		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, July 26, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.70505 0 0.0050777 000 000077 000077	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

2 ||

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

JOID HOURES, SULICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	APPLICATIONS ALONG WITH DECISIONS RENDERED
17	BY THE ZONING HEARING BOARD ON JULY 13,
18	2011.
19	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
22	FOR THE MONTH ENDING JUNE 30, 2011.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

1	REPORT OF APPEAL HEARINGS FOR JULY 6, 2011.
2	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
3	If not, received and filed.
4	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S
5	SCHEDULED HEARINGS FOR AUGUST 3, 2011.
6	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AUDIT STATUS FROM
9	ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF JULY 18, 2011.
10	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
11	If not, received and filed.
12	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. BUDGET COMPARISON
13	FROM THE OFFICE OF THE BUSINESS
14	ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE, 2011.
15	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
16	If not, received and filed.
17	MS. KRAKE: Mrs. Evans, I believe a
18	person that's receiving the proclamation is
19	here, would you like to finish Third Order?
20	MS. EVANS: Yes, please.
21	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. LIQUID FUELS
22	ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF APRIL, MAY, AND
23	JUNE OF 2011.
24	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

MS. KRAKE: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE 1 COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JUNE 2 3 22. 2011. 4 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 5 Do we have any Clerk's notes this 6 evening? 7 8 MS. KRAKE: No, we did not, 9 Mrs. Evans. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake. 11 At this time, I would ask that Pat Hinton 12 and his friends join council up here at the front of the room, please. 13 14 WHEREAS, the COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF 15 SCRANTON is desirous of honoring PAT HINTON; 16 and WHEREAS, PAT HINTON, who grew up in 17 18 South Scranton and continues to reside 19 there, watched his neighborhood change due 20 to bankruptcies, foreclosures, and absentee 21 landlords; and. 22 WHEREAS, PAT HINTON decided that "enough is enough" and had an idea to get 23 24 involved and make a difference in his 25 neighborhood so PAT organized a volunteer

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

group to target blighted and abandoned properties throughout the South Scranton area in order to make them safer and cleaner for all; and.

WHEREAS, PAT HINTON, along with neighbors and volunteers Pat Hopkins, Colin McCann and Shane Hinton founded the "SOUTH SIDE CLEAN UP CREW" and in the past three years, cleaned and cleared nearly fifty properties throughout South Scranton, including the following: The 1600 block of South Irving Avenue, the corner of Prospect Avenue and East Elm Street, the corner of South Irving Avenue and Birch Street, the 1100 block of Moltke Avenue, the 100 block of South Webster Avenue, the corner of Prospect Avenue and Orchard Street, the 600 block of East Locust Street, the corner of Maple Street and Pittston Avenue, 620 Pittston Avenue and 722 Fig Street; and.

WHEREAS, PAT HINTON has worked during his time off to clear overgrown and abandoned lots, providing gloves and trash bags at his own expense and even paid the costs involved with the disposal of

discarded tires; and.

WHEREAS, PAT HINTON and the group of volunteers continue their efforts and have started a program called "ADOPT-A-LOT" where neighbors can continue the care of a lot that has been cleared by maintaining it on a monthly basis; and.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on TUESDAY, JULY 26, 2011, Scranton City council wishes to congratulate PAT HINTON, the SOUTH SIDE CLEAN UP CREW, family, neighbors, volunteers and friends for their self-motivated volunteerism and hope that others will join them or follow their example.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Proclamation dated Tuesday, July 26, 2011, be made a permanent part of the Minutes of this Council, as lasting tribute to PAT HINTON, the South Side Clean Up Crew, volunteers, neighbors, and friends.

MS. EVANS: Is there anything you would like to say to the public?

MR. HINTON: Sure, I have a couple of words. This won't take long, I promise.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

I just want to say thanks first, you know, to city council members that invited us down here to so we could receive this great honor. Council staff as well that helped put this together for us tonight. You know, we really appreciate you guys acknowledging what -- you know, the efforts that we do because just by doing that it's going to help promote our cause.

We also want to thank individuals who helped support our cause whether it's, you know, helping, you know, loaning us equipment, letting us know where these abandoned and blighted properties are, so we can go clean them and, of course, a big thanks to all of the volunteers, you know, without them none of this would happen.

Basically this group was conceived three years ago for one purpose and that is to help our community and a lot of people would say why, and basically because, you know, we have a pride in our neighborhood and because we care. It was very difficult for not only myself but for the great people here with me and for the many people

throughout South Scranton to watch their neighborhood deteriorate to blight and abandoned properties. So basically what we said was "enough is enough" and let's put these words into action and we did, and this is a great cause.

These volunteers take their personal time out of their busy schedules to fight for a cause they believe in. Cleaning, chopping, cutting and picking up garbage in sometimes 95 degree weather to help their neighborhood and make it safe and more beautiful for our neighbors to live in it. We hope that more of our neighbors will help take part in our efforts, especially in our Adopt-a-Lot program. We also hope our cause will help motivate other people to do the same throughout this great city.

Our cause is very reminiscent of what John Kennedy said so many years ago about ask not what your community can do for you, but what you can do for your community.

And lastly, I want to leave you with this one quote, it's actually a passage from a quote, it's from the ancient Athenian

1 pledge which I think is very reminiscent of what we do and really highlights the goals 2 3 of what we are trying to accomplish here. It says, "We will strive to quicken our 4 5 sense of civic duty, thus, in these ways we will transmit this community greater, 6 better, stronger, prouder and more beautiful 7 8 than it was transmitted to us." 9 So on behalf of myself and the South 10 Side clean up crew, we thank you for this 11 great honor and for letting us be here 12 tonight. We appreciate it. Thank you. 13 MS. EVANS: If each of you could 14 come up and announce your names because certainly you worked very hard, too, and you 15 16 deserve acknowledgment you are not the South 17 Side Crew, you are individuals. 18 MR. HINTON: Chris Hinton, I'm Pat's 19 brother. Kevin Winkler. 20 MR. WINKLER: 21 MR. GAUGHAN: My name is Brendon 22 Gaughan. 23 MR. HINTON: My name is Shane 24 Hinton. 25 MR. MCCANN: Colin McCaan.

1	MR. CHICKLO: Jamie Chicklo.
2	MR. HOPKINS: Pat Hopkins.
3	MR. FALCON: Ryan Falcon.
4	MR. BARKLEY: Larry and Faith
5	Barkley.
6	MR. HINTON: Chris Hinton, and this
7	a Kerry Hinton.
8	MS. EVANS: You know, as I listen to
9	these names in brings back some memories
10	from Scranton High School. I think some of
11	you, at least two of you fellows have
12	something in common with me, my daughter,
13	because now I remember, Mr. McCann, I think
14	Kelly dated you in high school.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Close. That was
16	actually my brother, Ryan.
17	MS. EVANS: And you, I think I have
18	a picture of you in my house
19	MR. HINTON: Yes, you do.
20	MS. EVANS: Because you and Kelly
21	went to the Scranton High School senior prom
22	together and you were so handsome back in
23	your white tuxedo.
24	MR. HINTON: I tried.
25	MS. EVANS: Absolutely.
	II

MR. HINTON: So that's why we're getting this award.

MS. EVANS: And the Fallon name, the Hopkins names, all of these names ring such a bell from Scranton High School, so you have certainly grown into tremendous young men and I think not only your families, but this city and all of it's residents can be very proud of you and most grateful to you, and your parents did an excellent job. Thank you.

MR. HINTON: Thanks so much.

MS. EVANS: I also wanted to acknowledge at this time the little bird who put that information in my ear regarding this group and that was Mrs. Jean Marie Warpus, so I thank her for bringing this group and their hard work to our attention.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have two. On
Saturday, July 30, there will be an
Invader's Night Out at Haggerty's Bar and
Grill, 421 North Main Avenue hosted by the
West Scranton football boosters. The time

is from 7 p.m. to 10 p.m. and the price would be \$10. Come out and support the West Scranton football boosters.

The Dante Literary Society will hold it's annual chicken dinner on Saturday,
August 13, from 4:30 to 7:00. The cost is
\$10 per person. It says, "Due to the capacity of our ovens, we can only serve 230 chickens. I encourage all members and friends of this society to reserve your meal by paying early. Please contact the Dante Literary Society, 1916 Prospect Avenue."

And it's all I have.

MS. EVANS: Are there any other announcements? Scranton City Council will recess for the month of August. The fall session of regularly scheduled city council meetings will resume on Tuesday, September 6, 2011. City residents can continue to contact the Office of the City Clerk and the city council at 348-4113, and can contact individual council members at their e-mail addresses which are posted on Channel 19, ECTV. And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'

PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm sorry I missed the meeting last week when you brought up Boscov. It was a sad thing. We have been paying some of his debts quite along, his 108 loans. We have been paying that for God knows how many years. Now, of course, do we have to pay to HUD wherever that money was borrowed from when he didn't pay us? Do we still continue to have to make those payments?

MS. EVANS: The city I believe, according to the documents that I have read, the city will continue to make the interest payments.

MR. SBARAGLIA: There you go. There is another --

MS. EVANS: Not the city, but OECD through CDBG allocations.

MR. SBARAGLIA: With our money that we could actually spend somewhere in the city. That's the way it goes in this city.

He has plenty of the money to give to political contributions if you read any of his gifts that he gave. I guess he had a good return on the investments. Okay.

Mr. Rogan, I guess you were unhappy with the recess, but if you are willing to come here Thursday I will meet you and we'll canvass the city one section at a time. I'd gladly go with you.

MR. ROGAN: Thursday?

MR. SBARAGLIA: As a member of the Taxpayers' Association. You know, it's good to get out there. I haven't been out there since I ran for council.

Now, let's get onto the override.

You are going to recess, if you vote to override his veto for the pools exactly who is going to handle the things that are going to happen afterwards? The mayor already says it's illegal, so chances are there are going to be litigation, is your solicitor going to handle and back you up or is this just another deal where we make promises that we can't fulfill? And this concerns me, especially with the weather we have been

having. Them kids deserve the right to swim in, like I said before, I would have never stood for it. If I was from West Side, the there of yous, I would have been knocking on his door every day or else go and swim in his own swimming pool and bring your own group.

This is what you have to do with this man. You cannot sit there with kid gloves. He does not respect anything about his own decisions. They are not right, and they did a lot of damage to the city and they are going to do more damage to the city until somebody gets up and stands up to him.

Well, that's all I'm going to say.

I'm worried about the kids swimming, I

really am, as you know, we are -- as a

Taxpayers' Association we do sponsor the

free swims up there and the fees are now

pretty good, \$4 per child. I haven't seen

sister, I usually take care of sister when

she comes, but she hasn't come yet so I

don't know if I'm going to run into that

with her.

But anyway, you got to do something

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make sure that your legislation has some teeth, not like it was when you sent me up to the SRA and they laughed at me. That's not a thing to do. You got to put teeth in what you do and stand by it no matter what the consequences are. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Jerry Schalk.

MR. SCHALK: Jack, pass these out, okay? Good evening, my name is Jerry I live at 603 Fellows Street. Schalk. They should declare it a disaster area. Price Rite is ruining our neighborhood. Now, the reason why I'm down here because the whole neighborhood didn't want to come down with us, we don't want to make this a circus, okay? So let's play a little bit of Jeopardy. Okay, here we go. Wall, smell, noise, garbage trucks. By the way, my neighborhood cannot sleep at 7:00. thought you would know that, and you know what, we are not complaining because that's the ordinance, we understand that. just retired from the city and I went to work every morning at 5:30 so it never

really bothers me, but trucks come every -- all of the hours of the day, I mean, in the morning.

Oh, what'd I miss here? One-way and fine and cite. So all of this -- let's start doing these. Wall, there is a retaining wall that should be up there, we have none. I live, what's this, about 20 yards, two first downs? That's how far I live from the loading dock. They have -- the dumpster is attached to the building. When it comes out it leaks, the whole neighborhood stinks. I was talking to a couple people in the lobby here they said they can't even go by my house. I live right on the corner.

Okay, let's move back five more yards. There's the loading dock, I wake up in the morning, I look out, Price Rite truck. This is 24/7. This is all year round, not supposed to be there. It's supposed a retaining wall according to the council -- the ordinance. We are not --

So, okay, smell. That's already documented. How many times Seitzinger's

Office been up there, Board of Health. I mean, when it stinks, it stinks. Do you realize we can't enjoy our homes? All we are asking is just the same process that we just want to live like the other 70 plus people in Scranton. That's all we're asking for. Nothing less, no more, we don't want no pools, we don't want know this or that, we just want to live like everybody else. That's all we are asking for. It's a mess. Nobody here in this place would want to live where I live. I live right on the corner of South Sixth and Fellows, it's a zoo.

I went over, I tried diplomacy. It doesn't work. And before I forget I want to thank Jack and Nancy for all they did for us. Okay, let's go down the line here.

Garbage, again, garbage, the retaining wall, should prevent the garbage from going on our property. Not mine. I'm not here about Jerry Schalk, I'm here about the neighborhood. About six, seven houses -- Price Rite, I'm going to be honest with you, they throw coffee cups, they tear up the packages. We could actually have a bag of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

garbage in a month's end now.

You know, if I get a little emotional I'm a little aggravated because this is years of building up. This years of building up, getting woke up every morning at 6:30, 6:00, and one of these days I don't want to go to jail. I'm telling you, I have a bad temper and something is going to happen, so that's why I'm coming here today to ask you people for help. We need it badly. This has got to be done tomorrow. Tomorrow, okay? Tomorrow. You got to go downstairs and get them people up there and not to put Band-Aids on this problems. more Band-Aids. If I start cutting my lawn at 12:00 in the morning I am going to get cited or fined or whatever and I deserve it. These people come in here from out of where, from New York? They are running our neighborhood. They do not care about the neighbors. I have been there since 1978 and people are there before me. They don't care. They are putting their eight hours in and going home. They could many care less about us, okay?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's go down the line here.

Trucks. You saw the pictures. They have turned the parking, lot, repeat, they have just turned the parking lot into a truck stop. Now, all you people go shopping, I understand that, go to the Giant or the Price Chopper, go to three Gerrity's in the area, do you see any trucks parked there and these semis? No, you don't. No, you don't. You don't see no trucks parked there. trucks are there years. They are parked there 24/7, sometimes six, that's why I took the pictures, and that's why I very grateful that Jack came up so I'm not exaggerating nothing. It's horrible to live there. Probably people have the gas lines live better than us.

Signs. Here is the funny thing about it and it's really not funny, here I am complaining about all of this and you know what, there are signs all over the lot. No trucks from seven to ten. No loitering, no idling, no trucks parked, it's right there; am I right, Jack?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Correct.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHALK: So how can the neighborhood be wrong here?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Explain what happened when you called 911, too, on the trucks.

MR. SCHALK: Oh, geez, I was going to get to that Jack, I forgot to mark that Okay, I understand, it's only common sense, a nine-year-old will understand this, when you see something funny you report it, just like when I was in Vietnam if I saw a football in the rice paddy that's something different; am I right? Yeah, Family Dollar truck parked by our house, our houses, okay? Is there something wrong with that? Yeah. He was there all night. Number one, he is putting the truck on and off because it was hot, you know what I mean? On and off. That's aggravating. So we called COM Center. We sat on the porch waiting for them to come, three times we called. You know what they told us? You know what they told us? If we call one more time they are going to cite us for harassment. you kidding me?

So here is what I'm trying to say,

you know, you look at your check and there is taxes taken out, but for what? Why would they take taxes out of my check for this?

Don't do that, but you see something, you know, and it got -- what I have any time left? I'm done.

MS. CARRERA: It's up to them.

MR. SCHALK: Guys, please help us out, okay? I have all of this down here, we are going to file a lawsuit if this is not done and we are going to include the City of Scranton because you already came, you all did your job. This has to be done tomorrow. We can't take much more.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll be addressing some of the issues, Jerry.

MR. SCHALK: It has to be done tomorrow. You got the trucks idling, you got the noise, the stink and everything. In fact, if they moved tomorrow I could care less. Thank you so much I appreciate it. I'm sorry I got out of hand.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.
Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council. I never met Jerry before, but I have driven by his neighborhood and I tell you what, it does stink over there. No offense.

Good evening, Scranton City Council.
Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. One man with courage is a majority. Thomas
Jefferson said that. Last Tuesday was a very disappointing meeting. I watched and listened to the Boscov four stuff a bailout right down my throat. Call it what you want, when you move a vote from first reading to final reading in 20 minutes, something is wrong.

Councilwoman Evans has said many times that this does not pass the smell test. The Boscov's bailout did not pass the smell test with me. When you reduce the interest payments by 3 percent and delay payments on \$880,000 for two years that is a bailout. If my creditors would do that for me I would be a very happy camper, but then again, I do not have the power and money like Mr. Al Boscov.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Furthermore, I do not contribute thousands of dollars to political campaign funds. The financing for the mall project came from a variety of sources, none of which were banks. I repeat, none of which Due to the position and risk were banks. they took to aid and renew revitalization of downtown Scranton, Scranton Mall Association were able to draw untraditional financing Some of the eventual sources for financing included an urban development action grant from the federal government, taxpayers' money, a financial commitment from the state, taxpayers' money, and recognition for the potential economic rebirth of Scranton and the northeast which, by the way, never happened. They gambled the taxpayers' money and lost. Now, it seems city council is willing to gamble again.

Scranton has been distressed since
January 1992. The Mall at Steamtown opened
23 August of 1993, almost two years after
Scranton went distressed. For those of you
who are not aware of the fact that Scranton

still remains distressed as of 26 July of 2011. Now, the Boscov four wants to take another chance with taxpayers' money. The public employees and board of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers from it's pension funds also financed construction of the Steamtown Mall. Over \$100 million was needed to open the Steamtown Mall in 1993. Steamtown Mall Partners and Al Boscov's money was invisible and for that matter still is. Add in the 31 million for the 500 block of the Lackawanna Avenue or \$131 million taxpayer dollars with nothing to show for it.

Mr. Boscov received millions from the state, three million from Scranton and other surrounding communities where he happens to have his retail stores located. He employs many people at minimum wage and the majority of his employees are part-time workers supplementing other incomes, mostly small social security checks. These are not family sustaining jobs. They do little for the Scranton wage tax collection.

Furthermore, not all employees in

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the mall and Boscov's are Scranton residents, meaning no wage tax collection for those workers. One million dollars short fall in wage tax, how can that be with the Honorable Mayor Doherty creating 9,000 jobs and Mr. Boscov and the mall employing all of these people. How can there possibly be a shortfall in wage tax collection?

Mr. Boscov bragged about how good his retail stores were doing after he came out of retirement, how he turned everything around, now he is back on his feet. I must ask the Boscov four, why are they willing to take another chance with taxpayers' money? I have spoken on Scranton's economy for eight years plus, also have spoken about the affiliate of the Steamtown Mall on several occasions. You know, it is kind of like the Fram oil filter commercial, you can pay me now or pay me later. In two years when the mall fails again the taxpayers of Scranton will be paying for Mr. Boscov's mistakes plus city council's vote. Myself, I would have preferred to pay now and get it over with.

The Steamtown Mall has been losing tenants on a regular basis for the last ten years. The mall lost another tenant in the past few weeks and will continue to lose tenants. Why, because it is a failure and a burden on the already strapped taxpayers of the Scranton, but then again, power and money goes a long way especially when you bring politicians and attorneys into the picture. The vacancy rate is three times or more higher in the Steamtown Mall than the national average of 9.1 percent.

Boscov's four, what you are going to do about this? When the mall opened they had 69 tenants plus three anchors, currently they are no where near close to those numbers. Montgomery Ward is gone, Globe also gone.

Mr. Rogan, you have the right idea, stay the course. \$6.5 million deficit, my hope is that council will work diligently and come September 1 will see agreement between the administration and council.

More importantly, progress, not handouts.

Hope to see the Honorable Mayor Doherty at

the next city council meeting. I have confidence that the administration and council will find a way. Enjoy your vacation. Scranton will still be distressed when you returned, although, a new Recovery Plan is on the way.

I have made this statement many times, you milk cows not taxpayers. I, for one, have been milked enough. The Feds put the shackles on me, the state blindfolds us, the county leads us to the gallows and the school board puts the noose around our neck and the city buries us. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie Schumacher.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would first like to start off by reminding Mr. Joyce that I'm still waiting for the promise 2010, 2011 comparison of city audit delinquencies and hope maybe you will have that tonight.

MR. JOYCE: I will get that information to you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And also I'm hoping to hear from Mr. Loscombe on the status of the neighborhood police patrol and the number of officers that have been deployed so far in 2011.

And then I guess back to Mr. Joyce, does the 6.5 million shortfall for 2011 include paying the Pennstar for the shortage of collections of delinquent property taxes?

MR. JOYCE: It's a combination of the 2010 deficit carried over into what's projected for this year as well. Yes, that is taken into consideration. And just on another note, I will get those comparisons to you, I don't have them now, I spent a lot of time over the past week on CDBG issues and OECD funds, so I probably put about a good nine hours into that, so I will --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, maybe you could have handed that to the Community Development Chair and you wouldn't have had to do that, but that's the way it is.

MR. JOYCE: I did attempt to reach out to the Community Development Chair.

MR. ROGAN: And I did send you an

1 e-mail. MR. JOYCE: I never received that 2 3 e-mail. MR. ROGAN: Yes, you did. You sent 4 5 me a text message saying it was received. MR. JOYCE: I received a text 6 7 message from you. 8 MR. ROGAN: That said I sent an 9 e-mail. Whatever. Let's not get into it. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And now I 10 11 know you are bound and determined to take 12 the next five weeks off and I would just 13 like to close off with the five reasons, one 14 for each week, that I don't think you should be leaving here. 15 16 Number five, I think the public 17 comment should still be of interest to 18 council members who elected you, not just 19 passing legislation. I think that's a real 20 part of the council. 21 Number four, I think the ordinances 22 need to be reviewed to see where changes may 23 be required. A lot of them require update. 24 Number three, CDBG. I think you

should meet to both establish criteria for

25

acceptability of the grants to council and invite the recipients of those who have been not tapped into their funding, sometimes for years, to explain why they requested the money and council approved it and they are not spending it.

Number two, I think review of all organizations, and I didn't take the time to make a count, but they are very numerous, of all of the organizations whose properties currently are tax exempt from at least property taxes to determine whether they meet the five criteria for a purely charitable organization or whether they should be -- that status should be -- they should be paying property taxes.

And finally the structural imbalance in the budget and how the differences will be addressed. Are we going to -- can we avoid having a tax increase in 2012? Thank you and enjoy your vacation.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Less Spindler, city resident,

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

homeowner and taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: I, too, want to talk about the bailout of Mr. Boscov. Last week I was in favor of it, but over the past week the more I thought about it the more I thought it was a bad idea. How many times are we going to bail this man out? I would love to have one of my big bills forgiven for two years, also. What would my mortgage company say if I called them and asked them to not let me pay my mortgage for two years. I think they would probably take my house off me, so I don't think that was a good idea, and I think this mall is poorly managed. We shouldn't have to make up for poor management. Look at the Viewmont Mall, the vacancy up there is not nearly as high as it is down here. We just can't get businesses in this city.

There is a little article last week in the Doherty newsletter where there is two businesses going up by the new movie theatre up in Dickson City. I'm going to challenge council to have a caucus here, get the

people that manage the mall in here and ask them why can't we get people in this mall. Give businesses an incentive. Maybe lower the rent a little bit. There has got to be a reason. There can't be this many vacancies in this mall. It's a sin. I just think it's poor management, I don't think the people are qualified, and I would like council to get them in here and ask them these questions. Like I said, give them an incentive to come here.

Council did lower the business privilege tax a little bit, but the mall partners themselves have to do something and try to get businesses in here. We can't let them all go to Dickson City or else, you know, in two years they are going to be here asking the same thing. We can't keep doing this. We got to get tenants in the mall.

The pool fees, what a surprise the mayor vetoed it. If he doesn't get his own way he vetoes everything. I have to read a quote from him: "Mr. Doherty's veto letter also states that the legislation is both fiscally and logically irresponsible because

. .

it reduces the Authority's ability to raise revenue."

The man is nothing but a hypocrite. He is talking about this not being able to raise revenue, but he is sabotaging a revenue source that council put in last year's budget, the StreetSmart program, so he is talking about this not bringing in revenue, we are going to lose a year's revenue not implementing that StreetSmart program when it should have been in the beginning of the year. The man is nothing about a hypocrite and so is everybody else that supports him.

Another article in the Doherty
newsletter, the mayor wants to put a park
where Lincoln Jackson school is right now.
All this man believes in is putting parks in
this city. Pretty soon it's going to be
Park City, Pennsylvania, not Scranton,
Pennsylvania. I have a great idea where to
put two parks right downtown here where two
big eyesore holes in the ground are. Two
wonderful places to put a park.

Mr. Loscombe, I forgot to ask you a

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

few weeks ago when you were talking about the Linden Street bridge, and I saw in the paper and you even stated, that they are not going to start until September, do you know why?

MR. LOSCOMBE: The Linden Street bridge? Actually, it's supposed to be the end of August, if I'm not mistaken.

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah, why? I mean, they could have started weeks ago. Why is it just sitting there with nothing being done?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Basically there is bureaucracy everywhere and, fortunately, it didn't sit over the river, but it does sit over a railroad track. If it's over a river they have to go through other regulations. That's what the problem with the Harrison Avenue bridge. They have a lot of situations up there that have to be Believe me, I think, you know, improved. the municipalities and even the state would love to have less bureaucracy to progress with these projects, but there is lot that has to be done step by step, DEP approval,

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approval from the Rail Transit system and everything for the modifications, the engineering approval and stuff like that, basically that's what the tie up is.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, I was wondering why they waited --

MR. LOSCOMBE: In this particular case, I mean, the state has been handling it and I was on top of it and they told me what kind of structure they are going to put there and everything, too, but getting the necessary permits and approvals was what the hold up was, and basically Crisp Avenue was that to a certain degree, but a lot of it was our fault here for not pushing it on that end, but they did have to get approvals for waterway and stuff like that, so it's not just cut and dry where you can come in say, "Hey, I'm going to get the permit, this is the bridge I want to put in," they have to design the proper structure and everything for today's traffic and that, but that's basically what the delay is.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And they said ${\bf I}$

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe it was 45 to 90 day time table for completion once they start on it.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. This isn't really city business, but I have to speak about this article in the Doherty newsletter about Mrs. Evans' comp case. I wrote a letter to the editor about that awhile back and to make a long story short it's none of the Times-Tribune's damn business. school district issue and they said they wrote Right-to-Know letters, they can write Right-to-Know letters for the rest of their lives, it's none of their darn business. HIPPA laws protect a person's privacy when it comes to problems like this, and I wrote that in the letter to the editor which they I'm sick of hearing about this. published. Don't they have more important stuff to write about? If this was Chris Doherty you wouldn't see anything about this in the newspaper. Why don't they write something about all of the nonsense that's going on with all of the cronyism in his administration and leave Mrs. Evans alone.

Okay, moving on, I just have two

announcements, a neighbor of mine asked me to announce this, this Sunday, it's called Picnic in the Park in conjunction with the Bark in the Park at Nay Aug Park, July 31, 2011, this Sunday, from 12 to 5. I can't read the whole thing because there is food and special events all day and it sounds like a great time. They are having over 80 vendors, so hopefully everybody can make it up there on Sunday.

And last but not least, April 12 and 11, I have announced this a few times already, the Tripp Park Neighborhood Association is having a picnic in the Tripp Park Community Center parking lot from six to ten. There is going to be food, prizes, games and EJ the DJ will be there and that's Friday, April 12, and Saturday, April 13.

MR. LOSCOMBE: August.

MS. EVANS: August?

MR. LOSCOMBE: You said April, I'm sorry.

MR. SPINDLER: August. I'm sorry, thank you. August 12 and 13. Thanks for your time.

 $\label{eq:mr.loscombe} \mbox{MR. LOSCOMBE: Pushing for spring} \\ \mbox{already.}$

MR. ROGAN: We'll just skip winter this year and make it easy on everybody.

MS. EVANS: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, better late than never. Jack, I see you and Gary didn't fall for with that free lunch Sunday from Johnny Super Star, huh?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Oh, no, I couldn't make it.

MR. ELLMAN: He phoned Rosie, she come out and said Johnnie Superstar phoned and said come down to the club he wants to buy supper. I said, oh, good. We go down there, there is a free roast beef dinner for members. He's a real tightwad, you know? He should be mayor because he didn't spend a dime I'll tell you. You could have a budget with him.

You know talking about Mr. Boscov, I talked to several people that were very disappointed in you supporting him. I just wondering what the chances are of getting my taxes postponed for a couple of years?

MS. EVANS: Actually, his taxes aren't being postponed for any years, as long as the mall remains open it's going to continue to paying it's taxes.

MR. ELLMAN: I'm supporting him, he is not taking away nothing. I think I have a better chance than he does. The more I think of it I was watching Joe Snedeker pedal his bicycle this morning and as tight as I am I give them a little donation. This such an achievement, like I have said in the past, with riding that 100 miles in this heat, you know, I don't know how he can do it.

And I had a lady Saturday, Rosie's aunt, Charlotte, said if they close the two pools to save money why do they have to raise the other pool a dollar and a fee, which is good point, you know? Where is all of this money going anyways? I don't know. It's just ashame what goes on with this man.

You know, a young fellow was talking to me at the drugstore last week and he lives on Ferdinand Street and he said that the railroad bridge needs a lot of attention

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I went up there and looked at it and it's pretty bad. Have you seen it?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, we have already I believe sent letters out on it.

MR. ELLMAN: It looks like it's on it's last leg to me, I'll tell you. I don't know. And I would like to say again about my neighbor across the street that can't take care of himself. I was watching -- in fact, I talked to him yesterday, he is wearing a long sleeve shirt in this 100 degree heat and got rubber boots that come up to his knees and the people next door took his refrigerator out last week and a keyboard and some other boxes of things. Не is not rationale, he has got to have an advocate or somebody to take care of him because Scranton Counseling sure isn't doing their job, and I happen to know when his mother was alive she told Rosie that he has his father's old guitar, which was supposed to be, you know, she called it priceless, but these neighbors probably got it by now. I never heard of nobody not caring about this fellow. He just can't take care of

himself, you know, as far as living alone and the neighbors have looted his house and nobody seems to care, you know. The DA's Office doesn't seem to care, it seems like I'm the only one worried about him and he don't talk to me too much. I tried yesterday, but people like this they just -- they just shouldn't be taken advantage of and let someone get away with taking advantage of the refrigerator out of the house in broad daylight like that and nobody does anything.

Well, I hope you guys have a nice month off. I was -- I have been planning to go to Memphis all summer and I just never get around to going anywhere. I haven't fixed my car air conditioner. In fact, let me -- I thought my air conditioner something was wrong with it, there is a filter, a cabin filter they call it, that thing must have been in there since the car was new. It looked like a big mud pie when I finally took it out, but I am just saying that. I hope you have a nice vacation.

MR. LOSCOMBE: You, too. Pack up

Rosie and take her to Memphis.

MR. ELLMAN: I'll find something to do. I don't know. I get on my little motor scooter and hit garbage cans on Tuesdays. I'd like to go get Bob Jones' and run right over them with it. He has a big rubber one you can knock it up in air, you know. It goes up about two houses down the street and I can imagine him going to look for it. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I would just like to make a couple of comments tonight, one in regard to the mall. You know, I'm quite sure that a lot of people aren't very happy where the mall is at this point and maybe the administration, maybe yourselves, a lot of the city residents, but I think that we should really in my opinion be very careful what we do in regards to the mall because if that mall closes I don't know what we are going to do with all of that real estate in

the center of town and I don't know what will happen to it.

I think we need to realize that the mall is in a -- just a city that's in my opinion bankrupt. It's just the city is spinning in disrepair and blight itself and I just think that maybe this council will take the next five weeks and communicate amongst yourselves and try to come up with a way to help the mall to turn itself around.

You know, I just think we have a lot of problems and I think the last thing we need is a mall that's closed in downtown Scranton with no future because what are we going to do with all of that real estate? I think it really needs a lot of reflection. I'm not sure we all know that Mr. Boscov has a lot of money, but, you know, you walk through that mall and they got props in the windows and the vacancy rate is astronomical and I think it would be time to consider the amount of money it costs to heat and cool that building, and I don't know, I mean, how would you -- the city itself in my opinion is mismanaged, so, I mean, you know, it --

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

you try to get people to come into the city to do business, but, I mean, it's maybe just a lot easier for them to get off by the mall or maybe go shopping in the new development Wal-Mart has created or on Montage Mountain, so we have to figure a way to bring people in the city and make that a shining star for the city, just a way to turn the city around.

The other thing is, you know, I have been watching the federal debt and budget problems that the our country is experiencing, and they had the ability to loot social security and loot the treasury because China holds \$1.6 trillion in our debt, but really the debt is held by the social security administration and the treasury mostly and to some degree the American people, but, you know, when you take a look even at the City of Scranton, I mean, I don't know if the council members themselves are aware that the city pension fund is \$84 million underfunded, so, I mean, I just think the city itself has borrowed tons of money and the city itself is

25

.

financially destitute.

And the reason I came here tonight is I would like to ask this council, and I don't know if President Evans would allow this, but I would like to poll council and ask them what do they think the solutions to the city's problems are? What are the solutions in your opinions? And I really would be interested to hear them because for a long time we have talked about a Recovery Plan that wasn't a Recovery Plan, we have a lot of blight in the neighborhoods, we have a lot of problems, and I'd just like to, know what every single councilman thinks the solution to our problem is and I'd appreciate your comments. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton and taxpayer. One thing I would like to

4

5

1

6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mention on the swimming, if we don't succeed on this ban on charging the Nay Aug, once again, the swim prices I understand by talking to some people, it's hearsay, but it needs to be investigated, that various groups are charged different amounts and if it's a nonprofit group there should be no It should be a fixed amount discrimination. for a nonprofit group and the regular price for everybody else if that's the way it's going to being, but it shouldn't be \$2 for one, \$3 for another, and \$4 for the next. That's ashame and we need a ban on them doing that if we can enact this, probably this summer is just about gone, but next summer is another story. So, please, if you can in the future once we get back start working on that and investigate it because it really does need to be investigated.

And now on our mall, I don't see how calling in a loan that you are not going to get paid on would have been productive. I would suggest that people should judge you people by what agreements you enter into and not the legacy agreements that you are stuck

with. I mean, it's -- you are not going to get your money if you demand to be paid this week, so that's all there is to it.

Hopefully we will get it, we may not, but the point being that it is a legacy of a prior administration and prior council and those were decisions made then and they were probably bad ones.

And once again, I'd like to see if Mr. Boscov would be willing to go back into retirement and maybe try to get a K-Mart or somebody like that in there with a little more dynamics.

Okay, on this revenue deal also, this is -- this really bugs me the way it's being spun in the papers because most of it is prior, once again, shifting things around from account to account and concealing actual debts and so forth, so I would ask that the newspapers to report on it as prior work of the prior councils and the administration and not caused by this council here.

Oh, Pat, meter 427 I think it is there is a note, it's 427 North Washington

Avenue, somebody hung a note on the meter, I just parked my car in front of it, that they called it in as in-op as of 5-27-11 and it's still not fixed, here we are at the end of July, and it's still so hopefully people aren't getting tickets and paying for tickets on there or whatever and it's, of course, money you are losing. It's right across the street.

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: Thank you for bringing} \ensuremath{\text{that up.}}$

MR. DOBRZYN: I think it's 427.

Yes. And, well, I just have one question and suggestion on these properties that are being sold, are they being bid at the going rate? When I bought a property out in the city I bid what Nasser Realty suggested, the language worked, so it's all a matter of you people making a call there.

And then we got the golden parrot.

First of all, Washington with it's trade packs, last week I mentioned about South Korea using North Korean communist labor, slave labor, and they couldn't fill an order for high speed rail cars with Hyundai for

Southeast Transportation Authority south of Pennsylvania yet they got stimulus money in the contract and -- the Americans did. And the Colombians and so forth for a shooting labor organizers and then Panama who we have a narco, it's been going on since Noriega, all they are is a money laundering state. And then Mr. Cordaro for his callous disrespect for the way he spent and wasted our taxes. Bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. MARINGOS: My name is Louis

Maringos, South Side, Brook Street, this is

my first time talking so I'm a little bit

nervous.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Relax, we won't hurt you.

MR. JOYCE: We are really a nice group of people.

MR. MARINGOS: Basically I went to speak about the pools, the swimming pools and the fees at Nay Aug. Last Wednesday I went to the swimming pool at South Side,

which is the closest one to home, and on Friday I went to Nay Aug Park with my family. Basically the difference is day and night in terms of the people that congregate in there. In the South Side swimming pool there was a lot of bullies in there. The gets are open, people can come in and out as they wish, which is fine, but there was no enforcement because people get kicked out, they come back two hours later or they come back the next day.

When I got there on Wednesday, the deep end of the swimming pool was closed, the reason there was this girl -- he was cursing at the lifeguard and the lifeguard had enough and left. About two hours later they reopened the deep end, a bunch of kids were cutting in line at the diving board. I got three kids, eight, ten, and 12, they are trying to jump, they were trying to swim, have a good time, and a bunch of kids just kept cutting in line. One of them cut in front of my son and I told him, you know, get back in line, and -- like everybody else and he basically told me to go to hell,

except that he used another four-letter word.

And it's when I went to Nay Aug it was different. I mean, if the fees are going to be eliminated at Nay Aug there has to be some way of at least being able to remove this kind of people from, you know, people that curse all the time, they scream. They -- when the lifeguards were out in the South Side Complex, when the lifeguards were taking their 15-minute break these kids kept jumping in the pool. They started playing with that red thing that the lifeguards use for, you know, for saving people, they started to running around with that. were running bicycles around the perimeter of the pool.

And I think that if it's going to be free people should appreciate that and the way it should be, you know, they should get one warning, second time they should be banned for a week, third time they should be banned for the whole summer. Take a picture, put it on the front gate, they are not allowed here anymore. Thank you for

your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer, recording secretary of the FOP, First

Amendment Rights. My opinion. From the slimes today, six months into the year

Scranton's financial picture remains bleak, by Josh Mrozinski, staff writer. Scranton is facing a deficit of \$6.5 million, business administrator Ryan McGowan said this is truly an expensive problem in cutting of taxes.

This is truly an expense problem?

Yeah, an expense problem, but -- I'm sorry,
the problem is not an expense problem, it's
a spending problem. \$310 million in
long-term debt. 310 million. Federal
government has us in 14 to 15 trillion in
debt. Between the city, federal government,
all our children and grandchildren will be
paying our debt. We are up the creek or

should I say they are.

The slimes listed major factors contributing to the \$6.5 million deficit.

One, about four million in overages in health care costs, so that leaves me asking the question, who fired the health care consultant a number of years ago that saved the city \$5 million the first year she was here? Who fired the auditor who found \$5 million in overpayment to Blue Cross and Blue Shield? And who let the statute of limitations expire to recover that money? By the way, the arbitration and Court case is still out there.

Number two, one million shortfall in wage tax losses. How could that be? We must have forgotten there was 9,000 job increases in this city created by the administration. We should be swimming in wage tax money.

Number three, the loss of three million in revenue is caused by tax cuts and city council amendments to the 2011 budget.

How can that be? Council budget amendments reduced the budget by a little less than a

million. How does that turn out to be three million? Oh, I forget, in this city two and two is five. As for the \$6.5 million deficit, how about PEL, Executive Director Cross saying we will be providing council and the administration with a six-month review and an introduction to the Recovery Plan provisions. We plan to give council and the administration in September. six months? What happened to the last 20 years that they supposedly guided us? 0hwell, 20 years of practice, but as they say, practice makes perfect expect in their case.

Twenty years of PEL guidance and we are where we were at in 1992. Where is Donald Trump when we need him? They would have been fired in 1993, but you, council, can fire them in 2011.

What about using the Workmens' Comp money to pay down the deficit? How many years was that done before council found out Oh. that's about it and sounded an alarm? right, I forgot none of those other budgets were council's, but since this is your amended budget we know where the blame will

How are you?

1 be or they will attempt to put it. 2 Council persons, we borrowed from 3 Peter to pay Paul. Peter is now at the door and he does not look happy. We are in deep 4 5 doo-doo. Get ready for the blame game. Whatever you do when 2012 budget times comes 6 around don't raise taxes. We know there is 7 8 one council member that would like to raise 9 Just stay strong. Thank you. taxes. 10 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MR. SLEDENZSKI: 11 Jackie. 12 MR. LOSCOMBE: What's up, Chrissy? 13 MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hello, Janet. 14 MS. EVANS: Hey, Chris, how are you? MR. SLEDENZSKI: Good. 15 16 Hey, Jack, tomorrow night is the big one, 17 Tomorrow night is the big one buddy. 18 tomorrow night. Remember that, Pat. 19 Tomorrow one is the big one, baby. Come out 20 and support the kids. Thank you. 21 MR. ROGAN: Thanks, Chrissy. 22 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Chris. 23 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton, 24 First Amendment Rights. Mr. Rogan, you work

for the federal government; right?

25

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: For Mr. Barletta,
Congressman Barletta. How did you feel
about his views on crucial issues in
Washington now?

MR. ROGAN: My position on city council is entirely separate from my position during the day in federal government.

MS. FRANUS: I was asking you a question how do you feel about, what he is doing?

MR. ROGAN: Like I said, I'm here as -- I'm representing the taxpayers, I'm not here in my capacity to work for the federal government.

MS. FRANUS: It all relates to
Mr. -- and I'm a Republican, but
Mr. Barletta is cutting, Congressman
Barletta's cutting of hundreds of thousands
and maybe millions of CDBG grants funds all
across America, stopping Meals on Wheels for
senior citizens, street paving, fire and
police, veteran's programs, blight. This is
what Mr. Barletta wants to do.

Now, do you think you should be voting on issues for funding from the federal government since Mr. Barletta votes on those same funds?

 $\label{eq:MR.ROGAN: Again, as I said before,} % \begin{center} \$

MS. FRANUS: They are connected.

MR. ROGAN: But also, and I will say this, my job for Congressman Barletta I'm not allowed to deal with any issues with regard to Scranton to avoid any conflict. For instance, if somebody from the Scranton area calls my office for help in a federal agency, I'm not allowed to take the call. I have to give it to one of my co-workers.

MS. FRANUS: I understand that, but I'm talking about for our area, not Scranton, Congressman Barletta voted to cut CDBG and you vote on these things, when it comes down to the city, each city has to vote on those things. Mr. Barletta voted to cut them, so you think it's right that you should be voting for these federal funds that come from the government?

MR. ROGAN: Attorney Hughes, do you

1 have an opinion? Sorry to put you on the 2 spot? 3 MR. HUGHES: No, I don't have an 4 opinion on that, but I'll look into it. 5 MR. ROGAN: Okay. MS. FRANUS: Because it directly 6 7 influences Scranton, what he does. 8 MR. HUGHES: I believe, as Mr. Rogan 9 said, that he does not vote on anything that would be a conflict. 10 11 MS. FRANUS: But government money he 12 votes on. 13 MR. HUGHES: I mean, he doesn't vote 14 in Congress. I mean, the fact that his employer does that has no reflection on 15 16 Mr. Rogan. 17 MS. FRANUS: Okay. 18 MR. ROGAN: I would just add that my 19 position with Congressman Barletta I don't 20 deal with any legislative issues. I deal with what's called case work, constituent 21 22 help, problems with different federal 23 agencies. 24 MS. FRANUS: Let me go back to last 25 week, okay? Now, I don't agree with what

22

23

24

25

the people have said here tonight or last week, this was not a bailout what you voted for. We were not giving Mr. Boscov or anybody money, we were extending his loan, so you said that last week when asked what you would cut if this did not pass, the \$880,000, what would you cut? You said once before you would never cut police and firemen, but if we lost this \$880,000, which you would have done if this vote didn't go the way it did, we might have lost many policemen and many firemen and many other projects, so what would you have cut if this came your way? You wanted to keep -- you want today he get rid of the \$880,000, so what you would have to cut? You said you had to look at it, you had time, what would you have cut?

MR. ROGAN: I would have hoped the city would have pursued Mr. Boscov for the money that he owed the city before --

MS. FRANUS: Say that again, I couldn't hear you?

MR. ROGAN: I would first hope that the city would pursue Mr. Boscov for the

1 money that was owed to us in Court, first of all. Secondly, whether the cuts are made 2 3 now or the cuts are made in two years from now they are going to equally as painful. 4 MS. FRANUS: You don't know that. 5 You don't know in two years from now if that 6 7 loan could be paid up, you have no idea, so 8 right that that \$880,000 --9 MR. ROGAN: We will find out in two 10 years. 11 MS. FRANUS: If that \$880,000, we didn't have it, what would you have cut? 12 13 You said you would never cut police and 14 firemen, what would you have done about it? 15 MR. ROGAN: Cut things other than 16 police and fire. 17 MS. FRANUS: Oh. Where do you draw 18 the line? MR. ROGAN: 19 I have always said that 20 police and fire --21 MS. FANUCCI: But the other things 22 matter to other people whereas those cuts 23 would affect other people in the city, too, 24 that you represent. 25 MR. ROGAN: I agree.

MS. FRANUS: So you would be hurting the people that you supposedly represent.

MR. ROGAN: We would be making --

MS. FRANUS: You have a fan club here, I'm not one of them.

MR. ROGAN: What's that?

MS. FRANUS: I said you have a fan club here, but I'm not one of them.

MR. ROGAN: I just try to do what I think is best and we disagree on some things then we disagree.

MS. FRANUS: Well, it's not the best for the people. It's not the best for the people. You have been doing a terrible job for the people if you ask me and I think you are going to be on my little hit list.

Now, I'd also like to say this about the pool fee, now if you override this veto tonight, I don't know which you are going to go, but if you by chance do what happens tomorrow, if you override it let's say, what happens tomorrow if the people from the Recreation Authority are there collecting money? I mean, that shouldn't be because you passed it. Now, in the paper it

1 mentioned, but the paper never writes the truth they only print lies, but so they said 2 3 that it was illegal for you to do this. Mr. Hughes, Attorney Hughes, you 4 must have seen that article, I believe that 5 6 you know much more than what the paper does, so when they pass -- if they override this 7 8 veto, what happens if the Recreation 9 Authority tomorrow still collects that 10 money? 11 MR. HUGHES: They will be in 12 violation of the city ordinance. 13 MS. FRANUS: What would happen then? 14 MR. HUGHES: It's up to the mayor to enforce the ordinance. 15 16 MS. FRANUS: Pardon me? 17 MR. HUGHES: It's up to the mayor to 18 enforce the ordinance under the Home Rule Charter and under the Administrative Code. 19 MS. FRANUS: 20 But if he doesn't? 21 MR. HUGHES: Council can't enforce 22 the ordinance, it's up to the mayor. 23 MS. FRANUS: So, in other words, 24 this is like the Library Authority, just 25 ignore it like it never happened, so I have

to ask what's the point of having
legislation made if the mayor is going to
ignore it? I mean, somebody -- something
legally should happen to this man for
disobeying every ordinance that's passed. I
mean, he just doesn't do what he should do.
I mean, where is the justice here?

I would say to every city person, every person in this city that goes to Nay Aug Park, don't you pay one penny and stand your ground.

- MR. LOSCOMBE: Or ask for a receipt.
- MS. FRANUS: Well, yes.
- MR. LOSCOMBE: Get your receipts.
- MS. FRANUS: What would they do with that receipt, Mr. Loscombe?
- MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, if we were able to turn it around they would be able to cash them back in.
- MS. FRANUS: Then, please, ask for a receipt, and as far as revenue, that article in the paper, it's not like council hasn't tried to do the right thing, everything you do, like, those garbage -- the foremen from the DPW out of the budget to help with the

budget, the revenue, the mayor put it right back in, so it's not council that's not doing their work it's the mayor that's not enforcing what's right. He is taking care of his buddies, so thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you, Ms. Franus.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any comments or motions this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: Nothing this evening. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. First good news and then I'll speak about Boscov's and my employment situation again. The good news is I received a call from a resident on West Mountain and he said the Pike's Creek construction was up in the neighborhood marking up the road to construct the Crisp Avenue bridge. Construction on this bridge should begin in August, which is great news

for the people in this neighborhood, and I have been waiting for that for a very long time.

Next, on Boscov's, I don't want to beat a dead horse, the issue has passed, the vote went 4-1. I stand by my vote, I believe it was the right thing to do. The mall is in a very difficult situation. I hope I'm proven wrong and the mall does succeed, but I don't believe I will. Two years from now when in my opinion the mall does not make it, we are going to be forced to come up with that money anyways. I would prefer to bite the bullet now and move forward to get the city on the right track.

As far as my employment and vote on the federal issues, I would just like to reiterate, my position as a constituent services representative we don't deal with anything legislative. We get opinions on legislation, if people call on a legislative matter we take the notes and pass it along to the Congressman's office in Washington. We deal with issues that everyone else deals with on a daily basis, an issue with social

security, veteran's affairs, people have problems getting federal benefits, social security checks that haven't arrived we contact the agencies. My position does not involve any legislation at all. I do not vote on legislation or recommend legislation.

And Attorney Hughes, as you said before, you would think that it was okay for me to vote on tonight's agenda item? Well, it's actually to be tabled now, just for future reference?

MR. HUGHES: I really don't have an opinion, I'll have to take a look at that when we come back in September.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Moving onto OECD, I received a letter it was actually to Jack, Councilman Loscombe, met with Linda Aebli and Nancy Krake on Monday and I know I had a long conversation with Ms. Aebli, and I believe Mr. McGoff did as well. And it says, "Mr. Loscombe, it was a pleasure meeting with you along with city clerk Nancy Krake and OECD solicitor Jim Mulligan on Monday, July 25, to discuss money for CDBG

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HOME and ESG programs for 2011.

This office submitted a budget of approximately \$3.9 million to HUD in November of 2010 in the anticipation that we would receive more rather than less of our allocation in 2010. Unfortunately, along with many other states, counties and municipalities in the United States, a reduction of approximately 16.5 percent from last year's CDBG allocation was awarded.

The City of Scranton was awarded the following: Community Development Block Grant Program, \$3,098,322. HOME Program, \$616,948,000. Emergency Shelter Grant, OECD recently submitted \$150.066. legislation to city council that would amend our ordinance to implement activities with a decreased amount and/or a total elimination of activity. The attachment to the legislation city council received was only internal worksheets and not for official This office is legal action at this time. requesting that council table this legislation until such time that this office may review what additional funding is

available to make a comprehensive determination as to what activities should be funded, reduced or eliminated and what steps are necessary to implement these changes according to HUD's regulations.

I would prefer to take the time to allocate responsibly than act hastily. The Emergency Shelter Grant program was not affected. The meeting with subrecipients and execution of the contracts will begin shortly."

And she says if we have any questions we can contact her. And we also conversed by e-mail this morning and she did advise me that they are currently compiling a list of streets for the street paving program and that will go on as scheduled. Right now they are just reviewing the streets to see at that this are in low to moderate income areas and I believe everyone is in agreement with tabling this legislation tonight from the conversations I have had.

Let's see, next, I don't know if

Mr. McGowan or Mr. Joyce have any contact, I

5

4

7

8

6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know Mr. McGowan is pretty much refusing to meet with you, but if you guys have discussed at all the hospitals since they are not coming onto our tax rolls, which is a good thing, if they are going to pay the mercantile tax on gross receipts or if there is going to be a special arrangement made, because it's a hospital it's a little built different, I don't know if the administration wants to try to create some other way of going about taxing the hospitals. That's just something that I was curious about, and if you didn't have any conversations, Mr. Joyce, maybe we could send a letter to Mr. McGowan to see if it's anything the administration has thought of.

MR. JOYCE: Yes, absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: Next, I have heard -- I don't like to talk about rumors at council meetings, but I've heard that the Parking Authority is looking to take out a loan and they have been searching to borrow more money, so, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a letter to the Parking Authority asking if that is, in fact, true.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, Mr. Morgan asked for solutions to our financial problems, and I will give them a very brief rundown of what I would do. Step one would be to throw PEL out of the city. Step two would be to sell the Sewer Authority. Step three, would be privatize the DPW. And step four would be to cut the budget to the bare bones with the exception of the public safety, and that is all.

Thank you, Councilman MS. EVANS: I know that tonight a number of speakers have discussed the Steamtown Mall agreement that council voted upon last week and I just wanted to reiterate first that that action had to be taken this one evening, that came by order of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. This was not something that was ordered by council. Council was not in any way attempting to circumvent the public, council was following the direction of the United State's government, but at this time, I would ask our Council solicitor, Attorney Boyd Hughes, if he could perhaps comment on

I think what many are mistakenly calling a bailout, I certainly feel everyone should have an opinion and I respect everyone's opinion, we may not always agree, but I think it's very important when expressing opinions that they be tempered by facts and the truth and this is why I am going to defer to our solicitor so that perhaps he can once again provide the correct information to the public as he did and I did last week.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam
President. I haven't -- I'll start this
way, I have not reviewed all of the
documents, in fact, any documents on the
Section 108 loan and the postponement of the
payment or the hiatus for two years of that
payment. All that I have reviewed is the
letters regarding the transaction. What
happens is a term called feasance on these
loans when the Section 108 loan was received
for the construction of the mall that what
happens is that the city uses a formula
where the city could borrow against it's
future COM-D funding, that loan was made to

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city, the city in turn loaned that to
the mall developers, one of whom was
Mr. Boscov, received back a note for the
payment of that loan along with the personal
guarantees of all of the partners of
Scranton Mall Associates.

That those loans are then sold through a brokerage firm and there is a series of maturing dates along with interest rates. It appears from what I see and I compared it with the original amount was on that Section 108 loan that it's been paid down to right now to \$880,000. It appears that due to the cash flow of the mall that the first mortgagor has stated that -- or has looked at it and said that the only way that that loan can be paid within the next two years is if the Section 108 loan is not In order to do that they had to get HUD's approval, HUD agreed to it, and what has happened now is that they have stated that we still have to pay the interest on the loan, but that the maturity they would extend it for two years.

As I read the documents it appears

23

24

25

that -- or as I read the letters in support of this, it appears that the first mortgage would be paid off within the two-year At the end of that time the period. \$880,000 would then become due. I believe there was a figure of \$35 million left on the first mortgage. If it did go into default, the city -- and at one time there were other junior mortgagees on it, there were actually three second mortgagers that were what we called -- they shared in pari passu instead of being a second mortgage, a third mortgage and a fourth mortgage, while they are recorded in that time order they are all considered second mortgagers, so that if the mall defaulted and ever went to a sheriff's sale, all the second mortgagers would have to get together and pay the debt, interest and costs. There is no way the City of Scranton or probably any of the other junior mortgagers could come up with the \$35 million if that's the amount the first mortgage has to be paid off.

So what HUD did they said that on the Section 108 loan that if it did go into

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extend the maturity dates. 16

default and if you didn't extend is what we would do this year is they would take the \$880,000 out of the city's COM-D funds to pay the bondholders, to pay those off, and then we would have to go after and sue the other guarantors of that Section 108. what HUD is said that you can -- we'll enter into a forbearance agreement, I don't know if that's the term that they used, but that's the term in the industry, that we'll forebear exercising any rights and we'll agree that you can pay this back, we'll

I see it as that over time that the amount of the equity in the property will be increasing as the first mortgage is paid off. From the documents or from the letters that I have seen is that that should be paid off in two years and all the second mortgagees will be in the first mortgage position and once the cash flow improves or they don't have to make the payment to the first mortgagee that the junior creditors will be more than sufficient or should be more than sufficient flow, cash flow in

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_ .

25

order to pay them.

MS. EVANS: And should the Steamtown Mall Partners once again default on these Section 108 loans two years from now by August 2013 at which time the \$880,000 is due to the City of Scranton, what is the city's recourse?

MR. HUGHES: If they would be in default they would go after all of the partners for the -- whoever assigned the guarantee to the City of Scranton on the 108 loan to collect that money, so they would have to sue them, there's probably confessional judgment clauses in there where that if there is a default they would confess judgment and then go after the assets of those individuals in order to pay the money so that any money that would be advanced by HUD or any money that would be taken out of the city's COM-D funds by HUD of the \$880,000, that money would then come back in for those programs when it is collected.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: And Attorney Hughes, if

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they default on that loan now it would be the same procedure, the city would have to sue the Mall Partners for the money?

MR. HUGHES: It would be the same procedure; correct.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: It would be the same thing because when it happened if there is a default on the junior mortgagee's whether that would be a cross default provision where that would put the first mortgage position in the default position or whether that would put other second mortgages that shared in pari passu also in the default position I don't know the answer to that. Ι mean, I haven't reviewed all of the documents and, you know, as to what it is today, but ordinarily these type of agreements the first mortgagee would not care if it loans behind him defaulted because they are in a first position, they could care less what happens behind them.

However, if there still are other -if there is more than one junior mortgage
the city and those other junior mortgages,

20

21

22

23

24

25

if one defaults that might trigger a cross default and everything else which then could put the whole mall into a default, so that's -- I haven't reviewed all of the documents, but just being familiar with the way that these things are constructed or the way they are written, when you get into this type of financial situation that's a possibility. It's a possibility that when you get out of this in two years who knows what the commercial climate will be, but hopefully it will be better and they'll be able to get more commercial tenants down there. I mean, that's -- there is many things that go into the equation, but the thing is that the position two years from now the end result with the COM-D end would pretty -- would possibility be the same. However, the effect is that there is cross default provisions could be entirely different.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add according to the documents that I read and reviewed, the Steamtown Mall partners are currently in default on their primary loan.

MR. HUGHES: On the first mortgage, okay.

MS. EVANS: Yes. There is currently a default and according to the inter-creditor agreement entered into years ago between the Mall Partners and the city involving the Section 108 loans, if a default occurs on the primary mortgage, no payments can be made to any of the junior mortgage holders, and so when they fail to make payments successfully on their primary mortgage that leaves the city holding the bag because no payments can then be made to us; is that correct?

MR. HUGHES: That would be correct and I believe in one of the letters that I read that that was the situation where that no payments could be made on any of the junior lien holders because of the position of the first mortgage, so that those payments couldn't be made, that's why HUD consent was necessary in order to extend the payments for two years and to forebear for making any payments for a period of two years on the principle.

MS. EVANS: And I Do recall when city council conducted a public caucus with representatives of the Steamtown Mall Partners to include Attorney Hickey, who represents them, I questioned them regarding their tax situation. Steamtown Mall does pay taxes to the City of Scranton, real estate, business privilege, and mercantile. It's employees do pay wage taxes.

I think it only stands to reason that we should ask ourselves if the mall closed, because the mall could not make it's payments on a primary mortgage because the interest rate would not be decreased had we voted no, and now we have lost our CDBG funding, and it's not just a matter of \$880,000, in addition to that there is I believe \$934,000 that was cut from 2011 CDBG allocations by Congress, so you have to add together \$934,000 in Congressional cuts to the \$880,000 plus the loss of tax revenue and then decide what is the best course for the city.

And I think at the end of the day, too, you need to ask yourself this, if we

allowed the mall to close right now, if we had voted against this agreement do you believe that the Doherty administration would sue the Steamtown Mall Partners for what it is owed or do you believe that in 2014 you have the hope of a new administration so that if the default occurs on those loans within the City of Scranton by the Steamtown Mall Partners that you at least have the hope of a new administration with the backbone to sue for what is owed?

It's something I think every
resident has to carefully consider. Is it
worth putting hundreds of people out of
work? Is it worth losing two years of tax
revenue? Is it worth losing close to \$2
million in CDBG funds this year?

And the point is in order to make that up you have to look at the big ticket items because most of the items in there are very small. They range from 1,000 up to maybe nine, 10,000 dollars and even that's rare, that's pretty high. When you are talking about EOTC, Meals on Wheels, St. James Manor, etc. All of these agencies

that come to the city for assistance, particularly in this recession, you are looking at allocations that range between \$1,000 and maximum of ten. The big ticket items are a fire engine, police cars, police officers in the neighborhoods, street paving, and blight removal, and you are going to have to take those in order to makeup for that \$2 million hole.

So that's what you have got to think about. Is it worth losing all of this right now when you have an administration who is not going to take Steamtown Mall Partners and Mr. Boscov to task?

MR. ROGAN: I didn't think we were going to completely beat a dead horse tonight, but I will just say a few last words, if this was voted down we wouldn't have lost \$2 million additional in CDBG, it was \$880,000.

MS. EVANS: Plus the 934.

MR. ROGAN: That was gone anyways.

MS. EVANS: Correct, but I think having worked on this with Mr. Joyce over the weekend for quite a long time I'll tell

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you what, it was very, very difficult coming up with those \$934,000 in cuts, very hard, so I can't imagine sitting there and trying to almost double that. Those items, those big ticket items, Mr. Rogan, would be decimated, and if you took a look at it you would know that.

MR. ROGAN: May I finish speaking I have taken a look at it, number one; and number two, I sincerely hope that my four colleagues that voted for I won't call it a bailout, a stay of execution, for Al Boscov and the Steamtown Mall Partners are right. I sincerely the four of you are right and the mall does recover and we do get our money back because that would be the best for everyone. I sincerely hope that's what happens, but I do believe that's what's realistic. Every time we walk into the mall another store is closed, another store is The mall very well will be out of closed. business within two years, and if we bite the bullet now and get it over with two years from now we won't be having this debate again. In two years from now maybe

the mall will be a thing of the past and something else will be into the downtown, and I know with this mayor that is very wishful thinking, but the longer we prolong this giving the stay of execution after stay of execution the more it's going to hurt us in the long run. I would rather just bite the bullet now and get it over with again.

Again, I don't want to beat a dead horse, we voted on it last week, I lost, it went down 4-1, so in two years we will see who is right and I sincerely hope that the four of you are right.

MS. EVANS: And I sincerely hope because, again, this is making the best of a bad situation and what we are trying to do is do the best for the people who pay taxes and live in this city and if they are still not paying us, which admittedly is a realistic possibility, then I'm hoping, as I said, we are going to have an administration that will have backbone within a short period of time to recoup that money, but right now you are not going to see that because you have a mayor who has received,

well, I'm going to say approximately \$100,000 in campaign contributions from Mr. Boscov since 2002, so I don't believe you are going to see this administration try to get your money back.

In addition to that, I think, you know, if you really sit down and you look at these allocations and then you really sit down and you look at this budget and you look at this deficit and you do the work on it, you will realize what a serious problem the city is in right now and you can't afford to say, hey, I don't even -- we'll bite the bullet now, I don't need that tax revenue this year.

MR. ROGAN: It's better to bite the bullet than kick the can down the road, that's how I feel about it.

MS. EVANS: Well, you know, I like that saying, President Obama just said it the other night. Great comment. Okay.

Mr. Loscombe, any comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. Thank you. I have several little comments on different

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

news articles and other items that were discussed this evening. Good evening.

First, I would like to touch -- Mr. Rogan touched on the hospitals, which I think is a good thing we are going to be generating some tax revenue, and the nice thing that I happened to see is even though the tax exempt hospital, which will be Geisinger, I happened to see in the Wilkes-Barre paper that they give a gracious donation to their host municipality so that will definitely help us out, something we are not receiving at this point from the hospitals in this place, so I just wanted to touch on that as you had mentioned.

A couple of news articles this week, some of the speakers touched on them, but, you know, I have to comment on a few of There was an editorial on the editorial page on July 20 on -- it was called "Don't Obstruct the New City Park" and just to take the first paragraph here, "It's unfortunate for residents of North Scranton that the city council super majority uses it's power for obstruction

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rather than progress concerning the plan for a new city park on Perry Avenue."

You know, this administration has used it's power to obstruct city council's -- our initiatives, our ordinances, anything to help this city. You know, they have actually -- thereby they have actually -they are biting their hand to spite their Up behind Engine 8, which is three blocks away from Perry Avenue, there has been a park there for years that I think the only time people use it are late in the evening to do illicit things. You know, anybody that can cut a grass area and call it a park. You need a -- how many people have you seen using these parks in this last week? There is no drinking fountains there, you know, maybe there is some rides, but the kids aren't going to sit on the teeter-toter and swings in the sweltering heat without beverages or refreshments or anything. have heard that from several people.

MR. MCGOFF: Connor's Park is used every day. I drive by it every day and there are people there, kids playing there

every day.

MR. LOSCOMBE: That's one park.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, you asked.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I just think we need a lot more infrastructure than parks at this point.

Another article in the paper,

"Doherty uses his veto to retain the pool

fees. Mr. Doherty's veto letter also states

that the legislation is both fiscally and

logically irresponsible because it reduces

the Authority's ability to raise revenue to

maintain the park, including it's two

pools."

Now, I don't know what -- who is irresponsible here, but I think it was irresponsible of the Rec Authority to lease out the zoo for a dollar a year for 99 years. How could they use this argument when they get something like that? I just think it's ridiculous. If they are going to lease it out they should have leased it out for enough amount of money to cover swimming for the children up there. And the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gentleman that spoke here regarding the Connell Park and Nay Aug Park, the difference, well, I happened to see a letter to the editor that contradicts that in the paper this week, and since you mentioned it I'm just going to state what it said.

"Last weekend I paid \$12 to swim at the pool at Nay Aug with my two sons. laid down our beach towels and I sat to supervise my children at the pool. observed several people with inappropriate behaviors, many older children and young adults disregard pool rules, disrespect the lifeguards direction and use inappropriate vulgar language. As a taxpaying resident of the Hill Section, I expect this pool facility to be a family-oriented environment. I witnessed the head lifeguard be harassed and threatened by three patrons with comments like, "You better watch your face," after he told the one young man to leave the pool area.

I understand that there are budget problems in this city. When I asked the head lifeguard why we are paying more money

yet swimming fewer minutes due to a

15-minute break every hour, he said that
there are fewer lifeguards this year. Many
lifeguards are working four to six days in a
two-week period. The mayor has placed a
tent in the park for shade, but he failed to
provide lifeguards staff with equipment in
case any patron has a heart attack or stops
breathing.

Not only am I frustrated taxpayer,
I'm a health and phys-ed teacher with a
background in aquatic instruction. The pool
at Nay Aug is not properly staffed with
medical equipment nor with lifeguards, the
atmosphere at this pool needs a weekend
police officer, umbrellas on every lifeguard
chair, and more respectful patrons. Until
those changes are made, I chose not to bring
any children to this facility."

So basically paying doesn't make a difference. I remember, I believe it was a quote in the newspaper, that one of the Rec Authority members stated that charging keeps the so-called rif-raf away, and maybe the day you were at Nay Aug was a rare

exception, but it happens at all of the pools, we just have to do some better policing and the children have to show more respect and, like you said, maybe get a photo of these children that are disrespectful and ban them from swimming.

But I don't think that the difference is because is having to pay, based on this letter to the editor, or not paying. I think it's a matter of respect, and it goes all around between the employees and the swimmers, so I just wanted to touch on that.

Speaking of parks, I believe it was Mr. Spindler mentioned the article in the paper about Lincoln Jackson, I think some of these school sites have been very well developed in the past sold to individuals, some of them split in half, and nice single family homes put on them. I think that's a better use than parks because ironically I had the same vision. Are we going to call this City Park Town, Parkville, maybe, you know, he wants to name it after another mayor or something, I'm not sure. I love

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parks, but we just don't have the capacity at this point, especially trying to drive on the roads to these parks.

Still speaking of parks, last week we had a stabbing incident at Fellows Park on Main Avenue and to jog my memory on a program that we approved here last year, a grant for some renovations at Fellows Park, so I did some research on it because I was curious. I said, boy, they haven't done anything yet on Fellows Park and they are interested in doing work in another park so And I do have to what's the status here? say I spoke to Tom Preambo in the OECD Office, I called on the phone and he was very cordial and it was ironic he happened to be there that following day with the surveyor. That he has whole timeline, he actually e-mail me the timeline on the progress and what they are doing there with the park and the timeline after all of the final biddings and contractor signups and decisions, the final survey, selecting the landscape architect for the project, it's set to begin by his timeline on September 5.

That's the hopeful timeline if anything falls in-between. It's \$50,000, it's not going to be anything major at the park there. They are creating some more sidewalk area. As you know, two years ago they put sidewalks on Main Avenue and some lighting, but there is some work that has to be done for parking and bumpers and some grass area, take out some low spots, so I guess with all of that it will eat up the \$50,000.

Next item is the our city bridges.

Last week, as I did the prior week, I

brought up the problem with the bridges, we
have the Rockwell Avenue bridge which is

falling down and the West Lackawanna Avenue

bridge, which for vehicular traffic is

structurally sound according to the PennDOT

engineer, however, there is a pedestrian

safety hazard there, and in the newspaper

article from two weeks ago, again I'll

mention it, "PennDOT engineer last week

recommended closing the sidewalk on West

Lackawanna Avenue to Councilman Jack

Loscombe after a visual review. Scranton

Department of Public Works Director Jeff

Brazil said neither Ben to the nor council has told him the sidewalk, which requires repairs, needs to be closed. If they recommended me closing them, I would close them absolutely, Mr. Brazil said."

Here we are two weeks later and nothing has been done. The day after that first meeting we sent out letter, our city clerk had sent out letters to Mr. Brazil, Mr. Pocius and the mayor and I spoke to the mayor personally. And again, he is more worried about parks than your pedestrian safety. This is something that's serious and he is just thumbing his nose at us when we bring this stuff up. I just hope no one gets hurt there because that sidewalk is going go collapse because that cheek wall is ready to go. The only thing holding it is two wires, and they better start getting serious on public safety in this city.

Back to lighter stuff. It just gets -- it gets on your nerves when you are trying to do things here and you are getting obstacles continuously, trust me. The Library Authority. In our mail packets last

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

week I came across something that said the annual reports from municipal authorities were do in June and the Library Authority never submitted one? Do you know if that has been completed?

MS. KRAKE: I don't believe so.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Okay. So they are in violation right now of that. Okay. then the Novembrino Pool that closed in spite of council's funding for lifeguards. The city administration hs chosen to keep this West Side pool closed while spending thousands of dollars just to block away to tear down a building for a private owner. will be researching all demolition projects conducted by and for the city over the past ten years to make sure appropriate liens have been placed upon each owner, and if there are any discrepancies I will forward the information to the proper authorities.

Mr. Shalck was here at the podium speaking about his problems behind Price Rite on Fellows Street, and he went through it pretty quickly, they do have a major problem there. I understand in the summer

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

you smell, you know, the garbage more than in the winter, but that's only one minor part of the problem there. It's a one-way street heading east and what happens is there's a split between the stores, a lot of vehicles come out and come the wrong way. So, Mrs. Krake, I'll give you this information but we are going to have to request a "Do Not Enter" sign up at that end by the buildings so we don't have an accident there because I saw several times when vehicles came the wrong way.

They do have rats over there, and by evidence I took a bunch of pictures, there are rat traps setup all around the building. Vehicles, it's a standing zone for tractor trailers and stuff and vehicles running continuously, especially refrigerated ones, you know, 20 feet away from a home is a violation, and from what I understand and I'm still researching it, there was supposed to be a retaining wall next to their loading dock there to block the noise and that from the vehicles and the dumpsters, so it is a nasty situation and I hope I'm going to try

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and get ahold of Mr. Seitzinger and rectify the situation.

Washburn Street Cemetery, it's interesting that we found out that the citation was pulled on Washburn Street cemetery and the owner was given a chance to clean up, but I'll guarantee that's the last time you see any grass cut this year and it doesn't look too good if you walk through there, but I have to say Channel 16 was there and GO Lackawanna, and I'm sorry to say it that you missed one of the most important issues in that cemetery, the garbage dump at the rear. household garbage dumped there, there is concrete dumped there, there is household furniture dumped there and it accumulates. I have taken pictures just about every day for the last two weeks and this past Sunday night there was a fire there. Some lit all of that debris on fire. Now you got half burned debris and half -- that's part of what he is supposed to clean up. that's more of a problem with the rats and everything than the grass cutting. I mean,

there is -- it's there a disrespect in both ends there to the deceased in the cemetery.

But I'm going to speak to

Mr. Seitzinger on that issue, also, and I'm

going to keep following up on this because

it's a disgrace. It's turned into a

landfill and there is no one there to police

it. They should have a gate there at least.

Years ago they used to have a caretaker that

lived there full-time and he kept that place

closed every night.

And just finally, everybody is saying thank you. Finally, I do have to discuss Boscov's. I mean, that's been a big issue, and it's not a vote that I took lightly. You know, I didn't make this decision for Al Boscov, I made this decision in the best interest of the many employees there and ultimately the taxpayers of this city. I listened thoroughly to our solicitor, I read the information, I saw what was going on and, you know, there is an issue there of whether or not they're good paying jobs, even though they may not be the best paying jobs, I still believe low pay is

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

no better than no pay.

You know, in two years, and I believe Mrs. Evans touched on this, in two years, that's all we are looking for an abatement for two years, we may have an administration who would be interested in going to Court to get our money back. And again, as I stated, a lot of people -- if you know people that have fallen behind on their home mortgages, they are having federal programs out there, the state had a program which is stopped, HEMAP I believe it was called, but it is a mortgage modification program, where they'll extend mortgage or reduce your interest rate it's very similar to that situation. You are not getting away with anything, you are just extending it, and I believe, you know, in two years, and again, I hope my bets are hedged properly, as Mr. Rogan stated, that it is successful and the economy turns around and I would hope by the help of his congressman and the newly elected congressmen that, you know, we will be able to work this out all along. It takes a

concerted effort from federal government down to the city government and, you know, there is a lot of people having hard times right now, but I just can't see increasing the pain for a lot more people and that's why I feel my decision on this vote was proper, and I think that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions at this time?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Tonight I'm going to begin by commenting on the article written by the Scranton Times on the deficit that the city is projected to face at the end of the year.

First, what's important to realize is that the city started 2011 with a \$4.5 million deficit from the administration's 2010 budget. This was not made aware to council until 2011 after the operating budget was passed. Since the administration's projections prior to that point, it stated that the 2010 budget would not create a deficit.

__

In addition to this, because of our inability to pay back our TAN-B payment in 2010, money was borrowed from the Workers' Compensation Fund to the tune of \$7.6 million, which had to be paid back in 2011. Obviously, this starts us off in a hole. Council was also not made aware of this until 2011.

Last night I had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Mrozinski, the reporter from the Scranton Times. While I do recognize appreciate that he did include some of my statements in the article, there were also some that were left out, which I believe will provide the whole picture of the story. One of the comments that I made that was printed in the article was that the 2011 operating budget was partly amended by council and, furthermore, that it was a product of the council and the administration. It is a product of the council's amendments and the administration's projections as well.

City council did not change every revenue item and every expenditure. Current

business administrator Ryan McGowan also stated in the article that the city's deficit that's projected at the end of the year is truly an expense problem, and I couldn't agree more with him on this statement. Mr. McGowan further elaborated and stated that the largest factor contributing to the projected deficit was a \$4 million under projection in health insurance. I did comment on this when speaking with Mr. Mrozinski, however, it was not printed.

Though there is a \$4 million under projection in health insurance costs, this is a product of the administration. The administration originally projected an amount in the budget for health insurance.

Money was added to the health insurance projections due in great part to the addition of both the safety employees. The amount that was added back to the budget for the city's health insurance contributions for public safety employees were the exact figures provided by former business administrator, Stu Renda. These numbers are

actually quoted in a Scranton Times article that was published in late 2010 regarding some of the union grievances and the results of those grievances.

Mr. McGowan also stated in his comments that in addition to the health care costs, which essentially are a product of the administration, there is a projected shortfall in wage tax revenue. Currently the amount -- the shortfall projected in wage tax revenue has been somewhere in the \$800,000 which council did adjust. In the amount that this figure was adjusted to was more conservative than PEL's projection of their most recent Recovery Plan presentation.

What Mr. McGowan failed to mention though is that the revenue that the city is projected to receive in current real estate taxes is exceeding council's projection by nearly \$1 million, well, on historical basis throughout these reports it's been around that. Therefore, when you combine the two largest revenue sources that council adjusted being real estate tax revenue and

wage tax revenue, current council's combined projection is on track with what the city is expected to realize when you combine those two revenue sources at the end of the year.

Also, in regard to other revenue items that council adjusted is one of those the business privilege and mercantile taxes were reduced by 25 percent. Because of this, the city is expected to realize \$1.4 million in revenue from these two taxes. Thus far through the first six months of the year, the City has actually realized about \$1.1 million, give or take, of that \$1.44 million dollar amount, meaning that the amount realized at the end of the year should be equal or greater than the amount projected.

In the Scranton Times' article,

Mr. McGowan also stated that council made \$3

million worth of tax cuts. I wanted to

point out that this is inaccurate figure.

Scranton City Council cut the business

privilege and mercantile taxes by 25

percent. Originally, it was projected that

the city would realize \$1.92 million from

these taxes, a 25 percent reduction would amount to \$480,000 for those two particular taxes.

In addition, real estate taxes were reduced by 10 percent. Originally, the amount that was projected by the administration was \$13.6 million. With this in mind, 10 percent reduction of this is \$1.36 million, so when you add the two of them together the amount of the tax cuts are actually more in the area of \$1.84 million not \$3 million and I wanted to point that out because that's a fairly large discrepancy in figures.

Anyhow, what is more disturbing is that while the city's health insurance projection is nearly \$4 million in the red, several city employees have contacted me and informed me that grant money was available to pay health insurance costs, however, the city failed to apply for them. The amount of the savings that the city employees have informed me regarding the possible receipt of grant funds in their estimate could have been nearly a million dollars. So while the

city has time to apply for grants for parks, which amount -- which, as you know, the one that Mr. McGoff has been referring to the past few meetings is \$50,000, it fails to apply for grants that can contribute possibly to funding health insurance costs to the tune of about a million dollars.

Now, validity of the million dollar figure I did not conduct a thorough investigation into that number, but I highly doubt that the employees that reported this to me would be telling me a lie.

As far as the comments made by Mayor Doherty, he stated in the Scranton Times' article that council under estimated expenses. Well, let me make it 100 percent clear, a great part of why expenses are under estimated is due to the fact that Mayor Doherty does not followed the budget that council has provided. Mayor Doherty hired back four employees that were previously eliminated from the 2011 operating budget in addition to providing his own secretary a raise, which was not budgeted for. When the individual in charge

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of implementing a budget does not implement the budget as it is set forth, of course expenses are going to be under estimated, the mayor is causing it. Expenses are also under estimated due to the administration providing for health insurance projections which currently is projected to be \$4 million short.

As Mayor Doherty did state, however, a plan was being developed to address the city financial woes. Earlier in the summer I have met with business administrator Mr. McGowan as well as a representative from the fire department union and Chief Duffy who represented the police to discuss a plan along with Councilwoman Evans, who was also present at this meeting. In this meeting the administration had demanded that all information in the meeting be kept confidential. During this meeting various ideas were discussed, some of which I agree with and some of which I do not agree with. I have requested five times that the administration, being business administrator Ryan McGowan, and specifically Mayor

Doherty, meet with council in a public forum to discuss how this deficit will be handled. I think that the public has a right to know the contents of that meeting. Thus far, neither the administration or Mayor Doherty has responded to those requests and claim that they do not want to work with council in a public setting, and it seems like they do wish to keep everything behind closed doors.

Now, I'm not going to beat a dead horse here. Well, actually, I am.

MR. ROGAN: We all have.

MR. JOYCE: But I guess --

MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan exhumed the horse, so you beat it and then we'll bury it.

MR. JOYCE: So it seems like, you know, we do have some conflicting opinions on the whole mall at Steamtown situation, and this wasn't an easy decision to make. In my ideology the way I looked at it was I was going to take the utilitarian approach and try to do what's best for all and in my rationale what I thought was best for all

was to vote "yes" last week.

Now, my reasoning for this is I know this year congress did cut a substantial amount of money from our CDBG funds, and taking a look, you know, I looked through all of -- I looked through Ms. Aebli's worksheet on the legislation that we will be tabling tonight, and I looked at it and I thought, well, to cut an extra \$880,000 what would this entail? You know, I looked at various approaches and this is what would essentially would amount to \$880,000 worth of cuts, and I'll just read off a few examples here.

Case number one, if you cut some of the smaller public services items this is what would amount to \$880,000. The elimination of funding to the Catherine McCawley Center and basically what they do, this is a housing program to assist ten families to move to apartments, case management, transportation, food, job seeking and education training.

Beat officers, \$200,000. This pays for the salary of five officers in our

neighborhoods.

New police department vehicles \$150,000. That's for the purchase of the five new police vehicles in low to moderate income areas.

United Neighborhood Centers, Project Hope, and this is a summer camp that ensures educational, nutritional and educational needs to children from low income families.

United Neighborhood Centers Condemnation Assistance. This provides all case management, 24/7, 365 days a year to families displaced through the City of Scranton's Code Enforcement.

The United Neighborhood Centers

Bellevue Youth Program, and those were costs

allocated in the CDBG fund to underwrite the

cost of Saturday programming at Bellevue

Youth Center.

The Boys and Girls Club park it program. This is the neighborhood version of the Boys and Girl Club program that utilizes four school district sites in low to moderate income areas.

Neighborhood Housing Services of

- '

Lackawanna County to provide home ownership and creation and preservation, counseling to primarily low to moderate income families.

Two grants to the Community

Intervention Center to continue permanent
housing with support services to six
homeless families.

Meals on Wheels, young adults.

Elimination of funding for the alleviation of waiting list for 18 young adult home delivered meals to mentally or physically challenged young adults.

The Deutsch Institute, elimination of funding to promote the inclusion and employment for persons with disabilities and the elderly.

Scranton Public Theatre. A theatre project for low to moderate income families including the elderly.

St. Joseph's Center Mother and
Infant Program to provide funds for the
operation of St. Joseph's Center mothering
program.

Catholic Social Services Nativity
Place Family Shelter to provide essential

and nonessential services to an estimated 24 homeless families.

Catholic Services St. James' Manor to provide essential and nonessential services to the veteran clients of St. James Manor, basically this is veteran's housing.

Catholic Social Services Permanent
Supportive Housing to provide essential and
nonessential services to a minimum of 13
clients in the Permanent Supportive Housing
Program.

And after adding up all of those service activities the amounts that would be cut that the city is going to allocate in CDBG funds to these organizations is \$519,870, so on top of that you would have to find another \$360,130 to remove in order to make the \$880,000, so here is some possibilities: (A) you could remove \$226,500 which is the funding that was allocated towards the new fire engine, which the fire department needs or you could remove -- and you could remove rather \$133,630 from blight removal or paving or instead of doing that you could remove

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$75,000 from the Pinebrook Neighborhood
Association, which I know that nobody wants
to do, and you could remove \$58,630 from
blight removal or paving.

Now, those are the service items. Now, if you look at the some of the big ticket items, I know that Mrs. Evans mentioned some things, here is a list of some big ticket items that would amount to \$80,000 -- or \$880,000. City of Scranton police department beat officers, that would be the elimination for five officer's salaries. City of Scranton DPW paving program, that's \$600,000. Pinebrook Neighborhood Association, that's \$75,000 and Catholic Social Services Permanent Supportive Housing, that's \$5,000. That's also a total of \$880,000 so that's a way that you could possibly eliminate \$880,000 worth of CDBG funds from Ms. Aebli's worksheet.

And there is situation three that I looked at, and this is partially removing large items and large public service items:

One, you could remove the salaries of five

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officers, that's \$200,000. You could remove the destruction of blighted homes, take \$200,000 off of that. You can remove the police vehicles, that's \$150,000. You could remove the Pinebrook Neighborhood Association funding, that's \$75,000. You could remove \$255,000 for paving on top of that and that's a total of \$880,000 as well.

By no means am I a fan of Al Boscov, and just to state for the record Al Boscov has never contributed anything to my campaign or when both myself and Mrs. Evans and Pat Rogan ran for office, however, this in my belief is what's in the best interest of the City of Scranton. Being that Congress made cuts in year, the city simply in my opinion cannot afford to jeopardize some of these programs that help out people that really, really depend on them and I don't know if anyone else could come up with a better way to generate \$880,000 of additional cuts off of Ms. Aebli's worksheet, but feel free to --if you have \$880,000 worth of additional cuts, feel free to just provide them.

MR. ROGAN: In two years when we might have to do it anyways we can just divide the amount, if we had to, just divide the amount of whatever it is and say 20 percent of everything which would share the pain equally, but that's a bridge hopefully we won't have to cross in two years.

MR. JOYCE: Hopefully we won't have to cross it. That's all.

MS. EVANS: Okay.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Excuse me, Mrs.

Evans.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Could I have five seconds? I forget to reply to Mrs.

Schumacher, Mrs. Schumacher, we sent a second request out, we didn't receive any information. I know I have been told that we have been short-handed on police a number of occasions, so we are still trying get that information, and also I have had numerous calls from people on East Mountain regarding Engine 10 being closed, the fire department. They are calling it a brownout, but a brownout to me is one week this

station closes and one week that station closes. It's actually it happens like a black out because the time they get the pecking order for Engine 10, Engine 9 is permanently closed, Engine 15 in Petersburg would be closed, the ladder truck in the downtown was closed and Engine 10 is closed. I'm not sure what's next in the pecking order, maybe South Side, but on many occasions those four companies have been closed recently.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Ten has only been closed one brownout one day.

MR. LOSCOMBE: What's that?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Ten has been browned out one day.

MR. LOSCOMBE: In the last week.

Two weeks ago it was closed, too. It was closed twice, and I'll follow up on that, but I don't know we should have any of them closed to be honest with you, especially on East Mountain. It's a lot harder climbing uphill than going downhill.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's operating funds so that's not CDBG.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOSCOMBE: No, no, I'm

discussing public safety on the whole. think that should be important to everybody not just CDBG funding, but public safety. All I'm hoping is that through our vacation that we don't have a catastrophe because of this. They will pay overtime to certain departments, but they won't pay overtime to man others, and they're being pennywise and dollar foolish and I hope it doesn't come back to bite them because I stated before I'll be the first one to stand up in court if something happens, and I'm going to get more information in the next four weeks on these closures and the information you requested from the police department which we still haven't received in response. I'll stop down personally and see what I can do. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Loscombe.

Good evening. I wish to provide several updates regarding the issues that directly effect the city's operating budget and financial status. First, the owner/operator of the former Mercy Hospital and Moses

Taylor Hospital may file appeals for tax reductions at the County Tax Assessor's Office. It's imperative that city solicitor Paul Kelly and business administrator Ryan McGowan attend those hearing if and when they are scheduled as well as attending all other assessment hearings that effect not individual homeowners, but all other city properties. Their failure to attend these hearings has caused the city to lose much needed tax revenue:

Second, the parking meter revenue from the CMC Hospital has not been received because the administration failed to send a letter and invoice to CMC requesting payment to the city and directing the CMC to whom to make the check payable. The total dollar amount is entirely owed to the city. No portion is owed to the Scranton Parking Authority since legislation was legally and lawfully adopted. The Parking Authority provides no services at the CMC, the meters were bagged in late Spring 2010, and the city owns the parking meters.

Third, although the parking program

bids were rejected approximately a month ago, the city has not rebid the project, thus, postponing another revenue source enjoyed by other Pennsylvania municipalities because it intends to sell the parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority, which very likely explains Councilman Rogan's earlier comments and requests to the Scranton Parking Authority regarding the search for loans. On the other hand, however, it could well be that their budget is once again in the red for the third year in a row or a combination of both.

Fourth, the revenue from the sale of the Moses Taylor Hospital will not be realized very likely until the end of 2011 or the beginning of 2012 according to BA Ryan McGowan.

Fifth, the tax revenue realized from the sale of the Mercy Hospital will be used by the administration to cover increased health care costs which it failed to budget for in the 2011 operating budget. Only approximately \$500,000 of that tax revenue will be used toward the 2011 deficit

according to the business administrator.

Six, incremental raises for police and fire were scheduled to be paid beginning in July 2011.

Seven, the 2010 independent audit has not been completed despite numerous requests from the auditor for financial information from the administration and municipal authorities. It will be very difficult for the city administration to refinance debt and for the Pennsylvania Economy League to draft an accurate revised Recovery Plan without this audit. Any plan that is not based at least in part on the 2010 audit will bring into question it's reliability and validity, yet, PEL remains silent and fails to press the administration to cooperate with auditors in a timely fashion.

These unresolved issues are costing the City of Scranton a good deal of revenue at a time when it is facing a multi-million dollar deficit. As you can see, the ball is in the administration's court. It must take action to protect and defend the current

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

revenue. Collect revenues that are due.

Provide revenue generators included in the

2011 operating budget, and provide the

required and requested financial documents

to Rossi & Rossi, city auditor.

Next, I wish to summarize for you, ladies and gentlemen, the recent actions of Mayor Doherty. Following the legal and lawful adoption of ordinances by city council for contracts between the City of Scranton and local towers and Northeast Revenue Services, the new collector of delinquent taxes chosen by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and the mayor, Mr. Doherty substituted his contracts for those approved by Scranton City Council and sent his towing contract to the police chief to enforce and forwarded his delinquent tax collection contract modeled on the NCC previous contract to Attorney John Rogers of Northeast Revenue Service for his signature.

The mayor did not sign the
legislation passed by city council. Also,
the mayor did not veto the legislation
passed by Scranton City Council. According

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the Home Rule Charter, the mayor has ten days in which to sign or veto all legislation approved and adopted by city council. If he fails to sign or veto legislation, the legislation takes effect following the tenth day.

Several weeks ago, the Mayor drew a line through his signature on a piece of legislation months after he signed it in an effort to ignore the ordinance. Now, the mayor has substituted his own contracts and his own legislation for council legislation after it has been legally and lawfully Perhaps Police Chief Duffy has no adopted. choice but to obey the mayor and his legislation because the mayor hired him and the mayor can fire him, however, Attorney Rogers of Northeast Revenue Service will not sign the mayor's substituted contract and will only sign the contract legally adopted by Scranton City Council.

Now, this is a significant matter because the SRA, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, defaulted on \$1.5 million owed to Pennstar Bank for the collection of

delinquent taxes and the city is responsible for paying that \$1.5 million to Pennstar Bank. Rather than taking responsible action to hire this firm immediately and begin payments to Pennstar Bank, the mayor is creating his own form of government in this city, state and country whereby he can remove pages of adopted legislation and contracts, insert his own, and sign the bogus legislation while simultaneously failing to return council's documents.

His actions could cost the taxpayers \$1.5 million in delinquent tax collections and increased fees from the tower's contract and at this time I will ask council solicitor Boyd Hughes for his legal opinion on the mayor's actions.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President. I think you have stated

everything pretty much very succinctly like

I was going to do. What happened is that

there were two ordinances, Ordinance No. 35

of 2011 which was Northeast Revenue

Services, that was passed by council on July

12, as amended. There were various

amendments made to it. As this legislation was submitted by the solicitor's office to the city there was no contract attached, all it stated was that the mayor and other appropriate city officials are authorized to enter into a contract.

It's been my advice to council that no legislation ever be approved without a contract attached so that we know exactly what is to be signed and that council can make amendments to that contract. As council said at caucus between the mayor and business administrator, Mr. Lazor, Chairman of the Redevelopment and John Rogers of Northeast Revenue Services, that was cancelled because the mayor and the business administrator refused to come.

Subsequently, a caucus was held with

Mr. Rogers. Mr. Rogers and I had negotiated a contract which was fully acceptable to Northeast Revenue Services. It eliminated many of the conflicts that were put into the agreement regarding what I call the supremacy clause so if there was ever any conflict between the request for proposals

submitted by the SRA, the proposal submitted to that bid proposal submitted by Northeast Revenue that the contract would control.

Mr. Rogers was in full agreement of that.

That was attached to Ordinance No.

35 as amended by council, and as is stated in the Rules of Council and, of course,

Mayor Doherty was a member of council in knows the Rules of Council, and it's Article

IV, roman numeral 4-3 of the Rules of

Council regarding amendments, that they can be made on the third reading, they must be in writing, and that any ordinance can be amended by council as it's submitted and then that becomes the official ordinance passed by the council.

When that was submitted to Mayor

Doherty on July 13 the next day he had ten
days to either sign the ordinance as
lawfully adopted or to veto it. He did
neither. What he did was extrapolate and
took from the ordinance that was passed by
council all of the amendments and the
contract and submitted a contract that was
not attached to the ordinance as submitted

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the solicitor's office.

It's my legal opinion that what has happened is that the mayor has not within ten days signed or vetoed the ordinance that was lawfully adopted by council and that as a result that ordinance has passed, Ordinance No. 35 of 2011, has become law and, Madam President, I believe that -- not that I believe, but it's my recommendation under Section 504 -- I'm sorry, under Section 60918 of the Home Rule Charter that the mayor must execute all contracts authorized by council so that the only contract that can be authorized between the City of Scranton and Northeast Revenue Services would be the one that was passed by council and attached to the ordinance which the mayor removed.

In accordance with Section 503 (5) of the Home Rule Charter, it's my opinion that you should instruct Mrs. Krake, the city clerk, that the ordinance that says "General Ordinance Procedures" every ordinance shall, under paragraph five, authenticated and entered into the ordinance

book. The one that should be entered into the ordinance book is the official ordinance of the City of Scranton is the one that was passed on July 12, 2011, submitted to the mayor and not signed. That's the law of the City of Scranton.

Under the Home Rule Charter, Section 609 regarding the mayor, paragraph 18, subsection 18, he has to execute all contracts authorized by council and also under subparagraph six of 609 he shall enforce all ordinances and regulations of the city. This is the lawful ordinance, this is the one he must execute and he must sign.

As to the towing ordinance, it's the same scenario, it would be -- that's Ordinance No. 37 of 2011, whatever he extrapolated, deleted from that ordinance and signed that is not the ordinance that was passed by council. My comments would be the same as under Ordinance 35, that the ordinance that was adopted by council and signed the night of June 12, 2011, that's the official ordinance and in accordance

with the Home Rule Charter, Section 503.5, that's the one that must be entered into the ordinance book.

The actions of the mayor would be just like -- in a legislative process, although we are a council, either state or federal where you have a House and a Senate passing a law the versions are different, there is a compromise, they finally agree on the language, it would be like that being passed by the House and the Senate,

President Obama taking it and saying, "I don't agree with that, I'm going to rip all of that out and I'm going to put this in and sign it and that's the law."

It would be the same thing for the governor doing that. You know that that can't happen. It's an act that would be invalid from the beginning, the mayor signature of these ordinances after he took out the -- took out the amendments that council lawfully passed are totally void and negatory and as a result the ordinances adopted by council are the official ordinances because the mayor did not veto or

sign it within the ten days required by law.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes, and I just wanted to add briefly to
that if, indeed, any mayor, any governor,
any president can rescind his signature on
legislation, any mayor, governor, president,
can substitute legislation that has been
passed by the House and Senate for his own
and then sign it, then I really have to ask
what is the purpose of a city council, of a
State house of Representatives, a State
Senate, the US Congress and the United
States Senate? All serve no purpose if an
executive branch grants itself those powers.

MR. HUGHES: I'd just like to briefly add, in accordance with the Home Rule Charter Section 201, it says, "The mayor and council jointly shall be the governing body of the Scranton City government," except that the mayor's function is executive and that's really to enforce the ordinance of the City of Scranton to run the government, and the council's function is from a legislative. Every action that council took on both of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these ordinances is legislative in nature.

It's in accordance with the Rules of

Council, in accordance with the Home Rule

Charter, in accordance with the

Administrative Code, and the only option

that the mayor had was to sign the

ordinances as passed or veto them. Since he

did neither, in ten days the ordinance as

passed become law.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The last

thing I wanted to say was that I listened

Chair discussed tonight with regard to the

very carefully to what the Council Finance

city's finances and it's deficit and, you

know, regardless of the spin produced by the

administration and printed in this

newspaper, you had to be living under a rock

for the last ten years not to realize this

is Chris Doherty's debt. He put the city in

historical long-term debt of over \$300

million. This council has been in office a

year and a half. It's amended only one

budget, much of which the mayor has ignored

and chosen not to implement. Let's apply

common sense to the spin, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS 1 2 TIME. 6-A. 3 SIXTH ORDER. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 49, 2011 - AN 4 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 5 2010, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE 6 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE 7 8 CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY 9 ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND 10 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE 11 12 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) 13 PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) 14 PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM." 15 16 MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to table 17 Item 6-A. 18 MR. JOYCE: Second. MS. EVANS: 19 On the question? 20 MR. ROGAN: On the question, I did 21 read the letter from Ms. Aebli in Fifth 22 Order and she requested that we table the 23 legislation. 24 MS. EVANS: Yes. And, Mrs. Krake, 25 if you would please send a letter to Ms.

Aebli tomorrow on behalf of Scranton City
Council stating the following: Until such
time as this ordinance shall be taken from
the table and voted on at the first regular
meeting of Scranton City Council on
September 6, 2011, no allocations shall be
increased, decreased or eliminated without
council's approval and legal and lawful
adoption. OECD Director Linda Aebli cannot
arbitrarily implement any changes to the
CDBG funding without council approval prior
to the legal and lawful adoption of this
ordinance.

Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of tabling Item 6-A signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 44, 2011-

	134
1	SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
2	COMMONLY KNOWN AS 2108 PRICE STREET, TAX MAP
3	NO. 14509-010-015, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
4	TO FRANCIS J. WALSH, 217 NORTH GRANT AVENUE,
5	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE
6	CONSIDERATION OF \$3,500.00.
7	MS. EVANS: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Finance?
10	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-A.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
15	call, please.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

1	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
2	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
3	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
4	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 45, 2011- SALE OF TAX
5	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
6	917 EYNON STREET, TAX MAP NO. 15609-080-035,
7	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO SEAN MCGAGHRAN,
8	1221 EYNON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
9	18504, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$600.00.
10	MS. EVANS: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chair for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-B.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18 19 20 21	call, please? MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

	130
1	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
3	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
6	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
7	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46, 2011- SALE OF TAX
8	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
9	919 EYNON STREET, TAX MAP NO. 15609-080-036,
10	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO SEAN MCGAGHRAN,
11	1221 EYNON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
12	18504, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$600.00.
13	MS. EVANS: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chair for the
15	Committee on Finance?
16	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-C.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
	II

	137
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
9	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR
10	ADOPTION- FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47, 2011-
11	AUTHORIZING THE VACATION OF THE RIGHT OF WAY
12	KNOWN AS PAGE PLACE LOCATED BETWEEN THE 200
13	BLOCKS OF FRANKLIN AVENUE AND MIFFLIN
14	AVENUE.
15	MS. EVANS: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chair for the
17	Committee on Public Safety?
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
19	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
20	final passage of Item 7-D.
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
23	call, please.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

	l ·
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
4	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
6	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
8	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
9	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
10	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
11	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-
12	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48, 2011- AUTHORIZING
13	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY
14	OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT
15	APPLICATION AND IF SUCCESSFUL A GRANT
16	AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE FUNDS RELATED
17	THERETO THROUGH THE BJA FY 11 EDWARD BYRNE
18	JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT ("JAG") PROGRAM
19	LOCAL SOLICITATION IN THE AMOUNT OF
20	\$29,216.00.
21	MS. EVANS: What is the
22	recommendation of the Chair for the
23	Committee on Finance?
24	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
25	Committee on Finance, I recommend final

	158
1	passage of Item 7-E.
2	MR. ROGAN: Second.
3	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
4	call, please?
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
16	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to take
17	File of Council No. 42, 2011, from the table
18	and place it into Seventh Order for final
19	consideration.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 3 have it and so moved. 4 MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-5 FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 42, 2011 (PREVIOUSLY 6 TABLED) - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 52, 7 8 2010, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY 9 OPERATING BUDGET 2011" BY TRANSFERRING \$20,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 10 01.401.13090.4299 (NONDEPARTMENTAL OPERATING 11 12 EXPENSES - CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 13 01.060.00000.4201 (LAW DEPARTMENT 14 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO COVER VARIOUS ATTORNEY FEES. 15 16 MS. EVANS: What is the 17 recommendation of the Chair for the 18 Committee on Finance? 19 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 20 21 passage of Item 7-F. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I don't want to 25 make this meeting any longer, I didn't see

1 in the backup if the administration sent 2 down that information. 3 MS. EVANS: They never did. We requested the attorneys, their fees, and 4 5 their services. MR. ROGAN: Yes, and I looked 6 through it three times, I was looking for 7 8 it, so --9 MS. EVANS: Nothing was sent. MR. ROGAN: As I said, and I believe 10 11 my colleagues said a few weeks ago, I will 12 be voting "no." I'm not going to write a 13 blank check for \$20,000 for undefined 14 expenses through the law department. MS. EVANS: 15 Ditto. Anyone else on 16 the question? 17 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Could I just ask, 18 I know in the past we have dealt with some 19 of the attorney fees, is it likely that they 20 will go to Court and ask for a judgment to 21 receive these? 22 MS. EVANS: I'm sure it is. 23 Attorney Greco did it often, you know, when 24 amassing his close to, I don't know, \$2 25 million in payments for a part-time

position. I think in that case the city has always been defended by the city solicitor, I don't think there is really any great cost involved because the city simply sits back and agrees with the law firm or the lawyer and says, "Give them the money."

MR. MCGOFF: And I understand and I'm in agreement that if these were legitimate costs there is no reason why the city shouldn't have provided some type of documentation. There is no reason not to and I agree with what's been said. There is no reason to vote for this if they are not going to, you know, provide us the documentation necessary.

MR. JOYCE: Personally I'll add to it, I actually did recommend it just so to keep the voting consistent I guess, a yes or no, so, no, I'm not voting for it either. Essentially what's going to happen instead of the money coming from the account that we are voting on, what will probably happen is whoever is owed this money will probably take the city to Court and the city will probably do nothing and then it will come

	143
1	out of the Court awards account instead of
2	the account we are voting on tonight.
3	That's my estimate of what will probably
4	happen.
5	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
6	the question? Roll call please.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: No.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: No.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
14	MR. JOYCE: No.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
16	MS. EVANS: No. I hereby declare
17	that Item 7-F has been legally and lawfully
18	defeated.
19	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to take
20	File of Council No. 43, 2011, from the table
21	and place it into Seventh Order for final
22	consideration.
23	MR. ROGAN: Second.
24	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
25	those in favor signify by saying aye.

	144
1	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
4	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
5	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
6	have it and so moved.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR
9	ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 43,
10	2011(PREVIOUSLY TABLED)- SALE OF TAX
11	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
12	SHORT AVENUE, TAX MAP NO. 13420-020-028,
13	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO CARMEN SAITA, 517
14	GREENBUSH STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
15	18508, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$1,000.00.
16	MS. EVANS: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chair for the
18	Committee on Finance?
19	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-G.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
24	call, please.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

	145
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
5	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
7	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
9	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: I make a motion to
12	take File of Council No. 39, 2011, from the
13	table and place it into Seventh Order for
14	final consideration.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION
25	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-FILE

OF COUNCIL NO. 39, 2011(PREVIOUSLY TABLED)AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.74, 1993 (AS
AMENDED), ENTITLED "THE ZONING
ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON" BY
CHANGING THAT PORTION OF A 17 ACRE PARCEL OF
LAND FRONTING ON THE 100 BLOCK AND 200 BLOCK
OF DAVIS STREET AND ITS SURROUNDING AREA, AS
MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A"
ATTACHED HERETO FROM C-N (NEIGHBORHOOD
COMMERCIAL) TO R-1A (MEDIUM DENSITY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT).

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-H.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question,

I'll be extremely brief, I think the

Neighborhood Association made a very

compelling argument during our hearing and I

will be voting to make that area in question

in form with the rest of the neighborhood.

MR. MCGOFF: I -- I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, you go right ahead, please.

letter from Delaware Woods Development indicating that they are in opposition to this and there is a possibility that they will contest our decision. I think on behalf of the citizens of that area that at least I'm willing to take that chance and to fight that on their behalf. I think it's something that the residents of that area want and I think that's our purpose here is to try and do what is best for the residents of the city and in particular in this case

MR. MCGOFF: We have received a

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would echo with what Mr. McGoff had to say. Definitely.

the residents of Minooka and I will be

voting in the affirmative as well.

MR. JOYCE: And, Mr. McGoff, I absolutely agree. I have listened to the arguments made by the developer as well as the residents of Minooka and Mr. Finnerty of the Minooka Neighborhood Association who presented a very -- an extremely strong argument in favor of this legislation tonight, and as you said, there is a possibility that the developer may contest

this, however, as an elected official I was elected by the residents of this Scranton -- or of this city, part of the residents of this city live in the Minooka area and I firmly feel that this is in their best interest.

that, we are merely returning this area to it's original zoning designation. The next step in the process will be the presentation of this legislation to the Mayor of Scranton for his signature or veto. I believe that Scranton City Council has dotted all of the I's, crossed the T's put on the belts and suspenders in response to a petition presented by the Minooka Residents

Association, and so I will be voting "yes" as at well.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could on this, that was tabled it was approximately five weeks ago on my recommendation that letters be sent to the Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission, the City Planning Commission for their comments because the resolution that

1	was passed by council was not the resolution
2	that was submitted to them. I believe just
3	for the record that any comments that came
4	back should be made part of the record
5	and
6	MS. EVANS: That's fine.
7	MR. HUGHES: from a procedural
8	standpoint, and if no comments came back
9	they had 30 days to comment or if they
10	didn't they waived it, so just for the
11	record that should be made a part of the
12	record to complete this process.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.
14	That will be done. Is there anyone else on
15	the question? Roll call, please.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
23	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
25	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.

7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION MS. KRAKE: BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 42, 2011(OVERRIDE MAYOR'S VETO) - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 174 OF 2003 BY PROHIBITING THE RECREATIONAL AUTHORITY FROM CHARGING CITY RESIDENTS AN ADMISSION FEE TO THE NAY AUG PARK SWIM COMPLEX.

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend city council override the mayor's veto of Item 7-I.

> MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS:

On the question? thing I just wanted to bring to everyone's attention in case you had not looked at the this information or received it, it's very questionable that the Recreation Authority in 2011 is purchasing materials that were delivered to Novembrino Pool Complex and the Capouse Avenue Pool Complex when neither has been open for this swim season, so there are many questions about the Scranton Recreation Authority and it's arbitrary to questionable decisions that it produces for people of this city, so I will be voting to override.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: I will just add that I will also be voting to override for somewhat different reasons and some of the same as The Recreation Authority, as many well. speakers on council and many other people have pointed out, have seem to gone out of their way to make children and residents of Scranton pay to use Nay Aug Park and I know some would like to see the fees stay in place, many others would like to see it be free for low to moderate income children, and just as a safety issue, I think, you know, I would much rather have tax dollars spent on children's swimming in the pool than children swimming in the gorge and risk having a serious accident, so I will be voting to override the mayor's veto.

MS. EVANS: And to respond to the financial concerns, I think that the mayor might have expressed monies available through the UDAG secondary payment account which was originally designated for the opening of the Novembrino Pool Complex in Scranton this summer, passed by council, vetoed by the mayor, overridden by council

1	and the mayor has still not opened that
2	neighborhood swimming facility. The money
3	could being taken from that account or from
4	the UDAG secondary payment account and
5	applied toward the Nay Aug Pool if the
6	Recreation Authority still insists it needs
7	those funds so badly, though, as I said, I
8	have to question the use of the some of it's
9	funds. Is there anyone else on the
10	question? Roll call, please.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: No.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
18	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
20	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
21	the mayor's veto of Item 7-I, Resolution No.
22	42 of 2011, legally and lawfully overridden.
23	If there is no further business, I
24	will entertain a motion to adjourn.
25	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER