are driving down the street and something happens to somebody in the car or they're driving down the street and they see an incident a lot of them will, you know, geez, the firehouse is right up the street let's go up there and knock on the door. Why waste five minutes knocking on the door when the place is empty. I don't know how they made out. As of right now I don't believe there is any closed -- firehouse closed signs.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had a million things to say tonight, so many things that happened. Crime in West Side, it's not just West Side, don't feel alone. I had an opportunity to speak to the police chief at the same meeting in North Scranton -- or up in East Mountain, I'm sorry, and I couldn't quiet pin him down to what I believe was the case, but I thought that those 13 officers that were laid off I thought that the reason why they couldn't use the federal money, the CDBG money, to pay for them was because they didn't put them in a neighborhood of low to moderate income beat or they didn't put them on bikes, they put them in cars which violates, I think, the procedure. told that's not correct, so I still think I'm right, but what do I know?

But I was told it was because the mayor allowed the police department to go under 150 members, just like the ordinance said, not only five under the ordinance but he just did that, too. Once they want under 150 police officers, I believe they were three under 150, at that point that violated

that money and the city could not longer use that, so for the price of three officers we could have had 13 extra for the price of three. We could have had 16 officers for the price of three.

I mean, correct me if I'm wrong, but, I mean, that's a pretty bad thing to do, but I believe that's what the chief said to me and I believe it was verified that's why that happened.

Financials, out the realm of what I would normally speak about, but, I mean, this whole meter thing, correct me if I'm wrong, but let's say low end very conservative figure, let's say that the city forever, every year gets at least a million dollars a year of revenue. I think if you went to the bank and you said to the bank, "Listen, I have a million dollars a year, how much of a mortgage could I buy with a million dollar payment per year?"

I would tend to think 30, 20-30
million dollars you would be able to get so
we want to sell this to the Parking
Authority which we have to backup their debt

and we are only going to get \$6 million and just maybe over three years we'll never have that revenue again? So how many police officers is that going to be and how many more fire stations and officers that's going to be three years from now when that well dries up and then hope that the Parking Authority that's loaded with patronage doesn't go under and default. May I just have one moment?

MR. ROGAN: Yeah. I would just add you are exactly right, if I could buy those parking \$6 million I would do it in a heartbeat.

MR. GERVASI: Yeah, we would probably be interested in that. We would give them \$7 million.

MR. ROGAN: I'll outbid you, I'll pay eight, and we'll keep going.

MR. GERVASI: We could keep going.

MR. JOYCE: I'll just add a comment to Mr. Rogan's statement, the City of Chicago, I believe, I don't know the exact figure, I believe it was \$1.16 billion, and I think it was somewhere around 80,000

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parking meters -- or actually I'll correct that, they leased them for 75 years and they received I think it was 54 percent of the revenue that the city was -- or the city would have realized in that span of time, so if you drag that out in a situation like Scranton, say, you know, we were to lease the parking meters for "X" amount of years to "Y" company and say we low it -- just say we lowball it, say it was a one million dollars like you are saying and that would be 54 percent of \$75 million so that would be, oh, about probably around 40 million bucks, so you are seeing that that's why the mayor needs to come to city council to answer questions about this deal because this is also not the same proposal that was presented in the confidential meeting that was held with me, Mrs. Evans, yourself, and Chief Duffy along with police administrator McGowan back in June. So it seems like some things have changed from then to now, so these questions need to be answered by the mayor.

MR. GERVASI: It seems things to

change from week to week and I believe the BA was off almost four or \$4 million with the number he gave to the Times and then in reality was, I mean, what's \$4 million when you laying off cops and firemen and threatening payless paydays.

By the way, payless paydays -- and then I'm done, payless paydays that was I believe it was two or three years ago, Council Courtright was on council, our tax collector, and the mayor I believe had a meeting with him and threatened payless paydays, that's what he was after, the CRF loans or CFR loans, he was looking for that \$5 million to be approved by council.

MR. JOYCE: Yeah.

MR. GERVASI: And he told him he not only going to bring the unions to their knees, but he is going to make sure there is payless paydays if he doesn't get the \$5 million loan.

I did a little research on that, I believe he has to pay his employees before he pays himself, his cabinet members, and any vendors, and I believe we have an

opportunity to have him in Court within the hour because it's all bogus. This whole thing is bogus. They are just threatening more layoffs, they are threatening employees, they are threatening everyone so they can get their way, and I'm not on council, I don't want to payless paydays, obviously, but I wouldn't' play. I wouldn't deal with this, you know, so hold by your guns. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. Marie Schumacher.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'd like to start off with two requests. One, again,
Mr. Loscombe, I'm very much looking forward to your e-mails tomorrow, and I wonder if you could ask the chief as well what kinds of incidents will the police not respond to.
I know I have called about ATV's and whatever you call those four-wheel things that aren't supposed to be on the road doing wheelies and they said they don't come out for those. And now Mr. Spindler talked

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about dog attacks, they won't come out for and think that would be helpful if all citizens knew what they will not respond to.

And, Mr. Rogan, I hope that next week you will have the answers on the OECD account balances that I asked for last week?

MR. ROGAN: Actually, I have some of them for you now. It's thick and I'll make copies for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Sure. And then just briefly on the parking meters, the net revenue loss aside and the possible or I would say definite increase in parking fees that would come with the sale of the parking meters, to me it's absolutely ludicrous. already own them, why would we sell them to essentially ourselves and pay interest on that and -- well, first we would double the sale price and then have to pay interest on It just -- it's nothing short of that? idiocy and I trust -- I would put it on the agenda next week and vote it down were I -but I will not and will never be on council.

So then tonight seems to be the spotlight on the Times-Tribune, so I am

going to put my oar in the water, too.

First of all, I would advise, my mother again always raised me to say you catch more flies with honey then you do with vinegar, and I would encourage them to stop the bashing if they really and truly are interested in one government.

And then I would also encourage them to do something with the fire department like they -- akin to what they do with the police blotter with the City of Scranton and that is start providing the publishing response times to fires. I would very much like to know. Last Wednesday Chief Davis when asked what the response time would be if our Station 10 was closed responded, "Two minutes," and that, of course, broke everybody up, but it would be nice to know what the actual times are.

And then third, ironically, the city's structural deficit is pretty close to our debt service annually, and so what I would like to request of the Times in addition is back in my day, I'm going to show my age, there was a popular song called

"Where have all the Flowers Gone," now I would like to ask where have all of the bond dollars gone? And I would like to see the Times print an editorial or an article showing how much bond money we have taken out in the last decade and where those dollars have been invested. I think that would be very enlightening and very hopeful.

Now, that having been said, I spoke awhile back about we are in desperate shape, there is no question about it. Our unemployment is up, it's harder and it's going to get harder and harder for people to pay their taxes and to do other things, and we need hope. If we are going to stick around and not put our houses on the market and just leave town, we need to know that the light at the end of the tunnel is really just the end of the tunnel and not another train approaching us, and toward that end I think it would be reasonable to free spending on nonessentials as of right now.

And again, as I said before, we need at least a three-year budget. Five years would be preferable to show that council and

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the administration know where we are going, how we are going to get there, and we can -that we could use that as a yard stick to measure progress, so we know if we are going to ever get out of this mess or if it's time to get out of dodge, and I spent a lot of time and I was going to say this before and I'm going to say it tonight because I really did, I spent a lot of time speaking who could be -- I'll finish with this, if I may, who could be the mediator. Who is the person both council respects and the mayor And I will also admit to some respects? awkwardness during the moment of silence because I never know when it's over unless you peek, and so I usually end the moment of silence before you do you and I've noticed you all cross yourselves when you finish, and I know the mayor is also a Roman Catholic. So I would like to suggest that maybe you all request, start with the Bishop. Ask the Bishop to sit down with you and the be the mediator, so all six of you respect the Bishop, I'm sure, and have a commonality there, and if that's what it

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

takes we just -- we have to get it done, guys. We can't be here on the 15th of December haggling over numbers. We need a three or preferably five-year budget and we have to get working on it. Thank you for your consideration.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. HAAS: Good evening. I didn't intend to speak, so I have to get my nerve back up. This is very minor compared to everybody else's, but I have thought maybe I should get the ball rolling. It's a normal community problem, you have a street problem. I live on South Sixth Avenue and there is a small lot of property that has been neglected for many years. A few years back, the owners, I finally contacted the city, and the owners after much, much, much, you know, attention from, you know, the bureaus here, they came, at one point they cleaned up and what happens is if a property is not maintained all you have to do is see one person throw a couch, and then one

person throw a chair and a TV and tires, and garbage bags and before you know it is a dumping ground.

Well, that's what it was and in the past few years I have been going out there and to the best of my ability to the smaller items going out there at some points picking up the garbage, putting them in bags, bringing them to, you know, to the street area for the garbage men to pick up. There is only certain things I can do that with.

Now, right now there seems to be a little bit of a respite because as the summer comes and the high foliage it covers a lot of the material up, but that's only temporary. Now, here is where it stands with the city. I know the property owner, I have gone to the city, you know, sometime back to make sure that I have a good argument, and so I knew the owners, and I presented that and that's how the city was in touch with them and that's how they gave them a notice to clean up. And, as I said it took a long time.

Now, again, I have to done that are

quite sometime now, and finally, though he has been given notices the owner, the bottom line has just occurred, and the bottom line was this a few months back, the owner claims he has turned over the property to the city, but the city says, no. So it's kind of held up. I don't think this makes any common sense to me. In other words, it's held up in a Court of such, you know, of a sort.

Now, I have been to the city and I know that I can check the Recorder of Deeds and find out who owns the property. owner, as he claims, gave it to the city then he should have proof that he gave it to the city. There ought to be papers. can present -- and again, and there is some error in the city -- in the Recorder of Deeds, doesn't that seem easy to clear up? And if the city has such a big problem saying that they don't own it, this seems to be the solution, if it's not in the paper, if it's not recorded in the Recorder of Deeds, then that man still owns it if his name is still on it regardless of any errors that have happened.

So I'm wondering if you could look 1 into this because very shortly, you know, 2 3 the foliage will be gone and it looks like a Like I said, I have pictures of all 4 dump. 5 of the things that I had put to the curb in the past, and can you please address this? 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: If you could give Ms. 7 8 Carrera the address of the property and --9 MS. HAAS: Yes, I can. MR. LOSCOMBE: We can look into it. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Can you please also state your name for the record? 12 13 MS. HAAS: Oh, my name is Mary Ann 14 Haas, and I live at 1237 South Sixth Avenue and the property is I think it's called 1219 15 16 South Sixth Avenue. I really wasn't very 17 prepared, but --18 MR. JOYCE: If you want to leave 19 your contact information with --20 MS. HAAS: Yes, I will. 21 MR. JOYCE: -- our clerk. Thank you 22 very much. 23 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 24 MR. JOYCE: No problem. 25 MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who

would like to address council?

MR. NEARHOOD: Good evening, members of council.

MR. ROGAN: Good evening.

MR. NEARHOOD: My name is Ray

Nearhood and I am a Scranton resident, I

live in South Side. I'm here this evening

to talk for a few moments about what's been

going on in the city. I sit here in the

audience and I hear that the city council

must have a Right-to-Know request given to

officials of this city to provide them with

information. I think it's the most

ridiculous thing in the world. I don't

think you should need to go through the same

thing that as a regular citizen does. You

are an official of the city, information

should be provided to you.

Now, it was earlier pointed out that there was an article in the newspaper, there was some misunderstanding in that article, there is no doubt about that, about the way city government works. I have been involved in city governments across Pennsylvania for many years. I have provided municipal

consulting services. You know, I hear you talking about the Parking Authority and parking meters, you haven't gotten to one of the worst positions I have heard. A number of years I was called by the City of Lebanon to help them out, they had actually sold a third floor of city hall, so talk about selling assets off. So, remember, you do have some floors here, you might be able to sell them as well.

You are part-time legislators, and that was pointed out in the article. Rather than diminishing your role in the city, it should in some way require those who are full-time officials to provide you and to go the extra the mile to provide you with information because you are not receiving a full-time salary here.

The city controller is the main person who should be maintaining all city financial records. I would think that the city controller should be here providing you with the information you need, especially in light of the financial difficulty the city is in.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mrs. Krake, the duty that you did in not signing the contracts really resides with the city controller. If the money is not available, the controller should not be signing contracts. If people have not been authorized in the budget or money has not been authorized in the budget it is the city controller's mandate not to allow that money to be spent. Understand the city controller audits all accounts and should keep all accounting records and any time a line item is to be exceeded the city controller is obligated not to allow money to be spent beyond what has been appropriated. employees should not be paid. Ask the city controller on what authority she is signing those checks? She has no legal basis to do that.

You know, the finances of this city need to be pulled in, but most of all the dysfunction of this government needs to be brought together. Mr. Mayor, you need to come to this city council chambers and talk to council. City controller, you need to be in this city council chambers and talk to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council because all of the elected officials of this city need to work out the problems that this city is facing.

The fact that there is bombs being tossed one way or another has to end. citizens of this city deserve better. The citizens of this city also deserve the truth, and when I listen to council and I listen to a variety of numbers being thrown around here it doesn't make a lot of sense How can we logically make decisions to me. or how can you logically make decisions if you don't have the information that's necessary for you to make those decisions? You are the legislative body. You are part-time, that's correct, so that I will say it once again, that puts even more of an obligation on those full-time officials to be in this chamber providing you with the information necessary for you to make your decisions.

You pass legislation, that
legislation needs to be executed and that is
the obligation of the chief executive is to
execute properly the legislation passed by

this body. That needs to be done. 1 once again, Mr. Mayor, Mrs. Controller and 2 3 members of council, you need to sit around the table and come up with a plan, because I 4 was on that East Mountain meeting last week 5 and I asked the same question of the police 6 7 chief and the fire chief and everyone was 8 concerned about those who have already been 9 laid off. If a plan is not met, is not 10 found and a way of coming out of this mess is not found, losing of 13 and what was it 11 13 and 8 is going to be the least of them. 12 13 So I will say it -- I only say it 14 one more time, folks, get around the table and get a decision made --15 16 Thank you, Mr. Nearhood. MR. ROGAN: 17 MR. NEARHOOD: -- of where this city 18 is going. Thank you. 19 MR. JOYCE: Thank you. 20 MR. ROGAN: Chrissy. 21 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Best for last, Pat. 22 Best of last. 23 MR. JOYCE: Saved the best for last. 24 MR. SLEDENZSKI: I have this for 25 you, Jackie. That's what he's closing

1 downstairs. That's what he's closing on 2 there. You know how he is, Jack, you know 3 how he is. What's up, Mr. Mayor? Keep the 4 firehouses open downstairs. Keep them open. 5 We need them open. That's it. Thank you. 6 MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chrissy. MR. LOSCOMBE: 7 Thanks, Chrissy. Is there anyone else who 8 MR. ROGAN: 9 would like to address council? If not, I'll 10 entertain a motion to adjourn. Motion to adjourn. 11 MR. JOYCE: Meeting adjourned. 12 MR. ROGAN: 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER