		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, July 19, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	CATHEME 3. MANDOZZI, KEK - DIFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

2 ||

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

JOID HOURES, SULICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of
2	reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
11	Evans.
12	MS. EVANS: Here.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
14	AGENDA FOR THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
15	MEETING TO BE HELD JULY 20, 2011.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. EVANS: Clerk's notes?
19	MS. KRAKE: We don't have any
20	tonight, Mrs. Evans.
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any
22	council members have announcements at this
23	time? Then we shall proceed to Fourth
24	Order. Our first speaker this evening is
25	Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
taxpayer.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Last week council took a vote not to have people swim at Nay Aug. Mr. McGoff voted against it. It means he doesn't want kids to swim free. People with four or five people in their families I guess he thinks they -- it's okay for them to pay all of that money, and then in the paper he makes a comment, "Free swimming -- the city has free swimming elsewhere."

Novembrino is closed. Capouse

Avenue is closed. Where is there free
swimming, Mr. McGoff? You have to pay to go
to Nay Aug. Where are people from West
Scranton going go to swim for free?

MR. MCGOFF: There are three pools open.

MR. SPINDLER: In West Scranton?

MR. MCGOFF: In the city. I didn't say which pool.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, the poor children of West Scranton won't be able to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get to wherever else there is free swimming, and it seems like the Novembrino pool they are catering to all of the young children and they don't care about the teenagers. If they are going to close one of the pools there and put a splash park in they should close the smaller pool and leave the big one open for bigger teenagers. This city doesn't do anything right.

I read in the Doherty newsletter where this Thursday is the mayor's conference in our city, I wonder if the mayor is going to give all of the other mayors a tour of our beautiful downtown with all of the empty store fronts and the two huge eyesores on Linden Street. That will be a real site for the mayors to see. Makes me real proud for the other mayors of the city of the country to see those two young They should just fill those eyesores. things in instead of having the trees growing.

Next, in the paper the other day
with the shale commission is going to
suggest to the governor that they tack fees

on the gas companies, and never mind we have something that Bob Bolus has coming here for years and said, that council should look into an impact fee on the leachate coming from the landfill. I mean, if the state could put an impact fee onto the gas companies I think council should look into what Mr. Bolus was talking about. We are always looking for extra sources of revenue, I mean, that could be a good idea. If the state could do it, maybe we could do it.

This isn't the first time I heard this, but I heard Sunday night there were four police officers to cover our whole city, Sunday night, and it's just -- this is just unbelievable. I mean, we are not New York City, but this is a fairly big city for four police officers to cover. If there is a dangerous situation going on, God forbid, somebody with a gun or whatever, four police officers cannot handle it.

I mean, Chris Doherty is closing our fire companies, he won't hire police officers, he doesn't give a darn about the people of the city, all he cares about it is

management rights and he doesn't care about the public safety of this city and this is wrong. There is going to be a tragedy in this city, one way or the other, I don't want to see that, but it's a darn shame and if somebody bad does happen it's all Chris Doherty's fault. This is just ridiculous that we have police officers on a night shift when most of the crime is happening and I have heard that many times, it's a darn shame.

Last week I came and spoke about the Rockwell Avenue Bridge which I noticed was on the DohertyDeciet website about two weeks ago and just today it was the Doherty newsletter, a/k/a the Times-Tribune. This paper is late on everything. They posted the story a month late about the Sewer Authority worker fired for using Sewer Authority equipment, now they are a few weeks late on the Rockwell Avenue Bridge, maybe they should go to DohertyDeciet.com and get their news from there. They would get it more on time.

Lastly, will council be back from

their break on September 6? That's the day after Labor Day?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, because Glenn on DohertyDeceit.com posted that you won't be back until September 13, and I figured that was wrong.

MS. EVANS: Well, I believe council has always traditionally returned to the first meeting after Labor Day, so that would --

MR. SPINDLER: He must have this council confused with the Judy Gatelli council that always took days after holidays off. So, Glenn, you better get with the program. This is a different council.

One last comment, big story today about the hospital being sold and CMC-Geisinger and how this will effect the city, but I was glad to see the same company that bought Mercy is buying Moses Taylor and that will add to the tax base of our city again, so that's a good thing. Finally some good things are starting to happen maybe and get some taxes coming into this city.

And just in case I don't make it next week, I know next week is the last meeting before the break, again, I want to announce August 12 and 13, Friday and Saturday, is the Tripp Park picnic put on the by the Tripp Park Neighborhood Association at the Tripp Park Community Center, 2000 Dorothy Street, and thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, at what time?

MR. SPINDLER: You know what, I'm not sure, but laster year it was six to ten, so I'm sure it's probably in this same time slot.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Council. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton
resident, Taxpayers' Association member.

Times-Tribune, our opinion, 15 July 2011.

If the parade of crooked public officials
from Northeastern, Pennsylvania, who have
paraded in the Federal Court have performed
a public service, it has been demonstrated

conclusively that secrecy breeds corruption.

I still remember the caucus and meetings in the city council secretary's office that the past council presidents were so proud of.

Reminded me of six sardines packed into a can.

The state has passed a state law that all state spending to be posed on-line. Maybe the Honorable Mayor Doherty should generate legislation that all city and Authority spending should be posted on-line and made public. But then again, the honorable Mayor Doherty will not even make himself public. 37 states make all its revenue and spending data readily available, it's time for the city to following suite.

An act or instance of working or acting together for a common purpose or benefit. Joint action. More or less active assistance from a person, organization.

Willingness to cooperate, joint operation or action. Assistance or willingness to assist, definition of cooperation, something that Councilman McGoff advocates, but in my opinion, does not practice. Otherwise, he

would have found a way to bring the
Honorable Mayor Doherty and the city council
together along with the appointed city
authorities. Problems would have been
resolved and solutions found, instead, we
have the same old political nonsense without
any solutions, there are more arguments than
failures.

We are building a better Scranton by creating new jobs and investing in our infrastructure, attracting new businesses and supporting our existing ones. It's a plan to build or future today so we are ready for the challenges that tomorrow may bring. Chris Doherty, Honorable Mayor of Scranton, City of Scranton web page.

Number one, creating now jobs. The mayor himself takes credit for 9,000 new jobs. We are just unable to locate the jobs or the honorable Mayor Doherty for that matter.

Number two, investing in our infrastructure. Just drive around the city, potholes, crumbling bridges, vacant overgrown lots or condemned buildings. We

just recently had three new holes opened in Scranton. At one time they were swimming pools, now they are just empty holes.

Number three, attracting new business. Just drive down the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, \$29 million of empty new buildings and across the street another empty building, used to be a restaurant, all built with taxpayers' money.

Number four, supporting our existing business. Just ask Buona Pizza and Coney
Island how much support they received from the Honorable Mayor Doherty.

Number six, Honorable Mayor Doherty, your plan has not worked. The time has come for you to start cooperating. Maybe you and Councilman McGoff can work on a new plan so that the City of Scranton can face the challenges of tomorrow.

Business Weekly, best place to raise kids. Bring the checkbook so your kids can swim at Nay Aug Park for \$4 a day because two of the three pools have being closed.

One member of the Parks and Recreation

Authority was quoted as saying, "The fee to

swim at Nay Aug Park is necessary to keep the riffraff out of the pool, "five years ago.

Best place to raise kids. Last week Councilman McGoff stated that any identifiable group would receive a discount if they had 251 swimmers. I called Parks and Recreation on Thursday 14 July 11 and again today and spoke with the secretary who was very adamant that there are no discounts. Also, Mark Dougher told me that there would be no discounts for 2011 at Nay Aug for swimming.

The secretary also questioned where Councilman McGoff received his information because she stated, "I have been telling everyone who asked about a discount that there was no discounts this year."

I also had four other people call and they all got the same response. Makes me wonder, our group was identifiable by ages of six through 15. How much more identifiable do you need? Spoke with three qualified persons who applied for lifeguard positions within the Parks and Recreations

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this year, all three were not hired and are currently working at other pools in the area.

Forbes, Scranton is ranked 30th as one of America's fastest recovering cities. They forgot to mention that Scranton has been distressed for 19 1/2 years and that the Recovery Plan expired in 2005. We have no recovery, no cooperation or future for that matter. We do have 3.4 percent wage tax, one of the highest in the states. do have the highest unemployment rate in the We do have the lowest per capita state. income rate in the state, and also the lowest median family income in the state. We have an honorable mayor who wanted to be governor, lieutenant governor or state senator, I'm almost finished, so he could leave the city, but he was rejected by the voters of Pennsylvania and remains as the honorable mayor of Scranton along with his admitted rubber stamper, Councilman Robert McGoff.

If there are no discount rate for swimming at Nay Aug Park, let's just look at

the figures. Let's say 500 people a day at \$4 equals \$2,000 a day swimming, season approximately six weeks, 42 days, that's 2000 times 42, equals \$84,000 that they will bring in at \$4 a day, and that's using very conservative figures of only 500 people a day.

I hope the Parks and Recreation
Authority and the departments are keeping
good records. This is all cash money. I
could not get an exact amount of the day
from Parks and Recreation, they said it's
based on availability of lifeguards, that's
why I can't give you a closing date. Also,
could not give an exact number of swimmers
on a daily basis, no records, just using
free swimming events 11 as a point of
reference and we only count kids. Adults
pay the \$4 a day, also, so we were bringing
in 500 kids to the swimming event, that's
not counting adults, okay?

I would like to offer my condolences
to Howard Ufberg's family. Howard was our
biggest contributor to the Kids Swim Free
Program. He went as far as to offer

discounts on school uniforms to the families who attended the free swimming event one year. Howard will be greatly missed.

And again, I'd like to find out are there discounts offered or are there not discounts offered? Mr. Dougher told me no, the secretary of Parks and Recreation told me no. Mr. McGoff told me yes. I would like to know, are there discounts or are there not discounts offered.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: Tonight I think I'll stick to the subject, that being Scranton. People mentioned that I get off the subject a lot. Across the street and down the block is a fellow that is extremely mentally challenged. He shouldn't be by himself really, his mother died a couple of years ago and he has gone downhill just terrible. He will cross the street and doesn't look and walks the street day and night and he sits in a park bench. I mean, you know, he

is just -- the poor soul, he used to talk to me and Roseanne but he doesn't come by for a couple of years now.

Oh, I don't know about a month ago I was sitting on the porch and he puts some dishes outside, they were real bright colored, and I noticed them and a couple of seconds the woman next door run over, picked up the dishes and took them home, which I don't see a think wrong in that, you know, I'm not complaining about it. I took slugo for a walk and when I come back she had her truck parked in front of his house and was carrying boxes of stuff out. Now that's not right, but, you know, I didn't know the circumstances.

Last week I was cutting my hedge a little bit and here comes the truck and they carried out his freezer. Now, here is a person that can't make a sound decision anymore and these people are looting his house. The other day I think I went to get the mail or something I saw a run out the door in her bathrobe, and I don't what the deal was, I thought something might have

happened to him. A little while later her pickup is in front of his house again.

Now, I phoned Scranton Counseling to-- you know, this isn't right. So I talked to a couple of women and they put me on hold and then hung up. I phoned back, this time I was mad and I told them I wanted to talk to someone, and I got this bimbo on the phone that told me -- actually, she wanted to know the story, and she said this is none of my concern. This is a tax -- you know, these people -- we pay taxes to Scranton Counseling to protect this man and others like him and she tells me to phone the police is what she said, it's not her concern.

So I phoned the police and a very professional young guy came by and he has had experience in this before. He said this is really -- the police can't stop him because he knows -- you know, he does have enough sense to know what he is -- he is just giving everything away and they are taking advantage of him, we can't arrest anybody, but I don't see a bit of difference

in this case of Scranton Counseling not protecting him like they should be and that woman in Luzerne County that looted her aunt of \$100,000 or something that has been on the news. It's exactly the same as far as I'm concerned. I just can't understand why this man is not being protected, you know, and I don't what else I could do because I just feel sorry for the guy. I talked to him maybe once in awhile when I walk the dog and he is out to lunch bad.

Well, that's our tax dollars at work, just a big waste of money. My pharmacist is over there on Adams at the prescription shop, and I'm there a couple of times a month, and I know everybody here has seen the product of Scranton Counseling, they look like a bunch of the zombies walking up and down the street and there is people there that I could remember ten years ago that, you know, could take care of themselves and now they just don't act right.

Well, to change the subject real quick, I saw in today's paper what our

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

esteemed governor says it's time to raise the license plates and driver's license and everything else rather than tax multi-millionaire oil companies. That's just -- I don't know. You know how the chinese have -- they name all of the years, the year of the dragon, the year of the shrimp, this has to be the year of the It just has to be. You know, we got the worst mayor the city has ever had. got -- we got the county commissioners that are just -- they are just -- you know, they just don't care about this. We got a governor that he told everybody what he was going to do before he was elected, so, you know, we are not getting a bit of help on taxes from him and it's not just the president, it's all of Washington, we are just so far out of tune with American people it's just unbelievable.

You know, like I said, it's just coming to me real quick, this has to be the year of the worse. I was 75 years old this year and in my whole life I just don't ever remember times like this. The people ask me

10 11

13 14

12

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

once in awhile when we are talking, the best time of my life was when President Clinton was in, and I didn't vote for him, but financially I never had it so good those years, and here Washington has just gotten-the American people have let Washington just get away from them. We don't have any control anymore what goes on. You know, I don't know. I think people ought to just put their taxes in escrow or something. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. Marie Schumacher. First, I would like to commend the West Side Crime Watch for the many events they held last week and it was really -- it was really special and I know they put a lot of work in and the heat didn't help any. I'm sorry that I missed the basketball scrimmage, but I had a prior -- had to leave, but Mr. Joyce made it so I guess he survived.

MS. EVANS: Actually none of the scrimmages occurred. No one, I think other

than Councilman Joyce, appeared to participate in the scrimmage, so --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I know that the three-on-three Mr. McGoff had a death in the family and I guess Mr. Rogan was out of town.

MR. MCGOFF: Hold her time, I was going to do this later, I -- due to the aforementioned death in my family I was unable to be there Sunday night. I contacted Mr. Rogan and I believe he contacted the Crime Watch and cancelled us from that event, so that we were -- I was unable to participate and, therefore, my colleagues felt that they didn't want to participate if I didn't have the opportunity, so -- and I did go up there Saturday night and I apologize to the people at the little festival and --

MR. JOYCE: And, Mrs. Schumacher --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Those things happen, yes.

MR. JOYCE: If I could add though, my teammates weren't able to attend, I did choose to go and participate because Ms.

Foster from the Crime Watch Association just said show up and we'll find a team for you. Unfortunately, the heat was a little bit unbearable for everybody there, so by the time 6:00 came around on Sunday nobody felt like playing basketball.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, okay. I had a commitment in church so I couldn't stay for 6:00. I was disappointed, but now that makes me feel some better.

Regarding the emergency certificate and the resolution, I don't see a number on it so I don't know if that's 5-C tonight, but is the modification to allow Boscov's to stop paying both the principle and interest payments?

MS. EVANS: Yes, and I'm going to discuss that at length during my motions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I believe if it's what was proposed earlier this year the -- they also were asking us to subordinate our loan and since that loan is already significantly under water I guess that means if you all vote in favor of this resolution, although, a resolution I guess has no power

of law, I did check that out myself, and it's just a recommendation, so I guess it really doesn't matter, but I would hope you would vote against it because it certainly makes us almost guaranteed losers of even yet more money.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, if we were to vote against this we would be immediate losers because as part of the inter-creditor agreement among the city, Steamtown Mall Partners and HUD, if the Steamtown Mall Partners were to default on their primary loan which, in fact, basically is the case here without this agreement, no junior liens, no subordinate loans can be paid, so you can either lose everything right now our you can provide the opportunity for the mall to get back on it's feet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So it's lose it now or lose it later, I guess, because they are really significant, as I had the figures a couple of weeks, so that's disappointing --

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Schumacher, I will also --

MS. SCHUMACHER: But, Mr. Joyce, I hope maybe you can provide to me the 2010 and 2011 -- or 2009 and 2010 audit input delinquencies, so I can perform the comparison I'm interested in.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I can certainly do that myself, and also I'm hoping during motions Mr. Loscombe will report on how many neighborhood police patrol officers have been deployed, as I requested last week.

And then I would like to ask, I talked a bit back probably last year about the fact that Pennsylvania's constitution does not automatically exempt nonprofits from paying property taxes, and I read the five, and I also talked about the risk of the assessment appeals and you've all reported that you're getting the assessment, the scheduled assessment appeals, and I wonder have any of those been challenged so far this --

MS. EVANS: Have any of those been --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Been challenged.

MS. EVANS: No, they haven't.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would ask that you be on the lookout for one. I received some well-researched information from a city taxpayer and the University of Scranton has purchased two more residential properties at 408 and 414 Quincy Avenue, and they are in the R-2 zoning district, and I am reading from this. The properties are adjacent to another University-owned property at 406 Quincy Avenue which is also in the R-2 zoning district.

The University recently applied for a variance to build the parking lot on 406 Quincy and was denied by the Zoning Board of Appeals on May 11, 2011. The vacant property at 406 Quincy Avenue has been removed from the property tax base for several years and you can be sure that the University will request that the recently purchased vacant properties next door will also be listed as tax exempt.

The question on this matter is as follows: One, why is the University paying a real estate tax bill on the vacant

property it owns in Roaring Brook Township and not being sent a property tax bill for all the numerous vacant properties that it continues to purchase in Scranton? And I did verify with the tax collector that, in fact, they have a couple of hundred acres up in Roaring Brook that they have been paying taxes on since all of this decade, so I think, you know, maybe one of those things that you need to look into with many of the other nonprofits.

Frankly, that's one of the things I was hoping you would do during August is go through the nonprofits who are on the tax exempt rolls and look at them and see if they meet the five criteria of the Pennsylvania constitution and if not, get them back on the tax rolls.

So I'll finish this letter, and it says, "Most of the properties acquired in this tax exempt are in the residential R-2 zone. As per the Scranton zoning ordinance, the University cannot utilize any of these R-2 zone vacant properties until they receive a variance from the zoning board or

1 city council rezones the area to an institutional district." 2 3 Since the University cannot legally use any of these R-2 properties until the 4 5 proper zoning permits are obtained, all of these properties should have the same 6 7 taxable status as the property the 8 University pays real estate taxes on in the 9 North Pocono School District. Please look 10 into this matter before the recently 11 acquired 408 and 414 Quincy Avenue 12 properties are given tax exempt status. 13 MS. EVANS: Ms. Schumacher, can you 14 submit all of that to Ms. Carrera, please? MS. SCHUMACHER: 15 I'll get copies and 16 get them to her. Certainly. 17 MS. EVANS: Because that's something 18 I think that most definitely we should look into. 19 20 MR. JOYCE: We'll absolutely look 21 into it. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: And I think there 23 are many others, so I think this is the tip 24 of the iceberg, so thank you. 25 MS. EVANS: Thank you.

1 MR. JOYCE: Thank you very much. MS. EVANS: 2 Is there anyone else who 3 cares to address council? MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. 4 5 Dave Dobrzyn. MR. JOYCE: Good evening. 6 7 MR. DOBRYZN: Citizen of Scranton, 8 taxpayer. On the pool business, we are 9 hammering it to death here, but if there is 10 any way to prevent that pool from being 11 turned into a splash park you have my full 12 support, please, stop that grant, and I'm 13 wondering, how much are these grants costing 14 us in matching funds? Does anybody know that? No? You wouldn't know what these 15 16 grants are costing us in matching funds? 17 MS. EVANS: Could you repeat that, 18 Mr. Dobrzyn. 19 MR. DOBRYZN: How much say would 20 these grants cost us in matching funds say 21 for the swimming pool or the splash park? 22 What would be our cut of the action or do we 23 have to come up with any money to match it? 24 MR. ROGAN: I don't think anything. 25 MR. DOBRZYN: 20 percent, 50

percent, 30 percent?

MS. EVANS: No. These are CDBG funds.

MR. DOBRYZN: So we don't -- but, please, whatever you do try and put a stop to it. I'd just as soon seen it stand idly for a year than see a 13 foot pool turned into a splash park. It's silliness. You are destroying the property you are not enhancing it.

And these hospitals, I see we have a lot of changes here and I don't know if anybody in the community has done anything with this, but be careful what you wish for, how will this affect uninsured carriers? You might see people being turned away some day from emergency rooms and so forth. Under the laws that remain, tax exempt they very to take the indigent into care and suppose they don't? Suppose it all winds up being dumped on in other hospitals or whatever or go to this place they are tax exempt, well, the next thing you know that hospital is in trouble, so I'm wondering if you are not just batting the ball around

here like a big pool game more or less.

Now, okay, the golden parrot award goes to Obama, John Baynor and Mitch McConnell for negotiating more trade packs with Panama, narco money-laundering state; Columbia shoots labor organizers, and South Korea uses North Korean labor. It's amazing how all of these so-called capitalists get along with commoners so well, as long as they don't pay a wage, I guess, which is fine.

And there is an assembly man from Ohio, Beckeburg, he introduced a picture ID required law for voting and he no longer has a driver's license or picture ID now because he is caught with Viagra drunk and with a professional lady in his front seat, so -- and another concern I have, and it might -- I see where are raising the license fee and I'm also hearing of a picture ID in the State of the Pennsylvania requirement for both, so from what I saw we are looking at about \$160 a year to maintain a driver's license, or maybe have half of that and half it would go to a registration of a vehicle

or whatever, but that's very concerning because voting should be free and available to everybody with a voter registration card and that's all there is to it. There is no other fair way, it's just a poll tax, so all of the above get the golden parrot. Bawk, bawk, bawk, money talks. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. I'm Tom Ungvarsky.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I understand that the mayor wants to sell the parking meters to the Parking Authority, perhaps during motions some member of council can tell me how the Parking Authority can float a loan for ten million dollars when they are already so deeply in debt. It's easy to see why the mayor postponed having the meters rendered out or a new company take over the meters, I'm sorry, I can't think of the name of the companies.

MS. EVANS: StreetSmart.

MR. UNGVARSKY: It's easy to see why he did it. Also, several years ago I

counted up at least 300 apartments that were proposed to be built. The only ones that I can recall being built are probably the 300 block of Penn Avenue. I have heard nothing about the St. Peter's Square, the school up on East Scranton, East Scranton Elementary School or Intermediate school, excuse me, and several others. Maybe during motions you can tell me if city council follows up on any of these.

Also, the Jersey Central Warehouse, what's going on with that? If anybody knows during motions you would you please tell us? Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer,
recording secretary of the FOP, First

Amendment Rights. I don't have much to say
tonight, I just have a question on 7-A. I
really should have been following it better,
but I wasn't. 7-A, does that include the
audit back or just 2010? Back years or just

2010?

MS. EVANS: I believe it's only for 2010.

MR. ANCHERANI: Only for 2010, it's not going to include going back and looking about for that \$1.6 million?

MS. EVANS: No.

MR. ANCHERANI: Okay. I just want you guys to remember and not forget when you get back in September, it will be two and a half months before you will be getting the budget from the mayor. The federal government is struggling with trillions in debt. The city is struggling with \$310 million in debt. They say we are going to have a deficit, just remember it's not your deficit, you didn't borrow from Workmens' Comp, so that's not going to be you, it's going to be somebody else's mistake or if you want to say a mistake.

Our money problems, like I said,
\$310 million long-term debt, and we are
going to pay back, and it's just the
savings, 59 million in interest on that \$35
million owed from the Scranton Parking

Authority. That's a lot of money interest, \$59 million. Just something to think about. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. I'd just like to respond to a couple of things that were, first of all, mentioned last week and I guess I'll be beating a dead horse over the Perry Street playground grant. Someone mentioned last week that money should be spent on other projects, other council members, that money cannot be spent anywhere else. It's project specific. It can't be used for any other projects anywhere, that grant is for the Perry Street playground and for that project only.

The second thing, there was something mentioned last week about the rental registration program and the lack of anyone running the program. When that

legislation came before us, council amended the original proposal to hire a rental registration coordinator, that amendment would have required opening the budget and hiring someone to that position. At the time it was right after the Court proceedings in which the city argued against opening the budget and so it was obvious that that was not going to happen, and consequently, no one was ever hired to take care of the rental registration program.

The city inspectors that were -- the housing inspectors that were rehired were not specific to -- or the positions that were put in were not specific to the rental registration program and the fact was that for whatever reason not all of the housing inspectors were working at, you know, during the course of this budget year, and therefore, no one -- the person that was trying to do something with the rental registration program was doing it basically as a second job, and consequently, nothing or very little has been accomplished through that program.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is still my belief that we need to hire someone specific to operate the rental registration program, whether it's put into the budget as a salaried position or whether it's put in as originally was requested as a professional service on a contingency basis, it does need someone specific to that program if it's going to generate the revenue that we want.

Third, there was mention of the property at the corner of River Street and Meadow Avenue and complaints that nothing had been done despite frequent calls to council. That's not necessarily true. the past, I remember going there last summer and having -- you know, looking at it and having work done around that area. It is basically, and let's start with the property that is owned where the house is, that's maintained very well. Yes, it's an older house, but the property around the grass is cut, you know, everything is taken care of on that property.

The properties adjacent to it are basically vacant lots and unbuildable lots.

Yes, they are overgrown, they have been overgrown since I was a child. It's not something that's new, it's just that they are vacant lots that very little can be done to control the vegetation and trees growing on whatever else, and part of that is privately owned, part it owned by the little league, and adjacent to the entrance to 81 is owned by PennDOT or maintained by PennDOT, so it's not just one owner that we are looking at, it's a number of people that are involved there that would have to be, you know, contacted to take care of that.

And I pass by there every day, basically and, yes, there are some situations that probably should be taken care of, but I don't -- to me it doesn't appear to be a dire emergency of any kind, but just to clear it up, things have been done in the past to maintain that area and I just wanted to make that clear.

As far as the Nay Aug Pool and the question about reduction of the fees, I reported was I was told. As to why, you know, a separate answer is given to Mr.

Jackowitz I don't know. I can't answer for, you know, someone else. I asked a question, I received an answer, and I conveyed that answer. Why it was different for Mr. Jackowitz I do not know.

As far as the idea of an identifiable group, I do know that I was told that identifiable group meant that you could specify who was going to be at the pool that day, you know, an approximate number and I was told that the Kids Swim Free is not an identifiable group simply because you don't know who is coming it's not under any one auspice, you know, any one particular group that is coming. It's a collection of children, you know, ages and whatever the ages are that provide for.

And I know Mr. Jackowitz mentioned it in an e-mail that I read about numbers, I have told been told that some people are taking -- do take advantage of the generosity of the Kids Swim for Free program, groups that would never have any intention of swimming at Nay Aug find the dates or see the dates when swimming is

occurring and use it as a kind of a free field trip.

The one person that I talked to was a collection of DayCare centers that they look at the date and they know that they can bring kids there and swim for free. They never have any intention of going to Nay Aug on any other days other than when those Kids Swim for Free days occur and so I think that the numbers on those days are probably somewhat inflated, you know, because of the fact that groups know that they can go there and swim for free.

I guess one suggestion that I would think, if we are looking to make an identifiable group perhaps one of the things that could be done is to partner with an identifiable group, a United Neighborhood Center or Project Hope, Friends of the Poor, somebody, Housing Authority and, you know provide that free swimming. That would be an identifiable group and perhaps, you know, if, in fact, there is a reduction for identifiable groups it could be done that way. Again, I don't know if there is or

not.

And then the last thing, last week was mentioned that members of the council had met with the mayor and business administrator, I was unaware of that meeting, I would like to know what the details were of that meeting, if there were any suggestions made by the administration or by council as far as dealing with the projected deficit and what the response of either side was, if there were proposals made and maybe someone will address that later, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, any comments or motions this evening?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I'd just like to apologize, there are few e-mails that I didn't get back to, I was out of town over the weekend until late Sunday and upon my return on Sunday I attended a family party and I was having a problem with my knee so I was going to the emergency room. Around 7:00 I was driving on Mulberry Street and going to Moses Taylor Hospital I noticed a very bad automobile accident. It

was reported in the papers. It was a van that was hit by a drunk driver, and when I went to the emergency room the people from the accident were there and, you know, they were all in tears, I felt awful for them, and then a few minutes later two Scranton police officers brought in a handcuffed man, couldn't speak English and was extremely unruly, they were about to have -- they were almost about to taser him.

In talking to the nurses before getting my knee taken care of, which was a minor problem, I come to find out that he was suspected of being an illegal immigrant. Mrs. Krake, would you please a send a letter to Chief Duffy asking, and I have his name, it was in the newspaper, if the person who was driving drunk and hit that family was, in fact, an illegal immigrant and if he was if he was turned over to ICE?

And one other fact I forget to mention, that was reported in the Scranton Times, was not only was he drunk driving and hit a family returning from an amusement park, after he hit them he fled the scene

and the Scranton police officers found him two blocks away hiding behind a tree. That is problem that illegal immigrants are having in this area and, unfortunately, Mayor Doherty said it time and time again that everyone is welcome in Scranton, but I would disagree. Mrs. Krake, if you could please send that letter, I will e-mail you the name, I don't want to say it over the air.

I have a few citizens' requests that came in: A resident requested potholes are fixed in the following areas: Corner of Coyne and Price Street, in front of 3200 Coyne Avenue, and also in front of 3208 Coyne Avenue. The resident states that she had called DPW over and over again and no one has ever come out in the past three years.

Secondly, a resident having problem with the vacant overgrown lot behind his nine unit complex and he lists the address. He said in the past he paid somebody \$1,600 to cut down tall trees and clean up by the side of his property. And he has talked to

a couple of city inspectors months ago, but nothing was done and he hasn't received any calls back, so could we please forward that to LIPS.

And finally, one more for LIPS, it's a resident who informed the office to report construction that's being done in violation of the noise ordinance with prohibited tools, so if we could also send that, too?

I know one of the speakers mentioned the sale of the meters, and I know Mr. Joyce mentioned I think it was two weeks ago \$2 million a year the city brings in?

MS. EVANS: 2.1, wasn't it?

MR. JOYCE: Roughly. Yes roughly.

MR. ROGAN: Well, even if we use the lower number of \$2 million, if the meters were sold for \$10 million in five years, after the five years that will be the \$10 million right there every year after that would be losing out on that revenue, so that would be an additional \$2 million that would have to be either made up in cuts or made up by increasing taxes, which is something that I have said numerous times I won't do. I

think it's a bad plan and I don't know if the administration just plans on that being one part of a package to deal with our budgetary problems, you know. If the other parts of the package are the sale of the Sewer Authority and the privatization or reduction of the DPW maybe I would consider it, but as a standalone solution to fix our problems that's not it. We have sold away asset after asset after asset and it's only costing us more, and I will comment on agenda items when the vote comes up. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Councilman Loscombe, do you have any
comments or motions this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you.

First, Mrs. Schumacher, we did request -- we sent a written request for that information, we haven't received anything back yet, there is nothing in my mail box as of today. As soon as I receive it I will be in touch with you, and I will also be making a personal visit myself because I have some other issues to go over.

I just want to comment on the newspaper article today regarding the city bridges. Mr. Mrozinski from the Times did call me the other day or I don't remember if it was yesterday or the other day and asked me to comment. He left a voice message, but I haven't been commenting to the newspaper so I just let it go.

But in reading the article, just skipping down here, if you recall last week I discussed the West Lackawanna Avenue bridge, I was there with the bridge engineer, Dave Elmer from PennDOT and although he assured me that structurally it was sound, the sidewalks were in danger of collapsing and that cheek wall is ready to push out.

I have submitted photographs and he agreed that, you know, it was a dangerous situation, requested the sidewalks be closed off and, you know, barricades be set down below the bridge in case that section falls down and no one below would be injured.

That same day I spoke personally with Mayor Doherty. Mr. Brazil was away on vacation, I

had left a message for Mr. Dougher to contact me, apparently Mr. Dougher had Mr. Doherty contact me and I did explain the whole scenario to Mr. Doherty as I explained last week in this meeting, but in reading the paper, I'm just skipping a few paragraphs here, it says, "A PennDOT engineer last week recommended closing the sidewalk on West Lackawanna Avenue to Councilman Jack Loscombe. After a visual review, Scranton Department of Public Works Director Jeff Brazil said neither PennDOT nor council has told him the sidewalk, which requires repair, needs to be closed. they recommended me closing them, I would close them absolutely, Mr. Brazil said."

Well, Mr. Brazil's immediate supervisor is the mayor. I spoke to him personally, he should have passed that onto him, but on top of that our city clerk does due diligence every week with our requests and we have letters that were sent out immediately to Mr. Brazil, Mr. Doherty, and our engineer, Mr. Pocius, I also spoke to on the phone that day and there is no reason,

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here we are a week later with this situation still maintaining the same standards, no barricades. I don't know what they are waiting for.

But, you know, I don't know understand if it's trying to say, "I caught you" or whatever. I acted on citizens' complaints. There is no lighting on that bridge basically because of the structure, the conduit is actually broken. The only thing holding that cheek wall is two pieces of wire, two pieces of Romex wire which are going to go any day. It is a safety hazard. It may not be an immediate hazard for vehicular traffic, but the pedestrians are in danger right now and as Chairman of the Public Safety Committee I felt it was my duty to contact PennDOT, we do have, in fact, Mrs. Krake was able to present me with an inspection that was performed last year, I was believe it was November or December of last year, on that same bridge which recommended many of these repairs, but it gave the city two years to complete them.

The problem is, as we read down

here, "Mr. Mey, who is the spokesman for PennDOT, states the bridge structure is fine. He said PennDOT's engineer made the recommendations because the cheek wall which maintains and supports the sidewalk appears to be compromised."

He said, "PennDOT feels it has notified the city and the state officials are not sure why Mr. Loscombe called in when the city would normally have the bridge inspected."

Well, first of all, I guess Mr. Mey doesn't know that I'm actually part of the city officials here, so I'll introduce myself to him tomorrow, I didn't deal with him, but also further as a safety situation and I just don't understand it, but everybody was contacted and we have to do something about this.

I lost my train of thought, but I had some more to say on there, but we do have to address this issue, and to further advance this, Mrs. Krake, if you could send a letter to Director Brazil, if he did not read his mail from us, or maybe a phone

call, tell him I will be available at any time to take him and show him where the West Lackawanna Avenue bridge is and to address the situations on that bridge, and if he has any questions you can pass my cell phone number onto him, I believe he has it, and I will be willing to meet him at any time because I do believe it's a safety situation. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: And thank you,

Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do
you have any motions or comments this
evening?

MR. JOYCE: I do. As we all know, the amount of funding that Scranton is to receive in Community Development Block Grant funds CDBG has been cut from what was originally projected last year. As a result, Mrs. Aebli, Executive Director of the Office of Economic and Community Development, has revised the monetary amounts that will be given to the various organizations.

In Fifth Order tonight, more

specifically, Agenda Item 5-B, I will be voting to introduce the amendment and move it along, however, I would ask that if any council members have suggestions of funding that they would like to see reinstated that differ from what Ms. Aebli submitted, if they could please contact me preferably by Saturday and we could form an amendment.

Also, tonight in Item 7-A we will be voting on entering into a contract between the city and the school district with Joseph Alu & Associates to perform an audit on the Single Tax Office. I would first like to reiterate that an audit of the Single Tax Office is something that is sorely needed as it has not been conducted in over a decade. I'm also pleased to see that the Scranton School District is participating in the cost associated with this audit as the Single Tax Office collects taxes for the Scranton School District as well as the city.

. With that being said, I also have a few citizens' requests, I know

Mrs. Schumacher came up and spoke about police staffing and Mr. Loscombe stated that

we had sent in that request and did not receive a response, and ironically over the past week I have been contacted by several residents, primarily in the West Scranton area, inquiring about the number of the police officers that are scheduled on each shift during various days of the week.

I know that in the 2011 operating budget submitted by the administration there was a plan for police deployment which had specified the number of officers scheduled on each shift each day of the week. Since there were officers added back to our police force in the 2011 operating budget as amended by city council, it's only logical to think that these plans have changed.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, if you could please resend this request to Chief Duffy and ask him for a schedule indicating the number of officers on each shift during each day of the week.

Also, residents in the Keyser Valley section of West Scranton have informed me that the sign located on Jackson Street between South Dewey Avenue and Keyser Avenue

indicating that there is a traffic light at the top of the street that has been defaced with profanity. Residents report that this is becoming an eyesore in our community and would like the sign cleaned as soon as possible, and I understand that we are now being required to replace street signs so this may be a good sign to start with.

This is also a request that was brought forth last year and nothing has been done as of this date. Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. Brazil and ask him to have this sign cleaned or replaced.

Residents in the Keyser Valley section of West Scranton have also reported that the home located across from the Keyser Valley Community Center is in subpar condition as grass and weeds have grown to over three feet high. In addition, residents report that they do believe that anyone is residing in the home and that it is abandoned. Mrs. Krake, please contact Mrs. Seitzinger and notify him of this property and ask him to address it in the best manner that he sees fit.

East Mountain residents residing on the 1300 block of East Elm Street continue to report the poor shape of their road. I made a request in an earlier meeting, however, the residents that I spoke to have informed me this week that no action was taken to rectify the problems on this block and, Mrs. Krake, have we received a response from Director Brazil?

MS. KRAKE: No.

MR. JOYCE: Okay, with that in mind, can you please submit this request to

Mr. Brazil and ask him to address this situation promptly, if possible.

Also, I see we have received another notification from Rossi & Rossi, our independent auditor, indicating various delinquent items that are to be provided to the auditor by the Business Administrator's Office and, Mrs. Krake, at the previous PEL meeting was Mr. McGowan able to provide a status report regarding the submission of the overdue items to Rossi & Rossi?

MS. KRAKE: For the portion of the meeting I was at that topic was not

addressed by PEL.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. With this in mind, can you please ask him for a status report at the next upcoming meeting?

And regarding the few comments that were made earlier, I know Mr. McGoff addressed some issues that were brought up at last week's meeting, and I did bring up some of the issues that he addressed, one was rental registration and I wanted to point out that in the budget it is clearly separated -- or housing inspectors and the rental registration assistant work coordinator, the job titles are clearly separated. Whether or not the rental registration coordinator that is listed in the budget acts as a housing inspector, I believe is irrelevant.

If a position is in the budget and titled "Rental Registration Coordinator" they should be working on the rental registration program. This person's salary is roughly about \$35,000 plus benefits and longevity pay, so if we are paying \$50,000 in total for an employee to handle this

program I think they should be handling the program, especially since it has been projected in the last two years to generate \$120,000 in revenue and, in fact, those who did not pay the rental registration fee will be subject to delinquencies which could create extra revenue for this year if the program was under control and not done on a voluntary basis.

Also, I did receive a letter about the property on the 1000 block of River Street. I did not specifically state last week to send any type of a request, I did have a chance to go up and look at the property and I do agree with Mr. McGoff's comments about the property being maintained though it is an older home. Last week I simply stated that I did receive a request from a resident on the 1000 block of River Street and that I didn't want them to think that I ignored the request and that I would be traveling to the location later on that week.

Also, in regard to the meeting between the administration and Scranton City

Council members, I was one of the individuals who met with the business administrator Ryan McGowan and other attendees at the meeting were Mr. Gervasi, the president of the Scranton Firefighters' Union, as well as Chief Duffy and Councilwoman Evans. Details of the meeting and the proposals placed forth from the administration were provided and copies, I believe, were made and to be put in every council members mailbox.

As far as the other items or other suggestions that were brought up during that meeting, I would be happy to discuss them with any council member privately for the mere reason that at the meeting itself, the administration requested that the contents of what was discussed during the meeting remain confidential, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman
Joyce. The only thing I would like to add
to your comments regarding that meeting
would have been that it was the business
administrator who had requested this

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting, most particularly with the Finance Chair. He also requested my attendance and because I wanted to take the first step and have a discussion, a serious discussion addressing the 2011 deficit, we attended that meeting.

As I mentioned last week, however, the mayor was not present at this meeting and the meeting closed with my statement that any further meetings would be held in public and that the mayor would be required to attend as well as the business administrator because the business administrator is rather an employee of the city, he is not an elected official. mayor is an elected official, city council members are elected officials, and as such we are the individuals making the decisions and that it would behoove the mayor to be present at these discussions with council and I think Mr. Joyce has supplied the remainder of the content during his comments this evening.

MR. JOYCE: And also, if I might add, I have made the request for the mayor

and the business administrator to meet with Scranton City Council five times since that meeting occurred. Not once has the BA or the mayor even responded to any of those requests with either a yes or a no.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. As many of you are aware, our city is littered with abandoned and condemned properties, some of which are city-owned while many others are privately owned. Neighbors of such properties who faithfully maintain their homes and grounds, have reported to me throughout my terms as a Councilwoman that these abandoned nuisance properties are overgrown and are habitats for insects and vermin.

Within the Scranton Administrative

Code, there are provisions for the cutting
of the weeds on these properties by the City
of Scranton. Article III, Section 434-25,
prohibited acts, states that it shall be
lawful for any person owning or holding real
estate to allow or maintain on any lot
within the city any growth of weeds to a
height of over eight inches and such growth

of weeds -- or, excuse me, and such growth of weeds is hereby declared to be a nuisance injurious to public interest and public health. All grass, hedges, vines, shrubs and brush should be reasonably cut or trimmed and the trimmings removed therefrom.

Section 434-26, notice to cut, states that the Director of Health shall cause written notice to be served upon the owner, lessee, agent or tenant having charge of any plot or lands that weeds are growing on and that they must be cut, removed and destroyed within ten days after the service of such notice.

If such owner or person having charge of such lands is a nonresident whose address is known, such notice shall be sent to his address by registered mail.

If the address of such owner is unknown, it shall be sufficient to publish such notice once in a newspaper of general circulation in the city.

Section 434-27, removal by city, states that if the owner, lessee, agent or tenant fails or refuses to comply with such

notice, the director of the DPW shall cause the weeds to be cut out and destroyed for such purpose. He may employ the necessary labor to carry out the provisions of this section.

Section 438-28, lien for costs incurred by city, states that the amount expended by the DPW for the removal of a nuisance shall be certified to the city solicitor who shall cause a lien to be filed against the parcel or real estate involved to ensure the collection of the debt until paid or he may collect the same as any other debt is collected.

Now, I took the time to read these particular provisions of the Administrative Code aloud because for too long many were lead to believe that there was nothing the city could do to address privately owned, abandoned and condemned and nuisance properties when, in fact, there is a defining process which can and should be followed by the Departments of Licensing, Inspection and Permits, the DPW, and the city solicitor to clean up such properties.

I anticipate that the city departments will perform their respective

responsibilities and that each property

reported should be addressed within

approximately four weeks time, weather

permitted. When an owner cannot be located,

the advertisement should be placed

immediately in the newspaper for one day and

within ten days thereafter the property

should be cleared by the DPW and a lien for

costs incurred should be filed by the city's

solicitor.

In this way, these nuisance properties will be properly addressed for the neighborhoods and the city may realize additional revenue from the costs of the clean up, mailing, and filings of the liens among others. The city needs to do it's job and apply the code at 1606 Ash Street, the corner of Wheeler Avenue and Olive Street, four lots adjacent to 350 North Garfield Avenue, 934 Hemlock Street, the old Atlas Glass property, and the intersection of Meadow Avenue and River Street among others.

Next, a newspaper article published

on July 16, 2011, stated the following:
Business administrator Ryan McGowan said the park is city owned and properly insured. He said documents showing the city's properties are properly insured had been provided to council's office. However, no such documents were provided to council.

In fact, a letter was sent to Mayor Doherty and Mr. McGowan on July 13, 2011, asking the cost in additional insurance premiums that would have to be paid by the city to ensure a newly proposed Perry Avenue Park, but no response was received.

In addition, when questioned by the Scranton Times' reporter regarding such insurance information, I responded that, no, council had not received any insurance information and to date it still has not received this information. I wish to clarify that inaccuracy contained in the Scranton Times.

Next, with my colleagues' agreement,
I would like to send letters of support for
House Bills 89 and 90, which are companion
pieces, and House Bill 94 to Majority

Chairman Chris Ross, of the House Urban Affairs Committee where all three have been referred. House Bills 89 and 90 would remove the three-year time limit after discharge to purchase military service time that currently exists only in the City of Scranton since it is the only city of the second Class A in the Commonwealth. The military separation time limit was changed for all other municipalities throughout the Commonwealth except for those within Class 2-A, specifically, Scranton.

Class A city code to ease the current burden of some real estate tax billing and collection procedure on taxpayers for taxes owed to the City of Scranton, the County of Lackawanna, and the Scranton School District. Real estate taxes owed to these three taxing bodies are issued by the tax office on one statement, often causing confusion to taxpayers. This legislation would eliminate any confusion by providing two separate bills, one bill for real estate taxes owed to the city and one bill for the

real estate taxes owed to the county and school district.

Additionally, this bill will oblige cities of the Second Class A to offer an installment payment option for delinquent taxpayers. This bill passed the House unanimously during the last session by a 194 to 0 vote as House Bill 1942. State Representative Kevin Murphy is the prime sponsor of these three pieces of legislation which were drafted to aid the City of Scranton in doing the people's business.

Do I have the agreement of my colleagues to send that letter of support?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, gentlemen.

Although, the modification extension of the loan agreement with the Steamtown Mall Partners, LP, was approved by HUD in December 2010, the administration just recently sent legislation to city council on July 5, 2011, to execute and enter into a

modification extension of the loan agreement between the City of Scranton and Steamtown Mall Partners, LP, for a period of two years. Because the legislation raised questions from council and OECD, it was not placed on the July 12, 2011, agenda. OECD worked well with council during these last two weeks and yesterday, July 18, Attorney Hickey, who represents the Steamtown Mall Partners, finally responded to calls and questions.

At the same time yesterday, the

Office of City Council was notified by Jason

Triplet of the US Department of Housing and

Urban Development that it required this

legislation to be approved on July 19, 2011.

As a result, emergency procedures have been

followed in order to introduce and approve

this legislation during tonight's council

meeting.

I'd like to provide a summary of the background of the mall's loans and the legislation that council must consider shortly. Scranton has two outstanding 108 loans with the Steamtown Mall Partners, LP.

The first 108 loan includes the final principle installment of \$880,000 due on August 1, 2011. The second loan has an approximate principle balance of \$2,375,000 and matures on August 1, 2014. Neither of these loans, however, is considered the primary loan.

Steamtown Mall Partners is currently in default on it's primary loan and has renegotiated it's loan with it's primary lender which holds a \$37 million first lien on the mall. One of the demands from the primary lender for modification of that loan is to defer all payments on secondary debt on the facility, including both 108 loans funded through the City of Scranton.

In order for Steamtown Mall Partners to receive a 3 percent interest rate reduction on the primary loan, all junior debt must forego all payments of interest and principle until the primary loan matures on July 12, 2013. Equally notable, the inter-creditor and subordination agreement among the primary lender, the city, and Steamtown Mall Partners prohibits Steamtown

Mall Partners from making any payments to subordinate lenders as long as they are in default on the primary loan. Thus, because Steamtown Mall Partners, LP, are in default on their primary loan, no payments can be made to the city for Section 108 loans.

Also, Section 108 loan regulations
limit the repayment period for such loans to
20 years, however, the City of Scranton made
a request for waiver from HUD to authorize
the repayment period of the Section 108 loan
with the final principle installment of
\$880,000 and originally due on August 1,
2011, to exceed 20 years. Thus, the
financial management division of HUD granted
the waiver to extend the final maturity date
to August 1, 2013.

In the interim, the city will continue to make interest payments as due and a final balloon payment for the balance of the principle and interest will be paid on or before August 1, 2013, following payment to the primary lender and that will be made by the Steamtown Mall Partners.

The interest payment due on January

31, 2011, was paid by Steamtown Mall
Partners. The interest payment for 2012
will amount to a total of roughly \$10,689.
The sum will be paid through CDBG
allocations. I will be voting in favor of
this legislation for the following reasons:

Number one, if the city fails to enter into this modification extension of the loan agreement, the city will be required to apply CDBG funds to the payment due, and that is now, thereby drastically depriving the city of financial resources that would otherwise be used to meet the needs of a distressed city comprised of high numbers of elderly, unemployed and poverty stricken individuals as well as public safety requirements. Our federal CDBG dollars were already cut for 2011 by Congress, and the city can ill-afford to dedicate the remaining dollars to repayment of the Section 108 loans.

Two, without this modification extension of the loan agreement. There is a substantial likelihood that Steamtown Mall Partners will remain in default on the

primary loan, thus resulting in defaults on all secondary obligations. Therefore, the city would likely never recoup it's money and the city must use it's CDBG monies starting immediately to repay those Section 108 loans.

Number three, if the city fails to approve this modification extension of the loan agreement there will be a loss of hundreds of jobs, numerous store closings and an anchor of the downtown could be empty by year's end.

With it's unemployment figures
higher than the state average, Scranton
cannot afford to place hundreds of people
into the unemployment lines. Further, our
city stands to lose tax revenues if the
Steamtown Mall closes.

I hope my colleagues will join me in taking the best financial course of the action for our city and it's people and in preserving jobs during this great recession by voting to approve the legislation tonight.

And in the interest of the time, I

will submit my citizens' requests to council's office rather than reading them.

And that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE
ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE
CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE
FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT
PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY
SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM.".

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question, I agree with Mr. Joyce, I only had a chance to glance through it, there were a few changes that I saw, but I would think it would be appropriate, but I'll get a chance to look

.

it over more over the week.

MS. EVANS: And if I might add, I know that Councilman Joyce and myself have been reviewing the cuts that have been submitted by OECD and council does fully expect to amend this legislation at the July 26 meeting before final passage of this particular item. Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. JOYCE: I make a motion pursuant to the emergency certificate to place on the agenda Resolution No., with the appropriate number, of 2011, entitled, "AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 175 OF 2003, ENTITLED AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A SECTION 108 LOAN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND

1	THE STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR THE
2	REFINANCING OF THE LACKAWANNA AVENUE MALL
3	PROJECT BY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
4	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
5	SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
6	MODIFICATION/EXTENSION OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT
7	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE
8	STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR A PERIOD OF
9	TWO YEARS."
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question?
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Is this vote just
13	to put it on the agenda?
14	MS. EVANS: Yes.
15	MR. ROGAN: This is the first
16	reading to bring it up for a vote.
17	MS. EVANS: To bring it up to the
18	agenda tonight. All those in favor of said
19	resolution signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. "AMENDING

RESOLUTION NO. 175 OF 2003, ENTITLED

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO

EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A SECTION 108 LOAN

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND

THE STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR THE

REFINANCING OF THE LACKAWANNA AVENUE MALL

PROJECT BY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A

MODIFICATION/EXTENSION OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT

BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE

STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR A PERIOD OF

TWO YEARS."

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. On the question, I

wasn't on council when this bailout was

passed for the mall, if I had been on

council then I would have voted against it.

22

23

24

25

It was a bad idea then, it's a bad idea now to give them another break. I think that the people not only in Scranton but all across the country are sick of seeing big businesses get bailed out every time things get tough. We saw it with banks twice, with the auto industry, and I do not believe it's government's job to bail out businesses who have failed, and anyone who walks through the Steamtown Mall knows that it's nothing like the Steamtown Mall of five or six years When the mall first opened it was one of the nicest places in Scranton to go and shop, and I try to shop in the city as much as I can, but if you go to the Viewmont Mall or the Shoppes at Montage and then you go up to the Steamtown Mall, there is a big difference. Whether this passes or not tonight I have no doubt that the Steamtown Mall, unfortunately, isn't going to make it and we are just kicking the can down the road.

You know, the statement was already made by bailing them out to begin with and the only winner by passing this legislation

is the primary lender because then the primary lender will still be getting some money from Steamtown Mall Partners and Al Boscov for the remainder of the time they that the mall is open.

I know all of the people out there who are having trouble making ends meet wish they could get a bailout from the government, but they don't, and it's not right when these big businesses do, so I will be voting "no".

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to respond to some of that. This actually is not a bailout. I, too, was not on council when these loans were originated. As I said, it's a 20-year period so we are going back to approximately 1992 and what we are looking for here is not for the city to pick up the payments, but rather for the payments to be deferred until such time as we hope the Steamtown Mall will be able to make those payments, so it is not a matter of the city expending any of it's money to bail out the Steamtown Mall, but I do know this, if the city doesn't take this action, as I said

before, you are very likely going to see the mall close at the end of the year.

Now, it may well happen anyway. Am I thrilled about all of this? No. But I do know there are many lovely stores in the downtown, most of which are quite pricey, and beyond the means of the people who live in this city, and for the people, many of the people who shop in the downtown the Steamtown Mall provides the products that are affordable to them.

In addition to this, you know, the middle of -- and we have all been informed of this by the television and the newspapers, this recession is far from over and Scranton's unemployment rate is the highest, if not one of the highest in the State of Pennsylvania. I am not going to put people out of their jobs and on the street --

MR. ROGAN: We are not putting people out of their jobs.

MS. EVANS: -- in this. Yes, we would be.

MR. ROGAN: We are absolutely not.

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, I'll finish and then you can --

MR. ROGAN: It's a private business.

MS. EVANS: -- rebut if you would like. According to the inter-creditor agreement, and if you would read through the information you would see, that this is verified that no payments to the city will be made while that loan remains in default with the primary lender, so you will not be getting your money back, which means CDBG monies will automatically be deducted by the federal government for the City of Scranton.

Now, I'd like to ask you some questions, Mr. Rogan. What would you suggest we cut within the CDBG program? Police vehicles, a fire engine, street paving, blight, blight removal, help for Meals on Wheels for veterans? What are the programs that you think we can afford to give up so that we can get \$880,000 of our CDBG right now to make this payment if this council were to vote no?

MR. ROGAN: Once again, you are changing the subject.

MS. EVANS: I haven't changed the subject, this is the reality.

MR. ROGAN: And you also said that this happened in the 1990's, if I recall there was a vote of 2009 while we were running for council to give Boscov's another bailout.

MS. EVANS: And that is not what is being addressed in this legislation. We are talking about the Section 108 loans that are granted by the office of Housing and Urban Development who have overseen this entire process and have all of the information and recommend that this be done, so much so that they have set a deadline of today, so that the paperwork can be finalized by August 1, 2011.

MR. ROGAN: Actually, the deadline was July 25, according to the emergency certificate, but either way that will be before the next meeting -- or after the next meeting.

Regardless of how you slice and dice it, Boscov's, the mall, Steamtown Mall Partners, LP, formerly known as the

Steamtown Mall, Al Boscov's mall, has been failing for years. They came back to the city in 2009. I remember an article in the newspaper, Al Boscov greased the wheels of all the local politicians with donations and he got what he wanted and he is coming back for more and it has to stop somewhere.

It was wrong when the federal government did with the banks and the auto companies. It's unfortunate that the mall is failing. I would love to see the Steamtown Mall full and go there every day to shop, but it's not our job as people on city council to regulate the private sector and how the mall ran their businesses.

Unfortunately, the competition beat them. If you go to the Shoppes at Montage, it's much busier than the mall. If you go to the Viewmont Mall it's much busier than the Steamtown Mall. It's unfortunate. I would prefer Scranton to have a mall like the Viewmont mall with all of the hustle and bustle and busy and all of the jobs, but that's not the case and it's no one fault on here that the Steamtown Mall has failed.

MS. EVANS: Well, in fact we are obligated as elected officials to cast a vote in this situation. We are obligated by the federal government because we have been obligated since 1992 when two Section 108 loans were taken out, so you can't simply disassociate yourself from it because it's a private business. We are in the midst of this by virtue of the Section 108 loans, but again, I still haven't heard an answer on why CDBG cuts you would like to make?

MR. ROGAN: You if you would like me to look through the CDBG and get back to you next week, I would be glad to do that, obviously, I've not looked through it all right now.

MS. EVANS: Actually, we are already in the process of making cuts because, as I said, our funding has already been downsized for 2011.

MR. ROGAN: I'm glad as the chair for OECD I was called about that.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually we were hoping that as the chair for OECD this year you might actually do all of the allocations

that will be made, well, will be proposed for 2012, and then submit them to me.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ ROGAN: I would be more than happy to do that work.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: May I --

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry.

MR. MCGOFF: -- interject? It seems as though the Steamtown Mall has put a gun to our head again to some extent, and it was done in the past and we did vote, council did vote at the time to provide funding to the mall. Whether you agreed with that funding or not, I think it's a moot point at this stage, however, what's before us tonight does not appear to cost the city anything to vote for it. If, in fact, it was going to cost us more money, if we were going to be supplying more money, all it's asking us to do is to defer the loan for a period of two years.

And I think in terms of doing what's best for the city, even though it seems as though we are being placed in a no-win situation I don't think it's a lose

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation. Like I said, it's not going to be a cost to the city, if it were I may agree with you that we, you know --

MS. EVANS: As I would have.

MR. MCGOFF: -- might look at it, but as it is it's a possibility that in the future we will get repaid on these as opposed to the distinct possibility that we could lose an awful lot if we don't pass this legislation, and so for me it's rather than losing a lot now, I'd rather -- I'd rather give the mall an opportunity, you know, a two-year opportunity to do what's right by the city and hopefully, you know, in two years we don't have to deal with this again, but right now as I see it it's just a -- it's a win situation as far as I'm concerned since it does not cost the city anything.

MS. EVANS: And just to add to that though so that the public understands, what Mr. McGoff said is correct, no moneys are affected in the city's operating budget. We are talking about CDBG moneys solely, and actually OECD would be making the interest

payment in 2012, and I think I said that was roughly \$10,000 versus the \$880,000 that's due by August 1e, 2011.

So, as Mr. McGoff said, it's not the best situation in the world and if this were costing the taxpayers, if this were coming out of the city budget I would be the first to adamantly refuse, we have a deficit, so I know there is no where to turn to find that money, but right now having been faced with constraints, downsizing from the federal government of our federal funds, and then looking to take an immediate hit of almost another million dollars there will being very little surviving in those CDBG allocations this year and next year. Ιs there anyone else on the question?

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

20

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1	MR. ROGAN: I'm sorry, if you could
2	change my vote to "no." I was completely
3	entranced there. That's a "no" vote.
4	MS. EVANS: That's fine.
5	MR. ROGAN: I'm extremely
6	frustrated. I apologize, Ms. Carrera.
7	Force of habit with all these tax sales
8	coming up.
9	MR. JOYCE: I make a motion to
10	suspend the rules to move Item 5-C to
11	Seventh Order to be considered for final
12	passage based on the attached emergency
13	certificate.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
21	MR. ROGAN: No.
22	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
23	moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: 6-A. READING BY TITLE -
25	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 44, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE

1	- SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
2	COMMONLY KNOWN AS 2108 PRICE STREET, TAX MAP
3	NO. 14509-010-015, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
4	TO FRANCIS J. WALSH, 217 NORTH GRANT AVENUE,
5	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE
6	CONSIDERATION OF \$3,500.00.
7	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
8	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
10	pass reading by title.
11	MR. JOYCE: Second.
12	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
21	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 45, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
22	- SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
23	COMMONLY KNOWN AS 917 EYNON STREET, TAX MAP
24	NO. 15609-080-035, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
25	TO SEAN MCGAGHRAN, 1221 EYNON STREET,

1	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE
2	CONSIDERATION OF \$600.00.
3	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
4	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
5	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
6	pass reading by title.
7	MR. JOYCE: Second.
8	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
9	those in favor signify by saying aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
13	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
14	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
15	have it and so moved.
16	MS. KRAKE: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
17	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
18	- SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE
19	COMMONLY KNOWN AS 919 EYNON STREET, TAX MAP
20	NO. 15609-080-036, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
21	TO SEAN MCGAGHRAN, 1221 EYNON STREET,
22	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE
23	CONSIDERATION OF \$600.00.
24	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
25	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?

1	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
2	pass reading by title.
3	MR. JOYCE: Second.
4	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
5	those in favor signify by saying aye.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
9	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
10	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
11	have it and so moved.
12	MS. KRAKE: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
13	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
14	- AUTHORIZING THE VACATION OF THE RIGHT OF
15	WAY KNOWN AS PAGE PLACE LOCATED BETWEEN
16	THE 200 BLOCKS OF FRANKLIN AVENUE AND
17	MIFFLIN AVENUE.
18	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
19	title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
20	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
21	pass reading by title.
22	MR. JOYCE: Second.
23	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
24	those in favor signify by saying aye.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

	09
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
3	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
4	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. KRAKE: 6-E. READING BY TITLE -
7	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
8	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
9	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
10	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
11	SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE
12	FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE BJA FY 11
13	EDWARD BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT
14	("JAG") PROGRAM LOCAL SOLICITATION IN THE
15	AMOUNT OF \$29,216.00.
16	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
17	title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure?
18	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E
19	pass reading by title.
20	MR. JOYCE: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
	ll

	90
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
5	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
6	FOR ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO. 43, 2011-
7	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
8	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
9	CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
10	THE SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT WITH JOSEPH M.
11	ALU AND ASSOCIATES TO PERFORM THE SCRANTON
12	SINGLE TAX OFFICE INDEPENDENT AUDIT FOR
13	THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2010.
14	MS. EVANS: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chair for the
16	Committee on Finance?
17	MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-A.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
	ll

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. Is there anyone who wishes to address council on Item 7-B, the emergency certificate Section 108 loan agreement between the City of Scranton and the Steamtown Mall Partners legislation that has been moved to Seventh Order?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident. Mr. Rogan, I agree with you wholeheartedly, I disagree with your four colleagues. Again, we are bailing Al Boscov out again and in two years from now when they are -- that Mall Association is not able to pay that money guess who is going to get stuck with it? Remember the Hilton? How many times have you bailed the Radisson out? We are going to do the same thing. That mall should never have been

built in 1992, I was not living in Scranton at that time, that was a mistake, it's been a failure ever since 1992, and now we are going to give Al Boscov, remember when Al Boscov came here begging and crying for money and then two months later he had a report in the newspaper saying how business has improved and how much money he has made?

How many boats does he have? How many houses does he have? I know that's personal. Vote "no". I'm tired of bailing people out. You know, why don't we give \$25,000 to the Kids Swim Free Program? Council, approve it. If you are going to approve this then approve \$25,000 for the Kids Swim Free.

As far as those jobs in the mall, they are all minimum wage jobs and part-time jobs, that's what they are. We have the highest unemployment, yes, we do. We have the lowest minimum wage in the state, yes, we do. We have the lowest per capita income in the state, yes, we do. Why? Because of people like Al Boscov and now you are going to give him more money and give his

1 association more money? Tear the mall down. We don't need 2 3 it. It's a hindrance. It's costing this community money. Turn it into a flea 4 5 market, but don't give Al Boscov any more 6 money. 7 MS. EVANS: I just wanted to clarify 8 that, again, we are not giving Mr. Boscov 9 money. 10 MR. JACKOWITZ: In two years you 11 are. 12 MS. EVANS: Any more money. This is 13 a --14 MR. JACKOWITZ: In two years you 15 are. 16 MS. EVANS: Mr. Jackowitz, please, 17 you are no longer at the podium. It's a 18 deferment of the payments and it's 19 certainly, like I said, not an ideal 20 situation. Is there anyone else? 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher. 22 I am really sorry that this is being pushed 23 through because I think if you all have the 24 information on just how underwater this loan 25 is, it was just a couple of weeks ago I was

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here and had got the figures and shared them again and how many tens of millions of dollars is owed on that primary loan as opposed to the market value of that property? It's not going to make it. the last two years ago, two years ago we gave Al Boscov to reestablish that store, we gave from the state, we gave from the county, we gave from the city, and why all to one person? If Mr. Boscov has all of this money, and yet his money is to do what he wants, but if he is in default he can stop making some of these political contributions that he makes and pay off his debts.

I'm sorry, this is -- all this money going to one person is it going to be any easier to cut the CDBG funding in 2013 than it is now. I seriously doubt it. Why not bite the bullet now and be done with it and then get out of the hole.

MS. EVANS: Well, actually, I think there is great sense in what you are saying, but I'm hoping that in two years time the economy will have further recovered and that

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we might see a change in Washington in the membership of Congress.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, yeah, we were sold hope a couple of years ago and what did we get. Yeah, no hope. It's bad and this is -- if you vote in favor of not biting the bullet now, it's a mistake and I'll be here, good Lord willing, in 2013 to remind you. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: I'm in-between on I understand both sides of the argument, but it's always been a dream of mine to see Mr. Boscov replaced with a K-Mart or something, somebody that's successful, somebody that can pay the bills, and hopefully you people can drop a statement at least telling Mr. Boscov please, please, pay back the loans and get things going or pack it in and try to find somebody to lease to that can pay a few bills for a change, hopefully pay a wage, too.

I agree that it's really ashame,

it's a nice mall, but you go down there and there is one store closing after another and hopefully we will get our money, but like I said, I see both sides of the coin. We are stuck with the legacy that we are left with from the last generation, be it a strip mine or gold mine. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. ELLMAN: Council, I implore you not to allow this to go any further. I have been up here several times complaining, you could see this a couple of years ago this was coming. I remember last year and before the medical school was built I said something about the mall is folding up. Why can't they let the first floor stay there and use the second floor for Lackawanna College or the medical school? Do you remember I said something like that, I don't remember.

But the people in the city have had enough of Al Boscov and his reluctant to give his reluctance to give us any money and

like Bill just said before he was bragging in the newspaper about the income for -- I think it was two years ago, but he didn't have -- we didn't get nothing out of it. This has got to stop. You people don't need to be in the loan business to start with. If he had such good credit he could have went over to one of these banks.

This was an extremely bad loan just like that Michael's that all of the rest of them that were involved in. It's time to quit. Let Lackawanna College or somebody have the place. I'd rather lose some low paying jobs there than have layoffs with the city, and like you just said, where are we going to cut? We got to cut someplace and it's just time to quit with Al Boscov. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: I have been though many Section 108 loans not only in Scranton but in other municipalities throughout the

state. One thing with Section 108 loans is there a personal guarantee of all of the partners who are involved in the loan.

Mr. Boscov, Mr. Lakin, and whoever else is the current partners of Scranton Mall Associates, they personally guarantee this loan.

What would happen if this
legislation is not passed is that the
primary lender, and I was involved in the
first loan that was done when it was done
and the closing took three days down in
Philadelphia on the mall. I was there for
the entire three days on the closing.

The City of Scranton and others were junior lenders. All of the second tiers, there were actually four, second, third and fourth tier lenders. All of those loans were placed together in what's called pari passu, so that they are all considered second loans and in the event there was a nullification on the first loan then the second, third and fourth tier lenders would share all of the second mortgage.

The Section 108 loan is personally

guaranteed. What would happen is that with this loan that the primary lender is reducing the rate of interest to the mall by 3 percent and in exchange for that when that loan matures in approximately two years this loan which will have a hiatus of payment of any interest in accordance with the inter-creditor's agreement that it will become due and payable in two years.

What would happen right now is that in the event there was a default the loan is personally -- the loan is guaranteed with the city's UDAG payments by Housing and Urban Development to the people that have borrowed the \$3 million or that have borrowed the Section 108 loans, most of them have been made now except for the \$880,000, and as a result if there is a default the primary lender would come in, all of the secondary lenders would be wiped out, the HUD would pay on the bonds the \$880,000, deduct that from the city's UDAG repayments -- not UDAG repayments but from the city's COM-D payment, the City of Scranton would then have to go after

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Boscov on the other agreement that he personally guaranteed the loan.

This is not a refinancing, it's an interruption. This is what we call a forbearance agreement that the loan will not be paid, it will be extended, and that hopefully the mall will survive, the primary lender will be paid and then the secondary lenders will be paid. This is not a bailout. It is merely a postponement of this payment which is concurred to by HUD according to the documentation. I was not involved in any of that, but just in reading the correspondence, so as a result what people say there might be a risk there definitely could be a risk, but there is the personal guarantee of all of the principals of the Scranton Mall Associates on that loan.

The City of Scranton could lose \$880,000 if this legislation is not passed, let's just take it out of the COM-D funds, then the city would have to go after Mr. Boscov, Mr. Lakin and the other principals of the Scranton Mall Associates.

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just wanted to clear the air on that.

MR. ROGAN: I shouldn't have used the term bailout, I should have used the term stay of execution, I quess.

MR. HUGHES: Well, I think that -and I have involved, you know, no matter --I remember that when the mall was qualified for LERTA, the LERTA program, however, when the mall was built the actual real estate revenue that was produced by the mall just by paying the taxes on the land was more taxes each year than was previously received by all of the taxing agencies, the City, the county and the school district than before the mall was built because many of the properties were not -- weren't paying real estate taxes. The property went to what was called the China Wall, everything from the China Wall to Rail 10 was tax exempt, that was in the national park, however, as a result of the mall being built all of the that land was deeded by the federal government to Scranton Mall Associates.

When it was reassessed just on the land only, the payments on the land to all

of the taxing agencies were more than the previous payments on land and improvements and it's continued that way for the last 20 years until ten years now, over ten years now the taxes on the improvements have been paid.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? Roll call, please.

MR. JOYCE: We are at the reading.

We just had the -- that was public comment.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, I got off track with our attorney's comments.

MS. KRAKE: 7-B, FORMERLY 5-C, FOR
CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 34 OF 2011 EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED - AMENDING
RESOLUTION NO. 175 OF 2003, ENTITLED
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A SECTION 108 LOAN
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
THE STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR THE
REFINANCING OF THE LACKAWANNA AVENUE MALL
PROJECT BY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 1 MODIFICATION/EXTENSION OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT 2 BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE 3 STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, LP, FOR A PERIOD OF 4 TWO YEARS. 5 MS. EVANS: What is the 6 recommendation of the Chair for the 7 8 Committee on Finance? 9 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 10 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 11 passage of Item 7-B. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 13 MS. EVANS: On the question? 14 MR. ROGAN: I don't want to belabor the point, but just a procedural question, 15 16 since this was from the OECD office why 17 wasn't this the Committee on Economic and 18 Community Development? 19 MS. EVANS: I didn't particularly 20 make the assignment, I think the most likely 21 it's because of Mr. Joyce being the Chair of 22 Finance and since we are dealing with 23 finances, yes. 24 MR. ROGAN: When in the past all of 25 the federal monies -- it doesn't matter the

vote counts the same at the end of the day anyways, but I think everyone on here knew how I was going to go before the vote even happened, but let's just vote.

MR. LOSCOMBE: If I could just make a quick comment. I have listened to all sides, I do have a mixed emotions, but most of us were not in these seats when these loans were structured. We have a heavy decision to make and I think that the ramifications will be far greater on everyone, the mall, the employees, the taxpayers, if we don't approve this at this point. I mean, all we are doing is deferring the payments.

This is nothing different than right now the federal government, and up until last month the state government, has a homeowners foreclosure program where they would work to remodify your loans to defer your payments or extend your payments out because a lot of homeowners were facing foreclosures and situations right now. I say the state, governor Corbett in his infinite wisdom took it out of the budget

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for this year, and I think the program ended last year to help homeowners that were under water, but there are still some federal programs.

But, you know, as we look at the whole scenario, it does -- we are going to lose money, but if the mall does close there will be jobs lost. At a time right now when we have hospitals coming in and merging I think we are going to lose a lot of jobs there, too. Where are we going, you know? We are not taking any money out of our pockets with this program right now. We are deferring it. In two years I hope I'm right and I hope we are all right. You know, in all deference to the speakers, I agree wholeheartedly, but you know, in my heart I think we have to at least give them the benefit of the doubt at this point and keep the pressure on them and hopefully things will turn around, but I don't think it's something that's coming out of our pocket at this point and we still have two years to work on it, so I will be voting in favor of it.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

∠ I

22

23

24

24

25

MR. JOYCE: Well, since I'm the only one that didn't comment on this item I might as well. I know this is a tough situation, but I do have a say after listening to all of the arguments I agree with Mr. McGoff, Mr. Loscombe and Mrs. Evans on this issue. What are we to do if the mall goes under if we don't do this? What happens to the real estate tax revenue that comes out of this or if those people that work at the mall lose their jobs that is wage tax revenue that does come out of the city budget. this particular instance though -- well, I don't consider it technically a bailout, technically it's more of a forbearance, I would like to leave that the mall will return to fiscal health in two years, but at this point no one could see in the future, so for now I believe this is in the best interest of the city.

MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: No.

_	
	107
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
8	If there is no other business, I'll
9	entertain a motion to adjourn.
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
11	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
12	adjourned.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER