SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING PUBLIC HEARING

IN RE: FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT, CDBG PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP, HOME PROGRAM, AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT, ESG PROGRAM.

HELD:

Tuesday, September 20, 2011

LOCATION:

Council Chambers

Scranton City Hall

340 North Washington Avenue

Scranton, Pennsylvania

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI- COURT REPORTER

MS. EVANS: I'd like to call this 1 2 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 4 MR. MCGOFF: Here. MS. CARRERA: 5 Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 Mr. Loscombe. 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. 8 Joyce. Mrs. Evans. 9 MS. EVANS: Here. Notice is hereby 10 given that Scranton City Council will hold a 11 public hearing on Tuesday, September 20, 12 2011, at 6:15 p.m. in council chambers, 13 second floor, municipal building, 340 North 14 Washington Avenue, Scranton, Pennsylvania. The purpose of said public hearing 15 16 is to hear testimony and discuss the 17 following: 18 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 19 20 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE 21 ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY 22 PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE 23 24 FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 25 GRANT, CDBG PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT

PARTNERSHIP, HOME PROGRAM, AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT, ESG PROGRAM.

Our first speaker this evening is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn, homeowner, Scranton. I received a letter this week from the co-chair of the United Way asking us to support them, asking me to support them again this year, and they pointed out some facts and figures that they said and it really hit home. He said more than 30,000 families in Lackawanna County live below the federal poverty level of 21,000 for a family of four.

Food pantries in our county saw a 68 percent increase in the demand over 12 months, and of the 28,870 students in the county and the school district, 42 percent qualify for national school lunch program.

The reason that hit home is that
there is no doubt about it, there is a very
much need for food and food pantries. I
know when I was with the Taxpayers'
Association and you, Janet, we did our
collections of the various stores and we did

21

22

23

24

25

what we could, and people are really hurting. I think they brought out a figure of metropolitan area is 26.2 percent of the families are in need of food, and when I look at CDBG list there isn't anything at all related to anything for food, you know? It's all hardware, and I was hoping you would consider it. Last year they spent 8e percent on public services when they under the regulations they spent 15 percent, you know, and I don't know what it is this year, you know? I didn't add it up, but there is a desperate need out there for food and I was hoping, you know, and HUD really supports food banks. They support it, there is no doubt about it and they back them 100 percent.

Now, they always make sure that they get to 20 percent personnel and for administration, you know, he always does that. You know, I think we should start to split this up a little bit and do a little bit more and make sure we get the maximum out of public services so somebody out there can at least feed their children. It's

21

22

23

24

25

ashame. Unemployment is the highest in the state. When you talk about 21,000 you are talking minimum wage, 8.50, \$8.50 an hour and you just can't go on, and then they have the median income of \$45,628 vs. the state which is \$65,000. Now, we're 20,000 below, but when they do our median income they take into consideration Clarks Summit, the Abingtons, Moscow, all of the wealthier areas throughout the, you know, the suburbs and I don't think that's right for them to get a median income in Scranton, because if you look at the facts, the data in Scranton I'm sure Mike Hanley will tell you we are very, very low income in this area, in the City of Scranton, and it's not right what they are doing because a lot of people are getting left out of being able to get -receive the benefit from the CDBG program to benefit low and moderate income.

So I hope you will consider putting aside some money for some food -- for the food pantries and that it will be dispersed properly so we won't leave people out there, you know, hoping that their child gets a

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meal at school, and if school postponed in the winter they don't get a meal and that's not exaggeration. People will tell you who work in that it's not an exaggeration.

The second thing I want to discuss about is the fact that our neighborhoods we all know they have gone to pot. There is no doubt about it, and why because we are the only city, I have been in this a long time, we are the only city in the United States, entitlement city, that doesn't have a block to block housing rehabilitation program where they go up to John Doe or Mrs. Doe and say, "You know, you might need siding or you might need a new chimney or new heating unit and you are allowed up to \$15,000, we'll give you a loan, a deferred loan, if you can't pay it when you pass on or whatever or if you get the money pay it or a low interest loan and if you cannot afford it at 1 percent."

The only country in the United

States -- the only community in the United

States. Now, I looked at the list of

activities and they say they have money

there for Lackawanna Neighbors. Lackawanna Neighbors is a good organization, but for rehabilitation of six homes. That's nothing. You know, it's nothing, and I think they are vacant homes and then they are selling them and when they sell them they sell them for a pretty high price, you know, which I don't think anybody in the low to moderate income would have a hard time buying, you know, unless really were able to get all of the benefits from the various organizations around.

So what I'm trying to say is the fact is that we have had -- under Mr. Doherty, Mayor Doherty, \$40 million Community Development Block Grant Funds, \$8 million spent for personnel administration. Now, we are still spending before then the OECD to be down there in the Brooks building, is it? On Spruce Street.

MS. EVANS: On Spruce Street, yes.

MR. QUINN: Scranton Life building, I'm sorry, and the floor is still empty upstairs, okay, and I don't understand it. You are giving us rent, this monthly rent,

to Mr. Rinaldi, Mr. Rinaldi also receives a major grant, state grants and federal grants to fix up the 500 block of Lackawanna

Avenue. You know, this is crazy, you know?

And he is a big donator to the Doherty campaign, you know? So, I mean, let's get -- let's try to help some of these low to moderate income and start to talk to HUD and say, look it, we don't want this housing median income at 45,000 and let's get into reality here with our figures and try to do something. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Quinn, and our next speaker is a Michael Passaro.

Mrs. Krake, can we send a request, please, to OECD for a copy of their lease agreement with Mr. Rinaldi. I don't know, I can't recall off the top of my head whether it expires. Thank you.

(While Mr. Quinn was speaking Mr Joyce took the dais and joined the meeting.)

MR. PASSARO: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PASSARO: Mike Passaro, city taxpayer, life-long West Scranton resident.

I'm here this evening to speak against a proposed park and to accepting the grant from OECD for the Lincoln Jackson proposed park. I brought some pictures of all of the neighborhood parks expect the Scranton, West Scranton skate park, which is very well maintained, but these are the other three parks that are in the area and I would like to give them to council --

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. PASSARO: -- for perusal, but I would specifically like to give them to Mr. McGoff first for perusal, if I may?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. PASSARO: The reason that I wanted Mr. McGoff to go through them first was because he berated a letter that Mr. Loscombe received and read at council two weeks ago, last week, and it was supposedly only from one city taxpayer who was completely good and disgruntled with the condition of all the area parks, and after Mr. Loscombe read that letter Mr. McGoff, I got the impression a lot of people that I have spoke with got the impression that he

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

went off like a spoiled child and just didn't want to acknowledge any part of that letter.

There is dead proof right there of DPW's lack of maintenance for three city parks, Fellows Parks in West Scranton the weeds are overgrown, thousands of cigarette butts, graffiti. Two years ago, I believe, when Fellows Park had some grant money applied to it some sidewalks were put in and some period lighting was put in, the lighting is gorgeous, the sidewalks are nice. Some man came here, I went back through to try and find out who he was, I couldn't, and came and stood at this podium and said, thank you for the sidewalks, thank you for the period lighting, there is some exposed wiring on the period lighting and the sidewalks haven't been backfilled, which is a safety issue. Those pictures show that. Two years later, DPW still hasn't addressed that problem. Those sidewalks are still a death trap.

There is knock down brick walls around the Fellows monument. There is one

envelope in there that's marked "R" rated for some graffiti on there and that's real nice for, you know, a young family and kids to read.

The Allen Park, I still don't if I'm calling that correctly, but it's the corner of Price Street and Main Avenue, the fence is down, the Christmas lights that I spoke of last week mysteriously disappeared, however, there is a picture of some exposed wire extension cords that are up in a tree, remnants of the Christmas lights. A kid come jump up there and grab them. The fence has been knocked down, the PVC fence has been knocked down.

DPW has cut the grass at Fellows

Park and cut the grass at Allen Park,

however, they have not picked up the

Gatorade bottles, not picked up everything,

just completely rode right over it with a

mower. There is a fence post down at the

park on the corner of Price and Main, the

fence picket is laying in the grass, the

lawnmower rode right over that. It just -
and this is a DPW that is supposed to take

care of another park? I can't absolutely see it happening.

There is pictures also of the Novembrino Pool Complex. That's been closed and legitimately, you know, it's kind of off the DPW's radar right now, but there is -- I call it a Pennsylvania palm tree, it's a poison sumac that's five feet tall in the front yard of the pool complex. There is garbage all around it, and so I just don't understand how DPW can handle another, you know, burden when obviously the existing parks are a burden.

What we would like to see, there is another speaker here this evening who we have gone around in the neighborhood and we have compiled a petition of all the directly effected neighbors of South Hyde Park, South Sumner, Academy Street and Division that are opposed. We went around with the petition for and a petition against. There is not one signature on "For", which should say something.

The people that we did speak with are very interested in having that property

if it's going to be torn down added to the tax rolls. It's the oldest school district property in the district and it was never actually on the tax rolls being the oldest it's always been a school.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. PASSARO: It could be offered to a developer with some stipulations that the homes that go up there, the town homes that go up there are appropriate to the neighborhood, and not Taj Mah Halls or are no, you know, ramshackles, appropriate to the neighborhood, which is fine with us, and we are interested in making sure that, you know, our neighborhood is still held together, you know, and a park just doesn't go up there.

There are other, like I said, other avenues than a park. The building could be retrofitted and a lot -- there is a lot of options still ahead. A park isn't the only option. Last week I invited the mayor as far as the crime in my area to come and stay overnight and I haven't checked my answering machine before I left, but as of right now

3

4 5

6

8

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he never returned my phone call, so he is probably not coming over. That's all I have to say right now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Patty Fowler.

MS. FOWLER: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. FOWLER: Patty Fowler, city resident and city employee. I'm a city housing inspector and I'm just asking you to reconsider the removing the \$9,200 from the condemnation budget for the CDBG funds. That will severely effect the way we can do our jobs. In these tough economic times we are seeing more and more where people cannot pay their utility bills which the code by which we must follow requires us to condemn the properties that have no utilities, then we have to -- we can't leave them homeless, we have to put them up even if it's for emergency housing, if you are going to cut our budget from \$9,000 I'm just wondering what's Plan B? Do we have a Plan B? Because we are seeing more and more and more and not less and less and less, so if you

are going to cut our money less and less what happens with these people that we are going to end up with more and more?

I would just ask you to reconsider the taking of that \$9,000 and go above and beyond and maybe give us a little bit more because we could certainly use it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Michael Hanley.

MR. HANLEY: Good evening. Michael Hanley, executive director of United Neighborhood Centers, 425 Alder Street in Scranton. First of all, I appreciate the opportunity to address city council here this evening. I'm really here to speak about four different applications that we have that are part of the Community Development Block Grant plan, I think that you are considering.

The first one is a Project Hope.

Project Hope is a program that has been serving the needs of low income children since 1970 here in Lackawanna County. About two-thirds of the 500 children, the 500 plus

children that go there every year, come from the City of Scranton. We provide transportation to the camp site in Tunkhannock and it's just a wonderful experience for kids that many of them who never have an opportunity to get outside of the city, and I would like to say that while it is a tremendous recreation program for the children, it's also an educational program.

We have a partnership that we have had with the Scranton School District for the last 40 years, Scranton School District provides five teachers on site at that camp to work with the children throughout the summer, to help them keep up with fun activities interacting that way so they are ready to go back to school in September.

The other people's of Project Hope that people really don't realize, and this really dovetails on what Mr. Quinn was just saying about hunger in the community, is the nutrition program is tremendously important. These children are children who get school breakfast and school lunch during the school

20

21

22

23

24

25

year, and many of their parents come to us during the registration process and express how important it is to them to get them into camp because they have such a hard time feeding their family through the summer months, so the breakfast and lunch and the afternoon snack is as important as the educational pieces, as important as the recreational pieces. The \$40,000 that is in the current plan, just so you realize, is a 50 percent cut from the funding that we have been getting over the last few years. think three years ago we were at \$80,000 for that program as so even at \$40,000 it's a struggle to continue that program and to serve the amount of children that we have been serving, so I would ask you to positively consider that proposal here this evening.

The second program that I would like to talk about our Bellevue Saturday program and this is actually a program that Mrs.

Evans was very much instrumental in starting a number of years ago when the West Side Boys and Girls Club closed, and they were

looking for recreational activities in the West Side for the children. At that time, we were not able to keep our Bellevue Center open because of funding issues and through the council and the mayor's office we are granted \$15,000 a year to continue that, to continue to provide a recreational program for children on Saturdays. I belive what we have in the the application right now is about half of that, so we have already experienced tremendous cut in that program as well, so the funding that is in there is a stretch for us to continue that, but we're certainly committed to that.

A third program that we have then is SCOLA, which is an adult literacy program that had been an independent center, an independent agency for the last 26 years.

Because of state funding cuts they came to us in April and asked if we would consider a merger or SCOLA and so in April, as of April 1, United Neighborhood Centers took SCOLA under our wing and are committed continuing the adult literacy program, and also equally as important I think in our community is

English as a second language and citizenship programs for the new immigrants in this community because as we know, the immigrant population here in Scranton is continuing to grow and we at United States Neighborhood Centers feel it's tremendously important to help those new immigrants assimilate into our community, so that \$5,000 that's in the CDBG program in our proposal is just a small piece of what we need to put together to continue that program, and we feel, and I think that many folks here feel as well the importance of that in the continuing thriving of our neighborhoods.

And the third piece I'd like to talk about the -- or the fourth piece, rather, is what Patty Fowler just spoke about and that's the Condemnation Assistance Program. This is a program the United Neighborhood Centers have been administering on behalf of the city since I believe it was during Mayor Connors' administration when they came to us and requested that we would take this on to assist families whose apartments had been condemned for health and safety reasons.

These are families who are renters, who many times when the city is forced to condemn the property they have already paid the rent or security deposit and they don't get that back, and they are really out in the street with no place to go, no resources so we have staff that are on-call 27/7, 365 days a year, to respond to -- from the City, Patty Fowler many times calls our staff at all hours of the day and night. We help the family, put them into a hotel, and help them to find another an apartment and stabilize their lives so they can move on so they are not out on the street.

So we feel these are tremendously important programs, they are very diverse programs and I think can give you a picture as many of know the diversity of United Neighborhood Centers and many places and pieces of this community that we touch and we certainly are committed to working with council, working with the OECD office, and continuing the work that we been doing for the last 80 some years here in this community. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Hanley, I have just one question, the SCOLA program, are there any efforts to ensure that all of the people that are being taught English are here legally in the country or is it open to anyone?

MR. HANLEY: We don't -- I mean, we work with people towards citizenship, so, I mean, they can't become citizens if they are here illegally.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council.

MS. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll speak tonight solely pretty much on CDBG funding. Unlike past years, there is no money included for economic development. I'd like to know if this is because there is adequate funding remaining from prior years or if the city has thrown in the towel on economic development.

Of the 38 applications received,

funding is recommended for only 29
applications. Of the 29 applicants for
which funding is proposed, 17 or just shy of
60 percent received funding in the 2011
budget.

As to projects funded both last year and this, I believe it is noteworthy that the project description of the Lackawanna Neighbors Incorporated Project was funded as a home grant last year and I wonder why it is included in the CDBG this year.

\$200,000 is requested for neighborhood police patrols. The 2010 Caper show no money was expended for this item the entire year leaving \$300,000 from which to draw. The recent HUD monitoring report indicates the recent reduction of police officers may cause a halt to this program. Accordingly, I would cut this \$200,000 to a minimal amount sufficient to keep the project alive should the police department reconstitute and again become eligible at which time non spent CDBG funds could be transferred into this account. A reduction to this account could be used to make other

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

projects for which I'm requesting additional funding whole.

With respect to the Section 108 loan payments, I question what the actual annual commitment is. The 2010 CAPER showed the Hilton Hotel at \$200,000 and the Steamtown Mall Partners at \$400,000 and only \$34,766 was drawn in that year leaving \$631,886 available to draw. The 2011 approved amount was \$400,000 for the Hilton Hotel and \$200,000 for the Steamtown Mall Partners. Another \$600,000 on top of the \$631,886 available to draw at the end of the prior Now this year it's another 640. vear. Perhaps Mr. Rogan could find out the amounts remaining on these loans and the annual payments schedule through satisfaction.

Demolition of the hazardous structures. Thee 2010 CDBG shows a zero amount drawn for that year leaving \$200,000 available to draw. Last year another \$350,000 was allocated and now an additional \$210,000 is being recommended. Again, perhaps Mr. Rogan could find out the current amount available to draw for this project.

Condemnation Assistance Project. The 2010
CAPER showed a draw of \$31,397 leaving
\$68,602 available to draw. \$50,000 was
funded in the 2011 request and another
\$96,500 is being requested in 2012. Again,

perhaps Mr. Rogan could find out the current

amount available to draw for this project.

United Neighborhood Centers

Paving in low and moderate areas.

The 2010 CAPER showed a draw of \$6,500

leaving a \$193,500 available to draw.

\$600,000 was funded in the 2011 request and the another \$500,000 is being submitted for approval for 2012. It will be a very long time before there will be adequate paving funding so the question here is how much is currently available and when will it be spent down?

Now, as to the 12 projects which did appear in last -- received funding last year, the application of the Weston Field Bulls Head Resident Group for one half million dollars to remove and replace the terra cotta tile roof of the Weston Field Complex troubles me in several respects.

First, what good is \$25,000 funding for a \$500,000 project? It seems to me a roof is an all or nothing project.

Second, when I checked last evening Weston Field was a city park so why would a resident's association be put in charge of this project instead of the city's Parks and Rec Department?

Finally, back in July \$300,000
received by Parks and Rec from the Weston
trust was transferred to the operating
budget. Why wasn't this used for this roof?
More on this in Fourth Order.

Our unpaid city forester requested \$75,000, but only \$25,000 is recommended. The relatively low tree damage and attendant utilities disruption is a testament to the dedication of Tony Santoli and I believe he should receive the entire \$75,000. Can you just imagine what this city would have been like during that windstorm if the roughly 900 trees that had been removed or that were dead or damaged had still been standing, which probably would have happened without Tony.

The city's Vacant Property Review

Committee requested \$100,000 but only

\$25,000 funding is a recommended for

approval. I believe the entire \$100,000

should be funded as this committee could

save many vacant properties from demolition

with adequate funding, and perhaps I'll have

time to finish up the rest during Fourth

Order of the regular meeting. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Judy Merkle.

MS. MERKLE: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. MERKLE: My name is Judy Merkle and I'm a resident and taxpayer of the City of Scranton. Every day we pick up the newspaper and the main theme is deficits. How in the world can a proposed city park at Lincoln Jackson Elementary School reduce the deficit? This is not a solution to the city's deficit. Deficits happen because of mismanagement. It's the people's money and it has been mismanaged for years.

No one in the neighborhood wants another city park. We have huge problems in our neighborhood as it is. A city park is not a solution. Some of our friends and neighbors in -- around the school have signed a petition opposing the park at Lincoln Jackson. Albeit, this is not a scientific study it's very, very revealing.

I live within three homes of this proposed park. I spoke to a realtor who informed me that if a park is erected at Lincoln Jackson, property values may diminish by as much as 30 percent. There are plenty of parks in West Scranton. There is Allen Park, which is renamed needle park, obviously, because of the hyperdermic needles that are all over there. There is Fellows Park, where a stabbing took place. There is also the Novembrino complex, and it's closed in the winter, and we have the Jackson Street skate park that has been redone twice.

Numerous baseball fields are also in our community and Tripp's Park. I don't believe any of these facilities generate any

revenue. Our once pristine neighborhood has become a melting pot of hoodlums, gangs, thieves. My grandson was robbed at gunpoint across the street from Lincoln Jackson.

Another city park, who will staff it? We don't have much staffing now to cover the city. It's time to stop blaming city council for deficits because of the property tax reduction. And as for additional layoffs for our public safety employees, the people of the city say enough is enough. We pay city, county, school and library taxes. Based on what we have had in the past, it's outrageous to know that there is no outlet for the people of the City of Scranton to go to except here, and you are the only ones who will listen. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Mary Ann Iezzi.

MS. IEZZI: Good evening, Council.

My name is Mary Ann Iezzi, I'm the executive director of the Dress for Success

Lackawanna. I did forward a letter to council the other day, if you did not receive it I do have some copies here.

3

2

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Actually, I was able to get my mail again this afternoon and it was included in our mail and I have read it.

MS. IEZZI: Great. Thank you very Well, then you are familiar with our Our mission is to promote the mission. economic independence of the low income woman by providing them with professional attire, the network of support and the career tools necessary for these women to gain employment. We are currently in our 12th year of service to our community and we have served over 4,000 women locally in helping them gain employment and retain that employment, so we are an agency who has seen firsthand the need for our services.

Most recently, we have now moved beyond the suiting services. We have developed three new programs to help these woman become prepared for employment, to obtain that employment, and to retain that employment. So our services are a continuum of care, they are provided free and they are ongoing. It's a lifetime membership, women can always come back to us once they have

been a part of our program.

It's due to this growth that we are in need of the additional funding. Being a small nonprofit, we rely heavily on small grants, monetary and in-kind donations and it's because of this that we need this funding to help us continue to achieve our goals and to continue to provide these services to these women who are in such need of our programs.

Dress for Success has received funding in the past from the City of Scranton, and on behalf of Dress for Success, our board of directors and the women we serve, I'd just like to ask you to reconsider and, you know, continue supporting our programs. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: That concludes our speaker's list. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ZALOSKY: Hi, I'll keep my remarks brief. My name is Dr. Steve

Zalosky, and I'm representing the Healthy
Northeast Pennsylvania Initiative. I come
here before you to support the CDBG
application to address isolation, depression
and suicide prevention in the elderly in the
City of Scranton. We did not receive
funding last year as part of the budget, but
what we are looking, and we did follow
through and receive and develop out of our
operating budget the program to address over
2000 elderly at risk in the City of Scranton
as result of prior funding.

We reached out to 96 family practice physicians where elderly are mostly commonly seen and signs and symptoms of depression.

We have in Northeastern Pennsylvania one of the highest suicide rates of elderly in the country and we feel on behalf of the hospitals in both Lackawanna County and Luzerne County, primarily in the City of Scranton, that in conjunction with physicians that are dealing with elderly at risk that we can prevent and get access and give them resources to Telespond, Area Agency on Aging, to link them to some of the

services such as Meals on Wheels.

So I'm just here in support of our application and just wanted to show up to represent the initiative, so, thank you.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you initially, the name of the organization?

MR. ZALOSKY: Healthy Northeast
Pennsylvania Initiative. It's a consortium,
a community based consortium. The operating
budget for the initiative is less than
\$70,000. The intent is to identify gaps in
the services for health care for at risk
population in the City of Scranton, and one
of the key areas that we are dealing with
here is this at risk elderly population that
are underserved, so we been working in this
area for the past three years and have
gained physician's support.

We have developed resource support manuals for physician offices and we continue to apply in other areas for applications for funding.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Are there any other final speakers?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council, Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident. First of all, I can't believe we are here speaking about parks, but we are. I do not claim to know a lot about OECD funds and CDBG funds because my opinion they are very complicated, but I do know from being a regular speaker at city council meetings for the last eight to nine years, I have heard our past representatives who were our city council representatives then week after week after week tell us about how the money is being spent and how everything is being monitored and so on and so forth.

But I also know that we just went through a HUD audit, I guess, or a HUD investigation, whatever you would like to call it, and we really didn't fair very well on that according to what I'm been told and the knowledge that I have received.

Now, my belief is that the majority of the neighborhoods in Scranton are low to moderate income. I don't think we have very many influential high income areas or neighborhoods in the City of Scranton. The majority of them are moderate income. Now,

I know there is an initiative going on right now with \$141,000 for police vehicles; am I correct on that?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You know, and according to what I read in my favorite newspaper, the Times-Tribune, Linda Aebli is basically saying that, you know, the money is probably not going to be able to be used because we really don't have enough low to moderate incomes in the City of Scranton, and I just kind of find that really hard to believe. I mean, the last couple of years we haven't used the money for beat officers or patrol officers in the neighborhoods, the money kind of just sat there stagnant; am I correct on that?

MS. EVANS: Actually, I believe there is -- I'm going to be touching a bit on this under motions this evening, but I believe there is over \$600,000 available for funding for neighborhood police patrols, however, funding cannot be touched because of the mayor's recent layoffs of 13 police officers.

21

22

23

24

25

I think what Ms. Aebli failed to mention in the article that you read and you're referring to is the fact that, again, there is over \$600,000 available for neighborhood police patrols in the future, and once again, they've been funded in the 2012 proposal by the administration which indicates at least to me that at some point in the future when the city is once again eligible to use the funding neighborhood police patrols, you know, will be hired, and at that time I'm sure that the neighborhood police patrols can also use police vehicles within those low to moderate income areas to which they are specifically assigned.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but haven't we -- you know, going back to 2009, 2010, how many -- how many police officers using that money that was available, how many did we hire? Do you have an estimate or guesstimate?

MS. EVANS: I don't, but I don't imagine it has been very many.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Exactly, so that's my point. You know, that money has sat

there and never been used and we all know, the residents of Scranton, that we do have crime in the City of Scranton. I mean, the people here from West Side are saying, you know, they are experiencing it. Living in South Side, we have experienced it. The Hill Section has experienced it. Every section within the City of Scranton with the exception of probably Minooka has experienced crime, but yet, the money sat there and it was never used.

And I'm just having a hard time understanding that because now we are having a big hoopla about a park, two parks to be exact. I mean, isn't public safety and safety of the residents of the City of Scranton more important? To me it is. I mean, I'm just -- I just don't understand. Like I said, I can't believe we are having this discussion about parks.

I work in an area where a lot of people from outside the City of Scranton work. Different counties, different states, people work, come in from Delaware and New Jersey, and I tell you what, they laugh at

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton. It's hard to be a Scranton resident and tried to defend the city because they are, like, you are laying off police officers, you are laying off firefighters, your roads are the worst roads in the area, but yet you are arguing and fighting over parks. And you know, I have to agree with them.

I mean, we spent \$350,000 on a dog park at Connell Park, granted there were some other additions to the park, but most of the cities spent 80 to 90 thousand dollars for a dog park. If you go up to Connell Park all it is a fence. There is a fence around the area, they put in a couple of teeter-toters and a slide, but, I mean, we spent 350,000 bucks on that. Now, we are looking at another 350,000 for the park in West Scranton, but not only that the school board, the Scranton School Board claims poverty all the time, the teachers claim poverty all the time, but yet they are willing to sell or lease the building, the Jackson Lincoln school to the City for a dollar, and that came directly from the

school board president Mr. Lesh. I mean, where is the sense in that? I find that absolutely no sense whatsoever in that.

And as far as the school board is concerned, you know, they are screaming poverty then they better look at leasing that building or selling that building for a dollar and that property for a dollar and they need to sell it to someone who is going to pay full value for that property and pay taxes on it because it's ridiculous. I mean, the city is ridiculous and the people who support it are even more ridiculous than the policies because they should know better. They should be hopefully a little bit more intelligence and actually think about the citizens.

Look at what's going on in the Sewer Authority. Gene Barrett, you know, he is going to suer or go back into court because he lost a court case that saved the citizens of Scranton \$2.5 million or \$2.9 million, but he is going to take it to Court. Think about it. Is that logical. In my opinion, no.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: If I could just briefly shed some light on what Councilwoman Evans said before, we do have funding available for neighborhood police patrols, that was indicated in a letter sent from Ms. Aebli to council's office I believe it was a few weeks ago. There was some money left in 2009 and 2010 and, of course, there is the allocation from 2011.

In the operating budget that was sent down to council, that funding -- it was indicated in the operating budget that the administration sent down, that a portion of that funding would be used to fund officers salaries, however, through the course of the year it was found that that money cannot be used to simply supplement officer's salaries that are already hired, and furthermore, it must be used to actually hire neighborhood police patrols not supplement -- not fund the officers that we already have.

Therefore, I believe it was going to be used to fund 12 or 13 officers, and ironically, that was the number of officers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that Mayor Doherty decided to layoff.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Are there any other speakers, and then I believe we will have to end the public hearing because we are getting very close to 7:00 and the regularly scheduled meeting of city council should begun at 6:30.

MS. FOSTER: I'll be very brief.

Karen Foster, president of the West Scranton

Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch, resident of

West Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. FOSTER: Good evening. I came this evening because my fellow neighbors in the area of Lincoln Jackson had some concerns regarding the closure of Lincoln Jackson and the past summer events. I have worked closely with the Scranton Police Department as well as city council and the mayor and he we have been talking about how to approve improve this area. There have been some large patrols to try to reduce the crime that's been happening, but the reports have been in the last several weeks there has been an improvement.

I understand that this particular grant money needs to be used for a specific purpose, and if there is \$350,000 designated to that property the conversation we will have next week with the group of neighbors so we can best see what will help that property, if at all.

So I just wanted to let you know that we to plan to meet with them and then have a formal meeting with city officials to let the government know how they would like to see that property held.

Also, I don't know if you had an opportunity to look at your e-mails, but I was looking into a program that is used throughout other areas, not necessarily in Pennsylvania, it's a tax for -- tax relief or a tax credit and basically it's something that is setup for senior citizens and disabled people who could give volunteer time and it will be set up as "X" amount of hours, set up an "X" amount of rate and that would then come off either their school tax if they work for the school or come off of the property tax.

This could be a win-win situation in light of the overwhelming responsibilities of taking care of the parks and other properties in the city. That's all I have. Thank you. MS. EVANS: Thank you. This public hearing is now adjourned.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER