		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, June 14, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.70505 0 0.0050777 000 000077 000077	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present)

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE (Not present)

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe. Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Here.
11	MS. EVANS: Dispense with the
12	reading of the minutes.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
14	RECEIVED FROM RYAN MCGOWAN, BUSINESS
15	ADMINISTRATOR, ON MAY 31, 2011, THE BUDGET
16	COMPARISON FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2011.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
20	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING TO BE HELD
21	JUNE 15, 2011.
22	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed. Do we have any
24	clerk's notes this evening?
25	MS. KRAKE: Yes, we do, Mrs. Evans.

One announcement, council will see in their mail a letter from a resident concerning the West Lackawanna Avenue Bridge. Councilman Loscombe is not here this evening, but he has been doing extensive investigating on this issue and he will have lots of photos and information concerning it next week.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time? None? Let the record show that Councilman Loscombe and Councilman Rogan cannot attend this regularly scheduled council meeting. Councilman Loscombe is attending his daughter's graduation ceremony.

The public caucus scheduled for 5:30 p.m. today, June 7, 2011, was cancelled due to lack of a quorum. In addition, the following invited participants failed to provide a response: Mayor Doherty, and I believe he is currently out of the country in Israel, and business administrator, Ryan McGowan. Tax Collector Courtright responded that he had a prior commitment, and Scranton Redevelopment Authority director, William

Lazer, refused to attend.

And finally, I'd like to wish

Councilman McGoff and Loscombe and all of
the gentlemen in our community a very happy

Father's Day. A father is a blessing, a

roll model, a teacher, a best friend, a rock

upon which the family is built and a guiding

light that leads you to your destiny and

brings you home at day's end. My own dear

father, Carl Tomko, died seven years ago,

and there isn't a day that I don't think of

him and miss him. I miss his love,

strength, compassion and guidance.

Fathers are irreplaceable blessings in our lives deserving appreciation, love, and honor not only on Father's Day but every day, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker this evening is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. I want to speak again at the Novembrino complex, which I call the Oxford Plot. Does

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anybody look at that plan for that splash park? Does anybody know what it actually consists of and how much damage they are going to do to the existing pools there to install this?

MS. EVANS: I haven't seen the plans, no.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, I would assume that you would request them a copy of the plans, because if they plan -- we spent hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars to build that complex. It's there for the kids. West Side has always had a pool. We don't have to have somebody up in the Hill Section tell us we don't need a pool in West Side, and for Councilmen to sit there for three council meetings, I would have had that man effigy hanging in there before I let him close my pool. You have three councilmen from West Side, three of them, and you don't have enough pull. Believe me, there would be nothing passed through council with your three without your approval and you got to hold this guy's hands to the fire.

You can't deal with the mayor, I can tell you this now. You cannot sit there and say, okay, let's do this, you got to be just as rotten as he is, definitely just as rotten no matter what the paper says because that's how you deal with this man. At one time we had a free swim program, we still have it, but there was one person that was on for a loan on the docket, on the agenda, because he contributed to the free swim program that the mayor won't -- didn't want to have he was removed. This is a true story. This is how this man is. You got to realize.

And you also got to realize he is trying to run out of this city as fast he can. You heard a lot of rumors that he is thinking of running against Lou Barletta, this has come up a lot of times, and you got the backing of the three newspapers to do it, but he is leaving this city in shambles. Not only is the finances all shambled, but now he is even attacking the children of the city. There is no reason for that pool not to be open. None at all. If he wants to

build a splash park then let's build it
where they don't have to destroy something
that we already paid for. Let's improve the
city, not destroy it.

I wish you can pass some legislation that any public property cannot be destroyed without the consent of the legislature here, of the councilmen. That should be on the books. Any time somebody wants to destroy city property it should come before you. That's the only way you can hold this man in check.

even know how it happened, believe me, if I was a councilman up in West Side as a Westsider, as a Westsider, I don't even live in West Side anymore, I feel insulted that he would slap the people of good West Side in the face. I know there is bad apples. There is bad apples everywhere. You can't help that, but you got a whole section of the city, that most populous section of the city, and to allow that to happen. My friend used to call it a crying shame. I don't use it very often, but it is a crying

shame that you could allow it, and I would look at them plans for that splash parks. I would check the finances that was utilized to build that park to see if federal money was involved, and if there was federal money involved you should take action plus you got a lawyer that could actually put in an injunction in. You have all of these things at your disposal. All we got is our voice and our vote and, unfortunately, you can only vote every four years. If there was a vote tomorrow ask the people in West Side, do you want this pool to be closed? I'm sure they would say no.

As you know, we pay for the lifeguards up at Nay Aug, how could you say that a private pool, which eventually that's what it is now, Nay Aug is a private complex, it's not a public complex it's private controlled by a committee appointed by the mayor and the sole reason for that is so nothing comes before you. That's the bad part about it. Authorities are no good, you can see that with the SRA now. You want to come up with a million and a half, God knows

how much the Parking Authority is going to be in debt for, and when that falls on the city plus the SRA you got to ask for removal of these people that sit on those boards because if they do this to all of the city. You know, the taxes are probably are going to have to be raised, there is no question about it. With all of these little things popping up there is no way we are going to be on financial stability next year, and the mayor said we are not even going to be on that to the end of this year, but he did it. That's what makes me think he really does plan to run for Congress. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia. And I just wanted to add that under motions tonight I intend to discuss the Novembrino pool and I do have some ideas as to how council can hopefully solve that situation. Next speaker is Marie Schumacher.

MR. JOYCE: Before the next speaker begins, Mr. Krake, if you could please request a copy of the plans for the splash park to be sent to Council's office.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I can report a second sighting of the patcher vehicle.

Yes, that's what's printed on the vehicle.

Sadly though, there was no City of Scranton identification, it was from Pocono something or other, but it is out there.

Now, advance warning, tonight I am offering what I trust will be taken as constructed comments. First, actions have consequences and last week we learned that a petition with 500 plus signatures and a council chamber filled mostly with potential impact residents get speedy action. It is personally distressing that smaller and perhaps less organized areas of the city, even though suffering consequences and questionable zoning, have gone without action for quite a number of years.

It has been a number of years since
a report of an arm of the federal government
recommended rezoning of the property
formerly occupied by Daron Northeast, and

close neighbors so few in number petitioned for a rezoning from the podium yet no action has been taken. I both hope the rezoning of the former Daron property will occur shortly and that the city council would act as a matchmaker between Don King, the city planner, and the Delaware company that was interested in developing the Minooka property, whose rezoning made it to the agenda in one week, to offer other properties in this city that might be available without a zoning concern.

The bigger squeakier wheel getting the speeder attention is but one example of the kind of things that turn off citizens and result in voter apathy when such involvement is required of good government and is sorely needed.

Another was when Mr. Loscombe stated, in essence, last week that he agreed in principal with Mr. Rogan on Scranton Sewer Authority appointment vote, but cast a vote that ignored that principal and voted for the appointment as council's vote had no consequences. I believe that was setting a

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

poor example, but it's certainly Mr. Loscombe's right.

Last week the Novembrino pool was a major issue. I confess to being unsure about whether the entire Novembrino Swim Complex is being converted to a splash park or whether swimming will still be available. However, had a Home Rule Charter compliant capital budget been approved last year, this would have been known and citizens would have had a chance to make an input. The fact that the Home Rule Charter apparently has no teeth that would encourage or force Home Rule Charter compliance, makes me think that a Home Rule Charter update is overdue. However, councils, both this and the prior council, have talked about such an endeavor, but have not taken the required actions to allow an update to occur.

Each week third order items are routinely received and filed. I would like to know during motions whether all of these items are available at the Albright Library for interested persons who cannot make it to city hall during the workday, such as

myself, may review these items. Many of these are received and filed documents containing interesting and actionable items.

For example, at the May 24 meeting, three months of Office and Economic and Community Development reports were received and filed. Mr. Rogan used to share at least highlights of these reports, but now they are received and filed. I know I would like to know the status of loan payments, are they all current, are some delinquent.

The Caper was also received and filed and there are certainly questions that arise from this report. For instance, four projects had no or little amounts drawn for the entire report year. One, the demolition of hazardous structures. \$200,000 available and none spent. Did we run out of properties needing demolition? I don't think so.

Two, reconstruction of bridges.
\$400,000 available and none spent, and this
was for the Rockwell Avenue Bridge, even
though ten defects have been noted by
engineers.

Three, neighborhood police patrol in low/moderate income areas, \$300,000 available to spend, yet none spent.

Four, public facilities and improvements-paving, \$8,500 drawn from \$200,000 available.

At the may 31 meeting, two 2010 audits status reports were received and filed and so we know nothing about whether the open items are serious or trivial and when the audit report might be available. I would like to know if the current open items are the same as the 2009 audit open items as of this time last year. If they are the same, is there a procedural change that could be made such that these items would be available in a timely manner next year?

Some citizen requests for information go months without answer. What is the message? Give up and go away? I, for one, plan to stick it out and hope for change. Thank you for your consideration.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Schumacher, in regard to some of the items in Third Order,

if you do have a question about any of the financial items such a budget comparisons, if you would send me an e-mail I would be glad to have someone in our office scan in a copy of the report and e-mail it to you.

Also, as far as the status of the OECD loans, I did have a chance to review the report, I don't have it with me, I did get it in my mail earlier, the status of any of the loans in that report there is no changes from the previous months. So things that are pending litigation are still pending litigation, things that are in delinquency are still in delinquency and things that are current are still current as per Ms. Aebli's report.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: You're welcome.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add a few comments to what Councilman Joyce provided. First of all, with regard to Daron Northeast I was, as you know, very well aware of the problems there and very much opposed to the actions of Daron Northeast. I'm sure you remember, also,

meetings that were held with representatives of DEP. Now, as one of the recommendations of DEP, the city was asked to rezone that area in order that at the time of a sale of that property no such business or industry could locate into that area and cause the same type of problems, and when that report was received I, as a minority council person, wrote to the zoning board and I received responses from Attorney Penetar stating that the area could not be rezoned, we went back and forth several times through written communication, he did not want to make any changes to it.

Now, I, in the mean time learned I think it might have been this year or late 2010 that a development is planned for that particular area, I think it might be perhaps an elderly high rise, and that came before the zoning board, I believe, and perhaps the planning commission as well and I spoke with neighbors at that time about this new development and they attended the zoning board hearing, I believe one may have even testified at the zoning board hearing

that --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry, I've got somebody in the hospital and I have to take this call.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry. And one of the neighbors testified at the zoning board hearing that they were in favor of this project and the project, I believe, is able to move forward because of the current zoning of that property and so if the neighbors, obviously, are in favor of this new project on Dixon Avenue, then certainly there wouldn't be any need for action taken by the neighbors to be brought to city council for action.

If, however, they were dissatisfied, if they had concerns, certainly they are the most welcome to come to council, to speak to anyone of us privately, and follow the same procedures followed by the Minooka

Neighborhood Association, and I'm sure council would be willing that work with them as council has worked with the Minooka

Neighborhood Association.

Secondly, with regard to the vote on

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the appointment of Mr. Renda to the Scranton Sewer Authority, it was my understanding that the articles that I read in the newspaper Mr. Renda had already been appointed to the Sewer Authority before that legislation ever became -- ever came before city council. He had taken his seat on the Sewer Authority, I think the members of the Sewer Authority seated him, and so we received the legislation apparently after the fact, and since I think it's important to always because there are so many issues on Council's plate and 99 percent of these issues are very weighty, very important to all of the people of this city, I think it becomes important to choose your battles and when someone has -- when action has already been taken, then I don't think it's the battle that in this case you want to undertake because there are far more serious situations that require our immediate consideration.

Now, as for the reports of OECD, I will tell Councilman Rogan that he should be providing some of that information to the

public under council motions. I can tell you that the according to the last report council received from Ms. Aebli, the loan portfolio had remained unchanged throughout 2011. I know that previous -- at the beginning of the year I think the loan portfolio might have been missing and at that time I requested that we see a specific breakdown of all of the loans, we she did then provide. So, as I said, in the latest report rather than providing the breakdown once again, there was a statement indicating that the portfolio remained unchanged.

The final thing that I would like to add is that we placed these issues into
Third Order so that the public could have full access and knowledge of them and, you know, conversely, if they weren't in Third Order the public would never know that we received them and they are part of the agenda, so they are fully available in our office with the agenda.

And our next speaker is Carl Kupchunas.

MR. KUPCHUNAS: Good evening. My

name is a Carl Kupchunas. One of the reasons that I'm here this evening is to invite everyone here and everyone watching to our annual block party. The Meriweather of God of Parish, formerly Holy Rosary Parish, will be conducting our annual block party next weekend, June 23, 24 and 25 at our Parish Center, which is on West Market Street by Cole Muffler. The block party is between 6 & 11 p.m. on Thursday and Friday and between 5 and 11 p.m. on Saturday.

The block party will include the following live entertainment: On Thursday, Sweet Pepper and the Longhots will be featured. On Friday, Picture Perfect, who is one of the very best bands in the Scranton area, will be featured, and on Saturday, Jim Cerminaro between 5 on 7 p.m., later Take Three will take the stage between 7 and 11 p.m. This year's entertainment shall be enjoyable for everyone.

We have a variety of the games for adults and children, such as the Big 6 wheel, the money wheel, Irish poker for the adults, as well as our annual raffle. For

the children we have plush animals, the lollipop tree, kids corner, which will include face painting and many boards games and fun.

We cannot forget about our annual diaper derby which we run Friday, June 24, at 7:00 p.m. All children three years and older -- three years and younger actually, are warmly invited to run for the roses. Ribbons and prizes will be awarded at the metal stand.

Other features of our block party are the treasure cove, dining around, baskets galore, book nook, an ice cream truck, bingo and many more. Also, water wars is back where you can launch water balloons at your friends.

We also have some of the best food around. In addition to the usual burgers, hot dogs, fries, pizza, potato pancakes and usual block party food and beverages, we will be featuring at St. Joseph's stand homemade Lithuanian food. New this year is a stand from our neighbors and friends at the Shilo Baptist Church who will feature

the best chicken and ribs that you ever had. On Friday night, St. Anthony's will have homemade Italian cookies and pastries. If you miss our block party you are going to miss some of the best food and entertainment of the summer season. As you can see, our block party has something for everyone. We hope to se you there next weekend, rain or shine, and sincerely thank everyone who comes.

In other matters, I would like to publically thank the Army Corp of Engineers for the completion of the Albright Avenue flood control project. With all of the rain we had so far this spring, it gives the residents of lower Greenridge peace of mind that we will not be the victim of another flood.

Finally, I know I'm a couple of weeks late on this, the Scranton Sewer Authority is getting another huge rate increase from the homeowners of Scranton. This time 47 percent. They also received a substantial raise about a year ago at the same time. This 47 percent increase is

highway robbery without a gun by Gene
Barrett and the Sewer Authority board. The
Pennsylvania American Water Company and the
you UGI gas corporation are also requesting
rate increases. Where do these companies
think the ratepayers will get all of the
extra money?

I know council cannot do anything about PAWC or the UGI rate increases, but they can investigate the justification for the huge rate increase by the Sewer Authority. Also, doing a Right-to-Know about Gene Barrett's salary and if he got any increases. Also, recent Sewer Authority hirings and employee's salaries.

Also, recently I read a very disturbing information on a local political website that a Sewer Authority employee was allegedly using taxpayer supported Sewer Authority equipment for his own private use and another Sewer Authority employee was allegedly doing personal appointments while punched in on the city clock. That is -- any business I know if I did my own personal duty while I worked down at the lanes, I

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would get fired. I mean, so I would appreciate if council could check into if there is any truth to that, but I found that very disturbing when I read that on I think you know what website I mean. I want to thank you for your time this evening and I hope everybody has a great summer.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Kupchunas. I probably should add though that council did send a letter to the PUC regarding the water rate increase urging it or well opposing the rate increase and urging it's reconsideration. I think we can most certainly pursue a Right-to-Know request for from the Sewer Authority for the information that you delineated tonight. However, if you could help us out by providing that information to Ms. Carrera, exactly what it is, what facts you are seeking from the Sewer Authority and pass that off to her this evening and then we'll be able to send that letter out hopefully this week to the Sewer Authority. Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,

South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. I'd like to wish the United States Army our 234th birthday today. Today is the birthday, the Army has been in existence for 234 years protecting this country so happy birthday to the US Army.

Okay, Kids Swim Free. We are going to have our first Kids Swim Free on the 28th of June 2011. It will be from noon to 6:00 at Nay Aug Park.

Also, in regards to the Novembrino pool, I would like to find out and have council find out for the citizens to know where the plans are for the splash pool, was the job bided out, who got the bid, what the start date is, and when the projected completion date is because there is no reason at all to close that pool if nothing is going to be going on. You are going to have an empty pool sitting there.

The majority of the people in this city I know, because as you know all know I'm involved with the swim thing, I have gotten letters, I have gotten e-mails, and I

have gotten -- I have not received one yet of anybody in favor of closing that

Novembrino pool, so I think we really need to research this before we close that pool down for no reason as all.

I'd like to thank all of the contributors who have been contributing to the Kids Swim Free, and I especially like to thank the ones from out of the city, people from Abington Heights, some people from Throop and people from Taylor who are contributing. Also, I'd like to thank them for the nice little notes that they have been putting in on their envelopes. It's well appreciated and it is a good cause and I think everybody seems to agree with that. Again, I'd like to thank ECTV for running the spot that they have been running.

Changing the subject, I, too, am disappointed about the appointment of Stu Renda and also Ray Hayes. I understand that, you know, they were already made in advance, but you know what, you should never retreat, and I would -- if I was on council I would have voted "no" against the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appointments just because that's the way I feel, not because the appointment was already made. I don't know agree with that, but that's me and I'm not on council, thank God.

Everybody seems to talk about cooperation with different bodies of government, legislative, executive, and so on so forth, but you know what, I haven't seen any cooperation yet. You know, a good example is today, okay, we have two council members out, that's okay, but this meeting was scheduled far in advance the mayor is in Israel, I mean, the one guy from the Redevelopment Authority refuses to come, where is the cooperation? Where is the cooperation? There is no cooperation. The boards need to cooperate, the authorities need to cooperate, the mayor needs to cooperate, city council needs to cooperate, the business administrator needs to cooperate, the human resource manager needs to cooperate, we all need to cooperate.

You know, we should have a big meeting right here in this room and just

invite everybody, you know, maybe we should have beer, I don't know if you can have beer in city hall, but I guarantee you if you bring a couple of kegs of beer everybody will show up. You know, let's get the people here. Do what you got to do.

Council, if you got to go to the mayor's office, go to the mayor's office because the mayor is not coming here. He has proven that in nine years. He is not coming here, so go to his office if you have to. Go to the Business Administrator's Office if you have to, but we got to get some cooperation because this city is going downhill fast.

I'm disappointed with the police union not dropping the grievance against the chief. Again, lack of cooperation.

I'm disappointed with the golf course money, the \$3.5 million that was set aside with the sale of the golf course, that money was squandered by past councils, that money was used -- was supposed to be used for park and recreation, if that money had been saved and still in escrow in an account we wouldn't have a problem with repairs and

the pools, we wouldn't have a problem with lifeguards because we have \$3.5 million sitting there that we could go to and use. But, no, it was given away to other entities by past councils. Again, that should never have happened. That money was set aside for the citizens for parks and recreation, for the kids' and for the citizens' use at their parks.

Also, I'd like to find out who do I have to contact at the Sewer Authority to have work done on my pool because it seems like that's what was going on by an employee of the Sewer Authority, so if someone can give me Mr. Barrett's home phone number and cell phone number so I can have someone come over and build a pool for me or do whatever they were doing on government city government time.

We need to get into this and get into this deep and find out what's going on, what happened, who the people were, who were involved in this, if this really did happen, what disciplinary action, termination is not enough. If this really happened, charges

_

should be filed because this is misappropriation of government funds and government property and government equipment. So, again, I would like city council to really look into this and find out if this really happened or if this is just a rumor because our Sewer Authority they are raising rates, I'm almost finished, they are raising rates and they are doing everything else and we got Sewer Authority employees running around doing personal business and doing business for their friends. Can't allow that to happen.

We've got a good corruption trial going on right down here at the Federal Court system, which is a total disgrace for Lackawanna County, the City of Scranton, and this entire area. We had a corruption case going down in Luzerne County which was totally disgraceful and it's just total disrespect for the citizens and it needs to stop, but we need to find out and we need to keep Novembrino pool open in year.

MS. EVANS: Thank you,

Mr. Jackowitz. If you could please provide

the information you are requesting to Ms.

Carrera in writing that would be very

helpful.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I will.

MS. EVANS: I just wanted to add that-- you could be seated, about the Scranton Redevelopment Authority's -- well, specifically it's chairmen's refusal to attend tonight's public caucus, I was very concerned by that response since it is the SRA that is in default of the \$1.5 million, and according to what I have read in the backup, it is the SRA that issued the RFP for the collector of delinquent taxes, yet, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority would not appear at a public caucus with the very company that has been selected to collect those delinquencies.

Mr. Lazer stated that if we want to discuss anything we can attend a Scranton Redevelopment Authority meeting when it's held sometime in July, I forget the date, and I find that type of response unacceptable, and frankly, he should have been present this evening, the business

20 21

19

22

23

24

25

administrator should have been present this evening, the parties involved really should have been here, and they are seeking council's assistance and placing legislation before us for an approval or a decline of bailing them out, so I think it behooves them to come before city council.

But what troubles me even further is this, to go to an SRA meeting, to discuss any questions we may have defeats part of the purpose. The caucus was to be held so that the public would be aware of what this agreement contains, what the role of the SRA is, what the role of the city is, and as we all know SRA meetings are not televised nor are they widely attended by the public, and so to provide a response such that you can come to us in July, not only (a) are you holding up the legislation, but (b) it appears that you are hiding from the public. And Mr. Ellman.

Mrs. Evans, if I could MR. HUGHES: just make a brief statement?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: I stated before that I

4

1

5

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe that the loan that was made by the Penn Star Bank to the Redevelopment Authority was misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance, never should have been made at the rate it was made. It was 100 percent financing on delinquent taxes, which is unheard of. They took the total amount of delinquent taxes, discounted it only by 10 percent, added back in the Redevelopment Authority fees of a hundred and eighty-nine, ninety thousand, I'm going from memory, to bring it back up to 98 percent financing of delinquent taxes to be collected in three years.

Even though, as I stated before, the sale of the delinquent taxes by the City to the Redevelopment Authority was with recourse, which meant that the Redevelopment Authority really has no liability, the bank was assured that in the event only 50 percent of the taxes were collected that the City of Scranton was responsible for the balance of the debt when it matured, which is end of this year, which was not put in this year's budget by the mayor, that the

21

22

20

23

24

25

city, and I believe should -- the only way that this could be -- not the only way, but one way to be collected is the Pennstar would have to file litigation against the city, I think that the city could put up a defense that Pennstar made an improvident loan, that they knew when the loan was made that it could not be collected under the terms of their note, and that while they did lend us money to the SRA, and the SRA ended up giving a portion of the money to the city after reduction of it's expenses, that I believe that the administration should look into some form of defense of the action against Pennstar so that the taxpayers won't be saddled with the balance that's due of \$1.5 million of a \$200 million loan, and that they should try to negotiate a settle down of that money and let Pennstar take the hit.

The Redevelopment Authority has absolutely to liability, Pennstar is going to come after the Redevelopment Authority which then will come after the City of Scranton based upon the fact that the sale

is with recourse. I really haven't researched the opinion, you know to the extent that it would be defensible, that's not my issue, I think the issue is for the administration to look at it that this loan, while it could have been made by Pennstar with due diligence as a federal banking institution, that they violated guidelines, and I don't think that they really did a proper due diligence and they knew it. It matter if we provide 98 percent financing, if it's not paid we will come back to the city, and the city taxpayers will have to pay it anyway. That's all I want to say on the subject.

MS. EVANS: And the SRA, however, is part and parcel of this new agreement with a new collection agency and their name appears as well as the City of Scranton on these documents; and, in addition, as I think is the case, the SRA produced the RFP, specifically, I don't know whom, but they are the one -- they are the entity that called for it, but it does seem to be all in all quite an overstepping of the boundaries

. .

here in that according to previous resolutions and ordinances, the SRA is not empowered to take any of the action that it's taken. The SRA appears to be in purpose an authority used for the -- well, for condemnation of the properties and yet they have become quite an arm of city government while at the same time claiming to be autonomous and accountable to no one.

MR. HUGHES: In accordance with Resolution No. 14 of 1986, which I believe is called the phaseout resolution of the Scranton Redevelopment Authority, the only function of the Redevelopment Authority pursuant to that resolution, which was not adopted by the Redevelopment Authority until 1996, was only to act -- to be maintained as an authority only to be a condemning agency on approved plans -- on plans approved by the government body of the City of Scranton, which is council, any redevelopment plan for no other purpose.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney Hughes. I'm sorry, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: That's okay. I don't

have any job, no money, no place to go, so
Bob Jones told me to come up there and give
you a big hug for him because he hurt his
ankle, but I will forego it since I saw Dave
walking around out there.

Last night at he corner of North

Main and Wells a bicyclist got run over.

You know, this -- North Main is like a

racetrack. People have been trying to get a

stop sign, make that a four-way stop, it is

so dangerous right there. Last year a young

boy was hit on a bicycle and then the people

drove away, they stopped and drove away.

There is accidents, they had poles knocked

down, it's a terrible intersection, and if

it was a four-way stop it would slow up cars

anyway.

I was going to complain about

Mr. Barrett, too, but I guess everybody said
enough.

I don't know if you heard it, but the medical school seems to have some kind of financial problems. They might not be able to buy those five acres of houses they want. It was on the -- today it was on the

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

news this afternoon.

And, boy, did I catch some hell from somebody about bad mouthing Jerry's Kids, some lady phoned me, and I still think there is people right here in this area that need our help and we don't need to send money to an organization like Jerry's kids that hasn't proved anything in 40 years. You know, somebody asked me why I support St. Judge, is out of town, it's because they did a complete turn around on leukemia on children and anybody from anywhere in this country can go to St. Jude's, but I'm not trying to be argumentative, but there is people in pain in this city and this area that we should worry about. You go to these convenient stores you see those little bottles collecting change.

Well, my son finally got out of jail and I hope to help him expose what is going on in that building, how the taxpayers of this city are being taken advantage of. I have talked not only him, but some other people, some other boys that are in there. It's shameful. This is as a direct result

of the prison board not caring the least bit what goes on. He hurt his shoulder and didn't see the doctor for eight days. Now, I'll bet that doctor gets paid every single day to be at the jail, that's what the guards infer, but he didn't show up for eight days, and then he made a snide and smart remark to my son about me getting up here and complaining about him not seeing a doctor, that's why he was there.

That place needs a thorough and complete investigation from top to bottom. It's just not being run right, and like I said, it's those that oversee the jail, it's not the guards. I have talked to the guards and so my son doesn't have any complaints about the guards. It just -- you just wouldn't believe some of the things that go on in there.

They lost his shoes. He had some nice boots on, they lost them. They give him some little cotton things to wear home and just tell -- they said they were supposed to give them \$25, he went to get his \$25, the boots cost twice that much,

they won't even give him his \$25. They told him he had the boots. He said, well, if I had the boots why did I wear the cotton shoes home. Just one thing in another in that lousy jail like that.

I don't know, but I implore you people to do something about the speeding cars on North Main and put some stop signs up. And this father's day there is a very nice car show at Nay Aug again and very successful and I hope everybody shows up, there is millions of dollars worth of beautiful cars at those shows and those people work so hard to have a successful show.

And I heard Mr. Snedeker talking about St. Joseph's, there is another organization that I think we should support instead of worrying about Jerry's Kids.

You know, Goodwill still hasn't accounted for \$2 1/2 million of taxpayer money, but they got these pushers out there wanting more and more and more and it just doesn't stop with all of these crooked organizations that are not paying taxes on

their property, but Paul Mansour keeps buying and selling houses. He has -- he doesn't seem to have to answer to anybody for his conduct. He is just completely destroying -- I don't know how to say these words, but he is abusing what other people need. I'm the first one to concede. There is organizations that ought to be nonprofit out there and doing a good job like Sister Adrian and so forth, but there is 90 percent of them seem to be these phony organizations just sucking money out of the city:

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Is there anyone else who cares it address
council?

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you, Ms. Evans.

MS. EVANS: You're welcome.

MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxpayer and so forth. I also would like to speak on this SRA and sewer and so forth. If you people can't get any cooperation from them then maybe you should contact the proper authorities and request an investigation that way you are covered. It's just ashame what the attitude that you

get out of these people, and I would like to make one other point that's not you people that refuse to cooperate, so what else can you do. You invited them here and they refused.

And on that Novembrino pool, if we could find any way of stopping the pool from being -- or the changes from being made if they are in a negative since it's just an excuse to get a grant and spend money and hire somebody that's in the right circles, well, then please to it.

And last week I mentioned about poor garbage placement and off times and I cut out a little thing from the newspaper about Taylor, and if you could pass it along onto the people that aren't present tonight. I even underlined in like orange the two good parts, so there is a fine and there is also a warning for some redemption so somebody isn't getting hit that doesn't deserve it.

And I have been watching Congress and so forth incessantly and this week the golden parrot goes to tax cuts without job creation, give them to small businesses,

give them to people that create jobs, but why should anybody get a tax cut when they do absolutely nothing to help solve our current problems. I mean, we did this in the 70's and it worked great and people got tax cuts and people also had jobs. Nowadays it's like the tax cut and it's all my money and that's it, so the golden parrot goes to anybody that wants a tax cut but don't feel that they should bother putting their money to work. Bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. JOYCE: Before the next speaker, I want to comment briefly on what Mr. Ellman passed over to us here about Taylor, eliminating the garbage placement because oddly enough I was approached by a resident over the past week that had some concerns with the hot weather that a few of their neighbors were putting their garbage out a couple of days early and it was creating a lot of problems with pests and rodents and whatnot and, Mrs. Krake, I just wanted to ask or any other council member for that

matter, is there an ordinance that limits when a resident can place garbage out on the curb?

MS. EVANS: Yes. It's 24 hours in advance of trash collection and then they must remove their garbage cans within 24 hours after pickup.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Are there any penalties that you know of?

MS. EVANS: I honestly don't know off the top of my head, we can look into the legislation again.

MR. JOYCE: Okay.

MS. EVANS: But then it's also a matter of enforcement.

MR. JOYCE: Correct. I definitely understand that, but, Mrs. Krake, if you could please obtain a copy of that ordinance and place it in my mailbox I would greatly appreciate it because I think that there is something -- or something definitely should be done to those violating this on a consistent basis and it's starting to cause problems and create some issues amongst neighbors in various parts of the city.

MS. EVANS: That's all. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A MOTIONS.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, any comments or motions?

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Briefly, a citizen sent me a letter about an ordinance that was being enforced somewhere in Florida, it might have been St. Petersburg, dealing with unpaid parking tickets, and I think the essence of it was that what they did if they found a car that had unpaid parking tickets what they did was boot the car and would not remove the boot until all of the unpaid parking tickets were paid.

Now, I realize again that becomes a, you know, an issue of manpower to do something like that, but I thought it was an interesting way in which to get people to pay their tickets. If they don't have use of their vehicle, it may prompt them to at least make an effort to pay the unpaid tickets, so I'll pass that letter on and it had an article from a newspaper with it and

I'll pass that onto the police department and see if there is anything and possibly to the Parking Authority to see if there is anything that they can do.

MS. EVANS: And just to add to that, I learned today, for example, that in Wilkes-Barre if a vehicle parks, let us say, next to a fire hydrant, and we know that that's not permitted, rather than the vehicle being ticketed solely it's towed, and that might be something I think as well that we may wish to pursue.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, and I would like to thank the citizen for that letter and making me aware of that. It may be become useful in the future.

Secondly, I, too, would like to just make a brief comment about the cancellation of the caucus tonight. I think that in light of what has happened in the past and also in any matter where we are dealing with the amounts of money that we are dealing with in terms of these delinquent taxes, I think that it's something that should be brought to council, should be brought to a

caucus, and I am very disappointed that the parties involved were unable -- I know that we cancelled because of lack of quorum, but I would like -- I would still like to see the parties involved in this the sale of the delinquent taxes come before a caucus before we would make any decision on it. I think that's an important thing to do at this point in time.

And lastly, a number of weeks ago

Mr. Bolus came to council and asked that we
pursue a question concerning the 16 1/2 foot
deeded waterway owned by the City of

Scranton that he had put in or said that he
would purchase. Attorney Kelly did respond
to that letter and since Mr. Bolus has made
this a council issue, I would just like to
read the response from Attorney Kelly for
council and for the public.

"I write to you in response to your June 1, 2011, letter whereby Mr. Bolus requested his offer to purchase a 16 1/2 deeded waterway owned by the City of Scranton."

It says, "First, Mr. Bolus is

represented by counsel, and pursuant to the rules of professional responsibility I cannot discuss this matter with anyone but his counsel of record."

Then it goes onto say that that the Selatine subdivision, which this includes, was approved by the City Planning Commission in January of 2004. Mr. Bolus challenged the legality of that in Lackawanna Court, Judge Mazzoni ruled in December of 2005 that the subdivision, including the waterway easement, was proper and legal. Mr. Bolus then appealed that to the Commonwealth Court and the Commonwealth Court affirmed Judge Mazzoni's decision in April of 2007."

And so the conclusion from Attorney Kelly is that, "After reviewing these facts, it is my opinion that there is no 16 1/2 foot waterway easement owned by the city and Mr. Bolus knows this having litigated the issue from 2004 to 2007."

So I just wanted since Mr. Bolus kind of included me in some of his allegations concerning the situation that he has on East Mountain, I just thought that I

would provide this answer and hopefully we can send a copy of this to Mr. Bolus in some way so that he has a response to his question, and that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman McGoff. Councilman Joyce, any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Tonight in Item

5-B we will be voting to introduce

legislation to change the zoning of a

specific section of land between Colliery

Avenue and McCarthy Street in Minooka from

CN, neighborhood commercial, to R-1A, medium

density residential.

Last week at council and at the public hearing we heard arguments from Attorney Finnerty, who represented the Minooka Neighborhood Association, and Mr. Worgan, a potential developer for the land in question. While listening to both of them speak, they both brought out various points, however, I will express that I am strongly in favor of the changing the zoning of the section of the land between Colliery Avenue and McCarthy Street. In my opinion

Attorney Finnerty brought forth stronger concerns than Mr. Worgan.

Besides the differing of opinion between Attorney Finnerty and Mr. Worgan, the most important piece of the puzzle behind all of the legal red tape is what the people of Minooka want.

Last month I walked various streets in Minooka and discussed this issue with many residents, probably around 150 in total. Out of all of those residents, every one was in favor of changing the zoning to R-1A. The residents of Minooka deserve to be granted what they desire in regard to the future of their neighborhood. The wishes of the Minooka residents are quite clear, which is, that they want the zoning changed. With this in mind, I will be voting affirmative for Item 5-B tonight.

Secondly, in Item 7-A we will be voting to transfer \$200,000 from the emergency flood relief fund for additional paving measures and reconstruction of handicap accessible curbs. As you may know, the \$200,000 amount is federal funding.

While some may be concerned that there would not be funding available in the event of a flood, I do want to reassure the public that there will be approximately \$130,000 left for the emergency flood account if anything shall ever happen and in the future in regards to flooding, therefore, I will be voting in favor of this as well.

Over the weekend, both me and Councilwoman Evans took the time to meet with Mr. McGowan, our business administrator, as well as other city officials to discuss the current financial situation of the city caused greatly by the deficit carried into these year's budget brought forth by the deficit carried over from the administration 2010 budget, which was not made clear to council until February of this year, as I have discussed in many meetings previously.

At the request of the administration, the information discussed at Saturday's meeting will be kept confidential until further notice. While I have been in favor of the meeting in public with the

administration, I agreed to meet in private on Saturday afternoon as an amicable gesture and to signify that council is willing to work with the administration. The question is, is the administration willing to work with council city council?

I have been calling for a few weeks for a public meeting to discuss the financial situation of the city with Mr.

McGowan and Mayor Doherty. Mrs. Krake, I asked last week that you resubmit my request to Mayor Doherty to see if he would be willing attend a public meeting with a requested response date of today. As of this point, has Mayor Doherty responded?

MS. KRAKE: We have not received a response.

MR. JOYCE: Okay, with this in mind, I would just like to extend this date to next Tuesday, I understand that the mayor is on vacation this week, which every employee is entitled to vacation time.

The main point is that there needs to be a public meeting to discuss solutions and there should also be a meetings in the

future to discuss 2012. For years, meetings and decisions have been made behind closed doors without the opportunity for public comment or even public knowledge for that matter. The challenges that Scranton faces today can't be solved by the same level of thinking processes that were instituted when they were first created years ago.

Public meetings between the administration and council have never occurred in the past. A change in this pattern is necessary to initiate true progress and overall business accounting.

One request that I did have tonight is about individuals employed about the city and the administration and the unions regarding opting out of health insurance.

Typically I know from corporate America that in situations where you may go on your spouse's health plan, you could receive a sum of money if you opt out of the health insurance that the company is offering, and I know that also in some companies that has been done due to poor economic conditions.

However, for the city I wanted to find out

what exactly the amount is. So, Mrs. Krake, if you could send a Right-to-Know request to Business Administrator McGowan asking for a breakdown of each of the unions and the administration in regard to how many employees were opting out of the city's health care as well as how much money is being paid to them.

And finally, I have a few citizens' requests: Residents in the 1600 block of Price Street have expressed their concerns for the plot of land at the end of this block. The grass on this block -- or the grass on the plot of land rather, has grown to about three feet in height and is causing growing problems in the neighborhood. Mrs. Krake, if we could contact Mr. Brazil and ask him to handle this situation as he sees appropriate.

A resident of the 1300 block of East Elm Street in East mountain recently sent me pictures because of the condition of the road on his block and made a request that this block be repaired last week. Since we provide two weeks for the request to be

answered in most situations, I will also forward these pictures and if you could please pass them along to Mr. Brazil I would greatly appreciate it.

A resident residing at 312 16th

Avenue requests that a handicap parking sign
be placed in front of the property. Due to
a lack of parking spaces on this street,
this resident is elderly is experiencing
some problems getting in and out of their
house, so if you could please send this over
to Mr. Brazil that would be much
appreciated.

And I did receive somewhat of a disturbing e-mail this week, it was from a Scranton resident who informed me that a stranger simply left four kittens on the resident's porch and a day later dropped off the mother cat. I know that we post the number for the pothole hotline on ECTV, and given this resident's concern for people trying to use her house as an animal shelter, I believe that it would be useful if we could request ECTV to post the number of the Griffen Pond Animal Shelter as well

if they agree to do so. If you could please send this request to ECTV.

I know from having animals that taking care of an animal is a responsibility. Instead of dropping off an animal on a porch of another resident or simply letting them go, the animal should be brought to a shelter so they could be found a loving home and taken care of if the owner is not able to do so themselves.

In today's mail we also had a request about the sidewalks on West -- the West Lackawanna Avenue Bridge. If we could please address this with the appropriate party to have this rectified as the sidewalks are in poor condition.

Also, in a request to Mr. Brazil, can you please add a request for him to provide a list of all of the state routes in the city. I know various residents contact all of the -- all council members, I'm sure, and I know of some of the state routes offhand, however, I do not know all of them, so this will be very useful when handling citizens' requests in the future.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, a resident has requested that a handicap sign be placed

outside of her house. She is an elderly

woman and needs a handicap spot and I guess

this just came into our office today. The

address is 1153 Hampton Street, and I will

provide you with the phone number if you

would like to contact her for more

information, and that's all for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman

Joyce. Councilman McGoff?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I'm sorry. I was

reading through some of the things that we

had received and one of the things from the

Scranton Sewer Authority I forgot to ask,

they have asked that as spring is here or

summer is here and people are doing

landscaping and other things around their

house, that please don't use the corner

catch basins as a place to dump grass

clippings, leaves, and other yard waste. It

may seem like a simple request, but those

types of things do clog the system and make

it very difficult and then it does lead to,

you know, block ages and floodings and so

they are requesting that please find a better spot to dump these types of things and thank you. I'm sorry.

MS. EVANS: You are welcome. And good evening. Prior to last week's regularly scheduled council meeting, a public hearing was conducted regarding the petition of the Minooka Neighborhood Association for rezoning of a 17-acre parcel of the land from commercial to residential. Following this hearing, city council requested that the administration draft zoning legislation for placement on tonight's agenda and council's consideration. The law office refused to draft the legislation.

Council Solicitor Boyd Hughes to draft this legislation. Council thanks both Solicitor Hughes and city planner, Don King, for their tireless work and time devoted to this legislation. Once again, Attorney Hughes has risen to the occasion and performed the responsibilities of the city's legal department. Without Attorney Hughes and

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. King's efforts, the Minooka Resident's Association would have received no response from city government. The zoning legislation is included on tonight's agenda for introduction.

There was also continued discussion of the closing of the Novembrino pools during our previous council meetings. City Council had urged the mayor to postpone construction of a splash pond until September 2011, thereby allowing children in West Scranton to swim free during these summer months. Unfortunately, the mayor ignored council's request. Thereafter, council requested that the Scranton Recreation Authority allow the children of Pinebrook and West Scranton a day of free swimming each summer month at the Nay Aug Swim Complex, and council awaits the Recreation Authority's response.

It appears that there are no funds available to pay lifeguards at the Novembrino pools because the mayor used those funds to reinstate four DPW supervisors who had been eliminated in the

22

23

24

25

1

2011 budget. As a result, three to four supervisors earned more money in 2011 than they did in 2010. It isn't right that hundreds of children must lose free swimming in the summer to pay for political appointments. Therefore, with the agreement of my honorable colleagues, I ask Solicitor Hughes, he is going to love this, to draft legislation for introduction on next week's agenda to transfer funds from the UDAG repayment account or RE-RE for the payment of lifeguard salaries at the Novembrino pools for the 2011 summer swim season. The funds available in the account should be used for the benefit of the people, particularly since council halted the mayor's unchecked and often frivolous spending of these monies earlier in 2011.

And do I have my colleagues' agreement?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Fine.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Attorney
Hughes, since the remainder of city pools
are scheduled to open this weekend, please

prepare the legislation for placement on next week's agenda. And finally --

MR. HUGHES: The only which I could do that is I'm going to Scotland this weekend and I'm going to be cloned as Dolly the sheep. I think they will have one Boyd Hughes that will stay in the office 24 hours a day to do his work, and then the other Boyd Hughes will go and have a good time.

MS. EVANS: Well, when you go to Scotland please take me with you because actually I need a bit of cloning myself.

Finally, I have citizens' requests for the week: I received a report regarding the nuisance property in the 1300 block of Eynon Street owned by an individual who resides outside of the Scranton. The property was previously reported to Mr. Seitzinger, but no action has been taken. It appears that over 30 police calls have occurred recently, many of which fall under the nuisance property ordinance, while others involve domestic disputes and an arrest. In addition, an increased amount of short-term traffic at this property has been

observed.

Please report the specific location to the police chief and request that copies of all police reports from the last six months at this location are forwarded to the Office of City Council for review on or before June 24. Council will then submit all police reports to the Office of Licenses, Inspections and Permits so that it can take appropriate action.

Hill section residents report the following problems, and they reference the city code for appropriate action. Now, I'm not quite sure that is the city code, it may be something -- another book that we have, but I am going to refer to it as the city code. City Code Chapter 439-92, parking or standing of trucks in residential areas. A dump truck is parked at the corner of Olive Street and Wheeler avenue. Also, the owner has several junk cars parked on Olive Street and continuing onto the 600 block of Wheeler Avenue.

City Code Chapter 438-11, junk vehicles storage. A junk yard containing

old cars and other debris is located behind 1615 Olive Street.

City Code Chapter 438-2, abandonment of vehicles. An abandoned vehicle has been parked at the corner of Harrison Avenue and Olive Street since the winter months. It has no motor and the owner uses it for storage.

City Code Chapter 439-24, parking for repairs. On Olive Street between the 100 block and 600 block of Wheeler Avenue vehicles are frequently parked on cinder blocks for repair.

Please address these issues as soon as possible and provide council with a written update on or before June 30, 2011.

this address was condemned approximately four years ago. Recently neighbors observed foot traffic and entering and exiting the structure at night. Also, the grass is highly overgrown making it home to snakes, mice, rats and other rodents. This is a second request for action in 2011, and additional requests were made during 2010

and 2009 by council. I have checked this property each summer and no action is ever taken. Provide a written update to city council on or about before June 30, 2011.

East Mountain homeowners report that catch basins on the upper part of East

Mountain Road are covered or clogged with debris, and the catch basin at the base of Yesu Lane located a few feet from East

Mountain Road is also covered with trash.

Please address as soon as possible.

South Side residents report high weeds and litter, including political signs, at the intersection of Meadow avenue and River Street. Please address this blight as soon as possible.

Also, please clean the trash cans at the park on Orchard Street and clear city-owned property next to 620 Pittston Avenue.

And finally, a resident requests a new "No parking" handicapped sign outside of her house. The sign she has is faded. She is 84 years old and ill and needs her parking spot. She stated that no one can

read the sign so they are parking in her spot. She has called the DPW and said no one has returned her call, so I'm not going to use her name publically, but we do have this information if we could please send that letter along, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF
COUNCIL NO.74, 1993 (AS AMENDED), ENTITLED
"THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF
SCRANTON" BY CHANGING THAT PORTION OF AN 17
ACRE PARCEL OF LAND FRONTING ON THE 100
BLOCK AND 200 BLOCK OF DAVIS STREET AND ITS
SURROUNDING AREA, AS MORE PARTICULARLY
DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO
FROM C-N (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL) TO R-1A
(MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT).

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? I would like to defer for a moment to Solicitor Hughes on the question.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Madam

President. I drafted the ordinance to change the zone from CN to R-1A. The actual area of the zone is 17 acres, and that includes the streets. On further investigation, this entire area in the 1983 zoning code of the City of Scranton was zoned R-1A, however, when the zoning code -- when the new zoning code was adopted in 1993 it was changed to CN.

A major portion, I'd say the six acres of this area, which is down in here, is mostly a single family residential.

These are all houses in this area and, of course, this is the large parcel that is vacant is CN right now.

As a result, council with the ordinance in front of it that is proposed tonight 5-A, it was drafted, I drafted it in accordance with changing the entire zone to R-1A, which will confirm to what this property was prior to 1993 for a long time and, as you stated, I drafted this legislation since the solicitor's office refused to draft it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Solicitor

Hughes.

_

MR. HUGHES: I would state, the form was submitted by the Minooka Neighborhood I never reviewed it, I mean, I Association. just reviewed it cursorily to take a look at it to see what they were requesting to be rezoned. They did supply a proposed ordinance, and that proposed ordinance was sent to the City Planning Commission and also to the Lackawanna County Regional Planning Commission, and I thought that that would be drafted by the solicitor's office. However, when they refused to draft it, you requested that I draft it, I believe it was last Thursday or Friday, I think it was Thursday, Thursday evening, I drafted it to get it on the agenda for this meeting and I changed the form. I didn't think that the form was really the proper form for an ordinance, for a zoning ordinance, so it was changed. The actual description or boundary was not changed, but I took the -- I just what I call massaged it around to make it a more, you know, more proper form for the

MR. MCGOFF: Could I just --

1

2

3

ordinance and that's what we have tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: May I ask Attorney
Hughes a question? I'm sorry, I can't --

MR. HUGHES: I'll hide behind the pole.

MR. MCGOFF: When the gentleman was here from the development company he spoke of the possibility that this was discriminatory. Can you foresee this legislation being challenged as being discriminatory zoning?

MR. HUGHES: I'll give you my stock answer, anybody can sue anybody. I mean, it's -- you know, it's that simple. You know, when the American form of lawsuits that everybody pays their own fees. It's a very exceptional case when a loser has to pay the other side's fees, costs and attorney fees. You know, the English system is that you file a lawsuit, you have the consequence of filing that lawsuit, if you lose the lawsuit you have to pay the other side's fees.

I mean, anybody can sue anybody on

this. I look at it, it's not going to be council's job to defend this, it's going to be the solicitor's office to defend it in the event the legislation is passed. We are certainly -- well, this is -- that's why I wanted to go back and see what it previously was to see if this area was always CN, however, at least from 1983, and I didn't go further beyond that, back beyond that to the city original's zoning ordinances to see how this area was zoned in that whole time period.

It appears that at least from 1983

It appears that at least from 1983 to 1993 it's a fact that it was an R-1A zone. For some reason it was changed to CN. Why? I can't tell you, but when you look at the zoning map, and you see the zoning map here it, this whole area was R-1A. They cut this out and made it CN and made this CN. Here is an R-3 zone and this area down here is CN zone. Is there an area that's close to it that is a CN zone where the project would be put? Yes. I don't know who owns this property or any land that is down here that's for sale.

But to answer your question could somebody sue? Sure. Could the owner of the property sue? I understand they live in Florida or North Carolina, you know, they would still have a property that for a period of, what would that be, 18 years that when it went from R-1A to CN nothing ever happened; right? So to me this property sticks out like a sore thumb as a CN zone.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:mats} \text{MR. MCGOFF: That's what I asked} \\ \text{for.}$

That's Boyd Hughes' opinion, right?

MR. HUGHES: And, you know, when I look at it and say does it make sense for the Minooka Neighborhood Association wanting to do this to make it all R-1A I certainly think so, especially under the fact that for a long period of time it was an R-1A zone, and who knows if it stayed R-1A the last 18 years would a developer had come in and made single family residential, that's pure conjecture. But to answer your question, anybody can sue anybody. All it takes is \$134.50 to file the complaint with the Clerk's Office. Better go to Atlantic City

sometimes.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. My point was that I sympathize with what the intent of this is and I was hoping that the answer would be that it would be -- that the Minooka Residents Association wouldn't have further difficulties in, you know, dealing with this property, you know, due to that, but I am in favor of the resolution for sure.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-C. ACCEPTING OWNERSHIP
AND MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAFFIC
SIGNAL DEVICE AT THE INTERSECTIONS OF
SR 3011 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND FERDINAND
STREET; SR 3011/SR 307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND
SR 307 (MORGAN HIGHWAY); SR 0307 (KEYSER
AVENUE) AND SR 8031 (SCRANTON EXPRESSWAY SB
OFF RAMP); SR 0307/6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND
SR 8031 (SCRANTON EXPRESSWAY NB OFF RAMP);

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

DRIVEWAY/PRIVATE DRIVE AND SR 6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND SR 3033 (OAK STREET) AND ACCEPTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT NO. 04S022 BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (COMMONWEALTH) AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON (SUBDIVISION) AS PART OF THE SCRANTON WEAVE/OAK STREET RAMP REMOVAL PROJECT.

SR 6307 (KEYSER AVENUE) AND KEYSER VILLAGE

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? Some of you may recognize this as a familiar piece of the legislation in that it was recently approved by council, however, our office received correspondence from a Mr. Keith D. Williams, the district traffic engineer for PennDOT, and he is indicating in the letter, and I will read from it, "We have received the executed applications to own and operate the traffic signal for the

above-referenced intersections as part of the Scranton League Project," and, of course, those intersections are the same that were named in the legislation.

"However, since this project is federally funded, we actually need an executed maintenance agreement in place of an application. We apologize for any inconvenience."

And so the introduction of tonight's legislation is just to provide the proper paperwork or proper documents, that being an executed maintenance agreement, to PennDOT. Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-D. RATIFYING AND
APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF
THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY & TRAINING CENTER OF

24

25

1

NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA (EOTC), TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT. PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, FOR A REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT LOCATED AT 431 NORTH SEVENTH AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT #C000051396 AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$165,791.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. JOYCE: So moved.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I would just like to say that over the past couple of years that I have been on council I have gotten to

know Mrs. McCroan, the executive director of the EOTC, and some of the work that they do is exemplary, especially I was very impressed with the some of the work they did with young adults, especially in parenting classes and some other types of things, and I am happy see that they are getting -- perhaps will get some funding to continue the work that they have done.

MS. EVANS: If I might explain this grant a bit to the public, EOTC, I believe, applied for Phase III, funding for Phase III of a project that has been underway for some time now, and through Phase III this funding will provide for sidewalks, parking lots, and I believe a playground or play areas for small children. I believe, too, it involves outside lighting as well.

And so I think that basically much has already occurred, but this is still necessary and we are hoping that the grant is approved. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

1	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. KRAKE: 5-E. AUTHORIZING THE
4	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
5	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT
6	WITH HORSEPOWER HARLEY DAVIDSON, INC. TO
7	LEASE FIVE (5) POLICE PACKAGE MOTORCYCLES.
8	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
10	introduced into it's proper committee.
11	MR. JOYCE: So moved.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
13	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO
21	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
22	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON
23	FINANCE FOR ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 36,
24	2011- AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83, 2009,
25	ENTITLED, "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE

MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE 1 CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY 2 ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED 3 SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND 4 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE 5 FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK 6 GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 7 8 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY 9 SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$200,000.00 FROM PROJECT 05-15 10 EMERGENCY FLOOD RELIEF TO PROJECT 10-04 11 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB 12 13 CUTS. 14 MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 15 16 Committee on Finance? 17 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 19 passage of Item 7-A. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 21 MS. EVANS: On the question? Just 22 once again, I'd like to repeat that there is 23 a certain amount, I think it's over 24 \$100,000 --MR. JOYCE: 130. 25

1 MS. EVANS: About approximately 2 \$130,000 that remains in the account for 3 future flood projects or emergency flood 4 projects. Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 6 MS. CARRERA: 7 Mr. Joyce. 8 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 10 11 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 12 MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR 13 14 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 37, 2011(AS AMENDED) - ESTABLISHING THE LIST OF 15 AUTHORIZED TOWING COMPANIES FOR THE CITY OF 16 SCRANTON AND ESTABLISHING THE RULES, 17 18 QUALIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS TO BE FOLLOWED 19 BY ALL SAID TOWING COMPANIES, ESTABLISHING FINES AND PENALTIES FOR TOWING AND FEES 20 21 RELATED TO THIS ORDINANCE. 22 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-B. 23 24 MR. MCGOFF: Second. 25 MS. EVANS: There is a motion on the

floor to table Item 7-B and a second. 0nthe question? I should explain, as I reviewed the legislation more carefully, certainly I recognize that both the fees paid by towers to the city has increased and the amount that will be paid to the towers by owners of towed vehicles has increased, but I also noticed that among the three lists that have been established for regular tows, heavy equipment tows, and salvage tows, that of the 15 towers contained within those lists three of the towers appear on only one list. Three of the towers appear on all three lists, and the remainder of the towers appear on two lists.

So in all fairness, it doesn't seem quite appropriate that a towing company that is only eligible for placement on one list should be paying the same fee as a towing company that is placed for potential work on three different lists, so that, of course, you know, the tower that is placed on three lists is going to enjoy more business than the tower that's only on one list.

So in the upcoming week council is

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 hoping to make some appropriate adjustments 2 to these fees and amend the legislation. 3 All those in favor signify by saying 4 aye. MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 5 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 6 7 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 8 have it and so moved. Item 7-B is tabled. 9 MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR 10 11 ADOPTION-FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 38, 2011-12 CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY 13 ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED) ENTITLED 14 "COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER PARKING REVENUE ACCOUNT" FOR THE RECEIPT OF ALL PAYMENTS TO 15 16 BE MADE BY THE COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER 17 TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON PURSUANT TO FILE OF 18 COUNCIL NO. 26, 2011, (AS AMENDED), AND FOR THE DISBURSEMENTS OF DEPOSITS FROM SPECIAL 19 20 CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED). 21 MS. EVANS: What is the 22 recommendation of the Chair for the 23 Committee on Finance. 24 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the 25 Committee on Finance, I recommend final

1 passage of Item 7-C. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? Ro11 call, please? 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce. 8 MR. JOYCE: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans. MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare 10 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 11 12 I would also like to recognize that 13 in tonight's audience we have two graduates 14 of Scranton High School, former students in a manner of speaking. They were both very 15 16 successful members of the scholastic bowl 17 team, two very, very intelligence young men 18 who are certainly a credit to their family, the Scranton School District, and our entire 19 20 community. Thank you for being with us this 21 evening. It's very nice to see young people 22 in the audience. 23 And if there is no further business,

I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

Motion to adjourn.

MR. JOYCE:

24

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER