		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, September 6, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	04THENE 0 NARROZZI RED 05510141 00HRT 5550555	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		
ı		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE (Not present)

JOHN LOSCOMBE

ROBERT MCGOFF

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here.
13	MS. EVANS: Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION
17	MEETING HELD JUNE 22, 2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING HELD JUNE
22	22, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

1	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH & WELFARE
2	AUTHORITY MEETING HELD JUNE 16, 2011.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
6	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
7	MEETING HELD JUNE 22, 2011.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
11	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JUNE
12	22, 2011.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. TAX ASSESSOR'S
16	REPORT APPEAL HEARING RESULTS HELD JULY 20,
17	2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. AGENDA FOR THE
21	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND HELD JULY
22	27, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE

	· ·
1	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTER'S PENSION COMMISSION
2	MEETING HELD JULY 27, 2011.
3	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. KRAKE: 3-I. MINUTES OF THE
6	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JULY
7	27, 2011.
8	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. KRAKE: 3-J. MINUTES OF THE
11	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND MEETING
12	HELD JULY 27, 2011.
13	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. KRAKE: 3-K. AGENDA FOR THE
16	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD AUGUST 10,
17	2011.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-L. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
21	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD AUGUST 17,
22	2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes?

MS. KRAKE: I have one thing to 1 report on clerk's notes, Mrs. Evans, all 2 3 council members should see a copy of the 4 paid receipt for the Chamber of the Commerce 5 building's city taxes. MS. EVANS: 6 I have a voicemail from 7 MS. KRAKE: 8 business administrator saying that that 9 money will be deposited tomorrow. 10 follow-up to make sure that the check does clear the bank. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Thank you very much, 13 Mrs. Krake. 14 MR. HUGHES: Madam President, Jerry Weinberger is here for two matters tonight, 15 16 he represents Scranton Mulberry Plaza, so if 17 there is any questions you could address 18 them to Attorney Weinberger. 19 MS. EVANS: Thank you. That's it for Clerk's 20 MS. KRAKE: 21 FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' notes. 22 PARTICIPATION. 23 MS. EVANS: Well, before we --24 MS. KRAKE: Pardon me. 25 MS. EVANS: Do we have any council

•

members with announcements tonight?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have none.

MR. ROGAN: I would just make one brief announcement. I'm sure everybody saw the tragic fire that happened today, this morning, and one thing that was reported in the newspaper is that I would just like to get out there publically is to remind everyone to check their smoke alarms, check their batteries. If they don't have smoke alarms in their house now to go out and get them. If they can't afford them, contact one of us through our programs available, there are smoke free detectors that are given out and it's something that's very important and it could save a life.

MR. MCGOFF: First, the South Side
Senior Center is holding it's annual
spaghetti dinner. It will be Thursday,
September 22. I think they have both
takeout and eat in. I will try and get more
information, and that's held at the senior
center on Alder Street, the 400 block of
Alder Street and that's South Side.

And also the Lupus Foundation is

having their annual Lupus group race/walk at Nay Aug Park on Sunday, October 2.

Registration starts at 9:30 and the race and walk start at is 11 a.m.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman
Joyce is unable to attend tonight's meeting
due to illness. Scranton City Council
wishes to recognize Lutherwood Senior Living
for their 2011 payment in lieu of taxes of
\$6,000. The City of Scranton is most
grateful for Lutherwood's annual generosity
and it's commitment to our shared community.

The Scranton Fraternal Order of Eagles will conduct a chicken barbecue this Sunday, September 10, from 2 to 6 p.m. at 493 Meridian Avenue in Scranton. Tickets are \$8.00 per dinner, and takeouts are available.

This Sunday marks the tenth anniversary of the 9/11 attacks against America. Over 2,700 victims died at the World Trade Center, including 343 firefighters, 60 police officers from New York City and the Port Authority and eight EMT's and paramedics. 184 were killed in

the attack on the Pentagon. There were no survivors of any of the four commercial airline flights which were highjacked.

The attacks had immediate and overwhelming effects on Americans. From such great tragedy and loss rose stunning generosity, compassion and humanitarianism. Ten years have passed and many of our youngest citizens had never seen the videos and photos of those unparalleled days. While many of us can vividly recall the hours between 8:46 and 10:28 a.m. on Tuesday, September 11, 2001, pause to remember on each of the successive anniversaries of the attacks.

those anniversaries have seen growing divisiveness, apathy or disdain for others and self-absorption. It's important not only that we remember and honor the victims and heroes of this American tragedy, but also how we remember and honor them, through unity rather than divisiveness in solving problems, through respect and appreciation rather than disdain for our men and women in

1 public safety service and through generosity and compassion for one another, and that's 2 3 it. MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 4 PARTICIPATION. 5 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this 6 7 evening is Andy Sbaraglia. 8 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 9 citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. 10 The parking Authority apparently is in the 11 process of borrowing \$2.9 million, okay, 12 which is fine, but where is their 13 collateral? What kind of collateral is the 14 Parking Authority putting up to secure this \$2.9 dollars loans? Now, you know, I think 15 16 we are on the books for about 45 million? 17 think that article was pretty close here. 18 Now, I think it's 45 million. MS. EVANS: I would think that's in 19 20 principal debt only. 21 MR. SBARAGLIA: The principal only, 22 the paper? They didn't even put the 23 long-term debt in? 24 MS. EVANS: That's certainly not the 25 loan.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: What else is new with the Times? But that's the point, the point is what kind of a lien do we have against the Parking Authority to secure our loan in case they default? There is a good chance they can default, I ain't going to see them steal another piece of money from the city just like that Hilton did. That's still galls that Gary DiBileo allowed them to do that. They went bankrupt anyway. When they came before you, I told them, let them go bankrupt, someone else would take it over and we would have got a million, what was it a million four, we would have got that. We got nothing.

Now we are in the process of them borrowing \$2.9 million and the only thing they got to put up as collateral, most likely, is a garage. That would be the most likely thing. Now, why should they be allowed to put up a garage that we owe that much money on? I mean, something has got to be done. The people of Scranton has to be protected from them politicians in the city. There is no question about it. I mean, they

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

go do whatever they want.

Thank God, I don't know if it has to come before you, but I doubt it, they are just going to -- the bank is going to come up and say, "Hey, you're paying us. The garage is ours."

And who has to pay the 45 million plus like you keep saying? The poor stupid people of Scranton. Something has got to be done to protect the people of Scranton. Either some type of a lien has to be placed against all of the parking garages that the money is owed to the people of Scranton. This Authority does not own the parking They are only caretakers. garage. all. And when they cease being caretakers and lose the ability to really protect the people that entrusted that garage to them they got to be removed, but I have been telling you this all along. All of these authorities should be disbanded. All they do is work for whoever they are working for, but not for the people of Scranton.

Now, let's get off my horse on that and I've been after them for how long now, I

always wondered how they were going to pay off that bond and many times I asked how are they going to pay off that bond? Well, now we know. They borrow to pay off the bond and increase the debt, which is normal in this city. Everybody figures you can just borrow your way out of debt.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Sbargalia, I think in all actuality they may have borrowed this \$2.9 million in part to pay off the borrowing from either last year or the previous year that they had taken out to make bond payments as well. I believe there were two previous borrowings in addition to that last \$35 million dollar borrowing. That was done, I think, through lines of credit in order to make bonds payments and now my understanding is that this newest borrowing is being used in part to repay either last year's or the prior year's borrowing.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yeah, the paper points out the letter of credit. Of course, I'm blue in the face mentioning that letter. I think the SRA had one there, too, if they

didn't use it to nothing. We were great.

We gave everybody letters of credit. Hey,
here they are. The people of Scranton don't
know what's going on. Why should they care?

But the truth is they have to care because
it's coming back to haunt us time after time
after time.

Something has got to be done and especially to protect the garages as they are now. Somewhere you have to get in there, whatever legally you can do, to prevent them garages from being -- well, actually foreclosed on by the bank until our money is paid. I wish we could put a lien against all of the garages and then first on the lien and not second and third or fourth or fifth, depending on what council is up there.

Something has got to be done to protect the people of Scranton and not just lay off firemen and policemen. That doesn't really protect the people of Scranton. In fact, it might even endanger most people in Scranton. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And just a

1

point for further clarification, those lines of credit that I noted earlier never came before Scranton City Council for it's The full faith and credit of the approval. city was not pledged for those particular events of borrowing and I believe than this particular issue, this latest loan, will not come before city council for it's approval because, again, the full faith and credit of the city is not being required and that is why I think Mr. Sbaraglia raises a very genuine and real concern when he asks what is the collateral for this particular loan when it's not being backed with the taxing power of the City of Scranton? certainly is much more to this situation than we are being told. Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton.

Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: I'm here tonight as a follow-up of an audit that was done last November by the HUD Office of Inspector General whereby they found \$11.7 million of

24

20

21

22

23

25

CDBG money that they felt were findings and if they didn't resolve these findings it was to be paid by non-federal funds. Well, there is 11 findings, I didn't even go at that and bird dog them, and on August 5 of this year, practically a month ago, a letter was sent out from HUD, they had a five-person team up here from Philadelphia to review the OIG audit, okay, and they spent ten days here an OECD.

Subsequently they sent a letter

August 5, 2011, to Ms. Linda Aebli and to

the mayor and I wonder if you people

received a copy of this? Mr. Rogan, you are

the chairman of the CDBG, did you receive a

copy of this?

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe I have.

MR. QUINN: Well, this is nothing but stealth, okay, what's going on here.
You know, we are fooling around with \$11.7 million of taxpayers' money, not only taxpayers in the city, but throughout the county and whatnot, okay? Here we have a three-page letter giving them 60 days to answer the findings, okay? And they go onto

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say 34 pages, 34 pages just what they have done and how are they going to correct it, okay?

Now, when I looked at the audit, and I just want to read it, I got to put my cheaters on to read this, okay? Do you mind if I read this letter?

MS. EVANS: Oh, please, go ahead.

MR. QUINN: It's from the Department of Housing and Urban Development and it's a 2011 monitor review, City of Scranton, CDBG. "This serves to advise you of the results of our monitoring view of the Scranton CDBG This review is conducted by a team program. lead by Mr. Stephen Stein, community planning and development representative. The team also include Mr. Christopher McDonald, Ms. Stephanie Citiya, financial analyst, Paul Leeman, regional environment officer, Michael Zupert, regional property acquisition and relocation specialist. views was conducted April 11 to April 21, 2011.

This review follows up an audit of Scranton CDBG program that's conducted by

the HUD regional inspector general audit during 2010. The OIG report was issued on November 8, 2010."

It was issued, but you people never received it, no one received it but the mayor and the OECD. It contained one finding that identified multiple deficiencies and listed all Scranton expenditures during the period of 2008 to 2010 as questioned costs.

"Please note the questioned costs are not the same as the (unintelligible).

The purpose of this review is to determine the validity of the OIG findings, assess

Scranton's progress and correcting unidentified deficiencies and to determine the corrective action that are needed. The one OIG item is divided into 11 parts."

Well, I won't go onto read the list of the letter, okay, it's just a lot of jargon, but the fact is I just want to point out something that really, for instance, and this wasn't in -- like, spelled out in the audit but now it is. One of the two payments was for construction damage. Now,

get this. The Scranton Redevelopment
Authority paid for damaged that are caused
to the roof of an adjacent building during
the construction of a parking garage. The
SRA was reimbursed for the expense -- for
this expense by the city's community
development block grant funds. This payment
is unallowable cost and the city must
reimburse it's local CDBG account using
nonfederal funds.

How could that happen? Did anybody ever know about that? I never read anything in the paper about anything like that? I know, Mr. Hughes, when you were Chairman of the Redevelopment Authority we made sure that the people who were doing the demolition had insurance? I just don't understand.

MR. HUGHES: That's always a bidding requirement.

 $\label{eq:mr.quinn:} \text{MR. QUINN:} \quad \text{I just don't understand} \\ \text{this.}$

MR. HUGHES: I don't either, Oz.

MR. QUINN: Pardon?

MR. HUGHES: I said I don't either,

0z.

1

Just a few more things, MR. QUINN: a couple of other things, okay? The City allowed an apparent conflict of interest. It appears that the former SRA director was writing himself a check for \$1,000 every month for his firm that he hired to do work for the SRA. Now, this is being reviewed by the city's legal counsel, okay? And also, the fact that the Department of Licensing, Permits and Inspections during the period for which the city is required to maintain records there was no records kept. don't know why this Community Development Department, the OECD, was paying LIPS for department salary for the director and they allowed a none -- an unallowable clause to be paid back by nonfederal funds, and when you go through this whole thing, and it's really a clandestine type of thing that none of you people up there knew except maybe Mr. McGoff knows, were you aware of this, Mr. McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Of that letter? No.

MR. QUINN: Well, you know, I think

24

19 20

18

21

22

23

24

25

this is what's going on in government, and I'm sorry for being -- how can we, the taxpayers, keep on shoving out our taxes when we don't know what the heck is going on down there in the mayor's office or over in the sixth floor of the Brook's building or anywhere else in the city hall? I mean, it's a shame that we have to, you know, sit here and take this year after year, millions and millions, \$300 million dollars of long-term debt, and Andy is talking about how they pay their debt, they do it by refinancing. They have been doing that for Mr. Evans has been trying to do that years. and she is criticized by cartoons and by whatever by the Scranton Times and the rest of the council members that go against the Doherty regime.

Now, we got to find out what the heck is going on in the mayor's office.

When we get 34 pages here, 34 pages of things that are going on, what the heck else is going on? I'm sorry, for keeping up the time, but I ask you, Mrs. Evans, to please arrange a meeting if you can between the HUD

officials and the OECD and the mayor to find out what's going on and why you people are not abreast. You were elected by me and the rest of these people and you are sitting up there and I feel sorry for you, you know, what else don't you know that's going on?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. QUINN: Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Quinn, you haven't read about in the Times probably because the Times and the mayor haven't figured a way yet to blame council for that.

MR. QUINN: Well, you probably will get it in the cartoon Sunday.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'm sure.

MS. EVANS: I wanted to add that council's office had requested that monitoring report from HUD many, many months ago and it was never forwarded to Scranton City Council. The only way in which I became aware of what has been occurring in OECD was thanks to Mr. Ozzie Quinn sharing his copy of the report with me.

I know that in the past we actively sought to schedule a meeting between I

believe it is Nadab Bynum of HUD and his signature appears on the letter that you read tonight, and we had been told that HUD would not meet with council until all of the findings and concerns had been resolved because any type of meeting that would occur prior to that particular situation would enable HUD only to report what the typical process of an audit is for any Office of Economic and Community Development throughout Pennsylvania and the United States.

In other words, they would come in and tell you what the process is for any of the offices, but they would not discuss the particular problems that the City of Scranton Office Economic and Community Development has encountered, so I think at this point now that this monitoring review at least has been completed, we can once again contact Mr. Bynum and ask him if he is now prepared to come and speak to council about the specific findings and concerns.

Mrs. Krake, I don't know if he may postpone such a meeting as he did before

based on his desire to allow these Scranton OECD Office to present it's corrective measures or replies to the findings and concerns that they have now forwarded.

Nevertheless, you know, I think we can ask for a meeting now. Should they insist that we must wait the additional 60 days until the corrective measures have been submitted then we will once again insist that Mr. Binam attend a public caucus meeting of Scranton City Council to address each one of those issues satisfactorily so that the public can know exactly what has been occurring in this office over the last several years.

MR. QUINN: Well, I'm sorry I'm over, but you are approving things, here you are approved the Community Development Block Grant Consolidated Plan and that responsibility has fallen on your backs and they should -- they should definitely sit down and talk to you. Now, do you want to be responsible for say the SRA knocking down a building that you knew nothing about or LIPS getting a raise or whatever, okay? You

don't know anything about it and you are voting on it and I feel sorry for you in that way and this is the -- there is no transparency between the mayor and the city council and they blame the city council and I say, no, it's the mayor downstairs and this letter is indicative of what's going on.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council, Les Spindler.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: City resident and homeowner and taxpayer. Welcome back. The laying off of 13 police officers and eight firefighters is just a travesty. This goes onto prove what I have said for years, Mayor Doherty doesn't give a damn about public safety. He said the response times won't be affected and I am calling him a liar on that and he is a liar and my point was proven this morning that deadly fire. Engine 9 on Main avenue would have been the first engine

to that house and I don't where the other one came from, but Engine 9 could have been there in no time and the response time was much greater than if Engine 9 was on Main Avenue. These deaths are all on Mayor Doherty and I hope he can sleep well at night, and I know he can because he doesn't give a darn about the people in this city.

And why Judge Thomson is the one that comes in to hear all of Chris Doherty's cases I have no idea. Well, I do have an idea because Judge Thomson is in Mayor Doherty's pocket. Every time there is a case that comes up Judge Thomson comes in and he decides in favor of Chris Doherty. Well, every time Judge Thomson is taken to Harrisburg he losses, so I hope the unions take this case to a higher Court and have this case overthrown just like the case with the SIT clerks was, and who ended up paying for that, the taxpayers.

And Joe Pilchesky took Judge Thomson to Harrisburg five times and had five cases overturned. It just goes to show this judge is no good, he is brought in purposely to

have Chris Doherty win all of his cases.

When Chris Doherty ran for reelection after his first term he said he would not close firehouses. Dave Gervasi, myself and other people came here and said, "He is going to close firehouses," and Chris Doherty said, "No, it's just scare tactics."

Well, here we go, he is closing firehouses. Engine 15 is closed and Engine 10 is probably going to close. Again, Chris Doherty has done nothing but lie since day one when he said he would be the sixth council person here and lives are going to be lost, this is just the beginning and it's a darn shame. I don't know how the people in this city don't revolt against this person. Something has got to be done because more lives are going to be lost.

This is just a week after these firefighters were laid off and one of the laid off firefighters tried to get into that house today and save these people.

That's the kind of people these guys are and he is laying them off and I hear there is going to be more and there is going to be

more police officers laid off, too.

Something has got to be done. This mayor is just out of control.

I was going to talk about what Andy did, the Scranton Parking Authority defaulting on the loan, and I don't know where the loan came from now, but Bob Scopelliti went to Fidelity Bank and Pennstar and was turned down by both of them. As I've said in the past, I think all of these authorities should be abolished, they are doing nothing but costing this city money as, like, now the city is responsible for 1 1/2 million that the Recreation Authority defaulted on. Well, something has got to be done there, also.

This thing about Mayor Doherty disregarding everything council is doing, ordinances council passes, I don't know why something can be done about this man. He is not collecting money from CMC, which I didn't know until the last meeting.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, I'm going to address all of that under my motions this evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, okay, good, because he is do everything to make council look bad and it's a darn shame and you don't hear anything about that in the Times-Tribune, you just talk about Mayor Doherty and what a great job.

Next thing, I have spoken on ECTV ever since they have been here, they are doing a terrible job and again my point was proven about when council had the emergency meeting in August, Chris Doherty told them not no broadcast that meeting and they didn't. Two people had to do it on their own. I think council should look for another provider to do these deeds because ECTV is, like I said, it's just Elect Chris TV. That's what it stands for.

Moving on. Well, I finally got some satisfaction about the stolen DiBileo signs because one of the persons involved in that was arrested on a much more serious theft and he is going to be going to jail for a long, long time, so I'm very happy about that. Maybe if he was arrested for the first crime he wouldn't have committed the

second one, and that's all on Chief Elliott, former Chief Elliott, Mayor Doherty, and present Chief Duffy.

Lastly, an article, "Money's list of America's Best Small Towns," Scranton isn't in the top 100. If they were in the top 100 it would all over the Times-Tribune. They would be blowing their horn what a great job the mayor is doing. Now, we are not in the top 100 of the small cities you don't hear anything in the Doherty newsletter about that. It's a darn shame. This newspaper is so biased it's unbelievable. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MILLER: I'd like to begin on
Agenda Item 5-C and G, if I could. Now, I
just have to kind of admit here tonight I am
a little bit puzzled as to why we would be

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

considering this, another playground in the city. I think our current financial position should send us a signal that we can't afford to take on another park and, obviously, we've had a lot of frustration over the summer because we couldn't even operate what we had, we couldn't even open up swimming pools, and I think the mayor really made a mockery out of us this summer. And sure it's nice to accept \$50,000. all we heard, oh, ok how can you turn down a \$50,000 grant? But what they failed to mention was where is the funding going to come for the upkeep of this park? Yeah, it would be nice to build parks all over the city, but you have to upkeep them and in our position right now we can't afford to do that.

You know, we had the mayor and Mr. McGoff begging council to run this through. I think the mayor and Mr. McGoff need to start setting priorities here. Do we want to focus on parks and all that nonsense, or do we want to address our financial nightmare that these two really

had a lot to do with? I would certainly hope that some common sense would be used. I know Mr. McGoff likes to talk about common sense. Well, hopefully we will start using it a little bit, you know, because, Mr. McGoff, we haven't seen much of it.

You know, and I'm hopeful that we'll really take a real good luck at this because, you know, Councilman Loscombe made a real good point week's back, this isn't Disney World, all right? We deal in reality here, and reality is we are faced with an alleged \$8.1 million deficit at the year's end and it's time to start finding solutions and worrying about parks right now, we don't have time for that. We have much more important matters to address right now.

Moving on. I'd like to discuss the KOZ's and nonprofits a little bit. I know we will certainly continue to address the deficit and by doing so we'll look to increase revenue within the city, and by doing that I'm hopeful that we can continue to pursue higher payments from nonprofits throughout the city and, of course, the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University of Scranton always becomes the focal point of the conversation and for legitimate reasons. Their current payment of I believe \$175,000 is lunch money to them, and I think we need to sit down with them once again and work out an arrangement that's fair for both sides.

You know, I can't imagine how the University could feel proud about attracting potential students to a distressed city. mean, look around. There is nothing here. We are a city that focuses on parks. mean, I don't understand where this administration is trying to go at all. There is no jobs, there is nothing, and we really need to start setting priorities here because, unfortunately, for years we haven't and it's lead us to where we are today. council is left with a mess and, of course, you are being blamed for it, which shouldn't be surprising because the man downstairs hasn't taken responsibility for anything for ten years, and nothing is going to change now.

I'd like to talk about the ruling by

25

Judge Thomson. Once again, the mayor gets his way. It's a total disgrace that this man is allowed to continue to jeopardize our health and safety. We saw what happened this morning and if that doesn't send a message to him downstairs then I don't what What else has to happen? I mean, this is really getting out of hand? I mean, we had to lose lives this morning for this guy hopefully to get it through his head that we can't afford to be taking more police and firemen off the street.

You know, because of his actions we have 21 less police and firemen on the His actions have also resulted in street. engine companies being shut down and what It's effected response times, has it done? regardless of what he wants to say. not qualified to make that decision. doesn't know what he is talking about. We have had firemen come up here and explain that response times will be affected. the mayor should go on an engine and then he can figure out himself that, yeah, it's common sense. Response times will be

3

1

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affected, but until he does that I think he needs to keep quiet because he has no idea what he is talking about and I don't where he gets his information from, but he's false.

The games need to end now and the mayor needs to stop blaming other people and he needs to take responsibility once and for all, and as I said at the special meeting, council needs to hold this man accountable. You know, it's time as, you know, a lot of the critics like to come forward and criticize council for not working with the mayor and as I have stated in the past, and you know this yourself, there is no working with this man. It's his way or no way and he needs to put all of that political nonsense aside and work with council not against you. His refusal to enforce your legislation just shows his arrogance and shows that he certainly doesn't have the best interests of the residents in mind because if he did he would be willing to work with you and willing to enforce your ledge.

2

3

5

4

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, if I may?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MILLER: One last few comments here, I'd like to talk about Sunday columnist Chris Kelly. You know, in recent weeks he has got a lot to say about council and the city. He has taken cheap shots at you, he has made some absurd statements that really serve no purposes. You know, I find it quite comical that Mr. Kelly has so much to say about the job council is doing and yet in all of my time coming here I don't think I can recall Mr. Kelly coming up to this podium and offering any solutions or suggestions. You know, it's easy to hide behind a computer, Mr. Kelly, and take shots, but it takes a real man to come up to the podium and offer some solutions and some productive analogies as to how we can solve our problems.

You know, don't criticize council for attempting to relieve the financial burden, ask the mayor why he fails to comply with anything that council puts through. Ask why he failed to enforce StreetSmart or

2
 3
 4

why he failed to properly enforce the rental registration fee. Those are just two examples, the list goes on and on, we can go on 10 year's worth of items. You know, if you want to write articles in the future please go right ahead, but offer something productive, offer something that's going to serve the city in a positive way.

You know, as far as the political cartoons, you know, they had one the other day with the Superman costume and I have to say wouldn't take that as a slap in the face, I would actually take that as a compliment, because you know what? You guys are the only true heroes that we have in the city so I'd actually take that as a compliment. You should be proud to wear the Superman costumes because you're all we have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: All summer I went to yard sales and flea markets and those kind of activities like the churches had and I

think I talked to a true -- more than a 100 people about politics and everybody, every last one of them, just showed disappointment in council. Don't shoot the messenger now. I'm on your side. They just don't think -- they haven't said you failed, they just don't think you are up to your potential and I think between taking a vacation, which everybody was against, where a lot of people said if you want a vacation take one without pay and personally I agree with that. I just don't think council is so overworked and stressed out they needed more time than the president.

And secondly, was Al Boscov. You just don't know how offended the people of this city were that you gave him one second more. They feel you turned your back on the people of this city for Al Boscov. I don't think you know it, he opened up two new stores this summer in New Jersey with our money. Yeah. That's the man that sits and pleads poverty in here. He had a staff meeting and I was told he told the staff meeting December 31 he wants to see a

billion dollars, a billion dollars in sales for his 40 stores or whatever they are.

Yep. That's the kind of person -- he is not a honorable person to do business with and people are really offended about that just giving him any leeway whatsoever.

I heard I'd say 15, 20 people just brought it up like that. I bet you don't know he is looking for another location downtown to move out of the mall? Yeah.

I'm full of surprises tonight. I heard this -- this is from a good source.

Well, you know, the city is just so desperately in need of leadership that people look to council because they are not getting nothing out of this guy downstairs. I mean, you know, we have given up on him. It seems like everybody thinks the taxpayers are a cash cow. You know, Al thinks their a cash cow, the school board, the mayor's lawyers, all of these nonprofits, the University, everybody falls back on the taxpayers.

Here we are with a third of our money is gone in the tax base, which makes

know, like I said several times, I don't think any of you all really have talked to people that are just losing everything, just giving up all kinds of things to try to hold onto their house, and I have talked to them that haven't been able to hold onto their houses. It's just -- it's just -- it's sad that, like I have said a couple of times and talked with some widow living across the street that lost a house that was paid for, that just shouldn't happen in this country, in this city.

all of us paying a third more in taxes.

And I was down at Wilkes-Barre, to change the subject a little bit, last week I was reading a Wilkes-Barre paper, the college was so powerful they had a four-lane street down there closed, I'm not familiar with the streets, and they are making it into a two-lane street because the dumbbells don't have enough brains to cross the street without getting run over and causing accidents and everything else. Now, I have told you, you are going to see the same thing with this University pressing to close

this street. It's coming. And they will probably win.

You know, again, don't shoot the messenger, like, I'm on your side, I support you. The fingers on you, you know, don't fail us. I want to tell you something before I leave just take a -- I walked the dog before and I got caught in a downpour and I told you the Bassett hound doesn't run. I got home I was soaked to the skin. I started hanging my coat up and Rosie got a couple of towels out. I said, "Hand me a towel," and she threw me this towel and I was wiping my face and I said, "It's all wet."

She said, "Of course it's all wet, I just wiped the dog with it."

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Please don't think I was trying to alienate you. These are just things that I heard during the summer. They are important. You know, there is a lot of people won't tell you, to your face what people are saying. Thank you.

1 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. LOSCOMBE: 2 Thank you. 3 MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan. MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 4 5 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 6 MR. MORGAN: Mr. Rogan, I'd like to 7 apologize for not inviting you to a meeting 8 at the tax group and I just got detained and 9 tied up and I --10 It's okay. MR. ROGAN: 11 MR. MORGAN: And I want to give you 12 a sincere apology for that. 13 MR. ROGAN: That's all right. 14 Things happen and I would absolutely like to attend one of the meetings in the future. 15 16 MR. MORGAN: The first thing that I 17 would like to say here is I have really, 18 really paid a lot of attention to what 19 previous speakers have said here tonight. 20 Mr. Miller said something about Disney Land 21 and, you know, I think in my own opinion 22 that this is almost like Disney Land because 23 we keep doing the same thing. We keep 24 getting on the same ride and after it's over 25 we think something should change and, you

know, where the city is with the authorities and all of the things going on here in the city, I know that I see things so much different probably than most people here, but it's my sincere opinion that city council has the power of subpoena, and I really felt that anyone in city government can do very of everything that you can't know about if you don't issue a subpoena. We are not talking about criminal acts, we are talking about your oversight authority in city government, that's my opinion and I just think it's lacked for a very long time.

I agree with some of the things that Mr. Ellman said about -- and maybe some of the other speakers about the way the residents view city government because I have had opportunities to talk to some people, I was at their door, I don't want to say why because it's probably not proper, but they are very disgusted and we are in very serious economic trouble in this country and unemployment is very high so now we are paying a lot more attention to what's going on in government.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. .

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that the city council have an answer to our problems recently and I think that was the SAPA plan. I still believe that that is the real answer to the city's problems, creating an economic engine which creates jobs. You know, other communities may not want to zone for industrial or light industrial so all of those jobs will come here, Scranton residents will get on a Colt's bus if they have no other transportation and proceed to go there to earn a living, and all of the people that live outside of city, if the city is an economic engine they are going to drive here and spend money here and good things are going to happen here and economic development will start.

But I would just hope that the council would reintroduce that, bring the people in from SAPA, let's move forward, let's do the right thing. I really in my opinion think it's time to put politics aside. In my opinion, I think the city has a massive debt, I brought that up before, restructuring the debt, asking the Court for

I think there is lot of things that should be brought forward here. I think that we should talk about in my opinion ECTV staying on the air without funds unless somebody knows where they are getting money from because I have no idea where they are getting money from. I mean, I just think it's time for a total change in position and

then we have heard people talk about Judge

relief. I'm not surprised by what's going on here with the authorities because that writing was on the wall over a decade ago. I guess when you come to council for over 20 years and you stand here and you watch people get elected, and then you wonder why government isn't moving in a more proactive, in my opinion, way to empower people and to make their lives better because, you know, I don't know how many more firemen and policemen can we lose, and we have to pick between whether we are going to have pay the debt or whether we are going to have public servants and in the end I think somebody should explain how the city's obligated to meet it's financial obligations.

24 25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thomson. Well, look it, I have a very, very very, very low opinion of the judicial system. Extremely low. I think the Courts are extremely corrupt. That's my opinion, but I do realize that Judge Thomson had to allow the mayor to be the mayor because that's the way this government was formed and whether we agree with the way we have decided to run this city, that's one thing.

If the residents want to change the Home Rule Charter, let them do it, but we are not using the Home Rule Charter as it's written now, so what's the difference. If we are not going to use subpoena and not what's going on here what's the point. What's the point of having oversight if council doesn't use it, and I'm not -- I'm not -- this isn't the only council that hasn't done it. So, I mean, I'm just thinking that, you know, maybe it's time to use the authority that you possess and do it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mr. Morgan, I just wanted to address your issue on SAPA again.

You know, I have always had an open mind, but we have a large employer in this city right now that's going to be moving out of Scranton, that being Verrastro, because he is unable to find a parcel in this city that's capable of keeping his business, so I really don't know what we have here to offer in that aspect. We have had the same places to offer all of these years, we have given rebates, we've had KOZ's, KOEZ's, I still don't see anything that's going to add any impulse to this city other than getting our tax base down to where it's equal with our neighbors, especially our business taxes.

MR. MORGAN: May I respond?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Certainly.

MR. MORGAN: Well, in my opinion,
Mr. Verrastro is leaving because he must
feel he is overtaxed, and I just think that
when you look at the city's tax structure
and you look at the names on the companies
that have all fled this city, the Scranton
School for the Deaf is up in Clarks Summit
and, I mean, they are not even an employer.
I just think if you look at all of the

former manufacturing sites that are vacant, all of the light industrial zones in the city that are vacant, that's his excuse, because of the residents of this city and the businesses are so severely overtaxed, don't forget when I was a child there were over 100,000 people here. They've fled. Realtors don't even try to list and sell homes because they have given up hope and that's why I'm saying it's time for this council to make a stand, use the Home Rule Charter, proceed, get control over the authorities and do what needs to be done and I'm not saying it's easy. None of this is easy, but I think you are capable.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just a quick response to that, I did speak with Mr. Verrastro.

When I saw the "For Sale" sign I actually stopped in and took it upon myself to ask him the questions if there is anything that the city has done or can do to retain anything or anything the city has done to drive him out of here and all he had was praise for the city. He loves being in the city, he loves where he is at, it's just

he's run out of room there. He was looking -- he gave me the option, and I have made many contacts to see if there was a parcel large enough for him in the city, he would remain here. Unfortunately, I wasn't able to do it, but he did not vacate the city because of the taxes. He is one of those few businessmen that do appreciate what the city offers in the face of services and stuff like that. But we did have a nice covers and, unfortunately, it wasn't because of the taxes that he is leaving.

I imagine there are many that are, like I said, until we get our business taxes structured alongside with our neighbors we are not going to be competitive, and that's all I have.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add one clarification to some of the points that Mr. Morgan made. Although, I'm sure there are many of us here seated who would very much like to end the stranglehold of the municipal authorities, and none of us here seated created those authorities, I think it's very important that you understand the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

law. You cannot dissolve a municipal authority.

MR. MORGAN: Yes, your can.

MS. EVANS: No, you cannot. You have to be able -- in order to do so their debt has to be paid in full. Now, you have, for example, the Scranton Parking Authority, and the long-term debt of the Parking Authority I'm not even going to attempt to guess, I think maybe we could round it off to possibly \$80 million. Now, if we were in a position to pay it off tomorrow, to pay off that principal, then the next step, according to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, is that the board of directors of the Municipal Authority must be willing to dissolve themselves and then notify the State of Pennsylvania that they have done SO.

Now, I think you can see we face critical issues here. The city is certainly not in a situation whereby it can pay even \$45 million tomorrow, Wednesday, September 7, in order to put the wheels in motion to shut down a Parking Authority, and even if

1 it were, do you imagine that the members of the Scranton Parking Authority appointed by 2 3 the mayor of the City of Scranton will agree to dissolve the Parking Authority? 4 5 That is one of the issues we were up against with the Library Authority and our 6 solicitor had researched that quite well. 7 8 No one can force that Library Authority to 9 disband itself. We have been in touch with 10 the State of Pennsylvania regarding this. 11 They have to agree to do it themselves. So, please, in the future when you 12 13 are discussing municipal authorities --14 MR. MORGAN: Could I respond? No, sir, I am speaking. 15 MS. EVANS: 16 MR. MORGAN: I mean, after you're 17 done? 18 MS. EVANS: You have -- excuse me, 19 Mr. Morgan --20 MR. MORGAN: I mean after you're 21 done. Mr. Morgan, you would be 22 MS. EVANS: 23 able to return to council the following week 24 and respond. 25 MR. MORGAN: I will.

1	MS. EVANS: You have already had
2	your five minutes
3	MR. MORGAN: Will you note that,
4	please?
5	MS. EVANS: and others excuse
6	me, please.
7	MR. MORGAN: But could you note
8	that?
9	MS. EVANS: And other speakers will
10	have their turn, but I am trying to explain
11	for the sake of
12	MR. MORGAN: I understand everything
13	you are saying.
14	MS. EVANS: Mr. Morgan, I am trying
15	to
16	MR. MORGAN: I'm trying to
17	MS. EVANS: for the sake of the
18	public
19	MR. MORGAN: I understand what you
20	saying to me.
21	MS. EVANS: that the statements
22	you have made are incorrect and very
23	misleading
24	MR. MORGAN: I don't think they are
25	misleading.

MS. EVANS: -- to the public. It is not as you presented in terms of just simply being able to do away with any municipal authority.

Our next speaker is Pat Hinton.

MR. HINTON: Good evening, council,

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. HINTON: Nancy Krake, Attorney Hughes. My name is Pat Hinton of Scranton and I just come to you tonight for a little bit of a positive information and update you on the progress of our organization. I'm here on behalf of myself and the South Side cleanup crew to first thank you for your support and assistance in recognizing or cleanup efforts in South Scranton.

Our project started three years ago with a few people who wanted to make a difference in their neighborhood. Through our persistence, hard work and pride in our neighborhood are mission grows each year with more volunteers and less blighted properties. With well over 50 properties cleared and made safe during the last three

years, including 32 this summer alone, the South Side cleanup crew is making a real difference in our neighborhood and will continue to fight the battle against blight.

In July I spoke to you about a vision, a program called Adopt-A-Lot where a few people and businesses can make a difference for a lot. Our Adopt-A-Lot program is where local residences and business adopt properties throughout South Scranton. Once these properties are initially cleaned, the property is then maintained throughout the summer months, usually May through September.

For the businesses this can be achieved in two waste, first, if possible, the business can perform the duty of clearing their own lot or if lack of manpower or time the business can help donate supplies to our group and in return the South Side cleanup crew will clean the designated property. As a compliment for helping our cause, the business will get a sign posted at the property site saying they have adopted it. So far over 35 properties

have been adopted by local businesses.

Furthermore, and the recent layoffs, members of the Scranton Police and fire departments have reach adopted a lot. They are willing to volunteer their time for the betterment of our community. After speaking with Police Chief Dan Duffy, I must say it was very encouraging. I felt proud to hear how positive and eager Chief Duffy was to jump on-board and support our efforts and what better way to get involved and to be part of this solution. The solution in cleaning up our neighborhood. So a big thank you to both members of the Scranton Police and Fire Departments who have joined to help fight our cause.

Also, I would like to thank the following businesses for taking the positive initiative in adopting a lot. The following businesses include: The Dugout Tavern, Molly's Cozy Corner, Costa's Drugstore, Cedar Bike Stop, Scranton Hobby Store, DPS Nutrition, Joe Van Wi, Incorporated, Krispy Kreme Donuts, JB Jewelers, Wayne Evans Realty, Banko North, Gerald Smurl Heating

and Air Conditioning, Cedar Residents,
Flannery Case Beverage, Vullo Motors, and
especially a big thank you to Gerrity's
Supermarket who have adopted ten properties
throughout our neighborhood. Mike Burt
Plumbing, Jordan's Towing, Rossi Rooter and
Gary's Sports Guards, plus I'm sure many
more are to come.

To conclude, I hope our efforts spread throughout the city and I challenge all neighborhoods everywhere in the city to replicate what we have accomplished, and I know they can. Get involved. We can make a big difference. So again, I thank city council and those who took part and believe in our mission, so thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. HINTON: May I, for the record, may I submit this?

MS. EVANS: Absolutely. Our next speaker is David Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident

of Scranton and taxpayer. It has come to my attention tonight we seem to be losing some of the info award here with city hall and inside of city hall with the mayor's office. Some of these articles coming out of the Scranton Times almost totally attribute the deficit to council, which is totally untrue. It's money that really wasn't accounted for over the last two years, and might I also add an election year where we were supposed to have a deficit free year and somehow now all of this gets pulled out later, \$6 million or whatever it is or \$8 million.

Even the worst you people have done was give a small tax break and, yeah, we could use the money for that, but that is absolutely not the case and I would encourage the people out in the audience on TV land to start doing a little better research because I have also spoken to people and tried to turn their opinion around and I could see it was almost like there was a microchip from these editorials plugged into the back of their heads or something and they are just recanting what

they read.

And I was curious on these layoffs with the fire department and police department and we come up with all of this overtime and somebody is getting worked to death. I had a job like that and, you know, I appreciated the fact that I was appreciated and wanted on a job all the time, but at some point in the general scheme of things it just doesn't work anymore. You can't produce what you should be producing, and could we introduce some job sharing where people wouldn't have to be laid off or at least partially or significantly employed.

And another issue that on health care, some people call it Obama care, it's called the Affordable Health Care Act, but when Dave Gervasi mentioned that we could actually receive money from the federal government to pay for some of the health care of our firefighters and policemen, I went red because that's an idealogical thing and we can't afford to be idealogical with how little money we have. Coke industries,

the two richest people in America, they're third from the top, their industry is applying for Obama Care, but Scranton isn't. We are too are rich. We are too rich and too idealogical. We are opposed to Obama Care so we can't afford -- even though you will be paying taxes some day on it.

And I have some sad news for the people that feel that being required to have health care is a violation of their constitutional rights, that was there original idea under Hillary care, which was years ago, but now they insisted on it and it's al being blamed. Irregardless of whether you like it or you don't, if we could reduce our health care costs and, thereby, have more money free to keep people on I'm all for it, and I could see where once again if anybody gets blamed you will get blamed and the administration is the one that dropped the ball on that.

And I'd also wonder if we could get anybody in from the DPW to see how they could be more effective on their jobs, our garbage situation just keeps rolling along

with this -- I mentioned it before, with bags tossed on the ground and it must take three times the amount of time than to pick up a 30 or 40 pound barrel and toss it on a garbage truck to be, you know, a little here, and some drops out and maybe gets picked up and if they pick it up they are spending more time and if they don't pick up the neighborhood looks a dump anyway, so okay.

Oh, we forgot the golden parrot.

Can I buy more time? The golden parrot goes to Judge Thomson, blessed are they who are incompetence and retired and stay retired.

Bawk, bawk, bawk, Judge Thomson. Hopefully you will get overturned once again. Have a good night. Shame on you, Judge Thomson.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. HEIN: Good evening.

MR. ROGAN: Good evening.

MS. HEIN: My name is Patty Hein. I brought my children with me tonight because there is something that I am very upset

about. I realized on Friday, September 1, our firehouse on East Mountain was closed. It was also closed on Saturday, September -- I'm sorry, Friday, September 2, and Saturday, September 3. One of the reasons that I live in Scranton is because of the firehouses, because of the fire protection and the police protection. These services are vital to me and my family. Please don't allow these services to be cut. That's all I have.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. TYSON: Francis Tyson, resident of Scranton. Good evening, council members.

MR. ROGAN: Good evening.

MR. TYSON: I bring you greetings from the Lackawanna County Memorial Committee. We are going to have our event on 9/11. You are cordially invited along with your viewing audience and all of the citizens of Northeastern, Pennsylvania, to be with us on 9/11, Sunday morning. The ceremony starts exactly at 9:30 in the

morning. We'd appreciate if you could get their shortly after 9:00 so we can get everything setup. We have done this for ten years, this memorial service. It's going to be conducted, of course, over at the Lackawanna County Joseph McDade Park.

We have been doing this for ten
years and this is a special year out of
those ten years because this year we are
going to dedicate a memorial statute for the
9/11 event, so we would like to have
everyone come and join us for this special
event and if there is any questions, please.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Tyson, and I did attend the event last year and it was a -- I don't want to say nice, because it's not a nice thing to remember, but it was a very well done ceremony and honoring the memory of the ones that lost their lives.

MR. TYSON: And that's okay to take event rain or shine, so dress accordingly.

Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would care to address

1 |

council?

MR. FINNERTY: Good evening,

Council. John Finnerty. I'm here on behalf of the Minooka Neighborhood Association.

I'm here tonight to ask council to override the mayor's veto which he issued on August 5 of this year, that vetoed council's legislation which had amended the city's zoning ordinance which had changed 17 acres back in the Minooka section bordering Davis Street from a CN zone to an R-1-A zone.

Council I'm sure recalls that the legislation was introduced to amend that zoning ordinance unanimously. Council I'm sure recalls that the legislation was voted on three separate occasions. As required on each occasion the legislation passed unanimously, so my question to the mayor is what's changed since you voted on this three separate occasions to amend the zoning ordinance? What's changed? I submit to you nothing has changed. The mayor vetoed this legislation without any basis.

Myself, and a few members of our organization met with the mayor, and after

1

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he voted -- or he issued the veto on this, we met with the mayor and the solicitor. We were thankful that the mayor took the time out of his busy schedule to sit down and talk about this issue because it is very important to us and to the association, but I must say I was really speechless coming out of that meeting. The mayor and his solicitor were so uninformed regarding this zoning ordinance legislation, again, I was just speechless. Questions about where the property was, who owned the property, the process that council went through to pass the legislation, it was just one question after another, no answers.

The only thing that the mayor's solicitor did bring up quite repeatedly was that he had obtained a letter from a Washington D.C. law firm. I think he referred to it as a big Washington D.C. law firm. Well, as council knows you had that letter before you voted on this legislation last time, which was your final vote, so again, nothing changed. That letter didn't change anything. That letter threatened a

lawsuit, and as correctly pointed out by
Attorney Hughes before you voted that
evening to pass the legislation, anybody can
sue anybody. This is America. Proving it
is another story, and I submit that that
letter isn't proof of anything. It's just a
letter with a threat and if the city failed
to act every time they got a threatening
letter, city government would be paralyzed
and it really wouldn't be able to take any
action to accomplish anything.

I submit, and I'm sure it could be confirmed by Attorney Hughes, that council has followed the proper procedures in passing this legislation. We held a public hearing, the proper public notice was served on all of the property owners, advertising in the newspaper, all of the hoops that had be jumped through, all of the I's that had be dotted and the all the T's that had to crossed, that was all done. And at the end of that process, which was a long process so everyone on this council as you know had a long time to think about this before issuing the final vote, you all voted to adopt the

zoning change based on the given factors that were presented at the public hearing, and those were the factors that required by the city zoning ordinance and those were the factors that are required by the State's Municipalities Planning Code.

I submit that evidence was presented that the zoning ordinance changed is in the best interest of the city, it's in the best interest of the surrounding property owners and, quite frankly, it's conducive to the surrounding property and zoning around there, and this council correctly voted at the time to protect and maintain the integrity of this neighborhood and it's an important issue and I submit to you that council's decision in this regard can be defended in Court and it's an important enough issue that it should be defended in Court, if need be.

I recognize the courage and the foresight of this council in voting on this issue in the past and on behalf of the Minooka Neighborhood Association I'm here tonight to request council to maintain that

same courage and that same foresight and I'm asking the council vote to override the mayor's ill-conceived veto and pass this legislation. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Can I just ask a question? Excuse me?

MR. FINNERTY: Sure.

MR. MCGOFF: Has the neighborhood association had any contact with the developer since we voted on the legislation?

MR. FINNERTY: I haven't had any contact with any developers.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association. By the way, the
light is out in the men's room in case
anybody is interested. \$8.1 million deficit
City of Scranton, population just over
70,000, 20 years in distressed status,
graded as the only 2-A city in the state, I
wonder why. How do you resolve the \$8.1
million deficit? Layoff 21 public safety
employees, cops and firemen. Tonight's talk
was written days before this morning's

tragic fire.

On the brighter side, according to John Mrozinski, of the Times-Tribune, Mr. Jefferson paid his back taxes on the Chamber of Commerce building. Did he? My hope we find out tonight for real. I think we will, hopefully tonight during motions. If he did, I hope the Times-Tribune congratulates city council because you are the ones that are responsible for it because you blocked it and now if he pays them that's a good thing.

I see where the Parking Authority is taking out another loan. They are unable to pay back what they currently owe, how will they pay back more? Maybe they will call in David Copperfield to assist with the illusion.

Monday, 8 August, 2011,

Times-Tribune: "Chief: Cuts won't hinder responses," written by David Singleton, staff reporter. If you read the story, like I did, you will see that the chief contradicts himself several times.

Furthermore, anybody with any

intelligence at all knows that if you cut manning and fire apparatus it will cut the response time. We all must remember that the firefighters voted 93 percent no confidence in Chief Davis. I can see why. The chief states that some areas will see a one minute or two minute delay in response time. His exact words, "It's going to be another minute or two."

"We don't think we are going to lose that much time."

Chief Davis, any time lost in any emergency response cannot and should not be tolerated. These statements are totally un acceptable. If the chief refuses to resign then he must be fired before someone is seriously hurt or killed. The fact that the chief was not aware of the reduction of the eight firefighters until the day before the announcement was made in the Times-Tribune, again, points to the chief's inability to manage the fire department.

A 93 percent no confidence vote is enough in itself to demand that the chief resigns. Chief Davis also stated, "We will

do the best that we can."

Chief Davis, you are talking about people's lives and properties. Dairy Queen restaurant uses the same words in their commercial.

Four August, 2011, city council convened an emergency meeting to discuss the determination of eight firefighters and 13 police officers. Approximately 30 citizens attended the meeting and 18 speakers spoke. All 18 citizens spoke against the termination of 21 public safety employees. The mayor did not appear, neither did the police chief or the fire chief. No citizens spoke in favor of reducing the firefighters or police officers.

Friday, 26 August, 2011, visiting judge Harold Thomson, LOL, ruled in favor of the administration and allowed the layoffs to happen. Again, in my opinion just another example of the lack of concern for the citizens of the Scranton. We now have two judges, Mazzoni and Thomson, LOL, who have ruled against the citizens of Scranton's public safety. Neither judge

resides in Scranton.

Also, neither judge asked for any studies or plans from certified experts on public safety matters. Judge Mazzoni ruled that the administration's cuts and salary cuts would hurt public safety, while Judge Thomson ruled that 21 layoffs in police and fire would not hurt public safety. LMAO. They listened to two city attorneys who have no background or experience in law enforcement or firefighting. Hum. Let's not forget about the Honorable Mayor Doherty who is behind the whole entire scam.

Scranton is a distressed city with no future. You can have all of the parks, jazz concerts, tree houses, bridges to nowhere and outdoor movies. It will not bring the jobs to Scranton. Furthermore, by reducing the size of the city, fire department and police department, you are showing the business community that you are not concerned about the safety and well-being of your community.

I see where the Scranton metropolitan area again has the highest

unemployment in the state. 16 months in a row, 9.4 percent. Actually, closer to 18 percent. In the past 96 months Scranton has been ranked in the top three for highest unemployment, that's right, eight years. How long has Doherty been mayor?

Unemployment rates fell in the majority of US cities in July despite a weak economy that is producing few jobs. The Labor Department said that unemployment rates dropped in 193 large metro areas, increased in 118, and were flat in 61. Scranton is one of the 118 that increased.

Area buses, availability, good access, weak. Entry level jobs and production retail services and logistics are mostly outside the business core, meaning not downtown Scranton. The few jobs available are not related to where the population lives and makes it hard. The region is ranking in the bottom four of transit accessibility for work reflects jobs developments away from urban centers. Over half the jobs in Scranton are located more than ten miles from downtown Scranton.

We know that employment

opportunities have not grown in central city, just look at the 500 block of the Lackawanna Avenue. I understand that some apartments have been rented, but where are the jobs that were promised? Where is Mr. Rinaldi?

My condolences to the family of the three casualties in today's fire in Scranton. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky. I see where the old Chamber of Commerce building is once again on the agenda. I wish to thank
Mr. Jefferson for paying the back taxes with the funds the city gave him. I see he is looking for another \$3,500,000.

Also, he is one of two people pushing to get LERTA reinstated on the \$3,500,000, is that a matching fund or is that the total amount? Does he have to contribute anything towards it?

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe that he does. I'm sure the \$3.5 million will come

in the costs of the project, but from I read over the last time, they didn't say anything about matching.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I'm sorry, Pat, I didn't hear you much.

MR. ROGAN: The last time when I read it over I don't believe I saw anything about matching, that he would have to match.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay, now, he is one of two people pushing for LERTA. If LERTA goes through, he will get tax free the improvements on the building. He will only have to pay on the purchase price of the building itself. I don't know how much this city has given to Mr. Jefferson already, but how far can we go with this gentleman? I mean, there is money that should be divided up among other potential people coming into the city. Why does one person get so much?

I don't know how the city council will vote on LERTA and I don't understand how that building would even qualify to be become a LERTA. Perhaps somebody on city council can tell us, but I think when it does come, and it does have to come before

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this council, I hope when it does come before council that you will vote it down. If just seems as though all of the money that comes into the city is always going to wealthy developers. The person who wants to develop the Daron Block facility is also looking for a LERTA. Should it be passed the only tax he will pay on those 130 apartments is the tax on the land. All of the other taxes will be forgiven for ten years and I think it's time city council started looking at all of these grants that are giving out. I wouldn't care in they were loans, but I disapprove of the grants that we are giving out. Thank you, city council.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: If I could, madam chairwoman, this is a state grant. It is not from the city, it's a grant that comes from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I do not know the type of grant it is, but if it would be a Redevelopment Assistance Capital Grant, that would be matching funds, that's that program so that if it were a

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

redevelopment assistant grant for three and a half million that would mean that

Mr. Jefferson would have to put in \$3 1/2 million dollars and that would be a \$7 million project in addition to the building that he has, you know, to the current bidding.

As to LERTA, the way that LERTA works is that it's not for the period of ten years, it would be forgiveness of real estate taxes an the improvements for a period of one year for each \$1 million invested. So if there were \$7 million invested and into the building and the tax base was increased from what it currently is, because of the improvements the LERTA would only apply to the new improvements that would be taxable so that if he took a tax appeal and he did invest \$7 million into it but the building -- the increase of the value of the building went up for assessment tax purposes by \$2 million, it would a two-year forgiveness in the real estate tax on that improvement with a cap of ten years.

An example would be here the hotel

or the mall which were -- I think the mall was built for 90 some million dollars, the hotel was probably close to \$25 million, they were only forgiven the real estate taxes for a period of ten years. That's a million dollars -- it's one year for each million dollars of investment.

MR. UNGVARSKY: So that would be \$7 million that he would get tax free?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know the answer --

MR. UNGVARSKY: Isn't it prorated?

MR. HUGHES: What there would be is he would have to take out a building permit to do the current -- there's a current value of the land and the real estate of the improvements for the Chamber of the Commerce building that are taxable. He would go in and get a building permit that would be -- the assessors would then state that the assessed value of the property increased from "X" to "Y", I don't know what it would be, you could take an appeal and say it's too high. Whether the LERTA would only be on the amount of the tax improvement, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UNGVARSKY: Thank you. I don't

wouldn't be taxed for the period of ten
years, that would have about be looked into,
but it could not -- it would be on the
amount of the assessment that went in.

MR. UNGVARSKY: So if it's reassessed at a lower amount that's all the taxes he would have to pay is on that lower amount?

MR. HUGHES: I don't see how it could be assessed at a lower amount. don't I have no idea what the assessed value of the property, but if you just took an example, and this is all hypothetical, if the land and improvements were assessed at we'll say a million dollars and he puts seven million dollars into it through a grant and his own funds are through another mortgage and then they raise the value of the property for assessment tax purposes to three million and he took an appeal and that appeal would be reduced to 2 1/2 million, he would have it would appear a year and a half or he would have two years of tax abetment on the improvements.

believe Mr. Jefferson would go through all of this trouble if there wasn't some kind of benefit to him and I just don't know where it ends for this gentleman. Does anyone on city council know how much we have already given him? And should he sell these properties in the next few years he walks away with that money without having repay anything. I think city council had -- well, never mind. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to clarify though, having listened to Attorney Hughes, that the \$3.5 million grant, which is included on tonight's agenda in Seventh Order for final passage, is, in fact, an RACP grant.

MR. HUGHES: Then that would be a matching grant for three million. He has to come up with three and half million to match it.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

If I may off my time, Tom and I both

attended the school board's LERTA meeting

and I understand the terms that the mall had

for the paydown of the LERTA, but that I don't believe is in the statute. I believe that's up to the taxing body and it was stated at the school board meeting they intend for a full 100 percent value for the ten years, so obviously there's a long way to go before that happens, but that's what their intent was stated.

Okay. Now, first of all, I would like to thank Jeff Brazil for fixing two safety items, one, getting the lines painted on Meadow Avenue, and second for reinstalling a stop sign at a critical intersection that fell in the soft soil during the rains of Hurricane Irene and that was very much appreciated.

Second, on agenda Item 5-C, this item is not in agenda 5-B, the 2012 capital budget, nor is the grant, nor is the Cloverfield or the Novembrino splash park, which have been already funded by OECD funds of last year, so I recommend that this be tabled until the capital budget that includes all of the projects that have been announced are included.

Next, agenda Item 7-B, is this project contingent, do we know, on the approval of the RACP and do we know whether or not the RACP has been approved by the new governor or whether it's still in the proposed stage? That would be of interest to me.

Next, the agenda of council's last meeting of July 26 included an audit status as July 18 in Third Order. Order three of the agenda does tonight does not contain an audit status. Does this mean that the 2010 audit has been received or that no audit status report has been prepared in the last six weeks? I might add, I never did get a common date and time for a comparison of common response delinquencies as promised by Mr. Joyce.

Now, as to what transpired while were you gone for the month of August.

Number one, we learned that failure to use the CDBG paving funds in 2010 is we get to pave roughly 60 percent fewer streets because of the rise in asphalt costs.

Also, there is still no parking

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meters in the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue which are required as per the city's ordinance.

Next. I learned that Mr. Loscombe still has not responded to my question of July 12 regarding how many neighborhood police patrol officers have been provided in calendar engineer 2012, but perhaps he will answer that during motions this evening, and because I probably won't get through everything I'll skip back to another section that deals with the neighborhood police patrols, and that is the report that Mr. Quinn talks about tonight. It states in part, "The city is planning an expansion of the neighborhood police patrol beginning in The number of positions is expected 2011. to increase from five to 13 and the patrol will be operating from automobiles."

This change eliminates the biggest distinction between neighborhood police patrol and the regular police. It creates a regular risk that Scranton will at some time cut back on the number of regular police.

Any reduction in the number of regular --

and, unfortunately, you shouldn't cut and paste when you are caring for a one-year old, and I missed a couple of sentences, but essentially what it says is that that would make funding for the neighborhood police patrol go away.

MS. EVANS: Yes, I'm going to speak about that tonight under motions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The day after council adjourned for six weeks the Times-Tribune carried an interesting article on the impact of hours worked on safety and effectivity. I would appreciate hearing from Mr. Loscombe, the Scranton police policy on working extra duty. Is there a maximum number of hours they may work in a seven-day period? Do they use city supplied equipment while on private duty? And are they allowed to work two consecutive shifts, one private and one for the city? And any other items that would be covered by that policy.

Next item, I have frequently asked city council how minutes are approved as they are never approved at a meeting and

material change requiring a correction of the minutes, but no one has ever responded when I asked how council minutes are approved so I don't know how that should be affected, but I think that definitely needs to be corrected. Next, I had intended to ask tonight whether anyone explored the funds -- excuse me, explored the funds expended on the Novembrino Pool cited to be replaced by a splash park and whether council -- can I finish this item and then I'll keep the rest for next week? And whether council had considered an injunction to stop this effort until a public hearing could be held, and

then I did some fact checking and I found

form a permanent record. Sometimes there

minutes or if the minutes are changed for

accuracy. For example, the minutes of the

last regularly scheduled council meeting of

awarded \$616,948,000, when the actual award

was \$616.948. Three little zeroes make a

lot of difference. Now, I call that a

are material errors and I don't how the

July 26 states, "The HOME program was

there was a public hearing last year and not a single member of the public or city council expressed a problem with spending a quarter of a million dollars to eliminate the large pool and replace it with a splash pad, demolish the bathhouses and convert it to a picnic spot and retrofit the filter room to include restrooms. Council did reduce the amount requested for the project from 250,000 to 150,000, but approved the project without comment.

And I have lots more for next week and probably the week after that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I can probably respond to one of the things that Ms. Schumacher mentioned, we do not have the independent audit, well, the 2010 independent audit of the City of Scranton at this time, however, I do believe that another report was sent from Rossi, our auditor, within the last six weeks. The problem, once again, as I said many times before, it was not with the auditor. It is the failure of the city administration to

3

4 5

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide the requested information to the auditor.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And that's the comparison between like months that I have been asking for Mr. Joyce for since spring, so I would like to see that at some point.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, for the record, I did discuss that with Mr. Rossi, I asked him whether he could issue a report, to finalize a report with the information he has with the footnote that he cannot complete the report due to the failure of various departments of the city to respond. He got back to me and stated that in accordance with GAP and the rules of the accounting profession that he could not do that, so he is stymied to complete the report, to issue it timely like it should have been due, to the failure of the various departments of the city to give him the information necessary for him to complete the report, so it's not as though we haven't tried on that.

MS. EVANS: Oh, absolutely. Thank you.

1 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet. 2 MS. EVANS: Chrissy. 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy. 4 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie, you 5 handsome, devil, you. Well, guys, what do you think? Friday night a good game or 6 7 what? 8 MR. LOSCOMBE: How about that? 9 MR. SLEDENZSKI: What do you think, 10 Pat? We whipped them good, didn't we? 11 MR. ROGAN: That's right. 12 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Well, Vince, hi, 13 how you doing? See you later. Thanks, 14 Jack. MR. LOSCOMBE: Thanks, Chris. 15 16 MR. ANCHERANI: Not much tonight. 17 Good evening. 18 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 19 MR. ANCHERANI: Nelson Ancherani, 20 resident and taxpayer and recording 21 secretary of the FOP, First Amendment 22 There is much more to the situation Rights. 23 then we are being told. Mrs. Evans said 24 that tonight. The situation, what 25 Parking Authority that needs to situation?

borrow millions more when they can't pay their bills now, back bills? Is that because they have to pay back 59 million in interest on the \$35 million loan from a few years ago? 59 million in interest. 59 and 35, 94 million they are going to pay back.

The situation, \$12.5 million found in the Single Tax Office when Marylou Vitali-Flynn became the tax collector three years ago. Why was it there? Is that to keep us distressed?

Situation, eight to 11 million deficit at the end of this year. It's not your fault, Council. You didn't know that millions had to be used from the Workmens' Comp Fund and the TANS to pay back last year's debts. How many years did this take place that you or the taxpayers don't know about?

Situation, 310 million long-term debt. I keep saying it, our grandchildren and children, they are going to pay it back, we don't have to worry.

Situation, Novembrino Pool not open.

Why? Splash pool was going to be built, it

wasn't, so nobody swam. So when is it going to be built or renovated, whatever. There is so many more, but we'll save them for the future. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Ancherani. Is there anyone else who

cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments tonight?

MR. MCGOFF: Briefly, I hope.

First, someone mentioned SAPA, and I know that we responded somewhat, when SAPA was first introduced I voted for it, I still think it's a good idea. I do not think it is the answer to our problems, but at least I believe it's a step in the right direction and anything that -- certainly at this point in time anything that puts us in a direction of economic development in any way I think would be a welcome program, so I hope SAPA is reintroduced and I hope that we can find a way to approve it.

Someone else also mentioned Boscov's and that we had given Boscov's money. I

would like to again remind people that we did not give Boscov's any money this time, all we did was extend the loan, and if Mr. Boscov is opening two new stores and wants to make a billion dollars I hope he is extremely successful in doing that because that would then give him an opportunity to repay the loans that he does have and hopefully keep these -- the store and mall in Scranton open, so I wish Mr. Boscov all of the luck with his new stores.

One thing I do want to -- it's on the agenda that I do want to maybe ask about before we actually -- or it's not on the agenda, we received information that there was a bid for towing services for 2012, do we know if that bid is based on the initial rates that were presented to us or on the amended rates by council?

MS. EVANS: That I don't know. I have heard that -- well, I'll take a few steps back. Yes, council had amended the towing contract and that was legally and lawfully adopted, and I believe signed by the mayor and then the mayor actually

forwarded the original legislation, not that which had been amended, to the police chief to enforcement.

Thereafter, I also learned that some city towers had paid their bills, but had paid the previous amount, meaning, not even the \$2,000 --

MR. MCGOFF: The initial --

MS. EVANS: -- that the mayor -that was in the initial legislation and then
it was slightly increased by council
according to how many lists a tower was
placed on. Well, what I had learned in
August was that actually the towers were
billed and were billed for \$1,500.

MR. MCGOFF: Like in 2010.

MS. EVANS: Yes. And so I really have no idea why the amended ordinance which was passed and signed by the mayor has not been correctly enforced.

MR. MCGOFF: Could we -- and I will go and ask as well, but maybe from council request that for 2012 that it be at the rates that were amended by council. I think that they were fair and did offer us at

1 least some additional revenue. MS. EVANS: Yes. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: And that's all. 4 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman 5 Rogan, do you have any motions or comments? MR. ROGAN: Yes. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: I would just -- I wanted to -- I did forget one thing. 8 9 CDBG the proposed funding, is that what we 10 are voting on this evening? 11 MS. EVANS: No. 12 MR. MCGOFF: No. 13 MS. EVANS: What is on this 14 evening's agenda is the 2011 CDBG allocations. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Allocations, okay. 17 MS. EVANS: And if you recall the 18 federal government had decreased our funding and, therefore, the allocations have to be 19 20 amended. So we had tabled those at Ms. 21 Aebli's request. 22 MR. MCGOFF: I was getting two 23 things combined. 24 MS. EVANS: Yes, because we also 25 have the newest round coming before us soon.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: What we received actually just a few days ago, there is not even a city proposed amount, just the applicant's proposed amount for now.

First I'll start off on the Parking Authority. A lot of people spoke about it tonight, it was something that, you know, when we are learned about it over the recess I was very upset about. The Parking Authority continues to borrow to pay for it's borrowing. It's not going to work. Eventually, you know, there is going to be a straw that breaks the camel's back and we go into default. We have to sell the garages. I know many people on council for many years have been saying that we have to sell the garages and you absolutely have to. shouldn't say "we" because it's not the City, it's the Authority, that would do that.

Secondly, I know that the deal that the Parking Authority is making borrow more money and this is, again, another one of those things that I found out or heard about

through outside sources and before talking about it more, Mrs. Krake, would we be able to get a copy of that for all of the council members, the proposed borrowing agreement, the proposed agreement from the Parking Authority?

MS. KRAKE: I think you want to ask for what they actually signed up with the bank?

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. KRAKE: You want to see the actual agreement.

MR. ROGAN: Um-hum. And moving on, Mr. Quinn mentioned the findings over at the OECD Office with the CDBG money, and again, this is another one of those things that seems council members never find out what's going on in the city from the administration or other people in government. Every time the mayor has vetoed legislation, I found out from getting a phone call from the Scranton Times asking for a comment.

Again, I find out more information from citizens and the media than the administration. The communication has been

terrible. There is no transparency whatsoever with this administration and, you know, we are not going to get out of the hole we are in unless the mayor at least gives us the courtesy of what's going on within the city, just like the budget deficit. You know, not one of us on council knew the mayor was borrowing money from the Workmens' Comp. We didn't know when the budget was drafted.

Finally, just one last comment, I would like to thank Senator Tomey for fighting President Obama with the mandate for the street signs, for the reflective signs. He was successful in getting the administration to pull back on that unfunded mandate, and it wouldn't hurt Scranton, but it would hurt many of the surrounding communities a lot more because what it would do is would require new street signs, I think it was within three years. I think it was 2015 or 2016 and, you know, especially the shape the city is in now we really couldn't afford that.

And that's all I have for now. I

will make comments on the agenda items when they come up.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I apologize, I do have one more thing. As Mr. McGoff mentioned, we did receive on August 26 from Ms. Aebli the breakdown of what the proposed -- not the city's proposal, but the people who applied for and she gave us the guidelines. Monday, September 5, Scranton OECD advertised in the general circulation section of the Scranton Times for the 2012 action plan, proposed activities to be funded.

Tuesday, September 6, council advertises in the Scranton Times in the general circulation section a public meeting to be held in council chambers on Tuesday, September 20, 2011 prior to council's meeting for citizen's comments on the proposed action plan for 2012. Two weeks advance notice is considered a safe harbor by HUD.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tuesday, September 13, 2011, first reading of the action plan ordinance.

Tuesday, September 20, second reading of the action plan ordinance. Citv council public meeting will be held in council chambers to receives citizen's comments on the proposed action plan 2012. At this time, the public has the opportunity to comment on the proposed 2012 activities before council votes. The minutes of this public meeting will be provided by council to the City of Scranton/OECD to be included in the 2012 action plan. If the public is unable to attend this public meeting, there is a 30-day comment period that enables the public to provide their comments to the city or OECD in writing that will be included in the action plan.

Tuesday, October 25, 2011, third and final reading, council votes on the final ordinance. Friday, November 11, 2011, the action plan for 2012 must be in by this date to the local HUD Philadelphia office. This will ensure that the action plan for 2012 arrives on Monday, November 14, 2011, 45

days prior to the start day of the new program which begins January 1st of 2012.

And that is all.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you.

First, Mrs. Schumacher, I apologize, but I was wondering if you could possibly submit those questions so I get the correct documentation you are looking for, if you could drop them off with Ms. Carrera.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I can e-mail them, I can't drop them off.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay, yeah, if you could e-mail them I would appreciate that. Last week, as we all know, Hurricane Irene made a visit through our city here, and I'm on a dead end street so before I can -- first I lost my power at 7 a.m., didn't get it back until late Tuesday, but before I could get out of my house we had five trees along the road that my son and I had to cut and remove so we could get through, but my concern that day was to make sure the areas that we had been watching for flooding and

stuff like that were in good shape, and I had my list and I visited all of the areas and I really -- I was really proud that day. I was proud of our city services, the firemen, the policemen, our DPW workers were out there cutting the trees, getting them out of the way.

I was prepared for the trees on my area, that's why I didn't call the DPW area, I know there is some significant -- they did cut later on on Tuesday on my street, but I think it was a valiant effort by all of the departments and it shows their value to all of the citizens in this city. The firemen were running pumping basements, police were controlling traffic, and checking situations out throughout the height of the storm.

I think we were fortunate we didn't get the water they were calling for, the rainfall, however, the winds did do significant damage with power throughout the area, downed trees and everything, and I think it was an especially valiant effort, especially for those who knew that that week they would be out of their jobs and they

still gave it their 120 percent, so I do applaud them for that.

And just yesterday, I'm losing track of my days with the holiday, I don't remember if it was yesterday or Sunday, I apologize, but there was a pretty bad accident on the 300 block of North Keyser Avenue. A vehicle actually snapped a pole in two, knocked the power off momentarily, and I was like, "Here we go again," but it came right back on. Fortunately, the power lines were holding the rest of the pole together.

Unfortunately, there was four casualties in that that were pretty bad, four young people who were taken to the hospital by ambulance, but I do again have to commend the ambulance services that responded, the police who came and maintained traffic control and kept everyone away from the power lines, and the fire department who responded with both Rescue 1 and Engine 7, which was the closest company at that time. I mean, that's a situation where they are needed and they are EMT's so

they took it upon themselves to dispatch themselves, they knew they were closing than Rescue 1, every extra hand on the scene helps.

Then going onto to today's catastrophe, it's easy, I think Mr. Spindler said before, it's easy to sit here and point fingers. Unfortunately, this isn't one of those situations that you can point a finger. From my understanding upon the first arriving companies and the people around the scene it did have a pretty good start.

few seconds would have made a difference, but it's hard to say and we'll never know, but it's unfortunate that something like this had to happen to alert us all to what could happen down the road and this could happen in any section of the city. I mean, lately they have been closing the station up on East Mountain. Today it's open, tomorrow it may be closed. Then by the time another company comes from downtown to respond up there, and again, response times, you could

have all of the -- you could have two trucks and a rescue respond there within three minutes but they are no good without an engine. People don't understand the semantics behind who should be there, but, you know, fire like this morning Rescue 1 those men would not be able to enter that fire without the hose company there to provide them some water to make entrance. Those clothes that the firemen wear aren't fireproof or heat proof. You do have to have some protection.

It is unfortunate, I feel very bad for the family, and I know deep in their hearts that the firefighters that were on that scene are hurt ing in their hearts, too, because to them it's like losing a battle. They never want to lose anybody. They don't even want to lose a home in the fire. They try to save as much as they can, but it just puts a light on this whole problem we have here.

City council is getting blamed for deficits, yet city council, you know, has worked tirelessly to put in revenue sources

in our budget that were totally disregarded. You know, that revenue could have helped us out this here year. I don't understand it. I just feel like for some reason this administration is on that roller coaster going downhill and they want to go downhill for some reason. They must like the thrill of that ride, but it's not helping us. It's not benefiting any of us.

You know, I have had many sleepless nights over this. I live in an area of town where there is no water. God forbid we have a fire up there, there is no hydrants, and if we don't have a company to respond there trust me, ladies and gentlemen, and I'm not trying to use this as an example again, but it has happened and it's only showing you, you know, police and fire they don't work on volume. You know, there are no slow seasons or busy seasons. They have to be there at the snap of a finger, that's when you need them.

And our chiefs have said they could do more with less and as it was quoted, well, maybe another minute or two response.

Trust me, seconds are critical, not minutes. Seconds are critical. The fire, in most cases it's the smoke that kills the person not the fire and, unfortunately, we may never know what the cause of this fire is because we -- we have eliminated our fire inspection bureau down to one person. We have a couple of more fires we would be inundated. We have eliminated our fire prevention bureau to go out and educate people to prevent them from having fires like this, so we are digressing to where we were 20, 30, years ago.

I mean, naturally the simplest life saver is a smoke detector, and it's easy to tell people that, and they are easy to get.

Just stop down fire headquarters here and they will set you up. But, you know, people don't want to be bothered or if a battery goes out they never change them, it's really easy to say.

But in this day and age the people of this city pay their fair taxes they expect to have the services that they are paying for and in my heart and my opinion

those services are to protect your health, safety and welfare. The same argument that they used against us to cut the budget last year when we were cutting administrative salaries and positions and the judge -- Judge Mazzoni ruled in their favor that we were actually risking the health, safety and welfare of the public because we were taking some cuts in salaries of the administrators and some administrative positions.

In God's name I don't know how any judge in this town, whether he is a visiting judge or a local judge cannot look at this situation and not know it involves the health, safety and welfare of everyone in this city, people living here and the visitors to this city.

I don't know where we're going. To me there should be more of a public outcry. I don't know what more it's going to take before people are aware of how fast you can perish when seconds count, but I know these ladies and gentlemen on these departments are dedicated, they are still giving 120 percent knowing they are short armed, and

that's the truth. That's the kind of people we have employed in this city and they are dedicated and they will continue to be.

As a matter of fact, one of the first persons on this scene this morning was a neighbor who was one of the firefighters that was just laid off. He tried valiantly himself to gain access and all he had was a garden hose.

Again, I'm not pointing the finger, this situation this morning could have happened whether a firehouse was next door, I'm not saying that, but down the road there are going to be times when response is critical, and this is a perfect example.

MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Loscombe, if I could interrupt you for one second?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Certainly.

MS. EVANS: Actually, if you recall in the 2011 budget the mayor's proposed budget that was presented first contained significant numbers of cuts to police and fire, somewhere between 27 and 34 firefighters, and I forget how many police officers, and it was this council that

reinstated all of those positions into the budget and we did so by fully funding the salaries and the benefits for each one of those positions.

And when the mayor's looking at making cuts, it is a bit questionable this that all cuts are coming from public safety areas rather than across the board. In other words, no clerical were touched. No management positions were touched. No DPW positions were touched, but purely police and fire, but I think my point here that I was trying to make is that even with the 21 personnel that were cut as of August 29, we still have more police and fire employed by the city than the mayor had originally intended for us in 2011.

And finally, I wanted to say that it is very -- well, it's almost if you can look at this and say anything is comical about it, it is that the mayor would say, oh, all of this is happening because of council's budget. Council changed a budget by 2 percent. It's a \$75 million budget. Now, the deficit is anywhere between 8.1 and

possibly as much as 15 million right now. Please tell me if 2 percent of 75 million amounts to even the minimum, the minimum figure the administration is looking at right now of 8.5 million? Does that make mathematical sense to anyone? No, it does not.

The problem was council caught the mayor with his hand in the cookie jar. It took a long time. When I was here alone I couldn't get the information that I have been able to get since we have this council and we finally caught up with to what was going on and that happened to be the raiding of Workers' Comp excess funds in 2010, for 2011, and 2009, for 2010.

And then, of course, we had the discovery of the use of a current year TAN to pay off a previous year TAN. Now, how many years that's been occurring I really don't know, but in my opinion there has been a deficit for quite awhile. This just didn't arise this year, it was uncovered this year and now that that has occurred and the mayor can't raid Workers' Comp again and

he now is exposed in terms of his mismanagement of the payment of the TANS, there is your deficit. It's all out there now which probably no one would have been aware of. That practice, as someone mentioned earlier, might well have continued this year and the following year until the mayor is no longer the mayor of the City of Scranton.

So I guess my bottom line here is it truly is ludicrous to look at city council and say: You are to blame for the deficit or you to are blame for the layoffs, when, as I said, this council reinstated those positions and provided the funding for them.

The mayor single handily instituted layoffs in violation of the Home Rule Charter and the Administrative Code of the City of Scranton. He chose to target two groups. The good news is we still have more people than we could have, you know, than we would have had if the mayor's budget as originally presented had been approved by this council.

And, as I said, figures, ladies and

gentlemen, they don't add up. They just don't add up. You can't look at the changes made by city council and say they come anywhere near 8.5 million, let alone 15 million, so I apologize for interrupting --

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, that was a very good addition.

MS. EVANS: -- Councilman Loscombe, but as you spoke and all of today's tragedy weighs very heavily on everyone's mind, I'm sure everyone who lives in the City of Scranton, it became particularly important that the people of the city understand exactly the financial state of the city and the financial mismanagement the city that has been ongoing.

MR. LOSCOMBE: As I stated previously, and I believe Mrs. Evans did, council in our amended budget we provided the sources of revenue to take care of our budget. Again, this administration has totally ignored every one of them. I can't understand it. But, you know, speaking of the cuts on the original budget, this is another thing I'm getting. I speak to a lot

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of people out there, there is lot of rumors going around that he is looking to cut more each month until the end of the year, possibly 15 more firefighters, I don't know how many more police officers. You know, I think the police and firefighters themselves, let alone the public, deserve to the dignity of the knowing where they stand. One of those laid off had just purchased a house the day before. He read about it in the newspaper. The mayor had not discussed this with council these layoffs, he discussed it with the editorial board at the Scranton Times and didn't have the dignity to go to these police and firefighters. They heard about it the newspaper. any way to be a mayor?

I'm totally disappointed. This man is supposed to be running this city. He is supposed to be protecting you, but he is running rampant with giving away money all of these years and not worried about our public safety. I mean, he is using a certain department to take care of certain friends on our tax dollar, and this stuff

will be coming up soon, trust me. He is paving school parking lots through the DPW. They just filled a big hole in the ground at Wyoming and Linden Street. That's a private lot. I hope there is a lien on that. A building was torn down at Main and Washburn Street for a private owner, yet we are bidding out to other contractors to tear down blighted properties, but certain individuals are getting the advantage of your tax dollars while you are losing police and firefighters. Is that right?

A block away from this one building was torn down the pool was closed all summer so children weren't able to swim and then he closes the pools early this year. He put four people back in that department this year that we had cut out of the budget and they are making more this year than they did previously. There is something wrong with this picture, and I know the public here has become apathetic because of the politics as usual, and I feel the same way. I feel the frustration that Ron Ellman feels here every week, trust me.

We have to be able to turn the tide and I think we are going to be able to do it through the right legal system. The gloves are off, we are going to fight through the legal system for you. We tried to do it the right way the past year and a half and they could say all they want. They could blame us all they want. We know up here where the problem lies and in black and white down the road you will be able to see it. Maybe not in the Scranton Times, but another future award winning newspaper.

You know, they have all of these fancy slogans: Restoring the Pride, Part of the Solution, unfortunately, he just removed a big chunk of a part of the solution, our police and fire protection. So they can use all of the slogans they want, but we are up here to take back the city and I know the majority of us are going to fight for you. That's all I have to say tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Good evening, city council is pleased to be in it's 2011 fall session and

update you concerning it's work and financial discoveries of the last few weeks.

First, I wish to thank my fellow council members and Mr. Ron Kolajeski for successfully resolving the delinquent tax issues surrounding the Mulberry Loft's property, formerly the old Chamber of Commerce building.

Because this council took firm stand in early 2011 against approval of the \$3.5 million RACP grant for the developer until all tax delinquencies were, paid and because Mr. Kolajeski held the line on behalf of the county, the city, county, and school district recently received full payments.

And to I believe it might have been Mr. Jackowitz's question, yes, we do have a copy of the checks that were paid to the City of Scranton by Scranton Mulberry LP for delinquent taxes, and as a result I have placed the RACP grant on tonight's agenda to receive final approval.

In addition, the contract that was developed by council and Solicitor Hughes for the collection of delinquent taxes by

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Northeastern Revenue Services, was finally enacted. Although, the mayor had substituted an administrative contract for that of city council, officers of Northeast Revenue Service stood firmly with city council and the proper contract was signed by city officials and NRS recently.

This action is important because it enables. City to begin payment of the \$1.5 million owed to Pennstar Bank for the loan taken by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority to purchase delinquent taxes. Ιt is my hope that the mayor together with the city's solicitor will notify Pennstar Bank and it's representative law firm immediately that the city has taken action to pay this debt and will arrange a payment schedule to prevent further legal action against the city, particularly since the city received correspondence from Rhodes and Sinnon, legal counsel for Pennstar Bank stating that if a resolution or sufficient terms are not agreed upon by September 15, 2011, litigation will be commenced without further notice to recoup all amounts currently due

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as a consequence of the default.

Should the City and the Scranton
Redevelopment Authority wish to avoid
litigation, a proposal must be presented
promptly because the administration had
failed to respond to calls and letters from
Rhodes and Sinnon in past months. There is
just viable concern for providing a timely
response right now.

Next, council had been concerned that the city was not receiving payments from the CMC Hospital for bagged parking meters as required by File of Council No. 26 of 2011 and signed by the mayor. recently learned that the CMC has been making payments to the Scranton Parking Authority since June 2010, and not the City of Scranton. This discovery raises several important questions. Why did city solicitor, Paul Kelly, draft legislation and send to this council for approval when as the Scranton Parking Authority solicitor he had to be aware that the CMC was already paying the Parking Authority?

Why did Solicitor Kelly send a

letter to city council in 2011 asking about procedures for billing the CMC when he knew the Parking Authority was billing the CMC?

Even more troubling, is the examination of File of Council No. 38 of 2011, approved on June 14, 2011, and signed by the mayor on June 27, 2011. This ordinance designated that CMC parking meter revenue was to be deposited into two accounts for police and fire. According to the fees set by the Parking Authority, CMC pays \$20 per meter per day.

Also, the Parking Authority is charging only for 78 meters rather than the actual 150 metered parking spaces.

Therefore, weekly revenue amounts to \$7,800.

In 2010, the parking meters were bagged for 28 weeks for a total payment of \$218,400.

In 2011, meters were bagged for 35 weeks as of September 2 for a total payment of \$273,000. After the 10 percent owed to the Parking Authority it deducted only from the 2010 total, the city should have received \$196,560 in 2010 from the CMC alone.

In 2011, the 10 percent fee owed to the SPA was eliminated by ordinance and the city should have received \$273,000 in meter revenue from the CMC and deposited these funds into the police and fire accounts.

In addition, this revenue equals the total savings that Mayor Doherty claims to enjoy from the August 29 layoffs of the 21 police and firefighters. However, council doesn't know if the city received it's money from 2010 and 2011.

Therefore, Mrs. Krake, city council wishes to file a Right-to-Know request with the Scranton Parking Authority for the following: Copies of any and all invoices billed to the CMC Hospital from June 1, 2010, up to and including September 2, 2011. Copies of any on all checks issued by the Scranton Parking Authority to the City of Scranton from June 1, 2010, up to and including September 2, 2011. And dated receipts of invoices from the CMC for bagged parking meter revenue and permit parking from June 1 2010, up to and including September 2, 2011.

Also, city council wishes to file a Right-to-Know request with the Office of the Scranton business administrator for copies of any and all checks issued by the Scranton Parking Authority to the City of Scranton from June 1, 2010, up to and including September 2, 2011.

Now, if the City of Scranton has not received \$218,400 in 2010, and \$273,000 in 2011 from the Parking Authority for those bagged meters at the CMC Hospital then, and this is where it gets truly interesting, then the City of Scranton must sue the Scranton Parking Authority for payment of funds due to the city. In so doing, City Solicitor Kelly on behalf of the City must bring proceedings against the Scranton Parking Authority and himself as the solicitor of the Parking Authority.

Paul Kelly's conflict of interest in serving both parties is blatant in this entire matter. Because city council intends to stop the mayor's violative actions, I asked City Solicitor Hughes in August -- or not the city solicitor, I'm sorry, our City

Council Solicitor Hughes in August to examine all incidents of Mayor Doherty's violations of the Home Rule Charter and Administrative Code and his willful negligence of council authority.

Attorney Hughes had considered the issue of the CMC parking meter revenue since the mayor had crossed off his signature on legislation he had signed months before, however, since the CMC employee parking garage is slated for completion by November and the parking meters should become operational to the public in the near future, council and Attorney Hughes will turn our efforts to collection and receipt of the sizable revenue owed to the City by the Scranton Parking Authority and will enter litigation instead on another matter.

Next, the recent decision by Judge
Thomson, who neither resides in Scranton nor
is elected by the voters of Scranton and
Lackawanna County, has been appealed. The
numerous citizens who have contacted me
since August 26 are extremely disappointed
that this vital case was not heard by a

panel of local judges as had been agreed upon, but rather by a jurist who does not have to live with the weighty public safety and political consequences of his ruling.

Many Scranton taxpayers question his decision and hope to see it overturned.

Perhaps Judge Thomson may not have read the report of the Government Study Commission of the City of Scranton dated March 1, 1974, and published two months before the vote that approved it's recommendations for the adoption of a Home Rule Charter establishing, and this is most important, establishing a mayor-council, mayor-council form of government for the City of Scranton.

Its stated purposes were to provide the division of an executive and legislative authority, to provide for complete administrative follow through and to provide for initiative and referendum. The study commission also reported that it purposely made no outside visits to other municipalities, as had been done by other government study commissions around the

state. It was their feeling that the best information on the government of the City of Scranton could be found here in the Scranton nor were any representatives of the Philadelphia or Pittsburg invited to testify before the Government Study Commission.

This information is contained in the report of the Government Study Commission.

Further, Judge Thomson seems to have ignored the explicit powers and authority of city council granted by and included in the Home Rule Charter. Instead, he chose to reduce the authority of council to that of a rubber stamp and gave the mayor the right to act as both the executive and legislative branches of the Scranton government against all city residents who had chosen in 1974 to divide those powers as I previously noted.

Stunningly, Judge Thomson ignored the language of the charter that states, and I quote, "With the approval of the mayor and council," the mayor and council, "the business administrator shall revise the allotments so as to forestall expenditures in excess of the revenue to be realized."

And the following statement:

"Should a deficit develop, the mayor shall make recommendations to minimize the deficit and for that purpose council, council may reduce other appropriations."

No where does the charter state that the mayor be reduce appropriations. It is a fact that the mayor violated the Home Rule Charter and Administrative Code by failing to send legislation to city council to reduce budget line items in order to layoff 21 public safety employees.

If Judge Thomson, infamous for rulings in favor of the Doherty administration, considered the language of the charter and the code, he would have noted that the mayor has no authority to impose layoffs single handily. Yes, he can hire. Yes, he can fire and do that most certainly, unequivocally single handedly, but layoffs, no, he cannot.

Apparently, he missed the mark and really didn't consider the strong and clear language of the Home Rule Charter and the code. Instead, it is my opinion that he

liberally interpreted a Home Rule Charter to justify his decision.

Further, supplemental income not included in the annual operating budget must come to council in the form of legislation and this, too, has not occurred in the cases of the supplemental revenue received by the city for the sale of the Mercy Hospital and the supplemental revenue received from CMC payments for bagged parking meters which was designated for deposit into police and fire accounts.

It seems that the strong mayor confiscated those monies and council is not aware into what accounts they were placed and for what purposes they were used. In fact, council is now trying to determine if the city did, indeed, receive the CMC parking meter revenue from the Parking Authority as I previously noted.

Therefore, with the agreement of my honorable colleagues, city council authorizes Solicitor Hughes to prepare and file an amicus curiae brief on behalf of the city council in support of the police and

firefighters to Commonwealth Court for the reversal of Judge Thomson's decision. The mayor must submit legislation to council for it's approval of layoffs and he has failed to do so, that is a fact.

And before the mayor single handedly inflicts additional public safety layoffs on the people of our city he should pursue the \$600,000 owed to the city for the property on Providence Avenue on which the old DPW garage once stood, implement StreetSmart program as other Pennsylvania municipalities have done, and read the August 2011, HUD monitoring review forwarded to Linda Aebli of OECD.

With the generous assistance of Mr. Quinn over the last week or so, I have learned that the mayor may have created problems with HUD and CDBG allocations used for neighborhood police patrols as well as his layoff of police officers. The 2011 monitoring review of the City of Scranton CDBG programs dated August 5, 2011, and addressed to Ms. Aebli by HUD includes the following statements:

"Since police services are provided with local funds, it is critical for the city to be able to show that it as maintaining it's efforts to fund these services. The project file contains guidelines for neighborhood police patrol which designates areas for the patrol and clearly indicates that the neighborhood police patrol is distinct from the regular police.

The neighborhood police patrol operates under separate supervision, has separate duties, and has identifiable uniforms, most importantly, the neighborhood police patrol operates on a foot or on bicycles.

The city is planning expansion of the neighborhood police patrol beginning in 2011. The number of the positions is expected to increase from 5 to 13 and the patrol will be operating from automobiles. This change eliminates the biggest distinction between the neighborhood police patrol and the regular police. It creates a major risk that Scranton will at some time

cut back on the number of regular police.

Any reduction in the number of the regular police will make the entire expenditure of CDBG funds for the patrol ineligible from that point forward."

The report further states upon page 21, "To be eligible for CDBG assistance, a public service must be either a new service or a quantifiable increase in the level of an existing service above that provided by the local government through it's own or state funds in the 12 calendar months preceding the submission of the action plan.

It is extremely important for Scranton to document that it is maintaining it's efforts in funding it's regular police and not using the CDBG funded community police as a substitute to save money. The city must maintain --" and again, I'm quoting from the HUD report, the city must maintain it's full regular police force as it was constituted in 2010 before adding any community police. Any reduction in the number of the regular police below the previously established level will make all

of the community police ineligible for CDBG funding from that point forward."

Now, according to this report and page 75 of the 2011 operating budget, the mayor paid 13 police officers using CDBG funds in 2011. Further, the mayor has not maintained the number of the regular police officers as it was constituted in 2010.

In addition, it seems that the city must repay it's local CDBG account using nonfederal funds for a minimum of \$59,500 and a possible maximum of \$106,337 for using CDBG monies for ineligible costs and failure to document and maintain records. In fact, it is unclear whether half the salary of director of Licensing, Inspections and Permits can be paid using CDBG funds and those monies may have to be paid as well.

I would ask that Councilman Joyce, who is absent this evening, would please determine all employee positions that are funded through CDBG and HUD, so that Councilman Rogan make certain that their positions are actually eligible activities and their work includes documentation

.

interest now on.

Also, Councilman Rogan, please prepare amendments to the 2012 CDBG allocations and provide council's office with a copy of those recommendations by September 15, and thereafter, you could determine the eligibility of the city positions for CDBG funding if necessary.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: I would keep a very close eye.

MR. ROGAN: I would encourage, everyone else to submit those suggestions as well.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, as you can see it's very difficult to address the city's financial problems when each week we discover more financial issues that have been hidden or mismanaged by the Doherty administration.

It's been a challenging year that began with council's discovery of the mayor's raiding of Workers' Comp excess fund to pay off prior year's debt and his use of the 2011 TAN to repay a 2010 TAN.

exposed, the mayor is finally forced to acknowledge a huge deficit in the 2010 budget that he had concealed from all of us and that that he carried into the 2011 budget. Council then discovered the mayor's wasteful spending of UDAG second repayment funds and ended it. We learned that the Scranton Parking Authority received the parking meter money that was owed to the city, and we are trying to determine how the supplemental tax revenue from the sale of the Mercy Hospital was spent.

At the same time, council worked to generate new revenue, which the mayor has ignored and my council colleague,

Mr. Loscombe, elaborated upon earlier, to collect delinquent taxes owed by the developer and to hire a professional and responsible delinquent tax collector to have the ability to pay off Pennstar Bank and stop litigation again the city.

Now, before we can cleanup the mayor's financial train wreck, we must continue to uncover as much as possible

concerning revenues and expenditures
concealed from us. The mayor has not
presented council with an honest plan for
solving the deficit and has not come clean
with the city's finances.

However, council is not deterred and hopes to begin 2012 with a clean and clear financial slate. We have kept our promises to you and we are more determined than ever to hold the mayor and the Pennsylvania Economy League accountable for fiscal mismanagement and for solutions that benefit all of the taxpayers of Scranton. If the mayor fails to abide by the law and to enforce the law, we will see him in Court in the future. And that's it.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, just one thing you mentioned in motions, I believe a motion would be in order authorizing me as council solicitor to file an amicus curiae brief on behalf of the Scranton City Council in the appeal of, it's a long caption, I won't read it, I'll just say the police and firemen versus Mayor Chris Doherty and the City of Scranton, so

that I could file the brief on behalf of council with the Commonwealth Court.

Amicus curiae means friend of the Court. Those briefs are usually filed in appellate cases where there is a person that has an interest in the outcome files a brief just to let the Court know what their issues are and the importance of it, and by the lower court should it be affirmed or be reversed.

MS. EVANS: I know that when this council first convened in 2010 we had made a motion that any request coming from council members during a public council meeting will be made on behalf of all of council, however, I have learned my legal lessons from our esteemed solicitor, I believe in belts and suspenders, and so I ask if one of my colleagues will make that motion at this time.

 $\label{eq:make_motion} \text{MR. LOSCOMBE:} \quad \text{I will make the} \\ \\ \text{motion.} \\$

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor to authorize Council Solicitor Boyd Hughes to file an amicus curiae brief on

1 behalf of Scranton City Council in 2 Commonwealth Court in the case of the police 3 and fire of the City of Scranton. 4 MR. ROGAN: Second. MS. EVANS: All those in favor --5 or, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I have jumped 6 7 ahead of myself. On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye? 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 12 MR. MCGOFF: No. 13 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 14 moved. MS. KRAKE: 5-B. TO APPROVE AND 15 ACCEPT THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S UPDATE FOR 16 17 2012 FOR THE CAPITAL BUDGET IMPROVEMENT PLAN 18 OF 2008, WHICH IS THE FOURTH AND FINAL REVISION AND EXTENSION OF THE 2008 FIVE-YEAR 19 PLAN. 20 21 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 23 introduced into it's proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

25

1 MS. EVANS: On the question? 2 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, I 3 know we did this -- I don't know if it was 4 tabled or voted -- I voted it down last 5 year, but it's basically --MS. EVANS: We voted it down. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: It's basically the same 8 thing that was sent last year. It's not a 9 capital budget, it's just a few pages of 10 paper. MS. EVANS: Yes, it's at best a very 11 12 cursory sketch sheet rendition of a capital budget. I think what's most notable about 13 14 it though is the amount of money that's allocated to city parks to the detriment of 15 16 every other category. As my colleague 17 noted, it was voted down in 2010. Having sat on council in 2009 I can attest to the 18 19 fact that it was also voted down in 2009. 20 All those in favor of introduction 21 signify by saying aye. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 23 MS. EVANS: Opposed? 24 ROGAN: NR. No.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

No.

25

MS. EVANS: No. The nos have it and the legislation is defeated.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. CREATING AND
ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
02.229600 ENTITLED "PERRY AVENUE PARK" FOR
THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS
FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC
AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("DCED") FOR THE
PERRY AVENUE PARK.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. I figure I'll go first because I know there will probably be a lengthy debate on this, I am going to vote "yes" this week to move this along just to give residents from that neighborhood and throughout the community an opportunity to speak on behalf either for this park or against it. If I had to vote on final passage right now I would be voting "no". I just want to give everyone time if

people would like to contact us. 1 I know it's been something we have 2 3 had on the back burner for a long time and I also have concerns about the timing. 4 5 Reading through it, it lists June 2011 for the timeline for a lot of this work to be 6 7 done, but I will vote "yes" this week to 8 hear a little more, but that's all. 9 Is there anyone else on MS. EVANS: 10 the question? MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, I will be making 11 12 some comments under 5-G which addresses 13 this, also. So I will hold my comments to 14 that point. MS. EVANS: All those in favor of 15 16 introduction signify by saying aye. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MS. EVANS: Opposed? MR. LOSCOMBE: 20 No. 21 MS. EVANS: No. I believe in this 22 case because, Attorney Hughes, we have two 23 ayes and two nays the legislation dies? 24 MR. HUGHES: That's correct. 25 MS. EVANS: The legislation is

1 defeated. MS. KRAKE: 5-D. APPROVING THE 2 TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE 3 4 CURRENTLY OWNED BY E.J. BEARS, INC. T/A E.J. BEARS, 997 CORTEZ ROAD, LAKE ARIEL, PA 5 6 18436 LICENSE NO. R-17757 TO POSH RESTAURANT 7 GROUP, LLC FOR USE AT THE SCRANTON CLUB 8 LOCATED AT 404 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE, 9 SCRANTON, PA AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA 10 LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 11 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 12 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 13 introduced into it's proper committee. 14 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. LOSCOMBE: 15 Second. 16 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 17 those in favor of introduction signify by 18 saying aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 22 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 23 have it and so moved. 24 MS. KRAKE: 5-E. SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN 25

1	AS PT5/REAR 3118 JONES STREET, TAX MAP NO.
2	16620-010-006, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO
3	JOHN J. GAUGHAN AND MARIE A. GAUGHAN, HIS
4	WIFE, 99 CRANE STREET, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, FOR THE CONSIDERATION
6	OF \$2,700.00.
7	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
9	introduced into it's proper committee.
10	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 5-F. VACATING THE
21	EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY AND ACCEPTING
22	AND ORDAINING A NEW RIGHT OF WAY TO WIDEN A
23	PORTION OF MURPHY COURT TO INCREASE ITS'
24	RIGHT OF WAY TO 22 FEET.
25	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll

25

entertain a motion that Item 5-F be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. On the question, I took a map out and I actually stopped by the three adjoining properties to where this court is. Actually right now it's in pretty rough shape. All three of the neighbors who were affected were definitely in favor of it. They like the They are actually gaining a little bit more property themselves and they will have a nice smooth street back there rather than the rough one they had. There was no opposition to anyone I spoke to up there. I went door to door and they were all in favor of this, so I will be voting in favor of it.

MS. EVANS: Very good. Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Is there anyone else on the question?

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
4	have it and so moved.
5	MS. KRAKE: 5-G. AUTHORIZING THE
6	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
7	TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE
8	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF
9	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED")
10	FOR THE COMMUNITY CONSERVATION AND
11	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM CONTRACT NUMBER
12	C000050806, TO RECEIVE A \$50,000.00
13	GRANT FOR THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF
14	ADA ACCESSIBLE PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT,
15	LIGHTING AND DRAINAGE AS PART OF THE ONGOING
16	DEVELOPMENT OF THE PERRY AVENUE PARK.
17	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
19	introduced into it's proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, on the question.
24	I'm going to be voting "no" on this. I
25	think I made my you know, why I didn't

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agree to this going prior to our break, but since that time I have had actually letters sent to me and photos of actually what our premier park, Nay Aug Park, I have had several complaints about that, but we have these little scattered parks throughout the city. Yes, it's nice to have a free \$50,000 to setup the park, but we have continual maintenance, supposed we start cutting back DPW down the road, who is going to maintain them? We can't maintain the parks we have right now. I don't think it's fair to accept a grant, put something in there and let it fall apart. I mean, look at some of the parks we have now they are actually dumping more money into after several years there continuously to update them.

Another thing, parks are nice.

Everybody would like one in their backyard until late in the evening when, you know, teenagers and hoodlums and that start to hang out and the police are called continuously because most if these parks don't have the proper lighting or the proper policing. In the summertime they don't

have, you know, liquids or refreshments or, you know, a water fountain or anything like that or restroom facilities, and that's a true park. We have a problem with our restroom facilities up at Nay Aug Park, but I have a letter here that I would like to read if it's okay that I received and I believe all of the members of city council received it and I have photos of it.

"To the members of city council, I have been a resident of the City of Scranton my whole life. I am now a mother to my daughter, Airanna, 14 months old. This summer we have been to many parks to enjoy the great weather. When visiting the Scranton parks, I have been appalled at the amounts of garbage and overall lack of care with the Scranton City parks. On two occasions, I have called the city for Parks and Recreation while at the park and asking them why no one is piking up the garbage or caring for the parks where we let our children play. The response was simple, they do it on a weekly basis.

Well, I can tell you this, it was

not garbage from a day ago or even a week ago. I do not feel comfortable bringing her to any of these parks in Scranton for that reason, and a resident and taxpayer I should not have to drive to another city just so I can take my daughter to a clean park. The two main parks I have been at are Connell Park and the city's beloved Nay Aug Park. Both parks have garbage all around the playground, empty bottles, plastic plates, napkins, wrappers, etcetera.

I feel these areas, as well as the whole park, should be in impeccable condition. I mean, this is where our children will play and grow up. Without clean parks where do we take our children and how will they grow to love where they are from and treat it with respect? also been to parks outside of Scranton and have seen nothing like what I have seen in the pictures. How are these parks such as McDade Park, South Abington Park, Dalton Park, Dickson City Elm Street Park and many more able to keep their parks in such well kept conditions?

I know it is people who make the mess, but it is you, as our government, to make sure these parks are safe and clean for our children. Thank you for your time in reading this letter and reviewing the attached photos. Please contact me at any time."

And this letter was also in the newspaper, Carrie Vitaletii from 2604
Stafford Avenue, South Scranton. She sent pictures via e-mail, I know it's hard to me, but this is the condition on a daily basis when she goes there to these parks.

Again, I have nothing against parks. If we had the money to maintain them and the personnel to maintain them I would like a park on every block, but I think what we need now is to take Perry Avenue, sell it, put it on the tax rolls and maybe someone will develop a home there. And I read in the newspaper, I believe it was right before our break, that the school district offered Linden Jackson to the City of Scranton for a dollar to make the park there, I spoke to neighbors there, they are not interested in

a park there. I think that would be better off put back on the tax rolls, also. There is it park behind Engine No. 8 up there that has never been utilized, which is 2 1/2 blocks away from Perry Avenue.

For these reasons, and basically financially and, you know, I would have to say even though it's a \$50,000 gift I would defer that gift to another municipality to be able to maintain their parks and utilize it until we can get our parks, especially our premier park, up to speed. We can't even maintain our swimming pools, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I'm glad that we are making our decision based on one letter from one citizen. I wish that you might talk to the people around Perry Avenue and see if they would, you know, like a park there. Perhaps they would take care of it. Talk to the people around Connors Park who are very pleased with the park, and I pass that every day and it's well-maintained and clean. I have been to Nay Aug Park on

numerous occasions during the summer, never experienced what you are speaking about.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have pictures.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm glad you have the pictures. That's one day. That doesn't mean that it's on daily businesses, as you said.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll let you finish.

MR. MCGOFF: I have been to any number of the parks, Barrett Park in Minooka, very well-maintained, used frequently. I think that we are just throwing money away here that could be used for the betterment of the neighborhood and something that the residents of that area would like to be see done and I don't think that it would put any burden on the city as far as maintenance is concerned, and I just can't understand why you would be opposed to this.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Just to touch base, I do agree this is one letter, but I have received several e-mails and several phone conversations from other individuals, one being a former dispatcher here, another a

couple that moved in from out-of-state, you know, it's not just one incident. Now, I believe the newspaper did the survey of Perry Park for us themselves and I believe there was only one resident there, the next door neighbor, who was in favor of it. I haven't heard from any other people from North Scranton. I think they would rather have Rockwell Avenue bridge safe and have firefighters and police to protect them, then we could look at the parks.

MR. MCGOFF: They are two separate things.

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \mbox{MR. Loscombe: Like I said before,} \\ \mbox{this is not Disney Land.}$

MR. MCGOFF: They are not even related. You can't us that money for that.

MR. LOSCOMBE: They are related. We have financial obligations down the road.

We can't maintain what we have now.

MS. EVANS: And actually a portion of it may be related because when you look at the capital budget there are allocations for bridges, curbs, sidewalks, and what's been designated to that category is probably

less than half of what's been designated to parks, and I think what troubles me in addition to what Councilman Loscombe has noted, is that the legislation itself states that the \$50,000 grant will be used as part of the ongoing development of this park, so that in itself tells us more money is required for this, you know, there could be additional funding required for project overrun costs, there is certainly insurance required, and you can't say that what is insured at right now is what it would be insured at when it becomes a park and a playground. That's certainly going to escalate the premiums.

And there is annual maintenance required because there are a many people in the city who feel that's why they pay tax dollars. They are not here to drive the garbage truck, pick up the garbage and put it in themselves. They are not here to go and clean the park and remove the graffiti. They pay high taxes for those things to be done.

And, you know, finally, I was

looking through a number of the bids that have come in and I think the administration is trying to make a decision right now regarding improvements to Fellow's Park in west side currently and those bids for the park improvements range from \$63,000 to \$82,000.

So, I mean, you know, parks cost money and I can't imagine anyone at this point in time saying that the city has the luxury of expanding in all of these areas when the city has a deficit it can't close and it's failing the very people who are paying the taxes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I may just add one more, if you recall last year we had people here from the Lackawanna Little League, there was a \$75,000 investment in a park there that turned out to be nothing but a drug haven and they ended up removing that because it was causing more problems. It wasn't maintained by the city, the little league tried to maintain it as much as they could, they put cameras there and everything, but the police were there on a

nightly basis and since we are stressed so thin right now I don't know if we could afford to have at all our parks.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to reiterate my position, I think the city's track record on parks is very spotty.

Mr. Connor's park, which really did make that neighborhood a lot nicer, and yet there are other small parks throughout the city that aren't maintained at all.

Unfortunately, Mayor Doherty chose to waste a lot of money on Nay Aug. If we had to spend it on a park, which wouldn't have been my first priority, I would have preferred to see that go into the community. Again, I'm voting "yes" only because I would like to hear from residents of Perry Avenue, I haven't heard from any. I haven't had any contact me to support it or to oppose it, number one.

And number two, this week we don't have a full five members here so it would be good to have, you know, Mr. Joyce may be the swing vote, but I agree with a lot of what my colleagues are saying and, you know, with

the maintenance and that if something could be worked out where it would more of a community park that the neighbors would take care of it and mowing the lawns and things like that then I could support it, but without something like that I wouldn't. But again, I would just like to give it another week and maybe some people will come and give us their input. That's not going to happen, so let's vote.

MS. EVANS: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Opposed?

MR. LOSCOMBE: No.

MS. EVANS: No. The nos have it and the legislation is defeated. Well, actually, the nos don't have it, it is a tie. Two nos, two yeses, and the legislation is defeated.

MS. KRAKE: 5-H. ACCEPTING A FIFTY

(\$50.00) DOLLAR DONATION FROM A PRIVATE

CITIZEN PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

1	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
3	introduced into it's proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MS. EVANS: Do we have a second?
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll second.
7	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
8	those in favor of introduction signify by
9	saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
14	have it and so moved.
15	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
16	motion to take File of Council No. 49-2011,
17	6-A, from the table.
18	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
19	floor, do we have a second?
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question?
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

1 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -2 3 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE (PREVIOUSLY TABLED) - AMENDING FILE OF 4 COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE 5 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 6 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE 7 8 ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE 9 CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE 10 FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 11 12 GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 13 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY 14 SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM.". MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 15 16 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 17 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 18 pass reading by title. MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. 19 20 MS. EVANS: On the question? 21 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, do we have a copy of the amended amounts? 22 23 MS. EVANS: From Ms. Aebli? 24 MR. MCGOFF: I believe that it was 25 in -- she had given us when she termed to be

1 a worksheet. 2 MS. EVANS: Correct. 3 MR. MCGOFF: And I thought that we 4 were going to amend those amounts. 5 MR. ROGAN: As of know, those numbers are still the numbers. 6 MR. MCGOFF: So what we are voting 7 8 on is the worksheet that she presented to 9 us. MR. ROGAN: It's Sixth Order. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, but still it's --11 12 MS. EVANS: Mrs. Krake? 13 MS. KRAKE: That is not attached is 14 what Ms. Aebli indicated to me, so she, which I believe everyone received in their 15 16 box a copy of the amendments that she is 17 proposing. 18 MS. EVANS: Yes. 19 MS. KRAKE: So as of tonight they 20 would also be unattached, we would have to 21 have our solicitor attach and possibly amend if it was council's wishes or whatever your 22 23 wishes may be for Seventh Order. 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you, 25 Mrs. Krake.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Is there -- I guess my next question, is there an intent to amend 2 3 what Ms. Aebli has given to us for final 4 reading. If there is that will be 5 MS. EVANS: done during Seventh Order next week. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: My understanding when I 8 spoke to Linda, Ms. Aebli, about a month 9 ago, before we took recess, any changes --10 if we pass this the way it is now everything 11 put on the motion becomes legislation. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Right. 13 MR. ROGAN: Instead of being a 14 proposed amount where she could move around, you know, from one project to another. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: I still want to know 17 what I'm voting on. It's --18 MS. EVANS: Well, what we've done 19 is--20 MR. MCGOFF: Are we going to put it 21 through Seventh Order as it stands or are 22 the amounts going to be amended, and if the 23 amounts are going to be amended when are we 24 going to have an opportunity to discuss 25 those changes?

MS. EVANS: Next week. 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: So we will discuss them 3 at the meeting as it's being done rather than beforehand? 4 MS. EVANS: We'll do our best to 5 6 provide any amendments. At this point I 7 really can't comment on that because I can't 8 even say that there are right now. Should 9 there be, we'll do our best to make sure 10 that they are distributed and any discussion 11 thereof can be conducted the evening of the 12 council meeting. 13 Anyone else on the question? All 14 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 15 16 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 17 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 18 MR. MCGOFF: No. 19 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 20 moved. 21 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR 22 23 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 39, 2011-24 AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993 (AS 25 AMENDED), ENTITLED "THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR

THE CITY OF SCRANTON" BY CHANGING THAT

PORTION OF A 17 ACRE PARCEL OF LAND FRONTING

ON THE 100 BLOCK AND 200 BLOCK OF DAVIS

STREET AND ITS SURROUNDING AREA, AS MORE

PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A"

ATTACHED HERETO FROM C-N (NEIGHBORHOOD

COMMERCIAL) TO R-1A (MEDIUM DENSITY

RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT). (OVERRIDE MAYOR'S

VETO).

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend that city council override the mayor's veto of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, I hope that -- there's only four of us here that's it's a unanimous vote, otherwise, we are going to be in a little bit of trouble, but this was passed unanimously each week. Council chambers was packed with residents from the neighborhood who support this change and for me when it comes down to making the decision based on 50 or 60 residents that were here or one out-of-town

	158
1	developer, I'm going to side with the
2	residents every time, so I will be voting to
3	override the veto.
4	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
5	the question? Roll call, please?
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
14	the mayor's veto of Item 7-A, File of
15	Council No. 39, 2011, legally and lawfully
16	overridden.
17	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
18	motion to take Resolution No. 10, 2011, Item
19	7-B from the table.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
	II

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 2 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 3 have it and so moved. MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 4 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 5 FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 10, 6 7 2011 (PREVIOUSLY TABLED) - AUTHORIZING THE 8 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 9 TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM 10 11 ("RACP") THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET IN THE 12 AMOUNT OF THREE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED 13 14 THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$3,500,000.00); IF THE APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL. ACCEPTING AND 15 16 DISBURSING THE GRANT; AND COORDINATING THE 17 USE OF THE GRANT FUNDS WITH 18 "SCRANTON-MULBERRY, LP", FOR THE PROJECT TO BE NAMED "THE MULBERRY LOFTS". 19 MS. EVANS: What is the 20 21 recommendation of the Chair for the 22 Committee on Community Development? 23 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Community Development, I 25 recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

2

MS. EVANS: On the question?

3

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, as

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was noted by our clerk earlier, Mr. Jefferson did provide a check for the city for the back taxes that were owed. was in the amount of \$62,163 and zero cents, six cents? I did speak to Mr. Jefferson on the phone about two weeks ago and once I heard that the taxes were being paid I wished them well with the project. We hope to see that this project is as successful as the Connell building was. You know, I have disagreed with some of the things that Mr. Jefferson was up for before, a quarter of a million dollars for the sidewalks I thought it was too much money, but, you know, this grant is to -- for the amount of economic development I think it will bring to the downtown and bring the people, especially young professionals into the downtown with the medical school and hopefully these people will move into downtown and go to school in the area and when they start off and get married and have

a family and stay in the city, that would be the best case scenario, but I will be voting "yes."

MR. MCGOFF: And also the amount of money that the city will receive in permits and fees and also the number of jobs that will be created in just renovating the lofts will be a great benefit to the city and I'm glad to see this project moving forward.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I believe it's a good project for the city, also, and I know several months ago that Mrs. Evans and myself had visited Mr. Jefferson personally. He provided us a tour of his Connell building, at that time we told him how we firmly stood as far as forgiving the taxes.

At that time there was rumors that a nonprofit was going to take it over or whatever, but we stood firm. I felt he believed in the city enough from the way he discussed his development plans with us that he would see ultimately it would be a benefit to him and all of the residents, seeing the fact that he was receiving a significant grant to pay the taxes, and I am

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happy that he has decided to do so.

I just wanted to touch something that one of our speakers earlier had spoken about, I think it was Mr. Ungvarsky, about LERTA and those programs. At this time when Mr. Jefferson presented this to us I believe I stood up and I put both hands and said, "We are happy to let you take one hand out of your pocket, but we are not filling both hands from the city," and that's what LERTA was done for originally. There are no incentives back then. LERTA gave them a tax abatement. Everybody wasn't receiving multi-million dollars grants and that, they may have received some small ones they were giving up a little bit more, but I believe LERTA is a good program if you're not receiving any other funding, any other government funding.

But, you know, they keep going to the well it doesn't benefit us in the long run and I would hope that those that are seeking LERTA that they are seeking it because that's the only option that they have at that point, and we'll cross that

163
bridge when it comes to it, but I do believe
that there, you know, there should be enough
to go to all of the developers in this area,
but I do commend Mr. Jefferson and I'm
looking to seeing that project completed.
MS. EVANS: Anyone else? Roll call,
please.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
If there is no further business, I
will entertain a motion to adjourn.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
MS. EVANS: This meeting is
adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER