_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, September 20, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE (Not present.)

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe. Mr.
9	Joyce.
10	MR. JOYCE: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S
14	REPORT, APPEAL HEARING RESULTS FOR SEPTEMBER
15	28, AND OCTOBER 12 OF 2011.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS FROM
19	ROBERT & ROSSI CO. AS OF SEPTEMBER 6, 2011.
20	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE
23	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER
24	14, 2011.
25	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

1	If not, received and filed.
2	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
3	REPORT, SCHEDULED HEARINGS FOR SEPTEMBER 7,
4	SEPTEMBER 14, SEPTEMBER 21, OCTOBER 5, AND
5	OCTOBER 19, OF 2011.
6	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. APPLICATIONS ALONG
9	WITH THE DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING
10	HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14,
11	2011.
12	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed. Are there any
14	Clerk's notes?
15	MS. KRAKE: No we don't have any
16	Clerk's notes, Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
18	council members have announcements at this
19	time?
20	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Go ahead.
22	MR. JOYCE: The West Side or West
23	Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Crime watch
24	will be holding a spaghetti fundraiser at
25	Villa Marie II located at 1610 Washburn

Street in Scranton. The cost is \$10 per person. This will take place on Sunday, September 25, 2011, from 11 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and it's eat in or take out. With the \$10 fee, you receive pasta and meatballs, a salad and bread, and I have been at the Villa Marie many times and you may just see Scranton's finest and best DJ Johnnie Superstar around, too, as an added bonus.

So Johnnie will pat me on the back because I finally said that, but if you are interested in going that's the time and that's the place and it's for a very worthy cause and it benefits an organization that's doing a lot of great work in the community.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. On October 9 some 2,000 runners and an accompanying families and friends will descend upon the City of Scranton for the Steamtown Marathon. It was in the paper today that the marathon is looking for -- the marathon committee is looking for volunteers especially for crossings during the race, which is very helpful to the runners and to everyone

involved, so if anyone would like to volunteer please contact the Steamtown Committee, and I believe the contacts were in the paper this morning, and if anyone would like to run I belive that they still have some openings. The wait list has been-- they have gone their through waiting list and I think there are some openings, so you have two weeks to get ready.

And the second thing, Saturday,
September 24, we received a thing, the
Scranton Trail cleanup is -- Lackawanna
Heritage Valley National and State Heritage
area will celebrate National Public Lands
Day with a cleanup event on the Scranton
section of the Lackawanna River Heritage
Trail on Saturday, September 24, 2011. It
will begin at 10 a.m. Volunteers are asked
to gather at the Broadway Street trailhead,
that's by the South Side complex in South
Scranton, and parking is available there,
and the LHVA will provide gloves, bags,
tools and water for all volunteers. That's
it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Today

council received a letter from Susan Connors regarding the Pancreatic Cancer Action network, and the letter asks city council to kindly consider issuing a proclamation supporting the observation of November 2011 as pancreatic cancer awareness month in order to help raise awareness in our community.

And so, Mrs. Krake and Ms. Carrera, if we could please prepare such a proclamation and perhaps we can present that in October.

MS. CARRERA: I think they want it for the November 1 meeting.

MS. EVANS: For the November 1 meeting? Okay. And they also very nicely included a resolution as well, so that should be quite helpful to us.

Also, the US Postal Service is studying the consolidation and closure of distribution centers nationwide in an effort to save money and increase efficiency. The Stafford Avenue Distribution Center in Scranton is one that is being considered for closure and such a move would be highly

detrimental to Scranton and surrounding areas. While Scranton suffers with the highest statewide unemployment rate, approximately 300 local jobs could be lost due to this closure.

In addition, mail and packages would be diverted to the Lehigh Valley distribution center, thereby causing longer delays in delivery service and, in fact, this afternoon I have learned that in addition to the diversion to Lehigh Valley, Philadelphia is also under consideration.

Our region has suffered the loss of too many large businesses and industries throughout the last several years and it can ill-afford another closure. I am asking each of you to call your fellow representatives in support of keeping the Stafford Avenue postal distribution center open at the following numbers: Congressman Barletta, 562-6240. Senator Casey, 946-0930, and Senator Marino 836-8020.

And further, with the agreement of my honorable colleagues, I would like to send a letter on behalf of Scranton City

Council to Senator Casey and Congressman
Barletta and Marino strongly opposing the
closure of the Scranton's Stafford Avenue
Postal Distribution Center and respectfully
requesting their active support to keep our
local distribution center open. Do I have
your agreement?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, gentlemen. I understand that the study is still ongoing, but I feel sometimes if we wait to react to a situation once it's been decided it's not nearly as effective as being proactive and I think if we bring this to the attention of our federal legislators at this point in time they can begin their work in lobbying hopefully on behalf of our area, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Jim Williams. Well, Mr. Williams is not here. However, I have been asked to make an announcement at this time, there is cell phone interference with the microphones

this evening and so we are asking once again that all audience members and council members would turn off your cell phones, please.

Our next speaker is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, for some reason or other I have been getting a lot of complaints that I'm not speaking directly into this thing and when the reruns come out they say it's all garbled, but I'll try.

My pet peeve, of course, is the city's debt. It's ben the pet peeve for a long time. Way back when we started the building projects when the mayor took office I always said he tried to do too much too quick and put us into this problem, but the problem is here now and not only is it here it's getting desperate, and that's not very good.

I read the articles about trying to sell off this, that or whatever, but your little quirk in it says the authorities have to sign off, which is almost impossible, but

there is one thing that can be sold back if they would buy it back and that's the lighting. There is no authority on the lighting, so there is a possibility it can be sold back to PPL where we bought it from. It's only a drop in the bucket if they go again with it, but that's the only real viable solution to what we got now. We are in trouble, deep, deep trouble.

I don't think anybody found out what the SRA -- I mean, the Parking Authority is putting up for collateral or did we?

MS. EVANS: We have sent
Right-to-Know requests to the Parking
Authority and we have not yet received all
of the responses, and in addition to some of
the responses that have been received, well,
actually, they haven't been received we are
being charged for the copies of all of that
to the tune of I think about \$125 and this,
in my opinion, is ludicrous. We are an
elected government body, we are one of the
two governing bodies of the City of Scranton
and the business administrator won't provide
us the information unless we give them \$125

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for it.

MR. SBARAGLIA: But the problem is we are also the biggest debt holder of the Parking Authority.

MS. EVANS: Yes, we are.

MR. SBARAGLIA: We are in there for \$40 million in the paper was right principal. Long-term debt, of course, is greater. As I pointed out last week, something has got to be done with the authorities. I mean, it's ludicrous with even the Sewer Authority going to Court because they want to the guy that was fourth on the bid to be one on the bid, and I don't know why. The judge said, you know, the bids were put in properly. Why they were overlooked, in this city anything is possible. I found that long ago. What's on -- what's in front of you is not necessarily what is. There is too much back dealing.

And we are back to the Redevelopment Authority, of course, is bankrupt and we are paying off their loan, which is ridiculous.

I still say we should ask the state for an

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation. When an authority goes into bankruptcy, well, they didn't declare bankruptcy, but they declared default, that's for the state to come in. Obviously, you've got incompetence people running that department. In fact, you probably got incompetent people running all of the departments. I told you a long time ago I have been saying that for years and years and years, you don't have the proper people running anything.

And the Sewer Authority, of course, is a joke being with the state even said, I mean, it's running it. If you remember the article they put out on when they lambasted them for the steam heat going bankrupt, they weren't very favorable of his administration skills. The people that should have been taking care of all of this should have been, not that I care about the Germans, but the American Anglican water company, they had the engineers and they had the tech to do what they had to do. If anything else you can really complain to them. With the authority you have nothing you can do. You

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are blinded, blind sided all the way through.

I always said there shouldn't be any authorities throughout the state. There is no reason for it. What is should be open and available to every citizen in the city, the state or whatever, and for them to charge you for copies because they don't want you to know, that's ridiculous.

Well, I hope when you get them you have them printed off your little machine there and they can hand the people in the city copies so they can see what's happening because if we lose our parking garages we are still going to have to pay the debt because they are going to walk off clear. see that with the hotel. That was the worst deal I ever seen. I told them on the hotel that when they cried they wanted to go bankrupt and they were up there crying, I told them let them go bankrupt. Let them. Somebody will pick it up because you had a \$33 million hotel that was on the books for about 13 or 14 million dollars. Somebody would have gladly picked it up with a good

deal. Okay. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council, Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: For the last three days or so the Doherty newsletter is running a series of articles about the city's financial crisis. Not once in these articles do they put the blame on big boy Chris Doherty for the financial crisis, they put the blame on city council.

Not once did they mention about
Chris Doherty totally ignoring revenue
sources that council has come in with and he
totally snubs his nose at them. All they do
is put their own spin on to make Chris
Doherty look like he does everything right,
council does everything wrong. I think if
this newspaper ever printed the truth their
building would fall down.

While I'm on that subject about the Doherty newsletter, I wrote a letter to the editor, which they didn't put in, because it makes them look bad, so I'll read it here:

"A recent editorial in your paper criticized Scranton City Council's supermajority for voting against putting a park in North Scranton. Police officers and firefighters are being laid off, people are dying in fires, and all your editorial staff cares about is criticizing the supermajority for voting against the park. I would rather have more police officers on the streets and more firefighters in the firehouses before any parks were built. I think your editorial staff should get their priorities in order."

 $\hbox{And I want to add something to that,} \\$ $\hbox{along with Mr. McGoff.}$

Something else about the firefighters which I brought up last week about the mayor saying that response times won't be affected, and I proved last week what a lie that was, and here is more proof. It's from my favorite website

Dohertydeceit.com, and I think it's a great post, and I think it's about a firefighter, but I can't be sure.

Prior to the mayor, if a possible structure fire is called in in the Hill Section the company dispatched would have been Engine 15 from Ash Street that would go right to the house. Ladder Truck No. 2 from Mulberry Street would take the position directly in front of the house in case area ladder operations were needed. Engine 4 from Mulberry Street would stage out at a fire hydrant preparing to lay a supply line into Engine 15 so that the men inside the burning building would not run out of water.

Engine 10 from East Mountain would be rolling in case more water or manpower was needed. Rescue 1 from Wyoming Avenue would arrive, but park away from the main fire location so as not to get caught up in a traffic at the fire scene. This way they could do their specialized rescue work at the fire and yet still remain available should another serious call require they quickly leave the fire for another call.

The assistant chief would respond from Mulberry Street and serve as the fire ground in command. The ambulance and police do their thing around the fire scene.

Now, Engine 15 is gone, Engine 4 and Truck 2 will respond, Engine 10 is being phased out. Rescue 1 is no longer on Wyoming Avenue and will be responded from North Main Avenue in North Scranton. The other engine companies coming to help at the Hill Section will be Engine 8 from Market Street in North Scranton and Engine 2 from the top of Pittston Avenue hill in South Scranton.

This delay in the backup companies have an enormous effect on the actions and safety of the first responding companies and your property unless your house has an automatic water system. Now the city just sends one engine company and the chief.

Period. Once they arrive if they find the fire they will then call for more help, delaying the response time of the other fire trucks even longer.

Of course, the mayor who has no

training and who has not done any fire studies or show how to respond to less equipment and firefighters is making all the decisions without so much as asking opinions from those who know. And I don't think anybody could say anything any better than that.

And while I have another minute, again, about this park where Lincoln Jackson is, again, I have said that I think that's a waste of time and money and the mayor says he thinks that it would make the neighborhoods better, well, if we keep laying off our firefighters and police officers we won't have any neighborhoods to make better. They will be burnt to the ground and they will be infested with crime, so as far as they parks are concerned I think they are just a waste. We need more police officers and firefighters period. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,

· 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Doug Miller, Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: You know. I sat back earlier this evening and I attended tonight's meeting because I wanted to just listen to some of residents from West Side during our caucus here regarding the proposed park over at Lincoln Jackson. You know, I thought it was quite interesting that Mr. Passaro came up and spoke and presented some pictures to council showing the parks throughout west side and Novembrino, Fellows Park, Allen Park and there may have been one other one mixed in there and, you know, I had the chance before the regular meeting started here to take a look at those and I just have to say that I'm completely appalled by the condition that these parks are in. I knew that our park system was in quite a mess right now, but I never knew it was at that level and I was kind of taken back by some of those and I'm sure if residents took a look at those pictures they would feel the same way, I'm sure you did.

You know, like I stated last week and weeks prior to that, I can't understand why we are talking about parks at this time. We are facing an \$8 million deficit and we've heard it could be as much as 15, and we go back to parks. I just -- I just -- I don't understand that. I don't understand why this guy, this mayor, talks about parks constantly. You know, we had an issue over on Perry Avenue and there was arguments over, well, we should let the residents come down and let them decide. Well, they didn't show up of.

The residents from West Side they came down. They know the chambers are open and they know they can come down, and we heard from quite a few tonight. Petitions were submitted and not one person was for it. That should send a message to the mayor. The residents don't want a park. They want to solve the deficit this year. They want to know that they live in a city where we focus on our financial issues that we have been dealing with for 20 years. You know, to take on another park at this time

makes absolutely no sense whatever. As I stated in the past, we can't even maintain what we have now, and I know I sound like a broken record, but we couldn't even open up a swimming pool this summer. Thousands of children were deprived the opportunity to swim because of a splash park. Well, drive over there, I don't see a splash park and you know what, we are probably not going to see a splash park.

You know, we heard comments earlier as well from an individual who came up and talked about how they worry about gang activity, and they worry about other criminal activity throughout their neighborhood, and they made a good point. The solution isn't a park. You know, the residents, I think, need to continue to come up here and demand accountability from the mayor and demand that he put their interest ahead of his own, and I think instead of focusing on parks right now we need to focus on the deficit, but at the same time public safety, and there is a lot of rumors out there is more cuts in the near future, and

2

4

5

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as I have stated before, I don't know understand how this man can possibly consider that.

You know, he is jeopardized the health and safety of our citizens for ten years now, and as I have said, it doesn't phase him whatsoever. Public safety needs to be the top priority of any administrator whether you are a mayor, councilman, whatever you are. That's your top priority. When I go home at night am I confident that the residents that I represent and work for are they safe? I don't see how this man can go home and night and say that and look in the mayor or and say confidentially that the residents and the stay that I run are save because you can't and he is consistently done this, and as I have said, it doesn't phase him, it doesn't bother him, and yet he wants to build another park.

Well, as we have heard earlier we have had problems with gangs and criminal activity and graffiti and the litter and everything, who is going to patrol these parks? Who is going to supervise them? But

1

6 7

5

9

8

11

12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

most of all, who is going to upkeep them, as I have said, and I said last week, I'd love to know where this money is going to come from to upkeep these parks, as I said, the mayor must have a magician working for him or he's going to just mysteriously find this money.

Yeah, it's nice to get all kinds of grant money. As I said, I wish we could build parks all over the city. This isn't Disney World. I like using that phrase. This isn't Disney World, we're in reality here and the reality is we're faced with a deficit and it needs to be addressed, we need to start setting priorities, we need to forget about parks and all of that nonsense and we need to start looking out for the residents of this city and their health and their safety and everything else because that's what's important, not parks. needs to get that out of his mind and I would just hope, as I said, more residents would come up to this podium, demanding accountability, demand the public safety that they deserve and they pay for and hope

that this man will get it through his head once and for all. That's all for tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: The first thing I'd like to say is on records this evening. Numerous times I have come to podium and spoke and said that all records, whether they are state, city or county records should be on-line. Council nor any citizen should have to do a freedom of information, it should be all on-line and you should print it off line. This is our government, we should have access to those records, and it's just in my opinion totally no excuse for it.

Now, in regard to the parks, look
it, we are all well aware of the city's
financial situation, and you know something,
the parks are neglected but people are
wondering how the city can maintain them,
and I really think that what needs to happen
in this city is that we ask groups to

volunteer to maintain these parks and when we ask them to maintain these parks I think that we should give them a contract to do that and then if they disband then they can terminate, but I think that too often citizens have come forward and then later on they are either thrown out of the park or they have had problems.

I just remember the ladies who take care of the park in West Side and they were just tossed out of there and it was wrong, and I think the citizens are willing to maintain these parks. It's where the state park was because I went there and did cleanups there a few times.

Now, in regard to the problem with firefighters and police, there is no doubt that we don't have enough firemen or policemen, that's my opinion, you know, like I said, I'm not a firemen, I'm not a policeman, but you know, I just think that if we are spending overtime money to man the firehouses, at least any of them, maybe we should call some of these firefighters back because I thought there was a \$100,000 there

for overtime in the budget, I'm not sure if that is true or not, but maybe we should use that money to at least call one or two firemen back and maybe it's a step in the right way, right direction.

And as far as grants, look it, I think we need our hands untied, and I know that the city has to follow the rules in dispensing these grants, but we need to be able to use this grant money where we need it now, okay? And, you know, if we can't get our hands tied maybe we can't use the money but it should happen.

And in regards to another issue, did the mayor send his plan down to council today?

MS. EVANS: The mayor did not send a plan to council, however, I believe that the business administrator forwarded three pieces of legislation to city council's office today for inclusion on next week's agenda for introduction. I am assuming that this legislation reflects at least part of the mayor's plan. I really cannot -- I can't state that it is the entire plan

because, of course, as one continues to read the city newspapers it is quite apparent that the administration, for example, intends to order further layoffs and yet those layoffs are not part of the legislation that has been sent to council today.

MR. MORGAN: Do you --

MS. EVANS: So I'm assuming this must be part of the plan. Did he actually speak with us or send us a plan, no. We have received legislation, I'm assuming it came from -- well, it would have been generated by the legal department and sent to us by the business administrator.

MR. MORGAN: Okay, well, would it be possible for me to stop at council and read that before any vote takes place? I would like to know what the mayor is considering? Would that be okay?

MS. EVANS: I would think you could do that when Mrs. Krake --

MS. KRAKE: We were open up from 8:00 to 4:

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Well, I mean, it

hasn't been introduced or it hasn't been --

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. MORGAN: -- reviewed, so --

MS. EVANS: No, it wasn't provided until this afternoon and the administration is aware that all legislation has to be submitted to council's office by Tuesday at 10 a.m. for inclusion on the following Tuesday's agenda.

MR. MORGAN: No, look it, I would just like to see it, you know, I would just like to say that I just think that we should proceed extremely slowly and, I mean, I know we are up against the wall, don't misunderstand me, I do understand all of that, but the American Anglican deal didn't work for the city, we have done a lot of other things that haven't worked for the city, and I'm not sure what the plan is, but I think that a lot of the residents would like to know what's going on.

Look it, I mean, I have been to a lot of the places in the city and the citizens are really disgusted with the government in total, not just one part, and

23

24

25

that's not a criticism of this council, they are just really desperate. They are really disgusted with the PEL, and my own opinion is we need to break away from PEL, and to be bluntly honest with you, I think the State of Pennsylvania needs to come to our rescue to be really more than honest because they have allowed this to continue, and I'm not saying that the mayor took office and the city wasn't in trouble, but the PEL didn't help us and the residents understand that and at least the ones I have spoken to and they just don't want to lose any fire and police protection, they want to feel safe and secure, they want to government that functions for them, and I just hope that the council wouldn't do anymore fire sales of our assets because that may not be the answer because what sense does it make to borrow if you can't pay anybody back?

So I just hope you really look at it and I look forward to coming to council for that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Before I call the next speaker, I did want to comment

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on one issue that Mr. Morgan raised because as I spoke it triggered a great deal of memories concerning the privatization of the Scranton Sewer Authority under American Anglican.

Now, information was written in the paper, perhaps it was today, regarding that particular situation, which was not The City of Scranton and city accurate. council, because I was seated on city council in 2004, when this happened, first of all, council and the City of Scranton never voted to fire American Anglican and hand over control of the Sewer Authority to the Scranton Sewer Authority. At the time I believe three entities were involved in having to vote and if only two of the three approved it then American Anglican would be sent packing and the Scranton Sewer Authority would resume control, and the two entities who approved that were Dunmore and the Sewer Authority itself. Scranton never Scranton never took a vote on approved it. it because it was irrelevant at that point, two of three had already approved.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I personally was not in favor of what occurred at that time. I had asked --I met with solicitors who represented the Dunmore Borough, the Scranton Sewer Authority, members of the Dunmore council and that meeting was held right here in our chambers, and I asked Mr. Barrett at the time if before they made this decision had they put the operation or the management, I should say, of the Sewer Authority out to bid because there were quite a number of global institutions -- well, companies, who would have been interested in stepping in. And the answer was, "No, this hasn't been put out to bid."

And then I had asked, "Have you at least contacted any other national or global companies who might be interested in taking over the management since you apparently are so dissatisfied with the American Anglican?"

And the answer was, "No, we did not."

And I was told by Dunmore councilman at the time that, you know, basically I was asking too many questions and this would be

24

25

the best thing for everybody involved, Dunmore and Scranton. And since that has happened I think we have all seen that the number of the employees at the Scranton Sewer Authority has ballooned and, yes, they are under federal mandates that even private management must adhere to, however, when we have a case where as a speaker mentioned earlier where the Sewer Authority wants to return to court in order to ensure that the highest bidder is hired for their project, thereby costing the ratepayers of Scranton and Dunmore an extra \$2 million, and we have seen so many instances now involving their employes performing work using company equipment on private property and we have seen this ever escalating number of employees over there, really the best thing that could probably happen would be for the Sewer Authority to be privatized and have a professional firm come in because while -at least while American Anglican was here, and this was not noted in the newspaper, employee numbers were not skyrocketing and I don't think that we were faced with guite as

25 Lee Mo

many rate increases and as we have been since then and we will be in the future and, yes, their bottom line was financial, but the bottom line for the Scranton Sewer Authority should also be financial and apparently it's not.

So I just wanted to add to what Mr. Morgan had said because I felt it was important to refresh everyone's memory to go back to 2004 when this turnover occurred so that, you know, you are not misunderstanding by what you read in the newspaper that American Anglican was unfit and three governing bodies were, you know, whoever they are purporting agreed upon this, well, that didn't occur. So anyway, I just wanted to clarify that I'm sorry for taking so much of your time.

Our next speaker, and I apologize to you, is Mike Passaro.

MR. PASSARO: Good evening again, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. PASSARO: Mrs. Evans, you and Lee Morgan speaking about the Sewer

Authority, it's not really on my list to speak about, but I agree completely about the ballooned work force. How many in this room have gone by a Scranton Sewer Authority worksite and see all the trucks and see one poor laborer in the hole and ten Indian Chiefs, you know, in charge, you know, and on the radios and their cell phones. It just is not something that is proper.

I'd like to thank Mr. Miller and Mr. Morgan for backing me up, you know, with their comments concerning the park situation. I think Winston Churchill said, and I'm going to paraphrase, that if you have no enemies you obviously have never spoken passionately or taken anything to heart passionately. I'm sure with the passion that I have for the Lincoln Jackson Park that's proposed I'm going to create some enemies. So be it.

Something came up before concerning adoption of parks, I believe Mr. Morgan spoke about that. There is a sign at Fellows Park. I withheld it because it didn't come out properly, but the sign

reads, "Adopt a park" and it's an initiative of the City of Scranton and the Scranton Tomorrow. "Fellows Park is proudly maintained by West Scranton High School and Willard Elementary in cooperation with the Scranton Department of Public Works."

That's the part that was cut off in my picture, that why I didn't submit it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. PASSARO: I believe that I have only seen, and please correct me or anyone correct me if I'm wrong, the Fellows Park is only maintained by West Scranton High School and Willard Elementary in the summer months before the July 4th celebration goes on there at the park, when the bandstand is there. Other than that, it's pretty neglected. I go by that park two, three four, five, ten times a day and never seen troops of anyone cleaning anything.

Getting back to the school district owning the Lincoln Jackson property right now, they do own it, they did offer it for the city for \$1. That's an extremely sweet, sweet deal for the school district. The

onus of demolition, which could possibly run \$225,000 to get it down is going to fall on the city. That would eat up the majority of that \$350,000 grant. Sweet deal for the school district, pawn it off for a dollar instead of offering it to sale for a developer or retrofitter, luxury apartments, condos, whatever.

And I just also wanted to speak, I'm kind of all over the map now, but a good friend of mine and neighbor and a recently laid off city police officer and I were speaking and it came up during our conversation that they may go out on one, two, three, four, traffic accidents, domestics, disturbance calls, whatever, and it's their job and that job sticks out for that brief period of time, they move onto the next one because it's their job.

For me, if I'm making that call for a domestic problem, for a vandalism problem, for a car accident, it's one of the most important calls that I am making in my life. I'm in a desperate situation when I'm making that phone call, I need those police there.

1 There should be as many police responding as possible. 2 3 Same thing of the fire department with the layoffs, in closing fire stations. 4 5 God forbid your home is on fire. That's the 6 most important event that's ever going to 7 happen in your life. A firemen is not going 8 to be able to show up. 9 I think that the mayor needs to get 10 his priorities in whack. Public safety 11 should be number one. It should be the only 12 concern, citizens' safety, and that's all I 13 have, Council. Thank you very much. 14 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. JOYCE: 15 Thank you. 16 MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is 17 Patty Fowler. 18 MS. FOWLER: Good evening, Council. 19 MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 20 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 21 MS. FOWLER: My name is Patty 22 Fowler, I'm a city resident and taxpayer and 23 housing inspector for the City of Scranton. 24 Let me just start off by saying I absolutely 25 love my job, and I certainly do hope that I

get to keep it into the new year, but that's a conversation for another night.

No matter what people may think we do help people every day with what we do.

It's not just about calling us about neighbor's garbage in their yard nor getting a neighbor's grass cut, it's also about the helping of people in the tough economic times they are in. People who are unable to pay their utility bills on time get them shut off or a bug infestation and we are seeing much more of that lately than usual.

It's about children sitting doing their homework in the dark. Children not being able to bath because the water was shut off or being in a house or apartment that is so filthy and bug infested with some kind of bug these children cannot get away from it or they think that's the usual way to live. Parents may not have any money to pay the utility bills or landlords cannot afford an exterminator. It's in the our job to judge. It's an economic problem.

It's my understanding that you are taking \$9,200 away from Condemnation Program

for United Neighborhood Centers. I'm here to explain how devastating the loss of that income would be in our department and the work that we do. I understand that the financial pain here goes from July to June, but as I was just told the funding just became available. Tonight Neighborhood Centers has been funding these benefits up until now, the funding is now available.

So if United Neighborhood Centers hands us a bill and we pay out all of money, what do we do for the rest of the year? Now that we are getting into the cold season, the heat season, that is one of our worst times of our year. Approximately, you know, December, January, February and March is one of the worst times, you know, worst times of the year.

The one kind of condemnation that we do is condemnation of vacant, abandoned buildings. Those condemnations cost the program nothing because we do not house anyone. We simply do that for a number of reasons, but mostly as a precaution for uniformed officers and neighbors and the

neighborhood. We pull the power for fire safety issues, obviously, and now with a full -- the water and the gas so they don't freeze in the winter, but it's very important when they get -- when the uniformed officers get to a vacant, abandoned structure that they know it's condemned so that no one should really be in there. If it's condemned they can see the condemned sign on it.

If possible, like I said, we also cut the water and the gas in the winter so that the pipes don't freeze and destroy the structure more. The other types of condemnation happen most when there are one or more known utilities in the house or there is an infestation. Utilities may be shut off due to lack of payment by either the tenant or landlord and, like I said, in these tough times we are seeing more of a trend of that more now than ever.

Unfortunately, more often than usual there are no judgment in our jobs and our only concern is to help these people.

That's what we are there for. It's not up

to us to who is the good or bad. just explain to you what happens over the weekend. I was called out to do this -last weekend I was called out by the police on Saturday to a house. The one side of the property was completely filthy and infested an unlivable. The other side of the property had their gas shut off for lack of Understanding that this is not heating season yet we had to condemn the property anyway for lack of gas because they had no water to bath, shower or clean with. If this was heating season it would have been condemned also for lack of heat.

I put up 14 people out of those two houses, it was all one structure, but two different apartments. There were three adults and nine children which would mean approximately five to six rooms. United Neighborhood Center pays a lower amount, they pay \$50 per room for each room that we get, so for that one condemnation on one day of one weekend we paid over \$300, just one condemnation. That's not counting the food vouchers they get and they get other

benefits if needed, okay?

You know, sometimes they will need a place to move because a condemnation can't be lifted, it would be impossible, so they are entitled to other -- sometimes other benefits.

So I'm just really asking what's our plan? What's the game plan if we run out of money? What's the game plan if now the funding has become available and it's \$40,000 and United Neighborhood Centers hands Ms. Aebli a bill for \$42,000, understanding that the city is solely irresponsible for the rest of it -- may I just finish my thought?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. FOWLER: The city is solely responsible the rest of the bill, so where are we going to get that money from or -- we are not even into the heating season yet.

October 15 starts our heating season until March, so where are we getting that money from? It's a very, very, very serious situation.

MS. EVANS: Well, evidently -- well,

you go first.

MR. JOYCE: In the situation where the money was needed I would be under the assumption that we would do a transfer from one account to another in OECD as we have done in the past. Now, with that in mind, I know that I was taking lot of notes down when the various folks spoke about some of the CDBG funding for 2012, and I remember it was actually Mrs. Schumacher that stated according to the Caper we still had \$68,000 left to draw from in that account.

MS. FOWLER: That's great news.

MR. JOYCE: Now, what I would like to know is exactly, just to confirm this with Ms. Aebli, Mrs. Krake, if you could send a letter over to Ms. Aebli or contact her and ask her specifically what is in the account for that specific item?

MS. FOWLER: Now, in days gone by, and many years ago, we would actually go after who the inspector felt the responsibility laid upon, the tenant or landlord. However, we don't do that anymore. It's no one's fault. There is

just not enough clerical to do the jobs that are there now. But, you know, that would be an option to do, but again, there's no one to do it. It's kind of like, you know, the other programs that have been put in place have kind of fallen by the wayside. I thank you for the opportunity. Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. And if I may interrupt again, Mrs. Krake, if you could please include in that letter or conversation, whichever you choose to engage in, what was spent in 2009 and 2010. Okay, that's all.

MS. EVANS: Actually, Councilman

Joyce said precisely what I was going to say, although, I couldn't remember the number that Mrs. Schumacher had cited, but I was listening and trying to take notes, unfortunately, you were speaking more quickly than I was able, but I did note what you had said just listening to you about the availability of those funds, and in all honesty there very likely would be availability of funding in quite a number of these allocation areas that have not been

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used in the past, and that's why Councilman Joyce said that a transfer can occur if necessary, he is absolutely correct, but that transfer will require the approval of Scranton City Council.

MR. JOYCE: In fact, we did it for the additions to paving recently.

And my concern MS. EVANS: Yes. though was, I think what I heard people saying tonight who are involved in either United Neighborhood Centers or OECD, is that this program is exclusively for the renters so my question is then what happens to the homeowners, single families, homeowners, who are -- whose homes are condemned by the city and they are put out into the street? that program address their situation as well? I don't know, but I can say throughout my experience on city council I have received calls from individuals who were evicted from their homes by the city's LIPS Department and no type of housing or food vouchers or anything of that nature was provided to them. They basically were in the street or at the mercy of a relative, so

I'm just wondering if the city recently has included the homeowner as well as the renter in this program.

And I think, too, you know, and I don't want to belabor the point, but if you are looking at the homeowner and there is no assistance for the homeowner then I think you should be that much more careful when condemning a property, you know, in terms of who is in there, who has the ability to fix this in the amount of time that, you know, that office is providing, etcetera, maybe we have occasions where condemnations are occurring and they really shouldn't be.

So with that said, I'm going to call up the woman who helped us in this particular issue, Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Again,
Marie Schumacher. I was pleased to hear
Patty say that they are now shutting off all
the utilities, I will never forget Paul
Mealie's appearance before city council
several years ago where he was -- his home
was condemned for an infestation of fleas
and only part of the utilities were shut off

which caused a frozen pipe and I think ultimately the whole house was bad, so that was really good to hear.

Back to the neighborhood police patrol for just a minute, reading from the monitoring report out of the Philadelphia it said, "The city is planning," and this is a quote, "Is planning an expansion of the neighborhood police patrol beginning in 2011. The number of positions is expected to increase from 5 to 13 and the patrol will be operated from automobiles. This change eliminates the biggest distinction between the neighborhood police patrol and the regular police. It creates a major risk that Scranton will at some time cut back on the number of regular police."

So the reason, obviously, that the police vehicles don't qualify is that's the discriminating factor. The neighborhood police patrols typically use foot or bicycles or motor bikes and so that's the line of demarkation.

MS. EVANS: Well, the I believe they are saying, yes, that that's what they

typically do and that's why, you know, a red flag went up here, but just as the police officers themselves must be closely supervised and there has to be specific documentation daily on where they have been and what their duties have been so that they remain within a certain assigned low to moderate income area, which is basically being policed or supervised by a member of the police department, those vehicles would have to be documented as well that they are being used only --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Only, and I understand low to moderate income areas, yes, I definitely understand that.

Next, I would like to know if there is a date available for PEL to transmit the Recovery Plan that was to be based on the 2011 budget when I believe it was last year prior to the budget that that was the commitment that was made. We really desperately need a multi-year budget and the only way I see that happening is through PEL with their new Recovery plan and we need to get that brought forward and made public as

fast as we can. So maybe Mr. Joyce will have some information on that tonight, I don't know.

Also, Mr. Loscombe isn't here this evening, but I will ask the question and then I'll e-mail Ms. Carrera tomorrow and she could transmit it to him on whether or not he has the ability to inquire of the police department as to whether there is a finite number of police calls during a specified time period that puts a bar into the nuisance bar categories. I ask this as it seems not a week goes by that there is not an incident at the Coliseum Bar on Adam's Avenue that makes the newspaper, so I just would like to know whether that's a -- if there is a finite rule.

Next, the 300 -- getting back to the Weston Trust Grant, this one is really personal to me because back when I first arrived back in Scranton the mayor had taken out the \$72 million bond and included in that was a quarter of a million dollars for improvements at Robinson Park. The improvements at Robinson Park didn't happen

and the reason given by the mayor from his mouth at a neighborhood association meeting prior to his second reelection -- second reelection was that they ran out of money at Weston -- what was being done at Weston Field because they expected a grant from the Weston Trust Fund and it had not come through, so they took the money from -- that was hoped to be used at Robinson park.

So it seems to me that that \$300,000 should not have gone into the operating budget, but it should have gone back or stayed in Parks and Rec on and they should have done the Robinson Park improvements that were planned back in, I don't know, 2002, 2003.

Now, I did have it maybe a couple of days ago and part of it seems to have been done. There was a -- one of the items to be done was an expansion of the parking area so that two rows of cars could park, and I didn't measure it but it appears it has been recently resurfaced and widened, so perhaps at least that part has been done, which certainly would be helpful.

18

19

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, and this one is a bit of a nit, although, I think we have got to find a better way to accept donations for the special funds that have been setup, I always read the legal notices daily and I noticed there was a legal notice regarding a \$50 donation that had been made for I believe it was the fire and police fund, and I called the Times-Tribune and asked what a municipality pays for a legal ad and I did the calculation and I think the cost of the ad came out to something like \$145 to accept a \$50 gift doesn't make a whole lot of sense, so I hope we can find a better way to accept those without incurring more costs. And I guess the rest I'll save for next week. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Council, this shirt is like a kangaroo pouch over here, I love this shirt. Last Thursday night I was upstairs and watching my little seven inch black and white TV because Miss Rosie got the big one and she brought the phone upstairs and said,

"Johnnie Superstar says it's important he talk to you. It's about --"

Well, anyway, so I say, "John, what's up," you know.

He said, "There is a Ronnie impersonator down here."

I said, "Well, where are you?"

He said, "I'm at Murphy's and there is a fundraiser for the commissioners and there is Ronnie impersonator."

I said, "I'll be there in two minutes."

You know, this is identity theft, that is terrible. So I go down there and confront the man and, of course, he buys me a beer and then a few other people bought me a beer and stay away from them, it was all forgotten, he is a married man and a pillar of the community, I guess, but I think next time I will prosecute a Ronnie impersonator.

I saw last week in the editorial page another attack on council. You know, Mr. Doherty would probably be Times "Man of the Year" if it weren't for council. He just, you know, his only -- I have said it

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before, his only way to have made it being a mayor is to have a good administration and his administration stinks. Not all of it, but as a whole his is a very poor administration.

I am trying to weigh my words. read in the -- I forgot what day it was, Linda Aebli from the United Neighbors Center, the executive director, she said that we need to cut more expense to the fire For what? You know what her and police. office does? It helps people from condemned houses and most condemned houses if you talk to anyone it's usually the tenant's fault the house is condemned, but these aren't like Section 8 houses or something, if someone can take care of themselves up to then they can continually take care of themselves, but this woman's ridiculous irresponsible statement saying that we need less police and firemen to give her money, you know, I think her mouth shot off about an hour before her brain engaged.

This is -- this is the kind of the people in the Doherty administration. Very

very poor. I guess she didn't read that one of the firemen laid off helped those people in the house, actually saved them, you know. I just can't understand something being said like that from a person. What we need to do is fire Ms. Linda, and get rid of her office and use the money to pay some bills. This is an office that we sure don't need.

When is the mayor planning to sell some property next? I was just wondering what is left, you know, every time something is sold or every time we get a grant no one knows where the money went. Like a few weeks ago I mentioned that we got a grant two or three years ago to work on that bridge, I forget that street where the truck went off during the winter, where the bridge is out, we got grant money to repair that bridge. Nobody says where the money is. The bridge wasn't never fixed. It's just an ongoing situation around here.

The money from the golf course out there, it just dwindled away, nobody can account for it. This man does a worse job with his budget than I do, but you know, at

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the bottom of the steps those two statutes,
I'd like to make a bid for them when he
starts selling stuff, you know? Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. STULGIS: My name is Ann Marie Stulgis and I live in the city where fireworks are more important than public safety. I'm here for a lot of reasons tonight. I don't know where to begin. Last Sunday night, like most other people, I watched a lot of shows reminding us of how fast something horrible can happen and how unexpectedly. What I found even more difficult, as if that wasn't hard enough, was the fact that many of the shows were dedicated to our current first responders, police, firefighters and EMT's and one announcer said it's because that every day they leave their homes and they leave their families and deep down they know they may not get home, and it actually made me really sad to say I'm live in Scranton where public safety means nothing and public safety

officers means little.

And just as an example, it's not important to have police officers on the streets, we can lose 13 of them and do more with less, so they say, but if you are a police officer in Scranton and you put your sunglasses on your head you are going to get written up and risk suspension because that's a priority in the City of Scranton where you put your sunglasses. That, my friends, should say it all.

And if that's not enough, they have also cut back on what calls they are responding to. Oh, you won't hear that, just like you won't hear a dispatcher come over the air and say, "We don't have any cars to respond to that call."

They are not allowed to do that anymore. There is a new order. The dispatchers have a certain protocol they have to follow. Now, they have to call the supervisor because the public was finding out what was really going on.

Also, my daughter-in-law, who is seven months pregnant, had her little dog

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out for a walk in front of the house today, and she was attacked by two viscous huge dogs that a woman walks regularly and she can't control. It's not the first time it's happened with those dogs and, unfortunately, it's not going to be last. And I called, because I wanted a police report because when those dogs seriously hurt or God forbid kill someone, and they are big enough, there has got it be a trail to show that these dogs have caused problems before. Only you know what I found out? Police don't respond to those calls anymore. I called twice. called at 1:21 this afternoon and I called again at 2:13. When I left my house at five after six, no one had responded because it's not an important call anymore. We don't need to report those things. We don't need to know that there are dogs or anybody else out there that is going to hurt anyone. That's very sad.

I also want to take a minute to share with you a letter that the mayor wrote, just a part of it, this was when he ran the last time when he said he was

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disappointed that the stream of
misinformation and political posturing, that
was meant for Dave Gervasi, other
firefighters, myself, and other police
officers, who came here and told the truth.
He goes onto say that, "The City of Scranton
has absolutely no plans to shut down
firehouses or engine companies or trucks.
To say otherwise is misleading and downright
false."

In fact it goes onto say that he is going to hire five more firefighters per shift. Now, let me ask you, who is not telling the truth, Mayor Doherty or the people that came here that were abused horrifically by the Scranton Times in their editorials calling it scare tactics and everything else, well, guess what? As we speak right now Engine 15 on Ash Street isn't working, they are out of service. Engine 10 for East Mountain is out of Truck 4 is out of service. service. forbid we have a serious fire at East Mountain or Bunker Hill I want to know who is going to respond, and I don't want to

hear that there is no time difference in response because only a fool would believe that.

One more point I want to make, we lost 21 public safety employees two weeks ago, three weeks ago, 21. The mayor said that if we didn't vote for his Recovery Plan he would have to increase taxes. The union said he is going to lay off cops and firemen and he is going to increase your taxes.

Increased the property taxes 26 percent, he laid off cops and firemen. If we had a real Scranton Times newspaper, you would have ready that this the paper, not the trite and the common state stories that they persist in bringing acting as the mayor's PR team.

Do you realize that we spent three times more to put a chain link fence around a lot in South Side and call it a dog park than this mayor is saving by laying off 21 public safety employees? That's frightening. And one more think, he spent more on garbage cans and planters than he is saving getting rid of 21 public safety

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxpayer. One thought occurred to me on some of these layoffs and all of these overtime issues that if there is any potential, and you would have to do it through the unions of job sharing and possibly scheduling to get people in that are unemployed and give them some at least part-time work and I think it would be a big To work somebody else to death and call them out where they can't even sit down on a Sunday afternoon or something and they are called out to a fire and then have somebody else laid off, that doesn't strike me as that productive.

And Dave Gervasi mentioned about
that insurance and I'm sure he is going to
stay on it or through the federal government
where they could get subsidies for high cost

insurance employees and we really need it.

I mean, that could be a big help in keeping people on.

And as far as these debts are concerned, I'd love to see a major investigation by somebody, maybe even outside of Pennsylvania or we already need a few FBI agents around here.

And I have been writing little things down as we go here. When Ozzie Quinn and I were talking one day he mentioned that West Scranton is included in Murphy's district and as a result he represents Clarks Summit and that brings the wages way up, the average income of the house way up, and that disqualifies parts of West Scranton and so that's something we might want to look in especially with redistricting and things going on. We don't need to have a town six or eight miles away averaged into incomes in West Scranton because the incomes certainly are not excessive in West Scranton.

And the only thing I would like to mention on privatizing anything, if the

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

official figures, and now this has to be properly run authority, is supposed to be able to deliver the same services for a dollar that cost a 1.80 or something like that from private industry, so if we can get them straightened out we are actually supposed to be getting a breakout of these people and not a financial kick in the gut.

And I guess the man's name is Fitzgerald, all right, go over to the 1100 block of Crown Avenue and take a look at Harriet Beecher Stowe and I don't know of any complaints, I walked around the neighborhood, they turned it into apartment houses, it was formerly a storage unit for 20 or 30 years, the man had trailers parked in the yard. Even a towing company, a man that owns a towing company was complaining about the mess. I mean, this guy owns a towing company and he is complaining about the junk cars and everything in this guy's industrial yards, so I don't know of any complaints about the people from Harriet Beecher Stowe. I may hear them some day, but the only complaint I heard was the

apartment house didn't want to let the kids from the neighborhood play in their playground and that was allegedly resolved. I see the kids in their playing, other than kids from the neighborhood. So it's really -- it was fixed up very well, and obviously, this Lincoln Jackson School is in way better shape because everything was falling down in the Harriet Beecher Stowe.

And I don't know, has anybody been over Moosic Street? I'm sure you have been, Mr. McGoff, right? Moosic Street? The lovely paving job we had.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. DOBRZYN: In the 800 block, and that's been one of my complaints for years is our fine utilities come in and they dig and they don't fix it back to original specs. I mean, this street was just paved by PennDOT and if you go up the East Mountain lane towards the top of Moosic Street you will hit a bounce. It was paved a month ago. It was an impeccable job, the curbs were all fixed for wheelchair friendly people and everything and now I can see

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around the edges where the edge of the pave it hasn't been sealed and there is little piece of asphalt missing, six months from now it will be a big piece of asphalt and we'll get to pay for it out of our own pockets if we ever want to fix it.

And on the post office, just a second more. Back in 2006, the Congress required our post office to fund the pension funds and medical care out to 75 years within the next ten, so at this point that is what is happening to our post office and they are being required to come up with a ridiculous amount of money and stick it into savings and they don't have the money to operate it anymore and how can the country call itself a country without a post office? I have been taking my mail right to the local drop off point just to preserve the post office box that we have in our neighborhood, so I don't have to run 50 miles to the next post office box or another mile or whatever, so I'll give the golden parrot to our Congress for coming up with that regulation that they have to fund the

post office pension for people that weren't any born yet. Bawk, bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake, if you could please send a letter to the paving inspector regarding the 800 block of Moosic Street and if, indeed, the utility has caused this problem with a freshly paved street then they are required to return it to it's proper condition and would you please look into this and then report back to us. Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. NEARHOOD: Should we wait until we have a quorum?

MS. EVANS: I don't think you need to.

MR. NEARHOOD: Good evening, members of council. My name is Ray Nearhood and I'm a Scranton resident and one of the issues, Mrs. Evans, I wanted to address first was just on a little side from your earlier comments, I noticed that you had three people who you were sending letters to with regard to the newspaper -- I'm sorry, the

post office.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEARHOOD: To Mr. Barletta and Mr. Marino and Mr. Casey, I would also suggest that you send a letter to Mr. Toomey.

MS. EVANS: Yes, thank you.

MR. NEARHOOD: I think we should get both of our US senators, not just one.

MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Thank you.

Mrs. Krake, if we could add Mr. Toomey as
well, please.

MR. NEARHOOD: You know, one of the interesting things is I served in local government in the Lehigh Valley as a city business administrator for the City of Easton, and one of the ways that the Lehigh Valley has been very successful in bringing in not only industry, but as well as why you're interceding with the post office is the cities that worked together. The three cities would quarterly meet with the mayors and their staffs and there was also a very strong public private partnership across both counties, Lehigh and North Hampton, and

that goes a long way to helping attract and to maintain and to keep businesses and government facilities.

Now, I have been sitting here this evening and I have been listening to comments with regard to financial records that folks have been asking for, Mr. Joyce I think asked for it from the CDBG Office and wanted to know what the amount was left in the accounts, and I think you requested something which you said the business administrator wouldn't provide to you which deals with financial records as well.

what amazes me is I'm wondering about this city doing what we do and coming toward election time is that the city has, as I see it, seven elected officials, possibly eight if you want to count the tax collector of the Single Tax Office. We have five part-time city council members and we have two elected -- two full-time elected officials, the mayor and the city controller. The city controller's position is to control all of the expenditures and finances of the city. Essentially, is the

chief financial officer, and as I listened to council meetings and others it makes me scratch my head wondering why no one is requesting these records from the chief financial officer of the city of the person who is supposed to be keeping the city's accounting and the city's accounting records, the official records of the city with regard to finances.

The city controller's office should be able to provide you very simply what the amount is left in this CDBG account because the city controller's office should be maintaining not only those records but the accounting of that particular system.

You have requested a report from the city business administrator which by rights should be in the City Controller's Office and if a city mayor or any other city official refuses to give that type of information to the city controller she does have subpoena power. It is a very powerful position. And as I listened to folks come up here and say, "We don't know where the money went to here or there," once again,

that is the function of the city controller's office. It is to maintain the accounting records and to be able to answer any questions anyone should have with regard to the finances of this city and where money is going and where money is coming from.

If you want records from the city

Parking Authority, if there are monies there
which are -- should be coming to the city,
the city controller should be able to tell
you where they are and should be able to
give you the records with regard to those.

I understand Mrs. Novembrino, the city controller, is a very nice woman. I have heard that repeatedly, but I also wonder as I sit in this and I'm doing what I'm doing, which is obviously I am running for that position, wondering why does no one know what the city controller does? Why is the city on the verge of bankruptcy and nobody asks the chief financial officer of in city why it's almost on the verge of bankruptcy?

Those questions I don't hear. Why is Mrs. Novembrino as city controller not

asked to come here and talk to city council and inform you of the city's finances to answer your questions with regard to all of these records, to answer your questions with regard to the accounting system.

Mrs. Evans, if I can one second?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. NEARHOOD: I have one more question of you. At the meeting I was to here in August you pointed out, you said there are four people in the Public Works Department who are being employed who were not budgeted for, they were specifically not budgeted for.

MS. EVANS: That's correct.

MR. NEARHOOD: Now, I'm not going to get into an argument whether they are needed or not needed, that isn't the question, the question is very simply this, if the appropriations is not in the budget how is the city controller continuing to pay them? Because, remember, the city controller's signature goes on every check in this city, goes on every expenditure in this city. Nothing can be spent, nothing can come in

without the city controller being involved in it, so why and how is the city controller signing those checks? She has no authorization. That is simply a ministerial duty is required not to sign those checks and not to allow these individuals to be paid. If they are critical then it is necessary that not the controller making that decision, but that decision being made between the mayor and the city council on the appropriations made, then the controller is allowed to make those expenditures, otherwise, she is prohibited from doing so.

So I would ask her, not the mayor, how are they being paid? And any other expenditure that is being made that is not appropriated how are those expenditures being made? And, you know, once again, like I said, I am running for the city controller's position and, therefore, I am very concerned about and wonder about all of the things that I'm seeing and I scratch my head and I say, "I have worked in cities throughout Pennsylvania, this kind of amazes me." Thank you.

2 3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. SOFKA: Good evening, city council.

> MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SOFKA: My name is Jamie Sofka and I'm a resident of the City of Scranton. I come before you this evening to speak out against the proposed request of federal grant money that the city is seeking to change the old Lincoln Jackson School to a That's the amount of steps from park. 632. Lincoln Jackson to Fellows Park. That's the amount of steps from Lincoln Jackson to Novembrino Pool Complex. That's the amount of steps from Lincoln Jackson to the skate park in West Side.

With such a short distance between all of these parks within a five to six block radius of Lincoln Jackson, it boggles my mind that the city is looking to obtain this building in order to tear it down and build another park. I believe that council and the administration should think hard about applying for federal grant money to

build a park. Our track record on securing and grant money is not exactly compliant lately.

I took a small walk today to Fellows
Park from Lincoln Jackson, a total of 632
steps. When I arrived at Fellows Park I was
appalled by the condition of the park.
There is garbage which litters the ground,
the play set, picnics tables and bench are
riddled with graffiti. This graffiti is
lewd in nature. The slide has a large
gaping hole in it which renders it useless
to children to slide down.

In the garbage that's littered on the ground there are many empty cigar wrappers. To give you some insight or what these cigar wrappers are actually used for, the juvenile and adults take the tobacco out and put marijuana in it and then smoke it, and this is what is riddled in our parks.

This is park is rarely, if ever, utilized by small children to play.

Instead, it is being used as a hangout for juveniles to participate in illegal activity. I personally would not bring my

dogs there to urinate, let alone any small children.

Mr. McGoff seems to be very interested in parks and he stood up here and spoke last week -- or he sat up there and spoke about parks and how he brings his family members to the local parks. I would ask him if he would like to bring his grandson to the park that he was shown pictures tonight. I'm sure that he wouldn't.

Next, I'd like to bring our attention to the skate park on Jackson Street. The park was littered with garbage and gang graffiti, it was on all the park walls and slides. It became a haven for local gang activity such as the G-Squad, formerly known as 570, to set up their criminal enterprise. It was the scene of many beatings to other juveniles and a place littered with unwanted activities. The police had many encounters at this park. The residents stood up and started the neighborhood watch and to the best of their ability, along with the police, they have

cleaned it up. This should not have been their plight, however. Putting a park in a low to moderate area makes it a haven for illegal activity, as we are all well aware.

Now, let's turn our attention to the Novembrino Pool, which did not open this year. It's a nightmare for the residents who live around it. Each day the pool is open the police are called at least once, if not several times a day, to deal with juveniles fighting, gang activity, loitering and littering. The city is now looking for grant money to change it to a splash park. Hopefully, if they do get the money, and it is used appropriately, parents will feel safe to bring their children back there. However, if I had small children that is not the place that I would bring them.

Now, let's turn to Lincoln Jackson.

The city is looking to file for federal grant money to tear down the school and make it a park. The city cannot even own this building for another year, but yet they feel like they need to get this grant money for 2012. Well, in early May I happened to be

in a local diner in West Scranton and I overheard a developer say that he wanted to buy the building. He said that to appease the residents in West Scranton he would make it a housing complex for elderly people or people 55 and older, actually. I thought how great that would be, it would fit in right with our neighborhood.

Well, I found out now that is not the case as someone from the administration has told the developer to back off, that is going to be a park. That's hard for me to hear as a taxpayer of the city. A park does not always add value, it does not collect taxes, which would help with our deficit.

For the last three years or even
longer this area of West Scranton has gotten
worse. We have a definite gang presence
whether or not the mayor wants to admit it.
The tag 570 can be seen on all the parks I
have mentioned along with businesses in the
area. This is a gang logo, which now the
G-Squad. Recently there was an article in
the paper that two members were arrested for
the beating of a Dominoes' delivery man.

Those two people who were arrested were members of our 570 gang or G-Squad, whichever name they are going by today.

That is very disheartening to me that these people were involved in such a heinous crime. And one of the places that they liked to frequent was Lincoln Jackson Park.

Maybe Lincoln Jackson Park could be dangerous to the residents who reside thereby. The school is rarely utilized by young children. The park would further encourage criminal activity in the area.

Mr. Mayor, I ask, would you like a haven for criminal activity in your backyard? I think not. Please do not put it in ours. I think the time of council and the mayor could be better utilized by fixing our deficit and not arguing over parks. I thank you for your time.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening again, Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I also am curious as to why our city controller, Roseann

Novembrino, has never been held accountable for anything really. Why hasn't she ever been invited in to a city council meeting and for her to bring all her records and documentations with her? And, you know, she is an elected official so she is not only the controller of the Honorable Mayor Doherty because she is an elected official elected by the citizens of Scranton. She should have all of the records and documentation where all of the money has gone, what's it's been spent on, how it's been spent and so on and so forth.

Instead of city council getting all the blame all the time maybe the newspaper and the news media and the citizens should concentrate more on our city controller's office, and I would really like to see city council invite her into -- or correction, to attend a city council meeting and let's have like an hour, an hour and a half caucus, because there is a lot to be answered for and have her bring her records and documentations with her so we can know where the money has been spent.

Also, I disagree with what was said last week by Councilman McGoff. Someone is accountable and responsible for the mess that the City of Scranton is in. That someone is Mayor Doherty, Roseann Novembrino, and past city council members, Murphy, Pocius, McTiernan, Gilhooley, Gatelli, Fanucci, McGoff, all of these people who have voted right along step with the mayor for the last ten years.

The mayor is responsible for us, for Anglican water company and us paying them 5 1/2 million. No one else, the mayor is responsible for that. The mayor is responsible for the 100 people he laid off his first year in office, no one else is responsible or accountable for that. The mayor is responsible for the layoff of 21 police officers and firefighters, nobody else. The mayor and city council, McGoff, Fanucci and Gatelli are responsible for raising taxes 26 percent. I mean, I could go on and on and on.

You know, someone is responsible, someone is accountable. Is now the time to

put blame? Probably not. Speakers have been coming up here for years, Nelson, Andy, Ozzie, Marie, Dave, I mean, they have been coming up for years and saying that this is going to happen. We were ignored. Council members sitting there holding their heads up, council members read, we had two council woman who ate and chewed gum and carried on conversations while speakers were speaking, they are responsible and they are accountable because they voted.

You cannot run or hide from your voting record. Your voting record is permanent. It will always be there. When you vote to cut firefighters and police officers your voting record is there. When you vote to raise taxes, what did the tax raise do? By raising taxes 20 percent did it help the city? Did it get the city out of distressed status? No. Did it improve services? No.

It's just like PEL. PEL is responsible, Pennsylvania Economy league, because they have done absolutely nothing for 20 years except steal the taxpayers'

11

12

10

9

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's all they have done. Governor money. Rendell, he is responsible. We can go on and on and on. I want you to, you know, accountable and responsibility. Sergeant Dakota Meyer was just awarded the medal of honor this past week by President Obama. You know what that man did? He held up to his responsibilities and accountability. Не didn't run from it. He was shot at, him along with his sergeant, was shot at over 2,000 times. They went in there five times. The helicopter pilots couldn't believe what they saw. They kept going back and going back. Sergeant Meyers stated that he was willing to die and ready to die and so was the other sergeant who was with him who drove the vehicle.

Sergeant Meyers manned the machine gun, and I tell you what, they did their job and they held up and they were responsible and accountable for their actions. I'm asking Mayor Doherty and his band of merry men and women to stand up, be a man and admit that they are responsible for the financial disaster that they have placed the

City of Scranton in, but not only the financial disaster, but the public safety disaster that people like Mr. McGoff who have voted to cut police officers and firefighters, he has been against police officers and firefighters from the beginning, but yet he supports Chief Davis who has a 93 percent no confidence vote.

MR. MCGOFF: I never --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Please do not interrupt me, Mr. McGoff, you will have your time when it is your time. Right now it is my time and, yes, look at your record it's a matter of public.

MR. MCGOFF: I never voted --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Pull up your record.

You voted against the firefighters and
police officers every single time. You can
play with words all you want, read your
record. I have --

MR. MCGOFF: No, you haven't.

MR. JACKOWITZ: -- and I have been here for these meetings, and you know what, you couldn't tie Sergeant Meyer's boots.

MR. MCGOFF: Just for clarification

sake, I never voted for cutbacks in public safety. Apparently what you have read you didn't comprehend very well.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Frankie.

MR. JOYCE: Hey, Chrissy.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Look at those glasses. You look good in those. Janet, we got whipped back. We got whipped good.

13-13. West is still the best, right, Pat?

Remember that.

MR. ROGAN: That's right.

MR. SLEDENZSKI: Good luck, boys.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Chrissy.

MR. JOYCE: Sorry, West is best.

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer and recording secretary of the FOP, First

Amendment Rights. Just a reminder, I arrived at the end of Mrs. Evans speaking about American Anglican. That American Anglican debacle cost ratepayers 56 percent rate hike. We are going to pay that forever. As long as we pay sewer bills, we are going to be paying that 56 percent rate hike forever.

looks like the city partnered with PEL again, PEL carries the water for them.

Looks like it's going to be a game of chicken to see who flinches first, the city or council. It should be interesting. Two monster trains on the same track racing towards each other at 90 miles per hour.

Well, reading the slimes tabloid it

PEL on one train with the city backing the multi-million dollar loan to

plug the 8.2 million projected deficit for 2011. Tax increases. On the other, city

council fighting for saving taxpayers' money

getting the blame for the 8.2 million deficit. Who is going to flinch, council or

the city?

Who flinches?

Stay strong, Council. Who wins?

Actually, no one. The losers are the taxpayers. The taxpayers reborrow 8.2 million or more or less, it just gets added to the 310 million plus long-term debt. I know I won't have to worry about it, my kids and grandkids will pay for it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there

anyone else who cares to address council?

Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Please. First, a couple of comments regarding the hearing that -- the public hearing on the CDBG allocations. One thing was mentioned about funding programs regarding feeding of the poor and homeless, whatever, just a reminder that we can only provide funding for those programs that actually apply, and there were a number of programs that we had seen in the past that did not apply that were in that category, so funding cannot be provided under the 2012 funding for such programs.

I guess I'm being -- because of my support of the Perry Street Park I'm being characterized as being in favor of every park that's proposed or built or anything else. The proposal that's being made for Lincoln Jackson School is entirely different than what was for Perry Street. The Perry Street Park idea was a project specific

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grant and it was one that was at least in my estimation favored by the residents of that area.

I think the Lincoln Jackson Park proposal is entirely different. We don't have community support for it, and the funding for that park is not project specific. That money could be used for something that is, you know, probably far more useful to the community than a park, and prior to the meeting I had given Councilman Rogan some suggestions I had for the 2012 allocations, and one of the things I did was eliminate the \$350,000 for that. I don't think that we should fund anything in any part of that proposal and I would support that, you know, throughout this process.

As far as the United Neighborhood
Centers, I know that Mr. Hanley, you know,
spoke about a number of different projects
and it seems as though United Neighborhood
Centers, as you look at some of the
proposals, they are asking for a great deal
of money. The thing is that many of these

programs are things that I think are very important to the community and I don't think that they should be I'll say punished for or because of the fact that they are involved in so many different programs. You know, Project Hope and the after school programs that they run and SCOLA and the Condemnation Assistance Program, I think they are all very valuable programs to the community and hopefully we can see our way to providing some funding for those.

And the last from the hearing, I was glad to hear Mis Iezzi from the Dress for Success. I think it's good when people come and present at least a short, you know, or, you know, should have a short presentation for what the funding could be used for. I was unaware of the lot of the things that Dress for Success did and her presentation made me rethink perhaps, you know, what I had originally thought about the program and about funding for the program, as did the gentleman from the healthy NEPA Initiative on the suicide prevention.

The short synopsis that we get with

these programs is something apparently sometimes not adequate to actually know what those programs do and so I would thank those two people especially for being here this evening and providing us with a little bit more information about their programs.

Away from that, a couple of other things. Yesterday I attended the PEL meeting, it was held here in city hall.

Mrs. Krake was also present at the meeting and during that meeting I conveyed to

Mr. McGowan what was stated by Mrs. Evans that she would like to see a proposal from the administration and that we had gotten one from PEL, but she was not sure that that proposal was, you know, what the administration, you know, wanted and Mr. McGowan said that he would do that.

In speaking with him today, he said that he did send some things to council and I'm assuming, I haven't seen them, but my assumption is from what he told me that this is the mayor's proposal for dealing with the deficit, the budget deficit for 2011. It's my hope that council can review these, this

proposal or these proposals and initiate a dialogue with the administration. I think that it's through that dialogue with the administration and with PEL that we can avoid further layoffs, we can pay our bills and hopefully move towards 2012 in a better financial situation than we are now.

I don't know, again, I haven't seen the proposal, I doubt that it's a -- you know, it probably does need some discussion, but I think it's a starting point or a point at which from which we can proceed and hopefully we can do so.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, I'm just -I'm sorry to interrupt you.

MR. MCGOFF: That's fine.

MS. EVANS: I'll just speak for what I received. It's not a proposal, it's three pieces of legislation to be included.

Mr. McGowan has asked that council would

Mr. McGowan has asked that council would introduce those two pieces of legislation at it's September 27 council meeting.

MR. MCGOFF: Right.

MS. EVANS: And so, you know, as I said earlier, I'm assuming that that is

21

22

23

24

25

their idea of a proposal, but, you know, as I also stated, the concern remains because Mr. McGowan was also quoted this weekend in the newspaper as indicating very clearly that there is going to be additional So, you know, that where that fits layoffs. into the proposal here I don't know.

MR. MCGOFF: At the meeting that we had yesterday the one question that I asked, and I asked it actually of PEL and of Mr. McGowan, and the question that I asked was if we were to follow the suggestion of PEL, the 8.2 million of unfunded debt, would that prevent further layoffs for 2011? the response from Mr. McGowan was, yes, but I'll say a qualified yes, that probably that would cover it. And then Mr. Cross said that that is what that 8.2 million would include. That they -- it was funding for salaries for current staff.

It wasn't to be used for MS. EVANS: the TAN repayment?

MR. MCGOFF: Well, that was another aspect of it, but if would cover -- that was part of the calculation that it would pay

5 6

4

8

9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for salaries and benefits for the rest of the year for the current staff and then I asked if it would allow for people to be placed back that were laid off and he did say, no, that was not included. So that was just --

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: The other thing that I received a letter from Solicitor Kelly wishing to meet concerning the Rental Registration Ordinance. I am hoping to meet with him next Monday and hopefully have a proposal for rental registration. I don't want to -- when I spoke to him prior I did not want a final piece of legislation to be sent down, I would much rather it be put into the form of a proposal so that we can review it and make changes to it and then send it back so that it could then be, you know, in it's final form that we would receive it in the form it was acceptable to all of council. So hopefully I will meet with him on Monday and we can have that in the very near future.

Personally, I would like to see that

done, that ordinance passed prior to 2012, so that it can be hopefully enforced during the 2012 year.

I did receive two items. Most of the requests I get from citizens are over the phone and I don't -- but I did receive two notes, one from a person that I think I know from my childhood, my little league days, and one that had my name in it, so I felt it perhaps that I should respond since I hadn't talked with these people over the phone.

One of them involves Martin Place, which I'm not familiar with, but it does have a description of where it's at and I would just like to tell the person that or just say that to the person that did send the letter that I will be making a call to DPW tomorrow concerning this, and I'm also going to call the resident for two reasons, just to get further identification of what the problem is and also to find out if it is, in fact, somebody that I haven't seen in quite a long while.

The second one involves the Ten

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hundred block of Hickory Street which is in South Scranton, which I am familiar. It's a dead end leading down toward what used to be part of the city dump and down the Harmon Field Little League, and apparently there are some problems with that, and again, I will call DPW concerning that and also hopefully contact the resident who sent the letter.

This past week I was also privileged to attend the inauguration of Reverend Kevin Quinn, SJ, as the 25th president of the University of Scranton. I know in the past we have had some contention with the University of Scranton, but I also think that the University of Scranton represents a vital part of the City of Scranton and hopefully we can build a relationship with the new president and with the University of Scranton, one that is applicable and serviceable for the City of Scranton and during that time I also had have spoken with a member of the Community Relations Department there and we have talked about some possibilities for doing things that

would somehow build a relationship between the University of Scranton and the City of Scranton.

One of them that I suggested to her was the possibility of representatives, student representatives, from the University of Scranton coming to city council and speaking with us about some of their -- what they plan on doing as, you know, a part of the University and also part of the city, so hopefully we will be hearing from them in the near future.

And lastly, I just do have to just one response, last week I did say that you could blame me for all of the problems that the city has. I said you can blame anybody because at this point in time blame is irrelevant and that's what I said, that what we needed to do was start moving toward a solution and stop with the blame and point of record. I have never voted and I don't know that we ever had any legislation involving cutbacks in fire or police before us, the only thing that I can remember that involved that was the mayor's budget

1 proposal from 2011, which I did vote 2 against. Thank you. 3 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman 4 Rogan, do you have any motions or comments 5 tonight? MR. ROGAN: Yes. Before my 6 comments, since Mr. Loscombe is not here 7 8 today I would like to make a motion to 9 appoint Frank Joyce as the temporary chair 10 for the Committee on Public Safety. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 12 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the 13 floor and a second to appoint Councilman 14 Joyce as the temporary chair for the public 15 safety. All those in favor -- or on the 16 question? All those in favor signify by 17 saying aye? 18 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 19 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 20 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 21 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 22 have it and so moved. 23 MR. ROGAN: I guess I'll start off 24 with the CDBG money since that's what most 25 of the conversation was about tonight, and I

will start with the park in West Scranton. I live only a few blocks away from where the proposed park is going to be built myself and as far as I'm concerned that proposal is dead on arrival. Spending \$350,000 for a park in that neighborhood, when as many of the constituents relayed to us, they are many other parks in the area and they are some of the more -- some of the parks that have been neglected over the years and we saw the pictures and everybody did a good job of relaying to those out in TV audience what it's like because I drive-by there every day through that neighborhood.

Further comments on the CDBG money, looking it over there are a lot of good programs in here and there are a lot of I wouldn't say -- I don't want to say frivolous, but a lot of programs that the money seems wasteful, and when we are making these decisions about the CDBG funding the one thing that is important for me to keep in mind and for everyone else is when we say grant money people think it's free money, but as we all know there is no such thing as

free money.

This is funded by the federal tax dollars, so as we go through and make these decisions we have to make sure we are spending the money and we are going to get the most bang for the buck for all the people throughout the city.

Now, Mr. McGoff did give me his proposal and I will talk to my other colleagues as well during the week and have something by the end of the week to submit to Mrs. Krake, but just some ideas that I was floating around that I wanted to mention publically here, and I want to give an example of some of the programs that I did not pick that should be receiving CDBG money.

We would start off with, give me one moment. Providing free tickets for low to moderate income families that would like to attend Broadway shows, \$10,000. I don't think anyone could support \$10,000 for people to go to New York on your tax dollars.

MS. EVANS: I think it might be at

the Cultural Center more likely.

MR. MCGOFF: Broadway-type shows.

MR. ROGAN: Okay, Broadway-type shows. I still wouldn't want to give tickets to paid by your tax dollars for somebody to go to see a Broadway-type show.

I agree with the comments that were made wholeheartedly about the park, as I mentioned before.

Now, First Night Scranton, although it is a nice program, when we are making these decisions \$20,000 for First Night Scranton or would rather have \$20,000 go for paving for low to moderate income areas?

Getting to paving, I am very pleased, and I'm sure my colleagues are as well, that Mr. Brazil applied for much more than he did last year in funding. This year he applied for \$2 million, which would be almost impossible to get to that amount without cutting everything completely, but I would definitely like to put as much money for paving as we could because everyone uses the roads. Now, that's using federal money for paving helps everyone in the community,

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether you live in the low to moderate income area or just driving through we all use the roads in the City of Scranton.

Here is another one that I would prefer to eliminate, a cultural program for low to moderate income persons. Again, cultural programs are nice, but when you have to make the decision whether or not to pave roads, tear down houses, and I also like the one program that's in here for Vacant Property Review Committee. I agree with what Ms. Schumacher said. If we could rehab a house, and I think we all agree on this because we did have many discussions about it, if we can rehab a house and keep it on the tax rolls instead of just tearing it down that's better for everyone. better for the community, it's better for the taxpayer, and just a win all around, so I do think that would be a good use of these funds.

Another one that seems a little wasteful, provide free tickets for low to moderate income families to attend the Scranton Jazz Festival. I don't know if

anyone out there thinks that's good use of your tax dollars, I personally don't.

Another one, demolition of a bathhouse and construction of restrooms, drinking repair for children's wading pool and landscaping, and again, it would nice if we had extra money to throw around, but we don't, we need to put this money towards areas that are going to help more people.

DPW applied to tear down the vacant school building. I already went through that one, right? That one should just be completely X'd out.

The City of Scranton forestry \$75,000 they applied for, Linda suggested \$25,000, I think that this is good spending of money even though you would think we are just cutting down trees, but as was mentioned by speakers, especially with the weather we have had this year, you know, if one of these trees could fall on a car and injury somebody, take down power lines, destroy somebody's home, so it does seem to be a very good way to spend this money.

I don't want to go through them all

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right now, we would be here all night, but there is just a few of them I want to highlight and some of them that I felt are extremely wasteful and others that I felt were really good, but most importantly to remember it's your tax dollars. You are paying for these programs, it's not free money to the City of Scranton. We wish we could get free money, but it doesn't exist.

Moving on, the newspaper has been doing a series on the deficit in the city and it said approximately \$8 million and much of the blame of these articles and by the general public has been directed at Scranton City Council. Unfortunately, contrary to popular opinion in the media, this majority of city council has only passed one budget. We did not rack up an \$8 million deficit in less than one year. know, Mayor Doherty has been in office for He has constantly kicked the ten years now. can down the road every chance he got and he is trying to get out. Everyone knows that. Tried running for governor, lieutenant governor, state senator. He tried getting

appointed to jobs in different administrations and he has no where to go.

And that leads us to the breaking news on the ScrantonTimes.com today.

"Scranton seeks to sell meters to cover deficit." Now, this deal is like putting a Band-Aid on a heart attack. It doesn't fix the problem at all. They plan on selling the meters for \$6 million to the Scranton Parking Authority and borrowing up to \$4 million. Now, getting the \$6 million in right away will help us short-term, but as Mr. Joyce confirmed earlier these meters bring in around \$2 million a year, so it's easy to do the math in just three years you are on the losing end of this borrowing.

Also, by selling these meters the very next year we are going to have a \$2 million deficit created by the sale, money that we will not be getting from the parking meters, so we are robbing Peter to pay Paul once again. It should be Chris Doherty's motto. It would help the Parking Authority, as we know they are broke, also. They would have the \$6 million upfront to the city then

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they would be getting that money in every year, they would become solvent, but the city would become deeper and deeper in debt.

The Sewer Authority. I agree wholeheartedly with Mrs. Evans comments earlier, and I know myself you have been hammering away for years for you and a year and a half for me about privatizing and selling the Sewer Authority. And when you see the highest bidder selected for a contract and they go to court to defend it you have to look at who is making these decisions, and the mayor is going to stand back and say, well, the Sewer Authority is on their own, they don't do anything. don't want anything to do with them. makes the appointments. He appoints his cronies there, Liz Randol and Stu Renda to those boards and from what everyone is saying he is trying to groom Liz Randol to take over his spot as mayor and judging by these actions she would do just the same as Mayor Doherty, highest bidder, giving these contracts to friends, that's what's wrong with the city. If we are going to sell any

of our assets, if we are going to sell anything, it has to be the Sewer Authority.

I give everything we vote on consideration, but the sale of an asset that brings in \$2 million per year for just \$6 million it's a no-brainer. I'll tell you right now I'm voting "no" next week.

And finally, it was mentioned about the legal ads to accept donations for the public safety fund that it was costing more than -- it was costing more to advertise than accept the donation and, Mrs. Krake, could we actually request some Attorney Hughes if it would be legal for us to bundle them, and say we had ten donations over the course of three months for \$50 if we could bundle all into one ad and accept them all at once.

MS. KRAKE: I hesitate to say what I think Mrs. Schumacher was referring to, but I believe she was referring to the ad for the ordinance, so therefore, that is covered. It's the ad for the ordinance and then every time there is an account created by an ordinance any donation coming in would

1 then fall into that. We would not have to readvertize. I belive that's what she was 2 3 referring to, I don't want to --MR. ROGAN: Well, I'll ask Attorney 4 5 Hughes. MS. KRAKE: I guess your point is 6 7 covered. 8 MR. ROGAN: Yeah, but the point is 9 we have to vote on each check that comes in. 10 MS. KRAKE: Yeah, but you created an 11 account with the one ordinance. 12 MR. ROGAN: We have done that, 13 haven't we, to accept the checks? 14 MS. EVANS: They come in the form of legislation from I'm assuming the legal 15 16 department, so whether it's an ordinance or 17 a resolution I think what Mrs. Krake is 18 saying those things have to be advertised by law. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: I understand that, but 21 what I'm saying is instead of having one ad 22 for each one, say one every other week, if 23 we could held off on voting on them and set 24 them on altogether as one piece of 25 legislation.

MS. KRAKE: I may be incorrect, but I don't believe we are advertising each time we receive a check. We had to create an account, a different account for this particular check. That's the only time we advertise is when we have legislation, so that's -- I'm not speaking as to what Mrs. Schumacher asked, but that's what I'm thinking she saw.

MR. ROGAN: I will talk to the parties after the meeting.

MS. KRAKE: We don't advertise when we get a check, the only thing our office advertises is legislation or public hearings.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. And that is all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: I do. First, council speaker spoke tonight and mentioned about the long-term debt and borrowing money and whatever and how, you know, he was glad that he didn't have to worry about it, but some day his grandchildren will and so on and so

forth. The truth of the matter is we all have to worry about it now. As far as long-term debt is concerned, we pay seven plus million dollars in debt service payments out of each of our annual operating budgets, well, at least in 2011 and I believe it was the case in 2010 and the year before that, so the truth of the matter is, yes, we do have a large amount of long-term debt, but we are paying those payments with the money that is brought in through tax collections at the present time, so we are still all being effected by that.

Secondly, as far as the public hearing and the CDBG funds, I would like to thank Mrs. Iezzi, Dr. Zalosky, I believe, and I apologize if I'm pronouncing his name wrong, and Mr. Hanley for coming in and speaking on their respective programs such as Dress for Success, Healthy Northeast and United Neighborhood Centers. As Mr. McGoff mentioned before, it's very nice to see an explanation of what all of these programs do, rather than just see an application or a description on a piece of paper.

Secondly, I have a number of requests that I would like to make and the first is to Mr. McGowan actually, our business administrator, and Mr. McGowan had sent me an e-mail and he contacted me and asked me about meeting with some of the banks that loan us our TAN or tax anticipation notes and, Mrs. Krake, what I want to do is I want to do this in writing so it's official and it's on council letterhead rather than through phone conversations or e-mails or whatnot, and I want you to draft this letter and please state as follows:

I am in receipt of your invitation to attend the meeting with various local banks, however, first, since I have other work obligations during normal business hours, I am unable to attend at any time during the normal hours of operation of a bank and I am unable to attend any meetings before 6:00 p.m.

Furthermore, it is the mayor, and not a city council member, who must attend meetings with banking institutions, and he

was not copied on any of the e-mails that were sent to me that the bankers were copied on. The mayor alone reinstated positions to the 2011 operating budget and refused to implement new revenue generators.

Further, the mayor and former business administrator, Mr. Renda, as well as yourself have manipulated TANS and Workers' Compensation Funds in excess since 2009 in order to use current year funds to pay off previous year debts.

Consequently, both you and the mayor would seem to have the complete information regarding the financial status of the City of Scranton, much of which is not even made aware to council until information is provided to us by our independent auditor, as was the case -- well, don't write this, as was the case in borrowing money out of the Workers' Compensation account in 2009 and subsequently in 2010.

Back to what you are supposed to write: Also, on the topic of our independent audit, my colleagues and I believe that it is imperative that all of

the banks receive a copy of the 2010 independent audit of the City of Scranton prior to entering into any agreements.

Unfortunately, your office has been delinquent in providing information and other documentation requested by the auditors in order to see that the audit is completed as directed by the deadlines specified in the Home Rule Charter.

In fact, though you were not the business administrator at the time, the 2009 audit suffered similar delays comparable to the 2010 audit and, therefore, was not completed and presented to council until 2011 in January at a meeting which occurred right at this table that you see our stenographer sitting at.

Secondly, in regard to a letter that Mr. McGowan sent to our office about the 2012 operating budget, please prepare a letter on council letterhead stating the following: I am in receipt of your letter offering to me to discuss budgetary issues for 2012 on Wednesday mornings at 10 a.m.

Unfortunately, due to my full-time

employment in the private sector, I am unable to meet at this time. I would like to discuss budgetary matters for the upcoming year and would be willing to do so outside of our normal full-time working hours at any time past 6:00 or 7:00 p.m. on weekdays.

And as far as the plan or what is proposed to be the plan, there were three pieces of legislation sent to our office today and in that letter or in those pieces of legislation there was an e-mail addressed to me, and please prepare this response for that such e-mail:

I am in receipt of your letter asking me to take action and place the proposed legislation that you sent down to council's office today regarding the plan to payback the 2011 TAN-B payment. As the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I do not have the authority to place legislation on the agenda as was asked of me in the letter though it may fall into my committee. Only the president of City Council, Councilwoman Janet Evans, is the

only member of the city council that has the authority to place legislation on the agenda for the upcoming meeting.

So I wanted to make that distinction clear. Though this is something that falls in my committee, I don't simply carry the magic wand to say it's going to be on the agenda next week. That is the one of the duties of our council president.

Outside of that, I do have some citizens' requests. The first deals with Hamm Court. I have received several complaints from South Scranton residents that there is a disruptive neighbor living on the 500 block of Hamm Court. For the sake of privacy, I'm not going to mention the address, but, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Chief Duffy with the address, and I'll provide it to you after the meeting, and also inform him that this activity that's being very disruptive and very disturbing to neighbors usually is taking place during late night hours.

Secondly, residents of the Tripp

Park development have informed me that a

stop sign on the -- right at the 1500 block Euclid Avenue is very, very faded and you could barely even see the word "Stop." I actually drove by there and it's just kind of like a red blur, even though I have glasses now I still know what it was a big blur then, though I'm a little nearsighted, but, Mrs. Krake, if you would please contact Mr. Brazil and notify him of this and ask him if he could rectify the situation.

Several residents have informed me that there are issues with empty field located at Jackson Street. Again for the sake of privacy, I'll provided the address after the meeting. Anyhow, it's unknown whether a field is owned by the City or whether it's owned by resident, however, the neighbors inform me that the field has become a breeding ground for mice and skunks and would like to see something done as soon as possible.

So, Mrs. Krake, if you could please contact Mr. Seitzinger and inquire whether or not this is a city-owned property and if it is a city-owned property please contact

Mr. Brazil and ask him if he could take care of the situation. If it's not a city-owned property, please ask Mr. Seitzinger to contact the resident that owns the property, whether or not it is a resident of the city or somewhere else.

And several West Scranton residents have voiced their concern about the double house located at 126 Price Street. This was originally condemned, however, residents report that the condemned sign has been removed, yet, the grass is still over two feet high, there is a shopping cart, garbage and other debris in the backyard, including empty beer cans that are just thrown around.

Mrs. Krake, can you please add this to the issues to contact Mr. Seitzinger about and please inform him that this property is owned by a New York resident who may not be aware of these happenings at the property location.

I have received various complaints from West Scranton residents that there is a condemned house, actually, it's one side of a double home on the 100 block of North

Everett Avenue where the former resident is still residing although the home is condemned, so I guess maybe he doesn't want to take part of condemnation assistance program that Mr. Hanley spoke about for some reason.

However, Mrs. Krake, please contact
Chief Duffy and inform him of the situation.
From the information provided by the
residents, they do not believe that the
owner of the property is even aware that the
man is coming back to the property after
it's been condemned since the landlord is a
New York State resident and doesn't visit
the property often, picks up to make
necessary repairs and to collect rent.
Obviously, it's delinquent and they don't
send it to him.

Several North Scranton residents
have informed me that the condition of
Greenbush and Reese Street are subpar as
there are many potholes and the cracks
making the travel conditions difficult. Not
only do these residents reside on this
streets, but this is also an entrance and a

exit to the Career Technology Center and this is something that workers have contacted council about in the past.

Mrs. Krake, if you could please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil, and to give the DPW credit for the information provided by the residents the DPW has traveled up there before in the past to patch up some of the potholes and cracks, however, with some of the weather and the storms that we have had throughout the summer, you know, the patching has become loose, and therefore, these potholes and have been opened up and they are creating some trouble for the residents.

And finally with the requests, several West Scranton residents have contacted me and informed me that the 100 and 200 blocks of North Everett Avenue are in rough shape as many potholes and cracks in the road are making travel conditions difficult.

Mrs. Krake, please add this to the issues to address with Mr. Brazil and ask him if he could address these as soon as he

ca

can.

Finally, I just want to say, as you can see many of the citizens' requests that I addressed tonight that I received over the past week deal with public safety and blight. Last week there was a negative editorial regarding the amendments to the 2011 CDBG funds which were amended last week as the newspaper stated that they were ill-advised.

The amendments made last week to the CDBG allocations primarily did three things: First, they funded police vehicles in low to moderate income neighborhoods, the bulk of where the crime takes place.

Secondly, they restore much of the funding to the Pinebrook Neighborhood

Association which is a neighborhood in need of some serious revitalization.

Finally, last but not least, the amendments allocated nearly \$200,000 more than what the OECD Office allocated to assist in the demolition of the blighted properties in low to moderate income neighborhoods.

There is no way that I would deem these amendments to be ill-advised. Also, the editorial pointed out that there are strict federal guidelines that have to be followed, however, there is nothing mentioned in the editorial that Scranton City Council violated any guidelines. In fact, one of the primary guidelines of CDBG fund allocations besides the fact that they must be used to assist eligible projects in low to moderate income neighborhoods, is that only 15 percent of the total allocated amount can be used for projects classified as public service.

Our amendment, which was unanimously passed by council, the requirement that only 15 percent of the total funding to be used for public service was met to a "T". In fact, out of the total funding allocated in the amendment 14.9996 percent was allocated for public service activities.

Also, out of CDBG allocations from the past, I have become aware that it is the administration who may not be in compliance with the strict federal regulations imposed

by the government. In fact, in the 2011 operating budget that was sent to Scranton City Council, funding for police officers salaries were included. However, it was later found that this federal funding which was projected to be used to fund 13 officers must be used to add neighborhood patrols not supplement the salaries of the existing police force. In turn, we cannot use this money. Ironically enough, recently the mayor chose to layoff 13 officers. Go figure.

If the newspaper wants to write an editorial about regulations and the use of CDBG money, I would suggest that they write a piece on the audit that is currently being conducted by HUD and the possibility of the city having to return funds to the federal government if not found in compliance, not city council's amendments to CDBG appropriations.

The title of the editorial that was in Friday's newspaper was, "The city has one government." And, yes, I do agree that the city has one government and that there

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should be cooperation between the mayor and the council and the city controller.

However, one of the hallmarks of cooperation is being honest. Being honest is something that the administration has failed to do.

In fact, in the meeting that Council

President Evans and I attended regarding the proposed plan to handle the current financial situation, there is no mention of laying off eight firefighters and 13 police officers.

In regard to honesty, I wish to read a letter that was mentioned by a council speaker that was written my Chris Doherty just two years ago and it states, I'll just read one paragraph, "Let me be very clear. The City of Scranton has absolutely no plans to shutdown firehouses or retire fire engine companies or trucks. To say otherwise, is misleading and downright false. In fact. under my plan the city will be adding more firefighters per shift because of shift This will allow the city to changes. provide even better fire protection to it's citizens."

What I brought up tonight was just two examples of dishonesty exhibited by the current administration. There are many more, such as dipping into Workers'

Compensation funds without notifying council and paying off TANS with money from the following year. The list could go on and on.

I think I made my point pretty clear though, I am more than willing to meet about the 2012 budget with our current BA with one stipulation, that the administration is honest. One cannot effectively work with people who do not wish to tell the truth, and that's all I have for tonight.

And one last comment that I would like to make, I did read over some of the pieces of legislation that were sent down and in bulk the administration wants to borrow four million bucks. They want to petition the Court and they want to sell the parking meters to the Parking Authority for \$6 million. Now, what I'll be going over the next week is researching parking meter sales that other cities have engaged in.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One that I'm familiar with is the City of Chicago. They sold the parking meters for \$1.16 billion, actually, they leased them out to a private firm, I believe, for a term of 75 years and they received 54 percent of the revenue that the parking meters would generate over that period of time, which obviously isn't the case if we sell the parking meters to the SPA and they are gone forever because that would be made up, actually, I know I said to Mr. Rogan that the meters and the citations generally bring in \$2 million a year, but you do have to factor the cost that we pay the Parking Authority to monitor the program which is about \$600,000, so say \$1.4 million. That's going to be gone within four years.

And this is one thing about working together, perhaps something with the parking meters could be entertained if there are stipulations, such as that we receive part of the funding that the parking meters collect and in regard to the sale. For instance, the City of Chicago if any of the collections exceed a certain percent the

city receives a 50/50 split of such excess collections, so I think there is a lot of things to be looked at, however, just selling the parking meters outright is not the best idea in and it's not a very good return on investment because that money, as Mr. Rogan said, will create a hole and that hole will have to be filled in the following years, and that's all I am going to comment on tonight about that matter and I'll have more to discuss next week as I research into other cities and the types of transactions that they may have made with such matters and that's all.

MS. EVANS: And thank you,

Councilman Joyce. Good evening. Before I

begin I'm going to perhaps continue this

discussion of the proposed legislation very

briefly, but I think it's important to

understand that the agreement with the

Parking Authority is not just for \$6 million

for the sale of parking meters, it's a \$14

million borrowing issue, so that 8 million

of that 14 million is going to the Parking

Authority. I have not read all of the

_ :

legislation carefully enough to say what it is -- what the \$8 million is for, but I might conjecture that it's going to help them make bond payments that they have been unable to make independently at least over the last two to three years.

In addition to that, the city will be using it's taxing powers to guarantee this borrowing for the Scranton Parking Authority. And as you know, they very already amassed significant debt which is guaranteed by the taxing authority of the City of Scranton. So I think it's important for everyone to see the whole picture there, that's it's not merely the \$6 million for the purchase of parking meters that's at stake, it's \$14 million in total and it's putting the taxpayers on the book for a municipal authority that has been unable to make it's bond payments every year.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Evans, if you don't mind me interrupting very briefly. I will just say I did not mention that part of it, and that's one of the reasons. This was just sent to us today and that's why I think

a lot of research needs to be done before making a concrete decision on the issue.

MS. EVANS: Well, Mrs. Krake, if we can ask for the following things, there may be more, but as of tonight what appears to be missing from the legislation for the acquisition of the parking system by the Parking Authority from the City of Scranton: First of all, council requests a complete breakdown of the 8 1/2 percent interest rate.

And next, three crucial documents
that are referred to in the legislation have
not been attached, they are as follows:

The operating agreement between the City of Scranton and the Scranton Parking Authority, the trust indenture, and the report from McGrail, Merkle and Quinn, Consultants.

Now, since Mr. McGowan was the individual who forwarded this legislation to Councilman Joyce, you might want to approach him as well as the legal department in terms of these letters. We need this information, however, I would -- I'm requesting the

information by this Thursday, that would be September 22 if the legislation is to be placed on the September 27 agenda for introduction. If we do not receive in information prior to Thursday, the legislation will be withheld until we receive the required documents that are very obviously missing from the legislation.

Councilman Loscombe is absent from tonight's meeting because of illness.

Now, prior to tonight's regularly scheduled meeting, Scranton City Council conducted a public hearing regarding the administration's proposed 2012 CDBG allocations. I have not yet developed any recommendations for amendments to these allocations because of I wish to hear first from the public.

Councilman Rogan will draft proposed amendments which will be given to council members for their final consideration and modifications. The final product will be made public prior to the final vote in Seventh Order, which will not occur until 30 days following tonight's public hearing.

On a related topic, Ms. Aebli state recently in the Scranton Times that the layoffs of 13 police officers in August required the elimination of the neighborhood police patrol. "If you don't have neighborhood police, why fund the vehicles for them?

What Ms. Aebli didn't tell you was that there is over \$650,000 in CDBG funds available for the neighborhood police patrols that's been accumulated over the last few years. In addition, the administration's proposed 2012 CDBG allocations including an additional \$200,000 for neighborhood police patrols. When in Ms. Aebli's words the neighborhood police patrols have been eliminated.

The better question should be asked of Ms. Aebli, since you have eliminated neighborhood police patrols, why continue to fund them with over \$800,000? The reality is that our city has lost six to eight hundred thousand dollars worth of federally funded neighborhood police for at least the next 12 months because the mayor cut 13

police officers in August.

Additionally, when the mayor stops cutting regular police officers, once again the city will be become eligible for CDBG funding of the neighborhood police patrols following 12 calendar months without layoffs.

For example, if no police layoffs occur from January 2012 through December 2012, the city may then use CDBG funds to hire new neighborhood police patrols in January 2013 and at such time the city may also use CDBG funds to purchase police vehicles only for these neighborhood police patrols. The uses of both allocations and must be well documented to remain eligible.

The administration's stockpiling and continued funding of neighborhood police patrols clearly indicates the intention to create neighborhood police patrols at some future date when the city becomes eligible to do so. The funding of police vehicles, therefore, remains important to the needs and success of the future neighborhood police patrols. The more cuts the mayor

orders to the police department, the longer it will take to return neighborhood police patrols to our city.

If the mayor intends to make additional personnel cuts in 2011, it appears that he should look to departments outside of public safety, rather than continuing to jeopardize the health, safety and welfare of Scranton residents and to prevent the hiring of neighborhood police.

Next, city council continues to await the full recommendations of Mayor Doherty to address the reported 2011 \$8.2 million deficit and timely pay the 2011 TAN-B as well as the completion of the 2010 independent audit which demands the cooperation of the business administrator to provide required financial documents to Rossi & Rossi & Sons, auditors. If business administrator McGowan is genuine in his request for council's cooperation in the formulation of a 2012 budget, he should immediately provide information and documents to complete the 2010 audit and provide financial information to city

council filed by Right-to-Know requests
without charging council for this very same
information that should be have been
provided initially to the legislative branch
of the city government without having to
file a Right-to-Know request.

Further, I wish to correct statements made by Ryan McGowan in the September 9 edition of the Scranton Times. Mr. McGowan contends that council's tax cuts resulted in a loss of \$3 million in revenue. Mr. McGowan said the \$3 million in lost revenue includes \$1.2 million in real estate taxes, \$500,000 in business privilege and mercantile taxes, about \$1 million in wage taxes, and money lost due to the inability to takes residents to Court over delinquent taxes and refuse fees.

For as much as we wish we could do so, city council never lowered the wage tax. In addition, NCC stopped collecting delinquent taxes and garbage fees in November 2010 and council was not notified by either the administration or the Scranton Redevelopment Authority until it received

legislation from the administration to repeal the 2007 ordinance which set 23 costs fees and penalties, and to hire a new collection company in midyear 2011.

Council acted thereafter in concert with Northeast Revenue Services to develop a contract that is fair to both the city and the delinquent taxpayers. The administration dragged it's feet on this issue as well until finally, finally, Northeast Revenue Services, the new company, began it's work in September.

Meanwhile, in January of 2011 the mayor removed the tax clerk from the City Treasurer's Office which caused the office to fall behind in it's delinquent tax collections. Thus, city council will not accept blame for revenue shortfalls caused by the administration regardless of the numerous futile efforts made by Ryan McGowan.

Furthermore, Mr. McGowan has again made questionable or contradictory statements regarding city finances. In March, 2011, Mr. McGowan stated that he used

\$5 million from the Workers' Comp Fund to repay the 2011 TAN-A early, thereby, reducing the fund from to 20 to 15 million dollars.

At the September 12 PEL meeting,
Mr. McGowan stated that the Workers' Comp
Fund is 75 percent funded, which would mean
that approximately \$15 million remains in
the account. However, in the September 19
Scranton Times' article, Mr. McGowan said
that the fund is now back at the \$20.5
million dollar level required by the state.
Thus, it appears that either the city found
\$5 million between September 12 and
September 19 or Mr. McGowan's statements to
PEL and the newspaper contradict one
another.

Consequently, I would like a letter sent to Mr. McGowan on behalf of city council requesting responses to the following questions on or before September 26, 2011:

Was \$5 million returned to the Workers' Comp Excess Fund? If so, on what date what the \$5 million refunded? What

revenue was used to refund \$5 million to the Workers' Comp Excess Fund without council's approval? If the Workers' Comp Fund currently contains \$20 million will the administration, with the approval of council, use the available \$5 million to pay the 2011 TAN-B?

And just so the public can understand, if indeed that \$5 million mysteriously, miraculously reappeared into that account within the last week, it can be used again with the consent of the city council and the city then has a five-year period in which to repay that \$5 million to the account if it is still needed according to the regulations.

Additionally, I would like to remind the reporter that I suggested buyouts and retirements during the summer months of 2011 prior to any statements made by the administration. If the city were interested in accepting my suggestions, it could have begun the process of pursuing these cost saving measures in August, but it has failed to do so, just as since January it refused

to implement the StreetSmart Technologies

Parking Program and the Rental Registration

Program to raise revenue.

Lastly, when I raised the issues of a payroll tax and commuter tax, both taxes, at the September 13 council meeting, I explained that these were long-term solutions that the city must pursue in order to rebound financially. At no time did I state that these were immediate solutions to the current deficit since council was awaiting the mayor's recommendations.

Also, during last week's meeting, I announced that the Pennsylvania Economy
League recommended borrowing \$8.2 million to fill the Doherty deficit and that such borrowing would need to go before the Court of Common Pleas for approval, and that's according to PEL. Municipal borrowing is governed by the Unit Debt Act, and as we all know, Mayor Doherty borrowed significant dollar amounts from 2003 through at least 2008, however, under the Unit Debt Act none of these borrowings carried Court approval.

Also, the newest proposed borrowing

by the Scranton Parking Authority for the \$14 million will not require Court approval and the city is required, as I said previously, to pledge it's taxing authority as part of that agreement.

PEL was asked to provide council with the written, legal requirements for the current proposed borrowing and in response if forwarded a copy of the United Debt Act today. According to this document, the governing unit or city may seek Court approval for unfunded debt. Court approved borrowing carries a maximum payment term of only ten years, and more importantly, the Court may levy debt service millage to ensure the full and timely repayment of the loan according to PEL. These tax increases would be set aside solely for the repayment of this borrowing.

If this matter moves forward to the Court of Common Pleas, I would hope that it's heard and decided by a local judge who is elected by the voters of Scranton to represent and serve us. I would note like to see an another judge brought in from out

of town who has no stake in our community to decide this case. Because most city council members have stated their opposition to tax increases, could this be an avenue to circumvent city council and force a tax increase on Scranton residents which is after all part of the Pennsylvania Economy League's and the mayor's plan?

As I receive additional information I will update you, the public, and until council's questions are answered by Mr. McGowan and PEL and the 2010 audit is completed PEL will not receive the privilege of presenting it's revised Recovery Plan before Scranton City Council.

Next, ten city businesses have filed commercial appeals of their property taxes which are scheduled for hearings on October 26, 2011. Mrs. Krake, please forward a letter to the mayor and City Solicitor Kelly on behalf of council requesting that the city solicitor or his representative will attend these hearings and oppose tax reductions which effect the city's revenue in light of the significant deficit and 19

years as a distressed municipality. And I believe one of those commercial appeals, in fact, involves a bank, banks who don't pay business taxes to the city and now they are looking for a cut in their property taxes, so I think it's very important that if the administration is truly concerned about the financial state of the city they are going to start to take some proactive measures at these hearings.

Now, I did have a list of citizens' requests, but I am going to forego reading them, however, they will be submitted to our office and the requests will be made this week of the appropriate departments, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53,

2011 - AN ORDINANCE- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR

AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY

OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO

IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

	138
1	TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
2	BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
3	PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
4	SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM.
5	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
6	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question?
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes, will the amended
12	allocations be available prior to the final
13	vote?
14	MS. EVANS: Yes, that's what I
15	stated.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, will they be
17	available to the public as well?
18	MS. EVANS: They should be in
19	council's office available to the public.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
21	MS. EVANS: We have a 30-day window
22	before that happens.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you very
24	much.
25	MS. EVANS: You're welcome. Anyone
	Π

	140
1	else on the question? All those in favor
2	signify by saying aye.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
6	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
7	have it and so moved.
8	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
9	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 54, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE-
10	CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY
11	ACCOUNT NO. 02.229601 ENTITLED "BE PART OF
12	THE SOLUTION" FOR THE RECEIPT AND
13	DISBURSEMENT OF DONATIONS RECEIVED BY THE
14	POLICE DEPARTMENT AS PART OF THEIR CRIME
15	PREVENTION CAMPAIGN.
16	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
	ll

1 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION 3 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION 4 -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 51, 2011- SALE OF TAX 5 DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 6 PT5/REAR 3118 JONES STREET, TAX MAP NO. 7 8 16620-010-006, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO 9 JOHN J. GAUGHAN AND MARIE A. GAUGHAN, HIS WIFE, 99 CRANE STREET, SCRANTON, 10 PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, FOR THE CONSIDERATION 11 12 OF \$2,700.00. 13 MS. EVANS: What is the 14 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance? 15 16 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 17 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 18 passage of Item 7-A. MR. ROGAN: One. Or second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 21 call, please? 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 23 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 25 MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	142
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. KRAKE: 7-B.FOR CONSIDERATION BY
7	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR
8	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 2011-
9	VACATING THE EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY AND
10	ACCEPTING AND ORDAINING A NEW RIGHT OF WAY
11	TO WIDEN A PORTION OF MURPHY COURT TO
12	INCREASE ITS RIGHT OF WAY TO 22 FEET.
13	MS. EVANS: What is the
14	recommendation of the temporary Chair for
15	the Committee on Public Safety?
16	MR. JOYCE: As temporary Chairperson
17	for the Committee on Public Safety, I
18	recommend final passage of Item 7-B.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

_	
	143
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. If
6	there is no further business, I'll entertain
7	a motion to adjourn.
8	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
9	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
10	adjourned.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER