		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Tuesday, May 31, 2011	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.70505 0 0.0050777 000 000077 000077	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

PAT ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

FRANK JOYCE

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Loscombe.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce. Mrs.
10	Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
12	reading of the minutes.
13	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
14	MINUTES OF THE NON-UNIFORM PENNSYLVANIA
15	MEETING HELD APRIL 27, 2011.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
19	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD APRIL
20	27, 2011.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
24	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
25	HELD APRIL 27, 2011.

	4
1	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
2	If not, received and filed.
3	MS. KRAKE: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
4	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA COUNTY HEALTH AND
5	WELFARE AUTHORITY REGULAR BOARD MEETING HELD
6	JANUARY 20, 2011.
7	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. KRAKE: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE
10	MUNICIPAL PENSION FUND HELD MAY 25, 2011?
11	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed.
13	MS. KRAKE: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE
14	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING TO BE HELD JUNE
15	9, 2011.
16	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. KRAKE: 3-G. MAY 10, 2011,
19	REPORT OF ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY ON THE
20	STATUS OF THE 2010 AUDIT.
21	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. KRAKE: 3-H. MAY 24, 2011,
24	REPORT BY ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY OF THE
25	STATUS OF THE 2010 AUDIT.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Are there any clerk's notes?

MS. KRAKE: We don't have any this evening, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I have none.

MS. EVANS: The Architectural
Heritage Association will conduct it's
annual awards ceremony this Friday, June 3,
at 5:30 p.m. at Scranton City Hall.
Preservation awards and letters of
commendation will be presented and
refreshments will be served.

And on behalf of my council colleagues, I'd like to congratulate Councilman Pat Rogan on his graduation from the University of Scranton this past Sunday. Mr. Rogan has an impressive diploma and I'm sure years of student loans to prove it. We are proud of his achievements and are certain that this educational milestone will serve him well throughout his future, and

that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

THE COURT: Our first speaker this evening is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I was a little shocked when we found out the SRA defaulted on their letters of credit. Many times I have spoken about these letters of credit, I believe there is two out, one then when I think the Scranton Parking Authority has one.

Now the question is with with the default of the Scranton Parking Authority -- I don't know if the Scranton Parking Authority is going to default. Are they going to come up with the two million or are we going to do this the same as the SRA? Anybody know?

MS. EVANS: I don't know the Scranton parking Authority hasn't informed us on that particular matter, but then again, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority never informed us of their financial straights.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, I realize that's why they wanted to keep me off when you appointed me, they didn't want to seat me because they didn't want somebody there that would be able to tell what was going I understood that. That's sort of a closed society, but when a society like that, the Scranton Redevelopment Authority has gross negligence, because this is what it is, gross negligence, actually incompetence, and if I was you I would send a letter to them asking them politely to That's all you can really do because we need a new board there, there is no question about that, and if the Scranton Parking Authority goes under that should be the same thing, too.

Your budget is going to be so shot you will never get out of it, and it's just going to blame you. In fact, I heard a lot of rumors where he wants to run now for congress against Barletta. He wants to get away from Scranton as fast as he can because everything is collapsing and they're his fault. It's the mayor's appointees that

caused these problems, not the citizens. They are actually closed governments and that's a bad thing about it, but I do believe there is state laws that when something gets gross negligence or incompetence they can't be removed.

Now, I know yous can, but you certainly could ask the attorney general to look into it and see if they can remove him, but somewhere along the line you must be replace that board because it's obviously what they did, what they are going to do and what they have done. I'm not even talking about Piccolino, God knows how much money there is going to be gone with their condemnation projects and these lawyer fees constantly building. They appealed it, I talked to Piccolino and they said they appealed it.

There is no money to build that office building, none at all. That's probably why they dropped it because they used up all the money they got because you remember they were supposed to put an office building there. It's not there and it never

will be there.

You must hold these boards accountable. I mean, I know you have limited power because they are independent governments, that's the bad thing about authorities, I always said that. There should be no authorities, everything should come into the city, but when we stock -- and I feel sorry if the Parking Authority defaults on their \$2 million bond and we have to pick up that along with the million and a half from our Redevelopment Authority that's quite a bet, that's 3 1/2 million.

You also heard everybody talking about us having an eight 8 1/2 million dollar deficit at the end of the year. God, we may have a lot more deficit than that and the end of the year because he blew this budget apart and I think he did it for spite. Obviously he did it for spite because if they knew they were going to have problems, they knew -- they must have known this months and months ago and they didn't inform them.

They could come out with a budget

without all of these little hidden charges, I feel sorry for your budget that you put out, but somewhere along the way they got to be held accountable. If nothing else, you can request they resign. That's the least you can do for their incompetence because if I was there and I did that I definitely would resign. I wouldn't have the gall to come before the citizens of Scranton and say I did this, but that's how I'd do it, God knows how they are. Thank you.

(While Mr. Sbaraglia was speaking Mr. Joyce and Mr. Rogan take the dais.)

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

James Streznicki.

MR. STREZNICKI: Good evening, Council. My name is Jim Streznicki.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. STREZNICKI: I will start out, I was here a year ago for a memorial we are having for Jimmy Reddington, a marine that was -- okay, I'm sure you remember that?

MS. EVANS: Yes. In fact, you sent us some information several months ago that

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I read many times before council meetings.

MR. STREZNICKI: Right. Okay. I want to say though is when I was here last year from speaking to this podium we had John Marshall School they brought up their children from the school to attend that memorial and it was great and we really appreciated them coming. I didn't get a chance to thank them at the time it was so And believe me, it was hot. It was like it is today out there, all right, and the kids are actually fainting and that so they had to go, but before I say what I have to say I just wanted to thank John Marshall and the kids for attending that memorial.

Now, this year we are going to have something for Jimmy, it's a little bit different, okay, and I think it's fantastic myself, okay? But let me read here, and I hope I can explain. If you have any questions at the end, don't hesitate, you know I'll answer them the best I can. I'm here tonight on behalf of the Weston Field friends of James T. Reddington. For those listeners out there who didn't know Jimmy

Reddington, he was a US marine who was killed in action going to the Vietnam War in 1967. He attended Scranton Public Schools, Technical High School, graduated from Tech in 1965. Jimmy loved all sports. He played baseball for the Central City Little League and a multitude of other sports for North Scranton Junior High and Scranton Tech.

Having said that, I'm here tonight to inform everyone that on this Saturday, June 4, at 9:45 a.m., Central City Little League will be formally naming the Little League field the Reddington Field at Dick Holmes Memorial Sports Complex on the top of Woods Street in Scranton, that's the Bullhead section if they are not familiar with which street it is.

We would like to thank Mr. Joseph Berardelli, president of the Central City Little League, and and also the board.

Special thanks to the Northeast Marine Corp Detachment who purchased the plaque which will also we will unveil at Saturday's dedication and we would also like to thank everyone else who donated time and the

effort into making this dedication happen, and we would like to invite everyone to the this dedication, whether you knew Jimmy Reddington or not, at Central City Little League. Again, it will be held this Saturday, June 4, at 9:45 a.m., and if any of yous can make we would really be appreciated.

And before ending there is one thing, I would also like to thank Steve Corbett who has helped us tremendously over the years, the last year couple of years. And also this Friday, June 3, he will have a one-hour dedication for anyone who knew Jimmy that would like to talk about Jimmy to call in. I believe it's going to be starting at 3:30 p.m., so after saying that, you know, we would appreciate anyone that knew Jimmy if they can make it to the dedication. Thank you very much for your time. Appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Before I call up another speaker, let the record reflect that Councilman Joyce and Councilman Rogan have joined the meeting. Is there anyone

. 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else who cares to address council?

MR. MORGAN: I'd just tonight like to start off by saying that the Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers' and Citizens' Association this year is going to do another free swim at Nay Aug Park and we are just asking the public to consider sending donations to Mr. Jackowitz, he is the co-chairman at 416 South Webster Avenue, we have done this since 2007. I really don't have numbers, an awful lot of children have really enjoyed it and, you know, some pools are going to be closed and I think it would be a really nice thing if anybody could afford to do that they would consider sending a check to Mr. Jackowitz at 416 South Webster Avenue in Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Excuse me, Mr. Morgan, is it 416 or 1416?

MR. MORGAN: 1416. 1416.

MS. EVANS: And you can make checks payable to "Kids Swim Free"?

MR. MORGAN: Yes, in care of Bill Jackowitz.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: The date? 1 MR. MORGAN: What's that now? 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: The date for it? MR. MORGAN: Date for? 4 MR. MCGOFF: For the Kids Swim Free? 5 MR. MORGAN: Well, we announce them 6 7 throughout the course of the summer and the 8 pools haven't opened yet, but usually 9 sometime in the middle of July we start, Mr. McGoff. 10 11 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 12 MS. EVANS: After they gather enough 13 donations to 14 MR. MCGOFF: Okay, I didn't know if you had a date. 15 16 MR. MORGAN: We also intend to ask 17 the Recreational Authority to consider 18 giving us a reduced rate for these children. 19 I don't know if they can or not, but we are 20 going to ask that at the next meeting, which 21 I believe is Monday. 22 The other thing I have here is I was 23 looking at the towing ordinance that is on 24 the agenda tonight and, you know, I'd just 25 like to make a couple of comments on it. I

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really think that it may be in the best interest of the city to create it's own tow yard so that the vehicles are towed into the city, the city has control of them. I also believe that the city should receive a fee for every day they are impounded and share that with the tower, I think that would be a way to raise some revenue.

I think on heavy duty tows I think also the city should -- I don't see it in here, I didn't really get a chance to read it very well, I just picked it up this evening, but I do think that, you know, you state how much you are going to get for a tow and heavy duty towing is something much different because it's much more expensive, and also if there is a cleanup it usually costs an awful lot more. If Mr. Bolus was here he could explain that because he does a lot of that stuff that, you know, the cleanups for heavy duty trucks usually sometimes cost an awful lot of money, and I just think that the city should share in that.

And in regards to the salvage tows

where if somebody doesn't, you know, come back and pay to get their car back, I mean, the days of \$35 junk cars in the junk yards are done now and now they are \$500, four or five hundred dollars depending on the value of the car and I just think that the city should get a percentage of the salvage of that vehicle, whether it's a fifth or an eighth or whatever it is.

I mean, flat fees are fine, but, I mean with the city with the shape it's in and, you know, I just think it's time to really reconsider a lot of things, maybe I have more comments to make, you know, but I want to be brief tonight, but maybe it's time to really consider a city tow yard that's, you know, going to be to the benefit of the city because we are going to get our revenue first and then pass the money onto the tower.

So, I mean, I agree with a lot of things I see here, like I said, I only looked at it briefly but this is a way for the to revenue and I appreciate your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident and member of the Taxpayers' Association. First of all, I'm a week behind so I'd like to congratulate Mr. McGoff for winning the primary for city council and also being the highest vote getter. I'd also like to congratulate Mr. Loscombe for also winning and Mr. Morgan on the Republican side, like I said, I'm a week behind.

Okay, you know, what I'd like to talk about, the Kids Swim Free, this is our fifth year, and again, we survive on donations and donations only. You can mail the check to 1416 South Webster Avenue, make it out to "Kids Swim Free", or if you want to make a cash donation you can either see myself or you can see Mr. Sbaraglia or Mr. Morgan and, you know, that will be accepted, also.

We'll probably be having our first swim free probably after the Fourth of July. Our most successful one was on the Fourth of July, but we decided not to do them on the holidays anymore, so it will probably be

around the 10th or 11th, somewhere after the first week after the Fourth of July.

Another thing I would like to talk about is lately there has been a lot of publicity with the crime in the Scranton area especially some of the -- lack of better words drug bars and places that have been raided, and a lot of people have been taken off the street, which I think is a good thing.

Now, I have always been an advocate, I probably will always be an advocate of hands on first. What I mean by that is I'm 63 years old, but I actually have gone into Finn McCool's and been in there from 9:00 at night to 2:00 in the morning just to see for myself what was going on in places like that. I have actually been in the Sun Hotel, again, just to see for myself what goes on in there, not secondhand information.

Now, how how many of you on council know about the Castle, the old Castle Restaurant in North Scranton. Does anybody know anybody about the Castle? Anybody on

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city council? Well, I'll tell you what, after I left Finn McCool's at 2:00 in the morning I went to the Castle Restaurant in North Scranton, it's an after-hours place, and I'm going to tell you what, you want a contact high go into the Castle Restaurant. That place is going wild every Friday and Saturday night. It's an after-hours bar and I guarantee you there is not too many residents in the City of Scranton that even know about it, but I bet the Scranton Police Department knows about it.

Again, I'm an advocate. I would like to see the Neighborhood Associations, I would like to see people who live in the neighborhood association if they know they got a troubled bar in their neighborhood, they know they got a problem in their neighborhood, organize and go visit the place, you know? Get like 25 or 30 60-year-olds sitting in that place and I guarantee you the troubled people will eventually leave. Don't go in there by yourself, but go in there and see what's going on for yourself. Check it out. Don't

rely upon the police department and everyone else to do it, go on in.

If you've got a known, suspected drug dealer in the area make life miserable for that person, you know? I'm not saying go out there and be a policeman, I'm not saying that, but let them know that you know they're there. You see somebody walking down the street with their pants down to their ankles do like I do. I walk up to them and I say, "Excuse me, you're offending me. I do want to see your boxer shorts, can you please pull your pants up."

If more people would do things like that, maybe one or two of these young people will get the hint and stop doing it. You know, you are not paying attention that's where that all started is in the penitentiary because you don't have belts in the penitentiary and they walk around with their pants down to their knees. You should not be doing it in public in my opinion.

Worse thing in the world when I go in the hall or go I here and I see that I walk right up to them and say, "Hey, you're

offending me, can you please pick your pants up?"

If they don't fine, but at least I feel like I did something. If more people would get involved and start doing things maybe we can cut back on some of the crime we have in this area.

Now, as far as the election goes, it's very disappointing that only 40 percent of the people in Lackawanna County voted in the primary. Very disappointed. You know why? I don't think most of the people who live in Lackawanna County or in the City of Scranton anymore are even legally able to register to vote because the demographics so have changed and the fact that we have so many people with felony convictions who cannot legally register to vote.

Right now over 70 percent of the people in the City of Scranton are renters. We need to do something about that rental, we are losing a lot of money and we definitely need to do something with StreetSmart because here we are in June and nothing has been done yet. We are losing a

lot of revenue. But again, really, I'm not telling people to go out there by themselves, I'm saying go out in a group.

Let these people mow that we know that the element is in this city and we don't want you in this city, and if you go in a group I guarantee you they will start going to other places, maybe even different cities.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Jackowitz.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: I had an idea awhile back and I think it's time has come. If anybody in these authorities and departments within the city cannot deliver the information that's needed for an audit by 30 days late maybe we should call for their resignation. It's about time. The time has come and no more of this holding information back until December 31 and after the budgets are made up and then pull bills out of the woodwork that are falling due and, well, we blame it all on council, you know, so once

again, you have no -- maybe you don't have any ability, but if I were a member of council I'd just send them a letter, please resign. You know, if you can't come up with the information on time you are not running the department the right way, please resign.

And I know it's out of your control, but with authorities once again, but that swimming pool not opening up is a real source of concern, whatever you can do and, once again, I don't understand what's with the Novembrino thing, they want to start work now on this pool to make it a wading pool so we, therefore, have to shut it down or something like that?

MS. EVANS: That appears to be the case. I think it's a splash pond though, so they would be I imagine filling in the pool and creating a splash pond and picnic areas that are --

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, it's a good idea.
Why couldn't it be done in September or
starting. Some of the timing on these
projects, I remember the 500 block of
Lackawanna Avenue they went on and on for a

22

23

24

25

couple of years, people talk about it, but when did they start it? A week before Christmas, so if you went on Lackawanna Avenue a week before Christmas, which we are trying to attract customers for the mall and downtown shopping what did you have, There are three blocks of aggravation. backed up traffic, why did the administration decide to start it then? That's just so silly. They could have started on December 31, it wouldn't have made a bit of difference, but it must have been like December 18 or something because I was downtown and I was like Holy Handle, you know, I'm going to have to pack a lunch to get out of town here. So who knows, we just like to make everybody look bad or penalize people for know apparent reason.

And I have a comment on some of the things I have been reading in the Scranton Times. Madam President, is your father secretly Vito Corleon?

MS. EVANS: No, he is not. Actually, my father --

MR. DOBRYZN: Are you sure?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: I'm sure.

MR. DOBRYZN: Why are you in the Scranton Times so often, you'd think he was. They get the golden parrot. Bawk, bawk, bawk. Have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. HOLDEN: Good evening, Council.

Marilyn Holden, taxpaying citizen, Hyde

Park. I have a question, why is the

Novembrino pool not going to open this year?

MS. EVANS: Through the Community Development Block Grant Program allocations for 2001, the swim complex is to be converted from a swimming pool into a splash pond for young children, and I believe a picnic area will be constructed as well so that it can be a very family-oriented park, let us say. But as has been mentioned by a number of the other speakers tonight and last week, I do feel that it is fool-hardy to make that transition during the summer months, thereby disallowing children in West Scranton the ability to swim free this So I am hoping that the mayor and summer.

the DPW director would reconsider that decision and wait for the beginning of construction until September.

MS. HOLDEN: Because where are these children supposed to go for the summer? I mean, it's needed, the pool is needed as everyone agrees.

Okay, I'm sorry, last week I really didn't tune in so I'm a little behind here, but thank you for your time, O appreciate it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Mrs. President, may I ask a question?

MS. EVANS: Certainly.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Would it be possible to Mrs. Krake to send a letter to the Department of Public Works and the mayor asking if they have a definitive starting date for the project at Novembrino complex, because I thought read in the paper they still didn't have all of the money in place or whatever. If not, if they could open the pool as it presently stands until later in the year when the funding and the project is

complete. I mean, this could end up to be 1 2 another Crisp Avenue Bridge and they will be 3 closed for the next five years, so if they don't have a definitive date on it I would 4 5 request that it be opened this year. MS. EVANS: And I think, Mrs. Krake, 6 you can correct me if I'm wrong, we have 7 8 already sent a letter to the mayor 9 requesting that the pool, the Novembrino 10 Pool Complex, remain open this summer. MS. KRAKE: We did, and also Mrs. 11 12 Aebli has informed me that the monies are 13 not in place, as Councilman Loscombe just 14 said, for the CDBG, so that is a very good point, also. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Yes. Thank you, 17 Mrs. Krake. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. 19 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who 20 cares to address council? Mrs. Krake. 21 MS. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS. 22 MS. EVANS: Councilman McGoff, any 23 comments or motions? 24 MR. MCGOFF: Please. First, I would 25 again just like to request that the grant

for the Perry Avenue playground be put on the agenda. I believe that it really is unrelated to the insurance issue that we have talked about. I asked about the -- I asked about the insurance, they said that everything would be, you know, covered under the current insurance and I don't see any reason why we should delay putting that on the agenda.

And as far as the insurance legislation was concerned, last week I didn't agree with the override of I'm going to call it a veto, I'm not sure what it was that we did, but I do agree that I think something like that would set a dangerous precedent if it were allowed to continue. but at the same time I believe that I voted against the original legislation, I can't really remember, but I'm not sure that rebidding or putting that out to, you know, for an RFP, I think I said at the time that I'm not sure that it would be cost effective to rebid this again, to advertise it, and I'm not sure that the savings definitely would make it worthwhile, but, like I said,

25

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do just wish that we could separate the two issues and deal with the grant that we are going to receive for the Perry Street playground, deal with that first, and deal with the insurance issue separately.

And just one last thing, didn't we maybe a year or so ago, maybe it's two years, have something about the Castle Restaurant that there were complaints about it being after hours and it was going to be -- I just remember it being brought up and I don't know if there was ever anything done, but certainly it would be something that if it is becoming a nuisance then something should be done to curtail it and, you know, perhaps, you know, some citizen participation in that would be, you know, helpful with the citizens groups in those areas, that area, would put some pressure on the administration to do something if it is, in fact, a problem, and that's all. you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. First I received an

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-mail from Mr. Wolff from Scranton High
School and he asked me to announce this and
it says, Ms. Shannon's art major four class
will be doing a community project fundraiser
for breast cancer. Students will be doing a
collaborative painting as well as small
individual paintings. This event will
prelude the Race for the Cure and there will
be a stilleto race at the Collonade on June
3. The students will also be on WNEP with
Ryan Olecki to promote the event.

I know a recent topic of conversation at council meetings and in the media has been the Washburn Street Cemetery and with Memorial Day just on Monday a resident sent me I think it was about seven or eight pictures and I forwarded them to Mrs. Krake. I didn't print them off because they were in black and white and you can't really get the full picture of what's going on there. You know, it's a mixed bag. Some areas of the cemetery have improved dramatically, but from the people I talked to those areas the grass is maintained not by the owner of the cemetery, but by the

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

families of the people who are buried there.

So, Mrs. Krake, could we please forward those pictures to Licensing and Inspections and take the proper action.

Thank you.

I spoke to Mr. Paisley from Pine's Creek construction on the Crisp Avenue bridge today and he said that he has been in contact with Mr. Pocius and what they have to do is part of the bridge is going to be prefabricated, it's a form, a concrete form that they are going to put down and what he told me was the specs for the form are sent out and sent back and some small changes were being made and, you know, I said this a million times and I think -- I'm sure Mrs. Evans and Mr. Courtright when he was on council many times throughout this project it's finally going to be started. appear that things are in motion and hopefully by the summer we will see the construction again of this project finally be completed for the people in West Scranton.

And finally, this was passed along

to me from a resident, and it's just an idea and I ask that we send this to Mayor Doherty and Chief of Police Duffy, it's regarding illegal immigration in the city and this is from ICE. Fact sheet: Delegation of Immigration Authority Section 287(g) Immigration and Nationality Act. And what the 287 (g) program is, it's a law enforcement partnership between the ICE agents and the local government, and I'll just read this off and then comment on it afterwards.

It says, "The US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the largest investigative agency in the Department of the Homeland Security, is responsible for enforcing federal immigration laws as part of it's homeland security mission. ICE works closely with federal, state and local law enforcement partners in this mission.

The 287 (g) program, one of ICE's top partnership initiatives, allows state and local law enforcement entities to enter into a partnership with ICE, under a joint Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), in order to

receive delegated authority for immigration enforcement within the jurisdictions."

Which means if the city was involved with this partnership, Scranton police would be partnered with ICE to enforce immigration laws.

"In 2009, ICE fundamentally reformed the 287 (g) delegated authority program, strengthening public safety an densuring consistency in immigration enforcement across the country by prioritizing the arrest and detention of criminal aliens.

ICE ACCESS: A Partnership Approach.

The 287 (g) program is one compenent of the ICE ACCESS program. ACCESS stands for Agreements of Cooperation in Communities to Enhance Safety and Security, which provides local law enforcement agencies the opportunity to team up with ICE to combat specific challenges in their communities.

The 287 (g) program is only one component of ICE ACCESS umbrella and the program offers assistance through local law enforcement officers.

ICE developed the ACCESS program in

response to widespread interest from local law enforcement agencies who have requested ICE assistance through the 287 (g) program which trains local officers to enforce immigration laws as authorized through Section 287 (g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

Terrorism and criminal activity are most effectively combated through a multi-agency/multi-authority approach that encompasses federal, state and local resources. State and local law enforcement play a critical role in protecting our homeland as they are often first responders on the scene where there is an incident or attack against the United States. During the course of daily duties, they will often encounter foreign-born criminals and immigration violators who pose a threat to the national security or public safety.

The Memorandum of Agreement. The MOA defines the scope and limitations of the authority to be designated. It also establishes the supervisory structure for the officers working under the

cross-designation and prescribes the agreed upon point of process governing officer during the life of the MOA. Under the statute, ICE will supervise all cross-designated officers when they exercise their immigration authorities. The agreement must be signed by the ICE assistant secretary, and the governor, a senior political entity, or the head of the local agency before trained local officers are authorized to enforce immigration law.

Other Selection Requirements.

Participating officers in the 287 (g)

program must meet the following

requirements: U.S. citizenship, current

background investigation completed, minimum

of two years experience in current position,

and no disciplinary actions pending.

Currently ICE has 287 (g) agreements with 69 law enforcement agencies and 24 states across the country. Since January of 2006, the 287 (g) is a credited with identifying more than 200,000 potentially removable aliens, mostly at local jails. ICE has trained and certified more than

1,240 state and local officers to enforce immigration laws."

It's no secret that illegal immigration is a growing problem not only in Scranton and across the country and by entering into this program with ICE it would give the local authorities, our police, the authority to detain people who are in this country illegally.

And, Mrs. Krake, I have the website with all of the information that came off from the Department of Homeland Security and I have a website for it if we could forward that to the Mayor and Chief Duffy and request that either a meeting is set up or we have some correspondence about considering entering Scranton into the 287 (g) program, and that is all I have. I will comment on the agenda items when they come up. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, any motions or comments this evening?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, just a couple of brief comments. I would like to basically

24

25

just touch on, Mr. McGoff -- just what Mr. McGoff just said regarding the Perry Avenue Park and all. And again, I have nothing against parks. In January we passed legislation for six months on this insurance policy we requested the administration to rebid it or to bid it out for July 1 effective date. Mr. McGoff said it would be costly to rebid on the insurance. question is we have never bid it, so it's In this administration there not a rebid. has not been one bid placed for insurance The same broker has handled it and renewed it year after year. All we are looking for, and again, nothing against the brokers, I wouldn't know them if they sitting here, I would hope they would be the successful broker. The whole point is due diligence has to be done, the buildings have to be insured properly, the coverage has to be in place properly and any commercial insurer would review your policy annually when renewing it, and I can imagine there is many changes on there that have to be made and maybe a fresh set of eyes looking at it

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would bring out some of this to our attention.

But I read in the paper just this week that the mayor stated he has no intention of bidding it out. He said the insurance is paid for the year. doesn't matter. The legislation is only good until July 1. I would be happy to approve the Perry Avenue Park if the insurance broker would give us a letter stating that it would be fully insured throughout the balance of the year even though legislation has been passed by the city council that there is no coverage this effect after July 1. They will be operating at their own risk. This is uncharted territory. They are risking their own errors and omissions insurance, and the clock has ticked away and ticked away while the administration has sat on this, as has they sat on everything else.

I find it very interesting they don't want to bid this out, but we had a sure thing with StreetSmart, we had sole source technology, and they fought to bid

that out. When we finally said, okay, bid it out three months ago it took another three months before they put an RFP out.

I don't know what's going on, but it's frustrating. If this city is looking to save money and do the proper thing then start acting like a business and saving money and doing the proper thing. It just frustrates me. When we sit up here week after week passing legislation and they thumb their nose at us. We are trying to help the city. We know the condition the city is in, we didn't put it in this position, but we are willing to help, but the city has to go to bat themselves, and it just frustrates me. That's all I have to say on that.

Mrs. Krake, if you could request some information from the proper departments on the Meadow Brook Creek project. I have had some inquiry on that. Since I live in a different part of town I wasn't apprised on this here, but it's been very low key, and I understand there were two phases to it and this has been going on for years and years.

Phase I has been completed, but apparently Phase II there is no future Phase II, it's been dropped. Apparently monies were put aside, used and whatever, but I would like to have any of the information possible on both Phase I and Phase II, and any of the maps that were presented for this project just so I can follow-up on it and proceed where I have to proceed to see that these people in this area are allieved of this problem after over 40 years.

And what's happening here, this project is only half done. It's created more of a problem at Phase II part, it's almost like having two fingers with gangrene and cutting one off and leaving the other there. It's only getting worse. We wasted eight to ten million dollars on that first phase. I don't know. It's just the situation we keep getting yourselves in.

I apologize for my frustration tonight, Folks, but it does get frustrating. When we are trying to do the work here, the people are getting paid ten times what we are getting to paid to do and nothing is

getting done and we are stonewalled. Every time we go for an answer or a question or pass legislation. I think it's about time the city came to the table to work for you along with us. We keep hearing if we'd work together with the administration, we can work together with the administration. I don't see it happening.

To the administration we are nothing, but we are fighting for you, and we will continue to and I just hope things get a little better. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, any comments or motions this evening?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. April 20 I sent a Right-to-Know request to determine the amount of money paid out up until April 16 for the four DPW foremen that Mayor Doherty hired back to the city positions were eliminated in the 2011 operating budgets as amended. Originally these four foremen were scheduled to earn \$40,000 annually in 2011 which is about the same as what they earned by 2010.

Therefore, by Mayor Doherty hiring back the four foremen one would think that the cost would be an extra 160,000 in salary not to mention about \$50,000 on top of that in benefits and instead of hiring the four foremen back to the city payroll as salary workers, which is what they were in 2010, he hired them back as hourly workers and indicated that they would be making less money than what they previously did.

By examining the payroll documents received from the Right-to-Know request, which stated the amount of money paid out to each of the four foremen up until April 16th of 2011, it can be seen that the amount of money paid is greater in 2011 than it was in 2010. For the sake of not mentioning employee names, I'll read off some of the amounts of money paid to each of the four foremen up until April 16th of 2011 along with the comparable amount that they were paid in 2010 up until that same time. I'll just refer to them as foremen one, two, three and four.

Foreman one in 2011 paid up until

1 2 3

4-16, \$18,821.41. The same time in 2010, \$12,000.

Foreman two paid up until 4-16, \$10,922.06, in 2010, \$11,692.32, so this person actually made a little bit less this year than last year.

Foreman number three, this year \$15,1716.37 as compared to \$12, 246.16 in 2010.

Foreman number four, \$14,430.76 this year as compared to \$12,000 in 2010.

As one can determine, not only were the four foremen added back to the city, but they have been paid nearly 20 percent more on average than 2010 for the same timeframe of work up until April 16.

Therefore, with all being said, this is a great part of the reason why council wishes to see a breakdown of all DPW overtime when asked to transfer money to an additional -- or to fund additional overtime for DPW workers. We must examine what this overtime is being used for.

At the present time, Mayor Doherty already added what appears to over \$160,000

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in salaries if the current payment trends are upheld for the rest of the year coupled with the extra \$50,000 in benefits to the city's expenses that funds were not allocated for.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, have we received and answer to the Right-to-Know request regarding DPW overtime?

MS. KRAKE: Not yet. Technically, they have a few more days.

MR. JOYCE: Okay. Honestly, in no way, shape or form am I trying to single out the DPW. However, the DPW they are the group that's asking for the extra money. the fire, police or any department for that matter was coming to council asking for more funding for overtime I would ask for the same information. It's simply a matter of doing due diligence, and actually there are many good things that our Department of Public Work's workers do that are very admirable, in fact, last week I had a request to reinstall some signs "No Parking" in front of a resident's driveway as well as a "No Crossing" sign and those were

reinstalled back up within I'd say about five days time, so it's not a matter of singling them out, it's just a matter of doing the due diligence to make sure that overtime is being used wisely and not frivolously.

On other matters, last week Mayor

Doherty said that part of the problem why

the SRA loan, which they're saying now is

\$1.56 million will go into default, is that

council removed fees from the collection

agency hired by the authority. The

statement that Mayor Doherty made, and this

was in the GO Lackawanna Sunday edition, was

extremely misleading.

The first statement of the fact is that NCC, which was the collection agency originally hired by the authority to collect delinquent taxes, for the years covered by a loan from Pennstar Bank and stopped working for us in August.

Secondly, once NCC quit collecting these delinquent taxes, the onus of collecting these delinquent taxes fell to the SRA since they were the ones that

originally took out the loan. At no time was a new agency employed by the SRA to collect delinquent taxes for the years that the loan covered.

Also, at one point in time there was an RFP put out to even seek an agency to collect these taxes. NCC quit in August. Council did not pass the ordinance to eliminate some of the exorbitant fees that NCC was previously charging city residents in addition to the penalty and interest that the city imposed until December 7, 2010. At that time we did not have an outside agency even collecting the taxes, so how could Mayor Doherty actually state that council removed fees from the collection agency hired by the authority when there was no collection agency that was actually hired?

Mayor Doherty has a track record of vetoing what he does not agree with.

Apparently, everybody must know that by now.

In the case of Knowell's insurance he even tried to rescind his siganture and veto legislation that was passed into law. File of Council No. 50, which was the ordinance

that Mayor Doherty criticized, was passed into law by Mayor Doherty himself on November -- or December 9, 2010, two days after it was passed by council.

Therefore, if Mayor Doherty truly thought that the ordinance, as amended by council, would cause the SRA loan to go into default, I doubt that he would have actually signed it.

And with that I have a few citizens' requests. The property located at 1926 and 1928 Price Street is becoming an eyesore to neighbors. Grass has not been cut so far this summer and grass in front of the property at this time is reaching about two feet in height. This property is owned by an out-of-town landlord that lives in New York state. The grass situation at this property is coming a yearly issue now.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake,
please send a letter to Mr. Seitzinger and
ask him to have this property addressed. In
addition, I will provide you with the
property owner's name and address that I
found on the county tax assessor's website

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make the burden a little bit easier for them as far as doing the search. This is also a rental property, therefore, with this this mind, please have this added to the rental registration data base.

And I arrived a little bit late tonight due to a work situation, but one thing I did want to announce was that the Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch on Saturday, June 18, from 8:30 to 10:00 at night will present a Kids Night Out movie night at the Jackson Street skate park located at 1304 Jackson Street. And in case you live in Hyde Park, West Scranton area, they now have a baseball group and I know that they are trying to reach out to members of West Scranton through social media networks to inform people of some of their events and their meetings to get people involved in being part of the solution, per se, to fight some of the crime and violent activities going on in the West Scranton region.

I also know that Minooka

Neighborhood Association has a similar page
as well, so if you do have a Facebook

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

account and live in these regions or live in the city and would like to get involved with these groups, I would definitely encourage you to go to their page and join up on their page, and that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman I'd like to comment Joyce. Good evening. on a few city issues which require clarification and/or correction. At last week's meeting, city council and it's solicitor, Boyd Hughes, made the public aware of the \$1.5 million default by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority for the collection of 2004, 2005 and 2006 delinquent Tonight, I want to discuss the taxes. December 2010 legislation that set a fee schedule for the collection of delinquent taxes following the departure months before of Northeast Credit Collections or NCC.

City council took two actions:

First, it eliminated the sheriff's sales of properties; and second, it restored the prior legal interest and costs attached to delinquent taxes by eliminating the 23 fees, costs and interest received by NCC which

22

23

24

25

appeared to have been legally questionable and, in fact, may have been illegal. is further evidenced by the following paragraphs in entitled "Compensation" contained in the new contract between the city and Northeast Revenue Services, and I quote: "Northeast Revenue shall be compensated for the collection of delinquent real estate taxes for the years 2004 through 2006, according to the fees authorized by the Ordinance No. 82 of 2007 of the City of Scranton. In the event said fees are declared illegal, Northeast Revenue Service, LLC, will be compensated at a rate of 15 percent of the total face amount collected."

Thus, it is clear that Northeast
Revenue Services and the City of Scranton
recognize that the 2007 ordinance granting
23 additional fees may not have been legal,
therefore, the fee schedule set in the
December 2010 ordinance did not hamper the
city's efforts to attract a company to
collect delinquent taxes as stated by
business administrator Ryan McGowan.

In fact, the city didn't place a

contract for delinquent tax collections out to bid until long after the departure of NCC and both Attorney Carl Greco, SRA solicitor, and Attorney Paul Kelly, city solicitor, failed to respond to written and phone communication repeatedly sent by Rhoades and Sinon, the law firm representing Pennstar Bank in the default action. It seems the Doherty administration once again attempts to blame council for it's own legal mess and it's failure to timely respond to a critical financial matter.

Further, the contract included in the legislation currently before city council for it's consideration, includes the numerous apparent contradictions and language that does not protect the taxpaying residents of Scranton. As a result, a public caucus will be conducted with Northeast Revenue Services, members of the Doherty administration, Tax Collector Courtright, City Council and Solicitor Hughes in June. Final consideration in Seventh Order will be postponed until following this caucus.

Next, amended legislation for the bidding of the city's insurance broker of record was passed by Scranton City Council on January 18, 2011, and signed by Mayor Doherty, as was noted by some of my colleagues this evening. On May 19, 2011, Mayor Doherty crossed out and rescinded his signature on this same legislation having failed to place the contract out to bid as required by this ordinance. The insurance broker position was never bid for ten years and has remained the same since 2002.

Insurance authority's highly recommend that insurance is reviewed and rebid every three to five years in order to produce correct coverage at the lowest responsible prices. This has never been done by the Doherty administration. Our city insurances need a thorough and complete review and need to be subject to competitive proposals from numerous insurance companies. It may result in a savings or increased insurance costs, but it must be done.

Let me give you an example. Let's say your home is worth \$100,000, but you

have it insured for only \$50,000. Your home is unexpectedly destroyed by fire or a tornado. You wish to rebuild, however, the insurance company will only pay \$50,000 toward the new house. In addition, you must come up with the remaining \$50,000 first before the insurance company will even release it's \$50,000 toward the replacement costs. Now, if you have \$50,000 in the bank then this is no concern to you. If, however, you don't have that available cash then you have a very big problem.

The city appears to be in the same situation regarding some of it's insurance and we can all agree that the city has no cash reserves to pay for it's current deficit, let alone for the consequences of in adequate or incorrect insurance coverage. The administration shouldn't continue to gamble with property insurance coverage among others and to purposely ignore the serious situation that requires attention and correction.

The only political football is the preservation of a pay-to-play status quo

that carries potential harm to our city and can drive up preventable costs for the people.

Next, the Doherty administration recently announced that the Capouse Avenue pool will remain closed throughout the 2011 summer swim season. As many of you are aware, this same pool was closed throughout last year's swim season for repairs as well, according to the Doherty administration, although, repairs did not occur. This low to moderate income area of our city has one pool to serve it's children and residents. Yet, this pool was allowed to remain in disrepair.

In 2010, city council wished to appropriate funds to this pool within the 2011 CDBG program, but we were prevented from doing so because the administration had not requested any funding for this project. It would better serve the people of Scranton if the Doherty administration would focus more effort into ongoing maintenance of pools, playgrounds, and parks. Many require upgrades, mulching, repairs, graffitti

removal, etcetera, and it is the job of city government to provide these necessities.

Further, the Doherty administration should not remove two city pools from public use at the same time. The Novembrino swim complex should remain operational in summer while the Capouse Avenue pool, which had been relegated to the back burner, undergoes renovations and repairs. The children of the poor and struggling middle class families in our city should not be locked out of free swimming at two city pools while the swimming fees at Nay Aug Park remain out of their reach. The job of government is to help the people, particularly those who are most in need. Don't lock the children out of their neighborhood pool.

Finally, I have some citizens'
requests for the week: Residents of
Providence Road request that the blight
staff of city inspectors and a police
officer will visit a house at 886 Scanlon
Avenue located in the rear of 887 Providence
Road across from the Glider Diner. They
state that the house is in deplorable

condition and negatively impacts the value of the neighboring homes.

Also, a resident requests that the following potholes be fixed: The intersection of Jefferson Avenue and Spruce Street near the Radisson Hotel, Lackawanna Avenue, in front of the Hilton Hotel, at the intersection of Seventh Avenue and West Lackawanna Avenue, and at the intersection of Hickory Street and South Irving Avenue. This resident stated he has called DPW over and over again and has received no results.

And finally, council today received a letter from a city resident, Mr. Bob Bolus, asking council to pursue an issue in writing from Attorney Paul Kelly as to why he has not accepted Mr. Bolus' bid of \$50,000 or put this land up for public auction to the highest bidder. And so, Mrs. Krake, I would ask that with the agreement of my colleagues a letter is sent to Attorney Paul Kelly and if you would please attach Mr. Bolus' letter to city council and that council is making this request at the request of Mr. Bolus, and we

1 would like a written response on or before 2 June 14, please, and that's all. 3 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83, 2009, ENTITLED, "AN 4 ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 5 APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 6 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 7 8 IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 9 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS (AS AMENDED) TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE 10 11 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) 12 PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) 13 PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) 14 PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$200,000.00 FROM PROJECT 05-15 EMERGENCY FLOOD RELIEF TO 15 PROJECT 10-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND 16 17 HANDICAP CURB CUTS. 18 MS. EVANS: At this time I'll 19 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 20 introduced into it's proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. JOYCE: Second. 23 MS. EVANS: On the question? 24 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Do we know what 25 that money was originally for, that \$200,000

that was under the emergency flood relief? 1 MS. EVANS: It was my understanding, 2 3 I think Mrs. Aebli sent us information about this, that it came from allocations made 4 several years ago that haven't been used, 5 6 and so they are advising the transfer from 7 that program to the paving and road cuts --8 or curb cuts, I should say. 9 MR. MCGOFF: So that the assumption 10 is that it's no longer needed for the flood 11 relief program? 12 MS. EVANS: According to Ms. Aebli. 13 MR. MCGOFF: And I guess my second 14 question was is that the entire amount from 15 that fund or is it a portion of that 16 emergency relief fund? 17 MS. EVANS: That I don't know, but we 18 could certainly make that inquiry. MR. ROGAN: 19 This \$200,000 was from 20 unspent money from 2005, six years ago. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Yeah. But again, I 22 guess my question was why wasn't it spent? 23 Was it something that should have been done 24 that we --25 MS. EVANS: Well, I think in many

1 cases we would find that a number of prior 2 allocations made several years ago were 3 never used for a certain projects. MR. MCGOFF: And I wasn't 4 5 questioning, you know, using it improperly I was just wondering where it came from and 6 7 also I guess the second thing was whether we 8 were taking all of the money out of this 9 fund or whether there was a balance in that 10 emergency flood fund? 11 MS. EVANS: And, Mrs. Krake, if we 12 can please send a letter and contact Ms. 13 Aebli with Councilman McGoff's questions. 14 MS. KRAKE: Okay. MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 15 16 anyone else on the question? All those in 17 favor of introduction signify by saying aye. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 19 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 20 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 21 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 22 The ayes MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 23 have it and so moved. 24 MS. KRAKE: 5-C. ESTABLISHING THE 25 LIST OF AUTHORIZED TOWING COMPANIES FOR

1	THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND ESTABLISHING THE
2	RULES, QUALIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS TO BE
3	FOLLOWED BY ALL SAID TOWING COMPANIES,
4	ESTABLISHING FINES AND PENALTIES FOR
5	TOWING AND FEES RELATED TO THIS ORDINANCE.
6	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
8	introduced into it's proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. JOYCE: Second.
11	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
18	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
19	have it and so moved.
20	MS. KRAKE: 5-D. CREATING AND
21	ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. (TO
22	BE DETERMINED) ENTITLED "COMMUNITY MEDICAL
23	CENTER PARKING REVENUE ACCOUNT" FOR THE
24	RECEIPT OF ALL PAYMENTS TO BE MADE BY THE

1	SCRANTON PURSUANT TO FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 26,
2	2011, (AS AMENDED), AND FOR THE
3	DISBURSEMENTS OF DEPOSITS FROM SPECIAL CITY
4	ACCOUNT NO. (TO BE DETERMINED).
5	MS. EVANS: At this time I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
7	introduced into it's proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. JOYCE: Second.
10	MS. EVANS: On the question?
11	MR. ROGAN: I opposed putting the
12	meters upor, I'm sorry, opposed to
13	removing the meters from CMC, but I will
14	vote "yes" since the vote is already taken
15	and was voted three to two, so I will vote
16	for the account.
17	MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on
18	the question? All those in favor of
19	introduction signify by saying aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
23	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
24	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

MS. KRAKE: 5-E. APPOINTMENT OF
STUART RENDA, 1114 WOODLAWN STREET,
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A MEMBER OF
THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY.
MR. RENDA WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF
MATTHEW FLYNN, WHO PASSED AWAY ON MAY
5, 2011. MR. RENDA'S TERM WILL EXPIRE ON
DECEMBER 31, 2012.

MS. EVANS: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced into it's proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.

Generally I do vote for anyone who is up for an appointment that sends in a resume, but when Mr. Renda was business administrator he had a very lackluster record of getting back to city council regarding various issues, so I will be voting "no" on this appointment.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question?? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

1 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 2 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 3 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 4 MR. ROGAN: No. 5 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 7 8 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 34, 9 2011 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 10 OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO LEASE AGREEMENTS 11 12 WITH VARIOUS LITTLE LEAGUE, TEENER LEAGUE, MISS-E LEAGUE, AND JUNIOR AND/OR MIDGET 13 14 FOOTBALL LEAGUE ASSOCIATIONS FOR USE OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH APPROVED 15 LITTLE LEAGUE, TEENER LEAGUE, MISS-E 16 17 LEAGUE, AND JUNIOR AND/OR MIDGET FOOTBALL 18 LEAGUE ACTIVITIES FOR A THREE-YEAR PERIOD COMMENCING APRIL 15, 2011 AND ENDING APRIL 19 14, 2014. 20 21 MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by 22 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 23 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass 24 reading by title. MR. JOYCE: Second. 25

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make a motion to amend Item 6-A for the following amendments: Capitalize the word "premises" wherever it appears in the ordinance.

In Section 2, inserting on the fourth line after Weston Park Little League, "Hereinafter referred to as premises."

Also, in Section 2, inserted on the eighth line after buildings, "Map of parcel of land as attached hereto as Exhibit A in the premises are outlined in red thereon."

Number three, in Section 4, inserting on the fourth line after games "the monthly schedule."

Also, in Section 4 on line seven, deleting "actual."

Also, in Section 4, on line eight, after "premises inserting "not on the monthly schedule submitted by the tenant."

Number four, in Section 9, on line five, after "the" deleting "prior written consent and approval of the mayor of the City of Scranton" and inserting "amendment of this agreement by ordinance approved by the governing body of the City of Scranton."

And number five, in Section 11, on line three, after the City of Scranton deleting "Should be joined" and inserting "must be named."

And that is it.

MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the floor to amend File of Council No. 34 of 2011. Do we have a second?

MR. ROGAN: Second...

MS. EVANS: Are there any questions on the amendment?

MR. MCGOFF: Two things: Number one, I'm not sure what any or all of this means since I don't have a lot of the backup for it. And second, again, I would ask that if we plan to make motions to existing legislation that please do so beforehand so that we have an opportunity -- or at least I would like an opportunity to read it and see what effect it has prior to, you know, seeing it now and being being asked to vote on it immediately. I think that obviously it was prepared beforehand it would have been useful to have this, you know, in a more timely manner.

MS. EVANS: If I might respond, I asked Solicitor Hughes to review the legislation prior to placing it on the agenda, and as he did he noted that there were, let's say, errors within the legislation that required correction, and rather than our resubmitting this to the law department for all of the corrections, which undoubtedly would have taken weeks to return based on their track record, Solicitor Hughes went through and made corrections to better the legislation, to make it

The one main change that I had sought was regarding number four deleting "Prior written consent of and approval the mayor the City of Scranton" and inserting in it's place "Amendment of this agreement by ordinance approved by the governing body of City of Scranton."

appropriate and correct.

Meaning that approval would have to come from the mayor and by ordinance from city council. I think this was an important action to take. Often times the organizations who lease the fields from the

City of Scranton may be asked by other entities to use those fields, but you must keep in mind that the organization, whether it be a little league, a midget football league, a teener league, etcetera, they maintain the fields at their own costs entirely. They provide insurance at their own costs, and they make improvements entirely at their own costs. And so if another entity, let us say it's a school, for example, approaches one of these organizations to use the fields during the times which the organization is not actively using the field, it should really be the decision of the organization that is leasing the field and maintaining the field.

And what we have as part of this legislation was the removal of that decision from the organization and placement into the hands of the mayor, and I felt that rather than having the mayor solely make these decisions that really I feel should be made by the organizations who are leasing those fields. It must also come to city council and I'm sure that council will do it's due

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

diligence, contact the organizations, ask
them if this is, you know, any type of
additional use of the field is to their
agreement and, of course, to their knowledge
and then council will support their
decision.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to echo what Mrs. Evans said. I would say of the five pieces on here, four of them seem to be more just in the wording of it, and number four is the only one that really changes the legislation, and I agree that the youth sports organization should have more say in what's going on in their league. They know how the league is run better than the mayor does or better than council does and council is always open to the public where, you know, the organizers or the coaches from the league could always come to the podium or also contact us by e-mail, and I'm sure I speak for all of us up here that we are going to do what's in the best interest of the leagues, whether it be football, baseball, basketball, etcetera. So I would vote for the amendment.

MR. MCGOFF: And again, I didn't say that I was arguing with anything that was in here, all I'm saying is that the nature of these amendments I can't actually see what they are because we don't have the entire backup to the legislation, and it would have been more useful if we had -- if I had known this before -- beforehand, and could have at least looked at that and that was my only issue. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I don't have the backup myself, I have a full faith in our solicitor on his research on this here because I wouldn't be able to make these changes myself. In this particular case, we are not legal expertise and that's why we have him as solicitor and I believe he has done his due diligence and acted in the best interest of everyone here. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion to amend Item 6-A signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

	7
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
3	MR. MCGOFF: No.
4	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
5	moved. All those in favor of Item 6-A, as
6	amended, signify by saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MS. KRAKE: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
14	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 35, 2011 - AN ORDINANCE
15	- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
16	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A
17	CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES WITH
18	NORTHEAST REVENUE SERVICES, LLC AND CAVERLY,
19	SHEA, PHILLIPS AND RODGERS, LLC FOR THE
20	COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES
21	FOR THE COLLECTION OF YEARS 2004, 2005,
22	2006, 2007 AND 2008.
23	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
24	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
25	MR. JOYCE: I move that Item 6-B pass

1 reading by title. MR. MCGOFF: Second. 2 3 MS. EVANS: On the question? All 4 those in favor signify by saying aye. 5 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 8 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 9 MR. ROGAN: No. 10 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so 11 moved. 12 MR. JOYCE: I would like to make a motion to table Item 6-B. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Second. 15 MS. EVANS: On the question? 16 MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question. 17 Normally I do support giving legislation an 18 up or down vote, but since our caucus or 19 hearing is scheduled I believe it's two 20 weeks. 21 MS. EVANS: Hopefully June 14. 22 MR. ROGAN: Hopefully for June 14 I 23 don't think anyone would be able to give 24 this their final approval before hearing 25 from all of the parties involved, so we look

1 forward to the caucus or hearing, whichever 2 it may be. 3 MS. EVANS: I think it will be a 4 caucus. MR. JOYCE: Yes. 5 MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else? 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Just to explain the reasoning for tabling Item 6-B is simply to 8 9 allow the caucus to occur before we make a final vote in Sixth Order. 10 11 MS. EVANS: And very likely as a 12 result of the caucus and very careful review 13 of the legislation, which actually began at 14 least a week ago, it was noted that, for example, contract itself contradicts an 15 16 agreement attached to the contract, and it's 17 seems to be a very poorly drafted piece of 18 legislation, so undoubtedly council will be 19 amending this as well. All those in favor 20 signify by saying aye. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 24 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 25 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

	
1	have it and so moved. Item 6-B is hereby
2	tabled.
3	MS. KRAKE: 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
4	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
5	RESOLUTION NO.27, 2011- APPOINTMENT OF WAYNE
6	HILLER, 303 SEYMOUR AVENUE, SCRANTON,
7	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, TO THE BOARD OF THE
8	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR AN ADDITIONAL
9	FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. HILLER'S CURRENT
10	TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2011 AND HIS NEW
11	TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2016.
12	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
13	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
14	passage of Item 7-A.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
17	call, please?
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
	II

1	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
4	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
5	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR
6	ADOPTION-RESOLUTION NO.28, 2011- APPOINTMENT
7	OF ARIANNE N. SLOCUM, 2104 NORTH WASHINTON
8	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, TO
9	THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION EFFECTIVE
10	JANUARY 14, 2011. MS. SLOCUM WILL BE
11	REPLACING REV. REGINALD MCLAIN, WHO RESIGNED
12	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 13, 2011. MS SLOCUM'S TERM
13	WILL EXPIRE WITH THE TERM OF MAYOR
14	CHRISTOPHER A. DOHERTY.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-B.
18	MR. JOYCE: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.
	II

	/6
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
8	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
9	RESOLUTION NO.29, 2011- APPOINTMENT OF MRS.
10	CAROL OLESKI, 1124 ROCK STREET, SCRANTON,
11	PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE
12	SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY TO
13	SERVE THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF JAMES MCDONNELL
14	THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2013.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-C.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
20	call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.

	77
1	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
2	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.
3	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.
5	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
8	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION-
9	RESOLUTION NO.30, 2011- APPOINTMENT OF
10	JOSEPH A. MURPHY, 610 DEPOT STREET,
11	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18509, AS A MEMBER OF
12	THE SCRANTON CITY PLANNING COMMISSION TO
13	SERVE THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF THOMAS PREAMBO.
14	MR. MURPHY'S TERM WILL BE EFFECTIVE MARCH
15	22, 2011 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2015.
16	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
17	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-D.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
21	call, please?
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

		78
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Loscombe.	
2	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.	
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Joyce.	
4	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mrs. Evans.	
6	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare	
7	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. If	
8	there is no further business, I will	
9	entertain a motion to adjourn.	
10	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.	
11	MS. EVANS: This meeting is	
12	adjourned.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER